58th Legislative Day

May 25, 1995

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR GEO-KARIS)

The regular Session of the 89th General Assembly will come to order. Will the Members please be at their desks, and will our guests in the gallery please rise. Our prayer today will be given by Reverend Tony Allen, First United Methodist Church, from Kansas, Illinois. Reverend Allen.

THE REVEREND TONY ALLEN:

(Prayer by the Reverend Tony Allen)

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR GEO-KARIS)

Thank you, Reverend Allen. All rise, please, for the Pledge of Allegiance. Senator Sieben.

SENATOR SIEBEN:

(Pledge of Allegiance, led by Senator Sieben)

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR GEO-KARIS)

Senator Butler. Reading of the Journal. Senator Butler. SENATOR BUTLER:

Mr. -- or, Madam President, excuse me, I move that reading and approval of the Journals of Thursday, May 18th; Friday, May 19th; Sunday, May 21st; Monday, May 22nd; Tuesday, May 23rd; Wednesday, May 24th, in the year 1995, be postponed, pending arrival of the printed Journals.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR GEO-KARIS)

Senator Butler moves to postpone the reading and approval of the Journal, pending arrival of the -- the printed transcripts. There being no objection, it is so ordered. Messages from the House.

SECRETARY HARRY:

Message from the House by Mr. McLennand, Clerk.

Mr. President - I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has refused to concur with the Senate in the adoption of their amendment to a bill of the following title, to wit:

58th Legislative Day

May 25, 1995

House Bill 122, which amendment is Senate Amendment 1.

We have like Messages on House Bill 160, with Senate Amendment 1; House Bill 270, with Senate Amendment 2; House Bill 314, with Senate Amendments 1 and 2; House Bill 505, with Senate Amendment 2; House Bill 632, with Senate Amendment 1; House Bill 901, with Senate Amendments 1 and 2; House Bill 1023, with Senate Amendment 1; House Bill 1279, with Senate Amendment 2; House Bill 1470, with Senate Amendment 2; House Bill 1523, with Senate Amendment 1; House Bill 1787, with Senate Amendment 1; House Bill 2240, with Senate Amendment 1; and House Bill 2403, with Senate Amendment 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR GEO-KARIS)

Committee Reports.

SECRETARY HARRY:

Senator Sieben, Chair of the Committee on State Government Operations, reports Senate Bill 560 - the motion to concur with House Amendment 1 Be Approved for Consideration.

And Senator Karpiel, Chair of the Committee on Executive, reports Senate Bill 274 - the motion to concur with House Amendments 1 and 3 Be Adopted.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR GEO-KARIS)

We're ready, Members, to start on the Order of Concurrence, and I -- I would suggest that you all get here. If you wish to call your bills on Concurrence, we're ready to begin. It is now 10:20. We would like to begin this Session. We would like to ask all the Members to come in and take your seats. On page 7 of today's Calendar is the Order of Secretary's Desk, Concurrence, Senate Bills. With leave of the Body, we will go to -- we return to Senate Bill 540. Senate Bill 540. Senator Maitland? Madam Secretary, will you read the motion.

ACTING SECRETARY HAWKER:

58th Legislative Day

May 25, 1995

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 540.

Offered by Senator Maitland.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR GEO-KARIS)

This is -- any -- any discussion? Any discussion? Senator Maitland, would you explain your motion.

SENATOR MAITLAND:

Thank -- thank you very much, Madam President and Members of the Body. House Amendment No. I to Senate Bill 540 incorporates the provisions that were contained in Senate Bill 108 that passed out of this Body 58 <sic> (56) to nothing. It is the one that gave a tax exemption to lifts and other devices on vehicles used by paraplegics. There were no -- there were no -- there was no opposition before and, Madam Chairman, I would move that the Senate adopt -- or concur in House Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 540.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR GEO-KARIS)

Any discussion? Any discussion? Any discussion? This is final action. And the question is, shall the Senate concur in House Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 540. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Take the record, Madam Secretary. On that question, there are 57 voting Aye, none voting No, none voting Present. And this bill, having — and the Senate does concur in House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 540, and this bill, having received the required constitutional majority, is hereby declared passed. Senator Rea. Senate Bill 1111. Senator Rea?

SENATOR REA:

Thank you. I would like to move to non-concur with Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1111.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR GEO-KARIS)

58th Legislative Day

May 25, 1995

All those in favor, say Aye. All opposed, Nay. In the opinion of the Chair, the Ayes have it. The motion carries, and the Secretary shall so inform the House. ...(microphone cutoff)...7 of the Calendar is the Order of Secretary's Desk, Resolutions. Senate Resolution 19. Senator Raica? Out of the record. Senate Resolution 69. Senator O'Malley? Read the resolution, Madam Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Resolution 69, offered by Senators O'Malley and Raica. There are no committee or Floor amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR GEO-KARIS)

Senator O'Malley.

SENATOR O'MALLEY:

Thank you, Madam President and Members of the Senate. Resolution 69 provides that the Senate is supportive of the development of a third major airport only if Midway Airport remains as a viable air transportation center with the ability to operate as a full capacity airport maintaining, at least, the current level of today's operations. Senate Resolution 69 further resolves that any legislation setting forth the establishment or the -- the process of establishing a third major airport shall contain language expressly prohibiting the closing of Midway Airport. This is a very important issue for those of us who represent areas in the south and southwest portions of -- of the Greater Chicago Metropolitan Area. Midway Airport is development engine for that region. Unfortunately, economic comments have been made recently or surfaced in newspaper reports indicating that Midway Airport may be closed, and -- and this is a very, very important issue to the people that I represent and the districts surrounding the area that I represent. I would request that anybody who has any comments here in the Chamber please share them with the rest of us today. In any event, I'm

58th Legislative Day

May 25, 1995

seeking the support of everybody here in the Senate. This is a very, very important issue, and I would seek a -- a roll call on this resolution as well, Madam.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR GEO-KARIS)

Any further discussion? Senator Lauzen.

SENATOR LAUZEN:

A question for the sponsor.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR GEO-KARIS)

He indicates he will yield.

SENATOR LAUZEN:

Senator O'Malley, can you tell me, in voting Yes for this amendment -- or for this Senate resolution, am I voting for action at Peotone?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR GEO-KARIS)

Senator O'Malley.

SENATOR O'MALLEY:

Senator Lauzen, no, you are not. What we're voting here is to say that if there be the development of any major third airport in the Chicago Metropolitan Area that Midway Airport will be given appropriate consideration and preserved for its economic development components that are absolutely essential - and -- and I truly mean this - to the south and southwestern parts of Cook County, which rely heavily not only in terms of the jobs that are there, but also the -- the businesses that benefit from -- from the services that are provided at Midway Airport.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR GEO-KARIS)

Senator Lauzen.

SENATOR LAUZEN:

I would just, then, want for the record to state that my vote in favor of this resolution in no way supports development of Peotone Airport.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR GEO-KARIS)

58th Legislative Day

May 25, 1995

Further discussion? Senator Cullerton.

SENATOR CULLERTON:

Yes. Would the sponsor yield?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR GEO-KARIS)

He indicates that he would.

SENATOR CULLERTON:

Senator, the -- there is a number of "whereases" found in the resolution, and I believe that one of the problems that's posed is that some of the whereases are inaccurate and some are very accurate. The -- when this went to committee was there any talk at all about an amendment to this so that the -- the inaccurate portions would be removed? - and I would specifically refer you to references to the Illinois-Indiana Regional Airport Site Selection Report that are inaccurate. The -- the TAMS Abstract is what that's referred to. Was there any talk about taking those whereases out of the resolution so that we could get to the heart of the matter, which is to ensure that Midway stays open? And I would pose that question to Senator O'Malley.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR GEO-KARIS)

Senator O'Malley.

SENATOR O'MALLEY:

Senator Cullerton, there was concerns expressed by one Member of the committee that -- that there might be a political overtone in one of the whereas clauses, or at least one - I don't know that we specified what -- what they were. There was no agreement to provide for an amendment. And I can tell you that having focused in on what I believe are the clauses that are of some concern, specifically with respect to Gary, I think it was important in setting up this resolution to specifically state that the reason for this resolution is that in the recent controversy about the -- the Chicago-Gary pact, whatever it is, that these additional concerns that were expressed publicly about the future

58th Legislative Day

May 25, 1995

of Midway Airport originated from there. The intent of this sponsor is not to politicize this issue in one way or another, as far as Peotone or no Peotone. My goal is simply to allay the fears of people in the south and southwest suburbs, to let them know that this Senate is resolved to protect and defend the future of Midway Airport and the jobs in that area. And, again, I -- I would hope that those Senators who feel as strongly as I do about this issue will also speak today and let the rest of the Senate know how important it is to their constituents that this resolution be adopted.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR GEO-KARIS)

Senator Cullerton.

SENATOR CULLERTON:

Yes. Well, to the resolution: Here's -- here's the problem. You're third whereas cites the fact that -- and states that if Midway is to remain open, the airspace and air traffic control problems will be significant because of the Gary Airport remaining open. That is not accurate at all. The Illinois-Indiana Regional Airport Report - this TAMS Abstract that cited airspace and air traffic control difficulties was referring to a -- if there was a mega-airport built more than ten times the size of the present Gary Regional Airport. The Gary Regional Airport can easily handle two hundred thousand operations per year without any interference with Midway. On the other hand, if Peotone was to be built, that would have tremendous interference with Midway. Ιn other words, if Peotone's built, Midway has to close down something that you are opposed to. The rest of the -- of resolution that talks about the importance of Midway, of course, is something that I agree with. I was the Chairman in the House of an Aeronautics Committee. We held hearings throughout the -the State. We had a hearing out in Kane County, where we DuPage County Airport and the problems that it caused about the

58th Legislative Day

May 25, 1995

for the people in Kane County. We held hearings in Bensenville, where we talked about the problems with O'Hare. And we held hearings over in the Midway area. The people over at Midway are -- are well aware of the advantages of having an airport - a viable airport - to there community, because they have seen the bottom. They have seen what it's like when those airlines left and the devastation to the economy over there. And these folks also know that airports create jobs. And so they were never, ever wildly upset about the airport operating and even expanding. They were certainly concerned about noise; they were concerned about the safety of airplanes; but they appreciated, more than anyone, the advantages of having the airport. So I would just have to point out that you have whereases which are inaccurate and it's unfortunate that they're in there, but the overall intent, and that is to make sure that Midway stays open, is something that I certainly support.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR GEO-KARIS)

Further discussion? Senator Klemm.

SENATOR KLEMM:

Thank you, Madam President. Will the sponsor yield for a question?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR GEO-KARIS)

He indicates that he will.

SENATOR KLEMM:

It seemed to me, in one of the studies, Senator, that if Lake Calumet had an airport that that would adversely affect Midway, if that were the case. And I understand the Mayor of Chicago is very supportive of Lake Calumet. So my question to you is: Is the Mayor supportive of keeping Midway open since Lake Calumet was the first choice and that would've probably had to force Midway to close? I was wondering, is — is the Mayor supportive of keeping Midway open?

58th Legislative Day

May 25, 1995

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR GEO-KARIS)

Senator O'Malley.

SENATOR O'MALLEY:

My -- my understanding, Senator Klemm, is that the Mayor is -- is favorable to -- at this point in time, to having Midway Airport remain open and a viable entity.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR GEO-KARIS)

Senator Klemm. Senator Klemm.

SENATOR KLEMM:

Is that a change of City's position of keeping Midway open, because it would've seemed as if there was some problems in it? And then my next question, I guess maybe you could answer, is: With the compact that the Mayor wanted with Indiana, with the hundred or some million dollars of lost funds going there, would that have affected Midway also? And I -- I guess the actions I'm concerned about of -- of some of the people in the City of Chicago is that Midway may not be as important to them as it may be to you and I and the rest of us.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR GEO-KARIS)

Senator O'Malley.

SENATOR O'MALLEY:

Well, Senator Klemm, I -- you know, we could stand here and we could speculate. I appreciate your comments, and especially because your from a part of Illinois that may not be affected about this in the same way that the -- the people that I represent would be. I -- I think it's important that we consider this resolution with great enthusiasm and -- and send a message out of here that this Senate is standing pat, that we expect that Midway remain open and a viable alternative for -- for citizens across our part of Illinois to have service. So thank you for your -- sharing your comments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR GEO-KARIS)

58th Legislative Day

May 25, 1995

Further discussion? Senator Molaro.

SENATOR MOLARO:

Thank you, Madam President. Well, I'm in the unenviable position that, because of the resolved...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR GEO-KARIS)

Let's have a little more quite, please.

SENATOR MOLARO:

Both -- both myself and a couple other Senators - I won't say their names - that are from the southwest side, we're obviously going to be voting for this because of the resolved clause in the resolution. And where it is the resolved clause, which we hope, -I'm trying to create now whether it be legislative intent, should be considered the meats and guts of this resolution, which I am in a hundred-percent agreement with Senator O'Malley. I assume the whereases must be for some political point - he's shaking his head no, so I hope they're not - but -- but, you know, number three and number four, I just have to state for the record, I think they're wrong. I don't think they're -- they are -should be here, because I don't know what pumping millions of dollars into Gary has to do with this resolution. The resolution is a great one. If we build a third airport, we got to keep Midway viable. I quess we threw the Gary in for some reason, and you have your reasons and I respect them. However, I'm just saying that I don't agree, and a lot of people on this side of the aisle do not agree, with the whereas number three and number four, but we wholeheartedly support the resolved clause. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR GEO-KARIS)

Further discussion? Senator -- Senator Butler. SENATOR BUTLER:

Thank you very much, Ladies and Gentlemen. It seems to me that this resolution gets right to the heart of the matter. There has been so much false information, false hopes, false dashing of

58th Legislative Day

May 25, 1995

hopes, over the years, that this finally sets out something very specific, and that is that we in the Senate believe that Midway should stay open, despite all the rhetoric about what a third airport will do. So I think we should not get carried away with concerns about the whereases, because in my estimation, after spending some fifteen years on the problem, these are not too far away from absolute truth. So I would hope all of us support this. It lays to rest or at least it states our position in terms of why we disagree with some of the -- or, false information that's constantly being barraged in the media.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR GEO-KARIS)

Further discussion? Senator Collins. Senator Collins. SENATOR COLLINS:

Basically, every -- I think the -- the -- my concerns that was raised in the committee, I think has been expressed. And, Senator -- to the sponsor, it was my understanding that -that you had indicated that you had worked very hard to minimize the political overtone of this particular resolution. And that you -- specifically, I think -- Senator Farley is not here at time; he raised the issue in -- in reference to whether or not you would be willing to try and tone down those two sections that dealt with the loss of money and -- and reference to the agreement made between the -- the Mayor and -- and the Mayor of Gary. you did have time, Senator, to actually make those changes. However, as most of the speakers on this side of the aisle have already spoken, I -- I still think it's political and, for the record, that -- that it ought to say it is political; but, at the same time, the reality is, we do wish to protect Midway Airport because of the jobs and the economic situation out there, therefore, we don't have any choice at this point but to vote for it, and I would ask the Members on this side of the aisle to do that.

58th Legislative Day

May 25, 1995

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR GEO-KARIS)

Further discussion? Senator DeAngelis.

SENATOR DEANGELIS:

Thank you, Madam President. I, first of all, want to indicate full support for this resolution, but it would have been totally unnecessary, had it not been for the oxymoronic action of the City of Chicago. Now, how can you, in fact, turn around and attack third airport and then suggest that the second airport's going to be gone. There cannot be a third airport if the second one's gone. So within their criticism of that third airport, there's an oxymoronic line. But I got to tell you, I really resent politicizing the issue against the third airport by using Midway as hostage. Let me suggest to you that the Mayor did -those of you who haven't been around a long time, he did suggest a third airport. That third airport was in Lake Calumet. got to tell you, if there was any reason for Midway to be shut down, it would be if Lake Calumet was built. Now, this study, review, application process has been going on for nine years, and if you will read the report, or if, more hopefully, the City of Chicago will read the report, it states in there that the third airport at the site that was selected represents no - no - threat to Midway. It's right in the report. And I got to tell you, I know that there are forces -- forces of evil at work against the third airport that will use everything. You know, there's an old expression that says, "You always hurt the one you love." And if they love Midway so much, tell them to cut out the horse manure, because if Midway's going to close down, it's because of their rhetoric.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR GEO-KARIS)

Any further discussion? Senator Raica. Senator Raica. Any further discussion? Any further discussion? Hearing none, Senator O'Malley, to close.

58th Legislative Day

May 25, 1995

SENATOR O'MALLEY:

Again, thank you, Madam President. Thank you, Members of Senate, for taking time to discuss what I consider a very, very important resolution for those of us who -- who serve the southwestern part of the Chicago Metropolitan Area. I appreciate that the Members on the other side of the aisle have focused on a couple of -- of prefatory remarks that are set forth in the resolution. We all know that it is normal and customary that we're going to make a resolution that we recite the important reasons before resolving as to why we are concerned about I -- I can tell you that the -- the language that is set forth in the third paragraph of the resolution, specifically comes from the study and I have it available for anybody -- anybody here who would like to read it. I didn't make these words up; these come from the study. As far as the remarks in the fourth resolution concerning a compact between Chicago and Gary, I didn't make that happen, but that's where the most recent controversy grew out of. So I think it's appropriate to mention that so that we're addressing this concern. I, please -- for those people who -- for those of us who represent the south and southwestern part of Cook County especially, I'm requesting that you please vote I would like to see as many of us as are here in the Chambers to show our support for this, because Midway Airport is a vital, vital important resource for our part of the State and we should not diminish it in any way whatsoever. Hopefully, this resolution, in its own small way, will send a message out to the people that we represent that we're not going to allow their jobs to be jeopardized. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR GEO-KARIS)

There's a request for a roll call vote. Senator O'Malley moves the adoption of Senate Resolution 69. There'll be a roll call vote. Those in favor will -- will vote Aye. Those opposed

58th Legislative Day

May 25, 1995

will vote Nay. The voting's open. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Take the record, Madam Clerk -- Madam Secretary. On that question, there are 53 voting Aye, none voting No, 2 voting Present. And this resolution, having received the proper vote, is adopted. Senator Donahue, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR DONAHUE:

Well, thank you, Madam President. For a point of personal privilege.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR GEO-KARIS)

State your point, ma'am.

SENATOR DONAHUE:

In the Democrat side of the aisle, we have the seventh and eighth grade class from Perry Junior High School, and I'd like to have them be welcomed by the Senate.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR GEO-KARIS)

Will you please rise? Welcome to Springfield. Senator Berman, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR BERMAN:

Thank you, Madam President. On Senate Resolution 69, I reached for the green button. I, by accident, pressed the yellow button. I want to indicate my intention to have voted green.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR GEO-KARIS)

Your intentions will be so noted, Senator Berman. WAND and CL-TV <sic> would like request and permission to tape and -- is leave granted? Leave is granted. Senator Palmer, on SJR 15? Out of the record. Senator... We will now proceed to the Order of the Supplemental Calendar. Supplemental No. 1. Secretary's Desk for Concurrence, Senate Bills. Senate Bill 274. Senator Maitland. Madam Secretary, will you read the motion.

ACTING SECRETARY HAWKER:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their

58th Legislative Day

May 25, 1995

Amendments 1 and 3 to Senate Bill 274.

Offered by Senator Maitland.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR GEO-KARIS)

Senator Maitland.

SENATOR MAITLAND:

Thank you very much, Madam President and Members of the Senate. I would move to concur in House Amendments No. 1 and 3 to Senate Bill 274. The House added an -- added an additional circuit court judge in the Fifth Judicial District and -- and two additional judges to be added in the Sixteenth. That was Amendment No. 1. Amendment No. 3 -- Amendment No. 3 adds additional judges in the Eighteenth, Twenty-first and Nineteenth Circuits.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR GEO-KARIS)

Any further discussion? Senator Severns.

SENATOR SEVERNS:

Thank you, Mr. -- Madam President. Would the sponsor yield for a question?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR GEO-KARIS)

He indicates that he will.

SENATOR SEVERNS:

Senator Maitland, since we've not yet seen the budget, we wouldn't know the answer to this, but is this funded in the budget, and if so, how much of a fiscal impact do you anticipate for FY'96?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR GEO-KARIS)

Senator Maitland. Senator Maitland.

SENATOR MAITLAND:

Senator -- Senator, it -- the fiscal impact, to the best of our -- our knowledge, is about 1.1 million dollars. We have not done anything with the Supreme Court budget to adjust this. It is -- it is obviously not in the budget. We don't believe the costs,

58th Legislative Day

May 25, 1995

because the way this will take place, will be nearly that much. But it is about 1.1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR GEO-KARIS)

Further discussion? Senator Shaw.

SENATOR SHAW:

Yes, will the -- thank you, Madam President. Will the sponsor yield?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR GEO-KARIS)

He indicates that he will, Senator Shaw.

SENATOR SHAW:

Could you answer me a question on Amendment No. 11, I believe it is, where you're adding ninety-four circuit court judges to Cook County. Is that right?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR GEO-KARIS)

Senator Maitland. Senator Shaw.

SENATOR SHAW:

Is there any judges added to Cook County?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR GEO-KARIS)

Senator Maitland.

SENATOR MAITLAND:

No.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR GEO-KARIS)

Senator Shaw. Any further discussion? Any further discussion? Hearing none, Senator Maitland, to close. Senator -- Senator Hawkinson, before we close.

SENATOR HAWKINSON:

Thank you, Madam President. Question of the sponsor.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR GEO-KARIS)

He indicates he'll yield.

SENATOR HAWKINSON:

Are -- are the Supreme Court judges whose districts are affected by this in support of each of these additional circuit

58th Legislative Day

May 25, 1995

judgeships being added?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR GEO-KARIS)

Senator Maitland.

SENATOR MAITLAND:

Senator Hawkinson, to the best of our knowledge, all are completely supportive. It is my understanding, although they -- he has not talked with me, that -- that Justice Heiple had some questions about the Twenty-first.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR GEO-KARIS)

Any further discussion? Senator Cullerton.

SENATOR CULLERTON:

Yes, would the sponsor yield?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR GEO-KARIS)

He indicates he will yield.

SENATOR CULLERTON:

Senator, just out -- you know, we haven't seen a budget yet or even been briefed on a budget yet. Was this something which was anticipated and was in the Governor's budget when he first presented it, or is this something which we're going to have to add to the budget, this additional cost?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR GEO-KARIS)

Senator Maitland.

SENATOR MAITLAND:

Senator Cullerton, this was -- this was not in the Supreme Court budget. You will find that there will be a reduction in -- in the Supreme Court budget when it -- when it gets to this place. We also believe that there is additional money pretty well substantiated in the Supreme Court budget that could handle this, whatever cost it might be.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR GEO-KARIS)

Senator Cullerton.

SENATOR CULLERTON:

58th Legislative Day

May 25, 1995

Well, that's -- thank you for that answer. Does that mean that the Supreme Court budget is going down because there are fewer judges elsewhere? Or, if you could just give us a little hint as to where there could be a reduction in the Supreme Court budget so as to allow for the additional judges under this legislation.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR GEO-KARIS)

Senator Maitland.

SENATOR MAITLAND:

That's -- that's a fair question, Senator Cullerton. We believe the Supreme Court budget can handle this.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR GEO-KARIS)

Senator Cullerton.

SENATOR CULLERTON:

A fair question, but an unrevealing answer.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR GEO-KARIS)

Anything further, Senator Cullerton? Further discussion? Senator Thomas Dunn.

SENATOR T. DUNN:

Thank you, Madam President. Well, I join with Senator Maitland. The Twenty-first Circuit is partially in my district and contains the provision for an additional circuit judge in Kankakee and Iroquois. It's not for me. There has been a -- a tremendous increase in the amount of crime in certain areas, and unfortunately, this is one of them. I think the -- the judgeship is warranted in this bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR GEO-KARIS)

Any further discussion? Any further discussion? Any further discussion? Senator Maitland, to close.

SENATOR MAITLAND:

Thank you very much, Madam President. I think the issue has been clearly debated, and I would appreciate the support of this

58th Legislative Day

May 25, 1995

concurrence motion.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR GEO-KARIS)

This is final action. The question is, shall the Senate concur in House Amendments 1 and 3 to Senate Bill 274. Those in favor, vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Take the record, Madam Secretary. On that question, there are 47 voting Aye, there are 3 voting Nay, 4 voting Present. And the Senate does concur in House Amendments 1 and 3 to Senate Bill 274, and the bill, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senate Bill 560. Senator Dunn. Madam Secretary, will you read the motion.

ACTING SECRETARY HAWKER:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 560.

Offered by Senator Hasara.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR GEO-KARIS)

Senator Thomas Dunn...

ACTING SECRETARY HAWKER:

Pardon me. Excuse me. By Senator Tom Dunn.

SENATOR T. DUNN:

Thank you, Madam President. This bill contains the omnibus veterans' bill and places the Korean War Memorial among HPA's jurisdiction. It allows employees who temporarily discontinue employment due to military service for greater than thirty days to continue insurance coverage for a maximum of four years. It contains Senator Welch's bill to change and make December 7th the official Pearl Harbor Remembrance Day. It contains an amendment on the Personnel Code requiring CMS to adopt rules and implement procedures to verify that any person seeking a veterans' preference is entitled to such a preference. It has a provision in it for members who retire from the National Guard and have

58th Legislative Day

May 25, 1995

qualified for a federal military retirement, may upon request be placed on the retired list. Contains a provision creating the Women in Military Service Memorial Fund income tax checkoff. Contains a portion that amends the Veterans Affairs Act to permit contributors of unsolicited private donations to Illinois Veterans Fund to specify the intended use of the donations. It amends the Illinois -- University of Illinois Act in the Section creating scholarships for children of veterans. And that's -- that is what the bill does. I know of no opposition to this bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR GEO-KARIS)

Further discussion? Senator Donahue.

SENATOR DONAHUE:

Well, thank you, Madam President. I would just -- as a cosponsor of this legislation, I'd just like to rise in support and thank everyone for all the work to make this successful.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR GEO-KARIS)

Any further discussion? Any further discussion? Any further discussion? Senator Dunn, to close.

SENATOR T. DUNN:

Thank you, Madam President. I urge an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR GEO-KARIS)

This is final action. The question is, shall Senate concur with House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 560. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Take the record, Madam Secretary. On that question, there are 58 voting Aye, none voting No, none voting Present. And the Senate does concur in House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 560, and the bill, having received the required constitutional majority, is hereby declared passed. Senator Trotter, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR TROTTER:

58th Legislative Day

May 25, 1995

Thank you very much, Madam President. A point of personal privilege. I would like to ask the -- the Body to join with me in wishing Kathleen Houlihan, who has worked for two years on our staff, on our communications and research staff, who's leaving us, going to the Monterey Institute to pursue her master's degree in International Policy and Studies, and also a dual study of Italian and Spanish. She's leaving us. I'd like to wish her luck. Please join me.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR GEO-KARIS)

We certainly extend our best wishes to Ms. Houlihan. Senator
Hasara, for what purpose do you rise? Senator Hasara.

SENATOR HASARA:

Are you ready for House Bill 122?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR GEO-KARIS)

We're going to -- now, going to the question of the refusal to recede on Supplemental Calendar No. 2. Secretary's Desk, Non-concurrence, House Bills. We will recognize motions to refuse to recede. Senate <sic> Bill 122. Senator Hasara. Madam Secretary -- Senator Hasara.

SENATOR HASARA:

Thank you, Madam President. I move to refuse to recede from House Amendment No. 1 -- I'm sorry -- from Senate Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 122 and ask for a conference committee.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR GEO-KARIS)

Senator Hasara moves that the Senate refuse to recede from the adoption of Senate Amendment No. 1 -- rather, House Amendment No. -- Senate Amendment No. 1 and -- and asks that a conference committee be appointed. All those in favor, say Aye. All opposed, Nay. In the opinion of the Chair, the Ayes have it, and the motion carries. And the Secretary shall so inform the House. House Bill 160. Senator Clayborne. With leave, we'll return to it. House Bill 270. Senator Petka. Senator Petka, House Bill

58th Legislative Day

May 25, 1995

270? Do you wish to -- to refuse to recede from this Senate Amendment No. 1?

SENATOR PETKA:

That is correct, Madam President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR GEO-KARIS)

Amendment No. 2, rather. Senator Raica <sic> moves that the Senate refuse to recede from the adoption of Senate Amendment No. 2 in House Bill 270 and that a conference committee be appointed. All those in favor, say Aye. All opposed, Nay. In the opinion of the Chair, the Ayes have it. The motion carries, and the Secretary shall -- shall so inform the House. House Bill 314. Senator Woodyard. Senator Woodyard.

SENATOR WOODYARD:

Thank you, Madam President, Members of the Senate. I would move to refuse to recede from -- I guess that's Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 314 and ask that a conference committee be formed.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR GEO-KARIS)

Senator Woodyard moved that the Senate refuse to recede from the adoption of Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 314 and the conference committee be appointed. All those in favor, say Aye. All opposed, Nay. In the opinion of the Chair, the Ayes have it. The motions carries, and the Secretary shall so inform the House. House Bill 505. Senator Maitland? House Bill 632. Senator Madigan. Senator Madigan.

SENATOR MADIGAN:

Thank you, Madam President. I would refuse to recede to Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 632 and request a conference committee.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR GEO-KARIS)

Senator Madigan moves that the Senate refuse to recede from the adoption of Senate Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 632 and that

58th Legislative Day

May 25, 1995

a conference committee be appointed. All -- those in favor, say Aye. All those opposed, say Nay. In the opinion of the Chair, the Ayes have it. The motion carries and the Secretary shall so inform the House. House Bill 901. Senator -- Senator Mahar. Take it out of the record. House Bill 1023. Senator Fitzgerald. Senator Fitzgerald? Senator Fitzgerald.

SENATOR FITZGERALD:

Thank you, Madam President. I would like to refuse to recede from Senate -- the Senate Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR GEO-KARIS)

Senator Fitzgerald moves that the Senate refuse to -- to recede from Senate Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 1023 and that a conference committee be appointed. All those in favor, say Aye. All opposed, say Nay. The Ayes have it. The motion carries, and the Secretary shall so inform the House. House Bill 1279. Senator Rauschenberger. Senator Rauschenberger? House Bill 1279? Out of the record. House Bill 1470. Senator Cronin? Senator Cronin. Are you -- Senator Cronin.

SENATOR CRONIN:

Thank you, Madam President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. I move that -- to refuse to recede from Senate amendment. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR GEO-KARIS)

Senator Cronin moves that the Senate refuse to recede from the adoption of Senate Amendment 2 and that a conference committee be appointed. All those in favor, say Aye. All opposed, say Nay. The Ayes have it, in the opinion of the Chair. The motion carries. The Secretary shall so inform the House. House Bill 1523. Senator O'Malley. Out of the record. Wait! Senator O'Malley.

Thank you, Madam President and Members of the Senate. I -- I move to refuse to recede to Senate Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 1523 and request that a conference committee be appointed.

58th Legislative Day

May 25, 1995

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR GEO-KARIS)

Senator O'Malley moves that the Senate refuse to recede from the adoption of Senate Amendment 1 from House Bill 1523 and that a conference committee be appointed. All those in favor, say Aye. All opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it, in the opinion of the Chair. The motion carries, and the Secretary shall so inform the House. House Bill 1787. Senator Walsh? Out of the record. House Bill 2240. Senator Madigan? Senator Madigan.

SENATOR MADIGAN:

Oh! Thank you. I wasn't looking, Madam President. I apologize. I would move to refuse to recede from Senate Amendment No. 1 and request a conference committee on House Bill 2240.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR GEO-KARIS)

Senator Madigan moves that the Senate refuse to recede from the adoption of Senate Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 2240 and asks that a conference committee be appointed. All those in favor, say Aye. All opposed, say Nay. In the opinion of the Chair, the Ayes have it. The motion carries, and the Secretary shall so inform the House. House Bill 2403. Senator Rauschenberger. Out of the record. With leave of the House, we will return to House Bill 901. Senator Mahar.

SENATOR MAHAR:

Thank you, Madam President. I would move that we refuse to recede to -- to Senate Amendments No. 1 and 2 to House Bill 901 and that a conference committee be appointed.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR GEO-KARIS)

Senator Mahar moves that the Senate refuse to recede from the adoption of Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 901, and asks that a conference committee be appointed. All those in favor, say Aye. All opposed, Nay. In the opinion of the Chair, the Ayes have it. The motion carries, and the Secretary shall so inform the House. House Bill 160. Senator Clayborne. Madam Secretary,

58th Legislative Day

May 25, 1995

will you read the motion.

ACTING SECRETARY HAWKER:

I move to refuse to recede from Senate Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 160 and request that a conference committee be appointed.

Offered by Senator Clayborne.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR GEO-KARIS)

Senator Clayborne.

SENATOR CLAYBORNE:

Thank you, Madam President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. I would move to -- ask the Senate to move to refuse to recede and ask for a conference committee.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR GEO-KARIS)

Senator Clayborne moves that the -- that the Senate refuse to recede from Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 160 and that a conference committee be appointed. Those in favor, say Aye. All opposed, say Nay. The Ayes have it, in the opinion of the Chair. The motion carries, and the Secretary shall so inform the House. Resolutions, Madam Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Resolution 79, offered by Senators Berman and Carroll.

It is a death resolution.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR GEO-KARIS)

Consent Calendar. Senator Weaver, for what purpose do you rise, sir?

SENATOR WEAVER:

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR GEO-KARIS)

Senator Weaver moves that we stand in recess till 2 o'clock.

58th Legislative Day

May 25, 1995

Hearing no objection, we stand in recess till 2 o'clock.

(SENATE STANDS IN RECESS)

(SENATE RECONVENES)

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeANGELIS)

The Senate will please come to order. All those within hearing distance of my voice, please reconvene to the Floor. Messages from the House, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY HARRY:

Message from the House by Mr. McLennand, Clerk.

Mr. President - I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has refused to recede from their Amendment No. 1 to a bill of the following title, to wit:

Senate Bill 368.

I am further directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives requests a First Committee of Conference.

We have a like Message on Senate Bill 428, with House Amendment 3; Senate Bill 721, with House Amendments 4, 5, 11, 12, 13, 14 and 16.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEANGELIS)

Senator Madigan accedes to the request of the House that a conference committee be appointed on the bills that were just read. All those in favor, say Aye. Opposed, say Nay. The Ayes have it. The Secretary shall so inform the House.

SECRETARY HARRY:

Message from the House by Mr. McLennand, Clerk.

Mr. President - I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has refused to concur with the Senate

58th Legislative Day

May 25, 1995

in the adoption of their amendment to a bill of the following title, to wit:

House Bill 1108, with Senate Amendment 2.

We have a like Message on House Bill 1868, with Senate Amendment 1.

Both non-concurred in by the House, May 25th, 1995.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeANGELIS)

On Calendar No. 3 <sic> (2), there is House Bill 1787. Senator Walsh.

SENATOR WALSH:

Thank you, Mr. President. I would like to refuse to recede from Senate Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 1787 and ask for a conference committee.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeANGELIS)

Senator Walsh moves that the Senate refuse to recede from the adoption of Senate Amendment No. 1 on House Bill 1787 and that a conference committee be appointed. All those in favor, signify by saying Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it. For what purpose does Senator Donahue seek recognition?

SENATOR DONAHUE:

Thank you, Mr. President. I would like to request a Republican Caucus immediately in Senator Pate Philip's Office. Immediately.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEANGELIS)

Senate caucus -- Republican Caucus in Pate Philip's Office immediately. Senator Demuzio, for what reason do you seek recognition?

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

Just to ask how long, so everyone could know that that's in their offices, that's not here.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEANGELIS)

Approximately one hour, Senator Demuzio, or less. Senator

58th Legislative Day

May 25, 1995

Demuzio.

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

Well, we were told a half hour, so now it's an hour or less. So can we come to some idea?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEANGELIS)

Well, Senator Demuzio, a half hour is less than one hour, and I said one hour or less.

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

...could be forty-five minutes. Could be fifty-nine minutes. I don't know. I mean, look, we're very busy trying to construct a budget, and we have a lot of negotiations going on over here. And we -- we really want to know what -- you know, what time to come back, because it's important. We don't want to miss anything. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEANGELIS)

We'll try do it in a half hour. If not, it'll be no more than an hour. Senate stands in recess till the call of the Chair.

(SENATE STANDS IN RECESS)

(SENATE RECONVENES)

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR WEAVER)

The Senate will come to order. Committee Reports.

SECRETARY HARRY:

Senator Weaver, Chair of the Committee on Rules, reports the following Legislative Measures have been assigned to committees: Referred to the Committee on Commerce and Industry—the motion to concur with House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 906; and Be Approved for Consideration—the motion to recede from Senate Amendment 2 to House Bill 505, and the motion to concur

58th Legislative Day

May 25, 1995

with House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 772.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR WEAVER)

The Senate will stand in recess until the call of the Chair.

(SENATE STANDS IN RECESS)

(SENATE RECONVENES)

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeANGELIS)

Will all Members within hearing distance of my voice please come to the Floor of the Senate. I'd like to remind the Members of the Body that time is short. In all probability, we will be adjourning sometime tomorrow, hopefully. So, we're going to run through the Calendar once on page 7 and 8, for those who wish to non-concur on any of their bills. Now, is there anybody out there who has on the Concurrence Secretary's Desk, Senate Bills, who wants to file a motion to non-concur, starting with Senate Bill 100 and going down the line? This motion does not have to be in writing. You can advance on the Chair and make the motion. Are there any motions to non-concur, starting with Senate Bill 100 and going through to page 8? Last call. Let me remind you, if you wish to do so, please turn on your light. Resolutions, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY HARRY:

Senate Resolution 80.

It's a death resolution, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEANGELIS)

Consent Calendar.

SECRETARY HARRY:

Senate Resolution 81, offered by Senator Jacobs.

58th Legislative Day

May 25, 1995

And Senate Joint Resolution 150 <sic> (50), offered by Senator DeAngelis.

They're both substantive.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeANGELIS)

Introduction of Bills.

SECRETARY HARRY:

Senate Bill 1217, offered by Senator Cullerton.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

And Senate Bill 1218, by Senator Dillard.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

1st Reading of the bills.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEANGELIS)

For what purpose does Senator Klemm seek recognition?

SENATOR KLEMM:

Thank you, Mr. President. I'd like to -- I would like to non-concur on some House amendments. I was off the Floor when you made that announcement. I hope I am not tardy on that.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEANGELIS)

State your motion, Senator Klemm.

SENATOR KLEMM:

On Senate Bill 949, I wish to non-concur on House Amendments No. 1 and No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEANGELIS)

Senator Klemm -- on the Order of Non-concurrence, Senator Klemm wishes to non-concur in House Amendments 1 and 2 of Senate Bill 949. All in favor, say Aye. Opposed, say No. Ayes have it. The Secretary will so -- so inform the House. Senator Demuzio, for what purpose do you seek recognition?

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

A point of inquiry, if I might, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEANGELIS)

State your point.

58th Legislative Day

May 25, 1995

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

Tomorrow is the last scheduled date for adjournment and that means at some point then, we would have to pass a thirty-three-billion-dollar budget, and we are wondering, since we are conducting these negotiations over here, we'd -- we'd like to get some working papers from your side that gives us some idea where we agree and where we don't agree. And I was wondering when do you suppose that we will have something on the budget?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEANGELIS)

Senator Maitland.

SENATOR MAITLAND:

Thank you, Mr. President, Members of the Senate. Senator Demuzio, I'll check with the Chairman and get right back to you. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEANGELIS)

Senator Demuzio.

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

Well, it's thirty-three billion. You got thirty-three over there, so it's a billion apiece. You ought to have it all worked out by now. Well, I guess we'll wait.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeANGELIS)

A very gratuitous comment. You have in your possession Supplemental Calendar No. 3. And on the Order of Secretary's Desk, Non-concurrence, House Bills, we have House Bill 505. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY HARRY:

I move to recede from Senate Amendment 2 to House Bill 505. Filed by Senator Maitland.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeANGELIS)

Senator Maitland has moved that the Senate recede from House Amendment -- Senate -- Senator Maitland has moved that we recede from Senate Amendment No. 2 on House Bill 505. Any discussion? Senator Maitland.

58th Legislative Day

May 25, 1995

SENATOR MAITLAND:

Thank you very much, Mr. President and Members of the Senate. Senate Amendment No. 1 <sic> (2) to House Bill 505 was a -- an amendment that I put on this bill as a courtesy to a Member. The House objected. It's -- it's really no major issue, and therefor, I would move that the Senate recede from Senate Amendment 2 to House Bill 505.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeANGELIS)

This is final action. The question is, shall the Senate recede from Senate Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 505. Those in favor will vote Aye. The opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted — have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 58 Ayes, none voting Nay, none voting Present. The Senate recedes from Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 505, and the bill, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. House Bill 1108. Senator Raica. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary. Senator Raica.

SENATOR RAICA:

Thank you, Mr. President. At the appropriate time, I make a motion that a -- I refuse to recede from Amendment 2 and that a conference committee be appointed.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEANGELIS)

Senator Raica has refused to recede from Senate Amendment No. 2 on House Bill 1108 and has called for a committee on conference. All those in favor, signify by saying Aye. Opposed, say Nay. The Secretary will so inform the House. On the Order of Senate -- Secretary's Desk, Concurrence, is Senate Bill 772. Senator Philip. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY HARRY:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 772.

58th Legislative Day

May 25, 1995

Filed by Senator Philip.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEANGELIS)

Senator Philip.

SENATOR PHILIP:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. What this exactly does now, it does two things. It allows the county board only in DuPage County to accept or reject the DuPage County Airport's tax rate and the Department of Public Health's tax rate. In other words, they have to review it. Secondly, it allows the president of the county board, that if he sees something in the budget he does not like in either of those budgets, he can line item veto that out of their respective budgets. The county board, on the other hand, has -- has an opportunity to override his -- his line item veto, or his amendatory veto - like the Governor does. I concur with that, and be happy to answer any questions.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEANGELIS)

Senator Cullerton.

SENATOR CULLERTON:

Yes. Would the sponsor yield?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEANGELIS)

Sponsor says he will yield.

SENATOR CULLERTON:

Senator, what is the difference between the bill as we passed it out of the Senate the first time and what the House did?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEANGELIS)

Senator Philip.

SENATOR PHILIP:

Yeah. Before, it had a lot of other taxing bodies included that the county board appoints, like -- for instance, the fire protection districts. Had them included and had some of the other county departments included. All it has now is just the two

58th Legislative Day

May 25, 1995

separate taxing bodies, and that is the airport and the health department. Nobody else.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeANGELIS)

Any further discussion? Question is - and this is final action - shall the Senate concur with House Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 772. Those in favor will vote Aye. The opposed will vote Nay. And the voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 59, none voting Nay, none voting Present. The Senate does concur in House Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 772, and the bill, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. On Supplemental Calendar No. 3 is House Bill 1868. Senator Raica. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary. Senator Raica.

SENATOR RAICA:

Thank you, Mr. President. I refuse to recede from Amendment 1 and request a conference committee be appointed.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEANGELIS)

Senator Raica moves that the Senate refuse to recede from Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 1868 and has asked for a committee on conference. All those in favor, signify by saying Aye. Opposed, say Nay. The Ayes have it. The Secretary will please inform the House. For what reason does Senator Butler seek recognition?

SENATOR BUTLER:

Thank you, Mr. President. For purposes of an announcement. The Commerce and Industry Committee will meet at 6:30 p.m. in Room 400 to consider a -- a motion on Senate Bill 906. 6:30 p.m. in Room 400.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEANGELIS)

Any other announcements? On Supplemental Calendar No. 2 is House Bill 2403. Senator Rauschenberger. Read the bill, Mr.

58th Legislative Day

May 25, 1995

Secretary. Senator Rauschenberger. Senator Rauschenberger.

SENATOR RAUSCHENBERGER:

Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, I'd like to -- I would like to move to non-concur in House Bill -- I'd like to refuse to recede to the House -- or, in House amendment on House Bill -- in Senate amendment on House Bill -- Senate Amendment No. 1 on House Bill 2403.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeANGELIS)

Senator Rauschenberger has moved that the Senate refuse to recede from Senate No. 1 on House Bill 2403 and that a conference committee be approved <sic>. All those in favor, say Aye. Opposed, say Nay. The Ayes have it. The Secretary will so inform the House. Senator Rauschenberger, for what purpose do you seek recognition?

SENATOR RAUSCHENBERGER:

For purposes of an announcement.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEANGELIS)

State your announcement.

SENATOR RAUSCHENBERGER:

For the benefit of Members of both sides of the aisle regarding the impending amendment we think we'll have ready some time today, the hope is that we'll have a final draft in the hands of both sides of the aisle for analysis before midnight tonight, as soon as we possibly can. We would expect to have time to caucus on it, both sides, in the morning, and in midmorning or so, call a -- an Appropriation hearing on the amendment, with early afternoon action on the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeANGELIS)

Senator Cullerton, if you seek recognition, hit your light. Senator Demuzio.

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

I'd like to pose a question. Senator Rauschenberger, will it

58th Legislative Day

May 25, 1995

be one amendment you're indicating? Is it going to be all in one bill?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEANGELIS)

Senator Rauschenberger.

SENATOR RAUSCHENBERGER:

It was originally our hope to run the entire budget in four bills. There are some mechanical problems in the Chamber across the Rotunda. At the latest discussion we had with them, they are talking about agreeing to running it on two bills. So, it will probably be actually two amendments to two separate bills.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEANGELIS)

Senator Demuzio.

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

We will have both draft amendments this evening, hopefully before 12, so that we can analyze them. Is that correct?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEANGELIS)

Senator Rauschenberger.

SENATOR RAUSCHENBERGER:

Yes. We -- we hope you'll have it a few hours before 12, but drafting's kind of problematic. The Bureau of the Budget is actually doing the draft, and they appear to be somewhat slower than if we would use legislative staff to do that. The hope is that your staff will have time to work on it tonight so that all Members can read the analyses from their staff before they caucus, and we'll have as long a hearing as necessary tomorrow.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEANGELIS)

Senator Demuzio.

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

Will be -- will tomorrow be the proper time, Senator Rauschenberger, for Democratic amendments then to be filed?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEANGELIS)

Senator Rauschenberger.

58th Legislative Day

May 25, 1995

SENATOR RAUSCHENBERGER:

Senator Demuzio, as you might have heard, if you were listening to me fumbling through a non-concurrence or receding from an amendment, I think you ought to check with your staff for those questions 'cause I'm not capable of answering them.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeANGELIS)

Senator Demuzio.

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

So then, it is your intention then, however then, to move everything substantively, including the budget, tomorrow so that we may not have to be here then on Saturday. Is that -- is that correct?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeANGELIS)

Senator Rauschenberger.

SENATOR RAUSCHENBERGER:

It's my understanding from the President of the Senate, that he hopes that we can move the -- all of the budget plus the substantive Act, which would be a third bill, tomorrow and allow enough time for the House to act on the bills so that we all might adjourn in the late hours tomorrow. But I don't make any guarantees.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeANGELIS)

Senator Demuzio.

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

Then what about the budget implementation bill? Will we also have language on that this evening?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEANGELIS)

Senator Rauschenberger.

SENATOR RAUSCHENBERGER:

That's -- that's my understanding. That's mostly drafted, and we're going to try to make sure that it's correct and ready for you before midnight also.

58th Legislative Day

May 25, 1995

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEANGELIS)

For what purpose does Senator Donahue seek recognition? SENATOR DONAHUE:

Thank you, Mr. President. I would like to ask -- call for another Republican Caucus immediately in Pate Philip's Office and to indicate that the Senate will stand at ease until 9 o'clock.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEANGELIS)

Senator Demuzio.

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

I -- I heard the last part of that. We're going to be concluding our business. Are we going to do anything else this evening then? Are we just -- are we just now adjourning till 9 o'clock tomorrow morning?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEANGELIS)

No. We are -- Senator Donahue, would you please reiterate what you said?

SENATOR DONAHUE:

I will. It's 9 p.m. We are standing at ease until 9 p.m. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DeANGELIS)

Senator Demuzio.

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

Are we going to conduct any additional business then at 9 p.m. this evening? Is it -- is it my understanding now that we're going to be standing around for three hours and coming back into Session at 9 o'clock this evening to do further business? Is -- is that correct?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEANGELIS)

There is a possibility that that could occur. Yes, sir. But you don't have to be standing around for three hours. You can do what you want to do. Senate will stand at ease till 9 p.m. this evening.

58th Legislative Day

May 25, 1995

(SENATE STANDS AT EASE)

(SENATE RECONVENES)

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONAHUE)

The Senate will come to order. Messages from the House. SECRETARY HARRY:

Message from the House by Mr. McLennand, Clerk.

Mr. President - I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has refused to concur with the Senate in the adoption of their amendment to a bill of the following title, to wit:

House Bill 90, with Senate Amendment No. 1.

We have like Messages on House Bill 838, with Senate Amendment 1; House Bill 1437, with Senate Amendment 2; and House Bill 2177, with Senate Amendment 3.

All non-concurred in by the House, May 25th, 1995.

Message from the House by Mr. McLennand, Clerk.

Mr. President - I am directed to inform the Senate -- I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has refused to recede from their Amendment No. 1 to a bill of the following title, to wit:

Senate Bill 465.

I am further directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives requests a First Committee on Conference.

We have a like Message on Senate Bill 1039, with House Amendment 1; and Senate Bill 1140, with House Amendment 3. Action taken by the House, May 25th, 1995.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONAHUE)

With -- without objection, the Senate accedes to the request of the House for conference committees on those bills just read by

58th Legislative Day

May 25, 1995

the Secretary. Leave is granted. Committee Reports.

SECRETARY HARRY:

Senator Butler, Chair of the Committee on Commerce and Industry, reports Senate Bill 906 - the motion to concur with House Amendment 1 Be Adopted.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONAHUE)

We will be proceeding to Senate Calendar No. 4 and the first step will be Senator Walsh on Senate Bill 906. So please come to the Floor if you're within my voice. On the bottom of page 7 on your regular -- or middle of page 7 on the regular Calendar is Senate Bill 100. Senator Madigan. Madam Secretary. Okay. Senator Madigan.

SENATOR MADIGAN:

Thank you, Madam President. I would move to non-concur on House Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 100.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONAHUE)

Is there any discussion? Any discussion? Seeing none, Senator Madigan moves to non-concur in House Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 100. All those in favor, say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it. And the motion carries and the Secretary shall so inform the House. On Supplemental Calendar No. 4, at the top of the page, is Senate Bill 906. Madam Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY HAWKER:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 906.

Offered by Senator Walsh.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONAHUE)

Senator Walsh, on Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR WALSH:

Thank you, Madam President. I move to concur with House Amendment No. 1 on Senate Bill 906.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONAHUE)

58th Legislative Day

May 25, 1995

Is -- is there any discussion? Any discussion? Senator
Hawkinson.

SENATOR HAWKINSON:

Thank you, Madam President. Just to ask that the sponsors explain -- since this is final action, give a brief explanation of what the amendment does.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONAHUE)

Senator Walsh.

SENATOR WALSH:

Senate -- or, House Amendment No. 1 on Senate Bill 906 amends the Physician Assistants Practice Act to allow an individual with a -- an M.D. or equivalent degree who has additionally fulfilled all requirements to be certified as a physician assistant by the National Commission on the Certification of Physician Assistants to be licensed as a physician assistant in Illinois.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONAHUE)

Is there any discussion? Any discussion? Seeing none, this is -- Senator Palmer.

SENATOR PALMER:

Thank you, Madam President. A question of the sponsor.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONAHUE)

Indicates he'll yield, Senator Palmer.

SENATOR PALMER:

Senator Walsh, does this include physicians who were trained in other countries and those who have lost their licenses in this country?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONAHUE)

Senator Walsh.

SENATOR WALSH:

I'd ask for Senator Carroll to respond to that.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONAHUE)

Senator Carroll, to respond to Palmer's question.

58th Legislative Day

May 25, 1995

SENATOR CARROLL:

Why thank you, Madam President. As to the first part of your question, absolutely. It applies to those who have been trained as doctors, have a degree of an M.D., but have not yet been Board certified here in the United States. As to the second, I have no idea.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONAHUE)

Further discussion? Senator Berman.

SENATOR BERMAN:

Question of the sponsor.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONAHUE)

Indicates he'll yield, Senator Berman.

SENATOR BERMAN:

My analysis indicates that St. Francis Hospital is a proponent. Is that St. Francis of Evanston?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONAHUE)

Senator Walsh.

SENATOR WALSH:

Yes. it is. Senator.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONAHUE)

Further discussion? Further discussion? Seeing none, this is final action. And the question is, shall the Senate concur in House Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 906. Those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 57 Ayes, 1 Nay, none voting Present. The Senate does concur in House Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 906, and having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed.

END OF TAPE

58th Legislative Day

May 25, 1995

TAPE 2

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONAHUE)

On Secretary's Desk, Non-concurrence, House Bills, is House Bill 90. Senator Karpiel. Senator Karpiel. Out of the record. Senator Cronin, on House Bill 838. Senator Cronin.

SENATOR CRONIN:

Thank you, Madam Speaker. I move to refuse to recede from Senate Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 838.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONAHUE)

Senator Cronin moves the Senate refuse to recede from the adoption of Senate Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 838 and that conference committee be adopted <sic>. All those in favor, say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it. Motion carries, and the Secretary shall so inform the House. Senator Petka, on House Bill 1437. Senator Petka.

SENATOR PETKA:

Thank you, Madam President. I move that -- that we refuse to recede from House -- on House Bill 1437, Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONAHUE)

Any discussion? Any discussion? Seeing none, Senator Petka moves that the Senate refuse to recede from the adoption of Senate Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 1437 and that a conference committee be adopted <sic>. All those in favor, say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it. The motion carries, and the Secretary shall so inform the House. Senator Madigan, on House Bill 2177? Senator Madigan, on House Bill 2177. Out of the record. Senator Philip, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR PHILIP:

Thank you, Madam President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. If I could have your attention, a point of personal

58th Legislative Day

May 25, 1995

privilege and try to bring you up to date where we are and let you know, hopefully, what's going on. I think the Senate will stand at ease until the House adjourns. We will -- we'll take all their paperwork. We won't conduct any business, so everybody can leave. We will come in in the morning at 9 o'clock. There will be an 8:30 Rules Committee. I'm assuming the House tonight will pass some of the budget things. We'll have a budget hearing tomorrow morning sometime, and hopefully we'll do the budget, workmen's comp and some of those other things that you are so interested in. And hopefully we'll be able to get out of here sometime in mid-late afternoon. So, that's where we are.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONAHUE)

Senator Jones, for what purpose do you rise? SENATOR JONES:

Yeah. Thank you, Madam President. In response to Senator Philip, one of the things that we recognize that we -- we do recognize -- I know we've been standing at ease, basically, since 2 o'clock. And we still haven't seen any paperwork on a budget. And I recall the other day when we had this other bill that's back before us, this was the workmen's comp bill, I'm quite certain your side had an opportunity to review a budget. And as of yet, as of twenty minutes to 10, we still have not seen a budget where we can intelligently look at the paperwork. So perhaps, Senator Philip, could you tell us -- could you tell us about the paperwork as it relate to a budget, and when will that be available so my staff and the Members on this side of the aisle would have an opportunity to review it?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONAHUE)

Senator Philip.

SENATOR PHILIP:

Well, I assure you, Senator Jones, as soon as it's all put together and we have the document, we will let you look at it.

58th Legislative Day

May 25, 1995

And, you know what? If I thought you were going to vote for it...

And we know you're not. But we will get it to you as soon as the document is completed.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONAHUE)

Senator Jones.

SENATOR JONES:

Well -- and I learned from some of my downstate Republican friends, and they always told me, they said, "Senator, never buy a pig wrapped in the blanket." And so, I -- I don't think you would like for any Members on this side to vote for something that they have not seen or had any input into. I don't think we should do that, and I don't think you meant what you said. I know colleague, Senator Demuzio. indicated thirty-three-billion-dollar budget, SO perhaps with vour thirty-three Members on that side, you have a billion dollars each that you're arguing over. But -- only thing I'm saying is that it's not a question as to whether we will vote for; the question comes in whether or not we will have ample time to look at the budget, perhaps propose some amendments, and deal with it. That's all we're asking.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONAHUE)

Senator Rauschenberger.

SENATOR RAUSCHENBERGER:

Yeah. Thank you, Madam President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Senator Jones, for -- to kind of put your mind at ease, let me point a couple things out. Essentially, the budget we'll be dealing with tomorrow is -- is very, very similar to the one introduced by the Governor, that I'm sure your staff's probably had in their possession now for three or four months. The adjustments to the budget probably are in the range of about three hundred million dollars or as sometimes referred to as "small change" in a thirty-three-billion-dollar budget. As soon as we

58th Legislative Day

May 25, 1995

have drafting finished, we'll be sure and get you guys a copy. We're shooting for a little bit before midnight, but when it's ready, it's ready. Our Members haven't seen it either. You know how drafting goes. But I just wanted you to know that this is not a new budget or a pig in a poke. And another good piece of information for you: You're not going to have to work on a capital budget. You know, this -- we don't have any capital authorization, so the job for your staffers will be a little bit shorter as they work tonight. Thanks.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONAHUE)

Senator Jones.

SENATOR JONES:

Well, in response: I understand what you are saying; however, I did meet with the Governor. He made a personal call to me this past Monday, and since we didn't have a budget, I gave him a budget outline. I gave him a blueprint for a budget that was developed on this side. And so I don't know whether or not your budget will contain all the corporate welfare in it or not. We don't know, but we would like to have time to -- to look at that. So again, we appreciate if we do get the budget in time so we can deal with it on an intelligent basis.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONAHUE)

So as Senator Philip indicated, we will stand at ease. There will be no business conducted. We will be here simply to accept paper from the House. The Senate stands at ease.

(SENATE STANDS AT EASE)

(MIDNIGHT)

REPORT: TIFLDAY STATE OF ILLINOIS 96/07/30 PAGE: 001 89TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY 10:12:02

SENATE DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

MAY 25, 1995

4

HB-0122	REFUSE TO	0	RECEDE	PAGE	21
HB-0160	REFUSE TO	0	RECEDE	PAGE	24
HB-0270	REFUSE TO	0	RECEDE	PAGE	21
HB-0314	REFUSE TO	0	RECEDE	PAGE	22
HB-0505	RECEDE			PAGE	31
HB-0632	REFUSE TO	0	RECEDE	PAGE	22
HB-0838	REFUSE T	0	RECEDE	PAGE	43
HB-0901	REFUSE TO	0	RECEDE	PAGE	24
HB-1023	REFUSE TO	0	RECEDE	PAGE	23
HB-1108	REFUSE TO	0	RECEDE	PAGE	32
HB-1437	REFUSE TO	0	RECEDE	PAGE	43
HB-1470	REFUSE T	0	RECEDE	PAGE	23
HB-1523	REFUSE TO	0	RECEDE	PAGE	23
HB-1787	REFUSE TO	C	RECEDE	PAGE	27
HB-1868	REFUSE TO	0	RECEDE	PAGE	34
HB-2240	REFUSE TO	0	RECEDE	PAGE	24
HB-2403	REFUSE TO	0	RECEDE	PAGE	34
SB-0100	NON-CONC	UR	RENCE	PAGE	40
SB-0274	CONCURRE	NC	Ε	PAGE	14
SB-0540	CONCURRE	NC	E	PAGE	2
	CONCURRE			PAGE	19
SB-0772	CONCURRE	NC	Е	PAGE	32
	CONCURRE		_	PAGE	40
	NON-CONC			PAGE	30
	NON-CONC			PAGE	3
	FIRST RE			PAGE	30
	FIRST RE	ΑD	ING	PAGE	30
-	ADOPTED			PAGE	4
	RESOLUTION			PAGE	25
	RESOLUTION			PAGE	29
	RESOLUTION			PAGE	29
SJR-0050	RESOLUTIO	NC	OFFERED	PAGE	30

SUBJECT MATTER

SENATE TO ORDER-SENATOR GEO-KARIS	PAGE	1
PRAYER-THE REVEREND TONY ALLEN	PAGE	1
PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE	PAGE	1
JOURNALS-POSTPONED	PAGE	1
MESSAGES FROM THE HOUSE	PAGE	1
COMMITTEE REPORTS	PAGE	2
SENATE STANDS IN RECESS	PAGE	26
SENATE RECONVENES	PAGE	26
MESSAGES FROM THE HOUSE	PAGE	26
SENATE STANDS IN RECESS	PAGE	28
SENATE RECONVENES	PAGE	28
COMMITTEE REPORTS	PAGE	28
SENATE STANDS IN RECESS	PAGE	29
SENATE RECONVENES	PAGE	29
SENATE STANDS AT EASE	PAGE	39
SENATE RECONVENES	PAGE	39
MESSAGES FROM THE HOUSE	PAGE	39
COMMITTEE REPORTS	PAGE	40
SENATE STANDS AT EASE	PAGE	46
MIDNIGHT	PAGE	46