<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bill Number</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HB0062</td>
<td>Second Reading</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HB0092</td>
<td>Recalled</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HB0092</td>
<td>Third Reading</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HB0097</td>
<td>First Reading</td>
<td>135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HB0163</td>
<td>Second Reading</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HB0823</td>
<td>Out Of Record</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HB0823</td>
<td>Third Reading</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HB0833</td>
<td>Second Reading</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HB0925</td>
<td>Recalled</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HB0925</td>
<td>Third Reading</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HB1637</td>
<td>Third Reading</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HB1637</td>
<td>Vote Intention</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HB2045</td>
<td>Third Reading</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HB2134</td>
<td>Third Reading</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HB2304</td>
<td>Recalled</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HB2304</td>
<td>Third Reading</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HB2408</td>
<td>Third Reading</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HB2502</td>
<td>Third Reading</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HB2540</td>
<td>Third Reading</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HB2627</td>
<td>Recalled</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HB2627</td>
<td>Third Reading</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HB2823</td>
<td>Third Reading</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HB2841</td>
<td>Third Reading</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HB2841</td>
<td>Vote Intention</td>
<td>109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HB2856</td>
<td>Third Reading</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HB2862</td>
<td>Recalled</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HB2862</td>
<td>Third Reading</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HB2992</td>
<td>Third Reading</td>
<td>108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HB3061</td>
<td>Third Reading</td>
<td>109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HB3084</td>
<td>Third Reading</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HB3096</td>
<td>Out Of Record</td>
<td>112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HB3096</td>
<td>Third Reading</td>
<td>111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HB3151</td>
<td>Third Reading</td>
<td>112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HB3233</td>
<td>Second Reading</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HB3299</td>
<td>Third Reading</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HB3394</td>
<td>Third Reading</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HB3424</td>
<td>Out Of Record</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HB3424</td>
<td>Third Reading</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HB3623</td>
<td>Recalled</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HB3623</td>
<td>Third Reading</td>
<td>126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HB3631</td>
<td>Third Reading</td>
<td>127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bill</td>
<td>Action</td>
<td>Number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HB3676</td>
<td>Third Reading</td>
<td>131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SB0090</td>
<td>Concurrence</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SB0104</td>
<td>Concurrence</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SB0111</td>
<td>Concurrence</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SB0131</td>
<td>Concurrence</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SB0162</td>
<td>Concurrence</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SB0220</td>
<td>Concurrence</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SB0241</td>
<td>Concurrence</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SB0416</td>
<td>Concurrence</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SB0456</td>
<td>Concurrence</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SB0527</td>
<td>Concurrence</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SB0658</td>
<td>Concurrence</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SB1127</td>
<td>Concurrence</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SB1134</td>
<td>Concurrence</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SB1214</td>
<td>Concurrence</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SB1236</td>
<td>Concurrence</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SB1244</td>
<td>Concurrence</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SB1257</td>
<td>Concurrence</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SB1321</td>
<td>Concurrence</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SB1377</td>
<td>Concurrence</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SB1456</td>
<td>Concurrence</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SB1609</td>
<td>Concurrence</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SB1669</td>
<td>Concurrence</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SB1684</td>
<td>Concurrence</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SB1739</td>
<td>Concurrence</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SB1852</td>
<td>Concurrence</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SB1854</td>
<td>Concurrence</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SB1862</td>
<td>Concurrence</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SB1899</td>
<td>Concurrence</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SB1901</td>
<td>Concurrence</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SB1918</td>
<td>Concurrence</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SB1934</td>
<td>Concurrence</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SB2027</td>
<td>Concurrence</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SB2038</td>
<td>Concurrence</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SB2085</td>
<td>Concurrence</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SB2096</td>
<td>Concurrence</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SB2120</td>
<td>Concurrence</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SB2261</td>
<td>First Reading</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SR0467</td>
<td>Resolution Offered</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SR0468</td>
<td>Resolution Offered</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SR0469</td>
<td>Resolution Offered</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SR0470</td>
<td>Resolution Offered</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SR0471  Resolution Offered  2
SR0472  Resolution Offered  2
SR0473  Resolution Offered  2
SR0474  Resolution Offered  17
SR0475  Resolution Offered  35
SR0476  Resolution Offered  35
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The regular Session of the 101st General Assembly will please come to order. Will the Members please be at their desk? Will our guests in the galleries please rise? Invocation today will be by Pastor Greg Busboom, the St. John's Lutheran Church, Springfield, Illinois. Pastor Greg.

(Pastor Greg Busboom: Prayer by Pastor Greg Busboom)

Senator Cunningham. Please remain standing for the Pledge of Allegiance. Senator Cunningham.

Senator Cunningham: (Pledge of Allegiance, led by Senator Cunningham)

Patrick Keating, WLS-TV, requests permission to record audio and video. Leave is granted. Lisa Yuscius, Blueroomstream.com, requests permission to videotape. Leave is granted. Gabrielle Franklin, WCIA-TV, requests permission to video record Session, May 30th. Leave is granted. Mr. Secretary, Reading and Approval of the Journal.

Senator Hunter: Madam President, I move to postpone the reading and approval of the Journal just read by the Secretary, pending arrival of the printed transcript.
Thank you, Senator. Senator Hunter moves to approve the Journals just read by the Secretary. There being no objection, so ordered. Senator Hunter moves to postpone the reading and approval of the Journal, pending arrival of the printed transcripts. There being no objection, so ordered. Mr. Secretary, Resolutions.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Resolutions 467 through 470, offered by Senator Anderson and all Members.

Senate Resolution 471, offered by Senator Bennett and all Members.

Senate Resolution 472, offered by Senator Jones and all Members.

They are all death resolutions, Madam President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Resolution Consent Calendar.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Resolution 473, offered by Senator Jones.

It is substantive.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Mr. Secretary, Committee Reports.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senator Bennett, Chairperson of the Committee on Agriculture, reports Motions to Concur - House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 131 and House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill to 241, Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 3623 Recommend Do Adopt.

Senator Bertino-Tarrant, Chairperson of the Committee on Education, reports Motions to Concur - House Amendment 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 456, House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1213, House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1901, and House Amendments 1 and 3 to
-- Senate Bill 2096, Senate Amendment 2 to House Bill 2627 Recommend Do Adopt.

Senator Van Pelt, Chairperson of the Committee on Public Health, reports Motions to Concur - House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 25, House Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 1214, and House Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 1739 Recommend Do Adopt.

Senator Castro, Chairperson of the Committee on Veterans Affairs, reports Motion to Concur - House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1127 Recommend Do Adopt.

Senator Sims, Chairperson of the Committee on Criminal Law, reports Motion to Concur - House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1609 Recommend Do Adopt.

Senator Castro, (Vice) Chairperson of the Committee on Revenue, reports Motion to Concur - House Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 527, House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1257, and House Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 1456 Recommend Do Adopt.

Senator Harris, Chairperson of the Committee on Insurance, reports Motions to Concur - House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 111, House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 162, House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1377, and House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 2085 Recommend Do Adopt.

Senator Jones, Chairperson of the Committee on Licensed Activities, reports Motions to Concur - House Amendments 1 and 2 -- 1, 2, and 3 to Senate Bill 658 and House Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 1684 Recommend Do Adopt.

Senator Landek, Chairperson of the Committee on State Government, reports Senate Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1061, Motion to Concur on House Amendment 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 1918, House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 2027, and House Amendment 2 to Senate
Bill 2120 Recommend Do Adopt.

Senator Hastings, Chairperson of the Committee on Executive, reports Motion to Concur - House Amendment 1 and 2 to Senate Bill -- excuse me, House Amendments 1, 2, and 3 to Senate Bill 1236, House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 12 -- 1669, House Amendment 1 to -- Senate Bill 1758, and House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1899 Recommend Do Adopt.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Mr. Secretary, Appointment Messages. Mr. Secretary, Messages from the House.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

A Message from the House by Mr. Hollman, Clerk.

Mr. President - I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has concurred with the Senate in the passage of a bill of the following title, to wit:

   Senate Bill 220.

Together with the following amendments which are attached, in the adoption of which I am instructed to ask the concurrence of the Senate, to wit:

   House Amendments 2 and 4 to Senate Bill 220.

We have received like Messages on Senate Bill 416, with House Amendment 2; Senate Bill 1221, with House Amendment 2; Senate Bill 1244, with House Amendment 1; Senate Bill 1321, with House Amendments 1 and 2; Senate Bill 1934, with House Amendment 1. Passed the House, as amended, May 30th, 2019. John W. Hollman, Clerk of the House.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, please turn your Calendars on the Order of 2nd Reading, page 11. On the Order of 2nd Readings,
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page 11, House Bill 833. Senator Ellman. The lady indicates she wishes to proceed. Please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 833.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee or Floor amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

3rd Reading. The Senate will stand at ease for a few minutes to allow the Committee on Assignments to meet. The Members of the Committee on Assignments will come to the President's Anteroom immediately. The Senate will stand at ease. Senator Koehler in the Chair.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Senator McClure, for what purpose do you seek recognition?

SENATOR McCCLURE:

Thank you, Mr. President. Today, I am honored to have with me Greg Zellers. He's a junior at New Berlin High School and he is the 2019 IHSA Class 1A Track and Field Shot Put State Champion with a throw of fifty-five feet and nine and a quarter inches. He also got the season's best 1A shot put in the whole State at fifty-five feet eight and a half inches at the Capitol Area Classic on May 13th in Chatham. He is also joined by his dad, who is in the President's Gallery, and the New Berlin High School Athletic Director. So can we please give a warm welcome to the State Champion?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Welcome to the Illinois Senate. Senator Weaver, for what purpose do you seek recognition?
SENATOR WEAVER:

Point of personal privilege, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

State your point.

SENATOR WEAVER:

I'm honored to have with me today as my Page for a Day, Joey McCoy. He's a sixteen-year-old from Washington High School, just finished his sophomore year. He plays tennis and soccer, and a smart young man, participates in the Scholastic Bowl. His favorite club is the Washington Leadership (&) Community Service, but he plans to be a surgeon. I've asked him questions; he is smart enough to be a surgeon. So please welcome him to the State Capitol and Springfield, Illinois.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Welcome to the Illinois Senate. Senator Oberweis, for what purpose do you seek recognition?

SENATOR OBERWEIS:

Thank you, Mr. President. I -- a point of personal -- I'd like to introduce the best wife in the entire world. My wife Julie is here with us today and tomorrow.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Welcome to the Illinois Senate. Senator Hutchinson, for what purpose do you seek recognition?

SENATOR HUTCHINSON:

Point of personal privilege, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Please state your point.

SENATOR HUTCHINSON:

Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, I have a very special
guest. This is her first time on the Senate Floor. But this is the daughter of a former Senate staffer and our former Chair -- or former Executive Director of the Legislative Black Caucus Foundation, Larry Luster. This is Olivia Grace Luster and her first visit to the Senate Floor. And she has her own little badge and she's been saying hello to everybody. So please give her a warm happy welcome. This is a happy baby.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Welcome to the Illinois Senate. Leader Lightford in the Chair.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

The Senate will come to order. Mr. Secretary, Committee Reports.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senator Lightford, Chairperson of the Committee on Assignments, reports the following Legislative Measures have been assigned: Refer to Criminal Law Committee - Motion to Concur with House Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 416; refer to Human Services Committee - Motion to Concur with House Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 1321; refer to Judiciary Committee - House Bill 2838, Motion to Concur with House Amendments {sic} 2 to Senate Bill 220; refer to Licensed Activities Committee - Motion to Concur with House Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 1221; refer to State Government Committee - House Joint Resolutions 76, 78, and 21, Senate Joint Resolutions 6 and 9, and a Motion to Concur with House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1244; refer to Transportation Committee - Motion to Concur with House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1934; Be Approved for Consideration - House Bills 62 and 163, and Floor Amendment 1 to Senate Joint Resolution 43.
Signed, Senator Kimberly Lightford, Chairperson.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, please turn your Calendars to page 12 on the Order of Secretary's Desk, House Joint Resolutions - House Joint Resolutions, Secretary (sic) Desk, on page 12. Senator Morrison, on House Joint Resolution 17. The lady indicates she wishes to proceed. Mr. Secretary, please read the resolution.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Joint Resolution 17, offered by Senator Morrison.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Morrison.

SENATOR MORRISON:

Thank you, Madam President. House Joint Resolution 17 designates the section of I-294 between markers 49.25 and 50 as the "ISP Trooper Christopher Lambert Memorial Highway".

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Excuse me. Oh, that was perfect timing. Please lower your voices. The resolutions is (sic) related to fallen troopers, and I would appreciate if Members could be quiet and at their desks. Thank you. Senator Morrison.

SENATOR MORRISON:

Thank you, Madam President. This was a constituent of mine. This trooper was killed while assisting a motorist on the side of the Tollway. And I ask that the appropriate plaques or signs giving notice of this name be installed.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Thank you, Senator. Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Joint Resolution 17 pass. All those
in favor will say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it, and the resolution is adopted. As this resolution requires the expenditure of State funds, a roll call vote will be required. The question is, shall House Joint Resolution 17 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 57 voting Yea, 0 voting Nay, 0 voting Present. House Joint Resolution 17 -- received the required constitutional majority, is declared adopted. Senator Stewart, on House Joint Resolution 58. Senator Stewart indicates he wishes to proceed. Mr. Secretary, please read the gentleman's resolution.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Joint Resolution 58, offered by Senator Stewart.

PRESIDING OFFICER:  (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Stewart.

SENATOR STEWART:

Thank you, Madam President, Members of the Senate. House Joint Resolution 58 designates the Springfield Road overpass on U.S. Route 20 in Stephenson County as the Trooper Brooke Jones-Story Memorial Overpass (sic) (Highway) to commemorate Trooper Jones-Story's service as a State trooper and to the State and -- and her untimely death in the line of duty on March 28th, 2019. I would ask -- also ask for leave of the Body to have all Members of the Senate added to this resolution.

PRESIDING OFFICER:  (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Thank you, Senator. Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is -- as this resolution requires the expenditure of State funds, a roll call vote will be required. The question is,
shall House Joint Resolution 58 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 58 voting Yea, 0 voting Nay, 0 voting Present. House Joint Resolution 58, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared adopted. Senator Bush, on the House Joint Resolution 59. The lady indicates she wishes to proceed. Mr. Secretary, please read the resolution.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Joint Resolution 59, offered by Senator Bush.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Bush.

SENATOR BUSH:

Thank you, Madam President. You may remember, maybe about two weeks ago, Stacy was here and we had a moment of silence for her husband who died in -- in the line of duty, certainly, as a -- as a trooper. So this resolution designates the section of road on Interstate 94 from mile marker 16.5 to mile marker 17 as the "Trooper Gerald W. Ellis Memorial Highway". It's highly fitting that the Illinois General Assembly pay and honors respect to those individuals who have given their lives to the service of their communities. On March 30th, 2019, Illinois State Trooper Gerald "Jerry" Wayne Ellis was on duty in his squad care -- car, excuse me, traveling home on Interstate 94 westbound near milepost 16.75 in Green Oaks when a wrong-way driver, who was traveling eastbound in the westbound lanes, struck him head on. Trooper Ellis was an eleven-year veteran of the Illinois State Police District 15 in Downers Grove. He was born in Macomb on January 10th, 1983. He served in the U.S. Army and lived in Antioch with his family. I
would ask for your support. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Thank you, Senator. As this -- as this expenditure -- this resolution requires the expenditures of State funds, a roll call vote will be required. The question is, shall House Joint Resolution 59 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 59 voting Yea, 0 voting Nay, 0 voting Present. House Joint Resolution 59, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed -- is declared adopted, excuse me. With leave of the Body, we will add every Member to the resolutions that were just adopted. Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, we will go to the Order of House Bills 3rd Reading - House Bills 3rd Reading on page 5, the top of the Calendar. House Bills 3rd Reading, beginning with House Bill 92. Senator Barickman. The gentleman indicates he wishes to proceed. He seeks leave of the Body to return House Bill 92 to the Order of 2nd Reading. Leave is granted. On the Order of 2nd Reading is House Bill 92. Mr. Secretary, are there any Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Floor Amendment No. 3, offered by Senator Barickman.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Barickman, on Amendment No. 3, please.

SENATOR BARICKMAN:

Thank -- thank you, Madam President. Amendment 3 becomes the bill and I'll explain it on 3rd.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)
Thank you, Senator. Is there any discussion? Seeing none, all those in favor will say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Are there any further Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

No further amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

3rd Reading. Now on the Order of 3rd Reading is House Bill 92. Mr. Secretary, please read the gentleman's bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 92.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Barickman.

SENATOR BARICKMAN:

Thank you, Madam President. As amended, House Bill 92 makes some specific changes that I'll outline in a second. I'm glad to answer questions. I will tell you that this bill has seen a lot of work. We worked with the -- those in law enforcement, those with sexual assault services. Specifically, want to thank Senator Sims for his work on this bill and -- and your staff on your side of the aisle for this. This legislation prohibits police in certain circumstances from arresting a person on a warrant for a nonviolent offense if that person is reporting a sexual assault or seeking emergency medical treatment or forensic services for sexual assault. With the amendment, there's no opposition to the bill. If I can explain it further, I'm glad to, but I'd ask for an Aye vote.
PRESIDING OFFICER:  (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Is there any discussion?  Any discussion?  Seeing none, the question is, shall House Bill 92 pass.  All those in favor, vote Aye.  Opposed, Nay.  The voting is open.  Have all voted who wish?  Have all voted who wish?  Have all voted who wish?  Take the record.  On that question, there are 55 voting Yea, 0 voting Nay, 0 voting Present.  House Bill 92, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed.  House Bill 142.  Senator -- 188.  Senator Muñoz, on House Bill 188.  Senator Munoz.  Out of the record.  Senator T. Cullerton, on House Bill 823.  Senator T. Cullerton.  Out of the -- the gentleman indicates he wishes to proceed.  Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 823.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER:  (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Out of the record.  Senator Bush, on House Bill 925.  Senator Bush, on House Bill 925.  The lady indicates she seeks leave of the Body to return House Bill 925 to the Order of 2nd Reading.  Leave is granted.  On the Order of 2nd Reading is House Bill 925.  Mr. Secretary, are there any Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Floor Amendment No. 2, offered by Senator Bush.

PRESIDING OFFICER:  (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Bush, on Floor Amendment No. 2.

SENATOR BUSH:

Thank you, Madam President.  I would just ask that it be
adopted and I will explain the bill on 3rd.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, all those in favor will say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Are there any further Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Floor Amendment No. 3, offered by Senator Bush.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Bush, on Floor Amendment No. 3.

SENATOR BUSH:

Thank you. I would ask for its adoption and, again, explain the bill on 3rd.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, all those in favor will say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Are there any further Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

No further amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)


SENATOR BUSH:

Thank you, Madam President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 925.
(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Bush.

SENATOR BUSH:

Thank you again, Madam President. This is an initiative of the Illinois Manufactured Housing Association and the Department of Public Health. So basically what this does is the Department would like to increase various motor home park fees since the fees have not been increased since 1984. The Department chose to increase each fee to the amounts that are included. I know of no opposition. These are for new mobile parks, if they are built. And I would ask for an Aye vote. Happy to answer any questions.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Thank you. Is there any discussion? Senator McGuire, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR MCGUIRE:

Madam President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, I move to waive all notice and posting requirements -- oh, I'm sorry.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Rezin, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR REZIN:

Thank you, Madam President. To the bill, please.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

To the bill, Senator.

SENATOR REZIN:

Thank you. For everybody on this side, this was a negotiated bill. Senator Holmes did work very closely with the Mobile Home Association. However, just to put every -- on everyone's radar
over here, it was a negotiated fee increase as well. But I do appreciate your work on this. We've seen it a couple times in committee. And I ask for Aye vote. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Is there any further discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Bill 925 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On the question, there are 52 voting Yea, 0 voting Nay, 0 voting Present. House Bill 925, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Mr. Secretary, Committee Reports.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Madam President, I have a correction to Committee -- Assignments Report No. 1. Refer to Licensed Activities Committee should be Motion to Concur with House Amendments 2 and 4 to Senate Bill 1221.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Record will reflect. Senator McGuire, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR McGUIRE:

Madam President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, thank you for the second chance. I move to waive all notice and posting requirements so that Senate Joint Resolution 6, Senate Joint Resolution 9, House Joint Resolution 76, -- Joint -- House Joint Resolution 78, and House Joint Resolution 21 can be heard today at 2:15 in the Senate State Government Committee.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Thank you, Senator. Mr. Secretary, Resolutions. Mr.
Secretary, Resolutions.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Resolution 474, offered by Senator Harmon and all Members.

It's a death resolution, Madam President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Resolution Consent Calendar. Mr. Secretary, Messages from the House.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

A Message from the House by Mr. Hollman, Clerk.

Mr. President - I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has concurred with the Senate in the passage of a bill of the following title, to wit:

Senate Bill 651.

Together with the following amendments which are attached, in the adoption of which I am instructed to ask the concurrence of the Senate, to wit:

House Amendments 1 and 3 to Senate Bill 651.

We have received like Messages on Senate Bill 1418, with House Amendments 2 and 3; Senate Bill 1464, with House Amendment 2; Senate Bill 1507, with House Amendments 1 and 2; Senate Bill 1573, with House Amendments 1 and 2; Senate Bill 1813, with House Amendment 1; Senate Bill 1854, with House Amendment 3; and Senate Bill 2128, with House Amendment 1. Passed the House, as amended, May 30th, 2019. John W. Hollman, Clerk of the House.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Steans, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR STEANS:

For purpose of an introduction.
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)
Please state your introduction, Senator.

SENATOR STEANS:
So we all remember former Representative Harry Osterman, now one of my aldermen. His son, Harry Osterman, is here with Hawthorne School, back over there in the gallery. Welcome, Hawthorne School. So great to have you guys here. Please give them a warm welcome.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)
Please rise. Please rise and be welcomed to the Illinois General Assembly. Continuing on with House Bills 3rd Reading on the -- page 6 of your Calendars. House Bills 3rd Reading. House Bill 1637. The gentleman indicates he -- wishes to proceed. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:
House Bill 1637.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)
Senator Harmon.

SENATOR HARMON:
Thank you, Madam President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. House Bill 1637 is the Keep Illinois Families Together Act. I will admit it is far more modest than we had initially intended it to be, but it is critically important nonetheless. All the bill does is preclude local law enforcement from entering into what are known as 287(g) agreements with Immigration and Customs Enforcement. These are agreements which would essentially deputize local law enforcement as ICE agents, stopping people on
the street, asking them for their papers, doing the federal government's job of enforcing immigration laws. The bill -- there -- there are no 287(g) agreements in place in the State of Illinois today, so we would not be upending them. It is a matter of public policy that we would adopt this and ask local law enforcement to focus on doing their jobs, protecting our streets, enforcing local laws. It does not stop police from doing anything that they are doing today. It does not preclude them from cooperating with the federal government under certain circumstances in enforcing warrants and the like. Some have asked whether or not this is already covered by the TRUST Act that we adopted last year. While it certainly would have been intended to, there is a risk that these 287(g) agreements would provide an end run around the -- the TRUST Act. So I hope I've laid out the -- the -- the parameters that people are concerned about, but I'm happy to answer your questions.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Thank you, Senator. Is there any discussion? Senator Righter, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR RIGHTER:

Will the sponsor yield, please, Madam President?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

The sponsor indicates he will. He will yield, Senator. Senator Righter.

SENATOR RIGHTER:

Thank you very much, Madam President. Senator Harmon, I want to ask a question that was at issue yesterday in committee, and that is, is there anything in this bill that would prohibit local law enforcement agencies from -- if they have excess capacity in
their jails, from contracting with the federal government for purposes of housing people who are in the country illegally?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Harmon.

SENATOR HARMON:

Thank you, Madam President, and thank you, Senator Righter, for that question. As the expert testifying in committee said yesterday, no. The 287(g) agreements are relating to the -- the enforcement and the -- the -- the -- the excess capacity in the jail would not be impacted, according to the testimony from the expert in committee yesterday.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Righter.

SENATOR RIGHTER:

Thank you, Madam President. And I agree that was the testimony. If there is litigation, obviously one of the things the court's going to look at is perhaps not only what's in the bill, but the sponsor's intent. So I'm going to ask you directly: Is it your intent that those arrangements would still be allowed, even with passage of this into law?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Harmon.

SENATOR HARMON:

Thank you, Madam President. Thank you, Senator. My intent is to preclude local law enforcement from entering into 287(g) agreements and thereby becoming ICE agents on the streets of our communities. I believe the expert who testified, who said the -- the -- the jail arrangements would not be implicated by the bill.
Senator Righter.

SENATOR RIGHTER:

To the bill, if I might, Madam President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

To the bill, Senator.

SENATOR RIGHTER:

Thank you very much, Madam President. And thank you, Senator Harmon. Now that you gave me the answer I wanted, I'm going to politely as possible argue against your bill. I -- for those of you who recall last year, this Body passed, and ultimately it was signed into law, a bill known as the TRUST Act, which said that the State Police and local law enforcement were not allowed to cooperate actively with federal immigration officials. I think the only exception was -- there was if there happened to be an -- an arrest warrant in possession of -- of law enforcement. As the Senator said, this would prohibit local law enforcement from engaging in a certain type of agreement, of which there are none right now. So the question is -- I think this is in anticipation that the federal government may pursue such agreements with local law enforcement. As someone who believes that law enforcement should work together in a whole host of areas, including -- including -- immigration enforcement as necessary, I respectfully rise and oppose the bill. Thank you very much, Madam President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Further discussion? Seeing none, Senator Harmon, to close.

SENATOR HARMON:

Thank you, Madam President. I appreciate the conversation with Senator Righter. I do want to emphasize the -- the one point though -- there are no such agreements in place, and that's key.
ICE is aggressively encouraging these sorts of agreements, and the concern certainly is, once they are in place, we would not be able to avoid them legislatively. As a matter of public policy, we would like our local law enforcement officers to do their jobs, to patrol our streets, to protect us in our communities, not to be distracted by doing someone else's job - in this case, ICE. This is something that we should make a priority for our local police officers, to support our local police forces and ask them to do the jobs that we are paying them to do and not become junior deputy ICE agents. I ask for your Aye votes.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

The question is, shall House Bill 1637 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 35 voting Yea, 19 voting Nay, 0 voting Present. House Bill 1637, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Mr. Secretary, Committee Reports.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Madam President, further correction to Assignments Report No. 1. Senator Lightford, Chairperson of the Committee on Assignments, reports the following Legislative Measures have been assigned: Refer to Criminal Law Committee - Motion to Concur with House Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 416; refer to Human Services Committee - Motion to Concur with House Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 1321; refer to Judiciary Committee - House Bill 2838 and a Motion to Concur with House Amendments 2 and 4 to Senate Bill 220; refer to Licensed Activities Committee - Motion to Concur with House Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 1221; refer to State Government
Committee - House Joint Resolutions 76, 78, and 21, Senate Joint Resolutions 6 and 9, Motion to Concur with House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1244; refer to Transportation Committee - Motion to Concur - House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1934; and Be Approved for Consideration - House Bills 62 and 163, and Floor Amendment 1 to Senate Joint Resolution 43.
Signed, Senator Kimberly Lightford, Chairperson.

PRESIDING OFFICER:  (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)
Senator Muñoz, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR MUÑOZ:
Point of personal privilege, Madam President.

PRESIDING OFFICER:  (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)
Please state your privilege point, Senator.

SENATOR MUÑOZ:
I was wanting to vote Aye on the last vote. I didn't get to hit my Yes button in time. If the record can reflect, I'd appreciate that.

PRESIDING OFFICER:  (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)
Record will reflect...

SENATOR MUÑOZ:
House Bill 1637.

PRESIDING OFFICER:  (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)
Thank you, Senator. The record will reflect your intentions.

Moving on to House Bill 2045 on the Order of 3rd Reading. Senator Sims. The gentleman indicates he wishes to proceed. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:
House Bill 2045.

(Secretary reads title of bill)
3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER:  (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Sims.

SENATOR SIMS:

Thank you, Madam President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. House Bill 2045 should be fairly familiar to this Body, as it has been passed previously. The bill prohibits the Department of Corrections and Department of Juvenile Justice from requiring a committed person to pay any copayment for medical or dental services. I know of no opposition, will answer any questions, and ask for a favorable roll call.

PRESIDING OFFICER:  (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Thank you, Senator. Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Bill 2045 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 45 voting Yea, 12 voting Nay, 0 voting Present. House Bill 2045, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senator Koehler, on House Bill 2074. Out of the record. Senator Harmon, on House Bill 2134. The gentleman indicates he wish to proceed. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 2134.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER:  (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Harmon.

SENATOR HARMON:
Thank you, Madam President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. House Bill 2134 amends the Freedom From Location Surveillance Act. Current law prevents law enforcement from obtaining current or future location information without a court order. There was a U.S. Supreme Court case in 2018, Carpenter against U.S., that found that historical cell phone data was also protected. This merely amends the Act to conform to the court's decision. I'm not aware of any opposition and I ask for your Aye votes.

PRESIDING OFFICER:  (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Bill 2134 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 59 voting Yea, 0 voting Nay, 0 voting Present. House Bill 2134, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senator Sandoval, on House Bill 2182. Senator Sandoval. Out of the record. Ladies and Gentlemen, please turn your Calendars to page 10. With leave of the Body, we will go to page 10, House Bill 3394. Senator Belt. The gentleman indicates he wishes to proceed. Please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 3394.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER:  (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Belt.

SENATOR BELT:

Thank you, Madam Speaker -- Madam President, I'm sorry.
Senate Committee Amendment No. 2 deletes all and becomes the bill. As amended, the bill removes the mandate that any corporation have a minimum number of women and/or minority persons on their board of directors and instead focuses on gathering data related to the current representation of these individuals on boards and directs the University of Illinois to study such data and make recommendations to improve such representation. Madam President, what the bill essentially does is it's requesting that all publicly traded boards in Illinois report their composition of their boards of directors to the Secretary of the State; the Secretary of State would then forward that information on to the University of Illinois; the University of Illinois would then do a study and then make recommendations on how to improve diversity or whatever it deems necessary. I know of no opposition at this time and I would ask for all Aye votes.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)  
Thank you, Senator. Is there any discussion? Senator Righter, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR RIGHTER:
I wonder if the sponsor will yield, please, Madam President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)
Sponsor indicates he will yield. Senator Righter.

SENATOR RIGHTER:
Thank you very much, Madam President. Senator Belt, first, I want to be clear; we're talking about -- we're talking about private companies, correct? They may be publicly traded, but we're not talking about State agencies or quasi-State agencies. These are strictly private companies, correct?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)
Senator Belt.

SENATOR BELT:

...would be correct.

PRESIDING OFFICER:  (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Righter.

SENATOR RIGHTER:

Thank you very much, Madam President.  Senator Belt, the bill, as amended, would require the University of Illinois to collect data off of the Secretary of State's website and publish it in an aggregate fashion and then give each business entity a rating. Can you elaborate on the rating - what the point of the rating is, what it might look like?

PRESIDING OFFICER:  (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Belt.

SENATOR BELT:

Senator, the bill instructs the University to devise a rating program.  It's vague and it leaves it up to the University to decide how to do so.

PRESIDING OFFICER:  (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Righter.

SENATOR RIGHTER:

Well, then, Senator, as the sponsor of the bill, what guidance can you give to the University of Illinois, right here on the record, about what it is your looking for from the rating process?

PRESIDING OFFICER:  (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Belt.

SENATOR BELT:

On -- it's -- it's in the -- it's actually in the wording -- the language of the bill.  It says, "The rating system shall
consider, amongst other things: compliance with the demographic reporting obligations in subsection (c); the corporation's policies and practices for encouraging "diversity in recruitment, board membership, and executive appointments; and the demographic diversity of board seats and executive positions."

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Righter.

SENATOR RIGHTER:

Thank you, Madam President. Senator Belt, on page 4 of the -- on page 4 of the amendment, and I'm looking at subsections (4) and (5) -- those are the two I'm going to refer to -- (4) regards members of the board who self-identify as a racial minority or ethnic minority. Now, I'm going to be completely honest with you; I didn't know that you could self-identify as a member of a different ethnicity or race. So my question for you is, is, in the instance where there is a corporate board that is all Caucasian, could half of them self-identify as minority and, therefore, receive a score as being diverse?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Belt.

SENATOR BELT:

Senator Righter, when they submit the annual -- annual report, they need to submit it to the best of their ability, as truthful as possible, or they subject themselves to perjury.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Righter.

SENATOR RIGHTER:

Okay, I'm -- let me try that again. So -- so this becomes
law and whoever gets assigned the unfortunate task of going around to each of the board members and asking them these questions, and if a particular corporation has a board that, let's say, is all of one racial composition, could half of the board members say, well, I am of a race different than that which I appear, and therefore receive a score that would quite frankly look better for them in terms of diversity? Is that possible under the language of the bill?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Belt.

SENATOR BELT:

Senator Righter, the language allows the individual to self-identify and report to the best of their ability, as truthful as possible, what ethnicity they -- or race that they are. If they intentionally be misleading in their -- in their response, then they are subject to perjury for doing so.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Righter.

SENATOR RIGHTER:

Is there a form or an affidavit involved in this process, Senator, that requires each individual board member to attest to their answers under oath? Because that's the only way you get to a perjury charge.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Belt.

SENATOR BELT:

So -- so, as it stands now, the annual report that corporations file has an affidavit at the end that already -- as we speak right now -- that already requires them to sign off to --
that they're giving that information to the best of their ability.

PRESIDING OFFICER:  (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Righter.

SENATOR RIGHTER:

Is that affidavit executed by the person collecting the information or the person providing the information in answer to the questions?

PRESIDING OFFICER:  (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Belt.

SENATOR BELT:

Would be the corporation that's submitting the information.

PRESIDING OFFICER:  (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Senator Righter.

SENATOR BELT:

It applies to the corporation, not to individuals.

PRESIDING OFFICER:  (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Excuse me. I'm sorry. Senator Righter.

SENATOR RIGHTER:

Thank you, Madam President. In the next subsection, it refers to generally the same issue except it has to do with self-identification of gender. Now, we don't need to walk through the whole conversation we just had about that; I'm assuming my questions would be the same and your answers would be the same. Here's the question that I have with regards to that section and the one before, is that it's my understanding that it's against the law to ask those questions in an interview setting. Is there any legal concern that the person who gets the -- wins the -- loses the bet to ask these questions has in asking a board member these questions? In other words, I can't...(microphone cutoff)...in -- in
an interview - thank you, Madam President - in -- in an interview for employment, I wouldn't be asked -- allowed to ask someone the questions that have to be asked on this. What's the difference between that and asking the board member that?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

    Senator Belt.

SENATOR BELT:

    ...we've already talked to the Secretary of State's Office. They've already indicated that they'll included an "other" or "refuse to" -- "refuse to" category on the -- on the form, and so...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

    Senator Righter.

SENATOR RIGHTER:

    To the bill, if I might, Madam President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

    Senator Righter, to the bill.

SENATOR RIGHTER:

    Thank you very much, Madam President, for your indulgence. Thank you, Senator Belt, for the answers to your questions. First of all, I -- I think that this is an unwarranted intrusion into the private sector. There's -- there -- this -- this is -- this process is not about discrimination. This process is not about something that anyone is being accused of doing unlawfully. This is simply our desire to count in the private sector by race or ethnicity or gender or at least what those people say they are. Imagine - imagine - in this day and age, when we are supposed to be so careful about these issues, that we are actually going to require board members of private corporations to answer these questions. And if they refuse to answer the questions, why are we
doing it? You know, we have important matters to tend to here in this State government, things that only State government can do. I do not believe that policing or attempting to police the private sector, not in making sure they comply with the law, but just counting their boards by gender and by color, is a step too far for us. Thank you, Madam President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

    Thank you, Senator. Further discussion? Senator Castro, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR CASTRO:

    ...President. To the bill, please.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

    Thank you, Senator. To the bill.

SENATOR CASTRO:

    First off, I want to commend the Senate sponsor on this bill. One of things that we've always learned in this Chamber is data - you can't argue data. And the purpose of this legislation, whether you agree with it or not, is to collect data. And the idea, to think that this is an issue that's compounding nationwide as far as -- not proper representations from women to minorities to veterans, this is an opportunity to collect that data and study it. Our universities do that all the time and we need to look at that data to make educated decisions. So I commend the sponsor. And, yes, we need this data, because we've already seen how data can be used in different ways, but this is an issue that impacts women and minorities the most as far as their not being represented properly on boards. So, again, I commend the Senate sponsor and I look forward to voting for this and I ask for an Aye vote.
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Thank you, Senator. Further discussion? Seeing none, Senator Belt, to close.

SENATOR BELT:

Thank you, Madam President. In closing, I would say that this bill encourages -- it's really about data collection. Okay? It allows people to self-report. It -- it -- it -- if -- if you do not want to report, you can refuse. There's no penalties to doing it. It's not punitive in nature -- nature. We live in a society where it's -- everything is so fluid, right, and we want to be able to represent as best we can those corporations, the people who make up the great State of Illinois, and that's what it's designed to do. Again, as I stated before, it's really focused on gathering of information; report to the Secretary of State's Office; it goes to the University of Illinois; they do a report; they make a recommendation - simple as that. And with that being said, I would ask for all Aye votes going forward. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Thank you, Senator. The question is, shall House Bill 3394 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 38 voting Yea, 16 voting Nay, 0 voting Present. House Bill 3394, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Please refer your attention to Supplemental Calendar No. 1, please. Supplemental Calendar No. 1. Beginning with Senate (sic) Bill 62, House Bills 2nd Reading. President Cullerton. The gentleman indicates he wishes to proceed. Please read the bill.
SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 62.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee or Floor amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

3rd Reading. Senator Hunter, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR HUNTER:

Thank you, Madam President. For purpose of announcement.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Please state your announcement, Senator.

SENATOR HUNTER:

The Senate Democrats will caucus for approximately one hour in the Senate President's Office immediately upon recess.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Thank you, Senator. That request is always in order. Senator Righter, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR RIGHTER:

Thank you very much, Madam President. Senate Republicans would request a caucus in Leader Brady's Office immediately, for one hour.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LIGHTFORD)

Thank you, Senator. Both Senator Hunter and Righter move that the Senate recess for the purpose of a Senate Democratic and Republican Caucus, lasting one hour. Seeing no objection, the motion is granted. The Senate now stands in recess to the call of the Chair. After the Senate Democratic and Republican Caucuses, Senate committee (sic) will meet. The Senate will reconvene after committee meetings for further Floor action. The Senate stands in
recess to the call of the Chair.

(SENATE STANDS IN RECESS/SENATE RECONVENES)

PRESIDING OFFICER:  (SENATOR KOEHLER)

The Illinois Senate will come to order. Will all Members that can hear my voice please come to the Floor for Senate business? We will do Resolutions -- I'm sorry. We will be doing significate legislation, so please come to the Floor. Mr. Secretary, Resolutions.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Resolutions 475 and 476, offered by Senator Harmon and all Members.

And Senate Resolutions 477 and 478, offered by Senator Manar and all Members.

They are all death resolutions, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER:  (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Mr. Secretary, Resolutions Consent Calendar. Committee Reports.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senator Jones, Chairperson of the Committee on Licensed Activities, reports Motions to -- Motion to Concur - House Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 1221 Recommend Do Adopt.

Senator Landek, Chairperson of the Committee on State Government, reports Senate Joint Resolutions 6 and 9 Be Adopted; Motion to Concur - House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1244 Recommend Do Adopt; House Joint Resolutions 21, 76, and 78 Be Adopted.

Senator Morrison, Chairperson of the Committee on Human Services, reports Motion to Concur - House Amendments 1 and 2 to
Senator Mulroe, Chairperson of the Committee on Judiciary, reports Motions to Concur - House Amendments 2 and 4 to Senate Bill 220, House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1134, and House Amendments 1 and 3 to Senate Bill 1780 Recommend Do Adopt.

Senator Holmes, Chairperson of the Committee on Local Government, reports Motion to Concur on House Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 90 Recommend Do Adopt.

Senator Sims, Chairperson of the Committee on Criminal Law, reports Motion to Concur - House Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 416 Recommend Do Adopt.

And Senator Sandoval, Chairperson of the Committee on Transportation, reports Motion to Concur - House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 104 and House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill to 1934 Recommend Do Adopt.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

All Members who can hear my voice, please come to the Senate Chambers. We're going to take up significant business. Please come to the Senate Chamber. The Senate will stand at ease for a few minutes to allow the Committee on Assignments to meet. Will members of the Committee on Assignments please come to the President's Anteroom immediately? The Senate will stand at ease. The Senate will come to order. Mr. Secretary, Committee Reports.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senator Lightford, Chairperson of the Committee on Assignments, reports the following Legislative Measures have been assigned: Refer to Local Government Committee - Senate Bill 2252; Be Approved for Consideration - Motion to Concur with House Amendment 3 to Senate Bill 1854. Pursuant to Senate Rule 3-8 (b-
1), the following amendments will remain in the Committee on Assignments: Committee Amendment 1 to Senate Joint Resolution 6. Signed, Senator Kimberly Lightford, Chairperson.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

If you'll go to page 20 on the Calendar, we're going to go up to the -- towards the top of the page and do Senate Bill 1862. Senator Muñoz. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill. This is a concurrence. Mr. Secretary, please read the motion.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1862. Signed by Senator Muñoz.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Senator Muñoz, on your motion.

SENATOR MUÑOZ:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This bill amends and makes changes to Scott's Law in response to the deaths of Trooper Brooke Jones-Story and Trooper Christopher Lambert of the Illinois State Police. Specifically, the amendment, this increases the minimum fine for Scott's Law violation without damage or injury from a hundred to five hundred dollars and it includes a two-hundred-and-fifty-dollar assessment. It also makes Scott's Law violation that results in damage to a vehicle a Class A misdemeanor and a violation that results in injury or death a Class 4 felony. It also makes Scott's Law violation to a list of aggravating factors that may be used in sentencing for a reckless homicide and increases the penalty for reckless homicide conviction based on a violation of Scott's Law that results in death of a firefighter or emergency medical service
(services) personnel to a Class 2 felony from a Class 3 felony. And it also clarifies the language of Scott's Law and applies the language to the requirements for approaching any disabled vehicle. I will attempt to answer any questions. It's a shame that we have to do this, but given the citizens of our State, whether they're downstate, City of Chicago, or they're on the expressways, seems like they have no regard for law enforcement or any -- our emergency responders. We need to make sure they're fully aware of what the ramifications can be.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall the Senate concur in House Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1862. Those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 59 voting Yea, none voting Nay, none voting Present. And Senate Bill 1862, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. We'll go to page 21, motion to concur on Senate Bill 2038. Senator McConchie. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill -- read the motion.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendments 2 and 3 to Senate Bill 2038. Signed by Senator McConchie.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Senator McConchie, to explain your motion.

SENATOR McCONCHIE:

Thank you, Mr. President. This also is in conjunction with Scott's Law. What it does is it requires the Secretary of State
to include at least one question in regards to Scott's Law on the written driving test; and creates the Move Over Task Force that's going to study issues of violations on Scott's Law and how we can improve that; and requires the Secretary of State to include, in the Rules of the Road, information teaching drivers on how to use the zipper merge method, which we've passed before. I -- I -- thankful for the Body's indulgence in regards to us trying to address this critical issue in our State. And I would ask for an Aye vote. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER:  (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall the Senate concur in House Amendments No. 2 and 3 to Senate Bill 2038. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 57 voting Yea, none voting Nay, none voting Present. And Senate Bill 2038, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. We'll go back to page 20. On top of the page, we'll do a concurrence on Senate Bill 1852. Senator Curran. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 1852.

Signed by Senator Curran.

PRESIDING OFFICER:  (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Senator Curran, to explain your motion.

SENATOR CURRAN:

Thank you, Mr. President. This bill addresses the situation in my district with Sterigenics, but it also addresses sterilizers
using ethylene oxide throughout the entire State. Specifically, there is a second sterilization facility in Will County. There are two components to this bill that I ask for consideration and approval of. The first is a regulatory component. We are going to have the toughest ethylene oxide sterilization regulation in the entire country with passage of this bill. It will require one hundred percent capture of all ethylene oxide emissions in a facility, running it through a stack, and 99.9% efficiency in reduction of those emissions through the stack vent. That is ten times greater than the U.S. -- U.S. EPA requirement currently. So I -- I think that's a huge step forward, along with all the required ambient air sampling. In addition, this -- this legislation has specific language regarding the seal order. The Illinois EPA, in February, took the extraordinary step, due to the lack of disregard {sic} by a company in Willowbrook to -- to the release of carcinogenic particles into the atmosphere that were ten times greater, we now know, than allowable under U.S. EPA standards and posed significant increases in cancer -- not only cancer rates, but also cancer risks -- therefore, with -- with -- with -- with moving forward on that seal order and sealing this facility. The Illinois EPA, which I believe it's the only time in anyone's recollection, has had to do this. This legislation will buttress that seal order, which is a temporary measure, and will -- and will bring greater requirements to any future operation due to that disregard for the public health and safety in and around the Willowbrook area. I want to especially thank Senator Melinda Bush, the first person that reached out to me when this situation first broke in August to offer any help and assistance and has worked in a collaborative manner and a driving force in getting this
legislation forward. This legislation is -- is fair. It's going to provide needed regulation of this emission in this industry, but it is also going to address the public health crisis in Willowbrook created by the blatant disregard for the well-being of the residents in Willowbrook by Sterigenics. With that, I would be happy to answer any questions regarding this and respectfully ask for an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER:  (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Is there any discussion? Senator Glowiak.

SENATOR GLOWIAK:

Thank you, Mr. President. To the sponsor. I want to thank you for bringing this to the attention of the Illinois State Senate, to -- working with the EPA, the U.S. EPA, the Illinois EPA, in making sure that the residents in Willowbrook as well as Hinsdale are -- are properly being protected from this ethylene oxide that's been released into the air. I really do appreciate all the work that you've put into it. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER:  (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Further discussion? Senator Collins.

SENATOR COLLINS:

Thank you very much, Mr. President. I also want to thank Senator Curran but also Leader Durkin, because some of the emissions from Sterigenics also impact my district, which I have Indian Head Park. So I'm glad to see this is a good bipartisan bill and I just -- I'm proud to stand with you and vote for this. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER:  (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Further discussion? Senator Bush.

SENATOR BUSH:

Thank you very much, Mr. President. Thank you for the kind
words, Senator. I think we all know in this Body that real work gets done when you attack it with tenacity, attack it with an intent; that you don't stop until you get real work done - and I want to thank you for that, Senator Curran. I've been proud to be at the table with you. I have been just really truly proud to be at the table with you. Thank you for your tenacity. Thank you for continuing when it looked like there was just no way this was going to get done. And I know that there were many times when we both thought this was not going to get done, and you didn't stop. And I really just thank you for that work. You're protecting the citizens where you live. This also includes Medline, which is just outside of my actual district, but is in Lake County, you know, where we have ethylene oxide in our air also. So thank you for your work; I appreciate it so much. And I said in the Environmental Committee this year that I thought this was one of the most important pieces that we were going to deal with, and congratulations. I urge an Aye vote and I thank you very much for your comradeship and your work on this. Thank you, Senator.
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Senator Curran, to close.

SENATOR CURRAN:

Thank you, Mr. President. I would just state that this is a significant step forward in the protection of our air and our health in and around the State of Illinois, and I would ask everyone to join me in that in voting Aye. Thank you.
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

The question is, shall the Senate concur in House Amendments {sic} No. 2 to Senate Bill 1852. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have
all voted who wish?  Have all voted who wish?  Take the record.  
On that question, there are 53 Yeas, none voting Nay, none voting 
Present.  And Senate Bill 1852, having received the required 
constitutional majority, is declared passed.  With leave of the 
Body, we'll go to page 11, House Bills 2nd Reading.  House Bill 
3233.  Senator Sandoval.  Mr. Secretary, please read the bill. 
SECRETARY ANDERSON: 

House Bill 3233. 

(Secretary reads title of bill) 
2nd Reading of the bill.  No committee or Floor amendments 
reported. 
PRESIDING OFFICER:  (SENATOR KOEHLER) 

3rd Reading.  We'll now go to the Supplemental Calendar No. 
2, House Bills 2nd Reading, the top of the page.  House Bill 163. 
Senator Muñoz.  Mr. Secretary, please read the bill. 
SECRETARY ANDERSON: 

House Bill 163. 

(Secretary reads title of bill) 
2nd Reading of the bill.  No committee or Floor amendments 
reported. 
PRESIDING OFFICER:  (SENATOR KOEHLER) 

3rd Reading.  We're going to continue with Supplemental 
Calendar No. 2.  We're going to go to Senate Joint Resolution No. 
Senator Manar.  Mr. Secretary, please read the resolution. 
SECRETARY ANDERSON: 

Senate Joint -- Senate Joint Resolution 9, offered by Senator 
Manar. 
PRESIDING OFFICER:  (SENATOR KOEHLER)
Senator Manar, to explain your resolution.

SENATOR MANAR:

Thank you, Mr. President. This resolution is exactly the same as one that the Body passed on this day actually last year, but it wasn't taken up by the House. It designates a portion of Illinois Highway 159 from Detour Road to Illinois Route 16 in my hometown as the "Lance Corporal Charles Heinemeier Memorial Highway". Corporal Heinemeier's family was here with us last year. They weren't able to be with us here this year, but I'd like to move this over to the House and perhaps we can get this done to honor this young man who was killed while serving our country during the Vietnam War.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Any discussion? As this -- the question is, shall Joint Resolution -- Senate Joint Resolution No. 9 pass. As this resolution requires an expenditure of State funds, a roll call vote will be required. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 57 voting Yea, none voting Nay, none voting Present. And Senate Joint Resolution No. 9, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed -- adopted. House Joint Resolution No. 21. Senator Manar. Mr. Secretary, please read the resolution.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Joint Resolution 21, offered by Senator Manar.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Senator Manar, to explain your resolution.

SENATOR MANAR:

Thank you, Mr. President. This resolution actually goes in
concert with the one that was just adopted and it designates Route 16 in Shipman, in Macoupin County, as the "Sergeant Glenard Jay Gregory Memorial Road". Sergeant Gregory served as a Field Artillery Basic with Battery A of the 1st Battalion, 27th Artillery, 23rd Artillery Group, during Vietnam. He started his tour on May 6th, 1968, was injured on April 19th, 1969, and passed away on April 20th, 1969. This obviously is to honor his service and his memory.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Any discussion? As this resolution requires an expenditure of State funds, a roll call vote will be required. The question is, shall Joint -- shall House Joint Resolution No. 21 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 58 voting Yea, none voting Nay, none voting Present. And House Joint Resolution No. 21, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared adopted. Go to House Joint Resolution No. 76. Senator DeWitte. Mr. Secretary, please read the resolution.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Joint Resolution 76, offered by Senator DeWitte.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Senator DeWitte, to explain your resolution.

SENATOR DeWITTE:

Thank you very much, Mr. President. I'm honored this evening to present House Joint Resolution No. 76. Thank you, Mr. President. I'm honored to present House Joint Resolution 76 this evening, a highway dedication in honor of Illinois State Trooper April C. -- April C. Styburski. Trooper Styburski was killed while
responding to an accident near Pingree Grove, Illinois, on U.S. 20, back in January 2009. Her husband and son survived her. Her husband, who is in very failing health, had asked my State Rep, Dan Ugaste, if it would be possible to help to have this section of U.S. 20 dedicated in her memory. So I am honored to present this resolution that will designate the section of Illinois Route 20 between Plank Road and Switzer Road as "Trooper April C. Styburski Memorial Highway". I would ask for a positive vote. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Is there any discussion? As this resolution requires an expenditure of State funds, a roll call vote will be required. The question is, shall House Joint Resolution No. 76 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 58 voting Yea, none voting Nay, none voting Present. And House Joint Resolution No. 76, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared adopted. House Joint Resolution 78. Senator Curran. Mr. Secretary, please the resolution.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

...Joint -- House Joint Resolution 78, offered by Senator Curran.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Senator Curran, to explain your resolution.

SENATOR CURRAN:

Thank you, Mr. President. Kelli O'Laughlin was a fourteen-year-old high school freshman that attended Lyons Township High School and lived in Indian Head Park. Tragically,
one day her freshman year, she came home from school and met a burglar in her house and was murdered. Since that time in 2011, Kelli's parents, John and Brenda, and her siblings, Ryan, Bridgette and Daniel, and many other family members and community members have banded together to keep Kelli's memory and spirit alive in and around the Indian Head Park area. To date, they have raised and awarded over five hundred and thirty-seven thousand dollars in scholarships to area children. This resolution would rename in her honor the Plainfield Road Bridge over Interstate 294 in the Indian Head Park area in Kelli's memory. I would respectfully ask for an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Is there any discussion? Senator Glowiak.

SENATOR GLOWIAK:

Thank -- thank you, Mr. President. I do also remember this. This young girl, Kelli Joy, was in high school with my children, and it was a tragic event. And to keep her memory alive, I think this would be a wonderful tribute. Already, people are -- have, for the last eight years, been decorating the overpass with cups and -- with her name on it and it says "Kelli Joy" and it's a -- a wonderful tribute and this just will be a permanent fixture. And I appreciate the effort and do also ask for an Aye vote. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

As this resolution requires an expenditure of State funds, a roll call vote will be required. So the question is, shall House Joint Resolution No. 78 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record.
On that question, there are 59 voting Yea, none voting Nay, none voting Present. And House Joint Resolution No. 78, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared adopted. Continuing on the same page on Senate Supplemental Calendar No. 2, we're going to go to Senate Bill -- we're going to go to Concurrences. Senate Bill 90. Senator McConchie. Mr. Secretary, please read the motion.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 90. Signed by Senator McConchie.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Senator McConchie, to explain your motion.

SENATOR McCONCHIE:

Thank you, Mr. President. These -- we had a good debate on this over here. There was just some minor technical changes that were made over in House, including changes to include that this only applies to districts with -- to -- for -- for dissolving drainage districts that are in counties that have stormwater management commissions that are located in four different watersheds that are in the Chicagoland area and also addressing a -- an issue with the -- the split of funds between the county and the municipality. With this language now, the Farm Bureau -- it was the only opponent before and they are now neutral. So I would request an Aye vote. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall the Senate concur in House -- in House Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 90. The vote -- all those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay.
The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 58 voting Yea, 1 voting Nay, none voting Present. And House Bill -- and Senate Bill 90, having -- the House -- the Senate does concur in House Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 90, and the bill is declared passed. Senate Bill 104. Senator Villivalam. Mr. Secretary, please read the motion.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:
I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 104.
Signed by Senator Villivalam.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)
Senator Villivalam, to explain your motion.

SENATOR VILLIVALAM:
Thank you, Mr. President. The House saw fit, with House Amendment No. 1, to change the underlying bill. It will -- retains the underlying bill and requires that each subcontract must be paid with -- within ten business days or fifteen calendar days, whichever occurs first. Originally, we had it at seven business days when we sent it over. This removed opposition from the Illinois Construction Industry Committee (sic) (Innovations Conference), the Associated General Contractors, and the Illinois Road and Transportation Builders. It still has the support of the Federation of Women Contractors, the Hispanic American Construction Industry Association, and the Mechanical Contractors Association of Chicago. I would -- it passed the House with 101 votes. I would ask for an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)
Is there any discussion? Senator DeWitte.
SENATOR DeWITTE:

Thank you, Mr. President. I want to thank the sponsor for all of his work on this particular piece of legislation. I know there's been a lot of discussion as the Senate bill and House bill have come through the process. I rise in opposition to this bill. We still believe that this legislation conflicts with traditional contractual relationships between contractors and subcontractors. I would encourage a No vote. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Any further discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Amendment 1 to -- shall Senate (sic) Amendment 1 to House -- to Senate Bill 104 pass -- concur. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 38 voting Yea, 17 voting Nay, none voting Present. And having received the required constitutional majority, the Senate does concur in House Amendments (sic) 1 to Senate Bill 104. The bill is declared passed. We're going to Senate Bill 220. Senator Murphy. Mr. Secretary, please read the motion.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendments 2 and 4 to Senate Bill 220.

Signed by Senator Murphy.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Senator Murphy, to explain your motion.

SENATOR MURPHY:

Thank you, Mr. President. The House amendment deletes the bill as it was and it becomes the Illinois Department of Human
Rights -- it provides that the Illinois Department of Human Rights has the discretion to determine on a case-by-case basis whether to hold a fact finding conference in housing cases and it also requires the Human Rights commissioners to be trained in housing discrimination. Lastly, it affords the Attorney General thirty days to begin a civil action after IDHR has entered into an administrative closure order on a case. So they've worked through all the opposition and I would ask for an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall the Senate concur in House Amendments 2 -- in Senate {sic} Amendments 2 and 4 to Senate Bill 220. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 57 voting Yea, none voting Nay, none voting Present. And having received the required constitutional majority, the Senate does concur in House Amendments 2 and 4 to Senate Bill 220, and the bill is declared passed. Moving on to Senate Bill 416. Senator Cunningham. Mr. Secretary, please read the motion.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 416.

Signed by Senator Cunningham.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Senator Cunningham, to explain your motion.

SENATOR CUNNINGHAM:

Thank you, Mr. President. I move that we concur with the House on their amendment to Senate Bill 416. The original version
of this bill that we passed unanimously sought to put a sanction on jail inmates who engage in lude conduct while behind bars. There was some late opposition to it in the House. The bill was amended and the new approach will be that this can be considered as a factor in aggravation on sentencing against an inmate when they're found guilty for an underlying crime. I -- I move for the adoption of the amendment. Would be happy to answer any questions.

PRESIDING OFFICER:  (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 416 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 59 voting Yea, none voting Nay, none voting Present. And having received the required constitutional majority, the Senate does concur in House Amendments (sic) No. 2 to Senate Bill 416, and bill is declared passed. Senate Bill 1134. Senator Harmon. Mr. Secretary, please read the motion.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1134.

Signed by Senator Harmon.

PRESIDING OFFICER:  (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Senator Harmon, to explain your motion.

SENATOR HARMON:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. The -- the bill is the initiative of the Illinois Press Association. It's designed to clarify responsibility for the publication of certain notices. The House made some relatively
modest changes without changing the effect of the bill. I'd be happy to accept their changes and move to concur.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1134 shall the Senate concur in House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1134. All those in favor will say Aye -- will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 57 voting Yea, none voting Nay, none voting Present. And having received the required constitutional majority, the Senate does concur in House Amendments {sic} 1 to Senate Bill 1134, and the bill is declared passed. Senate Bill 1221. Senator Emil Jones. Turning the page. We'll go to the top of the page. Senate Bill 1244. Senator Belt. Mr. Secretary, please read the motion.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1244.
Signed by Senator Belt.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Senator Belt, to explain your motion.

SENATOR BELT:

Thank you, Mr. President. House Bill -- House Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1244 is a page-and-line amendment that only adds to the underlying bill and does not remove any of the previous language. With the adoption of this amendment, payments performed under this Act will now be subject to appropriations from the General Revenue Fund.
Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1244 concur {sic}. And all those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 58 voting Yea, none voting Nay, none voting Present. And having received the required constitutional majority, the Senate does concur in House Amendments {sic} No. 1 to Senate Bill 1244, and the bill is declared passed. Senate Bill 1321. Senator Steans. Mr. Secretary, please read the motion.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 1321.

Signed by Senator Steans.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Senator Steans, to explain your motions {sic}.

SENATOR STEANS:

Yes, thank you, Mr. President, Members of the Senate. This is the Medicaid omnibus bill that was negotiated between the four caucuses and the administration. Thank many folks in this room who spent a lot of hours starting at 7:30 a.m. every Thursday morning for their input on this. This is really focusing on addressing a lot of the payment issues we’ve been having and the delay problems between MCOs and providers and helping to make some changes within HFS that can also enable that. We should be streamlining some of the eligibility and redetermination processes, making sure that Medicaid managed care organizations adhere to prompt pay standards. It is requiring reporting regularly that -- on the MCO medical loss ratios. Creating a --
an -- an -- a complaint process to make sure any payments are actually -- any, you know, disputes that they have in it are actually resolved according to a timeline. Really do want to thank the -- the many people who have helped get this bill to where it is. And I think it's a real opportunity to say we want -- we have a system right now that we've put in place moving people into a managed care environment in our Medicaid program, but we need it to work, and this is really our attempt to make sure -- we're trying to get everybody on the same page, moving in the same direction to make this process work, so we can actually take the value that we're supposed to be getting out of the Medicaid managed care program and we can focus on quality rather than just trying to get the system to work. If this doesn't happen, we know there's frustration, we'll stay at the table and come up with a plan B, but we think this should get us to a much better position. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Is there any discussion? Senator Syverson.

SENATOR SYVERSON:

Thank you, Mr. President. To the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

To the bill.

SENATOR SYVERSON:

I think the Senator is being a little bit reserved on the importance and the success of this bill, and so I would -- I would like to say thank you to the Senator for what really is some of the most sweeping reforms that -- that I've seen in my -- in my twenty-seven years here. And it -- it did take a lot of work, weekly meetings, early in the morning, but your hospitals, your
long term care facilities, your providers are all going to be benefiting from this. It's updating IT systems. It's really implementing changes that we never thought would get done. But two people I think really needed to be pointed out is the -- the Governor's appointees to both DHS and HFS, are just two rock stars that have done an excellent job in working with this -- this legislation. So I just want to thank Senator Steans for her leadership on this significant piece of legislation and it's been an honor working with her and the House in getting this done. So thank you and I would urge everyone on our -- our side a strong Aye vote on this. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Senator Steans, to close.

SENATOR STEANS:

Yes, no. Thank you very much for that. And I, too, I wanted to close by thanking the administration. I really do feel optimistic that there's a team at DHS, HFS, and in the Governor's Office that really is rowing together now and trying to make sure that we actually reduce our backlog in making people eligible and the redetermination process and are really going to be keeping an eye on the ball and we hope it works. So I do also urge your Aye votes and thank you very much.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

So the question is, shall the Senate concur in House Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 1321. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 59 Yeas, none voting Nay, none voting Present. And having received the required
constitutional majority, the Senate does concur in House Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 1321, and the bill is declared passed. Senate Bill 1780. Senator Aquino. Senate Bill 1854. Senator Bush. Mr. Secretary, please read the motion.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendment No. 3 to Senate Bill 1854.
Signed by Senator Bush.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Senator Bush, to explain your motion.

SENATOR BUSH:

Thank you very much. So this is a motion to concur over from the House and I'd like to explain this. So we talked a little bit earlier; Senator Curran had a bill that dealt with sterilization facilities with ethylene oxide. One of the things that we weren't able to deal with under that bill, because its -- manufacturers are very different in how they use ethylene oxide. But up in Lake County, the EPA identified that Lake County had four census tracts with greater cancer risks from air pollution that included ethylene oxide. Vantage Specialties was not included in the latest NATA due -- N-A-T-A results due to an error, so Vantage has -- since we became aware of them - they're a manufacturer that uses ethylene oxide - since we became aware of the issue, Vantage has, you know, been a good corporate citizen. They've installed additional scrubbers, additional controls, and we are beginning an ambient air testing in Lake County in June. But this is still needed to be done. So this amendment provides (sic) that facilities that emit more than a hundred and fifty pounds of ethylene oxide per the U.S. EPA's 2017 Toxic Release Inventory - by the way, this was
an emitter of over fifteen hundred pounds of ethylene oxide - that reside in a county with a population of at least seven hundred thousand from operating unless a facility has an Agency-approved emissions monitoring plan and has performed Agency dispersion modeling and has obtained a site-specific permit for ethylene oxide. That translates to, it gives the IEPA the tools to monitor, measure, and study, and model the ethylene oxide emissions and reopen the permit and set emission caps if they are needed. We're still waiting for those ambient air testings to be done, like they had been done in Willowbrook. Thank you, Mr. President. This is an important piece of legislation. I would ask for an Aye vote and I'm happy to answer any questions. We need to make sure that the people in Lake County and people throughout the State have clean air to breathe.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)
Is there any discussion? Senator Curran.

SENATOR CURRAN:
Thank you, Mr. President. To the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)
To the motion.

SENATOR CURRAN:
To the motion. Thank you, Mr. President. I want to compliment the sponsor. This was -- this is a part of the broad ethylene oxide negotiations in how to handle this carcinogen. When we got to the point in the fork in the road where there was just no getting around the fact that the permitting and the permit is different for manufacturing facilities than sterilizers, you did not give up and -- and you kept this going. So I compliment you on that. I want to let Members on my side of the aisle know that
this is very limited in scope compared to sterilizers, targeted at
-- regarding one facility that's a large volume user in -- in Lake
County. And it -- and -- and I can speak firsthand from our
experience in Willowbrook how important it is to get out in front
on these issues and these public health issues, and that's exactly
what this bill will do. While some of what we just passed in 1852
is reactive to a public health crisis, this legislation is
proactive in a very smart, targeted and limited manner, and I want
to compliment you on that and encourage an Aye vote. Thank you,
Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

    Senator Bush, to close.

SENATOR BUSH:

    And -- and I'd also like to thank you, obviously. We've
worked on this quite a bit together. And I'd also like to thank
the Republican Leader over in the House, who voted Yes on this
bill, and -- and it was certainly supportive of the work that we
continue to do on manufacturing. Thank you very much. I just ask
for an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

    The question is, shall the Senate concur in House Amendment
No. 3 to Senate Bill 1854. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed,
Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted
who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that
question, there are 55 voting Yea, 1 voting Nay, 1 -- none voting
Present. Having received the required constitutional majority,
the Senate does concur in House Amendments (sic) No. 3 to Senate
Bill 1854, and the bill is declared passed. Senate Bill 1934.
Senator Manar. Mr. Secretary, please read the motion.
SECRETARY ANDERSON:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1934. Signed by Senator Manar.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Senator Manar, to explain your motion.

SENATOR MANAR:

Thank you, Mr. President. The House added the contents of Senate Bill 1862, which was unanimously adopted by the Senate, to the underlying bill, which also was unanimously adopted by the Senate. So I would ask for concurrence and be happy to answer any questions about both of these bills that are now contained in this one.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1934 be concurred with. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 59 voting Yea, none voting Nay, none voting Present. And having received the required constitutional majority, the Senate does concur in House Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1934, and the bill is declared passed. We're going to go to Supplemental Calendar No. 1. We're going to start with Senate Bill 111. Senator Morrison. Mr. Secretary, please read the motion.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 111. Signed by Senator Morrison.
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Senator Morrison, to explain your motion.

SENATOR MORRISON:

Thank you, Mr. President. Thank you, Mr. President. Senate Bill 111, as amended, raises the age of eligibility for certain individuals to receive coverage for anesthetics provided in conjunction with dental treatment from nineteen to twenty-six.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall the Senate concur in House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 111. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 58 voting Yea, none voting Nay, 1 voting Present. And having received the required constitutional majority, the Senate does concur in House Amendments (sic) 1 to Senate Bill 111, and the bill is declared passed. Senate Bill 131. Senator Tracy. Mr. Secretary, please read the motion.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 131.

Signed by Senator Tracy.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Senator Tracy, to explain your motion.

SENATOR TRACY:

Yes, the amendment was a technical amendment added by Farm Bureau in the House and I would move to concur in the House amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)
Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall the Senate concur with House Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 131. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 59 voting Yea, none voting Nay, none voting Present. And having received the required constitutional majority, the Senate does concur in House Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 131, and the bill is declared passed. House -- Senate Bill 162. Senator Holmes. Mr. Secretary, please read the motion.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 162. Signed by Senator Holmes.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Senator Holmes, to explain your motion.

SENATOR HOLMES:

Thank you so much, Mr. President. Very simply, this passed out of the Senate 58 to nothing and all this -- this amendment did that we need to concur with is to change the date from "upon becoming law" to the date of "January 1st, 2020". I'd ask for an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall the Senate concur in House Amendments (sic) No. 1 to Senate Bill 162. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 57 voting Yea, none voting Nay, none voting Present. And having
received the required constitutional majority, the Senate does concur in House Amendments {sic} No. 1 to Senate Bill 162, and the bill is declared passed. Senate Bill 241. Senator Holmes. Mr. Secretary, please read the motion.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 241.
Signed by Senator Holmes.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Senator Holmes, to explain your motion.

SENATOR HOLMES:

I'm going to try to vote for this one. I would like to go back and be recorded as an Aye vote on the previous bill also.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Your intentions will be reflected.

SENATOR HOLMES:

Thank you. In this case, the motion to concur deletes all, becomes the bill, and it removes a reference to municipal attorneys since municipal attorneys do not prosecute violations in the State statute in Illinois. I would ask for an Aye vote, and I'd like to vote for it.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the -- the -- shall the Senate concur in House Amendments {sic} No. 1 to Senate Bill 241? All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 59 voting Yea, none voting Nay, none voting Present. And having received the required constitutional majority, the Senate does
concur in House Amendments (sic) No. 1 to Senate Bill 241, and the bill is declared passed. Senate Bill 456. Senator Martinez. Mr. Secretary, please read the motion.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 456. Signed by Senator Martinez.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Senator Martinez, to explain your motion.

SENATOR MARTINEZ:

Thank you. Motion to concur with House -- Senate Bill 456. As amended, stems from the Chicago Tribune article dealing with a series of stories regarding children being harassed or abused during their time as students at CPS. The legislation has been a bipartisan effort to address the issue of educator misconduct in school classrooms across the State. 456 is a summation of language from ISBE, Children (sic) (Children's) Advocacy Center, and the Chicago Public Schools to address this pressing issue. The bill also addresses a multiple -- multitude of issues, such as how a student is interviewed after making a complaint, making school districts check statewide databases more frequently, lessen the -- the retraumatization of a student's -- as victim, and creates a task force to continue to review these policies. Let me just say that this bill has been being worked on since last year. And I want to just thank JBT, Senator Jennifer Bertino-Tarrant, and Senator Cullerton, T. Cullerton, because we all had similar bills; we came together. But let me just say that these past few months have been very intense. We've had everyone at the table, IEA, IFT, CPS, and -- and many others that were stakeholders were at
the table trying to make sure that we have a bill that will continue to protect our children. And I also want to thank Dillon who was very instrumental in making sure that we kept the talks going with everyone that was sitting at the table. And I just want to thank everyone for their -- all their help in making sure that this bill -- it's not perfect, but we're getting there. There might be -- be a few things that we might have to put back on a trailer bill, but this is something that we have put in place to make sure that these children that -- in the schools, as they are -- you know, if they have these issues, we have to make sure that we protect them. And I'll be happy to answer any questions.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Is there any discussion? Senator Tom Cullerton.

SENATOR T. CULLERTON:

To the bill, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

To the motion.

SENATOR T. CULLERTON:

Or to the motion. Thank you, Mr. President, Members of the -- the Illinois Senate. I want to thank Senator Martinez for her work on this. This is an incredibly important issue. Obviously, we have had investigations after investigations. Last year, they came forward through the Trib responses. Obviously, there is a task force component piece to this. So it's going to be very important that whatever the task force -- comes out of this, we need to expand and expound these -- these findings and make sure we keep our children safe in our schools. And, again, I want to thank Leader Martinez for her work on this bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)
Further discussion? Senator Bertino-Tarrant.

SENATOR BERTINO-TARRANT:

Thank you, Mr. President. To the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

To the motion.

SENATOR BERTINO-TARRANT:

To the motion. Thank you. I'd also like to thank our sponsor, Senator -- or Leader Martinez, Senator Cullerton, and also to the staff, who has been working diligently on this -- this piece of legislation for the last year. We started this last summer with -- with committee hearings and I think it was very eye-opening to many of us. Past behavior in our schools cannot continue. We need to ensure from the moment our kids get off the bus to when they're back on that our kids are safe, and I think this piece of legislation is a good start for us to correct past deplorable behavior. And I urge an Aye voice {sic}. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Is there further discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Amendments 1 and 2 -- shall the Senate concur in House Amendments No. 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 456. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 59 voting Yea, none voting Nay, none voting Present. And having received the required constitutional majority, the Senate does concur in House Amendments No. 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 456, and the bill is declared passed. Senate Bill 527. Senator Hutchinson. Mr. Secretary, please read the motion.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:
I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 527.

Signed by Senator Hutchinson.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Senator Hutchinson, to -- to explain your motion.

SENATOR HUTCHINSON:

Thank you, Mr. President. Senate Bill 527, as amended, makes changes to the Property Tax Code. The bill allows for property sold to the State in Will County for the purpose of developing an airport to be sold based on current property tax assessments and not assessments from 2002. Minor changes in the House. I would ask for your support.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the -- the question is, shall the Senate concur in House Amendments {sic} No. 2 to Senate Bill 527. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay.
The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 56 voting Yea, none voting Nay, none voting Present. And having received the required constitutional majority, the Senate does concur with Senate -- House Amendments {sic} No. 2 to Senate Bill 527, and the bill is declared passed. Senate Bill 658. Senator Tom Cullerton. Mr. Secretary, please read the motion.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendments 1, 2, and 3 to Senate Bill 658.

Signed by Senator Tom Cullerton.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)
Senator Cullerton, to explain your motion.

SENATOR T. CULLERTON:

Thank you very much, Mr. President. I ask to concur with the House. The -- this is the extending of the sunset of the Land Surveyor Act of 1989 to January 1st of 2030. I ask for an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall the Senate concur in House Amendments No. 1, 2, and 3 to Senate Bill 658. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 57 voting Yea, none voting Nay, none voting Present. And having received the required constitutional majority, the Senate does concur in House Amendments No. 1, 2, and 3 to Senate Bill 658, and the bill is declared passed. Senate Bill 1127. Senator Tom Cullerton. Mr. Secretary, please read the motion.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1127.
Signed by Senator Tom Cullerton.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Senator Cullerton, to explain your motion.

SENATOR T. CULLERTON:

Thank you very much, Mr. President. I move to concur with Senate Bill 1127, which deals with placing the POW/MIA flag at airports. I ask for an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall
the Senate concur in House Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1127. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 59 voting Yea, none voting Nay, none voting Present. And having received the required constitutional majority, the Senate does concur in House Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1127, and the bill is declared passed. Senate Bill 1213. Senator Lightford. Let's go to the next page. On the top of the page, Senate Bill 1214. Senator Tom Cullerton. Mr. Secretary, please read the motion.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 1214.
Signed by Senator Tom Cullerton.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Senator Cullerton, to explain your motion.

SENATOR T. CULLERTON:

Thank you, Mr. President. I move to concur with the motion for Senate Bill 1214, which requires the Department of Public Health to make available training on phlebotomy and drawing blood from disabled patients. I ask for an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall the Senate concur in House Amendments No. 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 1214. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish. Have all voted who wish. Have all voted who wish. Take the record. On that question, there are 59 voting Yea, none voting Nay, none voting Present. And having received the required constitutional majority, the Senate does
concur in House Amendments No. 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 1214, and the bill is declared passed. Senate Bill 1236. Senator Link. Mr. Secretary, please read the motion.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendments 1, 2, and 3 to Senate Bill 1236. Signed by Senator Link.

PRESIDING OFFICER:  (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Senator Link, to explain your motion.

SENATOR LINK:

Thank you, Mr. President. I motion to concur with the amendments that were added on the House that were -- removed some language of emergency entrance -- hold on. Yeah. 1236 just extends the emergency contract cap to five hundred thousand instead of three hundred and fifty thousand, and it also allows the water -- water reclamation district -- increase their salary from -- eleven thousand to fourteen thousand, but they will vote on it. They will be the ones that'll do it. More than happy to answer any questions.

PRESIDING OFFICER:  (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Is there any discussion? Senator Curran.

SENATOR CURRAN:

Thank you, Mr. President. To the motion.

PRESIDING OFFICER:  (SENATOR KOEHLER)

To the motion.

SENATOR CURRAN:

I just want to -- on my side of the aisle -- alert Members to this, that this was a partisan roll call in the House and that this -- the third amendment on this, while I previously supported
the prior...
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)
    I'm sorry. Can we let him finish with his question and we'll get back to you.
SENATOR CURRAN:
    Apologize, Mr. President. I'm going to withdraw.
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)
    Senator Link, to your bill.
SENATOR LINK:
    My fault, Senator Curran; I was reading the wrong bill that I had. That's my -- my fault. This is gut and replace. It amends that the county board may remove a chairperson by choosing -- the county board by a motion of four-fifths. County may deny and -- suspend, or terminate the eligibility of a person of a contract of greater than thirty thousand. And newly elected countywide officials may request a traditional (sic) (transitional) audit to determine a previous elected official (sic). And I know of -- I know of no objections to the bill. I'll be more than happy to answer any questions, especially from Senator Curran.
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)
    Now, is there any discussion? Senator Curran.
SENATOR CURRAN:
    To the motion, Mr. President.
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)
    To the motion.
SENATOR CURRAN:
    I rise in support of this bill and -- and request all Members vote in support.
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)
Senator Link, to close.

SENATOR LINK:

I would just ask for an affirmative vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER:  (SENATOR KOEHLER)

The question is, shall the Senate concur in House Amendments No. 1, 2, and 3 to Senate Bill 1236.  All those in favor, vote Aye.  Opposed, Nay.  The voting is open.  Have all voted who wish?  Have all voted who wish?  Have all voted who wish?  Take the record.  On that question, there are 55 voting Yea, none voting Nay, 1 voting Present.  And having received the required constitutional majority, the Senate does concur in House Amendments No. 1, 2, and 3 to Senate Bill 1236, and the bill is declared passed.  Senate Bill 1257.  Senator Hutchinson.  Mr. Secretary, please read the motion.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1257.

Signed by Senator Hutchinson.

PRESIDING OFFICER:  (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Senator Hutchinson, to explain your motion.

SENATOR HUTCHINSON:

Thank you, Mr. President.  Senate Bill 1527 (sic), as amended by the House, amends the Illinois tax -- Illinois Income Tax Act by decoupling Illinois from the new tax imposed by the federal 2017 Tax Cuts and Jobs Act on transportation and parking fringe benefits provided by nonprofits to their employees.  This is identical to Senate Bill 1515.  They needed that bill at the House and they dropped this on this language, and so there weren't any significant changes to this and they sent it back to us for
concerence. I'd like you to help me pass it again.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall the Senate concur in House Amendments (sic) No. 1 to Senate Bill 1257. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 56 voting Yea, none voting Nay, none voting Present. And having received the required constitutional majority, the Senate does concur in House Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1257, and the bill is declared passed. Senate Bill 1377. Senator Mulroe. Mr. Secretary, please read the motion.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1377.

Signed by Senator Mulroe.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

...Mulroe, to explain your motion.

SENATOR MULROE:

Thank you, Mr. President, Members of the Senate. House Amendment No. 1 deletes the -- the legislation that passed the Senate and inserts new provisions that become the bill. It adds an additional ground for the Director of Insurance to disapprove a plan of division of an Illinois domestic stock company. This is agreed language between the Illinois Life and Health Insurance Guaranty Association, Allstate, CNA, and the Illinois Insurance Association. I know of no opposition. I ask for an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall
the Senate concur in House Amendments (sic) No. 1 to Senate Bill 1377. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 55 voting Yea, none voting Nay, 1 voting Present. And having received the required constitutional majority, the Senate does concur in House Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1377, and the bill is declared passed. Senate Bill 1456. Senator Hutchinson. Mr. Secretary, please read the motion.  
SECRETARY ANDERSON:  
I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 1456. Signed by Senator Hutchinson.  
PRESIDING OFFICER:  (SENATOR KOEHLER)  
Senator Hutchinson, to explain your motion.  
SENATOR HUTCHINSON:  
Thank you, Mr. President. House Floor Amendment No. 2 is a page-and-line amendment that makes the following changes: It just deletes some references to an exemption for any property and any leasehold interest in that property used by a municipality for an airport or waste disposal or processing. This bill, as amended, the underlying one, provides that property -- property that is tax-exempt due to its usage for parking in a municipality with a population...(microphone cutoff)...may lose that exempt status 'cause it's -- should not have taxes on that exempt part. I should probably just keep going. I know of no opposition and I would like to concur with the House's line -- page-line changes.  
PRESIDING OFFICER:  (SENATOR KOEHLER)  
Is there any discussion? We have a little glitch in our
video. Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1456 -- shall the Senate concur. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 57 voting Yea, none voting Nay, none voting Present. And having received the required constitutional majority, the Senate -- Senate does concur in House Amendments {sic} No. 2 to Senate Bill 1456, and the bill is declared passed. Senate Bill 1609. Senator Sims. Mr. Secretary, please read the motion.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1609.

Signed by Senator Sims.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Senator Sims, to explain your motion.

SENATOR SIMS:

Thank -- thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. We're having a little technical difficulties, but that's -- that's okay. Senate Bill 1609 as it -- the motion that comes back before you deals with fines and fees. It's -- making sure that the -- the fines that we are -- that the credit that individuals get for pretrial incarceration are updated to make them -- make them consistent with other statutes that we've passed and -- as relate to bail reform. The -- the -- the amendments {sic} that were passed in the House is consistent with a commitment that I made to -- to this Chamber to continue to work on an issue that was raised by the State's Attorney -- the State's Attorneys to deal with funding for child advocacy centers and the like.
Again, I -- this -- this is consistent with that commitment I made to continue work on this. So I -- I'll answer any questions and ask for a favorable roll call, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall the Senate concur in House Amendments (sic) No. 1 to Senate Bill 1609. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 37 voting Yea, 19 voting No -- No, none voting Present. And having received the required constitutional majority, the Senate does concur in House Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1609, and the bill is declared passed. Senate Bill 1669. Senator Martinez. Mr. Secretary, please read the motion.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1669.

Signed by Senator Martinez.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Senator Martinez, to explain your motion.

SENATOR MARTINEZ:

Thank you. I concur with the motion to keep the language -- of House -- House Amendment No. 1 to -- to keep the language of the underlying bill, which added a special instant scratch-off STEAM program grants. The amendment also adds a special instant "(The) End of Alzheimer's Begins With Me" scratch-off game, the revenue of which will be used in funding grants for Alzheimer's care, support, education, and awareness program. The amendment additionally states that both games will run for only one year,
from January 1st, 2020 to January 1st, 2021. And I'll be happy to answer any questions.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall the Senate concur in House Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1669. All in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 54 voting Yea, 1 voting Nay, 1 voting Present. And having received the required constitutional majority, the Senate does concur in House Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1669, and the bill is declared passed. Senate Bill 1684. Senator Jones. Mr. Secretary, please read the motion.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1684. Signed by Senator Jones.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Senator Jones, to explain your motion.

SENATOR JONES:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Senate Bill 1684 amends the Regulatory Sunset Act to extend the repeal date of the Illinois Architecture Practice Act from (sic) of January 1st, 2020 to January 1st of 2030. No known opposition. Like to concur with the House amendment as well.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall the Senate concur with House Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1684. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open.
Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 59 voting Yea, none voting Nay, none voting Present. And having received the required constitutional majority, the Senate does concur with House Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1684, and the bill is declared passed. Senate Bill 1739. Senator Mulroe. Mr. Secretary, please read the motion.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:
I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1739.
Signed by Senator Mulroe.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Senator Mulroe, to explain your motion.

SENATOR MULROE:

Thank you, Mr. President and Members of the Senate. Amongst other things, the bill, as amended, prohibits an applicant who proposes the -- the closure of a health care facility to apply for a certificate of exemption from the Certificate of Need process, but allows the Health Facilities and Services Review Board to accept an application for an exemption from the CON process for the discontinuation of a category of service only every six months. I know of no opposition. It passed the House 116 to nothing.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall the Senate concur with House Amendments (sic) No. 2 to Senate Bill 1739. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 55 Yeas, none voting Nay, 1 voting Present. And having received
the required constitutional majority, the Senate does concur with House Amendments (sic) No. 2 to Senate Bill 1739, and the bill is declared passed. Senate Bill 1758. Senator Muñoz. Senate Bill 1899. Senator Link. Mr. Secretary, please read the motion.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1899.

Signed by Senator Link.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Senator Link, to explain your motion.

SENATOR LINK:

Thank you, Mr. President. And I have the correct bill this time. This is an agreed bill between labor and management on the unemployment benefit changes. I know of no objection to the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall the Senate concur with House Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1899. All those in favor, vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 59 voting Yea, none voting Nay, none voting Present. And having received the required constitutional majority, the Senate does concur -- the Senate does concur with House Amendments (sic) No. 1 to Senate Bill 1899, and the bill is declared passed. Senate Bill 1901. Senator Weaver. Mr. Secretary, please read the motion.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1901.

Signed by Senator Weaver.
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Senator Weaver, to explain your motion.

SENATOR WEAVER:

Thank you, Mr. President. Like to -- the amendment becomes the bill. Like to discuss it on 3rd. No? It's a concurrence? Great...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

This is a motion. So go ahead.

SENATOR WEAVER:

Yeah, great. Thank you very much. Just technical changes. Deals with exempt contracts with purchase of fuel and then makes technical changes to some parts of the -- with regard to State Board's teacher performance assets. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall the Senate concur with House Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1901. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 59 voting Yea, none voting Nay, none voting Present. And having received the required constitutional majority, the Senate does concur in House Amendments (sic) No. 1 to Senate Bill 1901, and the bill is declared passed. Senate Bill 1918. Senator Mulroe. Mr. Secretary, please read the motion.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 1918. Signed by Senator Mulroe.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)
Senator Mulroe, to explain your motion.

SENATOR MULROE:

Thank you, Mr. President. Senate Bill 1918, as amended, amongst other things, dissolves the Sex Offender Investigation Fund and the Murderer and Violent Offender Against Youth (Registration) Fund. The remaining funds will be deposited into the newly created Offender Registration Fund, formerly known as the Sex Offender Registration Fund. I know of no opposition. I'd ask for your Aye votes.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall the Senate concur with House Amendments No. 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 1918. All those in favor, vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 58 voting Yea, none voting Nay, 1 voting Present. And having received the required constitutional majority, the Senate does concur with House Amendments No. 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 1918, and the bill is declared passed. Senate Bill 2027. Senator Bennett. Mr. Secretary... Senator Bennett. Senator Bennett. Mr. Secretary, please read the motion.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 2027.

Signed by Senator Bennett.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Senator Bennett, to explain your motion.

SENATOR BENNETT:

Thank you, Mr. President. As you may recall, 2027 is the
result of a - and you've been waiting awhile for it - a Mahomet Aquifer Task Force report. I know you were missing an update on -- on it, but the -- as you'll recall, we created a task force. It met for a year. This puts its recommendations into practice. And I believe that Senator Rose has a -- a comment on the amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Is there any discussion? Senator Rose.

SENATOR ROSE:

Thank you, Mr. President. To the motion, if I may.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

To the motion.

SENATOR ROSE:

I want to say thank you to my good friend, Senator Bennett, who -- and also to Representative Ammons, who helped us amend this in the House to switch this from the two studies -- pilot studies of flying helicopter-borne electromagnetic imaging of landfills to actually shooting the area that is covered by the Peoples Gas natural leak -- natural gas leak in Mahomet -- northwest Champaign County, north of Mahomet. That really is a -- a present -- clear and present danger. It's an ongoing issue. Just in the last couple days, they've had some additional people they've found that have -- have been determined to be hot in terms of having natural gas in their water. And so doing this will help us make sure that we've got the right remedy in place over time to clean that up, get that out, and make sure our constituents have clean drinking water. So, with that, I want to say thank you again to Senator Bennett. Very excited to see this pass and I would urge the full General Assembly. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)
Further discussion? Senator Righter.

SENATOR RIGHTER:

Thank you very much, Mr. President. Will the sponsor yield, please?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Senator indicates he will yield.

SENATOR RIGHTER:

Thank you very much, Mr. President. Senator Bennett, I was listening, as I always do, very carefully to Senator Rose's comments and -- prompted this question. Does this bill have anything to do with judicial circuits?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Senator Bennett.

SENATOR BENNETT:

No, it does not, Senator Righter.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Senator Bennett, to close.

SENATOR BENNETT:

Well, first, I want to thank Judge Rose -- I'm sorry, Senator Rose, for his leadership on this - he has worked very hard - for his efforts for his communities over the Mahomet Aquifer and has helped remind us - we've talked about previously in the Session - there is no partisan side to protecting the environment of our communities. So I thank him for all his work all year long and the task force for what I think is a very reasonable and thoughtful amendment to this. And I'm just asking for an Aye vote from all sides.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

The question is, shall the Senate concur in House Amendment
No. 1 to Senate Bill 2027. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 58 voting Yea, none voting Nay, none voting Present. And having received the required constitutional majority, the Senate does concur in House Amendments {sic} No. 1 to Senate Bill 2027, and the bill is declared passed. Turning the page, we'll go to Senate Bill 2085. Senator Fine. Mr. Secretary, please read the motion.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 2085. Signed by Senator Fine.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Senator Fine, to explain your motion.

SENATOR FINE:

Thank you. I'd like to concur with House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 2085, please. All the amendment does is change the effective date on a bill that passed unanimously through this Senate.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall the Senate concur with House Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 2085. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 56 voting Yea, none voting Nay, none voting Present. And having received the required constitutional majority, the Senate does concur with House Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 2085, and the
bill is declared passed. Senate Bill 2096. Senator Manar. Mr. Secretary, please read the motion.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendments 1 and 3 to Senate Bill 2096.
Signed by Senator Manar.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Senator Manar, to explain your motion.

SENATOR MANAR:

Thank you, Mr. President. The House added some language onto this underlying bill that was passed by the Senate. The first piece would make what I think are appropriate changes to the property tax relief grant in the evidence-based funding. The bill also makes adjustments to the role of the Professional Review Panel, or the PRP, which has been operating over the last year, seeking to focus it more on the evaluation of the items affecting the funding model. And it makes the State Superintendent of schools the chair of the PRP. And then, finally, it provides that the PRP may only evaluate elements that the General Assembly -- that we direct them to evaluate. I would ask for us to approve the concurrence motion. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Is there any discussion? Senator Weaver.

SENATOR WEAVER:

Yes, sir. Thank you, Mr. President. To the bill, please.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

To the motion.

SENATOR WEAVER:

Yeah, to the motion. Thank you. The underlying bill we voted
on, encouraged, went to the House; we were surprised to find that when this bill came back to us, there were some changes in it. We were totally surprised by it. There's still some concerns by some stakeholders - IEA and IFT, for example. But what this does is deals with property tax relief. What we were unclear on on our side was what does this do with regard to individual school districts. And had no opportunity to see any stack-ups on that with regard to spreadsheets, charts, or whatever. So I'm going to recommend a No vote, and I would encourage people, before they vote Yes on this, be certain what actually happens with your school districts back home. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Any further discussion? Senator Manar, to close.

SENATOR MANAR:

I appreciate all those -- those remarks. I would just clarify that there is no opposition to this bill from either the IEA or the IFT. This bill does not have an -- an -- any impact whatsoever on school districts today. What it does is it simply better aligns with what the intent was of the General Assembly for the property tax relief grant portion of evidence-based funding. I think if anybody looks at how the money was distributed and who accessed it, it's clearly flawed. I don't know of anybody defending the program today. So this bill is pretty simple; it just puts forward a different path for property tax relief grants that have to be applied for by school districts - it's not distributive - and it says that these grants should go to all districts, unit districts included, which were excluded before, and they should go to high-tax, low-value districts, which was the point in the first place. So I would ask for an Aye vote.
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

The question is, shall the Senate concur with House Amendments No. 1 and 3 to Senate Bill 2096. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 41 voting Yea, 17 voting Nay, none voting Present. And having received the required constitutional majority, the Senate does concur in House Amendments No. 1 and 3 to Senate Bill 2096, and the bill is declared passed. Senate Bill 2120. Senator Sims. Mr. Secretary, please read the motion.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 2120. Signed by Senator Sims.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Senator Sims, to explain your motion.

SENATOR SIMS:

Thank -- thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. House Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 2120 amends the underlying language of the bill by extending the sunset date for the Capital Development Board's single prime construction delivery system from January -- January 1st, 2019 to January 1st, 2020. It also no longer requires the Board to make quarterly reports to the Procurement Policy Board for single prime projects valued at ten million dollars or less. I know of no opposition and would ask for a favorable roll call.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall -- shall the Senate concur in House Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill
2120. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 59 voting Yea, none voting Nay, none voting Present. And having received the required constitutional majority, the Senate does concur in House Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 2120, and the bill is declared passed. Ladies and Gentlemen, we'll now go to the regular Calendar on page 7. On the top of the page, we have House Bill 2182. Senator Sandoval. We'll go to House Bill 2304. Senator Martinez. Senator Martinez. Senator Martinez seeks leave of the Body to return House Bill 2304 to the Order of 2nd Reading. Leave is granted. Now on the Order of 2nd Reading is House Bill 2304. Mr. Secretary, are there any Floor amendments approved for consideration.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Floor Amendment No. 1, offered by Senator Martinez.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Senator Martinez, to explain your amendment.

SENATOR MARTINEZ:

I -- thank you, Mr. President. The amendment to be adopted and I'll be able to answer all the questions on my 3rd Reading.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Is there any discussion? All those in favor will say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it, and the amendment is adopted. Are there any further Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

No further amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

3rd Reading. Now on the Order of 3rd Reading is Senate {sic}
Bill 2304. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.
SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 2304.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Senator Martinez, to explain your bill.

SENATOR MARTINEZ:

Thank you, Mr. President. Senate Floor Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 2304 changes the name of the program to the "Training in the Building Trades Program". It provides that the Department of Commerce and Economic Opportunity should administer the program and removes the Department of Human Services and the Illinois Housing Development Authority from the bill. It adds that the program is available to persons from eighteen to thirty-five years of age that have an interest in building trades. It provides the Department of Children and Family Services, in consultation with DCEO, shall identify youth that have aged out of the foster care system and refer them to the community-based organizations that provide the training program. And I'll be happy to answer any questions.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Is there any discussion? Senator Righter.

SENATOR RIGHTER:

Thank you, Mr. President. To the bill, if I might.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

To the bill.

SENATOR RIGHTER:

Thank you. I was making sure it wasn't a motion, Mr.
President. Thank you very much, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. I think this is a great idea but for one enormous flaw, and that is, is that the bill requires that the teachers or trainers in programs to -- in order to be eligible for the grant money, must be union. Now, I understand that for some that's like, "well, okay, that's a good thing, right, maybe even politically". But the practical impact of that is that there will be young people across the State who will not be able to access this training program or to receive State taxpayer money because, in some areas, there are not as many unions - and certainly people willing to teach these programs - as there are in other areas. This would be a much, much better bill if both union and nonunion individuals who are qualified will be -- were allowed to teach these programs. Thank you very much, Mr. -- Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Any further discussion? Senator Martinez, to close.

SENATOR MARTINEZ:

Thank you. Thank you, Mr. President and Members of the Senate. One of the reasons why this bill is very important especially is that I think many of us struggle with the fact that many minorities are not brought to the unions; there's not enough apprenticeship programs and trade programs out there for our young and -- for our -- our youth. Especially, I -- you know, this is something that I'm very interested in, making sure that DCFS kids, after they are -- actually, they age out, this is a good way for them to -- in these programs. There's some programs that are out there right now. One of the programs that we saw in Decatur was the Homework Hangout building, and I think that that alone has -- you know, it already has three hundred participants. The most
important thing is that we want to make sure that our men and women of color are able to apply for these jobs, these union jobs that we do not see many of. So this is an encouragement to make sure that -- it was brought to me by the union painters and I think it's important that they are recruiting our young men and women into the trades, because some of these students do not have access to university dollars or anything like that. So I would encourage an Aye vote. This is, again, a -- a good program to encourage our young men and women to enter into the trades. And I ask for an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

The question, shall House Bill 2304 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 46 voting Yea, 12 voting Nay, none voting Present. And House Bill 2304, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. We will go to -- we will go now to House Bill 2408. Senator McClure. Senator McClure, on House Bill 2408. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 2408.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Senator McClure, on your bill.

SENATOR McCLURE:

Thank you, Mr. President. This bill deals with the removal of private compromising images, essentially where you have
somebody who is photographed without their permission with nudity and then the images are posted online. This provides for civil penalties and allows for a judge to do an emergency take-down order to remove it from the website.

PRESIDING OFFICER:  (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Bill 2408 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 58 voting Yea, none voting Nay, none voting Present. And House Bill -- 2408, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. House Bill 2488. Senator Harmon. Senator Harmon seeks... Okay. We'll go to House Bill 2497. Senator Belt. We'll go to House Bill 2502. Senator Castro. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 2502.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER:  (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Senator Castro, to explain your bill.

SENATOR CASTRO:

Thank you, Mr. President. House Bill 2502 permits a firefighter to transfer service credit from the Chicago firefighter pension fund to downstate firefighter pension fund within six months of the enactment of this legislation. The Chicago firefighter pension fund must transfer the amounts accumulated to the credit of the firefighter on the date of transfer along with any employer contributions to date and any
interest paid by the applicant in order to reinstate the service. I ask -- I ask for an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Bill 2502 pass. All those in favor will say Aye -- will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 54 voting Yea, none voting Nay, none voting Present. And House Bill 2502, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. We will turn to page 8. At the top of the page, Senate Bill -- or House Bill 2540. Senator Tom Cullerton. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 2540.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Senator Cullerton, to explain your bill.

SENATOR T. CULLERTON:

Thank you, Mr. President. House Bill 2540, as amended, creates the Blockchain Business Development Act. This is going to require the Department of Financial and Professional Regulation and the Department of Commerce (and Economic Opportunity) to start investigating and incorporating how blockchain development and economic development can handle marketing programs throughout the State. There has been no opposition in the House. There was no opposition in committee. I ask for an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)
Is there any discussion? Senator Tracy.

SENATOR TRACY:

Thank you, Mr. President. Question of the sponsor.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Sponsor indicates he'll yield.

SENATOR TRACY:

Senator Cullerton, could we have a dissertation on the meaning of blockchain technology?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Senator Cullerton.

SENATOR T. CULLERTON:

Senator Tracy, blockchain technology was originally developed as part of the digital currency Bitcoin. But the two are not the same. Blockchain can support a wide range of applications, and it's already being used for peer-to-peer payment services, supply chain tracking, and more.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Senator Tracy.

SENATOR TRACY:

Also, who is T. McConchie?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Senator Cullerton.

SENATOR T. CULLERTON:

It's my alter ego when I'm a superhero.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Senator Tracy.

SENATOR TRACY:

Thank you. That concludes my remarks, but I'm not sure I still -- understand blockchain technology.
PRESIDING OFFICER:  (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Senator Cullerton, to close.

SENATOR T. CULLERTON:

I ask for an Aye vote.  Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER:  (SENATOR KOEHLER)

The question is, shall House Bill 2540 pass.  All those in favor, vote Aye.  Opposed, Nay.  The voting is open.  Have all voted who wish?  Have all voted who wish?  Have all voted who wish?  Take the record.  On that question, there are 59 voting Yea, none voting Nay, none voting Present.  And House Bill 2540, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed.  House Bill 27 -- 2627.  Senator Castro.  Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.  Senator Castro seeks leave of the Body to return House Bill 2627 to the Order of 2nd Reading.  Leave is granted.  Now on the Order of 2nd Reading is House Bill 2627.  Mr. Secretary, are there any Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Floor Amendment No. 2, offered by Senator Castro.

PRESIDING OFFICER:  (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Senator Castro, to explain your amendment.

SENATOR CASTRO:

Thank you, Mr. President.  I look for its adoption and I'll explain on 3rd.

PRESIDING OFFICER:  (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Is there any discussion?  All those in favor will say Aye.  Opposed, Nay.  The Ayes have it, and the amendment is adopted.  Are there any further Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

No further amendments reported.
3rd Reading. Now on the Order of 3rd Reading is Senate Bill 2627. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 2627.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER:  (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Senator Castro, to explain your bill.

SENATOR CASTRO:

Thank you, Mr. President. House Bill 2627, as amended, requires any law enforcement officer questioning a student to first notify the parent and make reasonable effort to ensure that the parent or guardian is present during questioning. If a parent cannot be present, then every attempt should be made to have a listed school personnel present. The bill exempts a situation where law enforcement must make urgent and immediate action. The bill does not limit an officer's ability to make an arrest on school grounds. It is the intent of this bill that the role of any school personnel present during the questioning of the student is that of a neutral observer. I ask for -- have no -- I -- you know, I want to thank everyone, Senator Curran as long -- as well as law enforcement, who worked with on -- me on this bill. And I ask for an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER:  (SENATOR KOEHLER)

There any discussion? Senator Weaver.

SENATOR WEAVER:

Thank you, Mr. President. And just to the bill, please.

PRESIDING OFFICER:  (SENATOR KOEHLER)
To the bill.

SENATOR WEAVER:

First, I want to thank the sponsor. You've worked very hard on this. I still have some aggrievement; I think some other folks from our side will as well. But this -- this bill came out of a crisis that happened at Naperville, where a school resource officer talked to a student; the student, as a result, committed suicide. I totally understand what you're trying to accomplish here, that -- that was a terrible circumstance. But I want to take the whole picture of what school resource officers are there to accomplish. It is not their goal to arrest. It's their goal to counsel kids; it's their goal to help get to the bottom of problems quickly. And we all know that when they bring a student in, too often -- and when they engage a parent, it's very difficult for them to get to the bottom of those problems. I called school -- a school resource officer from my hometown yesterday to discuss this bill with him. I had a very good feeling about how they try to do their job and I believe this type of bill discourages that work that they try to do. I also want to think about a dynamic where -- and I said to him, what would happen if you had - because this gentleman happens to come out of a school that has a lot of fights. He said if you have ten or twelve guys involved in a fight, now what do you have to do before you can sit down to really start getting to the bottom of that now with parents getting involved, and very difficult then to actually solve the problem. It is not their goal to arrest these kids or cause problems. Their goal - to provide discipline. And I think everybody in this room agrees, one problem we have in our schools today is discipline, and we're holding -- we're tying these guys hands from doing their job right.
So I'd request a No vote, but, again, thank the sponsor for your work on it.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

    Further discussion? Senator Hunter.

SENATOR HUNTER:

    Thank you, Mr. President. A question for the sponsor.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

    Sponsor indicates she'll yield.

SENATOR HUNTER:

    Thank you. Senator Castro, is it the intent of the bill that the role of any school personnel present during the questioning of the student is that of a neutral observer?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

    Senator Castro.

SENATOR CASTRO:

    Yes, ma'am, it is.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

    Senator Hunter.

SENATOR HUNTER:

    That's good. Okay. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

    Further discussion? Senator Curran.

SENATOR CURRAN:

    Thank you, Mr. -- thank you, Mr. President. To -- to the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

    To the bill.

SENATOR CURRAN:

    I -- I just want to thank the sponsor. Worked on -- she --
I worked on this at the end with the sponsor, but she was open to further refinement - I really appreciate that - in terms of the standards being held on the notification. I -- I rise in support of this bill and encourage an Aye -- Yes vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Further discussion? Senator Castro, to close.

SENATOR CASTRO:

Thank you, Mr. President. This -- this is a very sensitive issue, and, yes, it was a very tragic situation that happened in Naperville. But one of the things is that when we -- I -- you know, I worked with all parties involved in this bill to -- to -- to strike a delicate balance but also to make sure that incident doesn't happen again. And it was a very tragic incident where the young man was scared, so scared that he thought his life was over, that he went on top of a parking deck and jumped. And -- and I don't want another parent to face that. And, again, I thank everyone who worked on this legislation and I ask for an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

The question is, shall House Bill 2627 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 42 voting Aye, 14 voting Nay, none voting Present. And House Bill 2627, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. We'll go to House Bill 2763. Senator Cunningham. Go to House Bill 2823. Senator Sandoval. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 2823.

(Secretary reads title of bill)
3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Senator Sandoval, to explain your bill.

SENATOR SANDOVAL:

Thank you, Mr. President. House Bill 2823 is basically a tool to be used by the -- the Regional Transportation Authority. It gives them a good financial tool to extend the -- the ability to sell, issue, and deliver additional working cash notes. It also allows the RTA to open a line of credit with a bank or financial institution as well as using working cash notes. This type of bill would allow the RTA the ability to have some more flexibility during times of State budget uncertainty. It's a good bill. I'd ask a favorable vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Is there any discussion? Senator DeWitte.

SENATOR DEWITTE:

Thank you, Mr. President. It is a good bill. The RTA has struggled with the State being in arrears on hundreds of millions of dollars of reimbursement that they are owed from the State. This gives the RTA and the accompanying service boards the ability to operate more freely by expanding their available credit. I strongly urge an Aye vote. I want to thank the sponsor for his work on this bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Any further discussion? Senator Sandoval, to close.

SENATOR SANDOVAL:

I'd ask for a vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

The question is, shall House Bill 2823 pass. All those in
favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 46 voting Yea, 8 voting Nay, none voting Present. And House Bill 2823, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. House Bill 2841. Senator Tom Cullerton. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.
SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 2841.

(Secretary reads title of bill)
3rd Reading of the bill.
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Senator Cullerton, to explain your bill.

SENATOR T. CULLERTON:

Thank you, Mr. President. House Bill 2841 amends the Illinois Marriage and Dissolution of Marriage Act. Provides that a marriage may be solemnized by a mayor or president of a city or village with no compensation. This passed out a hundred percent in the House, passed through committee. I ask for an Aye vote.
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Bill 2841 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 57 voting Yea, 1 voting Nay, none voting Present. And House Bill 2841, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. House Bill 2856. Senator Sandoval. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.
SECRETARY ANDERSON:
House Bill 2856.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Senator Sandoval, to explain your bill.

SENATOR SANDOVAL:

Thank you, Mr. President. The -- House Bill 2856 requires the Secretary of State to implement electronic title system by July 2021 that will permit a lienholder to perfect, assign, and release a lien. The Secretary of State may, by administrative rule, establish procedures relating to implementation of the system and change (sic) a reasonable maintenance fee. This -- there are no opponents. I'd ask for a favorable vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Bill 2856 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 59 voting Yea, none voting Nay, none voting Present. And House Bill 2856, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Going to House Bill 2862. Senator Mulroe seeks leave of the Body to return House Bill 2862 to the Order of 2nd Reading. Leave is granted. Now on the Order of 2nd Reading is House Bill 2862. Mr. Secretary, are there any Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Floor Amendment No. 3, offered by Senator Mulroe.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Senator Mulroe, to explain your amendment.
SENATOR MULROE:

Thank you -- thank you, Mr. President. I'd like to start by thanking Senator Curran for helping me out in -- in committee to make it -- to making -- helping -- the committee members understand, to make it crystal clear, what this bill is about. This amendment is intended to clarify the proper scope of the statute of limitations found in the Municipal Code, County (sic) (Counties) Code, Township Code for judicial review of a special use in zoning variance petitions. Currently, if a person files for a zoning change - for instance, a special use, a variance, rezoning, or other amendment - and the governing body makes a decision to deny the change requested, that person must seek judicial review of that decision within ninety days. This bill does not change that. It keeps that -- the ninety days for a routine zoning change request. What this bill does and the amendment does is clarifies that the ninety-day period does not apply when a person challenges the constitutionality of the ordinance. I'm happy to answer any questions.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

This is on the amendment. Is there any discussion on the amendment? All those in favor will say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Are there any further Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

No further amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

3rd Reading. Now on the Order of 3rd Reading is House Bill 2862. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:
House Bill 2862.
(Secretary reads title of bill)
3rd Reading of the bill.
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)
Would you like to explain your bill? Senator Mulroe.
SENATOR MULROE:
Thank you, Mr. -- Mr. President. I'll rely on what I just said previously, but the reason we need this bill is that there are some courts who have misinterpreted or -- the ninety-day requirement to -- applying to constitutional challenges versus those other routine petition requests.
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)
Is there any discussion? Senator Curran.
SENATOR CURRAN:
Question of the sponsor, Mr. President.
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)
He indicates he will yield.
SENATOR CURRAN:
Senator, in committee, it came out -- isn't it correct that there's active litigation by the proponent, the person that brought you this bill, that they're involved in; that this -- passage of this legislation would affect current rulings in that litigation?
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)
Senator Mulroe.
SENATOR MULROE:
Yes, that is correct. The attorney that was specialized in this area and does work in zoning changes said he is actually involved in current litigation. But, besides his, there's other litigation that the courts are not clear when this ninety-day
requirement of -- or what it applies to. Some courts are interpreting it to apply for constitutional challenges when it's pretty clear in the actual law it only applies to - and I'll read from the actual old language - "special use, variance, rezoning, or other amendment to a zoning ordinance". The current law does not apply to constitutional challenges, but some courts are misinterpreting the statute. That's why we're providing clarity.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Senator Curran.

SENATOR CURRAN:

Senator, now, that case that's currently pending has not gone on appeal. And, in fact, no appellate court has actually reviewed any of these trial court or lower court rulings; that there -- there is -- seem to be disparity going both ways. Isn't that correct?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Senator Mulroe.

SENATOR MULROE:

That is correct. The attorney that testified in committee stated that no appellate or reviewing court has made the determination, but I don't believe we need to wait for that. There's inconsistency in -- unclear decisions right now. We want to provide clarity to the trial courts.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Senator Curran.

SENATOR CURRAN:

Senator, if -- if someone wanted to challenge on administrative review a decision of a zoning board or municipality in a denial, they could challenge the denial on several
nonconstitutional bases, but a second basis would be that constitutionality. And if they did so, they would have different windows of -- they would be able to challenge the -- the nonconstitutional at ninety days, but with passage of this, they would be able to come back sometime within the next five years and challenge the same ruling of that administrative body -- up to five years later. Isn't that kind of the -- the -- the way I see it, that's the disparity this is going to create.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Senator Mulroe.

SENATOR MULROE:

No. They only have ninety days to challenge when they petition for a -- a change, a special use, a variance, or another amendment relating to the zoning. This is a petitioner or a person who actually tries to, you know, request a governing body for a -- a change and gets denied. It's ninety days -- that's it. It doesn't change. We're only saying that the ninety days does not apply to constitutional challenges -- just like it does to every statute that we pass. Federally, if you're challenging it based on a federal Constitution, you have two years. Currently in Illinois, you challenge an Illinois statute, you have five years. We're just saying that the ninety days only apply to routine changes that are requested to a -- a municipality, township, or county.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Senator Curran.

SENATOR CURRAN:

To the bill, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)
To the bill.

SENATOR CURRAN:

You know, Senator, I -- I guess the problem I see with this is twofold. One, there's current litigation pending and we have a proponent coming down here to Springfield rather than using the -- the legal mechanisms available in his litigation, which is appeal to the appellate court on a ruling that he disagrees with. I don't believe that that's the proper mechanism or use of this Chamber, to get involved in active pending litigation. This issue deserves an opportunity for review by an appellate court, by a reviewing court. It seems to be on its way, and we are going to interject ourselves and stop that. Secondly, I guess I would -- my -- my -- my other point - that I probably wasn't making very well - is you can have to different bases. You can have a denial of the decision of the -- you can -- you can appeal the denial of a decision, but you can also say that that decision is based on an unconstitutional ordinance, unconstitutional statute, and -- and that would create a -- really a disparate path in your matter. So I think, you know, based upon both those issues here, I would encourage a No vote on this matter. Thank you. Thank you, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Any further discussion? Seeing none -- Senator Mulroe, to close.

SENATOR MULROE:

Senator Curran, thank you, for your comments. I would just say that there's always going to be current litigation, and in this case -- and if we wait for, on appeal -- appellate process to take place, that's very costly and it's very time-consuming. If
you recall also in committee, this attorney -- the judge that he's before ruled that the ninety days for -- based on the constitutional challenge, applied to that constitutional challenge and then he later reversed himself and said it did not apply. So they are -- the trial courts don't know. So we're just trying -- trying to provide clarity and guidance to the trial judges in saying the ninety days only apply to routine requests for changing your zoning; if you're going to challenge the constitutionality of an ordinance, you have the general rule of law on the time the statute of limitations that applies in every other challenge. Thank you. I'd ask for an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER:  (SENATOR KOEHLER)

The question is, shall House Bill 2862 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 30 voting Aye, 19 voting Nay, 1 voting Present. And House Bill 2862, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. House Bill 2924. Senator Sandoval. House Bill 2957. Senator Harris. House Bill 2992. Senator Sims. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 2992.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER:  (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Senator Sims, to explain your bill.

SENATOR SIMS:

Thank -- thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of
the Senate. House Bill -- House Bill 2992 provides that under each of the -- of the five business organization Acts, the Secretary of State shall maintain a list of domestic and foreign corporations which are regulated by the Public Utilities Act, the Collateral Recovery Act, the Personal Property Storage Act, or Chapter 18a, 18c, or 18d of the Illinois Vehicle Code. I know of no opposition, will answer any questions, and ask for a favorable roll call, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Bill 2992 pass. All those in favor will say -- will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 59 voting Yea, none voting Nay, none voting Present. And House Bill 2992, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senator Tom Cullerton, for what purpose do you seek recognition?

SENATOR T. CULLERTON:

Thank you, Mr. President. I need to be -- I would ask to be recorded as a Yes vote on House Bill 2841, please.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Your intentions will so reflect in the record. We're going to go to House Bill 3061. Senator Sims. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 3061.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)
Senator Sims, to explain your bill.

SENATOR SIMS:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. House Bill 3061 allows a workforce intermediary or organization providing pro bono legal services to initiate a fingerprint-based criminal history record check on behalf of an individual intending to apply for a direct care position where a conditional employment offer has not been made and a background check has not been previously conducted. The bill allows those organizations or an enrollee in a training program, in addition to any student or applicant, to request a waiver of the prohibition against employment. The bill also adds enrollees in a training program as applicants that are covered under the Act. I know of no opposition, Mr. President. Will answer any questions and ask for a favorable roll call.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Bill 3061 pass. All those in -- in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 56 voting Yea, 1 voting Nay, none voting Present. And House Bill 3061, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. House Bill 3084. Senator Harmon. House Bill... House Bill -- Mr. Secretary, please read the bill, House Bill 3084.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 3084.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Senator Harmon, to explain your bill.

SENATOR HARMON:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. House Bill 3084 is an initiative of my State Representative, Camille Lilly. It directs the Department of Commerce and Economic Opportunity to assess its marketing programs and, if it determines it's necessary, to establish the position of Citizens Services Coordinator. I'm not aware of any opposition. I ask you for your Aye votes.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Bill 3084 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 56 voting Yea, none voting Nay, none voting Present. And House Bill 3084, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. House Bill 3096. Senator Harmon. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 3096.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Senator Harmon, to explain your bill.

SENATOR HARMON:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. House Bill 3096 is very similar to Senate Bill 685. Mr. President, I'd like to take this bill out of the record.
PRESIDING OFFICER:  (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Out of the record.  House Bill 3051 (sic).  Senator Sims.  Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 3151.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER:  (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Senator Sims, to explain your bill.

SENATOR SIMS:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate.  House Bill 3151 removes the sunset date of December 31st, 2020, and makes the Illinois Sentencing Policy Advisory Council permanent.  Adds a -- adds a new appointee to the Council as a -- who is a sheriff from outside Cook County.  It also clarifies that the Council shall determine the qualifications for and hire the Executive Director.  And it adds -- it's a -- for an immediate effective date.  I ask -- I know of no opposition and will answer any questions, Mr. President.  Ask for a favorable roll call.

PRESIDING OFFICER:  (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Is there any discussion?  Senator Belt.  Is there any discussion?  Seeing no further discussion, the question is, shall House Bill 3151 pass.  All those in favor, vote Aye.  Opposed, Nay.  The voting is open.  Have all voted who wish?  Have all voted who wish?  Have all voted who wish?  Take the record.  On that question, there are 56 voting Yea, none voting Nay, none voting Present.  And House Bill 3151, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed.  We're going to turn the page, to page 10.  At the top of the page, we'll actually start
with House Bill 3299. Senator Harmon. Senator Harmon, on -- Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 3299.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENIOR KOEHLER)

Senator Harmon, to explain your bill.

SENIOR HARMON:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. House Bill 3299 is an initiative of the Guardianship and Advocacy Commission. It would direct that people living -- people with developmental disabilities living in a residential facility have access to developmentally appropriate sex education that focuses, as much as anything, on understanding appropriate signs of affection and avoiding predators. I know that the Murray Home parents in Senator Plummer's district have some concerns. I've also heard from a constituent with a ward at the Ludeman Center. But, beyond that, I believe there is broad agreement in the guardianship community and in the professional community that this is a good bill. The only thing terribly controversial about it is it has the word "sex" in the title. I'm looking forward to a conversation and I'm -- I'm happy to answer any questions.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENIOR KOEHLER)

Is there any discussion? Senator Plummer.

SENIOR PLUMMER:

Thank you, Mr. President. To the sponsor.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENIOR KOEHLER)

Sponsor indicates he'll yield.
SENATOR PLUMMER:

Senator Harmon, thank you for your time and conversation on this bill thus far. I -- I do want to clarify, the Murray Parents Association do oppose this bill, but also the Illinois League of Advocates for the Developmentally Disabled oppose it, the VOR oppose it, the Mabley Family Association opposes it, Friends of Ann Kiley Center oppose it, Friends of the Shapiro Developmental Center oppose it, Parents and Friends of the Ludeman Center oppose it, Friends of Choate and numerous other organizations oppose it. So I -- I guess my -- my -- my question is - all of these groups have met with the various sponsors of this bill; they've suggested some very reasonable changes that I -- I -- I think are very thoughtful - what is wrong with the proposed changes that have been presented to -- to you and -- and -- and the sponsor in the House?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Senator Harmon.

SENATOR HARMON:

I can't speak for the House sponsor, Senator. I have had one phone call with one guardian. You and I have talked. I -- I received one email from another guardian referring to a House bill. But, beyond that, I have not been asked to make any changes or heard from any of those groups. And I apologize, I was not aware of that list of opponents. They are not registered as opponents in our system. And I'm -- I'm sorry if I misspoke, but those -- those are the only two groups that had any outreach to me.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Senator Plummer.

SENATOR PLUMMER:
So -- so let's just be clear what this -- what this bill does. Sex education for the developmentally disabled - it -- it -- it doesn't sound like a bad thing and -- and I think that it's -- it's -- it's a good thing in a lot of situations, but -- but the developmentally disabled population is a broad group of people. And the concern from the opponents is simply that the higher-functioning folks are treated the same as the lower-functioning folks. There was a pilot program, as you're aware of, of this program in 2014, and some very terrible things happened during this pilot program. Reports were submitted. DHS apparently has copies of these reports; other State agencies apparently have copies of these reports. I've requested copies of them. I believe you have requested copies of 'em. I know other Members of this Body have requested copies of those reports. How come we haven't seen copies of these reports from stuff that happened five years ago?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENIOR KOEHLER)

SENATOR HARMON:

Well, I don't think I can answer that question, but I -- what I can tell you, Senator, is that the pilot program that DHS ran a few years ago - I inquired about this - and I would say that this is a hundred and eighty degrees opposite of what this bill does. That was, in fact, a one-size-fits-all proposal. As -- I -- I have gotten reports through the Guardianship and Advocacy Commission from DHS; I've not spoken to DHS directly. But the report I got was that was a one-size-fits-all program, where there was no differentiation based on developmental disability. DHS quickly realized it was not appropriate and withdrew it.
reports that some of the more sensational allegations that you referenced in our prior conversations aren't supported by the evidence that they have. I have not seen the reports - I want to be clear about that - but they are -- were not aware of those sorts of claims. This program in this bill requires an assessment of each individual to determine their developmentally appropriate sex education materials and resources and does not treat everyone the same. So that's a critical distinction from the DHS program.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Senator Plummer.

SENATOR PLUMMER:

Could you please explain in detail how this bill does not treat all developmentally disabled residents of these facilities the same? Could you please explain to the Body how it differentiates between higher-functioning folks and lower-functioning folks?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Senator Harmon.

SENATOR HARMON:

I'm -- I'm happy to. I will read from the bill. "The person receiving habilitation shall be assessed...on whether he or she has decision making capacity to give consent to sexual activity; and...for developmentally appropriate sex education materials and resources. As part of the assessments, consideration shall be given to medical, psychological, and psycho-social evaluations. The person's decision making capacity to consent to sexual activity and the developmentally appropriate sex education materials and resources shall be determined by the treatment team...." Each individual is individually assessed and the program is designed
for their level of disability.

PRESIDING OFFICER:  (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Senator Plummer.

SENATOR PLUMMER:

So -- so that -- that's exactly what I want to highlight right there, and that is at the core of what is wrong with this bill. If these patients have a change in their medication, if these patients need to go get a flu shot, if these patients want to move from one room to the next room, they have to have the approval - please correct me if I'm wrong - of their parents or a court-appointed guardian. Is that -- is that correct?

PRESIDING OFFICER:  (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Senator Harmon.

SENATOR HARMON:

I do not believe that's correct, Senator. These decisions are made in many contexts by a multi-member treatment team, just as it is done here. The treatment team includes the individual, the person -- the ward himself or herself, professionals who have knowledge of the individual, and the individual's guardian, if appropriate. It does not resolve a situation, nor do we resolve this situation in other circumstances, where there's a difference of opinion. And the -- the -- the guardian's role is not changed at all by this law. We -- we are maintaining and respecting the standards of decision-making set forth in the Probate Act. We are not diminishing or enhancing the guardian's role.

PRESIDING OFFICER:  (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Senator Plummer, do you have a lot of other questions or can you focus... To the bill.

SENATOR PLUMMER:
So I just want to highlight one more time with this bill, there's a lot of question marks. I think we could hear from the back-and-forth there's a lot of question marks. We do not have copies of the reports. And -- and I don't take pleasure in talking about things like this, but things occurred during this pilot program that were outside the scope of the court-appointed guardians and outside of the scope of the parents, things like higher-functioning males in these facilities were giving money and giving candy and giving soda to lower-functioning females in return for sexual favors. And the parents and the court-appointed guardians have requested reports and they've received none. They requested copies of the pilot program to see how this program was being rolled out. They received no copies of this pilot program. What is happening is the parents and the court-appointed guardians are being removed from this program. However, when a developmentally disabled person becomes pregnant or a developmentally disabled person gets an STD or something like that, because of this program, the parents and court-appointed guardians are on the hook for that. The only change that was requested to this legislation, the only change that was requested, was could the court-appointed guardians or the parents have final say, like they do on so many other matters. No change was made, and we're putting the very most vulnerable people in our society at high risk. The courts have ruled that; their parents have ruled that; the staff of these facilities have ruled that. Without any changes, this is a terrible bill, and I beg you - a No vote. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Further discussion? Senator Schimpf.
SENATOR SCHIMPF:

...Mr. President. Will the sponsor yield for a couple questions?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Indicates he will yield.

SENATOR SCHIMPF:

Yes. Thank you, Senator Harmon. Just -- just two questions about what some of the -- some of the language in here means. If -- if you look at line 13 through 16 of the bill, it -- you know, it contains some language - "shall have access to sex education, related resources, and treatment planning that supports his or her right to sexual health and healthy sexual practices". Does this legislation create a right to -- to sexual health and practices in Illinois?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Senator Harmon.

SENATOR HARMON:

No, I don't believe that it does, but you also ended that before the period. The rest of that sentence reads "and to be free from sexual exploitation and abuse". So this is -- this is not just sex ed; this is focused as much as anything on making sure people in these situations have a better sense of what is appropriate and what is not appropriate and how to protect themselves from predators and abusers.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Senator Schimpf.

SENATOR SCHIMPF:

Nobody -- nobody objects to that, but I just wanted to clarify that this does not -- this does not -- create a -- a right to -- to
sexual -- sexual health and healthy sexual practices. The other -- the other question that I wanted to ask you about the language is, on -- on page 2, when we're talking about the -- the course material and instruction in sex education, it says it shall "be appropriate to the developmental disability of the recipient". Can you -- can you tell me what appropriate means?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

SENATOR HARMON:

Thank you, Mr. President. And, Senator Schimpf, thank you for your questions. I -- I appreciate now, with that question, more your view. So thank you. The idea again - and in my earlier exchange with the prior speaker, I should have read this piece as well - the idea is that for each individual, they should be assessed for what is appropriate for their level of development and that the -- the -- the course material, if it -- or the -- the instructional material should be designed for that person's particular circumstances, depending on their functioning. Now, not everyone is low-functioning. There are many people here, in -- in facilities like this, who are relatively high-functioning and have an active social life, with or without any sort of romantic connections, and we want to make sure that they have the tools to navigate that -- appropriate touching, appropriate ways to show affection. If they are sufficiently prepared for a -- a romantic relationship, they should have those tools. So that's what it means. Someone who has a very low level of function would get a -- radically different sex ed resources than someone who is functioning at a high level.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)
Senator Schimpf.

SENATOR SCHIMPF:

And that's the -- that's the point that I'd like to clarify, and I -- and I'm a little bit concerned that's not explicit in this, is no sexual instruction at all - is that -- is that allowed under this -- this law? If we say that -- that, based on the -- the low functioning that the individual has, no sexual instruction whatsoever would be appropriate, is -- is that allowed?

PRESIDING OFFICER:  (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Senator Harmon.

SENATOR HARMON:

Thank you, Mr. President. Based on my consultation with the folks at Guardianship and Advocacy and experts in the field, I would say, yes, for -- for -- for certain folks, no education would be appropriate, and also, for others, something akin to the warnings we give to our youngest children about being touched or watching out for predators may be the only thing that is appropriate. So, yes, there would definitely be a -- a -- a -- a graduated level of instruction.

PRESIDING OFFICER:  (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Senator Schimpf.

SENATOR SCHIMPF:

Thank you for that clarification. To the bill, if I might.

PRESIDING OFFICER:  (SENATOR KOEHLER)

To the bill.

SENATOR SCHIMPF:

Yes. You know, thank you -- thank you, Senator Harmon, for your willingness to answer questions and your work on this. Members of the Senate, there are -- there are some areas where,
you know, whether it's Second Amendment stuff, whether its pro-life stuff, we're just not going to be able to find common ground and work together. This is not one of those areas. This is something where we can as Republicans and Democrats work together. There's also -- sometimes you'll hear me talk about legislation in this Chamber where I say this is a solution in search of a problem. Once again, that's not the case here. This is -- the problem that's being identified, that we need to have appropriate sexual education, is real. You know, there -- there is a need for some legislation. However, I don't know that this is completely ready to go. The concern that I -- the concern that I have, and this is something where -- you know, we all have the software in front of us on our computer. This is one of the few times where we could actually find out some information if you -- if you look at -- you know, if you look at the information that's in front of you. If you hit on the bill status and look at the history of this -- of this bill, you will see that this bill has not been amended once since it made it -- since it was filed in the House. The inference that I draw from that is that there's not a willingness to -- to work and there's not a willingness to come together. And I -- Senator, you have been willing to talk; I'm just not sure what's going on on the House side, because there was a -- there was another bill filed in the House. It's House Bill 3-0-7-1. I don't agree with everything that's in that House bill, but I do think that House bill has some good language in it and that House bill has good language where it's clearer. It makes it very clear the role of the guardian. It also makes it clear the role -- that the -- that the sex education has to be appropriate. So what I -- what I would ask, Senator Harmon, is that you pull this bill --
bill from the record, and ask that we try to come up with an amendment that would -- that would combine the language of the two bills that were filed, because this is something that is important. It is an important topic, but it's also important that we get it right and that we work together. I would like to see -- I would like to see fifty-seven Yes votes on the board for this. And I would -- and I don't see the harm in waiting until Veto Session to -- to -- to get this right. So I'd ask that you consider pulling it from the record, doing a little bit more work. If you're not willing to do that, I'd reluctantly ask for a -- for a No vote. And I thank you for your -- your interaction with me on this.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Further discussion? Senator Holmes.

SENATOR HOLMES:

To the bill, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

To the bill.

SENATOR HOLMES:

I'm always a little shocked in this Chamber when we have these discussions. Number one, I would say, as a Body, one of the things we do that we place so important and so dear and spend a vast amount of our budget on is education. Why do we do that? I think we do that because we all understand that education is power. And yet, when it comes to something like age-appropriate or with -- those with developmental disabilities having sex education that is appropriate for the level of disability, we all of a sudden are going to back away and say, no, we don't think education's appropriate, we don't think our children or those with developmental disabilities deserve the power. And that's what it
is, it is the power to be able to protect themselves, to be able to understand what is right and what is wrong and how to recognize it and how to protect themselves from those situations. To stand here and say that a program like this — this is my favorite part — a program like this will lead to STDs and pregnancy. I'm really curious, because I kind of understand what leads to STDs and pregnancy and it's not education. I would ask everybody to work on giving our children and those with developmental disabilities the power — the power — to know what's right for them and to protect themselves. I urge an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Senator Harmon, to close.

SENATOR HARMON:

Thank you. I appreciate the earnestness of all speakers, in particular those who raised opposition to the bill. I understand this is a sensitive topic. I would like to make just two points. The -- the -- the DHS pilot program that has been referenced as a reason not to pass this is in fact a reason to pass this. That was a program that didn't work. This is the polar opposite. It says in the bill that it must be individually assessed and must be appropriate for the developmental disability of the -- of the -- the ward. And second, if -- if I were to make the requested change and give guardians ultimate power, it would be a radical change to our guardianship law. Guardians are not given the right to make their decisions; they are given substitute judgment and they are supposed to make the decision that they believe their ward would want them to make. And if there's a dispute about that, the ward may go to court and seek a judicial intervention to have the guardianship changed. So we are not changing that at all. We are
leaving in place the current guardianship rules. We purposely have not changed the Probate Act. So that remains the same. I appreciate the -- the sensitivities, but I do ask you for your Aye votes.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

The question is, shall House Bill 3299 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 40 Yeas, 15 voting Nay, none voting Present. And House Bill 3299, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. House Bill 3358. Senator Tom Cullerton. It's a recall. Senator -- Senator Tom Cullerton seeks leave of the Body to return House Bill 3358 to the Order of 2nd Reading. Leave is granted. Now -- out of the record. We'll go to 34 -- House Bill 3424. Senator Tom Cullerton. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 3424.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Senator Cullerton, to explain your bill. Out of the record. We'll try one more. House Bill 3426. Senator Tom Cullerton. We'll go to House Bill 3623. Senator Schimpf. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill. Senator Schimpf leaves -- seeks leave of the Body to return House Bill 3623 to the Order of 2nd Reading. Leave is granted. Now on the Order of 2nd Reading is House Bill 3623. Mr. Secretary, are there any Floor amendments approved for consideration?
SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Floor Amendment 1, offered by Senator Schimpf.

PRESIDING OFFICER:  (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Senator Schimpf, to explain your amendment.

SENATOR SCHIMPF:

I'd ask that the amendment be adopted and I'll explain it on 3rd.

PRESIDING OFFICER:  (SENATOR KOEHLER)

There any discussion?  All those in favor will say Aye.  Opposed, Nay.  The Ayes have it, and the amendment is adopted.  Are there any further Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

No further amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER:  (SENATOR KOEHLER)

3rd Reading.  Now on the Order of 3rd Reading is House Bill -- House Bill 3623.  Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 3623.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER:  (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Senator Schimpf, to explain your bill.

SENATOR SCHIMPF:

Thank you, Mr. President and Members of the Senate.  House Bill 3623 amends the Wildlife Code to create a Apprentice Hunter License Program.  The -- the amendment also adopts a -- another -- another bill that creates a pilot program during a special three-day -- three-day, youth-only hunting season.  I know of no opposition to this bill, and I'd be happy to answer questions, and
ask for an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Bill 3623 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 56 voting Yea, none voting Nay, none voting Present. And House Bill 3623, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. We'll go now to House Bill 3631. Senator Link. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 3631.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Senator Link, to explain your bill.

SENATOR LINK:

Thank you, Mr. President. This -- this bill allows DCFS to have the discretion to deny or refuse to renew a license under the Child Care Act of persons who are -- will be working with confidential information or submit billing documents. This can be done when applicants have been convicted or -- of committing or attempt to commit certain felony offenses. I know of no opposition to the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Any discussion? Senator Righter.

SENATOR RIGHTER:

Thank you, Mr. President. Will the sponsor yield, please?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)
Sponsor indicates he yield.

SENATOR RIGHTER:

Thank you very much, Mr. President. Senator Link, it's been, I think, a few weeks since we talked about this bill in committee. It is my recollection from your testimony that you were doing this for one person in your district who the Department was refusing to grant a license to. Is my recollection correct?

PRESIDING OFFICER:  (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Senator Link.

SENATOR LINK:

Yes, you are correct.

PRESIDING OFFICER:  (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Senator Righter.

SENATOR RIGHTER:

And this constituent of yours had suffered a felony which kept her from being able to obtain the license and so you are seeking to change the law to allow them to grant her a license. Is that -- am I still right so far?

PRESIDING OFFICER:  (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Senator Link.

SENATOR LINK:

Not totally, but I will explain that. This was a case where this individual's had -- had a license for a number of years and she let -- her offense was over twenty years ago. She's had a clean record. And the point was, all of a sudden now, in the twelfth hour, they denied her a license to expand her business after saying it was okay, and then they used some kind of excuse that there's some other kind of federal program, which nobody can show. Now, the Department was the one that said if we do this
piece of legislation, her license would be able to be renewed.

PRESIDING OFFICER:  (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Senator Righter.

SENATOR RIGHTER:

So, is there a provision in law now by which the Department could grant her a license despite her felony record?

PRESIDING OFFICER:  (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Senator Link.

SENATOR LINK:

It has never been codified into law. They have always had the discretion to do it. We're codifying into the law to exemplify what they want to do.

PRESIDING OFFICER:  (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Senator Righter.

SENATOR RIGHTER:

So, as I understand what you just said, Senator Link, the Department has granted exemptions before, and so they have the ability or, at least, the willingness to do this, but they're not willing to grant her an exemption for whatever reason. I mean, I don't know this particular person and I don't know what the felony was, but they've granted exemptions before, they're not willing to now, so we're going to create an exemption in the statute for this one person, in hopes that they change their mind.

PRESIDING OFFICER:  (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Senator Link.

SENATOR LINK:

First of all, it's one person that brought this attention to me, but after we had a town hall meeting, we found out that there were other people. And when we had the town hall meeting with the
-- interim director at the time, they said they've had the discretion, but they really didn't have the discretion because it wasn't codified into law. They've used the discretion and now we're codifying it into law.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Senator Righter.

SENATOR RIGHTER:

Thank you. To the bill, if I might, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

To the bill.

SENATOR RIGHTER:

Just for the edification of the Members, I am looking at page 12 of Senator Link's bill, subsection (d) that starts at line 17: Notwithstanding subsection (c), the Department "may" make an exception and issue a new foster family home licensure {sic} or renew an existing foster family home license of an applicant convicted of an offense described in subsection (c). It's already in the law. It's already in the law. The Department is refusing to use the discretion they have now to grant a license to a person who's been convicted of a felony. They have the ability to do this now and they are choosing not to do so. I think we should, in this one instance, given all that's -- all of the discussion about this, stand with the Department. They have the discretion to do this and they're choosing not to grant the license to a person who's been convicted of a felony. In absence of more information, that sounds like a reasonable judgment to me. Thank you, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Any further discussion? Senator Link, to close.
SENATOR LINK:

Thank you, Mr. President. I think that the -- it's a little bit of a misleading nomenclature of what was just said on this thing. The Department is the one that really wants this piece of legislation, because the Department will feel more satisfied to have it the way we drew it up and they will be able to grant this license for a person who's had this license for over twenty-some years. I think it's necessary to help this person because I'm sure there's others that fall into this particular idea. I would ask for an affirmative vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

The question is, shall House Bill 3631 pass. All those in favor will say -- will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 31 voting Yea, none -- 18 voting Nay, none voting Present. And House Bill 3631, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Turning the page to page 11. On the top of the page, House Bill 3676. Senator Link. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 3676.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Senator Link, to explain your bill.

SENATOR LINK:

Thank you, Mr. President. This bill will clarify and streamline three aspects of the Illinois Township Code. Namely,
it allows township boards to adopt rules governing an implementation of the township clerk payment; clarifies the method of the road districts' consolidation to take place when the voters approve two conflicting consolidation referendums; and express the transfer of certain responsibilities for the road districts' consolidation approved the {sic} voters of -- November 2018. I know of no opposition to the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall House Bill 3676 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 54 voting Yea, none voting Nay, none voting Present. And House Bill 3676, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. The Committee on Assignments will please meet in the President's Anteroom - the Committee on Assignments. Mr. Secretary, Resolutions.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Resolution 479, offered by Senator Barickman and all Members.

Senate Resolution 480, offered by Senator Murphy and all Members.

They are both death resolutions, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Resolutions Consent Calendar. Introduction of Senate Bills.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 2261, offered by Senator Harris.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

1st Reading of the bill.
PRESIDING OFFICER:  (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Mr. Secretary, Messages from the House.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

A Message from the House by Mr. Hollman, Clerk.

Mr. President - I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has concurred with the Senate in the passage of a bill of the following title, to wit:

Senate Bill 39.

Together with the following amendment which is attached, in the adoption of which I am instructed to ask the concurrence of the Senate, to wit:

House Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 39.

We have received like Messages on Senate Bill 687, with House Amendment 1. Passed the House, as amended, May 30th 2019. John W. Hollman, Clerk of the House.

PRESIDING OFFICER:  (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Committee on Assignments will please meet in the President's Anteroom - Committee on Assignments. Mr. Secretary, Committee Reports.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senator Harmon, Chairperson of the Committee on Assignments, reports the following Legislative Measures have been assigned: Refer to Executive Committee - Motion to Concur with House Amendments 1 and 3 to Senate Bill 651, Motion to Concur with House Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 1464; refer to Government Accountability and Pensions Committee - Motion to Concur with House Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 37; refer to Judiciary Committee - Motion to Concur with House Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 1507, Floor Amendment 3 to House Bill 2497, Committee Amendment 1 to House Bill 3576;
refer to Licensed Activities Committee - Motion to Concur with House Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 1573, Motion to Concur with House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1813, Motion to Concur with House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 2128; refer to State Government Committee - Motion to Concur with House Amendments 2 and 3 to Senate Bill 1418, Floor Amendments 2 and 3 to Senate Bill 1061; refer to Transportation Committee - House Bill 2038; Be Approved for Consideration - Floor Amendment 2 to Senate Joint Resolution 6.

Signed, Senator Don Harmon, Chairperson.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)

Mr. Secretary, Messages from the House.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

A Message from the House by Mr. Hollman, Clerk.

Mr. President - I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has passed a bill of the following title, in the passage of which I am instructed to ask the concurrence of the Senate, to wit:

House Bill 97.


A Message from the House by Mr. Hollman, Clerk.

Mr. President - I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has concurred with the Senate in the adoption of the following joint resolution, to wit:

House Joint Resolution 41.

Together with the attached amendment there to, in the adoption of which I am instructed to ask the concurrence of the Senate, to wit:
House Amendment 1 to Senate Joint Resolution 41.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)
Mr. Secretary, House Bills 1st Reading.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:
House Bill 97, offered by Senator Koehler.
(Secretary reads title of bill)
1st -- 1st Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR KOEHLER)
Can I have everyone's attention? There being no further business to come before the Senate, the Senate stands adjourned until 11 a.m. on the 31st day of May 2019. The Senate stands adjourned.