



Sen. Don Harmon

Filed: 4/11/2016

09900SB3067sam001

LRB099 18386 SLF 46938 a

1 AMENDMENT TO SENATE BILL 3067

2 AMENDMENT NO. _____. Amend Senate Bill 3067 by replacing
3 everything after the enacting clause with the following:

4 "Section 1. Short title. This Act may be cited as the Law
5 Enforcement Information Task Force Act.

6 Section 5. Task Force; purpose. There shall be created a
7 Law Enforcement Information Task Force to study and make
8 recommendations regarding criminal discovery and law
9 enforcement information sharing.

10 Section 10. Members.

11 (a) The Task Force shall consist of the following members
12 who will not be compensated:

13 (1) the Director of the Administrative Office of the
14 Illinois Courts, or his or her designee;

15 (2) the Attorney General, or his or her designee;

1 (3) the Director of State Police, or his or her
2 designee;

3 (4) a State's Attorney from a county with more than
4 3,000,000 residents, or his or her designee;

5 (5) a public defender from a county with more than
6 3,000,000 residents, or his or her designee;

7 (6) a representative of the Office of the State's
8 Attorneys Appellate Prosecutor;

9 (7) a representative of the Office of the State
10 Appellate Defender;

11 (8) a representative of the Illinois State's Attorneys
12 Association, appointed by the Governor;

13 (9) a representative of the Illinois Public Defender
14 Association, appointed by the Governor;

15 (10) a representative from the Illinois Judges
16 Association, appointed by the Speaker of the House of
17 Representatives;

18 (11) a representative from the Illinois State Bar
19 Association, appointed by the Minority Leader of the House
20 of Representatives;

21 (12) a representative of the Chicago Bar Association,
22 appointed by the Senate President;

23 (13) a representative from the Illinois Sheriffs'
24 Association, appointed by the Senate Minority Leader;

25 (14) a representative from the Illinois Association of
26 Chiefs of Police, appointed by the Governor;

1 (15) the chief of police from a municipality with more
2 than 1,000,000 residents, or his or her designee; and

3 (16) the sheriff from a county with more than 3,000,000
4 residents, or his or her designee; and

5 (17) the Director of the Illinois Criminal Justice
6 Information Authority, or his or her designee.

7 (b) The Law Enforcement Information Task Force shall be
8 established within the Illinois Criminal Justice Information
9 Authority and the Illinois Criminal Justice Information
10 Authority shall serve as the technology and policy advisor to
11 assist the Task Force. The Illinois Criminal Justice
12 Information Authority shall work with State and local criminal
13 justice agencies to promote information sharing systems
14 through its access to technical expertise and its grant-making
15 powers for technology information projects. The Illinois
16 Criminal Justice Information Authority shall provide staff to
17 serve as a liaison between the Law Enforcement Information Task
18 Force and its stakeholders to provide guidance in criminal
19 justice information sharing, best practices and strategies,
20 and to effectuate the mission of the Task Force.

21 (c) The members of the Task Force shall elect a chair of
22 the Task Force. The chair of the Task Force shall convene the
23 first meeting of the Task Force on or before August 31, 2016.
24 The Task Force shall meet at least twice a month thereafter
25 until it completes its duties under this Act, or until December
26 31, 2016, whichever is earlier.

1 Section 15. Duties of the Task Force.

2 (a) The Task Force may consult with experts to provide
3 assistance as necessary.

4 (b) The Task Force shall:

5 (1) analyze the criminal discovery process in this
6 State to determine the actual costs, including, but not
7 limited to, labor, materials, time, and other tangible
8 costs of the current criminal discovery process to
9 determine how technology can improve the process for all
10 participants;

11 (2) analyze the process for information sharing,
12 including, but not limited to, an analysis of record
13 management systems, computer aided dispatch systems, and
14 other technology used to process information between law
15 enforcement agencies in this State to determine the actual
16 costs of the current process;

17 (3) analyze the current information sharing process
18 between law enforcement agencies to determine how
19 technology can improve the process for all participants;

20 (4) determine which prosecutors' offices obtain all
21 law enforcement discoverable evidence in an electronic
22 format, which prosecutors' offices will soon be able to
23 obtain all law enforcement discoverable evidence in an
24 electronic format, and which prosecutors' offices will not
25 have that ability at any point in the future without

1 assistance;

2 (5) determine the barriers for those prosecutors'
3 offices that will not be able to obtain law enforcement
4 discoverable evidence in an electronic format without
5 assistance;

6 (6) determine which law enforcement agencies obtain
7 and utilize data entirely, or partially, in an electronic
8 format, which law enforcement agencies will soon be able to
9 obtain and utilize data entirely in an electronic format,
10 and which law enforcement agencies will not be able to
11 obtain and utilize data entirely in an electronic format at
12 any point in the future without assistance;

13 (7) study how a single statewide criminal information
14 sharing system or other technology may improve electronic
15 discovery or electronic redaction;

16 (8) study how a statewide standardized law enforcement
17 reporting form that can be easily redacted may improve the
18 criminal discovery process;

19 (9) study the short-term needs for law enforcement
20 agencies and State's Attorneys to facilitate greater use of
21 electronic discovery and information sharing;

22 (10) study whether a single standardized statewide
23 case record management system or other law enforcement
24 technology would provide better and additional access to
25 information for law enforcement;

26 (11) determine whether a single standardized statewide

1 case record management system or other electronic
2 discovery technology would provide for a better and more
3 efficient criminal discovery process and offer any cost
4 savings;

5 (12) determine whether a single standardized statewide
6 case record management system or other information sharing
7 technology would provide for a better and more efficient
8 law enforcement information sharing process and offer any
9 cost savings;

10 (13) suggest an alternative funding process to the
11 State's current method to pay for criminal discovery costs;

12 (14) suggest an alternative funding process to the
13 State's current method to pay for law enforcement
14 information sharing costs;

15 (15) determine which executive branch agency, judicial
16 branch agency, or quasi-governmental organization is best
17 suited to serve as a conduit and coordinator for a
18 statewide criminal electronic discovery system; and

19 (16) determine which executive branch agency, judicial
20 branch agency, or quasi-governmental organization is best
21 suited to serve as a conduit and coordinator for a
22 statewide criminal information sharing system.

23 Section 20. Preliminary and final report.

24 (a) The Task Force shall provide a preliminary report to
25 the Governor and General Assembly on or before December 15,

1 2016, if the final report is not completed by then.

2 (b) The Task Force shall issue a final report to the
3 Governor and General Assembly on or before January 15, 2017.
4 The report shall include recommendations for legislation, use
5 of technology, and other non-legislative processes that would
6 improve the criminal discovery process and law enforcement
7 information sharing.

8 Section 25. Repeal. This Act is repealed on February 1,
9 2017.

10 Section 99. Effective date. This Act takes effect upon
11 becoming law.".