



Rep. Naomi D. Jakobsson

**Filed: 2/25/2013**

09800HB1155ham006

LRB098 08475 MRW 41604 a

1 AMENDMENT TO HOUSE BILL 1155

2 AMENDMENT NO. \_\_\_\_\_. Amend House Bill 1155, AS AMENDED, by  
3 inserting the following in its proper numeric sequence:

4 "Section 150. Firearm carry prohibition; hospital; mental  
5 health facility.

6 (a) No person may knowingly carry a firearm into any  
7 hospital or mental health facility, or onto any adjacent  
8 property or parking lot area under the control of or owned by a  
9 hospital or mental health facility.

10 (b) The exemptions and provisions in subsections (a), (b),  
11 (f), (g-6), (g-10), (h), and (i) of Section 24-2 of the  
12 Criminal Code of 2012 apply to this Section.

13 (c) The United States Supreme Court in District of Columbia  
14 v. Heller, 554 U.S. 570, 128 S.Ct. 2783 (2008) has recognized  
15 that the Second Amendment to the United States Constitution  
16 does not confer an unlimited right and that states may prohibit  
17 the carrying of firearms in sensitive places. The Supreme Court

1 stated in the Heller decision: "Although we do not undertake an  
2 exhaustive historical analysis today of the full scope of the  
3 Second Amendment, nothing in our opinion should be taken to  
4 cast doubt on longstanding prohibitions on the possession of  
5 firearms by felons and the mentally ill, or laws forbidding the  
6 carrying of firearms in sensitive places such as schools and  
7 government buildings . . ." The Supreme Court also noted in a  
8 footnote referencing this statement in the Heller decision  
9 that: "We identify these presumptively lawful regulatory  
10 measures only as examples; our list does not purport to be  
11 exhaustive." This recognition was reiterated by the U. S.  
12 Supreme Court in McDonald v. the City of Chicago, 561 U.S.  
13 3025, 130 S.Ct. 3020 (2010), which incorporated the Second  
14 Amendment against state action. The Supreme Court again stated:  
15 "We made it clear in Heller that our holding did not cast doubt  
16 on such longstanding regulatory measures as "prohibitions on  
17 the possession of firearms by felons and the mentally ill,"  
18 "laws forbidding the carrying of firearms in sensitive places  
19 such as schools and government buildings . . . We repeat those  
20 assurances here." Further, the federal 7th Circuit Court of  
21 Appeals in Moore v. Madigan, 702 F.3d. 933 (7th Cir., 2012)  
22 cited the "sensitive place" statement of the Supreme Court in  
23 both the Heller and McDonald decisions and concluded: "That a  
24 legislature can forbid the carrying of firearms in schools and  
25 government buildings means that any right to possess a gun for  
26 self-defense outside the home is not absolute, and it is not

1 absolute by the Supreme Court's own terms." Therefore, the  
2 General Assembly finds that the place or location set forth in  
3 subsection (a) of this Section is a sensitive place and the  
4 prohibition on the carrying of firearms will promote public  
5 safety in this sensitive place.".