

Sen. Robert F. Martwick

Filed: 5/7/2024

16

	10300HB5264sam001	LRB103 38085 RPS 73096 a
1	AMENDMENT TO HOUSE BILL 5264	
2	AMENDMENT NO Amend Ho	ouse Bill 5264 by replacing
3	everything after the enacting claus	se with the following:
4	"Section 5. The Illinois Pension	on Code is amended by adding
5	Section 5-156.5 and by changing Section 5-228 as follows:	
6	(40 ILCS 5/5-156.5 new)	
7	Sec. 5-156.5. Presumption of di	sability; reinstatement.
8	(a) A policeman who applies fo	r disability benefits under
9	this Article and has been denied r	reinstatement as a policeman
10	by his or her employer because	of a physical or mental
11	incapacity shall be presumed to b	e disabled as that term is
12	used in this Article.	
13	(b) No policeman who otherwise	meets the requirements for
14	a disability benefit shall be de	enied a disability benefit
15	unless and until the policeman's	employer reinstates him or

her as a policeman or offers him or her a limited-duty

1 position.

- 2 (c) Any policeman who has been denied a disability benefit
- 3 without an offer of reinstatement or a limited-duty position
- 4 after the effective date of this amendatory Act of the 103rd
- 5 General Assembly is entitled to disability benefits.
- 6 (40 ILCS 5/5-228) (from Ch. 108 1/2, par. 5-228)
- 7 Sec. 5-228. Administrative review.
- 8 (a) The provisions of the Administrative Review Law, and
 9 all amendments and modifications thereof and the rules adopted
 10 pursuant thereto, shall apply to and govern all proceedings
- 11 for the judicial review of final administrative decisions of
- 12 the retirement board provided for under this Article. The term
- 13 "administrative decision" is as defined in Section 3-101 of
- the Code of Civil Procedure.
- 15 (b) If any policeman whose application for $\frac{\text{either}}{\text{either}}$ a duty
- disability benefit under Section 5-154, or for an occupational
- disease disability benefit under Section 5-154.1, or for an
- 18 <u>ordinary disability benefit under Section 5-155</u> has been
- 19 denied by <u>a majority vote of</u> the Retirement Board brings an
- 20 action for administrative review challenging the denial of
- 21 disability benefits and the policeman prevails in the action
- in administrative review, then the prevailing policeman shall
- 23 be entitled to recover from the Fund court costs and
- 24 litigation expenses, including reasonable attorney's fees, as
- 25 part of the costs of the action.

- 1 (c) If a policeman whose duty disability benefits,
- 2 <u>ordinary disability benefits</u>, or occupational disability
- 3 benefits are terminated by a majority vote of the Retirement
- 4 Board brings an action for administrative review challenging
- 5 the termination of those disability benefits and prevails in
- 6 the action in administrative review, then the prevailing
- 7 policeman shall be entitled to recover from the Fund court
- 8 costs and litigation expenses, including reasonable attorney's
- 9 fees, as part of the costs of the action.
- 10 (Source: P.A. 101-387, eff. 8-16-19.)
- 11 Section 90. The State Mandates Act is amended by adding
- 12 Section 8.48 as follows:
- 13 (30 ILCS 805/8.48 new)
- 14 Sec. 8.48. Exempt mandate. Notwithstanding Sections 6 and
- 15 8 of this Act, no reimbursement by the State is required for
- the implementation of any mandate created by this amendatory
- 17 Act of the 103rd General Assembly.
- 18 Section 99. Effective date. This Act takes effect upon
- 19 becoming law.".