



HR0751

LRB101 20607 MST 70252 r

1

HOUSE RESOLUTION

2

WHEREAS, The U.S. Congress created Amtrak under the Rail Passenger Service Act of 1970 (Public Law 91-158), and Amtrak began serving customers on May 1, 1971, taking over the operation of most intercity passenger trains in exchange for access to the national rail network; and

6

7

WHEREAS, Congress passed the Amtrak Improvement Act of 1973 (Public Law 93-146), which gives intercity and commuter rail passenger transportation preference over freight transportation in using a rail line, junction, or crossing, a right codified as section 24308(c) of title 49, United States Code; and

12

13

WHEREAS, U.S.C. Sec. 24308(c) of Title 49 states, "Except in an emergency, intercity and commuter rail passenger transportation provided by or for Amtrak has preference over freight transportation in using a rail line, junction, or crossing unless the [Surface Transportation] Board orders otherwise under this subsection. A rail carrier affected by this subsection may apply to the Board for relief. If the Board, after an opportunity for a hearing under section 553 of title 5, decides that preference for intercity and commuter rail passenger transportation materially will lessen the quality of freight transportation provided to shippers, the

23

1 Board shall establish the rights of the carrier and Amtrak on
2 reasonable terms"; and

3 WHEREAS, Many host railroads have ignored the law by
4 refusing to give passenger rail the priority to which it is
5 statutorily entitled, resulting in poor and declining on-time
6 performance by Amtrak on most host railroads; according to
7 Amtrak during fiscal year 2019 alone, this caused 6.5 million
8 customers on state-supported and long-distance trains to
9 arrive at their destination late; and

10 WHEREAS, Amtrak's Office of Inspector General, in a 2019
11 report, showed poor on-time performance wastes taxpayer
12 dollars to the extent that a mere five percent improvement on
13 all Amtrak routes would result in \$12.1 million in cost savings
14 to Amtrak in the first year; if on-time performance on
15 long-distance routes reached 75 percent for a year, Amtrak
16 would realize an estimated \$41.9 million in operating cost
17 savings along with a one-time savings of \$336 million due to a
18 reduction in equipment replacement needs; and

19 WHEREAS, On-time passenger rail performance on host
20 railroads has historically been driven by the existence of an
21 effective means to enforce Amtrak's preference rights as shown
22 historically by:

23 (1) Enactment of the Passenger Rail Investment and

1 Improvement Act (PRIIA) of 2008 (division B of Public Law
2 110-432), which included provisions for the enforcement of
3 these preference rights:

4 (a) Two months afterward, the on-time performance
5 of long-distance trains improved from 56 percent to 77
6 percent and Class I freight train interference delays
7 across all routes declined by 40 percent; and

8 (b) One year after enactment of PRIIA, freight
9 train interference delays had declined by 54 percent, and
10 the on-time performance of long-distance trains reached 85
11 percent; and

12 (2) Removal in 2014 of some of those provisions after
13 being ruled unconstitutional by a Washington, D.C.,
14 Circuit Court: long-distance train on-time performance
15 declined from 72 percent to 50 percent, and freight train
16 interference delays increased 59 percent; and

17 WHEREAS, As a result of violations of Amtrak's right to
18 preference, Amtrak has been consistently unable on host
19 railroad networks to meet its Congressionally-mandated mission
20 and goals and does not have an effective mechanism to enforce
21 its statutory preference right in order to fulfill its mission
22 and goals; and

23 WHEREAS, Only the United States Attorney General can bring
24 a civil action for equitable relief in a district court of the

1 United States to enforce Amtrak's preference rights but has
2 done so just once in Amtrak's entire history, against the
3 Southern Pacific Transportation Company in 1979; therefore, be
4 it

5 RESOLVED, BY THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES OF THE ONE
6 HUNDRED FIRST GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS, that
7 we support a strong intercity passenger rail network and
8 support the passage of U.S. S.2922, the proposed "Rail
9 Passenger Fairness Act", providing Amtrak the ability to
10 enforce its preference rights by bringing a civil action before
11 a federal district court (the so-called "private right of
12 action"); and be it further

13 RESOLVED, That we urge the Illinois Congressional
14 Delegation to support S.2922; and be it further

15 RESOLVED, That suitable copies of this resolution be
16 delivered to each member of the Illinois Congressional
17 Delegation.