Speaker Manley: "The House will be in order. Members will be in their chairs. We shall be led in prayer today by Representative Maurice West, II. Members and guests are asked to refrain from starting their laptops, turn off all cell phones and rise for the invocation and Pledge of Allegiance. Representative West."

West: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Good afternoon, family. Let us pray. Lord, we thank you for this day. With May 31 having come and gone, in spite of, we yet thank you. We thank you for what you've done, what you're doing, and what you're going to do. Lord, we want to put up a special prayer of thanks and we ask that you bless our... the members of our issue staff, the members of our research staff, or even our doorman, the Clerk and his staff, our pages, our House custodians who helped us to do the work of this Session. Lord, I ask that you renew us. Give us renewed energy, renewed compassion, and renewed love for ourselves and for others. I ask that you bless my General Assembly family, every single State Representative here in this chamber for the sacrifice that they've made for there... from their loved ones for the sake of the people. I ask that you bless their families for putting with them being gone for so long for the sake of the people. And I ask that you bless the people, the residents of Illinois, the people that mean so much to all of us here in this chamber. Cover and protect our first responders and our members of the Armed Forces. Lord, I ask that you uplift and unify our leadership on both sides of the aisle. Speaker Madigan, Leader Durkin. And Leaders Harris, Brady, Gordon-
Booth, Demmer, Turner, Bourne, Burke, Davidsmeyer, Hernandez, Hammond, Crespo, McAuliffe, Davis, Wehrli, Hoffman, Wheeler, Manley, Butler, Willis, and Batinick. Gracious God, bestow upon all of us wisdom and discernment. In your Son's name we pray, amen."

Speaker Manley: "We will be led in the Pledge of Allegiance today by Representative Reick."

Reick - et al: "I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United States of America and to the republic for which it stands, one nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all."

Speaker Manley: Roll Call for Attendance. Leader Harris is recognized to report any excused absences on the Democratic side of the aisle.

Harris: "Madam Speaker, Representatives Ammons and Feigenholtz are excused today."

Speaker Manley: "Representative Butler is recognized to report any excused absences on the Republican side of the aisle."

Butler: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Please let the Journal reflect that on Saturday, June 1, 2019 Representatives Davidsmeyer and Sosnowski are excused."

Speaker Manley: "Have all recorded themselves who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. With 114 Members answering the roll call, a quorum is present. Good afternoon, Representative Villa. For what reason do you seek recognition?"

Villa: "Good afternoon, Madam Speaker. Point of personal privilege."

Speaker Manley: "Please proceed."
Villa: "I'd like to welcome to the House floor my two Pages. Zaynab El-Akrich is almost 11. She just finished fifth grade with three distinctions: Rising Star Award, Good Citizen Award, and the Fifth Grade Performance Completion Award. She will be going to Franklin Middle School next year. She speaks English and Arabic. She likes math and science and she loves to travel and discover new places. Omar El-Akrich is nine years old. He goes to Isles School gifted program. He speaks English and Arabic, and he is also learning Mandarin. He loves math and science too. He plays soccer for SASA, has a small injury due to that, and the YMCA. His favorite team is Liverpool. Their parents are sitting right up in the gallery and you might recognize Driss, he is an amazing staffer here at the Capitol. So please give them a warm Springfield welcome."

Speaker Manley: "Thank you for joining us. Good afternoon, Representative Mason. For what reason do you seek recognition?"

Mason: "Good afternoon. Point of personal privilege, Madam Speaker."

Speaker Manley: "Please proceed."

Mason: "Thank you. I actually have two points, if you'll indulge me. First, I wanted to introduce and welcome Miss Meghan Downs. Meghan Downs is my Page for the day. She is the amazing daughter of Kenny Downs at the door, who many of you might know. She will be attending Williamsville High School next year. She is a competitive dancer and wants to do ASL interpreting. And she was here yesterday and enjoyed herself
Speaker Manley: "Please continue."

Mason: "And then if I may, I just had a little personal point of privilege I wanted to say. Last week I was sort of taking stock in being a new legislator and having the Session ending. And I was thinking about what it was like. I knew... I knew that fellow new waivers that I had met along the campaign trail I was going to have the privilege to work with. And I couldn't believe that so many heroes that I had followed for years, long before I even imagined I would be even trying to sit in this chamber, I never imagined that I would get to get to work with them. But I didn't really realize over the last five months that I would get to know and truly love so many people, both my fellow legislators and staff members here. There's so many good people. And I never imagined that I would have what I truly call my new family. So a couple of days after that, as many of you know, I was driving home to go to my son's graduation and my car burst into flames. And I jumped out just in time. And while I was still standing there watching my car burn I started getting texts from people here asking if I was okay. Asking if I needed anything. What could they do? Saying thank goodness I was okay. And when I returned the next day I returned to so much kindness from so many people who offered me help and lots of hugs and clothes from their own closets. And people who offered to do things for my kids, and just more hugs. And who put up with me telling this story over, and over, and over again as I processed it, thank you all for listening. And I just want to say, that what I
was thinking about last week is so, so true to me now. You are all family. And I love you and I am grateful for all of you. And I hope that as we continue this long day, we all just remember that we are all just really good people who are just trying to do the right things. And, you know, we all want to go home to our families, we all care for one another. And I hope that we will see the spirit of that as we continue our day today. Thank you."

Speaker Manley: "Thank you for your nice words, Representative Mason. The Chair recognizes Representative West. For what reason do you seek recognition?"

West: "Madam Speaker, I forgot our Legislative Assistants in our prayer."

Speaker Manley: "Uh oh."

West: "I don't want to get in trouble, so I give thanks to them too."

Speaker Manley: "Uh oh. Sorry about that you guys. We'll share ours with them. How's that? Chair recognizes Representative Zalewski. For what reason do you seek recognition? I think I know."

Zalewski: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Point of personal privilege."

Speaker Manley: "Please proceed"

Zalewski: "We need the closer in from the bullpen to get this done today. So we've brought Mae Zalewski, my third oldest daughter, down to Springfield to get the job done. So I'm hoping everybody could give her round of applause and she can say hi to camera. Thank you, Madam Speaker."
Speaker Manley: "Thank you for being here. The Chair recognizes Representative Weber. For what reason do you seek recognition?"

Weber: "Point of personal privilege, Madam Speaker."

Speaker Manley: "Please proceed."

Weber: "I understand that we have a lot of business to get through, but I ask for a brief indulgent by this Body and attention of the Body to honor the passing of a United States Navy Veteran, Raul Argomanez, Jr. of Grayslake, Illinois. Affectionately known to his friends as Argo and also my father-in-law. Argo was born on November 16, 1941 in Yuma, Arizona. At the age of 17 he enlisted into the United States Navy and served our nation honorably for over 22 years, as well as serving an additional 2 years in the National Guard. During his service to our country, he sailed the world aboard many ships, including the USS Yorktown, the USS Shangri-La, the USS Vega, the USS Piedmont, and the USS Simon Lake. He received many accommodations during his service, including the National Defense Service Medal, Vietnam Service Medal, Armed Forces Expeditionary Medal, and three Navy Medals of Good Conduct. He liked to joke that he was just good at fixing big holes in big ships, but when you look at his accommodations and his elevation to the rank of Senior Chief Petty Officer speaks to the strengths of his skills and leadership that he displayed during his service. He loved the Navy so much that he continued to serve the Navy even after he retired active duty, which is what brought him to Grayslake, Illinois working at Great Lakes Naval Base and Training Center in North Chicago. While his love for the Navy was great, nothing could compare
to the love his wife of 53 years, Shirley. They were married on September 10, 1966 and raised two lovely daughters Rebecca and Michelle. And 30 years ago Argo gave me his blessing when I asked for his daughter Becki's marriage… hand in marriage. His daughters blessed him with five grandchildren: Thomas and Joshua Weber, and Rudy, Brendon, and Kayla Ceniceros. Argo was also immensely proud of his Native American and Hispanic heritage. But nothing gave him more pride and joy than getting the whole family together on Christmas where he could dote and spoil all of his beloved grandchildren. He was a man's man who liked to go shooting, liked to go see classic cars, but above all he liked a good sci-fi novel and movie, some might even say he was a Trekkie. This past… this Session, few weeks back, we found out that he wasn't going to be with us much longer. I had to leave early one day. But this Sunday, on the way here, I decided to stop in at his house for one last visit just to ensure him that he did not have to worry about his wife Shirley and the rest of our family would be there to take care of her. Raul Argomanez, Jr. left us this Memorial Day week on May 29, 2019 at the age of 77. A father, a grandfather, a proud veteran, a patriot, and a hero. He was not just my father-in-law for the past 30 years, he was also a mentor and a friend. I love you, Argo. Thank you, Madam Speaker."

Speaker Manley: "Let's take a moment of silence. Thank you for sharing that with us, Representative Weber. Chair recognizes Representative Cabello. For what reason do you seek recognition?"

Cabello: "Thank you, Madam Chair. Point of personal privilege."
Speaker Manley: "Please proceed."
Cabello: "Ladies and Gentlemen of the House I would like to welcome Dylan DeVries and Lewis Akerson to the House over here on the Republican side of the aisle. You will thank them later on for having them bring me some fresh clothes. So please welcome them."
Speaker Manley: "Boy do we need you. Welcome to Springfield. Chair recognizes Representative Mazzochi."
Mazzochi: "Thank you, Madam..."
Speaker Manley: "For what reason do you seek recognition?"
Mazzochi: "Point of personal privilege."
Speaker Manley: "Please proceed."
Mazzochi: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. It has come to my attention that the University of Illinois was sued this week on allegations that it unconstitutionally suppressed speech relating to student elections and to student speech generally through its Bias Assessment and Response Team. It specifically alleged that this team has been used to target conservative and religious students, students who are pro-Israel, and others who are at disproportionate risk of ostracism, punishment, and retaliation from the administration. I do not, at this time, know whether the allegations have merit, but I do know that even in this Body we often hear speech that is rude, biased, wrong, hurtful, emotional, offensive, hateful, and sometimes even vile. And the answer to that is never to suppress speech but to counter it with more speech. And to follow any other path renders our society less free, accountable, and less able to seek the truth no matter how much that truth may hurt. Our public
colleges and universities have a duty to respect First Amendment rights. And if they're unwilling to live up to this basic principal, accept intellectual diversity is something that's essential to learning, and that it is also necessary to cultivate a citizenry capable of self-governance, then they should withdraw all of their requests for taxpayer funding... we're going to see a lot of them today. And they should also withdraw a request for further taxpayer's support. This state should not be funding speech police who try leverage their institutional power to oppress student, facility, or staff viewpoints or otherwise infringe on academic freedom. That's not who we are, not who we should be, and if in fact this behavior is occurring at the University of Illinois as alleged it should cease and desist immediately. Thank you."

Speaker Manley: "Thank you, Representative. Chair recognizes Leader Demmer. For what reason do you seek recognition?"

Demmer: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. A point of personal privilege."

Speaker Manley: "Please proceed."

Demmer: "I just want to wish a very happy birthday to my secretary Helen. She's here behind the chamber. I don't think she expected to be here on her birthday. So if you could all stop by and wish her a happy birthday, say thanks for being here. She does an excellent job and she's always a smiling face for everybody who comes back there. We just want to all wish her a very, very happy birthday."

Speaker Manley: "Happy birthday, Helen. Moving to page 9, the order... under the Order of Resolutions. The Chair recognizes Representative Welch for HJR68. Out of the record. HJR81,
Representative Batinick. Out of the record. HJR371, Representative Crespo. Representative, you have a Floor Amendment. Would you like me to come back to you, Leader Crespo? Okay, thank you. Out of the record. Moving on to HR381, Representative Walker."

Walker: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. HR381 is a House Resolution that directs the State Auditor to complete a performance audit of EDGE Act performance. They did an operating audit of DCEO. This is a performance audit that questions whether the EDGE Act overall meets its objectives. It will also include similar... an audit of similar programs or a review of them from other states. Before we start changing the EDGE Act's system we ought to know how well it works."

Manley: "Representative Batinick on the Resolution."

Batinick: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Manley: "He indicates that he will."

Batinick: "Representative, I've actually been, often been a critic of the EDGE Act because I felt like it wasn't necessary. We're spending money to keep people in the state that were going to stay in anyways or instead of advising people just to move from one part of the state to the other part of the state. What are the guardrails for this? What is the... I'm a little tired and weary right now, even though it's earlier in the day. But what are the guardrails exactly for what we're doing? What are we..."

Walker: "Well the objective of this is, in fact, in concert with what you just said to review whether EDGE Act is meeting our objectives. Is it producing new jobs? Are they following the
rules? Are they effective compared to other states? We want a complete review of its actual performance and impact."
Batinick: "Well, I guess part of it is they're following... I'm on Audit Commission, you may or may not know that. Here's my biggest issue with Audit Commission. We can find out that... whether the paperwork was done right to buy a computer at some agency. It's not going to show up in audit if we really needed a computer in the first place, right?"
Walker: "Right."
Batinick: "So when you're talking about some of that stuff in terms of following along, you know a lot of this stuff is online, that's specifically what I'm looking at. Are there any specific guardrails? Have you got... have spoken with the Auditor's Office, number one? But that's probably question four, so number four. Have you spoken with the Auditor's Office about this yet?"
Walker: "I have spoken... yes, in fact, I have spoken with Frank Mautino."
Batinick: "Okay. Did they give you an idea of what cost is going to be?"
Walker: "Remember, what you described is a... an operating audit. This is not an audit about did you fill out the form right, did you track the money right. This is an audit about are they meeting the objectives of an EDGE Act program overall? Are they creating jobs? Are we getting a return on our money? Is it effective? For instance, are we assessing each EDGE Act program to see whether it is impactful to the health of Illinois compared to surrounding states, for instance?"
Batinick: "So has the audit... has the Auditor actually ever done audits like this before? I mean..."
Walker: "A performance audit, I'm really not sure."
Batinick: "Okay. Did he give you an idea of cost?"
Walker: "I don't have the cost. His statement was that we've done an awful lot of EDGE Credit changes in the Legislature in the past few years. This is an audit to decide are they all really working."
Batinick: "Okay. And there is no cost cap in the Bill? In the Resolution, I'm sorry."
Walker: "There is none in the Bill... in the Resolution."
Batinick: "Okay. And this does have to go over to the Senate, correct?"
Walker: "I believe so. Well... I don't know, to tell you the truth."
Batinick: "All right. I guess... I'm going to go to the Resolution. I'm a little bit skeptical. I'm sure Members on my side of the aisle will be up and down all over the place. I mean, I could look at the EDGE Tax Credit and I can say it's not working, right? If that's the goal. We're not growing as a state compared other states. You brought in other states. We are not... I don't think it's achieving what it's set to achieve. I'm not really sure how another audit is going to actually determine that. I'm not really sure the Auditor General is the person that should be looking at the results of what the EDGE Credit is doing. Do you know who the Audit Commission for the EDGE Credit should be? It should be the 118 people in this room and the 59 across the aisle that probably should be taking a sharp look Edge Credit and what the results are. So I'm not... I think it's a well-intended
thing. You're probably going to get some votes from our side of the aisle. I'm just a little skeptical of another costly task force, essentially. But thank you."

Walker: "I appreciate those comments. My belief is, let's have a... let's have this Body look at the performance of the EDGE Credits and give us data so we can make those determinations ourselves on whether we have to change something."

Speaker Manley: "Seeing no further discussion, Representative Walker moves for the adoption of House Resolution 381. All those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'nay'. And the voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 112 voting in the affirmative, 0 voting 'opposed', 0 voting 'present'. And this Resolution, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby adopted. HR382, Representative West. Representative West."

West: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. HR382 declares June 10, 2019 as a day to celebrate women's right to vote and the important role Illinois played in achieving that right in the United States. I received this from the League of Women Voters of Rockford. On June 14... June 4, 1919 the proposed Nineteenth Amendment of the United States Constitution was passed by the United States Congress and sent to the states for ratification. June 10, Illinois became the first state to ratify the Nineteenth Amendment one hour before it was consent. I would ask for its adoption."

Speaker Manley: "Seeing no further discussion, Representative West moves for the adoption of House Resolution 382. All those in favor say 'aye'; opposed say 'nay'. And in the opinion of
the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Resolution is adopted. HR385, Representative Thapedi. Representative Thapedi."
Thapedi: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move for the adoption of House Resolution 385, which addresses an important aspect of international trade for Illinois businesses. Like Boeing, Caterpillar, and John Deere, as well as our farmers to compete in the global marketplace. The Resolution encourages Congress to reauthorize the Export-Import Bank, which charter expires in September of 2019. After being founded during the Great Depression to support struggling exporters in the U.S. who are unable to obtain conventional financing. I'm available to answer any and all questions."

Speaker Manley: "Seeing no further discussion. Representative Thapedi moves for the adoption of House Resolution 385. All those in favor say... excuse me, all those in favor say 'aye'; opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Resolution is adopted. HR386, Representative Yingling. Representative Yingling. Out of the record. HR390, Representative Ford. Representative Ford."

Ford: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and Clerk. I appreciate the opportunity to present this Resolution. It was brought out of the Committee of Human Services by my seatmate. And I wanted to make that the Body recognizes that this Resolution, I urge the passage, because it creates the Commission of... on the Social Status of Black Males. I was happy to say that during committee that the committee was overwhelmingly filled with my white brothers and sisters and they, the Republicans and the Democrats both, saw fit that we pass this Resolution. So
thank you to all of my white brothers and sisters for seeing fit to have a Commission on the Social Status of Black Males. And this is what the Resolution is calling for, the Commission on the Social Status of Black Males is created to study the social conditions of black males, develop strategies to remedy or assist in remedy serious adversities, and make recommendations to improve the educational, social, and economic, and employment opportunities of black males. The commission shall consist of the following: fourteen members who shall serve without compensation, two State Representatives appointed by the Speaker of the House, one who shall serve as co-chair, two State Representatives appointed by the House Minority Leader, one who shall serve also as the co-chair, ten Members appointed by the co-chairs who shall be from the following groups, one U.S. Congressional Member, four elected officials from four different Illinois cities, two Illinois high school students, and three members who may represent the areas of employment, criminal justice, education, health, and faith-based institutions. The commission shall meet at the call of the co-chairs, but not less than four times. I've gotten the support of DCFS and they will be administering the commission. The commission shall submit a final report to the General Assembly no later January 1, 2021. And upon the filing of the report, the commission will be dissolved. I move for the passage of the commission to study the social status of black males, House Resolution 390."

Speaker Manley: "Representative Ford moves for the adoption of House Resolution 390. All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote
'nay'. And the voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 111 'ayes', 0 'nays', and 0 voting 'present'. And this Resolution, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby adopted. HR386, Representative Yingling. Representative Yingling.

Yingling: "Thank you, Madam Chair. HR386 declares May of 2019 as 'Save Your Tooth Month' in Illinois. And it recognizes the work of endodontists and encourages Illinois to practice good oral hygiene. I would appreciate an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Manley: "The Chair recognizes Representative Welter."

Welter: "Does the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Manley: "He indicates that he will."

Welter: "Mr. Yingling, did you ever save any of your teeth?"

Yingling: "Did I ever what?"

Welter: "Save any of your teeth growing up as a child?"

Yingling: "Save them?"

Welter: "Yeah, save them. Like for the Tooth Fairy to collect."

Yingling: "The Tooth Fairy took them away a long time ago."

Welter: "All right. Thank you."

Speaker Manley: "Representative Grant, do you wish to speak on the Resolution?"

Grant: "Yes, I'm all for saving teeth. I have... I have two children and their teeth are both in two different Limoge boxes, all of their baby teeth. They're embarrassed when I pull them out."

Speaker Manley: "Seeing no further discussion. Representative Yingling moves for the adoption of House Resolution 386. All those in favor say 'aye'; opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion
of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Resolution is adopted. House Resolution 391, Representative Gabel.

Representative Gabel: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. This is a Resolution about Veteran Centers. They were established by Congress with the signing of Public Law 96-22 on June 13, 1979. As you know, Veteran Centers guide veterans and their families through many of the major adjustments and lifestyle changes that they have to deal with when they come home from combat. So this Resolution declares June 9 through 15 as Vet Center Week in Illinois, in the recognition of the 40th anniversary of the establishment of Vet Centers. Appreciate an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Manley: "There being no further discussion, Representative Gabel moves for the adoption of HR391. All those in favor say 'aye'; all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Resolution is adopted. HR394, Representative Meier. Representative Meier:"

Meier: "Thank you. 394 is a Resolution concerning the Kaskaskia Watershed. The Kaskaskia Watershed makes up 12 percent of the State of Illinois. And in this chamber, Members here that all have part of Kaskaskia Watershed are Nathan Reitz, Jay Hoffman, Katie Stuart, Chris Miller, LaToya Greenwood, Terri Bryant, Avery Bourne, Dan Caulkins, Brad Halbrook, Carol Ammons, Mike Morrison, and Blaine Wilhour. The... back in 2009, Jerry Costello, Sr. earmarked $20 million to be used on the Kaskaskia Watershed. There needed to be a comprehensive study done before this money can be freed up from the Federal Government. The money is still there but we will soon lose
that $20 million grant to work on the watershed. This watershed also includes the Scott Air force Base, the largest Metro East employer that we have. There's flooding issues there. There's head cutting issues on the river. And this would be for the un-channelized part of the Kaskaskia River, the largest hardwood forest in the State of Illinois, internationally recognized for the very diverse amount of birds and butterflies that come there from across the continents. And the study, we accomplished a lot of it with in-kind donations from IDNR, different colleges, but we need $300 thousand and this encourages the Governor and the 4 caucuses to find this money to finish the study so we can get this money to help preserve one of our state's best natural areas. Thank you."

Speaker Manley: "There being no further discussion, Representative Meier moves for the adoption of House Resolution 394. All those in favor say 'aye'; all opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Resolution is adopted. HR397, Representative Gabel. Out of the record. HR398, Representative Ann Williams. Out of the record. HR410, Representative Gabel. Representative Gabel."

Gabel: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. This Resolution is celebrating the Olmstead Decision that was made by the Supreme Court that held that the unjustified segregation of persons with disabilities constitutes discrimination. This was the beginning when folks who were stuck in state facilities but could really live in the community were... it began the change that moved them to the community. People should be able to live to the greatest extent that they can and to the highest
level. This Resolution also speaks about the Going Home Coalition, which is statewide Illinois network of self-advocates and family members who support person centered and responsive programs and systems that assure that persons with disabilities are able to live full and dignified lives. And the resolution of this Resolution is that we declared June 22 as Olmstead 20th Anniversary Day in the State of Illinois. Thank you."

Speaker Manley: "There being no further discussion, Representative Gabel moves for the adoption of House Resolution 410. All those in favor say 'aye'; opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Resolution is adopted. HR416, Representative McDermed. Representative McDermed."

McDermed: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I rise to present House Resolution 416. This urges us to take action to modernize early childhood education funding, teacher qualification standards in order to address the early childhood workforce crisis. One of the situations that was brought to me by one of my constituents, whose business is actually in Representative DeLuca's district, is that all of the advisory councils that deal with this issue are staffed largely by government and school based providers. And the voice of the independent provider, almost all of whom are women owned businesses, are not really represented. So we’re urging that the Illinois Learning Council, the DCFS Day care Advisory Council, the DHS Childcare Advisory Council, and the Professional Development Advisory Council assure that private provider voices are heard when early childhood education
policy is developed and implemented. All of you have these independent women owned businesses providing child care and education in your districts. And I urge your 'aye' vote on this Resolution. Thank you."

Speaker Manley: "There being no further discussion, Representative McDermed moves for the adoption of House Resolution 416. All those in favor say 'aye'; opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Resolution is adopted. House Resolution 424, Representative Harper. Representative Harper."

Harper: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I move for the adoption of House Resolution 424, which declares May 2019 as Cystic Fibrosis Awareness Month in the State of Illinois."

Speaker Manley: "There being no further discussion, Representative Harper moves for the adoption of House Resolution 424. All those in favor say 'aye'; all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Resolution is adopted. HR433, Representative Elizabeth Hernandez. Representative Hernandez."

Hernandez, L.: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. So House Resolution 433 is a request from a constituent of mine, and that's Pastor Anthony Williams of Berwyn. Unfortunately, Pastor Williams came to me with a tragic episode in his life and that his that he lost his son to gun violence back in February, and that was Nehemiah Williams. He had asked me if I would consider hosting Congressional hearings. And I honored his request more so by conducting what we are doing right now currently are these listening tours throughout the State of Illinois. What has transpired from that is really taking a
look at what violence really means in these days. And what we have found through these listening tours, listening very closely to those families who have big victims of crime, is that we have to begin to look at violence as a health crisis. So the Bill would say that violence has plagued our communities in Illinois, particularly poor and distressed communities in urban settings. Approximately 12 thousand lives a year are lost in Illinois due to gun violence, two-thirds of which is a result of suicide and one-third of which is a result of homicide. According to the Resolution, research has shown when violence is treated as a public health problem and policy, its impact can be slowed or even stopped. For instance, R3, restore, reinvest, and renew is a policy which creates a process to identify high violence communities and prioritize state dollars to go to the affirmation communities in order to fund programs addressing the underlying causes of crime and violence. From 2018 to 2019, elected officials throughout the state toured communities to seek input on solutions for communities afflicted by violence. And this work continues. I want to thank Representative La Shawn Ford and Representative Camille Lilly, who have been very much a part of the listening tours and have participated and really tried to get the public to recognize that violence must be treated as a health crisis. I ask for your support."

Speaker Manley: "The Chair recognizes Representative Lilly on the Resolution."

Lilly: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen. I, too, rise to support Resolution 433. And I am so proud of Representative Hernandez, who called myself and
Representative Ford to the table to work together on this issue. And what's really beautiful about the issue, Representative Hernandez was addressing the issue of a constituent who wanted to be a part of the solution. Addressing violence as a disease in the midst of a public health crisis in our nation with violence throughout our communities, our country, is something that we need to do hand-in-hand with our citizens. We are listening to our people and asking them what does violence mean to them. And how they believe we can collectively address it. And over and over again, they have stated, we thank the state for addressing it. We look for the county elect to join us in addressing it. We look to make sure the Congress is addressing it along side the people. We looked at each municipality throughout the State of Illinois work to address. This is a connectivity of all resources and all the bodies of government to address violence as a public health crisis in our country. It is so important that we are looking amongst ourselves. Where many of you have pin pointed legislation to target solutions to the problem. The comprehensiveness comes from the work that we are doing here today and bringing it together as Representative Hernandez had mentioned the R3 initiative, that renews, recovers, and rebuilds. We are doing the work, but we have to do it collectively. We call on our Governor to join us on the listening tours and the legislation to address violence as a disease in our great state. I thank each of you for the work that you’re doing. I thank Lisa Hernandez for her leadership. I thank Representative Ford for his tenacity. And I thank God for Pastor Anthony Williams, for knowing how
to bring us together. I look forward to each of voting for this Resolution and I look forward to working with each and every one of you. God bless us all."

Speaker Manley: "There being no further discussion, Leader Hernandez moves for the adoption of House Resolution 433. All those in favor say 'aye'; those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Resolution is adopted. SJR1, Representative Moeller. Representative Moeller."

Moeller: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Today I rise to present Senate Joint Resolution 1, which designates the U.S. bridge over Illinois Route 31 as the 'Sergeant Marcos Leonardo Gudino Memorial Bridge'. In request that the Department of Transportation erect signs and plaques at suitable locations giving notice to the new name of the bridge. Sergeant Marcos Leonardo Gudino was born in Elgin, Illinois to Frank and Minerva Gudino on January 26, 1988. He graduated from St. Edward High School in 2006. And in August of 2010 Sergeant Gudino enlisted in the Army National Guard, where he served as a medic. During his time in the National Guard, he earned the Army Service Ribbon and the National Defense Service Medal. Tragically on March 25, 2018, Sergeant Gudino passed away from injuries sustained when his military ambulance crashed returning home from weekend training. I would ask for the passage of this Resolution and a moment of silence in memory of Sergeant Gudino."

Speaker Manley: "The Body will take a moment of silence."

Speaker Manley: "Representative Moeller moves for the adoption of House Resolution... excuse me, SJR1. All in favor vote 'aye';
all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 113 'ayes', 0 'nays', and 0 voting 'present'. And this Resolution, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby adopted. SJR2, Representative Mason. Representative Mason.

Mason: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Senate Joint Resolution 2 declares the last week of October in 2019 as 'Dyslexia Awareness Week' in the State of Illinois. I ask for your support and an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Manley: "There being no further discussion. Representative Mason moves for the adoption of House... excuse me, Senate Joint Resolution 2. All those in favor say 'aye'; opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Resolution is adopted. Senate Joint Resolution 4, Representative Reitz. Representative Reitz."

Reitz: "Thank you, Madam Chair and Members of the House. Senate Joint Resolution 4 extends the Southwest Illinois Task Force. It studies the costs, feasibility, and environmental impact of the proposed four lane divided highway. I want to thank Representative Bryant for hopping on it as well. And I'll take any questions."

Speaker Manley: "There being no further discussion. Representative Reitz moves for the adoption of... the adoption of Senate Joint Resolution 4. All those in favor vote 'aye'; all those opposed vote 'nay'. And the voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 114
'ayes', 0 'nays', 0 voting 'present'. And the Resolution, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby adopted. SJR9, Leader Hoffman. Leader Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. SJR9 would designate Illinois 159 from Detour Road to Illinois 16 in Bunker Hill as the 'Lance Cpl Charles Heinemeier Memorial Highway'. Marine Lance Corporal Heinemeier was born in Alton on March 2, 1949. In May of 1968, Corporal Heinemeier enlisted in the U.S. Marines. He attended basic training in San Diego and Camp Pendleton, California. In December of 1968, he was sent to Vietnam, six months after he was enlisted. Corporal Heinemeier was killed in duty on August 19, 1969 while serving with the 1st Marine Division in Quang Nam, Vietnam. As posthumously awarded three Bronze Stars. Senate Joint Resolution would designate Illinois 159 from Detour Road to Illinois 16 in Bunker Hill as the 'Lance Cpl Charles Heinemeier Memorial Highway'."

Speaker Manley: "Representative Bryant on the Resolution. There being no further discussion... Leader Hoffman moves for the adoption of House Resolution... excuse me, Senate Joint Resolution 9. But I'd like the Body to take a moment of silence. Thank you, Leader Hoffman. All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. And the voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 114 'ayes', 0 'nays', and 0 voting 'present'. And this Resolution, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby adopted. The Chair recognizes Representative Bryant. For what reason do you seek recognition?"
Bryant: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. For a point of personal privilege."

Speaker Manley: "Please proceed."

Bryant: "Thank you. I have on the phone with me right now Jewel Maxine. Jewel is 100 years old tomorrow. I was to be at her birthday party today and wasn't able to make it. So I asked if I could get them on the phone and if we could all wish Jewel Maxine a happy 100th birthday. On three. One. Two. Three."

Bryant - et al: "Happy birthday."

Bryant: "Thank you, Madam Speaker."

Speaker Manley: "Thank you, Representative Bryant. The Chair recognizes Representative Halbrook. For what reason do you seek recognition?"

Halbrook: "Thank you, Madam Chair. A point of personal privilege, please."

Speaker Manley: "Please proceed."

Halbrook: "Thank you. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would like to ask for some order in the chamber. I want to recognize a friend from Pana, in Shelby County, that passed away this past Wednesday night, Rob Amling. Rob Amling was 68 years old. A lifelong resident of Pana. Graduated Pana High School, University of Illinois in 1972 with a degree in horticulture. His wife Debbie and daughter Stacie owned and operated a business there in Shelby County called Cold Spring Greenhouse and the Junction Garden Center in Pana. Rob was a great family guy, family man. Currently, as Shelby County Board Member, been on the board for many years. Shelby County Housing Authority Board, Chairman of the Heartland Resource
Conservation Development Council, Shelby County Republican Committee, Shelby County Economic Development Board, Kaskaskia Watershed. And countless other boards that he served on tirelessly around the area, the Pana, Christian County, Shelby County area. Again, Rob was a great family man. Well respected public servant. He's going to be deeply missed. His services will be this coming Thursday night and Friday morning. We're going to miss Rob and his dedicated service to the community and to the county. Madam Chair, I would just like to request a moment of silence as we mourn the passing of Rob Amling, please. Thank you."

Speaker Manley: "The Body will take a moment of silence. Thank you. Moving onto page 2 of the Calendar, Senate Bills Third Reading. The Chair recognizes Representative Ann Williams for Senate Bill 75. Mr. Clerk. Mr. Clerk, can you return the Bill to Second Reading for an Amendment?"

Clerk Hollman: "Senate Bill 75, a Bill for an Act concerning employment. This Bill was read a second time a previous day. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Ann Williams, has been approved for consideration."

Speaker Manley: "Representative Williams."

Williams, A.: "I'd like to discuss the Amendment if we can adopt it and move it to Third."

Speaker Manley: "Representative Williams moves for the adoption of Floor Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 75. All those in favor say 'aye'; opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk, any further Amendments?"
Clerk Hollman: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filled."
Speaker Manley: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
Clerk Hollman: "Senate Bill 75, a Bill for an Act considering employment. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."
Speaker Manley: "Representative Williams."
Williams, A.: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Many of you may recall that earlier this Session, we decided to take up issues pertaining to ethics and sexual harassment in a collaborative manner, rather than addressing them piecemeal. We wanted to prepare a comprehensive package to ensure that the protections are in place so that employees, both in and around the Capitol and in the private sector have appropriate recourse regarding unlawful discrimination and harassment. I'm happy to present today the next step in a series of Bills designed with that goal in mind, as we continue our efforts to ensure employees in our workplace, and all others, are free from harassment and discrimination. It also provides that employers are aware of their responsibilities and take any and all allegations seriously. The four caucuses and their staff have worked for weeks to pull together this extremely comprehensive package of reform. It is an agreed Bill between all four caucuses. And we have also worked closely with our employer partners around the rail, including the Retail Merchants Association, the Restaurant Association, and the Illinois Chamber. But the staff really did the heavy lifting on this Bill. I want to call them out by name, because they spent hours, and hours, and hours prepping this Bill and getting it in the position where we can have an agreed Bill among all the caucuses. From the House Democrats, Margaret
Livingston. House Republicans, Jennifer Paswater. Ashley Stead from the Senate Democrats, who... was the architect of much of the Bill. And Joe Johnson with the Senate Republicans. I also have to acknowledge Heidi Poyer from LRB, who even though she's nine months pregnant, returned over and over again to refine and improve the Bill as we presented Amendment after Amendment. Also have to give a shout out to two superstars of the Department of Human Rights, Alex Bautista and Betsey Madden, who've been working on these issues for several years now. And of course, Senate Sponsor Melinda Bush, who started the ball rolling with her comprehensive package addressing sexual harassment in the workplace. The Bill addresses four main areas, and then I'll probably go to questions to see what people would like to focus on. First, is a sexual harassment omnibus package. Creates a Workplace Transparency Act to ensure employees know their rights, not required to give them up just to get a job. Expands the application of the Human Rights Act. And expands the Victims Economic Security and Safety Act. Among Ethics Act changes, we combined provisions of several Bills to expand among the changes we already made in Public Act 100-554 last year, providing that state officials, employees, and lobbyists are required to complete more comprehensive training not just on sexual harassment, but on harassment and discrimination of any kind. We also establish statutory rights for people who file complaints alleging sexual harassment, discrimination, or harassment under the Ethics Act. And we apply many of these changes to local governments. You may recall the Panic Button Bill, which requires hotels and casinos to equip employees
working alone in guestrooms with a panic button of sorts, that's been incorporated in this Bill. And finally, regarding ethics, when it comes to statements of economic interests, we all recall filling out these papers, scrambling to do this in May. The Secretary of State has a proposal to streamline and clarify the process both for local and state officials and employees to make this easier for the public to access and to improve transparency. And I'll be happy to take any questions or comments on this Bill."

Speaker Manley: "The Chair recognizes Representative Murphy."
Murphy: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"
Speaker Manley: "She indicates that she will."
Murphy: "Thank you very much for your efforts. I really appreciate this. I'm totally support of it. I do have a couple of questions about this particular Bill though. It's my understanding... does restaurants and bars require extra specific training?"
Williams, A.: "Yes, and this provision was actually from a Bill that I introduced. I know you had also done something along these lines. The Restaurant Association worked closely with the partners from all four caucuses to provide for a training, similar to other employers, but also to take it a step up. With the argument being, tipped employees have a different relationship with customers and maybe subject to more situations that might lead to the situations we're trying to address here. So, like the conversation that we had regarding your Bill, this Bill takes it up a notch and requires the basics for those employees but a little bit more because of their unique situation."
Murphy: "I appreciate that, understand and agree totally."

Williams, A.: "Thank you for your leadership on this issue."

Murphy: "I do have one concern I would like to bring… actually two, but I just want to get a clarification. On page 56, you describe a bar. And one of my concerns is that describes it as, if it derives a 10 percent or less from food it's considered a bar. What's a definition of a bar who does, you know, 15 percent of their businesses from food?"

Williams, A.: "We utilized the same definition that was from the Smoke Free Workplace Act… in this Bill. And, you may recall, we've had some discussions of bars versus restaurants when it comes to conceal carry for example. So this is the definition that we kind of fall back using, what percentage of sales came from food."

Murphy: "Okay. I understand that, but I think we need to look at that because the way I look at it… and I've asked a couple attorneys… I'm not sure if a bar who derives more than 10 percent of their sales from food would have any responsibility in this Bill. Because they would no longer be considered a bar and they wouldn't meet the criteria to be a restaurant. And so my concern is, that they would be in a limbo that they might consider that they do not have to abide by this rule."

Williams, A.: "The additional training piece?"

Murphy: "Yes."

Williams, A.: "Well, I see what you're saying here, but I think we, you know, it does apply… the basic training applies to all employees. Certainly, this is a very comprehensive package. This is something, perhaps, we can further address."
Again, I kind of view this as the next step in a series of Bills. So we might need to refine it."

Murphy: "I agree. I just wanted to bring it to your attention."

William, A.: "Thank you."

Murphy: "I think it's something that needs to be looked at because, once again, I did discuss it with an attorney who agrees with my interpretation. And the only other question I have would be, what would happen to employee who received the training at business A and leaves and goes to business B, would they be subject to retraining? Because I think the way the verbiage is it doesn't clarify... I understand they only have to take it once a year, but it starts... when they start with employer. I'm just a little concerned, because as you well know, there's turnover rate in the restaurant business and I don't want to... I want it be clear if an employee leaves business A do you expect them to receive training if three months later they start working at business B?"

Williams, A.: "Well, the training burden, unlike us in the General Assembly, here the training burden is on the employer, not the employee and it follows the employer. So the employer offers the training once a year. It doesn't follow the employee. So I believe if they move they would just be subject to the employer's training at that next place whenever it came up that year."

Murphy: "Okay. So... so the employer..."

Williams, A.: "Yeah. And it's free and online so if an employer wants to be extra certain..."

Murphy: "Okay. I just want to clarify that. So the employer would have the opportunity to do training once a year and then..."
everybody who's employed at that particular time would take that training?"

Williams, A.: "And this is a minimum. If the employer wants to require more, if the employer wants to beef up their training themselves, it's online and free. And so this is a floor, not a ceiling."

Murphy: "Okay. Once again, I thank you for your efforts. I totally support it. I did want to bring those two issues. I would hope that you would look at that and just for clarification, 'cause I want to make sure we accomplish exactly what you want..."

Williams, A.: "Sure."

Murphy: "...and exactly what I want. To the Bill. I agree this needs to be done. I appreciate all the work from all the associations. And I urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Manley: "Chair recognizes Representative McCombie."

McCombie: "Thank you. Just to the Bill. I want to thank all of the Members that have joined as Sponsors on this Bill. It has been a lot of work. I certainly cannot take any of the credit. Just recently have joined this process. But want to thank Representative Williams, Avery Bourne... Representative Bourne, and Representative Hammond, for your help here on our side, as well as our staff. Jen Paswater and Derek as well, for all of your hard work. We certainly do appreciate it. And thank you for your support. I know this is not the end of this and just the beginning. So I look forward to continuing this work with you."

Speaker Manley: "There being no further discuss, the question is... oh, I'm sorry. Representative Williams to close."
Williams, A.: "Nothing more to add. But just again to reiterate that I think this was a process that really worked well. We have, every Session, a number of Bills introduced on a particular topic. And this year, in the Judiciary-Civil Committee, we really took our approach seriously when working on issues. And Margo McDermed, who's the Minority Spokesperson, as well as Leadership Natalie Manley and Kathy Willis, here on our side of the aisle, decided that on these issues, these critical issues, that a comprehensive approach, we worked on collaboratively, was the way to go. And I hope we follow this for other issues in the future. Thank you for your consideration."

Speaker Manley: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 75 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. And the voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this issue, there 114 voting in 'favor', 0 'opposed', and 0 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Carroll, for what reason do you seek recognition?"

Carroll: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and Members of the chamber. You know, as we know, this job comes with a lot of sacrifices and one of them falls on our family. Today is my nine year old, Brooke, daughter's birthday party. Now, I was very fortunate that I got to go home on Tuesday to spend time with her on her actual birthday. And she's such a great kid that she says, dad, I know you're trying to do good work in Springfield and I totally understand. So I appreciate that."
The reason why I rise is, and this is very hard for me to do, but she is actually such a great kid that she is raising money for charity instead of asking for presents on her birthday. And I think that it just shows what a great kid she is. And the charity of her choice is the World Wildlife Fund. So what I am asking you for, and you know again it's certainly a volunteer thing, if anybody wants to give a few dollars here and there and make a donation to her on her birthday... from the Body, I think that would mean the world to her and mean the world to my family. So, if you have a few bucks to spare place help her out. Thank you."

Speaker Manley: "Thank you, Representative. On page 12 of the Calendar, under the Order of Resolutions, SJR16. Representative Mayfield. Representative Mayfield."

Mayfield: "Thank you so much. What this Bill is it just declares a date for Black History Awareness Month in the State of Illinois. I ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Manley: "Representative Mayfield moves for the passage of SJR16. All those in favor vote (sic-say) 'aye'; opposed vote (sic-say) 'nay'. And in the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Resolution is adopted. SJR21, Representative Villa. Representative Villa."

Villa: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Resolution... Senate Joint Resolution 21 encourages all schools to consider inclusion in the classroom, especially for preschool children. We all know that having kids from different abilities helps all students learn. So I urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Manley: "Representative Villa moves that Senate Joint Resolution 21 be adopted. All those in favor say 'aye';
opposed say 'nay'. And in the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Resolution is adopted. Moving on to SJR22, Representative Bryant. Representative Bryant."

Bryant: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, I rise today to encourage passage of SJR24... I'm sorry, SJR22. It is... was an initiative of the Higher Education Working Group. It was not... it just ran out of time in the 100th General Assembly. And it just encourages the Illinois Community College Board and the Board of Higher Education to work jointly to identify shortcomings in obtaining goals, basically receiving your diploma in a general education core curriculum. So we want to make sure that whatever they take in community college is able to transfer over to university. And we'd like the two boards to work well together."

Speaker Manley: "Representative Bryant moves for SJR22... for the adoption of SJR22. All those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'nay'. And the voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there 114 voting 'aye', 0 voting 'nay', and 0 voting 'present'. And this Resolution, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby adopted. SJR24, Representative Moylan. Representative Moylan."

Moylan: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Senate Joint Resolution 24 urges the Illinois Department of Transportation to take certain steps to advance implementation of the state's Complete Streets Law, and the 2012 bicycle plan."

Speaker Manley: "The Chair recognizes Representative Batinick on the Resolution."
Batinick: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Manley: "He indicates that he will."

Batinick: "Representative, I saw this coming up on the Calendar and I'm a little bit disappointed in the last minute shenanigans. Now, Representative Severin is the Leader of our Bicycle Working Group and he has stated that he had... they have not met and you have not shown him draft language. Is that correct or incorrect?"

Moylan: "Well, I think that if you have further discussions then maybe you should go and caucus for a couple hours and discuss it."

Batinick: "Madam Speaker..."

Speaker Manley: "Absolutely not."

Moylan: "Thank you."

Batinick: "Thank you."

Speaker Manley: "Representative Moylan moves for the adoption of SJR24. All those in favor vote (sic-say)'aye'; opposed vote 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Resolution is adopted. Moving on to SJR27, Representative Mason. Representative Mason."

Mason: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. We've been talking about ethylene oxide a whole lot. This is identical to the House Joint Resolution that we passed recently urging the Federal EPA to assist Lake County in testing and addressing our concerns about ethylene oxide. I ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Manley: "Representative Mason moves for the adoption of Senate Joint Resolution 27. All those in favor vote (sic-say) 'aye'; opposed vote (sic-say) 'nay'. And in the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Resolution is
adopted. SJR28, Representative Stuart. Representative Stuart."

Stuart: "Thank you. SJR28 authorizes the State Treasurer to issue official commemorative medallions honoring the 100th anniversary of the ratification of the 19th Amendment to the U.S. Constitution."

Speaker Manley: "Representative Stuart moves for the adoption of Senate Joint Resolution 28. All those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'nay'. And the voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted... Conroy, Davis, Scherer. Conroy. Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there being 112 (sic-114)'ayes', 0 'nays', and 0 voting 'present'. This Resolution, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby adopted. SJR35, Representative West. Representative West."

West: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. SJR35 creates the Addiction Prevention Task Force to study chronic and binge drinking in Illinois. And suggests recommendations to the General Assembly on strengthening and expanding on the statewide addiction prevention system. The beautiful thing about this task Force that it will consist of members who will be appointed by our Leadership on both sides on the aisle, in both chambers, from our Governor, and the Department of Public Health, and Department... the Board of Higher Education. This membership... the task force will convene four times in a year, without compensation. And the Department of Human Services shall provide administrative support to the task force by June 30, 2020 they will submit their report to the General
Assembly and to the Governor. And after its filing the taskforce is dissolved. I will appreciate its approval."

Speaker Manley: "Representative West moves for the adoption of Senate Joint Resolution 35. All those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'nay'. And the voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 114 'ayes', 0 'nays', and 0 voting 'present'. And this Resolution, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby adopted. SJR36, Representative Mussman. Representative Mussman."

Mussman: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and Members of the Assembly. As you may know, every year multiple school districts report back to the GA that they would like to receive waivers from what is accepted statute. And this year, we had one particular school district flagged for further consideration... Dalzell School would like the opportunity to have more school students from outside the district participating in their school district. The compromise has been that we will allow students who are already students there to finish out their time within that school district but we will not allow them to bring in new students. It does allow them to seek another waiver in five years if they need to. And also allows them to seek a waiver next year if they would like to. Certainly appreciate your support."

Speaker Manley: "Representative Mussman moves for the adoption of Senate Joint Resolution 36. All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'nay'. And the voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Brady. Lilly. Ugaste. Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this
question, there are 112 'ayes', 0 'nays', 0 voting 'present'. And this Resolution, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared adopted. SJR40, Representative Batinick."

Batinick: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. This Resolution designates May 6 through May 10 of 2019 'Teacher Appreciation Week'. Obviously it's a little bit late, teachers, but we want all of you to know out there we appreciate you. And thank you very much and enjoy your summer."

Speaker Manley: "There being no further discussion, Representative Batinick moves for the adoption of SJR40. All those in favor say 'aye'; those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Resolution is adopted. Moving to page 4, under the order of Senate Bills on Second Reading, Senate Bill 731, Representative Ann Williams. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Hollman: "Senate Bill 731, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. This Bill was read a second day a previous day. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."

Speaker Manley: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Hollman: "Senate Bill 731, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Manley: "Representative Williams."

Williams, A.: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. This Bill deals with the Abraham Lincoln Presidential Library and Museum. And those of you familiar with the ALPLM may know that there is a governing board of the museum, as well as a foundation, which is involved with raising the money. The governing board has not
been appointed by the last Governor or the current Governor to date, but it's my understanding there are plans to appoint the board. There have been some challenges with the relationship between the two entities, which I think have finally... we found a way to move forward with that and address some governance issues surrounding the museum... library and museum. So what we're doing in this Bill is maybe not the complete solution to this problem, but does take a step forward. The first item is that we provide for a memorandum of understanding between the two entities, which includes some details on operations. We also include some transparency pieces requiring the foundation, which is a private entity, regardless of that, we require them to share information with the General Assembly as far as their financial details. And the main component of the Bill, in my opinion, is the establishment of a working group. Which is comprised of three members of the board of the library and museum and three members of the foundation. And that working group is charged with an array of responsibilities, which I believe will help put the museum in a space to move forward, to thrive and grow, and to maximize its potential. And of course, due honor to our amazing legacy of Abraham Lincoln. We do plan to work closely with the board once it's appointed to address what other outstanding issues might need to be addressed. But for now, this is a first step forward."

Speaker Manley: "I would like to remind the Body that we're on Short Debate and the Chair recognizes Representative Butler." Butler: "Since we're on Short Debate, and I'm rising in support, can we move it to Standard Debate?"
Speaker Manley: "Absolutely."
Butler: "Hopefully no one else will speak, but I would like to talk to this. Is that all right?"
Speaker Manley: "That’s perfectly fine."
Butler: "Thank you, Madam Speaker."
Speaker Manley: "The Chair recognizes Leader Butler."
Butler: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. And I’m going to ask a few questions of the Sponsor. But first let me say, I opposed this Bill in committee and we had a kind of robust debate, Representative Williams, on this. But we've had some really good conversations since then and I appreciate the willingness of the Sponsor and those people involved with both the museum and the foundation to address some long standing concerns that a lot of us have had about the operations of both the foundation and the museum. So I just want to get on the record a little bit. I do have some concerns about this legislation. I do have a little bit of concern about the fact that we are... we are mandating a contract, basically with the... that the foundation we'll be able to go out with and obtain services, such as the gift shop and catering and things like that. And I think that's something we really need to pay attention to because we're writing that into statute. And I think we just need to pay attention to that moving forward. The other thing that I really think we need to pay attention to, this working group that's put together for three members of the foundation board, three members of the museum board, the state historian chairing it. The foundation will be the staff and keeper of the records. And so, Representative, I just want to ask you... I have some
concerns that I've voiced to you about FOIA and OMA to make sure that this working group falls within state statute when it comes to FOIA and OMA. And I just want to make sure that you're going to work to make sure that this will be an open and transparent group as possible."

Williams, A.: "I totally agree with you, Representative, about that component. I don’t know whether under current law the museum and library's records are subject to FOIA or OMA and..."

Butler: "Yes, they are."

Williams, A.: "I know the foundations are. Well, it would make sense then..."

Butler: "Not the foundation..."

Williams, A.: "Not the foundation..."

Butler: "...But certainly the museum and library as a state agency is subject to OMA and FOIA."

Williams, A.: "Sure. And if that's the case I would agree that a working group comprised of members from both entities should also be subject to FOIA and OMA. This might be something we need to do in a follow up piece of legislation or it might be something that under current law would already be required. Certainly happy to reach out to the Attorney General for an opinion on this and to determine if we need to do follow up legislation on this piece."

Butler: "I appreciate that. And just the last thing I will say, and for the record, I've had discussions with the foundation board members about the idea of having better involvement from the General Assembly. Hopefully as ex officio board members of the foundation from each of the four caucuses. And I know that you and I have had that discussion. I think that
would be a great step forward. I know the foundation wants to work more closely with the General Assembly and I hope that's something we can accomplish as well."

Williams, A.: "Yes. I did appreciate the conversation we had. I haven't spoken to the foundation about it but I would agree that a comprehensive approach and having a Representative from each caucus would be a better approach. And I will be pushing for that. I also want to thank you for your leadership on this issue. Not just on all things tourism, but you've been really been a champion and a believer in what is a jewel of our state, this beautiful library and museum. And I am looking forward to doing what I can to follow your lead and pushing for this museum to move forward in any way possible."

Butler: "It's definitely a jewel and I thank you for your work on this. And I would urge all my colleagues an 'aye' vote on this legislation."

Speaker Manley: "Representative Williams to close."

Williams, A.: "I'd ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Manley: "The question is, "Shall Senate Bill 731 pass?" All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'nay'. And the voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 114 voting in 'favor', 0 voting 'against', and 0 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Going back to page 9, under the Order of Resolutions, House Resolution 371, Representative Crespo. Leader Crespo on the Amendment."
Crespo: "Caught me by surprise, give me one second. The House Floor Amendment #2, correct? Yeah. It's a gut and replace Amendment that makes the following changes. It adds that students with three or more CTE classes in high school are more likely to preserve in college and obtain a degree. And that K – 12 CTE courses... coursework teaches skills that are transferable to numerous careers and allows students to explore career options and find a pathway while still in K – 12. I ask for its adoption."

Speaker Manley: "Mr. Clerk, any other Amendments? Leader Crespo moves to adopt Floor Amendment 2 to House Resolution 371. All those in favor say 'aye'; opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Resolution is adopted. Mr. Clerk, any further Amendments?"

Clerk Hollman: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Manley: "Representative Crespo."

Crespo: "Thank you, Madam Chair. The Perkins Act is the federal CTE law and it was recently rewritten and states, including Illinois, are developing their Perkin's plans to submit to the Federal Government. The Resolution basically outlines at a high level some of the priorities that we would encourage the consideration and the plans. Including a funding methodology that incentivizes completion of course sequences and work based learning. It also encourages the State Board of Education, and the ICCB, and the Work Force Innovation Board to work together, and with stakeholders, to ensure alignment among Perkins and a Work Force Investment and Opportunity Act. And I ask for your 'aye' vote."
Speaker Manley: "Leader Crespo moves for the adoption of House Resolution 371. All those in favor say 'aye'; all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Resolution is adopted. House Resolution 398, Representative Ann Williams. Representative Williams."

Williams, A.: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. And on my final item for this Session, I do have a Resolution here to urge support for efforts to restrict the use of lead shotshells to take wildlife. And right now we decided... if you're in committee you'll remember that we decided not to do a Bill to mandate anything under the Act because the DNR is already working to eliminate the use of these shells on their property. So we're just urging Members of the GA to support efforts to restrict the use of lead shotshells because of the environmental impacts."

Speaker Manley: "The Chair recognizes Representative Chesney on the Resolution."

Chesney: "Madam Speaker, request a roll call vote, please."

Speaker Manley: "Your request is noted. Seeing no further discussion, Representative Williams moves for the adoption of House Resolution 398. All those voting in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'nay'. And the voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 66 voting in 'favor', 44 voting 'against', 0 voting 'present'. This Resolution, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby adopted. House Resolution 425, offered by Speaker Madigan. Representative Ann Williams on the Resolution. Take your time."
Williams, A.: "This is just simply... sorry about that, I didn't realize we were doing this today. This simply establishes November 15 as 'Go Green Day'. I just wanted to thank Speaker Madigan for his commitment to the environment and acknowledging the importance of recognizing a day to acknowledge that."

Speaker Manley: "There being no further discussion, Representative Williams moves for the adoption of House Resolution 425. All in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'nay'. And the voting... I'm sorry. On this question... all those in favor say 'aye'; opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Resolution is adopted. On page 12 of the Calendar, Senate... Senate Joint Resolution 13, Representative Stuart."

Stuart: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Senate Joint Resolution 13 creates the Elder Abuse Task Force, to investigate the effectiveness of our current elder protective services and laws, examine barriers to prosecution and strategies..."

Speaker Manley: "Representative... Representative, you have a Floor Amendment on this."

Stuart: "Oh, yeah. The Floor Amendment was just technical, adds and Oxford comma, make sure that we have the ages right for elders."

Speaker Manley: "Representative Stuart moves for the adoption of Floor Amendment 1 to SJR13. All those in favor say 'aye'; opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk, any further Amendments?"

Clerk Hollman: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Manley: "Representative Stuart."
Stuart: "Thank you. So this Resolution creates the Elder Abuse Task Force to investigate the effectiveness of current elder protective services and laws, examine barriers to prosecution, and strategies to increase public awareness of elder abuse and reporting... basically just trying to protect our elderly community. I'd appreciate an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Manley: "Representative Stuart moves for the adoption of Senate Joint Resolution 13. All those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'nay'. And the voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 115 'ayes', 0 'nays', 0 voting 'present'. And the Resolution, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby adopted. Moving to page 6 of the Calendar, under Concurrences. House Bill 92, Representative Kalish. Representative Jones on the Concurrence."

Jones: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. So before I proceed, let me explain. As many of you know, we're in the month of Sabbath... or the day of Sabbath. And my seatmate is prohibited from participating but he has carried this great piece of legislation. Has asked me to not only proceed, but this Bill... Senate Amendment 1 simply becomes the Bill. It reinserts the provisions of the Bill that were introduced. This is a negotiation. This removes the opposition from police officer. It comes from negotiations. It requires that police officers shall conduct prosecuting authority, that... who has jurisdiction, that issues the warrant, that they must give a warrant and copy of that warrant and a court order to the
police to make sure they are promptly executing the warrant. I would ask for its adoption."

Speaker Manley: "The question is shall... oh, tricked me. Representative Parkhurst."

Parkhurst: "Thank you. I'd like to thank Representative Kalish for bringing this legislation. He worked with everybody involved tirelessly to get this good piece of legislation to a point where there's no opposition. And it empowers people to be survivors instead of re-victimized by the process. So thank you very much, Representative."

Speaker Manley: "Representative Jones."

Jones: "Representative Kalish says you're welcome."

Speaker Manley: "The question is, 'Shall the House concur with House... with Amendments 1 and 3 on House Bill 92?' This is final action. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye'; all those opposed by voting 'nay'. And the voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 114 voting 'aye', 0 voting 'nay', and 0 voting 'present'. And the House does concur with Senate... with Amendments 1 and 3 on House Bill 92. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 137, Representative Barbara Hernandez. Representative Hernandez."

Hernandez, B.: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I move to concur with House Bill 137. The Amendment makes expressively clear the types of routine maintenance activities that are excluded from the EPA Corrosion Prevention Rules and make the exclusion mandatory rather than discretionary."
Speaker Manley: "The Chair recognizes Leader Batinick."
Batinick: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"
Speaker Manley: "She indicts that she will."
Batinick: "Representative, it looks like this had a whole bunch of opposition when it went to the Senate. It still comes back with quite a bit of opposition. Is that correct?"
Hernandez, B.: "Yes."
Batinick: "So we've got the IML, Associated Builders and Contractors, Northwest Municipal... Conference. On, and on, and on, I won't bore you with the list. What's the main point of contention they have?"
Hernandez, B.: "So they were just opposed simply because of they were worried of the expansion."
Batinick: "It's my understanding that this says what contractors you can use and how the feds are going to spend their money..."
Hernandez, B.: "So the municipalities are... actually IMLA are against simply because the mandates the municipalities to take care of the corrosion prevention."
Batinick: "Okay. A lot of the things... one of my bigger concerns here, a lot of the times when the IML opposes something, they oppose it because it's something that's going to increase their property taxes. And I know we're going to have some task forces here but if it constrains what they can do, what contractors they can hire. I know it may not be directly, but these are the small things that add up. You know, I think I've probably chatted enough for all of us tired folks here right now. I'm going to go straight to the Bill. We've got whole lot of opposition. I can't say that I have this Bill memorized inside and out but I've read segments of it that"
are very onerous on our municipalities. We've got all the municipality groups, many of them seem to be against it. Illinois Rural Water Association, MetroWest Council of Governments. So from my side, I certainly strongly urge a 'no' vote. Thank you."

Speaker Manley: "The question is, 'Shall the House concur on Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 137?' This is final action. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye'; opposed voting 'nay'. And the voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 71 voting 'aye', 43 voting 'nay', and 0 voting 'present'. The House does concur on Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 137. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 854, Representative Evans. Representative Evans."

Evans: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I just received this Amendment back from the Senate. Senate Floor Amendment 2 just adds an additional person... to this commission. It's agreed to by all the Members. I request your support."

Speaker Manley: "The question is, 'Shall the House concur with Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 854?' This is final action. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye'; opposed voting 'nay'. And the voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 115 voting 'aye', 0 voting 'nay', 0 voting 'present'. And the House does concur on Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 854. And this Bill, having
received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby passed. House Bill 925, Representative Didech. Representative Didech.

Didech: "I move to concur on Senate Amendments 1, 2, and 3. These Senate Amendments make a number of changes to the Mobile Home Park Act. They provide that each mobile home shall have a connection to a public or private water system in accordance with the requirements of the Illinois Water Well Construction Code or the Surface Source Water Treatment Code. They also add language that requires that mobile homes be skirted to exclude rodents and provide protection to the utilities from the weather. The Amendment also clarifies that the Department of Public Health shall adopt rules instead of minimum number of days for a mobile home park to correct an alleged violation. And adopt a revised fee schedule that was negotiated by the Department of Public Health and the Mobile Home Manufacture's Association. I'm happy to answer any questions."

Speaker Manley: "The Chair recognizes Leader Batinick."

Batinick: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Manley: "He indicates that he will."

Batinick: "Looks like you have one, two, three small changes with your Senate Amendments. It looks like it went out partisan roll. Did anybody speak out in opposition? What was the nature of the opposition?"

Didech: "No. The... this Bill is supported by both the Department of Public Health and the Manufactured Mobile Home Association. There was no debates during committee. I think there was... the partisan roll call is because of the revised
fee schedule just out an abundance of caution, is my understanding"
Batinick: "Okay. Well let's talk about the revised fee schedule, out of an abundance for caution. Where are we know? And where are we going in this?"
Didech: "Yes, the plan review fee for a permit to construct a manufactured home from 100 to 500 hundred. Fee for a construction permit for a mobile home, 100 to 250. The application to alter a license, 50 to 150. Application fee for a home park wanting to be relicensed, 50 to 240. And the annulations fee for a mobile home park 100 plus 4 for each home to 250 plus 70, each home. These fee schedule... the one in place now has been in place since 1984. The reason why there's the request for the revised fee schedule is because the 1984 fee schedule doesn't accurate the reflect the time and resources that the Department of Public Health needs to put into reviewing these applications and reviewing these permits."
Batinick: "Okay. And reading... I want to know if this is a with Senate Amendment 1 or with all the Amendments. It looks like this is specific to Lake County."
Didech: "So the specific to Lake County part is only for the part of this Bill that reduces the penalty for delinquencies. That was restricted to Lake County at the request of the Illinois County Treasurer's Association."
Batinick: "Okay. And that Amendment is still opposed by the Franklin County Treasurer. I'll tell you what... I'll go to the Bill. Representative, that was a very well described thorough
way of explaining your Bill. And for those reasons you mentioned I will be a 'no' vote. Thank you."

Speaker Manley: "The question is, 'Shall the House concur with Senate Amendments 1, 2 and 3 to House Bill 925?' This is final action. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye'; opposed by voting 'nay'. And the voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 71 voting 'aye', 44 voting 'nay', and 0 voting 'present'. The House does concur on Senate Amendment 1, 2, and 3 to House Bill 925. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Leader Willis in the Chair."

Speaker Willis: "Mr. Clerk, Rules Report, please."

Clerk Bolin: "Representative Harris, Chairperson from the Committee on Rules reports the following committee action taken on June 1, 2019: recommends be adopted is Floor Amendment #4 to Senate Bill 1300, and Floor Amendment #5 for Senate Bill 1881; recommends be adopted, Motion to Concur with Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 62, as well as Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 142."

Speaker Willis: "Thank you, Mr. Clerk. On page 6 of the Calendar, House Bill 2078, Representative Stuart.

Stuart: "Thank you. I move to concur with Amendment 1 on House Bill 2078."

Speaker Willis: "Would you tell us what the Amendment does, Ma'am?"
Stuart: "Sure. The Amendment just simply adds language... give me one second... to allow the professional review panel to submit a report to the General Assembly on how the state funds and funds distributed under the evidence-based funding model will aid the financial effects of the changes made by this Act, if you remember the original Bill is to raise our teacher minimum salaries."

Speaker Willis: "Representative Batinick."

Batinick: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Willis: "She indicates she will."

Batinick: "So I just want to make sure I'm clear. We passed that Bill... a month ago? Okay. And this is a trailer Bill essentially, right?"

Stuart: "I wouldn't call it a trailer Bill. I think it's a good Amendment to help..."

Batinick: "Oh this is... I'm sorry. I'm sorry. I'm sorry. As you know, we were up late last night..."

Stuart: "Right."

Batinick: "...and I was up early. Okay. So we're concurring on... we're concurring teacher's salary bill..."

Stuart: "We're concurring on this Amendment."

Batinick: "Okay, I'm sorry. The way you described it I thought it was something a little bit different, that it was the trailer Bill. Okay. And what was the big change in the Senate Amendment, Representative?"

Stuart: "So the change is simply adding that the Professional Review Panel will submit a report to us on how the state funds distributed under the evidence-based funding model will help the effects... the changes made by this Act."
Batinick: "Okay. Okay. So from my side of the aisle this is a concurrence on this exact Bill, which they find by looking at their vote on their computer right now. And this is the exact same Bill with that small change to it. And I think that everybody probably found it. So thank you for explaining that. I appreciate that."

Stuart: "Yeah, I'll say that I know that some Members on your side of the aisle worried about the effects on some of those districts and how the evidence-based funding model and the additional dollars that we were giving. Now we're going to raise teacher's salaries, as we should. And thank you for recognizing Teacher Appreciation Week. I do appreciate that. But how it would effect and impact the programs and things they've been able to put in place, and think that this Amendment helps the Members on your side of the aisle that were concerned with that."

Batinick: "I appreciate that. 'Cause that was actually my concern, I think, during the initial part of the debate."

Stuart: "Yes."

Batinick: "So, thank you very much."

Speaker Willis: "Representative Stuart to close."

Stuart: "If you want to show appreciation for your teachers please give them an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Willis: "The question is, 'Shall the House concur on House Bill 2078, Amendment 1?' This is final action. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye'; all those opposed signify by voting 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the roll. On this question, there are 81 voting
'aye', 30 voting 'nay', 0 voting 'present', 7 not voting. The House does concur with Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 2078. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On page 7 of the Calendar, House Bill 2276, Representative Carroll.

Carroll: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and Members of the chamber. I ask to concur on Senate Amendment 1 to HB2276. It's just slight change to the language that says that a person that is a sole occupant of a vehicle cannot be... cannot be fined as a secondary fine for smoking in a car. And I ask for a 'yay' vote. Thank you."

Speaker Willis: "Seeing no debate, the question is, 'Shall the House concur on Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 2276?' All those in favor signify by voting 'aye'; all those opposed signify by voting 'nay'. The voting is opened. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the roll. On this question, there are 97 voting 'aye', 14 voting 'nay', 0 voting 'present'. The House does concur with Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 2276. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Continuing on page 7, House Bill 2304, Representative Slaughter."

Slaughter: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and Members of the House. I move to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2304. This Bill establishes a job training program pertaining to the building trades and is subject to appropriation. The program aims to utilize community-based organizations to target unemployed individuals that are at risk or from underserved communities. Since the Bill was over in the
Senate, Senate Leader Iris Martinez and I have worked collaboratively with DCEO and other stakeholders to answer outstanding questions regarding the program language in House Bill 2304. The Concurrence Amendment clarifies that individuals between the ages of 18 to 35 are eligible for the program and that the program is discretionary, not mandatory. The Amendment also moves the program from DHS to DCEO. And lastly, the Amendment clarifies that DCEO will work collaboratively with community-based organizations and other state agencies, namely DCFS, to enroll individuals into the program. Again, this Bill is subject to appropriation. It has no opposition. I urge a 'yes' vote."

Speaker Willis: "Seeing no discussion, the question is, 'Shall the House concur on Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 2304?' This is final action. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye'; those opposed signify by voting 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Robinson. Have all voted who wish? On this question... Clerk, please call the roll. On this question, there are 115 voting 'aye', 0 voting 'nay', 0 voting 'present'. The House does concur with Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 2304. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Continuing on page 7, House Bill 2540, Representative Harper."

Harper: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I move to concur with Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 2540. This Bill creates the Blockchain Business Development Act. It included series of initiatives aimed at promoting and encouraging the growth of Blockchain technologies. This Senate Amendment removed all
opposition, which came from the Secretary of State. It's a gut and replace Amendment. And now the only remaining requirements of this Bill are one that IDFPR review the potential application of Blockchain technology to the banking sector. And two, that DECO incorporates Blockchain related programming into its economic development and business support provisions. I encourage an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Willis: "Seeing no discussion, the question is, 'Shall the House concur on Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 2540?' This is final action. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye'; those opposed signify by voting 'nay'. The voting is opened. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Carroll, Connor, Jones. Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the roll. On this question, there are 115 voting 'aye', 0 voting 'nay', 0 voting 'present'. The House does concur with Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 2540. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Continuing on page 7, House Bill 2625, Representative Arroyo."

Arroyo: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Motion to Concur on Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 2625 as amended by... Senate Amendment 1 is identical to House Bill 2625 as passed on the House by expected to the provision to provide for the General Assembly to withdraw the subcircuits. House Bill 2625 requires that the General Assembly re-draw the subcircuits and boundaries in 2021 and after early... after every federal decennial census thereafter. I ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Willis: "Representative Batinick is recognized."

Batinick: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"
Speaker Willis: "He will."

Batinick: "Representative, I think last time you didn't know the 12th, 6th, 17th, 19th, and 22nd subcircuits or districts. Where are those? What counties are those districts located in now?"

Arroyo: "I kind of told you too, that I remember back then but I still haven't found them... where the other districts are. I'm sorry for that."

Batinick: "Don't you think we should know that before we vote on it?"

Arroyo: "No, not really."

Batinick: "We've got plenty of time."

Arroyo: "I think that there was a bigger issue rather than those districts."

Batinick: "Well, I can tell you that, I think those... those districts are a big issue to many of us on this side of the aisle and likely they effect many of the districts. Many of the people that sit on your side of the aisle might be effected by these. And they should know whether or not they live or their districts are in those subcircuits. What... you don't find that important?"

Arroyo: "I think it's important but I'll get back to you and I'll tell you where they are."

Batinick: "Okay. The issue is, as soon as we go to a vote the Speaker is going to say this is final action."

Arroyo: "I didn't hear what you said, somebody was talking to me."

Batinick: "I know how that goes. I'm glad somebody else knows the feeling. This is final action, so getting back to us on what
half of the Bill does, frankly, more... probably more than half... you're adding... 6 districts. It's probably a pretty basic request... to know what half the Bill does."

Arroyo: "Well I... there's a couple of districts that the Amendment was put in their from the Republic Senate side, that they added Kane County, Boone County, Winnebago County, Lake County, McHenry County, and Cook County. So there's a..."

Batinick: "Here's... so he's saying is the Republicans... 'cause we voted this out your way unanimously. The Republicans then put an Amendment on it that was supposed to help them but they all voted against it in the Senate and sent back here?"

Arroyo: "I don't remember what they voted over there. I didn't have those numbers."

Batinick: "I thought that's what you just said that the Republicans..."

Arroyo: "No, I said they put the Amendment on it."

Batinick: "You're right. Technically you're right. I guess it seems a little odd that they would put an Amendment on and then I see 19 'no' votes. I'm kind of glancing through here. Curran, DeWitte, Barickman, Anderson..."

Arroyo: "Representative, what is your district?"

Batinick: "I honestly don't have my district memorized but I didn't bring this Bill. And my understanding is... my other concern is that this certainly isn't, you know, we've talked about fair maps, it certainly isn't an independent commission that's going to draw these. It's going to be in the hand. So basically what we're doing is, we're about to vote on a Bill where we don't know where the districts are but we're going to draw them. The General Assembly is going to draw some court
districts. So I'm going to go ahead and go to the Bill. We debated this previously. The people that were off, I certainly hope stay off. I strongly urge a 'no' vote. Thank you."

Speaker Willis: "Representative Davis."

Davis: "Thank you, Madam Chair. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Willis: "He indicated he will."

Davis: "Representative Arroyo, assuming that this Bill passes, what would you speak to what the process will be relative to drawing these subcircuit districts?"

Arroyo: "I believe the General Assembly will draw these districts just like they would draw our districts. And I believe that this was drawn by Senator Miguel del Valle 30 something years ago to be able to help minorities get elected to some of these districts."

Davis: "Well, and I won't speak to whether or not then Senator del Valle was a part of it, but this Bill came about through the former State Representative Paul Williams as well as Anthony Young, correct?"

Arroyo: "Yes."

Davis: "And how long ago was that? You said about..."

Arroyo: "Thirty something years ago."

Davis: "About thirty years ago. So it's your contention that we're at a stage where the lines need to be redrawn, correct? But you said that will fall to the General Assembly. Will that be done by people? Will it be done by computers? A compilation of information? Through what process..."

Arroyo: "I'm not sure, how the General Assembly would draw that. I know that the General Assembly would draw the maps. I think the Members draw the maps just like we do."
Davis: "Okay. And just so we're clear, moving forward I believe there was some dialogue from some folks who are not Members who have a particular interest in the redrawing of the maps that have also indicated that this process... that there could possibility be some type of trailer Bill that may come after this, correct?"

Arroyo: "Yes. And I agree to that, Will. I talked to Paul and I talked to some of the Members that there will be will be a trailer bill in the Veto Session to be able to tweak some language that they're not comfortable with... they're not against the Bill, they just want to be able to some language..."

Davis: "They want to be certain that they can be a part of the process based on their own experiences to help move this issue forward as well, correct?"

Arroyo: "Correct."

Davis: "Would that be a fair statement?"

Arroyo: "I couldn't said it better myself."

Davis: "Okay. Well we just want to make that, again, for the record that you've agreed to make sure that there is some inclusion that would include even some of the historical Members that helped get the subcircuit Bill moved forward some 30 years ago. As well as some current people that we know who are... who have interest in that just to make sure that there's inclusion and we're a part of that process moving forward, correct?"

Arroyo: "Correct."

Davis: "Okay. Therefore, very briefly to the Bill, Madam Chair. The Bill Sponsor has indicated that, yes, it's about time to redraw some of these subcircuit lines. As we know, populations
have shifted. There have been increases and decreases in various groups of individuals that were designed... that were helped by the initial drawing of the subcircuits and make sure that there is indeed fair representation. Particularly among minority groups in the judicial system, particularly in Cook County. This Bill may be expanded, because again, as populations are shifting we want to make sure that we're being more inclusive to make sure that we are using this process to be more representative of different groups of individuals across Cook County and other counties as well. Therefore, I do encourage Members to support the Gentlemen's Bill. And we look forward to the summer conversation to help make where we are now and improve it even further. Thank you very much, Representative."

Speaker Willis: "Representative Flowers is recognized."
Flowers: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Representative Arroyo, I spoke to your yesterday about this Bill."
Arroyo: "Yes, you did."
Flowers: "And I want to take this opportunity to thank you for bringing it forward because I was here 30 years, or more, ago when this Bill took place along with Carol Braun and State Representative then Art Turner. He was here as well. And this was a very... it was momentous day when we passed this legislation. And it my understanding, as Representative Davis just announciated, that you have no intentions of doing anything with this legislation at this present time?"
Arroyo: "I didn't get that, Mary. We're going to put... in the Veto Session, we're going to put some language that I believe some of the Members have brought to me to be able to change when
we draw the Bill that picks up some other areas that their concerned with."

Flowers: "So there will be input from others..."
Arroyo: "Yes."
Flowers: "...because the changes haven't been made in 30 some odd years?"
Arroyo: "Right. And it won't be... the changes won't happen 'til 2021."
Flowers: "Okay. I just wanted to once again to reaffirm the conversation you and I had yesterday."
Arroyo: "Thank you."
Flowers: "And thank you. And I urge an 'aye' vote."
Speaker Willis: "Representative McDermed."
McDermed: "Thank you, Madam Chairman. For the benefit of the group, while we've been talking I had time to go look this up. So basically this is Cook and all the collar counties will all be... the subcircuits will all be redrawn. And I would just really caution people that if we're going to redraw judicial subcircuits, and we're agreeing to do that here today, that maybe it would be nice to know who is going redraw them and based on what. And I can speak from personal experience that whatever is going on with the 12th Judicial subcircuit, it was not subcircuited way back in those days. That's Will. And it was not... it was only subcircuitied much more recently than that. So I'm not entirely sure about the data that's been presented to this room because it was not subcircuitied way back in those day. So that's what your voting on, is the redrawing of the subcircuits in Cook and all the collar counties. And so vote accordingly."
Speaker Willis: "Representative Costa Howard."
Costa Howard: "Will the Sponsor yield?"
Speaker Willis: "He indicates he will."
Costa Howard: "Representative Arroyo can you please tell me what county is the 19th Circuit?"
Arroyo: "Lake County."
Costa Howard: "What is the 12th Circuit?"
Arroyo: "Will County."
Costa Howard: "What is the 16th Circuit?"
Arroyo: "Kane County."
Costa Howard: "The 17th Circuit?"
Arroyo: "Boone, Winnebago."
Costa Howard: "And the 22nd?"
Arroyo: "McHenry."
Costa Howard: "Is DuPage County in any of the counties that are included in this Bill?"
Arroyo: "I can't see it."
Costa Howard: "Thank you."
Speaker Willis: "Representative Connor."
Connor: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. And I just wanted to say, I appreciate you speaking with me yesterday, Representative. And I'm still a little unclear and I'm going to echo what my fellow Will County Legislator said. I'm not real sure why Will County is included in this legislation. So until I can get a much clearer picture of why that is given that we were subcircuited so much more recently. I'm going to have to be a 'no' vote today. Thank you."
Speaker Willis: "Representative Arroyo to close."
Arroyo: "I ask for an 'aye' vote."
Speaker Willis: "The question is, 'Shall the House concur on Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 2625?' This is final action. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye'; all those opposed signify by voting 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Lilly. Thapedi. West. Mr. Clerk, please take the roll. On this question, there are 66 voting 'aye', 46 voting 'nay', 2 voting 'present'. The House does concur with Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 2625. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. According to the Parliamentarian, only 60 votes are needed on that. Continuing on page 7, House Bill 2627, Representative Kifowit. Representative."

Kifowit: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. As was promised, I informed the Body that this will come back on concurrence. This is the Bill as we will recall was in memory of Corey Walgren, who tragically killed his life after being interrogated by our school resource officer. This Amendment was forged in conjunction with the Chicago Police Department and law enforcement to clarify, as I promised, language with regards to immediate danger. We also put in training standards, so that resource officers are trained in youth investigations approved by and certified by his or her law enforcement agency. In addition to that, we needed to ensure notification for the parents, document the time and manner, and in conjunction with that we did put in language for regards to a mental health person to be there if a parent is not able to. And it's the intent of the Bill the role of any school personnel present during the questioning of the student is
that of a neutral observer. So again, I promised this would come back on concurrence and here it is."

Speaker Willis: "Representative Batinick is recognized."
Batinick: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"
Speaker Willis: "She indicates she will."
Batinick: "Representative, I was actually... I think I supported this Bill but there's a fair amount of opposition on it. Is all the opposition removed?"
Kifowit: "It is my understanding that the Senate Amendments had neutralized the opposition from the Chicago Police Department and law enforcement and the Illinois State Police. I was in a meeting with the Chicago Police Department and the Illinois State Police that they informed me that defining when the circumstances do not imply, such as to prevent bodily harm and injury to the student, any other person, apprehend an armed or fleeing suspect, prevent the destruction of evidence, and address an emergency or another dangerous situation, in addition to, stating that does not limit the authority of a law enforcement officer to make an arrest on school grounds would have them neutral."
Batinick: "Okay. The Senate tends to pass a lot things out unanimously. This one was 42-14 in the Senate. Do you know what the angst was in the Senate?"
Kifowit: "I was... I'm not informed of that."
Batinick: "You're not informed. So..."
Kifowit: "No."
Batinick: "All opposition is removed or neutral?"
Kifowit: "It is. My understanding... I was in a meeting with Chicago Police Department in addition to Illinois State Police, who
was working on this diligently with us. And it was my understanding that they were neutral."

Batinick: "Okay and this is a straight... this did not recommend be adopted. We didn’t take this concurrence back to committee, correct?"

Kifowit: "Correct. It was directly related to the floor."

Batinick: "Even with your substantive change to the Bill?"

Kifowit: "It was not my recall. That’s just how Rules kicked it out."

Batinick: "Okay. I'll be supporting your legislation. I'm a little concerned for some of my Members that had a reason to vote against it. I hope I've given them enough time to see if... if those reasons were somehow fixed in your Amendment. Based on the vote in Senate, I'm thinking maybe they weren't. So the... all the Illinois Sheriff Association, Fraternal Order of Police, Chicago Fraternal's Order of Police, those are all neutral now?"

Kifowit: "It was my understanding. If it would make feel better I can pull it from record and call John Thompson and reconfirm. I was in the meeting with him and I was... it was expressed to me that they were fine with changes. But if you would like more assurances, I can certainly do that for you."

Batinick: "No. I think, Representative..."

Kifowit: "I'm told that they were spoken to yesterday and they are neutral."

Batinick: "Yeah, I was going to say you've been very kind explaining everything. I trust you. So with that, we'll go to the Bill. And I think everybody's had our time on our side of
the aisle to look at those changes and see if it is going to move their vote one way or another. Thank you."

Speaker Willis: "Representative Kifowit to close."

Kifowit: "Thank you, Members of the Body. This is a good Bill. It hopefully will help provide training for school resource officers and really look at the students with regards of situations. And I ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Willis: "The question is, 'Shall the House concur on Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 2627?'. This is final action. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye'; all those opposed signify by voting 'nay'. The voting is opened. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the roll. On this question, there are 83 voting 'aye', 30 voting 'nay', 0 voting 'present'. The House does concur with Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 2627. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Continuing on House Bill 2719, Representative Stuart. Representative Stuart."

Stuart: "Thank you. Sorry. Do I need to adopt the Amendment or I'm already..."

Speaker Willis: "No. This is a Motion to Concur."

Stuart: "Okay, yes."

Speaker Willis: "...so if you would just explain the Senate Amendments."

Stuart: "Thank you. Yes. So what the Amendment does, this is really just an initiative of the Governor. It's designed to make sure that our students who are pursing post-secondary education fill out the FAFSA. The FAFSA is the free
application for student aid, which is required to qualify for federal grants, like the Pell Grant. We also require it for a lot of our grants, like our new AIM HIGH Scholarship. And it's also required for many other forms of merit-based aid. So having our students fill this out will help them see that a post-secondary education is affordable. When we talk about our colleges and universities not being up to capacity, a lot of the competition we think is other states. And that's true. But when you look at the numbers, the biggest competition are the students that go nowhere. So hopefully this will bring some of them to our colleges and universities. And also make it affordable. I'd appreciate your support."

Speaker Willis: "Representative Hammond is recognized."
Hammond: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. And will the Sponsor yield?"
Speaker Willis: "She indicates she will."
Hammond: "Representative Stuart, first and foremost, I want to thank you for your work on this. As I mentioned in committee, our higher ed working group has been struggling with this and a method of getting to it. And so, I think this is certainly a very good step and I intend to support the legislation. For some of my Members there is concern that this would be considered a mandate. Can you address that for me, please?"
Stuart: "I would say that we are asking students and their families to fill out a form and let the… and let their school know that they filled it out. But I think we are asking to do something that is going to be helpful for them."
Hammond: "And can you speak to the exemptions or the waivers for those that are not, for one reason or another, either do not want to or are not able to?"
Stuart: "Sure. Under the Bill, the... there's just so many waivers and opportunities for a student to make sure that there are no ill effects from this Bill. So if there are things that prevent the student from filling out, if the school district has made a good faith effort to help them they can waive the requirement. Student's parents can say we're not interested in filling it out. They just fill out a form and say we're not doing it and they're exempted."

Hammond: "And so, for those of us that have... that struggle with broadband and internet access, would that also be an area where they could possibly have a waiver as well?"

Stuart: "Oh, yes, definitely. For those of us... yeah."

Hammond: "Okay. Thank you very much, Representative. And I strongly urge an 'aye' vote."

Stuart: "Thank you."

Speaker Willis: "Representative Flowers."

Flowers: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Representative, can you tell me why this Bill is necessary and why is it that it has to be extenuating circumstances for a child not to complete this FASFA?"

Stuart: "I will... the first question why it's necessary is because this is a form that's required for all forms of different financial aid. And to your second point, simply the student and student's parents can say we do not intend to fill this out and they are exempt."

Flowers: "Well, you are assuming if a student have to fill it out that they're going to college. And that's not necessarily so, so why is it a mandate? Because basically that's what it is."
Stuart: "Well, if a student does not intend to go to any type of post-secondary... because this, the FAFSA is also for programs that are not just for your universities, right? It's for other..."
Flowers: "But maybe some of the programs are free."
Stuart: "Yeah, yeah. Right."
Flowers: "Maybe the students don't have to be burdened with a loan..."
Stuart: "So... that's why the student..."
Flowers: "...or a credit card."
Stuart: "And the family can simply say, since we have no need to fill out the FAFSA, because we are going on to something that does not have a cost attached to it, they just turn in a form that says we're not filling it out and they excused."
Flowers: "Well see, the problem that I have with that is because you assume that all schools have the necessarily counselors to take the time to educate the students, as well as the parents, to talk about college and/or other programs. But you also need to understand that a lot of businesses and banks will be inundating a lot of students, maybe poor students, with loans and encouraging them to take out credit cards that will hamper them in the future. And so, I think, that by you making this a part of a mandate, and they have to complete it out, not unless their parents or either ask for a waiver, is causing a burden as well as uncertainties, because a lot of counselors and parents, because their students have not been talked to by the counselors about going to college, they may not even understand what it's all about."
Stuart: "So, you've put a lot of good questions in there. So to answer one of your concerns, there is currently support available from ISAC, today, to help students that need to be connected to financial aid and the forms. There's no cost to the students or the school for that. So that will help those students. I agree with you, that we do need more counselors in our schools and I would be... I would love to work with you on trying to have measurers that get us those counselors that we need in schools. I just think this is a good first step towards getting those students, who are looking for a post-secondary education, and only those who are looking for a post-secondary education, on the way to finding out if they are eligible for grants and merit aid."

Flowers: "Well should it not be that would be accepted into a school first and then after being accepted into the school they could then fill out the necessary application and information?"

Stuart: "So with the timing, Representative Flowers, the timing of college acceptances and college applications, sometimes the deadline for the FAFSA completion is prior to when schools let students know that they've been accepted. So you might fill out the FAFSA and you have to, to meet the deadline, before you know whether you are admitted into that program. I agree with you that's not a great timeline, but unfortunately that's a federal set timeline, I can't adjust that."

Flowers: "No, I'm not asking to adjust it nor should the students and their parents have the burden of filling out an application and he or she may not be not using it or they
have not heard back from schools that they may want attend. So, once again, I'm asking why is this mandatory or either the burden is put on the parents and the student as opposed to the schools and the counselors to make sure that the paperwork is done in a proper time. Whereas, when the FAFSA application is done, it would be applicable. Because the money is supposed to go to the school. So if the FAFSA is accepted and this kid haven't heard from the school then where is the money going to go to?"

Stuart: "So the FAFSA is just simply the application to be eligible to get federal aid, such as a Pell Grant, and for some institutions they require it for their own need-based aid or for their merit-based aid. And again, I understand the discrepancy in the timeline that you're concerned about, that you may have a student that goes through all the work of filling out the FAFSA, and I have two students in my own home, where we have to deal with the FAFSA and it's not fun and it's not easy and... but ISAC is there to help. I have hosted ISAC seminars in my district. I attended them as a parent to learn how to do this. And ISAC has the resources and they intend to, you know, increase their outreach. When I've spoken with ISAC."

Flowers: "Well, you know, again I appreciate your last statement about what ISAC planned to intend to do. Because for the 35 years that I've been here, they've been intending to do that for a very long time. And as you know, because you're here now, they still haven't done it. But my point to you, is that you're putting an undue burden on the parents as well as the students. And in regards to the students being selected to go
to the school, but in the meantime, that information from that FAFSA is out there and now that student and that family will be inundated with all types of solicitation. Solicitation to put themselves in harm's way of having a debt. And then when the school eventually might decide to take the student, then they can't afford it because the money has been spent on some other type of organization that may... I'm sorry. I'm sorry. The student may not have gotten into the school, and again, the credit cards and the checks have been sent and cashed."

Stuart: "Representative, I... I fully appreciate that concern. I have concerns about predatory lending practices as well that are targeted towards students. As I said, in my own home I have two children at of college age and yes, you get inundated with here's a loan, here's a loan, and high interest. I think we can work to fix that. I don't think this Bill is going to cause any more of that flooding in."

Flowers: "I would beg the difference because if they didn't have to fill out that information at the time that you're now designating under the constraints in which you're putting on them, they wouldn't have to deal with it in the first place. But with all due respect, Representative..."

Stuart: "With all due respect..."

Flowers: "I will be voting 'no'."

Stuart: "Thank you."

Flowers: "And I would urge a 'no' vote. Thank you."

Speaker Willis: "Members I'm going to put a three minute timer on. We do have committees coming up and I'd like to get
through the concurrences before we do that. So three minute timer. Representative Davis you're recognized."

Davis: "Thank you, Madam Chair. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Willis: "She indicates she will."

Davis: "Talk to us about intent. So when we all here talk about our past lives, well I just happened to have been a college counselor for a university. So this is very important to me. And my concern is, I guess the mandate aspect of it. Because if I'm not mistaken, it was language that would suggest that a school could withdraw a diploma... or withheld... withhold a diploma from a student if they don't fill it out. Even though it won't be their practice to do so. I think there's language that suggests that it could happen. Is that correct?"

Stuart: "I believe that we have put in enough fail-safes, enough waiver opportunities that it will not negatively impact a student's ability to gain that high school diploma."

Davis: "Okay. Then talk process. Because maybe to the previous Speaker, we know that right now college counselors, school are overburden. Their caseloads could 250, 300, 400 students, depending on the size of the school, to one counselor. So talk to us about process. How does that counselor get to every student to let them know that there is an opt-out provision, that there is a way they cannot have to worry about not filling it out if they choose?"

Stuart: "And I appreciate that concern, and I believe that's why we have the Illinois Student Assistance Commission, right? And that they, you know, currently, provide hundreds of FAFSA completion workshops every year... over 900 of them in fiscal
year '18, alone... to directly help students and their parents to get the aid they qualify for."

Davis: "I wonder if that's enough. I hear what you're saying and I appreciate that, they've got people assigned to every community college district. But they don't even come see us, at least not the one in my area up north. So I am concerned. Because they're spending time with the community colleges. Maybe they're making it high schools, but if they're doing it in the fashion of a college visit, then they are saying, hey I want to come talk to students, students sign up to go talk to them, or they put them in a cafeteria. And then students can come by and talk to them. What's kind of the... in as much as you want to mandate the form, what's the mandate to get to the opt-out provisions?"

Stuart: "So, like I said with ISAC's... with their workshops and their completions, we, all of us in this Body can bring ISAC to the areas where we are the most concerned of those students who don't know and don't have the resources and the help to fill out the FAFSA. I've done that myself, I've looked at and hosted them in the high school. And then that high school advertise that event to the people in their district through whatever their communications are. That is something we can do as Representatives of our district, to help those that we're most with."

Davis: "With the new administration, hopefully new people at ISAC, we hope that what you're suggesting does happen. Because if not, a lot of students are going to be put at a very precarious situation. And I want to bring my remarks to a close, Madam Chair."
Speaker Willis: "Please finish up, Sir."
Davis: "No more questions, bringing my remarks to a close."
Speaker Willis: "Go right ahead."
Davis: "So we are concerned about that. And unfortunately, unfortunately in parts of this state where... when we talk diversity that's shifted, so you've got students of color that are the minority in some of their school districts and they're working with counselors who are not of color... I'm scared that we'll find this happening where somebody will actually tell a student you can't graduate because you didn’t fill out the form. And that school district did not educate those families well enough to make sure that they knew there was an opt-out provision. So if I'm going to trust you and vote for this, I'm just letting you know what could potentially happen down the road. So with that, thank you very much, Madam Chair. I appreciate your time."
Stuart: "Thank you."
Speaker Willis: "Representative Mazzochi for three minutes."
Mazzochi: "Thank you. Will the Sponsor yield?"
Speaker Willis: "She indicates she will."
Mazzochi: "So I'm looking at a sample form and the very first question that you have to fill out on the FAFSA form is student citizenship status. U.S. citizen, Eligible noncitizen, enter your alien registration number, or neither citizen nor eligible noncitizen. So is that really something that you want to make every single high school student in the State of Illinois have to fill out as a condition of getting their high school diploma?"
Stuart: "That is exactly why there is a simple opt-out form, where you put your name and your address and you hand it to your school counselor and say, I'm not filling out the FAFSA. You don't have to give any reason as to why you do not want to fill out the FAFSA."

Mazzochi: "But here's the other thing, you know... and this I guess this is to the Bill... is that for many students getting their high school diploma is something that they're going to need to move on to the next stage in their life. Maybe they're not planning on going to college or, you know, for whatever reason. It's not clear to me why we want to essentially compel a student to say one way or the other to a guidance counselor, what their college plans are to mandate that they fill out the form or fill out another form, as a condition of getting their high school diploma. Again, this starts run into areas of compelled speech, you know, why would we want to withhold a public benefit from someone, their high school diploma, and then turn around and mandate that to get that diploma, even though they've fulfilled all of the curriculum requirements we're going to turn around and say that you've got to fill out this form too, so that the Department of Education can, you know, collect data on you. And you know, you start filling out these forms. You know, the state can start collecting additional data on you. I just don't feel comfortable with this at this point in time. I'm very concerned that students are going to be compelled into doing something against their will or feel that they are compelled to do something against their will. And if there really is no educational benefit, in terms of making sure that the high school diploma itself means
something, it's really baffling to me why we would tell students you cannot get your high school diploma that you've otherwise earned, because you won't fill out the right form that we, you know, think is best for you. I... because of this, I just can't support it. Thank you."

Speaker Willis: "Representative Ortiz is recognized."

Ortiz: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Willis: "She indicates she will."

Ortiz: "Representative Stuart, I want to thank you so much for working on this Bill. And really asking me many questions about how this would impact many youth who aren't applying for FAFSA, how it would help these students. So to the Bill. I was, before being a State Rep... coming down to Springfield and being a State Representative, I was a college and career coach for Chicago Public Schools. And as a school, this is a policy that we began to implement to encourage more participation in FAFSA completion. What we started to see is we've started to see many more students understand the amount of financial aid that they can actually qualify for. So Representative Stuart, does a parent have to give any reason for opting out?"

Stuart: "Absolutely not. And actually, if a student actually fills out the FAFSA they don't have to tell their high school that they did. They can still turn in that waiver and say it's none of your business whether I did or did not."

Ortiz: "And when we had spoken about this Bill I had also... and just for the record... shared some concerns of students who are noncitizens possibly, you know, filling this out unintentionally. But one thing I want the Body to know is
that what is going to do is going to give a parent and a student an understanding of how much they could actually qualify for in financial aid before they make a decision on what university to choose. And so many of my students were able to find out that they qualified for $12 thousand in financial aid just because they applied for the FAFSA. So what this Bill is going to do, it's going to promote completion for FAFSA which is going to provide more financial opportunities. And what I want the Body to know is that we are consistently talking about losing students to other states. We are consistently mentioning that they're leaving the state because it's much more affordable to go to school elsewhere. And what this is going to do, it's going to give students an understanding that they actually have opportunities for state grants and federal grants to attend Illinois schools. And that... those federal dollars because we are increasing our FASFA completion, are going to come to... back to the State of Illinois. So to the Bill. This is a great Bill. As a college and career coach within the Chicago Public Schools, I urge an 'aye' vote. Thank you."

Speaker Willis: "Representative Kifowit for three minutes."
Kifowit: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"
Speaker Willis: "She indicates she will."
Kifowit: "So you simply... you said that the person fills out a simple opt-out form with the counselor but in reading the Bill it states... it does not state that. It just states that the Illinois State Board Education shall create a form. But that it only demands that the school district must require each high school student to comply with this section and must
provide that each high school student fill out this form. So what your... what is most likely scenario, going by the Leader to my left and a Leader in front of me, is that the school district might just give out a little blurb at the bottom of the line to opt-out, go to the website and fill out this form. Your Bill does not say anything in which the school district must provide the opt-out form to the student."

Stuart: "The language says the school must do everything it can to provide that for students."

Kifowit: "It says, 'On a form created by the State Board of Education, file a waiver with the student's school district.' So I, as a parent, have to find a form that's created by the State Board of Education and I need to file this waiver with the school district indicating that I want to opt-out for my child. The next subject just says... it doesn't mention the school district or the school district doing anything. It says then, 'each school district with a high school must require each high school student to comply.' And then it goes on to say that there needs to be extenuating circumstances. I think what you're... what is being said is contradictory to what's written in the Bill."

Stuart: "If you... the portion that you were referring to, 'A school district must award a high school diploma to a student who is unable to meet the requirements of subsection(a) due to extenuating circumstances as determined by the school district.'"

Kifowit: "Correct. So..."

Stuart: "So as Representative Hammond alluded to, an extenuating circumstance could be that we have little to no broad band
internet access and it's hard for students all over the area to fill out the FAFSA because it's required to due online. That's an extenuating circumstance."

Kifowit: "So I, as a parent, have to go and ask the school district to determine if my extenuating circumstance is relevant enough for my child to get a high school diploma? To the Bill, Madam Speaker..."

Stuart: "Or as a parent all you have to do is fill out a form with your name and say, it's none of your business whether I filled it out or not."

Kifowit: "I have to find on the State Board of Education this waiver. To the Bill, Madam Speaker. My children is in high school. They did not fill out a FAFSA. They're waiting for the university and they're doing that of their own free will. Tying a graduation certificate like this Bill is just wrong. I oppose this Bill. Thank you."

Speaker Willis: "Representative Ford, to the Bill."

Ford: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Willis: "She indicates she will. Three minutes, Sir."

Ford: "Thank you. I just have a few questions. One, if a child is put in a position where... or the student is put in a position where they cannot graduate, at that point, the school can say, the only way you can graduate is if you fill out the form or this opt-out. Is that right?"

Stuart: "The student can opt-out. So they will not be held from graduating."

Ford: "Right. So when they say you're not graduating tomorrow, the form should be filled out and then they can graduate, the opt-out form. Is that right?"
Stuart: "They can fill the opt-out form and they can graduate."
Ford: "Thank you."

Speaker Willis: "Seeing no further debate, Representative Stuart to close."

Stuart: "Thank you. I appreciate all of the concerns that were brought forth. I just want to let you know that the State of Louisiana instituted a very similar FAFSA filing requirement for their high schoolers. They reported a 25 percent increase in their FAFSA filing rate. They basically went to one of the lowest percentages of FAFSA completion in the nation to wavering between number one and number two in the nation. This initiative will connect more students to the resources that already available to help them get education or training after high school. While it's flexible enough to ensure that we're not putting up any new barrier to high school graduation. And I'd appreciate an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Willis: "The question is, 'Shall the House concur on Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 2719?' This is final action. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye'; those opposed signify by voting 'nay'. The voting is opened. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the roll. On this question, there are 71 voting 'aye', 39 voting 'nay', 1 voting 'present'. The House does concur with Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 2719. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Continuing on page 7, House Bill 3086, Representative Lilly. Representative Lilly is recognized."

Lilly: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I concur with Senate... the Senate on House Bill 3086, which basically converts the language
into a task force that would enable us to proceed in finding funding for emotional, and social, and anger management classes for our youth."

Speaker Willis:  "Thank you. Representative Batinick is recognized."

Batinick:  "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Willis:  "She indicates she will."

Batinick:  "Representative, so what we did here is we took a task... or we took a Bill that, many of us on our side voted against, that's a watch Bill and we've turned into a task force, correct?"

Lilly:  "The Senate turned into a task force."

Batinick:  "I'm sorry. Well we as a State of Illinois Body, chamber, whatever we..."

Lilly:  "And I'm concurring."

Batinick:  "Okay. So we've turned into a task force. So any opponents to this task force? Sounds like a lovely task force."

Lilly:  "Not to my knowledge."

Batinick:  "Thank you very much for the answers. Vote as you will."

Speaker Willis:  "Representative Lilly to close."

Lilly:  "I ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Willis:  "The question is, 'Shall the House concur on Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 3086?' This is final action. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye'; those opposed signify by voting 'nay'. The voting is opened. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Halpin, Morrison. Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the roll. On this question, there are 114 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'nay', 0 voting
'present'. The House does concur with Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 3086. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On page 8, House Bill 3222, Representative Walker. Representative Walker."

Walker: "Thank you, Madam Chair. Senate Floor... I move for the concurrence of Senate Floor Amendment 2 and 4 of House Bill 322 (sic-3222). The underlying Bill is about transfers of land between public and governmental entities for the benefit of the public. Senate Floor Amendment 2, in the first transfer of land that occurs in the City of Chicago to the city park district, it removes the requirement for a survey. Senate Floor Amendment 4 is about the City of Pana, that the state gives DNR terminates interest in a parcel of land associated with the Pana Lake Project. So that the City of Pana can build a... or rebuild a dam and expand the lake. It also transfers ownerships of 471 acres of Will County Forest Preserve that is currently maintained by Will County to Will County in exchange for $1. And the last piece is a transfer of 1.1 acres of land owned by the Illinois Medical District Commission to a foundation that those businesses Urban Autism Solutions. Urban Autism Solutions is a not-for-profit that provides residences and vocational opportunities for young adults with autism. The conveyance will revert back to the state if the land is not used for public purpose. I move for a concurrence."

Speaker Willis: "Representative Wheeler is recognized."

Wheeler: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Walker: "Yeah."
Speaker Willis: "He indicates he will."
Wheeler: "Representative, we talked about this Bill in committee a little bit. This is something that we've seen done in the past. That's right there... when we refer to Senate Amendment 4... have these adopted yet? It's on concurrence. Yes, great. The last part of that is what we had some discussion about. I think we're of the like mind that that should go ahead go through as you've drafted there. I urge an 'aye' vote."
Speaker Willis: "Representative Bourne is recognized."
Bourne: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"
Speaker Willis: "He indicates he will."
Bourne: "Representative, I heard you say a lot about Pana, that resides in the 95th Legislative District. But I'm reading the engrossed Bill and I don't see anything about that. Was that taken out with the concurrence?"
Walker: "No, it is in the Senate Amendment 4."
Bourne: "Okay, but I am reading the engrossed Bill and I only see one change with the Chicago Park District. Could you help me out with that?"
Walker: "I know that when I got in the system and looked at Senate Amendment 4 the language was in there. I don't know whether they caught up on the engrossed Bill."
Bourne: "So it's your intention that it stays in there?"
Walker: "Yes."
Bourne: "Could you tell me a little bit more about that project?"
Walker: "Yeah. My understanding is that it is a project where DNR will terminate their interest in a parcel of land and provide it to the City of Pana so that they can expand on their Pana Lake Project. I believe it involves the siding of a dam."
Bourne: "Okay, I'm looking at it again. Okay, thank you, Representative, for your clarification. I'm still not seeing it. I will continue to look at the Bill, I think we've got more conversation. But I would be interested in seeing if the Village of Pana is included."

Speaker Willis: "Representative Butler is recognized for three minutes."

Butler: "Just... thank you, Madam Speaker. I will not take three minutes. Just point of clarification, Representative, because Mrs. Butler's family would be quite upset. It's pronounced Pana instead of Pana, just so you're aware. And her family's from the Pana area. So I just wanted to make sure that's there."

Speaker Willis: "Representative Walker to close."

Walker: "Please vote 'aye'. Let's concur with these Amendments."

Speaker Willis: "The question is, 'Shall the House concur on Senate Amendments 2 and 4 to House Bill 3222?' This is final action. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye'; those opposed signify by voting 'nay'. The voting is opened. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the roll. On this question, there are 113 voting 'yes', 1 voting 'no', 0 voting 'present'. The House does concur with Senate Amendments 2 and 4 to House Bill 3222. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Mr. Clerk, committee announcements please."

Clerk Bolin: "The following committees will meet at 3 p.m. today, the Executive Committee will meet in Room 118, and the Human Services Committee will meet in Room 114."
Speaker Willis: "Representative Butler is recognized for an announcement."

Butler: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. It's my understanding that after committees Republicans will caucus in Room 118. So I would caution my Members to pay attention to the two committees that are going on. Once they adjourn we will caucus in Room 118."

Speaker Willis: "And the Democrats will also caucus immediately following the conclusion of the committees. Staff will notify you of the specific time. And the House will now recess to the call of the Chair."

Speaker Turner: "Members on page 4 of the Calendar... excuse me, Mr. Clerk, Committee Reports."

Clerk Hollman: "Committee Reports. Representative Welch, Chairperson from the Committee on the Executive reports the following committee action taken on May 1, 2019: recommends be adopted is Floor Amendment 3 to Senate Bill 689, Floor Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 1814. Representative Hurley, Chairperson from the Committee on Human Services reports the following committee action taken on June 1, 2019: recommends be adopted is Floor Amendment(s) 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 2023."

Speaker Turner: "Members on page 4 of the Calendar, under Senate Bills on Second Reading, we have Senate Bill 689. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Hollman: "Senate Bill 689, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. This Bill was read a Second time a previous day. Amendment 1 was adopted in committee. Floor Amendment #2 and 3 have been approved for consideration. Floor Amendment #2 is offered by Representative Harris."
Speaker Turner: "Leader Harris on Floor Amendment 2."
Harris: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Could we adopt Floor Amendment #2 and then I'll present the Bill on Third Reading?"
Speaker Turner: "Certainly. Gentlemen moves for the adoption of Floor Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 689. All in favor say 'aye'; all opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk."
Clerk Hollman: "Floor Amendment #3 is offered by Representative Harris."
Speaker Turner: "Leader Harris on Floor Amendment #3."
Harris: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Bill, as amended, is two separate parts of completing our work and making a budget for the State of Illinois. This provides revenue sources for the budget and it also creates a variety of incentives for businesses to locate, do business, and hire here in Illinois. And this is very important in a revenue Bill because job creation, attracting new businesses, retaining existing businesses, and expanding our workforce ultimately means growing our revenue base so that we need not continue to raise taxes on residents that are here. So this is an important piece. It was brought to us in large part by our friends on the other side of the aisle. Leaders Durkin and Demmer, who've worked very closely with us. And all the other three caucuses and the Office of the Governor to come to this agreed package. So the different revenue items that are present in the Bill are a Tax Amnesty Program, decoupling from the Foreign Drive Intangible Income deduction in Federal Statute, creating a marketplace..."
facilitator program in order to collect sales tax on internet entities outside of the State of Illinois. And I want to highlight this because this isn't currently revenue on sales occurring in Illinois from entities outside our state, which we're not collecting. So not only will we be collecting sales tax due to the state, this also has an important incentive for our mayors, our county boards, and our villages back home. Because this will also bring a new found source of sales tax money, which already should be due and payable but currently is not being collected to every town, to every village, to every county in the State of Illinois. Further, this Bill includes the assessment on insurance companies and MCOs. And that concludes the revenue portion of the Bill. This also creates several job training, job creation, and workforce development incentives. First, it restores the Manufacture's Production Tax Credit, which sunsetted a couple years ago. This Bill repeals, and I say repeals, the Franchise Tax. It does it over a five year phase in. But Ladies and Gentlemen, working with Leader Demmer, you know, we discovered that just the first year phase in alone will eliminate the burden of this tax on 300 thousand Illinois businesses. Three hundred thousand Illinois businesses will see immediate tax reductions as a function of this Bill. The Bill also expands a number of our existing tax credit programs, the High Impact Business Construction Jobs Credit, Enterprise Zone Construction Jobs Credit, River Edge Redevelopment Zone Credit. Giving a bonus to companies that come to Illinois, who build or who expand here and do so in distressed areas or areas with, you know, high degrees of poverty and need. So,
Ladies and Gentlemen, that is the Bill. I would be happy to answer any questions."

Speaker Turner: "Before we get into further discussion, we'll adopt Floor Amendment #3 and then go into discussion on the Bill. Gentlemen moves for the adoption of Floor Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 689. All in favor say 'aye'; all opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Hollman: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."

Speaker Turner: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, Senate Bill 689."

Clerk Hollman: "Senate Bill 689, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Turner: "Leader Harris, did you just explain the Bill on the Amendment?"

Harris: "Yes. Yes, I did."

Speaker Turner: "Members we will have three Members speaking on the Democrat side and three Members speaking on the Republican side for this. First up, we have Leader Durkin."

Durkin: "Thank you. To the Bill. Ladies and Gentlemen, this is... again, this is the last night of Session. It's been a long year. We've had a lot of emotions that have gone on in this chamber. There are times in which we have felt that our voice has not been heard. But I can tell you right now, that is not the case. As I've said over and over, probably ad nauseam, that when the priorities of our party are recognized, we will work with you to respect your priorities. For the past two weeks, the House Republicans have been very steadfast in stating that we will work with the Governor and the Majority Party on bringing a Resolution to the budget, but also
implementing, a long overdue capitol infrastructure program, one that will address the desperate needs of our roads, bridges, and also our facilities, universities, local governments, you name it. But what's important in that, is that we have stated that before we are going to commit to votes that our priorities, things that we have felt that our voices have been lost with respect to supporting the business community, must be recognized. So in this Bill, we have been successful in accomplishing the following the creation of the Blue Collar Jobs Act, a Data Center Tax Incentive, the much needed phase out elimination of the Franchise Tax. We've been also been able to eliminate the cap on a retailer's discount, we know that. I'm also very pleased to say that the Invest In Kids Program will continue on, a commitment that I have made when we passed the landmark Education Funding Bill last year. But so will out commitment towards the evidenced-based program by making an investment likewise. That's what I call a deal. But also, last but not least, the reinstatement of the Manufacturer's Purchase Credit. I am extremely proud to say, that these are items that have been desperately needed for a great deal of time. For our friends in the business community. We are glad to help you with your priorities, I'm asking you to help us with our priorities with a 'yes' vote today. Thank you."

Speaker Turner: "Chair recognizes Representative Zalewski."

Zalewski: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. To the Bill. I just want to heartily endorse the components of Senate Bill 689 as amended. I want to congratulate Representative Harris on a well thought out, well led budget process. This is a combination of a lot
of hard work by our budget making team, by Leader Harris, by all the Approp Chairs. I want to congratulate the... Minority Caucuses' Principal Sponsors on business development, Representative Wheeler and Representative Sosnowski. I won't take offense that this is in the Bill and did not get out the Revenue Committee, I'll let that slide this one time. But this is a good piece of work. I know how important these items are to you, Keith. I am proud to support them. And I urge a 'yes' vote."

Speaker Turner: "Chair recognizes Representative Wheeler."

Wheeler: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Turner: "Sponsor indicates that he will yield."

Wheeler: "Thank you. Greg, just for a moment, I would like to thank Representative Zalewski for those comments. Mike, it's a pleasure to work with you and I know we have a lot of shared vision on how we get things done in the State of Illinois. So thank you for your very supportive comments. Leader Harris, I have just a couple things I want to put in the record, I think that came to me just this morning here on a couple of drafting things, to just make sure we're on the same legislative intent, if you would. One has to do with the Manufacturer's Purchase Credit. So for the purpose of legislative intent, can we... is adding the MPC to the Manufacturing Machinery and Equipment Exemption that does not subject this to the sunset clause. So the answer to that by any chance?"

Harris: "Is your question is that in this legislation?"

Wheeler: "Correct."

Harris: "Is the Manufacturer's Purchasing..."
Wheeler: "Because we're adding it to the Manufacturing Machinery and Equipment segment, we're not subjecting it the very same sunset. That's the question I was asked to ask."

Harris: "It goes into an existing credit. It doesn't change the sunset date."

Wheeler: "Okay. That's the expected answer. So thank you. And the second thing was regarding the protections of the marketplace facilitator language, we're taking that... allowing actions brought forth under the False Claim Acts, is that... is that an accurate reflection of the language?"

Harris: "Could you repeat the portion about the False Claims Act, Representative?"

Wheeler: "It says, removes the protection under the marketplace facilitator language against actions brought forth under the False Claims Act."

Harris: "That is correct."

Wheeler: "Okay. Thank you. Just let me go to the Bill then. Thank you. Thank you, Leader. This is a really important initiative for our caucus here. There's several components, Leader Durkin outlined them. I want to explain one with a little more detail for everyone on the floor, just for a moment. To understand why this is important for all of us. The... the Blue Collar Jobs Act is meant to be a first in the nation program that actually puts a tax credit that's centered around our actual construction workers in Illinois. We have the best trained work force in the country. We have amazing people who are ready to go to work. Our goal is to get them to work. And this makes sense in the component... as a component of what we're doing today to put this tax credit in place, that
protects the state, it's a win a for developers, it's a win for the businesses who hire our workers, but most importantly it is a win for people who work in blue collar jobs. It's a great step forward for Illinois. I think it’s a win for all of us. With that, I want to say I urge an 'aye' vote. Thank you."

Speaker Turner: "Chair recognizes Representative Gabel."
Gabel: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. To the Bill. First, I'd like to thank our Leader, Leader Harris for conducting in a very efficient and effective budget groups. We met early in the morning and we had to get through them very quickly and we did. I think this is a fair way to raise money for our budget. I think that the Tax Amnesty is a great idea. It brings in revenue without causing any pain on anyone. Actually people are going to be thrilled about that. I also feel like the decoupling from the federal credit is a good idea. And most important, the MCO assessment. I think we have taxed our hospitals, taxed our nursing homes... And I feel like this was a... that it's good to tax the MCOs as well. And make sure that they pay their fair share. So thank you so much for... leading that group. And thank you to all the Members of the budget group. I think we did a great job. And thank you."

Speaker Turner: "Chair recognizes Representative Demmer."
Demmer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. To the Bill. I'll keep my comments brief, I think we've talked about several of the important priorities of the House Republican Caucus that are contained in this Bill and related Bills. And I think that's an important concept that we understand here tonight, that we have a package of legislative proposals to consider tonight
together. That no individual Bill that we consider tonight does everything that anybody wants. That they rely on each other together to come up with a package that makes critical investments in Illinois infrastructure, it makes critical investments in Illinois state facilities, universities, schools, correctional centers, developmental disability centers, and many others. We have Bills that pay for those investments. We have Bills that incentivize the creation of new jobs. We have Bills that incentivize new investments in Illinois. We have Bills that reduce tax liabilities on people who are creating jobs and operating businesses and trying to make it successful here in Illinois. All of the Bills must be considered together. That's what a compromise is. Neither side is going to love every provision that's contained in all these Bills that we're going to hear tonight or the one we heard last night. There's some things that I like. There are some things that I'm not so keen on. But if at the end of the day all we had were easy votes we wouldn't make it anywhere. The difficult votes tonight are because... the difficult vote for me is a priority for somebody else. And the difficult vote for someone else is a priority for me. And at the end of the day we have to evaluate the complete package that we're considering and decide for ourselves and for our districts whether there are more pros than cons. And I believe the package that we've struck tonight reflects what the State of Illinois needs to go forward in making important investments. And paying for those investments, and incentivizing economic growth, and in rewarding the people who make investments, who create jobs, and who put in a hard day's work here in the
State of Illinois. Again, in a compromise there are some votes that'll be easier than others, but it's important that this package be evaluated together. For that reason, I encourage a 'yes' vote."

Speaker Turner: "Leader Harris to close"

Harris: "Thank you. I would like to thank the speakers on both sides of the aisle. And to just reemphasize what Leader Demmer just said, not only is there compromise in this package and things that some of us like and some of us that we would not have put in our own there's another, you know, important thing to realize about this being a package. If one of these Bills fail then none of them will work. And I think we all want to complete our business and pass a complete budget for the State of Illinois before we leave here tonight. So Ladies and Gentlemen, I would urge a 'yes' vote."

Speaker Turner: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 689 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. And the voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On a count of 107 voting in 'favor', 9 voting 'opposed', 0 voting 'present', Senate Bill 689, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Mr. Clerk, Rules Report."

Clerk Hollman: "Committee Reports. Representative Harris, Chairperson from the Committee on Rules reports the following committee action taken on June 1, 2019: recommends be adopted, referred to the floor is Floor Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 690, Floor Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 1939."
Speaker Turner: "Members, on page 5 of the Calendar, under Senate Bills on Second Reading, we have Senate Bill 1814, offered by Representative Harris. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Hollman: "Senate Bill 1814, a Bill for an Act concerning finance. This Bill was read a second time a previous day. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendments 1 and 2 have been approved for consideration. Floor Amendment #1 is offered by Representative Harris."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Harris on Floor Amendment #1."

Harris: "This is the BIMP. May we adopt it? And I'll wait this time, and then can we debate it on Third?"

Speaker Turner: "Gentlemen moves to the adoption of Floor Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1814. All in favor say 'aye'; all opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Hollman: "Floor Amendment #2 is offered by Representative Harris."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Harris on Floor Amendment #2."

Harris: "I would also like to adopt Floor Amendment #2, which is technical corrections to Floor Amendment #1."

Speaker Turner: "Gentlemen moves for the adoption of Floor Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 1814. All in favor say 'aye'; all opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Hollman: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."

Speaker Turner: "Mr. Clerk, please read the Senate Bill 1814 for a Third time."

Clerk Hollman: "Senate Bill 1814, a Bill for an Act concerning finance. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."
Speaker Turner: "Leader Harris."

Harris: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 1814 is the FY20 Budget Implementation Bill or the BIMP. It is the third of the four pieces that have to be passed to enact a state budget for next year. It is several thousand pages of technical and acting and operating language. I'm going to just, you know, highlight a sample of the kinds of things that are in there. I'll be happy to answer questions. But this Bill would authorize various funds transfers for different purposes within State Government to facilitate the operation of the state, its agencies, and other governments. It includes several rate increases, which have been discussed in our committees. Including rate increases for behavioral health and substance abuse providers. Statewide, the addition of ABA therapy so that autism may be covered under Medicaid. It includes a rate increase for providers of services to developmental disabilities. It includes the Nursing Home Relief Package, as well as increased regulation of nursing home staffing. It contains the six percent fix to the TRS formula. And it does several other things that and I'd be happy to answer any questions."

Speaker Turner: "For further discussion the Chair recognizes Leader Brady."

Brady: "Thank you very much, Ladies and Gentlemen. To the Bill. First again, I want to thank Leader Harris and Leader Demmer for all their hard work. And all the individuals that have worked behind the scenes on this particular Bill. And as Leader Harris indicated, this particular Bill and Senate Bill
1814, the BIMP Bill as we all know it, is a necessary piece. It is a necessary piece for the budget for the people of Illinois. Without this piece, and the others, we don't have a budget for the people of Illinois. And for all the work that has been done by so many on both sides in this chamber. I certainly intend to support this Bill. I ask for your support. And when you talk about things that are in this BIMP, the fund transfers that were alluded to, coverage for autism, the nursing home package relief. We all know the situations that are ongoing in the nursing homes. We talked about six percent fix to the TRS, the Teacher Retirement System Formula. And the list goes, on and on, and on. But it gets down, Ladies and Gentlemen, about our priorities. And you can't have all the things that we keep wanting without finding a way, in which, to fund those things. And that's what it comes down to. And why the people in Illinois deserve and want many of these things. We have to be realistic in the means in which we cannot only provide funding to accomplish these things, but to carry on for those that we represent. I intend to vote 'yes'. Thank you."

Speaker Turner: "Chair recognizes Representative McSweeney."

McSweeney: "Mr. Speaker, To the Bill. One of the great honors we all have is to serve this chamber that President Abraham Lincoln once served in. And we all said that we would uphold the Constitution of the State of Illinois. We took an oath. We said that. And one of the most important parts of that is that we have a balanced budget in this state. So it used to be that every day I would hear on this floor, where's the revenue estimate? Where's the revenue estimate? Well, I
haven't heard that from my side recently. We don't have a revenue estimate. So this cannot, by definition, be a balanced budget. What I appreciate about my friends on the other side, is why I strongly disagree with them, they've been honest enough to say... and they voted on higher taxes in the future... this is all about higher taxes. This is the bridge to the graduated progressive income tax. Everybody knows that. So if you vote for this budget, and people have been honest on that side, as much as I disagree with on policy, this is a vote for a massive tax increase. At least 3.4 billion in a couple years and we all know it's going to be much higher than that. There are no ifs, ands, or buts about it. This is a tax increase vote. So when you think about where we stand on revenues, we've had an unexpected windfall due to the policies of President Trump and his tax cuts. He never gets any credit for that, but that's why we have $1.5 billion of additional revenues and $700 million going forward. The President of the United States has rejected all the taxes we're talking about tonight when Speaker Pelosi wanted to raise the gas tax he said, 'hell no'. But it sounds like everybody's on board or a lot of people are on board to raise the gas tax in my Party. So I appreciate the honesty of the other side, which is you're telling me that you favor higher taxes, you voted on it. This is a tax increase Bill. This is the highest spending Bill in the history of the State of Illinois. We have a Governor, who said he is the most progressive Governor in the country. And this budget spends $1 billion more than the most progressive Governor in the country wants to spend. This is a Bill that has increased spending dramatically, and we all know it.
Thousands of pages, Leader Harris said. One thousand, five hundred and eighty-one pages yesterday, filed at noon yesterday. Nothing on pension reform. Nothing on Medicaid reform. Nothing to reduce expenses. And you know there are a whole bunch of surprises in this Bill. Nobody has read the thousands of pages. I tried to get through the 1,581 pages, I did. But now we have 2,000 pages. So this is about higher taxes and more spending. And we are in a situation in this state that we have the highest tax burden in the country when you combine our property taxes, income taxes, sales taxes. This is a massive expansion of government. What we're doing tonight, with all these taxes, the aggressive taxes on gas, the taxes that will be included in this Bill. This is a loss for the people of the State of Illinois. Stand up for the taxpayers and vote 'no'."

Speaker Turner: "Chair recognizes Representative Caulkins."

Caulkins: "Point of personal privilege."

Speaker Turner: "Please proceed."

Caulkins: "Thank you. I need to announce on Senate Bill 1814 that I cannot vote for this Bill because of a conflict of interest."

Speaker Turner: "Leader Harris to close."

Harris: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. And while I appreciate another Speaker complimenting us on our honestly, I feel it incumbent upon me to correct many misstatements that he made. First, there is no gas tax in this legislation. So the statement that this includes some kind of gas tax, not true. The fact that this increases taxes by $3.4 billion in the future or now, also
untrue. The fact that other Members did not have time to read
the BIMP and understand what's in it, untrue. We spent several
hours yesterday in Executive Committee with Representatives
Wehrli, Sosnowski, Wheeler, Butler, and others on that side,
who clearly had read each and every page of that BIMP and had
detailed questions for me on each and every one of them. So,
Ladies and Gentlemen, you know, I also want to point out that
when there's talk about revenue and what revenues are in this
Bill and how if something comes from our side... Republican's
side of the aisle passed out to each of us on the floor a
sheet with a Republican budget on it. There were two items of
revenue on that sheet. The Retailer Program and the Insurance
Assessment. Those were items that were identical in your
budget and I'm proud to say are in our budget because we
realized that these are taxes that we can implement on... that
do not directly affect our constituents. So it's mutually
agreed. It's joint, and I really don't like it being
characterized otherwise. So I would ask for an 'aye' vote."
Speaker Turner: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 1814 pass?'
All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting
is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?
Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record.
On a count of 97 voting in 'favor', 17 voting 'opposed', and
1 voting 'present', Senate Bill 1814, having received a
Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Members,
on Supplemental Calendar #1, we have House Bill 142, offered
by Representative Harris. Under the Order of Concurrence,
Representative Harris."
Harris: "I would like to move that the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 142. What this concurrence is is the final of the four pieces of the operating budget. It is the authority for the State of Illinois to issue bonds that will be generated to pay for the Capitol Program and also for $1.2 billion worth of bonds to pay down the backlog of old Bills. I'd be happy to answer any questions."

Speaker Turner: "For further discussion, Representative Reick is recognized."

Reick: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. To the Bill. I think everybody who drives through Illinois knows that our roads and bridges are crumbling, that our infrastructure is in dire need, and that as the belt buckle of the transportation system of the United States, we have to do something about it and we have to do something about it now. This Bill, to provide for bonding, does that and several other things. It provides for the payment of some our backlog of Bills, which will pump additional money into the state economy through getting money back in the hand of vendors who have desperately needed it. There is a provision in this Bill for the payment of these bonds. This is something that is within our bonding authority to do. We've got to get started now, so whatever happens with regard to the other Bills dealing with revenue on our capital asks tonight, this Bill will get us started on a transportation system that is worthy of the business and the people of the State of Illinois. I urge an 'aye' vote. Thank you."

Speaker Turner: "Leader Harris to close."

Harris: "Thank you. I ask for an 'aye' vote."
Speaker Turner: "The question is, 'Shall the House concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 142?' This is final action. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye'; all those opposed signify by voting 'nay'. The voting is opened. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 94 voting in 'favor', 20 voting 'opposed', and 0 voting 'present'. And the House does concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 142. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Leader Willis is recognized."

Willis: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move that the Rules be suspended so the House can immediately consider House Floor Amendment 3 to Senate Bill 690."

Speaker Turner: "Leader Willis has moved to waive the Rules to allow for immediate consideration of Senate Bill 690, House Floor Amendment #3. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye'; all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the applicable rules are waived. Members, on page 4 of the Calendar, under Senate Bill on Second Reading, we have Senate Bills 690, offered by Representative Rita. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Hollman: "Senate Bill 690, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. This Bill was read a second time a previous day. Amendment 1 was adopted in committee. Floor Amendments 2 and 3 have been approved for consideration. Floor Amendment #2 is offered by Representative Rita."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Rita on Floor Amendment #2."
Rita: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'd like to move this to Third Reading. We could explain the Bill, this is the revenue for the vertical capital Bill."

Speaker Turner: "Gentlemen moves for the adoption of Floor Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 690. All in favor say 'aye'; all opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Hollman: "Floor Amendment #3 is offered by Representative Rita and has been approved for consideration."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Rita on Floor Amendment #3."

Rita: "Floor Amendment 3, I'd like to do the same, which is a gut and replace. It becomes the Bill."

Speaker Turner: "Gentlemen moves to the adoption of Floor Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 690. All in favor say 'aye'; all opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Hollman: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."

Speaker Turner: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, Senate Bill 690. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Hollman: "Senate Bill 690, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Rita."

Rita: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 690... I think it is, right... is the revenue for the vertical capital. I'd be happy to go through all the components. There's a number of components that are in this. The implementation of a parking tax. Expansion of the real estate transfer tax. Institute of a floor and trade in property tax exemption. Increase in the cigarette tax."
Creation of levying and playing field for the retail sales tax. Parity for the remote retailers. Creates the Sports Wagering Act and expands the Video Gaming Act. And expands land based casinos, and casino gambling in Illinois."

Speaker Turner: "Members, on this measure we will hear from five Republicans and five Democrats. First up, Representative McDermed."

McDermed: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Turner: "Sponsor will yield."

McDermed: "So, I want everybody in the room to be clear that now we're talking about vertical capital, correct?"

Rita: "Yes."

McDermed: "Okay. Because this is a complicated process, vertical versus horizontal. So I want us to be, you know, focused on which page we're on right now. Which is the vertical page."

Rita: "This is the vertical page."

McDermed: "Okay. And I've been involved in the negotiations on both sides and one of the things that we spent a lot time on, Ladies and Gentlemen, was finding sources of revenue. Because one thing that we saw, that happened in the State of Illinois, was sources for funding vertical were dried up and sucked up by our need to fund our day-to-day operations. And so, we have a situation where many of our buildings, our state buildings, are in a state of poor repair that needs to be remedied. And that includes things like our prisons, our universities, and many other state buildings. So we had to look high and low for sources of revenue. And I'm going to say that in the end, I, as a Republican Member of this House, am not entirely satisfied with all these sources. However,
some of the sources, which we in our caucus found objectionable, have already been removed. And I really appreciate the negotiations that took place earlier this month to get rid of a number of things that were really, really offensive to Members in my caucus, and I think to some Members in your caucus as well. So I want to emphasize that these remaining sources have been hotly negotiated. And many of them are quite, quite complicated. So I really appreciate Representative Rita leading everything with respect to gaming here tonight, because that was not easy. Now, I thought I heard you say that real estate transfer tax is in there? I thought it was out? Where are we on that?"

Rita: "No, I misspoke on that. That is out. Sorry about that."

McDermid: "Thank you very much for that clarification."

Rita: "I've got a lot of notes here."

McDermid: "Yeah. Yeah, me too. So I just want to emphasize, once again, that while not everyone can agree with every one of these sources, everyone can agree on two things. Number one, the sources were negotiated in good faith by both sides. And number two, our vertical responsibilities for our buildings, we really must meet them now. We can't have a situation with peaks and valleys where we fund these things with a big ol' bunch of money every 10 years and let them spring a leak for the next 9. Long term sustainable funding is the key, Ladies and Gentlemen. This is a good way to move forward. I urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Turner: "Chair recognizes Representative Unes."

Unes: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Turner: "Sponsor indicates that he will yield."
Unes: "Representative, I have many, many reasons why I am opposed to this Bill. But I'm going to speak on one of those. And that is... if I could direct your attention to page 639 and 640 of this Bill, starting with line 23 where it says, 'One other license shall authorize riverboat gambling on the Illinois River in the City of East Peoria or, with Board approval, shall authorize land-based gambling operations anywhere within the corporate limits of the City of Peoria.' I represent the City of East Peoria, where the license currently is, and there was some discussion about this yesterday in committee. And I would like for you to please just, if you could go over again, recap for me the origins of that sentence... how the origins of that sentence got into the Bill, please."

Rita: "So we authorized all the casinos to be land-based, not just the one in East Peoria. This was something that I worked with Leader Gordon-Booth on and Representative Spain, in particular to that location in East Peoria."

Unes: "This is specific to East Peoria. Isn't that correct?"

Rita: "Yes, and my understanding that there's some type of agreement that was back in '91 that if they would become land-based..."

Unes: "That's true. And let me speak on that. Thank you, Representative. I'll go to the Bill. Thank you for answering my question. To the Bill. There is a history between East Peoria and Peoria with the casino. When that intergovernmental agreement, in the early '90s, was developed it was that the revenues would be split between the two cities, 50/50. That East Peoria would, off the top, get 50
percent of the revenues to Peoria. That has happened since the early '90s to the tune of just under $100 million from the City of East Peoria given to the City of Peoria. It also stated in that intergovernmental agreement, that if land-based casinos were land-based statewide, then that casino license would go to Peoria. Never was the intention, Representative and to Members of this Body, never was the intention for there to be legislation slipped in by the City of Peoria to try to take this away, specifically from the City of East Peoria. That was never the intention. I will tell you that nobody spoke to me about this. Nobody. I represent the City of East Peoria. I represent the casino in the City of East Peoria. Historically, Central Illinois legislators have had an immense positive relationship, doing good for one another, looking out for the best interests of our communities. Never has there been a time where this type of behavior happened. This is very unfortunate. And it's incredibly, incredibly unprecedented. Let me talk briefly about Boyd Gaming and the Paradise in the City of East Peoria. They are a wonderful corporate neighbor. We are proud to have them. They are one of the largest job creators in the area. They're definitely one of the largest employers in my district. And I am very, very proud of that establishment. And let me talk directly to them briefly. We will do everything in our power to show you that we appreciate you. We will never take you for granted. And we will welcome you with open arms forever, because you are wonderful property, a wonderful business, a wonderful company and employer. And we value and appreciate the relationship that we have with
you and we only look forward to strengthening that relationship and building off that relationship. This type of behavior, again, is unfortunate. And I'm very concerned with what's going to happen now in the future because the working relationship that we have had between cities and amongst legislators in Central Illinois ensures that that positive relationship between those... between us might be permanently, permanently affected. Again, I am very, very proud of the relationship that East Peoria has with their... this casino, with the ownership. I value the talks that I have had with them and will continue to have with them. This language is specifically designed to damage my district. I want everyone in this Body to be clear, think about what this means for all of us that represent districts, having one of your largest employers trying to be taken away in this way is unprecedented. A 'yes' vote on this is an endorsement of this kind of process. We shouldn’t stand for it. I urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Turner: "Chair recognizes Representative Bryant."

Bryant: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Representative Rita, I want to thank you for bringing this. I know that you've worked very hard in the last several years to land this plane. I'm grateful for a lot of reasons. One, because there's a potential for... for a business to be developed in Representative Severin's district. It'll be expanded because it already exists in mine. And that business is going to bring possibly a thousand construction jobs to our region, as well as about 900 jobs permanently there afterward. And the one thing that my district asks of me every time that I speak to
someone either in the district office or by the phone, is always, please, please, please bring us jobs. So I'm grateful to you for bringing this piece of legislation. I'm also grateful because… was a 20 year employee, as you know, of the Department of Corrections. Our state agencies, the buildings have been in disrepair for a long time. In fact, I know of some prisons where you can't even house offenders anymore because the walls are pulling apart. And you can't ensure that things can't be passed from one cell to another. And… I could just go on and on and on about the needs at the Murray Center, at Choate Mental Health, at Chester Mental Health, and on and on. So I thank you for bringing this and finding a funding mechanism for it. Southern Illinois University, both in the Edwardsville campus, the Carbondale campus, and here in Springfield, have gone through some difficult years. This is going to put some money back into deferred maintenance. Some very, very important projects. We haven’t… at SIU Carbondale have four buildings that have to have new roofs. They've had to move computers out an entire… an entire room area simply to be able to keep those computers from having water dripped on them from the ceiling. So, I appreciate your work on this in so many areas. And so for that reason, tonight, I urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Turner: "Chair recognizes Representative Cassidy."

Cassidy: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Turner: "Sponsor indicates that he will yield."

Cassidy: "Thank you. Representative Rita, there have been a lot of questions and concerns about the provision of this Bill that would allow sports betting at a sports facility or within
a five block radius. So I'm hoping you can clear things up about what the Bill does and what your legislative intent is. Would this Bill allow a licensee to open up multiple sports betting establishments within a five block radius of a sports facility?"

Rita: "No. A sports facility, or their designee, may apply for a single Master Sports Wagering License. The Masters Sports Wagering License allows them to conduct wagering at a single location, either in the sports facility or within that five block radius."

Cassidy: "Thank you. And in Chicago, for example, would that sports betting establishment be subject to all ordinances, rules, and regulations of the City of Chicago?"

Rita: "Yes, it would."

Cassidy: "Thank you. Congratulations on landing your plane."

Speaker Turner: "Chair recognizes Representative Spain."

Spain: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Turner: "Sponsor indicates that he will yield."

Spain: "Representative Rita, thank you for your incredible work for so many years on bringing this package to fruition. Obviously there are a number of gaming provisions that are incorporated now. Not only representing your many years of work to increase the number of casinos throughout the State of Illinois, but incorporating new aspects for new revenue streams related to video gaming and sports betting. So thank you so much. Part of the Bill also includes a number of other provisions that are important to our ability to pass a capital Bill to fund vertical infrastructure projects. And I want to touch on two of them that I think deserve some emphasis.
First, we have a change to the purchase... the sales tax cap on the trade-in of items. And can you respond to some discussions that we've had with both the Farm Bureau and organizations like Caterpillar to reflect that farm implements, farm vehicles, or large pieces of heavy construction equipment would not be negatively impacted by this provision."

Rita: "Yes. We were just in committee yesterday and in the Amendment we addressed the concerns. It goes for light trucks and vehicles, not the expansive that it was before. And do you want me to go into exactly..."

Spain: "No, thank you very much."

Rita: "And it sets the floor at 10 thousand."

Spain: "Thank you, Representative. And the other component that I think is important I think has to do with the notion of sales tax parity for remote sellers. Is this the provision related to IRMA and the Wayfair case?"

Rita: "Yes."

Spain: "And am I correct that in this provision we would be moving for remote sellers away from an online use tax model to a retailer's occupation tax or a traditional sale's tax model?"

Rita: "Yes."

Spain: "Ladies and Gentlemen, I think this is very important and I'll speak to the Bill. This is a major change that is important for the future of Illinois. Not only because it reflects an ability to raise more revenue for the capital Bill, but we are also voting on a mechanism to begin the process of restoring sales tax dollars to our local community for goods purchased online. As we all know, that there is a great disparity between sales tax charged for online
purchases, and buying the same thing, and the local brick and mortar store. Moving the remote sellers now, to a retail occupation tax, allows us to collect not only the state share of traditional sales tax dollars, but the local shares as well. So if you represent a community that has a Home Rule sales tax, county wide facilities tax, or even a school facilities referendum, those taxing bodies will enjoy additional revenue that level the playing field that really doesn’t make sense anymore. That distinguishes between where something is bought, whether it's in a brick and mortar store or online. Lastly, I must respond to the issue of the casino in the Central Illinois area. And while I have nothing but the highest respect for one of the earlier speakers, this reflects a difficult situation between two communities that really goes back close to 30 years now. Many people will often ask me in representing the City of Peoria, on the Peoria City Council, how was it that the riverboat gaming location, the Paradise, happened to be located in East Peoria but not Peoria? It's a long and complicated story, but the end result was as the boat was located in East Peoria, both communities agreed to share revenues for those gaming proceeds set at 50/50 split. And in 1991, there was recognition that if the General Assembly ever authorized the ability for casinos to move to land-based, that move would take place within the City of Peoria. In fact, I'll read to you, and as we've discussed language that's included in this Bill, there have been different versions of gaming packages that we've discussed in the General Assembly for many years now. And previous versions actually made modifications to the language
to give the City of East Peoria veto power over the provision that was long ago agreed to in 1991. I'll read to you a memo from the City of Peoria's Chief Legal Counsel. 'In 1991, the two cities agreed, in writing, that should land-based casinos become legal and the license be granted to this area, that the casino would be located in the City of Peoria. Recently, language has been included... and this is in 2012. Recently, language has been included in General Assembly Bills that would essentially give the City of East Peoria a veto over the full conversion of its river based... riverboat based casino license into a land-based casino in the City of Peoria. The intention of the language that is included in this Bill is to honor the provisions of the 1991 agreement and to offer an opportunity to increase economic development throughout the Central Illinois region with both communities continuing to share revenues from this project, if and when, a decision to move to a land-based casino would take place. Representative, I want to thank you for your work on this issue. I want to thank the General Assembly for their willingness to make important investments in vertical infrastructure throughout our state. Thank you."

Speaker Turner: "Chair recognizes Representative Demmer."

Demmer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. To the Bill. I would just like to point out that in addition to many of the issues that have been discussed at length here, there also is an important provision here that I think will spur new investments in many communities across the State of Illinois. And that's a provision that gives incentives for the location of data centers in Illinois. Data centers are growing across the
They require significant capital investments, create a large number of construction jobs, and create long-term, good paying high-tech jobs in many communities. This is an issue that has local applicability to me in DeKalb County, in my district. DeKalb County recently tried to get a data center to move into DeKalb County, make a significant investment there and create jobs there, but because the State of Illinois didn't have an incentive structure in place, they moved to a different state. In conversations this week, I also learned that Representative Meier had a similar situation in his district. Again, where the community tried to come together and put together a package that would bring hundreds of millions of dollars in investment to a community, yet they chose another state because Illinois did not have an incentive program in place. With passage of this Bill, we are offering an incentive to companies, high-tech companies, who come into the State of Illinois, and not just create a small project, but create a $250 million or more data center. That, in addition to the construction jobs it creates, will create at least 20 fulltime jobs going forward and those jobs will be paid at least 120 percent of the median wage for the area. This is a provision that will incentivize significant investment in many different types of communities across the state, certainly in rural communities that have access to high speed fiber optic bandwidth and have land that's available at a very competitive and affordable price. I look forward to be... passing this Bill to send a welcoming sign to all those data centers who are looking across the country right now, trying to find places to invest hundreds of
millions of dollars. With the inclusion of this provision tonight, we're clearly saying Illinois welcomes your investment and we welcome the job creation that comes along with it. Thank you."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Rita to close."

Rita: "Senate Bill 690 is a jobs Bill. It's going to create jobs. It's going to create economic development. These last 48 hours have been in a whirlwind of what's going on here in Springfield to put this together. It took a lot of hard work from our staff and individuals. Joe Miller, who's... I don't think slept in two days, and James Hartmann. Give them a nice round of applause to Joe Miller, James Hartmann, and Eric Lowder... were on his side putting all this together. Give a... our Senate Sponsor Terry Link. Senator Link and Senator Muñoz working very closely with their staff. But, we couldn't be here today, I may be putting the close on this, but Representative Zalewski was a big part of putting this all together. In closing, let's vote 'yes' and let's put people to work."

Speaker Turner: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 690 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On a count of 87 voting in 'favor', 27 voting 'opposed', and 0 voting 'present', Senate Bill 690, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Leader Willis is recognized."
Willis: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move that the applicable Rules be suspended so the House can immediately consider House Floor Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 1939."

Speaker Turner: "Leader Willis has moved to waive the Rules to allow for immediate consideration of Senate Bill 1939, House Floor Amendment 2. All those in favor say 'aye'; all opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the applicable rules are waived. Members, on page 5 of the Calendar, under Senate Bills on Second Reading, we have Senate Bill 1939, offered by Leader Harris. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Hollman: "Senate Bill 1939, a Bill for an Act concerning finance. This Bill was read a second time a previous day. Amendment 1 was adopted in committee. Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Hoffman, has been approved for consideration."

Speaker Turner: "Leader Harris on Floor Amendment #2."

Harris: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would like to adopt Floor Amendment #2. And since it's Mr. Hoffman's Amendment could he discuss it on Third?"

Speaker Turner: "Gentlemen moves for the adoption of Floor Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 1939. All in favor say 'aye'; all opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Hollman: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."

Speaker Turner: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, Senate Bill 1939."

Clerk Hollman: "Senate Bill 1939, a Bill for an Act concerning finance. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Hoffman."
Hoffman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is what you would call or classify as the horizontal portion of the capital Bill. This deals with roads, bridges, transit, trains, and anything that would deal with horizontal and transportation related. A recent study sponsored by the Chamber of Commerce indicated that taxpayers are paying $16.4 billion, $16.4 billion per year as a direct result of wear and tear on the roads. This is wasted fuel due to congestion and lost economic activity. Statewide, drivers are paying 3.5 billion every year to deal with their flat tires, wear and tear, and consequences of our crumbling roads. Six hundred and twenty-seven per year for motorists in Chicago. Five forty-four in Champaign Urbana. Three seventy-four by me in the Metro East. We must have some better roads. Five ninety-seven, motorists in Central Illinois. And 639 for motorists in Rockford. We here, on this side of the aisle, are talking about building a stronger Illinois. This Bill would do just that. I support it. This bipartisan plan is backed by business. It's backed by labor. It's backed by the Chamber of Commerce. It's backed by Local 150. It's backed by the AFL-CIO. And it's backed by contractors, as well as transit officials and individuals who build roads, and bridges, and mass transit facilities here in the State of Illinois. Before we get into the meat and potatoes of this Bill, I'd like to thank on our side of the aisle in particular, the individual who really led this effort, was the head of our capital group here in the House Democrats, that's Representative Luis Arroyo. Luis did a great job. Also on our side we were joined by Representative Jehan Gordon and
Will Davis. And on the Republican side, Tim Butler, Margo McDermed, and Ryan Spain. This is truly a bipartisan effort. And this effort was not only bipartisan here on the House Floor but it was bipartisan... bipartisan throughout all of Illinois. This has been a long time coming. It's been nine long years since we've had a capital Bill here in Illinois. And I supported this bipartisan plan. It's backed by business and labor to rebuild local infrastructure and create over 500 thousand high wage jobs. This Bill will truly build a stronger Illinois."

Speaker Turner: "Members, on this measure we will hear from up to five Republicans and five Democrats. First up we have Representative McDermed."

McDermed: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. To the Bill. When you take calls from constituents, when you have a town hall, you don't know where that's gonna lead you. What I didn't know when I had a town hall on transportation three years ago, that I would be here tonight talking about what Illinois, what my constituents and what I think your constituents too, have been desperately seeking, which is long term sustainable funding for roads and bridges in our state. I think by now you all realize that I-80 runs through my district. And it is one of the roads in the State of Illinois with a very high accident and fatality rate. And that bridge, with that poor rating, is just to the west of my district. So that when you drive out of my district and you go to the local junior college, or for that matter head to Springfield, you got to go right over that bridge. And so, when my constituents ask me to see what could be done, I started investigating. I got
myself involved in transportation here in Springfield and with Transportation for Illinois Coalition. And I found out that we've had a bad habit here in Illinois of funding our infrastructure, horizontal and vertical, with peaks and valleys. And our goal from the very beginning of this effort, Democrats and Republicans, is to have long-term sustainable funding. And this is what we have in place now. With changes to our gas tax, our registration fees, and our titles, we will be in a position to offer for this year, and for years to come, the ability to maintain our roads and bridges in a state of good repair. You'll notice that this Bill talks about six years. And that's not a coincidence, IDOT plans their work in a six year program. This Bill will allow IDOT to continue the program they have, which is very much scaled back, and add to their program with what they'd like to able to do throughout the state to maintain our roads and bridges in a state of good repair. In addition, there is more money in this plan for your locals. So that's your county road commissioners, your municipal roads, your township roads, will all be receiving more money. And that's really important because just as IDOT has been starved for capital, so have our locals. We will be able to offer our transportation businesses here in this state the opportunity to continue to grow and thrive. They're on the verge of moving out or closing down. The same with our unions that work in this area. This is going to be really important. Not only roads and bridges, but also transit are covered. I think all our constituents will be pleased over the next six years, and for six years, and six years, and six years after that. As our roads, and
bridges, and transit move into a state of good repair. This is gonna be great. I would like to thank my counterparts. I got to know very well some folks that worked with me on this all year, Leader Jehan Gordon-Booth, Jay Hoffman, especially Leader Arroyo. And our side, Ryan Spain and Tim Butler. And I'm just gonna put this out here, and I don't think anybody of those would disagree with me, that the House led the way. Democrats and Republicans together, we led the way. We showed them across the hallway. We showed them on the second floor how to put together a capital plan, a long-term sustainable infrastructure plan for horizontal and vertical, that everyone in the state can be proud of for many years to come. Vote 'yes'."

Speaker Turner: "Chair recognizes Representative Reick."

Reick: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. To the Bill. I'll reiterate what I started by saying when we talk about bonding is that anyone who drives on Illinois' roads knows that our needs are great and somebody's got to pay this Bill. Last time the gas tax was raised was in the early 1990s, and a dollar then is worth 53 cents today. I don't think it's fair for me to say, 'please come up and fix the roads in my district and please have everybody else in the state pay for it'. This is going to be a tough vote to take because I don't want to raise taxes but we need to fix our horizontal infrastructure. This is not something that we can go without doing. The one thing that I don't think has been spoken about is the fact that Illinois is on a federal watch list. We're on a federal watch list where the Federal Government is saying unless we start doing our job of fixing our roads and bridges we are in serious
danger of losing federal funding that we would otherwise be eligible for. So I want to speak to the people of McHenry, Johnsburg, Wonder Lake, Richmond, Hebron, Harvard, Woodstock, Marengo, Union, and all the unincorporated parts of McHenry County. We know what our condition of our roads are. We know that we have got to be part of the solution of fixing those roads. Anybody who's ever driven up Route 47 on a Friday night to go Lake Geneva and had to go through Woodstock, Illinois knows exactly what I'm talking about. McHenry County's arteries are clogging because in order to get business to come into McHenry County we need to open those arteries. We need roads that are capable of handling the kind of traffic that's necessary to do that. I've seen too many people since I started here killed on local highways because of the conditions of our roads. And how much it's going to hurt to dig into the pocket book and make that choice to pay a little more for... for gasoline and diesel fuel, we don't have a choice. So to the people of my district, I'm speaking to you from the floor of the Illinois House. I am going to vote for a gasoline tax increase. Yes, I am, because I think it's the right thing to do. It's the right thing to do in every community in this state. Our roads are crumbling. The one thing that makes Illinois the centerpiece of transportation in this country is falling apart. And we can't expect someone else to pick up the tab. We have to do this ourselves. And as painful as it is, as much it's going to hurt, this is one tax that is a long, probably long overdue. We're going to see an increase in the Motor Fuel Tax and the sales tax that's on motor fuels is starting in, I believe, 2021. A penny a year
is going to be put into the Motor Fuel Fund. So we're going to have more money going in to fix roads and bridges. This is something that cannot wait. Our roads are clogged. Our arteries... our transportation arteries are clogging up. And to those who would say, well there's all this money going into mass transit and all these other types of horizontal infrastructure, yes. Those are all needed. That’s all part of what we are as a state. It's what all we are as a part of a state that has many, many different constituencies in it. We have people who make money going to city on the train. We have barges and those kinds of things going down our great rivers. But we need to pay the Bill. This is not easy for me, but I am going to vote for an increase in the Illinois gas tax. Thank you."

Speaker Turner: "Chair recognizes Representative Walsh."
Walsh: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. To the Bill. My district is home to the largest inland port in the North America. The largest inland port in North America. I've got two railyards that do over 2 million lifts a year. That's a container coming off a train and going on our roadway systems. As Representative from New Lenox stated earlier, Route 80 runs right through the heart of my district. That bridge that she mentioned, is in the heart of my district. And it's rated a six out of a hundred. It makes the Chicago news almost on a weekly basis. Over the last 10 years, I've had 33 deaths on that roadway. Thirty-three lives were taken on that roadway. Folks, we've got an infrastructure problem. I go into my community, we have town hall meetings, I've had a couple of proposals on this floor to deal with the issue that we face. Today we're
in the start of solving our problems. This is what's needed. And I'm going to stand here and tell you, I voted for tax increases before. It's a hard vote to take. But it's something that we are obligated to do. We have to provide these funds. We are obligated to provide safe roadways for our citizens. That is how we continue to build Illinois. Our transportation system is one of the best in the nation. That's why I've had this growth in Will County throughout my district, it's because of our transportation hub. But that fails if we don't fund it. This is what we have to do. I know it's a hard vote. My folks back at home, my constituents are not gonna like it. And I'm going to hear about it. But at the end of the day I want them to be safe. I want them to have safe highways to ride on and drive on, so we don't lose that other life. We can't afford it. Please vote 'aye'.

Speaker Turner: "Chair recognizes Representative Demmer."

Demmer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. To the Bill. As we consider this investment in infrastructure, I have to think of the district that I represent. And it's not dissimilar from the districts that many folks on my side of the aisle represent. It's a large rural area. My districts about 75 miles east to west, about 50 miles north to south. There's a lot of miles of highway in that stretch of land. When I think about the economy that we've built in the communities that I represent, I think about the things that we grow, the things that we manufacture, the products that we make. And I think of how important it is that we have a link to be able to take what we grow and what we manufacture and transport it. Not just throughout Illinois, or around the country, but around the
world. Infrastructure is the backbone of rural economies. Right in the center of my district is this town of Rochelle. Rochelle sits at the intersection of Interstate 88 and Interstate 39. It also sits at the intersection of the Union Pacific and Burlington Northern main rail lines, one of the busiest rail crossings in the country. Not coincidentally, the nickname of the town is the 'Hub City'. The high school basketball team is the Rochelle Hubs. The concept of being a transportation hub is built into the DNA of the community. And they've capitalized on it. They have hundreds of jobs, thousands of jobs of people who work in industries who rely on a good infrastructure network. To, again, to take what we grow, to use the soil that we have, to take what we... the livestock that we raise in barns, to take what we grow in our fields, to take what we make in our manufacturing facilities and transport that around the world. Now, I know it's difficult to pay for that infrastructure, but anybody whose traveled our roads knows that we've been short changing that investment for years. Tonight we have a very difficult vote, but a necessary vote. Because if our infrastructure crumbles, our rural economy crumbles with it. Let's make that investment today. Let's put ourselves on a path to a future where those jobs continue, those investments continue, and those rural communities continue. Please join me in supporting this Bill."

Speaker Turner: "Chair recognizes Leader Davis."

Davis: "Thank you very much, Mr. Chair. To the Bill. First and foremost, I want to make sure that I acknowledge that this effort, while there was a lot of legislature participation,
there was a lot of outside participation. And we're pleased that this legislation also garnered the support of both business and labor here in the State of Illinois. And that's very important. Because these are two groups that look at how we deal with the workforce aspect of it and creating jobs here in the State of Illinois, as well. These are tough votes. Please make no mistake about that. And they require some fortitude. As was mentioned before, when you talk about raising taxes, that's probably one of the most difficult votes that we can take here in the General Assembly, but I'm a firm believer that when you ask people to pay more the question is, what do they get in return? So some would argue that maybe improve services, more efficiencies, or whatever the case may be. But when you talk about a capital Bill the question is what do they tangibly see that comes out of a capital Bill? And that's what's really important. So when we talk about the horizontal piece of this, as well as the vertical piece of this, they need to see that. They need to see cranes in the air. They need to see the equipment on the ground, repaving their streets, fixing their roads, fixing their bridges. That is what's important. And I think that we as legislators, we can point to those types of opportunities that exact in a capital Bill, then yeah there's a little pain that goes along with increase taxes. Nobody wants to pay more for gas, in particular. But it’s those kinds of things that when we can bring resources back into our communities, into our district, and they see the fruit of that labor, then I think they, yeah, they give us kind of the okay sign at that point 'cause now they can actually see it. I really think that we're in a good
place now. Do we want to do more? We always want to do more as it relates to capital. But again, the state is moving in the right direction. And we're proud of the direction in which we are moving. I want to make sure that I mention, that what comes as a part of this for someone like myself... many of you hear me rant and rave about participation, particularly for minorities and underserved communities. Well there's language in here that starts pushing us in the right direction. Does it get us all the way there? And this is my message to the labor unions, it does not get us all the way there. But it starts moving us in the right direction so that we can see the reflection of our communities, working on projects, getting the necessary training, and then getting the opportunity to put that training to work. So you see the reflection of individuals that represent our communities that will be working on these projects. And that is something that we are extremely, extremely proud of. This also sets the stage... and I think this important, we discussed it a little bit. I don't think we want to wait every 10 years to do a capital Bill. I don't think we want to do that. So I think we set the stage for how we can ultimately put together some kind of ongoing capital Bill. So that when we finally get there 10 years later... even though this Bill represents or could represent about 41 or so billion dollars' worth of total work. So we don’t have to put that kind of pressure on ourselves every 10 years because the cost of things are going up. The needs are going to continue to get great. So maybe this is an opportunity for us, on both sides of the aisle, to start talking about how we can have these efforts ongoing so
that we can continually improve our communities on a regular basis. Roads... whatever the case may be... buildings, opportunities that continue to put people to work so that it's not just one-off every 10 years and then we dip down and we struggle. This is a unique opportunity that we've had. I'm proud of all the work that was put into getting us to this point. Again, this is what Illinois represents. This says that Illinois is a strong, viable state, and that we are truly open for you to come and do business here, to work here, and to be a resident here in Illinois. So, with that being said Ladies and Gentlemen, again, thank you for everyone that put a lot of work, our staffs, legislators, and the negotiating, the back and forth that goes along with this. And I strongly, strongly encourage you to vote 'yes'. Thank you.

Speaker Turner: "Chair recognizes Representative Skillicorn."

Skillicorn: "Thank you, Mr. Chairman. To the Bill. So for the past week I've heard from the other side about how Illinois' flat tax, the flat income tax, was regressive. I heard the other side say that it was unfair. As I read the details to this Bill, this massive tax hike, I know these arguments were not true. Nothing is more regressive than this gas tax. Please, please consider the single mom racing to her part-time job. Please, please consider the senior citizen driving to a doctor's appointment. Please, please think about a grandparent visiting their grandchildren. What is this struggle really about? It's about politicians that want more money. They want more spending. And if I hear my colleagues correctly, they want even higher debt. So I urge this Body to vote 'no'. Think about the people who can't afford this. Think
about the people that are struggling to get by. Think about your constituents that can't afford this. Please, I urge you to vote 'no'."

Speaker Turner: "Chair recognizes Representative Butler."

Butler: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. And Ladies and Gentlemen, welcome. I am always glad to have you back in Springfield after May. So welcome to June. Glad to have you spending money here in the Capitol City. To the Bill, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen, can I see a show of hands from my Members, from those in the audience, who's ever been stopped by a train here in Springfield on the 3rd Street tracks? Who has ever been stopped by a train? All right. Who's ever heard those train whistles on the 3rd Street tracks keep you up at night? Mr. Speaker, I know where you live downtown. I know you've heard the trains before. Ladies and Gentlemen, this Bill will stop you from getting stopped downtown because we will vacate the 3rd Street tracks and move them to the 10th Street tracks. We will make it a quiet zone, come on. We'll make it a quiet zone in downtown Springfield. So my friends who live downtown will not get woken up by the trains in downtown Springfield.

It's a $315 million project for my community, for Representative Murphy's community, that is getting funded through this Bill and getting completed through this Bill. I have to start like a lot of my colleagues here, with thank you. This has been a long process. I've been involved with this directly now for about a year and a half and indirectly through working on transportation issues for almost all of my professional career. Thank you to Dane Thull on our staff, Joe Sculley on our staff who do tremendous work keeping us
informed and keeping us in line. Erik Lowder, a great EIU grad on the Democrat staff, thank you for all you've done. My colleagues Margo McDermed, Ryan Spain, Jay Hoffman, Larry Walsh, Jehan Booth, Will Davis, who all... who all served on the working group for this. And my friend Luis Arroyo, it's been fun being the spokesman on your Appropriations-Capital Committee. Great job on letting the people of Illinois know what the tremendous needs are that we have for capital investment in this state by bringing people into to testify. I'd like to thank... as Representative Davis talked about, there's a lot of outside groups that worked on this, too. The Illinois Chamber of Commerce, laborers, unions, and my friends at the Transportation for Illinois Coalition, which are up over here, who have really driven a lot of this. So we become educated as Legislators. So many of us have never gone through the capital Bill process. And over the last year, TFIC has done a wonderful job educating us on what our needs are for transportation and infrastructure in the State of Illinois. You know, there's a lot of components to this Bill. There's a lot of components to the revenue. The one thing that I've said time and time again, as I've talked to people across the 87th District, is one of the things that I want to see more than anything else is to see that sales tax that you pay at the pump, the five percent share that goes to the Road Fund when you put that gas in your tank. Which is where we should put that money, is into the Road Fund. So I
appreciate my colleagues for doing that, 'cause I think that's the right thing to do. You know, those of us as Legislators, we've talked to numerable groups. We have mayors, and county board folks, and I can't tell you the list of projects that I get, I know all of us get on a day in and day out basis. And we want to help the people of our districts. We want to make sure that our communities are taken care of and we have a strong infrastructure in the State of Illinois. And this Bill is going to help us do it. We've talked a lot about having a sustainable capital program. The package of Bills that we have before us, today, finally allows us to have a sustainable capital program, to get out of these busts and booms. I've talked to so many, so many engineering groups over the last year and a half that are talking about closing their doors in Illinois and moving out of state because of... because of the inconsistencies we've had in our capital program. And this will allow us to be... have a sustainable program and add jobs, add labor jobs, and engineering jobs, and economic development across our state. Finally, let me say it's... you know, I know I talk about it a lot, I'm very honored to serve in largely the same district that Abraham Lincoln served in this very Body. And when Abraham Lincoln served here, his top priority when he served in this very Body, was the internal improvement of the State of Illinois. Infrastructure improvements. This state is uniquely situated in our country. And because of that we are a transportation and infrastructure leader. The state was founded on the tremendous transportation system, our waterways, which continue to this day. We now have the third most road miles
out of all the states in the union. We have wonderful airports. We have a tremendous system of rail. We have six Class I railroads in Illinois. We are a leader in transportation but our infrastructure is crumbling. Our interstate system, which were built to last 50 years are getting out of their useful lifespan. We have to reinvest, people. And that is what this does. I thank my colleagues for the work on this. This is going to provide jobs. This is going to provide a strong infrastructure. This is going to provide economic development for our state and move us forward to be more competitive. I urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Hoffman to close."

Hoffman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. And thank you to all the previous speakers. I, too, wanted to thank the individual work, bipartisanly, on this matter. I named them earlier but I also would be remise if I didn't thank Representative Larry Walsh for his work in the working group. And thank Erik Lowder and Joe Miller for all their work on this. Please give them a huge round of applause. And Representative Arroyo, thanks for your leadership. This is about safety, it's about quality of life, it's about job creation, it's about building a stronger Illinois. I don't care if you live in the South, Southwest part of our state, Central, or Northern part of our state, there is something in here that's going to help you and help your constituents and put people to work. Five hundred thousand jobs, money for transit, money for airports, money for roads, money for bridges, money for interstates, money for local roads. We're doubling the amount of money we give to local roads under this Bill. We're also, for the first
time, doing a pay-as-you-go portion for transit, and transport, and mass transit. So with that, Mr. Speaker, I ask for an 'aye' vote to put Illinois back to work and build a stronger Illinois."

Speaker Turner: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 1939 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On a count of 83 voting in 'favor', 29 voting 'opposed', 1 voting 'present', Senate Bill 1939, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Members, on Supplemental Calendar #1, under the Order of Concurrence, we have House Bill 62. Representative Harris."

Harris: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to move to concur with the Senate in Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 62."

Speaker Turner: "For further discussion, Representative Arroyo... excuse me, Amendment #1 to House Bill 62. Gentlemen moves for the adoption on Amendment #1... excuse me, excuse me. We're on the Order of Concurrence. Representative Arroyo is recognized."

Arroyo: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Thanks, the Body. I would like to take this time to mention to a lot of the people here in the House. A moment to address the Body to thank all of the Members who work hard in putting this package together. We didn't always see eye-to-eye at every piece of this puzzle but at the end of the day we negotiated in good faith. Both sides made concessions but together we put together a package that is going to be good, going to get us back into good repair, and the need. This capital Bill is going to directly
benefit our state highway system, make a great stride to fixing our crumbling state facilities, and will provide a lot of benefits to each of our districts, businesses, and labor community, rebuild Illinois. This is one of the biggest capital Bills Illinois has had in the State of Illinois. This is a job's bill. I'll call it a job's bill. So... but, I want to take the time to thank the Members of the working group, Representative Spain, Representative McDermed, Congressman Butler, Leader Gordon-Booth, the Black Caucus, thank you guys for doing your job. I also want to thank Christian Mitchell, that hasn't been mentioned. It was hard at times working together until we put it together. But Christian I want to thank him also for this hard job that we had to do. I also want to thank the Latino Caucus. The Latino Caucus also helped me and I worked with them to make sure that we had the votes. And I want to thank them personally. Being as the Chairman of the capital Bill, it helps the Latino community. It helps the minority community. It helps the majority of the Body and the people in this room. And also, I want to close with thanking Leader Hoffman. Jay, it was tough but we did it. It was tough. Thank you. I wouldn’t have been able to do it without you. But there's another guy that I want to consider, like my son, I spent more time with him in doing this exercise than anybody. I spent the last year, Erik Lowder. Erik, thank you very much. Let's give Erik a round of applause, please. And with that, whoever has any questions on this Bill, you can ask Greg Harris or ask Jay Hoffman. Thank you very much. Ladies and Gentlemen, thank you for passing this. I ask for an 'aye' vote for passing this job Bill."
Turner: "Representative Wheeler is recognized."

Wheeler: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Please excuse Representative Unes for the rest of today."

Turner: "Thank you, Representative. Representative McDermed is recognized."

McDermed: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. To the Bill. So what this Bill does, everybody, is it takes the revenue that we've previously voted on with Senate Bill 1939, and Senate Bill 690, and House Bill 142, the bonding authority, and now we're distributing it. We're sending it out. So, just to lay a little ground work on what it is that we're doing with this Bill. And I think it's been mentioned several times about how important it is to have sustainable funding. And I think what we see with the revenue that we've passed, and with the Bill that we've got here, is that we have some paygo money. And I think, you know, when you hear... when you first hear that term you don't really understand what it means, but that means it's money that we don't have to bond. It's money that we don't have to borrow. It's money that should come in every year, that we can direct to these same vertical and horizontal needs that we have, infrastructure needs that we have here in this state. And I draw your attention to some of the things that we've got outlined here today. And I think these are things that people in your district have been looking for and asking for over these... over this year and over the last 10 years since our last capital Bill. We've got outside grants. Everybody's got a park. As my colleague from further west in Will County has said, there's a lot of money for I-80. We wish we didn't have to suck so much out but our roads and our
bridges there are really bad. Route 47 we've already heard about. Up in Woodstock area, we've got Metra, and RTA, grade road crossings. Downstate and Chicago public transit. Quad Cities, Chicago, Carbondale, Springfield rail. We've got every one of our state universities on the list. And we know because we've heard them every year talk about their differed maintenance and even some of their new programming that they'd like to do that that's really important. Our community colleges are included. Our private colleges and universities are included. Our school maintenance projects, which every single one of us has heard from our local school districts. Public libraries, the Capitol, museums, the Armory, the National Guard. So these are things that everyone here can be proud of. I know several people on our side have talked about their voting records with respect to tax increases and I don't want to be the only one who fails to talk about that. I've been an elected official since 2005 and have never, repeat, never voted for a tax increase. However, when my constituents come to me and say, 'Representative, I can't let my children drive to community college on I-80. They have to take the side roads because it's too unsafe.' Then I have a choice to make. I can say... I can stick with my 'I've never voted for a tax increase' record or I can be a part of trying to solve this problem that my constituents have brought to me. That's the decision that I've made. That's my responsibility as a Representative. It's a hard vote. I bet you'll be able to hear in your districts the... unhappiness that this may cause in my district. Nevertheless, it is important that I stand for the safety and wellbeing of my residents and we're doing
that today. We can all do this. There's all the longstanding, long deferred capital needs of our state, and our local, and all our state agencies are covered here. And I urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Turner: "Leader Harris to close."

Harris: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. And as the previous Speaker just said, this is the Bill that actually authorizes the disbursement of the funds to the Department of Transportation, to the colleges, the universities, to our municipalities, DNR, DCEO that actually implements and distributes the capital dollars. Please vote 'yes'."

Speaker Turner: "The question is, 'Shall the House concur on Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 62?' This is final action. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye'; all opposed by voting 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 95 voting 'favor', 18 voting 'opposed', 1 voting 'present'. And the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 and 2 to House Bill 62. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Grant, for what reason do you seek recognition?"

Grant: "Yes... Mr. Speaker, point of personal privilege."

Speaker Turner: "Please proceed."

Grant: "Thank you. I voted 'yes' on Senate Bill 1814. I meant to vote 'no'."

Speaker Turner: "The Journal will reflect your request. Representative Gordon-Booth, for what reason do you seek recognition?"
Gordon-Booth: "Mr. Speaker, a moment of personal privilege, please."

Speaker Turner: "Please proceed."

Gordon-Booth: "I wanted to instruct everyone to please turn to the back of the gallery. Our former colleague, Deputy Governor Christian Mitchell... Deputy Governor Christian Mitchell. I wanted to take a moment to express to the Body how incredibly proud we are of his efforts this past spring. He was tasked with two of the largest, most complex issues this spring in passing cannabis, as well as the capital construction plan when we had not had one in 10 years. And having been in both of those negotiations, all spring, I know that Christian as a law student, as well as Deputy Governor, and handling two of the biggest issues that we've had this past spring, had no shortage of sleepless nights and hard days. And I want him to know, and I want the Body to know, how incredibly proud I am of the work that he has done. Not just this past spring, but throughout his career. He has a long life ahead of him. And I want us to give him a warm General Assembly thank you for what he has been able to shepherd. And the spaceships, not planes, but the two large spaceships that he was able land, thank you, Christian."

Speaker Turner: "Members, on page 8 of the Calendar, under the Order of Concurrence, we have House Bill 3263, offered by Representative Mazzochi."

Mazzochi: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I concur with Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 3263. Pretty much the only thing that Amendment does is it changes the posting of the particular
information to the IMRF website, instead of on the municipal website. I urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Turner: "Seeing no debate, the question is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 3263?' This is final action. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 110 voting in 'favor', 1 voting 'opposed', and 0 voting 'present'. And the House does concur with Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 3263. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 3394, offered by Representative Welch."

Welch: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move to concur with Senate Amendment #3. This Bill is a negotiated Bill that several black and brown business leaders, and myself, and Senator Belt sat down with Governor Pritzker and his team with and addressed their concerns with the original version of the Bill. This Bill is much better approach to getting at what we were intending to do. It creates a data collection process at the Secretary of State, collects a reporting process through the University of Illinois, and will give us the information we seek with regard to minorities, representation on corporate boards in this state and those companies will be rated on an annual basis. And I believe that this if gonna set... be a model for the nation to follow. And I would ask for a 'yes' vote."

Speaker Turner: "Further discussion, Representative Wehrli is recognized for three minutes."
Wehrli: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'm going to go straight to the Bill. So this is now as great Bill. This has gone through a process to where the business concerns were heard. And now we're going to find out what barriers there are to advancement of all people. We're going to get the data, we're going to look at it. And if there are barriers, Chris, I hope you bring back legislation that would address any negative impacts we find in the business community to people living out their full dreams, their full education capacity, their full commitment to the workforce. This is a good Bill. I appreciate you doing what you're doing here. And I strongly urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Turner: "Representative McCombie is recognized for three minutes."

McCombie: "Thank you, Speaker. To the Bill. This is not only a much better Bill but this is an example of Representative Welch being a good man. Now, I will tell you, when my first General Assembly he came in to an elementary education committee with mandate, after mandate, after mandate. And I had to, unfortunately, vote 'no' on all of those. And he came in at the end and he said, I want to have a Resolution and let the schools decide what's best because our state is so diverse. It's such a huge state and what's good for one school, might not be good for another. And this Bill does the same thing. And I just want to say, I appreciate you listening to everybody on all sides of the state, and all sides of the business community, and just really appreciate you always working extremely hard to make a great Bill and do the best thing for the State of Illinois. Thank you."
Speaker Turner: "Representative Welch to close."

Welch: "They're making me blush, Speaker. Yes, a chocolate man can blush. So all I do is ask for an 'aye' vote. Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Turner: "The question is, 'Shall the House concur on Senate Amendment #3 to House Bill 3394?' This is final action. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this measure, there are 105 voting in 'favor', 0 voting 'opposed', 0 voting 'present'. And on this question... and the House does concur with Senate Amendment #3 to House Bill 3394. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Butler, for what reason do you seek recognition?"

Butler: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Please excuse Representative Sosnowski for the rest of the day."

Speaker Turner: "Thank you, Representative. House Bill 3501, offered by Representative Manley."

Manley: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move to concur with Senate Amendments 4 and 5 on House Bill 3501. The Amendments update the existing property, assess Clean Energy Act to bring it in line with other special assessment laws. And adds natural disaster mitigation and lead pipe replacement is allowable pace projects. There is no known opposition."

Speaker Turner: "For further... Mr. Clerk, please take this Bill out of the record. Representative Carroll, for what reason do you seek recognition?"
Carroll: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. In all my excitement, I forgot to vote on HB3394. I'd like my vote to reflect a 'yes'."

Speaker Turner: "Journal will reflect your request. Representative Rita for what reason do you seek recognition?"

Rita: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Point of personal privilege."

Speaker Turner: "Please proceed."

Rita: "You know, as I was trying to get to the vote on that last Bill, there was a lot of hard work putting that casino Bill together. And I apologize that I forgot to mention some very instrumental people that helped put that together. On the Republican side, Tim Butler, Mark Mahoney, Frank Straus, and Leader Durkin. Along on our side for the Black Caucus, Andre Thapedi and Curtis Tarver. The Latino Caucus, Lisa Hernandez. And from the Women's Caucus: Katie Stuart and Deb Conroy. Thank you. Let's give them a round of applause."

Speaker Turner: "Thank you, Representative. House Bill 3586, offered by Representative Crespo. Leader Crespo."

Crespo: "Thank you, Speaker. I move to concur with Amendment #1 to House Bill 3586. The underlying Bill offered parents of children with disabilities more tools to protect their children such as, requiring schools to provide all draft documents to parents at least five school days before an IEP meeting. The Amendment in regards to the requirement that parents provide copies of documents in advance of IEP meetings moves from five days to three days. And it also adds language concerning the Response to Intervention commonly known as RtI, providing a definition that is in line with ISBE and emphasizing the date collection component of RtI and ensures
that the RtI is not used as a substitute for special education. And I ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Turner: "Further Discussion, Representative Batinick is recognized."

Batinick: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Turner: "He indicates that he will."

Batinick: "Hey, Representative, it sounds like the underlying Bill is essentially the same so I want to give my Members the chance to look at their previous vote and just talk about a couple of changes. You said you have three days instead of five days. I thought it went five to three? In terms of how much..."

Crespo: "It went from five to three, correct."

Batinick: "Okay. I thought... I thought I misheard that. So you're giving them... okay. Got it. And then, Chicago Public Schools is still opposed. Nature of their opposition?"

Crespo: "I'm not sure they're still opposed to this, but this stems from the issue that they had with special education. Based on a report investigation by the Chicago Tribune and pretty much, special education has been taken over by the State Board of Education."

Batinick: "Okay. Thank you for the answers. Appreciate it."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Carroll is recognized for three minutes."

Carroll: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. To the Bill. I want applaud Leader Crespo for bringing this legislation forward. Special education and the needs of special needs students in our state is something we have to continue to focus on. The process right now is not perfect. This is getting us one step
closer. So I encourage the Body to give us a 'yes' vote on that. Thank you so much."

Speaker Turner: "Leader Crespo to close."

Crespo: "I just ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Turner: "The question is, 'Shall the House concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3586?' This is final action. All those in favor signify by voting 'yes'; all opposed by voting 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 112 voting in 'favor', 0 voting 'no', 0 voting 'present'. The House does concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3586. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 3610, offered by Representative Guzzardi. Representative Guzzardi."

Guzzardi: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Thank you colleagues. The underlying Bill here, House Bill 3610, remains unchanged. That was a Bill allowing brew pubs to combine their gallonage requirements or gallon limitations from multiple locations. The Senate decided to amend the Bill to add additional language, which allows restaurants and bars to fill growlers or crowlers for patrons. So it's not just brew pubs that can do these and fill these containers anymore. Restaurants and bars may also fill your growlers or crowlers. I'll take any questions, including but not limited to, 'what is a crowler?' Happy to answer those questions."

Speaker Turner: "Seeing... Chair recognizes Representative Butler for further discussion."
Butler: "Will, I would just like to ask Chairman Welch if he's figured out what a growler is yet since he did not know what a growler was in committee the other day. Yeah, all right. Thank you. I urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Turner: "The question is, 'Shall the House concur on Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3610?' This is final action. All those in favor signify by voting 'yes'; all opposed by voting 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 108 voting in 'favor', 0 voting 'opposed', 1 voting 'present'. The House does concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3610. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 3623, offered by Representative Reitz. Representative Reitz."

Reitz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move to concur with Amendment 1 on House Bill 3623. It's a... it's cleanup language from Department of Natural Resources. It's a... it has a three year pilot program for youth hunting. And I encourage an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Turner: "Seeing no discussion, the question is, 'Shall the House concur on Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3623?' This is final action. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye'; all opposed by voting 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On a count of 111 voting in 'favor', 0 voting 'opposed', 0 voting 'present'. The House does concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3623. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional
Majority, is hereby declared passed. On page 6 of the Calendar, under Senate Bills on Second Reading, we have Senate Bill 2023, offered by Representative Morgan. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Hollman: "Senate Bill 2023, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. This Bill was read a second time a previous day. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendments 1 and 2 have been approved for consideration. Floor Amendment #1 is offered by Representative Morgan."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Morgan on Floor Amendment #1."

Morgan: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. I ask that we adopt Floor Amendment #1. It is a gut and replace that provides a whole bunch of different aspects to improve the medical cannabis program."

Speaker Turner: "Gentlemen moves for the adoption... Representative Batinick, is it all right if we adopt and discuss it on Third?"

Batinick: "All right. Third."

Speaker Turner: "Gentlemen moves for the adoption of Floor Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 2023. All in favor say 'aye'; all opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Hollman: "Floor Amendment #2 has been approved for considered and is offered by Representative Morgan."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Morgan on Floor Amendment #2."

Morgan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Floor Amendment #2 is a cleanup from something we discussed yesterday, actually that cleans up the tax element for counties throughout the state for the adult-use cannabis program. So it's not just Home Rule
counties. This will apply up the three percent tax to all counties throughout the state."

Speaker Turner: "Gentlemen moves for the adoption of Floor Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 2023. All in favor say 'aye'; all opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Hollman: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."

Speaker Turner: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, please read Senate Bill 2023 for a third time."

Clerk Hollman: "Senate Bill 2023, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Morgan."

Morgan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Thank you to the Body for indulging us at this late hour on this Bill. This is a cleanup and a stabilization Bill for the Illinois Medical Cannabis Program. We've spent a lot of time this week talking about cannabis as we move towards an adult-use program. This is a Bill to stabilize the Medical Cannabis Program moving forward under that adult-use framework. So it does four key things. It makes this a permanent program. Right now the program is a pilot and expires next summer unless we pass this legislation. It adds who can certify patients. It includes APRNs and physicians assistants. The third thing is that for the five unawarded medical cannabis dispensaries throughout the state, we're applying the same social equity provisions that we applied adult-use. And lastly, and most importantly, there is a medical cannabis advisory board that worked in 2015 and '16 that reviewed hundreds of pieces of scientific literature to recommend new medical conditions that were not
added and we put those in this Bill. I've been working on medical cannabis policy and regulations for about eight years. And one of the pieces that was left unfinished from, not just one but two Governor's administrations, is protecting and stabilizing this Medical Cannabis Program. We have about 60 thousand people in Illinois who participate in this program now. And they have been left out in the cold, and high and dry at least twice. January of 2015 and again under the last administration where these conditions were not added. They were not stabilizing the program. They were not making sure the patients had access that really needed access to medical cannabis because of their debilitating medical conditions. And I pledged to those patients when I worked in State Government, that I'd continue to fight to protect this program and this is my solution to that and my pledge and my promise being fulfilled. I want to specifically thank the Governor's Office, Leader Durkin, Speaker Madigan for allowing us to get this legislation here today at this late hour on June 1. And I am happy answer any questions."

Speaker Turner: "For further discussion, the Chair recognizes Leader Durkin."

Durkin: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Turner: "Sponsor indicates that he will yield."

Durkin: "Representative Morgan, can you please go through the conditions that we have finally... that we have added to this Bill since the last time this Bill... the law has been in effect?"

Morgan: "Yes. Mr. Leader, we are adding 11 different conditions, again, that all were recommended to be approved by the
Illinois Medical Cannabis Advisory Board. That includes autism, chronic pain, irritable bowel syndrome, Crohn's, migraines, osteoarthritis, anorexia nervosa, Ehler-Danlos Syndrome, Neuro-Behcet's Autoimmune Disease, Neuropathy, Polycystic Kidney Disease, and Superior Canal Dehiscence Syndrome."

Durkin: "Well, thank you. And to the Bill. Representative Morgan, you've done a fine job on this. And I have been talking to you and working with you on this all Session. I have come full circle on this issue. When it was first brought to this chamber years ago, I had great doubts. Whether or not this was something that would be able to help Illinoisans who have been suffering from debilitating illnesses or conditions who said I can no long stomach the pills. I can't eat. I've had a young man and his family came to me, it was a convincing moment for me a few years ago. It was young man and his family came to me, my son is been basically turned into a zombie, so to speak, because of the... just terrible conditions that he had, epileptic conditions, where he would miss weeks and months of school and he had the medicine... the medicine that he was prescribed put him in a condition that he was not able to pay attention, nor was he provided... he had no quality of life. The family came to me and they said, 'Jim, we know there's a product that is in Colorado and it's an extract from cannabis. It's called Charlotte's Web. Unfortunately, we have to find it in a way which is not exactly preferred under the law.' So, I think about that as a moment where we actually gave relief to a family, but also many other families as they brought their stories to me about the terrible epileptic
moments they've had in their lives. And by ingesting this product, it has brought them comfort and the ability to have a healthful and full life. I'm a father with a daughter that has a health condition. I hope... and I am glad to help that family, to bring them relief. And I hope that someday, someone can do that for my daughter. So that's what I think about. I think about kids but I think about parents. I think about everyone who has struggled with so many things for decades and we have finally come upon a process where we can provide relief and some happiness in their life. I am convinced that this program is worthwhile. I am convinced that we are able to administer it in a fair, professional manner. And again, thank you and I urge all to support this Bill.

Speaker Turner: "Chair recognizes Representative Carroll for three minutes."

Carroll: "Thank you. Just want to comment to the Bill and I want to thank my colleague from Deerfield. My mother is not well. She suffers from a variety of conditions. She was addicted to many opiates over the years. And then she discovered medical cannabis and I have my mother back now. She is in much better condition now. She manages her pain much better. She's in a better mental state. So, Bob, I want to thank you for all your work on this over the years. I know this has been a passion of yours. My family thanks you for this. And I encourage everyone to vote 'yes' on this because this is making a difference in people's lives. So, thank you Bob."

Speaker Turner: "Chair recognizes Representative Bryant for three minutes."
Bryant: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Representative Morgan, I was proud, today, to kind of run around over here and try to whip a few votes for you. I'm not going to make this I hope as long as the last marijuana debate was. I'll just make it very quick. As many of you know, my husband has epilepsy. He probably will never use this product, although it probably would help him a lot. But the Greater Southern Illinois Epilepsy Foundation certainly supports much of the research that has been done. I don't want to speak for them but I know that they've been a real advocate in moving some of this forward. But I want to tell you a very quick story about a young man named Dillan. His mom's a friend of mine. And I would tell you that Dillan is on the autism spectrum but he could not get medical marijuana for that. And so he got it for dual-diagnosis. Dillan was... I'm not going to say catatonic, but he was certainly to that point. It was very hard for him to function. He went on medical marijuana for the other issue and because of that they found great results with his autism. And so he's cooking for himself now. He's been taking driver's education. And just doing a whole lot of really good things that all teenagers want to be doing. And so I applaud you for doing this. I want you to know how grateful those who are dependent upon this product are to you. And I certainly urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Turner: "Chair recognizes Representative Flowers for three minutes."

Flowers: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Representative, I was talking to you earlier today about this Bill. And so my question to you now is, is this Bill applicable to all race of people?
Because I explained to you that I've gotten calls and letters from people that were not able to get access to the medical cannabis because they have a record, irregardless of their illness. And even when it comes down to just their illness a lot of doctors, because their African American, has been slow to write a prescription for them. So how would you suggest I address that issue?

Morgan: "Thank you, Representative, for that really important question. I think over the years we have taken some incremental steps to improve the Medical Cannabis Program and access. I hope that this program, the edits in this law that we're hopefully going to pass here, will provide greater health care provider access. The language that was actually provided by the Illinois State Medical Society in conjunction with the physician assistants, expanded the health care professionals who can certify patients. But we still have, as you know and you have and I have discussed, a tremendous lack of access to these health care professionals in communities... in all communities. So my commitment to you is to continue to plot forward to make sure no person in Illinois who has a medical condition is denied access to this program because of lack of a health care professional."

Flowers: "So my question, and I just need a straight 'yes' or 'no', if a person had a background, will he or she be denied access to medical marijuana because of the background and not because of the medical need that they have?"

Morgan: "No."

Flowers: "They will not be denied?"
Morgan: "Excluded offenses for patients who were removed in a prior law, I believe two or three years ago, so they will not be denied based on excluded offenses."

Flowers: "Thank you very much. I appreciate that."

Morgan: "Thank you, Representative."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Morgan to close."

Morgan: "Again, I appreciate everyone's indulgence on this. This is a program that I've fought for, for a very long time and it is an incredible honor of mine to carry the torch of the many people that made this program possible. There are tens of thousands of patients in Illinois that are relying on us to get this done to stabilize, and continue, and extend this program for them. I hope that I can count on your support and please vote 'aye'."

Speaker Turner: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 2023 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On a count of 98 voting in 'favor', 3 voting 'opposed', 1 voting 'present', Senate Bill 2023, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On page 5 of the Calendar, we have Senate Bill 1881, offered by Representative Zalewski. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Hollman: "Senate Bill 1881, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. This Bill was read a second a previous day. Amendment 1 was adopted in committee. Floor Amendments 2, 3, 4, and 5 have been approved for consideration. And Floor Amendment #2 is offered by Representative Zalewski."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Zalewski on Floor Amendment #2."
Zalewski: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move to adopt Floor Amendment #2, it becomes the Bill. Table 3 and 4. And adopt 5… it changes the effective date."

Speaker Turner: "We'll deal with Floor Amendment #2 first. The Gentlemen moves for the adoption on Floor Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 1881. All in favor say 'aye'; all opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Hollman: "Floor Amendment #3 is offered by Representative Zalewski."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Zalewski would like to withdraw Floor Amendment #3?"

Zalewski: "Yes, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Turner: "Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Hollman: "Floor Amendment #4 is offered by Representative Zalewski."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Zalewski on Floor Amendment #4."

Zalewski: "I wish to withdraw Floor Amendment #4."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Zalewski would like to withdraw Floor Amendment #4. Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Hollman: "Floor Amendment #5 is offered by Representative Zalewski."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Zalewski on Floor Amendment #5."

Zalewski: "I wish to adopt Floor Amendment #5. It changes the effective date along with other technical changes."

Speaker Turner: "Gentlemen moves for the adoption of Floor Amendment #5 to Senate Bill 1881. All in favor say 'aye'; all opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk."
Clerk Hollman: "No further Amendments. No motions are filed."
Speaker Turner: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, Senate Bill 1881."
Clerk Hollman: "Senate Bill 1881, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."
Speaker Turner: "Representative Zalewski."
Zalewski: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This is the Local Government Recapture Act. This Bill has been worked on for a very long time by myself and the Gentlemen from Cook, Representative Welch. It creates a regulatory Act for vendors who access sales tax data. I have some... I expect some legislative intent to be offered in a moment here that makes all parties neutral on the Bill. I ask for an 'aye' vote."
Speaker Turner: "For further discussion, Representative McDermed is recognized for three minutes."
McDermed: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"
Speaker Turner: "Sponsor indicates they will yield."
McDermed: "Representative, I would like to ask you a question for purposes of legislative intent. House Amendment #4 removes Section 5-55 as found in House Amendment #2 on page 4, line 22 through page 15, line 1. This section makes it illegal for a third party to sue a taxpayer using the confidential taxpayer information to which they have been given access. Does the removal of this section allow such a suit, either directly or indirectly, using this confidential taxpayer information?"
Zalewski: "Margo, you mean Amendment 5, which is the same as Amendment 4. We just change the effective date. For the purposes of..."
McDermed: "That's correct. I do mean Amendment 5. Thank you."
Zalewski: "You're welcome. For the purpose of the legislative intent, the clear answer is no. First in Section 5-10, the third party must enter into a confidentiality... contract with the municipality or county in the form and manner required by the Illinois Department of Revenue. Under such a confidentiality agreement, sharing this information with anyone else is prohibited. Second, in Section 5-15, a third party may use financial information it receives from a contracting municipality or county only for the purpose of providing services, as specified in this legislation to the municipality or the county and may not use it for information for any other purpose. The services a third party can provide... utilize in a taxpayer's confidential financial information are strictly limited if the Illinois Department of Revenue is misallocated revenue from one municipality or county to another and monitoring subsequent disbursement to ensure the correction is made. The definition of monitoring disbursement and misallocation are very clear. Therefore, the third party's strictly prohibited from using this information in anyway, whether directly or indirectly, to sue a business using financial information."

McDermott: "Representative, does this remove opposition?"

Zalewski: "Yes."

McDermott: "Okay. I'm hearing from staff that it doesn't. Can you give me a minute here to get to the bottom of this? Why... okay. Can you help clear up our confusion over here about whether business opposition is removed or not?"

Zalewski: "With the filing of the Amendment and the purpose of legislative intent, there's no opposition, Margo."
McDermed: "Mike, give my staff a second over here. I'm sorry..."
Speaker Turner: "About 30 seconds."
McDermed: "All right. I need a little time here, somebody."
Speaker Turner: "Representative, we're going to..."
McDermed: "Okay. Floor Amendment 2 was adopted, right?"
Zalewski: "Correct."
McDermed: "And that removed the opposition?"
Zalewski: "Correct."
McDermed: "Okay. Thank you for giving me a minute to clear this up. I was a chief-co with that good man, Representative Welch, last year when this Bill was run. And I do think it’s a good idea to permit these vendors to do some auditing. IDOR doesn't necessarily get around to it as much as I personally would like to see. I think there's still too much... I don’t necessarily... well let’s just say, there's not enough clarity or honesty all the time in some of these reports. And I think third party vendors will add to the mix and I do think it's a good Bill. Oh, wait. All right. Now I'm hearing there's still opposition."
Speaker Turner: "Representative, your time has expired."
McDermed: "I'm done."
Speaker Turner: "Representative Pappas is recognized for three minutes."
Pappas: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"
Speaker Turner: "Sponsor indicates that he will yield."
Pappas: "Representative, I have a question for legislative intent. I just want to make sure that I'm reading the Bill correctly. Under this Bill the third party that contracts with a municipality will receive the information directly from the
municipality, not from the Department of Revenue. Is that correct?"
Zalewski: "Correct."
Pappas: "And under this legislation, the party... the third party will only receive information that is pertinent to the municipality with which it contracts and not to other municipalities. Is that correct?"
Zalewski: "Correct. Yes."
Pappas: "Thank you. That's all I needed. I urge everybody to vote 'aye'."
Speaker Turner: "Chair recognizes Representative Batinick for three minutes."
Batinick: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"
Speaker Turner: "Sponsor indicates he will yield."
Batinick: "Representative, I think we're getting to the bottom of this. And trust me, my brain is foggy and when we have a Bill with table Amendments, add Amendments... Amendment 2 removed opposition, correct?"
Zalewski: "Amendment 2 removed opposition from IRMA."
Batinick: "Okay."
Zalewski: "Subsequent to that, there was opposition to a section of the Bill that we also removed in a Floor Amendment #5. We... we cleared that up with the legislative intent that Representative McDermed just read in. So... you have my word there's opposition I know of on this Bill."
Batinick: "Okay. And once again, coat, tie, awesome. So what you’re saying is, is there was some opposition of Floor Amendment 5 as written, you tried to move it with the legislative intent because the Floor Amendment 5 as written,
included some of the provisions from Floor Amendment 4 that you tabled. So Floor Amendment 4 caused problems for some groups. Floor Amendment 5 that we just adopted had some of those concerns in it and then the legislative intent cleared that up."

Zalewski: "Correct."

Batinick: "Does everybody follow that? Okay. All right. Thank you."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Zalewski to close."

Zalewski: "I ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Turner: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 1881 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On a count of 82 voting in 'favor', 28 voting 'opposed', and 0 voting 'present', Senate Bill 1881, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Chair recognizes Representative Rita."

Rita: "Point of personal privilege."

Speaker Turner: "Please proceed, Sir."

Rita: "I also forgot in the Governor's Office, Mr. Point person, Nikki Budzinski. Thank you for her hard work."

Speaker Turner: "Representative Hoffman is recognized."

Hoffman: "Real briefly, I too, forgot... Nikki was responsible for all the sports betting, negotiations of the Governors, she was involved in the capital meetings. She's a great person and a dear, dear friend. Congratulations, Nikki, on all your hard work."
Speaker Turner: "Thank you, Representative. On page 2 of the Calendar, we have Senate Bill 1514, offered by Leader Booth. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Hollman: "Senate Bill 1514, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Turner: "Leader Gordon-Booth."

Gordon-Booth: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill 1514 is an agreed Bill and has no opposition. It offers an exemption under the installment of sales contract for banks and traditional lenders who offer financing on noninterest bearing loans. I'm open for any questions. I ask for your 'aye' vote."

Speaker Turner: "Chair recognizes Representative Batinick for three minutes."

Batinick: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Turner: "Sponsor indicates that she will yield."

Batinick: "Representative, I wanted to thank you for not adopting two Amendments, tabling three, and then adding legislative intent on 18 other sections of the Bill. My understanding is that this is... it has no opponents. Went out of committee unanimously, correct?"

Gordon-Booth: "It did."

Batinick: "I urge an 'aye' vote. Thank you."

Speaker Turner: "Leader Gordon-Booth to close."

Gordon-Booth: "I ask for your 'aye' vote."

Speaker Turner: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 1514 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record."
On a count of 106 voting in 'favor', 0 voting 'no', 0 voting 'present', Senate Bill 1514, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On page 8 of the Calendar, under the Order of Concurrence, we have House Bill 3501, Leader Manley."

Manley: "Thank you, Speaker. I'd like to move to nonconcur with Amendments 1, 2, and 3."

Speaker Turner: "Leader Manley moves... you've heard the Motion. All in favor say 'aye'; all opposed... on the Motion, Representative Batinick."

Batinick: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Inquiry of the Chair."

Speaker Turner: "Please proceed."

Batinick: "How does the nonconcur work on part of the Amendments? What's the process for that?"

Speaker Turner: "It'll go back to the Senate. They have to receive in the Senate."

Batinick: "Okay. So they have to receive our Nonconcurrence and they have to accept the few Motions that we sent to them, correct?"

Speaker Turner: "That's correct."

Batinick: "Okay. Thank you."

Speaker Turner: "You've all heard the Motion. All in favor say 'aye'; all opposed say 'nay'. And the Motion carries. The House nonconcurs with Senate Amendments 1, 2, and 3 to House Bill 3501. Leader Manley is recognized."

Manley: "I move to concur with Amendments 4 and 5. Do you want to know what they..."

Speaker Turner: "A little bit about the Amendments."
Manley: "I thought I was going to get it in without any explanation. Okay. Once again, it updates the existing Property Access Clean Energy Act to bring it in line with other special assessment laws. And adds natural disaster mitigation and lead pipe replacement as allowable PACE projects. There is no known opposition."

Speaker Turner: "For further discussion, Representative Batinick is recognized."

Batinick: "Thank you... thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Turner: "Sponsor indicates that she will yield."

Batinick: "Thank you, Leader Manley. What was the Amendment that you did not want to concur with and... 'cause it looks like it was unanimous. I'm just trying to see if the intent of the Bill was pretty much the same. And what was the Amendment that you didn't want to concur with and why?"

Manley: "You can't just help yourself, can you? That was the Bill..."

Batinick: "Well this is more like Representative Zalewski's Bill. I like the one before it."

Manley: "That was the Bill that everybody loved until the Edgar Watchdogs went berserk and said it was a bad Bill. And then you guys all flipped out."

Batinick: "I believe... I believe I was supposed to be a Sponsor Bill on this..."

Manley: "Yes, you should continue to Sponsor all my Bills."

Batinick: "Okay."

Manley: "I love when you do that."
Batinick: "Okay. So can you answer what they did that you didn't want to concur with?"
Manley: "So... and I'm going to bring it back next Session. Stay tuned because I'll have it again. The original Bill was that an elected official could not hire themselves as their assistant. Seems wrong."
Batinick: "I voted for that, yep."
Manley: "Yes. The component that the Edgar felt... Edgar County Watchdogs did not like is that we... they somehow objected to the local control. So if you wanted to hire... if you were the village clerk and you wanted to hire yourself as your assistant you had to go to your village board."
Batinick: "Correct."
Manley: "Which they found offensive. I don't know why. I thought the local control component was important but... so we're going to try it again next Session."
Batinick: "Okay. So this is a completely different Bill then?"
Manley: "Yes."
Batinick: "Okay. Quick synopsis of it? 'Cause with all the adopting and not adopting and Motion to Concurring..."
Manley: "I was trying to save you though..."
Batinick: "I'm sorry... I'm really sorry."
Manley: "This is the greatest Bill ever filed, number one. So in the 100th General Assembly, we passed the PACE enabling legislation. In 2017, we have a trailer Bill, so that commercial property owners that choose to make valuable improvements to their properties have the same financing resources available in Illinois that are available to them in 36 other states. It's a... gives business a benefit to allow
them to be able to fund through private... funded by private
capital providers through their municipalities or counties.
It's happening now. The programs are about to launch in a
couple weeks and this is just a rewrite to make sure that
certain elements that we didn’t think of before are back in."
Batinick: "Okay. And looks like it was unanimous in committee.
Okay."
Manley: "The greatest Bill ever."
Batinick: "I like the Bill... I like the underlying Bill was pretty
good, so..." 
Manley: "I know."
Batinick: "Thank you for answering my questions."
Manley: "Thank you."
Speaker Turner: "For further discussion, Representative
Skillicorn is recognized for three minutes."
Skillicorn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Leader yield?"
Speaker Turner: "She will."
Skillicorn: "Leader Manley, I do want to thank you that, you know,
we've been able to talk about this Bill and work through some
things. And I think it is important that the Body knows some
details here. And the great exchange between you and the
spokesman here... our Floor Leader, sorry, gave me a chance to
read both 4 and 5, the Amendments. So that's fabulous. So we
are nonconcurring. We are not doing anything with 1, 2, and
3..."
Manley: "Correct."
Skillicorn: "...But we are concurring and moving forward with 4 and
5. So the underlying Bill is not..."
Manley: "Yes."
Skillicorn: "...And don’t see anything objectionable in 4 and 5. So this is a probably unanimous 'yes' vote I'm gonna guess. And I'm more than happy to work with you on the underlying issue and, you know, there's language back and forth and stuff, so..."
Manley: "Thank you."
Skillicorn: "I do encourage a 'yes' vote and... you did answer our concerns and you listened and I appreciate that."
Manley: "Thank you."
Speaker Turner: "Representative Manley to close."
Manley: "Please vote 'yes'."
Speaker Turner: "The question is, 'Shall the House concur on Senate Amendments #4 and 5 to House Bill 3501?' This is final action. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye'; all opposed by voting 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 109 voting in 'favor', 0 voting 'opposed', and 1 voting 'present'. And the House does concur with Senate Amendments #4 and 5 to House Bill 3501. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority... excuse me. Thank you. Representative Robinson, for what reason do you seek recognition?"
Robinson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Point of personal privilege."
Speaker Turner: "Please proceed, Sir."
Robinson: "Today begins the celebration of Pride Month in Illinois and throughout the U.S. Sadly, the current administration in Washington has been trying to turn back the clock on advances for LGBTQ people. I rise to call out the most recent attack
of LGBTQ people across the country. On May 24, 2019, President Trump's administration announced that it will soon make it easy for adopted and foster care agencies to reject same-sex couples. Currently, the administration is weighing two options. Both, of which, will truly hurt over 17 thousand youth in care here in Illinois. The administration's options are either to rescind the rules altogether protecting against discrimination, or adding explicit exempt for religious organizations. The debate is mainly about which approach would hold up better in court. A religious exemption seems to have the upper hand for now, but that could change. A broad religious exemption will hurt, not only the LGBTQ potential parents, but also countless numbers of LGBTQ youth in care. LGBTQ youth enter the foster care system for many of the same reasons as non-LGBTQ youth in care, such as abuse, neglect, and parental substance abuse. Many LGBTQ youth have the added layer of trauma that comes across with being neglected, and mistreated because of their sexual orientation, gender identity, or gender expression. Today, I urge this Body to reject the notion and welcome all affirming homes to learn about foster care, consider adoption, and protect Illinois' most vulnerable population. Thank you."

Speaker Turner: "Thank you, Representative. Representative Flowers, for what reason do you seek recognition?"

Flowers: "Point of personal privilege, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Turner: "Please proceed."

Flowers: "I understand that this is Nicole Saulsberry's last Session with us and she's been very instrumental in helping me in my Health Care Access & Availability Committee. So I
would appreciate it if you all would all join me in and wish her well on her journey. Thank you, Nicole. Thank you. I wish you well."

Speaker Turner: "Thank you, Representative. Mr. Clerk, House Resolution 399."

Clerk Hollman: "House Resolution 399, offered by Speaker Madigan.

Be it RESOLVED, BY THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES OF THE ONE HUNDRED FIRST GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS, that we congratulate Cindy McCuen on the occasion of her retirement, thank her for her many years of committed service to the Illinois General Assembly and the people of the State of Illinois, and wish her many happy and healthy retirement years."

Speaker Turner: "Leader Harris is recognized on the Resolution."

Harris: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This is really... yeah, I get to do some things on the floor, but this is one the greatest honors I get to have. We've acknowledged, you know, we've done a lot of great things here tonight. We've done a lot of accomplishments. We've acknowledged a lot of people who have made real differences in our state and in our legislative lives, and in helping this process go forward to make our state better, and to make our people more prosperous, and to keep our seniors safe, and our kids safe, and to improve education. But there's one other big accomplishment we need to recognize tonight. And that is somebody who has helped in this process and served us as a General Assembly for 44 years. In the front of the chamber... but wait, there's more. In the front of the chamber is Cindy McCuen, who is retiring at the
end of June, after 44 years of service. A graduate of New Trier High School in 1966, and went on to the University of Kansas to get her Bachelors, and came right to work for the General Assembly. So, if you've ever wondered when you file fiscal notes, either to find out information or slow us down, who it is who has either helped or hurt you, Ladies and Gentlemen, again, may I present Cindy McCuen. Who has done Bill analysis, fiscal notes, is the person responsible for JCAR, for all of who have served on JCAR. She has served under two different Speakers, including one who has lasted quite a while. So that's an accomplishment. She has served under 3 Chiefs of Staff and 10 research and approp directors. When she retires, Cindy is looking forward to planning her son's wedding. She's going to travel, relax, and do no more notes. Cindy, you have been a mentor and a leader to so many young men and women who have gone on to serve our state and our people in so many different ways. You've made an impact and touched tens of thousands of lives. We wish you the best, all of us. And want to thank you for years of dedicated and selfless service. Thank you, Cindy."

Speaker Turner: "House Resolution 399 was adopted on a previous day. Thank you for your service. Mr. Clerk, Agreed Resolutions."


Speaker Turner: "Leader Harris moves for the adopted of the Agreed Resolutions. All in favor say 'aye'; all opposed say 'nay'."
In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Resolutions are adopted. Mr. Clerk, Adjournment Resolution."

Clerk Hollman: "Senate Joint Resolution #46, offered by Representative Harris. be it

RESOLVED, BY THE SENATE OF THE ONE HUNDRED FIRST GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS, THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES CONCURRING HEREIN, that when the Senate adjourns on Saturday, June 1, 2019, it stands adjourned until Monday, October 28, 2019, or until the call of the President; and when the House of Representatives adjourns on Saturday, June 1, 2019, it stands adjourned until Thursday, October 17, 2019, in perfunctory session, and when it adjourns on that day, it stands adjourned until Monday, October 28, 2019, or until the call of the Speaker."

Speaker Turner: "Leader Harris moves for the adoption of the Adjournment Resolution. All in favor say 'aye'; all opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Resolution is adopted. Chair Recognizes Leader Durkin."

Durkin: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. It's that time of year again, in which we are going to finish up business and talk a little bit about how this Session went and how we finished. But before I get into that, I just want to make mention of some people that mean a lot to me and also my Members. And Mr. Speaker, I'm going to say this, my staff's better than yours. You got a great staff, but ours is better than yours. They're both great. And I need to mention them because they've been through so much over these months. They put in so much time, effort, time away from their families to help us and to make
us look good, and particularly when we don’t deserve it. So, with that, I first have to point out my dear friend, my Chief of Staff, Andrew Freiheit. Thank you, Andrew, for what he does for this caucus, what he does for everyone in this chamber. He does work... he does a lot of work for everybody. Colleen Atterbury, our Research Director. Colleen. Research Director... on I don't know how many thousands of Bills get passed, or even get introduced every year, but they're the ones who take care of it. Joe Sculley, our Appropriation Director. Thank you, Joe. Eleni Demertzis, my Spokesperson. Eleni, thank you for putting up with me. You do a great job. Mike Mahoney, or Senior Advisor. Mike, thank you for helping us through these difficult days at the end and the beginning of the year. You've been a true professional. Derek Persico, our General Counsel, whose job gets more difficult by the day. But he does it with professionalism and he's a great man. Andrew Flach, who isn't here, our Communications Director. Frank Straus, we couldn't be... be we wouldn't be anywhere without Frank. Frank's fantastic. He's a part of this fabric of this chamber that means so much to everyone, and particularly to this side the aisle. Ramiro Hernandez, who did so much work as well. I want to thank all of them. Some Members that I want to mention that were able to help me bring this home over the last few days. Margo McDermed. Thank you, Margo, for taking the lead on this huge undertaking of capital. But also, Ryan Spain. Tom Demmer, who is really done an incredible job as my Deputy Leader on so many issues. Not only with budget, but also getting us here today to bring resolution to these important issues. Keith Wheeler, again,
thank you for everything, for what you've done on behalf of crafting good ideas, helping us, and being a great team leader. Last but not least, I have to thank my assistant Cheri Hermes. Cheri is the one who, as like Mika is kind of like the gate keeper, she puts up with a lot. She's the person that keeps my head screwed on straight most of the time. But I look at her, I come from a family of seven boys... eight boys and no sisters. She is my older sister even though I am older than her. She takes care of me. My Members speak the world of her and so do I. But I want to make sure that she's listening and knows how much that I love her and our caucus loves her. So, thank you Cheri. Now, I just have a few comments. You know, we started this Session and a lot of new faces. And you're probably trying to figure out how this process works. Trust me, you're never going to see it in a text book. You won't see it with Legislation by Dummies, it just happens. And everybody... at times we have emotional moments, sometimes it gets beyond emotion. That's the way this process works. But I just want you to remember, particularly the freshmen, we've had some moments where you've heard a lot things and a lot of people have said some tough stuff on the floor. Did you hear that today? Did you notice the tenor of the debate and also the feeling that I had in this chamber today? It was pretty darn good. Because you know why? Because we worked it out. We worked out this very difficult day. Both sides of the aisle, both chambers, and the Governor. We did the right thing. People, time after time, they will come and... look, we're easy to blame. We're low hanging fruit for people to yell at. But people often say, and most of the time, 'Will
you guys just figure it out? Work together. Just get it done.' I am proud to say that today we figured it out. We got it done. Sometimes it's not that pretty, but I hope you all realize that what we offer to the State of Illinois, some people have differences of opinion, means so much to so many people. And I'm telling you right now, we do more for the day-to-day lives of men and women, children in the State of Illinois than our friends in Washington. So keep that in mind. Let's continue on with this spirit that we have been able to pull together within the last few days. And lastly, Mr. Speaker, I've never witnessed a 24 hours like this in my career. And I'm also going to say, that you're not making it any easier on me. But as we move into next year, perhaps you can take those words and consideration from one Irishman to another. Thank you, everybody. And I wish everybody a great summer."

Speaker Turner: "Speaker Madigan is recognized."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, could we all welcome our Governor, JB Pritzker. First, I want to thank Representative Durkin. Jim, you're right, the last 24 hours were a good learning experience for you. I think you've got a good future ahead of you. I want to thank all the Members of the House for your outstanding dedication and work during this very difficult Session of the Legislature. And so to all of you, thank you. Thank you very, very much. I want to thank my Chief of Staff, Jessica Basham. I want to thank all of the Democratic staffers that work under Jessica, worked through the night for the last few nights, and did an outstanding job to help all of us be as productive as we have been during
this particular Session. This has been an extraordinarily productive Session of the General Assembly. Simply historic. We began the Session by raising the minimum wage at the urging of the Governor. We adopted a Constitution... we approved the submission of a Constitutional Amendment to the voters in November of 2020 for a fair tax for Illinois. We adopted and passed a Reproductive Health Care Act. We will legalize recreational marijuana. We will legalize sports betting. We did an operating budget on time. And we will have a brand new expansive capital program for the State of Illinois. So, Mr. Governor, do you see what a difference it makes to have somebody new in the Governor's Office? I understand the difference. Truly an historic Session. Just reflect on these items that I have recited. Contemplate the change in direction for the people of the state that these items will constitute. Think about the difficulty that we had over many years on certain of these items. Certain of these items were debated, considered by the General Assembly for years. But this year it got done. It got done in large part because the leadership of Governor Pritzker. But it got it done because of what Representative Durkin said, in the end, people came together, recognized that there are differences in the General Assembly, but there are differences that can be reconciled. It's not easy. Sometimes it's pretty difficult. Sometimes it's painful. But if everybody comes to work with the goal of being reasonable and showing accomplishments, we have shown this Session it can be done. And so, again, to all of you, thank you very, very much. I hope we all have a restful summer. And we'll see all of you sometime in the fall when we
can reflect on these words of tonight and think, you know, let's do the right thing. So everybody, thank you very, very much. I move that the House stand adjourned."

Speaker Turner: "And now, allowing perfunctory time for the Clerk, Speaker Madigan moves that House stand adjourned until Monday, October 28 at the hour of noon. All those in favor say 'aye'; all opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the House is adjourned."