Speaker Willis: "The House will be in order. Members will be in their chairs. We shall be led in prayer today by Reverend Lois Bucher who is with the 1st Congressional Church in Elgin. Reverend Lois Bucher is a guest of Representative Moeller. Members and guests shall refrain from starting their laptops, turn off all cell phones, and rise for the invocation and the Pledge of Allegiance. Reverend Bucher."

Reverend Bucher: "Let us pray. Holy one, known by many names and served through many traditions, we ask your blessing upon the proceedings of this day. We pray that your hand guide each Representative gathered here. Bless them with wisdom. Bless them with the courage to do what is right as they remember and care for the people they represent. May they vow this day to make a concerted effort to work together crossing aisles and ideologies, inspiring conversations and decisions that bring forth peace, justice, and equity for all their people. With gratitude for their dedication and service, we pray all this in your name. Amen."

Speaker Willis: "Thank you, Reverend. We shall be led in the Pledge of Allegiance today by Representative Villanueva."

Villanueva - et al: "I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United States of America and to the republic for which it stands, one nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all."

Speaker Willis: "Roll Call for Attendance, please. Leader Manley is recognized to report any excused absences on the Democratic side of the aisle."

Manley: "Thank you. I'd like the record to reflect that Representative Meyers-Martin is excused today."
Speaker Willis: "Representative Butler is recognized to report any excused absences on the Republican side of aisle."

Butler: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Please let the Journal reflect that Representative Mazzochi is excused today."

Speaker Willis: "Have all recorded themselves who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. There being 116 Members answering the roll call, a quorum is present. Mr. Clerk, Committee Reports."

Clerk Holman: "Committee Reports. Representative Welch, Chairperson from the Committee on Executive reports the following committee action taken on May 21, 2019: do pass Short Debate is Senate Bill 1863; recommends be adopted is Floor Amendment #1 to House Bill 827. Representative Slaughter, Chairperson from the Committee on Judiciary - Criminal reports the following committee action taken on May 21, 2019: do pass Short Debate is House Bill 2437; do pass as amended Short Debate is Senate Bill 1890, Senate Bill 1966; recommends be adopted is Floor Amendment #1 Senate Bill 1139. Representative Evans, Chairperson from the Committee on Labor & Commerce reports the following committee action taken on May 21, 2019: do pass as amended Short Debate is Senate Bill 1899; recommends be adopted is Floor Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 657, Floor Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 1684, Floor Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 2128. Representative Ammons, Chairperson from the Committee on Higher Education reports the following committee action taken on May 21, 2019: do pass Short Debate is Senate Bill 1167. Representative Ford, Chairperson from the Committee on Appropriations-Higher Education reports the following committee action taken on May 21, 2019: do pass Short Debate is Senate Bill 1467."
Representative Gabel, Chairperson from the Committee on Appropriations-Human Services reports the following committee action taken on May 21, 2019: do pass Short Debate is Senate Bill 1525. Representative Hurley, Chairperson from the Committee on Human Services reports the following committee action taken on May 21, 2019: recommends be adopted is Floor Amendment #1 Senate Bill to 1573. Representative Lilly, Chairperson from the Committee on Financial Institutions reports the following committee action taken on May 21, 2019: recommends be adopted is Floor Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1758.

Introduction of Resolutions. House Resolution 394, offered by Representative Meier, is referred to the Rules Committee.

Speaker Willis: "The Clerk is in receipt of Motions in Writing to waive the posting requirements for seven... several Bills. If there is leave, we shall take these Motions together in one Motion. Is there leave? Leave is granted. Leader Manley on the Motion."

Leader Manley: "Speaker, I move that the posting requirements be waived so that the following Bills can be heard this week in committee. In House Appropriations-Elementary & Secondary Education: Senate Bill 2096; in Executive: Senate Bill 54, Senate Bill 1814, and House Resolution 387; in Judiciary - Civil: Senate Bill 1780; in Revenue & Finance: House Bill 833, Senate Bill 1591, Senate Bill 1595, and House Resolution 381; in State Government Administration: House Resolution 382; in Transportation: Regulation, Roads & Bridges: House Joint Resolution 76 and House Joint Resolution 77; in Transportation: Vehicles & Safety: Senate Bill 1602."
Speaker Willis: "Leader Manley has moved to waive the posting requirements. All those in favor say 'aye'; all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the posting requirements are waived. Leader Wehrli, for what do you wish recognition?"

Wehrli: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Point of personal privilege."

Speaker Willis: "Please proceed."

Wehrli: "Thank you. Members, today I rise to congratulate the fine young men of Naperville Central High School Men's Water Polo. This past weekend, they won the state championship. But what's... that in itself is an amazing accomplishment, but this also marks their back to back winning of the State Men's Water Polo State Championship. And in fact, they've won it three of the last four years. So, if this Body may, I'd like to congratulate the Men's Naperville Central Water Polo Team on not only back to back state championships, but dare I say a state dynasty when it comes to men's water polo."

Speaker Willis: "Congratulations. Representative DeLuca, for what do you wish recognition?"

DeLuca: "An introduction, Madam Clerk... Madam Speaker."

Speaker Willis: "Please proceed."

DeLuca: "I just want to recognize former State Representative and former Judge George Scully who is on the floor today. Say hello to George who actually sat right in this seat right here. But George is currently an Assistant Professor of the Department of Accounting at the University of Illinois-Chicago. Good to see you, George. Thanks for coming down."

Speaker Willis: "Welcome back to the House. Representative Caulkins, for what do you wish recognition?"
Caulkins: "A point of personal privilege, Ma'am."
Speaker Willis: "Please proceed."
Caulkins: "I'd like to introduce my Page today. I have on the floor with me, Mrs. Ellen Hite. She is a personal coach and specializes in conflict resolution. She is here today to assist me and any of you that might need some help. I'd appreciate a warm Springfield welcome to Mrs. Hite."
Speaker Willis: "Welcome to the Capitol. Representative Kalish, for what do you wish recognition?"
Kalish: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. A point of personal privilege, please."
Speaker Willis: "Please proceed."
Kalish: "Thank you so much. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise today to recognize some of my constituents in the gallery. They're here from Extended Care in Alden. I'm really, really happy to have the people from Extended Care here who are in my district, led by Ron Nunziato, who is a CEO's CEO. It's really exciting to have him here. His boss, the owner of the company, Mr. Eric Rothner has taken care of me since I was a little kid and I really appreciate everything they do. So, they're in the gallery here today to advocate on behalf of the frail and elderly Illinoisans and their fellow workers. Please join me in welcoming them to Springfield. Thank you guys for coming. Thank you."
Speaker Willis: "Welcome to the Capitol. Representative Greenwood, for what do you wish recognition?"
Greenwood: "Point of personal privilege."
Speaker Willis: "Please proceed."
Greenwood: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I rise to congratulate the East St. Louis Senior High School Girl's Track and Field Team as the Class 2A State Champions. I congratulate all of the girls on that team for their hard work and also for coach Nino Fennoy, for his leadership and dedication. And I also would like to congratulate Nariah Parks. She is a sophomore at Althoff Catholic High School, my alma mater, and she is the Class 1A 400 Meters State Champion. Congratulations, girls."

Speaker Willis: "Congratulations. Okay, we will proceed to the Order of Senate Bills on Third Reading. On page 3 of the Calendar, under Third Readings, we have Senate Bill 10, offered by Representative Stuart. Out of the record. On page 4 of the Calendar, under Third Readings, we have Senate Bill 24, offered by Representative Hoffman. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 24, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Willis: "Representative Hoffman is recognized."

Hoffman: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Bill is a simple Bill that deals with safety of railroads and the people who ride on... or the people who have to do with the... a two man crew on freight train railroads. I believe this makes sense in the interest of safety. This Bill has been around for several years. We passed it out of the House on several occasions. And I think it's a matter of public safety as well as the safety of the railroads."
Speaker Willis: "Discussion on the Bill? Representative Batinick is recognized."

Batinick: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I just wanted to make sure we're not on Short Debate for this Bill... Standard Debate?"

Speaker Willis: "You're on Standard Debate."

Batinick: "Thanks for giving us an easy one right out of the box. Appreciate that. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Willis: "He indicates he will."

Hoffman: "Yes."

Batinick: "Representative, a handful of quick questions here for you. Are trains not regulated by the Federal Government?"

Hoffman: "They are regulated by the Federal Government, but with regard to this, there is no preemption."

Batinick: "Right, I understand that. But just because there's no preemption, do you think it's wise for the state to engage in something... I mean, let's think about this, how this would work effectively. First of all, there's collective bargaining agreements that cross state lines, correct? With this, you have people that are working in multiple states. And this is collectively bargained for?"

Hoffman: "It's my understanding that the current large haulers already have two people on their crew."

Batinick: "Okay then, what is the point of your Bill?"

Hoffman: "It’s to make sure that we take the stance that in the future we're going to make sure that in the interest of public safety there would be two people on a freight train."

Batinick: "Right, I guess if... what if they're already doing it? And is there some case that you're going to point to? Is there a problem that we're solving here with this issue?"
Hoffman: "Well, I can tell you in committee there was testimony from an individual who talked about the need for a two-man crew, and how because there was a two-man crew, they were able to save individuals lives."

Batinick: "I'm sorry, I missed the very end, the end of what you said there, Representative."

Hoffman: "Yes, there was a testimony in committee from an individual who was an engineer on a train. There was a tragic accident. If there weren't... wouldn't have been two men... the train was blocking the crossway, and if there wouldn't have been two men to unhook the train and move it, an ambulance could have never gotten there and saved lives."

Batinick: "So, it's my understanding that we have... we often have to write exemptions to our regulatory code because of the collective bargaining agreements. Is that not the case with trains?"

Hoffman: "I apologize, could you... I'm sorry, Representative. Could you please repeat that?"

Batinick: "The same thing just happened to me, so no apology necessary. So, what happens a lot with these negotiations, they're collectively bargained crossing state lines and we also often have to write exemptions in the code to match what's collectively bargained?"

Hoffman: "Well no, I mean, they can collectively bargain this. This would just say, in this state, we think for public safety we would have crew sizes of two men or women. Two people."

Batinick: "Right. I understand but what usually happens is is... I'm going to go to the Bill and let some of my other colleagues speak about this. This is collectively bargained. We
collectively bargain trains across state lines. So, what may happen here is you're going to have a train that's going to drive across the State of Indiana, somebody's going to have to get on, drive across the State of Illinois, somebody's going to have to get off. I'm just a little concerned about the... the extra level... I'm still waiting for the first Bill that's kind of like a pro... pro-growth Bill that we pass here. And this just seems like more regulations. And the people that should be handling this, are the people that collectively bargain. This is a collectively bargained issue between the companies, the unions, the workers. They're the ones that should be making the decision, not us here in this chamber.

I urge a 'no' vote. Thank you."

Speaker Willis: "Representative McDermed is recognized."
McDermed: "Thank you. Will the Sponsor yield?"
Speaker Willis: "He indicates he will."
McDermed: "Isn't it true that freight railroads are the most unionized industry in the United States?"
Hoffman: "I don’t know. They are generally unionized. I don’t know if they're the most unionized."
McDermed: "So, if there... we'll just for the sake of argument say that they are one of the most, if not the most, heavily unionized. And isn't it true that in the past and in the future, unions bargain on crew size?"
Hoffman: "Yes, they have. But if we pass this Bill, it would be the law of the state."
McDermed: "So basically, what we're trying to do is they're trying to get us, the Illinois General Assembly, to do the work rather than the union representatives and to take this out of
the bargaining process and use the might of this Legislature to weigh in on their side of the argument. Why are we lending our weight to one side of a private union negotiation?"
Hoffman: "We, like several states... or I, like several other states that have passed this law, believe that it is a matter of fundamental safety for not only the people who work on the railroad, but also individuals who go over railroad crossings and the like. I just believe it's a matter of fundamental safety."
McDermed: "Don't union collective bargaining agreements routinely discuss safety? Why is this different from all the other union agreements that are out there where unions and their representatives are free to bargain and management is free to bargain with them? What makes this different from all the other hundreds of them that are out there?"
Hoffman: "Well, this is really no different than what we did probably 25-30 years ago when we started passing Bills regarding coal mine safety, and safety in the coal mines, and what you had to do in the coal mines to make sure that the miners were safe."
McDermed: "Now, aren't there many..."
Hoffman: "That used to be collectively bargained as well. But we made a determination, as did other states, that as a matter of safety, we were going to pass laws that provided for our workplace safety. This is no different."
McDermed: "Aren't there many, many, many federal laws that regulate rail roads and their operation and safety?"
Hoffman: "Yes, the Federal Rail Safety Act generally regulates the safety of railroads but it allows the states to adopt laws related to railroad safety."

McDermed: "And haven't the feds acted in this area? So, why are we acting in area where the feds have the bulk of the authority? In fact, they have preemptive authority."

Hoffman: "Well, as long as... it's my understanding, as long as the Federal Government has not specifically addressed an issue, we then have the authority to adopt railroad safety measures. And that's what this is."

McDermed: "What's going to happen to trade and commerce in the State of Illinois if a mandated crew size goes into effect? How is this going to affect our standing as one of the transportation centers of the United States?"

Hoffman: "I would argue that it'll have a minimal affect because the large haulers now require two-men, two-person crews."

McDermed: "Isn't it true that several railroads have already tried to figure out a way to go around Illinois if this Bill goes into effect?"

Hoffman: "I have not heard that at all."

McDermed: "What is this Bill going to do..."

Hoffman: "I mean, they can't go north because Wisconsin has a two-person crew already."

McDermed: "Okay, Wisconsin is not the transportation crossroads of the United States. How many railroads in the State of Illinois operate with a one-person crew already and how does this affect them?"

Hoffman: "I don't know the number, but some short line railroads, I believe, do. And..."
McDermed: "Why would we want to change the way they operate when they have already made agreements with their unions with respect to how they operate?"

Hoffman: "I think because it's safer to have two people. What if the person has... who is driving the train has a heart attack? What if he gets ill? I know that there are safety measures on the trains, what if that fails?"

McDermed: "What about positive train control that has cost billions of dollars to implement and that the railroads had to pay? You know, we ain't... we're not subsidizing that. Railroads paid for that. So now, they have had to implement positive train control and they still have to have a mandated two-person crew?"

Hoffman: "This Bill would require a two-person crew."

McDermed: "To the Bill. Once again, the Illinois General Assembly is being asked to weigh in on one side of an arrangement between two private entities that have the power to regulate themselves, to negotiate themselves. I absolutely hate being put in the position over, and over, and over again of being asked to weigh in on one side of the other of some kind of special interest group. We should be here looking out for the welfare of all the people of the State of Illinois, not some special group that wants to use our power to get what they want, what they weren’t able to get at the bargaining table, and to give special economic preference. Once again... how many is this people? How many Bills have we passed just since January that hurt business in the State of Illinois? What is the plan? What's the plan when we've driven out every possible business from the State of Illinois? Where are all of these
college students, that we want to stay in our state, going to get a job? Where are taxpayers going to be able to pay their property taxes and, god forbid, even more income taxes when we've driven every job provider in the state out? What's the long term plan? Who's going to be an employer left in the State of Illinois? Vote 'no'. It's special preference legislation. It's very bad. Stop the flood of bad Bills."

Speaker Willis: "Representative Halpin is recognized for further discussion."

Halpin: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I just want to first say that I appreciate Members on the other side of the aisle extolling the virtues of collective bargaining. It's something that we haven't heard from them for a couple of years and I welcome them aboard. No pun intended. And I also want to say I appreciate the Sponsor talking about mine safety and how those things were originally bargained between the employer and the workers until the state decided to step in and say this is important enough that we should regulate it. And he's right about that, but I'd like to point out that it goes even further back. When we start talking about things like the 40 hour work week or child labor, a lot of those things... well child labor of course, they didn't have a union to speak for them. But the 40 hour work week, that was traditionally an area where the union would bargain with the employer. But finally as a people, as a government, we said enough is enough and we decided to codify the overtime rules and codify the work week. So, this is nothing out of the ordinary. It's a way to protect train safety. And I just want to say there's a big difference between having two people on a train and
having one person on a train in an emergency. This is an important Bill. I think we should adopt it. And I'd encourage a 'yes' vote."

Speaker Willis: "For further discussion, Representative Scherer is recognized."

Scherer: "To the Bill. My dad was a machinist on the railroad, so I have seen some very great things happen on trains and some horrible things happen as I grew up. It's my understanding that it used to be that there were five or six people working on the train as it rolled down the tracks. And now we are compromising to put it to two and it sounds like that still isn't good enough for some people. I can only hope that if this Bill wouldn't pass, I hope it wouldn't be your child that would be in front of the runaway train because it would be on your conscience that when there's a runaway train... anyone who would vote against this, this is on your conscience. Anyone that gets killed because of that, it's unconscionable. This is not that expensive and it's something that... this is again one of those Bills that I cannot even believe we're discussing. This should be a no brainer. We shouldn't even need a Bill. People should do the right thing for humanity. We shouldn't have to have Bills to cause people... people's lives to be saved. And when we go off on other tangents, it makes it sound like we're discussing something that we're not even talking about. This has nothing to do with property taxes. This has to do with runaway trains and saving people's lives. I urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Willis: "For further discussion, Representative Wheeler is recognized."
Wheeler: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"
Speaker Willis: "He indicates he will."
Wheeler: "Jay, I'm looking through our analysis here and I'm trying to imagine how this works. When a train comes from Indiana into Illinois, do they have to stop and pick up and additional engineer?"
Hoffman: "I would hope that in Indiana they would have two people on the train as well for safety sake. But if it's that's the case, yes."
Wheeler: "And then when they depart through Iowa, they stop and let that engineer off?"
Hoffman: "That's up to them."
Wheeler: "I understand, but I'm talking about the mandate of this Bill. That's actually up to you and how you wrote this."
Hoffman: "Well, I can't pass a law that affects Indiana. I can't pass a law that affects Iowa or Missouri. But I can pass... I can..."
Wheeler: "Actually, Jay, I think that's kind of the intent of the Bill. If we mandate, in Illinois, that every train has to have a specific crew size, whether it's two or a greater number, then at some point in time it's going to become the point of, hey, we're driving... we are going through these states, Illinois is in the middle of that, we are going to have to just put somebody on now anyway. So, by virtue of the fact that we pass something in Illinois, it actually does have effect in those other states."
Hoffman: "Well, I would... I would encourage freight railroads to have two-man... two-person crews anywhere in the United States or anywhere in the world."
Wheeler: "Well, I appreciate that. Are we also going to mandate that every car has two drivers?"

Hoffman: "That’s a little bit different."

Wheeler: "Well, we’re going to autonomous cars here shortly which has zero drivers because we have technology. We have positive train control in most of these situations already, which may not be perfect, but at least it’s a step in a direction of better safety. And I think you agree with that. But at the same time, we are over stepping our bounds, as far as I can tell as a state, that we are mandating something that's going to effect a lot of situations in and outside the State of Illinois. That's not really a question I guess, Jay, I'm just pointing out how I see this Bill. I appreciate the attention. We all want better safety. I don’t think this Bill achieves that the way you want it to. In that case, I am going to urge my friends and colleagues here to vote 'no'."

Speaker Willis: "Members, under the rule of Standard Debate, we have had three in opposition and three in support of the Bill. Representative Hoffman to close."

Hoffman: "Thank you. I appreciate the debate and I echo what Representative Halpin and Representative Scherer said. This is simply a matter of fundamental safety, not only for the people who work on the trains, but also the general public. I ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Willis: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 24 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all who voted who wish? Have all who voted who wish? Have all who voted who wish? DeLuca, Lilly, Marron. Clerk, please take the roll. On the vote of 77 voting in
'favor', 36 voting 'against', 1 voting 'present', this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Proceeding on the Order of Senate Bills on Third Reading, on page 4, Senate Bill 25, offered by Representative Edly-Allen. Out of the record. On page 4, offered... Senate Bill 28, by Representative Crespo. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 28, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Willis: "Representative Crespo is recognized."

Crespo: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Currently, there are no statutory minimum number of hours or minutes that constitutes an instructional day. Senate Bill 28 reinstates the language and School Code that requires an instructional day to be a minimum of five hours. It also defines activities that should be counted towards the calculation of clock hours. It also provides that ISBE must establish and maintain a program for e-learning days for all school districts. I’d be happy to answer any questions."

Speaker Willis: "Representative Buckner, do you wish to be recognized on the Bill? We'll come back to you after the discussion. Representative Bourne is recognized."

Bourne: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Willis: "He indicates he will."

Bourne: "Thank you. Representative, I understand that this Bill is different than the first Bill that we heard in House Committee. This has been agreed to by all parties including the exemptions that were discussed in committee. Is that your understanding as well?"
Crespo: "That is correct."
Bourne: "I want to thank you for working with the parties on both sides of this. I think this strikes a good balance. We do need a definition of a school day, but allowing school districts to have the flexibility and be creative in their calendar is also important. So, thank you for your work on this and I would encourage my colleagues to vote 'aye'."
Crespo: "Thank you."
Speaker Willis: "Seeing no further discussion, Representative Crespo to close."
Crespo: "I ask for an 'aye' vote."
Speaker Willis: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 28 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 114 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'nay', 0 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Buckner, for what reason do you wish recognition?"
Buckner: "I would just like the record to reflect that I should have been a 'yes' vote on SB24."
Speaker Willis: "The record will so reflect. On page 4 of the record... on the Calendar, under Third Reading, Senate Bill 69, Representative Manley. Out of the record. Oh, wait a minute. Representative Manley on Senate Bill 69. Clerk, please read the Bill."
Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 69, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."
Speaker Willis: "Representative Manley is recognized."

Manley: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I appreciate your patience. Senate Bill 69 makes three changes to the laws relating to crimes against the elderly. Specifically, expands the enhanced penalties for theft by deception, which currently applies to victims 60 years or older, to include persons with disabilities, and allows the crime of financial exploitation of an elderly person or a person with a disability to be prosecuted in a county where the victim resides in addition to the county where the crime took place and, lastly, adds specific language to the crime of financial exploitation of an elderly person or a person with a disability, stating that consent is not defense. I'll take any questions."

Speaker Willis: "Seeing no questions, the question shall be, 'Shall Senate Bill 69 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Marron. Reick. Have all voted who wish? Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 116 voting 'yes' in favor, 0 voting 'against', 0 voting 'present'. Having received the Constitutional Majority, this Bill is declared passed. Senate Bill 72, offered by Representative Harris. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 72, a Bill for an Act concerning elections. Third reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Willis: "Leader Harris."

Harris: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Bill changes the method by which a vacancy in the
position of the President of the Cook County Board is addressed. What this Bill would do is say if the vacancy occurs while there is more than 28 months remaining in the term, the position is on the ballot in the next general election. This similar legislation was passed in the last General Assembly, it was sent to the Governor but he vetoed it the day before the end of the General Assembly, so there was not a chance to override his veto. I would appreciate an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Willis: "Seeing no discussion, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 72 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Grant, Halbrook, Zalewski. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk... please take the record. On this question, there are 113 voting in 'favor', 3 voting 'against', 0 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate... on page 4 again, Senate Bill 86, offered by Representative West. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 86, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Willis: "Representative West is recognized."

West: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Senate Bill 86 is a update to current legislation. It clarifies the prohibition on persons using electronic communication devices while operating a motor vehicle in a roadway by explaining what it means to use such a device. The Bill also expressly includes video devices as devices not allowed to be located in the front of the driver seat or visible to the driver. I added... after
discussion in committee, I added an Amendment that made sure it... made it plain that it focused on streaming while you're driving so that it will be safe for Uber and Lyft drivers or Rideshare drivers to keep using their devices, if needed, as long as it's mounted to the car. I would appreciate an 'aye' vote. Looking forward to any questions."

Speaker Willis: "Seeing no discussion, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 86 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Moeller. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 116 voting in 'favor', 0 voting 'against', 0 voting 'present'. Having received the Constitutional Majority, this Bill is hereby declared passed. Continuing on the order of West, Senate Bill 87. Representative West. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 87, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Willis: "Representative West."

West: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Senate Bill 87 prohibits all vehicles or equipment with a smoked or tinted lens or cover from driving on the highway. This is for... this is legislation that will prohibit people from, after they've purchased the vehicle, from adding smoke or tinted lenses from their... onto their headlights. Representative Unes, I did some research on your question in committee, and it does not hinder with motorcycles that have a blue light. It’s only for those who have tinted lights. I would appreciate an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Willis: "Representative Batinick is recognized."

Batinick: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"
Speaker Willis: "He indicates he will."

Batinick: "I think you started to deviate there before I could get my switch off, Representative. It sounds like ABATE's okay with this Bill. You're going to look into whether this has something to do with lights on motorcycles, but that's all clean. The Bill does not... how about for legislative intent, does this Bill effect motorcycles with blue lights?"

West: "No, it does not."

Batinick: "Thank you very much."

West: "Okay."

Speaker Willis: "Representative Ford is recognized."

Ford: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Willis: "He indicates he will."

Ford: "Representative West, I think that this is a good idea. I just have a question so that the public knows. If pulled over, what's the fine or penalty for violating this if it becomes the law?"

West: "Well done, Representative Ford, you stumped me. But I will find out and I will get back to you."

Ford: "Okay. No problem, Representative."

Speaker Willis: "Representative Keicher is recognized."

Keicher: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Willis: "He indicates he will. You may proceed."

Keicher: "Representative West, is this a primary offense where you can be pulled over if spotted or is it only if there is already an offense that they're stopped for?"

West: "I'm sorry, Representative, can you repeat that question one more time?"
Keicher: "Would this be a primary offense, meaning could an officer stop the vehicle if they had tinted lenses?"
West: "We will have to check with the State Police to see how they will want to enforce that. But it comes down to, if the police sees that the lights are tinted, that’s when the driver will be pulled over."
Keicher: "Thank you."
Speaker Willis: "Seeing no further discussion… oh, I'm sorry. Representative Skillicorn is recognized."
Skillicorn: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"
Speaker Willis: "Indicates he will."
Skillicorn: "Thank you so much. Representative West, would you mind… two things. Repeating that last answer, I didn’t quite hear it."
West: "Okay. I said we will have to check with the State Police on how they would like to proceed with that. But at the end of the day, if the police sees that the headlights are tinted, that's when the driver will be pulled over."
Skillicorn: "So, it would be a primary offense. Just want to make that perfectly clear. This would be a primary offense for someone to get pulled over, and I'm going to guess this is something that happens in communities with people with a little bit older cars or customized cars. I'm a little concerned that this could have a profiling segment to it. And I'm also curious, was SEMA consulted in this if, you know, they have slipped in it or if they're just consulted on the Bill drafting."
West: "Based on what I see, there were no opponents to the Bill."
Skillicorn: "Okay. So, they were not... SEMA, that basically is the auto enthusiast lobby, was not consulted on drafting this or consulted on if they would support or oppose?"

West: "No."

Skillicorn: "Okay. I'm still concerned if this is a primary offense that people could be targeted because there is certain enthusiasts that like to modify their cars and that would be a real shame. I would rather police spend their time working on real problems, real crimes, and not something that would be targeting individuals. Thank you."

Speaker Willis: "Seeing no further discussion, Representative West to close."

West: "I would appreciate an 'aye' vote. Thank you."

Speaker Willis: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 87 pass?'
All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 107 voting in 'favor', 7 voting 'against', 1 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On page 4 of the Calendar, Senate Bill 102, offered by Representative Rita. Out of the record. On page 4 of the Calendar, Senate Bill 109, offered by Representative Didech. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 109, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Willis: "Representative Didech is recognized."

Didech: "Thank you. This Bill amends the Authorized Electronic Monitoring and Long Term Care Facilities Act to allow
facilities that provide housing to individuals with dementia authorization to allow individuals or their guardian to install monitoring devices in their rooms. These facilities can be supportive living facilities or assisted living facilities which were not already authorized under the Act to allow electronic monitoring. This Bill will create another tool to protect some of the most vulnerable seniors in our community. I'm happy to answer any questions, and I would appreciate an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Willis: "Seeing no discussion, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 109 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Harper. Clerk, please take the roll. On the question, there are 116 voting in 'favor', 0 voting 'against', 0 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On page 4 of the Calendar, Senate Bill 117, offered by Representative Bennett. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 117, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Willis: "Representative Bennett is recognized."

Bennett: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. The idea came from McLean County, Unit School District #5. Basically, Senate Bill 117 amends the Illinois School Student Records Act. It provides that if the rights and privileges accorded to a parent under the Act have been transferred to a student, then a school must give reasonable prior notice to the same student before any school student record is destroyed or if any information
is deleted from that record. I thank you very much and I move for an 'aye' vote, please."

Speaker Willis: "Seeing no discussion, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 117 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 115 voting in 'favor', 0 voting 'against', 0 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Continuing on page 4 of the Calendar, Senate Bill 131, offered by Representative Spain. Out of the record. On page 5 of the Calendar, Senate Bill 156, offered by Representative Mayfield. Representative Mayfield, Senate Bill 156. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 156, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Willis: "Representative Mayfield."

Mayfield: "Thank you so much. This Bill would basically allow for the correctional facilities to provide approved websites for individuals who are getting ready to be released or within a few months of release so that they can start a job search early. We want them to be proactive and we want them to be responsible tax paying citizens. I ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Willis: "Representative Swanson, you are recognized."

Swanson: "Thank you, Madam Chair. This is an important Bill for our Life Skills Centers in Kewanee and other place. This is part of helping to get the prisoners additional outside access to actually put together resumes, do job hunting, and learn skills that you and I accept as an everyday task that they
may have been incarcerated for 20, 30, 40 years and have just learned how to turn on a computer and are not familiar with the websites at all. So, this is a great Bill. My Senator, Senator Weaver, introduced it. Thank you, Representative Mayfield, for picking this up because this is another great improvement for our Life Skill Centers and other sites. So, I would encourage an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Willis: "Representative Skillicorn, you are recognized."

Skillicorn: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. To the Bill. I'm going to encourage a 'yes' vote on this. Anything we can do to prevent people from being incarcerated again, anything we can do to prevent people from getting in trouble again, anything we can do to help people earn a great living, that's something we want to be for. So, please vote 'aye'."

Speaker Willis: "Seeing no further discussion, Representative Mayfield to close."

Mayfield: "I ask for an 'aye' vote. Thank you."

Speaker Willis: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 156 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 116 voting in 'favor', 0 voting 'against', 0 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Continuing on page 5, Senate Bill 161, offered by Representative Hoffman. Out of the record. Senate Bill 167, offered by Representative McCombie. Clerk, please read the Bill."
Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 167, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."
Speaker Willis: "Representative McCombie."
McCombie: "Senate Bill 167 is an initiative of the Illinois Dental Society making several clarifications and technical changes. One of those enhancing... or adding teledentistry to the list of definitions under the Act. I request an 'aye' vote, please."
Speaker Willis: "Seeing no discussion, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 167 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Cassidy. Crespo. Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 116 voting in 'favor', 0 voting 'against', 0 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Continuing on page 5, Senate Bill 172, offered by Representative Ortiz. Out of the record. Senate Bill 174, offered by Representative Conroy. Clerk, please read the Bill."
Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 174, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."
Speaker Willis: "Representative Conroy."
Conroy: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. This Bill codifies program requirements for in-office dental membership programs that allow patients to receive discounted services which are not currently regulated by the state. This Bill will go a long way in ensuring that patients can access affordable, consistent, and preventable dental care to reduce long term
costs. This Bill was unanimously supported in committee and I ask for a 'yes' vote."

Speaker Willis: "Seeing no discussion, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 174 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 116 voting in 'favor', 0 voting 'against', 0 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Continuing on page 5 of the Calendar, Senate Bill 181, offered by Representative Martwick. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 181, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Willis: "Representative Martwick."

Martwick: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. SB 181 makes a number of technical changes to the Code of Civil Procedure, including the four following provisions. Number one, renaming supplementary proceedings section as citation to discover assets and making conforming changes. Changing the time for a response to a garnishment summons from between 21 to 30 days to between 21 and 40 days, this giving the court more time to manage the call on this; and requires one copy of the interrogatories instead of four to be served; return for wage and nonwage garnishments; and requires that the Illinois Supreme Court Rule 105 be the rule of service followed when providing service of process for conditional judgements and service and returns of summons. I
know of no objections, be happy to answer any questions. I ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Willis: "Seeing no discussion, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 181 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 115 voting in 'favor', 0 voting 'against', 0 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Continuing on page 5, Senate Bill 182, offered by Representative Moeller. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 182, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Willis: "Representative Moeller is recognized."

Moeller: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Senate Bill 182 does two primary things. First, it directs the Department of Public Health to study the feasibility of creating a statewide electronic registry of advanced directives. And two, the Bill also requires... allows for electronic storage of documents regarding patient's end of life care decisions. As Members may recall or know, the state since 2000 has allowed for patients to provide forms to provide instructions on end of life care for seriously ill or those with life limiting illnesses. Basically, what this does is it brings the state into the 21st century, allows patients to keep these forms in electronic format so that they're more easily accessible to doctors and hospitals in the event of an emergency or when family members are not available or when there is a dispute between family members. This is an initiative from the
Illinois State Bar Association. And from what I understand, there is no opposition to the Bill. I would be happy to answer any questions you might have."

Speaker Willis: "Seeing no discussion, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 182 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Bristow. Grant. Ramirez. Tarver. Have all voted who wish? Bristow. Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 116 voting in 'favor', 0 voting 'opposed', 0 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Continuing on page 5 of the Calendar, Senate Bill 190, offered by Representative Carroll. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 190, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Willis: "Representative Carroll."

Carroll: "Thank you very much, Madam Speaker. SB190 requires the Director of Central Management Services, upon an agency's request of the open competitive eligible list to CMS, to also turn over successful disability opportunities program to eligible candidate list. Currently, there is no opposition to this Bill and I'm happy to answer any questions."

Speaker Willis: "Seeing no debate, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 190 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Edly-Allen. Mussman. Unes. Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 116 voting in 'favor', 0 voting 'against', 0 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed.
Majority, is hereby declared passed. Continuing on page 5, Senate Bill 191, offered by Representative Feigenholtz. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 191, a Bill for an Act concerning courts. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Willis: "Representative Feigenholtz."

Feigenholtz: "Thank you, Madam Chair. Senate Bill 191 is an initiative of the Cook County Public Guardian. It is actually two Bills combined into one that the House Adoption & Child Welfare Committee passed unanimously. It deals with... it ensures that cases of 19-year-olds don’t automatically close without a court hearing and that other factors are outlined. It also looks at wardships under the Juvenile Court Act to extend certain cases to the age of 21 before termination, depending on some conditions of those individuals. I'd appreciate an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Willis: "Seeing no discussion, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 191 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 116 voting in 'favor', 0 voting 'against', 0 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Continuing on on page 5, Senate Bill 191... I'm sorry, 193, offered by Representative Feigenholtz. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 193, a Bill for an Act concerning courts. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Willis: "Representative Feigenholtz is recognized."
Feigenholtz: "Thank you, Madam Chair. Senate Bill 193 is another work product from the Adoption & Child Welfare Committee. It specifically addresses some of the concerns and things that have been noted in recent cases that we've heard about in the news and in committee around children who are in care or involved in the system. It essentially builds accountability, vertical accountability, between the Department's Deputy of Child Protection, all the way down to investigators. So that... and I know right now the Governor's Office is looking very closely into some of these cases so that we can address these issues before bad things happen to our children. This second part removes any kind of incentives that are built into work or contracts that may encourage or discourage removal of a child or keeping a child in intact. We believe that these should be clean decisions made by our investigators, not colored by bonuses or incentives, and only deciding in the best interest of children and families. I'd appreciate an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Willis: "Representative Skillicorn is recognized."

Skillicorn: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Willis: "She indicates she will."

Skillicorn: "Hi there, Representative. Thanks for bringing this forward. Just want to get a little more detail of what it does here. I'm just quickly seeing... so this is linked with the previous Bill. Is that correct?"

Feigenholtz: "Well, no. This is... this Bill is a gut and replace. We put 193, the original Senate Bill 193, onto 191 and this is its own Bill that addresses child safety directly from some of the cases we've been reading about."
Skillicorn: "And from your experience, who's making the decision to remove a child from the home? Is it the investigator or is it like a bureaucratic level above the investigator themselves?"

Feigenholtz: "I believe that the investigator does the first... the investigator is the one that does the investigation. It is not a POS. I believe that in the 300 page regulation book that these cases are to be reviewed by supervisors and other people that oversee this work in these regions. I hope that answers your question."

Skillicorn: "I think it does. Is there... I mean, is there undue pressure on these... the people that are overseeing it that frankly isn’t helping the children?"

Feigenholtz: "You know, I think, Representative, you ask very probing and relevant questions. And I think that this Bill will help us get the answers to what you're asking. I wish I had the answers right now. But we're hoping that as we work through some of the issues in this agency that we can... we can make sure that there's accountability and that there are extra sets of eyes making judgements on whether or not these children are at risk and what other critical thinking needs to be done to make that decision."

Skillicorn: "Thank you, Representative."

Speaker Willis: "Seeing no further discussion, Representative Feigenholtz to close."

Feigenholtz: "I'd appreciate an 'aye' vote. Thank you."

Speaker Willis: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 193 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?
Have all voted who wish? Grant. McCombie. West. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 115 voting in 'favor', 0 voting 'against', 0 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Continuing on page 5 of the Calendar, Senate Bill 195, offered by Representative Williams. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 195, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Willis: "Representative Williams is recognized."

Williams, A.: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. This Bill is simply a cleanup Bill to an item we passed a few years ago which changes the Title Act. This Bill simply clarifies that independent escrowees can issue closing protection letters. And I'm happy to answer any questions."

Speaker Willis: "Seeing no discussion, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 195 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Davidsmeyer. Halbrook. Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this Bill... on this question, there are 115 voting in 'favor', 0 voting 'against', 0 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Continuing on page 5, Senate Bill 209, offered by Leader Davis. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 209, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Willis: "Leader Davis."
Davis: "Thank you very much, Madam Chair, Members of the House. Senate Bill 209 makes changes to the withdraw process for school districts who have entered into special education joint agreements. So, many of these are organizations, are agreements with various school districts. And if a school district decides they don’t want to be a part of it, it kind of upsets the applecart... or arbitrarily not want to be a part of it upsets the applecart. So, this legislation just simply outlines a process by which if a school wants to withdraw from the cooperative agreement, lays out the manner in which you should do so. I'd be more than happy to answer your questions."

Speaker Willis: "Seeing no discussion, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 209 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Grant. Hernandez. McDermed. Have all voted who wish? Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 116 voting in 'favor', 0 voting 'against', 0 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Continuing on page 5 of the Calendar, Senate Bill 211, offered by Representative Stuart. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 211, a Bill for an Act concerning finance. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Willis: "Representative Stuart is recognized."

Stuart: "Thank you very much. Senate Bill 211 is the same as House Bill 3398 that went through committee but I did not call for Third Reading on the floor. All it simply does is allow our state universities and colleges to enter into longer term
contracts and leases for energy savings. It'll allow an opportunity to get involved in solar and wind energy and other alternative sources like that."

Speaker Willis: "Representative Batinick is recognized."

Batinick: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Willis: "She indicates she will."

Batinick: "Hey, Representative, a couple quick questions. Do you know what the current lease maximum is?"

Stuart: "It's currently 15."

Batinick: "It's currently 15. And do you know if there was any other sort of... was there any sort of things universities want for other sort of lease extensions? I know there's been a lot of discussion about U of I wanted a dorm and wanted to change the Procurement Code to 25 years. Was that part of the discussion for this Bill or was this Bill strictly..."

Stuart: "This was just a discussion to me specifically with SIU and only asking specifically about these types of leases."

Batinick: "Okay. Thank you very much."

Speaker Willis: "Representative Skillicorn is recognized."

Skillicorn: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Willis: "She indicates she will."

Skillicorn: "Thank you, Representative. So, 25 years seems like a real long time. I mean that’s older... or longer than some of the lives that some these students have lived so far. So, I have to think we have to look in a perspective here. I'm assuming this is so they can lease, you know, solar panels or something like that. Is that correct?"

Stuart: "I'm sorry, I couldn't hear your question."

Skillicorn: "Sure. First off, it's a long period of time."
Stuart: "I heard that part."

Skillicorn: "Twenty five years, a lot of new students coming in are under 25 years old. So, I'm assuming that this lease extension is specifically for equipment like solar panels and items."

Stuart: "Yes, since they are warrantied typically for 25 years. Yes."

Skillicorn: "So, the only reason that I think of that is a lot of these solar companies love these long leases because they're the ones making the juice, the interest, for 25 years. Is it really fiscally responsible to change that from 15 to 25? Just because it's a lower payment, doesn't mean the overall cost has gone down. Actually, the overall cost probably goes skyward with these extra 10 years."

Stuart: "This will actually fix the price over that 25 year period so that it stays constant for the university instead of escalating."

Skillicorn: "To the Bill. The word that really scares me is the word fixed, because it's fixed. And I'm going to advocate a 'no' vote."

Speaker Willis: "Seeing no further discussion, Representative Stuart to close."

Stuart: "I would appreciate an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Willis: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 211 pass?'. All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Davidsmeyer. Gordon-Booth. Sosnowski. Ugaste. Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 108 voting in 'favor', 8 voting 'against', 0 voting
'present'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Continuing on page 5 of the Calendar, Senate Bill 241, offered by Representative Carroll. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 241, a Bill for an Act concerning health. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Willis: "Representative Carroll."

Carroll: "Thank you very much. Senate Bill 241 is a Bill that makes sure that, as we move forward, that animals will no longer be used in cosmetic testing. And so, this Bill passed out of the Senate unanimously and I ask for an 'aye' vote. And I'm happy to answer any questions. Thank you."

Speaker Willis: "Representative Batinick is recognized."

Batinick: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Willis: "He indicates he will."

Batinick: "You know, I know you were speaking pretty loud and clear but it got pretty noisy in the chamber, well they must not have been interested in your Bill. I was hoping we could get a little quiet and you could explain the Bill again."

Carroll: "Sure, no problem. So, this is a Bill that makes sure that starting in January 1, 2020, animals are no longer used in cosmetic testing. So, just moving forward, that we'll just make sure that there's other methods used to test cosmetics instead of on animals."

Batinick: "Are there opponents to the Bill?"

Carroll: "There's a few. I think the Chemical Industry of Illinois and the Illinois Manufacturers Association and the Personal Care Products Council."
Batinick: "So, let me ask you this. If this isn’t done at the federal level, does that just move to a different state? Are we actually... so, if you can't... if you can't use animals for cosmetic testing in Illinois, is that process just moved to a different state or are we actually doing something to curve it?"

Carroll: "Unfortunately, Sir, I'm in the Illinois House of Representatives, so I can't discuss what other states will and won't do with cosmetic testing. I can only discuss what we do in Illinois."

Batinick: "Right. I'm just... my point is... something that should be done at the federal level? We're actually just hurting ourselves in terms of job growth."

Carroll: "Well, my goal is to keep animals safe. So, as far as I'm concerned, I want to set the precedent here in Illinois and hopefully other states will follow it."

Batinick: "Okay. Just clarifying for my Members. Thank you."

Carroll: "No problem."

Speaker Willis: "Representative Ugaste."

Ugaste: "Thank you. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Willis: "He indicates he will."

Ugaste: "Was an agreement ever reached with or attempted to be reached with either of the opponents to this Bill? Are you aware?"

Carroll: "Well, they had contacted me, and I had contacted the Senate Sponsor, and I had expressed to the opponents to contact the Senate Sponsor. And if the Senate Sponsor would be okay with the change to the Bill, I would do that. Considering it passed unanimously out of the Senate, I was
wondering if they had contacted her first and then tried to do it here. So, I never heard back from the opponents on their efforts to contact the Senator, so I'm going to run the Bill as is."

Ugaste: "Okay. And as I recall though, part of their concern, wasn't it that testing that's already been done, under this Bill, the way it's worded, will no longer be able to be used even though it was done previously?"

Carroll: "I understand that's their concern as well, but like I said, I gave them the opportunity to work with the Senate Sponsor. And since nothing was agreed upon, I have decided to run the Bill."

Ugaste: "Okay. Thank you."

Carroll: "No problem."

Ugaste: "I understand the intent of the Bill but seeing as how it would prohibit testing that's already been completed from being used, I'm going to vote 'no'. Thank you."

Speaker Willis: "Representative Keicher."

Keicher: "Representative Carroll... will he yield?"

Speaker Willis: "He indicates he will."

Keicher: "Excellent. Representative, thank you for bringing this. I have a couple clarifying questions dealing largely around cancer treatments and the solutions that many cancer patients deal to become more normalized in their day to day. How would this impact those cancer treatments that people are using to more... feel more comfortable going out in the day to day world?"

Carroll: "Well, my understanding, this is just around cosmetics. I don't see anything in the language about testing for..."
Keicher: "But that definition of cosmetic... So, if they're engaging in whether it's coverings, or masks, or whatever it is to help hide the cancer that they're dealing with and getting treatments for, I have a concern that this is overly broad and we won't be able to find solutions for them."
Carroll: "I think that's a very fair concern and I don't have a direct answer for you on that but I'm certainly... I appreciate you bringing that to my attention."
Keicher: "Okay. Thank you."
Speaker Willis: "Seeing no further discussion, Representative Carroll to close."
Carroll: "I ask for an 'aye' vote."
Speaker Willis: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 241 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Batinick. Bennett. DeLuca. Keicher. Parkhurst. Reick. Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 85 voting in 'favor', 25 voting 'against', 1 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Continuing on page 6 of the Calendar, Senate Bill 399, offered by Representative Mussman. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
Clerk Hollman: "Senate Bill 399, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."
Speaker Willis: "Representative Mussman."
Mussman: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and Members of the House. Senate Bill 399... or 399 provides that during a child in custody proceeding, if a party states in the pleading or the affidavit, the disclosure of their address would pose a risk
of abuse or harm to them or their family members, the address may be emitted from the documents filed with the court, and that they are not required to include in the pleading or affidavit of a domestic violence safe house address or an address changed as the result of protective order. This passed out of the Senate and the committees unanimously. We would appreciate your support to keep our people safer."

Speaker Willis: "Seeing no discussion, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 399 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 116 voting in 'favor', 0 voting 'against', 0 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Continuing on page 6 of the Calendar, Senate Bill 447, offered by Representative Scherer. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Hollman: "Senate Bill 447, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Willis: "Representative Scherer is recognized."

Scherer: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Today, I'm presenting Senate Bill 447. It changes the title of the Act to Underserved Physician Workforce and it includes a definition for primary care as a general internist, family physician, or general pediatrician. And it also includes OB's, emergency room, and general surgeons. I'd appreciate an 'aye' vote and happy to answer any questions."

Speaker Willis: "Seeing no discussion, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 447 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed
vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Caulkins. Gabel. Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 116 voting in 'favor', 0 voting 'opposed', 0 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Continuing on page 6, Senate Bill 450, offered by Representative Ammons. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Hollman: "Senate Bill 450, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Willis: "Representative Ammons."

Ammons: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Senate Bill 450 allows people... adults who are returning to community college to receive community college credits for programs that they've already completed like medical assistance, welding, HVAC, and other related programs and allows the community colleges to offer credit for the course work that they've already taken towards their associate's degree. This is a good, not only jobs Bill, but it's a good educational request for those who want to go on and receive an associate's degree. And I urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Willis: "Seeing no discussion, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 450 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Cassidy. Greenwood. Hoffman. Cassidy. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 115 voting in 'favor', 0 voting 'against', 0 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed.
Continuing on page 6 of the Calendar, Senate Bill 455, offered by Representative Morgan. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Hollman: "Senate Bill 455, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Willis: "Mr. Morgan is recognized."

Morgan: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Senate Bill 455 is an extension of a law that we passed in a previous General Assembly creating something called Ashley's Law. You might remember Ashley's Law was created because of a young girl who was dealing with epilepsy and had regular seizures in her school until she started using medical cannabis. She sued in federal court so that her school district would allow her to use medical cannabis in school, which had been prohibited in the State Law. We fixed that last year in the General Assembly. And this law would allow people like Ashley, not just to use it in her school, but also in future schools without having to sue. Ashley is graduating into high school next year. So, this will allow students that are using medical cannabis legally and approved by this state, to use their medicine the way they use medicine for any other condition. So, I ask for your support and happy to answer any questions."

Speaker Willis: "Seeing no discussion, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 455 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Halbrook. Hammond. Reick. Unes. Have all voted who wish? Unes. Mr. Clerk, please take the roll. On this question, there are 112 voting in 'favor', 3 voting 'against', 0 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed.
Continuing on page 6, Senate Bill 482, offered by Leader Turner. Out of the record. Continuing on, Senate Bill 528, offered by Leader Hoffman. Out of the record. Senate Bill 529, offered by Representative Welch. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Hollman: "Senate Bill 529, a Bill for an Act concerning government. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Willis: "Representative Welch."

Welch: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Senate Bill 529 is an initiative of the University of Illinois. It exempts individuals employed by a state college or universities athletic department who are compensated from nonstate appropriated funds from the Government Severance Pay Act that went into effect on January 1. This was an oversight in last year's Bill. This is just a cleanup. Senate Bill 529 further provides that nothing entitles an individual employee by the university's athletic department to receive severance pay when that individual is dismissed for misconduct. I would ask for approval. I'm not aware of any opposition."

Speaker Willis: "Representative Keicher, you are recognized."

Keicher: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Willis: "He indicates he will."

Keicher: "Representative, can you confirm for me that Coach Lovie Smith's salary this year is approximately $4 million?"

Welch: "It's something in that ballpark."

Keicher: "Representative, can you confirm for me that Coach Smith is the highest paid public employee in the State of Illinois?"

Welch: "That sounds about right."

Keicher: "Are there any plans to terminate Coach Smith?"
Welch: "I believe he was just given a contract extension, so that would be to the contrary."

Keicher: "Can you help me understand, under this Bill, a circumstance where a coach, like Coach Smith, would need more than 20 weeks of severance?"

Welch: "Well, it's my understanding that this is nonstate funds. And this is about not the person that's leaving, it's about the person you might be trying to attract to come to the university to take the position. It keeps them competitive. You can compete with other universities in the Big Ten, other universities across the nation, in attracting the best coaches."

Keicher: "So, as we talked in committee a little bit..."

Welch: "I want to emphasize we're talking about..."

Keicher: "...I have a grave concern on this at the disparity between the men's and women's coaches in our various college athletics. As I do the calculation on Coach Smith's 20 weeks of severance, that would be about $1.6 million. Is that correct?"

Welch: "I'll trust your math."

Keicher: "Okay. Can you tell me the names of any other state employees who earn more than $1.6 million, the severance amount, that Coach Smith would be allowed under the current law?"

Welch: "I cannot."

Keicher: "Okay. Can you name the highest paid woman coach in the State of Illinois and what her salary is?"

Welch: "I cannot."
Keicher: "Okay. To the Bill. If any of you voted on the Bill last year that dealt with the severance packages that some of our college of DuPage and Northern Illinois University Presidents have gone through in their termination agreements, I don’t think that you can take a Bill 'yes' vote on this with any consistency. This Body passed a well-discussed and thought out Bill last year that limited termination benefits to 20 weeks of severance from our institutions of higher education. This Bill seeks to create an exemption from that that would reward bad hiring decisions by athletic directors at our various university colleges. We're in an environment today where in all but 11 states in this country, the highest paid public employee is an athletic coach. How can we condone awarding severance agreements in excess of five months of salary in this state? How many of you would get five months in the private sector if you were to terminate your agreement? I'm told, as the Representative had indicated, that there is a need to be competitive with other university hiring practices. But let's think through that for a minute. If you were to hire a coach to be a head coach, you're either taking him from another team or you're taking him from another position on the coaching staff where they are earning 100 to 250 thousand dollars a year. I find it hard to believe that that coach wouldn’t step up and accept a head coaching position for 600 to 4 million dollars. It’s just not plausible. We have a structure today that allows athletic directors to spend dollars whether tax revenue or from athletic funds with no accountability. This lack of accountability of coaches to win and athletics directors to
hide adequate coaches continues to exist and this would further allow that to continue to happen. It's a trend that people speak out with across this country, especially in light of the inequity across men's and women's athletic coaching fields. We have to start saying 'no' to this insanity. We have to start saying 'no' to the contracts that these sports agents write. And we have to start saying 'no' to the athletic directors who continue to spend good money after bad that can be used within their department to help their student athletes and their female coaches be paid more. We have to tell our taxpayers and our university contributors that, yes, we are protecting accountability here in Illinois. Yes, we're going to stand up and let them know that the crazy state salaries of these coaches are without accountability are done. All this at a time with a disparity in women's programs. Folks, I urge you to think about this. Stand for the student athletes. Stand for the kids who are allowing these universities to bring in this money. Stand for the taxpayer. And by God, stand for accountability in college athletics. Thank you. I urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Willis: "Representative Skillicorn is recognized."

Skillicorn: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. To the Bill. This is not about competitive hiring practices. This is not about attracting talent. You want to know why I know that? Because it uses the word severance. It's not about new employees, it’s about employees that are being let go. It's about employees that are being let go for do cause. So, this is about protecting bad actors. It's about protecting people that have taken advantage of their situation. It's about
protecting people that are not doing a very good job. So frankly, a 'yes' vote is for golden parachutes. A 'no' vote is for getting rid of golden parachutes. So, if you do not want golden parachutes, you vote 'no' to this Bill. Thank you."

Speaker Willis: "Representative Welch to close."

Welch: "This is not about golden parachutes. This is non-state funds, Big Ten revenue from marketing contracts, ticket receipts. This is not GRF money at all. This is about keeping the University of Illinois, and all of the university's that are listed here as proponents, competitive with other universities. Not one single state has a law that limits severance when it comes to their coaches. Not one state. If we don’t fix the law that we just put into place, we would be the only state that would do that. We would hamper ourselves from being able to recruit the best coaches. I got my purple on today, it's no secret I'm a Northwestern guy. But I'm trying to help U of I out today 'cause it's the right thing to do. They should be able to be competitive and attract who they want. And I think by, you know, supporting this Bill, you're saying we want the University of Illinois and all of our universities to compete with other colleges and universities across this state. I would ask for a 'yes' vote. Thank you, Madam Speaker."

Speaker Willis: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 529 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 73 voting in 'favor', 38 voting
'against', 1 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Continuing on page 6 of the Calendar, Senate Bill 556, offered by Representative Yingling. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Hollman: "Senate Bill 556, a Bill for an Act concerning health. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Willis: "Representative Yingling."

Yingling: "Thank you, Madam Chair. This Bill denotes that a single occupancy bathroom should have the sign that says word of restroom on it. There are no opponents, and I would appreciate an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Willis: "Representative Murphy is recognized."

Murphy: "Thank you, Madam Chair. Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Willis: "He indicates he will."

Murphy: "Yeah, I just want to clarify to make sure that the... it doesn't have to have any special signs, just a simple sign 'restroom' will suffice for this Bill?"

Yingling: "That's correct, Representative. I mean you could use crayon to do it."

Murphy: "I'm sorry, I didn't hear."

Yingling: "Yeah, you could use a crayon to do it."

Murphy: "Okay."

Yingling: "Yeah."

Murphy: "Thank you very much. That's all I needed."

Speaker Willis: "Representative Yingling to close."

Yingling: "I'd appreciate an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Willis: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 556 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?
Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On the question, there are 109 voting 'yes', 5 voting 'no', 0 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Continuing on page 6 of the Calendar, Senate Bill 656, offered by Representative Mah. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill.

Clerk Hollman: "Senate Bill 656, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Willis: "Representative Mah."

Mah: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. This Bill is routine legislation that extends the Perfusionist Practice Act sunset date to January 1, 2030. Perfusionists are healthcare professionals that operate the heart-lung machine during bypass surgery. This is a profession that we regulate in Illinois and the Bill simply extends the sunset date and includes several updates. I would appreciate an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Willis: "Representative Batinick is recognized."

Batinick: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Willis: "She indicates she will."

Batinick: "Representative, I caught it, but I'm not sure if everybody did 'cause of the noise in the chamber. What is a perfusionist?"

Mah: "Again, a perfusionist is a healthcare professional that operates the cardio pulmonary bypass machine during open heart surgery."

Batinick: "Sounds very important. Thank you."

Speaker Willis: "Seeing no further discussion, Representative Mah to close."

Mah: "I would appreciate an 'aye' vote."
Speaker Willis: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 656 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Demmer. Frese. Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 115 voting in 'favor', 0 voting 'against', 0 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Continuing on page 6, Senate Bill 725, offered by Representative Costa Howard. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Hollman: "Senate Bill 725, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Willis: Representative Costa Howard."

Costa Howard: "Thank you. Senate Bill 727 removes the sunset date of January 21, 2021, for the Open Operating Standards Act so it becomes permanent law. This is a transparency Bill and this was requested by our CMS and our tax departments. I ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Willis: "Representative Halbrook is recognized."

Halbrook: "Question of the Sponsor, please."

Speaker Willis: "Please proceed."

Halbrook: "Yeah, I just want to make sure that we are removing and we're just not extending, is that correct?"

Costa Howard: "That is correct."

Halbrook: "And the reason again why we are removing that is what?"

Costa Howard: "The reason we are removing it is because this has proven to be a very efficient system where by all of our agencies publish this information. It's basically a data portal on the ILGA website... I'm sorry, the Illinois..."
data.illinois.gov, excuse me. And it's an opportunity for all this information to be there and accessible to the public."
Halbrook: "All right. Thank you. No further questions."
Speaker Willis: "Representative Costa Howard to close."
Costa Howard: "I ask for an 'aye' vote."
Speaker Willis: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 725 pass?'
All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 116 voting in 'favor', 0 voting 'against', 0 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed.
Continuing on page 6 of the Calendar, Senate Bill 728, offered by Representative D'Amico. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
Clerk Hollman: "Senate Bill 728, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."
Speaker Willis: "Representative D'Amico."
D'Amico: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill 728, this Bill would allocate excess funds that are currently in the Roadside Memorial Marker Fund at the Illinois Department of Transportation to be used for impaired driving prevention and education programs around the state."
Speaker Willis: "Seeing no discussion, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 728 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Buckner. Evans. Tarver. Villanueva. Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 116 voting in 'favor', 0 voting 'against', 0 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received a
Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Continuing on page 6, Senate Bill 765, offered by Representative Batinick. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
Clerk Hollman: "Senate Bill 765, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."
Speaker Willis: "Representative Batinick."
Batinick: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. This Bill comes to us from Senator Manar for a quark of a situation in townships with less than 500 people and no incorporated village. If they can't find a highway commissioner, they can hire one from outside the township. I urge an 'aye' vote. Thank you."
Speaker Willis: "Representative Bourne is recognized."
Bourne: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. To the Bill. This impacts townships that I represent, and many in downstate Illinois represent. Sometimes it's difficult to find someone to fill this position. I think this is an important piece of legislation and I urge your 'aye' vote."
Speaker Willis: "Representative Carroll is recognized."
Carroll: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"
Speaker Willis: "He indicates he will."
Carroll: "Yeah, I have a couple of questions for you. I noticed in our analysis that the township officials of Illinois are opposed to this. Are they neutral on it or are they still opposed?"
Batinick: "They're opposed to it."
Carroll: "Okay. Can you explain their opposition, please?"
Batinick: "Because they'll be opposed to anything, any sort of way, that has anything to do with streamlining government in any way, shape or form."
Carroll: "I'm very glad that you said that because we agree on that. And I encourage an 'aye' vote. Thank you, Sir."

Speaker Willis: "Representative Batinick to close."

Batinick: "I urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Willis: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 765 pass?' All in favor please vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Davis. Edly-Allen. Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 112 voting in 'favor', 3 voting 'against', 0 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Continuing on page 6, Senate Bill 944, offered by Representative Grant. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Hollman: "Senate Bill 944, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Willis: "Representative Grant."

Grant: "Thank you, Madam Chair... or excuse me, Madam Speaker. All right. Anyhow, Senate Bill 944 makes both the disabled veteran's license plate and the ISERVE license plate available to motorcycles. I ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Willis: "Seeing no discussion, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 944 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Davis. Barbara Hernandez. Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 115 voting in 'favor', 0 voting 'against', 0 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed."
Continuing on page 7, Senate Bill 946, offered by Representative Davidsmeyer. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill.

Clerk Hollman: "Senate Bill 946, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Willis: "Representative Davidsmeyer."

Davidsmeyer: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. This Bill creates the pediatric cancer license plate. I want to tell you about a friend of mine, actually friends of mine, the Wade family down in Jerseyville. Little Johnny Wade was diagnosed with cancer and passed away and it has become his mom's life work, his family's life work, his twin brother's life work, to try to make sure nobody... no kids ever have cancer again. And this will help provide funds for that. People can decide to purchase this license plate from the Secretary of State. I'd appreciate your 'aye' vote."

Speaker Willis: "Seeing no discussion, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 946 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye'; all those opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 116 voting in 'favor', 0 voting 'against', 0 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Continuing on page 7, Senate Bill 1007, offered by Representative Butler. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1041, offered by Representative Costa Howard. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Hollman: "Senate Bill 1041, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Willis: "Representative Costa Howard."
Costa Howard: "Thank you. Senate Bill 1041 is the companion to my House Bill 3426 regarding airport authorities. This applies to making sure that our... the State's Attorney Office can file a judgement... seek a judgement against the individuals who have failed to pay their property taxes. I ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Willis: "Representative Batinick you are recognized."

Batinick: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Willis: "She indicates she will."

Batinick: "Representative, we have in our notes that you were holding the Bill until an Amendment came to the floor?"

Costa Howard: "Yes, Representative, I was. However, I had worked it out with the Senate Sponsor and the Amendment is not needed."

Batinick: "Okay. Was that an agreement in committee with the committee or with the Senate?"

Costa Howard: "I apologize. I went... I never filed the Amendment on that. I felt it wasn’t needed. I worked with the Senate and I discussed it with my staff, so we did not file an Amendment."

Batinick: "Right. I guess... yeah, I'm confused here. My understanding is usually when you're holding the Bill for the Amendment, it gets out of committee because the committee Members think you're going to file an Amendment. Whether you do it or not, has that been worked out with the 15 committee Members?"

Costa Howard: "Representative Batinick, if you would like me to send it back to the committee, we can ask but it was... it did not get filed. Adding the language... I didn’t file it."
Batinick: "Okay. I stalled long enough to find out that I've been
told that it's fine. So, I just needed to know that answer.
Thank you very much."
Costa Howard: "Not a problem. Thank you."
Speaker Willis: Representative Costa Howard to close."
Costa Howard: "I ask for an 'aye' vote."
Speaker Willis: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 1041 pass?'
All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Davidsmeyer. Manley. Sosnowski. Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 111 voting 'yes', 4 voting 'no', 0 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Continuing on page 7, Senate Bill 1055, offered by Representative Severin. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
Clerk Hollman: "Senate Bill 1055, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."
Speaker Willis: "Representative Severin."
Severin: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. This amends the Illinois Property Tax Code by removing the Department of Natural Resources from the certification process when a non-profit water treatment facility applies for the Department of Revenue for a specific property assessment relief. I ask for an 'aye' vote. Thank you."
Speaker Willis: "Seeing no discussion, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 1055 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Buckner. Guzzardi. Villanueva. Have all voted who wish? Have
all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 115 voting in 'favor', 0 voting 'against', 0 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Continuing on page 7, Senate Bill 1090, offered by Representative Keicher. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Hollman: "Senate Bill 1090, a Bill for an Act concerning government. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Willis: "Representative Keicher."

Keicher: "Thank you. This amends the Environmental Barriers Act and requires the Attorney General, beginning the middle of next year, to provide data on their websites about annual enforcement efforts performed under the Disabilities Act."

Speaker Willis: "Seeing no discussion, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 1090 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all against... opposed please vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Yingling. Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 116 voting in 'favor', 0 voting 'against', 0 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Continuing on page 7, Senate Bill 1114, offered by Representative Yingling. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Hollman: "Senate Bill 1114, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Willis: "Representative Yingling."

Yingling: "Thank you, Madam Chair. This Bill sets up an expedited timeline for counties to remove hazardous materials for
properties. It goes through the court system. I'm happy to answer any questions. There is no opposition."

Speaker Willis: "Seeing no discussion, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 1114 pass?' All those in favor vote 'yes'; all those against vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 114 voting in 'favor', 0 voting 'against', 0 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Continuing on page 7, Senate Bill 1116, offered by Representative Feigenholtz. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1133, offered by Representative Mah. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Hollman: "Senate Bill 1133, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Willis: "Representative Mah."

Mah: "Thank you. This Bill simply provides some clean up language to the Acupuncture Practice Act renewal which we passed a couple of years ago. The Bill clarifies exactly what would... what requirements would allow someone to hold themselves out as being trained in Chinese herbology. There is no opposition. I ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Willis: "Seeing no discussion, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 1133 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 116 voting in 'favor', 0 voting 'against', 0 voting 'present'. The Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared
passed. Senate Bill 1135, offered by Representative Feigenholtz. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1136, offered by Representative Carroll. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Hollman: "Senate Bill 1136, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Willis: "Representative Carroll."

Carroll: "Thank you so much. This Bill... excuse me, one second. This Bill abolishes the Charter School commission and transfers authority to the state board... sorry wrong Bill. Sorry. Wrong... Hold on."

Speaker Willis: "Take your time, Representative."

Carroll: "I'm sorry. Okay. SB1136 requires two things. It requires the CMS to conduct an annual presentation on navigating the state's programs for hiring disabled people and each state agency to designate at least one person to attend that presentation. I know of no opposition and I ask for a 'yes' vote. And I promise this is the right Bill this time."

Speaker Willis: "Representative Halbrook is recognized."

Halbrook: "Yeah, question of the Sponsor, please."

Speaker Willis: "Please proceed."

Halbrook: "Yeah, I think we discussed this in committee. I just wanted to make sure for the Body that they were aware of the intent and kind of... is there any cost to the agency or to the taxpayers for this?"

Carroll: "Hold on one second. None that I can find."

Halbrook: "Okay. Say that again."

Carroll: "I said none that I... none that I see in our analysis."

Halbrook: "Okay. And is there additional hiring that will have to be done to administer this program?"
Carroll: "No, it's with current employees within the program."
Halbrook: "All right. Thank you, Sir. No further questions."
Carroll: "Thank you."
Speaker Willis: "Seeing no further discussion, Representative Carroll to close."
Carroll: "I appreciate I gave the chamber a good laugh and in response I'd ask for an 'aye' vote. Thank you."
Speaker Willis: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 1136 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 116 voting in 'favor', 0 voted 'against', 0 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Continuing on page 7 of the Calendar, Senate Bill 1149, offered by Representative Costa Howard. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
Clerk Hollman: "Senate Bill 1149, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."
Speaker Willis: "Representative Costa Howard."
Costa Howard: "Thank you. Senate Bill 1149 is a cleanup Bill from last year. It is an initiative from the Illinois Library Association. Last year, House Bill 2222 passed unanimously through this Body. I ask for an 'aye' vote."
Speaker Willis: "Seeing no discussion, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 1149 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Crespo. Davis. Stuart. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are
116 voting in 'favor', 0 voting 'against', 0 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Continuing on page 7, Senate Bill 1165, offered by Representative Gabel. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Hollman: "Senate Bill 1165, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Willis: "Representative Gabel."

Gabel: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. This Bill just extends the due date by which the taskforce report is due. It also adds a section that the taskforce must engage in extensive planning and data collection. It's supported by the Community Behavioral Healthcare Association and there are no opponents."

Speaker Willis: "Seeing no discussion, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 1165 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Buckner. Grant. Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 116 voting in 'favor', 0 voting 'against', 0 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Continuing on page 7, Senate Bill 1185, offered by Representative Connor. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill. Sorry, 1183."

Clerk Hollman: "Senate Bill 1183, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Willis: "Representative Connor."

Connor: "Thank you, Madam Chair, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 1183 is in an initiative of the Police
Memorial Committee to add the authority to give grants in addition to scholarships to family members of fallen officers and to include their spouses as being eligible for grants and scholarships as well. I know of no opposition. I'd ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Willis: "Seeing no discussion, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 1183 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye'; all those opposed 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Sommer. Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 116 voting in 'favor', 0 voting 'against', 0 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On to page 8 of the Calendar, Senate Bill 1191, Leader Davis. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 1191, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Willis: "Leader Davis."

Davis: "Excuse me. Thank you very much, Madam Chair, Members of the committee. Senate Bill 1191 says that when a court grants someone a judgement for dissolution of marriage or declaration of invalidity of marriage, that that person may resume using their former name without publishing a notice in the local newspaper to help provide some protections, eliminate some embarrassment depending on the individual. I'd be more than happy to answer any additional questions and I ask for a 'yes' vote."

Speaker Willis: "Representative Batinick is recognized."

Batinick: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"
Speaker Willis: "He indicates he will."

Batinick: "I think you... did you say safety? What was the impotence of this Bill? I feel like I know what the purpose was but if you could expand on that a little bit."

Davis: "Well, it is a Sponsor initiative, relative to an individual who had a relatively high profile position, who would have preferred that upon the dissolution of her marriage to not have to have her name published in the newspaper to expose some personal... you know, that personal issue to the general public."

Batinick: "So, what is... what is the process... is the process now just newspaper?"

Davis: "I'm going to say, yes, because the information I have from the Senate just says published in a local newspaper. So, I'm going to say, yes, newspaper is currently what it is."

Batinick: "Okay. So, basically, if somebody is changing their name back to their maiden name, they just don’t want..."

Davis: "You don’t have to..."

Batinick: "...the embarrassment or is it a safety issue of putting it in the newspaper?"

Davis: "Well, I guess in some ways it could be both, depending on the individual. But it kind of speaks broadly to those things."

Batinick: "Okay. And right now, it’s just... all we're removing is that they have to put it in the newspaper, correct?"

Davis: "Correct."

Batinick: "Okay. Thank you very much."

Speaker Willis: "Seeing no further discussion, Representative Davis to close."
Davis: "I ask for a 'yes' vote."

Speaker Willis: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 1191 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Caulkins. Chesney. Hammond. Severin. Sommer. Unes. Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 102 voting in 'favor', 12 voting 'against', 0 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On page 8 of the Calendar, Senate Bill 1217, offered by Representative Pappas. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 1217, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Willis: "Representative Pappas."

Pappas: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Senate Bill 1217 is a companion Bill to House Bill 3198 that I ran earlier this year and we passed out of the House. It would allow non-Home Rule municipalities in DuPage County to use up to 25 percent of the municipal tax on hotel occupancy for capital improvement and economic development projects. I ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Willis: "Representative Batinick, you are recognized."

Batinick: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Willis: "Indicates she will."

Batinick: "I just wanted to... so this language is identical to the Bill that passed... looks like it had 95 to 15 votes for HB3198. Is that correct?"

Pappas: "That's correct."
Batinick: "So, if our Members wanted to find out how they voted previously on this legislation House Bill... what was the Bill again?"

Pappas: "HB3198."

Batinick: "3198. You can go to ILGA, see HB3198, look at your vote, and do the same thing. What happened to the Bill in the Senate, Representative?"

Pappas: "This Bill passed out of the Senate first so we're running the Bill in this chamber. They just won the race."

Batinick: "Okay. And basically, what you're doing here is you're allowing some of the proceeds from the hotel tax to be used for economic and capital infrastructure, correct?"

Pappas: "Correct."

Batinick: "And that does not allow that at all now? And this is just for DuPage County or what municipalities will get this?"

Pappas: "This is just for DuPage County and it's only for non-Home Rule municipalities. Home Rule municipalities already have the ability to use 100 percent of the hotel occupancy tax for these purposes. Non-Home Rule municipalities do not, so we are allowing them to use up to 25 percent of the revenue. And it does have a sunset date of January 1, 2023."

Batinick: "Okay, thank you. And once again, it was House Bill 3198 if Members want to see how they voted previously."

Pappas: "Correct."

Batinick: "Thank you."

Speaker Willis: "Representative Pappas to close."

Pappas: "I ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Willis: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 1217 pass?'
All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed 'nay'. The voting is
open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Guzzardi. Sommer. Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 98 voting in 'favor', 17 voting 'against', 0 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Continuing on page 8, Senate Bill 1226, offered by Representative Welch. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 1226, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Willis: "Representative Welch."

Welch: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Senate Bill 1226 is similar to House Bill 2100 which we passed out of here last month. However, this Bill differs in that it includes language that was requested by ISBE that would specifically allow them chargeback language that the Charter Commission currently has. Other than that, there is no difference to the Bill. This was a heavily negotiated Bill, as I previously stated, when we were discussing HB2100. This Bill has the support of IEA, IFT, CTU, and several other organizations. You will notice that INCs is not listed in opposition to this Bill. I'm not aware of any opposition to the Bill. This Bill does abolish the Charter Commission and places most of their responsibilities with the Illinois State Board of Education. It creates a route of appeal for those existing charters. However, if you are a new applicant and you go before a local board, your route would be into court for judicial review. I think this was a good result of negotiations. And I would ask for approval of Senate Bill 1226."

Speaker Willis: "Representative Batinick, you're recognized."
Batinick: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"
Speaker Willis: "He indicates he will."
Batinick: "I'm going to do the same thing I did on the last Bill, Representative. So, it was House Bill 2100 if Members want to look at how they voted previously, you know, to expedite things a little bit. Two things, but the first part is, can you focus on what got changed specifically between your Bill and the Bill that came over from the Senate?"
Welch: "There's language in the Bill that references chargeback authority. The Charter Commission, when they process an application, they can charge the school district. We wanted to make sure ISBE had that same chargeback authority. That language is in this Bill."
Batinick: "Okay. And then, you know, our... we've got several opponents. We've got Noble Network of Charter Schools, Illinois Network of Charter Schools, Illinois State Charter School Commission. Are those opponents not listed?"
Welch: "I don't have any opposition listed on mine. Maybe I'm reading this wrong."
Batinick: "Okay. And what is the... I guess, what is the purpose of what we are trying to do here? I know we're going to do a short version of relitigating what we litigated a couple months ago, but why do you think we need... why do you think we need to do this?"
Welch: "Well, I think we need to abolish an unnecessary layer of government, bureaucracy. We don't need the Charter Commission. There's no confidence in the Charter Commission. I think one of the things that we discovered during the negotiation process is that parties on both sides had a
respect for the State Board of Education. So, they were the logical entity to carry out the duties."

Batinick: "Okay. I'm going to go ahead and go directly to the Bill. I think one of the things we're heard is that we elect school boards and the local school boards should be dealing with this. We don't elect a school board in Chicago yet, that hasn't happened yet. Most importantly, you know, charter schools will close on their own if they're not good because people choose not to go there. And I don't think it's necessary to get rid of the Charter School Commission. Once again, it's House Bill 2100 if everybody wants to look at how they voted previously. I thank you for your time, Representative."

Speaker Willis: "Representative Kalish is recognized."

Kalish: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. To the Bill. I just want to give the same remarks that I gave to the last Bill, to HB2100. Representative Welch showed his leadership in how to negotiate a very difficult piece of legislation that has been discussed over an eight-year period of time. He brought all the stakeholders to the table. He made sure that everyone's concerns were heard and even agreeing to make this Amendment. I think this is the 5th Amendment on this piece of legislation. Bringing each time back to committee, making sure that each time all sides were okay with it. I urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Willis: "Leader Durkin is recognized."

Durkin: "Thank you. To the Bill. Over the past many years, I have been in the middle of this scrum between INCS and also the IEA on this issue, and we've had a lot of very healthy back
and forth with the Representative. It's a new dynamic in Springfield right now and I just want to say that the attitudes have changed a little bit. Within the last few days, there has been an agreement between the Charter School Network and also the IEA that's been memorialized and a memorandum of understanding, which has taken their objection away from the Bill. They're neutral, they’re not supportive of it. But I will say that we're in a place where there's non-aggression pact that we have to deal with and that there is an understanding of each one of what their lane is between the IEA and the charter schools for at least another couple years. So, the INCS objections have been put to the side. Let's hope that we can allow this process to take place. And, Representative Welch, I would think that the resolution that you might have is kind of moot at this point, 'cause I think that may... I would ask that if you'd consider that. We don’t need to go down that road. It's not a question I'm asking for you to respond. But there has been good faith, something that we need to do more of here, to bring parties together to solve their differences. And in this case they have. Now, I'm a charter school purist. I don’t support the Bill, but I know a lot of my Members over here, I think that they should realize that the battles in the past are no longer here in the chamber today. Thank you."

Speaker Willis: "Representative Welch to close."

Welch: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Thank you, Leader Durkin, for your leadership and help on this issue. This is a culmination of several years of hard work. You know, it wasn’t easy getting here, but we're here. I think we got the parties in
the room, closed the door, threw away the key, and we actually got it done. It's not a perfect Bill. No one's completely happy, and that's why I think it's a good Bill. I would ask for support of Senate Bill 1226 and let's abolish the Charter Commission."

Speaker Willis: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 1226 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Moeller. Tarver. Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 84 voting in 'favor', 29 voting 'against', 0 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Continuing on page 8, Senate Bill 1239, offered by Representative Gabel. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 1239, a Bill for an Act concerning children. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Willis: "Representative Gabel."

Gabel: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. This Bill requires the Department of Children and Family Services to immediately refer reports to the appropriate local law enforcement when they are... when they're considered of a criminal investigation and the case is not in the purview of DCFS. So, DCFS only looks into cases where it's a parent... a family... a parent, or a member of the child's immediate family, or person responsible for the child welfare. So, if it's an uncle or a neighbor, they don't look into it, but we want to make sure that they refer the case to the local police."

Speaker Willis: "Representative Batinick is recognized."
Batinick: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"
Speaker Willis: "She indicates she will."
Batinick: "Representative, this... it looks like this Bill came out unanimous out of committee. This is not another similar Bill that's a little bit more controversial, that was not unanimous in committee mandated reporting, I believe."
Gabel: "Correct. Correct."
Batinick: "Okay. This was the unanimous Senate, unanimous committee Bill."
Gabel: "Yeah, no. The other controversial Bill is not up."
Batinick: "Okay, unanimous. Thank you."
Gabel: "Yes."
Speaker Willis: "Representative Flowers is recognized."
Flowers: "Yes, will the Lady yield?"
Speaker Willis: "She indicates she will."
Flowers: "So, Representative, you're referring a family that's been charged and found guilty of abuse or alleged?"
Gabel: "Just for investigation."
Flowers: "So, I think my problem with this is... what... how is 'abuse' defined?"
Gabel: "So, this is what happens... and this Bill was brought to me by the... or brought to the Senate by the Illinois State Medical Society. So, a doctor would think that there might be a case of abuse, he would call the hotline, and the hotline would say, 'Oh well, we don’t know if this abuse is by a family member. We think not, so we're not going to investigate.' Because DCFS only investigates cases when it is an immediate family member. So, these cases would get dropped and the State... and doctors felt like they were being ignored and that
these children... if DCFS isn’t going to do the investigation, somebody should do the investigation."

Flowers: "Well, I think my problem with what this Bill is doing, Representative, because you are alleging that something wrong has happened. So, number one, you mentioned the hotline. The hot... It's been found that DCFS doesn’t monitor nor do they answer the calls for the hotline. And these are not criminal cases because... and now you're putting a family in contact with the police who ordinarily should not be there. The family could be in need of help, not necessarily a criminal investigation. And what are they investigating on?"

Gabel: "So, in this case, it’s not the family that's investigated. If it were the family, then DCFS would do it. It is like a teacher or a neighbor or somebody else."

Flowers: "So, who's identifying the someone else because... I'm sorry. I didn’t get a chance to..."

Gabel: "The person who made the phone call. The mandated reporter..."

Flowers: "The mandated..."

Gabel: "...or somebody who called."

Flowers: "So, the doctor called the..."

Gabel: "Hotline."

Flowers: "...the hotline and the hotline did or did not answer?"

Gabel: "The hotline answered..."

Flowers: "This time."

Gabel: "...and they said, 'Oh, so the child told you that the neighbor is doing this to him. We don’t investigate... we don’t investigate cases that are not the immediate family."

Flowers: "Okay. And so then the police..."
Gabel: "But we're saying that then DCFS should then... if they're not going to investigate, they should refer the case to the local police so they can investigate."

Flowers: "This is just a referral?"

Gabel: "Correct."

Flowers: "And I think what my biggest concern is that we are... there is no evidence, necessarily, and there is an alleged allegation and now someone is calling the police on a neighbor or teacher when there has not been any type of investigation other than..."

Gabel: "It's to do the investigation."

Flowers: "All right. Well, thank you very much. I appreciate you answering the questions."

Gabel: "You're welcome."

Speaker Willis: "Representative Gabel to close."

Gabel: "Thank you. I think this is necessary legislation. It will help protect our children and provide for a result when a mandated reporter actually calls the hotline. I appreciate an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Willis: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 1239 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 116 voting in 'favor', 0 voting 'against', 0 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Continuing on page 8, Senate Bill 1244, offered by Representative Greenwood. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 1244, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Willis: "Representative Greenwood."

Greenwood: "Thank you, Madam Chair and Members of the General Assembly. Senate Bill 1244 increases the amount of money that can be paid by the Illinois VA to the families of veteran's and to cemetery associations from $100 to $125."

Speaker Willis: "Seeing no debate, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 1244... I'm... Representative Wehrli, did you have a question on the Bill?"

Wehrli: "Yes, will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Willis: "She indicates she will."

Wehrli: "So, it's my understanding on a Bill that we just passed earlier today that this fund actually gets swept of excess funds. So, if we're going to do this, how are we going to pay for it? House Bill 728 just swept money out of this fund for DUI initiatives, I believe. Is that correct? So, now I'm wondering how we're going to pay for this."

Greenwood: "What Bill are you referring to?"

Wehrli: "House... Senate Bill 728 just took the balance of this fund and swept it for DUI initiatives. And now, we're doing this but I'm wondering where the money comes from."

Greenwood: "I don't know about... it's a different fund from what I'm told. So..."

Wehrli: "So, maybe we can pull this from the record until we figure this one out. Because we just passed the Senate Bill that sweeps the funds, and now we're adding an expense to this. We're just wondering where the dollars come from."
Greenwood: "No, I believe the Senate Bill you're referring to is sweeping road funds... road funding. Road funds."

Wehrli: "So, our analysis is saying that... DVA is saying that these... this is coming from the same fund, the fund that we just swept under the previous Senate Bill that I'm mentioning. So, if we could perhaps pull this from the record and let us at least figure out if that is 100 percent accurate and run this Bill in a couple of minutes."

Speaker Willis: "Representative, do you want us to come back to you while you clarify that?"

Greenwood: "Yes, thank you."

Speaker Willis: "We'll come back to you shortly."

Wehrli: "Thank you."

Speaker Willis: "Let's continue on. Senate Bill 1250, offered by Representative Villa. Out of the record. Oh, I'm sorry. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 1250, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Willis: "Representative Villa."

Villa: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and Members of the House. This Bill, basically, is just allowing for students to self-administer medication if their parents and doctors have agreed to do so. It's for the... for medication that's covered under the legislation. I'll answer any questions and encourage an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Willis: "Seeing no discussion, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 1250 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Lilly. McDermed. Wehrli. Have all voted"
who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 115 voting in 'favor', 0 voting 'against', 0 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Continuing on, on page 8, Senate Bill 1256, offered by Representative Hurley. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 1256, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Willis: "Representative Hurley."

Hurley: "Thank you, Leader... Madam Speaker, Leader. Senate Bill 1256, it simply amends the Vehicle Code by prohibiting diesel powered vehicles that weigh more than 8,000 pounds from idling more than 10 minutes in a 60 minute period if the vehicle was in 200 feet of a residential area. I'm here for questions and appreciate an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Willis: "Seeing no discussion, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 1256 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye'; all those opposed 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Chesney. McDermed. Morrison. Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 96 voting in 'favor', 19 voting 'against', 0 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Continuing on the order of Hurley, Senate Bill 1258, Representative Hurley. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 1258, a Bill for an Act concerning health. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Willis: "Representative Hurley."
Hurley: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. This is the same Bill as House Bill 2222, where we passed it unanimously in the House. It helps combat the opioid crisis and assist the Chicago Fire Department and EMS with real time reporting and direct services to where they're needed. I'm here for any questions and would appreciate your support."

Speaker Willis: "Seeing no discussion, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 1258 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye'; all those opposed 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 115 voting in 'favor', 0 voting 'against', 0 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Continuing on page 8, Senate Bill 1265, offered by Representative Martwick. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 1265, a Bill for an Act concerning public employee benefits. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Willis: "Representative Martwick."

Martwick: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 1265 is the State University Retirement System's annual technical correction Bills. It includes three minor technical corrections which do not impact member benefits. There is no opposition. I ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Willis: "Seeing no discussion... I'm sorry. Representative Batinick, did you have a question?"

Batinick: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Willis: "He indicates he will."
Batinick: "It was a little bit unusual this time, Representative Martwick, you did a very short introduction to your Bill. What... I believe you said, I couldn’t hear it over the noise, there is no benefit increases?"
Martwick: "No, there is no impact to member benefits."
Batinick: "And there is no increase cost to the state?"
Martwick: "There is no cost. This is simply cleaning up... changing... cleaning up some definitions."
Batinick: "Okay. Thank you very much."
Martwick: "Thank you."
Speaker Willis: "Representative Skillicorn, you're okay? Okay. Therefore, Representative Martwick to close."
Martwick: "I ask for an 'aye' vote."
Speaker Willis: "Question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 1265 pass?'; All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? D'Amico. Gordon-Booth. Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 116 voting in 'favor', 0 voting 'against', 0 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Continuing onto the order of Martwick, Senate Bill 1273, offered by Representative Martwick. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 1273, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."
Speaker Willis: "Representative Martwick."
Martwick: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 1273 is an initiative of the Illinois Association of Park Districts. It allows park districts to
approve a sale of land from a unit of local government or a school district if four-fifths of the park district board approve the sale; the sales price is not less than the fair market value as determined by three certified appraisals. It’s intended to protect park district taxpayers if there's a proposed sale of park district land to another unit or school district in which those taxpayers do not reside. I'll be happy to answer any questions. I ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Willis: "Seeing no discussion, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 1273 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Burke. Lisa Hernandez. Moeller. Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 115 voting in 'favor', 0 voting 'against', 0 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Continuing on page 8, Senate Bill 1289, offered by Representative Kifowit. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 1289, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Willis: "Representative Kifowit."

Kifowit: "Thank you, Madam Chair. Senate Bill 1289 is an initiative of the Office of the State Treasurer. It expands the Treasurer's current investment authority in an effort to enhance and diversify its investment portfolio."

Speaker Willis: "Representative Batinick is recognized."

Batinick: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Willis: "She indicates she will."
Batinick: "Could you just explain how it helps the Treasurer diversify their investment? What exactly are they doing? So, we're giving them more authority, but what authority are we giving them?"

Kifowit: "Correct. What they're going to do is, in diversifying, they'll allow to purchase county or municipal bonds at, below, or above the face value, invest up to five percent from three administrative funds into stocks of large corporation partnerships, LLCs except..."

Batinick: "Representative, I didn't mean to cut you off. I have a conversation right in front of me. I'm going to ask them to move so I can... so you can start over so I could hear you. I apologize. I think we're good."

Kifowit: "Are you good now?"

Batinick: "No, I wanted to hear what you had to say but I couldn't hear what you were saying."

Kifowit: "Are they..."

Batinick: "They're quite enough to where I can hear."

Kifowit: "They're quiet now? Do you want me to start all the way from the beginning?"

Batinick: "Please."

Kifowit: "Very good. So, it will allow the Treasurer to purchase county or municipal bonds at, below, or above face value, invest up to five percent, estimated about 400 thousand total, from three administrative funds in stocks of large corporations, partnerships, and LLCs except investment-grade corporate bonds from large corporations in LLCs as collateral for deposits, expand its authority to invest in highly rated corporate bonds with maturity dates between 270 days and 10
years, invest in prime money market funds, hold state security's in banks across the country instead of the current limitation 2 banks in Illinois or New York."
Batinick: "Thank you for that. No further questions."
Speaker Willis: "Representative Kifowit to close."
Kifowit: "I ask for your support."
Speaker Willis: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 1289 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On the question, there are 114 voting in 'favor', 1 voting 'against', 0 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Continuing on page 8, Senate Bill 1290, offered by Representative Mah. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1291, offered by Representative Demmer. Clerk, please read the Bill."
Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 1291, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."
Speaker Willis: "Representative Demmer."
Demmer: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Senate Bill 1291 is in an initiative of the State Dental Society that would allow a dentist to practice in an ambulatory surgical center if they have admitting privileges at a hospital or they have a written agreement with a physician who does have admitting privileges at that hospital. I know of no opposition and ask for your support."
Speaker Willis: "Seeing no debate, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 1291 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye'; all those
opposed 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On the question, there are 116 voting in 'favor', 0 voting 'against', 0 voting 'present'. Having received the Constitutional Majority, this Bill is hereby declared passed. On page 8, Senate Bill 1294, offered by Representative Costa Howard. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 1294, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Willis: "Representative Costa Howard."

Costa Howard: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Senate Bill 1294 replaces the personal identification information... excuse me. Senate Bill 1294 amends the Criminal Code to make sure that the... we replace the term 'personal identification information' with 'personal identifying information'. This Bill simply standardizes the language throughout the entire statute. I ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Willis: "Seeing no discussion, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 1294 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 116 voting in 'favor', 0 voting 'against', 0 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Continuing on page 8, Senate Bill 1319, by Representative Mah. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 1319, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."
Speaker Willis: "Representative Mah."

Mah: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. SB1319 is a Bill that advances equity for LGBTQ older adults in Illinois. It adds protections from discrimination in assisted living facilities. The Bill passed unanimously in the Senate and in committee. I ask for your 'aye' vote."

Speaker Willis: "Representative Batinick is recognized."

Batinick: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Willis: "She indicates she will."

Batinick: "Representative, just clearly what is specifically done to achieve the goals that you just outlined in your Bill introduction?"

Mah: "So, the Bill does two simple things. It designates LGBTQ older adults and older adults living with HIV as a target population in aging programs."

Batinick: "As a what population?"

Mah: "As a target population. So, it..."

Batinick: "Okay."

Mah: "...enables them to be... for others to be educated about their needs and highlights service providers the importance of including these groups in planning and programming. And this is through planning and programming funded through the Federal Older Americans Act. It also provides for... it offers some changes in the language of the... sorry... the Nursing Home Care Act with language that protects LGBTQ older adults."

Batinick: "Okay, just want to follow up. How does it protect them?"

Mah: "It adds this group as a protected category."
Batinick: "Okay. So, it allows them to be targeted in a certain way with marketing and activities and stuff, and then a protected category. That's essentially the two things it does, correct?"

Mah: "Yes. Thank you."

Batinick: "Okay. Thank you."

Speaker Willis: "Representative Morrison is recognized."

Morrison: "Thank you, Madam Chair. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Willis: "She indicates she will."

Morrison: "So, I guess one potential question would be, some of these facilities have residents living in the same immediate proximity. Is that correct?"

Mah: "Yes."

Morrison: "Okay. If your Bill were to become law, would this force a situation where a biological female would be forced to live with a biological male? In the same room or same immediate proximity."

Mah: "I don’t have the answer to that."

Morrison: "Okay. To the Bill. There are serious problems with this legislation. I would urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Willis: "Representative Mah to close."

Mah: "So, as I mentioned, this is a Bill that advances equity for LGBTQ older adults and it’s something that is important and necessary. And I urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Willis: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 1319 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye'; all those opposed 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Bryant. Grant. Severin. Windhorst. Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question,
there are... I'm sorry... 91 voting in 'favor', 20 voting 'against', 1 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On page 7 of the Calendar, Senate Bill 1116, offered by Representative Feigenholtz. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 1116, a Bill for an Act concerning minors. Third Reading of this Senate Bill."

Speaker Willis: "Representative Feigenholtz."

Feigenholtz: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Senate Bill 1116 is a Bill that will ensure that when DCFS is investing allegations of abuse or neglect or dependency, and it determines that the youth needs protection of the Juvenile Court, that the court does not lose jurisdiction in this case simply because, during the case, the child turns 18 years old."

Speaker Willis: "Seeing no discussion, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 1116 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye'; all those opposed 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Moeller. Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 116 voting in 'favor', 0 voting 'against', 0 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Back to page 8, Senate Bill 1244, offered by Representative Greenwood. Out of the record. Members, we're going to be moving Bills from Second Reading to Third, so please be in your seats. On page 14 of the Calendar, Senate Bill 9, offered by Representative Ammons. Clerk, please read the Bill."
Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 9, a Bill for an Act concerning coal ash. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."

Speaker Willis: "Out of the record. Senate Bill 61, offered by Representative Kifowit. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 61, a Bill for an Act concerning animals. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."


Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 100, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. Amendments 1 and 2 were adopted in committee. Floor Amendment #3 is offered by Representative Kifowit."

Speaker Willis: "Representative on the Amendment."

Kifowit: "Thank you, Madam Chairman. Amendment #3 is just a technical Amendment that needed to clarify some references in the Bill."

Speaker Willis: "Representative Batinick, question on the Amendment?"

Batinick: "Thank you. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Willis: "She indicates she will."

Batinick: "I honestly heard nothing. I apologize. I must be losing my hearing today, Representative."

Kifowit: "It's a technical Amendment that just clarified some language in the Bill. They referred to district with a big 'D' and district with a little 'd'. And I asked for more
clarifications to ensure that the individual small districts within the larger districts were properly defined."

Batinick: "Got it. I actually understand that. Thank you."

Speaker Willis: "Representative Kifowit moves adoption of Floor Amendment 3. All those in favor say 'aye'; those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."

Speaker Willis: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 162, on page 15, offered by Representative Keicher. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 162, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1 is offered by Representative Keicher."

Speaker Willis: "Representative Keicher on the Floor Amendment, please."

Keicher: "We have a Floor Amendment to adopt that allows us to be in compliance with the Affordable Care Act and changes the date to January 1, 2020 as the effective date. I move for adoption."

Speaker Willis: "Representative Keicher moves adoption of Floor Amendment 1. All those in favor say 'aye'; those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."

Speaker Willis: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 169, offered by Representative Turner. Representative Turner. Leader Turner."
Senate Bill 169, do you want to move it from Second to Third? Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 169, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
Speaker Willis: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 171, offered by Representative Williams. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 171, a Bill for an Act concerning safety. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
Speaker Willis: "I'm sorry. Out of the record. Senate Bill 205, offered by Representative Welch. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 205, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
Speaker Willis: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 584, offered by Representative... Leader Hoffman. Out of the record. Senate Bill... on page 16 of the Calendar, Senate Bill 726, offered by Representative Morgan. Representative Morgan. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 726, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. Floor Amendment #2 is offered by Representative Morgan."
Speaker Willis: "On the Amendment, Representative Morgan."
Morgan: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. This Amendment is just a technical change that makes it clear that other
constititutional officers and their agencies are not subject to CMS's Personnel Code."

Speaker Willis: "Representative Morgan moves adoption of Floor Amendment 2. All those in favor say 'aye'; those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."

Speaker Willis: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1035, offered by Leader Durkin. Senate Bill 1127, offered by Representative Kifowit. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 1127, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1 is offered by Representative Kifowit."

Speaker Willis: "On the Amendment, Representative Kifowit."

Kifowit: "Thank you, Madam Chairwoman. Floor Amendment 1 is another clarification to ensure that there is proper placement of the POW MIA flag on a single flag pole."

Speaker Willis: "Representative Kifowit moves adoption of Floor Amendment 1. All those in favor say 'aye'; all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."

Speaker Willis: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1166, offered by Representative Ramirez. Representative Ramirez on 1166. Clerk, please read the Bill."
Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 1166, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."

Speaker Willis: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1213, offered by Representative Stuart. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 1213, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments have been approved for consideration. No Motions are filed."

Speaker Willis: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1214, offered by Representative Villa. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 1214, a Bill for an Act concerning health. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendments 1 and 2 have been approved for consideration. Floor Amendment #1 is offered by Representative Villa."

Speaker Willis: "Representative Villa on the Floor Amendment."

Villa: "This is just removing a few words, 'develop' and in the Bill."

Speaker Willis: "It's a technical Amendment, is that what you're saying?"

Villa: "Yes, Leader. Thank you. And I request an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Willis: "Representative Villa moves adoption of Floor Amendment 1. All those in favor say 'aye'; those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk Bolin: "Floor Amendment #2 is offered by Representative Villa."

Speaker Willis: "Representative Villa on Floor Amendment 2."
Villa: "Can you come back to me, please?"
Speaker Willis: "Okay. Out of the record."
Villa: "Thank you."
Speaker Willis: "On page 16 of the Calendar, Senate Bill 1246, offered by Representative Meier. Clerk, please read the Bill."
Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 1246, a Bill for an Act concerning gaming. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
Speaker Willis: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1264 offered by Representative Martwick. Representative Martwick. Clerk, please read the Bill."
Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 1264, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1 is offered by Representative Martwick."
Speaker Willis: "Representative Martwick, Floor Amendment, please."
Martwick: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Floor Amendment 1 is a... to Senate Bill 1264 is a gut and replace Amendment. It keeps the underlying Bill but it deals with how pensions relate to the Unclaimed Property Act prior to the rewrite of the Revised Unclaimed Property Act. This was... pensions were not required to participate. This is an agreed Bill between SERS and a number of other retirement systems. And it cleans up language that defines how pensions will deal with the Treasurer's Office in terms of notification and reporting for unclaimed property."
Speaker Willis: "Representative Martwick moves adoption of Floor Amendment 1. All those in favor say 'aye'; all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."

Speaker Willis: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1272, offered by Representative Welter. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1300, offered by Representative Martwick. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1371, offered by Representative Brady. Please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 1371, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."

Speaker Willis: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1514, offered by Leader Gordon-Booth. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 1514, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."

Speaker Willis: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1518, offered by Representative Costa Howard. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 1518, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1 is offered by Representative Costa Howard."

Speaker Willis: "Representative, on the Floor Amendment."

Costa Howard: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. This page and line Amendment does two things. It removes a provision of the Bill
where the court has founded disability but the choice of the
guardian still remains an issue, and it removes the part that
extends that. It also changes the section regarding guardian
ad litem fees and that they're left at the discretion of the
court."

Speaker Willis: "Representative Costa Howard moves that Floor
Amendment 1 be adopted. All those in favor say 'aye'; all
those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the
'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Any further
Amendments, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."

Speaker Willis: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1524, offered by
Representative Ammons. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 1524, a Bill for an Act concerning
finance. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee
Amendments. Floor Amendment #1 is offered by Representative
Ammons."

Speaker Willis: "Representative Ammons on the Floor Amendment."

Ammons: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Floor Amendment 1 is a
technical change requested by the Attorney General's Office
to make sure that we put definitions in between loans and
what the... hold on one second, I don’t remember the term. Thank
you. The definition would clarify that if a third party is
actually administering the program, that they would be
covered by the Illinois Service and Loan Act."

Speaker Willis: "Representative Ammons moves adoption of Floor
Amendment 1. All those in favor say 'aye'; all those opposed
say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it.
And the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."

Speaker Willis: "Senate Bill... I'm sorry. Third Reading. Senate Bill 1536, offered by Representative Mayfield. Representative Mayfield. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 1536, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."

Speaker Willis: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1557, offered by Representative Barbara Hernandez. Mr. Clerk, please read... oh, I'm sorry. Out of the record. Onto page 18, Senate Bill 1583, offered by Representative Harper. Representative Harper. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1601, offered by Representative Martwick. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 1601, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."

Speaker Willis: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1624, offered by Representative Andrade. Representative Andrade. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1630, offered by Representative Batinick. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 1630, a Bill for an Act concerning business. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."

Speaker Willis: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1636, offered by Representative Arroyo. Arroyo. 1636. Do you want to move it from Second to Third? Clerk, please read the Bill."
Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 1636, a Bill for an Act concerning business. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."

Speaker Willis: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1712, offered by Leader Burke. Kelly Burke. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 1712, a Bill for an Act concerning government. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."

Speaker Willis: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1758... I'm sorry, take that out. Senate Bill 1798, offered by Representative Edly-Allen. Out of the record. On page 19, Senate Bill 1800, offered by Representative Marron. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 1800, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."

Speaker Willis: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1808, offered by Representative Robinson. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 1808, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."

Speaker Willis: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1839, offered by Representative Conroy. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 1839, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."

Speaker Willis: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1857, offered by Representative Gordon-Booth. Leader Gordon-Booth. Please, read the Bill."
Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 1857, a Bill for an Act concerning State Government. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."

Speaker Willis: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1862, offered by Representative Evans. Please, read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 1862, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1 is offered by Representative Evans."

Speaker Willis: "Representative Evans on the Floor Amendment, please."

Evans: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and our great Members of the Assembly. The Floor Amendment becomes the Bill. I'd like to debate it and explain it on Third Reading, if possible."

Speaker Willis: "Representative Evans moves to adopt Floor Amendment 1. All those in favor say 'aye'; all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. And no Motions are filed."

Speaker Willis: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1872, offered by Representative Rita. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 1872, a Bill for an Act concerning government. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1 is offered by Representative Rita."

Speaker Willis: "Representative Rita, the Floor Amendment, please."
Rita: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill... Floor Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1872 is the extension of the Real Estate License Act. It's an agreed Bill between the Department of Professional Regulation and the realtors. I'd like to move it to Third."
Speaker Willis: "Is that the Amendment, Sir?"
Rita: "Yes."
Speaker Willis: "Okay. Representative Rita moves adoption of Floor Amendment 1. All those in favor say 'aye'; all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments, Mr. Clerk?"
Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."
Speaker Willis: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1918, offered by Representative Andrade. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1919, offered by Representative Walker. Clerk, please read the Bill."
Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 1919, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
Speaker Willis: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1934, offered by Representative Hoffman. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1938, offered by Representative Greenwood. Representative Greenwood. Clerk, please read the Bill."
Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 1938, a Bill for an Act concerning property. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."
Speaker Willis: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1952, offered by Representative Scherer. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 1952, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."

Speaker Willis: "Third Reading. Page 20 of the Calendar, Senate Bill 2027, offered by Representative Ammons. Out of the record. Senate Bill 2037, offered by Representative Kifowit. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2037, a Bill for an Act concerning government. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."

Speaker Willis: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 2052, offered by Representative Slaughter. Representative Slaughter. Out of the record. Senate Bill 2126, offered by Representative Mussman. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2126, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."

Speaker Willis: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 2136, offered by Representative Mayfield. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2136, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. Amendment #2 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."

Speaker Willis: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 2148, offered by Representative Bristow. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2148, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. Amendment #1
was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."

Speaker Willis: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 2153, offered by
Representative Cassidy. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2153, a Bill for an Act concerning
finance. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee
Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."

Speaker Willis: "Third Reading. Back to page 16, Senate Bill 1214,
Representative Villa. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 1214, Floor Amendment #2 is offered by
Representative Villa."

Speaker Willis: "Representative on the Amendment, please."

Villa: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. So, the Amendment is just
excluding blood donation centers. And I would request an 'aye'
vote."

Speaker Willis: "Representative Villa moves adoption of Floor
Amendment 2. All those in favor say 'aye'; all those opposed
say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it.
And the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments, Mr.
Clerk?"

Clerk Bolin: "No further Amendments. No Motions are filed."

Speaker Willis: "Third Reading. Senate Bill... on page 18 of the
Calendar, Senate Bill 1583, Representative Harper. Clerk,
please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 1583, a Bill for an Act concerning
criminal law. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee
Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."

Speaker Willis: "Third Reading. On page 20, Senate Bill 2027,
Representative Ammons. Clerk, please read the Bill."
Clerk Bolin: "Senate Bill 2027, a Bill for an Act concerning safety. Second Reading of this Senate Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions are filed."

Speaker Willis: "Out of the record. Representative Evans, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

Evans: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. A point of personal privilege."

Speaker Willis: "Please proceed."

Evans: "I would like you all to just give your attention and applause or whatever you like to do to our former colleague and Water Reclamation Commissioner, Kim DuBuclet. She's here sitting next to me and Nick Smith. So, if we could give a round of applause. She's here to keep an eye on us."

Speaker Willis: "Welcome to the Capitol."

Evans: "Thank you. And also, you know, we do have a new colleague here. And as we do with our new colleagues, we should, you know, allow them to speak. This is a man that I have known for about 20 years, I met him when I was kid. We grew up together in Chicago politics and he's here representing the 10th District. So, please give a round of applause for our new colleague, Jawaharial Williams."

Speaker Willis: "Welcome to the House, Representative. Representative Williams, would you like to speak?"

Williams, J.: "No. I just wanted to say good evening and hello to everyone. That's all."

Speaker Willis: "Hello and thank you for being here. Representative Sommer, for what do you wish recognition?"

Sommer: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. A point of personal privilege."

Speaker Willis: "Please proceed."
Sommer: "Ladies and Gentlemen, I'd like to introduce my Page for the day. This is Joseph Slonneger. He comes from the City of Washington. He will be entering as a freshman in Washington Community High School next fall. He's joined here in the chamber, in the Gallery behind us, by his father Ty. Would you please welcome them to the House?"

Speaker Willis: "Welcome to the House. Mr. Clerk, Agreed Resolutions."


Speaker Willis: "Leader Manley moves for the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions. All those in favor say 'aye'; all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Agreed Resolutions are adopted. Mr. Clerk... oh, I'm sorry. And now, allowing perfunctory time for the Clerk, Leader Manley moves that the House stand adjourned until Wednesday, May 22, at the hour of 11 a.m. All those in favor say 'aye'; all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the House stands adjourned."

Clerk Hollman: "House Perfunctory Session will come to order. Introduction of Resolutions. Senate Joint Resolution 22, offered by Representative Bryant and Senate Joint Resolution 35, offered by Representative West are referred to the Rules Committee. Committee Reports. Representative Greg Harris, Chairperson from the Committee on Rules reports the following committee action taken on May 21, 2019: recommends be adopted,
referred to the floor is Floor Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 1890; recommends be adopted are the Motions to Concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 252, Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1551, Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2029, Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2643, Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2818, Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3196, Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3498. There being no further business, the House Perfunctory Session will stand adjourned."