

85TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY

REGULAR SESSION

MAY 17, 1988

PRESIDENT:

The hour of twelve-thirty having arrived, the Senate will please come to order. Will the members be at their desks and will our guests in the gallery please rise. Prayer this afternoon by the Reverend Paul Cox, Metcalf Christian Church, Metcalf, Illinois. Reverend.

REVEREND PAUL COX:

(Prayer given by Reverend Cox)

PRESIDENT:

Thank you, Reverend. Reading of the Journal, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Journals of Tuesday, May 3rd; Wednesday, May 4th and Thursday, May 5th, 1988.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Vadalabene.

SENATOR VADALABENE:

Yes, thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. I move that the Journals just read by the Secretary be approved unless some Senator has additions or corrections to offer.

PRESIDENT:

You've heard the motion as placed by Senator Vadalabene. Is there any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The motion carries and it is so ordered. Senator Vadalabene.

SENATOR VADALABENE:

Yes, thank you, Mr. President. I move that reading and approval of the Journals of Friday, May 6th; Tuesday, May 10th; Wednesday, May 11th and Thursday, May 12th, in the year 1988, be postponed pending arrival of the...printed Journals.

PRESIDENT:

You've heard the motion as placed by Senator Vadalabene. Is there any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by

saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The motion carries and it is so ordered. Messages from the House.

SECRETARY:

A Message from the House by Mr. O'Brien, Clerk.

Mr. President - I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has passed bills of the following titles, in the passage of which I am instructed to ask the concurrence of the Senate, to-wit:

House Bills 170 offered by...House Bills 170,
309, 1732,...2794, 2940, 2942, 3068, 3096, 3116, 3117, 3149,
3150, 3162, 3181, 3199, 3200, 3202, 3203, 3204, 3205, 3208,
3209, 3210, 3211, 3212, 3224, 3225, 3226, 3227, 3228, 3229,
3230, 3231, 3232, 3233, 3234, 3235, 3236, 3237, 3238, 3239,
3240, 3241, 3242, 3243, 3244, 3264, 3272, 3279, 3280, 3281,
3282, 3286, 3318, 3319, 3338, 3343, 3380, 3391, 3403, 3435,
3444, 3463, 3485, 3491, 3511, 3525, 3536, 3538, 3539, 3540,
3542, 3543, 3544, 3557, 3558, 3620, 3652, 3663, 3671, 3681,
3712, 3748, 3758, 3779, 3821, 3888, 3891, 3895, 3968, 3977,
4006, 4024, 4028, 4045, 4096, 4108, 4113, 4130, 4154, 4156,
4171, 4192, 4234, 4269,...2228, 2232, 2234, 2238, 2243, 2249,
2263, 711, 737, 812,...910, 952, 1254, 1652, 2347, 2423,
2456, 2504,...2558, 2688, 2906, 2909, 2913, 2918, 2921, 2941,
2957, 2958, 2959, 2960, 2986, 3010, 3014, 3052, 3057, 3060,
3063, 3107, 3110, 3113, 3118, 3125, 3131, 3135, 3142, 3145,
3195, 3197, 3216, 3221, 3249, 3255, 3261, 3262, 3268,...3278,
3301, 3303, 3321, 3335, 3337, 3345, 3346, 3353, 3372, 3401,
3405, 3418, 3424, 3425, 3431, 3466, 3469, 3470, 3477, 3482,
3490, 3492, 3495, 3499, 3510, 3512, 3513, 3527, 3545, 3546,
3547, 3552, 3553, 3562, 3563, 3564, 3565, 3567, 3572, 3573,
3586, 3589, 3590, 3592, 3593, 3606, 3626, 3836, 4114, 4117,
4197. Jack...John F. O'Brien, Clerk of the House.

PRESIDENT:

With leave of the Body, what we're going to do...what we are going to do is I've asked the Secretary to prepare a

Supplemental Calendar to reflect those couple of hundred House bills that have just been read in. I would ask the members to take a look. We will get to the Order of House Bills 1st Reading on the current Calendar and then at some later point this afternoon we will get to the Supplemental Calendar for the purpose of dealing with those. The Rules Committee is scheduled to meet at nine o'clock tomorrow morning. So I would ask that the members take a look and pick up the House bills. Further Messages from the House, Madam Secretary?

SECRETARY:

A Message from the House by Mr. O'Brien, Clerk.

Mr. President - I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has...concurred with the Senate in the passage of a bill of the following title, to-wit:

Senate Bill 515 with House Amendments 1 and 2.

PRESIDENT:

All right. With leave of the Body, we'll also put that on the Supplemental, Madam Secretary. Further Messages from the House.

SECRETARY:

I have a like Message on Senate Bill 2202 with regard to House Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDENT:

All right. Also, with leave, we'll put that on the Supplemental Calendar and we'll get to those a little later. Let's go to the Order of House Bills 1st Reading, Madam Secretary. I ask the membership to turn, please, to page 16 on the Calendar. Page 16 on the Calendar. If any House member has requested a member here to sponsor his or her bill, I wish you'd let the Secretary and the Assistant Secretary know so they can be read into the record. On the Order of House Bills 1st Reading, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 2285 offered by Senator Hall.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2993 offered by Senator Jacobs.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3297 offered by Senator Luft.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3445 offered by Senator Luft.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3448 offered by Senator Davidson.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3956 offered by Senator Smith.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 4018 offered by Senator Smith.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

1st reading of the bills.

PRESIDENT:

Rules Committee. All right. The Supplemental Calendars are being distributed. I'd ask the members...we'll have some time. We're going to go to resolutions and then 2nd reading. But, if indeed, you've been contacted and requested by a House member to pick up one or another of those proposals, please let the Secretary know so the proper notation can be made. Resolutions, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Resolution 1085 offered by Senator Savickas.

Senate Resolution 1086 offered by Senator Topinka.

Senate Resolution...1087 offered by Senator Topinka.

Senate Resolution 1088 offered by Senator Weaver.

Senate Resolution 1089 offered by Senator Woodyard.

Senate Resolution 1090 offered by Senator Kelly.

Senate Resolution 1091 offered by Senator Kelly.

Senate Resolution 1092 offered by Senator J. E. Joyce.

Senate Resolution 1093 offered by Senator Holmberg.

Senate Resolution 1094 offered by Senator Watson.

Senate Resolution 1095 offered by Senator Severns.

Senate Resolution 1096 offered by President Rock, Senator Philip and all members.

Senate...

PRESIDENT:

1096, is that the one for Kup? Yeah.

SECRETARY:

...Senate Resolution 1097 offered by Senator Brookins.

PRESIDENT:

...hold it, Madam Secretary. Senator Carroll, I have a resolution honoring Irvin Kupcinet. Yes, I would wish to move that immediately...it's...it's Rock, Philip and all members, but he's being honored tomorrow night, as you well know. Please. Yeah. Senate Resolution 1096, Madam Secretary, put that on the board, please. Senator Carroll on...on Senate Resolution 1096.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. I would ask leave of the Senate that we waive the appropriate rules to allow the immediate consideration and adoption of Senate Resolution 1096. This is a resolution honoring our...the dear friend of all of us, Irv Kupcinet, offered by Senators Rock, Philip and all members. There is an event tomorrow at which we would want the resolution presented, that's why the immediacy of taking action at this time instead of the Resolutions Consent Calendar. So with that explanation, I would ask that the membership waive the appropriate rules for the immediate consideration and adoption of Senate Resolution 1096.

PRESIDENT:

All right. Senator Carroll asks leave to be shown as a cosponsor along with all other members. Without objection, leave is granted. Senator Carroll now moves to suspend the

rules for the immediate consideration and adoption of Senate Resolution 1096 which congratulates Kup on his selection of Man of the Year by the National Conference of Christians and Jews. All in favor of the motion to suspend indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The rules are suspended. Senator Carroll now moves the adoption of Senate Resolution 1096. Any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The resolution is adopted. Further resolutions, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Resolution 1097 offered by Senator Brookins.

Those are all congratulatory.

PRESIDENT:

Consent Calendar.

SECRETARY:

Senate Resolution 1098 offered by Senator Topinka and all members. It's a death resolution.

PRESIDENT:

Consent Calendar.

SECRETARY:

Senate Resolution 1099 offered by Senator Welch. It's substantive.

PRESIDENT:

Executive. Senator Netsch, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR NETSCH:

Thank you, Mr. President. A reminder, if I might, that several of us have resolutions...which relate to the creation of joint Senate/House committees to work on the explanations of the constitutional amendments. We would like to get to those today, if we might.

PRESIDENT:

Well, this might be a good time. If we can have leave of the Body to go to the Order of Resolutions for those...that

purpose. That's Senator Netsch and Senator Carroll. All right. With leave of the Body, Madam Secretary, on page 19, we'll go to the Order of Secretary's Desk Resolutions for the purpose of those Senate joint resolutions that provide for the pro and con arguments on proposed amendments. Senate Joint Resolution 127. Senate Joint Resolution 127, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Joint Resolution 127 offered by Senator Netsch. The Committee on Executive offers one committee amendment.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Netsch on Committee Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR NETSCH:

Thank you, Mr. President. If I might, I would move to Table Committee Amendment No. 1. We found some additional corrections that have to be made, and...and Committee Amendment No. 1 is now picked up in the Floor amendment which I will offer in just a moment. So I would move to Table Committee Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDENT:

All right. The question before us is Senate Joint Resolution 127. Senator Netsch has moved to Table Committee Amendment No. 1. Is there any discussion? If not, all in favor of the motion to Table indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is Tabled. Madam Secretary, further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Netsch.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Netsch, on Amendment No. 2.

SENATOR NETSCH:

Thank you, Mr. President. Amendment No. 2 just clarified some of the language in the resolution which creates the joint Senate/House committee to draft the explanation and

arguments with respect to the Constitutional Convention resolution. The main thing was that we are in the process of passing Senate Bill 1655 which provides for this procedure, and we felt that the resolution creating the joint committee ought to refer to the fact that this is in the works, so that probably is the main correction. I would move the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Joint Resolution 127.

PRESIDENT:

All right. Senator Netsch has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Joint Resolution 127. Any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

All right. Senator Netsch, with intervening business, leave of the Body, we'll get right back to it as amended. Senate Joint Resolution 128, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Joint Resolution 128 offered by Senator Netsch. The Committee on Executive offers one committee amendment.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Netsch on Committee Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR NETSCH:

Thank you, Mr. President. Committee Amendment No. 1 just made it clearer that the particular constitutional amendment to which HJRCA 1 refers is the one that deals with voting qualifications. That was not clear in the text of the amendment. So I would move the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to SJR 128.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Netsch has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1...Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Joint Resolution 128.

Any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

All right. With leave of the Body, we'll get right back to that one too. Senator Carroll, on Senate Joint Resolution 129. Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Joint Resolution 129 offered by Senators Carroll and Netsch. The Committee on Executive offers one committee amendment.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll on Committee Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Committee Amendment No. 1 is a amendment to change the drafting. It takes out the words "voting qualifications" and puts instead "tax sales." It is truly a technical corrective amendment. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Joint Resolution 129. Any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

All right. We'll get back to that one. Let's start over. All of these have now been amended. On the Order of Secretary's Desk Resolutions is Senate Joint Resolution 127, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Joint Resolution 127 offered by Senator Netsch.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Netsch.

SENATOR NETSCH:

Thank you, Mr. President. Now as amended, SJR 127 would authorize us to create a joint Senate/House bipartisan committee to draft the form of the proposal for a Constitutional Convention which is mandated to be on the ballot this November, the arguments for and against and all of the other...information for the voters which we normally do with respect to constitutional amendments. It pretty well tracks that procedure. We all agreed that it was necessary. This is a unanimous recommendation of the Committee of Fifty, chaired by Lieutenant Governor Ryan. I would move the adoption of SJR 127.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Netsch has moved the adoption of Senate Joint Resolution 127. Any discussion? All right. The question is the adoption of Senate Joint Resolution 127. Those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 56 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. Senate Joint Resolution 127 having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. On the Order of Secretary's Desk Resolutions, the middle of page 19, is Senate Joint Resolution 128, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Joint Resolution 128 offered by Senator Netsch.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Netsch.

SENATOR NETSCH:

Thank you, Mr. President. SJR 128 creates the joint

Senate/House committee that would draft the form of the proposal, the arguments for and against and the other information for the voters dealing with a proposed constitutional amendment which the two Houses have now approved and which will be on the ballot this November dealing with the...voting qualifications in the elective article, and it is a fairly technical amendment but we do have to provide the explanation. I would move the adoption of Senate Joint Resolution 128.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Netsch has moved the adoption of Senate Joint Resolution 128. Any discussion? If not, the question is, shall Senate Joint Resolution 128 be adopted. Those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 56 Ayes, 1 Nay, none voting Present. Senate Joint Resolution 128 having received the required constitutional majority vote is declared adopted. On the Order of Secretary's Desk Resolutions is Senate Joint Resolution 129, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Joint Resolution 129 offered by Senators Carroll and Netsch.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Senate Joint Resolution 129 is the implementation resolution for House Joint Resolution Constitutional Amendment 13. That's the tax sale and redemption one. This would also create the...the joint committee to give brief arguments for and against that constitutional amendment, give that information to the voters. And I would move the adoption of Senate Joint Resolution 129.

PRESIDENT:

All right. Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Senate Joint Resolution 129. Is there any discussion? If not, the question is, shall Senate Joint Resolution 129 be adopted. Those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 56 Ayes, 1 Nay, none voting Present. Senate Joint Resolution 129 having received the required constitutional majority vote is declared adopted. All right. If I can have the attention of the membership, we will begin on page 2 on the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading and go through the entire Calendar, 2nd and 3rd readings. We will adjourn this evening at approximately six o'clock. We will work no later than six o'clock. So I would ask the members to be in their seats and be attentive. Senator Geo-Karis, for what purpose do you seek recognition?

SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

Mr. President, on...Senate Joint Resolution 127, I pressed my button but I guess it didn't record. And I'd like to be...be...reflect on the record I would have voted Aye.

PRESIDENT:

All right. The record will so reflect, Senator Geo-Karis. All right. We will go through Senate bills on 2nd reading. Then we will go to the Order of the Supplemental Calendar and then we will go to the Order of Senate Bills 3rd Reading. We will work no later than six o'clock. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading, Senate Bill 1167, Senator Netsch. 1557, Senator Holmberg. Read the bill, Madam Secretary. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1557. Read the bill, please.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1557.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. 1558, Senator del Valle. 1562, Senator Netsch. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading, top of page 2, is Senate Bill 1562. Read the bill, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1562.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Revenue offers one committee amendment.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Netsch on Committee Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR NETSCH:

Thank you, Mr. President. I am moving this bill today just to keep things moving along. The amendment which is being offered right now is the committee amendment which reflected our agreements to that date. We have a...a later version, I do not yet have it in hand. I will obviously have to bring the bill back tomorrow to get that amendment on. But I thought it might be helpful, unless you'd prefer I just hold it on 2nd reading. In terms of paper work, isn't it easier to move it along now?

PRESIDENT:

Enrolling and engrossing can accommodate your wishes.

SENATOR NETSCH:

Very well. This is the...the bill that will create an income tax refund account and try to get the whole problem of income tax refunds off of our backs and...and that...those of the Bureau of the Budget, the Comptroller and everyone else. As I've indicated, the version that I'm offering right now is

temporary, we will have a cleaned up one hopefully by tomorrow morning.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Netsch has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1562. Any discussion? If not, all in favor of the adoption indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. 1563, Senator Holmberg. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1563. Read the bill, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1563.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Elementary and Secondary Education offers one committee amendment.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Holmberg on Committee Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR HOLMBERG:

Committee...Amendment No. 1 deletes everything in the original bill and authorizes school districts to enter into agreements with public or private higher education institutions to establish regional math and science academies during the summer months. The State Board of Education shall provide technical assistance, an academy shall to the extent possible be funded from existing monies.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Holmberg has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1563. Any discussion? Pardon me. If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Holmberg.

PRESIDENT:

Floor Amendment No. 2. Senator Holmberg.

SENATOR HOLMBERG:

Floor Amendment No. 2 permits districts to charge reasonable fees for student participation to help fund the program.

PRESIDENT:

All right. Senator Holmberg has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1563. Any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. 1581, Senator Joyce. On the Order of House...Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1581. Read the bill, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1581.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. 1593, Senator Zito. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1593. Read the bill, Madam

Secretary, please.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1593.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Finance and Credit Regulations offers one committee amendment.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Zito on Committee Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR ZITO:

Thank you, Mr. President and members. I would having voted on the prevailing side move to Table Committee Amendment 1 in lieu of Floor Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDENT:

All right. Senator Zito has moved to Table Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1593. Any discussion? If not, all in favor of the motion to Table indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is Tabled. Further amendments, Madam Secretary?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Senator Zito offers Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Zito on Amendment No. 2.

SENATOR ZITO:

Yes, thank you, Mr. President and members. Floor Amendment No. 1 reflects the agreement that...I'm sorry, Floor Amendment No. 1 will reflect now the agreement that was drawn between members of the Finance Committee. The amendment delete...will now delete everything after the enacting clause but retain essentially the same material as the bill and committee amendment. Main change is to include the Foreign

Savings and Loan Act within the regular Savings and Loan Act with a more comprehensive hearing provision for foreign savings and loans. It also allows savings and loans to invest up to five percent rather than two percent of their assets in Israeli bonds. I would move for its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

All right. Senator Zito has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1593. Discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments. Pardon me, that was a Floor amendments. No further amendments.

SECRETARY:

3rd reading. 1599, Senator Joyce. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1599. Read the bill, Madam Secretary, please.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1599.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Energy and Environment offers one committee amendment.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Joyce on Committee Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR JEROME JOYCE:

Thank you, Mr. President. Committee Amendment No. 1 deletes everything after the enacting clause and exempts composting operations from the definition of a pollution control facility. It defines biodeterioration and biodegradation and it defines composting. It prohibits landfill owners and operators from accepting landscape waste in containers that are not biodegradable, prohibits landfill owners and operators from accepting any landscape waste after 6-30-1990 unless the landfill has a composting operation.

I'd ask for the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Joyce has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1599. Discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Are there amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. 1600, Senator Jones. 1615, Senator Welch. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill...1615, 1-6-1-5, Madam...fiscal note has been requested and that...has it not yet been filed? It has not yet been filed. Fiscal note on 1626 also has not yet been filed. 1628. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1628. Read the bill, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1628.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Senator Thomas Dunn offers Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Dunn on Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR TOM DUNN:

Thank...thank you, Mr. President. I wish to withdraw Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDENT:

Amendment No. 1 has been withdrawn. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. 1657, Senator Demuzio. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1657. Read the bill, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1657.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers one...one committee amendment.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll on Committee Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. What I would like to do, with leave of the Senate, is to identify a basic guideline that we've attempted to follow in all of the agencies, boards and departments of state government so that...for ease of the membership and speed of the process as we're going through all of the appropriation bills. Senator Hall on Appropriations II and myself in Appropriations I had offered these amendments which were adopted. It's my understanding, by the way, that the House did very much likewise. The idea being that in today's climate, absent any additional revenues, some restraints had to be placed on some of the growth of the operational side of government in order to provide some necessary funding for people who are served by government. What we've attempted to do is to keep the salary levels at about six percent, five to six percent above this year's level across the board. And I'm sure there will be some more discussion about that later. We've attempted to keep spending for new equipment down to a million dollars a week. We felt that a million dollars a

week for new cars and typewriters was sufficient. We've tried to eliminate any long-term vacancies, keep travel, contracts, professional artistic commodities, et cetera to last year's level of spending. That doesn't mean there isn't any; in fact, the opposite. It means like in the case of equipment, about a million dollars a week; similar numbers in other areas. You will see later what we have attempted to do is to say to those areas who received no increases at all last year, unlike people who were state employees, we've...tried to provide them some increase to understand both the cost of living issues and for people like in mental health and other such services the fact that their expenses and caseloads have also gone up. So with that in...as a general guideline, and we will answer any questions as they arise, let me suggest then in the office of the Comptroller, Committee Amendment No. 1 would reduce the operations by some...totally some nine and a half...almost ten million dollars by reducing operations 2.2 million dollars, allowing a CUSAS but at a reduced level, keeping public TV and radio but also at a reduced level from introduced, et cetera. With that, I would answer any questions. Move adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDENT:

All right. Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1657. Is there any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Are there amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Senator Etheredge offers Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Etheredge on Amendment No. 2.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. What committee...what Floor Amendment No. 2 does is to reduce the level of grant funding for public television and radio by an amount equal to 1.1 million two hundred and fifty-seven thousand dollars. The thrust of this amendment is to leave intact in the Grant line items for public TV and radio a seven percent increase in funding over last year. I think there are a great many of us here on the Floor who have...who are staunch supporters of public radio and television. And I would like to be among those that would support the full level of...the full increase that has been proposed. But in a time such as that in which we find ourselves with very limited resources to fund programs in mental health and alcoholism and substance abuse, I would suggest that a more appropriate course of action for us would be to allow only a seven percent increase in grant fundings for public TV and radio. And therefore I would ask for your support of this amendment.

PRESIDENT:

All right. Senator Etheredge has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1657. Discussion? Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Just by way of explanation, public TV and radio had been at a level of two million dollars last year. The Governor saw fit to offer it at five million, three million dollar increase, hundred and fifty percent. Now the committee saw fit to reduce that in half, to say that in these times there is not enough for that type of growth in public television. So we reduced it to three and a half million. And while we did give seven percent increase to other areas of government

to make up for cost of living last year, I think maybe this one has to be treated a little bit different, mainly because through whoever's reasoning there were additional public TV stations brought on line into this grant program that did not exist last year. So I would ask...if I recall, one is at Eastern and I don't remember the other, there is one at Eastern Illinois University or in that area. Eastern and...and where? Western? I believe those two are the ones that were added to the Grant line. In that case, a seven percent cash increase would not fund those plus the existing allocations. And if that's the point, Senator Etheredge, would you please explain it? Are you looking to unfund the two new ones or are you looking to downfund all the other existing ones? The question to the sponsor of the amendment.

PRESIDENT:

Sponsor indicates he'll yield, Senator Carroll. Senator Etheredge.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

We're...we're making no recommendation in that regard at all. This is simply a lump sum appropriation, Senator.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

(Machine cutoff)...in that case, I'd have to oppose the amendment. I think if we had had a reasonable approach to what the cost of the new...two new stations were or some statement on your side that you didn't want them funded or whatever, then I would agree to a seven percent growth factor, I think that's reasonable in all of state government. But I don't think we want to take money away from public television around this state and I think we did encourage these two new stations to, in fact, join into the system. Whether three and a half is enough, I have no idea for all that they want. We know they wanted five million. We

thought we brought them back to a level we could live with, and unless there was some further ability to...to justify either reducing everybody or eliminating Eastern and Western, I think we should oppose the amendment. And I would rise in opposition.

PRESIDENT:

All right. Further discussion on Amendment No. 2? Senator Newhouse.

SENATOR NEWHOUSE:

Question of the sponsor, please.

PRESIDENT:

Sponsor indicates he'll yield, Senator Newhouse.

SENATOR NEWHOUSE:

Does this...is this the Comptroller's appropriation? Has...has the Comptroller agreed to this amendment? Comptroller is...is opposed to the amendment.

PRESIDENT:

All right. Senator Etheredge has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1657. Further discussion? Senator Etheredge, you wish to close?

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Thank you, Mr. President. I...I would just point out that the...the level of funding for these stations including...included in the Governor's budget does not necessarily indicate a...the recommendation of the Governor. What he has done is simply take the...the recommended funding level from the Comptroller and as a courtesy extended to a...constitutional officer passed that on through and included it in the budget. I think that in this time when we can't adequately fund many other sorely needed social agencies that this is a time to limit these increases to seven percent. I would ask for your support of the amendment.

PRESIDENT:

Question is the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate

Bill 1657. Those in favor of the amendment will vote Aye. Opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 28 Ayes and 28 Nays, none voting Present. Amendment No. 2 fails. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Senator Etheredge offers Amendment No. 3.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Etheredge on Amendment No. 3.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Amendment No. 3...removes the remaining two million dollars in GRF funding for the continuation of the CUSAS II project. Once again, I would suggest that CUSAS II may be at some point in the time a desirable project for us to pursue, but at this time when there are limited funds available for many of our social service programs that this is not a...a time to...to spend two million dollars on an administrative project. So I would ask for the approval of Amendment No. 3.

PRESIDENT:

All right. Senator Etheredge has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 3 to Senate Bill 1657. Discussion? Senator Madigan.

SENATOR MADIGAN:

Point of personal privilege, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT:

State your point sir.

SENATOR MADIGAN:

Visiting with us today from Morton High School are some students there...along with their teacher, Tom Joyce. They're seated in the President's Gallery and I'd like them to be introduced.

PRESIDENT:

Will our guests in the gallery please rise and be recognized. Welcome to Springfield. All right. Senator Etheredge has proposed Amendment No. 3 to Senate Bill 1657. Discussion? Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. I rise in opposition to Amendment No. 3, and I do so because I'm...I'm really surprised by it. You know, we hear time and again when it comes to certain capital type projects or equipment type projects, well, gee, we spent so much already, we've got to complete it. We've also heard that we are in a archaic system of maintaining books and records. We hear that it affects our bond ratings, it affects our ability to conduct business as a state. So we've spent already fourteen million dollars in an effort to update a system that was created decades ago and in terms of current state of technology, light years ago, trying to get up to a system that would let some sun shine into government. Why would someone be afraid of an accounting system that let's us know where we're at, who's spending it and how much is left? Well, I guess maybe there are some people who don't want us to know where the money is at, who's spending it, how much is left and where we're going. And if you don't want to go back to the quill pen and ink ages, we need an updated system. Nobody denies that. Nobody denies that except those who don't want us to know. To stop this system midstream is silly. We have fourteen million invested, I don't happen to think it was a bad investment. I think we need to bring our accounting system up to at least the nineteenth century, if not the twentieth century. To deny that to the people of Illinois, to deny that to the taxpayers of Illinois, to deny that to all that we seek to do in government, including the bond services and all that that we're always so worried about, I think is ludicrous. I think to suggest that we stop

a system that would give us good financial information is merely an effort to pull the wool over our eyes. And I would urge defeat of Amendment No. 3.

PRESIDENT:

The question is the adoption of Amendment No. 3. Discussion? Senator Schaffer.

SENATOR SCHAFFER:

Oh, I think all of us can relate to this issue, particularly those of us who have been around here a number of years. Have you noticed the more we improve the system the longer it takes to get a voucher processed and the more people that have to stamp it and the more copies we have to make? If we...improve this system any longer, we won't have to worry about tax increases 'cause nobody will get a bill paid anyway. Frankly, this is...reminds me a little of the tax reform our federals foisted off on us. If we improve this system any more, it's going to grind to a dead halt. Surely this is one thing we can go without.

PRESIDENT:

The question is the adoption of Amendment No. 3. Any further discussion? Senator Etheredge, you wish to close?

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Thank you, Mr. President. What we're talking about is a...an accounting system which we have, indeed, spent fourteen million dollars on. There was a time when we thought fourteen million dollars would be the total cost of the project. Now we're told that the amount...the total expenditure to implement CUSAS II is going to be not fourteen million but forty million...forty million. We also have the...the statement which is included in the auditor's statement in regard to the operation of the Comptroller's Office that the CUSAS system is "very sound." We have a system which is working now. I say that before we continue down this road of spending tens of millions of additional dollars

that we defer this project, not cancel it but defer it for a...a year until the time when there...we have more resources. I would ask for a favorable...roll call on this amendment, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT:

Question is the adoption of Amendment No. 3 to Senate Bill 1657. Those in favor of the amendment will vote Aye. Opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 29 Ayes, 28 Nays. Amendment No. 3 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 4 offered by Senator Etheredge.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Etheredge.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This amendment removes 1.2 million dollars for upgrading CUSAS and in addition...also removes the seven new positions that would be associated with this upgrade. I think the...the arguments put forward on the prior amendment I think also apply on this amendment as well. I think that based upon the statement of the auditors of the Comptroller's Office we have a CUSAS system which is operating, it is very sound. I suggest that we defer this expenditure of money to a later fiscal year. I would ask for a favorable roll call.

PRESIDENT:

Question is the adoption of Amendment No. 4 to Senate Bill 1657. Discussion? Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, this one is totally inconsistent with the last argument. If it's one thing, as the Senate just said, that we don't want a new

system, you surely don't want to further encourage what Senator Schaffer contends and that's the delays that's existing in the current systems. You can't have it both ways. If you don't want to create a new one, why would you want to cripple the existing one? These are the monies for the upgrading of the old existing systems. It's not functioning up to speed. The new one was to replace it; the monies necessary for the new one were just eliminated. Why wouldn't you want to at least keep the old one in a usable condition? If you want your constituents saying, why is it taking six months instead of six weeks to get a voucher, and had we had CUSAS II it could have been six days, but if we're going to stay at least six weeks, you got to have the existing one working. You're taking out the money that makes the existing one working. I will refer my calls to you when constituents are not getting paid for six months merely because you took out the money that makes the old existing system function. I think it's a bad idea and I would oppose the amendment.

PRESIDENT:

All right. Question is the adoption of Amendment No. 4 to Senate Bill 1657. Senator Etheredge may close.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Thank you, Mr. President. While this is presented as money that would be used to upgrade CUSAS I, in fact, when you delve into the detail of what is to be done with this money, you find that all of this work, in fact, seems to...relate to CUSAS II, not to CUSAS I. So, I...this is not one of those instances where we are failing to make necessary an essential upgrade in...in the CUSAS I system which is now operating but, in fact, what we're doing is doing work which is an integral part of CUSAS II. I would ask for the...a favorable roll call on this amendment, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT:

Question is the adoption of Amendment No. 4 to Senate

Bill 1657. Those in favor of the amendment will vote Aye. Opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 29 Ayes, 29 Nays. Amendment No. 4 fails. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 5 offered by Senator Carroll.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll on Amendment No. 5.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. As we had indicated before, there is an effort to have some parity amongst those who are in state government. This would provide for a five percent pay model for those who work in the Office of the Comptroller. We had taken out any level of increase for those employees, we have said before that there has to be some uniformity, this would be the five percent. We have indicated in other agencies we will be doing likewise where they have...they would not have had at the end of our committee action a five percent cost of living adjustment. This would provide it to this agency that happens to be the Office of the Comptroller. I would move adoption of Amendment No. 5.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

There's been a request by Rod Maloney of WXFL, Tampa, Florida to videotape from the gallery. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. So ordered. Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 5 to Senate Bill 1657. Discussion? Senator Etheredge.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. I...I rise in support of...of this amendment. And I would ask those on this side of the aisle to...to support...this amendment. What this does is to make certain

that all state employees are treated equitably whether they are members of a union or not. We do have a series of amendments that will address this same concern in other of the departments as we go through the list this afternoon. And I would ask everyone to be supportive of these...this amendment and all those that follow.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Further discussion? Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 5 to Senate Bill 1657. Those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 5 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. 1685, Senator Severns. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading, bottom of page 2, is Senate Bill 1685, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1685.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Page 3, Senate Bill 1689. Madam Secretary, read the bill, please.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1689. ✓

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations II offers one committee amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Thank you,...thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Senate Bill 1685, Amendment No...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

1689, Senator.

SENATOR HALL:

...1689. This reduces...Operation by a hundred and forty-two thousand to provide a seven percent salary increase. This is not as much as they wanted, but...is still...it's lower than what they was asked for, more than the Governor...had wanted. It retains the Governor's allocation for grants but increases the financial assistance grants to private colleges by nine hundred and seventeen thousand, a total grant reduction of 21.4 million in GRF funds. I move for the adoption of this amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Discussion? Senator Hall has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1...Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1689. If not, those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1690, Senator Etheredge. Senate Bill...on the Order of Senate...Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1690, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1690.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations II offers one committee amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Thank you, Mr. President, members of the...of the committee. Reduces...Committee No. 1 reduces Operation by a hundred and forty-two thousand to provide for a hundred and ninety .6 in grants by 14.7 million. This is a seven percent salary increases our budget compared to the Governor's budget level of 19.4 million higher to BHE is seventeen million lower. I move for the adoption of Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Discussion? If not, Senator Hall has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1690. Those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1691, Madam Secretary. Read the bill.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1691.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations II offers one committee amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This committee amendment reduces the credit hours rates to reflect the appropriation level in Committee Amendments No. 1 to Senate Bill 1690 and it is as a substantive bill. I move for the adoption of this amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Discussion? Senator Hall has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1691. If not, those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1692, Madam Secretary. Read the bill.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1692.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations II offers two committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Committee No. 1 reduces Operation from the BHE level of 36.6 million GRF and five hundred and seven thousand in

Ag. Premium. The seven percent increase funded while the Governor's allocations retained from all other lines. Income Fund reduces seven hundred and twenty-five thousand, no fees assessed. The amendment is 27.6 million greater than the Governor's allocation. And I move for the adoption of Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Discussion? If not, Senator Hall has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1692. Those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Committee No. 2...reappropriates 1.55 million and four hundred thousand solid waste management funds. I move for the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Discussion? Senator Hall has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1692. Those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further committee amendments.

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Senator Carroll offers Amendment No. 3.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is a reallocation of the Income Fund dollars, about three hundred thousand dollars based on new students' enrollment coming in. I would move adoption of Amendment No. 3. This is a request from the university.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Discussion? Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 3 to Senate Bill 1692. Those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 3 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 4 offered by Senator Carroll.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

I'd ask leave to withdraw that amendment at this time.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Leave is granted. All right. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1693, Senator Carroll. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1693, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1693.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations II offers one committee amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Senator Hall's committee amendment, this is the Higher Ed. amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

I beg your pardon. Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Committee Amendment No. 1 reduces Operation from BHE level of 13.4 million, a seven percent salary increase are funded at 9.3 million which is also 9.2 million over the Governor's budget allocation. Chicago State is 1.5 million, Eastern is 2.2 million, Governor's State is one million, Northeastern is 1.9 million and Western is 2.7 million. I move for the adoption of this amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Discussion? If not, Senator Hall has moved the adoption of...Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1693. Those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1694, Senator Vadalabene. Senate Bill 1694, Madam Secretary. Read the bill, please.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1694.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations II offers one committee amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

(Machine cutoff)...you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Committee No. 1 reduces Operations from BHE level of 12.7 million, a seven percent salary increase remain, all other lines at the Governor's level. SIU budget is 9.6 million greater than the Governor's allocation. I move for the adoption of Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Discussion? If not, the...Senator Hall has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1694. Those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Carroll.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll seeks leave of the Body to withdraw Amendment No. 2. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. Amendment No. 2 is...withdrawn. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1695, Madam Secretary. Read the bill, please.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1695.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations II offers one committee amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Committee No. 1 reduces the request by 14.2 million as compared to the Governor's budget of 10.1 million as added for seven percent salary increase. SIU is 4. million,...NIU is 5.1 million and SSU is nine million. I move for the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Hall has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1695. Discussion? If not, those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1696, Madam Secretary. Read the bill.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1696.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations II offers one committee amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Thank you, Mr. President, members of the Senate. This Committee Amendment No. 1 reduces Operation by three hundred

and seventy-nine point two thousand and Grants of 20.9 million as the merit recognition scholarships are eliminated. 8.5 million in...is reduced 11.3 million, breaks out Operation into the traditional appropriation codes, 'cause twenty-two of forty-five positions and leased space reduced accordingly. I move for the adoption of Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Senator Hall has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1696. Discussion? If not, those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendment?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Senator Carroll offers Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Hall on...Senator Hall, with leave of the Body, to handle this amendment? All right. Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Thank you, Mr. President. Amendment No. 2 adds back the federally funded positions that we cut out of Amendment No. 1. I move for the adoption of Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Discussion? If not, Senator Hall has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1696. Those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1697, Senator Hall. Read the

bill, Madam Secretary. Senate Bill 1697.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1697.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations II offers one committee amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Hall.

END OF REEL

REEL #2

SENATOR HALL:

Thank you, Mr. President. All this does is just increase by one hundred dollars the monetary awards that were eliminated out of the other. I move for the adoption of Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Discussion? If not, Senator Hall has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1697. Those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1728, Madam Secretary. Read the bill.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1728.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Oh, I beg your pardon. Hold on. All right, I...pardon me, I was going by the script that I had here. With leave of the Body, we'll take it out of the record and come right back to it, okay. 1697, Senator Etheredge. All right, now I got my script...all right. 1703, Senator Karpel. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1703, Madam Secretary. Read the bill, please.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1703.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Energy and Environment offers one committee amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Karpziel. Senator Karpziel.

SENATOR KARPIEL:

Thank you, Mr. President. Senate Bill 1703 simply takes the word...or simply...the amendment says that solid waste in the Statutes means "waste." That's the amendment and that's the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Karpziel has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1703. Is there discussion? Discussion? Discussion? If not, the question...all those...all those in favor will...will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1721, Madam Secretary. Read the bill, please.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1721.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Well, my Calendar indicates there was to be an amendment. There is not. Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. 3rd reading. Senate Bill 1723, Madam Secretary. Read the bill.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1723.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Finance and Credit Regulations offers one committee amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Senator Zito.

SENATOR ZITO:

Yes, thank you, Mr. President and members. Last year we changed the fee structure and loaning limits for banks. This amendment, Amendment No. 1, places the bank for savings and loans on equal footing with banks. The bank for savings and loans is an administrative bank for the savings and loan associations of this state. Throughout the past, we have always treated this bank and all other banks in the same light, that's what Amendment No. 1 does. I'd be happy to answer any questions...and move for its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Zito has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to...Senate Bill 1723. Discussion? If not, those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

SB 1728
2nd Reading

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill...1728, Madam Secretary. Read the bill.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1728.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers one committee amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

(Machine cutoff)...Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This would be the...Committee Amendment No. 1 is the general guidelines amendment for the Abandoned Mines Reclamation Council with a reduction of some thirteen thousand. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Discussion? If...Senator Watson. Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1...

SENATOR WATSON:

...question, yes.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

...to Senate Bill 1728. Senator Watson.

SENATOR WATSON:

Question of the sponsor.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Sponsor indicates he will yield. Senator Watson.

SENATOR WATSON:

Thank you, Mr. President. Howard, isn't this federal

dollars that we're talking about here? All...isn't all the...the budget of the Mine Reclamations Council come from federal dollars and why are we doing this?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Senator Watson, these are, in fact, federal dollars; however, government is government is government. If you start treating separate funds different in a general guideline sense...we're not talking about monies used for reclaiming land, there we grab as much as we can. When you're talking about those...I don't think you want to have two levels of cars, those cars that are bought out of federal dollars and those cars that are bought out of state dollars.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1728. Those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Senator Etheredge offers...Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Etheredge.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This amendment restores eleven thousand seven hundred dollars in funding to this council in order to fund merit compensation salary increases. I would ask for a favorable roll call.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further...Senator Etheredge has moved adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1728. Discussion? Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is an issue we had talked about in committee and on the Floor a few moments ago, and I think it's time to make some policy decisions and let's look at this in light of reality. The Governor had proposed in his budget as introduced in both Chambers just a tad under a hundred million dollars for salary increases, oh, roughly some eight, eight and a half, 8.8 percent in some categories and a little bit less in others, at a time when there has been no money in higher education for any salary increases, at a time when there was proposed no cost of living adjustments for health care providers, mental health care providers, children and family service providers, et cetera, et cetera, et cetera. Now we took a tough decision but made a policy decision, about five percent across the board is enough. Now what does that mean? It means a reduction of some twenty-five million dollars in both Chambers if they do it to all budgets in what had been proposed for salary increases. This would still allow roughly a five, six, maybe even seven percent increase across the board, this year only, added on to last year's increase. Why do we say that? Well, we say that because while we've only provided for turnover in hiring lag, the time it takes to hire a new employee, of some two and a half percent, Central Management Services tell us the actual rate of time it takes to hire a new employee is 8.18 percent. That's about an eighty million dollar cushion over and above what we have adjusted in the budget. That allows them another eighty million dollars to spend on things like salary increases if this year is like last year is like the year

before and the year before that. So, what are we talking about? Of the hundred and thirty thousand, roughly, employees who come within state government, we're talking about ten thousand of them, and those ten thousand earn on average thirty-three thousand four hundred dollars. So, it is, yes, in fact, the higher paid employees that we are concerned with, but more importantly...more importantly, if we are going to provide for government at this level of revenue, I think it only fair that five percent, six percent, seven percent across the board is enough. If you want to add these back, I would suggest to you that you're giving salary increases beyond eighty million, beyond ninety-five million to the higher paid employees. Where will that come from? Do you want to take it away from mental health? Do you want to take it away from children and family services? Do you want to take it away from education? I don't. I think we have to have some sensibility in government. I think five, six, seven percent is enough during this year when the cost of living was three and a half percent; and when you add to that the ability to give another eight percent, eighty million dollars, over whatever we do, if this year is like last, I think this is a bad way to go, I think would be well served serving our people to say, enough is enough, five, six percent salary increase is enough. Let's stay with that. I would oppose this amendment and reallocate these dollars to areas of true need.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? If not, Senator Etheredge may close.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Thank you, Mr. President. I think it's very important that we establish as the legislative intent of the Senate that we treat all the state employees the same, that there not be two classes of citizenship. What this amendment seeks to do is to say that all of our employees will be in line for

a salary increase of approximately 6.5 percent in this next year. The group of people that would be affected by these merit compensation increases, some of them, it's true, are among our higher salaried individuals but not all of them, not all of them by any means. I think it's...very important that this amendment be supported so that we can say and establish as our intent we have...that all the employees of the state will be treated the same, that we value all groups of employees equally and they are all equally entitled to...to increases. I ask for a favorable roll call, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right, Senator Etheredge has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1728. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record.

On that question, the Ayes are 28, the Nays are 29, none voting Present. Amendment No. 2 fails. Senator Etheredge, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Mr. President, I would ask for a verification of the negative votes, please.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right, Senator Etheredge has requested a verification of the negative votes. Madam Secretary, will you please read those who voted in the negative.

SECRETARY:

Alexander, Berman, Carroll, Collins, D'Arco, Degnan, del Valle, Demuzio, Thomas Dunn, Hall, Holmberg, Jacobs, Jones, Jerome Joyce, Kelly, Lechowicz, Luft, Marovitz, Netsch, Newhouse, O'Daniel, Poshard, Savickas, Severns, Smith, Vadalabene, Welch, Zito, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

SB 1729
2nd Reading

All right, Senator Etheredge, do you question the presence of any member who voted in the negative?

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Yes, I do, Senator Netsch.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Netsch. Senator Netsch on the Floor? She's at the back of the Chamber.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Okay. Senator Collins.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Collins is in her...near her seat. All right, on a verified roll call, there are 28...there are 28 Yeas, 29 Nays, none voting Present. Amendment No. 2 fails. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1729, Senator Donahue. Senate bills...Senate Bill 1729, Madam Secretary. Read the bill, please.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1729.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers one committee amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This would be the guidelines type amendment that is the reduction in Personal Services. The other operational returns to the '88 spending level. I would move adoption of Amendment No. 1 and answer questions.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Discussion? Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of...Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1729. Those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Senator Carroll offers Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This would be an add-back for the Galesburg lab maintenance and various Travel lines in the Plant Industries Section of the Department of Ag. I would move its adoption. It's an add-back of some one hundred eighty thousand.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Discussion? Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1729. Those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 3 offered by Senator Etheredge.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator...Senator Etheredge.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Thank you, Mr. President. This is an add-back amendment, ladies and gentlemen, and what it does is to restore funding for the merit compensation salary increases. This is the discussion that we had just a few moments ago on the earlier bill. Once again, I think it's extremely important that we

take the position that there is only one class of employees for the State of Illinois, not two classes, the haves and the have nots. I would ask for a favorable roll call.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Discussion? Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. I agree with the comments, disagree with the conclusion. Governor created those two classes last year in education versus the rest of state government. Some members of the General Assembly are creating those classes now for those who get elected and those who get appointed, creating two classes there; however, we're still providing five, six, seven percent across the board. There is no line in the Personal Services budget that separates one category of employee from another. And I say again, you've got seven or eight percent in the turnover in hiring lag, seventy, eighty million dollars, that will not be spent because of turnover in hiring lag that they can use for this. All we're saying is five, six, seven percent is enough. Once again, I would oppose these amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? If not, Senator Etheredge may close.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Yes, I would suggest...very respectfully, Senator, that it was not the Governor who is...established the two class of citizens or two classes of employees. It is...rather that you have done that through the passage of Committee Amendment No. 1. I would ask that we restore this funding for the merit compensation salary increases. I ask for a favorable roll call.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right, Senator Etheredge has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 3 to Senate Bill 1729. Those in favor will

vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 28, the Nays are 29, none voting Present. Amendment No. 3 fails. Senator Etheredge, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Mr. President, I would ask for a verification of the negative votes, please.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Etheredge, we're going to be here all day...all right, Senator Etheredge has requested a verification of the negative votes. All members will be in their seats. Secretary will read those who voted in the negative.

SECRETARY:

Alexander, Berman, Carroll, Collins, D'Arco, Degnan, del Valle, Demuzio, Thomas Dunn, Hall, Holmberg, Jacobs, Jones, Jeremiah Joyce, Jerome Joyce, Kelly, Lechowicz, Luft, Marovitz, Netsch, Newhouse, Poshard, Savickas, Severns, Smith, Vadalabene, Welch, Zito and Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Etheredge, do you question the presence of any member who voted in the negative? Senator Etheredge.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Senator Newhouse.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Newhouse is at the back of the Chamber.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Senator Severns.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Severns on the Floor? On the...on your side of the aisle. All right. On a verified roll, there are 28 Yeas, 29 Nays, none voting Present. Amendment No. 3 fails. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1730, Madam Secretary. Read the bill. Oh, beg your pardon. I was under the impression that...all right, on the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1730, Madam Secretary. Read the bill.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1730.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers one committee amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Committee Amendment No. 1 is the general guidelines amendment. There were some mistakes in that. We totally deleted the application of credit card section and some other things which we will be adjusting by way of Floor amendment. At this point, I would move adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 which would be the guidelines we had expressed earlier.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Discussion? Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1730. Those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Senator Carroll offers Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Why, thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. As I just explained, this would be the add-back amendment. We would, in fact, be adding back two hundred and eighty-eight thousand five hundred dollars, basically putting back in the monies for implementation of the Credit Card Act. We don't know what Congress or this General Assembly is going to do. Adding back the computers, we had a question in all the financial institutions to see if the lap top computers were in fact compatible. Now that we know they are, we are adding those back in all of them and taking care of some other adjustments requested by the commissioner. I would move adoption of Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Discussion? Senator Zito.

SENATOR ZITO:

Yes, will the sponsor yield for a question, Mr. President?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Sponsor indicates he will yield. Senator Zito.

SENATOR ZITO:

Senator Carroll, how much are we adding back for the disclosure legislation?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President. Senator Zito, sixty-two thousand eight hundred for the implementation starting 1-1-89. Your bill is wending its way through the General Assembly. One way or the another we're going to probably have to adjust this in conference depending on what the start date is, if ever. This presumes passage but provides for 1-1-89.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Zito.

SENATOR ZITO:

Well, I would request that we would hold or withdraw that...those dollars for two reasons, Senator. Number one, we do currently have legislation moving through the General Assembly that would postpone the enactment date to January the 1st, 1989. Point two, I'm not so sure that the commissioner of banks and trusts is going to be the agency...governmental agency...state agency that's going to administer this program. Is there any way we can divide this amendment and...and take out the 67.8? I don't think we need to fund it at this time.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Well, let me suggest two things, Senator Zito, or three really. One, we are funding it based on a 1-1-89 start date, assuming that ends up being the operation of law as a start date which would be the date that your legislation would provide for. Two, the funds would, in fact, follow the substantive law. They would not stay in banks and trusts should your legislation or any other choose a different forum for the regulation of that Act. So, in those two questions, the answer is...you know, that it...this is enough money to begin 1-1-89 and it would follow the...the substantive legislation as to where the spending would have to take place. There's no way of dividing this but it's my understanding with the commissioner that he anticipates the House also holding on to this, sending it back to conference until the issue of the implementation of what had been Senate Bill 400 is ultimately resolved.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Zito.

SENATOR ZITO:

Well, thank...Senator, with that...with that proviso, I'll support the amendment but I would like a commitment that that money not be appropriated in the event, A, that the legislation moves through the General Assembly and, number two, if, in fact, the banks and trust...the commissioner's office is not the one discharged to execute the program, that the 67.8 be removed.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

For...for the record, I would so agree and I think Senator Weaver would have no problem with that either.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right, Senator Carroll has moved the...Senator Weaver.

SENATOR WEAVER:

Thank you, Mr. President. Well, speaking to the amendment, I'm not totally in agreement with the restoration of the turnover in hiring lag money. There's been an unusual number of retirements and the demands for the examiners grow every year, so...this bill will probably be back in conference committee, but I just would not want anybody to be set in concrete on...on the...this amendment, Senator Carroll.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right, Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1730. Further discussion? If not, those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 3 offered by Senator Etheredge.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Etheredge voluntarily withdraws Amendment No. 3.

Amendment No. 3 is withdrawn. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1731, Senator Topinka. Senate Bill 1731, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1731.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers one committee amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Why, thank you, Mr. President. Committee Amendment No. 1 would be the guidelines amendment. In this case, it would eliminate six vacancies that have been open for over a year and phase in the others. It reduces the Operating lines to the '88 level. We did in error...this is like earlier one where we would have not provided the average increase that we're providing to other agencies of government. I understand there will be a Floor amendment to correct that. This amendment did not deal with that issue. I would move adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. The motion carries. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Senator Etheredge offers Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Etheredge.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

If you would please withdraw this amendment with regret, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

The record will so indicate that you have withdrawn it with regret. Any further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1733, Senator Watson. Read the bill, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1733.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Oh,...Senate Bill 1732, Senator Etheredge. Read the bill, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1732.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers one committee amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This would be the guidelines amendment and also takes out some funds for substantive legislation creating a revolving fund which legislation has not yet winded its...revolved its way through the General Assembly. I would move adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by

saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Senator Etheredge offers Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Etheredge. He also withdraws it with regret. Any further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1733, Senator Watson. Read the bill, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1733.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers one committee amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This would be the guidelines amendment consolidating the operations at the nine divisions. We did, in...we will have a Floor amendment to correct some defects that would have taken some of these below the guidelines, they will be added back by way of Floor amendment...I would move, however, at this time for adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Committee

SB 1734
2nd Reading

Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Senator Carroll offers Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is some seventy-seven thousand seven hundred...in restoration of various other guidelines for equipment, et cetera in some of the lines. I would move its adoption and answer any questions.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

Senator Etheredge offers Amendment No. 3.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Etheredge. He withdraws it with regret. Any further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1734, Senator Schaffer. Read the bill, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1734.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers four committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Committee Amendment No. 1 is the guidelines amendment for the Office of the Department of Commerce and Community Affairs. I would move its adoption and answer any questions. We are trying to keep the federal dollars at the most recent funding level and I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. On behalf of my across the aisle seatmate, Senator Sam, this is the appropriations for SWIMPC. In past years NIPC and SWIMPC had to be added for the dues that the Department of Commerce normally paid. This year the department was smart enough to put in NIPC because that's been mine but left out Sam's, so we've added it by Committee Amendment No. 2. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 3.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Committee Amendment No. 3 is a transfer for the Richland Training Center. It is a transfer of funds, no additional money. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, those in favor...Senator Etheredge.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Thank you, Mr. President. I rise in...in opposition to this amendment. I have it on good authority that it is technically incorrect in that this money cannot be appropriated to an educational institution. This amendment would earmark some dollars to the Richland Community College and I...as I say, this is technically incorrect, it cannot be appropriated to an educational institution. I would ask that this amendment be...be withdrawn.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Discussion? Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

I assume that was a question. There's nothing to my knowledge that makes it technically incorrect. To the best of my knowledge, it can be appropriated in this manner. So, at this...I mean, if you're against helping training programs at Richland, that's one thing, but I know of nothing inconsistent with this amendment on the Industrial Training Program to give this grant for this high-tech. training center. It's a transfer, it's not new money, it's to transfer within the Industrial Training Program. If there's something technically incorrect, it...it isn't our knowledge. I would suggest we adopt it at this point, and if for some it's incorrect, that we can come back to it later and either correct it or...or remove it.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Etheredge.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Well, Senator, I have been informed that this money cannot be appropriated to educational institutions and I...and I believe that...that to be the case. I would suggest that each one of us has at least one community college in his...serving his district, and I say if we're going to...if you're going to start this business, I think that there are a number of us who might like to have that money appropriated to them, but as I...as I say, that...that cannot be done with this money.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

My huddle still does not indicate it incorrect. I know that most of the job training money...not most, a good portion of job training monies, in fact, are funneled through community colleges throughout this state. This would be industrial training program consistent with those job training programs. I don't see the inconsistency nor can I find one. So, I would move adoption of Amendment No. 3.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator DeAngelis.

SENATOR DeANGELIS:

Question of the sponsor, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

He indicates he'll yield.

SENATOR DeANGELIS:

If they are, in fact, allowed to be allocated, then who loses if you're moving it from where it's at to where it's going?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

We've never gotten a list from the department, so we don't know if they were going to use any of it, if it was just a wish list number. So,...and we've asked for their allocations, they claim they didn't have any, so, we're allocating. We're not adding, we're allocating. We do the same with some of the monies within the DOT line as well.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Amendment No. 3 to Senate Bill 1734. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. A roll call has been requested. Those in favor of adopting Amendment No. 3 will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 30, the Nays are 28, none voting Present. Amendment No. 3 having received the majority vote is declared adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 4 offered...Committee Amendment No. 4.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Committee Amendment No. 4 is a reallocation of two million of the Industrial Training Program mature industries appropriation to the largest employer in Illinois, Caterpillar Tractor. They are clearly a mature industry. They are clearly eligible for industrial training. They have been promised this forever and ever and as the largest employer in Illinois, I think it's a statement we also would like to make. So, I would move adoption of Amendment No. 4.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Discussion? Senator Schaffer.

SENATOR SCHAFFER:

Well, we're...we're moving down an interesting road here. As the sponsor of the bill, I've just gotten a list of some of the projects that were to be funded. Now that we are putting all this money...allocating it to our...I guess by caprice, it's my understanding that they're...by the time we get through, we'll have a hundred thousand dollars left and I...I think at least a few people might be interested in those things that are, in fact, going to be cut out of the process. Senator Hawkinson probably might be interested that the Allied Home...Appliance Company in Galesburg won't be getting their money. Let's see here. Senator Severns has even shut down the Diesel Kiwi Company in...in her district. Senator O'Daniel has put it to Fedders. Let's see, Senator Vadalabene has put it to Granite City Steel. Senator Welch is in the process of putting it to Libby-Owens-Ford. Senator Zito is in the process of putting it to Navastar. Senator D'Arco is in the process of putting it to, I may be mispronounce this, Pandec Midwest, Inc. in Chicago. And Senator...Holmberg is putting it to Woodward Governor. Let's have a roll call. Let's put those people out of business.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Discussion? Senator Demuzio.

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

Am I correct that this amendment is for two million dollars? And if I am, I just wanted to know when I see Roland Burris later on this afternoon to tell him where his two million dollars went for his CUSAS System. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Jacobs.

SENATOR JACOBS:

Thank you, Mr. President. Inasmuch as I have an amendment coming up next which asks for the same thing for Deere and for Case Tenneco, I think a case has got to be made here and part of the problem that I see is not so much who's going

to get the money. DCCA, I think, has tried to be fair in how the money was allocated in the past, but whenever we have a...a measly seventeen percent of our total training funds going to mature industries, that makes no sense to me. We have forgotten our old customers. We're...we're...we're very quick to give fifteen million dollars to Diamond-Star for...for their training funds. In my case, coming up later as in Cat, we're talking about one of the top ten employers, we're talking about mature industries, Deere being a hundred and fifty-one years old this year. I think that it's time that we take care of these industries that are mature and take care of those industries that have put a recommitment back into Illinois which I know that Caterpillar has done and I know for a fact that Deere has done with a hundred and fifty million and Case Tenneco with about fifteen million, and I think it's time that we take care of those companies on line item.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Luft.

SENATOR LUFT:

Thank you, Mr. President. I'd only like to make the...case for Caterpillar, and I suppose I have a conflict of interest since I...or a parochial interest rather than a conflict of interest since I represent Caterpillar. The simple fact is that Caterpillar in the last two years has expended almost seventy million dollars in their plants so they could stay in the State of Illinois and maintain a profitability. They were promised, I am told, job training monies, and if you would note, in the last two fiscal years I think there was a line item for Caterpillar. For some reason, this year it was not put in. What we are simply doing is satisfying the commitment that the State of Illinois made to Caterpillar when they made the seventy million dollars investments and that's why I'm support this amend-

ment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Further discussion? Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. You know, I find it interesting and it reminds me, unfortunately, Jack Schaffer and I have been here probably too long already. Nothing is new that happens in General Assembly. We had asked for a list from the department for a long time. Not only didn't we get one, we were told, basically, there wasn't one. But it reminds me of the year where we once cut out three jobs in a department and had twelve people come to the door that they were fired; another department was something like six jobs and thirty people showed up with pink slips. It sounds like the type of list that Senator Schaffer has received that we have not, and it's a list probably five or six times the amount that's in the lump sum appropriation of the department. We don't know how this was spent. We asked. We asked in committee. We're told we would eventually, probably, maybe hear. Suddenly there's a list that exists. I would doubt, if they run true to form, that there's that many Democratic districts that are getting any funds. If they run true to form, we run twenty, thirty percent of anything they allocate. I think Caterpillar is worthy of state funds. I think it's a mature industry. I think we will find some others in...in the future as we go along, and, again, this is merely a transfer that says, "for training for mature industries." We, the General Assembly, should have some decision in this process. I'd move adoption of Amendment No. 4.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Schaffer.

SENATOR SCHAFFER:

Well, if...if I can in response...Senator Carroll, I

didn't see the list until ten minutes ago and I'm told it...it adds up to just slightly over the appropriation and it is a tentative list because some of these companies may not, in fact, ask for or qualify for everything here; but I would submit that these are the companies that have been working with DCCA for this money, and I might add as I go through this, I...I look at companies like Woodward Governor and Libby-Owen, you know, these are...these are old Illinois companies, these are mature companies that have been working with DCCA and have some reason to think they have a shot at this money. I don't know that we want to get in this process of...that you have to come to your legislator for a line item appropriation for training money. I think this is a scary concept, and while I fully agree with the chairman of the committee that this sort of information should be more readily available than it apparently has been, this is a bad concept and I...I might add, there's nothing on here for my district and I have nothing against Caterpillar, but this is no way to run a railroad.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Again, on Amendment No. 4, Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

To close for the second time, Mr. President. Let me merely state...you know, I haven't seen the list, even though we asked for it, and you point out that it's already over the amount of the lump sum and it doesn't include a lot of the promises that had already been made to people like Caterpillar and others who, in fact, had gotten the same type of commitments you seem to be talking about. You know, if they'd come in with a line item appropriation, we could have dealt with it as well. Whoever is going to allocate, I think everybody's entitled to know who and what and how and where and that's all that this transfer is trying to do. It's just saying, here's the ones we know about; I mean, it's quite

clear from your explanation or from the explanation we just heard, that list, which may have been just developed this morning, is over and above the amount that would have been appropriated and it doesn't count for the other promises that have already been made to people like Cat. Again, I say that, you know, there's nothing in here for my district either way. We don't let Caterpillar products even down our streets, they'd ruin them. That's not the issue. The issue is these are mature industries that we have the right to allocate, especially when the department doesn't and I would move adoption of Amendment No. 4.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

All those in favor of adopting Amendment No. 4 will signify by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 4 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Maitland, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR MAITLAND:

Roll call.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

A roll call has been requested on the adoption of Amendment No. 4 to Senate Bill 1734. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question,...the Yeas are 30, the Nays are 23, none voting Present. Amendment No. 4 having received a majority vote is declared adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Senator Jacob offers Amendment No. 5.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Jacob.

SENATOR JACOBS:

Thank you, Mr. President. This amendment just follows along the lines of the previous two committee amendments. This reallocates 1.4 million dollars from the Industrial Training Program's mature industries appropriation for separate grants to Deere and Company in the amount of a million dollars and Case Tenneco in the amount of four hundred thousand. I, too, requested from Illinois Department of Commerce and Community Affairs a breakdown and on May 12th, I received what we got last year and didn't get anything as to what was going to be reallocated this year. Deere and Company, as...as I indicated earlier, in their hundred and fifty-first year of business, they have...they have recommitted one hundred and fifty million dollars to maintain a combine plant in the City of East Moline. Case Tenneco is one of the other last bastions of farm implement in the State of Illinois who has allocated around twenty million dollars to their plant to not only continue on with the...the Case Tenneco combine but also with cotton pickers which is a new line. I would ask for a favorable roll call.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? Senator DeAngelis.

SENATOR DeANGELIS:

Yeah, a question of the sponsor.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Indicates he'll yield.

SENATOR DeANGELIS:

If we were to aggregate the Richland amendment, the Caterpillar amendment and your amendment, how much money is left in this program?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Jacobs.

SENATOR JACOBS:

Afterwards, there will be one hundred and forty-six thousand two hundred dollars. And, again, let me reiterate that this again...when the...the money was committed there was a commitment that training funds would be forthcoming.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator DeAngelis.

SENATOR DeANGELIS:

Well, I'm glad that we're recognizing in Illinois that there are only three mature industries and one mature community college. That's really great for Illinois.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Maitland.

SENATOR MAITLAND:

Well, thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. I think Senator Schaffer pretty well went down the list of the mature industries that are on this list. Deere and Company doesn't happen to be on the list and I can understand Senator Jacobs' reason for...for wanting to make them...give them a part of the pot, but the same legislators are going to be, once again, affected by...by the movement of this money into Deere and Company, and I would...would urge you to at least consider what you're doing here.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, Senator Jacobs moves the adoption of Amendment No. 5 to Senate Bill 1734. Those in favor will signify by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Senator Maitland requests a roll call. Those in favor...the adoption of Amendment No. 5 will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 30, the Nays

are 26, none voting Present. Amendment No. 5 having received a majority vote is declared adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 6 offered by Senators Rock...Senators Luft and Rock.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Luft.

SENATOR LUFT:

Is this...thank you, Mr. President. This is a million and a half dollars for the Tourism Promotion Fund at DCCA for the national advertising and promotion campaign. It restores a half a million dollars that was taken out in committee and the other million dollars we have been convinced is needed for this program. It's my understanding, and someone may correct me if I'm wrong, that there is a fund that has been set up specifically for this program. That fund has an excess of four million dollars at this point and we would like, through this amendment, to add 1.5 million dollars for the program. I'd move for the adoption of the amendment or answer any questions.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 6 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 7 offered by Senator Etheredge.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Etheredge.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Withdraw...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Etheredge...on Amendment No...No. 7.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

...thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of

the Senate. What this amendment does, it is an add-back. It restores funding for the merit compensation salary increases that were eliminated in Senate Amendment No. 1. Think we've had the discussion on this amendment before. I think you all know what it is. I would ask for a favorable roll call.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Once again, I would oppose this amendment. Again we are talking about that there is enough, there's eighty million dollars available in the turnover in hiring lag to give these types of increases, in addition to which without this there's five, six or seven percent increase across the board. These monies are better spent for other items than to add to the ninety-five million, which we've cut down to seventy, plus the eighty in turnover, which would be a hundred and fifty million for pay raises. I think that it is better spent in the areas that we have been talking about, like mental health, corrections, et cetera. I would urge the defeat of Amendment No. 7.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there further discussion? Senator Etheredge.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

I would ask for a favorable roll call, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Etheredge moves the adoption of Amendment No. 7 to Senate Bill 1734. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 27, the Nays are 30, none voting Present. Amendment No. 7 having failed to receive a majority vote is declared lost. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 8 offered by Senator Vadalabene.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Vadalabene.

SENATOR VADALABENE:

Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. This is a Floor amendment and the reason for this amendment...I think you've heard me discuss this on two or three different occasions. When the Edwardsville Band...or Orchestra went to Austria, they had a commitment from the State of Illinois to go ahead to Austria and they went to the bank and got the twenty thousand dollars for a...for a reimbursement when they come back from...from Austria. The State of Illinois...at that time representing the Governor was Kirk Dillard, Steve McClure was representing DCCA, the appropriation staffs, the high school principal, the unit superintendent were all in a meeting and agreed that the commitment from the state would be obligated to the Edwardsville Orchestra. So, they went ahead and went to Austria before the appropriations could be allocated to the school. Well, lo and behold, the...the...the parents set up that four hundred dollars per family at the Edwardsville National Bank. During the conference committee or whatever happened, they kicked out that twenty thousand dollars. My concern is, this is a small amount of money, but it...it has brought shame to the State of Illinois, to the General Assembly and to the Governor's Office that these families all had to borrow another four hundred dollars on a commitment that was made here in Springfield, and what...what I'm trying to do is get this money reapropriated so that these families can...be reimbursed, and this is what this amendment does.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? Senator Etheredge. Discussion? If not, those in favor will signify by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 8 is adopted.

SB 1735
2nd Reading

Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1735, Senator Philip. Read the bill, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1735.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers two committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Committee Amendment No. 1 is the...the guidelines amendment that we had adopted using the turnover in hiring lag factor phasing in the vacancies, reducing the other operating lines to the '88 level. I would move adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Committee Amendment No. 2 is a hundred thousand dollar study of the Illinois River. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Senator Carroll offers Amendment No. 3.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Committee...or Floor Amendment No. 3 is a restoration amendment to line item the Illinois Conservation Corps and Commodities and add back the Commodities line in the Land Management Section. I would move adoption of Amendment No. 3.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 3 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 4 offered by Senator Etheredge.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Etheredge.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is an add-back amendment which...similar to some of the others that we've talked about which would restore funding for merit compensation salary increases. I would ask for your favorable consideration.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Not to repeat, repeat and repeat, this is the increase in salaries over and above the hundred and fifty million increase provided in the budget. I would urge a...a rejection of Amendment No. 4.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Any further discussion? Senator Philip.

SENATOR PHILIP:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. If we can afford a hundred thousand dollar study for the rivers, we certainly ought to be able to afford some merit increases for those people who do good work and we ought to support this amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Rock.

SENATOR ROCK:

Well, I rise in opposition to this amendment as I was opposed to the other amendments; and I might just add, it's a little inconsistent it seems to me for one who not so very long ago was beating the drum against some merit increase for members of the General Assembly to be offering these kinds of amendments on a regular basis.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there further discussion? Senator Philip.

SENATOR PHILIP:

Well, as long as the President of the Senate brought that subject up, when are we going to get to that business? We'd like to have a vote on it. I see that some of your members running for reelection are running around their district saying they're against the pay raise. We're ready to vote. We've been ready to vote ever since it was introduced.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Further discussion? If not, those in favor of the adoption of Amendment No. 4 signify by saying Aye. Those

opposed. The Ayes...a roll call has been requested. Those in favor of adopting Amendment No. 4 will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 28, the Nays are 28, none voting Present. Amendment No. 4 having failed to receive a majority is declared lost. Further amendments? Senator Etheredge, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Mr. President, I would ask for a verification of the negative votes.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Etheredge has requested a verification. Will all the Senators be in their seats and will the Secretary read the negative votes.

SECRETARY:

Alexander, Berman, Carroll, Collins, D'Arco, Degnan, Demuzio, Thomas Dunn, Hall, Holmberg, Jacobs, Jones, Jerome Joyce, Kelly, Lechowicz, Luft, Marovitz, Netsch, Newhouse, O'Daniel, Poshard, Savickas, Severns, Smith, Vadalabene, Welch, Zito and Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Etheredge, do you question any of the negative vote?

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Senator Collins.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is Senator Collins on the Floor? Senator Collins. Is Senator Collins on the...there...she's in the back of the room there.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Senator Jones.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Jones. He's standing there talking.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Senator Netsch.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Netsch is in the rear of the room. On a verified roll call, the Yeas are 28, the Nays are 28. Amendment No. 4 having failed to receive a majority vote is declared lost. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1736, Senator Dudycz. Read the bill, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1736.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers one committee amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Amendment No. 1 is the guidelines amendment eliminating the long-term vacancies, keeping the salaries with...in line with the...the increase in salaries with...in line within the five, six, seven percent then putting everything else at the Fiscal '88 spending level. I would move adoption of Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Senator Etheredge offers Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

He has withdrawn that. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1737, Senator Schaffer.
Read...read the bill, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1737.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers one committee amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL: .

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Committee Amendment No. 1 would return their Operations other than Personal Services to the '88 level and allowing the approved growth in salaries in the Personal Services line. I would move adoption of Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by...Senator Schaffer.

SENATOR SCHAFFER:

Mr...Mr. Chairman, does this take out the pay raises for the nonunionized employees in this agency?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Senator Schaffer, it should allow for roughly five, six,

seven percent across the board in pay increases. There is no separation in lines.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Schaffer.

SENATOR SCHAFFER:

We are informed it takes out...the...since everyone this is a completely merit comp. unit and I think you may have a problem with some of these small ones. You may recall, we talked about harpooning the whales and the minnows. I think this eliminates the chance for any form of pay raise for those employees.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Senator Schaffer, the whales seemed to have have eaten the minnows. This has five percent in it as we did with the Comptroller's Budget or at least it's supposed to; if not, we'll make the adjustment later. It was the intent that we make sure that overall an agency has five percent one way or the other. This is supposed to have it, that's why I said that in my explanation of the amendment that that line was not left to the '88 level. If we've made a mistake, we will correct it.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Further discussion? If not, Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1737. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Senator Etheredge offers Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

He has withdrawn that. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1738, Senator Schaffer. Read the bill, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1738.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers three committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Committee Amendment No. 1 would be the guidelines amendment keeping people at the entry level, et cetera, making the type of reductions we had talked about within the guidelines. I would move adoption of Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Carroll. Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. After discussion between Senator Poshard and Director Jackson, the...having voted on the prevailing side, I would move that Committee Amendment No. 2 be Tabled.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

You've heard the motion, is there discussion? All those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The motion carries. The amendment is Tabled. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 3.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Furthering the same line, Senator Luft has had discussions with Director Ward Jackson. I would move that Committee Amendment No. 3 be Tabled.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

You've heard the motion. Hearing no opposition, leave is granted. Amendment No. 3 is Tabled. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Senator Etheredge offers Amendment No. 4.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

He has withdrawn that. Further Floor amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1739, Senator Etheredge. Read...read the bill, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1739.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The...Committee on Appropriations I offers one committee amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Etheredge...I mean, Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Not yet. Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, Committee Amendment No. 1 is the guidelines amendment as the reclassification turnover in hiring lag, the long-term vacancies, keeping the GRF funded lines to the '88 level. I would move adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Senator Vadalabene offers Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Vadalabene.

SENATOR VADALABENE:

Yes, thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate...Amendment No. 2 adds six hundred and fifty thousand dollars for a storm sewer project in Hartford, Illinois. Two years ago Director Carlson and Bernie Killian and the city officials of Hartford met and the...they instructed the city officials of Hartford to go back and do their design and their art work and so forth and then come back with the...with the first phase. At the time when they came back, the EPA budget bill was over in the House. So, Director Carlson and the...the present director now said to put the amendment over...put the amendment in the...in the House. The House rejected the amendment and so we're back here again today to put the amendment on the EPA bill that was instructed by the EPA, and I move for its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? Senator Etheredge.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. I...I rise in opposition to this amendment. I think that each and every one of us has at least one sewer project that we would like to have undertaken with...within our district and there is a process that has been established for municipalities to prepare project applications, have them go through the process, get in line and at the appropriate time be funded. So, I would...I would ask that this amendment be rejected.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Demuzio.

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

Well, I'm not rising to support or...in opposition to this amendment. I would just point out that of the two hundred and thirty-six communities that are in Illinois that have to meet the federal mandate by July the 1st, I have fifteen in my legislative district and I'm sure that there are many others in here that have several in...in their own district. We are attempting through both sides of the aisle to negotiate some sort of resolve to this question by virtue of a bill that is on the Calendar now that would provide for seventy...that would provide for the Revolving Loan Program and, frankly, we haven't identified the funding source yet. I think that, you know, this...this might be a little bit premature to do this and it would just...simply send a bad message, I think, to the fifteen in my district that are waiting for their money too that...if we're going to put this on then, Senator Etheredge, I would like to have a request that you bring this...bring this bill back from 3rd reading so I can put all of mine on too. If that...if that's...if that's the understanding, why, I'd be glad to go along with

your program today.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Maitland.

SENATOR MAITLAND:

Thank you, very much, Mr. President and members of the Senate. Just to support Senator Demuzio, the bill that he has that addresses this problem is one that we all need to support. I think every one of us, Senator, have a...at least one community that's affected here and I would assume that the City of Hartford is...is on the list of two hundred and thirty-six. Is that not correct?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Vadalabene.

SENATOR VADALABENE:

Well, I'm just going to agree that you...what you assume, I don't know. But I would like to make a comment and maybe we can get rid of this discussion.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Maitland. Senator Vadalabene.

SENATOR VADALABENE:

Yes, let me...say that I am going to withdraw the amendment, but let me qualify that by saying that I went through all the systems, I went to all the agencies, we...we did the job that we did. Now, I'm sure that there's communities who want sewer projects and so forth, and I'm wondering how many of them went to the time and effort, got the design, got the sewer project, the study and so forth just like the director said to do; and when we come back, I'm going to withdraw it but I did do my homework.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Vadalabene seeks leave of the Body to withdraw Amendment No. 2 from consideration. Is...hearing no objection, leave is granted. Amendment No. 2 is withdrawn. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Etheredge.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Etheredge. He seeks leave to withdraw it too.
Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1740, Senator Etheredge. Read the bill, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1740.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers two committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is a...the Trust Fund. They had an error place some operating money in the Trust Fund. Amendment No. 1 withdraws those operating funds which we believe would not be appropriate within the Trust Fund budget and I would move adoption of Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the

Senate. This amendment is a replacement of a water well with...in Oreana. This was in line with what the Trust Fund was created to do. This is what was...Senator Schaffer had suggested in a project for him awhile ago and I would move adoption of Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? Senator Etheredge.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. I...I rise in opposition to this amendment. There are not sufficient funds in this budget to accommodate this project. If we approve this amendment, then what we're doing is knocking out other projects in other districts that have been approved and that are supported by the Department of Agriculture, the Department of Energy and Natural Resources and...and other agencies. I think this project ought to be treated like all the others, it ought to get in line and wait until there is sufficient money in the Trust Fund to pay for it. I would ask for a No vote on this amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Further discussion? Senator Severns.

SENATOR SEVERNS:

Thank you, Mr. President. I might just point out, Senator, that this appropriation is...is the same way that Senator Schaffer successfully last year had his project in Harvard funded for nearly the same amount. So, following his...leadership on this, I thought it was an appropriate way to do it.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Further discussion? Senator Schaffer.

SENATOR SCHAFFER:

Well, obviously, I think we all have to be sympathetic. I would respectfully point out that the project that we did last year for Harvard, for which I am very grateful and the

people of Harvard are very grateful, involved a well that had been poisoned by a commercial pollutant and the stipulation in the Act was that we would find out who did that and go after them for the money and the state would be reimbursed, and I'm, I think, fairly confident from what I hear up there that they are very close to putting together a legal case to go after the offenders to get our money back. So, there is...obviously some differences but, obviously, I am sympathetic but it's not quite apples and oranges.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Further discussion? Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

To close, I assume, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

To close, hopefully.

SENATOR CARROLL:

And...you know, the apples and oranges are probably what contaminated Schaffer's well. This is a like situation, like use of funds. I would move adoption of Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

All those in favor indicate by saying Aye. A roll call has been requested. All those in favor of the adoption of Amendment No. 2 will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Yeas are 30, the Nays are 28, none voting Present. Amendment No. 2 having received a majority vote is declared adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendment...committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1741, Senator Donahue. Read the bill, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1741.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senate Bill 1742, Senator Mahar. Read the bill, Madam Secretary. I'm sorry, Miss...Madam Secretary, on Senate Bill 1741, if there were no committee amendments and no amendments on the Floor, we will move it to 3rd reading. Senate Bill 1742. Read the bill, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1742.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers two committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Carroll.

END OF REEL

REEL #3

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Committee Amendment No. 1 is the general guidelines amendment keeping it at the 1988 spending level but providing for a generally five percent increase for those Personal Service lines. I would move adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This would provide that certain of the examinations still be conducted by contract rather than bringing in new trainees for that position. I would move adoption of Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Senator Etheredge offers Amendment No. 3.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Etheredge. He withdraws that amendment. Further Floor amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1743, Senator Karpziel. Read the bill, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1743.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers one committee amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Committee Amendment No. 1 is the guidelines amendment. It allows Personal Services to have that roughly five percent...step increase, reducing the other operating lines to the FY '88 estimated expenditure level. I would move adoption of Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Senator Etheredge offers Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

He withdraws it. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1744, Senator Philip. Read the bill, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1744.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers one committee amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Committee Amendment No. 1 is a general guidelines amendment adjusted, however, to allow for the fifteen percent increase in advertising and new games promotion. While we did apply the guidelines everywhere else, we recognize that...that the revenues that can be once again restored by a better advertising plan have to be allowed because that adds more money for common schools, et cetera. So with that caveat to the guideline, the rest of it was a guidelines amendment. I would move adoption of Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Senator Carroll offers Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. After a request from the Office of the Governor we are adding back five of the sales reps. so that they can go out and actually get these new lottery machines on line. I would move adoption of Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 3 offered by Senator Etheredge.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

He withdraws it. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1745, Senator Maitland. Senate Bill 1746, Senator Dudycz. Read the bill, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1746.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers one committee amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Committee Amendment No. 1 is the guidelines amendment and again allows for a roughly five percent funding level for salary increases, most particularly to the naval militia as well as the other. I'd move adoption of Amendment

No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Discussion? Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of...Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1746. Those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Senator Etheredge offers Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Etheredge. Senator Etheredge seeks leave of the Body to withdraw Amendment No. 2. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1747, Madam Secretary. Read the bill.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1747.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers one committee amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Committee Amendment No. 1 is basically the guidelines amendment for the Department of Nuclear Safety eliminating the extra salary increases that were quite extensive. I would move adoption of Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1747. Discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Senator Etheredge offers Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Etheredge seeks leave of the Body to withdraw Amendment No. 2. Leave granted? Leave is granted. Amendment No. 2 is withdrawn. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1748. Madam Secretary, read the bill.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1748.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers one committee amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Committee Amendment No. 1 is the guidelines amendment that would still allow for the...roughly five percent salary increases. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to...Senate Bill 1748. If not...discussion? If not, those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Senator Etheredge offers Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Etheredge seeks leave of the Body to withdraw Amendment No. 2. Further...leave is granted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1749. Madam Secretary, read the bill.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1749.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any...amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1750, Senator Donahue. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill...1-7-5-0, Madam Secretary. Read the bill, please.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1750.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers one committee amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Committee Amendment No. 1 is the guidelines amendment. As I indicated before, we had also in that amendment taken out the microcomputer till we were sure they were all compatible. We'll have a Floor amendment to correct that now that we've worked out a system with CMS. I would move adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Discussion? Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1750. Those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Senator Carroll offers Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is the add-back of those lap-top computers. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Discussion? Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1750. Those in favor will

indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it.
Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Senator Etheredge offers Amendment No. 3.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Etheredge seeks leave of the Body to withdraw
Amendment No. 3. Leave granted? Leave is granted. Amend-
ment No. 3 is withdrawn. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1751, Senator Etheredge. Madam
Secretary, Senate Bill 1751. Read the bill, please.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1751.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations II
offers one committee amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the
Senate. On behalf of Senator Hall, Committee Amendment No. 1
is the guidelines amendment taking out some of the lump sum
payments, phasing in the vacancies, keeping the general
Fiscal Year '88 General Revenue Fund level. And I would move
adoption of Amendment No. 1. It does provide for federal
dollars at the expected receipts level.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Discussion? Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of
Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1751. Those in
favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes
have it. Amendment...Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted.
Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Senator Carroll offers Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is for a seven percent rate increase of the providers of service for alcohol and substance abuse. As we had indicated earlier when we discussed the guidelines, we recognize that providers of state governmental services in many of the areas had not received any kind of increase last year nor were they budgeted for one this year. That is just an...unacceptable allocation of taxpayer monies. This would provide, in fact, a seven percent increase which reflects the cost of living adjustment for last fiscal year as catchup and this coming fiscal year. While we recognize it may not be enough for alcohol and substance abuse as it is not enough in some of the other areas, unless there is additional revenue available to the state, this is still a priority within available revenue and this would add that seven percent...rate increase. I would move adoption of Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Discussion? Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill...1751. Those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 3 offered by Senator Maitland.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Maitland...seeks leave of the Body to have Amendment No. 3 withdrawn. Is leave granted? Leave is...leave is granted. Amendment No. 3 is withdrawn. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 3 offered by Senator Fawell.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Fawell.

SENATOR FAWELL:

Thank you, very much. This is...this amendment actually gives five million dollars for the treatment for AIDS. I understand that we've got a big problem right now trying to find out where we're going to get the money for a lot of these programs. But I think you've all gotten material that has pointed out to you that if we don't do this that what's going to happen in the long-run is we are going to end up spending a heck of a lot more money. AIDS is increasing, we are doubling AIDS every eight months in this state by the IV drug users. I think this...is an issue that has to be addressed. This bill will go into conference and I would like to see this amendment put on so at least that the subject is discussed. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Fawell has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 3. Discussion? Senator Rock.

SENATOR ROCK:

Thank you, Mr. President. Question of the sponsor, if she'll yield.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Sponsor indicates she will yield. Senator Rock.

SENATOR ROCK:

Does this purport to give away free clean needles or something? What...tell me what, please, are we about here?

SENATOR FAWELL:

This is a proposal...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Fawell.

SENATOR FAWELL:

...this is a proposal that has been submitted by the Illinois Association of...of Drug...Provider...Drug Providers that...that take care of the drug addicts, not the drug providers. And...and they are trying to set up programs to get these IV drug users off of the streets and into treatment. And it has been proven,...in fact, I've got all kinds of graphs and material here if you'd like to see them. And you've gotten some material in your offices that they have distributed that proves that it will save money even the first year. If we can get them off the streets and get them into programs, we will not have to spend the money for the AIDS treatment and, frankly, we will be saving lives.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Rock.

SENATOR ROCK:

Well, I...I reluctantly rise in opposition to Amendment No. 3. I am sure the program is worthwhile, I am sure that at some point down the road it's something we ought to discuss. Has the department itself taken a position on this amendment?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Fawell.

SENATOR FAWELL:

The department basically is neutral on the position, they would...they would like to see the money but they also understand the budgetary constraints.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Rock.

SENATOR ROCK:

Well, I...I suppose that that is the...the basic reason then for my reluctant opposition. I don't know that we're in

a position to embark on a...on a new program, the initial cost, at least, to which is five million dollars which we at this moment, frankly don't have. So I would urge opposition to Amendment No. 3.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? Senator Lechowicz.

SENATOR LECHOWICZ:

Thank you, Mr. President. Will the sponsor yield to a question?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Indicates she will yield. Senator Lechowicz.

SENATOR LECHOWICZ:

Is this five million dollars of the general revenue funds?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Fawell.

SENATOR FAWELL:

Yes.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Lechowicz.

SENATOR LECHOWICZ:

Has BOB approved this amendment?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Fawell.

SENATOR FAWELL:

No. I'm trying to get it into a conference committee. If we do not start doing something very soon, by the year...1992, it is estimated that we will...without treatment on demand, we will...there will be twenty-four hundred citizens of the State of Illinois that will die from AIDS.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator...Senator Lechowicz.

SENATOR LECHOWICZ:

Well, thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of

the Senate. I, too, oppose this amendment. At a time when we're supposed to have a very tight and a restrained budget, here we have a matter that is being proposed at a five million dollar cost figure which has not been approved by the department nor by the Bureau of the Budget. I can understand the lady's concern as far as the...the tragic implications and possibly that this may be...some procedure that may work but it may not. And at this time, I'll have to be opposing this amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. I, too, will rise in opposition. Although this may be a worthwhile program, and I think that there hasn't been much discussion on the substance of the program, this is merely an appropriation. I think Senator Fawell has indicated that it's an issue she would like discussed in conference. With what's happening with the revenue stream, I've just got a hunch that all of these are going to end up in conference and that would be the appropriate time to discuss it if revenues are available. Absent available revenues, I think it should be opposed at this time.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? If not, Senator Fawell, you may close.

SENATOR FAWELL:

...thank you, very much. I...I understand this is a very difficult vote for all of us. Frankly, a lot of these...these people are not in my district, they're in...in Chicago. And the problem that we've got is not going to go away. If we don't do this now, as the Public Aid Department told us in committee, if you don't give the five million dollars now, you will be giving them two to three times the

amount next year.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Pardon...pardon me, Senator...Senator Fawell. Senator D'Arco, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR D'ARCO:

Point of order, Mr. President. I mean, she said all the IV drug users are in Chicago and nowhere else. I mean, you know, this...this is a big state and there's drug users all over this state and some are in Chicago and some are in Rockford and some are in DuPage and all over the State of Illinois, you know.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Well,...all right. Senator Fawell, you may...you may continue to close.

SENATOR FAWELL:

I...I apologize, your...your point is well-taken; obviously, I have them too. DuPage County is the second highest county with...with...with the AIDS problem. I merely ask your support for this amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Fawell has moved the adoption of...Amendment No. 3 to Senate Bill 1751. Those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Nays...Nays have it. The amendment fails. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1752, Senator Fawell. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1752, Madam Secretary. Read the bill.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1752.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I

offers one committee amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Appropriations II, Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Amendment No. 1 to 1752 reduces Operation by eliminating merit compensation, a double-budgeted position, phase in long-term vacancies and to raise turnovers in hiring, reduces other lines to the FY '88 payout level. I move for the adoption of Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Hall has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1752. Is there discussion? If not, those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Senator Maitland offers Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Maitland seeks leave of the Body...to withdraw Amendment No. 2. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. Amendment No. 2 is withdrawn. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Senator Maitland offers Amendment No. 3...2, pardon me.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Maitland, my Calendar doesn't indicate such an amendment. Senator Maitland.

SENATOR MAITLAND:

I believe...I believe, Mr. President, we only had one amendment...okay.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading...1753, Senator Mahar. Senator Mahar on the Floor?...Senator Maitland indicates that...Senator Mahar's absence he wishes to be granted leave to sponsor. Okay...Senate Bill 1753, Madam Secretary. Read the bill.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1753.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations II offers one committee amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This 1753 is the Medical Center Commission. Committee Amendment No. 1 reduces Operation, provide guideline salary increases. I move for the adoption of Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Hall has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1753. Is there discussion? If not, those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Senator Maitland offers Amendment No. 2.

SB 1754
2nd Reading

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Maitland seeks leave of the Body to withdraw Amendment No. 2. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. Amendment No. 2 is withdrawn. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1754. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd reading is Senate Bill 1754, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1754.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations II offers one committee amendment.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Amendment No. 1...mental health amendment 1754 reduces Operation by 5.5 million primarily due to cut in merit's compensation. It's a turnover increase slightly and overtime cutback at some institutions. Guidelines apply and central office not at facilities. A five percent or thirty million increase in Operation remain as opposed to 35.6 million request. 15.4 million is added to the seven percent COLA for various Community Grant lines. I move for the adoption of Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Hall has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1754. Is there any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Senator Carroll offers Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll on Amendment No. 2.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. In addition to the seven percent increase that we had had to provide a cost of living increase to providers we felt that it was very essential that we opened up some additional slots for those who were both caregivers to mentally ill, individual slots for those who are mentally ill and those who are developmentally disabled. At this stage what we were able to identify was two million available to each of those categories so that Amendment No. 2 would provide for a total of four million; two million for mentally ill individuals and two million for developmentally disabled to create some additional slots to get at the backlog that the department has unfortunately had of clients in need of service who they had not been serving. I would move adoption of Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1754. Any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 3 offered by Senator Maitland.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Maitland. Senator Maitland.

SENATOR MAITLAND:

Thank you, Mr. President, members of the Senate. This,

of course, is the same amendment we've been offering all afternoon. It...it does restore funding of the merit compensation salary increases that were eliminated in the...in the previous amendment. And I plead with you, of...of all the...of all the attempts we've made this afternoon, this is the one that's the most critically important. Every member in this Body has heard from various community based facilities around this state and all of us support those community based facilities. We go home to our district and we talk about the importance of providing that close-to-home care for people who in many instances would...be institutionalized, and yet we are continually shorting that area. I...I can't tell you how many groups have come to me just this past weekend urging me to help wherever they can. The waiting list is there. People need the care and we can't continue to short the community based facilities. And I would urge support of Amendment No. 3.

PRESIDENT:

All right. Senator Maitland has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 3 to Senate Bill 1754. Discussion? Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Question of the sponsor. I heard the explanation and it doesn't line up with the amendment. The community based were what we provided for in both Amendment No. 1 and Amendment No. 2. Amendment No. 3, at least what we have seen, is strictly a pay increase for the higher level people.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Maitland.

SENATOR MAITLAND:

The high...Senator Carroll, I apologize, you are absolutely correct. This is for the state employees, yes.

PRESIDENT:

All right. Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

In that case, let me use Senator Maitland's speech for Amendment No. 2 that I already adopted. The people have been coming in saying the money should be allocated for community care. We did that in Amendment No. 1 and in Amendment No. 2. This amendment, however, says to the higher priced ones, five, six, seven percent across the board is not enough, it's additional compensation for those people above what we're giving to others in state government. As we've said a hundred times before, hundred and fifty million is enough. I would urge defeat of Amendment No. 3.

PRESIDENT:

Further discussion? Senator Schaffer.

SENATOR SCHAFFER:

Well, I'm a little frustrated. In the committee, I suggested that this...technique or procedure was going to have some negative impact, particularly in this department. Now I would respectfully point out to the members that, bear in mind, the director's salary and the assistant director's, the big buck people, relatively speaking, are not in this budget. This is the...primarily the salary increases you're taking away here are for the supervisors and the low-level management people within the department. But in reality what's probably going to happen if this procedure goes all the way through is that the Governor is...is going to be faced with some very difficult alternatives and his director is going to...whoever that director is is going to have some very difficult alternatives, because in the mental...Department of Mental Health in the institutions there is not a great deal of salary range between the unionized employees and the nonunionized merit employees who are generally in the supervisory or policy positions. What the director and the Governor will probably be forced to do, and this is, in fact, what we're voting on, is to cut a million seven off of the payroll

in the institutions to make up the salary increases so they can grant salary increases so the institutions stay manageable. Many of the management people would find themselves in positions of being paid less than the people they are allegedly supervising. All of them have rights to bump back down into those positions and that's obviously what they would do in large numbers. And who would be left to run the...the cottages and be the managers for the various buildings? What we're voting on here is a million seven in reduction in personnel in the state institutions, and it won't just be merit comp., it will be union employees and nonunion employees at a time when we are striving to get to a decent ratio of staff to client. Please do not be confused by any rhetoric. This is a vote to reduce the number of people, union and nonunion people, employed in our institutions at a time when we are an inch away from having those institutions decertified. That is exactly what you're voting on today. This will not deny anybody a pay raise, there will still be pay raises, there will just be less people there and a bigger chance that our institutions will be decertified.

PRESIDENT:

Further discussion? Further discussion? Senator Carroll for a second time.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Of course, I apologize for rising a second time; however, I think the last comments were as inaccurate as the first ones that Senator Maitland had made as it related to this specific amendment. Yes, you're talking about the accountants and, yes, you're talking about the public information officers and, yes, you're talking about the higher level who are called merit comp., the various chiefs and all that and that's the vast majority of those who might, might, might be impacted by anything we do here today.

There's nothing here today that causes anybody to be laid off, anybody to be fired or anything else since the first time we've heard that type of approach. It's a nice scare tactic, I admit; unfortunately, it's a scare tactic; unfortunately it is not true. What we're saying, once again, seventy-five million for pay raises plus an eighty million dollar slush fund is enough. That's what there is that we didn't touch. That would provide an astronomical amount if they used it all, they could give ten percent to everybody. That would be obnoxious; hopefully, they will not. We did not touch the authorized head count, we don't intend to, we won't. All we're saying, enough is enough. I would urge defeat of Amendment No. 3.

PRESIDENT:

All right. Senator Maitland has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 3. Senator Maitland, you wish to close, sir?

SENATOR MAITLAND:

...only to say, Senator Carroll, we don't appropriate head count, you know that, we...we appropriate dollars. I think the Body ought to remember that.

PRESIDENT:

The question is the adoption of Amendment No. 3 to Senate Bill 1754. Those in favor of the amendment will vote Aye. Opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 29 Ayes, 30 Nays, none voting Present. Amendment No. 3 fails. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 4 offered by Senator Carroll.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll on Amendment No. 4.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the

SB 1755
2nd Reading

Senate. Amendment No. 4 is a request of the department to reallocate some of the Grant lines in light of our prior amendments. This is to honor their request. I would move adoption of Amendment No. 4.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 4 to Senate Bill 1754. Any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. 1755, Senator Maitland. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1755. Read the bill, Madam Secretary, please.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1755.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations II offers one committee amendment.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Amendment No. 1 reduces Operation by 7.7 million by eliminating merit compensation increases and superior performances. All long-term vacancies except direct care are cut. Move money is included but other Nonpersonnel Service lines are reduced to the FY '88 level. Grants reduced to one hundred and six .5 million in the Medicaid line. A fifty-day permanent payment cycle remains. The budget is fifty million higher than the Governor's funding level primarily because he budgeted for a six-day payment cycle. I move for the adoption of Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDENT:

All right. Senator Hall has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1755. Discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Senator Maitland offers Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Maitland on Amendment No. 2.

SENATOR MAITLAND:

Thank you, Mr. President, members of the Senate. Same amendment, slightly different argument. It restores slightly over two million dollars for merit compensation salary that was eliminated in Senate Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDENT:

All right. Senator Maitland has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1755. Discussion? Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Again, the same argument against it. A hundred and fifty million is enough. I would urge the defeat of Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Maitland, you wish to close? Question is the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1755. Those in favor of the amendment will vote Aye. Opposed will vote Nay. And the voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 27 Ayes, 30 Nays, none voting

Present. Amendment No. 2 fails. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. 1756, Senator Fawell. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1756. Read the bill, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1756.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations II offers one committee amendment.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Thank you, Mr. President. Senate Bill 1756 is the Governor's...Purchased Care Review Board. Senate Amendment No. 1 reduces Operation to provide guideline salary increases. I'd move for the adoption of Senate Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Hall has moved the adoption of...Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1756. Discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Senator Maitland offers Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Maitland asks leave to withdraw that amendment. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. Senator Fawell, 1757. Bottom of page 5, on the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1757. Read the bill, please, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1757.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations II offers one committee amendment.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Thank you, Mr. President. Amendment No. 1 reduces Personal Services to cut merit compensation increases and return Operation lines to FY '88 expenditure levels. I move for the adoption of Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Hall has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1757. Any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Senator Maitland offers Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Maitland seeks leave to withdraw Amendment No. 2. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

*B 1759
2nd Reading*

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. 58, Senator Etheredge. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is...Senate Bill 1758. Read the bill.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1758.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. 1759. Bottom of page 5. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1759. Read the bill, Madam Secretary, please.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1759.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations II offers one committee amendment.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Thank you, Mr. President. Amendment No. 1 for...veterans' affairs reduces GRF Quincy and Manteno Veterans' Home funds Personal Services to eliminate merit compensation raises, reduces conference fees and other operating lines to the FY '88 payout level, new day care renovation cut pending Governor's statewide plan. It eliminates...expansion, asbestos delays it until FY '90. I move for the adoption of this amendment.

PRESIDENT:

All right. Senator Hall has moved the adoption of

Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1759. Discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Senator Demuzio offers Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Demuzio on Amendment No. 2.

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Amendment No. 2 would add a hundred thousand dollars for an increase to the veterans' outreach program...the Vietnam veterans' outreach program, it's to their leadership council. And I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Demuzio has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1759. Discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 3 offered by Senator Demuzio.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Demuzio.

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

Thank you, Mr. President. I'm glad you're tempering my enthusiasm up there. This amendment is the amendment that would reallocate the six hundred thousand dollars from the central office to the field offices of the Veterans' Administration and would provide two hundred thousand dollars for the field offices that were closed this past year. You know,

last year when they came to the Legislature and suggested that they were going to close those many, many offices across the State of Illinois, they promised that they would cut the central office staff and that...they would reallocate money from the central office to the field offices and they have yet to do so. This amendment would simply take six hundred thousand dollars, reallocate it from the central office and provide it to the field offices throughout Illinois. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Demuzio has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 3 to Senate Bill 1759. Discussion? Senator Maitland.

SENATOR MAITLAND:

Well, thank you, Mr. President, members of the Senate. Senator Demuzio, you can recall last year, and we all...we all took a bite on this issue without question. And...and when the...when the agency was first asked to cut those offices, they picked the offices that needed to be cut that...that provided the fewest and the smallest amount of service to veterans. When you oppose something like this, you come across as being very anti-veteran and there's no question about that, but there are many of us that are veterans and have respect for this. I...I personally don't believe that anybody from...from your county or from the county that I had in my district that was affected had been hurt for lack of services. The number of contacts, and we all had that information before us a year ago, was not that great in those counties that were cut. And I...I believe this is an unfortunate amendment.

PRESIDENT:

Further discussion? Senator Rigney.

SENATOR RIGNEY:

A question of the sponsor.

PRESIDENT:

Sponsor indicates he will yield, Senator Rigney.

SENATOR RIGNEY:

My notes say that you are going to reallocate four hundred thousand to field offices and two hundred thousand to reopen those that were closed. Weren't there something like about twenty-four that were closed?

PRESIDENT:

Senator Demuzio.

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

Well, Senator Rigney, it...you're absolutely correct. It...it will not open up all the offices that were closed. I don't disagree or quarrel with what Senator Maitland has been indicating with respect to the number of offices that were closed based upon the criteria of the amount of veterans that were served in the...in the respective offices. But there were a lot of those offices that were closed that were serving a number of veterans. Frankly, the Department of Veterans' Affairs never came forward and gave us the specific criteria by which they were utilizing in order to close those facilities. I've yet to see that criteria anywhere. This would not open up all the offices but it, in fact, will open up some of the offices.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Rigney.

SENATOR RIGNEY:

I'd like to have a list of the some that are going to be reopened.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Demuzio.

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

Well, Senator Rigney, that will be up to the administration to...to decide. The next amendment makes a specific reference to one specific office that I'd like to have reopened. But in this particular amendment we are affording

the opportunity for the administration to take a look at those areas, to reexamine those areas, to reexamine the criteria by which they closed the ones last year and maybe perhaps use a...a different set of...of standards by which they could open up some of these offices, and I think we in the General Assembly owe it to the veterans of this state to do so.

PRESIDENT:

Further discussion? Senator O'Daniel.

SENATOR O'DANIEL:

Mr. President, I...I rise in support of this...this amendment. Since they closed those field offices, I've opened my district office up one day a week and turned it over to the Veterans' Affairs, and people come from four or five counties, and some...some Thursdays we have as high as fifty veterans there. And I think this is a disgrace for the way this has been...the veterans have been treated. And I think these offices...these field offices should be reopened. And I need my office back.

PRESIDENT:

Further discussion? Senator Brookins.

SENATOR BROOKINS:

Yes, Mr. President, I, too, rise in support of this amendment. The same situation is happening, I have to open my offices up and allow veterans and the Veterans' Administration to come in to my office. So this sounds like a good amendment.

PRESIDENT:

All right. Question is the adoption of Amendment No. 3 to Senate Bill 1759. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

...Amendment No. 4 offered by Senator Demuzio.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Demuzio.

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

Well, thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This amendment goes right to the heart of Senator Rigney's question a minute ago, as to whether or not we were specifically earmarking dollars for offices throughout the State of Illinois. This amendment specifically earmarks forty-eight thousand six hundred dollars for the reopening of the Jacksonville Veterans' Affairs Office, because I can tell you, firsthand and personally, it was in my district and the number of individuals to be served, quite frankly, is...is...is...is numerous. And as a consequence of that, I am suggesting to the members of the General Assembly that that specific office ought to be reopened. I would move adoption of Amendment No. 4.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Demuzio has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 4 to Senate Bill 1759. Discussion? Senator Maitland.

SENATOR MAITLAND:

Well, thank you, very much, Mr. President and members of the Senate. In the previous amendment we took six hundred thousand dollars out of the central office. That's a third of their budget...third of the budget, that's layoffs, that's a third of the budget. And now...and now we're coming with an additional amendment to reopen one...one county facility. Members of the Senate, I think this ought to be defeated.

PRESIDENT:

All right. Question is the adoption of Amendment No. 4 to Senate Bill 1759. Those in favor of the amendment will vote Aye. Opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 28 Ayes, 30 Nays, none voting Present.

SB 1771
2nd Reading

Amendment No. 4 fails. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 5 offered by Senator Maitland.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Maitland asks leave to withdraw that amendment.

Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. Top of page 6. Senator Jacobs, 1761.

Senator Brookins, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR BROOKINS:

Thank you, Mr. President. I rise on a point of personal privilege.

PRESIDENT:

State your point, sir.

SENATOR BROOKINS:

I have in the gallery on the Democratic side, Saint Killian Catholic School from Chicago, Illinois with their instructor, Sister Lucella; their teacher, Mr. Ellis, and their teacher, Mrs. Stevens.

PRESIDENT:

Will our guests please stand and be recognized. Welcome to Springfield. Top of page 6, on the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading, 1771, Senator Berman. Read the bill, Madam Secretary, please.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1771.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Executive offers one committee amendment.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Berman on Committee Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR BERMAN:

Thank you, Mr. President. I have filed a second amendment which embodies virtually everything that was in Amendment 1 but expands upon it. So, at this point, I would move to Table Committee Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDENT:

All right. Senator Berman has moved to Table Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1771. Any discussion? If not, all in favor of the motion to Table indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is Tabled. Further amendments, Madam Secretary?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Are there amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Senator Berman offers Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Berman on Amendment No. 2.

SENATOR BERMAN:

Thank you, Mr. President. Senate Bill 1771 and Amendment No. 2 thereto deals with the conveyance of approximately twenty-five acres of land adjacent to the Loyola University Lake Shore Campus. The conveyance is of submerged land to the university and we have distributed...to...on the desks of every Senator a packet of material that outlines what the plans by the university are of this project. Approximately nineteen acres is going to be filled by the university. There is going to be a walkway and an overlook that is going to...be along the perimeter of this landfill. Amendment No. 2 spells out the obligations that are being undertaken as part of the conveyance. Let me mention a few of them and I will be glad to respond to any questions as we move along after the offer of the amendment. The...the amendment specifically provides that the title shall be held for the bene-

fit of the people of the State of Illinois. The...the direct benefits to the public...are substantial. Loyola University undertakes to do several things. There is to the north of this parcel a Hartigan Beach Park of 1.7 acres. We undertake to provide certain landfill to expand that public park and then to deed that portion to the Chicago Park District. The Loyola University further undertakes to provide for public use and enjoyment a waterfront walkway not less than twelve feet in width along the eastern edge of the lakefill with scenic overlooks at its northern and southern termini. The university further undertakes to locate the walkway so that its northern end connects directly to this Hartigan Park Beach; and with respect to public access to the southern end of the walkway, that if that is made available that we will connect the walkway to that public southern access. The...we further undertake to...be consistent with the rights and obligations of ownership public access to the...lakefill shall not be unreasonably denied. The...this particular portion has been, I am advised, agreed upon as to language by the Open Land's Group and the Metropolitan Planning Council. Loyola shall further provide upon reasonable request public entities, the engineering design and survey information shall be available to the public. This entire amendment further expands as to the payment of consideration in the amount of ten thousand dollars that has been agreed upon by the...with the Department of Transportation. It further sets out all of the...legal description of this parcel and it further spells out that Loyola University acknowledges that approval for the lakefill project must be obtained from, among others, the Chicago City Council and the Chicago Plan Commission, and the covenants that construction of the lakefill shall not commence before all required approvals have been obtained. Mr. President, I am pleased to offer this amendment which has undertaken to address most of the concerns voiced by public

interest groups, public bodies, environmental groups. Specifically, we have distributed letters from...community organizations in my district that abut the parcel and the university in question. I also want to just state again that the parcel shall be maintained as open space to be used for recreational and related activities, and somebody is yelling time and I will stop my explanation at there and be glad to answer any questions.

PRESIDENT:

All right. Senator Berman has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1771. Discussion? Senator...Alexander.

SENATOR ALEXANDER:

Thank you, Mr. President, to the sponsor. I am in accord as I listen to your explanation, but in talking with the lobbyists and the...assistant to the professor...or president of Loyola University, the question that came up was that at one time there was a concern by IDOT and the City of Chicago about the assessability of portions of this line for the general public use. By Amendment No. 2, are we clearing up...that was just a minor thing that had to be cleared. Is this Amendment 2 clearing that up to satisfactions of all persons who want to be a part of this project but who...expressed some...a little bit dissatisfaction with that portion of the bill?

PRESIDENT:

Senator Berman.

SENATOR BERMAN:

Thank you. This is broader language than was in Amendment No. 1 which I Tabled. I believe that their concerns have been addressed. If I read it to you, I'm not sure that that really answers it. But it is broader language than what was previously included and I believe that the city has indicated that they sign off on this amendment.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Alexander. All right. Further discussion? If not, Senator Berman has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1771. All in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 3 offered by Senator Netsch.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Netsch.

SENATOR NETSCH:

Thank you, Mr. President. Amendment No. 3 adds only one sentence. And it is to that part of...of the amendment on page 9 which deals with...I think it's in Section 8 which deals with terms of the covenant and so forth. It says, "This covenant shall run with the land and...be binding upon Loyola University." The amendment would add this sentence, "This covenant shall be enforceable by the State of Illinois or by a member of the public." My understanding is that the effect of the amendment would be to make it clear that someone beyond those, excuse me, who were in the sense signatories to the transfer of the land would be in a position to enforce the covenant, that is to say, a member of the public would also be able to do that. And I think it is correct to say...I know this is a very intricate doctrine, but I believe it is correct to say that that would help to assure that the public trust doctrine would be enforceable with respect to the transaction. I happen to think, if...if I am accurately describing all of this, that...that it's a very important amendment even though a very simple one. While I understand that the first amendment did broaden the...if you...in one sense of the word, broaden the protections that were brought to this transaction, I'm not sure that it's accurate to say that all of the environmental groups who were extremely con-

cerned about it in the first place are totally happy with it at the present time. But in any event, I know that several have said that at the absolute minimum the public trust doctrine ought to be fully available and invokable. And it is my belief that this amendment would help to assure that. I would move the adoption of Amendment No. 3.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Netsch has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 3 to Senate Bill 1771. Discussion? Senator Berman.

SENATOR BERMAN:

Thank you, Mr. President. I rise in opposition to Amendment No. 3. Let me read to you, and...according to...Senator Netsch, this amends Section 9. Section 9 provides that the quitclaim deed by the state to the university shall be owned...that if it is ever owned by an entity other than a successor to Loyola University which operates as a nonprofit educational institution, then in that event the State of Illinois shall have the right to reenter and retake such property for use as public open space. The purpose of this provision, which is very clear, is that this is for Loyola University, it's an educational institution, it's to be used for open space for the limited purposes set out. If we adopt Amendment 3, I think it is totally unnecessary because what you're inviting is, number one, I can guarantee you that if this is ever attempted to be transferred to someone else that the hullabaloo that would be raised in that community, the Attorney General, whoever it will be, will be happy to ride to the rescue of the people in that community. What you're doing by Amendment 3 is allowing anybody and everybody to file that suit. I think that you're imposing a terrible burden upon the university in defending what could be fifty thousand or five million lawsuits. I stand in opposition to Amendment 3.

PRESIDENT:

Further discussion? Senator Geo-Karis.

SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

Will the sponsor yield for a question, please?

PRESIDENT:

Sponsor indicates she will yield.

SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

...the way I read this amendment, my understanding is that what you're trying to do is avoid fraudulent transactions relative to this...property? Are...are you trying...by your amendment, are you trying to eliminate any possibility of fraudulent transactions relative to this property?

PRESIDENT:

Senator Netsch.

SENATOR NETSCH:

I don't perceive...of it as just an attempt to avoid fraudulent transactions. I think what is being attempted is to...what's involved here is something that to many of us is a terrible precedent and a bad principle and it's not because of any distrust of Loyola. The project itself is very nice, it's just that we are selling part of the lakefront and many of us feel that that should be done, if at all, only under the most extreme restrictions. And what I am attempting to do is to build in one more protection, one more safeguard by saying that at the very least a member of the public or the state itself ought to be able to enforce the covenant which is running with the land. That's really all that it's doing. It doesn't say that anybody is guilty of fraud or anything else. It simply says that we are going to have people there who are in a position to make sure that this property is protected.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Geo-Karis.

SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

At the present time, the bill does provide for the reversion back, does it not, to the State of Illinois of the property, without your amendment?

PRESIDENT:

Senator Netsch.

SENATOR NETSCH:

I'm...I'm sorry, I did not hear that question, Senator.

SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

At the present time, doesn't the bill provide that the property revert back to the State of Illinois if it is not used for the purposes set forth in the bill?

PRESIDENT:

Senator Netsch.

SENATOR NETSCH:

I believe, although I must admit I am seeing this version of the amendment just now, I could not find it on my desk. I believe there is a reverter clause in there someplace, yes.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Geo-Karis.

SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

Well, I think there are enough safeguards in the bill and...the amendment proposed by Senator Berman. And I think it's great that the university, whether my colleague agrees with me or not, is willing to spend six million dollars and save the taxpayers money so they can have a recreational area for the public. And, therefore, I rise to speak against the amendment because I think the project...intention is very good.

PRESIDENT:

Further discussion? Senator Newhouse.

SENATOR NEWHOUSE:

Thank you, Mr. President. As one who represents a lakefront and shoreline district, I can tell you that there is a great deal of suspicion among those who've watched the

lakeland wash away and watched encroachments upon it over the years. There's a great deal of trepidation about any measure that is designed to disturb the lakefront, and that's the way this is seen. It's not a question of Loyola, I think it's a fine institution. It's not a question of what the intent is, the question now is how we protect the general public in certain events. And I think we cannot be too strict in that. And for that reason, I rise in support of any amendment that makes...that goes to make certain that every possible...every possible contingency is examined, every possible contingency is anticipated so that the public doesn't lose the lakefront that's so precious to many of us in the City of Chicago. For that reason, I rise in support of this amendment and would urge its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Further discussion? Senator Welch.

SENATOR WELCH:

Thank you, Mr. President. Could I ask Senator Berman a question?

PRESIDENT:

Senator Berman indicates he will yield, Senator Welch.

SENATOR WELCH:

Senator Berman, your objection to this amendment is that Loyola may be subject to a multiplicity of suits because more than the Attorney General could bring a lawsuit. Is that correct? Why...

PRESIDENT:

Senator Berman...Senator Berman.

SENATOR BERMAN:

Yes.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Welch.

SENATOR WELCH:

...why wouldn't a motion to join all the plaintiffs be in

order in a case like that? It's...it seems to be a standard procedure that the grounds for the suit would all seem to be the same, why couldn't you just have Loyola asking all future plaintiffs to be joined in this one lawsuit and handle it all in one lawsuit?

PRESIDENT:

Senator Berman.

SENATOR BERMAN:

The amendment with...the bill without this amendment vests in the State of Illinois and the Attorney General as attorney for the...the power to retake if the title is ever transferred from Loyola University to a...to a nonsuccessor, to a commercial developer, for example. That's what everybody is worried about. And I've just got to tell you that to invite everybody and his brother that wants to make a headline in the local paper to be able to file this lawsuit I think is ridiculous.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Welch.

SENATOR WELCH:

Well, Senator Berman, I...I would think that the possibility might arise that we might have an Attorney General who might not act and it would seem to me that there should be some other safeguards. I...I don't think this is a...a burdensome amendment to me, and I...I tend to support.

PRESIDENT:

Further discussion? Senator Keats.

SENATOR KEATS:

Thank you, Mr. President. My district represents more privately...owned shoreline that anywhere else in the entire state, and I spent my first six years in Springfield giving nothing but battling the coastal zone management plan. And...I was forced to become an expert on shore erosion, et cetera, whether I wanted to or not, I just had so many pri-

vate homeowners who were affected by government intervention. I looked at this plan, I met with a group of the engineers from my area, I've talked to a large number of people. This is a heck of a plan. This area is totally unusable right now. Anyone who's tried to use this area right off Loyola realizes you can't use it, it is too rough, it's not taken care of. Hartigan Beach has problems, et cetera. Private money is going to fix it. What we have to be concerned with is not...is...does Loyola have a good plan, 'cause it is an excellent plan, what we...be concerned with is the kind of nuisance lawsuits who would hold this thing up for years and years and years. As Senator Berman said before, if it...if any Attorney General from Illinois, regardless of party, this is not a partisan comment because the Republican ones were no different, if they thought they could get one headline in one paper, they'd sue their mother for jaywalking. And knowing that, we're simply saying these Attorney Generals will be the sole option and those who signed on. That's not an unreasonable option, the people are being protected by our Attorney Generals regardless of party. And I would ask that we oppose the amendment and support Senator Berman's position.

PRESIDENT:

Further discussion? Further discussion? If not, Senator Netsch may close.

SENATOR NETSCH:

Thank you, Mr. President, and I will take that opportunity. The first place...as I heard the arguments that Senator Berman was making, I could not for the life of me understand why he would oppose this particular amendment which does nothing except allow the State of Illinois or a member of the public to enforce the covenant. And I would point out that as I read this amendment, and, again, I'm seeing it only a little bit ago, there are two provisions; one is a reverter which says that if the property goes into

the hands of anything other than a nonprofit educational, then the reverter becomes effective. But the section that I am amending deals with a different matter and that is the covenant that runs with the land and that covenant has to do with the use of that property. It must be for recreational and related activities and there can be no stadiums, arenas or vehicular parking and no buildings and structures and so forth. And it is the violation of that covenant that we are saying if it is violated, let someone be available for sure to enforce the covenant. My...I do not have the Pepky bill or lawsuit with me which involved the establishment of the public trust doctrine, but I am quite sure that I am correct in saying that there are limits on who can sue even under the public trust doctrine. What we are saying is at the very least allow that doctrine to be fully available so that we can make sure that the property is never used in any way that it is not intended to be used. If we are going to sell it in the first place, for heaven sakes, let's protect it. And I would like a roll call.

PRESIDENT:

Question is the adoption of Amendment No. 3 to Senate Bill 1771. Those in favor of the amendment will vote Aye. Opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 24 Ayes, 29 Nays, none voting Present. The amendment fails. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. Senator Demuzio, can you...Senator Demuzio. On the Order of Senate Bills...2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1776. Madam Secretary. With leave of the Body, the gentleman from Tampa, Florida, WXFL television, again wishes

leave to...has requested leave to shoot some tape of the Senate. Without objection, leave is granted. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1776. Read the bill, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1776.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers one committee amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Committee Amendment No. 1 is to fund the General Assembly at the Fiscal '88 level, plus the five percent COLA increase for employees thereof as we have done for everyone else. I would move adoption of Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Discussion? Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1776. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Senator Carroll offers Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. The...so that all are treated the same way, this

would, in fact, reduce the minority side in the House to be equal to the majority side. It's a reduction of thirteen thousand three hundred. I move adoption of Amendment No. 2.
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Discussion? If not, the...Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1776. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. No. 2...No. 2 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Amendment...3rd reading. Senate Bill 1777, Madam Secretary. Read the bill.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1777.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd Reading. Senate Bill 1778, Madam Secretary. Read the bill.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1778.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers one committee amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Committee Amendment No. 1 is to fund the legislative

commissions at the Fiscal '88 level and to provide the five percent cost of living increase for the employees thereof. I would move adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1778. Discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Senator Carroll offers Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This would add some twelve thousand dollars for the New Members Conference for next January. That's obviously above the last fiscal year's level since there was none last year. I'd move adoption of Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Discussion? Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1778. Those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Is there leave to have Senator Carroll handle Senate Bill 1779? Leave is granted. Senate bills 2nd reading is Senate Bill 1779, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1779.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers one committee amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Committee Amendment No. 1 is the guidelines amendment taking out the long-term vacancies, reducing the Operations generally to the FY '88 level, allowing for a slightly larger audit line cycle because of the particular audits that will be done this year. I would move adoption of Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Discussion? Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1779. Those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further...I'm sorry, Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Senator Carroll offers Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Why, thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Committee...or Floor Amendment No. 2 is a restoration of various cuts made within Amendment No. 1. It

is to recreate five of the positions. We did this in all of the areas of accountants including Banks and Trusts, Financial Institutions, et cetera. Since the ordinary hire date is May and June after the graduating class, we are allowing the Auditor General to do likewise. It additionally provides for some additional monies for the performance and management audits and hospital audits that we, the General Assembly, have mandated. I'd move adoption of Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1779. Discussion? If not, those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll, the sponsor reserves the right to bring this back at any moment for additional amendments. All right. 3rd reading. Senate Bill 1835, Madam Secretary. Read the bill. Oh, I beg your pardon...1793, I beg your pardon. Let's take 1835 out of the record...1793, Senator Marovitz. Senator Marovitz on the Floor? 1795, Senator Savickas. 1834, Senator Jones. I guess I didn't mean to skip any. Senate Bill 1835, Madam Secretary. Read the bill.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1835.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers one committee amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, Madam Clerk. All the generals appear to look alike.

This would be the amendment that would be the guidelines amendment that would, in fact, reduce Operations down to the '88 line, deleting certain increases. We will adjust, as we've done for others, by a corrective Floor amendment. I would move adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Discussion? Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1835. Those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Senator Etheredge offers Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Etheredge.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This amendment would reduce the...appropriations level to the AG by approximately three hundred and eighty-five thousand dollars. What it would do would be to eliminate funding for the reopening of...the...the regional offices. I would just like to point out that the reopening of these offices was not sufficiently high priority for the Attorney General to include this in his original budget request. I think given the economic circumstances in which we operate or will be...this year and will be next year that this is an inappropriate time to reopen these offices. And I would move for the adoption of the amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Discussion? Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. I think the testimony that was in committee and that most of us have heard since is that these offices had served a needed and vital service around this state, providing excellent service to the people of the state by allowing the Attorney General to, in fact, be present in certain areas, make it easier for people to come in. There was never a question of the actual usage of the office, that's why we felt it important to put those offices back in, which we did in Amendment No. 1. I would oppose, therefore, the elimination of these services. We recognize that some constitutional officers like to have offices all over the state and some people say that other constitutional officers shouldn't. We got to be fair, we got to be equal, that's what we keep hearing. Either we eliminate the Secretary of State and Governor's offices and DCCA offices around the state, which I don't think we should do, or we should allow the people to go see their elected attorney as well all over this state and have services provided. So I would urge defeat of Amendment No. 2 that would deny needed services to areas of this state.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? If not, Senator Etheredge may close.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

All I'm asking here is that we accommodate the wishes of the Attorney General. He did not place a high enough priority on these offices to include them in their budget, so I would ask that we accommodate him by...removing the funding for their...reopening. I ask for a favorable roll call on the amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll, the gentleman was closing. Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

I apologize, and...and...and as happened last week with Senator Newhouse and some others about phone calls to various people and all that, I've talked to the Attorney General since and he thinks this is a wonderful idea.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Senator Etheredge, do you wish to...Senator Etheredge.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

I would move for a favorable roll call.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Senator Etheredge has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1835. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 29, the Nays are 30, none voting Present. Amendment No. 2 fails. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 3 offered by Senator Carroll.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

...thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. As we had indicated in the discussion of Amendment No. 1 and as we have done with other agencies, this is for the five percent salary increase levels for the Office of the Attorney General. Inasmuch as he had not had budgeted sufficient to give five percent across the board, this would bring him up to that level and to allow funds for certain EDP agreements that would have otherwise been terminated by Amendment No. 1. I would therefore move adoption of Amendment No. 3.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Discussion? Senator Etheredge.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

I would just...just point out that what we're doing here is adding a merit comp. salary increase for the staffers of the Attorney General. This is the same amendment that we have tried to add in department after department but, unfortunately, we have only been successful in the very first...instance, that of the Office of the Comptroller. I would remind you that what's fair is fair. If we're going to do this for the Attorney General, we ought to do it for all the other departments of the state as well. And I would suggest that in this department there are a very small percentage of...of minnows; there are a lot of whales over here that already are very well compensated for their services to the state. If...if these folks deserve it, I'd say...I would remind you that there are many, many others that are equally as deserving.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Further discussion? If not, Senator Carroll may close.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. I will attempt to be brief. Fairness is fairness. They had appropriated three percent in their budget request not knowing what the Governor was doing with his. We have allowed five percent for all other agencies. This merely gives the two percent difference to bring them up to what the Governor was doing with his own. I believe that the salary level there...the commissioner of banks and trusts had indicated his salary level for attorneys which is equal to that of the Attorney General is well below what the industry was so that even the commissioner of banks and trusts was seeking more money for his attorneys. All we're trying to do is be fair. The Governor gave better than five percent, this merely takes them up to that five percent level. I would

move adoption of Amendment No. 3.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 3 to Senate Bill 1835. Those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 3 is adopted. Further...Senator Etheredge.

END OF REEL

REEL #4

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Etheredge.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Roll call. Could we...(machine cutoff)...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Etheredge, I...I heard very clearly the...the Yeas and Nays, but if you request a roll call, we'll be glad to do that. All right. Senator Etheredge has requested a roll call on the adoption of Amendment No. 3. Those in favor of the adoption of Amendment No. 3 will vote...will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 31, the Nays are 28, none voting Present. Amendment No. 3 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. All right, before we jump away from this order of business, on this same page, Senator Marovitz requests leave of the Body to go back to Senate Bill 1798 and while we're here why don't we just seek leave and go back and do that. Leave is granted. Senate Bill...I beg your pardon, Senate Bill 1793, Madam Secretary. Senate bills 2nd reading is Senate Bill 1793.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1793.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Executive offers one committee amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator...Senator Marovitz.

SENATOR MAROVITZ:

(Machine cutoff)...much, Mr. President. I now move to Table Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1793.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Marovitz has moved to Table Committee Amendment...Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1793. Those in favor will vote...those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee...Amendment No. 1 is Tabled. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Senator Rigney offers Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Rigney.

SENATOR RIGNEY:

Mr. President, what we're doing here is amending the Charitable Games Act. I might point out to you that before we had a Charitable Games Act in the good old days when there was one of these events coming up, the call was made to the county sheriff to tell him that they're going to have their annual festival and the sheriff would probably say, well, go ahead, I'm sorry, I'm not going to be able to make it, I'm going to be fishing that day. So, that kind of took care of...of charitable games. Then we became good hearted and passed a Charitable Games Act to make it all legal. The only problem was that when we did this, we complicated the life of many of these small charities located particularly in...in the downstate part of Illinois. I have worked with the sponsor and our staff to try to come up with an amendment

that addresses several of these issues that are particularly annoying to the small charitable games. We are doing a list of about six different things. First of all, there is a provision in the present Act that says licenses are limited to one location. Sometimes a small charitable group likes to have a summer festival out on main street and then they have a winter event inside. You can't do it under the present Act. It allows the Department of Revenue to waive the bonding requirements. You know, to have bonding requirements for every single member, say of a Lions Club, I think is kind of ridiculous. So, the Department of Revenue will be able to waive this providing that the charity is able to prove that they have the assets to cover the bids. It allows that in counties of under sixty thousand population to hold up to eight games at one location, now it's only four. The...the reason being sometimes in a small community there's only one location where you can logically hold a game, there's only one hall. It allows volunteers when they are off duty to be able to play. They are prohibited from playing any time during the event if they are...are working a game. It clarifies that a licensed raffle may be held at the same time. Quite often they're selling chances on an automobile or a microwave or something and they're unable to have a licensed raffle at the same time as the charitable game. Very importantly, it deletes the sunset provision. Under the current law, there will be no Charitable Games Act after September 1 of 1988. So, we're deleting the sunset provision. I think that's a must that we do that. And, finally, it allows a sponsoring organization to pay for consulting services when they feel that that is necessary. I think these are some amendments that are very, very important particularly to small communities throughout our state.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Is there discussion? If not, Senator Rigney has moved

SB 1834
2nd Reading

the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1793. Is there discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senator Jones, 1834. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1834, Mr. Secretary. Read the bill, please.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Senate Bill 1834.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

Committee on Energy and Environment offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Jones.

SENATOR JONES:

Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. Amendment No. 1 is...is the amendment that deletes the provision to require the Pollution Control Board to adopt regulation as it relate to...to PCB's and I move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Jones has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1. Discussion? Senator Keats.

SENATOR KEATS:

Thank you, Mr. President. Not discussion, just an explanation of what the amendment does other than rules on PCB. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Jones has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1. Further...I'm sorry, Senator Keats.

SENATOR KEATS:

I requested an explanation of what the amendment does, sorry.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Jones.

SENATOR JONES:

What the amendment does in essence is the Federal EPA has already have the...have the PCB's and TCP's and all these other B's listed as hazardous waste, so, therefore, the bill in essence become a shell bill as we wait for the...the agreement to be worked out between JCAR and the Governor's Office. So, therefore, I move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? Senator Jones has moved adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1834. Those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1836, Senator Carroll. No, we did that one. All right, I'm sorry. Senate Bill 1836, Senator Carroll. Mr. Secretary, read the bill, 1-8-3-6.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Senate Bill 1836.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

Committee on Appropriations I offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1836 breaks

out the administrative services for the Violent Crime Victims' Act. I would move adoption of Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1836. Is there discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1839, Senator Berman. 1839. 1840. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading, bottom of page 6, Senate Bill 1840, Mr. Secretary. Read the bill.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Senate Bill 1840.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Jones.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Jones.

SENATOR JONES:

Prior to offering the amendment, perhaps I want to hear a statement from the sponsor of the bill that what we were discussing or could you take it out of the record and come back to it?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Berman.

SENATOR BERMAN:

Thank you. I...let me merely state for the benefit of everyone, this is a...a vehicle bill that deals with the School Aid Formula. It was my intention at this stage to make no substantive amendments in this bill and with the approval of a majority of members on this Body just pass the shell bill out to the House until we see things such as...whether there is Chicago reform package, whether there's a tax increase, et cetera, and, therefore, I would hope that there would be no amendments offered to this shell bill at this point. That's...that's my explanation of...of the sponsor's intention regarding the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Jones.

SENATOR JONES:

Well,...I'd rather for the bill to remain on 2nd if the sponsor would be...I want to talk further about this and...you know, I don't have to move the amendment today but I...rather for the bill to remain on 2nd if possible...talk about it.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right, let's take it out of the record. Sponsor indicates...let's get going. 1857, Senator del Valle. 1862, Senator Netsch. Page 7. 1869, Senator Barkhausen. 1873, Senator Jones. 1897, Senator Weaver. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading, page 7, is Senate Bill 1897, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Senate Bill 1897.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations II offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Thank you, Mr. President. This is the University Civil Service Commission. Amendment No. 1 provides for a seventy...seven percent salary increase and reduces all other lines to the Governor's allocation level. I move for the adoption of the amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Hall has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1897. Is there discussion? If not, those will indicate...those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is...adopted. Further committee amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1902, Senator Carroll. With leave of the Body, to have Senator Carroll handle that bill for Senator Rock. Senate Bill...Senate Bill 1902,...Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Senate Bill 1902.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is the guidelines amendment. It would provide

for a cost of living increase for the employees of that board to be consistent with everyone else and then bring the Operating lines down to the '88 spending level. I would move adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1902. Is there discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1914. Senate Bill 1926, Senator Holmberg. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1926, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Senate Bill 1926.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Holmberg.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Holmberg.

SENATOR HOLMBERG:

Thank you, Mr. President. This amendment follows the Elementary and Secondary Committee's recommendation that we combine two bills dealing with birth to three high-risk chil-

dren that...both passed out of the...Elementary and Secondary Education Committee. It combines...the amendment basically adds Senate Bill 1557 to 1926 and that particular legislation provides pilot programs for birth to three and, now combined, also the recognition of high-risk through other birth to three programs utilizing only federal or private funds.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Holmberg has moved the adoption of...of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1926. Is there discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1937, Senator Schaffer. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1937, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Senate Bill 1937.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1996, Senator Marovitz. 1996. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1996, Mr. Secretary. Read the bill.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Senate Bill 1996.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

SB2002
3rd Reading

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Marovitz.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Marovitz.

SENATOR MAROVITZ:

Thank you, very much, Mr. President and members of the Senate. Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1996 makes certain technical changes that were requested by the Department of Alcohol and Substance Abuse. It revises the current proposal to require minors charged with substance abuse violations to undergo assessment, counseling or treatment rather than simply requiring counsel without assessment first. It defines a satellite facility as a facility where treatment, intervention or research is conducted no more than sixteen hours per week and which is under the supervision of the administrative or licensed facility. And it also says that persons charged with or convicted of a third violation of the Illinois Vehicle Code may not elect treatment from alcohol or drug abuse for a licensed program.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Marovitz has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1996. Is there discussion? If not, those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. 2002, Senator Netsch. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 2002, Mr. Secretary. Read the bill, please.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Senate Bill 2002.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Netsch.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Netsch.

SENATOR NETSCH:

Thank you, Mr. President. This is the bill that deals with public contracts. There were several questions and objections raised in the committee hearing and the amendment, which has been distributed by the way, addresses all of those concerns; for example, it removes the problem of extending too far with respect to those who are prohibited from bidding in the future, a...a question that was raised by, among others, Senator Carroll. Senator Hawkinson had raised questions about the intent and we have put "knowingly" and "intent" throughout all of the provisions of the bill. The change order provision, I think, was a little too vague and it has been tightened up a great deal and there are a number of other tightening provisions that have been incorporated in this amendment. We believe that it now responds favorably to all of the questions that were raised in committee and I would move the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 2002.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Discussion? Senator Netsch has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 2002. Those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. 2003, Senator Watson. Senator Watson on the Floor? 2014, Senator Topinka. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 2014, Madam...Mr. Secretary. Read the bill, please.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Senate Bill 2014.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. Committee on Revenue offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Topinka.

SENATOR TOPINKA:

Yes, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, the committee amendment basically agreed to a one hundred thousand dollar cap on assessments and that was it.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right, Senator Topinka has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 2014. Those in...discussion? If not, those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading...2021, Senator Etheredge. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill...all right, with...we have...there is a Floor amendment that's to 2014. Let's take 2021 out of the record. That's out of the record. Let's...2014. Senator Topinka seeks leave of the Body to return Senate Bill 2014 to the Order of 2nd Reading for the

purpose of an amendment. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. On the Order...Senate Bill 2014, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Topinka.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Topinka.

SENATOR TOPINKA:

Yes,...on...the Floor amendment does two things. It sets an immediate effective date for this bill and it also allows for payments for services in lieu of taxes so that if a property owner wishes to make a deal with the local municipality or other taxing body, that would be allowed and there is quite a bit of precedent for that.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Topinka has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 2014. Is there discussion? If not, those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Now, Senate Bill 2021, Mr. Secretary. Read the bill, please.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Senate Bill 2021.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Committee Amendment No. 1 reduces the appropriation to the March 31st payout level. I would move adoption of

Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 2021. Is there discussion? If not, those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Carroll.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. The Capital Development Board came to us and told us that the Anna Project should not be reappropriated at the 2.261 million level because they were substituting in the new appropriation of about half that amount. The windup was, after having made that wonderful testimony and therefore we offered this amendment to reduce the reappropriation to the level the Capital Development Board told was going to be needed, we found out they were wrong; in fact, what they needed was both numbers. They needed a reappropriated and a new money. We would like...in the future to try and get some decent information from some of these departments. As we saw with DCCA today giving lists to some and not to others, Capital Development Board is giving us misinformation. Misinformation, obviously, provides for misdecisions. Now that we know that the Capital Development Board was wrong, I would seek leave to withdraw Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right, Senator Carroll seeks leave of the Body to withdraw Amendment No. 2. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. Amendment No. 2 is withdrawn. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill...2022, Senator Etheredge. All right, Senate Bill 2022, Mr. Secretary. Read the bill.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Senate Bill 2022.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers three amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adding two schools under the School Construction Bond Fund monies for the consolidation project in two different districts. I would move adoption of Amendment No. 1. It's four million two hundred twenty-six thousand dollars.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Discussion on Amendment No. 1? Senator Maitland.

SENATOR MAITLAND:

Thank you, very much, Mr. President. I've had a pretty good explanation of...of the...of the school district in Senator Poshard's district and, Senator Joyce, if you might just describe for us the...the Hershey...I think it's Herscher or whatever the school district is.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Jerome Joyce.

SENATOR JEROME JOYCE:

(Machine cutoff)...thank you, Mr. President. This...this

is the Herscher School District. They were...they consolidated with the RUCE School District, that was Reddick, Union Hill, Campus and Essex, this last year. The high school there was down to some ninety-two students and that's the...the genesis behind it. This has been done in the past and it's for demolishing...demolishing some buildings and renovating classrooms and...and that sort of thing.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Discussion? Senator Maitland.

SENATOR MAITLAND:

Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. I guess I rise, really, to show a...a bit of concern about what we are about here and I...no one addressed the consolidation issue any more than I did and I spoke all across this state about the need for it and talked with a lot of school districts about how they might be able to rebuild the school buildings in the event they...they consolidated and just simply did not have the funds or the ability to build those new buildings, and...and we talked to...to a great extent about...about getting back into the...the School Construction Bond Fund and building those buildings that way; but right now, we really have no plan as to how we ought to do this. As it affected Senator Poshard, a commitment was made by the superintendent that...the...Superintendent Sanders that this ought to be done and it was a number one priority and yet the connection between that decision and...and making those people aware of that fact in the General Assembly just didn't connect and it's caused a problem for...for them down there and I agree. And, Jerry, the same thing may happen with your situation. My point is that whether you support this or oppose it, this is a very critical issue that's going to be affecting this state in the years ahead and we have to address it in a systematic, proper and just way.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right, further discussion? Senator Poshard.

SENATOR POSHARD:

Yes, thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. I concur with Senator Maitland's assessment that we need a very precise series of proposals in order to address the construction issue which surrounds consolidation efforts in this state. It just happens then in the case of Gallatin County, the school district down in my area, that they have three separate public school districts and one private school district that have voted three to one to consolidate now based upon their receiving a substantial amount of money to help them build a new building from the state. They've already sold six million dollars in local bonds, in a very poor county, to effect the consolidation effort. They've already purchased the land on which to build the building, consolidated their districts and so on and this money would just be a...a portion of the money that they need to build a new building in order to effect this consolidation and I would hope that you could support this effort.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right, further discussion? Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 2022. Those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Etheredge.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

...there's a...second committee amendment. Senator Etheredge.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This adds 1.6 million dollars for the new veterans' center in Anna. There has been reference to this project

earlier on. It...it appears that after...we got into the project, it was discovered that there were...there was an asbestos problem, some other difficulties with this project, so this is the additional sum of money that would be required to complete the project, and I would move for the adoption of this amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Discussion? Senator Etheredge has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 2022. Those in favor will indicate by saying. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Committee Amendment No. 3.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is a reduction amendment. They had put in for the log cabin in New Salem for two million dollars, this reduces it down to three hundred thousand. I would move adoption of Amendment No. 3.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Discussion? Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Amendment...Committee Amendment No. 3 to...House Bill...I'm sorry, to Senate Bill 2022. Those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 3 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Amendment No. 4 offered by Senators Carroll and Smith.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. On behalf of Senator Smith, this is for the Dwight correctional facility chapel. This is monies that we had appropriated in the past. Senator Smith incorrectly had thought that when the Governor went down there with the director and spoke in favor of the construction that he also had signed the appropriation. He, in fact, vetoed the appropriation after the...the public comments. That had escaped us in the past or we would have put it back in, we just realized it. So, this would, again, provide for the hundred thousand dollars for the chapel at Dwight correctional facility. I move adoption of Amendment No. 4.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Discussion? Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 4 to Senate Bill 2022. Those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 4 is adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Amendment No. 5 offered by Senator Donahue.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Donahue.

SENATOR DONAHUE:

(Machine cutoff)...you...thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Amendment No. 5 to 2022 adds...9.3 million dollars to the Capital Development Board budget for the expansion of Mt. Sterling correctional facility and the Canton correctional facility. What this does is just add one cell block at each place. It would increase the beds from seven hundred and fifty to nine hundred and thirty. I would add that Danville and Galesburg both have been expanded to the nine hundred and thirty beds, and Hillsboro,

as I understand it, has more inmates...they haven't been expanded but the inmate population has been expanded. I think this is a very important amendment and a very more efficient use of our dollars, and also it's not in place of or in lieu of new construction of new prisons, because I think we're going to need more of them in the future. This amendment gets these beds on line sooner than if we wait for new construction. I would move for its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Donahue has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 5. Is there discussion? Senator Davidson.

SENATOR DAVIDSON:

Well, Mr. President and members of the Senate, I rise in opposition to this amendment. This was brought out last fall sometime when the Department of Corrections started holding hearings in relation to the six finalists which are scattered throughout the state, such as, Streator and Flora and Mt. Vernon and Robinson and Taylorville and Decatur, that the best control of the inmates was a facility built not more than seven hundred and fifty...capacity. Admitted that some of them hold some additional things and on a temporary basis due to the federal court Graham did have some additional ones at Hillsboro, that has been back...population now has been reduced. There was no expansion; therefore, I would urge all of you who hope to be in a hunt for one of the sites which I just mentioned to oppose this amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. I, too, will rise in opposition and I do so for reasons very similar to Senator Davidson. First of all, department didn't ask for the additional beds. Secondly, that would put it over the seven fifty bed figure that the

department and others have indicated to be the safe level at which to operate a new facility and, thirdly, for those...and my district is not amongst those, for those who are in the finals for a third facility, this would...passage and ultimate signing of this would, of course, eliminate the need for a third facility anywhere in this state; and I would, therefore, suggest that this is not a good course of conduct to take at this time and...would urge defeat of Amendment No. 5.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? Senator Donahue may close.

SENATOR DONAHUE:

Thank you, Mr. President. I would just say that the argument on the seven hundred and fifty beds just isn't there because we have the prisons and the new construction that has been in the last few years have been expanded to the nine hundred and thirty beds. We are under construction right now and it's going to be a lot more money if we have to go back and build these cell blocks than to do it now while the construction crews are on place. These facilities have been designed for this. It is not a new design, it isn't anything different. We are simply adding a cell block in an X form. It adds one more part, a hundred and fifty beds, to each facility. To me it makes sense. It's an...efficient, prudent use of state dollars and I would move for its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Donahue has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 5 to Senate Bill 2022. Those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay...Nays have it. Amendment No. 5 fails. Well, there's been a request for a roll call. Senator Donahue has...has moved adoption of...Amendment No. 5 to Senate Bill 2022. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. (Machine cut-off)...all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 34, the Nays are

23. Amendment No. 5 is adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. We skipped over a...2003. With leave of the Body, before we leave page number 7, we'll go back and pick up 2003. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. Senate Bill 2003, Madam...Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Senate Bill 2003.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Transportation offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Watson.

SENATOR WATSON:

Thank you, Mr. President. This amendment clarifies what the Department of Transportation and the Innerscity Rail Service Assistance Grant can enter into contracts with units of local government, engineers and contractors. Move for its...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Watson has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 2003. Is there discussion? If not, those in...I'm sorry, was that a committee amendment, Senator? Committee Amendment No. 1. Those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment...Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Page 8. Senate Bill 2030. It's my understanding that the fiscal note request has been...has been met. Senate bills 2nd reading, top of page 8, Senate Bill 2030, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Senate Bill 2030.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Take it out of the record. 2040. 2042, Senator Woodyard. 2050, Senator Schuneman. Senate bills 2nd reading is Senate Bill 2050, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Senate Bill 2050.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The...no committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Schuneman.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Schuneman.

SENATOR SCHUNEMAN:

Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. This is the bill that would require the prompt deposit of state monies, and when the bill was heard in the Revenue Committee, those of you who are committee members will recall that there was some opposition raised by the Department of Revenue and it was agreed at that time that the...that their problems would be tried to be worked out with the Legislative Audit Commission. This amendment is a result of their negotiations. The Department of Revenue is no longer opposed to the bill. Basically what the amendment does is say that the department must follow the guidelines of the bill as long as

SB 2110
2nd Reading

the requirements of the bill are cost-effected. I would adoption of the amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Schuneman has moved adoption of Amendment No. 1. Discussion? Senator Netsch.

SENATOR NETSCH:

Question of the sponsor, if I might.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Indicates he will yield. Senator Netsch.

SENATOR NETSCH:

I'm...I'm looking for the text of the amendment. Who determines the cost-effectiveness question, Senator Schuneman?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Schuneman.

SENATOR SCHUNEMAN:

That...the initial determination would be within the department, Senator, but as you recall, the bill has a provision that requires the enforcement of these procedures to be reviewed by...as I recall, the Comptroller and the State Treasurer every two years. So, I think that if a department fails to live up to the intent of this law that...that it would be reviewed by those two agencies.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Netsch. All right, Senator...Senator Schuneman has moved that adoption of Amendment No. 1 to...is there any further discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. (Machine cutoff)...Senator Jones. 2096. 2110, Senator Schuneman. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd

Reading is Senate Bill 2110, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Senate Bill 2110.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Pensions and Licensed Activities offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Schuneman.

SENATOR SCHUNEMAN:

Thank you, Mr. President. The Committee Amendment No. 1 was technical in nature. I move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Schuneman has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 2110. Is there discussion? If not, those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senators Schuneman and Jones.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Schuneman.

SENATOR SCHUNEMAN:

Thank you, Mr. President. This amendment, in effect, would eliminate the right of auto rental agencies to charge consumers for a collision deductible waiver. Problems have developed throughout the country and...and here in Illinois from auto rental agencies who are advertising a very, very low rental fee but then when the consumer shows up to rent the car, they find that sometimes the cost of buying back that collision deductible waiver is more than the cost of

renting the car or equal to it. This is a proposal by the Department of Insurance and a proposal by the Illinois Director of Insurance, who is also chairman of the National Insurance Commissioners, to get at that problem. I would urge adoption of the amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Schuneman has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 2110. Is there discussion? If not, those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. 2112, Senator Watson. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 2112.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Senate Bill 2112.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 2116, Senator Kustra. Senate Bill 2117, Senator...2116, Senator? 2117. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Senate Bill 2117.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

The Committee on Elementary and Secondary Education offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Kustra.

SENATOR KUSTRA:

Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. The original bill was gutted in committee and this amendment was added...agreed to by both sides of the aisle. It requires the state board in consultation with DASA to prescribe uniform state policies and procedures for the placement of minors in residential alcohol and substance abuse facilities and for the determination of cost for educational services. It's an attempt to deal with how to provide funding for children who are undergoing alcoholic rehabilitation services and also going to school. This, hopefully, will get these agencies together to work on that problem. I would solicit an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, Senator Kustra moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 2117. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 2122, Senator Kustra. Senate Bill 2145, Senator Demuzio. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Senate Bill 2145.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Demuzio.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Demuzio.

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

Thank you, very much, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. The amendment that I am putting on is as a result of cooperation with the Department of Agriculture. This is the amendment that I indicated that I would put on in committee, and once this amendment is adopted, I would ask to recommit this bill, Senate Bill 2145, back to the Order of...of...back to the Committee on...on Agriculture and Conservation for a study for this summer. So, I would move adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 2145.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, Senator Demuzio moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 2145. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Now, Senator Demuzio, you move...Senator Demuzio.

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

All right, Senator...Mr...Mr...President, I would move to recommit Senate Bill 2145 back to the Committee on Agriculture and Conservation.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

You've heard the motion. Hearing no objection, leave is granted. Senate Bill 2145 will be recommitted to the Committee on Agriculture. Senate Bill 2151, Senator Carroll. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Senate Bill 2151.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is for the Asbestos Abatement Authority. Committee Amendment No. 1 would break out the requests into the various Operation lines, reappropriating a million dollars for surveys and transferring operations cuts to the surveys as well. I would move adoption of Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 2151. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Senate Bill...is there further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Carroll.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

I'm sorry, Amendment No. 1 was basically the guidelines amendment. This amendment would be the one that breaks out the request into the Operating lines and reappropriates the money. I would move adoption of Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 2151. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have

SB 2167
2nd Reading

it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted...I mean, No. 2. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 2161, Senator Marovitz. Senate Bill 2167, Senator Marovitz. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Senate Bill 2167.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

The Committee on Insurance offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Marovitz.

SENATOR MAROVITZ:

Thank you, very much, Mr. President and members of the Senate. This...this amendment actually becomes the bill and says that any third party prescription program would...must actually provide a thirty-day period during which any licensed pharmacy can elect to participate in the program for a...for a year. I would ask for adoption of the amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, Senator Marovitz moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 2167. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 2174, Senator Demuzio. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Senate Bill 2174.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Elections offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Demuzio.

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

Well, thank you, Mr. President. Committee Amendment No. 1 simply deletes the provisions of the bill that would expand the types of identification that would be acceptable for the purposes of voter registration. I move the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 2174.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, Senator Demuzio moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 2174. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Demuzio.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Demuzio.

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

All right, this is a...an amendment that was agreed to in committee. It, too, is along the lines of deleting the provisions for the identification for the purposes of voter registration. We agreed to it. I would move adoption of Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, Senator Demuzio moves the

adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 2174. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 2199, Senator Maitland. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Senate Bill 2199. ✓

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of...of the bill. Committee on Public Health offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Maitland.

SENATOR MAITLAND:

Thank you,...Mr. President. Committee Amendment No. 1 removes the social security deposit...direct deposit and I would move for its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, Senator Maitland moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 2199. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Maitland.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Maitland.

SENATOR MAITLAND:

Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate.

Amendment No. 2 is an agreed to amendment moving the...the days to...to fifteen days that notification has to be...the...the payment request is made. This is an agreed amendment with the agency and I would move for the adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, Senator Maitland moves the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 2199. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 2201, Senator Maitland. Senate Bill 2218, Senator Jones. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Senate Bill 2218.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Insurance offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Jones.

SENATOR JONES:

Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. I move to Table Committee Amendment No. 1 because it...there was a error in the drafting of the amendment and I move to Table it.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, Senator Jones moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill...oh,...he moves to Table Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 2218. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is Tabled. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Jones.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Jones.

SENATOR JONES:

Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. Amendment No. 2 is the rewrite of the...the Clinical Psychologists Act. The amendment really becomes the bill. It's a title protection amendment. The amendment is agreement...among all the interested party including the Illinois Psychological Association, AFSCME, Department of Professional Regulations and I move the adoption of the amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? Senator Hawkinson.

SENATOR HAWKINSON:

Thank you, Mr. President. Will the sponsor yield for a question?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

He indicates he will.

SENATOR HAWKINSON:

Senator, what does...I...I have a...a group of masters level people who were not happy with the bill. Does this affect their right to...to practice as they're practicing now in our mental health institutions and...and others?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Jones.

SENATOR JONES:

Yes, they can continue to practice just so long as they don't put themselves out to be a clinical psychologist but they can still practice.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Hawkinson.

SENATOR HAWKINSON:

But this bill involves no change then in their...in their ability to practice as they currently do?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Jones.

SENATOR JONES:

No, it doesn't.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Jones moves the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 2218. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 2241, Senator D'Arco. Senate...read the bill, Miss...Madam...Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Senate Bill 2241.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Executive offers one amendment.

PRESIDENT:

Senator D'Arco on Committee Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR D'ARCO:

Thank you, Mr. President. Committee Amendment No. 1 is a technical amendment and I move for its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator D'Arco moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 2241. Discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. 2256. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 2256. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Senate Bill 2256.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

Committee on Insurance offers one amendment.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Weaver on Committee Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR WEAVER:

Thank you, Mr. President. I would move to Table Committee Amendment No. 1 to be replaced by a Floor amendment.

PRESIDENT:

All right, Senator Weaver has moved to Table Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 2256. Any discussion? If not, all in favor of the motion to Table indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is Tabled. Further amendments, Mr. Secretary?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Weaver.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Weaver on Amendment No. 2.

SENATOR WEAVER:

Thank you, Mr. President. This amendment is the same as the...Committee Amendment No. 1 except that it does not con-

tain provisions which exempt DuQuion and the Illinois State Fair from the Racing Board standards regarding affirmative action hiring policies. I'd move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

All right, Senator Weaver has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 2256. Discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. Senator Woodyard, I understand, we're supposed to go back to 2042. Top of page 8, ladies and gentlemen, is 2042. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading, with leave of the Body, we'll move to...to Senate Bill 2042. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Senate Bill 2042.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. Oops, I beg your pardon, we do have a Floor amendment. Just been brought to our attention, I beg your pardon. All right on the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading, Senate Bill 2042, there are no further...no committee amendments. Amendments from the Floor, Mr. Secretary?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Woodyard.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Woodyard.

SENATOR WOODYARD:

Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. When Senate Bill 2042 left the committee it was actually just a shell bill while negotiations...discussions were continuing with the Secretary of State's Office. This amendment does actually become the bill and it certainly is agreed to by the Secretary of State...has two parts to it. It says that a licensee, and this involves junk cars, may transport to another licensee a junk car with the proper certification papers. The second part of the bill which we've had a lot of problem with deals with the record keeping of a scrap processor who also is an auto parts recycler. It simply says that you don't have to keep two sets of records, that the...the auto recycling records would...would suffice. I have discussed this amendment with the chairman of Transportation Committee and she has no problem with...with the amendment...and also with the spokesman, and I know of no opponents to the amendment at this time. I would move for its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

All right, Senator Woodyard has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 2042. Any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. All right, ladies and gentlemen, if I can have your attention, it is now twenty minutes after five. We will move to Supplemental Calendar No. 1 and I'd direct your attention to page 22. There are two matters before us on the Order of Secretary's Desk Concurrence, then we will move to the Order of Resolutions and then we will move to the Order of House Bills 1st Reading so that those members who have

SB 515
concurrency

indicated that they are the Senate sponsor of a House bill, we can get those into the process and that will effectively conclude our business for this evening. Senator Friedland, you and Senator D'Arco are first. On the Order of Secretary's Desk Concurrence, Supplemental Calendar No. 1, on the Order of Secretary's Desk Concurrence...is Senate Bill 515, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Senate Bill 515 with House Amendments No. 1 and 2.

PRESIDENT:

Senator D'Arco.

SENATOR D'ARCO:

Thank you, Mr. President. This amendment deletes everything after the enacting clause and is an agreement between the physical therapists and the Medical Society. The amendment really authorizes the therapists to use mobilization in providing treatment and there are a number of changes and procedures that the amendment allows the therapists...the physical therapists to engage in and also there are procedures that are prohibited...some by their absence that physical therapists are prohibited from engaging in, so this is an agreement. In fact, Doc Davidson, there's a provision in here that says that they cannot employ chiropractic techniques which, you know, the chiropractors were...were rightly excluded from this bill and that's about it.

PRESIDENT:

Any discussion? Senator Davidson.

SENATOR DAVIDSON:

Question of the sponsor.

PRESIDENT:

Indicates he'll yield, Senator Davidson.

SENATOR DAVIDSON:

Does this amendment provide that the physical therapists can only accept the patient on a referral basis from one of

we physicians so that they can't go out on an independent practice on seeing the patient or make a decision about the diagnosis?

PRESIDENT:

Senator D'Arco.

SENATOR D'ARCO:

Yeah, that was the...one of the big negotiating points and the amendment provides that it...it changes definition of referral to mean following the guidance or direction rather than the instructions of the supervisory physician.

PRESIDENT:

All right, any further discussion? Senator Schuneman.

SENATOR SCHUNEMAN:

Question of the sponsor, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT:

Indicates he'll yield, Senator Schuneman.

SENATOR SCHUNEMAN:

Senator, I really don't remember this issue that well. This is a conference committee report? You have a copy of the report there? I'm curious to know who our conferees were and if they signed it.

PRESIDENT:

No, the Chair would...would point out that it's...on the Order of Secretary's Desk Concurrence.

SENATOR SCHUNEMAN:

Oh, all right.

PRESIDENT:

House Amendments...

SENATOR SCHUNEMAN:

...sorry...thank you.

PRESIDENT:

Further discussion? If not, the question is, shall the Senate concur in House Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 515. Those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed vote Nay. The voting

is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 56 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. The Senate does concur in House Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 515 and the bill having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. I beg your pardon, Senator Davidson.

SENATOR DAVIDSON:

Mr. President, I should have stated earlier when I asked the question in the same statement that there's a possibility I could have a conflict of interest on that bill, and I do want to express that and did vote Aye.

PRESIDENT:

The record will so reflect. Senator Freidland. On the Order of Secretary's Desk Concurrence is Senate Bill 2202. Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Senate Bill 2202 with House Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Friedland.

SENATOR FRIEDLAND:

Thank you, Mr. President. Would you take this out of the record, please?

PRESIDENT:

Take it out of the record, Mr. Secretary. Resolutions, Madam Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Senate Resolution 1100 offered by Senator Geo-Karis.

Senate Resolution 1101 offered by Senator Carroll.

Senate Resolution 1102 offered by Senator Ralph Dunn.

All congratulatory.

PRESIDENT:

Consent Calendar. Senator Joyce, for what purpose do you arise, sir? Senator Kelly, can I ask you to move aside.

Senator Joyce.

SENATOR JEREMIAH JOYCE:

Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. I would like to consider a resolution at this time, so I would ask for a temporary suspension of the applicable rules so that we may consider a congratulatory resolution that's going to be presented tomorrow night to the Southwest Kiwanis.

PRESIDENT:

Senate Resolution 1092, Mr. Secretary, I understand has already been placed on the Consent Calendar. There is some urgency. All right, with leave of the Body, we'll move to the Order of Senate Resolution 1092. Senator Joyce has moved to suspend the rules for the immediate consideration and adoption of this congratulatory resolution. All in favor of the motion to suspend indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The rules are suspended. Senator Joyce now moves the adoption of Senate Resolution 1092. All in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The resolution is adopted. Senator Geo-Karis, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

(Machine cutoff)...Rock and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, I have a similar situation. Senate Resolution 1100 is honoring Joe Sisolak from my district and...by the Exchange Club for the book of Golden Deeds Award and that's taking place tomorrow tonight and I would like to move to suspend the rules for immediate consideration of this resolution.

PRESIDENT:

All right, that request is in order. Leave has been granted. On the Order of Resolutions is Senate Resolution 1100. Senator Geo-Karis has moved to suspend the rules for the purpose of its immediate consideration and adoption. All in favor of the motion to suspend indicate by saying Aye.

All opposed. The Ayes have it. The rules are suspended. Senator Geo-Karis now moves the adoption of Senate Resolution 1100. All in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The resolution is adopted. Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Joining the crowd, Mr. President. On Senate Resolution 1101, honoring the Village of Skokie of its hundredth anniversary, that anniversary is this week while we're in Session. I would ask if we could suspend the rules for immediate consideration and adoption of Senate Resolution 1101.

PRESIDENT:

All right, with leave of the Body, we'll move to the Order of Senate Resolution 1101. Senator Carroll has moved to suspend the rules for its immediate consideration and adoption. All in favor of the motion to suspend indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The rules are suspended. Senator Carroll now moves the adoption of Senate Resolution 1101. Any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The...Senate Resolution 1101 is adopted. Further resolutions, Mr. Secretary?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Senate Joint Resolution 132 offered by Senators Topinka, Philip and others.

PRESIDENT:

Executive. All right, ladies and gentlemen, it is now five-thirty. It will take the Secretary, I'm sure, a good half an hour to read through these bills. We will do nothing else but House bills 1st reading. We will reconvene at ten o'clock tomorrow morning and begin on the Order of Senate Bills 3rd Reading and go through the Calendar as expeditiously as we can. Senator Zito, for what purpose do you

arise?

SENATOR ZITO:

Mr. President, I want to ask leave of the Body to be added as a hyphenated chief sponsor to Senate Bill 2066.

PRESIDENT:

Gentleman seeks leave to be added as the cosponsor on Senate Bill 2066. Without objection, leave is granted. Senator Raica.

SENATOR RAICA:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. I also ask leave to be added as a hyphenated sponsor. I have three bills; one is Senate Bill 1794, Senate Joint Resolution 120 and House Joint Resolution 187.

PRESIDENT:

All right, gentleman seeks leave of the Body to be added as a cosponsor on Senate Bill 1794 and Senate Joint Resolution 120 and House Joint Resolution 187. Without objection, leave is granted. Senator Topinka.

SENATOR TOPINKA:

Yes, Mr. President, may I have leave to be added on as the hyphenated joint sponsor on House Bill 3136?

PRESIDENT:

3136...House Bill 3136, the lady seeks leave to be added as the hyphenated cosponsor. Without objection, leave is granted. Senator Dunn.

SENATOR TOM DUNN:

Thank you, Mr. President. I ask leave to be added as a hyphenated cosponsor on 1634.

PRESIDENT:

Senate Bill 1634. The gentleman seeks leave to be added as the hyphenated cosponsor. Without objection, leave is granted. Senator Holmberg.

SENATOR HOLMBERG:

With leave of the Body, I would like to have Senator

DeAngelis added as a hyphenated cosponsor on House Bill 2986.

PRESIDENT:

All right, the lady seeks leave to have Senator DeAngelis shown as the hyphenated cosponsor on House Bill 2986. Without objection, leave is granted. All right, ladies and gentlemen, tomorrow morning at ten o'clock. Senator Smith.

SENATOR SMITH:

Yes, Mr. President and members of the Senate, I'd like to ask leave...I signed up for House Bill 2921. I'd like to change the sponsorship to Senator D'Arco and I appear as a hyphenating cosponsor to House Bill 2921.

PRESIDENT:

All right, it's not yet been read in. Will you just make the appropriate notation, Mr. Secretary. We haven't gotten there yet. 2921, show it D'Arco-Smith. Senator Raica.

SENATOR RAICA:

Yes, Mr. President, it was in error, it was House Joint Resolution 186, not 187.

PRESIDENT:

Gentleman seeks leave of the Body to be shown as the hyphenated...or a cosponsor of House Joint Resolution 186. Without objection, leave is granted. All right, we'll move to the Order of the Supplemental Calendar No. 1 on the Order of House Bills 1st Reading and that will effectively conclude our business and we will reconvene at ten o'clock tomorrow morning to begin on the Order of Senate Bills 3rd Reading. House bills 1st reading, Mr. Secretary.

END OF REEL

REEL #5

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

(Machine cutoff)...Bill 737 offered by Senators Smith and Marovitz.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 952 offered by Senator Hawkinson.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

Senate Bill 1254...or House Bill 1254 offered by Senator Fawell.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2347 offered by Senators Luft and Hawkinson.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

...or House Bill 2423 offered by Senator Marovitz.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2456 offered by Senator Kelly.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2688 offered by Senator Marovitz.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2909 offered by Senator Degnan.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2913 offered by Senators Degnan and Marovitz.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2918 offered by Senator Netsch.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2921 offered by Senator Smith.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2940 offered by Senator Zito.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2941 offered by Senator Marovitz.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2957 offered by Senator Poshard.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2958 offered by Senator Poshard.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2959[✓] offered by Senator Poshard.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2960 offered by Senator Poshard.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2986 offered by Senator Holmberg.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3014, by Senator Poshard.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3057 offered by Senator Marovitz.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3096, by Senator Jones.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3113, by Senator Marovitz.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3116, by Senators Dudycz and Degnan.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3117, by Senator Poshard.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3118 offered by Senators Luft and Hawkinson.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3135 offered by Senators Luft and DeAngelis.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3145, by...offered by Senators Donahue and Jones.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3149, by Senator del Valle.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3150, by Senator Philip.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3162.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

Offered by Senator Carroll.

House Bill 3195 offered by Senators Hawkinson and Luft.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3197, by Senators Hawkinson and Luft.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3199, by Senator Etheredge.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3200 offered by Senator Philip.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3202, by Senator Geo-Karis.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill...3203, by Senator Kustra.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3204, by Senator Mahar.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3205 offered by Senators Ralph Dunn and
Watson.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

SECRETARY:

House Bill 3208.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3209 offered by Senator Karpziel.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3210 offered by Senator Donahue.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3211 offered by Senator Maitland.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3212 offered by Senator Maitland.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3224 offered by Senator Raica.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3225 offered by Senator Karpziel.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3226 offered by Senator Mahar.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3227 offered by Senator Watson.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3228 offered by Senator Mahar.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3229 offered by Senator Maitland.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3230 offered by Senator Dudycz.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3231 offered by Senator Watson.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3232 offered by Senator Geo-Karis.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3233 offered by Senator Hawkinson.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3234 offered by Senator Davidson.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3235 offered by Senator Raica.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3236 offered by Senator Schaffer.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3237 offered by Senator Weaver.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3238 offered by Senator Karpel.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3239 offered by Senator Topinka.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3240 offered by Senator Etheredge.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3241 offered by Senator Schaffer.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3242 offered by Senator Dudycz.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3243 offered by Senator Dudycz.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3255 offered by Senators Luft and Kustra.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3261 offered by Senator Weaver.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3262 offered by Senator Weaver.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3264 offered by Senator Berman.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3275 offered by Senator Woodyard.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3278 offered by Senator Welch.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3280 offered by President Rock.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3281 offered by Senator Berman.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3282 offered by Senator Maitland.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3201 offered by Senator O'Daniel.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3311 offered by Senator Holmberg.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3318 offered by Senator Alexander.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3338 offered by Senator Marovitz.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3372 offered by Senator Welch.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3380 offered by Senator Zito.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3391 offered by Senator Poshard.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3403 offered by Senator Davidson.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3463 offered by Senator Carroll.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3470 offered by Senator Rigney.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3490 offered by Senator Donahue.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3491 offered by Senators Poshard and Donahue.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3492 offered by Senator Rigney.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill...3510 offered by Senator Marovitz.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3511 offered by Senator Carroll.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3512 offered by Senator Rigney.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3525 offered by Senator Demuzio.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3527 offered by Senator Holmberg.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3536[✓] offered by Senator Marovitz.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3539 offered by Senator Hall.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3540 offered by Senator Hall.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3541 offered by Senator Karpel.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3542 offered by Senator Carroll.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3543 offered by Senator Carroll.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3544 offered by Senator Vadalabene.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill...3557 offered by Senator Demuzio.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3562 offered by Senator Topinka.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3563 offered by Senator Friedland.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3564 offered by Senator Topinka.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3565 offered by Senator Topinka.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3567 offered by Senator Topinka.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3573 offered by Senator Rigney.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3589 offered by Senator Topinka.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill...3590 offered by Senator Schuneman.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3606 offered by Senator Topinka.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3620 offered by Senator Welch.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3663 offered by Senator Demuzio.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3671 offered by Senator Mahar.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill...3888 offered by Senator Geo-Karis.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3895 offered by Senator Demuzio.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 4024 offered by Senator Savickas.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 4113 offered by Senators O'Daniel, Ralph Dunn

and Poshard.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 4117 offered by Senator Welch.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 4154 offered by Senators O'Daniel, Ralph Dunn
and Poshard.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 4192 offered by Senator Jacobs.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

1st reading of the bills.

PRESIDENT:

(Machine cutoff)...those...the bills having been read a first time will be assigned to the Rules Committee. Further business? If not, Senator Barkhausen moves that the Senate stand adjourned until Wednesday, May 18, tomorrow morning, at the hour of ten o'clock. Ten o'clock tomorrow morning.

STATE OF ILLINOIS
85TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY
SENATE
DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

MAY 17, 1988

HB-0737	FIRST READING	PAGE	192
HB-0952	FIRST READING	PAGE	192
HB-1254	FIRST READING	PAGE	192
HB-2285	FIRST READING	PAGE	4
HB-2347	FIRST READING	PAGE	192
HB-2423	FIRST READING	PAGE	192
HB-2456	FIRST READING	PAGE	192
HB-2688	FIRST READING	PAGE	192
HB-2909	FIRST READING	PAGE	192
HB-2913	FIRST READING	PAGE	192
HB-2918	FIRST READING	PAGE	192
HB-2921	FIRST READING	PAGE	192
HB-2940	FIRST READING	PAGE	192
HB-2941	FIRST READING	PAGE	192
HB-2957	FIRST READING	PAGE	192
HB-2958	FIRST READING	PAGE	193
HB-2959	FIRST READING	PAGE	193
HB-2960	FIRST READING	PAGE	193
HB-2986	FIRST READING	PAGE	193
HB-2993	FIRST READING	PAGE	4
HB-3014	FIRST READING	PAGE	193
HB-3057	FIRST READING	PAGE	193
HB-3096	FIRST READING	PAGE	193
HB-3113	FIRST READING	PAGE	193
HB-3116	FIRST READING	PAGE	193
HB-3117	FIRST READING	PAGE	193
HB-3118	FIRST READING	PAGE	193
HB-3135	FIRST READING	PAGE	193
HB-3145	FIRST READING	PAGE	193
HB-3149	FIRST READING	PAGE	193
HB-3150	FIRST READING	PAGE	193
HB-3162	FIRST READING	PAGE	193
HB-3195	FIRST READING	PAGE	194
HB-3197	FIRST READING	PAGE	194
HB-3199	FIRST READING	PAGE	194
HB-3200	FIRST READING	PAGE	194
HB-3201	FIRST READING	PAGE	196
HB-3202	FIRST READING	PAGE	194
HB-3203	FIRST READING	PAGE	194
HB-3204	FIRST READING	PAGE	194
HB-3205	FIRST READING	PAGE	194
HB-3208	FIRST READING	PAGE	194
HB-3209	FIRST READING	PAGE	194
HB-3210	FIRST READING	PAGE	194
HB-3211	FIRST READING	PAGE	194
HB-3212	FIRST READING	PAGE	194
HB-3224	FIRST READING	PAGE	194
HB-3225	FIRST READING	PAGE	194
HB-3226	FIRST READING	PAGE	194
HB-3227	FIRST READING	PAGE	195
HB-3228	FIRST READING	PAGE	195
HB-3229	FIRST READING	PAGE	195
HB-3230	FIRST READING	PAGE	195
HB-3231	FIRST READING	PAGE	195
HB-3232	FIRST READING	PAGE	195
HB-3233	FIRST READING	PAGE	195
HB-3234	FIRST READING	PAGE	195
HB-3235	FIRST READING	PAGE	195
HB-3236	FIRST READING	PAGE	195
HB-3237	FIRST READING	PAGE	195
HB-3238	FIRST READING	PAGE	195
HB-3239	FIRST READING	PAGE	195
HB-3240	FIRST READING	PAGE	195
HB-3241	FIRST READING	PAGE	195

STATE OF ILLINOIS
85TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY
SENATE
DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

MAY 17, 1988

HB-3242	FIRST READING	PAGE	195
HB-3243	FIRST READING	PAGE	195
HB-3255	FIRST READING	PAGE	196
HB-3261	FIRST READING	PAGE	196
HB-3262	FIRST READING	PAGE	196
HB-3264	FIRST READING	PAGE	196
HB-3275	FIRST READING	PAGE	196
HB-3278	FIRST READING	PAGE	196
HB-3280	FIRST READING	PAGE	196
HB-3281	FIRST READING	PAGE	196
HB-3282	FIRST READING	PAGE	196
HB-3297	FIRST READING	PAGE	4
HB-3311	FIRST READING	PAGE	196
HB-3318	FIRST READING	PAGE	196
HB-3338	FIRST READING	PAGE	196
HB-3372	FIRST READING	PAGE	196
HB-3380	FIRST READING	PAGE	196
HB-3391	FIRST READING	PAGE	196
HB-3403	FIRST READING	PAGE	196
HB-3445	FIRST READING	PAGE	4
HB-3448	FIRST READING	PAGE	4
HB-3463	FIRST READING	PAGE	197
HB-3470	FIRST READING	PAGE	197
HB-3490	FIRST READING	PAGE	197
HB-3491	FIRST READING	PAGE	197
HB-3492	FIRST READING	PAGE	197
HB-3510	FIRST READING	PAGE	197
HB-3511	FIRST READING	PAGE	197
HB-3512	FIRST READING	PAGE	197
HB-3525	FIRST READING	PAGE	197
HB-3527	FIRST READING	PAGE	197
HB-3536	FIRST READING	PAGE	197
HB-3539	FIRST READING	PAGE	197
HB-3540	FIRST READING	PAGE	197
HB-3541	FIRST READING	PAGE	197
HB-3542	FIRST READING	PAGE	197
HB-3543	FIRST READING	PAGE	197
HB-3544	FIRST READING	PAGE	197
HB-3557	FIRST READING	PAGE	198
HB-3562	FIRST READING	PAGE	198
HB-3563	FIRST READING	PAGE	198
HB-3564	FIRST READING	PAGE	198
HB-3565	FIRST READING	PAGE	198
HB-3567	FIRST READING	PAGE	198
HB-3573	FIRST READING	PAGE	198
HB-3589	FIRST READING	PAGE	198
HB-3590	FIRST READING	PAGE	198
HB-3606	FIRST READING	PAGE	198
HB-3620	FIRST READING	PAGE	198
HB-3663	FIRST READING	PAGE	198
HB-3671	FIRST READING	PAGE	198
HB-3888	FIRST READING	PAGE	198
HB-3895	FIRST READING	PAGE	198
HB-3956	FIRST READING	PAGE	4
HB-4018	FIRST READING	PAGE	4
HB-4024	FIRST READING	PAGE	198
HB-4113	FIRST READING	PAGE	198
HB-4117	FIRST READING	PAGE	199
HB-4154	FIRST READING	PAGE	199
HB-4192	FIRST READING	PAGE	199
SB-0515	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	185
SB-1557	SECOND READING	PAGE	12
SB-1562	SECOND READING	PAGE	13
SB-1563	SECOND READING	PAGE	14

DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

MAY 17, 1988

SB-1581	SECOND READING	PAGE	15
SB-1593	SECOND READING	PAGE	15
SB-1599	SECOND READING	PAGE	17
SB-1628	SECOND READING	PAGE	18
SB-1657	SECOND READING	PAGE	19
SB-1685	SECOND READING	PAGE	30
SB-1689	SECOND READING	PAGE	30
SB-1690	SECOND READING	PAGE	31
SB-1691	SECOND READING	PAGE	32
SB-1692	SECOND READING	PAGE	33
SB-1693	SECOND READING	PAGE	35
SB-1694	SECOND READING	PAGE	36
SB-1695	SECOND READING	PAGE	37
SB-1696	SECOND READING	PAGE	38
SB-1697	SECOND READING	PAGE	39
SB-1703	SECOND READING	PAGE	41
SB-1721	SECOND READING	PAGE	42
SB-1723	SECOND READING	PAGE	43
SB-1728	SECOND READING	PAGE	44
SB-1729	SECOND READING	PAGE	49
SB-1730	SECOND READING	PAGE	53
SB-1731	SECOND READING	PAGE	57
SB-1732	SECOND READING	PAGE	58
SB-1733	SECOND READING	PAGE	59
SB-1734	SECOND READING	PAGE	60
SB-1735	SECOND READING	PAGE	75
SB-1736	SECOND READING	PAGE	79
SB-1737	SECOND READING	PAGE	80
SB-1738	SECOND READING	PAGE	82
SB-1739	SECOND READING	PAGE	83
SB-1740	SECOND READING	PAGE	87
SB-1741	SECOND READING	PAGE	90
SB-1742	SECOND READING	PAGE	90
SB-1743	SECOND READING	PAGE	92
SB-1744	SECOND READING	PAGE	93
SB-1746	SECOND READING	PAGE	94
SB-1747	SECOND READING	PAGE	95
SB-1748	SECOND READING	PAGE	96
SB-1749	SECOND READING	PAGE	97
SB-1750	SECOND READING	PAGE	97
SB-1751	SECOND READING	PAGE	99
SB-1752	SECOND READING	PAGE	105
SB-1753	SECOND READING	PAGE	107
SB-1754	SECOND READING	PAGE	108
SB-1755	SECOND READING	PAGE	114
SB-1756	SECOND READING	PAGE	116
SB-1757	SECOND READING	PAGE	117
SB-1758	SECOND READING	PAGE	118
SB-1759	SECOND READING	PAGE	118
SB-1771	SECOND READING	PAGE	124
SB-1776	SECOND READING	PAGE	135
SB-1777	SECOND READING	PAGE	137
SB-1778	SECOND READING	PAGE	137
SB-1779	SECOND READING	PAGE	138
SB-1793	SECOND READING	PAGE	146
SB-1834	SECOND READING	PAGE	149
SB-1835	SECOND READING	PAGE	140
SB-1836	SECOND READING	PAGE	150
SB-1840	SECOND READING	PAGE	151
SB-1840	OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	151
SB-1897	SECOND READING	PAGE	152
SB-1902	SECOND READING	PAGE	153
SB-1926	SECOND READING	PAGE	154
SB-1937	SECOND READING	PAGE	155

STATE OF ILLINOIS
85TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY
SENATE
DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

MAY 17, 1988

SB-1996	SECOND READING	PAGE	155
SB-2002	SECOND READING	PAGE	156
SB-2003	SECOND READING	PAGE	168
SB-2014	SECOND READING	PAGE	158
SB-2014	RECALLED	PAGE	158
SB-2021	SECOND READING	PAGE	159
SB-2022	SECOND READING	PAGE	161
SB-2042	SECOND READING	PAGE	183
SB-2050	SECOND READING	PAGE	169
SB-2110	SECOND READING	PAGE	170
SB-2112	SECOND READING	PAGE	172
SB-2117	SECOND READING	PAGE	172
SB-2145	SECOND READING	PAGE	173
SB-2151	SECOND READING	PAGE	174
SB-2167	SECOND READING	PAGE	176
SB-2174	SECOND READING	PAGE	176
SB-2199	SECOND READING	PAGE	178
SB-2202	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	187
SB-2202	OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	187
SB-2218	SECOND READING	PAGE	179
SB-2241	SECOND READING	PAGE	181
SB-2256	SECOND READING	PAGE	182
SR-1085	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	4
SR-1086	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	4
SR-1087	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	4
SR-1088	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	4
SR-1089	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	4
SR-1090	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	4
SR-1091	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	4
SR-1092	ADOPTED	PAGE	188
SR-1092	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	4
SR-1093	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	4
SR-1094	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	5
SR-1095	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	5
SR-1096	ADOPTED	PAGE	5
SR-1096	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	5
SR-1097	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	5
SR-1097	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	6
SR-1098	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	6
SR-1099	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	6
SR-1100	ADOPTED	PAGE	188
SR-1100	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	187
SR-1101	ADOPTED	PAGE	189
SR-1101	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	187
SR-1102	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	187
SJR-0127	ADOPTED	PAGE	9
SJR-0127	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	7
SJR-0128	ADOPTED	PAGE	10
SJR-0128	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	8
SJR-0129	ADOPTED	PAGE	11
SJR-0129	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	9
SJR-0132	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	189

SUBJECT MATTER

SENATE TO ORDER - PRESIDENT ROCK	PAGE	1
PRAYER - REVEREND PAUL COX	PAGE	1
JOURNALS - APPROVED	PAGE	1
JOURNALS - POSTPONED	PAGE	1
MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE	PAGE	2
MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE	PAGE	3
SB 2145 - REASSIGNED TO COMMITTEE	PAGE	174
ADJOURNMENT	PAGE	199