1. **PRESIDENT:**

2. The hour of eleven having arrived, the Senate will come to order. Prayer will be by the Reverend Jack H. Glenn, Pastor of Eureka United Presbyterian Church, Eureka, Illinois.

3. **REVEREND JACK H. GLENN:**

   (Prayer given by Reverend Glenn)

4. **PRESIDENT:**

5. Reading of the Journal. Senator Hall.

6. **SENATOR HALL:**

7. Mr. President, Senators, I move that reading of the approval of the Journal of Wednesday, March the 5th, 1975; Thursday, March the 6th, 1975 and Tuesday, March 11th, 1975 be postponed pending the arrival of the printed Journal.

8. **PRESIDENT:**

9. You heard the motion. All in favor will say Aye. Opposed.

10. The motion carries. Senator Hall. Committee reports.

11. **SECRETARY:**

   Senator Donnewald, Chairman of Assignment of the Bills, assigns the following to Committee:

   12. Agriculture, Conservation and Energy - Senate Bill 289; Appropriation - Senate Bill 284, 290, 292, 294, House Bills 37 and 84;

   13. Judiciary - Senate Bills 286, 287, 288, House Bill 97; Labor and Commerce - Senate Bill 285; Local Government - Senate Bill 283;

   14. Pensions, Personnel and Veterans Affairs - Senate Bills 295, 296 and 297; Revenue - Senate Bill 293; Transportation - Senate Bill 291.

   Senator Knuppel, Chairman of Agriculture, Conservation and Energy, reports out Senate Bill 138 with the recommendation Do Pass and referred to Appropriation Committee.

15. **PRESIDENT:**


17. **SECRETARY:**

18. Message from the House by Mr. O'Brien, Clerk.

19. Mr. President - I am directed to inform the Senate that the
House of Representatives have passed bills with the following
titles in the passage of which I am instructed to ask the
concurrence of the Senate, to-wit:

House Bills 192, 307, 420 and 278.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Newhouse, would you come to the podium, please?

Introduction of bills.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill No. 298 introduced by Senators Ozinga and Welsh.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

1st reading of the bill.

Senate Bill 299 introduced by the same sponsors.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

1st reading of the bill.

PRESIDENT:

Senate Bills on 2nd reading. Senate Bill 8, Senator Donnewald.

Senate Bill 24, Senator Wooten. Read the bill. For what purpose
does Senator Shapiro arise?

SENATOR SHAPIRO:

Mr. President, we are now hearing Senate Bill 24 on 2nd reading.
The digest indicates that a...the Fiscal Note Act may be applicable,
and I am respectfully requesting that the sponsor furnish a Fiscal Note
for this bill because there is no appropriation to cover the cost.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Wooten.

SENIOR WOOTEN:

I would like an opportunity to discuss this with Senator Shapiro.

We'll come back to this later.

PRESIDENT:

Take it out of the record. Senate Bill 27, Senator Rock. Senate
Bill 28, Senator Johns. I'm sorry, 90...58. Senator Johns, is he on
the Floor? Senate Bill 165, Senator Philip. Senate Bill 119, I'm
sorry, Senator Palmer. Read the bill.
SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 119.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor? 3rd reading. Senate Bill 165, Senator Philip. Read the bill.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 165.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor? 3rd reading. Senate will be in recess for few...very few moments.

(RECESS)

(AFTER RECESS)

PRESIDENT:

Senator Wooten, what is your pleasure now with reference to your bill?

SENATOR WOOTEN:

Senator Shapiro requests a Fiscal Note. I'll be...I have the information, but it is not in the form of a note. So, I'll be very happy to supply him with that, and I imagine this will delay us about one day. I regret the delay, but I'll play the game.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Hickey, we're ready to go with yours, if we can.

House will be in order. Minute...members will be in their seats.

Senate Bill on...Senate Bills on 3rd reading. Senate Bill 35, Senator Hickey.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill...Senate Bill 35.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.
Senator Hickey.

Senator Hickey:

Senate Bill 35 simply amends an act which was introduced by Senator Keegan two years ago, which allows school districts to hold a referendum to see if they want to elect board members by geographical district. And, at that time, there was only a provision in it for a six year term. Rockford school board has three year terms and this bill allows them to avail themselves of this opportunity. There's also been an amendment put on it by Peoria for five year terms in charter districts which allows them to avail themselves of the opportunity. Are there any questions?

Senator Shapiro.

Senator Shapiro:

Mr. President, will the sponsor yield for some questions?

She indicates she will yield.

Senator Hickey, now as I understand the bill, it allows the school district to set up districts from which board members may... may run. Okay.

No. First of all, it allows the referendum so...

Right.

...that the people decide...

Right.

...if they'll do that.
Mr. President, I'd like to address myself to the bill. I...

PRESIDENT:

Senator Shapiro is recognized.

SENATOR SHAPIRO:

Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, I...I really can't say that I'm over...overly enthusiastic about this bill. As you know, we now have restrictions in the school code concerning the make-up of a board, and the restriction that no more than four can serve from any one township. In some areas of the State, the bill...the law, as it is presently constituted, creates hardships for those unit districts that are being formed. As an example, I think in McHenry County, there is now one district that is attempting to form...several dual districts are attempting to form a unit district, but one area is so heavily populated and the rest of it is so sparsely populated that to...the restriction that the present law imposes means that the sparsely populated areas are going to have over-representation, as one man, one vote is concerned. Your bill, if it becomes enacted, would not help...well, it would help them but it would create problems, in that the district lines would not be able to follow township lines, and it would create a hardship on the school board for the Election Commission, whichever...or the Board of Trustees, whichever group prevails in drawing districts. I think the way to go is to remove township restrictions for those unit districts and school districts that have to abide by that law, and make everyone run at large, as they do now in Rockford. I just happen to oppose it on that particular reason. I think it's a step not in the right direction, and I would urge the bill be defeated.

PRESIDENT:

Any further discussion? Senator Hickey may close the debate.

SENATOR HICKEY:

I'd like to point out to Senator Shapiro that what he's talking about is not my bill. He's talking about the bill which passed two years ago. What...what my bill does is simply make what passed two
years ago, and is already on the books, available to school districts
that have three year terms and six year terms. And, as far as another
provision taking township lines into...into consideration, that would
be a completely separate measure, and I don't feel that he's speaking
to the point to which this bill addresses itself.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Shapiro.

SENATOR SHAPIRO:

Senator, when I asked the question that this bill provided for
the drawing of districts from which school board members could run,
you answered in the affirmative.

SENATOR HICKEY:

...(Machine cut-off)...but the act which is already on the books
does, not this bill.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Bloom is recognized.

SENATOR BLOOM:

Yes, thank you. I'd like to speak in favor of this bill. What
this bill does is...remedies some inequities that are common to both
Rockford and Peoria, and that is when the members run at large, we
have a situation in Peoria where our school board members all live
within about a mile of each other up in the north end of town. The
Valley, as it's called in Peoria, has no representation under...under
...this is a good bill, and I'd urge its passage cause it allows for
all segments within the community covered by a school district to
have representation, and I ask your favorable vote.

PRESIDENT:

Any further discussion? The question is shall Senate Bill 35
pass. All in favor will vote Aye. Opposed will vote Nay. The
voting is open. Have all voted who wished? Take the record. On
this question, the Ayes are 40, the Nays are 5, Present 2. The
bill having received a constitutional majority is declared passed.

Senate Bill 48, Senator Nudelman. Senate Bill 70, Senator Sapertein.
Senator Bill 77, Senator Schaffer. You going to call it, Sir?

Senator Bill 77. Read the bill.

SECRETARY:

Senator Bill 77.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Schaffer.

SENATOR SCHAFER:

Mr. President, this is a relatively simple bill. I'd like to explain it to the members. It's a little controversial, and I'm afraid we're going to get more discussion on it than perhaps any of us want. This bill simply provides that the State of Illinois will celebrate Memorial Day on the day designated by the Federal Government. In effect, as the situation now stands, this means we would celebrate it on the Monday holiday, the fourth Monday in May. It does, however, provide, by the simple construction of the language, that should the Federal Government come back to May 30th, the State of Illinois will be in compliance and will need no additional legislation. In addition, it does one other thing, it designates May 30th as a commemorative holiday, in the event that a Legion Post or some other patriotic organization chooses to continue to celebrate it on May 30th. It also will eliminate a tremendous amount of confusion, and I might add, a tremendous unnecessary expenditure of money, both public and private. Today, according to our research done by the Reference Bureau, forty-two states in the Federal Government...yeah...

PRESIDENT:

Just one minute...just one minute, Senator.

SENATOR SCHAFER:

Yeah.

PRESIDENT:

Will the members be in their seats? Will you give this gentle-
man your attention? Continue, Senator Schaffer.
SENATOR SCHAPPER:

I would...I would suggest to you that this particular bill's going to draw a lot of attention, both in your districts and down here, and that you probably wish to be as conversant as possible on it. This bill, or the states...forty-two states in the Federal Government now celebrate it on the fourth Monday. Five states, including Illinois, celebrate it on May 30th. Three of the five states that celebrate it on May 30th have legislation before them to conform with the Federal holiday. The remainder of the states celebrate it on four other days. General Logan originally designated, I believe, April 5th as Memorial Day, and then after they discovered the weather was cold and the flowers were not yet in bloom, they designated May 30th. I might add it's this Memorial Day started in Columbia, Mississippi on a...another day in April. The principal reason for picking a day in late May was so that the flowers would be available for the ceremonies. I suggest to you that this is a very necessary thing because of the unwarranted and unnecessary expense and hardship on the people of Illinois. This year, if this bill does not pass, the banks, the industries and the Post Offices will all be closed on Monday. Four days later, the children will have the day off, and the schools and the State offices will be closed. This means that if a working family, if both mother and father work, one of them will have to try and get the day off or find a babysitter. I would respectfully request you to think back about the chaos that was created last year, and would once again remind you that this same chaos is on the horizon again, and we have to act now to prevent it.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Mitchler.

SENATOR MITCHLER:

Mr. President, members of the Senate, I don't particularly like to rise in opposition to a bill sponsored by my seatmate, but I think we should take a good look at what we're doing here. There's several very important concepts that we're acting on. And the first
and the most important, in my mind, and I would hope in the minds
of you who are representing people of the State of Illinois, would
be to observe a holiday that has been created particularly for those
who we honor. The living and dead of our wars. Now, this is not to
be held at the convenience of industry, of labor, of businesses, retail
merchants, those who are concerned with the almighty dollar. This
day is a day of reverence, and the significance behind it, since its
creation following the Civil War, has been to instill in the minds of
our students and our children about the heritage of those who have made
the supreme sacrifice and those who have served in peace time for their
country. It teaches them what freedom is all about, and if you're
going to hide a holiday with the significance of Memorial Day behind
a Monday holiday for a three-day weekend so that you'll cut down on
absenteeism at the factory, then you want to go with this concept.
And now I'm going to give another very important concept, and I would
hope one of my colleagues would yield me their time so that I can
put this through. I think Senator Weaver will do that. Thank you,
Senator Weaver.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Weaver indicates... he will.

SENATOR MITCHELL:

Now, the second very important point I want to make out is who
has the authority to establish and designate dates on which holidays
will be observed in Illinois and all of the other fifty states. Let
me remind you that the Federal Government does not run everything,
thank God. The Federal Government, Congress, has authority for es-
ablishing and designating the dates on which holidays are to be observed
for the District of Columbia, Federal employees and Federal offices
only. The states retain the right to designate holidays and designate
the dates they are to be observed. For example, the State of Illinois
has a holiday, commemorative holiday on January 15th for Martin Luther
King, Jr. The Federal Government, Congress, has not so designated such
a date. I give that as an example. Now, let me give you another example.
It was cited that Memorial Day is observed on a Monday in forty-two states in the district...and the Federal Government offices.

Since the Monday holidays took effect, and the states got in line like a herd of sheep going to slaughter, as they do on many occasions, and don't protect their rights for the people. Forty-four states reversed themselves, and are now celebrating Veterans Day on November 11, the date originally intended, and this was done by lobbying in the states by the veterans and the patriotic organizations. And I might say to you that there is under way similar lobbying groups in the states to have Memorial Day changed back to May 30. Naturally, Illinois took the lead, as it always does, in the case of veterans affairs and patriotic activities, and we changed Memorial Day back to May 30, the date it belonged. And we are leading the nation and now if we take a reverse, we are showing our weakness to Congress and the Federal Government. They tell us that we have to take down all our billboards on our highways, and we pass legislation right away to do it. You still see the billboards on the highways. Try and get us to celebrate Memorial Day on a Monday holiday, the people don't want it and they'll continue on May 30, and you'll still have the same confusion. Now, this is March 12, and you're going to try to pass a bill in the Senate, and it's going to run into a lot more trouble in the House, if it ever does get out of the Senate, and you're going to confuse the people even more this year in trying to change that date. We were unsuccessful to put a date of effectiveness of 1977 on this bill, but go ahead. I ask you please, please, think of the people, the veterans, and those that are involved in this holiday, and vote the way the people want you to vote, the people that elected you here. And I'm going to tell you that the Chicago Association of Commerce and Industry and the officials there, the Illinois Manufactures Association...

PRESIDENT:

Senator...

SENATOR MITCHEL:

...the United Airlines and a lot of them...
1. PRESIDENT:

   For what purpose does Senator Schaffer arise? Turn him on.

2. Senator Schaffer for what purpose...

3. SENATOR SCHAFFER:

   Mr. President, Representative DiPrima and I are over here in a
   high level conference, and I believe there might be a path of com-
   promise here and in that end, I'd like to pull this bill for the
   record for a few minutes.

4. PRESIDENT:

   Take if from the record. Senate Bill 70, Senator Saperstein.

5. Senator Buzbee, for what purpose do you arise?

6. SENATOR BUZBEE:

   Parliamentary inquiry, Mr. President.

7. PRESIDENT:

   State your point.

8. SENATOR BUZBEE:

   ...assuming that Senator Schaffer calls this bill back again,
   are we going to start over with every Senator's unlimited...or rather
   limited amount of time to speak on the bill again, or are we going to
   preclude those Senators who've already spoken?

9. PRESIDENT:

   I'd like to make that judgement at the time it arrives. I would
   hope that those Senators who've already spoken, who've already edified
   us on this matter, would not speak again.

10. SENATOR BUZBEE:

   Thank you.

11. SECRETARY:

   Senate Bill '70.

   (Secretary reads title of bill)

12. 3rd reading of the bill.

13. PRESIDENT:


15. SENATOR SAPERSTEIN:
Mr. President, Ladies, Gentlemen of the Senate. Senate Bill 70 might be called a... sister bill to Senate Bill 71 which passed out of the Senate last week. As I explained before, the board is an independent entity created by the 1970 Constitution, and therefore, should not be under the Code Department. This...removes the State Board of...Education from the control of the Department of Personnel, therefore, I urge your support.

PRESIDENT:

Any further discussion? Senator Berning.

SENIOR BERNING:

Thank you, Mr. President. May I direct a comment and a question to the sponsor?

PRESIDENT:

You may, Sir.

SENIOR BERNING:

Senator...

PRESIDENT:

She indicates she'll yield.

SENIOR BERNING:

It's obvious that this legislation is intended to meet the implication of the new Illinois Constitution; and should be supported by the members of this Body. There is one glaring deficiency as of this time, however, and that has do with a merit system for these employees. By removing them from the State Personnel Code, they are also taken out from under the preprogatives of the Civil Service Commission. My question, then is, to the sponsor, do you believe these people ought to have the benefit of a merit system of employment in order to protect them?

PRESIDENT:

For what purpose does Senator Buzbee...Oh. Senator Saperstein.

SENIOR SAPERSTEIN:

I...I'd be very happy to answer Senator Berning. You are abso-

lutely correct...
1. PRESIDENT:
2. Just...just one...just one moment, Senator. Let's break up
3. the caucuses. Will the members be in their seats so that we can
4. hear the lady. Senator Saperstein.
5. SENATOR SAPERSTEIN:
6. I absolutely agree. This question was discussed in committee,
7. and I think it was generally agreed that the...the...the boards which
8. are autonomous certainly should get together, the legislature should,
9. and establish a merit system within the boards that have been removed
10. from the Code Departments.
11. PRESIDENT:
13. SENATOR BERNING:
14. Thank you. I...I'm delighted to hear the Honorable Senator
15. Saperstein reiterate what I felt confident was to be her position,
16. that these employees, by one means or another, are deserving of pro-
17. tection under a merit system, and I thank you.
18. PRESIDENT:
19. Any further discussion? Senator Saperstein, do you desire to
20. close the debate?
21. SENATOR SAPERSTEIN:
22. Nothing more to be said, but ask for your support. Thank you.
23. PRESIDENT:
25. SENATOR GRAHAM:
26. Mr. President...
27. PRESIDENT:
28. Just...just a minute, Senator. Just a minute, Senator.
29. SENATOR GRAHAM:
30. I am in...
31. PRESIDENT:
32. Just...just a minute, Sir. Could we have the attention of the
SENATOR GRAHAM:
I am in complete sympathy with your position up there with that gavel. Having been there many times, I understand the ineffectiveness of it sometime when we are attempting to get our Body in order. Senator Saperstein just got through presenting a bill. She had some dialogue on the bill, and if this Chamber would have been as quiet then as it is now, we could have heard what the two principals were talking about. I support you in your efforts, and perhaps we should have an adjournment each day for fifteen minutes, and we could have the caucuses out in the hall. Let's try to do something. I'm in sympathy with it, and goodness, let's try to keep this Senate in order so we can hear what's going on, and I'll support you.

PRESIDENT:
Thank you very much, Senator. The question is shall Senate Bill 70 pass. All in favor will vote Aye. Opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take...take the record. On this question, the Yeas are 58, the Nays are none. This bill having received the constitutional majority is declared passed.

Senate Bill 86, Senator Vadalaabene. Read the bill.

SECRETARY:
Senate Bill 86.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDENT:

SENATOR VADALABENE:

Yes, thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. Senate Bill 86 authorizes increase in maximum interest rates for hospital bonds. Across the State of Illinois, hospitals that are contemplating improving the complex of their hospitals by several millions of dollars are handicapped under statute Chapter 139, Paragraph 160-13 of the Illinois Revised Statutes that they cannot get the sale of their bonds.
which now reads at five percent. What this bill gives them one
additional percent, it raises it from five to six percent, and
their tax exempt bonds are passed out of Local Government Committee
by a vote of fifteen to nothing, and I would appreciate your favorable
vote.

PRESIDENT:

Any further discussion? The question is shall Senate Bill 86
be passed. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote
Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wished? Take the record.
On this question, the Ayes are 56...Senator Vadalabene, for what
purpose do you arise?

SENATOR VADALABENE:

Yes, Mr. President, before the vote is taken, I said six percent.
I inadvertently meant seven percent. So, I want that to be put in
the record. From five to seven. Thank you.

PRESIDENT:

The record will reflect that. Have all voted who wished? On
this question, the Ayes are 56, the Nays are 1. This bill having
received the constitutional majority is declared passed. Senate
Bill 123, Senator Clarke. Read the bill.

SECRETARY:

Senate...Senate Bill 123.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Clarke.

SENATOR CLARKE:

...Mr. President and members of the Senate, it's a very simple bill
that the Auditor General requested. The Audit Commission is sponsor-
ing that exempts the Auditor General and his employees from the
Finance Act because of travel regulations. On the new Constitution
he is a member of the...not a member, but a arm of the Legislature,
and independent from the Department of Finance and the Executive. In
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1. the amendment stage, in committee, we included the Supreme Court
2. and their employees at their request. So, I just wanted to emphasize
3. that that also is now a part of this bill.
4. PRESIDENT:
5. Any further discussion? The question is shall Senate Bill 123
6. be passed. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed will vote
7. Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wished? Take the
8. record. On this question, the Yeas are 51, the Nays are none and
9. 1 Voting Present. This bill having received the constitutional
10. majority is declared passed. Senate Bill 164, Senator Berning.
12. SECRETARY:
13. Senate Bill 176.
14. (Secretary reads title of bill)
15. 3rd reading of the bill.
16. PRESIDENT:
17. Senator Glass.
18. SENATOR GLASS:
19. Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate.
20. Senate Bill 176 would extend for one year, the right of high school
21. districts to levy the so called Charge Back Tax. Now, this is the
22. tax that these districts are allowed to levy to pay tuition for their
23. graduates who go to community colleges, and it would be allowed for
24. areas that are not now in community college districts. This I...I
25. would emphasize is a one year tax, and it is very important to those
26. districts who are not yet in community college districts, because
27. without it there's a constitutional question as to where the funds
28. would come from that would have to be used to pay tuition for their
29. graduates to other community colleges, and it certainly would eat
30. into the Educational Fund and deprive students of the district from
31. Educational Funds. So, I would be happy to answer any questions,
32. and would urge your support of Senate Bill 176.
33. PRESIDENT:
1. Any further discussion? Senator Bruce.

2. SENATOR BRUCE:

3. Wonder if Senator Glass would yield to a question...two

4. questions?

5. PRESIDENT:

6. He indicates he would.

7. SENATOR BRUCE:

8. First of all, you say there would be no way to pay the tuition,

9. and secondly that this would only be for one year. Could they not

10. pay the tuition out of the ordinary funds of the...of the school

11. district, and will this only be for one year and that's forever and

12. ever. We'll terminate this question, and not hear it again.

13. SENATOR GLASS:

14. Well, Senator Bruce, as to the second question, which you've

15. asked me both now formally and previously informally, I...I would

16. say to you that this bill provides only for one year, and I certainly

17. am only concerned now with addressing the immediate problem of the

18. districts which are...are not in community college districts. I

19. think that's the important factor. On your other question, what...

20. what could the districts do to pay this tuition without the Charge

21. Back Tax. It seems to me that they could take other educational

22. funds, and yet there's a constitutional question, at least in my

23. mind, whether that would be valid, because they take money out of

24. the General Education Fund to pay tuition. I...I...I think there's

25. a real question as to whether that would be upheld constitutionally.

26. So, that's...that's the concern we have.

27. PRESIDENT:

28. Senator Bruce.

29. SENATOR BRUCE:

30. Well, I would just tell you that districts that are not in, have

31. concluded that they can do that, and will avoid going into the community

32. college area. This is the situation we find ourselves in. In July

33. 1 of '74, we said - no more tuition charge backs. That is a tax levy
that school districts can levy to pay tuition to go to...for their
students to go to junior colleges if they're not within a junior
college district. That ended last year, we levied and extended for
this year. In September, that's it. The ...either in or out of
community college. Senator John Gilbert passed Senate Bill 1188,
that was to conclude. We gave him four years, get in or get out.
If you don't want to get in a district, that's fine. As a Legisla-
tor from downstate Illinois, I find myself in this situation, there
is one district, school district within my district that does not
want to join. They send their children to the junior colleges, the
four within my district. Everyone of those campuses, and everyone
who lives within that community college district pays twenty-five
cents per one hundred dollars of assessed valuation. This General
Assembly said on July 1, '74 - we're going to treat all taxpayers
the same, not just some, but all. Those in Edwards County and
Richland County and Lawrence County are going to pay the same amount
of money. Those in Lawrence County, who have stayed out, now pay
eight cents for the same education, for the same opportunity. It's
time we say - that's it. If you don't want to get in and pay twenty-
five cents per one hundred dollars, if you don't want to carry your
fair share of the junior college district, then say so, take it out
of your Educational Fund that you're already using, and they can use
that and let's have done with it. This is not a one year extension,
it's a...it's an extension for one year which will be renewed one more
year, and one more year. Senate Bill 1188 put them on warning, four
years from today you've got to do it, and now we're extending it a
year. It will be extended every other year. It's unfair to those
tax payers who voted referenda, who said let's tax ourselves. There
is not one community college district south of Route 36 that does not
presently pay twenty-five cents per one hundred dollars of assessed
valuation. They did it on good faith, and this is breaking the faith
with those tax payers who are supporting community colleges.
PRESIDENT:
Several members have asked to be heard on this matter. I have
your names in order. First is Senator Don Moore.

SENATOR MOORE:

Yes, will Senator Glass yield to a question?

PRESIDENT:

He indicates he will.

SENATOR MOORE:

Looking at the bill, on Page 1, Line 11, you strike the language
through the year 1974 which means that this will continue on forever
unless it's changed by the next Session. Was that amended or any-
thing?

SENATOR GLASS:

Yes, Senator Moore, that...that was amended in committee to...to
read through the year 1975.

SENATOR MOORE:

Well, I don't have a...a copy of the amendment in my...in my
book, and I was just...cause I thought you made the representation
this is for just for one year and it did not reflect that in the book.

Thank you.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Latherow.

SENATOR LATHEROW:

Mr. President, Senator Moore asked the question that I had in
mind.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Shapiro.

SENATOR SHAPIRO:

Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, I want to
support this bill. What Senator Bruce has said is true if we did...
had mandated that all the area in the State be under the jurisdiction
of a junior college or be within a junior college district. But, we
haven't done so, and if we don't pass this bill, it's going to affect
approximately fifty school districts throughout the State adversely
in two ways - without the authority to have this charge back tuition
tax levy, those districts will have to take that money out of their
Educational Fund, and they are all hard pressed now to meet the needs
of the students that they have to serve in the common schools. Secondly,
it will affect their State aid adversely because this junior college
tuition charge back rate is a rate that can be included in the operating
rate to figure State aid, and that's the problem. We've got to do
this or those school districts will suffer, and that's why I would
urge a favorable roll call on this bill.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Knuppel.

SENATOR KNUPPEL:

Well, Senator Shapiro said very succinctly what I want to say,
extcept for one other thing. I just don't like...I just don't like
the program that Senator Bruce recommend by using duress on children
in primary and secondary schools as a means of accomplishing an inte-
gration of junior colleges. I don't like force in this method. If
these people don't do this voluntarily, and we extend the time that
they're allowed to remain out of junior college districts, then that's
our fault. But, we ought not to reach the inequities of the legisla-
ture, and those adults who don't want to be a part of the junior
college on the secondary and primary students in school, and I just
don't like that method of duress. It really smacks, I think, of what...

what is un-American.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Saperstein.

SENATOR SAPERSTEIN:

Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen, I rise in support of
Senate Bill 176. I think all of us know that the districts that are
affected by this bill are under great financial stress. To give, and
they are determined to give the young people who wish to attend junior
college all the support they can. They have no alternative, but to
dip into their Educational Fund which greatly affects the quality of
education in the districts. I think this whole matter...there will
two...other bills that will touch upon the master plan for junior
college education in the State that we have to address ourselves
very seriously. However, this is of emergency nature, and I urge
your support of Senate Bill 176.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Harbor Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Just briefly, Mr. President, I, too, join Senator Saperstein and
Senator Shapiro and Senator Glass, who I always rely upon as to offer
this Body a good...thoughtful legislation. I, too, want to support
Senate Bill 176. It's very important in my district. This nominal
levy, for the purposes of furnishing support for those students who
wish to go to o junior colleges outside of the district, means a great
deal to my...almost my entire district, and we have met many times
where the citizens in my district have expressed to me how important
this bill is. I think it's only right that we extend them this needed
tax revenue source, so they cannot diminish their general school treasury
to send the students who want to go to junior colleges in various places.
I would point out to you that my district, in central Illinois, is
verily...very, very easily accessible to six separate junior colleges,
on a regular daily commuting basis. And many of our students like to
go to one, and many like to go to the others, but in any case, our
local school districts would like to have a sufficient tax to pay
the tuition for those students coming out of the high schools. So,
I...join in the strong support of this bill.

PRESIDENT:

Any further discussion? Senator Glass may close the debate.

SENATOR GLASS:

Well, thank you, Mr. President. I think the issues have been
well discussed, and I think Senator Knuppel brought out an important
point that we really should not penalize the students in these districts
by prohibiting the districts from raising the tax to...to pay these
tuitions, and I would appreciate a favorable roll call.

PRESIDENT:
The question is shall Senate Bill 176 be passed. All those
in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting
is open. Have all voted who wished? Take the record. On this
question, the Ayes are 41, the Nays are 11, 1 Present. This bill
having received the constitutional majority is declared passed.

Senator Bruce.

SENATOR BRUCE:
Well, I rise on a point of personal privilege.

PRESIDENT:
State your point.

SENATOR BRUCE:
Senator Knuppel has called this act un-American and somehow we
have duress here. I...I thought we were talking about the bill on
Memorial Day. If he will read the Junior College Act, he will find
that on the districts, and as the map is drawn, either if they annex
or are petitioned in, there's a back door referendum, no one is being
un-American about this. It's less than ten percent of the voters
can petition to be out. What we are talking about is tax rates, not
some big un-American bugaboo. That's on...on Senator Schaffer's bill,
we're talking about un-Americanism. Thank you.

PRESIDENT:
Flag are en route. Senator Knuppel.

SENATOR KNUPPEL:
Matter of personal privilege. I think I said what I intended to
say. I say it in very clear language, and I think Senator Bruce under-
stood it. I'm former council for Lincoln Land Community College, and
I can read the law, probably...I've been at it a long...a lot longer
than he has, and I can probably read it as well or a hell of a lot
better.

PRESIDENT:
Now, I know what Shakespeare meant when he said about the lawyers.
Senator Schaffer is recognized.

SENATOR SCHAFER:

Mr. President, I'd like to bring Senate Bill 77 back from 3rd reading to 2nd reading for the purpose of allowing an amendment to be offered.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Schaffer seeks leave to bring Senate Bill 77 from 3rd reading to the order of 2nd reading. Is there leave? Leave is granted. Senator Schaffer.

SENATOR SCHAFER:

I believe Senator Nimrod has an amendment.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Nimrod. Read the bill first. The bill's been read. Senator Nimrod.

SENATOR NIMROD:

Mr. President and fellow Senators, the amendment which has been presented here...makes the effective date of the Senate Bill 77 which has already been explained by Senator Schaffer, as effective in 1976. What that would mean, is that for this year there would still be the two holidays, and for '76 they would be effective. There would be one holiday. However, Memorial Day does fall on Sunday in 1976, and I think that would give the veterans then two years to go ahead and approach Congress to have an opportunity to make those changes, and I believe that this would be an effective compromise on this very important issue, and everyone would know where we stand, and when it would happen. So, I do offer this amendment for approval.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Nimrod moves for the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 77. Is there any further discussion? Senator Schaffer.

SENATOR SCHAFER:

...Mr. President, this amendment...I intend to support this amendment based on the discussions I've had with Representative DiPrima, and he's indicated that with this amendment on...I...he didn't
say he'd support the bill, he said he would allow it to pass
through the House. And I believe we had a...a...a fairly clear
discussion on it, and I think this does indeed resolve the Memorial
Day problem. And I...I don't think it's going to make anybody very
happy, perhaps if it'll make everyone equally unhappy which might mean
that it's a pretty good compromise.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Latherow.

SENATOR LATHEROW:

Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. I'm...I'm
not going to support this amendment. Now, I support and I think and
wave the Flag just as well as anybody, and may have spent almost as
much time...I say I've spent more time in the water than most of you
around here. But, I want to tell you this, if you want to solve
the problem, get at it now and get at it with the legislation and
quit the hanky panky of having two Memorial Day celebrations. I...
I think it's a fallacy to come back here each year and use this to
wave the Flag, and so on and say how great I am at the Legion. I'm
a card carrying member of the Legion, the VFW and whatever others you
want. But, let's get on with this, and either vote it up or vote it
down.

PRESIDENT:

General...I mean Senator Mitchler.

SENATOR MITCHLER:

Mr. President and members of the Senate, on this amendment, when
the discussion was held with Representative DiPrima, it was my under-
standing that it would be 1977. You're not...well, that was the
discussion that we had, and that, because it was the amendment that
was put on...attempted to be put on. Now, vote...vote your conscience,
gentlemen. You represent the people. I don't think you represent
all these lobby organizations that give money to you. I think you
represent the people that give you the votes.
1. Senator Soper.

2. SENATOR SOPER:

3. Mr. President and members of the Senate...

4. PRESIDENT:

5. Just one minute...just one minute, Senator. Will the members be in their seats, please? Senator Soper.

6. SENATOR SOPER:

7. Now, what comes to my mind if...if this is so good for '76, why isn't it good now? I...I can't understand why you procrastinate on this thing. You know I don't mind if you come to an accommodation on some things that make some sense, but this really doesn't make any sense. If, as the proponents of this legislation, say that this fouls up the Memorial Day and the opponents of the legislation say that we should be concerned with...with the Memorial Day that concerns itself with really what it's for, then we ought to get at Congress and tell Congress to change this thing so that all states do abide by one...one day. I...I think the day that you show your reverence shouldn't make any difference, long as it's for one special purpose. But, if you're going to foul up the whole economy, and the kids don't even know when to go to school or not go to school, then we ought to get this thing straightened out. But, to put an amendment on this thing, and say - well, this year...a well, we're going to keep it the same way but next year we're going to cure this whole thing. By that time, we'll have one more year of cancer. To get at cancer, you got to operate real quick, they tell me. At least that's what they did to me. Thank you.

8. PRESIDENT:


10. SENATOR FAWELL:

11. Well, very briefly, I...I wanted to reiterate what Senator Latherow and Senator Soper have said. I think we ought to solve it right now. The people in my area made it very clear that they would prefer to have the three-day weekend, and I think the vast majority
feel this way. I... I think, this compromise is... is not in order, and I would urge you to vote against the amendment.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Berning.

SENATOR BERNING:

Thank you, Mr. President. I, too, rise in opposition to the amendment. None of us disagrees with a reverent observation of Memorial Day, but the unfortunate situation with two days, part Federal, part State, wherein families are trapped, and because the firm observes the Federal day, the father is off, goes to work on the State observed day and the children are out of school, we create an intolerable situation, and in some instances have had children out on both days and caused additional loss of school aid for the schools. This is indefensible, Ladies and Gentlemen. We ought to correct the situation now and petition vociferously that the Federal Government move before next year to correct what is obviously a deficiency in the observation of Memorial Day.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Shapiro.

SENATOR SHAPIRO:

Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, I'm not arising in support for or against the amendment, but what I think maybe might be a easy resolution to this problem, since it involves a school year and children attending school, why don't we mandate that the school year ends on May 15th statewide, then there'll be no problem. The children will be out regardless of when the parents have their holiday.

PRESIDENT:

Any further discussion? Senator Schaffer.

SENATOR SCHAFFER:

I would like to make sure the record is very clear. I have a... a note physically in my hand from Senator Nimrod that says - Mitch, if you will support effective date... 1976, it says, you know, that we'll
be willing to compromise on it. Now, if...if there is any confusion on the discussion that I had with Senator Nimrod and Representative DiPrima, I would appreciate it...Senator Nimrod, am I accurate in my thinking?

PRESIDENT:

Senator Nimrod.

SENATOR NIMROD:

Yes. Senator Mitchler, yes, that is correct. This date was in agreement and ...Representative DiPrima, if fact, said that, I understood it, he said he would support it.

PRESIDENT:

Any further discussion? Senator Schaffer.

SENATOR SCHAFER:

Well, I just wanted to make sure. There was some slight doubt here, and I want to make sure that everybody understands exactly what's going on. And, quite frankly, my motivation is to see this problem resolved, and at the risk of being accused of being overly candid, I believe that this bill without the amendment is passable in the Senate, eventually. But, I do not believe without this type of a compromise we can pass it in the House due to the very effective opposition of Representative DiPrima. And I believe the bill as amended would provide an effective way to resolve the problem once and for all, and it probably, as timely and quick of fashion as is humanly possible.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Nimrod has offered Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 77. He now moves the adoption of that amendment. All in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wished? Take the record. On this question, the Ayes are 27, the Nays are 27. The amendment fails. Any further amendments?

3rd reading. Senate Bill 178, Senator Pawell. Read the bill.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 178.
1. (Secretary reads title of bill)
2. 3rd reading of the bill.
3. PRESIDENT:
4. Senator Fawell, would you just excuse us a moment. I want to
5. introduce a gentleman who is here with us. As a matter of fact,
6. I'd like to ask Representative Paul Stone to introduce a rather
8. REPRESENTATIVE STONE:
9. Thank you very much. Of course, this is a privilege for me
10. to be here, too. Over in the House of Lords, I'm... I'm very pleased
11. to introduce to you Jens Lubbert, Professor of the University of
12. Hamburg and also a member of the Parliament at Hamburg. We enjoyed
13. him very much over in the House and we thought you would enjoy having
14. him here too.
15. JENS LUBBERT:
16. (Speech by Jens Lubbert)
17. PRESIDENT:
18. Senator Fawell. Senate Bill 178 is under discussion. Senator
19. Fawell is recognized.
20. 21.
22. 23.
24. 25.
26. (End of Tape 1)
SENATOR FAWELL:

Mr. President and members of the Senate, I briefly went
over this bill yesterday. It is a complicated bill and...

PRESIDENT:

For what purpose...I'm going to have to learn your hand
signals, Senator Buzbee. Go ahead, Senator Fawell.

SENATOR FAWELL:

I...I'd like to know what his hand signals are, too, Mr.
President.

PRESIDENT:

I don't think they're related to you, sir.

SENATOR FAWELL:

Oh, I see. This...this involves the...the resource equalizer
legislation. When it became effective October 1st of 1973, we
defined what is called the operating tax rate within the Resource
Equalizer which is a combination of permitted tax rates that every
school district has. The operating tax rate is very important for
determining state aid and determining the amount of roll back taxes
which the local district might have to follow. What we did say,
unfortunately, was that no district would have a right to take a
credit for an operating tax rate unless they had actually levied
the rate. All that this bill does is to say that as long as you
have a referendum approved tax rate as of the date of the passage
of the legislation on October 1st, 1973, you have a right to include
that tax rate as a part of your operating tax rate. We are involved
here with about eight school districts. I think six of those are
school districts in the senatorial districts of you folks on the
other side of the aisle. I have one small district involved, but
basically, Jack Walker asked me to handle this the last Session. We
passed it in the Senate. It unfortunately got hung up in the maze
of events over in the House at the last minute. There...because
most of these districts are over the operating tax rate, in fact,
I am informed all of them are. There's no State money involved.
1. But it is very important to the local districts, and time is of the
essence because your county clerks are now in the process of
extending their tax rates. I don't know if there's any opposition
left. Senator Bruce was opposed. I...I'm not sure, looking at
his contented features over there, if he still is opposed. And
the Chair indicated yesterday that they wanted to do some research
on it, but unless we pass it, it's going to be dead anyway. And I've
held it for two weeks, and I do hope that it now can meet with your
approval. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

Is there further discussion? Senator Buzbee.

SENATOR BUZBEE:

Yes, Senator Fawell, I agree with you. This is an extremely
complicated issue and extremely complicated matter which makes me
wonder all the more why you chose the route of bypassing the
Education Committee, bypassing committee altogether as a matter-of-
fact, because of the supposed urgency of this measure and then we
find it's on the Calendar for two weeks, and it sits there, and
all of this time we could have been hearing it in Education Committee.
So, I, for one, would have some thorough...a little bit more under-
standing of exactly what the bill does. I...and in the first place,
I'm opposed to the concept of bypassing committee. And in the
second place, I don't understand when we start messing around with
the School Aid Formula, and that's what we're doing. This is a
roll back provision of some sort of the School Aid Formula that we
do it without even reference to the Education Committee. And I can
assure you that when you made the motion to bypass committee on this
bill to start with, I was not aware of that motion that had been
made or I would have opposed you at that time. But since you did
go ahead and make it and pass it through, I really don't understand
the urgency of this bill now. You've had it on 3rd reading for two
weeks.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
Senator Soper. Oh, Senator Fawell wishes to reply.

Senator, let me first of all say the only reason that this bill has languished for two weeks is because there have been urgent requests from your side of the aisle that have said it must be held because you were looking into, I don't know what aspects of the bill. It really is a very simple bill. It passed unanimously in the last Session, and that's why I...I talked to Senator Saperstein and to Senator Hynes and said that the districts who have been shortchanged here and have not been able to include their referendum approved tax rates as part of the operating tax rates are soon going to lose out because their county clerks are now extending the tax rates. And once they're extended, no matter what we do here, we're not going to change it. So, there was urgency, there is urgency. The only reason for delay, I repeat, is because...Senator Bruce, Senator Partee have said please hold on indicating that if...if I did call it, it would meet with a demise. You can hold it over in the House, I guess, a bit longer, but I repeat, a few more days and we might as well just forget about it. And I repeat, I really am not that involved in the matter. It involves school districts on your side of the aisle. It doesn't cost, I say, the State anything. It just says to the school district that if you had an...approved referendum tax rate increase prior to October 1st, 1973, when we passed the Resource Equalizer, even though you hadn't levied the tax yet, you have a right to include that as part as your operating tax rate. It's that simple, and that's the only explanation I can give for it.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

Senator Soper.

SENATOR SOPER:

Well, I thought this was a very complicated bill and I discussed this with Senator...Fawell. And I went to some authority and some...some people that had written the original bill on this, and they
made a mistake by not having clear language in there that the tax rate would be the...would be the measure by which this thing would be judged, and the people that interpreted the...the bills said it would be the levy that was made on that tax rate. But, it just happens that the levies aren't made till after...weren't made until after October 1st, and that...the...so the cut off dates between the...the 1st of January and the 1st of October, they said didn't include these schools that had put in a referendum had a tax rate. All schools that had tax rates after October 1st were included. All those before January 1st were included, so you can't exclude any schools and cause them to have another tax rate referendum. That's what we're talking about. But the cost of another tax rate referendum on the same proposition where the people have voted it in. So, let's not make a complicated thing out of this, and let's vote this bill out of here.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

Senator Hynes.

SENATOR HYNES:

Mr. President, this...this bill is in it of itself relatively simple. The...the problem is that it is a simple amendment to an extremely complicated School Aid Formula. The bill passed this Body unanimously in the last Session of the General Assembly and for reasons extraneous to the merits of the bill did not succeed in final passage in both Houses. It was put in as an emergency measure at the beginning of this Session, and in fact, it has been held up because of requests from this side of the aisle that the proposal will not cost the State any money. There are a limited number of districts involved, and all it will do is to allow these districts to be treated as though their tax rate was the tax rate in effect on October 1st of '73 rather than January 1st of '73. Now, if that is not a totally clear explanation, I could start again. But, and I'm sure that there may be still a few questions, but it does not cost the State any money. A limited number of districts are involved.
1. Basic equity demands that this bill be passed, and I would urge
2. a favorable vote.
3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
4. Senator Saperstein.
5. SENATOR SAPERSTEIN:
6. I...I rise, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen, I rise
7. in support of this bill and also to explain why the bill bypassed
8. committee. When the bill was to be introduced, Senator Fawell
9. came up to me and...and we had discussed this bill thoroughly last
10. year, and as Senator Hynes explained, this is a reasonable bill.
11. It affects only a few districts, and I think it is very necessary.
12. Therefore, I ask your support.
13. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
14. Is there...Senator Bruce.
15. SENATOR BRUCE:
16. I would just point out to the...to the members who sit on the
17. Education Committee that that's all very well that they've heard
18. the debate and that they had the opportunity to discuss this and
19. vote on it last time and then we all had a chance to vote on it on
20. the Floor. We follow their lead as...as time goes by and those
21. develop expertise in the Education field. This is a new legislative
22. Body. There are new members who have not heard the debate. I, for
23. one, will tell you right now that no money goes into my district,
24. as much money goes in my district, as we got out of the Education
25. Fund. And when you start fooling with the Formula and start changing
26. things and don't tell me it's not going to have effect on the
27. Formula, I've seen the other bills that Senator Fawell have. These
28. bills will all be a package. We'll start talking about roll backs
29. in these districts. We'll roll back from a higher tax rate. If this
30. bill doesn't pass, Senator Fawell, they will start to roll back from
31. a lower tax rate than if this bill does pass. That is beyond dispute
32. as I understand it. But when...when these bills bypass committee,
33. that's why they're held. Education money is important. My district
is getting less. If we keep fooling around with the Formula, you're going to get me more and more interested in it. I'm going to propose a rule later on today that no bill will bypass committee without a motion of the sponsor read and laid upon the Secretary's Desk for one calendar day. That will preclude, I hope, these emergency bills that get introduced, sponsors talk to chairmen of committees, they're bypassed, and those of us who don't sit on committees have no way of knowing what...what is in them. That rule will be proposed, I hope, later on today, and I would offer it to the Rules Committee and the favorable consideration of this Body.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

Is there further discussion? The question...the question is shall Senate Bill 178 pass. Those in favor say Aye. Those opposed Nay. Voting is open. All those voted who wish? Take the record. ...(Machine cut-off)...question, the Ayes are 49, the Nays are 7, those Voting Present are 1. Senate Bill 178 having received the constitutional...majority is declared passed. Senator Schaffer, is it your desire to go back to Senate Bill 77? Senator Schaffer.

All right. The Clerk will...Secretary...

SECRETARY:

Senate...Senate Bill 77.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

Senator Schaffer.

SENATOR SCHAFFER:

I'd appreciate a favorable roll 'call.

PRESIDING OFFICER: ' (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

The question is shall Senate Bill 77 pass. Senator Buzbee.

SENATOR BUZBEE:

Mr. President, is it not true that debate is now in order as we're on the 3rd reading of this bill?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
1. Is there anything left to say, Senator?

2. SENATOR BUZBEE:

3. Yes, I have...I have plenty to say. I'm a little tired of

4. ...I appreciate...

5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

6. You have five minutes.

7. SENATOR BUZBEE:

8. Thank you. I appreciated Senator Latherow's comments while

9. ago. I'm a little tired of my patriotism being questioned because

10. I decide that I want to vote for a bill that's...may have the affect

11. of changing Memorial Day to something other than the 30th of May.

12. Now, I'm also a member of the American Legion and the VFW. I hold

13. the rank of Major in the Marine Corps Reserve. Thank you.

14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

15. For what purpose does Senator Bruce arise?

16. SENATOR BRUCE:

17. I just wondered, was he a member of the Con Con Delegation

18. that we sent there?

19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

20. He was too young. May continue, Senator. That will not be

21. taken of your five minutes.

22. SENATOR BUZBEE:

23. Thank you, Mr. President. Mr. President, I...I really cannot

24. understand what is so sacred about the day of the 30th of May.

25. What we're doing with Memorial Day is honoring our war dead, not

26. just those dead that were killed in the Civil War as General John

27. A. Logan wanted to honor when he first designated the 30th of May,

28. but the day has been broadened now to honor those dead of all wars,

29. everyone including those sent to the Civil War. And I see absolutely

30. nothing sacred about the particular day of the 30th of May. Now,

31. Senator Mitchler asked while ago who are the people that are

32. interested in the passage of this bill, and I submit to you that

33. there are several different groups of people that are interested.
The retail merchants in the State of Illinois, for one, the
Illinois State Chamber of Commerce, working people, and taxpayers,
I submit to you, are interested in the passage of this bill because
last year when we had the ridiculous situation of some people getting
off on Monday and some on May the 30th, we ended up having in a lot
of cases State employees...Mr. President, could I have some order
please?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

The Senate will be in order.

SENATOR BUZBEE:

We had the...the ridiculous situation of State employees getting
off both of those days, in a lot of cases, and it cost the taxpayers
a fat penny when it came to time to pay the bill because the people
weren't around to perform their jobs. Now, you know, let's get back
to this question of patriotism for a few moments. Again, I have a
military background. I'm very proud of my military background, but
I don't think to be a true patriot you have to have served in the
military. Let's take such stalwart examples of patriotism as John
Wayne who never served one day in the United States military other
than on the late late show. Let's take some other stalwart examples.
Some other stalwarts examples of ultra-patriots who have never
served one day in the United States military - Senator John Stennis,
Ex-Representative Mendel Rivers, the patron saint of the United
States military who has...since passed on to his just rewards. But,
I submit to you, Mr. President, that to have served in the...to not
to have served in the military is not necessarily a test of your
patriotism.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

Senator, did you lose your notes?

SENATOR BUZBEE:

I'm...I'm being fed some further literature here. So, Mr.
President, seriously, I really do resent this when the VFW and the
American Legion come in here and try to indicate that we're something
other than true patriots because we want to change this date, and
I, for one, would call for a favorable roll call. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

Senator Davidson.

SENIOR DAVIDSON:

Mr. President and members of the Senate, I'm glad to know
that Marine is still around since we, the Navy, were in control of
him and he didn't know enough to stand up when the Navy went by at
Annapolis with Senator Partee and John Graham and I last summer at
Annapolis, but, you know, you've got to understand the Marines are
not always the smartest people in the world. No offense. But, I
would like to speak in opposition to this bill for three reasons.
If it becomes a three day holiday, it becomes a three day circus.
It doesn't become what it's meant to be, a memorial service regard-
less of which war you died in or did not die in. Secondly, let's
look at a practical standpoint. All you have to do is look at the
traffic safety figures and every three-day weekend, you have that
many more deaths from traffic, particularly, those who have been
out imbibing extra because they've got an extra day holiday makes
them a bigger hazard on the road. Admittedly, this year because
Memorial Day falling that the day it does, it would be a three-
day holiday, but with the roll of the dice once out of seven, I
guess that's the odds you have to take. But more importantly, let's
concern ourselves to what Memorial Day was meant for - a day set
aside to give respect for those who gave their life for the country
which you and I now enjoy. Some of us had the honor of defending
this country in World War II and World War...and wars since then
and others have not, that's beside the point. The point of the day
is to give tribute to those who gave the final sacrifice and not to
make it a three-day holiday for convenience because it may interrupt
someone's schedule or give them an extra day to go somewhere, and
I'd appreciate a negative vote. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
1. Senator Vadalabene.

2. SENATOR VADALABENE:

3. Yes, thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. I just want to recall my World War II days, and since we're mentioning rank, I do want you to know that I served two years and I came out of there as a PFC, and for me to get a PFC, I had to survive one operation. But...but I do want to remind Senator Buzbee, in those two years of my campaigning and appearing in three major campaigns, I never saw one Major ahead of me. Thank you.

4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

5. ...(Machine cut-off)...Weaver.

6. SENATOR WEAVER:

7. Mr. ...Mr. President, I'd like to move the previous question.

8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

9. You've heard the motion. All those in favor say Aye. Those Opposed. The Ayes have it. Senator Schaffer. Senator Buzbee, for what purpose do you arise?

10. SENATOR BUZBEE:

11. A point of personal privilege, Mr. President.

12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

13. State your point.

14. SENATOR BUZBEE:

15. Since my name was mentioned in the last bit of dialogue, I think it's necessary that I say to my Roman, Senator, friend, once again, et tu, Brute!

16. PRESIDENT:

17. Let's get back from the Halls of Montezuma. You may close the debate, Senator Schaffer.

18. SENATOR SCHÄFFER:

19. Mr. President and fellow members of the Senate, I'd appreciate it as we vote on this, we've had a little levity here, and quite frankly, there's been reason for levity, not the holiday or the bill before us, perhaps, our conduct has been reason for levity. But I'd
like you all to, as we finally get to a roll call on this bill, to stop and think about this bill. And I'd like to ask you to vote for it for several reasons. One, because of the taxpayers of this State and the money they are forced to spend unnecessarily in waste. Two, because of the hardship of your constituents and the... by the chaos caused of the two holidays. But three, and most important, and I'd appreciate it, all of you, I happen to be a veteran myself, by continuing the dual-holiday, we are destroying Memorial Day, the sentiment of Memorial Day, and making a mockery instead of an honor to the men who have died for our country. This is a serious question. I'd appreciate a favorable roll call.

The question is shall Senate Bill 77 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wished? Take the record. Senator Schaffer. Senator Schaffer moves to postpone consideration.

Yes, I do, perhaps...

All in favor say Aye. Opposed. Consideration is postponed. Perhaps after May 30... Goes on postponed consideration. Senate Bill 180, Senator Glass.

Secretary:

Senate Bill 180.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

Secretary:

Senator Glass.

Senator Glass:

Thank you, Mr. ...thank you, Mr. President and Senators. Senate
Bill 180 would mandate the Illinois Community College Board to put all territory in the State not now in a community college district either into an existing district or into a newly created district subject to back door referendum. The bill does have the support of the Illinois Community College Board. It would move us toward putting the entire State within districts in...and follow the progress that the Board has pursued. There were some one hundred and fifty districts last year which were placed into new districts. Fifty of them opted it out and this...this would further that step. And I would urge your support. Be happy to answer any questions.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Saperstein.

SENIOR SAPERSTEIN:

Will the sponsor yield?

PRESIDENT:

He indicates he will.

SENIOR SAPERSTEIN:

Senator Glass, this extends another two years, doesn't it, to provide for this plan?

SENIOR GLASS:

Senator Saperstein, the ICCB would begin this year and no later than...it is a date next year, June 30th of next year, hope-fully the job would be completed. It would allow for public hearings and, in effect, take the law as it stands now and pick up those districts as I stated that...that are still out of community college districts and put them into them subject to the back door referendum provision.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Saperstein.

SENIOR SAPERSTEIN:

Excuse me, are we ready for a debate?
1. Yes, Senator Saperstein, you're recognized for debate.

2. SENATOR SAPERSTEIN:

3. Well, I...I rise in opposition of this bill. It did pass

4. the Education Committee, but I do want to say that if Illinois

5. is ever to get itself set for the master plan for the junior

6. colleges, we just cannot continue to grant time and time again to

7. have the Junior College Board present a Illinois plan. You can't

8. eat your cake and have it too. You want a master plan, then you

9. do have to stop providing additional time for the plan, and yet,

10. allow districts to opt out not only of existing districts but of

11. new districts. I oppose this bill.

12. PRESIDENT:


14. SENATOR SHAPIRO:

15. Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, I think a

16. very significant fact that we have to keep track of here in support

17. of this bill is that of those fifty school districts throughout the

18. State that opted out of a proposed junior college district, many of

19. them did it not because they didn't want to belong to a junior college

20. district, but because they did not like the mandate that the Junior

21. College Board gave them as to which junior college district they

22. should join. They want to join one, but they want to join the one

23. of their choice. So, therefore, this one year extension is necessary

24. to give those districts as well as the other ones the time to make

25. up their mind which direction they want to go. So, it would work a

26. hardship on those districts if we did not extend it for one year.

27. And I would urge approval of Senate Bill 180.

28. PRESIDENT:

29. Senator Bruce.

30. SENATOR BRUCE:

31. Mr. President, this is another proposal that will extend

32. deadlines for junior colleges. We have already by this Body, I

33. don't know what the House will do, no, I don't believe the House
will know, extended the time for charge back provision. I think
part of Senator Glass' bill is excellent, and that is the provision
when you create a wholly new district, they have the right to opt
out if not being in any district, particularly, that new district.
That's good. That takes care of his problem in his district. Evan-
ston is taken care of. That's fine. The other part of the bill is
terrible, and that is we extend the deadline for drawing people into
the district maps, and they've opted out. But they've got a way to get
back in, if they don't like the district they're in, they can petition
to annex to any existing contiguous district. Now, a lot of them
aren't contiguous to anything but one district. So, where are they
going to put them a year from now, I don't know. In my district,
Lawrence County, bounds Indiana, now, if they're going to go with
Indiana, I think we ought to talk about that. But a year from now,
they're still going to be contiguous to only one district. That's
it. A lot of the area in the State of Illinois is only contiguous.
So, we're going to extend the deadline. We've had extensive hearings
under Senate Bill 1188 at a huge cost to the State of Illinois.
They're going to do it again. We'll extend it again. We've extended
the charge backs. We'll extend those again next year. I think we
ought to just say no extensions. Let's just open it up, opt every-
body back out. My taxpayers are paying twenty-five cents per one
hundred dollars. They're ready to bail out. If we're not going to
support the junior colleges, I have eighty-four million dollars worth
of assessed evaluation that we can't put one dime on because of this
bill. You're going to do it to me again, fine. But don't come down
here and cry and say we're only going to extend it a year. Be a
new map, another year, another map, another year, another extension
to charge back, let's just say that people who don't want to go in
don't have to pay anything and let them go free to the junior colleges
and let them be wholly supported by those who are paying the...their
fair share now.

PRESIDENT:
1. Senator Berning.

2. SENATOR BERNING:

3. I, too, would like to register opposition to this measure.

4. There isn't any justification in my opinion for, again, extending
the prerogative of the Junior College Board which they've had up
5. to this point. If they haven't been able to work this out up to
6. this point, let's drop it. If there are those areas who then
7. ultimately want to go into a district, let them decide. I resent
8. having the Junior College Board dictate and the authority ought
9. not to be extended for them any longer.

10. PRESIDENT:

11. Any further discussion? Senator Glass may close the debate.

12. SENATOR GLASS:

13. Thank you, Mr. President. I would like to clear up what I
14. think are a few misunderstandings regarding the bill. From some-
15. thing that Senator Saperstein said, you may have construed the bill
to allow existing community college districts or parts of them to
16. opt out, and that, of course, is not the case. This bill would
17. affect only those fifty school districts that are not now in
18. community college districts. In...in response to...to Senator
19. Bruce's comments, this is a bill that the Community College Board
20. supports. They would like to continue their progress toward, an
21. orderly progress, toward putting school districts in the community
22. college districts of their choice, and this does give the citizens
23. in the district that opportunity. You must remember that the
territory that is now in community college districts was in there
24. and there was an...an element of choice given them. If this doesn't
25. pass, there is no; and I repeat that, there is no machinery for
26. putting territory into community college districts now on the book.
27. So, I would, again, remind you that this is a bill supported by the
28. Board, and would urge your...a favorable roll call. Thank you.

29. PRESIDENT:

30. The question is shall Senate Bill 180 pass. Those in favor
1. will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open.
2. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. ...(Machine cut-off)...
3. Glass.
4. SENATOR GLASS:
   Move to postpone this, Mr. President.
5. PRESIDENT:
   The bill is on postponed consideration. Senate Bill 181,
6. Senator Bruce.
7. SECRETARY:
   Senate Bill 181.
8. (Secretary reads title of bill)
9. 3rd reading of the bill.
10. PRESIDENT:
    Senator Bruce.
11. SENATOR BRUCE:
    Yes, Mr. President and members of the Senate, Senate Bill 181
12. deals with the State employees group insurance program and would
13. allow the Director to extend a contract with our present carrier
14. for two years under certain situations. If seven members of the
15. eleven, not just a majority, but seven of the eleven vote to extend
16. the contract, we pick two actuarial firms. One is picked by the
17. Advisory Commission. One to be picked by the Department of Personnel
18. Director. If they conclude that the increase in premium that the
19. present carrier desires is based on three things - audit experience
20. data, increase in the cost of health care, and carrier performance,
21. and if those are justified, then and only then, if all of those
22. conditions are met, the contract can be extended. The situation we
23. find ourselves in is this. The State Employee Contract and the
24. State Purchasing Act says that no contract will be more than...for
25. more than one year if there is a substantial change. Each year the
26. program has been in effect, it was introduced by Senator...Senator
27. Harris and Representative McCormick, passed and went into effect
28. in January of 1971. We've had two carriers - one for eighteen months,
1. Blue Cross Blue Shield who is now completing their second year.
2. They will conclude their second year's contract in July. The State
3. Employees Group Advisory Commission met this morning with Blue Cross
4. and Crown Life, the...the life carrier. Blue Cross Blue Shield has
5. now, again, decided not to submit a proposal to us for renewal. It
6. will go out for bid again. Crown Life, we have decided by motion
7. today to put the entire package back out for bid. This is one of
8. the largest group programs in the United States. The total premium
9. is forty-one million dollars, that's State dough. We have a right
10. to monitor the program, but if we cannot offer a carrier, a two year
11. contract or a three year contract or a provision that we can renew
12. after one year, we are not going to have any bidders. Now, the
13. solution to that is the subcommittee is appointed today. We're
14. going to talk about self-insurance. We had one bidder last year.
15. It cost a hundred and twenty-five thousand dollars to start the
16. program, no matter who takes it, to set up the offices, to make the
17. forms to mail out to a hundred and sixteen thousand employees the
18. first time. It costs over a hundred thousand dollars. It costs
19. twenty-five thousand dollars or more to submit a bid. They would
20. like to have the...the understanding that if they do a good job, if
21. the Commission feels they've...done a good job, if the Director,
22. two actuarial firms who review the data and feel they've done a good
23. job that they could get the contract for the second year. We have
24. done that with the life contract. We have received dividends by
25. that. We have received back...back more than seventy thousand
26. dollars in return premium because they were able not having to start
27. up again to give us money back on a...on a retention basis which is
28. a technical term saying cost versus premium, they've returned money
29. to us. We can't do that with the health carrier because premiums
30. have gone up each year. I would be happy to answer any questions
31. you might have.
32. PRESIDENT:
33. Doctor Shapiro...Senator Shapiro.
Mr. President, will the sponsor yield for a question?

He indicates he will.

Senator Bruce, did I understand you to say that regardless of the fate of the bill, Blue Cross Blue Shield, it will not be involved in the new contract?

You misunderstood. In this present contract year, we could not renew. Tomorrow we send out to every licensed health carrier, licensed to do business in the State of Illinois no matter where they're located throughout the United States or in Canada, the fact that this program is available. We will open and have a prebid conference a...21st of March, and we open the bids the early part of April. I'm sorry I can't give you the precise dates. We have been told by carriers that they would be interested in bidding on this program if they know if they get it they can extend if they do a good job. That is why the legislation is critical to be passed quickly here, go to the House, we...we submit in the newspapers on the 25th through the 3rd of April, ten times in the State paper, that the bids are available. So, it's necessary that we can tell the carriers if you do a good job, we can extend. That's why it's important. It would not apply to the present carrier.

Senator Shapiro.

In other words, the present carriers are going to have to rebid.

That is correct. They notified us this morning at eight-thirty
that they had no intention of extending the present contract at its present rates. They could extend the present contract at present rates under the Statute now, because under the State Purchasing Act that would not be a "substantial change". But we're talking about a seven million dollar increase in premium, give or take a couple of million dollars. So, that's probably substantial change.

SENATOR SHAPIRO:
Okay. Thank you.

PRESIDENT:
Senator Harris.

SENATOR HARRIS:
Well, Mr. President, I just want to recognize to the membership the fact that this is that kind of legislation about which will have some inner conflicts. It looks like it may be something that really shouldn't ought to be done. Now, let me reassure you that I believe the Advisory Committee on the group insured...Group Insurance Advisory Committee to the Department of Personnel have written into this bill the kind of safeguards that ought to be obtained. I want to join Senator Bruce enthusiastically in support of this bill. Our experience has been that we were down to just one bidder a year ago and the year before that. The fact is that in private group insurance contracts more and more, bids are taken for longer periods of time than a single year. Under the present Act and under provisions of the present State Purchasing Act, we are required to rebid every year. The cost of this is tremendous and many companies with the capability of handling this large State of Illinois group are just not interested when they know that there is no way legally that the contract can be extended. We provide for renewal of not more than two years from the original bid if the Advisory Commission is supported by an affirmative vote of two-thirds of its membership and the affirmative recommendation of two independent actuaries. We think this has the kinds of safeguards
that ought to be tried, and we're convinced that this will result
in obtaining more than a single carrier being our bidder. I'm
certain that you would join that we ought to have as many companies
as possible seeking to be the carrier of the State of Illinois
piece of business. This tremendously large number of employees is
something that is somewhat limiting in the very first place. So,
we should take those steps properly safeguarded that will invite
greater participation of the industry in actually seeking to be
the carrier. I think Senate Bill 181 is the answer, and would
urge you to join in its support.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Bell.

SENATOR BELL:

Thank you, Mr. President. I was interested in hearing Senator
Bruce make mention of the fact that...that the State is considering
through this particular Commission the concept of self-insuring.
And I think that this may, although I'm not privileged to be a
member of that Commission, I do have some background in the... in the
field of group insurance, and it's with my humble experience that I
might offer the...that I think that very possibly that...that this
concept of self-insuring is one that should be looked at very
closely because, Mr. President and members, as you expand your base
in the field of group insurance and have a tremendous number of
members that are participating, the aspect of...of insurance per se
becomes less and less pertinent and really it's...it's to be a
situation of trading dollars and that very possibly the State of
Illinois can gain some stability from the administration aspect,
the cost aspects of that administration by...by really going into
the concept of self-insuring. I just wanted to toss that out. I'm
going to vote for Senate Bill 181, and I would like to be appraised
and keep an eye on how this concept, Senator Bruce, of self-insuring
is moving along. Commonwealth Edison does it. Many of your large,
large corporations do it because it is cost effective and does make
SENATOR FAWELL:

Senator Bruce, the...as it...as it is right now, I...I gather you would have to...you would have to abide by the Illinois Purchasing Act in order to be able to...to grant any new contract would...where there would be an increase in premium?

SENATOR FAWELL:

Now, why...why change from utilizing the Purchasing Act? As I read this, basically, the Director plus a decision by your Commission backed up by two independent actuarial reviews would be sufficient without opening this to bids for a substantial change in the amount of the premium.

SENATOR BRUCE:

You've got to remember the carrier would never get the business unless he has first won it by competitive bidding. It does not exempt...

SENATOR FAWELL:

No.

SENATOR BRUCE:

...the bidding provisions. We are just starting that tomorrow. to rebid the entire contract, advertising, Senator, prebid conference, all those things will be done. All the bill says is having com- plied with the Purchasing Act, the next time you do not have to comply with it by rebidding the whole contract again.

SENATOR FAWELL:

Well, if that...I...and I don't mean to be critical because I
1. must confess there's a great degree of ignorance in this area, but if
2. somebody wanted to increase the premium by say twenty million
3. dollars or ten million dollars, they would not have to go through
4. the Purchasing Act a year from now. Now, why is it that we have
5. heretofore felt we could get along on the basis of putting it out
6. for rebidding before, but now we feel that we would prefer a method
7. which, in effect, of course just bypasses the Purchasing Act.
8. SENATOR BRUCE:
9. Well, because we now have, the first carrier took the business
10. for eighteen months starting in January of '72 to...to July of '73
11. '73-'74 Blue Cross Blue Shield, '74-'75 Blue Cross Blue Shield.
12. We have gotten down to where we have only one bidder, and we have
13. this high thing about competitive bidding, it's kind of strange
14. when you sit around a table and open up one bid. That's not what
15. is known as competitive bidding. The problem with...and I do not
16. wish to mention the carrier's names, but they will not bid on a
17. one year business. That's all. They just don't wish to bid.
18. Blue Cross Blue Shield in the...in fiscal year '74 lost six million
19. two hundred and fifty-eight thousand dollars on our business. They
20. plan to lose about that much this year. They are not really
21. enthusiastic about rebidding. If they fail to bid, we may not have
22. anyone to cover our employees effective July 1. So, ...we've got
23. to allow bidders to know that if they do a good job, if they handle
24. our business, if they...and they cannot make an excessive profit
25. by the way, in written into the contract is a four point two five
26. percent retention factor which means that's the total profit they
27. could make on the case, and we haven't had a carrier yet. The
28. first carrier, luckily, only lost about two million one. The
29. second carriers lost over six and a half million. So, it's a
30. question of who wants to take a bath with us, and we're having more
31. and more trouble getting anyone to bid when they know that all they
32. get is one year.
33. SENATOR PAWELL:
1. Well, you...you're...you're in effect saying then that you
2. don't think you can get bidders unless you can offer them a
3. contract wherein they can be assured that they would have a right
4. to increase their bid in subsequent years without having to have
5. competition in that regard?
6. SENATOR BRUCE:
7. That's right. If...if they meet all the criteria and
8. justifiable cost increase. You got to remember that all we do on
9. this is, they submit a claim to us for Fawell going to the hospital,
10. we pay that, and after we've paid the claims, and they've paid...
11. handled all the paper work, we let them have four percent of the
12. total paid claims. That's it. That's it. So, you know, we're
13. not...we're not talking about any...in the last three years, pay
14. out has exceeded claims, so they haven't made anything. But, it's
15. not like this is some great...actually, what Senator Bell said is
16. very important. We are really only hiring an administrative
17. carrier. We're almost self-insurers now. They handle the paper
18. work. We pay the claims, and at the end of the year, they get to
19. keep four percent if they've made anything.
20. SENATOR FAWELL:
21. May I...may I ask just this one other question. Do you know
22. of any other area where...where this type of an offer of noncom-
23. petitive increase of rather substantial premiums in this case, but
24. bidding costs are granted to a bidder?
25. SENATOR BRUCE:
26. The Federal government, once it puts out its some twenty-five
27. programs, I don't think ever takes bids again. Aetna has, I don't
28. know how many million carrier...people in their program. Federal
29. government has twenty-five programs. Private industry, we take
30. this from private industry, and that is they don't have a one year
31. term contract. The start up costs are just fantastic, and...and we
32. are emulating in that regard private sector employers by offering
33. more than a one year potential contract. We...we really thought,
Senator Fawell, this would be better than having a two year or
three year contract with extensive openers. We thought the
Legislature would be more attuned to the fact that we would give
them a one year contract if they did a good job and showed per-
formance. Then, we would extend, as opposed to saying, here it
is for two years and...and do what...what...what you want.

SENATOR FAWELL:

Yeah. The only thing that bothers me is that there's going
to be no...nobody, no other competitor who will be able to come in
and challenge them in regard to their request for a substantial
increase in premiums a year from now. They might be well able to
make a low bid here on the basis that they figure they can negotiate
a substantial increase in subsequent years. You...you know your...

SENATOR BRUCE:

You must remember...

SENATOR FAWELL:

...you do have safeguards built in in that regard...

SENATOR BRUCE:

...You must remember that part of the contract is that every
computer tape they have becomes our property, and we run those
on our computers and in number of claims paid and the number of
...of premium is our material and our data and we generate our own
materials to whether or not they've made proper claims.

PRESIDENT:

Any further discussion? The question is shall Senate Bill 181
pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed will vote Nay.
The voting is open. Have all voted who wished? Take the record.
On this question, the Ayes are 49, the Nays are 1, 5 Voting Present.
This bill having received a constitutional majority is declared
passed. Committee reports.

SECRETARY:

Senator Vadalabene...

PRESIDENT:
For what purpose does Senator Saperstein arise?

SENATOR SAPERSTEIN:

I don't know whether this is the correct time, but I want to ask the waive of the Six Day Rule for the...

PRESIDENT:

No, this is not the correct time. We'll get back to it.

We'll get back to it. Committee reports.

SECRETARY:

Senator Vadalabene, Chairman of Executive Appointments and Administration, recommends confirmation of the following appointments of the Governor's Message of June...January the 20th and February the 17th.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Vadalabene.

SENATOR VADALABENE:

Yes, thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. I move that the Senate resolve itself into Executive Session for the purpose of acting on the Governor's appointments set forth in the Governor's Message of January 20th and February 17th.

PRESIDENT:

You've heard the question. All in favor will say Aye.

SENATOR VADALABENE:

Aye.

PRESIDENT:

Opposed. Senate...is in Executive Session. Senator Vadalabene.

SENATOR VADALABENE:

Mr. President and members of the Senate, unless there's objection, I ask leave now to consider all the following nominations on one roll call.

PRESIDENT:

Is leave granted?

SENATOR VADALABENE:

No. Thank you, Mr. President. Now, I move that the Senate
PRESIDENT: Just one moment. One moment, Senator. For what purpose does Senator Harber Hall arise?

SENIOR HALL: Well, Mr. President, I just can't see that I can vote, and I wouldn't think many members of the Body could vote to concur with considering all the names unless we have the names. I probably would, but I'd like to have the names before...before we decide that we're going to accept all of them on one roll call.

PRESIDENT: Senator Vadalabene, have those names been passed out?

SENIOR VADALABENE: All right, Sir. The...the names are: Al Mendlovitz of Chicago for a term ending June 30th, 1977, to the Health Facilities Planning Board. And then we come to the salaried people. To the Department of Public Aid as Director, James L. Trainor of Palatine for a term ending January 17th, 1977. And then, to the Department of Insurance as Director, Robert Wilcox of Winnetka for a term ending January 17th, 1977. And finally, to the Department of Insurance as Assistant Director, Dennis Toivonen of Springfield for a term ending January 17th, 1977. Those are what I have, Mr. President and members of the Senate.

PRESIDENT: Senator Harber Hall, does that satisfy your request?

SENIOR HALL: Thank you very much.

PRESIDENT: Leave is granted. Now, the question is...oh, Senator Vadalabene. I'm sorry.

SENIOR VADALABENE: Yes, then Mr. President, I move that the Senate do advise and consent to the...to these nominations that I've just named off.
1. PRESIDENT:

2. The...Senator Savickas, for what purpose do you arise?

3. SENATOR SAVICKAS:

4. Mr. President, I have a question on one of the nominees. In

5. going over some of our news items, I run across an item by Toby

6. McDaniel in the Springfield press where it is said that the Depart-

7. ment of Public Aid purchased an automobile for a known burgler and

8. then the car was impounded, the title is under the Public Aid

9. Department's budget. And I'd like to know if this is the Director

10. that was involved with this or is there a question on this. It

11. seems that there is some questionable practices in the Department

12. of Public Aid, and I...I, for one, would like to find out what some

13. of those answers are.

14. PRESIDENT:

15. Senator Vadalabene.

16. SENATOR VADALABENE:

17. Well, I think he could possibly get those answers from Doctor

18. Toivonen to his question. And I...and I resume my motion.

19. PRESIDENT:

20. Do you know where to get the answers now, Senator?

21. SENATOR SAVICKAS:

22. Well, ...before...if that's the attitude, I would say that I

23. would suggest that we hold up confirmation until I get some

24. answers on this. I don't know if anyone else is interested in

25. what the Public Aid Department is doing with buying cars for known

26. burglars and they're not owning up to the titles on them. It seems

27. that this...that this is just one incident. How many more? What

28. ...what is going on in that department?

29. PRESIDENT:

30. Senator Vadalabene.

31. SENATOR VADALABENE:

32. Yes, Mr. Toivonen is...has been confirmed in the Executive

33. Committee on Appointments as the Assistant Director of the Department
of Insurance, and I don't know what the Public Aid implication
is.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Savickas.

SENATOR SAVICKAS:

Well, I may have been mistaken, but I understood that we were
also voting on the appointment of the Director of Public Aid here?

PRESIDENT:

Senator Vadalabene.

SENATOR VADALABENE:

That is correct. Mr. Trainor has also been confirmed by the
Executive Committee on Appointments and...and I did mention his
name, but not the...not Doctor Toivonen. Doctor...Mr. Toivonen is
in the Department of Insurance.

SENATOR SAVICKAS:

I have no question with asking about the Public Aid now. Is...
are we confirming the Director of Public Aid today or not? Was his
name included in...in your total call?

PRESIDENT:

Senator Savickas, persons who are subject to the motion before
the House are Al Mendlovitz to the...Health Facilities Planning
Board, James L. Trainor to the Department of Public Aid as Director,
Robert Wilcox as to the Department of Insurance as Director, and
Dennis Toivonen as the...to the Department of Insurance as Assistant
Director. That motion embraces those four nominations. Senator
Savickas.

SENATOR SAVICKAS:

Well, then I would like to make a motion to remove the name
of the Director of Public Aid from this roll call for confirmation
and hold that one up.

PRESIDENT:

You've heard the motion from Senator Savickas. All in favor
1. SENATOR DONNEWALD:

   Question to the Chair. This means that we vote on the
three collectively and the one separately, is that right?

2. PRESIDENT:

   The impact of that motion if carried would be that the
three remaining persons who were not alluded to by Senator
Savickas in his motion would be voted on in a package and that
the one...

3. SENATOR DONNEWALD:

   Did...did five Senators request the separation, Mr. President?

4. PRESIDENT:

   No, five Senators did not request the separation. It was
put in the form of a motion. For what purpose does Senator
Demuzio arise?

5. SENATOR DEMUZIO:

   Mr. President, I...I rise to ask whether or not it is...it
is a practice or a custom of the Senate to vote on all nominees
as...collectively rather than individually?

6. PRESIDENT:

   In many instances, I would say in the overwhelming number of
cases, it has been the practice to vote for the entire group. In
instances where a large number of members indicate they want to
vote separately, that too has been accommodated.

7. SENATOR DEMUZIO:

   Thank you, Mr. President.

8. PRESIDENT:

   Senator Vadalabene.

9. SENATOR VADALABENE:

   Yes, I'll withdraw my motion and split them up, but if Senator
Demuzio had been listening, I asked for leave to have them heard
one roll call, and if there was objections then we would have done
it. So, you know, I'll do it separately, but I did ask for leave.

10. PRESIDENT:
Rule 7, Senator Savickas; Rule 7 require that there be five
persons who asked for the...disjoint your voting of persons brought
before the Body on this kind of motion. Are there five persons
who join Senator Savickas in his request? He has the requisite
number of people. Now, the question is does the Senate advise and
consent to the nominations of Al Mendlovitz, James L. Trainor,
pardon me, Robert Wilcox, and Dennis Toivonen. Those opposed...
or those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay.
And the voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the
record. On that question, the Ayes are 53, the Nays are none,
none Voting Present. A majority of Senators elected concurring
by record vote, the Senate does advise and consent to those three
nominations just made. Senator Demuzio.

Mr. President and members of the Senate, I was not objecting
to handling this matter collectively as...

We understood that, Senator.

...as Senator Vadalabene has indicated. I was just wanting
to know whether or not it was going to be standard procedure to
operate on collectively or individually from...from this day
forward. As a new member, I just asked that question. Thank you.

Now, Senator Vadalabene.

Yes, thank you, Mr. President. Now, I move that the Senate
do now arise from Executive Session.

Senator Vadalabene, you have another nominee. How do you
choose to deal with that, Sir.

Until Senator Trainor gets here to see if he can explain his
situation, well, Senator Savickas...sent for him.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Harris.

SENATOR HARRIS:

Well, it might not be too bad an idea to have Governor DeGrazia come over and explain those GOHR employees too.

PRESIDENT:

The motion is that the Senate do now arise. All in favor say Aye. Opposed. The motion carries. House Bills on 1st reading. ...(Machine cut-off)...116.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 116.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

PRESIDENT:

Senator Kosinski.

SECRETARY:

1st reading of the bill.

PRESIDENT:

Senate Bill 218. I'm...House Bill 218.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 218.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

1st reading of the bill.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Wooten. Senator Shapiro.

SENATOR SHAPIRO:

Mr. President, I'm wondering if it would be proper for me at this point, as the Minority Spokesman of the Senate Education Committee, to ask the Chair if this bill would be referred to the Education Committee. It involves a profound discussion on tuition waivers. I know it involves veterans benefits and the national guard, but the other is...
1. Senator Vadalabene desires to answer your question.
2. Senator...pardon me. Senator Donnewald. Senator Donnewald.
3. SENATOR DONNEWALD:
4. Well, that...that has to be referred to the Committee on
5. Assignment of Bills, Mr. President.
6. PRESIDENT:
7. Fine. Has the bill been read? Senate Bill 2...House Bill
8. 295.
9. SECRETARY:
11. (Secretary reads title of bill)
12. 1st reading of the bill.
13. PRESIDENT:
15. SECRETARY:
16.
17.
18.
19.
20. (End of Reel #2)
21.
22.
23.
24.
25.
26.
27.
28.
29.
30.
31.
32.
33.
House Bill 313.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

1. 1st reading of the bill.
2. PRESIDENT:
3. Senator Carroll. 335. Carroll, Yes. At the request of the sponsor. 335.
4. SECRETARY:
5. House Bill 335.
6. (Secretary reads title of bill)
7. 1st reading of the bill.
8. PRESIDENT:
10. SECRETARY:
11. House Bill 352.
12. (Secretary reads title of bill)
13. 1st reading of the bill.
14. PRESIDENT:
15. Senator Saperstein. 353.
16. SECRETARY:
17. House bill 353.
18. (Secretary reads title of bill)
19. 1st reading of the bill.
20. PRESIDENT:
22. SECRETARY:
24. (Secretary reads title of bill)
25. 1st reading of the bill.
26. PRESIDENT:
27. Senator Weaver.
28. SENATOR WEAVER:
29. Mr. President, and members of the Senate, I'd ask leave to advance House Bill 371 without reference to the order of second reading. This is an easement bill paying back to the State exactly what the State paid the property owner for some land that was
found to be of no value to the State.

PRESIDENT:

1. Is there leave? All in favor say Aye. Opposed. 2nd reading.

2. Resolutions.

3. SECRETARY:

4. Senate Resolution No. 30 introduced by Senators Morris, Bruce, Hickey, McCarthy and Netch.

5. PRESIDENT:


7. SENATOR MORRIS:

8. ...move for the immediate suspension of the rules for immediate consideration of this resolution and...

9. PRESIDENT:

10. Explain that will you...

11. SENATOR MORRIS:

12. ...passed out.

13. PRESIDENT:

14. Yes...have they...have they been passed out to the members?

15. SENATOR MORRIS:

16. They are being passed out now.

17. PRESIDENT:

18. Perhaps you might explain the resolution.

19. SENATOR MORRIS:

20. Okay. The resolution basically asked for the creation of a Senate committee which would include three members appointed by the President and two members appointed by the minority leader, and among those five would be the Chairman of the Labor Committee and the minority spokesman of the Labor Committee. Their charge would be to investigate the Illinois State Unemployment Compensation Act to determine why there have been such great delays in the processing of checks and the checks being distributed to people who are unemployed. This resolution has a deadline for the committee to report back to the Senate by April 9th, that would give time for any legislation that would be necessary to be drafted and introduced by the deadline. I have found problems in my area, and I have talked to other Senators
who have similar problems of long delays in the processing of
unemployment checks, and with the great number of unemployed today,
I think we have an obligation as a Legislative Body to determine
what is causing these delays and expedite it if at all possible.
And this is what the resolution does it is being distributed
now and I would like to have immediate consideration on this.

Senator, the Chair would only point out that the Legislature
will not be in session between the 27th and the 8th of April, which
effectively means that the last 10 or 11 days of the operation of
this resolution, we won't be in session. So what it really means
is that, you feel this can be accomplished prior to the 26th or 7th.

That's why immediate consideration is critical so we can move
on it.

Any discussion? Senator Howard Mohr.

Well, Mr. President, it seems to me that we have a... a committee
of Labor and Commerce that... should be the group to study this problem.

Any further discussion? Senator Morris has... seeks the immediate
suspension of the rules for the adoption of this resolution. All in
favor of suspending the rules for that purpose will vote Aye. Opposed.

Senate Resolution 31 introduced by Senators Regner, Glass,
Wooten and Palmer.
SENATOR REGNER:

Yes, Mr. President and members of the Senate, this is a resolution commending the...people that work for the Legislative Information Systems Committee, which is the committee that provides us with our bill status reports, its bill drafting, journal preparation, enrolling and engrossing, and so on and so forth. They are highly dedicated and very skilled group of people and I think that many of us forget that they even exist or who's doing the work or where the various reports come from. I therefore would like to ask leave to suspend the rules for the immediate consideration of Senate Resolution 31.

PRESIDENT:


SENATOR REGNER:

I now move the adoption of Senate Resolution 31.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Regner moves the adoption of Senate Resolution No. 31. All in favor say Aye. Opposed. The resolution is adopted.

SENIOR SCHAEFER:

I was wondering if Senator Regner would yield for a question on this...in this area?

PRESIDENT:

Even after the adoption, he will yield. Senator Regner.

SENIOR SCHAEFER:

My question simply is...is not this the group located in the basement that has the sign on the door that says restricted, keep out, you're not welcome. Now, I really want to know before I vote for that resolution. See, I can't get through that door and...and I assume there are people down there working because we get a very good end product but because I can't get through that door because
of that sign, I... I just wonder... you know if a legislator has
the right to go into that area, whether that sign applies to every-
body, or how do I get security clearance to get through that door?

PRESIDENT:

Senator Regner will you answer that series of questions.

SENATOR REGNER:

The reason for the security, Senator Schaffer, is just that
we do have equipment down there and we had had bad experiences in
the past where people got in in the evening and that and did cause
some damage and that's the reason for the security. You can go in
there any time you want, you can borrow my card to get through the
doors.

PRESIDENT:

Introduction of bills.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 300 introduced by Senators Glass, Netsch, Roe and
others.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

1st reading of the bill.

Senate Bill 301 introduced by Senators... Senator Regner.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

1st reading of the bill.

PRESIDENT:

Hold... hold that a moment, please. Senator Vadabene.

SENATOR VADALABENE:

... Mr. President, we're still in Executive Session or do I
have to resolve to go back into Executive Session?

PRESIDENT:

You have to make the motion again, Sir.

SENATOR VADALABENE:

All right...

PRESIDENT:

Senator Vadabene.
SENATOR VADALABENE:

...then, Mr. President, I move that the Senate resolve itself
into Executive Session...Session for the purpose of acting on a
Governor's appointment set forth in the Governor's message of
February 17th.

PRESIDENT:

You heard the motion. All in favor say Aye. Opposed. The
motion carries. Senator Vadalabene.

SENATOR VADALABENE:

Yes, Mr. President, now there was a little controversy just
a few minutes ago in regard to James L. Trainor of Palatine, for
the...for the Director of the Department of Public Aid as Director.
I would like for...to refer this issue now over to Senator Don
Moore, who I think will explain the situation.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Moore.

SENATOR MOORE:

Thank you, Mr. President, and members of the Senate. I was not
aware of the newspaper article that Senator Savickas...referred to.
I called Director Trainor who came over to the Senate chambers and
had a meeting with Senator Smith, Senator Savickas and myself. The
facts in this case are this. That the Country Department of Public
Aid in Sangamon County, authorized the expenditure of a hundred and
fifty dollars for the purchase of a car for a recipient so that he
could go back and forth to work. There are allowable expenses that
the department can pay for work related expenses. Through some
inadvertence the car was licensed or titled in the name of the Depart-
ment of Public Aid, which it should not have been. Subsequently, this
individual was fired from his job and was apprehended in the com-
mission of a burglary. This is when...when they checked the car
title they found it was with the department. This action was done
by the local county department, here in Springfield in Sangamon
County. Since this occurrence of about a month ago, Director Trainor
1. has implemented this...these matters, that if ther are any futher
2. expenditures of money for purposes such as this, that prior approval
3. is required in his office by any of the county offices in the State
4. of Illinois. I believe the explanation was satisfactory for Senator
5. Savickas. I am glad that the proper controls are now applied to
6. cases of this, and I don't believe it will happen in the future.
7. PRESIDENT:
8. Senator Savickas.
9. SENATOR SAVICKAS:
10. Yes, Mr. President and members of the Senate, Doctor Trainor
11. did make note about this problem and he did advise us of the new
12. controls that were instituted to prevent any other reoccurrence of
13. this problem, so on his...on his basis of his new policies and his
14. assurances that this couldn't happen again, that the State will now
15. control how the monies are spent for these purposes. I with...
16. withdraw my objection to having his confirmation heard at this time.
17. PRESIDENT:
18. Senator Davidson.
19. SENATOR DAVIDSON:
20. I'm sorry that you delayed this, if I'd know that he was
21. alluding to the problem that happened in Sangomon County, I could
22. have answered it for him a half an hour ago, because not only
23. Senator, I mean Director Trainor, Department of Public Aid of
24. Sangomon County, is already heard from we who represent this
25. county that such episodes will not happen again and I want all
26. of you to know that Sangomon County is not sitting by idly and
27. letting this go by the wayside. Thank you.
28. PRESIDENT:
29. Senator Vadalabene.
30. SENATOR VADALABENE:
31. Yes, thank you Mr. President and members of the Senate, I want
32. to thank Senator Frank Savickas for bringing this to the attention
33. of the entire Senate Body, and I also want to thank Senator Don Moore
for his explanation in clearing up the issue. So now Mr. President
and members of the Senate, I move that the Senate do advise and
consent to the nomination of James L. Trainor of Palentine for a
term ending January 17th, 1977, as a Director of the Department
of Public Aid.

PRESIDENT:

The question is, does the Senate advise and consent to the
nomination just made? Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed
will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take
the record. On that question, the Yeas are 52, the Nays are nothing
...are none. The majority of Senators elected concurring by record
vote, the Senate does advise and consent to the nomination just made.

Senator Vadalabene. One more motion.

SENATOR VADALABENE:

Thank you Mr. President and members of the Senate, now I move
that we arise from the...from the Executive Session.

PRESIDENT:

You have heard the question. All in favor say Aye. Opposed.
The Ayes have it. Introduction of bills. Hold it a moment. Is
there any further business to come before the Senate? We're going
to suggest that when we leave we will go to our caucuses and to
committees and we will...come back to this body only for the purpose
of introducing bills and reading them into the record. Have we
leave to do that? Senator Kosinski.

SENATOR KOSINSKI:

Mr. President and members of the Senate, I seek unanimous con-
sent to become the chief sponsor of Senate Bill 28 and to show
Senator Phil Rock as cosponsor.

PRESIDENT:

Is there leave? Senator Saperstein.

SENATOR SAPERSTEIN:

Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen, because the Education
Committee meets next Tuesday, I ask...for permission to waive the
six day rule, in case of the bills considered today may be post-
poned till next week.

PRESIDENT:

Senator, the bills you allude to are already posted for today?

SENATOR SAPERSTEIN:

Pardon me?

PRESIDENT:

The bills you are concerned about, they are already posted for
today?

SENATOR SAPERSTEIN:

Yes...yeah, they're posted.

PRESIDENT:

Then you do not need, in my opinion...

SENATOR SAPERSTEIN:

I...but...

PRESIDENT:

...if they are not called today, there is no need to...to get
consideration to post them again.

SENATOR SAPERSTEIN:

You mean if they're continued...

PRESIDENT:

If they're continued.

SENATOR SAPERSTEIN:

We're covered.

PRESIDENT:

The posting...the posting has already been...

SENATOR SAPERSTEIN:

All right...okay.

PRESIDENT:

...made in ample time. If they are not heard today, they are
simply adjourned until the next Session.

SENATOR SAPERSTEIN:

Thank you very much.
SENATOR HARRIS: A question arises in connection with your...dialogue with Senator Saperstein. Now, a bill that is posted under our rules for hearing today...

PRESIDENT: Yes.

SENATOR HARRIS: ...that in fact, is not heard next week...I mean

PRESIDENT: ...not heard today.

SENATOR HARRIS: ...not heard today.

PRESIDENT: Right.

SENATOR HARRIS: It must be reposted to be considered next week, should it not?

PRESIDENT: I don't take that...view, Senator.

SENATOR HARRIS: Well, I...I would interpret our rules to require that, unless the Senate has given its consent, it seems to me. The public would not be on any notice for a subsequent hearing on the basis of its having been posted today and postponed. It seems to me that the rules begin to operate immediately upon the adjournment of that committee meeting, and that there should be a reposting of a bill that is postponed.

PRESIDENT: I think probably we're on the same wavelength, I've probably stated it...stated what I felt inaccurately. I say that I do not think it's necessary to obtain unanimous consent today. I think certainly
if bills are set today, and those bills are not heard today, with
the posting of next week's plan, that should...those bills should
be listed on there as having been previously posted, adjourned, and
contained to that particular day. Is there any question on that?

Senator Welsh.

SENATOR WELSH:

Mr. President, there will be a Democratic caucus immediately
after adjournment in Room 406.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Johns.

SENATOR JOHNS:

Mr. President, now my secretary called over and asked that I
ask...

PRESIDENT:

Just one minute, Senator. Could we have some order please,
Gentlemen and Ladies. Senator Johns.

SENATOR JOHNS:

Now as Chairman of the Executive Committee, don't I need to
ask a waiver of the rules? ...permission of the Body for posting
notice, for bills that I want heard next week. ...don't...because
we're meeting on Tuesday instead of Wednesday. Now do I need...

PRESIDENT:

The question is whether or not the seven day notice requirement...

SENATOR JOHNS:

Right.

PRESIDENT:

...can be complied with. The six day.

SENATOR JOHNS:

The six day.

PRESIDENT:

The six day notice can be complied with. You'll find that time
constraints suggest you cannot...

SENATOR JOHNS:
1. Right.

2. PRESIDENT:

3. Then you do need unanimous consent to do that.

4. SENATOR JOHNS:

5. All right, then I ask unanimous consent of this body to

6. waive the six day rule, on the posting of bills.

7. PRESIDENT:

8. Well, I think we ought to establish another...one other thing,

9. because perhaps everybody is not counting alike. How do you count

10. six days between now and Tuesday? How do you count? Don't you

11. count today? Or do you?

12. SENATOR JOHNS:

13. No, I didn't.

14. PRESIDENT:

15. I think our rules provide that you can count today as being the

16. first day. If the notice goes out today.

17. SENATOR JOHNS:

18. All right, Sir, then I...I will do my best to get the notices

19. out today on bills to be heard next Tuesday, in Executive Committee.

20. PRESIDENT:

21. Now, if you have any question that...that you can't get them

22. out today, then your motion would be in order, but if you can get

23. them out today, you don't need to. Senator Johns.

24. SENATOR JOHNS:

25. I'd like to have the assurance that I would have that time, so

26. I'm going to ask consent of this Body, to waive that ruling. I want

27. to have that assurance that those bills that Senator...

28. PRESIDENT:

29. If you get your notice out today, you won't need to do that

30. tomorrow, if you don't get it out today, you can make the motion

31. you're going to make now, tomorrow.

32. SENATOR JOHNS:

33. All right, Sir.
1. PRESIDENT:


3. SENATOR BLOOM:

4. Yes, Mr. President, I'd ask leave to be added as a co-sponsor of Senate Bill 173.

5. PRESIDENT:

6. Is there leave? The record will so show. Senator Glass.

7. SENATOR GLASS:

8. Mr. President, a reminder that Senator Welsh and I have tickets to dinner tonight for Pete Bobbitt, if you don't have your tickets and would like to get them we will be available after the session.

9. Thank you.

10. PRESIDENT:

11. Does that mean that you two are popping?

12. SENATOR GLASS:

13. Pardon?

14. PRESIDENT:

15. Senator Harris.

16. SENATOR HARRIS:

17. Mr. President, have you determined at what time we will reconvene tomorrow?

18. PRESIDENT:

19. Eleven o'clock.

20. SENATOR HARRIS:

21. All right. I would like to have the Republican members be aware in the absence of Senator Weaver, there will be a brief, but important, Republican caucus at 10:30 tomorrow morning in Room 400. Ten-thirty at...in Room 400.

22. PRESIDENT:


24. SENATOR SAVICKAS:

25. Mr. President, just a clarification on that posting rule. We had a bill in Labor Committee yesterday that wasn't heard, now do I
have to repost that or...

PRESIDENT:

Senator Savickas we're going to prepare a memorandum to all committee chairman, which will...we've done one, but I think this question has to be reexamined. We're going to send out a...an...a statement to each of the chairman, which will, I think, anticipate all possible situations and conditions, set forth what the rule is so that all chairman will be following the same rule.

SENATOR SAVICKAS:

Well, I just asked in concern for the sponsor and I just want to assure him that the bill will be heard at the next meeting that's been posted.

PRESIDENT:

Thank you very much. Senator Demuzio.

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

In regards to Senator Savickas. Question? The bill wasn't heard...the bill wasn't heard yesterday.

PRESIDENT:

It will be put...it will be placed on the next notice.

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

I don't have to ask for a waiver.

PRESIDENT:

No, you do not.

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

Thank you Sir.

PRESIDENT:

Any further business to come before the Senate? The Senate stands in recess until 5:00 o'clock, at which time there will be an adjournment until 11:00 o'clock tomorrow morning. Democratic caucus immediately in Room 400, is that right Senator Welsh? Immediately. 406.

SENATOR WELSH:

Democratic caucus immediately in Room 406. All right.

(RECESS)
1. (AFTER RECESS)

2. PRESIDENT:

3. The Senate will come to order, the hour of five having arrived,
4. the Senate is now in Session. Message from the House.

5. SECRETARY:

6. A Message from the House by Mr. O'Brien, Clerk.

7. Mr. President - I am directed to inform the Senate that
8. the House of Representatives has passed bills with the following
9. title, in the passage of which I am instructed to ask the con-
10. currence of the Senate, to-wit:
11. House Bills 186, 190, 299.

12. PRESIDENT:

13. Let the record show that the Senate is proud to have visiting
14. us today one of the outstanding public servants in America, the
15. Honorable Secretary Bond. Introduction of bills.

16. SECRETARY:

17. Senate Bill 302 introduced by Senator Buzbee.

18. (Secretary reads title of bill)

19. All of these bills will be on 1st reading.

20. Senate Bill 303 introduced by Senator Buzbee.

21. (Secretary reads title of bill)

22. Senate Bill 304 introduced by Senator Shapiro, Berning, Weaver
23. and others.

24. (Secretary reads title of bill)

25. Senate Bill 305 introduced by Senator Shapiro, Berning, Weaver
26. and others.

27. (Secretary reads title of bill)


29. (Secretary reads title of bill)

30. Senate Bill 307 introduced by Senators Roe, Schaffer and Bloom.

31. (Secretary reads title of bill)

32. Senate Bill 308 introduced by Senator Roe, Bloom, Glass.

33. (Secretary reads title of bill)
1. Senate Bill 309 introduced by the same sponsors.
2. (Secretary reads title of bill)
3. Senate Bill 310 introduced by the same sponsors.
4. (Secretary reads title of bill)
5. Senate Bill 311 introduced by the same sponsors.
6. (Secretary reads title of bill)
7. Senate Bill 312 introduced by Senator Nudelman.
8. (Secretary reads title of bill)
9. Senate Bill 313 introduced by Senators Dougherty, Partee, Rock and others.
10. (Secretary reads title of bill)
11. Senate Bill 314 introduced by Senators Johns, Partee, Donnewald and others.
12. (Secretary reads title of bill)
13. Senate Bill 315 introduced by Senators Buzbee, Partee, Donnewald and others.
14. (Secretary reads title of bill)
15. Senate Bill No. 316 introduced by Senators Bruce, Partee, Donnewald and others.
16. (Secretary reads title of bill)
17. Senate Bill 317 introduced by Senators Course, Partee, Donnewald and others.
18. (Secretary reads title of bill)
19. Senate Bill No. 318 introduced by Senators Bruce, Partee, Donnewald and Rock.
20. (Secretary reads title of bill)
21. Senate Bill 319 introduced by Senators Lane, Partee, Donnewald and others.
22. (Secretary reads title of bill)
23. Senate Bill 320 introduced by Senators Course, Partee, Donnewald and others.
24. (Secretary reads title of bill)
25. Senate Bill No. 321 introduced by Senators Carroll, Partee, Donnewald and others.
1. wald, Rock and Bruce.
2. (Secretary reads title of bill)
3. Senate Bill No. 322 introduced by Senators Morris, Partee, Donnewald, Rock and Bruce.
4. (Secretary reads title of bill)
5. Senate Bill No. 323 introduced by Senators Johns, Donnewald, Partee, Rock and Bruce.
6. (Secretary reads title of bill)
7. Senate Bill No 324 introduced by Senators Johns, Donnewald, Partee, Rock and Bruce.
8. (Secretary reads title of bill)
9. Senate Bill No. 325 introduced by Senators Bruce, Partee, Donnewald and Rock.
10. (Secretary reads title of bill)
11. Senate Bill 326 introduced by Senators Johns, Partee, Donnewald, Rock and Bruce.
12. (Secretary reads title of bill)
13. Senate Bill 327 introduced by Senators McCarthy, Partee, Donnewald, Rock and Bruce.
14. (Secretary reads title of bill)
15. Senate Bill 328 introduced by Senator...Senators Dougherty, Partee, Rock, Donnewald and Bruce.
16. (Secretary reads title of bill)
17. Senate Bill 329 introduced by Senators Knuppel, Partee, Rock, Donnewald and Bruce.
18. (Secretary reads title of bill)
19. Senate Bill 330 introduced by Senators Carroll, Donnewald, Partee and Bruce.
20. (Secretary reads title of bill)
21. Senate Bill 331 introduced by Senators Kenneth Hall, Partee, Rock and Donnewald.
22. (Secretary reads title of bill)
23. Senate Bill 332 introduced by Senators Denuzio, Partee, Rock
1. and Donnewald.
2. (Secretary reads title of bill)
3. Senate Bill 333 introduced by Senators Dougherty, Partee, Rock and Donnewald.
4. (Secretary reads title of bill)
5. Senate Bill 334 introduced by Senators Kenneth Hall, Partee, Rock and Donnewald.
6. (Secretary reads title of bill)
7. Senate Bill 335 introduced by Senators Carroll, Partee, Rock, Donnewald and Bruce.
8. (Secretary reads title of bill)
10. (Secretary reads title of bill)
11. Senate Bill 337 introduced by Senators Dougherty, Partee, Rock, Donnewald and Bruce.
12. (Secretary reads title of bill)
13. Senate Bill 338 introduced by Senators Hickey, Donnewald, Partee, Rock and Bruce.
14. (Secretary reads title of bill)
15. Senate Bill 339 introduced by Senators Kenneth Hall, Partee, Donnewald, Bruce, Rock.
16. (Secretary reads title of bill)
17. Senate Bill 340 introduced by the same sponsors.
18. (Secretary reads title of bill)
19. Senate Bill 341 introduced by Senators Hickey, Donnewald, Partee, Rock and Bruce.
20. (Secretary reads title of bill)
21. Senate Bill 342 introduced by Senators Partee, Bruce...introduced by Senators Buzbee, Partee, Rock, Donnewald and Bruce.
22. (Secretary reads title of bill)
23. Senate Bill 343 introduced by Senators Palmer, Partee, Rock, Donnewald and Bruce.
1. (Secretary reads title of bill)
2. Senate Bill 344 introduced by Senators Palmer, Partee, Rock, Donnewald, and Bruce.
3. (Secretary reads title of bill)
4. Senate Bill 345 introduced by Senators Dougherty, Partee, Donnewald, Rock, Bruce.
5. (Secretary reads title of bill)
6. Senate Bill 346 introduced by Senators Vadalabene, Partee, Donnewald, Rock and Bruce.
7. (Secretary reads title of bill)
8. Senate Bill 347 introduced by Senators Hynes, Partee, Donnewald, Rock and Bruce.
9. (Secretary reads title of bill)
10. Senate Bill 348 introduced by Senators Course, Partee, Donnewald, Rock, Bruce.
11. (Secretary reads title of bill)
12. Senate Bill 349 introduced by Senators Kosinski, Donnewald, Partee, Rock, Bruce.
13. (Secretary reads title of bill)
14. Senate Bill 350 introduced by Senators Lane, Donnewald, Partee, Rock and Bruce.
15. (Secretary reads title of bill)
16. Senate Bill 351 introduced by Senators Dougherty, Donnewald, Partee, Rock and Bruce.
17. (Secretary reads title of bill)
18. Senate Bill 340...352 introduced by Senators Kosinski, Donnewald, Partee, Rock and Bruce.
19. (Secretary reads title of bill)
20. Senate Bill 353 introduced by Senators Newhouse, Donnewald, Rock, Partee and Bruce.
21. (Secretary reads title of bill)
22. Senate Bill 354 introduced by Senators Palmer, Partee, Donnewald, Rock and Bruce.
1. (Secretary reads title of bill)

2. Senate Bill 355 introduced by Senators Knuppel, Partee, Rock, Donnewald and Bruce.

3. (Secretary reads title of bill)

4. Senate Bill 356 introduced by Senators Wooten, Partee, Rock, Bruce... Donnewald and Bruce.

5. (Secretary reads title of bill)

6. Senate Bill 357 introduced by Senators Demuzio, Partee, Donnewald, Rock and Bruce.

7. (Secretary reads title of bill)

8. Senate Bill 358 introduced by the same sponsors.

9. (Secretary reads title of bill)

10. Senate Bill 359 introduced by Senators Knuppel, Partee, Donnewald, Rock and Bruce.

11. (Secretary reads title of bill)

12. Senate Bill 360 introduced by the same sponsors.

13. (Secretary reads title of bill)

14. Senate Bill 361 introduced by Senators Wooten, Rock, Partee, Donnewald and Bruce.

15. (Secretary reads title of bill)

16. Senate Bill 362 introduced by Senators Bruce, Rock, Donnewald, Partee, Demuzio, Wooten and Buzbee.

17. (Secretary reads title of bill)

18. Senate Bill 363 introduced by Senators Wooten, Partee, Donnewald, Rock and Bruce.

19. (Secretary reads title of bill)

20. Senate Bill 364 introduced by Senators Knuppel, Donnewald, Partee, Rock and Bruce.

21. (Secretary reads title of bill)

22. Senate Bill 365 introduced by Senator Knuppel, Partee, Donnewald, Rock and Bruce.

23. (Secretary reads title of bill)

24. The following bills have been read a 1st time.
PRESIDENT:

The Senate will be at ease for just a few moments. Any further business to come before the Senate? The Senate will be adjourned until tomorrow morning at 11:00 o'clock. The Senate is adjourned.