AN ACT with regard to education.

Be it enacted by the People of the State of Illinois, represented in the General Assembly:

Section 5. The School code is amended by changing Section 24A-5 and adding Article 24B as follows:
(105 ILCS 5/24A-5) (from Ch. 122, par. 24A-5)
Sec. 24A-5. Content of evaluation plans. Each school district to which this Article applies shall establish a teacher evaluation plan which ensures that each teacher in contractual continued service is evaluated at least once in the course of every 2 school years, beginning with the 1986-87 school year.

The evaluation plan shall comply with the requirements of this Section and of any rules adopted by the state Board of Education pursuant to this Section.

The plan shall include a description of each teacher's duties and responsibilities and of the standards to which that teacher is expected to conform.

The plan may provide for evaluation of personnel whose positions require administrative certification by independent evaluators not employed by or affiliated with the school district. The results of the school district administrators' evaluations shall be reported to the employing school board, together with such recommendations for remediation as the evaluator or evaluators may deem appropriate.

Evaluation of teachers whose positions do not require administrative certification shall be conducted by an administrator qualified under Section $24 \mathrm{~A}-3$, or -- in school districts having a population exceeding 500,000 -- by either an administrator qualified under Section $24 \mathrm{~A}-3$ or an assistant principal under the supervision of an administrator
qualified under Section 24A-3, and shall include at least the following components:
(a) personal observation of the teacher in the classroom (on at least 2 different school days in school districts having a population exceeding 500,000) by a district administrator qualified under Section $24 A-3$, or -- in school districts having a population exceeding 500,000 -- by either an administrator qualified under Section $24 A-3$ or an assistant principal under the supervision of an administrator qualified under Section 24A-3, unless the teacher has no classroom duties.
(b) consideration of the teacher's attendance, planning, and instructional methods, classroom management, where relevant, and competency in the subject matter taught, where relevant.
(b-5) results of the teacher's participation, if any, in a peer assistance and review program for teachers established pursuant to Article 24B of this Code.
(c) rating of the teacher's performance as "excellent", "satisfactory" or "unsatisfactory".
(d) specification as to the teacher's strengths and weaknesses, with supporting reasons for the comments made.
(e) inclusion of a copy of the evaluation in the teacher's personnel file and provision of a copy to the teacher.

provided the deficiencies are deemed remediable. In all school districts the remediation plan for unsatisfactory, tenured teachers shall provide for 90 school days of remediation within the classroom and participation in a peer assistance and review program for teachers established pursuant to Article 24B of this Code, if available. In all school districts evaluations issued pursuant to this Section shall be issued within 10 days after the conclusion of the respective remediation plan. However, the school board or other governing authority of the district shall not lose jurisdiction to discharge a teacher in the event the evaluation is not issued within 10 days after the conclusion of the respective remediation plan.
(g) participation in the remediation plan by the teacher rated "unsatisfactory", a district administrator qualified under Section $24 A-3$ (or -- in a school district having a population exceeding 500,000 -- an administrator qualified under Section $24 A-3$ or an assistant principal under the supervision of an administrator qualified under Section 24A-3), and a consulting teacher, selected by the participating administrator or by the principal, or -- in school districts having a population exceeding 500,000 -by an administrator qualified under Section 24A-3 or by an assistant principal under the supervision of an administrator qualified under Section $24 \mathrm{~A}-3$, of the teacher who was rated "unsatisfactory", which consulting teacher is an educational employee as defined in the Educational Labor Relations Act, has at least 5 years' teaching experience and a reasonable familiarity with the assignment of the teacher being evaluated, and who received an "excellent" rating on his or her most recent evaluation. Where no teachers who meet these criteria are available within the district, the district shall
request and the State Board of Education shall supply, to participate in the remediation process, an individual who meets these criteria.

In a district having a population of less than 500,000 with an exclusive bargaining agent, the bargaining agent may, if it so chooses, supply a roster of qualified teachers from whom the consulting teacher is to be selected. That roster shall, however, contain the names of at least 5 teachers, each of whom meets the criteria for consulting teacher with regard to the teacher being evaluated, or the names of all teachers so qualified if that number is less than 5. In the event of a dispute as to qualification, the state Board shall determine qualification.
(h) evaluations and ratings once every 30 school days for the 90 school day remediation period immediately following receipt of a remediation plan provided for under subsections (f) and (g) of this Section; provided that in school districts having a population exceeding 500,000 there shall be monthly evaluations and ratings for the first 6 months and quarterly evaluations and ratings for the next 6 months immediately following completion of the remediation program of a teacher for whom a remediation plan has been developed. These subsequent evaluations shall be conducted by the participating administrator, or -- in school districts having a population exceeding 500,000 -- by either the principal or by an assistant principal under the supervision of an administrator qualified under Section 24A-3. The consulting teacher shall provide advice to the teacher rated "unsatisfactory" on how to improve teaching skills and to successfully complete the remediation plan. The consulting teacher shall participate in developing the remediation plan, but the
final decision as to the evaluation shall be done solely by the administrator, or -- in school districts having a population exceeding 500,000 -- by either the principal or by an assistant principal under the supervision of an administrator qualified under Section 24A-3, unless an applicable collective bargaining agreement provides to the contrary. Teachers in the remediation process in a school district having a population exceeding 500,000 are not subject to the annual evaluations described in paragraphs (a) through (e) of this Section. Evaluations at the conclusion of the remediation process shall be separate and distinct from the required annual evaluations of teachers and shall not be subject to the guidelines and procedures relating to those annual evaluations. The evaluator may but is not required to use the forms provided for the annual evaluation of teachers in the district's evaluation plan.
(i) in school districts having a population of less than 500,000, reinstatement to a schedule of biennial evaluation for any teacher who completes the 90 school day remediation plan with a "satisfactory" or better rating, unless the district's plan regularly requires more frequent evaluations; and in school districts having a population exceeding 500,000, reinstatement to a schedule of biennial evaluation for any teacher who completes the 90 school day remediation plan with a "satisfactory" or better rating and the one year intensive review schedule as provided in paragraph (h) of this Section with a "satisfactory" or better rating, unless such district's plan regularly requires more frequent evaluations.
(j) dismissal in accordance with Section 24-12 or 34-85 of The School Code of any teacher who fails to complete any applicable remediation plan with a
"satisfactory" or better rating. Districts and teachers subject to dismissal hearings are precluded from compelling the testimony of consulting teachers at such hearings under Section $24-12$ or $34-85$, either as to the rating process or for opinions of performances by teachers under remediation.

In a district subject to a collective bargaining agreement as of the effective date of this amendatory Act of 1997, any changes made by this amendatory Act to the provisions of this section that are contrary to the express terms and provisions of that agreement shall go into effect in that district only upon expiration of that agreement. Thereafter, collectively bargained evaluation plans shall at a minimum meet the standards of this Article. If such a district has an evaluation plan, however, whether pursuant to the collective bargaining agreement or otherwise, a copy of that plan shall be submitted to the State Board of Education for review and comment, in accordance with Section 24A-4.

Nothing in this Section shall be construed as preventing immediate dismissal of a teacher for deficiencies which are deemed irremediable or for actions which are injurious to or endanger the health or person of students in the classroom or school. Failure to strictly comply with the time requirements contained in Section $24 A-5$ shall not invalidate the results of the remediation plan.
(Source: P.A. 89-15, eff. 5-30-95; 90-548, eff. 1-1-98; 90-653, eff. 7-29-98.)
(105 ILCS 5/Art. 24B heading new)
ARTICLE 24B. PEER ASSISTANCE AND REVIEW PROGRAM FOR TEACHERS
(105 ILCS 5/24B-5 new)
Sec. 24B-5. Legislative intent. It is the intent of the General Assembly to establish a teacher peer assistance and
review system as a critical feedback mechanism that allows exemplary teachers to assist veteran teachers in need of development in subject matter knowledge or teaching strategies or both. It is further the intent of the General Assembly that a school district that operates a program pursuant to this Article coordinate its employment policies and procedures for that program with its activities for professional staff development and the evaluations of teachers pursuant to Article 24 A of this Code.
(105 ILCS 5/24B-10 new)
Sec. 24B-10. Definitions. In this Article:
"Program" means a peer assistance and review program for teachers established pursuant to this Article.
"School district" includes a regional office of education.
(105 ILCS 5/24B-15 new)
Sec. 24B-15. Peer assistance and review program for teachers.
(a) The governing board of a school district and the exclusive representative of the teachers in the school district may develop and implement a peer assistance and review program for teachers that meets local conditions and conforms with the principles set forth in subsection (b) of this Section.
(b) At a minimum, the following principles shall be included in a locally developed program authorized by this Article:
(1) A teacher participant must be tenured if he or she is an employee in a school district with 250 or greater pupils in average daily attendance. A teacher
participant must volunteer to participate in the program or be referred for participation in the program as a
result of an evaluation performed pursuant to Article 24A of this code. In addition, teachers receiving assistance may be referred pursuant to a collective bargaining agreement.
(2) Performance goals for an individual teacher must be in writing, clearly stated, aligned with pupil learning, and consistent with Article 24A of this Code.
(3) Assistance and review must include multiple observations of a teacher during periods of classroom instruction.
(4) The program must expect and strongly encourage a cooperative relationship between the consulting teacher and the principal with respect to the process of peer assistance and review.
(5) The school district must provide sufficient staff development activities to assist a teacher in improving his or her teaching skills and knowledge.
(6) The program must have a monitoring component with a written record.
(7) The final evaluation of a teacher's participation in the program shall be made available for placement in the personnel file of the teacher receiving assistance.
(105 ILCS 5/24B-20 new)
Sec. 24B-20. Consulting teacher. A consulting teacher participating in a program operated pursuant to this Article shall meet locally determined criteria and each of the following qualifications:
(1) The consulting teacher must be a certified, tenured teacher.
(2) The consulting teacher must have substantial recent experience in classroom instruction.
(3) The consulting teacher must have demonstrated
exemplary teaching ability, as indicated by effective communication skills, subject matter knowledge, and mastery of a range of teaching strategies necessary to meet the needs of pupils in different contexts, among other indications.
(105 ILCS 5/24B-25 new)
Sec. 24B-25. Joint teacher and administrator peer review panel.
(a) The governance structure of a program designed pursuant to this Article shall include a joint teacher and administrator peer review panel that shall select consulting teachers, review peer review reports prepared by consulting teachers, and make recommendations to the governing board of a school district regarding participants in the program, including forwarding to the governing board the names of individuals who, after sustained assistance, are not able to demonstrate satisfactory improvement.
(b) The majority of the panel shall be composed of certified teachers chosen to serve on the panel by other certified teachers. The remainder of the panel shall be composed of school administrators chosen to serve on the panel by the school district.
(c) At a minimum, the panel's procedures for selecting consulting teachers shall require the following:
(1) Consulting teachers must be selected by majority vote of the panel. (2) The selection process must include provisions for classroom observation of the candidates for consulting teacher by the panel.
(d) The panel shall also annually evaluate the impact of the school district's peer assistance and review program in order to improve the program. This evaluation may include, but is not limited to, interviews or surveys of the program
participants. The panel may submit recommendations for improvement of the program to the governing board of the school district and to the exclusive representative of the teachers in the school district, if the teachers in the school district are represented by an exclusive representative.
(105 ILCS 5/24B-30 new)
Sec. 24B-30. Condition for accepting state funds. The governing board of a school district that accepts state funds for the purposes of this Article must agree to negotiate the development and implementation of the program with the exclusive representative of the teachers in the school district, if the teachers in the school district are represented by an exclusive representative. In a school district in which the teachers are not represented, the school district shall develop a peer assistance and review program for teachers consistent with this Article in order to be eligible to receive funding under this Article.
(105 ILCS 5/24B-35 new)
Sec. 24B-35. Performance of functions. Functions performed pursuant to this Article by teachers employed in a bargaining unit position shall not constitute either management or supervisory functions.
(105 ILCS 5/24B-40 new)
Sec. 24B-40. Liability. Teachers who provide assistance and review under a program shall have the same protection from liability and access to an appropriate defense as other public school teachers.
(105 ILCS 5/24B-45 new)
Sec. 24B-45. Programs combined. It is the intent of the

General Assembly that school districts be allowed to combine, by mutual agreement, their programs with those of other school districts.
(105 ILCS 5/24B-50 new)
Sec. 24B-50. Administrative expenses. Not more than 5\% of the funds received by a school district for a program may be expended for administrative expenses.
(105 ILCS 5/24B-55 new)
Sec. 24B-55. Participation in program.
(a) A peer assistance and review program for teachers authorized under this Article must become fully operational by July 1, 2003. A school district that elects to participate in a program authorized under this Article must certify to the State Superintendent of Education that it has implemented a peer assistance and review program for teachers pursuant to this Article.
(b) A school district that does not elect to participate in a program authorized under this Article by July 1, 2003 is not eligible for any apportionment, allocation, or other funding from an appropriation for programs authorized under this Article.
(c) Commencing February 1, 2004, a school district that elects not to participate in a program authorized under this Article shall report annually at a regularly scheduled meeting of the governing board of the school district on the rationale for not participating in the program.
(105 ILCS 5/24A-60 new)
Sec. 24A-60. State funding; uses.
(a) The State funding for programs authorized under this Article is subject to an annual appropriation.
(b) A school district that receives funds for the

```
purposes of this Article may also expend those funds for any
of the following purposes:
    (1) A school district intern program.
    (2) Professional development or other educational
        activities.
            (3) Any program that supports the training and
        development of new teachers.
    (105 ILCS 5/24B-65 new)
    Sec. 24B-65. Evaluation of programs. Subject to the
availability of funding, the State Superintendent of
Education shall contract with an independent evaluator on or
before December 15, 2004 to prepare a comprehensive
evaluation of the implementation, impact, cost, and benefit
of peer assistance and review programs for teachers
authorized under this Article. The evaluation shall be
delivered to the General Assembly, the Governor, and other
interested parties on or before January 1, 2006.
    Section 99. Effective date. This Act takes effect on
July 1, 2001.
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