

HR0030 LRB101 04028 ALS 49036 r

1 HOUSE RESOLUTION

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- 2 WHEREAS, A proposed tax on sugar-sweetened beverages to 3 generate revenue to balance the State's budget has been 4 contemplated by some policymakers; and
- 5 WHEREAS, Adding an additional tax on sugar-sweetened 6 beverages will raise grocery costs for hardworking Illinois 7 families and kill Illinois jobs; and
 - WHEREAS, Studies have concluded that any obesity-related benefit of decreased sugar-sweetened beverage consumption that comes from a tax is negligible or offset by individual circumstances and environments; for instance, West Virginia has had a sugar-sweetened beverage tax since 1951 and has the second highest obesity rate in the United States at 35.6%; since 1990, the diabetes rate in West Virginia has more than doubled from 6.7% to 14.5%; Arkansas has had a sugar-sweetened beverage tax since 1992; during this time, obesity rates have doubled from 17% to 34.5%, ranking it the sixth-highest rate in the United States; additionally, the diabetes rate has doubled from 5.8% to 12.6%; and
- 20 WHEREAS, If the sugar-sweetened beverage tax will reduce 21 consumption as the advocates claim, then it will in turn 22 negatively impact bottlers, manufacturers, agricultural

- 1 suppliers, distributors, retailers, and labor; with more than
- 2 100,000 Illinois residents directly and indirectly employed by
- 3 the beverage industry, the vast beverage industry could face
- 4 layoffs if the sugar-sweetened beverage tax is signed into law;
- 5 and
- 6 WHEREAS, Beverage-related jobs create \$654 million in
- 7 wages in Illinois, with an additional \$702 million in wages for
- 8 occupations that rely on beverage sales; passing this soda
- 9 beverage tax would decrease sales, resulting in a decrease in
- 10 local and State revenue at a time when governments across
- 11 Illinois are facing declining revenue; and
- 12 WHEREAS, A soda tax will provide additional incentive to
- 13 the two-thirds of Illinois residents who live within a
- 14 40-minute drive of a neighboring state to shop in that
- 15 neighboring state and therefore further weaken tax receipts and
- 16 cripple local businesses; specifically, Illinois retailers
- 17 that border other states will be put at an even greater
- 18 competitive disadvantage with out-of-state retailers; and
- 19 WHEREAS, A recent proposal would impose an unreasonable new
- 20 State penny-per-ounce tax that would result in 68 cents in new
- 21 taxes on a typical 99-cent, two-liter bottle of soda; and
- 22 WHEREAS, An additional tax on sugar-sweetened beverages

- 1 goes against the pro-business, pro-jobs, pro-growth policies
- 2 that should be under careful consideration as Illinois seeks to
- 3 regain sound financial footing; therefore, be it
- 4 RESOLVED, BY THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES OF THE ONE
- 5 HUNDRED FIRST GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS, that
- 6 we fully support our hardworking citizens and oppose all
- 7 efforts, on the State or local level, to impose new taxes on
- 8 beverages and food; and be it further
- 9 RESOLVED, That we state our firm opposition to any
- 10 additional taxes on sugar-sweetened beverages.