

HJ0124 LRB101 21524 JWD 72295 r

1 HOUSE JOINT RESOLUTION

WHEREAS, The 101st General Assembly of the State of Illinois has submitted Senate Joint Resolution Constitutional Amendment 1, a proposition to amend the Illinois Constitution, to the voters of Illinois at the November 2020 general election; and

WHEREAS, The Illinois Constitution Amendment Act requires the General Assembly to prepare a brief explanation of the proposed amendment, a brief argument in favor of the amendment, a brief argument against the amendment, and the form in which the amendment will appear on the ballot, and also requires the information to be published and distributed to the electorate; therefore, be it

RESOLVED, BY THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES OF THE ONE HUNDRED FIRST GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS, THE SENATE CONCURRING HEREIN, that the proposed form of Section 3 of Article IX shall be published as follows:

18 "ARTICLE IX

19 REVENUE

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

- 20 SECTION 3. LIMITATIONS ON INCOME TAXATION
- 21 (a) The General Assembly shall provide by law for the rate

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

or rates of any tax on or measured by income imposed by the 1 2 State. A tax on or measured by income shall be at a non-graduated rate. At any one time there may be no more than 3 one such tax imposed by the State for State purposes on 4 5 individuals and one such tax so imposed on corporations. In any 6 such tax imposed upon corporations the <u>highest</u> rate shall not 7 exceed the highest rate imposed on individuals by more than a ratio of 8 to 5. 8

(b) Laws imposing taxes on or measured by income may adopt by reference provisions of the laws and regulations of the United States, as they then exist or thereafter may be changed, for the purpose of arriving at the amount of income upon which the tax is imposed."; and be it further

RESOLVED, That a brief explanation of the proposed amendment, a brief argument in favor of the amendment, a brief argument against the amendment, and the form in which the amendment will appear on the ballot shall be published and distributed as follows:

1	PROPOSED AMENDMENT			
2	TO SECTION 3 OF ARTICLE IX			
3	OF THE ILLINOIS CONSTITUTION			
4	That will be submitted to the voters			
5	5 November 3, 2020			
6	This pamphlet includes			
J				
7	EXPLANATION OF THE PROPOSED AMENDMENT			
8	ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF THE AMENDMENT			
9	ARGUMENTS AGAINST THE AMENDMENT			
10	FORM OF BALLOT			

-3- LRB101 21524 JWD 72295 r

HJ0124

1.3

1 To the Electors of the State of Illinois:

The Illinois Constitution establishes a structure for government and laws. There are three ways to initiate change to the Illinois Constitution: (1) a constitutional convention may propose changes to any part; (2) the General Assembly may propose changes to any part; or (3) a petition initiative may propose amendments limited to structural and procedural subjects contained in the Legislative Article. The people of Illinois must approve any changes to the Constitution before they become effective. The purpose of this document is to inform you of proposed changes to the Illinois Constitution and provide you with a brief explanation and a summary of the arguments in favor of and in opposition to the proposed amendment.

15 EXPLANATION

The proposed amendment grants the State authority to impose higher income tax rates on higher income levels, which is how the federal government and a majority of other states do it. The amendment would remove the portion of the Revenue Article of the Illinois Constitution that is sometimes referred to as the "flat tax," that requires all taxes on income to be at the same rate. The amendment does not itself change tax rates. It gives the State the ability to impose higher tax rates on those

- 1 with higher income levels and lower tax rates on those with
- 2 middle or lower income levels. You are asked to decide whether
- 3 the proposed amendment should become a part of the Illinois
- 4 Constitution.

Arguments in Favor of the Proposed Amendment

- 6 Illinois' current tax system unfairly benefits
- 7 millionaires and billionaires and this amendment will set
- 8 things right for middle-class and working people. Currently, it
- 9 is unfair that billionaires pay the same tax rate as regular
- 10 people.

5

17

- 11 Voting "yes" on the amendment means that the State will
- 12 enact a new tax structure where only those making above
- \$250,000 a year will see their taxes go up.
- This amendment is simply upgrading Illinois' old tax system
- to a graduated system which is how the federal government and
- the majority of other states do it.

This Amendment Would Make Illinois' Tax System Fair

- 18 Approval of this amendment would enact a fair system that
- 19 allows the state to tax wealthy people at higher rates and
- 20 lower income people at lower rates, replacing Illinois' current

16

17

- 1 unfair tax system, in which wealthy people pay the exact same
- 2 tax rate as lower and middle income people.
- 3 Illinois' current tax system unfairly benefits
- 4 millionaires and billionaires, and approval of this amendment
- 5 will set things right for the middle class and working people.
- 6 This amendment will help small business owners by creating

While others try to mislead you, under the current tax

- 7 a stable economic environment for their businesses to thrive.
- system in Illinois, policymakers already have the authority to set any tax rate and to change tax rates at their will. The current system forces policymakers to charge the same tax rate to everyone, regardless of how much money they make. If this amendment passes, the State will have the ability to tax higher income earners at a different rate. In fact, upon passage of this Amendment, a new tax structure will go into effect where

97% of taxpayers will pay the same or less, while only those

- 18 This amendment does not tax retirement income.
- 19 The Federal Government and Most States Use the Graduated Tax

making more than \$250,000 a year will see a tax increase.

- 20 System Proposed in this Amendment, Not the Unfair System
- 21 Currently Used in Illinois

- 1 Illinois is among a minority of states that do not utilize
- graduated tax rates because the Illinois Constitution requires 2
- 3 a "flat tax" that penalizes middle-class and working people and
- benefits higher income individuals.
- A majority of states and the federal government already use 5
- 6 the kind of graduated income tax system proposed in this
- 7 amendment to ensure that wealthy people pay their fair share of
- 8 taxes.
- 9 Nearby states including Iowa, Minnesota, Missouri, Ohio,
- 10 and Wisconsin are among the majority of states that have
- 11 graduated tax systems.
- 12 Illinois' Current Income Tax System Relies on Taxes from Middle
- 13 and Lower Income Earners, While a Graduated System Would Lower
- that Burden and Fund Critical Programs such as Education and 14
- 15 Human Services
- 16 While some states have fair tax rates in which the highest
- 17 income earners pay the highest tax rate, Illinois' "flat tax"
- 18 rate continues to rely unfairly on taxes from middle and lower
- 19 income earners.
- 20 Under Illinois' "flat tax" structure, a nurse making

- 1 \$50,000 per year pays the same tax rate as an executive making
- 2 \$4 million per year. A graduated tax rate would have the
- 3 executive pay more.
- Because of the way our current tax system is set up, the
- 5 bottom fifth of Illinois taxpayers (those making below \$21,800)
- 6 contribute 14.4% of their income to state and local taxes,
- 7 compared to 7.4% for the top 1 percent of Illinois taxpayers.
- 8 If this Amendment passes, the State has already enacted a
- 9 new graduated tax structure where 97% of taxpayers will pay the
- 10 same or less.
- 11 Under the new tax structure, only the top 3% of Illinois
- income earners would pay more in income taxes. Everyone who
- makes \$250,000 or less a year would pay the same or less.
- Over 95% of small businesses earn \$250,000 or less a year
- in profits, and their owners will not see a tax increase under
- 16 the new tax structure.
- 17 This change will generate additional revenue each year
- 18 that can help address Illinois' budget deficit and fund
- 19 critical programs, including the State's education system,
- 20 public safety, and social services like mental health and
- 21 substance abuse treatment and domestic violence shelters.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

After the COVID-19 Pandemic, We Need to Do All We Can to Help

the Economy and Middle-Class and Working People

Working people and essential workers like nurses, first responders, and grocery store clerks should not pay the same tax rate as the wealthy. Nurses making \$50,000 a year should not pay the same tax rate as an executive making \$4,000,000 a vear.

Having wealthy people pay more would reduce the burden on working families. This is money that middle and lower income people need for housing, groceries, medicine, and essentials.

When the wealthiest people pay more, middle and lower income earners can pay less while the State funds critical services that our essential workers rely on.

Arguments Against the Proposed Amendment

1. The Amendment gives the Legislature power to increase taxes on any group of taxpayers with no limits and no accountability and without any requirement to use the additional revenue to fund essential needs such as healthcare, education, or public safety.

- 1 2. Taxes and spending are out of control. The Legislature
- 2 should not be allowed to keep raising taxes until they get
- 3 their spending under control.
- 4 3. In the wake of the COVID-19 pandemic, now is the worst
- 5 possible time for a massive tax increase.
- 6 The Amendment gives the Legislature power to increase taxes on
- any group of taxpayers with no limits and no accountability and
- 8 without any requirement to use the additional revenue to fund
- 9 essential needs such as healthcare, education, or public
- safety.
- 11 The proposed amendment would give the Legislature
- 12 unlimited new authority to increase income tax rates on any
- 13 group of taxpayers at will, including low-income and
- 14 middle-income families and small business owners. There would
- 15 be no limit on the number of tax brackets that could be created
- 16 and no limit on how high tax rates could be increased on
- individual taxpayers. In addition, this proposed change will
- pave the way for a tax on retirement income.
- 19 Nothing in the amendment requires the Legislature to do
- 20 anything to control spending. Nor does it require funds to be
- 21 spent on essential needs such as healthcare, education, or
- 22 public safety. It would simply give the Legislature a blank

- 1 check to spend billions of dollars however they want, with no
- 2 accountability.
- 3 Taxes and spending are out of control. The Legislature should
- 4 not be allowed to keep raising taxes until they get their
- 5 spending under control.
- 6 Illinois already has some of the highest property taxes and
- 7 sales taxes in the nation. And the Legislature has increased
- 8 Illinois income tax rates twice in the past decade to try to
- 9 deal with the out-of-control spending in Springfield.
- 10 Even before the COVID-19 pandemic, our state had a huge and
- 11 growing multi-billion-dollar budget deficit, and the unfunded
- 12 pension liability skyrocketed to over \$137 billion. That's
- 13 because the Legislature has continued to increase state
- 14 spending instead of eliminating government waste, corruption,
- 15 and abuse.
- Because they refuse to control spending or pass major
- 17 reforms, the Legislature will just continue to raise taxes on
- 18 everyone in Illinois, and middle-class families will be their
- 19 next target.
- In the wake of the COVID-19 pandemic, now is the worst possible
- 21 time for a massive tax increase.

The COVID-19 pandemic caused layoffs, unemployment, bankruptcies, and closures. As small businesses and local employers struggle to rebuild, this is the worst possible time to impose huge new tax increases. Even before the COVID 19 crisis, many residents and businesses were leaving the state because of the high tax burden. If the Amendment passes, it would be the last straw for thousands of small businesses, causing more jobs to leave the state, and making Illinois lose out on investments to rebuild our economy. This would mean fewer jobs and less opportunity for Illinois families.

11 FORM OF BALLOT

Proposed Amendment to the 1970 Illinois Constitution

Explanation of Amendment

The proposed amendment grants the State authority to impose higher income tax rates on higher income levels, which is how the federal government and a majority of other states do it. The amendment would remove the portion of the Revenue Article of the Illinois Constitution that is sometimes referred to as the "flat tax," that requires all taxes on income to be at the same rate. The amendment does not itself change tax rates. It gives the State the ability to impose higher tax rates on those with higher income levels and lower income tax rates on those

	НЈ0124	-13-	LRB101 21524 JWD 72295 r
1	with middle or lo	ower income levels.	You are asked to decide
2	whether the propo	osed amendment shou	ald become a part of the
3	Illinois Constitution.		
4			
5	YES	For the propose	ed amendment
6		of Section 3 of Art	ticle IX
7	NO	of the Illinois Cor	nstitution.
8			