

## 101ST GENERAL ASSEMBLY State of Illinois 2019 and 2020 HB2615

by Rep. Amy Grant

## SYNOPSIS AS INTRODUCED:

5 ILCS 120/3 from Ch. 102, par. 43 5 ILCS 140/11 from Ch. 116, par. 211

Amends the Open Meetings Act and the Freedom of Information Act. Provides that "substantially prevails" and "prevails" mean: (1) the party obtains some of his or her requested relief through a judicial order in his or her favor or through any settlement agreement approved by the court; or (2) the pursuit of a nonfrivolous claim was a catalyst for a unilateral change in position by the opposing party relative to the relief sought.

LRB101 09710 HEP 54809 b

1 AN ACT concerning government.

## Be it enacted by the People of the State of Illinois, represented in the General Assembly:

- Section 5. The Open Meetings Act is amended by changing Section 3 as follows:
- 6 (5 ILCS 120/3) (from Ch. 102, par. 43)
- 7 Sec. 3. (a) Where the provisions of this Act are not 8 complied with, or where there is probable cause to believe that 9 the provisions of this Act will not be complied with, any person, including the State's Attorney of the county in which 10 such noncompliance may occur, may bring a civil action in the 11 circuit court for the judicial circuit in which the alleged 12 noncompliance has occurred or is about to occur, or in which 13 14 the affected public body has its principal office, prior to or within 60 days of the meeting alleged to be in violation of 15 16 this Act or, if facts concerning the meeting are not discovered within the 60-day period, within 60 days of the discovery of a 17 violation by the State's Attorney or, if the person timely 18 19 files a request for review under Section 3.5, within 60 days of the decision by the Attorney General to resolve a request for 20 21 review by a means other than the issuance of a binding opinion under subsection (e) of Section 3.5. 22
- 23 Records that are obtained by a State's Attorney from a

- 1 public body for purposes of reviewing whether the public body
- 2 has complied with this Act may not be disclosed to the public.
- 3 Those records, while in the possession of the State's Attorney,
- 4 are exempt from disclosure under the Freedom of Information
- 5 Act.
- 6 (b) In deciding such a case the court may examine in camera
- 7 any portion of the minutes of a meeting at which a violation of
- 8 the Act is alleged to have occurred, and may take such
- 9 additional evidence as it deems necessary.
- 10 (c) The court, having due regard for orderly administration
- and the public interest, as well as for the interests of the
- 12 parties, may grant such relief as it deems appropriate,
- 13 including granting a relief by mandamus requiring that a
- 14 meeting be open to the public, granting an injunction against
- 15 future violations of this Act, ordering the public body to make
- 16 available to the public such portion of the minutes of a
- meeting as is not authorized to be kept confidential under this
- 18 Act, or declaring null and void any final action taken at a
- 19 closed meeting in violation of this Act.
- 20 (d) The court may assess against any party, except a
- 21 State's Attorney, reasonable attorney's fees and other
- 22 litigation costs reasonably incurred by any other party who
- 23 substantially prevails in any action brought in accordance with
- this Section, provided that costs may be assessed against any
- 25 private party or parties bringing an action pursuant to this
- 26 Section only upon the court's determination that the action is

- 1 malicious or frivolous in nature. As used in this Section,
- 2 "substantially prevails" means: (1) the party obtains some of
- 3 <u>his or her requested relief through a judicial order in his or</u>
- 4 her favor or through any settlement agreement approved by the
- 5 court; or (2) the pursuit of a nonfrivolous claim was a
- 6 catalyst for a unilateral change in position by the opposing
- 7 party relative to the relief sought.
- 8 (Source: P.A. 99-714, eff. 8-5-16.)
- 9 Section 10. The Freedom of Information Act is amended by
- 10 changing Section 11 as follows:
- 11 (5 ILCS 140/11) (from Ch. 116, par. 211)
- 12 Sec. 11. (a) Any person denied access to inspect or copy
- any public record by a public body may file suit for injunctive
- or declaratory relief.
- 15 (a-5) In accordance with Section 11.6 of this Act, a
- 16 requester may file an action to enforce a binding opinion
- issued under Section 9.5 of this Act.
- 18 (b) Where the denial is from a public body of the State,
- 19 suit may be filed in the circuit court for the county where the
- 20 public body has its principal office or where the person denied
- 21 access resides.
- 22 (c) Where the denial is from a municipality or other public
- 23 body, except as provided in subsection (b) of this Section,
- 24 suit may be filed in the circuit court for the county where the

- 1 public body is located.
  - (d) The circuit court shall have the jurisdiction to enjoin the public body from withholding public records and to order the production of any public records improperly withheld from the person seeking access. If the public body can show that exceptional circumstances exist, and that the body is exercising due diligence in responding to the request, the court may retain jurisdiction and allow the agency additional time to complete its review of the records.
    - (e) On motion of the plaintiff, prior to or after in camera inspection, the court shall order the public body to provide an index of the records to which access has been denied. The index shall include the following:
      - (i) A description of the nature or contents of each document withheld, or each deletion from a released document, provided, however, that the public body shall not be required to disclose the information which it asserts is exempt; and
      - (ii) A statement of the exemption or exemptions claimed for each such deletion or withheld document.
  - (f) In any action considered by the court, the court shall consider the matter de novo, and shall conduct such in camera examination of the requested records as it finds appropriate to determine if such records or any part thereof may be withheld under any provision of this Act. The burden shall be on the public body to establish that its refusal to permit public

- inspection or copying is in accordance with the provisions of this Act. Any public body that asserts that a record is exempt from disclosure has the burden of proving that it is exempt by clear and convincing evidence.
  - (g) In the event of noncompliance with an order of the court to disclose, the court may enforce its order against any public official or employee so ordered or primarily responsible for such noncompliance through the court's contempt powers.
  - (h) Except as to causes the court considers to be of greater importance, proceedings arising under this Section shall take precedence on the docket over all other causes and be assigned for hearing and trial at the earliest practicable date and expedited in every way.
  - (i) If a person seeking the right to inspect or receive a copy of a public record prevails in a proceeding under this Section, the court shall award such person reasonable attorney's fees and costs. In determining what amount of attorney's fees is reasonable, the court shall consider the degree to which the relief obtained relates to the relief sought. As used in this Section, "prevails" means: (1) the party obtains some of his or her requested relief through a judicial order in his or her favor or through any settlement agreement approved by the court; or (2) the pursuit of a nonfrivolous claim was a catalyst for a unilateral change in position by the opposing party relative to the relief sought. The changes contained in this subsection apply to an action

15

22

23

24

25

- filed on or after January 1, 2010 (the effective date of Public Act 96-542).
- (j) If the court determines that a public body willfully 3 and intentionally failed to comply with this Act, or otherwise 4 5 acted in bad faith, the court shall also impose upon the public body a civil penalty of not less than \$2,500 nor more than 6 7 \$5,000 for each occurrence. In assessing the civil penalty, the 8 court shall consider in aggravation or mitigation the budget of 9 the public body and whether the public body has previously been 10 assessed penalties for violations of this Act. The court may 11 impose an additional penalty of up to \$1,000 for each day the 12 violation continues if:
- 13 (1) the public body fails to comply with the court's order after 30 days;
  - (2) the court's order is not on appeal or stayed; and
- 16 (3) the court does not grant the public body additional
  17 time to comply with the court's order to disclose public
  18 records.
- The changes contained in this subsection made by Public Act 96-542 apply to an action filed on or after January 1, 2010 (the effective date of Public Act 96-542).
  - (k) The changes to this Section made by this amendatory Act of the 99th General Assembly apply to actions filed on or after the effective date of this amendatory Act of the 99th General Assembly.
- 26 (Source: P.A. 99-586, eff. 1-1-17; 99-642, eff. 7-28-16.)