

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

Speaker McPike: "The House will come to order. The Chaplain for today is Reverend John Lambert of Faith Temple African Methodist Episcopal Church. Reverend Lambert is the guest of Representative Mike Curran. The guests in the balcony may wish to rise for the invocation."

Reverend John Lambert: "Let us pray. Oh gracious God, our eternal heavenly Father, we come before You once again asking that you allow the opening of one more session of the Illinois House of Representatives. Oh God, You have been partisan before, and You have answered before. But Lord, this occasion, we're asking Your blessings beyond just the opening of this session. Your blessings are needed as the great issues of this state from Chicago to Cairo are wheeled in and laid before these men and women who are elected to make wise choices. Oh God, Your blessings are needed and it does not appear that they're clear cut, right and wrong sides to the issues that they must face. Oh God, Your blessings are needed when pressures are brought to bear from the very special interests for selfish reasons. And then Lord, Your blessings are still needed when oh gracious God, it appears that rhyme and reason and common sense, and compassion find themselves taking a back seat to greed and selfishness and personal interest in politics. Oh gracious God, bless every conversation and every Committee, and every gathering that evolves from their coming together in these chambers. And then, Lord, we ask You to bless them when they go home. Bless them when they leave this place. Cover them with the precious blood and the precious love that started flowing 2,000 years ago at the cross of calvary. Oh Lord, we're saying that they can not make it without You. So all of these blessings, we ask in the mighty precious name of

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

Jesus, our Lord and Savior. Amen."

Speaker McPike: "We will be led in the Pledge of Allegiance by Representative Ropp."

Ropp - et al: "I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United States of America and to the Republic for which it stands, one Nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all."

Speaker McPike: "Roll Call for Attendance. Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, the good news is Representative Farley went home yesterday, and is recovering from his surgery, doing real well. He is the only excused absence."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Piel. Or Representative Kubik."

Kubik: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Let the record reflect that there are no Republican absences today."

Speaker McPike: "Thank you. Take the record, Mr. Clerk. 117 Members answering in the Roll Call, a quorum is present. House Bills, Third Reading. Education. House Bill 3119, Representative Parcells. House Bill 4180. This is Education. Representative Shaw. Representative Shaw or Representative Parcells here? Out of the record. Representative Matijevich for what reason do you rise?"

Matijevich: "I have House Bill 3421 on Second that I'd like to move on Education, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker McPike: "Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3421, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1 offered by Representative Santiago."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Speaker, I had a discussion with the Miguel Santiago

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

and he said that it would be alright to table his Amendment, it's a vehicle Bill for the Illinois Bell Ed...Education Association. So I would move to table Amendment #1."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 be tabled?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the Amendments tabled. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. House Bill 613, Representative McGann. House Bill 1222, Representative Curran. House Bill 1382, Representative Cowlishaw. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1382, a Bill for an Act to amend the Public Community College Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1 was withdrawn. Floor Amendment #2 offered by Representative Cowlishaw."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Cowlishaw."

Cowlishaw: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Floor Amendment #2 simply brings the community colleges into the same provisions of the Purchasing Act as the provisions now apply to public universities. And I move for its adoption."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yes, the Lady presented this Amendment before, took it out of the record so that we could review it. Her analysis this time is absolutely accurate. It does simply that. The only cause anyone should give to this, is that perhaps the...the current law that deals with the requirements of bidding when applied to the state university system is not a good idea. It's true that these folks at the public community colleges just want to be

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

treated the same way as the state universities, but I want you to know that if you review this, what we're doing here, it's pretty dramatic. And I know that it's already the law for state universities, but there...you totally eliminate requirement for competitive bidding. There's no caps on them. Some of these purchases could be millions of dollars, the way I would read it, including software and services for telecommunications equipment, duplicating machines, and supplies, etc. I think we should adopt the Amendment, but then on Third Reading, have people just be aware of what it is their voting for."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall the Amendment be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. House Bill 2874, Representative Shaw. House Bill 3067, Representative Turner. House Bill 3146, Representative Curran. House Bill 3989, Representative Hicks. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk. Out of the record. House Bill 4023, Representative Turner, Human Services, Third Reading. House Bill 975, Representative Currie. House Bill 1651, Representative Younge. 1656, Representative Younge. Do you want to call either of these Bills? Representative? Neither Bill? No, Third Reading. House Bill 3058, Representative Cullerton. 3121, Representative Curran. 3318, Representative Currie. Representative Currie."

Currie: "I ask to bring the Bill back to Second Reading for purposes of an Amendment."

Speaker McPike: "Does the Lady have leave. Hearing no objections, the Bill is on Second Reading. Are there any Amendments?"

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1 offered by Representative Currie."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Currie."

Currie: "Amendment 1 would substantially decrease the cost of the Bill as originally introduced. The Bill had to do with winter clothing need among public aid recipients. And the purpose of the Amendment is to ask that the department include winter clothing needs in it's calculation of the cost of living for public aid recipients when it sets the standard of living which we match the appropriations we offer. So the point is..."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall the Amendment be adopted?' All in favor say...Representative Black."

Black: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I'm not sure that our file and your explanation are in sync, I'd like to ask a question if I could. Representative, our file indicates Amendment #1 to the Bill in question deletes everything in the Bill and adds language dealing with the department furnishing school age children special clothing needs. Is that indeed what the Amendment does?"

Currie: "What the Amendment does is to ask...it deletes entirely the Bill as originally introduced which would have asked for a winter clothing allowance for public aid recipients. The Amendment says that the department shall consider the cost of winter clothing, special needs for youngsters when it sets the state standard of need against which we calculate our support for public aid recipients."

Black: "Right. I ad...bear with me here, it's a little early in the morning. It appears to me that the language says they shall include, in other words..."

Currie: "Shall give some emphasis in their establishment of the standard of need to this item, just as right now the language in that same section directs the department to pay

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

attention to special needs of the elderly, the disabled and so forth. The point of the Amendment is to say we should look at issues of winter clothing, but the effect of the Amendment is to leave the Bill with no fiscal impact."

Black: "Alright, that...that is what I want to focus on, because as I recall the Bill required the provision that winter clothing assistance would be up to \$150 per calendar year. Is that correct?"

Currie: "That's what the Bill initially called for."

Black: "Alright, now Amendment #1 has taken that out and has language now saying the department shall include recognition of the special clothing needs of school age children, occasioned by cold weather conditions during the winter season. So it's a kind of an open ended Bill now, right."

Currie: "Well, the section that this Amendment touches is the section in which the department establishes the state standard of need. The department does that on an annual basis, Representative, and the legislative directive includes...requests to the department to take into consideration as it establishes the standard of need, a variety of considerations. This adds the consideration of winter clothing for children to the variety of items the department looks at when it establishes the state standard of need. But as you know, the state standard of need has no impact on appropriations."

Black: "Alright, now, but it's only school aged children that the Bill's addressing? It doesn't..."

Currie: "The Amendment we adopted would ask the department to pay particular attention to winter clothing needs among school age children."

Black: "And this...this Amendment then, won't do any thing should it pass to effect the families eligibility for food stamps

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

or any other entitlement."

Currie: "No, no, nothing."

Black: "So, in effect the Amendment has changed the Bill, but still has the provision of winter clothing in...in what you're doing in Amendment 1. Correct."

Currie: "The Bill is drastically changed by this Amendment. All the...all the would do if this Amendment is adopted is direct some attention by the department to the issue of winter clothing needs among school aged children when it establishes the state standard of need."

Black: "Okay, just one last question, I appreciate your patience. Since you've obviously changed, as you said, to a considerable extent the underlying Bill, is the department on board with your Amendment or have they not seen it or...?"

Currie: "We filed the Amendment yesterday, I haven't talked to the department since then, but really the effort in the Amendment is to decrease the cost of the Bill as originally introduced down to zero."

Black: "Okay, thank you very much, Representative. I appreciate your patience."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall the Amendment be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. Oh, I'm sorry. Representative, there's a fiscal note request filed now. So, the Bill will be on Second Reading. House Bill 3347, Representative Lou Jones. House Bill 3483, 3515, Representative Lou Jones. House Bill 3561, Representative Jessie White. Third Reading. 3561. House Bill 35..3600, Representative White. No. House Bill 3702, Representative Homer. 3958,

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

Representative Bowman. 4008, Representative LeFlore. Read the...do you want to have the Bill, Sir? Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk. Four..."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 4008, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act concerning enforcement of affirmative action. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments. Oh, House Bill 4008, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act concerning enforcement of affirmative action. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Representative LeFlore."

LeFlore: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 408...4008 amends the Human Rights Acts and Civic Administrative Code, requiring that state agencies who fail to meet their affirmative action goals, in their establishing programs, this Bill will give some direction on training and promotion."

Speaker McPike: "Take the Bill out of the record, Mr. Clerk. Is that alright? House Bills on Human Services, Second Reading. 3317, Representative Shaw. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3317, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation to emergency medical services. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker McPike: "Any Amendments filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed. No Floor Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. House Bill 3565, Representative Currie. This is on Second Reading. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3565, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Public Aid Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Any Floor Amendments?"

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1 offered by Representative Stephens - Weller."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Stephens...Mr. Stephens. Turn him on, Sir."

Stephens: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker. This is an Amendment to genuinely improve the Bill. This is an issue that's been before us before. The Amendment simply prohibits receipt of public assistance benefits for person 18 years or older, unless the person provides proof that at the time of application of benefits they've graduated from high school or the equivalency, or they're enrolled in an instructional program that leads to graduation from high school or it's equivalent. Or they're incapable of either of the above, either physically or mentally, or that they're also incapable of successfully completing high school or it's equivalent. Or whether there's, if the program such as the above are unavailable in the area in which they reside. Mr. Speaker, this is an idea we call Learn Fair, you've heard it before. It simply sends a message to all Illinoisans, that what we expect if you come to the state for public assistance is some effort on your part to participate in a program that helps you gain at least the equivalency of a high school education. There's absolutely ludicrous for us to think that you can work your way off of welfare without an education. The basic building block, one of the basic requirements for hiring, no matter where you go, if you're 18 years old, and you go to McDonalds, and you want a job one of the first things they ask for, is they want to know if you graduated from high school. So, let's make a requirement that at least, if you...no matter what the circumstances, if you are physically and mentally capable, and only those persons, that you're at least working toward a high school equivalency. I just can't

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

imagine that that's not part of the system now. I think it sends a strong positive message to those people in Illinois that we want to work with them and and we want to help them. But we want them to help themselves, too. So, I move for the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 3565.

I'd be glad to answer any questions."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Williams."

Williams: "Yes, will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker McPike: "Yes."

Williams: "Representative Stephens, is this not...did you not have this Amendment that you have now, was it not in the form of a Bill previously?"

Stephens: "In one form or another we've discussed learn fair on several occasions. I have sponsored a Bill similar to this, and as have other Legislators. Yes."

Williams: "Have you also heard of a program called Probation Challenge. It says that you gotta have, in order to get probation, allow a person to get a high school education to which most people have voted against, it's been vetoed, and we have not been able to get the support for."

Stephens: "I'm not intimately familiar with that program."

Williams: "Well, the reason why I bring it up is because I want to point out the contradictions of what you speak. In the first instance, you say that in order for us give assistance a person must have these various qualifications, in spite of the fact, that the individual to question when it comes to public aid as in a humane society, are we going to supply some level of assistance to people in need. To determine that we are as a policy, we can and if you want to say, as a matter of dictate right. We want to dictate what this person does, what this person thinks, this person might be in high school, might be a laborer, might have had a job for a long time, might have lost that job without

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

getting a high school education fifty, sixty year old man or fifty, sixty year old woman trying to make a living. And so all of a sudden, she's just trying to get by, she's finding herself for no reason out of a job, on welfare, without a high school education. We deny her or him any sort of rights, on the opposite side of it, when we said that a person in prison should bring himself up as a condition of probation, and that we should fund this program, you say no. We should go ahead throw him into prison and spend \$17,000 a year, keeping him in prison. What we're talking about is having a level of subsistence to an individual without a need. Specifically, forget the questions, to the Bill, to the Amendment. This, quite honestly, is just an evil attempt, evil, that's right, evil attempt, mean spirited, misdirected, low down, this particular Amendment has only one purpose, we know what that purpose is. It is to do, what you want to do, is just basically remove any assistance. We say that if a person can't make it in our society, we can't farm like we used to in the old days, if you put in the city and you stuck there without a job, you can't go out and just find one. And because you can't find one because you don't happen to have the...how would I say, the good fortune of an education, you just going to cut them off. Let's be real. Let's just be real. We know that we can not do this without legally and morally hurting people. I urge that we vote this Amendment down and go on with the business of the House and leave this evil, mean spirited, low down thing where it is under the table."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Weller."

Weller: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. A question for the Sponsor. Representative, getting back to the Amendment and the intent of your Amendment. Is there currently no

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

requirement that to seek public aid that you do not have to be working on a high school diploma or have a high school diploma?"

Stephens: "That's true, there's only...all you have to do is present yourself and have a need. And public aid's always perceived to be a temporary assistance until you can find a job. What is the basic requirement for any job in the work force today? I think it's rather clear in our history in America, that certainly in the last fifty to one hundred years a high school diploma is the basic requirement for employment for employment in America."

Weller: "The objectors to your Amendment seem to say that it's going to be difficult for someone on public aid to obtain a high school diploma if they haven't already done it. Are there ways to achieve a high school diploma or GED through the mail or any local programs usually in most communities to do that?"

Stephens: "I think that the educational systems in America have gone a long way toward providing nontraditional methods for gaining an equivalent to the high school equivalent high school diploma. There's no doubt about that."

Weller: "Thank you, Representative. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise in support of the Amendment. This is a very simple concept, something that everyone can understand. As Representative Stephens has pointed out, public aid is not meant to be a way of life, public aid is meant to be temporary assistance while you're in between jobs. Basically what this Amendment does, it's a fiscally conservative approach to public aid. It says we want you to be working to improve yourself while we're providing tax payer finance assistance to you temporarily. What we're asking you to do, if you don't have a high school diploma, is to be working to obtain one. You can obtain that sort of

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

assistance, you can be working on a GED through the mail. If you have children, you're at home, you could be working on a GED and working for that high school diploma through the mail. Ladies and Gentlemen, this is a good Amendment. It's going to save the tax payers millions of dollars. I ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Currie."

Currie: "Representative Stephens, your Amendment would apply not just to youths, not just to people who are 19, or 20, or 21, but would apply to anybody on public aid regardless to age. Is that right?"

Stephens: "In it's current form, it applies to anyone."

Currie: "To the Amendment, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. This Amendment may make headlines back in Representative Stephens' home district, but it does not make good public policy sense. The effort here is to kill the Bill, not in any way to improve it. What this Amendment would do, is to say that anybody of any age a 58 year old, a 65 year old who may have gainfully employed through much of his or her life who has now fallen upon hard times, can't get public aid unless that individual goes back to high school. Well, come on, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Chamber, what could be sillier, what could be more absurd. The effort here is to make a point, not to make public policy. And were this Amendment adopted, and were this Bill to pass, we would jeopardize all federal financial participation in our entire aid to families with dependent children program. Recognize the Amendment for what it is. What it is is absurd, is silly, is meant to make a point for a soap box, not to make a point for sound public policy for people who are dependent on public welfare. I urge defeat of Amendment 1."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Stephens, do you want to close?"

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

Stephens: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker. I just...I'm amazed that you would think that it's silly to urge anyone in America to gain a high school equivalency or high school diploma. I just think that, you know, maybe you're right this is just a message and as far as public policy goes, I think public policy should reflect the values that you were raised in. I know when I was growing up in Caseyville, five children in our family, one of the things we heard constantly was stay in school, stay in school. You just can't get ahead. And not everybody in my family graduated from high school. My older brothers and sisters didn't have the opportunity and they took advantage of the jobs that were available when they were growing up in the early fifties, there were jobs for them. But as we grew up in the sixties, my brother and I, we realized as the last two children in the family, that there was no way we could succeed in life without that basic building block, the high school diploma. If you think that this is an insult to anyone you're wrong. This is a chance to send a message to Illinoisans, that we understand the value of the high school diploma. That's all it is. It's not erroneous, Representative Williams. This is not mean spirited. This is a simple basic value that I believe in and I wish you would embrace the idea. Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall the Amendment be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'nos' have it and the Amendment is defeated. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2 offered by Representative Pedersen."

Speaker McPike: "Representative...is it with a 'd' or 't'? Representative Pedersen."

Pedersen: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

House. Amendment #2 addresses a fundamental problem with almost all government programs. Since I've been on the Citizens Council and public aid, of course, I've become more interested in public aid issues. And what this Amendment does, is provide that on any pilot or demonstration program that what we do is really apply the scientific method to determining what the results of the program are. I think there is a great need in all of government to have in someway, of scientifically determining whether or not the program actually works, whether we're getting any results. And this is just designed to talk...to address pilot and programs and demonstration projects so that we will...we will know what's happening. The methodology of the study shall consist of a controlled experiment, we're talking about scientific experiment here, in which the population eligible for or volunteering to participate in the program or project, is separated into two groups by random selection. An experimental group which participates in the program or project, and a control group which does not. And that my...that folks is the kind of thing you get in the Scientific Methods 101 back in school. This is something we should have been doing. It really gives us a precise method of determining results and so I recommend an 'aye' vote."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Currie."

Currie: "Thank...thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I rise in opposition to the Gentlemen's Amendment. He offered this as a Bill in House Human Services Committee. The Bill was soundly defeated for two reasons. First, either what he's asking for we already do, when there are pilot projects, when there are demonstrations. We try at the end to evaluate those programs to see if they made the

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

difference that led to their introduction in the first place, precisely what he's asking for here. Secondly, there's a risk with legislation like this that we would end up denying people basic benefits which would jeopardize federal financial participation, jeopardize our ability to capture federal dollars for our public aid program. If this is a good idea, it's a better idea for DCCA than it is for the Illinois Department of public aid, and I would ask my colleagues to join me in defeating this Amendment to House Bill 3565."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Pedersen to close."

Pedersen: "Well, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this did...this...there was a Bill in Committee at the end of session. There was barely a quorum there, so it was hardly what you'd call a review by the full Committee. The fact is I had a majority of votes on the...on the Bill, so you know to the extent there was anybody there, it did pretty well. All we're saying is that this is something that needs to be done, assuming the Department of Public Aid is always...already doing it's own evaluating. Well, all we're trying to suggest is that they use a scientific method in evaluating. And so, if that's the case, we're really improving the methods that they're using already. As far as a danger of losing people on the pilot project, that seems hardly too persuasive. And all I would recommend folks, is that what we're talking about is something that would help people on public aid it, would help the poor. It would certainly make our evaluations more precise and more scientific. And this is a great step forward. So I urge on all sides of the...this House an 'aye' vote on this Amendment #2."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #2 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'nos'

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

have it and Amendment's defeated. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, offered by Representative Currie."

Currie: "Withdraw. Leave to withdraw Amendment 3."

Speaker McPike: "Amendment #3 is withdrawn. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #4 offered by Representative Currie."

Currie: "Leave to withdraw."

Speaker McPike: "The Lady withdraws Amendment #4."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #5 offered by Representative Currie."

Currie: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. Amendment #5 would substantially rewrite the Bill as originally introduced. The Amendment reflects agreement between the welfare advocates in the Department of Public Aid, so that the support services that are available in our project 'Chance' program would be reflected in the legislation that is the Amendment, Amendment 5. So that there would be no additional cost of this program then those that are presently born by the department under present rules and regulations. I'd be happy to answer questions and would appreciate your support for Amendment 5."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Piel."

Piel: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Would the Lady yield for a question?"

Speaker McPike: "Yes."

Piel: "Barbara, the one question we have, is if this an agreed language. I know the department is working with legal aid. And they were trying to work out some agreed language."

Currie: "Yes, that's what I just said. Yes."

Piel: "This is the agreed language that the two worked out."

Currie: "Yes."

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

Piel: "Thank you very much."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall the Amendment be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment #5 is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Further Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "There is a fiscal note request. It's a fiscal note requested as amended. Mr. Clerk, is it filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The fiscal note is not filed."

Speaker McPike: "Representative, do you have the fiscal note or shall we move ahead? Fiscal note is filed. Third Reading. House Bill 3567, Representative Williams. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3567, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Public Aid Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1 offered by Representative B. Pedersen."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Pedersen."

Pedersen: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I mean we just voted on this on 3565, and I forgot to ask for a Roll Call vote. So this will give us an opportunity to do that Mr. Speaker, I do ask for a Roll Call vote. And Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, we're talking about measuring results, we're talking about finding out whether programs actually work. It applies to pilot programs and it's a great improvement to the process, and I recommend an 'aye' vote, and I request a Roll Call vote."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Williams."

Williams: "Yes, I rise in opposition to this Amendment. We just defeated it on the last Bill. And in essence, it calls for

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

a study which we don't feel is appropriate at this time, or efficient or cost effective. I ask that we...stand in opposition to this Amendment, and I ask the Body to reject it."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 be adopted?' All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Amendment, there are 47 'ayes', and 59 'nos', the Amendment's defeated. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment 2 offered by Representative Williams."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Williams."

Williams: "Out of the record."

Speaker McPike: "Do you want this withdrawn?"

Williams: "Withdrawn, that's what I meant. Right, excuse me. Withdrawn."

Speaker McPike: "Alright, Amendment #2 is withdrawn. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3 offered by Representative Williams."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Williams."

Williams: "Withdraw."

Speaker McPike: "The Amendment's withdrawn. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #4 offered by Representative Williams."

Williams: "Yes, Amendment #4 is the product of negotiations between the department and the Work Welfare Coalitions and the Legal Assistance Foundations. It basically removes the aspect that would have affected the cost or put a cost into the legislation. And basically it just cleans up those aspects of the Project Chance program, and where the agreement has been had. And I respectfully urge the

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

adoption of Amendment #4."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #4 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. Human Services, Third Reading. House Bill 1651, Representative Wyvetter Younge. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1651, a Bill for an Act to create the Urban Civilian Youth Services to address the problems of unemployment among youths in urban areas. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Younge."

Younge: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 1651 would create the Urban Civilian Youth Service. This Bill provides that the Department of Commerce and Community Affairs would report on urban youth unemployment and design a program for such persons. We're basically talking about youth between the ages of 14 and 19 or 20. We're talking about the DCCA making a study of what the nature and extent of youth unemployment is, what is the level of the crisis, and coming back with a design of a program. The fiscal note for this Bill is \$245,000. Last year it was 150,000 and before...and the year prior to that it was a 100,000. The request is only that DCCA design a program for a problem that is multiplying and increasing. Youths that are unemployed are unoccupied and are idle, they tend to move towards drug addition, vandalism, and participation of an underground economy that is antisocial. We have a situation in Illinois and in our country in which we do not have jobs for people. In large numbers they are standing around not working, and they ought to be involved in what

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

President George Bush talks about a service. Most of us who work as adults, work because we can be a part of the main, that we can contribute something to our society. We have developed a pattern in America that we have no work for our young people. We leave them unoccupied, and we leave them subjected to the whims of very antisocial conduct. The East St. Louis population, for example, half the population is under 18 years of old...of age, and many of the kid...young people now are just standing around. We, as a state, provide general assistance and aid to dependent children, as you know, I have been trying to move away from aid to dependent children into private, gainful employment. The way to do that is to change the benefits from ADC to giving young people a paycheck as a result of their being in a youth...Urban Youth Service. The way to do that is to give them educational opportunities, give them training, give them attitudes and value sharing experiences in the real work world. I'm saying to you that rather than this ending up being an expense to the State of Illinois, it will be a savings because we will be getting people off of public aid, into private gainful employment. I think we have a duty, I think we have an unfulfilled duty to our young people who are rapidly dropping out of school because they are unengaged and disengaged and feeling very antisocial because we, as a society, have not provided a work experience. Work is what makes us feel that we are worth while, work is what is live to do, and we ought to include our young people. And all this Bill does is say to DCCA, we want you. It is the public policy of this state. We want you, to come up with a program for these urban youths so that they can be of service to our state rather than to be a problem. I urge your support for this matter, and I urge the adoption of this Bill."

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

Speaker McPike: "Representative Doederlein."

Doederlein: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Representative yield for a question?"

Younge: "Certainly."

Doederlein: "Is this Urban Civilian Youth Service to be a service for every youth in the State of Illinois?"

Younge: "The Bill requests that the Department of Commerce and Community Affairs design a program recommendation for the Governor and for the General Assembly. In other words, it calls for DCCA to study the nature and the extent of the problem. How many youth do we have that are going towards bad conduct? How many youths are unemployed? And then there should be designed a small pilot program to begin a direction of putting...occupying the minds and hands and hearts of our young people who are presently unemployed, Representative Doederlein."

Doederlein: "It would be a youth program for the entire state."

Younge: "Absolutely."

Doederlein: "Don't we have those figures now? It's a gathering of figures is what I understand this is, and \$240,000 for gathering of figures which I think we already have."

Younge: "The fiscal note is \$240,000, but just as all fiscal note, it's about 10 times as to what is actually needed. And I would assume that if the state and if DCCA had the fix...figures and they knew how serious this problem is, particularly in urban areas, that they would have acted on it. We have the responsibility as the General Assembly to set policy. We need those figures, we need to know what exactly what is the nature and extent of the problem and the effort here is to set policy by asking DCCA to come up with a...the figures, and a program design as to how we can put urban youth into a service so that they will be engaged in youth development rather than youth crimes."

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

Doederlein: "Well, I think we know how to put youth to work and that's leaving the business do that. And if we continually tax people for studies and it doesn't get to the help for the people, then we won't be succeeding. And I think that this is just a study Bill. It's just a paper Bill, and I think we need to put this into action rather than just a study."

Younge: "Thank you, Representative Doederlein. I don't believe it's a total a burden of employing unemployed youths can be laying just to the business community. I think that the business community in urban areas is trying to do its best but I don't believe that there is work available for all the youth that are unemployed. That is what the problem is. We, as a society, do not have a sufficient work base. And the question is, to look at what it is that the state can do based on the figures to impact upon this problem and what this Bill does is simply to ask DCCA to study this and come up with a recommendation for a youth employment service."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Black."

Black: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Would the Sponsor yield?"

Younge: "Certainly."

Black: "Representative, you mentioned in your previous comments that there would be a pilot program on this and I don't see that in the Bill. Would that...is that your intent that you have a pilot program."

Younge: "Yes, I believe so. You see, what has to happen is there has to be a survey of the various states. There are about four states that have excellent youth service programs. They have different objectives, like in Texas for example. The purpose is to take children off of public aid and to put them into employment. Other states don't have that as

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

an objective. The objective in California is to straighten up the forest and the state parks. And so what we need is to look at our department, our executive, the...have the Department of Commerce and Community Affairs, look at these various program around the state. And then make recommendations based on goals to the General Assembly. Then I would think that what would happen, as a result of the survey, that there would be a recommendation, not that we start all over the state, we take one or two places, maybe a rural place and a urban place. A small urban place and start with a pilot program, and this is by way of what I think will probably be likely."

Black: "Representative, one further question. Is there a provision, as I read the Bill, this may have to come back to the General Assembly after the directors report, after all of us learn the extent of the problem, and what may be designed to help solve it. It appears that it might have to come back to the General Assembly for either approval or an appropriation. Is that your intent?"

Younge: "That's my intent."

Black: "Alright, I think that's very sound. Thank you very much, Representative. Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, to the Bill, I think the Lady has hit on something that doesn't know the center aisle. I think it perplexes people on both sides of the aisle. She's right. Youth unemployment keeps many of us awake at night. But I would submit to you, we've tried in this country the Comprehensive Employment Training Act. We've tried VISTA, we've tried Job Corps, I don't know that the Lady's Bill will add anything to what's already been tried. It's a problem obviously that she's trying to address. I don't know that this is the best way to address it. I guess people will just have to vote their conscience, but I think

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

all of us in the chamber could say, 'yes, it's a problem. Yes, we need to take a look at it.' I guess you're going to have to look at her Bill and see if you think this solves the problem."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Ropp."

Ropp: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Would the Sponsor yield?"

Younge: "Yes."

Speaker McPike: "Yes."

Ropp: "Representative, I appreciate your concern on all of these efforts, because you truly are one that is attempting to deal with problems that we have in the state. My question to you is, are you aware the programs that JTPA now has operated through DCCA that deal with youth and summer activities?"

Younge: "Yes, I'm aware of JTPA legislation, and I'm aware that 40 percent of those funds were to go to youth exemplary programs. And I'm aware that there has been severe criticism because those programs have not been setup, not only in Illinois, in other places. And I think that in addition to the opportunity, now it probably will be the DCCA will come back and say the Joint Partnership Training Act funds or JTPA can be used as a supplement. There's got to be more work done. And there has got to be a state program that gives direction that gives administration to all those unused JTPA and misdirected JTPA funds, Representative."

Ropp: "Well, I know in my area, we get not an awful lot of dollars, but we do have substantial program that are dealing with exactly what you are attempting to do by this legislation. My concern is, if we already have these programs, why is it that East St. Louis is not a part of these programs? I assumed they were a part of the state, and it would seem to me that if you're not being a part of

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

these programs you ought to check with DCCA and other agencies that are applying these programs through out the state. I know we get at least, I think in Chicago up to \$50 million a year from the federal government just to conduct summer programs for youth. That's just in one area. And I know there are millions of other dollars that are coming in to deal with the very program you're attempting to address. And so I would hope that we not in this Body, continue to add another program after another program to do the same thing that we already have programs doing now. If they're not being adequately conducted within our area, then you ought to check with the director of DCCA, or check with the person responsible for JTPA. Because really we don't need additional programs doing the same thing we're already doing."

Younge: "If I could respond to that."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Younge to close."

Younge: "Thank you very much. The question that is happening, the Youth Conservation Corps Program in Illinois is basically a program involving the state parks. There are no income limitations. Most of the children live around the parks are employed in those programs. There is systemic denial of urban youths, intercity youths. Those youths are not in these programs. There is only about maybe 30 youths in the whole City of Chicago that gets a chance to go the urban parks and participate in that kind of thing. There is a need because of these thousands and thousands and thousands of young people in urban areas for an Urban Civilian Youth Service. And this is the reason I am asking that this study be made, and that the report be made directly to us, the Members of the General Assembly who make policy about these questions. And I appreciate your support on this program."

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 1651 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Representative Davis to explain her vote."

Davis: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I'm voting 'yes' because even as Representative Ropp stated, there may be a number of programs in existence. The question is, are they effective? And obviously, because of the troubles we are having with our young people, we can see that some of these program are really not as effective as they should or could be. And when you have something that isn't working, it makes sense to look for something new, and to start a different program, rather than to continue with programs that certainly not successful. I urge an 'aye' vote on this Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. Representative Younge to explain her vote."

Younge: "We have to spend about \$20,000 a year for a bed in a penitentiary. These are young people have no direction, have no work that they can perform. We need about five more votes on this Bill. They are moving towards drug addition. They are moving towards the penitentiary. 95 percent of the people that who are in the Illinois Penitentiaries are unemployed youth who are the products of females heads of the households. It is a criminal that we, as a society, do not take this problem and try to work with it from the stand point of providing an educational environment. An environment where youth development and attitude changes come to play."

Speaker McPike: "Cullerton 'aye'. Representative Monroe Flinn for what reason do you rise?"

Flinn: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to vote 'aye'."

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

Speaker McPike: "Vote him 'aye'."

Younge: "It is..."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Mautino 'aye'."

Younge: "We need about three more votes for this."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Krska 'aye'."

Younge: "There was a survey taken of the youths themselves, the most important thing to our young people is to have jobs. How do we expect young people who are really very early adults to buy into our society if we do not provide them with jobs and with work? How is it that we think that we can continue to move far, with not providing the educational programs. And how can we expect them if we do not share the bounty of our society? How can we expect them to...we need one more vote. We need one more vote. Santiago. Representative Santiago. How can we expect them to not to take on narcotics as their chief occupation if we do not provide the youth development and the training."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Younge, I believe that the Chair has been very generous about allowing you to explain your vote for 13 and a half minutes. Now, have all voted? Representative, the board has been closed now for about five minutes, so we have been very generous in allowing a very lengthy explanation of vote. Now, is there any anyone else seeking the Chair to change their vote? Representative Shirley Jones."

Jones, S.: "Mr. Speaker, she only need one more vote, and I'm surprised most of the Democrats that's over here that have voted 'no', should give this Lady at least a chance to vote...to get her Bill out. Let the Governor veto her Bill. Please give her an 'aye' vote."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Richmond would like to vote 'aye'. Representative Richmond votes 'aye'. So there are...yes, Representative Weller. Representative Weller.

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

Mr. Weller. Turn him on please."

Weller: "Please record me as voting 'present'."

Speaker McPike: "Oh, Representative Weller would like to change from 'aye' to 'present'. So on this there are 59 'ayes', and 48 'nos'. And the Lady asks for postpone consideration. House Bill 1656, Representative Younge. Would you like to call that Bill? House Bill 3121, Representative Curran. House Bill 3347, Representative Lou Jones. House Bill 3483, Representative Lou Jones. Is that the one you want to call? Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 3483, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Public Aid Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Jones."

Jones: "Thank you, Mr...Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. This is an agreed Bill between myself and Public Aid and the Citizens Council on Women. It amends the AFDC Article of the Public Aid Code with regards to the speed that benefits are made available to newly released co-offenders, custodial parents, and their children. In other words, it gives the...the prisoner a chance to apply for public aid before they come out of prison so they can have the resources to continue their lively hood within 10 days after release of the AFDC eligible applicants. And I ask for a favorable vote."

Speaker McPike: "And on the Bill, Representative Wojcik."

Wojcik: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. The Bill has been agreed on both sides of the aisle, so I would urge its passage."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 3483 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Bill there are 109 'ayes', 1 'no'. House Bill 3483 having received a Constitutional Majority is

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

hereby declared passed. House Bill 3515, Representative Lou Jones. Representative Jones..House Bill 3561, Representative White. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 3561, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Public Aid Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Representative White."

White: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would like to have leave to bring House Bill 3561 back to Second Reading for the purpose of an Amendment."

Speaker McPike: "Is there any objections? Hearing none, the Bill's on Second Reading. Are there any Amendments, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2 is being offered by Representative Jessie White."

Speaker McPike: "Representative White."

White: "Amendment 2 to House Bill 3561 becomes the Bill. It is an agreed agreement. We sat down with the Illinois Public Aid Department and hammered out an agreement that would respond to their concerns and it will allow public aid recipients to become reinstated, and receive their benefits after 10 days rather than 90 days. And so I move for its adoption."

Speaker McPike: "Is there any...Representative Wojcik."

Wojcik: "Would the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker McPike: "Yes."

Wojcik: "Representative, in Amendment 1, you had fraud or abuse mentioned in it, and in Amendment #2 it's not in there. Is there a reason for this?"

Speaker McPike: "Representative White."

White: "They...all we've done was reworded the Bill. The language is just reworked. So it should not...it's on line 16 of the Amendment. I think that would address your concerns."

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

Wojcik: "Otherwise ineligible for benefits? Does that cover for fraud?"

White: "Yes it does. The person is deemed unqualified because of fraud, and that is clearly stated in the Bill."

Wojcik: "It is intended to cover that area. Correct?"

White: "Yes it does."

Wojcik: "Thank you."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall the Amendment be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "There are no further Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. The Gentleman asks leave to hear the Bill on Third Reading at this time. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 3561, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Public Aid Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Representative White."

White: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the Amendment is the Bill. It's a good one. It's one that, as I said earlier, it was worked out with the Illinois Public Aid Department. There is no opposition to it, and I move for its adoption."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Wojcik."

Wojcik: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. I concur with the Sponsor's thoughts. It's a fine Bill, and I do ask that it be accepted."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 3561 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Bill there are 113 'ayes', no 'nays'. House Bill 3561 having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. 3575, Representative Bowman. 3576. 3600, Representative Jessie White. 3600. House

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

Bill 3702, Representative Homer. House Bill 4008. House Bill 4074, Representative Curran. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 4074, a Bill for an Act to amend the Children and Family Services Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Curran."

Curran: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Bill's fairly uncomplicated. It requires the Department of Children and Family Services in those occasions where children in foster homes, to place the children as close as possible to their original home. In those cases, as amended, in those cases where this makes sense with respect to the case plan for the child, and the least restrictive setting, which means most family like. And also to provide the health records of that child to the foster child where those health records are available. As amended, the department is in favor of this Bill, and I'd be glad to answer any questions."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Wojcik."

Wojcik: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. I rise in support of this Amendment. It is...the department will be able to act with an easier attitude, and I urge it's passage."

Speaker McPike: "Representative McCracken."

McCracken: "Just so the record is clear. Amendment #1 which was adopted, now defines least restrictive as most family like, requires proximity rather than the term as close as possible to in reference to the location of the placement. And makes clear that these requirements are in fact required only to the extent they are available and accessible, is that right, Representative Curran? Representative Curran says, yes."

Curran: "Yes, I do. Now that I have a microphone."

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

McCracken: "Thank you."

Speaker McPike" "The question...the question is, 'Shall House Bill 4074 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Bill there are 115 'ayes', no 'nays'. House Bill 4074 having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. 4216, Representative Bowman. Criminal Law. Criminal Law, Third Reading. House Bill 3142, Representative Stange. House Bill 3167, Representative Harris. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 3167, a Bill for an Act to amend the Unified Code of Corrections. Third Reading of the Bill."

Harris: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, 30...House Bill 3167 as amended, provides some extra good time if an inmate achieves an objective or a standard in some course that he is taking. It is geared toward literacy. That was the objective of the Bill. We've done a couple of things to, by way of an Amendment, to make it agreeable to what was heard in Committee. Specifically, we have made the good time credit, which is a 1.25 percent extra increase, we made the good time credit applicable only to the one for one good time, and not to the meritorious 90 day good time. Secondly, we have eliminated the requirement that the inmate read at an eighth grade reading level. The objection was raised and I think it was a reasonable one. That some inmates are not going to be able to get up to an eighth grade reading level, and therefore we eliminated that requirement. It address a problem which is severe. We know that our prisons are becoming overcrowded. We know that we can build new prisons at great expense, or we can put the people back on the streets. Which we do perhaps too easily. And this

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

Bill allows them to gain a little extra good time, but they have to achieve an objective. It just doesn't accrue to them automatically, one for one or through meritorious good time. They've got to achieve an objective. We've allowed the Department of Corrections to set the rules and regulations as to specifically what those objectives have to be, but they must achieve an objective. We are holding out a carrot and we are saying, if you do this, then we will grant you a little bit of extra good time. I think it's a good idea. I think it addresses a very serious problem. I think it helps the State of Illinois. I think it helps the inmates. And I ask for your favorable vote."

Speaker McPike: "Does anyone stand in opposition to the Bill? The question is, 'Shall House Bill 3167 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Bill there are 109 'ayes', no 'nays', 7 voting 'present'. House Bill 3167 having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 3523, Representative Williams. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill..."

Speaker McPike: "3523."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 3523, a Bill for an Act to amend the Code of Criminal Procedure. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Williams."

Williams: "Thank you. House Bill 3523 was a Bill submitted on behalf of the Appellate Defenders office. It does three basic things. It conforms the number of peremptory challenges allowed to that...that was stated by the Supreme Court rules. Number two, it amends the jurisdiction of the Office of the State Appellate Defender to felony cases only. And that's based upon the current back log of nearly

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

1,000 cases. And thirdly, what was amended to provide in the Office of State Appellate Defender Act for training and assistance to be offered through the newly created post conviction resource center which was created last year. The phrase collateral proceeding is used since the resource center also received some...this basically allows the public defender officer to train attorneys to deal with collateral proceedings as required by the resource center, which we passed last year. So, I don't believe that there's any real opposition to this legislation that I know of. It's mostly clean up, and I would ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker McPike: "Any opposition to the Bill. The question is, 'Shall House Bill 3523 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Bill 113 'ayes', and no 'nays'. House Bill 3523 having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 3527, Representative Parke. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 3527, a Bill for an Act to revise the law. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Parke."

Parke: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Can I return this to Second Reading for the purposes of an Amendment, please?"

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman asks leave to return the Bill to Second Reading. Are there any objections? Hearing none, the Gentleman has leave. The Bill's on Second Reading. Mr. Clerk, are there any Amendments?"

Parke: "Mr. Clerk, I believe we have Amendment #1. Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1 offered by Representative Countryman - Parke."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Countryman."

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

Countryman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Floor Amendment 1 rises out of the discussions that we had on this Bill in Committee. The underlying Bill will make it a crime for anyone, not just a person who has insurance to defraud an insurance company. In the Amendment what we're doing, deleting the words that it would be crime for somebody to make the statement that the injuries or the extent of those injuries to himself. So in essence, so if somebody's making a claim about injuries such as a lawyer, he can't be charged with a crime if he says that my client has a bad neck. The other things are we changed the penalty section that the court can impose from a fine in an amount equal to the amount of the fraud into a fine in the amount up to the amount of the fraud, rather than mandating it as now a promissory. And I would move it's adoption."

Speaker McPike: "And on the Amendment, Representative Homer."

Homer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Gentleman yield? Representative Countryman, this was the Bill in Committee that caused a concern because it would purport to impose criminal sanctions on people who misrepresent the extent of their injuries as a result of the accident. And the Committee was concerned that wa a matter that is subject to honest difference of opinion, and we had raised that concern. Now, does your Amendment...how does it address that concern?"

Countryman: "It deletes the section of the language in the Bill that's #4 of the underlying Bill, which reads as follows: the injuries or the extent of those injuries to himself or another person. So under the Bill then, it would be a fraudulent act if you misrepresented that you were injured in an accident in which you were not injured, or that a lawyer would...or anybody would represent that somebody was

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

injured in an accident in which there were no injuries. But when they represent somebody who was injured, the puffing, if you will, of the injury then could not be the subject of criminal action."

Homer: "Okay, that...that...that Amendment does take care of the concerns, Mr. Speaker, and I would support the Amendment and the Bill as amended."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Countryman to close."

Countryman: "Ask for your favorable vote."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "There are no further Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. Representative Parke."

Parke: "Yes, Mr. Chairman...Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would like it now to be called for Third Reading."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman would like leave to call the Bill at this time for Third Reading. Leaves granted. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 3527, a Bill for an Act to revise an Act according to the law. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Parke."

Parke: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, it is not uncommon for us to be watching TV, or seeing on the...reading in the newspaper about a fraudulent ring trying to take advantage of a...fraudulating the claims of whether somebody falls in front of a bus or claims they have whiplash in a car accident that was perpetrated falsely. This Bill seeks to expand the current insurance fraud provisions of the Criminal Code to include as an act of fraud against insurance companies. False statements

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

regarding their own or someone else's bodily injury and the extent of such injuries. This Bill also increases the penalties for insurance fraud to include restitution for such injuries and a fine up to the actual defrauding to be paid to the state. This has been a substantial increase in personal injury related insurance fraud. These charges should further reduce this type of fraud. The insurance penalties should add to the discouraging insurance frauds generally. I ask for passage of this worthwhile piece of legislation."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 3527 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Bill there's 112 'ayes', and 1 'no'. House Bill 3527 having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 3812, Representative Curran. Representative Curran. House Bill 3816, Representative Williams. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk. 3816."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 3816, a Bill for an Act to amend the Unified Code of Corrections. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Cur...Williams."

Williams: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 3816 is a Bill that's been discussed around here for some years, Representative Cullerton has carried it in the past. What it does is, it tries to readdress the problem that we found when residential burglary is in state. When we created the code, we made first time offender of residential burglary subject to an automatic mandatory minimum four year prison sentence based upon first time offense. The problem with the Act is that in many instances, the particular...the way the statutes read there are a lot of things that could be included in this particular offense that would not necessarily mandate a prison term. Such things as...and

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

you know to be realistic, to say if a person broke into a garage and stole a lawn mower, that's...that happens. That's technically residential burglary. And if a person was found guilty of it he would be subject to a four year minimum prison sentence. Well, it's been determined or at least it seems to be the general opinion of the...I say the criminal justice community at this time and the Department of Corrections and everyone that's seriously involved, the judges, and everyone that I can think of that we need to do something to address this problem and give some discretion to the jud...judiciary. So what we've done in this Bill, is we have combined the two pieces of legislation, a John Cullerton Bill which would require certain...under certain...that certain conditions be placed upon individuals or certain that would be eligible for this particular relief. Secondly, that they serve a 180 day minimum sentence in a county correctional facility. Third, that restitution be required or at least be allowed. And fourth, that they spend some period of time with a home confinement under intensive probation. This is an attempt to responsibly address a problem that has been discussed in this state for quite some time, and I would urge a favorable consideration of this piece of legislation."

Speaker McPike: "Representative McCracken."

McCracken: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I don't serve on the Judiciary II Committee, but I've seen this before, and I rise in opposition. You know the effect of this will very well be that more youthful offenders may be serving time. I do not believe it's been demonstrated by anybody that the prosecutors are abusing this provision of the law. As a matter of policy, this Body should stand in favor of prison sentencing for residential burglary. Now the enforcement of the law is left to the discretion of the state's

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

attorneys. And the states attorneys are not sending first time people to prison. The states attorneys are reducing the charges, probation if appropriate, things of that nature. This takes a lot of discretion away from the prosecutor as well as the court. Even if the court sentences someone to probation under the Bill, they have to serve at least 180 days. That 180 days will be served in a county jail, which most people consider harder time than in prison. And really is not going to be productive. As a matter of policy we should not be sending out the message that it's okay to commit residential burglary. Period. Period. It is not being abused in its enforcement. And I rise in opposition to this measure."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Well, for what it's worth the previous Speaker is just absolutely incorrect in his understanding as to what's happening. There are states attorneys in this state who do not reduce residential burglary to straight burglary. They do not. In fact in DuPage County, there is a lady who is serving time right now who went back to her apartment that she used to live in because she got divorced, she went back to get her clothes and her former husband had her arrested for residential burglary, and she got...she's a first time offender, never been found guilty, never been charged with any thing. She was found guilty of residential burglary and is serving time in jail. And it's kind of an absurd situation, but the judge had no...no choice in the matter. And even if the states attorneys are reducing the charges, that's not their function. Their job is to decide whether someone should get probation or not. Now, by the way, this is not probation. This Bill does...is...does not give probation. It says they have to go to jail. As far as whether or not it's a county jail or

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

a federal...or I'm sorry a state penitentiaries, that was put in because the fact that we have this over crowding problem in the state penitentiarys. Some of the county jails are not overcrowded, so we figured that would ease our overcrowding situation. So we say that in some rare cases, under a certain age, and no one is home in the...the home, or summer home as some people have been charged with, or attached garages. If you break into an attached garage, if you're 17 years old.

Speaker McPike: "Representative...McAuliffe."

McAuliffe: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Residential burglars enter your home, deserve to go to prison. People who are breaking in garages that are not attached to your houses do not go to prison, that's not residential burglary. If your house is attached to your garage, they break into your garage, they can also break in your house. These type of people ought to go to prison, your home ought to be your castle, you ought to be safe in your home, and you ought to be able to go out of your house and not worry about whose going to break into your house when your not there. When their caught you don't need to give these judges a right to give them a discretion. A lot of the judges are soft on crimes as we know, let's not give them the discretion if they break into your house, they ought to go to jail. Period"

Speaker McPike: "Representative John Dunn."

Dunn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise in support of this legislation this legislation is a good idea, because the entire area residential burglary and home invasion needs some correction obviously, no one wants to condone authorize or permit the intrusion of someones home illegally by another person. That's a given...we all understand that. But the

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

side effects of the statue which we have on the books now...are that if...if some youngster...goes into an attached garage, which has been previously indicated and ...takes a bicycle or takes a six pack of beer or six cans of Coca Cola...breaks in and takes anything. They can wind up in the penitentiary and what commonly happens in spite of, of what our statue and our constitutional provisions imply is that a lot of youngsters even today, are still brought up and taught that honesty is the best policy. So if they get caught doing this, a lot of them will think will it go easier for me, if I just tell the truth and admit what I did. If they admit that they went into an attached garage and took...six cans of Dr. Pepper, six cans of Sprite. Under the current law, the prosecuting authority has no choice. They have clear convincing, they have beyond reasonable doubt, type of evidence in front of them in the form of a confession. How can they reduce a charge when they have that kind of evidence and as one of the previous speakers has indicated you wind up in cases like that with people in our penitentiaries who have no business doing there. Confinement in our penitentiaries should be for violent criminals, and those who are menace to society. We're locking everyone up these days, we can't pay for the people we do have in prison, we can't build the prisons fast enough. This is sound sensible policy. Policy in this area should not be set by State's Attorneys, they should use discretion, policy should be set by us. Our current policy is bad, it needs corrected. This is a good Bill, and I urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Homer."

Homer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield? For Representative Williams, for purpose of legislative intent. I direct your attention to the top of page four of your the

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

Bill. The language there provides that, that there shall be a mandatory sentence of imprisonment for residential burglary, unless the defendant has no prior criminal convictions and I draw your attention to the word convictions which is in the plural. And I would ask you Sir, is it your intention as the Sponsor of this Bill to require there to be more than one prior convictions before this acceptance would take affect?"

Williams: "Absolutely not, I believe that the Bill was only meant, that there have been no prior convictions whatsoever, the convictions is used in plural as a matter of grammar and it also wants you to point out that, because it does give discretion it still does not mean that it is mandatorily to receive 180 days. It's still possible for the judge and the right circumstances to sentence this young man to the four years mandatory minimum, or even whatever the maximum might be under this particular crime. So, no to answer your question, it is not intended to apply when there has been more than one conviction."

Homer: "So, if a individual charged with residential burglary has only one prior criminal conviction that individual would be ineligible for the a probation sentence?"

Williams: "That is correct."

Homer: "Mr. Speaker, to the Bill. I think we all agree that we want to be tough on residential burglar and our current law is not only tough but does not allow a window for a judge to use discretion in that special case, where the circumstances would dictate that a mandatory four years prison sentence would impose an undo hardship or be out of line in the context of what the offense was. The Bill, still has plenty tough, because even where you have a first time offender of seventeen years of age...who has a immaculate spotless record, who for example...entered an

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

attached garage or entered an abandon residence with his older brother...it would provide in that situation, that a judge in lieu sentencing that seventeen year to four years in prison, could as an alternative place him on two years probation with an 180 days in the county jail or Department of Corrections. And there after to participate in an intensive probation program and to make restitution. I don't think anybody we that represent would suggest that as a slap on the wrist. And the problem with just having a hard and fast four year minimum rule for residential burglars is it invites contempt...by the public on our system. When they see people who commit reckless homicide's...where someone commits criminal sexual assaults, where someone commits other very serious crimes get off on probation. And some first time seventeen year old offender, who went into an abandoned or vacant resident with his older brother, be sent away for four years to prison. So if the judge feels that prison is required this Bill allows them to order prison. But if in that special case...those special circumstances which suggest that, that would impose unreasonable penalty then it would allow the court to impose probation, 180 days in jail, intensive probation and restitution, which certainly would be a fully sufficient sentence in those special cases. So I support the Bill, and would urge that we all put up 'green' votes on this Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Williams to close."

Williams: "Okay. Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. We've heard this figure of discussion before, and I just want to make some points as we go through to make sure that everybody is clear. First, of all when we talk about the crime of residential burglary true enough, it goes gambit, it goes from the individual who possibly could break in and hurt

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

people and other things to the attached garage situation. That in end of itself brings about the reason for this particular legislation. Because the law it has such an expansive coverage, and the judges have no discretion whatsoever to act. It's just been an unfair treatment of many individuals whom if the judiciary had to discretion which it was actually created for we may be able to have saved some lives instead of creating greater criminals by sending them to the penitentiary. Secondly, there is this question of judicial versus prosecution discretion. The reality of it all is that these now days the prosecutor in his charging in his role as the charging officer has a discretion to determine who goes, and who doesn't, and in that instances many times it...just unfortunate that if this discretion is abused that many individuals who will end up in prison who shouldn't be there and the rightful discretion should be with the judiciary who is not an advocate one way or the other, but an impartial third party who hears the fact. That individual should be able to waive based upon the facts. Third, I think that you want to remember that this is only discretionary with the judge, he can in fact sentence a person on a first offense to prison, for four years or greater. He can in fact do what your worried about is when a individual who does come in and who has in fact committed a serious crime sentence that individual to a serious penalty that he would deserve. But the other end of it is where he doesn't have the discretion. When a individual doesn't commit a serious crime. when he does commit something that may be more than mischievous, that individual is forced to pleading or at least forced if he is found guilty to serve a prison sentence when he shouldn't. I just want to point out to this Body, that there are a number of individuals who do

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

support this legislation. The 'Safer' Foundation, the States Attorney Appellate Defender Office, The Illinois Justice Fellowship Air Force, the Illinois Attorney for Criminal Justice, the John Howard Association, the Catholic Conference of Illinois, Judge Sullivan, a number of judges, the Chicago Bar Association, the State Bar Association, Legal Women Voters, just a whole list of people...involved with the criminal justice system. Who are intimatey aware of the facts that are taking place with all support of changing this law and with that I ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall House Bill 3816 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', oppose vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Bill there are 64 'aye', and 50 'no', 2 voting 'present'. House Bill 3816 having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared pass. House Bill 3823, Representative Black. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 3823, a Bill for an Act to amend the Juvenile Court Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Black."

Black: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 3823, as amended amends the Juvenile Court Act. It provides that when a minor who is at least fifteen years old and is prosecuted under the Criminal Code. The court may enter an order directing that the juvenile be confined in the county or municipal jail facility, as long as that facility can seperate that juvenile sight and sound, from adult prisoners. The other Amendment exempted Cook County, I'd ask your favorable consideration of House Bill 3823."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman moves for the passage of the Bill. Does any one stand in opposition? Does anyone stand in

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

opposition? Question is, 'Shall House Bill 3823 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', oppose vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk, will take the record. On this Bill there are 117 'ayes', 0 'nay'. House Bill 3823, having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared pass. House Bill 3838, Representative Stern. Read the Bill Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 3838, a Bill for an Act to amend the Unified Code of Corrections. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Stern."

Stern: "Mr. Speaker, may I have leave to take the Bill back to Second Reading for an Amendment."

Speaker McPike: "Would you repeat that?"

Stern: "May I have leave to take the Bill back to Second Reading, for an Amendment."

Speaker McPike: "Yes, Lady ask leave. Are there any objections? Representative Petka do you object? Lady ask leave, leave is granted. The Bill is on Second Reading. Mr. Clerk, are there any Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #8, is being offered by Representative Petka."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Petka."

Petka: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Members of the House, first of all I would like to thank the Lady from Lake for the opportunity to offer Amendment #8. We've been under Criminal Law call now for about an hour. And...with almost without acceptance every single Bill that we have been talking about...various Members of the House have been addressing the issue of over crowding in the prison. And we have passed out legislation, offering additional good time credit, for completion of courses, we have ...attempted to reduce the penalties in residential

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

burglary, to deter people or deflect them from the Department of Corrections. I think though at this point and time that we must take a hard look at realities in the world. An simply this, that the...average person in the State of Illinois may not necessarily agree with the let them lose philosophy. The arguments that have been used by prior speakers not only today, but earlier, we simply cannot afford to build more prisons, and as a result we simply have to look at more constructive ways of releasing people. I would...simply like to offer Amendment #8 to House Bill 3838, as a way of perhaps accommodating all interest. That is simply, that House...Amendment #8 will require that the Department of Corrections utilize some prisoners in building new penitentiaries. I believe there's a three fold advantage to do this, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. First of all, there is a Public perception that...that prisoners are simply whiling away their hours in the Department of Corrections, waiting to be released early. Watching porno flicks at night, assaulting guards, assaulting one another, perhaps by putting them to work and working them hard so at the end of the day they will be more interested in going to sleep, than perhaps sexually assaulting their cell mate. Additionally, the vocational education...which would be a direct result of this will be the best interest of...I believe the society. To teach individuals or non violent offenders a craft or a trade. Additionally, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I think that we will be showing something that is desperately needed to non violent offenders. In many instances who are out stealing, and that is that hard work is necessary in society and that there is a direct necessary relationship between working and eating. We were able to construct the pyramids in

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

Egypt with constrictive labor, from innocent people who were forced against their will. What we're asking here is for prisoners, some prisoners who are not violent offenders, not forceful felonies. To...pay their debt to society in a very meaningful way, these individuals have been found guilty, this would be a very very constructive way of...helping us to...cut back on the amount of financial resource, the amount of money that it will take to build penitentiaries, and let's face it, if they don't build it them no one will, because we simply don't have the money to do it. Rather, than release individuals from prison early, we can take a very small but necessary step towards the construction of facility, to house what unfortunately may be an increase in prisoners over the next several years. I would ask for an 'aye' vote on this, and...Roll Call vote Mr. Speaker."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. It is probably quite ironic that...on my desk Amendment #8, I think the first couple copies of it, the backsheet of it was a blank page. That's probably more than an accident because that's probably really...my summation of what this Amendment is. The Amendment is not an alternative to the good time aspects of this Bill. Now, what Representative Petka is doing, in the Bill, he is saying some prisoners. It doesn't say how many, what it means is that we are going to have prisoners building prisons and those people who are out of prison, those people who work union or non union as the case may be, who are working the tradesmen will be out of a job, because their are prisoners building prisons. I think our first obligation as far as building, no matter what, ought to be those who are gainfully employed. We should not be taking away jobs from those who are working

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

people, by those who are in prison. I think the...the underline Bill is a good Bill. This...this Bill is opposed naturally by the labor people...they oppose this Bill forcefully and...I think the Amendment should have been not only blank on the back, but blank on both pages. Because, that's all it's worth, I urge the defeat of this Amendment."

Speaker McPike: "...Representative Wennlund, Representative Wennlund."

Wennlund: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I reluctantly rise in opposition of this Amendment, because the Amendment will effectively kill Representative Stern's Bill, which has a good purpose and that is giving the Director of the Department of Corrections a good management tool, to be able to control the ever increasing prison population. If it was just prisoners busting rocks on a prison yard, that's one thing, but when you are actually taking prisoners and are you going to put them to work in building a prison. The building trade union will absolutely go crazy on this Bill, and will cause a defeat of it. The practical fact of this Amendment will in fact cause a defeat of the Bill. Representative Stern's Bill is a good thoughtful Bill, and gives the Department of Corrections a good management tool. And I urge a 'no' vote on this Amendment."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Saltsman."

Saltsman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. First of all, I'm not going to waste much time on this Amendment because its only going to get about three or four votes. But, this Amendments on another Bill coming through here in a little while. First of all, the Amendment is impossible because we do have a prevailing wage in the State of Illinois. Our contractors that will be bidding on projects and our architect design

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

these programs cannot design them for any type of a wage scale to work this program. With the prevailing wage in effect, it's impossible for this thing to exist. It's impossible for a contractor to bid on this. It's a bad Bill for the Bill...Contractors Association. It's a bad Bill for the...labor industry and...I'm just not going to speak anymore. I'd just like to vote 'no' and get it over with and get 112, 114 'red' votes on it."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Goforth."

Goforth: "I move the previous question."

Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall previous question be put?' All in say 'aye', oppose 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Previous question is put. Representative Petka to close."

Petka: "Thank you again, Mr. Speaker, and Members of the House. It seems...the ultimate irony that we have sent from this House floor to the Senate, a number of Bills which will basically provide for the early release of people, convicted felons. Because we are number one overcrowded. Number two, because we lack financial resources to build new prisons. All throughout the legislative Session, we have heard talk about the necessity of education, the necessity of deterring people from life of...being basically offenders and violating rights of honest law abiding citizens. And yet, we now have a chance, when...the issue is put squarely on our shoulders to do something that the overwhelming majority of the people of this state certainly want. That is, they want prisoners to do something more than simply lie away in prison, waiting for that opportunity when by the push of the button the door springs open, and out they come. This is...not intended to gut the Sponsors Bill...I talked with her about the Bill, and the purpose for this Amendment. It is simply meant, Members of the House to provide a constructive

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

alternative to the continuing release of felons from prison, without earning the release. Now, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, if we don't allow these prisoners to build prisons, and if we accept the financial reports of the state. We are have a shortfall, and so the answer to that shortfall in terms of building new prisons is simply to release prisoners. You won't fine very many legislative districts in this state where your going to have a majority of the people who would favor that concept. This incorporates the best of what prison should be, that is people paying their debts to society, by honest hard work, working a very, very constructive matter to do something which in the long term in ten or twenty years long term cycle will be a very very productive thing for the people of this state. And that is to show that we have the will, that we have the capacity, and we also have the drive to insure that we will build what is necessary to keep each and every citizen law abiding citizen safe and secure in their home. I ask, for a once again for an 'aye' vote on this Amendment, and a Roll Call vote, Mr. Speaker. Thank you."

Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall this Amendment be adopted?' All those in favor vote 'aye', oppose vote 'no'. Representative Stern, to explain her vote."

Stern: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. Despite the assurances of the Sponsor of this Amendment, I have every reason to believe it was attached in order to defeat the Bill...I think this is a superficially appealing ideal, that nobody has discussed or explored up to now. I think that we will simply incur the wrath of the trade unions, and probably if I talk anymore I will lose some votes. Thank you, very much for your support in defeating this Amendment, I appreciate it."

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

Speaker McPike: "And the Amendment has 31 votes. Alright. No, I was just wondering if people want to explain their vote, Mr. Clerk. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Amendment there are 33 'ayes', 75 'nos', the Amendment is defeated. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. Representative Stern."

Stern: "May I have leave for immediate consideration Sir."

Speaker McPike: "Bill's on Third Reading, you don't need leave the Amendment was not adopted. Mr. Clerk, Read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 3838, a Bill for an Act to amend the Unified Code of Corrections. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Stern."

Stern: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. This is a Bill, wanted by the Governor, wanted by the Department of Corrections. It is a Bill that we need to manage our prisons more effectively."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Laurino, in the Chair."

Stern: "As you are all aware, if you read newspapers, we are unfortunately in the posture of having to bring prisoners into the front door and let them out the back door. This Bill would to a very small extent, alleviate the incredible crowding that is going on. According to the director of corrections who was on the floor with me yesterday, when we had Amendments heard, we would have to build a new prison every seven weeks in order to keep pace with the incredible inflex of convicted felons. We need your help. This Bill would eliminate the possibility of the 180 day good time, eliminate the extra 90 days for first degree murders and criminal sexual assault. This is something that Mr. Petka, ask for and got on his first Amendment. It has been included in the Amendment which has become the Bill. We

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

believe its an excellent compromise negotiated agreement, that will go a long way toward solving some of the enormous problems we face in our prisons in Illinois. I ask you to support it with your 'aye' vote."

Speaker Laurino: "Representative Myron Olson, from Lee County."

Olson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I wish to embellish what Representative Stern has said, and add one other issue here. We are very much concerned, those of us who have correction facilities in our area with the safety of our correctional officers. Overcrowding is a major problem we have to be sure that...we have the quiet summer at Pontiac and Menard State and all of our medium security prisons. Therefore, this measure should pass, overwhelming. I urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Laurino: "Chair recognizes Representative McNamara, from Cook. Representative Wennlund, from Will County."

Wennlund: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Again, as we discussed yesterday, this Bill is an important management tool for the director of Department of Corrections. To enable him to control the rising prison population, currently in Illinois at the rate we are going we will need to build one prison every seven weeks. The State of Illinois cannot afford it, there are many prisoners who do not fall into the category of murders or criminal sexual assault who can be eligible and can be give the opportunity for a ninety day early release. This is not going to affect the people of Illinois from a danger stand point at all. It just gives the department the ability to provide an early release which could amount to about fourteen hundred. To enable them to control the rising prison population, which is currently over twenty-six thousand. I urge an 'aye' vote, let's get this

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

Bill out and roll it over to the Senate."

Speaker Laurino: "Representative Petka."

Petka: "Well, thank you very much, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I simply would like to correct the...misconception, earlier in this House Session, I filed an Amendment to House Bill 3838, which basically took away meritorious good time for Class X offenders and those convicted of murder. After...Amendment #6 I believe was adopted, I believe, that Representative, the Sponsor of this Bill stated that this address to the concerns that I had. Because it included murder and aggravated criminal sexual assault. To that extent...there is some validity to the point made. But the...reason that the initial Amendment was put on was for a other types of offenders, including offenders who were armed, who were sent to prison for violent offenses. Which include the offenses of armed violence and attempt murder.

Now, but for the fact that a person was a poor shot, or didn't complete the job and was convicted only of the attempted to commit murder...this person will now be eligible for release under this Bill. I do have to give credit though where credit is due. Seven years ago the Department of Corrections sought to spring early release on the State of Illinois, by abusing the process and force the lawsuit, which I was a main party to, to defeat this program. In 1990 they came around and at least explained the dilemma to some people. But it seems to me...Ladies and Gentlemen of the assembled here, once again we have a crisis atmosphere that has been created, as a result of this crisis atmosphere we are being asked to open the flood gates on a certain classification of prisoners, who have been sent to prison for a violent crimes. There are some Class X offenders who are not included in the House Amendment #6, who will be eligible for this additional good

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

time credit. Without doing anything that merits the awarding of that credit. They are already getting day for day good time credit. It's almost...the good time credit is almost universally given across the board, for no other reason simply doing what they're suppose to. That is being good little convicts. While I appreciate the...the intention of the Sponsor, I simply must respectful descent especially since Amendment #8 is not going on the Bill. I urge an 'no' vote."

Speaker Laurino: "Thank you, Representative Black."

Black: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is a tough Bill there are going to be some folks who really don't want to vote for this because...a Willy Horton scenario and a lot of you will sit an think...well I can see direct mail coming into my district that I'm soft on crime. Well, let me tell you something, I'll put my record on being tough on crime and being tough on criminals up against anybody in this House. But, there comes a time when you have to do what's right. And there comes a time that you have to have the courage to do what you know is right. Now I have a correctional center in my district, and the sad fact is we haven't had it staffed in the 900 inmate prison now has over well over 1250 inmates with the same amount of staff. Now folks it isn't going to work, your going get a correctional officer killed, your going to have a riot, it just won't work. We can't continue, we can't build our way out of this situation. We don't have the money. For the last ten years, I dare say, and correct me if I'm wrong the Department of Corrections budget has probably been the biggest growth budget in the State Government. Much bigger on percentage basis than education. We can't continue this, this gives the Director of the Department some

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

flexibility, I don't think it means your soft of crime if you vote for this. It means you take a long look at a problem that is a real world situation. Yeah, its a tough vote, but its darn tough to ask people and correctional officers out there to guard practically double the number of inmates in a prison, that wasn't built for that number of inmates, we're double ceiling in cells that weren't designed to be double celled. And summers coming on, we have to do something, I think you can vote for this Bill. I think you can go back home and defend it. We simply can't build our way out of this crisis, it gives the Director of Department of Corrections some leave way and some flexibility. I rise in support of the Ladys Bill. I intend to vote 'aye'."

Speaker Laurino: "Thank you, Representative Ewing."

Ewing: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I think I have probably voted for every...Bill, on crime, get tough on crime since I have been in this General Assembly. And I certainly support those Bill's, but we talk about hysteria over a Bill. Let's look at this very simply the Lady is asking for 90 additional good time. Now what is that in a criminal whose been put in jail for multi number of years? What is 90 days? It isn't hysteria, it isn't letting them out half way through there sentence. That's a minimal reduction, the minimal power in the Director of Corrections to reduce their sentence, and yet on the other side, it provides enormous, enormous relief to a overcrowded prison system. This isn't hysteria, this is good sense. And I don't want anymore correctional officers in Pontiac or anywhere else in this State to lose their life and if we can help by this minimal action, we should take it and we should get this Bill out of here quickly and with a overwhelming vote."

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

Speaker Laurino: "Thank you, Representative Countryman.
Representative Countryman."

Countryman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise in support of this Bill, this is as the other Speakers have said a very difficult Bill for us to vote on. However, it's the right way to do it. It allows the Director the carrot to hang in front of somebody, to show them that if they behave themselves in prison, that they can get out. If they don't behave themselves then they don't earn this good time credit. And for that reason it becomes the way to handle the problem and it's a good solution and I've added myself as a Co-sponsor for that reason. Thank you."

Speaker Laurino: "Further discussion? Seeing none, hearing none. Question is, 'Shall House Bill 3838 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', those oppose vote 'no'. Voting is open. This is for final passage. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Stern, to explain her vote, you have one minute."

Stern: "I don't want to explain my vote. I just want to say, thanks for a gutsie vote."

Speaker Laurino: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record Mr. Clerk. This Bill having received 75 'ayes', 40 'nay', 2 voting 'present'. Having received the required Constitutional Majority is hereby declared pass. House Bill 3843, Representative McCracken. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 3843, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act concerning jury demands. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Laurino: "Representative McCracken."

McCracken: "...Can I put you on hold for one second. Thank you...Ladies and Gentlemen, I move the passage of House Bill 3843, the Bill conforms DuPage County and Cook County Circuit Clerk fees, applies only to those two Counties.

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

There is another conforming Amendment placed on by Representative Cullerton, there is a Amendment by Representative Steczo placed on, regarding the ability to assess fees in cases where there is a judgement other than a final judgement. And I'm...it also includes a provision allowing for the creation of a document stored system on fees for that purpose. I move its passage."

Speaker Laurino: "Further discussion? Seeing none, hearing none. The question is, 'Shall House Bill 3843 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', those oppose vote 'nay'. Voting is open. This is for final passage. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record Mr. Clerk. This Bill having received 110 'ayes'. Representative Turner wishes to vote 'aye'. Representative Edley wishes to vote 'aye'. Edley. Representative Balanoff wishes to vote 'aye'. Further additions or deletions? Seeing none. This Bill having received 113 'ayes', 4 'nays', 0 voting 'present'. Is hereby declared passed with a Constitutional Majority. House Bill 4031, Representative Ropp. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 4031, a Bill for an Act to amend the Unified Code of Corrections. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Laurino: "Representative Ropp."

Ropp: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Members of the House, what this Bill attempts to do is provide an incentive for those people who are incarcerated to prepare themselves for leaving, so that they will have skills for going into the job market or be better educated. It provides one day of good time for each week of successful completion of a vocational course or an educational curriculum...the program is described and provided by the Department. And the Department is in support of this Bill, in an attempt to

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

prepare people who are incarcerated for staying out of prison when they leave. I'd be happy to answer any questions you may have."

Speaker Laurino: "Further discussion? Seeing none or hearing none. Question is, 'Shall House Bill 4031 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', those oppose vote 'nay'. Voting is open. This is for final passage. Requires 60 votes. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. This Bill having received 111 'ayes', 4 'nay' and 1 voting 'present'. Having received the required Constitutional Majority is hereby declared pass. House Bill 4128, Representative Santiago. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 4128, a Bill for an Act to amend the Criminal Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Laurino: "Representative Santiago."

Santiago: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. House Bill 4128 creates the offense of vehicle invasion, providing such offense as committed when someone knowingly by force without justification enters or reaches into an vehicle that is occupied by another, with intents to commit therein a theft or a felony. What this Bill is, this is what we label the...mash and grab Bill this is a crime that is basically being conducted in the larger metropolitan areas within the State of Illinois. The prime victims of this crimes, are women that are basically driving alone...it is a serious problem...I believe one of our colleagues Representative Lou Jones is a victim...was a victim of this crime. I ask for your favorable vote on this...Bill. Thank you."

Speaker Laurino: "Further discussion? Seeing...Representative Countryman, from Dekalb."

Countryman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and... Will the

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

Gentleman yield?"

Speaker Laurino: "Yes, he indicates he will."

Countryman: "Now...as amended this is a Class 1 Felony, but no mandatory sentence, is that correct."

Santiago: "Right, right, your correct."

Countryman: "So, originally you had six months mandatory in there, and you amended that out. Is that correct?"

Santiago: "Yes, that's correct."

Countryman: "Okay, thank you."

Speaker Laurino: "Further discussion? Representative Johnson. Further discussion? Seeing none, hearing none. Question is, 'Shall House Bill 4128 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', those oppose vote 'nay'. Voting is open. This is final passage requiring 60 votes. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. This question there are 107 'ayes', 2 voting 'nay', and 5 voting 'present'. This Bill having received the required Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 4129, Representative Santiago."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 4129, a Bill for an Act to amend the Criminal Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Laurino: "Mr. Santiago."

Santiago: "Thank you...Mr. Speaker. House Bills 4128 is a Bill that is intended...to address a crime, a serious crime that...is hitting all over the State of Illinois and that is the problem of drive by shooting by gangs. What this Bill does is it creates the offense of aggravated discharge of a fire arm. Guilty of a Class 1 Felony. The Bill was amended...and it was agreed by and being supported by the National Rifle Association, the Illinois State Bar Association, and many other groups. During our...our...Committee Hearings, we brought in...along with

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

Representative Krska who has a Bill similar to this...we saw and heard testimony from a number of victims from parents that have lost their children because of this type of problem. We have seen children 14, 15, 16 year old children that are being...slaughtered on the streets of Chicago, and in Cook County, and all over this states. These are senseless killings and what I'm trying to do is legislation trying to address this problem. It is a serious problem, in my neighborhood, and I think that we should all have the responsibility to address this problem, which is a problem basically...the prime victims are the children in our neighborhood. So I ask for your favorable Roll Call on this. Thank you, very much."

Speaker Laurino: "Further discussion? Representative Countryman."

Countryman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Gentlemen yield?"

Santiago: "Yes."

Countryman: "Now, this permits a forfeiture of the vehicle as amended. Is that correct."

Santiago: "Yes, Sir. That was one of the Amendments that we added."

Countryman: "The first definition now is a discharge as a firearm towards, and in the proximate direction of a building or a vehicle he knows to be occupied. Is that correct?"

Santiago: "Rights, what the..."

Countryman: "The firearm must be discharged from a place outside the building or vehicle?"

Santiago: "Right, as it sends out, as the it charges firearms at or into a building he knows to be occupied. Number three, discharges a firearm at or into a vehicle he knows to be occupied, and number four a discharge a firearm into the...proximate direction of another person."

Countryman: "On number four, Isn't that...attempted murder?"

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

Santiago: "Pardon."

Countryman: "Isn't number four attempted murder? If he discharges a firearm in approximate direction of another person."

Santiago: "Approx...That's, it is my understanding that will be...a...Class 1 Felony."

Countryman: "I'm sorry, I couldn't hear you. I understand it as a Class 1 but, I guess my point is on what you said is number four, its number two in Amendment number two."

Santiago: "Right, right , your correct."

Countryman: "Isn't that attempted murder, if somebody takes a gun an shoots it in the approximate directions, what is the difference between this and and that provision and attempted murder?"

Santiago: "Well, according to the...proponents of the Bill, the States Attorney they were the ones that drafted the...the...Bill and the Amendment and they saw differences than what your stating. I...I would agree with you, I mean...if...I...don't have any argument with you but, I'm stating what the...supposedly experts are telling me, the ones that put the Bills together."

Countryman: "Well, are they trying to look for something less in attempt murder to reduce...on the cases."

Santiago: "Yeah, no."

Countryman: "Are they getting soft on crime here."

Santiago: "That's not intended, you know better than that."

Countryman: "Well...I'm not certain, if the Cook county States Attorney office might not want to deal with all these attempted murder cases."

Santiago: "Yeah."

Countryman: "I mean I'm just trying to make a distinction, why wouldn't they charge attempted murder."

Santiago: "Your the ...criminal, and your the judge so. I can't

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

argue with you I'm not an attorney..."

Countryman: "Well, I mean...the judge can only interpret, what we give him to interpret here. That's why I want to be sure, that ...we do the right thing in passing these Bills."

Santiago: "All I'm trying to do is the right thing."

Countryman: "Is there a mandated sentence in this Bill?"

Santiago: "Well, the violation of this...offense, will be a Class 1 Felony."

Countryman: "Okay, but there is no mandate sentence, is that correct?"

Santiago: "That is correct."

Countryman: "Thank you."

Speaker Laurino: "Representative Shirley Jones."

Jones, S.: "Well, the Sponsor yield?"

Santiago: "Yes."

Jones, S.: "Now, let's say for instance its New Years Eve. And somebody is shooting out the window, and accidentally shoot a car or at a building. Will they get arrested for that."

Santiago: "Yeah, I mean...I don't see how could anybody be shooting out of a car accidentally. I just don't...that don't make any."

Jones, S.: "No, no, its New Years Eve, its New Years Eve and someone is shooting, they could be standing outside, they could be in there car, they could be anywhere, they could be in their apartment, and they shooting out the window."

Santiago: "Well, no one should be shooting there."

Jones, S.: "For New Years Eve."

Santiago: "Yeah."

Jones, S.: "So, just say for instance you got a lot of people, that's out there shooting on New Year's Eve. You mean to tell me, that most of the people that are shooting on New Year's Eve. And if I'm a neighbor, I'm going to call on all these people that's out there shooting, then they will

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

get arrested for shooting out the window."

Santiago: "Well, Shirley...it's a violation to discharge a gun in the City of Chicago already. And I don't think anyone that has any sense will pull out a gun and start shooting it out the window. It doesn't matter..."

Jones, S.: "On New Year's Eve."

Santiago: "It doesn't matter, whether its New Year's Day or any other...activity. I think its a crime in itself."

Jones, S.: "Okay, I know what your trying to do, and I agree with you. But on occasions like New Years Eve, people do use guns. Let's face it, in your neighborhood, my neighborhood and everybody's neighborhood on New Year's Eve they do use these guns."

Santiago: "I know they use them Shirley, but its illegal to use a weapon. And if its illegal to use the weapon, and they shoot a person I think they should pay for their crime."

Jones, S.: "A person, a person is in your Bill. They say a person but, they don't shoot no person."

Santiago: "Well, if...if you shoot at someone...if you shoot in the air and if you want to shoot all day up in the air. And the police can come and arrest you for discharging a fire arm. You will be charges with the offense of shooting randomly with a weapon."

Jones, S.: "Thank you."

Speaker Laurino: "Representative Ben Martinez."

Martinez: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I stand in support of this legislation. Simply because...both Representative Santiago, as well as mine are very similar in many ways. And my district is...greater part of my district is being plagued by situations like this, both car by shootings...drive by shooting rather and...snatch and grabs. So we need some legislation to deal specifically with these problems. I urge your for a favorable vote for

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

this legislation, thank you."

Speaker Laurino: "Representative John Dunn, from Macon."

Dunn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This legislation...would make a Class 1 Felony discharge of a fire arm under certain circumstances...those circumstances would include the situation...where a building is occupied. Take an example where...you're out in a remote industrial area, and there's a large factory building. It may be a block long, it may be two blocks long. And it's night, and it's a guard shack at one end of the building and the guard is in that end of the building, so you know that building is occupied, your two blocks away. And I don't know why, you discharge a firearm but you do, under this legislation you committed a Class 1 Felony. That's just a silly result...too often when we propose these kinds of lock them up and throw away the key type penalties...we do things which don't accommodate...realistic practices don't accommodate common sense. This as amended, this is a bad Bill and should be defeated."

Speaker Laurino: "Representative Lou Jones."

Jones, L.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Santiago: "Yes."

Jones, L.: "I have a question. Does this Bill provide for seizures and forfeitures of vehicles used in the aggravated discharge of a firearm?"

Santiago: "Yes."

Jones, L.: "...Is there any thing in the Bill...let me give you an example. What if a teenager would...take your car while you were sleeping and drive out with it that night and use it, that's your car. You do not know that he has your car, that car still would be forfeiture in that car?"

Santiago: "Well, I think in that case, the judge would determine

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

that."

Jones, L.: "Is that in your Bill?"

Santiago: "No, only if the vehicle was used in the process of committing a crime."

Jones, L.: "I understand that, but you know, we know how teenagers are. What if your sleeping one night...to the Bill. What if your sleeping and your seventeen year old comes in takes your car keys, go out with his friends joy riding and they discharge a firearm from that vehicle. You have no knowledge, that he has your car, then they have taken your vehicle. I have problems with that, and you do not make provisions for that in the Bill."

Santiago: "Well, Lou I think we have still, we have some judges that have some common sense, and...they will address the problem if it comes."

Jones, L.: "I don't think we can depend on judges with common sense. I think we have to put those kind of measures in the Bill."

Santiago: "Well, I think the way it is and the way its been was drafted its a good Bill. Its a Bill that addresses a very serious problem, and it...we discussed this in Committee, we discussed with the different organizations and the Bill was...we work along with the ACLU on this Bill, we worked along with the National Rifle Association, we worked with the ISBA and everyone was agreeable to the Bill. That is anyone that had problems, we gave them a chance to amend the Bill. This is a good Bill, and I ask for your favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Laurino: "Representative Terzich."

Terzich: "I'd like the...defense to rest, and move to previous question."

Speaker Laurino: "Gentleman, has moved to previous question. All those in favor indicate by saying 'aye', opposed 'nay'.

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

The 'aye's have it. The previous question is put Representative Santiago to close."

Santiago: "...Mr. Speaker, Member of the House. I think this is a good Bill, this is a Bill...I agreed upon with different organization. The Bill started out to be, a Bill which had a lot of controversy. What we did, we worked with everyone we gave everyone a opportunity to get on the Bill. And if they had any problems they could have come up, and amended the Bill. This is a Bill that address a very serious problem in our neighborhood, our kids in my neighborhood and neighborhood of other large metropolitan areas within the State of Illinois, are facing this serious problem. Fourteen, fifteen year old kids are being slaughtered by morons that pull guns, they drive by and they shoot at these individuals that are innocent children. And, I think that we have the responsibility as good Legislators and as good people to address a serious problem, a problem that's hurting the children in our society. I think this is a Bill to protect the children in the State of Illinois. We should see the problem and address the problem, and we should go after all these criminals that do not have any sense, that are out there preying on these other innocent bystanders. This is a good Bill, and I think its a Bill that has been agreed upon by everyone. Its a good Bill I ask for your favorable Roll Call, thank you."

Speaker Laurino: "Question is, 'Shall House Bill 4129 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', those oppose vote 'nay'. Voting is open. This is for final passage. This Bill requires 60 votes. Have all voted who wish? Representative Novak, one minute to explain your vote."

Novak: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise in support of this Bill. I think it should be 118 to 0, last year in Kankakee we had a press

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

conference with a number of anti-gang Bills that we introduced. A few of them became law. One of them, one of them was this...last year unfortunately this similar situation died in the House in the wee hours of the morning. It was on a Conference Committee that I Sponsored and I want to commend Representative Santiago for his hard work and also the National Rifle Association for their diligent effort to come to an agreement on this. I think it's time that we...again use every mean possible and by creating this offense we are certainly going to put a lot of these heinous criminals where they belong. Thank you."

Speaker Laurino: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. This Bill having received 96 'aye', 5 voting 'nay', and 15 voting 'present'. Having received the required Constitutional Majority, Representative Trotter, for what reason do you arise."

Trotter: "To explain my vote, Mr. Speaker. I just wanted to say I agree with the previous Speaker, it should be 118 votes on that board now. If you could see the carnage that is done by the drive by shootings, if you could see the parents who suffer because their child has been shot in discriminatory on those corners, then you would believe that we need this law. We need more votes on that board."

Speaker Laurino: "Thank you, Representative this Bill is hereby declared passed. Representative Davis."

Davis: "Please make this the last time you don't call on me when my light is on."

Speaker Laurino: "Mrs. Davis, I did not see your light, I am sorry."

Davis: "Alright, my purpose for rising is to state that what appears to be a good piece of legislation, will simply end up another piece on the Governors cutting floor. Because

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

it addresses one problem, but it does not solve that problem. Its a pretense Bill and I think it's unfair to the public to make them think you solved the problem and you really have not."

Speaker Laurino: "Thank you, Representative. House Bill 4138, Representative Munizzi. Representative Munizzi, pardon me. Out of the Record, Mr. Clerk. House Bill 4141, Representative Currie. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 4141, a Bill for an Act to amend the Criminal Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Laurino: "Representative Currie."

Currie: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. This is the law and order Bill purposed by the law enforcement community, I'm aware of no opposition. The Bill actually has two provisions, one is to turn the...the...situation when an individual has a weapon in his or her possession into an affirmative defense, that the individual was within the law in so having. And secondly, the Bill would escalate penalties for the use of the possession or the sale of silencer and machine guns. The Department of Corrections says that they do not believe this would have any but minimal impact on correctional facilities. I'd be happy to answer your questions, and would appreciate your support for the Bill."

Speaker Laurino: "Further discussion? Representative McCracken."

McCracken: "Representative, your in danger of losing your 100 percent rating from the ACLU."

Currie: "They are improving things with the NRA. The NRA supports this Bill."

McCracken: "I'm delighted to see you with this kind of Bill, it proves what was always said about Richard Nixon, strange bed fellows. The only man who could have arranged detente with Red China...do you...intend to limit to as written

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

now, your not using this as a vehicle you don't want any changes made to it?"

Currie: "That is my intent."

McCracken: "Okay, thank you."

Speaker Laurino: "Further discussion? Seeing none, hearing none. The question is, 'Shall House Bill 4141 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', those oppose vote 'nay'. Voting is open. This is for final passage. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. This Bill having received 112 'ayes', 0 'nays' and 0 voting 'present'. Having received the requires Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 4149, Representative Santiago. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 4149, a Bill for an Act to amend the Criminal Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Laurino: "Representative Santiago."

Santiago: "Mr. Speaker. I would like to have leave to bring the ... Bill back to second for amendment."

Speaker Laurino: "Gentleman, ask leave of the House to bring House Bill 4149 back. Does he have a leave? All those in favor indicate by saying 'aye', oppose 'nay'. 'Ayes' have it. Gentleman appears to have leave."

Santiago: "...Mr. Speaker, I would like to yield, to Representative Wennlund who has an Amendment to this Bill."

Speaker Laurino: "Representative Wennlund."

Wennlund: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The Amendment #4 on House Bill 4149 addresses a very serious question, that was recently editorialized in both the Chicago Tribune and the Sun Times about the situation of drivers in Illinois, who fell to acknowledge the Secretary of State that they have health condition that would prevent them or might prevent them from driving

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

safely on Illinois highways. And that they might lose consciousness, in my district Roy Stuart's wife and daughter were killed by a driver who failed to take the required amount of insulin rendered unconscious and created a hazard on the road. Many drivers are falsifying their drivers license renewal applications and their initial applications and applications for permits, failing to disclose that they have a health condition that could render them unconscious. This would require all drivers of Illinois to notify the Secretary of State's office within ten days after they became aware of that condition. Otherwise, it would result in a cancellation of their drivers permit or drivers license. It would also give the Secretary of State discretionary authority to suspend or revoke the license of anyone who has failed to report a physical or mental condition, to the Secretary of State that might impair his ability to operate safely a motor vehicle on Illinois Highways. I know that the riverside situation would be addressed by Representative Kubik, because it hits home right in his home district. It's a good Amendment, its designed to make highways safer in Illinois and drivers safer in Illinois. And I ask for its adoption."

Speaker Laurino: "Further discussion? Representative Levin."

Levin: "...Would the Gentleman yield? Okay, just for purposes of trying to understand...the types of situations this would cover...you know for purposes of legislative intent. What kinds of impairments or changes in a persons condition would...trigger this, in other words when should they report, what kind of physical or mental conditions and what kinds of conditions would they not reasonably have to report."

Wennlund: "Conditions when a driver in Illinois has good cause to

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

believe that by reason of a physical or mental condition, that he or she is unable to safely operate a motor vehicle in a condition which would render them...subject to blackout or unconsciousness."

Levin: "Okay, and what would lead one...what kind of information would lead one to that kind of conclusion. A visit to the doctor, the doctor telling them or let's say they have a condition and they read something in the Newspaper, is that sufficient? The...Newspaper tells them."

Wennlund: "No, Not at all. Its when the driver has good cause to believe that he has an condition, that is acquirable on the original drivers license permit or on the renewal form it states very succinctly on there what conditions are reportable to the Secretary of State. Those which would result to the lost of consciousness which is a blackout."

Levin: "Alright, can you just give an example."

Wennlund: "Fainting spells, heart condition such as in the Riverside case."

Levin: "Okay, thank you very much."

Speaker Laurino: "Representative Jack Kubik."

Kubik: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise in support of this Amendment...what is significant about this Amendment is that under the current law, if you go in an apply or reapply for a drivers license there are numerous questions they ask about your mental and physical condition. Those questions are...you are determine...are designed to determine whether you should be driving a vehicle. This Amendment, address a problem where by if we...we renew are drivers license every four years. If you discover that...after you renewed your drivers license three weeks later, that you have a condition which...impairs your ability to drive. Your not in violation of the law, if it is, what this does it expands

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

provision so that...rather than just when we renew our drivers license or obtain our drivers license this would extend beyond that capability. It would put the responsibility on the driver where it does belong...I join Representative Wennlund on this particular Amendment...as a result to the Riverside incident, a very tragic incident I would hope that this particular Amendment and hopefully Bill would create a more co-active...response to these kinds of cases. Rather than a re-active...response, I would...urge your support of the Amendment and ...hopefully we will adopt the Amendment."

Speaker Laurino: "Representative Santiago. Representative Santiago"

Santiago: "Yeah, to the Bill, Mr...Speaker. Basically, this is a Bill ...which I use to try to accommodate everyone that had a concern...here in the House. This is a bipartisan Bill now as it stand, basically."

Speaker Laurino: "Representative...we are not adopted Amendment #4 yet. Would you prefer that we adopt it."

Santiago: "Oh, I move to adopt Amendment #4."

Speaker Laurino: "Question is, 'Shall Amendment #4 to House Bill 4149 be adopted?' All those in favor indicate by saying 'aye', oppose 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it, the Amendment is adopted. Representative Santiago, on the Bill."

Santiago: "Mr. Speaker, to close ...the Bill."

Speaker Laurino: "Representative, just one minute...Any further Amendments, Mr.Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Laurino: "Third Reading, Representative Santiago. Mr. Clerk...Representative Santiago asks leave of the House to suspend the appropriate Rule, to have this Bill heard, on the same day it was moved to Third. All those in favor indicate by saying 'aye'. In fact we will use the

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

Attendance Roll Call. All in favor say 'aye', oppose 'nay'.
The 'ayes' have it, the Attendance Roll Call is used.
Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 4149, a Bill for an Act in relation to
false information in reporting of medical conditions.
Third Reading of the Bill."

Santiago: "Mr. Chairman, I ask for a favorable consideration of
this Bill, thank you."

Speaker Laurino: "Representative Santiago moves...for the passage
of House Bill 4149...any discussion? Seeing or hearing
none. Question is, 'Shall House Bill 4149 pass?' All
those in favor indicate by voting 'aye'. Those oppose vote
'nay'. Voting is open. This is for final passage. Have
all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all
voted who wish. Take the record, Mr. Clerk. This Bill
having received 105 'aye', 1 voting 'nay' and 6 voting
'present'. Having received the required Constitutional
Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 4187,
Representative Young. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 4187, a Bill for an Act to amend the
Criminal Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Young: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the
House. House Bill 4187 amends the Criminal Code to change
the offense of unlawful use of recorded sound and unlawful
use of unidentified sound recordings, to unlawful use of
record sounds or images and unlawful use of unidentified
sounds or images. The existing Illinois law...makes it
commercially desirable to distribute copies of unrecorded
sounds. Our laws no longer provide the protection which
was originally intended. When anti piracy law was amended
in 1977, pirating still primarily involved illegal sound
recording produced at a very high cost. Today new
technology is widely available, and permits thousands of

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

illegal recording to be made in just a few hours. In order to address the new developments this Bill would do three things. It would include new audio visual recording media such as video cassette used to make pirating recordings, it would include unauthorized recording of live performances, and it would establish new graduated system of penalties. Illinois would benefit if we pass this law because consumers will be protected from inferior pirated recordings, producers and artists will have greater protection for their property rights. Legitimate retailers or distributors would receive greater protection from the trade and the purchase of illegal recordings. I'd be happy to answer any question, and I would ask for its passage."

Speaker Laurino: "Further discussion? Representative Countryman."

Countryman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Gentleman yield?"

Young: "Yes."

Countryman: "Representative Young...we didn't hear this in Committee I believe it was discharged. So there's some questions I...that arise to me, are analysis says it does not apply to television broadcast is that correct?"

Young: "I'm sorry but I couldn't hear you."

Countryman: "Does not apply to T.V. broadcast?"

Young: "No, it does not."

Countryman: "What about if your want to record a off the radio, stereo recording or something for your own personal use. Does it apply to that?"

Young: "It does not apply to any recording, that would be for your own personal use rather sound or either at a live concert. Its geared specifically to recording for reproduction and resale."

Countryman: "So you would have to record and reproduce and sale before you would violate the law under this. Is that

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

correct?"

Young: "That's correct."

Countryman: "And there are varying penalties depending on how many recordings you were attempting to sale or made. Is that correct?"

Young: "That is also correct."

Countryman: "And what's the penalty?"

Young: "Right now, the penalty for is a Class 4 Felony. It would remain a Class 4 Felony, but the fine would increase on a graduating bases depending on the number of copies that were reproduced. If, if the offense involved one hundred copies but not more than a thousand, then the fine could be up to one hundred thousand dollars. If it had been a fine over a thousand copies, then the fine could be up to two hundred fifty thousand. In either event, it would be a Class 4 Felony which is the current law."

Countryman: "Alright, those fines are up to there, not updated mandated to be..."

Young: "No, they are up to."

Countryman: "Thank you."

Speaker Laurino: "Further discussion? Seeing and hearing none. Question is Shall House Bill 4187 pass. All those in favor vote 'aye', all those oppose vote 'nay'. Voting is open. This is for final passage. Requires 60 votes. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. This Bill having received 104 'ayes', 0 voting 'nay', 0 voting 'present'. Having received the required Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. We'll go back to House Bill 3142, Representative Stange. Representative Stange. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 3142, a Bill for an Act in relation to crime statistic reports. Third Reading of the Bill."

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

Speaker Laurino: "Representative Stange."

Stange: "Mr. Speaker, can we take it back to Second Reading for Amendment?"

Speaker Laurino: "Gentleman ask leave of the House to have House Bill 3142 move back to Second Reading. Does he have leave? House indicates it does. Proceed Representative."

Stange: "...Thank you, Mr. Speaker.'

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #3."

Stange: "Three right."

Speaker Laurino: "Any Amendments? Mr. Clerk."

Stange: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #3...on this Bill, State police had a problem with the...Bill before we ask the State Police asked us to have a effective date take place January 1, 1992 with that they have no opposition to this Bill."

Speaker Laurino: "Representative Stange, moves for the adoption of Amendment#3 to House Bill 3142. Any discussion? Seeing none. All those in favor indicate by saying 'aye'. 'Nay', the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Laurino: "Gentleman ask to suspend ruled, the appropriate rule to...have this Bill, alright Third Reading. Gentleman, from Dupage...Representative Stange ask that the Appropriate Rule be suspended to have this Bill heard on Third Reading today. Well use the Attendance Roll Call. There's an objection from Representative Dunn. Julie noted it will remain on Third Reading, Representative Stange."

Stange: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. We voted on this Bill a couple days ago."

Speaker Laurino: "Representative Stange, its going to remain on Third Reading today. Representative Dunn has objected to it being heard today. So, it will remain on Third Reading.

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

We'll go back to House Bill 3146, Representative Curran."

Speaker Laurino: "Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3146, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee. Any Motions relating to Amendment #1?"

Speaker Laurino: "Any Motions relating to Amendment #1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "...No Motions, Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Woolard."

Speaker Laurino: "Representative Woolard."

Curran: "Mr. Speaker. With leave from the other side, since Representative Woolard doesn't appear to be here and I know this is a non-contested Amendment I would like to have the privilege to offer this Amendment. Amendment #2."

Speaker Laurino: "The...Gentleman has leave. It appears he does. Proceed Representative."

Curran: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I believe this is ...uncontested Amendment. Representative Woolard's Amendment retains the original provisions of the Bill. And provides that four school districts in southern Illinois...with it average daily attendance of less than two hundred, in which a coal assessment was not implemented in accordance with assessment schedule set out in Revenue Act. That is a ten percent reduction in each year, beginning 1987...that there be a grant and those grants would...the supplemental grants would be as follows: Akins School District 91, Sixty-seven thousand three hundred and forty-seven dollars. Ewing North District 115 Forty-six thousand six hundred and forty dollars, Thomasville District 112, eighteen thousand one hundred and eight dollars and Logan District 110, seven hundred and seventy-two dollars. I believe this is a uncontested Amendment, I believe the other side has signed

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

off on it."

Speaker Laurino: "Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #2, to House Bill 3146. All those in favor indicate by saying 'aye', oppose 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it, the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendment?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Laurino: "Third Reading. Gentleman ask leave of the House to suspend the appropriate rule to have House Bill 3146 heard today on Third Reading."

Curran: "Mr. Speaker, leave will not be necessary this Bill has been read a second time. We can go now according to our rules."

Speaker Laurino: "I'm sorry Mr. Curran, but...we Amended and brought it back to Third, you'll have to read it again."

Curran: "Thank you. Do we have leave?"

Speaker Laurino: "Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 3146, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Curran: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. In addition to the Amendment #2, which I believe is not contested its from Representative Woolard. The underline Bill simply extends for Veteran Scholarships...from six months to eighteen months. Those persons who returned to Illinois...covers a problem in my district, I don't think there is any controversy here. I'd be glad to answer any questions."

Speaker Laurino: "Question is, Shall House Bill 3146 pass? All those in favor vote 'aye'. Those oppose 'nay'. This is final passage. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. This Bill having received 112 'ayes', 0 voting 'no', 0 voting 'present'. Having received the required Constitutional

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

Majority is hereby declared pass. Chair will move now to the...State and Local Government. Third Reading. Beginning now on page 23 of Calendar, House Bill 706, Representative Cullerton. Out of the record. House Bill 1018, Representative Martinez. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk." Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1018, a Bill for an Act to amend the Personnel Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Laurino: "Representative Martinez."

Martinez: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Members of the House, House Bill 1018 amends...personnel code to provide the Department of Central Management Services, shall not with out good cause remove the name of an eligible candidate from a list of qualified applicants for a position for which a examination is required. At least two years have lapsed since the name was placed on the list. The reason ...I introduced this legislation cause I have person experience with some of my own constituents, that have applied to the state, have pass the test and have been put on the...waiting list. Two, three months after they took the test...and the test only being held for one year then they have to take the test again. I don't think its unfair to ask for a two year period to hold the test. I'd appreciate your favorable report on this."

Speaker Laurino: "Gentleman moves for passage of House Bill 1018. Further discussion? Representative McCracken."

McCracken: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Representative, do you know if Central Management Services have a position on this Bill."

Martinez: "...They were opposed to it, but...they saw the light."

McCracken: "Pardon me."

Martinez: "They were opposed to it but...I said they saw the light. There not opposed to it anymore."

McCracken: "There not opposed to it anymore."

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

Martinez: "No."

McCracken: "Alright, thank you."

Speaker Laurino: "Further discussion? Seeing none. Question is, 'Shall House Bill 1018 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', those oppose 'nay'. Voting is open. This is final passage requiring 60 votes. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. This Bill having receive 112 'ayes', 0 voting 'present' and 0 voting 'nay'. Having received the required Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1442, Representative Giglio. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1442, a Bill for an Act to amend the Capitol Development Board Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Giglio: "Thank you...Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. 1442 is a Bill that amends the Capitol Development Board Act and what we want the Capitol Development Board to do is to review and recommend the changes in the Building Code and Construction Code. Actually, what's happening is all the agencies have their own code, and were trying to codify into one body...one code, where the people who are doing contract work with the...State of Illinois can go to one Body, there are not setting up the code right now hopefully they'll have one place where people can go to. And that's what were asking, there was an Amendment on Representative McCracken asked that it be taking off. And it was a Appropriation Amendment, that's off...so...that's all this Bill does, is to have the Capitol Development Board just...no it won't be an Appropriation Bill on it when it comes back from the Senate either. So with that...Ladies and Gentlemen of the Assembly, I ask for your favorable support."

Speaker Laurino: "Further discussion? Representative Hultgren."

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

Hultgren: " Will the Sponsor yield? Representative, you just indicated that the appropriation of this have been tabled. But...when was that done, because my records..."

Speaker Laurino: "It was withdrawn. Representative McCracken brought it up when it was on Second Reading and the Amendment was...it was on Amendment that was put on the Committee and we...tabled it on the House floor."

Hultgren: "Thank you, I just caught it. Thank you very much, I apologize."

Speaker Laurino: "Representative McCracken."

McCracken: "Thank you, I couldn't here the explanation. What is your intention with the Bill, you want to move through the system, or are you trying to get an agreement with the Capitol Development Board."

Giglio: "No, I'd like to move it Representative McCracken. Because it's something that if you recall Representative former Representative Jack Davis and I and a number of others were on a commission to try and put together a uniform building code. And we started hold hearing and everything and then Jack went on the Congress and it kind of fell by the way side. But there's so much misunderstanding and there's so much mishandling and these people that do business with the state. When ever they have a particular contract with a particular agency each one is different, and what were trying to do is bring some sanity together and hopefully the Capitol Development Board will be the agency where these people can go to and they'll more less be the hat, and be able to have these people at least come to them and give them the right information."

McCracken: "Now, they they estimate that there would be a cost in this about, about \$200,000?"

Giglio: "They estimated that it would cost about \$200,000. They've had some meeting with the architects and some

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

building contractors, and they feel that this appropriation would be sufficient. I've got an Amendment on the Capitol Development Boards budget. Hopefully we can do it and we'd like to have the Bill prior to that."

McCracken: "Okay, thank you."

Speaker Laurino: "Further discussion? Seeing or hearing none.

Representative...The question is, 'Shall House Bill 1442 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', those opposed vote 'nay'. Voting is open. This is final passage requiring 60 votes. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. This Bill having received 113 'ayes', 0 voting 'nay', and 0 voting 'present'. Having received the required Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1449, Representative Wyvetter Young. I'm sorry 1649, Representative Wyvetter Young. Out of the record Mr. Clerk. House Bill 2366, Representative Steczo. Read the Bill Mr. Clerk. Representative Steczo, for what reason do you arise?"

Steczo: "Speaker, I'd ask leave to have House Bill 2366 brought back to the Order of Second Reading for the purpose of Amendment."

Speaker Laurino: "The Gentleman request House Bill 2366 so it can be return to Second Reading. All those in favor indicate by saying 'aye', opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it. Second Reading. Representative Steczo."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #5, offered by Representative Homer and Leitch."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Homer, on Amendment #5 to House Bill 2366."

Homer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Amendment would specifically empower counties and municipalities to by ordinance...regulate and require fencing around in ground

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

swimming pools. Currently there's some...difference of opinion to whether non-home rule counties and municipalities have this authority under their general police powers or zoning ordinance authority. This Bill would provide an express grant of authority to those non-home rule local units of government to adopt ordinances if they choose. That would require the owners of in round swimming pools to place protective fences around those swimming pools. The obvious purpose of this Bill is to allow municipalities to provide for the safety of small children who may wonder upon someone's property and we all know of the many, many terrible examples where young people have died, drowned in open swimming pools. So, this Bill is permissive and I would move the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Laurino: "Representative Homer, moves for the adoption of Amendment #5 to House Bill 2366. Any discussion? Seeing Representative Black's light on we will recognize him."

Black: "Thank you, very much, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Laurino: "Indicates he will."

Black: "Thank you. Representative, the language in the Amendment. Corporate authorities may by ordinance require the construction of fencing around et cetera, et cetera, et cetera. Previously constructed artificial basins of water. If I have a farm pond but it's within the corporate city limits and that farm pond was built several years ago primarily for live stock, would this would your Amendment then that city the authority to come in and say, 'hey your going to have to fence your farm pond'?"

Homer: "Representative Black, I hadn't contemplated that particular situation. I don't know how many farm ponds are located in municipal boundaries, but it would have to be an

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

artificial basin which means that it would have to be something that was not naturally occurring, but rather something that was constructed. But, yes I do think...you know at first blush that it could encompass a situation like that however, I would hope and expect that the city fathers in that case would use good the judgement and discretion to exempt out farm ponds from any ordinance they may adopt directed at swimming pools. The word basins simply are used in other parts of the statue and that's why the terminology was carried forward. The main thrust of this Bill is directed toward swimming pools."

Black: "It does also allow the corporate authorities in a county to do the same thing, I see on page three.?"

Homer: "That's correct. There are two provisions: one chapter 24 cities, and one chapter 34 counties."

Black: "Alright, you know, your a man of honor and integrity. I, I'd hope that you, you might do something either by legislative intent or in the Senate. You know, as well I do that cities today often annex large tracts of land for future development. That could on, on I admit a rare occasion put a farmer at some risk. But also, when you talk about the corporate authority of the county. I, I join with you, I would hope that neither county or city official would require a pond that a farmer dug with a bulldozer or backhoe for livestock purposes would fall under the intent of your Bill, and make them fence it in, because then it would destroy the very purpose for which it was built. It is something I as a downstate Representative I would hope you could address at some point in the process because, if not it could really create a problem for some rural residents, and I will trust your judgement to do that."

Homer: "Thank you. Presenting the Bill..."

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

Speaker Laurino: "Representative Deuchler."

Deuchler: "Would the Sponsor yield for a question, please?"

Speaker Laurino: "Indicates he will."

Deuchler: "Representative Homer, I wondering about water retention...area within city boundaries. Does that fall within your definition of basin... requiring fencing for those water retention areas, which are in fact large ponds?"

Homer: "I'm not entirely familiar with that concept of water retention basin, but if it is a artificial structure that is in ground and is used to hold water then I think it technically falls within the definition of the Bill. Again remember that this is permissive authority and we would certainly hope that any municipality that would adopt an ordinance to do this would take care to exempt out such matters, if in fact, it was not contemplated they be included. The Bill is designed to allow for the cities and counties to order fences around in ground swimming pools. The language has been pointed out here may lend it's self to other kinds of basins. That's not the contemplation of the Bill and as Representative Black, says I would certainly make it clear on legislative intent. That we're talking here about swimming pools not holding basins or farm ponds."

Deuchler: "Well, Representative Homer, the reason I brought that up is, because it's a very serious problem, one of my constituents as a matter of fact, was killed in one of these water retention areas, which is very close to a roadway, and it's extremely serious. Also, individuals living near these water retention areas in new subdivisions where under city ordinances the cities have required the run off to be collected, and the retention areas have great concern for their small children."

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

Homer: "Well, let me then rephrase...because I misunderstood the the importance of your question. I'm not familiar with the water retention basins that you described. But I certainly don't think the Bill applies, or should apply or lend authority to municipalities to require farmers to construct fences around ponds. However, if there are other kind of structures such as the retention facilities that you just addressed, then I state no legislative intent with respect to that and would defer that to the wisdom of the governing body that would pass the ordinance as to whether they should include those kind of structures in the ordinance."

Deuchler: "Yes, I would hope that you could even clarify and say that it was your intent, because again I say to you that these are extremely dangerous structures and need the protection of the municipalities. So, I certainly support what your trying to do, and would urge that your intent include these other structure such as water retention."

Homer: "Well, let me say that I did not contemplate that, that issue. But, there's no intent on my part in this Bill to exclude them."

Deuchler: "Thank you, very much."

Speaker Laurino: "Representative Barger."

Barger: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This a very, very good Amendment, something that non-home rule communities have needed for a long time. In my home community over the last 40 years, 20 years there have been two drownings. One was in a open pond which would be similar to a farm pond, another was in a fish pond in somebodies backyard. But, the local government being empowered to enact an ordinance covering these local situations is far more logical than the state government telling them what to do and how to do it. Local government is responsible and if we give them an

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

opportunity to make these decisions that apply to their own community we are going to get far better law, than if we tried to set up legislative intent and all of these other requirements to force them into doing something that might not fit in that particular local jurisdiction. Tom, this is very good Amendment and I'm happy to support you."

Speaker Laurino: "Representative Homer moves for the adoption of Amendment #5, to House Bill 2366. All those in favor indicate by saying 'aye', opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendment, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendment."

Speaker Laurino: "Third Reading. Representative Steczo, ask leave of the House to have House Bill 2366, heard today. With leave of the Attendance Roll Call. All those in favor indicate by saying 'aye', opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it. The Attendance Roll Call is adopted, and the Bill will be heard on Third Reading immediately. Read the Bill Mr. Clerk"

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2366, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Municipal Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Laurino: "Representative Steczo."

Steczo: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. In it's present form House Bill 2366, contains only the language that was included in Amendment #5, which was offered by Representative Homer and Representative Leitch. That Amendment was just throughly discussed and therefore, Mr. Speaker, if there are no questions I would just ask for the favorable support of the House. "

Speaker Laurino: "The Gentleman ask for passage of House Bill 2366. All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'nay'. Voting is open. This is final passage requiring 60 votes. Have all voted who wish? Have all

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. This Bill having received 110 'ayes', 0 voting 'nay', 3 voting 'present'. Is hereby declared with a Constitutional Majority passed. Representative Novak, for what reason do you arise?"

Novak: "Mr. Speaker, for the record let the record show that on House Bill 4129, which I spoke in support of I inadvertently forgot to press my button, and I would let the record show that I would have voted 'aye' on House Bill 4129."

Speaker Laurino: "The record will so indicate. House Bill 2367, Representative Steczo. Sponsor wishes to move this Bill back to Third...Second Reading. Does he have leave? Leave being granted. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk. Are there any Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2367 on Second Reading. Floor Amendment #1, is being offered by Representative Steczo."

Speaker Laurino: "Representative Steczo."

Steczo: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Member of the House. Amendment #1, deals with the problem of landscape waste that will be banned from landfills as of this July 1st. The municipalities throughout Illinois have attempted to try to respond the situations where landscape waste might be picked up by private firms and then perhaps not disposed of in the proper manner. So, Amendment #1 says that municipalities may, if they so choose, to register a person in the landscape maintenance business or those who generate landscape waste, however, they cannot under any circumstances charge a fee. And they can also exempt people acting within the scope of their employment with the municipalities. This is an attempt to try to address problems where this landscape waste generated by these landscape maintenance companies may not be disposed of

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

properly. I would move for the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Laurino: "Any discussion? Seeing none. Gentleman, Representative Black."

Black: "Thank you, very much, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Laurino: "Indicates he will."

Black: "Representative, in your Amendment...you're requiring registration for anybody in the landscape maintenance business. If the municipal authority so desires, you mean the company that fertilizes my yard now has to be registered by the city, if the city so requires it, correct?"

Steczo: "Representative Black, if you would read beginning on line 20 that persons who are applicators for hire as defined in Lawn Care Product Application Act, shall be exempt from the registration requirement."

Black: "Okay. Now...what's it designed to do, get at, get at people who are going to operate compost sites."

Steczo: "No, what, what it seeks to do is to address the problem of a lawn maintenance company, perhaps or individual who will do lawn mowing, bush trimming other other types of...services. And then perhaps, not dispose of that waste in the regular manner. But may throw it in fields or dispose of it in some other unseemly manner."

Black: "Okay, and it doesn't do anything to a landfill operator, it doesn't require that operator to have a separate registration?"

Steczo: "No, absolutely not it just deals with the lawn maintenance services."

Black: "Alright. Thank you, very much."

Speaker Laurino: "Further discussion? Representative Munizzi. For what reason do you arise? Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 2367. All those in

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

favor indicate by saying 'aye', opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it, and the Amendments adopted. Further Amendments Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Steczo."

Speaker Laurino: "Representative Steczo."

Steczo: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #2, is a technical Amendment that just makes one change to clarify some, some wording on the second page. I would move for its adoption."

Speaker Laurino: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill 2367. All those in favor indicate by saying 'aye', opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Laurino: "The Gentleman moves or ask Leave of the House, Third Reading." The Gentleman ask Leave to have this Bill heard today. He has request the use of the Attendance Roll Call. Leave is granted. Read the Bill Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2367, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Municipal Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Laurino: "Representative Steczo."

Steczo: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #1 became the Bill, #2 just clarifies one small portion of Amendment #1. That being the case I would ask for your support on House Bill 2367, answer any questions if there need be. But would appreciate an 'aye' vote by the House."

Speaker Laurino: "The question is 'Shall House Bill 2367 pass'? All those in favor vote 'aye', those opposed vote 'nay'. Voting is open. This is final passage requiring 60 votes. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record Mr. Clerk. This Bill

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

having received 114 'ayes', 1 voting 'no', and 1 voting 'present'. Having received the required Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Representative Matijevich, on House Bill 3000. Read the Bill Mr. Clerk." Clerk Leone: "House Bill 3000, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act concerning preferences for Illinois bidders. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Laurino: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The construction trades groups in my area asked me to introduce House Bill 3000, which is a Bill to permit state and local government to grant a two percent preference to Illinois bidders in awarding certain contracts. What happened in my area in the building of the Waukegan Marina and also the North Point Marina a out of state contract received a low bid. I know in the Waukegan Harvard Project it was an Nebraska firm. And the they had all kinds of problems in getting them to use local labor, local employees. What can happen and I'm not saying that'll happen in this case but what can happen even though we have a prevailing wage on the books. Some contractors can juggle their books and have two sets of books and really not be paying the prevailing wage. And what will happen sometimes is that out of state contractors will bring in their employees from out of state. By allowing a two percent preference I feel that the local this is strickly permissive but the local governments and state government can waive the benefits that would accrued to the local area for example the income tax, the tax the going using local schools et cetera that the local employees pay local taxes. And weigh all those factors if they feel that it is in the best interest of the community to provide that two percent preference to the Illinois contractor, they can so do. I think that we've

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

given enough preference to foreign...foreign contractors it's about time that we give a preference to our own domestic corporations. So, I would urge the passage of House Bill 3000."

Speaker Laurino: "Further discussion? Representative McCracken."

McCracken: "I'll keep it quiet this time. The issue is not new I suppose the argument for and against are not new. This is a little different because it's permissive, I don't think that makes anymore responsible however. In the past we've talked about foreign trade advantages or disadvantages being imposed, which would result in a greater cost to the taxpayer. Here we're declaring economic war on our neighboring states. The whole purpose of the United States as a nation is to avoid economic and trade barriers among the various states. Now, you want to subsidize local workers at the expense of our local taxpayer. It isn't the out of state people you're hurting, it your own taxpayer's your hurting and you invite retaliation. We ought to call this what it in fact is, it is a subsidization. It's a higher tax. You're going to have to pay for these higher cost, and their not just going to be nominal cost. CMS estimates that if it did this on all of its purchasing it would cost between one and ten million dollars annually, that's just Central Management Services and the purchasing they do. This also includes construction contracts, a construction contract which could be a hundred million dollars and I don't know how much the Waukegan Harbor is, but it's a big sum I'm sure. You're talking big numbers and if you think you're helping some of your citizens with one hand all your doing is taxing your citizens with the other hand, that is all it is. And to lay it off on the local government, the local governments who do not necessarily have an interest of the statewide taxpayer at

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

heart. I think justice is not just, it is not fair. I don't believe that we should advocate our responsibility by laying off at the discretion of the local government. We ought to stand up and say we're willing to compete with the other states, we are not willing to subsidize our businesses at the expense of the taxpayer and invite retaliation from other states. I rise in opposition."

Speaker Laurino: "Further discussion? Representative Weaver."

Weaver: "Thank you, very much, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield? Representative, I've got so many sheets over here with arrows on them I'm not sure the background of all them, but my indications are the Farm Bureau opposes this Bill. Would you have an idea why?"

Matijevich: "I haven't the faintest, I have no idea why they would oppose this Bill. I have no idea."

Weaver: "Okay, thank you."

Speaker Laurino: "Representative Regan."

Regan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. To the Bill in answer to your question on farmers, farmers pay tax that's why their opposed to this Bill. A better approach is lower the cost of doing business in Illinois to contractors. The only state in the union that still has the scaffolding act, with awards double benefit raising the insurance...making us uncompetitive with other states. It's about time we wise up the way to go is not raise the taxpayer's money to pay for extra expenses, it lowering the cost of doing business in Illinois. I urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Giglio: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Preston."

Preston: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. One of the previous Speaker, Mr. McCracken was able to sum up in one sentence...he thought the whole reason the United States came into existence he said in one sentence he was able to sum up

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

what I've read in many thick books that cover the subject, and he succinctly put the whole reason the United States came into existence, I guess he was summing up by saying to defeat this legislation. This is a good Bill or Representative Matijevich, and Representative Giorgi wouldn't be sponsoring it. So, I urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Matijevich, to close."

Clerk Leone: "Giglio in the the Chair."

Matijevich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

A few years back we passed a Bill out of this House that provided for a five percent preference, and I told the construction trades when they asked me to introduce a Illinois preference bidding Bill that I would introduce such a Bill if their percentage was low enough that and permissive where the local or state governments on those public projects could weigh the benefits to the Committee...community. In other words, I think that two percent preference is low enough where the governing authority can weigh all of the factors. In weighing all of the factors if they feel that the community would benefit, by providing that two percent preference then I think that it would be in the best interest of the community to give that preference to the Illinois contractors. The Illinois who would naturally employ Illinois citizens who pay taxes rather than providing a out of state bidder who then leaves the area all that money leaves the scene, don't forget this is Illinois tax money paying for these projects. So, I would urge the passage of House Bill 3000."

Speaker Giglio: "The question is 'Shall House Bill 3000 pass?'

All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed 'nay'. The voting is open. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

McCracken."

McCracken: "Verification. Verification."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman...has moved that this Bill receives the required number of votes. There will be a verification, all those please vote your own switch, please be your chair. The Lady from Cook, Representative Parcells."

Parcells: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Just in explaining my vote, I'd like to explain to those who didn't understand why the farmers don't like this is, they know it's go to up the cost of state and local government, and their going to pick up the tab. As all your taxpayer we're worried about tax around here. We're going to send those prices up higher and higher. IDOT, DCCA, Farm Bureau, CMS they're all opposed to this and furthermore, the farmers dislike it because in retaliation the other states won't buy what we have the most of and that's farm product. It's a bad Bill and I encourage you to vote 'no'."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman from McClain, Representative Ropp. One minute to explain your vote."

Ropp: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Member of the House. It seems ironic how this body will from time to time urge legislation to be passed that will say, except only the lowest bidder in order to save cost for the taxpayer's and to half way operate our state efficiently. Then right a way on the other hand turn around and say, okay we'll let certain people have another two percent or five percent. It just doesn't make sense. It's financially this is not a sound practice, and should be defeated."

Speaker Giglio: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk take the record. Representative...On this question there are 63 voting 'yes', 52 voting 'no', and none voting 'present'.

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

Representative McCracken, ask for a verification.
Representative McCracken does Representative Krska have leave to be verified? Leave is granted. Mr. Clerk...Representative Matijevich moves for the Poll of the Absentees. Call the Absentees."

Clerk Leone: "Poll of those not voting. Bowman and Van Duyne are not voting."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Wyvetter Younge, Representative McCracken does she have leave to be verified? The Lady's here in the well. Mr. Clerk call the Affirmative vote."

Clerk Leone: "Poll of the Affirmative. Balanoff. Breslin. Brunsvold. Bugielski. Capparelli. Cullerton. Curran. Currie. Davis. DeJaegher. DeLeo. Dunn. Edley. Flinn. Flowers. Giglio. Giorgi. Granberg. Hannig. Hartke. Hicks. Homer. Lou Jones. Shirley Jones. Keane. Krska. Kulas. Lang. Laurino. LeFlore. Leverenz. Levin. Martinez. Matijevich. Mautino. McGann. McNamara. McPike. Morrow. Munizzi. Novak. Phelps. Preston. Rice. Richmond. Ronan. Saltsman. Santiago. Satterthwaite. Shaw. Steczo. Stern. Sutker. Trotter. Turner. Wennlund. White. Williams. Wolf. Woolard. Anthony Young. Wyvetter Young. and Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Laurino: "Representative Laurino, for what purpose do you rise?"

Laurino: "Leave to be verified."

Speaker Laurino: "Does the Gentleman have leave, Representative McCracken?"

McCracken: "Leave is granted."

Speaker Laurino: "Representative Morrow. Does he have leave Representative McCracken?"

McCracken: "Leave is granted."

Speaker Laurino: "Proceed with the Affirmative, Representative. Representative McCracken."

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

McCracken: "Representative Richmond?"

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Richmond is in his chair."

McCracken: "Representative Keane?"

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Keane. How is the Gentleman recorded, Mr. Clerk? Representative Keane."

Clerk Leone: "Representative Keane is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giglio: "Is the Gentleman in the chamber? Remove the Gentleman from the Roll Call. Representative McCracken."

McCracken: "Sorry. Representative Kulas?"

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Kulas. Myron Kulas. How is the Gentleman recorded, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk Leone: "Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giglio: "Is the Gentleman in the chamber? Remove the Gentleman from the Roll Call."

McCracken: "Representative Ronan?"

Speaker Giglio: "Is Representative Ronan in the chamber? How is the Gentleman recorded, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giglio: "Remove the Gentleman from the Roll Call."

McCracken: "Representative Leverenz?"

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Leverenz. Is Representative Ted Leverenz in the chamber? How is he recorded, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giglio: "Remove the Gentleman from the Roll Call."

McCracken: "Representative McGann?"

Speaker Giglio: "McGann. Representative Andrew McCracken. Is Representative McGann in the chamber? How is the Gentleman recorded, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giglio: "Remove the Gentleman from the Roll Call. Representative McCracken, does Representative Martinez have leave to be verified?"

McCracken: "Yes."

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

Speaker Giglio: "Leave is granted."

McCracken: "Representative Shaw?"

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Shaw in the chamber?
Representative Shaw. How is the Gentleman recorded, Mr.
Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giglio: "Remove the Gentleman from the Roll Call, and
restore Representative Keane, and Representative Andrew
McGann to the Roll Call, Mr. Clerk. Representative
McCracken, Representative Bugielski..."

Representative McCracken: "Oh, alright yes."

Speaker Giglio: "Ask leave to be verified. Leave is granted.
Representative McCracken."

McCracken: "Representative, Representative...DeJaegher?"

Speaker Giglio: "Representative DeJaegher. Representative Bob
DeJaegher. He's in his chair."

McCracken: "Okay. Representative Brunsvold?"

Speaker Giglio: "Brunsvold. Representative Brunsvold in the
chamber? How is the Gentleman recorded, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giglio: "Remove the Gentleman from the Roll Call, and
restore Representative Shaw to the Roll Call."

McCracken: "Representative Dunn?"

Speaker Giglio: "Representative John Dunn. Representative John
Dunn in the chamber? How is the Gentleman recorded, Mr.
Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giglio: "Remove the Gentleman from the Roll Call."

McCracken: "Representative Sutker?"

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Sutker. Representative Calvin
Sutker. How is the Gentleman recorded, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voted 'aye'."

Speaker Giglio: "Remove the Gentleman from the Roll Call."

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

McCracken: "Representative Shirley Jones?"

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Shirley Jones in the chamber.

How is the Lady recorded, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "The Lady is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giglio: "Remove the Lady from the Roll Call. Further questions Representative?"

McCracken: "Representative Preston?"

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Lee Preston. Representative Preston in the the chamber? How is the Gentleman recorded, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giglio: "Leave Representative Preston on, he's entered the chamber."

McCracken: "Representative Wennlund?"

Speaker Giglio: "Wennlund. Representative Wennlund. How is the Gentleman recorded, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk Leone: "Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giglio: "Remove the Gentleman from the Roll Call."

McCracken: "Nothing further."

Speaker Giglio: "On this question there are 55 voting 'yes', 54 voting 'no', none voting 'present', and House Bill 3000 fails. House Bill 3020, Representative Cullerton. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 3020, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Bill...require that...children under the age of 18 when riding on a motorcycle or driving a motorcycle be required to wear a helmet. We passed this Bill last year it fell two votes short in the Senate. The first thing that we have to do in analyzing whether or not

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

should pass the Bill, I think is first decide whether or not helmets are, whether or not your better off having a helmet on when your riding a motorcycle. There's a publication put out by the motorcycle safety foundation called Safe Cycling and it answers some of the what they call myths that are raised about the value of helmets, and I just thought I would briefly tick off what those myths are and what the answers are. Some people say, 'well helmets cause neck injuries.' According, to this publication and common sense would tell you that it's the crash it self that causes injuries, helmet do not cause injuries. In fact, helmeted drivers suffer fewer neck injuries. Some people have said that helmets impair hearing, the fact is they do not prevent a rider from hearing, wearing it properly fitted helmet can actually improve a riders ability to hear. Some people have said that the helmets restrict peripheral vision. There's a Department of Transportation standard requiring that window that is larger than a persons window normal peripheral vision in fact, eye glasses and sun glasses intrude more into the peripheral visual field than any helmet. As a matter fact, the helmet provide eye protection which is important to accident prevention because a rider is able to see clearly without having a wind blast or dust get in their eyes. Well, someone said well the helmets are too...their too hot, turns out that a study in the subject showed that the temperature inside a properly fitted helmet rarely rises more than one or two degrees above body temperature. And in fact, the fact is that when it's cold out the helmet can help prevent rapid heat loss, which is a dangerous condition. Well, people have said, 'I don't need a helmet because I'm an experienced driver'. The response to that is that studies have shown of the motorcycle

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

accidents that occur about two-thirds of them are not caused by the negligence of the motorcycle rider himself, but rather a automobile which did not see the motorcyclist. So, no matter how experienced you are and how talented you are as a driver, you can't help the negligence of another. We've looked at some of the opponents have indicated that if you look at the statistic on helmets they argue that the helmet laws don't really work those allegations are just not true. When you look at some of that the way they juggle the statistic it just does come out that way. As a matter of fact we do have some statistics in Illinois for 17 months helmets were required in Illinois in January of '68 to May '69. There were 93 motorcycle death in that period, but in the 17 months following the repeal there were 208 fatalities. So, it more than doubled without the law. Now people have also said in the strongest argument against is that helmets are a personal choice. Let those who ride decide, in our response to that has been okay we tried to pass the law for everybody...we got 42 votes, we don't have enough we don't have 60. I'd like to pass it for everybody but...I would recognize the fact that we just don't have the votes. So, let's address that issue of let those that ride decide by saying it should apply to a minor, and we have many instances in Illinois where we say that children under the age of 18...can not make the informed decisions required, and we direct them to take a particular action. And that's why we're...responding with a Bill that applies only to minors. Now, if you look at the other states and what they've done in this area the fact of the matter, is we're one of only three states Colorado and Iowa, who have no helmet law whatsoever. There are 22 states that have helmet laws for everyone, there are 25 states that have them under a certain age, and

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

of those 25 there's 19 states that have this identical law that we're trying to pass here. The Bill of course, is supported by The Illinois Department of Transportation, The Department of Public Health, The Motor Vehicle Safety Council, The Medical Society, The Head Injury Association. We had some testimony in Committee from a doctor 'Tenns' from Children's Memorial Hospital, and he pointed out that their actually is a rationale even for having it apply for to children. Because children are uniquely susceptible to head injuries, since there brains are still developing a head injury to a child is often much more severe than an similar injury to an adult. And perhaps the most compelling argument for some in addition to saving lives and saving people from serious injury is the money. The Illinois Head Injury Association has some statistics which are really surprising...in Illinois they indicate that the annual motorcycle brain injuries in Illinois are over six thousand of which three thousand end up with hospitalization. When you figure out the average cost for hospitalization you come up with 80 almost 80 million dollars in cost. And what is the most interesting thing to me is 63 percent of those people end up having to have the public taxpayer' dollars pay for it either they go on because they have to go on welfare. Sixty-three percent that means we spend annually 50 million dollars in the State of Illinois out of our funds to take care of people who are injured on motorcycle in motorcycle injury. This law only affects a portion of those of course, cause it's under 18, but I think it's something which the time has come. I believe that we've got a sufficient number of votes in the Senate. I would hope that people who have voted 'present' or against this in the past, would please reconsider. We are talking about applying only to

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

children. If we're going to save money we're going to start with those children out with the right habit, and I would be happy to answer any questions and appreciate an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion? The Gentleman McLean, Representative Ropp."

Ropp: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Giglio: "Indicates he will."

Ropp: "Representative, you mentioned all the people that were in support of the Bill, by chance in that group is there anybody that is representing let's say the motorcycle riders or any of those groups dirt bike riders or anybody like that, do they have a position to this Bill?"

Cullerton: "Well, all I can tell you is that the American Motorcyclist Association is...takes a position that they are very much in favor of people wearing helmets. So, they argue in favor of wearing helmets...but they do not take a position on mandatory helmet laws. I don't know what their position is on for minors with regard to 'ABATE', A Brotherhood Against Totalitarian Enactment, they have indicated and they testified against the Bill in Committee indicating that in their opinion helmets don't work if you're going faster than 15 miles an hour. So, that the basis of their objections so you can take that with grain of salt, if you wish"

Ropp: "Alright. Does this Bill also deal with mopeds?"

Cullerton: "No, it does not require moped riders to have helmets. I think that in a number of years from now that might come. Maryland just passed a law saying that bicycle riders have to wear helmets. So, it down the line but we're one of three states that don't have any law on motorcycle helmet, and we have to start somewhere."

Ropp: "Okay, thank you."

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

Speaker Giglio: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Hensel."

Hensel: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Giglio: "He indicates he will."

Hensel: "Representative, in your Bill you're mandating that minors will have to wear helmets, if they are riding or driving on a motorcycle, is that correct?"

Cullerton: "It's either driving or a passenger."

Hensel: "Correct."

Cullerton: "Right."

Hensel: "Okay, is there anything in the Bill that indicates what type of a helmet they have to wear, is it going to be approved by some society that would make sure that these helmets are made to specifications, so that they would not be injured?"

Cullerton: "Yes, the Illinois Department of Transportation shall determine the standards for this equipment. The standards shall establish requirements based upon those set forth in vehicle equipment safety commission regulations 'VESC-8' minimum requires for motorcyclist eye protection."

Hensel: "Another question. What...are you aware of how many different size helmets that are on the market today, are there more than one size or does one size fit all like a baseball cap or what?"

Cullerton: "My understanding is that they're very sophisticated in terms of their different designs, so I think that there are all sort of sizes but, they do have to adhere to certain federal and state regulations."

Hensel: "Well, I had heard that there's was only about three different sizes, like a small, medium and large and..."

Cullerton: "I don't believe that to be the case."

Hensel: "Well, anyway are you aware that in the removal of a

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

helmet if it's a snug fitting helmet, if you have an injured...patient and if that helmet is removed wrong that...he could suffer... crippling injuries in addition to what he already has?"

Cullerton: "Are you talking about a situation where someone is injured in a motorcycle accident while they're wearing a helmet?"

Hensel: "While wearing a helmet, the removal of that helmet...if it's not taken off properly it could do more damage to them."

Cullerton: "After they're injured in a motorcycle accident?"

Hensel: "Correct."

Cullerton: "Well, if I would think that might be very true they might have to be careful when removing a helmet after someone's injured. Of course, it's the helmet that kept them alive to even get to the hospital in the first place."

Hensel: "But, then in if the emergency personal aren't aware of how to remove that helmet he could be alive going into the hospital, and when they remove it...you know..."

Cullerton: "But, if they didn't have the helmet on in the first place they're properly not going to be alive to even go to the hospital is my point."

Hensel: "That's an assumption that you're taking but...I think that you ought to have something in the Bill then maybe to make sure that all emergency personal are aware of how to remove helmets. There's article put out by the American College of Surgeons and the tell you exactly how it should be done. I wonder how many emergency personnel are aware of that."

Cullerton: "...That's a good point and today is Hospital Day, and maybe I'll take that up with some of the hospital people that are here, to point out this fact."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Hensel. Representative Hensel,

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

Hensel."

Hensel: "To the Bill."

Speaker Giglio: "To the Bill."

Hensel: "...There was mention about the helmet not restricting the peripheral vision when you're wearing it. Thank you, Speaker. If we're going to mandate that these people wear helmets when a person goes in to take a test to get a drivers license they have to take an eye test. If it's determined that they have to wear glasses to drive it's noted on their drivers license. Why then do we not require a motorcycle rider or driver that is going to be tested to get his license not have to wear that helmet when he's taking that test, if it's mandatory?"

Speaker Giglio: "Is that a question, Representative"

Hensel: "Well, I'm throwing it out as a suggestion that maybe this Bill has a little flaw in it, and that maybe there should be more research done on it and I would just ask for a 'no' vote."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Mautino."

Mautino: "Mr. Speaker, I move to previous question."

Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman moves to previous question. All those in favor signify by say 'aye', opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it. The previous question been moved. Representative Cullerton.'

Cullerton: "Well, it's a matter that we've debated in the past I think I've made my point. The fact that helmets are clearly safe...the only other objection that's raised is that they are that people have the right of free choice. So, I've limited the effect of the Bill to minors and I only point out, also, that there's a tremendous amount of money that the state spends on injured or...motorcyclist because they're not wearing a helmet, and we have state interest in passing this Bill. So, I'd appreciate an 'aye'

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

vote."

Speaker Giglio: "Question is, 'Shall House Bill 3020 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed 'no'. The voting is open. This is final action. Representative Weaver. One minute to explain your vote."

Weaver: "Thank you, very much, Mr. Speaker. As we debated this Bill in the last couple of years we've argued statistics. As a matter of fact, statistics indicate that the helmet may not reduce severity of head injuries, but it actually increases the severity of neck injuries and increases the frequency of accidents. Analyses shows that states with helmet law have had a higher fatality rate per hundredth of accident than states that have not had helmet laws. If the intent of the Bill is to protect children, take a look at what kids ride. They don't ride the big Harleys they don't ride the big Hogs, they ride the little ones. And they have been effectively excluded from this Bill. We do not cover pedicycles, we don't cover small motorcycles that kids ride. I ask that you consider a 'present' or 'no' vote, and Mr. speaker I'd request a verification should this receive the requisite number."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Preston. One minute to explain your vote."

Preston: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Bill, when it was presented in Committee, had a witness testify as Representative Cullerton indicated that children or adults for that matter really or no better off wearing helmets than not. That was such an incredibly ludicrous and surprising answer that I called someone I knew who teaches motorcycle safety, and is very involved in motorcycle safety matters, and I was told that indeed most motorcycle accidents happen at intersections when people are going relatively slowly under 20 miles an hour most

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

frequently under 15 miler an hour and that's when people fall off the motorcycles their heads hit the hard cement and while it is very preventable to have injuries at that slow speed by virtue of not wearing a helmet...bad injuries are indeed inflicted."

Speaker Giglio: "Bring your remarks to a close."

Preston: "While it's preventable, these injuries are inflicted for the very reason that the riders are not wearing a helmet. This is a good Bill I can see no reason to...have this Bill not pass overwhelmingly, and I'm only sorry that it applies only to minors. It should apply to adults as well, and I hope that's next year."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Turner. One minute to explain your vote, Sir."

Turner: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Assembly. I happen to be a motorcycle rider and the only accident I've ever had on a motorcycle was when I was wearing my helmet. I'm rising in support of this legislation but, I feel that like Representative Weaver had mentioned that kids that ride pedicycles are also should be included. Those bikes are more dangerous than motorcycles and I would hope when this Bill over to the Senate that they would try to correct that deficiency. Because I think that the statistics will show that they are as dangerous as the Bike its self, and I will give a 'aye' vote on this legislation."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Hicks. One minute to explain your vote."

Hicks: "Thank you, very much, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. You know I'm not opposed to people wearing helmets if that's what they choose to do. But, let it be their choice you know just like the speaker just a couple a speakers ago said...next year I hope it's something else."

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

I hope it's 18 and over, and that's exactly what's you're going to see. We keep taking peoples rights from them. You know, I'm not opposed to people wearing helmets I think they should wear helmets, but I think it ought to be their choice to wear a helmet and certainly not make it mandatory like we did everything else in this state. I'm sick and tired of the state telling me what to do it's time we stop doing that in this House."

Speaker Giglio: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question there are 79 voting 'yes', 36 'no' and 2 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received the required Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 3024, Representative McNamara. Out of the record. House Bill 3060, Representative Stern. Thirty-sixty, you want this Bill called? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 3060, a Bill for an Act relating to appointments to boards, commissions, Committees and councils. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Giglio: "The Lady from Lake, Representative Stern."

Stern: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. This Bill which here and after will be called the McCracken Amendment to the statutes, deals with gender balance appointments to state boards and commissions. What this would do would be required that any new board and commissions that are created be balanced by gender equal numbers of men and women...and that those boards and commissions now in existence be brought to balance at the next appointment date. What this would do, Ladies and Gentlemen, would be to bring a whole perspective to government deliberations. I know I mentioned it when we discussed the Amendment, but there was a office building built by the State of Illinois in Rockford without a shelf or closet in it. Now, I do not

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

believe that any board that had women represented on it would permit that kind of ridiculous thing to arise. What we would be doing with gender balance Bill would be to present total viewpoint of societies needs. We would have input from experts that are women, as well as experts that are men. I would like to make the point that...that state department which dissented, the Illinois Department of Public Health, has written an almost incredible statement in opposition. First of all, our Amendment, let me say, permits these appointers an out, if they cannot in good faith, find appropriate people of the gender required to sit on that board or commission all they need do is report that non-compliance ability to the board of Human Rights, the Department of Human Rights. Now, the Department of Public Health says to us here that the cost of implementing this Bill are very or impossible to determine. You think the cost of writing a couple of non-compliance reports is impossible to determine. They go on to say the cost of recruiting members to bring the boards into gender balance is, impossible to determine? The cost of preparing and submitting to the Department of Human Rights required statements, impossible to determine. Ladies and Gentlemen that is malarkey that is legislative gibberish, this is not a difficult Bill to implement and there's statements that it is well intentioned and worth while simply shows that they don't mind obfuscating when the opportunity arises. Well, they go on to say that they are not going to be able to find they don't say women but people of both gender of sufficient expertise, they're even more wrong. In no field are there more representatives of both sexes expert than in the field of public health. I would say to you that I do not anticipate that this Bill is going to get out of here, but I would also say to you that you ought to examine why

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

you would be voting though if that is what you intend to do. This is a simple Bill to comply with it's a simple Bill to implement. It is not going to cost anything it is going to bring into the full life of public participation all the citizens of Illinois. I urge an 'aye' vote and I will answer questions."

Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion? The Lady from Lake, Representative Frederick."

Frederick: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise in support of House Bill 3060. I think that probably my life as is not the case of anybody's else life in this body spans a greater number of years than anyone else's. I remember not too many years after women were first given the right to vote in those days women were never appointed to local governmental bodies, they were never appointed to boards of trustees for churches, they were never appointed to boards of education. We have come a long way, but we still have a long way to go, and I think this Bill will move this in the direction where our sex most nearly approximate the division among the sex in the State of Illinois, and for that reason I support House Bill 3060."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Flinn."

Flinn: "Mr. Speaker, I move to previous question."

Speaker Giglio: "You heard the Gentleman's Motion. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it. Previous question has been moved. Representative Stern to close."

Stern: "Representative Black suggest that only two women and two men can speak on this. So far it's only been...Mr. McCracken the other day and Representative Frederick and myself today. Fifty-three percent of the population of Illinois is women, 53 percent of the voter of Illinois are women. We have important contributions to make to

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

government and the kinds of deliberations that government must make. You are only getting have your moneys worth unless you pass this Bill and permit the input of both sexes to every area of government. I urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Giglio: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 3060 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed 'no'. The voting is open. This is final action. Representative Preston. One minute to explain your vote."

Preston: "...I understand the Lady's intent and my, know she is a very well intended person. I'm voting 'present' on this Bill because I think it would...rather than promote the interest of women I think it would do exactly the opposite for example. I think a commission on the status of women there may be very good reason why 50 percent of those member should not be men on that commission. That it should be perhaps reasonable people could think that it should be made up of more predominantly of women and when women serve on some boards in the majority, I don't think they should have to be removed from those boards, simply because they are happen to be women. I think it's counter productive, and that's why I'm voting 'present'."

Speaker Giglio: "The Lady from Cook, Representative Didrickson. One minute to explain your vote."

Didrickson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I think we need a few more green lights up there. This is the 1990's we only have 20 percent women represented on these boards as many of our male colleagues understand and know that there's some lucrative salaries that go along with a number these appointment to...these boards. If it's only 20 percent and if this is the 1990's and actually women make up 53 percent of that population. I think it's time the State of Illinois demonstrated its leadership role and support to this initiative on behalf of the women voter

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

and the women the next generation in Illinois. Let's throw up a few more of those green votes up there."

Speaker Giglio: "The Lady from Champaign, Representative Satterthwaite. One minute to explain you vote."

Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker, and Members of the House. In response to an earlier person explaining his vote, let me say that I would be perfectly willing to have a sacrifice the majority on the commission on the status of women in return for having equal representation on these other conditions. In fact, if we were to have equal representation in all of the other areas. I would even be in favor of abolishing the condition on the status of women to counter balance that, because if we had equal representation in each of these areas it would no longer be necessary, I correct my remark that the council not the commission, but...whatever we're doing on the status of women would be greatly enhanced by the passage of this legislation."

Speaker Giglio: "The Lady from Sangamon, Representative Hasara. One minute to explain your vote."

Hasara: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'm proud to put a green vote up there. I have only one concern and that is if this Bill it would be my guest that most the women would appear on the unpaid boards and commissions and the men would appear on the paid. So, that is one Amendment that I would like to see put on this. Thank you."

Speaker Giglio: "the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Keane. One minute to explain your vote."

Keane: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise in opposition to this Bill. I have two daughters, both of whom are professional and both of whom I hope one day will be on a commission and board. I don't want them on that commission or board as an allocation, if they get on one of those commission or

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

boards by their own ability the last thing I want them to do is feel somehow rather that they not there because the merit that they have, but rather they're there because of a quota system. And for that reason I have all confidence in the world that my daughters whatever they earn in their life and whatever, however high they rise in their professional life that they would not have this hanging over their head that they're on a commission because of a quota, rather than a merit and for that reason I vote 'no'."

Speaker Giglio: "The Lady from DuPage, Representative Cowlishaw. One minute to explain your vote."

Cowlishaw: "Mr. Speaker, I am reminded of something that was once written by a man, whose name was George Bernard Shaw. I don't think that he would object to my adopting his statement. As my view of why we ought to be supporting this Bill. Shaw wrote, Some men see things as they are, and say 'why I dream of things that never were', and say 'why not'."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman from Cook...from Macon, Representative Dunn. One minute to explain your vote."

Dunn: "I understand the...concerns of a large segment of our population by under representation. But to make a requirement like this, in my view, is demeaning. People should rise to appointments...and be selective for appointments on the basis of merits and qualifications. People should be elected to elected office on the basis of merits and qualifications, and not because of gender or sex. I have spent 16 years in this General Assembly fighting for equality, I don't think this is an approach to that end and I think it is demeaning to...women who would otherwise succeed or rise to the top. We should encourage that process but we should not it by this kind of

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

legislation. I vote 'no'."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative McCracken."

McCracken: "I just wanted to say after my speech last week I received a post card from someone, who was delighted to learn that I do not aspire to higher office."

Speaker Giglio: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Lady from Lake, Representative Stern. One minute to explain your vote."

Stern: "I, I just wanted to add one thing in explaining my vote. There seems to be an assumption we will not be able to find enough qualified woman. There is a bank of resumes' in the Governors Office, a bank of resumes' in the AAUW office and I am sure any daughter of Representative Keane's could get any job she wants, because of her qualifications, not in spite of them."

Speaker Giglio: "The Lady from Cook, Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. It boggles my mind that any elected women on this House would be voting 'no' on gender balance. God knows, we are built differently than men we think differently than men. We've been elected to bring a different perspective to state government. If we are ever going to get our ideas across we have to be on boards to make out voices heard, and I cannot believe that any women would voting 'no' on this particular Bill."

Speaker Giglio: "The Lady from Cook, Representative Parcells. One minute to explain your vote."

Parcells: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'm one of those women voting 'no' because I think we would be in...it would be a mistake to say these things must be in gender balance. We could go for a long time without appointments on these boards, some boards maybe should be three-quarters women, some

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

three-quarters men. You should get these on your merit. Representative Keane, Representative Dunn are absolutely right. We should earn things on merit, our numbers are coming up constantly ladies, be patient. As we're out there we were all elected here running against men, and we can do it on these boards. It is absolutely a...idea that they must be exactly gender balanced. The 'no' vote is the right vote on this House Bill 3060."

Speaker Giglio: "Have all voted wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Giorgi are you seeking recognition? Have all voted who wish? Representative Laurino."

Laurino: "Representative Giglio, I noticed that your light isn't on, is your switch broken you think or?"

Speaker Giglio: "Mr. Clerk, do you have my vote transferred to the podium or is it still on the over at the my chair."

Clerk Leone: "I'd, I'd be happy to vote you speaker."

Speaker Giglio: "Still working on it. Alright, I'll tell you how I'm going to vote later. Have all voted? Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question there are 45 voting 'yes', 45 voting 'no', and 25 voting 'present', and House Bill 3060 fails. On State Government Administration appears House Bill 1585, Representative Kubik. Representative Kubik in the chamber? House Bill 1585. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1585, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation to forest preserve districts. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Kubik."

Kubik: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would request leave to move this Bill to Second Reading for purposes of an Amendment."

Speaker Giglio: "Does the Gentleman have leave? Hearing none, leave is granted. The Bills back on Second Reading for the purpose of an Amendment."

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Kubik."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Kubik."

Kubik: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. What Floor Amendment# 1, does is to it, it essentially becomes the Bill. This particular Amendment addresses a problem we have at the Brookfield Zoo. It at the current time...the statue indicates that the Brookfield Zoo has to have a number of free days each year. Those free days are established in law, they the... the free days are given an actual date and as a result it's creating problems for the zoo. What Amendment #1 does is to essentially give the Brookfield Zoo flexibility, they will continue to offer the free days to their participant but they will have the flexibility to...arrange those free days as they see best. What makes the zoo a little bit different than some of the other...museums in the state, and I might point out that all museums in our state have free days. Is that the Brookfield Zoo, is a seasonal museum more than a in other words, most of their customers come between April and September. So, as result...free days can be better used during those times...by the zoo...that's essentially what the Amendment does. I know of no opposition I'd be happy to explain or answer any questions, and I appreciate your support on the Amendment."

Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion on the Amendment? Hearing none, all those in favor of the Amendment signify by saying 'aye', opposed, 'nay'. In the opinion of the chair the 'ayes' have it. The Amendments adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Giglio: "Third Reading. Gentleman ask leave...for immediate consideration by the Attendance Roll Call.

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

Gentleman has leave. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill. The Bill's now on Third Reading."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1585, a Bill for an Act in relation to forest preserve districts. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Kubik."

Kubik: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I explained the Bill as it is amended...be happy to answer any questions, would appreciate your support on this Bill. Thank you."

Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion on the Bill? Hearing none, all those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed 'nay'. This is final action. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question there are 100...the Gentleman from Warren, Representative Hultgren. Have all voted who wish? Representative Hultgren."

Hultgren: "Mr. Speaker, it's okay now but I couldn't hear a word that Jack said and I'm wondering before we proceed to the next item on the Calendar could you bring some Order to the chamber, please?"

Speaker Giglio: "I've been trying Representative Hultgren for the past five minutes but nobody seems to adhear the call. Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk take the record. On this question there are 114 voting 'yes', none voting 'no', and voting 'present'. House Bill 1585 having received the required Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. The chair now is going to Special Order of Business Appropriations. Appropriations. We're going to, we're going to...stay on State Government for a while on and that Order appears House Bill 2378. Mr. Clerk read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2378, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Public Relations Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman from Madison, Representative

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

McPike."

McPike: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Bill has one Amendment on it and it was put on at the request of the Conservation Police. The Conservation Police numbering approximately 120 individuals are in a large unit represented by the Illinois Federation of Teacher's. It's about 1300 people in this unit the Conservation Police have a 120 members. This Bill will allow the Conservation Police to brake off from this unit, and to form their own bargaining unit. I move for the passage of the Bill."

Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion? Hearing none, all those in favor the Gentleman or the Lady from Cook, Representative Didrickson."

Didrickson: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker, Member of the House. Normally such Bill as this in my name sits up there are usually work out and agreed to. Unfortunately, it just seems that there's only one view and one side of the aisle that we're able to work some concerns and potential legislation. While the Gentleman's Motion is absolutely correct, and I support the contents of it. For those of you on this side of the aisle who would like a little better bargaining power...between both sides of the aisle, perhaps I would suggest a yellow or a 'present' vote at this time."

Speaker Giglio: "Further discussion? Hearing none. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed 'nay'. The voting is open. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk take the record. On this question there are 68 voting 'yes', 2 voting 'no', 36 voting 'present'. Representative Flowers votes 'aye'. Representative Hartke, Representative Lang votes 'aye'. There are now 71 voting

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

'yes', 2 voting 'no', and 36 voting 'present'. House Bill 2378, having received a required Constitutional Majority is here by declared passed."

Speaker Giglio: "House Bill 3397, Representative McPike. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3397, a Bill for an Act to amend the Metropolitan Transit Authority Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman from Madison, Representative McPike."

McPike: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. As I explained last night this Bill makes three minor changes. It changes the bid requirements from \$5,000 to \$10,000. It prohibits the consumption of food and beverages on Rapid Transit trains and it allows competitive negotiations for rolling stock for the CTA. I move for the passage of the Bill."

Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Piel."

Piel: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Gentleman yield for a question?"

Speaker Giglio: "He indicates he will."

Piel: "Representative, is this is a different Bill, with the same substance or is this the same Bill that we killed yesterday?"

McPike: "It's identical Bill it was put on Postponed Consideration, because we didn't have 60 Democrats here."

Piel: "So, in other words, basically what your saying is this is on Postponed Consideration now? Is this Bill right now on Postponed Consideration? The one that we're hearing right now."

McPike: "Did I stutter?"

Piel: "Well, I'm just letting you make sure that all your

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

Democratic colleagues know. What I'm trying to do...Mr. Speaker, what I'm trying to figure out is, I thought that all the Members had a chance to hear their Bill and here we're going back to something that's on Postponed Consideration."

McPike: "Well, I...Representative Piel, I made a Special Request..."

Piel: "No, I'm not talking..."

McPike: "To go to the Bill, because I've been in the Chair so much I haven't had a chance to call my Bills."

Piel: "Jim, I told you time and time again anytime you have a Bill that the Speaker won't call, and your sitting in the Chair, just call me and I'll run the Bill for you. Mr. Speaker, we killed this yesterday, it was a bad Bill then it's no better today, in difference to the Sponsor I mean I think we've got a lot of Sponsors here that are waiting for on both sides of the aisle, who are waiting to have their Bills heard the first time, and this one's been heard two times in less than 30 or less than 24 not 36 hours, but in less than 24 hours. And I ask for a 'no' vote again. Thank you."

Speaker Giglio: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 3397, pass?' All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed 'no'. The voting is open. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question there are 64 voting 'aye', 50 voting 'no', and none voting 'present'. The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative McCracken."

McCracken: "Ask for a verification."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative McPike, ask for the Poll of the Absentees."

Clerk O'Brien: "Poll of those not voting. Breslin. Harris. And

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

Mautino. No further."

Speaker Giglio: "Proceed with the Affirmative Verification, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Balanoff. Bowman. Brunsvold. Bugielski. Capparelli. Cullerton. Curran. Currie. Davis. DeJaegher. DeLeo. Dunn. Edley. Flinn. Flowers. Giglio."

Speaker Giglio: "Clerk, Mr. Clerk, record Representative Harris as voting 'aye'. No, 'no'. Proceed, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Giglio. Giorgi. Granberg. Hannig. Hartke. Hicks. Homer. Lou Jones. Shirley Jones. Keane. Krska. Kulas. Lang..."

Speaker Giglio: "Excuse me, Mr. Clerk. Representative Wyvetter Younge ask leave to be verified. She has leave. Proceed, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Laurino. LeFlore. Leverenz. Levin. Martinez. Matijevich. McGann. McNamara. McPike. Morrow. Mulcahey. Munizzi. Novak. Phelps. Preston. Rice. Richmond. Ronan. Saltsman. Santiago. Satterthwaite. Shaw. Steczo. Stern. Sutker. Terzich. Trotter. Turner. Van Duyne. White. Williams. Wolf. Woolard. Anthony Young. Wyvetter Younge. And Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Giglio: "Questions of the Affirmative, Representative McCracken."

McCracken: "Thank you. Representative Saltsman?"

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Saltsman. Is Representative Saltsman in the chamber? How is the Gentleman recorded, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giglio: "Remove the Gentleman from the Roll Call. Representative Jones, asks leave to be verified?"

McCracken: "Yes."

Speaker Giglio: "Leave is granted."

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

McCracken: "Yes, Representative Bugielski?"

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Bugielski. Representative Bugielski is in the rear of the chambers."

McCracken: "Okay. Representative Edley?"

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Edley. Representative Bill Edley. Is Representative Edley in the chamber? How is the Gentleman recorded, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giglio: "Remove Representative Edley from the Roll Call. Representative Flowers and Representative LeFlore asks leave to be verified?"

McCracken: "Yes."

Speaker Giglio: "Leave is granted."

McCracken: "Representative Dunn. Oh, I'm sorry, he's there I see him."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Anthony Young, have leave to be verified."

McCracken: "No, no. I said Representative Dunn, but I see him. Representative Bowman?"

Speaker Giglio: "Bowman. Is Representative Bowman...How is the Gentleman recorded, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giglio: "Remove Representative Bowman from the Roll Call. Representative Preston, asks leave to be verified, Mr. McCracken."

McCracken: "Yes."

Speaker Giglio: "Leave is granted."

McCracken: "Representative Kulas?"

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Kulas. Is Representative Kulas in the chamber? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giglio: "Remove Representative Kulas from the chamber...(sic. Roll Call)."

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

McCracken: "Representative Van Duyne?"

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Van Duyne. Is Representative Van Duyne in the chamber? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giglio: "Remove Representative Van Duyne and restore Representative Saltsman. Representative Saltsman has returned."

McCracken: "Representative Steczo?"

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Steczo. How is the Gentleman recorded, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giglio: "Is Representative Steczo in the chamber? Remove the Gentleman from the Roll Call, Mr. Clerk, and restore Representative Bugielski. Representative Bugielski."

McCracken: "He wasn't off, I didn't take him..."

Speaker Giglio: "Oh, he wasn't off. It was Representative Edley, Representative Edley has returned to the chamber. Restore Representative Edley, Mr. Clerk, to the Roll Call."

McCracken: "Representative Sutker?"

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Sutker. Representative Sutker, is talking to Representative Wojcik on your side of the aisle. Representative DeJaegher ask leave to be verified, Representative McCracken."

McCracken: "Yes."

Speaker Giglio: "Leave is granted."

McCracken: "Representative Currie?"

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Currie, Representative Currie is talking to Representative Breslin."

McCracken: "Representative Satterthwaite?"

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Satterthwaite. The Lady is in the front of the chamber."

McCracken: "Representative Leverenz?"

Speaker Giglio: "Leverenz. Is Representative Leverenz in the

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

chamber? How is the Gentleman recorded, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giglio: "Remove Representative Leverenz from the Roll Call."

McCracken: "Nothing further."

Speaker Giglio: "The Lady from LaSalle, Representative Breslin. Representative Breslin, Mr. Clerk, votes 'aye'. On this question there are 60 voting 'aye', 51 voting 'aye'. Restore Representative Leverenz, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are now 61 voting 'yes', and 51 voting 'no', and none voting 'present'. House Bill 3397, having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Representative Terzich, 3426, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Terzich, do you want this Bill called? Out of the record. 3623, Representative Barbara Currie. Out of the record. 3777, Mr. Clerk, read 3777."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3777, a Bill for an Act to coordinate Health Care Services in Cook County. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Giglio: "The Lady from Cook, Representative Currie."

Currie: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. This is a vehicle Bill that would be available for the three, summitters, the people who are called the Cook County Health Care Summit, interested in moving upon any of the recommendations of the summit proposal. Those are the Governor, the Mayor of the City of Chicago, and the President of the Cook County Board. I would appreciate your support of the Bill."

Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion? The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative McCracken."

McCracken: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Just a quick question? Is it your thought at this time that this Bill would not ultimately move in the absence of an agreement or is that

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

correct?"

Currie: "Yes, yes."

McCracken: "Okay, thank you."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Hultgren."

Hultgren: "Mr. Speaker, just to renew my concern, I could not hear a word that Representative Currie said, and I'd appreciate it if you could bring some level of order to the Chamber so I can at least hear what's being done here."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman has brought up a valid point, there has been a lot of commotion here and all those not entitled to the floor, would you please leave the floor. Those that are on the floor would you please refrain from talking too loud, we can't conduct the business of the House. Please, be a little more courteous Representatives. Representative Currie, to close."

Currie: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, this is a vehicle Bill available should the summitters for the Cook County Health Care Issues agree that they would like to see some structural changes in respect to health care delivery and access issues in Cook County."

Speaker Giglio: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 3777, pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed 'nay'. The voting is open. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Dunn, votes 'aye'. Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question there are 100 voting 'yes', 7 voting 'no', and 7 voting 'present'. And House Bill 3777 having received the required Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 3914, Representative Flinn. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3914, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation to county tax increment allocation financing. Third Reading of the Bill."

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Flinn."

Flinn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentleman of the House. House Bill 3914 creates a county economic development project area that is really a Tax Increments Bill, but it has nothing to do with sales taxes, state sales taxes or local sales taxes. It deals entirely with property taxes. It has a floor of \$50 million being invested, and also creating at least 2,000 new jobs. We went through this pretty well yesterday on the Amendment stage. I'd be more than happy to answer any questions. But it's not a very complicated Bill. It's one that the municipalities have been doing for some time without the terrifically high floor placed underneath it. The Bill only affects Madison and St. Clair County, and we have it in mind right now of doing this in St Clair County. I would appreciate your favorable vote and try to answer any questions."

Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion? Hearing none, all those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed 'nay'. The voting is open. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question 116 voting 'yes', 1 voting 'no', and none voting 'present'. House Bill 3914 having received the required Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Next Order of Business will be Appropriations. On page four of the calendar, appears House Bill 3001. Representative Leverenz, 3001, page four of the calendar, Appropriations. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3001, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the State Board of Elections. Second Reading of the Bill. Committee Amendments #1, 2 and...Amendments #1, 2, and 3 were adopted in Committee."

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

Speaker Giglio: "Any Motions filed."

Clerk O'Brien: "No Mot...a Motion to table Amendment 3 by Representative Leverenz."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Withdraw the Motion. Leaving the Amendment intact."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman withdraws the Motion. Any Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #4 offered by Representative Parcells and Ryder."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Parcells on Amendment #4 to House Bill 3001."

Parcells: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen. This would add 15,000 of GRF to the State Board of Elections for the audits of the pay vouchers to election judges in order to determine the amount of the stipend to be paid to the various election authorities. There are 111 election authorities in the state, and they each put in a Bill for \$10 per judge. We've all read how short we are of judges of elections, so to pay \$10 for each proposed judge, is erroneous when in fact some of those judges...some of the polling places do not have five judges. They have two, three, or four judges. We paid last year \$1,250,000. This year that's anticipated to be \$1,670,000 and I think that if we did an audit, we'd find that we, inadvertently, are paying to those election authorities more money than they actually have coming. We certainly don't want to short any judge, but the money is meant for the judges and if they don't serve, they shouldn't receive the money. This would be a way to audit it, which would be helpful to the election authorities as well as, to the state board and to the tax payers of this state. I move for it's...for it's approval."

Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion of the Amendment? Representative

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

Leverenz. All those in favor of the Amendment signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #5 offered by Representative Ryder and Stephens."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Ryder on Amendment #5."

Ryder: "I would ask to withdraw Amendment #5."

Speaker Giglio: "Withdraw Amendment #5. Number five's withdrawn. Amendment #...any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #6 offered by Representative Leverenz."

Speaker Giglio: "Withdraw Amendment #6, Mr. Clerk. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Giglio: "Third Reading. House Bill 3143, Representative Matijevich. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3143, a Bill for an Act making appropriation to the Supreme Court. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1, 2, 3, and 6 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Giglio: "Any Motions filed."

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Giglio: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #7 offered by Representative Ryder and Stephens."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Ryder on Amendment #7."

Ryder: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. If I could have the attention of the House. This Amendment is to withdraw the...what's been know as the 50/50 Amendment. We're going to be selective on this. We're not going to argue this on each and every Bill. But we would like to make a point right here. This Amendment which was passed in Committee may be because the

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

Democrats didn't trust the Governor, or the Secretary of State, or the Treasurer, or the Comptroller, because they put this Amendment on each and every Bill. And there may be some reason in lame duck situations to do that. But surely in the case of the Supreme Court, that distrust doesn't take place. Surely in the case of the Supreme Court, their not on a six month rotation, such as the lame duck constitutional officers, and the new constitutional officers may be. For that reason, in this particular instance, we don't believe that 50/50 Amendment is appropriate, and we will ask for the Floor Amendment to be adopted that would eliminate the 50/50 provision that was adopted in Committee. As I said Mr. Speaker, it's not our intention to argue this on each and every Bill. But on this Bill, we would like a Roll Call to that effect."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The Amendment #7 as the Gentleman says does, in fact, destroy the value of the 50/50 Amendment that we have put on. I would ask all Members in the House, in both sides of the aisle to stop Amendment #7 by answering 'no' or voting 'red'."

Speaker McPike: "Shall Amendment #7 be adopted? All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendments adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #8 offered by Representative Ryder."

Speaker McPike: "Well, the Amendment was adopted. Do you want to go back and vote on it? Okay. Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Was that by agreement, that adoption? Alright, by the way, we want to table Amendment #3. Committee Amendment #3 is tabled."

Speaker McPike: "Alright, there is a Motion to table Amendment #3. Are there any objections to the Motion? The question

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

is, 'Shall Amendment #3 be tabled?' All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Amendment #3 is tabled. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #8 offered by Representative Ryder."

Speaker McPike: "Eight is withdrawn. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #9 offered by Representative Ryder."

Speaker McPike: "Withdraw the Amendment. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #10 offered by Representative Leverenz."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Ryder. I'm sorry, Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "On Amendment #10, we would increase the budget. It is identical to Amendment 3, which was technically flawed. This Amendment adds \$2,526,517. Answer any questions and move for the adoption of Amendment #10."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #10. All those in...Any discussion? The question is, 'Shall Amendment #10 be adopted?' All tho...all in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #11 offered by Representative Ryder."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Ryder. It's withdrawn. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #12 offered by Representative Ryder."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Ryder withdraws the Amendment. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #13 offered by Representative Lighter...Ryder and Leverenz."

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

Speaker McPike: "Representative Ryder. Representative Matijevich, are you seeking recognition? Representative Ryder."

Ryder: "Mr...thank you, Mr. Speaker. This adds back 1,323,000. It restores vacant positions associated with judges, elected or to be assigned in November by the Supreme Court. It's a Agreed Amendment with Representative Leverenz."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #13 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #14 offered by Representative Wennlund."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Wennlund."

Wennlund: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This House passed out unanimously House Bill 4221 sponsored by Representative Phelps, that created a grants and aides program funding for new positions for detention...juvenile detention facilities to take effect on December 1. As many of you know, downstate juvenile detention facilities are sorely lacking personal. This Amendment adds \$600,000 for 45 new juvenile detention positions throughout the State of Illinois. With the increase of crime, and the increase in the drug...increase of drugs violations in Illinois, there's clearly a need for new juvenile detention positions to manage the juvenile criminal population in Illinois. This would make that a reality, and it has already created bipartisan support. The Amendment is supported by the Illinois Juvenile Justice Commission, Illinois Probation and Court Services Association, and the Justice Fellowship Task Force. Now, not only in Will County, but through out downstate Illinois, and I know in Representative Phelps district,

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

we're sorely lacking juvenile justice personnel. And this will go a long way. And I would ask Representative Phelps to comment on this if he would. Thank you. I move for the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Speaker, we're for it."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall the Amendment be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. House Bill 3168. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3168, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the State Attorney's Appellate Prosecutor. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1, 2, and 3 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker McPike: "Any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker McPike: "Any Floor Amendment?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #4 offered by Representative Ryder and Stephens."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Ryder."

Ryder: "I withdraw the..."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman withdraws the Amendment. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. House Bill 3171. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3171, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the state Comptroller. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 were adopted in Committee."

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

Speaker McPike: "Representative Leverenz. Do you have a Motion?"

Leverenz: "Yes, we want to move to table Amendment #5."

Speaker McPike: "Amendment #5, the Gentleman moves to table. Is there any discussion? The question is, 'Shall Amendment #5 be tabled?' All in favor say 'aye'...Representative Ryder."

Ryder: "I just have a question of the Sponsor of the Amendment, please."

Speaker McPike: "Yes."

Ryder: "Representative, I laud you on your Motion, would you care to tell me why you made this decision on the Comptrollers budget?"

Leverenz: "I'll give you two pieces of advice."

Ryder: "Thank you."

Leverenz: "Number one, judgement call. Number two, you shouldn't ask."

Ryder: "But, Representative, you forgot number three."

Leverenz: "Number three, and you brought it up again, and that's bad. Shame on you."

Ryder: "I don't think so. Thank you."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #5 be tabled?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's tabled. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #6 offered by Representative Ryder."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Ryder."

Ryder: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Amendment cuts 35,000 GRF dollars that were appropriated to the Comptroller from contractual services in the administrative division. We were unable to get a suitable explanation as to the purpose and intent of these funds, and therefore, we would ask they be deleted from the budget."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Leverenz."

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

Leverenz: "They're cutting money out which is an excellent idea.

I would oppose the Amendment and will probably ask the Gentleman to withdraw the Amendment. But in the alternative, we'll just have to defeat the Amendment."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #6. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'nos' have it. The Amendment's defeated. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #7 offered by Representative Ryder - Stephens."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Ryder."

Ryder: "Unfortunately, the Sponsor of the Bill has reduced my pleasure in being able to proceed with this Amendment. I withdraw it."

Speaker McPike: "Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #8 offered by Representative Ryder and Stephens."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Ryder."

Ryder: "This is one of the temporary Speaker's favorite additions. This is the subsidization of the Agriculture Premium Fund necessary to make the appropriate adjustments. We would move for it's adoption."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "We concur...the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall the Amendment #8 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #9 offered by Representative Leverenz."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Amendment #9 would add \$50,000 to conduct a training program for county treasurers. I move for the adoption of the Amendment."

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

Speaker McPike: "Any discussion? The question is, 'Shall Amendment #9 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #10 offered by Representative Leverenz."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "We would move to adopt this Amendment. It would restore the funding for 21 positions, and 9,770 to the ADP Division. I move for the adoption of Amendment #10."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman moves the adoption. Representative Ryder."

Ryder: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. It's my understanding, and I would make this as a question to the Sponsor, that of those 21 new positions that you are placing in the comptroller's budget at a cost of some \$660,000, that 19 of those are currently vacant. Is it true that those 19 vacancies exist?"

Leverenz: "To the best of my knowledge, they do. This would provide nine...nine months funding instead of a full year."

Ryder: "So as a consequence, we are now funding for positions that were not there, and are not there now. Is that correct?"

Leverenz: "We are funding the positions that are, in fact, are there."

Ryder: "Mr. Speaker...and I rise in opposition to this Floor Amendment. We are funding vacancies that are currently not in existence...or excuse me, vacancies which obviously not full. And I would ask that this Amendment be defeated."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #10 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #11 offered by Representative Leverenz."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Yes, Amendment #11 would provide for the...the 50 percent of the dollars to be spent in the first half, 50 percent in the second half. I...for personal services in telecommunications. This is identical to the moves that we did in 1977, and also in 1979. And it puts it in a workable format for the Comptrollers Office. I move for the adoption of Amendment #11."

Speaker McPike: "And on that, Representative Ryder."

Ryder: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. If I could have attention just for a moment, because what we have here...Ladies and Gentleman of the House, what we have here is the new modified 50/50 Amendment. During Committee, the appropriations attached each and everyone of the budgets on a partisan Roll Call. A strict absolute 50/50 Amendment. At that time, Republicans indicated that it wasn't a good idea, that it was mistrustful of government, and that it simply didn't acknowledge that which is the way the government operates. We are glad to see that our counterparts from across the aisle now understand, that the position we took in that Committee was correct. And they have been forced in some of the budget to modify the 50/50 approach. Maybe now it's 52-48, 55-45, it's a little difficult to tell, but we acknowledge and appreciate the...the flattery by taking our ideas and revising it. It is a better idea than we had in Committee, but is still not a good idea. And we would oppose it."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #11 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. House Bill 3231. Read the Bill,
Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3231, a Bill for an Act making
appropriations to the office of Auditor General. Second
Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1, 2, and 3 were adopted
in Committee."

Speaker McPike: "Any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "A Motion to table Amendment #3 by Representative
Leverenz."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "I move to table the Amendment #3 I put on in
Committee."

Speaker McPike: "Any discussion? The question is, 'Shall
Amendment #3 be tabled?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed
'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's tabled.
Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #4 offered by Representative
Ryder."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Ryder."

Ryder: "Given the fact that he's tabled three, we withdraw four."

Speaker McPike: "Four is withdrawn. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #5 offered by Representative
Ryder."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Ryder."

Ryder: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this adds
25,000 GRF to the auditor general's social security line.
It corrects a technical error that was made during the
evaluation by the Committee. I move the adoption."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman moves the adoption of Amendment
#5. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The
'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further
Amendments?"

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #6 offered by Representative Leverenz."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Thank you. Mr. Speaker, this would revise the 50/50 concept that we talked about earlier. We tabled the bad Amendment. We are adopting this Amendment #6, and I would ask leave of the House for Representative Ryder to have leave to revise and extend his remarks for the record. So we can disburse with the speech."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Ryder."

Ryder: "No speech. I'd acknowledge the compliment, and the flattery. Thank you, Representative Leverenz."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #6 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. House Bill 3264. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3264, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to various agencies. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee or Floor Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. House Bill 3271. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3271, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to various agencies. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee or Floor Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. House Bill 3272. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3272, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to various agencies. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee or Floor Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. House Bill 3273. Read the Bill,

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3273, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to various agencies. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1 offered by Representative Bowman."

Speaker McPike: "Representative...Currie."

Currie: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. This is the appropriations for the State Board of Education fiscal '91 budget for general state aide, operations and grants. In teacher's retirement, the level of funding is the Governor's recommended including allocations by the State Board of Education. I'd appreciate your support for the Amendment."

Speaker McPike: "The Lady moves for the adoption of Amendment #1. Any discussion? Any discussion? The question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2 offered by Representative Bowman."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Currie."

Currie: "Withdraw."

Speaker McPike: "Withdraw Amendment #2. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3 offered by Representative Bowman."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Currie."

Currie: "Also withdraw."

Speaker McPike: "The Lady withdraw the Amendment. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #4 offered by Representative Bowman."

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

Speaker McPike: "Representative Currie."

Currie: "This is the 50-50 Amendment for personal services, travel, commodities, printing and so forth. I urge it's adoption."

Speaker McPike: "The Lady moves the adoption of Amendment #4. And on that, Representative Stephens. The question is, 'Shall Amendment #4 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #5 offered by Representative Woolard."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Woolard."

Woolard: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is the \$132,000 appropriation to fund House Bill 3146, which was a special need for four small school districts with 200 or less students, in Franklin County and my district. I would move and encourage adoption of this Amendment."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #5 be adopted?' And on that Representative Stephens."

Stephens: "We don't have a problem with that Representative, I think we can...we can help you out with that."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #5 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #6 offered by Representative Stephens."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Stephens."

Stephens: "This Amendment is identical to Amendment #2. It makes some minor drafting...corrects some minor drafting errors. I would move it's adoption."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Currie. The Lady agrees. The

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

question is, 'Shall Amendment #6 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #7 offered by Representative Cowlishaw and Stephens."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Stephens."

Stephens: "This Amendment would transfer \$2 million from the school improvement supports line to the Special Education Reimbursement Fund. It's \$2 million for special education. I would move it's adoption."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Currie."

Currie: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Members of the House. I rise in oppositions to the proposed Amendment. The educational improvement money is the priority...the priority of the State Superintendent of Instruction. Schools today are different students today are very different, then they were 40 or 50 years ago, and this money would be allocated in order to help understand the differences between the needs of school children and their families today as against the way schools operated 40, 50 and 60 years ago. So I would strongly urge that we defeat this Amendment. I think we can substantially improve the way our schools do business in the State of Illinois. This \$2 million will help us do so."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall the Amendment #7 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'nos' have it. And the Amendment's defeated. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #8 offered by Representative Parke."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Parke. Amendment #8."

Parke: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #8 is a grant which would provide for the public and private for not for profit

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

community agencies, to provide parents of preschool children with training materials and other assistance that enables them to provide basic education at home. A number of states including Arkansas, Missouri have adopted this kind of program. Research in...in the U.S. and abroad, indicate that such programs have a significant positive impact on the subsequent academic achievement of children on the self image community involvement and educational interest of parents."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Currie."

Parke: "And that is based on Public Act 86-0852, and this will provide funding for that program."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Currie. The question is, 'Shall Amendment #8 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #9 offered by Representative Ropp."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Ropp."

Ropp: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. This one...a million dollars goes for the program that we approved last week dealing with the extension of the VIP program that allows for teachers of educational programs such as math and science to...okay, correction, that was the wrong one. Okay..."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall the Amendment be adopted?'

Ropp: "Okay, Mr. Speaker, this is the Amendment that deals with the Bill that we passed last year that allowed for the funding of the retirement system over a 40 year period. And the fact that it was our intent as well as the Governor as he signed it into law to begin funding that beginning this year. Unfortunately, in the budget that was not part

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

of the Governor's proposal. I think we need to keep our commitment and do what we established last year by law. I appreciate your support."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall the Amendment be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #10 offered by Representative Ropp."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Ropp."

Ropp: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. This is the Amendment I spoke to first. It deals with the extension of the VIP program that allows people who are teachers of math and science to go to the work place in the summer so that they could bring back that new skills in the class room in the fall. I appreciate your support."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #10 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #11 offered by Representative Stephens."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Stephens."

Stephens: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #11 makes no dollar change. We simply reduce the State Board of Education operations line by \$1,318,600 to bring it in line with the 1990 appropriations level. And then we increased the gifted education reimbursement by about \$650,000 and also reimbursed...increased the reimbursement for K to 6th Reading program by about about \$650,000. It's a...I move for its adoption."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Currie."

Currie: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I would oppose

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

this Amendment. This would cut the state board of 1.3 million, bringing...bringing it down to last year's level. And I think the State Board of Education would not look kindly upon our adoption of this Amendment."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #11 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', oppose 'no'. The 'nos' have it. And the Amendment's defeated. Further Amendments?"

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. House Bill 3338. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3338, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Department of Public Health. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 7 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker McPike: "Any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker McPike: "Is there a Motion to table Amendment #7?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motion in writing."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Currie."

Currie: "Yes, let's, let's move to table this Amendment."

Speaker McPike: "Number 7?"

Currie: "Number 7."

Speaker McPike: "The Lady moves to table Amendment #7. Is there any discussion? The question is, 'Shall Amendment #7 be tabled?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment's tabled. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #8 offered by Representative Stephens and Ryder."

Speaker McPike: "Rep...Representative Ste...Representative Ryder. Oh, Rep...Representative Stephens withdraws the Amendment. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #9 offered by Representative Morrow."

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

Speaker McPike: "Representative Morrow. Representative Morrow."
Morrow: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I wish to...I wish to table Amendment #9."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman withdraws Amendment #9. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #10 offered by Representative Bowman and Stephens."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Bowman. Or Currie. Amendment #10 is withdrawn. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #11 offered by Representative Bowman and Stephens."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move adoption of Amendment #11 which was...adds \$170,000 in Public Health service funds to various lines and division offices to health protection dues to an increase of federal ward. And we also take care of a problem at the request of the Department of Public Health."

Speaker McPike: "And on that, Representative Ryder."

Ryder: "Simply to welcome you back, Woods."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #11 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #12 offered by Representative Bowman."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #12 prohibits the Department of Public Health spending, obligating, or contracting more than 50 percent of the appropriation for personal services for travel until January 1, 1991."

Speaker McPike: "Any disc...Representative Stephens."

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

Stephens: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker. In the continuing tale of the 50/50 Amendment. Your side of the aisle has offered Amendment #12. The fact of the matter is, that in this department, a lot of this...a lot of things are seasonally. And what we're going to leave ourselves open is to making quite a...serious mistakes. Potential curtailment of the nursing home investigations and seasonal travel for environmental field inspections is going to have to be affected if this Amendment passes. We said in Committee that the 50/50 Amendment was not good government, and was not good fiscal management. And this is a perfect example of why. You can't limit dollars to a six months period and try to plan a twelve month budget. If we should be doing anything, we should be going to a twenty-four month budget. We would stand in opposition to Amendment #12."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #12 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. House Bill 3339. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3339, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Board of Comprehensive Health Insurance Plan. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker McPike: "Any Motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Currie, do you have a Motion?"

Currie: "Table #1, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker McPike: "The Lady moves to table Amendment #1. Is there any discussion? The question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 be tabled?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes'

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

have it. And the Amendment's tabled. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2 offered by Representative Stephens."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Stephens withdraws Amendment #2. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. House Bill 3340. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3340, a Bill for an Act making appropriation to the ordinary and contingent expense for the health care cost containment council. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1, 2,3, and 4 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker McPike: "Any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Currie, do you have a Motion?"

Currie: "Table Amendment #4, please."

Speaker McPike: "The Lady moves to table Amendment #4. Is there any discussion? The question is, 'Shall Amendment #4 be tabled?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Amendment #4 is tabled. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #5 offered by Representative Stephens."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Stephens. Withdraws Amendment #5. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #6 offered by Representative Bowman."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This restores \$26,300 GRF to the agency operations request. Provides funds for four new positions, and we are restoring this because of the need to fill these positions. I move it's adoption."

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

Speaker McPike: "Any discussion? Representative Stephens."

Stephens: "I too, would like would like to welcome you back."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #6 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #7 offered by Representative Bowman."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentleman of the House. This is a refined version, new, improved version of the 50/50 Amendment. Simply prohibiting the council from spending or obligating more than 50 percent of the appropriations until January 1."

Speaker McPike: "Any discussion? The question is, 'Shall Amendment...Representative Stephens.'"

Stephens: "Well, Mr. Speaker, we're not going to make this argument every time we see this Amendment. But on this particular agency it's significant. The argument, you need to understand, and I think you do, and I think you're just ignoring a good argument. Is that state government operates on a twelve month budget. You know that...you know that that's not good fiscal planning. You know that you're going to cause some problems. And I think that the people of Illinois need to recognize the fact that what you're saying is you don't trust the officers in charge of these agencies. And I think that sends a bad message to everyone involved in government. We would stand opposed."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #7 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment's adopted. We have a former Member down front with John Matijevich. Dick Hart. Further Amendments?"

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "They ask that we have Judge Ken Schrader back in back, looking for his pay raise. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. House Bill 3341. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3341, a Bill for an Act making appropriation to the Department of Public Aid. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1, 2, 3, and 8 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker McPike: "Yes, we have another former Member sitting in the rear, next to Myron Kulas. Mike Nardulli. Any Motions? Representative Currie."

Currie: "Move to table Amendment 8."

Speaker McPike: "The Lady moves to table Amendment #8. Any discussion? The question is, 'Shall Amendment #8 be tabled. All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment #8 is tabled. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #9 offered by Representative Stephens and Ryder."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman withdraws Amendment #9. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #10 offered by Representative Stephens and Ryder."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Stephens."

Stephens: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #10 eliminate \$29 million of revenue funds, and \$4 million for programs for the homeless, and \$25 million for winter clothing allowance. Now those sound like commendable ideas, and things that certainly if we had the dollars for them we would be glad to appropriate those dollars, but Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, we have to recognize

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

it's time to quit playing politics with the budget. Let's send this budget out of here with some common sense attached to it. And I have to move for the adoption of this Amendment, which makes good sense."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Satterthwaite's desk, we have former Member, Paul Stone. And on the Amendment, Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise in opposition to this Amendment. This Amen...the purpose of this Amendment is to remove several of the things that we did in the Appropriations Committee with respect to providing for winter clothing allowance, and with respect to providing for additional homeless programming. Now, we cut \$15 million out of the budget before doing any add ons, because we are mindful that this is a tight budget year. This Amendment would gut the...the work of the Appropriations Committee. It would take away clothing from poor people in the winter time. And it would take away additional programming provided for homeless persons. I believe that the public aid area, programming for homeless persons is among our very top priorities. If we can't provide for them, who can we provide for? So, I stand in opposition to this Amendment, and urge it's defeat."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #10 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'nos' have it. And the Amendment's defeated. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #11 offered by Representative Ryder."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman withdraws Amendment #11. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #12 offered by Representative

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

Ryder."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Ryder."

Ryder: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This is a non dollar change in this budget. What it does on the long term care line, originally, that combined both geriatric long term care and long term care for exclusively developmentally disabled facilities. This by using the numbers supplied by the Department of Public Aid, separates those two line item, so that we can exactly see the...the amount we're spending on long term care and on...for the geriatrics and long term care for the developmentally disabled. And I would urge its adoption."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "There is no opposition to this Amendment."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #12 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #13 offered by Representative Parke."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Parke. Amendment #13. Mr. Clerk, is that right, Representative Parke? Parke. Mr. Parke. Mr. Parke. Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Mr. Speaker, the Sponsor's already added this on another Bill. This should be withdrawn."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Bowman moves to table Amendment #13. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And Amendment's tabled. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #14 offered by Representative Currie."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Currie."

Currie: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. This is a

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

proposal to make sure we do adequate food stamp outreach in the State of Illinois. As all the Members of this House know, only half the people in this country who are eligible for food stamps actually apply and receive them. While the department has done a pilot program on food stamp outreach in one county of the state. They have failed so far to give us results of the report of that study. Informal information suggests that the program is indeed an extremely effective one. This \$500,000 that would be appropriated by Amendment #14 would be...would be funded in halves by the federal government. So, we're talking only 250,000 in state dollars. The proposal would free up some of the services that are available to private operation through private charities. The Illinois Hunger Collision tells us there's been a 145 percent increase in demand for services at emergency food pantries since 1985. This Amendment has a strong support of the City of Chicago, of the hunger collision, of all our your communities where private individuals, and human service programs are attempting to meet the need for food for hungry people. I urge its adoption."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Stephens."

Stephens: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I think the Lady's trying to address a problem that in actuality, out in the real world, doesn't exist. It's talking about spending a half a million dollars of money that we don't have, that if we're going to have to spend this money, we're going to have to take actual dollars away from public aid recipients and some other line in order to afford it. It is not a well thought out idea. And we would stand in opposition."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #14 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment's adopted. Further

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #15 offered by Representative Currie."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Currie."

Currie: "This is the 50/50 Amendment drafted in proper form. I would urge it's adoption."

Speaker McPike: "The Lady for moves the adoption of Amendment #15. The question is, 'Shall Amendment 15 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendment?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. House Bill 3342. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3342, a Bill for an Act making appropriation to the Department of Mental Health and Developmental Disability. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1, 2, 3, and 4 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker McPike: "Returning to House Bill 3341. Representative Stephens has a request to bring House Bill 3341 back to Second Reading in order to table Amendment #3. The Gentleman moves the Bill to Second Reading. Representative Currie on a Motion."

Currie: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would move to table Amendment #3. Amendment 3 in Committee added \$25 million to the budget for purposes of a winter clothing allowance. The Bill that would have given some substantive authority for that program will not pass this Legislature. And so I would move to take 25 million out of the budget. And that's the effect of the tabling Motion."

Speaker McPike: "The Lady moves to table Amendment #3. The question is, 'Shall Amendment #3 be tabled?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And Amendment #3 is tabled. Further Motions? Third Reading.

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

Now, back to House Bill 3342, has the Bill been read a second time?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Bill has been read a second time. Amendments #1, 2, 3, and 4 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker McPike: "Any Motions? Representative Currie on a Motion."

Currie: "Table #4."

Speaker McPike: "The Lady moves to table Amendment #4. The question is, 'Shall Amendment #4 be tabled?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Amendment #4 is tabled. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #5 offered by Representative Stephens and Ryder."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Stephens. Withdraws Amendment #5. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #6 offered by Representative Leitch."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Leitch."

Leitch: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would like to withdraw Amendment #6 and 7, please."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman withdraws Amendment #6 and Amendment #7. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #8 offered by Representative Barnes."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Amendment is to deal with the inequities of the distribution for persons with mental illness and development disabilities. What it will do, it'll allocate the 2.579 million from the deletions of these areas of the state that are being treated the most unfairly. Region #2, the North Suburban will receive 750,700. The South Suburban, 931.300. And Southern Illinois, 897.800. The

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

way it had been distributed, the City of Chicago per capita, received \$28.96. While in Northern Cook County suburbs, Kendall, Kane and McHenry, only received \$16.70 per capita. The Southern Cook Counties suburbs in DuPage, Will, Kankakee, and Grundee Counties received only \$15.16 per capita. The 33 counties in Southern Illinois received \$19.87 per capita, including only \$17.34 per capita in the Metro East area, St. Clair, Madison, and Monroe Counties. And this Amendment will clarify the inequities in the distribution."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I stand in opposition to this Amendment. Not because these regions don't deserve some assistance, but because in order to get the numbers to work out, they're taking money away from the Cook County jail and the City of Chicago. Now, they're taking two million from Chicago, and \$579,000 from Cook County jail. It seems to me that this is simply going to set...set in motion a chain reaction in which will ultimately reverse and result in everything being reversed. And I think we can save ourselves a lot trouble by defeating this Amendment right now."

Speaker McPike: "Representative McNamara."

McNamara: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise in support of this measure. This is...this is merely an issue in order to establish some fairness to all parts of this state including the suburban Cook County area. It is important that this funding is necessary because of the person that is mentally ill needs no more or less services in one part of the state than the other. This is a very equitable Amendment. I urge its adoption."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Stephens."

Stephens: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker. I too, rise in support

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

of the Lady's Motion. I would request a Roll Call vote on this. This is a very good idea of where we stand budgetary wise, and whether we want to bail out the City of Chicago and their hospitals, or whether we want to treat people fairly throughout the state."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Barnes, do you wish to close? Briefly."

Barnes: "Well, Mr...Mr. Speaker, I think I explained the Amendment quite thoroughly. It affects all parts of the state, and if we are going to have equity, this Amendment is extremely necessary. I would suggest an 'aye' vote."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #8 be adopted?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Representative Leverenz, to explain his vote."

Leverenz: "Well, as I said before. I have no idea what this does. But because of the Sponsor, I reluctantly rise to oppose Amendment #8. And because we didn't think it up, it's got to be a bad Amendment."

Speaker McPike: "Thank you. Representative Ryder to explain his vote."

Ryder: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I...I have not heard a single speech on the floor as this House, that is as honest, that is as true as the one that Representative that spoke just before me said. It can't be a good idea because we didn't think of it. If that's the very best argument that he can give against this, we ought to have a whale of a lot of 'green' votes then we do, and I think it's about time we took the Roll, don't you, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker McPike: "Well, I had to recognize you, Representative Ryder. You want to explain your vote."

Ryder: "I appreciate the courtesy, but I'm done, and I'd like for you to finish as well."

Speaker McPike: "Alright, Representative Leverenz."

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I don't know that he...he may have been talking about me. Quite often it's been said I'm honest. I don't know about being crude. I don't know that I've ever talked to him very crudely. As a matter of fact, the longer I talk, the more 'green' the board gets. I don't quite understand that. I think we have a few people that are going in the wrong direction."

Speaker McPike: "Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this...on this Amendment, there are 65 'ayes', 49 'nos'. And the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #9 offered by Representative Bowman."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. We examined the Mental Health budget very carefully to determine how much money we think will lapse in certain line items. And in particular, in the overline items, because this program is starting up, and is expanding very rapidly. And there is no way in the world that a program undergoing that rapid expansion will be able to expend all the money that was requested by the department. So, based on our experience from the prior year, we anticipate a \$5 million lapse, at a minimum. And so this Amendment reduces the budget by the amount of \$5 million. I urge its adoption."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Stephens."

Stephens: "Representative Bowman, I rise in support, and I think anytime you can help community centers, your doing alright. You're a good man."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall the Amendment be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Amendment #9 is adopted. Further

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #10 offered by Representative Bowman."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "We have seen this amended before. It's the 50/50 Amendment. I move it's adoption."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Stephens."

Stephens: "Well, maybe I was to hasty in my judgement. The Representative...in this particular budget, we're talking about a very serious problem that you can create. The...the Illinois State Psyc...Psychiatric Facility is being renovated, and installing new dietary programs for several state facilities. These project require the execution of contracts for periods exceeding six months. The 50 percent limitation would make it impossible for the department ot execute these contracts, and the results would be a postponement of these very important projects, and inflation of the project cost. For this and other reasons that I've stated earlier and we've got two pages of reasons that this is a bad idea on this particular appropriation Bill. I have to rise in opposition. We again won't make this speech every time, but this is one you should leave alone.'

Speaker McPike: "Representative Bowman. Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Well, unfortunatly the Gentleman was referring to a former Amendment which was tabled. This Amendment is a new improved version. It's more finely crafted, and is limited to such things as personal services and travel and printing and those things which are expended uniformly over time. It does not apply for example, the contractual services, and would not have a...any impact on the problem he is calling attention to. So...the...he's off base with his remarks on this Amendment. I urge it's adoption."

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #10 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #11 offered by Representative Leitch."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Leitch."

Leitch: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #11 would add \$1.2 million to the Department of Mental Health budget for the programming and development of a second high maximum security forensic unit. It, to deal with, the forensic patients in Illinois. There is a crisis that is building in the Department of Mental Health with regards to it's ability to care for it's forensic patients. It's a crisis that is not...not received a level of attention perhaps that the prison overcrowding situation has received, but in many ways especially given some of the developments that are occurring within Mental Health these days. It's...it's a high priority that is not had the attention that it deserves. We presently have in Illinois 730 forensic patients. We have beds for 670 of these maximum security people. As many Members of the Body would know, for a long period of time, all...virtually all forensic patients were kept in a maximum security area in Chester. However, there have been two recent developments which have impacted this situation and caused a very dramatic increase and an increasing percent of forensic patients. First of all, the action taken by the General Assembly not too long ago to create the not guilty, but mentally ill finding. So, whereas the choice used to be either be not guilty by reason of insanity and thereby send a person, a sick person to...make them free or to find them guilty and put them in

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

prison. I think it was wise of the General Assembly to come up with a not guilty but mentally ill verdict. However, what this has done, is greatly increased the number of forensic patients that are coming into the system that the Department of Mental Health has to deal with. Secondly, a few years ago, there was, in a federal court district, decision which said that since these forensic patients were never convicted of a crime, they can not all be taken to Chester and put in maximum security even if there were room. So, as a result of this court order, the Department of Mental Health has been scrambling to put these wings throughout our state, so that today in Singer there are 25 units, in Alton there are 55, in Zellers in Peoria there are 25, McFarland has 25, Chester has 300, in Elgin..."

Speaker McPike: "Bring...bring your remarks to a close, Sir."

Leitch: "Alright, we need in Peoria had one of these patients recently escaped go on a crime spree and it's a totally unappropriate place and...the Department of Mental Health has had this as an priority. It's been a causality of the...our budget to date, but I appreciate very much support for this Amendment to get this very necessary program under way for our state."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentleman of the House. I rise in opposition to the Amendment. It seems like whenever there's a 'gravy train' rolling through here, someone tries to get on board. Here we are with a pork barrel Amendment, that makes additions to the budget without making any reductions else where. At least the previous Amendment had the guts to try and make reductions in other portions of the budget, so as to balance every thing out. Here we have a...a straight add on. It's a pork

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

barrel project. I hope that...it'd be nice if every district could get a big project like this, but unfortunately they can't. This is the sort of thing that is best left to the planning of the department, because only the department is...has at it's disposal the kinds of facts and figures that are necessary to make the projections and to determine in what part of the state it should be constructed. So, until such time as we are able to determine exactly the geographic distribution of our needs, I urge that we defeat this Amendment."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "The Gentleman has perhaps a good idea, and it may not even be before it's time, and the fact of the matter is, I believe the money is a 1.2 million in general revenue. And should be in the CDB budget in...say that you could bond it rather than burn up general revenue. I would hope that we would defeat the Amendment."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Ryder."

Ryder: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. For purposes of a later reference, could we mark Representative Bowman's speech as a 'gravy train' speech, because I think we'll refer to it in later times. Thank you."

Speaker McPike: "Yes. The question is, 'Shall Amendment #11 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'nos' have it. The Amendment's defeated. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #12 offered by Representative Leitch."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Leitch."

Leitch: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Recognizing the state of our budget, and constraints on our funds, the \$200,000 Amendment that is represented in Amendment #12 would permit the department to get under way with the planning and

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

development that was alluded to moments ago by Representative Bowman. By the way, for me to be accused of having a pork barrel on this one, is beyond the pale, and that the center is needed according to the department in the Chicago, or suburban Chicago area, and would not be built in central Illinois. So, it's a very important state wide issue. And I would ask for your support."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Stephens."

Stephens: "Just to rise in support of the Amendment. This is not a 'gravy train'. This is simply to study the issue. Representative Bowman, I hope you will rise too and support this. This affects each and every one of our district, and \$200,000 to study this important issue is money well spent. And I would ask for a Roll Call."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The Lady...the 'gravy train' is referring to is prisons. This is a mental health equivalent to prisons. We've been building prisons at a fantastic rate. We can't seem to build them fast enough, and we all reached an understanding that the time has come to look for other alternatives. Now, this is just a mental health equivalent to that. So, what the Gentleman is proposing to do is cash in on a trend that has been established in the Department of Corrections. And just trying to multiply that in other departments. I think that that shows nothing more than fad following. And we should defeat this Amendment the same as the previous one."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Leitch."

Leitch: "I would like very much to emphasize to the Body that this is not a local district issue necessarily for me. This is absolutely a state issue. And for those of you who know more about mental health issues than I do, I certainly know enough presently to know that with the trend of the

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

community living arrangement, with the whole effort to put folks back mainstream to the extent possible, it is absolutely horrendous public policy to ignore this forensic problem. I think it is a very serious issue, and I would ask for a Roll Call please."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #12 be adopted?' All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "So, everyone can hold their head up on the Amendment, I would suggest that as we said on 11, two part problems exist. One this should be in the CDB Bill because it is a construction project. Two, it should not be split in architectural or planning, be separate from the whole project. So, we do not see the entire project there. I would support the Gentleman if he would put it in the CDB budget. It is not appropriate to have this money here. Second, if it's in his district and he thinks it's a good idea, 'pork' is 'pork', and I would be happy to stand up for 'pork' in my district. Because the Governor doesn't stop and say, what do you need in your district? They craft a budget without any input from us, and this is where we put input in, and that is exactly what we're doing now. Vote 'red'."

Speaker McPike: "Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Amendment, there are 54 'ayes', and 59 'nos'. And the Amendment is defeated. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. House Bill 3343. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3343, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Medical Center Commission. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1 and 2 were adopted in

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

Committee."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Currie."

Currie: "Move to table Amendment 2."

Speaker McPike: "The Lady moves to table Amendment #2. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Amendment #2 is tabled. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3..."

Speaker McPike: "Is withdrawn by Representative Stephens. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #4 offered by Representative Bowman."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Thank you, Ladies and Gentleman of the House. This is a terrific Amendment. What it does is replaces a laborer with a lawyer. Laborers make almost as much as lawyers, and so it's just about an even trade. So, I move adoption."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #4 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #5 offered by Representative Bowman."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Thank you. This is the 50/50 Amendment. And I'd be happy to incorporate Representative Stephens' former remarks by reference."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall this Amendment be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. House Bill 3344. Read the Bill,

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3344, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Department of Aging. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Currie."

Currie: "Move to table Amendment 5."

Speaker McPike: "The Lady moves to table Amendment #5. No discussion. The question is, 'Shall Amendment #5 be tabled?' All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Amendment #5 is tabled. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Motion to table Amendment #1 by Shirley Jones and Lou Jones."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Shirley Jones. A Motion to table Amendment #1. Representative Shirley Jones here? Representative...yes, Representative Jones. Do you wish to table Amendment #1, Representative Jones? Alright, the Lady withdraws her Motion. Are there...fur...any other Motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Motions."

Speaker McPike: "Alright, further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #6 offered by Representative Stephens."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Stephens. Withdraws it. Amendment #6."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #7 offered by Representative Stephens."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Stephens."

Stephens: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #7 eliminates over \$7 million in GRF for an increase in payment rates for homemakers and chore housekeeper services. Again admirable concept, if we're going to pass a responsible bu...budget

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

out of this legislature, we're going to have to deal with tough issues like this. Let's deal with it now. Let's be realistic. Let's cut this \$7 million out, so that we can balance the budget. I move it's adoption."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentleman of the House. This Amendment is necessary because of the increase in the minimum wage. We have fought this battle before in the...on the floor. And last year, additional funds were added because the...these people were grossly underpaid as it is, and now the minimum wage is going up and they must receive an increase in their salaries. However, in deference to the budgetary problems, we are making this appropriation effective on January 1st. So that at least the incoming Governor will be able to review this and make a determination at that time if he wishes to proceed with this expenditure. So, I...at this time, I rise in opposition to the Gentleman's Amendment. It seems to me that this is an issue that we need to get on the agenda of the next Governor. And so this Amendment should stay on the Bill. I urge it's defeat."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #7 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'nos' have it. The Amendment's defeated. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #8 offered by Representatives Shirley and Lou Jones."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Jones."

Jones, S.: "Mr. Speaker, will you withdraw...Amendment #8, please?"

Speaker McPike: "Yes, Amendment #8 is withdrawn. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #9 offered by Lou Jones and Shirley

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

Jones."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Shirley Jones."

Jones, S.: "Mr. Speaker, Amendment #9 is...is Adult Day Care Amendment. And we would like for one thousand...\$1,336,264 to go...effective January 1, 1991 to the Department on Aging for increasing of grants to adult day care service."

Speaker McPike: "And on that, Representative Stephens."

Stephens: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Amendment is very interesting. This brings up a good point. You talk about building in increases in the out years, this is a great example. You got a \$1,300,000 program that's going to be funded effective January 1st, so it's not only going to give the next Governor a terrible problem that he's got to deal with, whether he's going to be able to afford this or not. But secondarily, in the out years this is automatically doubles in cost if there's no increase for inflation or other reasons or in service needs. Automatically doubles in the second year, and that's how government growth gets out of hand. We're going...if we could keep this in and balance the budget, it would automatically cause an unbalanced budget in 1992. Again, you come up with an idea, you'll put out a press release saying, that this is a great for adult day care centers. The fact of the matter is, that you're lying to these people. we shouldn't be adopting Amendments like this. It's irresponsible, spending money you don't have, and it's unfair to which ever Governor we elect in November."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentleman of the House. I rise in support of the Lady's Motion for two reasons. One is that it's been shown over and over again that adult day care is very cost effective, because this

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

permits individuals and families to care for their aged loved ones at home, and still maintain full time employment during the day. This gives families a setting for their loved ones during the day while they're going out and working. And this prevents the expenditure of other monies in more costly programs. The second reason I support it is because Amendment #9 comes effective January 1st, and again what we're doing here is putting the...this item on the agenda of the next Governor, I believe it's an important one, and consequently deserves the support of the General Assembly so we can tell the next Governor that we think this is one of the things that should be one a top priority in the next administration. I urge it's adoption."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #9 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #10 offered by Representative Bowman."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is the new, improved 50/50 Amendment that it limited only to personal services and travel, and I acknowledge Representative Stephens earlier comments."

Speaker McPike: "Any discussion? There being none. The question is, 'Shall Amendment #10 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "There are no further Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. House Bill 3391. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 3391, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. Second Reading of the Bill. There are no

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

Committee or Floor Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. House Bill 3392. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 3392, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the Office of State Treasurer. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker McPike: "Any Motions filed?"

Clerk Leone: "First Motion to table Amendment #4 by offered Representative Leverenz."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "I thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move to table Amendment #4. I was a little overzealous in Committee."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman moves to table Amendment #4. Is that correct? The question is, 'Shall Amendment #4 be tabled?' All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's tabled. Further Motions? Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "A Motion to table Amendment #5. Withdraw the Motion."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman withdraws that Motion. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment..."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "We can't just withdraw that. We want to table it. So we want to table Amendment #5 that's the...incorrect 50/50 Amendment."

Speaker McPike: "Alright, the Gentleman moves to table Amendment #5. On that, no discussion. The question is, 'Shall Amendment #5 be tabled?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's tabled. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #6 offered by Representatives Ryder and Stephens."

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

Speaker McPike: "Representative Ryder withdraws Amendment #6.
Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #7 offered by Representative Leverenz."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Thank you. Amendment #7 is the new 50/50 Amendment and it touches sections I and VI, only. And leave for Representative Ryder to revise and extend his remarks."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Ryder."

Ryder: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and thank you, to the previous Speaker for leave in order to ask him some questions. I notice that this modified 50/50 Amendment is even different from others. Primarily, a...our reading of it indicates that the...you had some concerns about state bond indebtedness. Representative, I was wondering how this Amendment is different from the other Amendments? After your photo opportunity is completed."

Leverenz: "What was your question?"

Ryder: "Finish your photo opportunity. I will be glad to wait."

Leverenz: "That's okay, it's better why I'm talking. What was your question?"

Ryder: "The question is that this Amendment is different from your other modified 50/50."

Leverenz: "That is correct."

Ryder: "Tell me how it effects state bonded indebtedness."

Leverenz: "Later."

Ryder: "No, now."

Leverenz: "I believe that's Section VI, and I understand that the Comptroller...or the Treasurer's Office rather can live with that."

Ryder: "What are the Comptroller's Office say about the state bonding rating when we're placing restriction on the ability to repay monies. Is that effected?"

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

Leverenz: "Would you repeat that?"

Ryder: "Be glad to. What did the Comptroller's Office indicate...we're...we're dealing with indebtedness here. We borrow money all the time based on a rating. The 50/50 Amendment, even as you have indicated, it places on some restrictions on the ability to replay. I'm concerned about the state bonded...bonds rating. Tell me how this Amendment deals with that concern about state rating."

Leverenz: "They were concerned with Sections II through V, that same concern is not here. Therefore, I think the question in the first place is invalid. But the alternative, you can talk to a representative from their office. John is right behind me. They say they can live with the Amendment."

Ryder: "Does your Amendment directly effect Section VI of the Bill?"

Leverenz: "Yes, that's what I said. One and six."

Ryder: "Thank you."

Leverenz: "I don't think I stutter."

Ryder: "Representative, of all the things that could be said of you, stuttering is not on the list. Thank you."

Leverenz: "I really appreciate your former remarks when you said I was honest. Your previous remarks, they said I was honest. But I would move for the adoption of the Amendment. We can get on with it."

Ryder: "I sa...I would agree with that."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #7. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. House Bill 3394. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 3394, a Bill for an Act appropriating funds in relationship to the Build Illinois Program. Second Reading of the Bill. There are no Committee or Floor Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. House Bill 3453. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 3453, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Office of State Appellate Defender. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1, 2, and 3 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Leverenz on a Motion."

Leverenz: "Yes, we wish to table...move to table Amendment #3, your Honor."

Speaker Mcpike: "The Gentleman moves for the tabling of Amendment #3. All in favor signify by say....Just a minute, Representative Leverenz. The House will be at ease for a second. The Illinois Arts Council Reception tonight will be extended until 8 p.m., so that all Members on the floor can attend. That comes from the executive director and the chairman. The Gentleman moves to...the House is back in order. Representative Ryder. Mr. Ryder."

Ryder: "Speaker, it is a courtesy that's extended to many former Members of this General Assembly to be recognized when they're on the floor. And I noticed the discourtesy that you paid not to former Member, but to the real power behind the Speaker when she was on the floor, and you didn't introduce her. And I want to take you to task for that. Not as much as some other folks will probably do, but good luck, guy."

Speaker McPike: "When she left here, she was very happy. Representative Leverenz has Motioned to table Amendment #...Amendment #3. Is that correct? The question is, 'Shall Amendment #3 be tabled?' All those in favor say

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is tabled. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #4 being offered by Representative Ryder and Stephens."

Speaker McPike: "They withdraw Amendment #4. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #5 being offered by Representative Breslin."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Breslin."

Breslin: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #5 if offered to correct some miscalculations in the original Appropriation Bill dealing with contractual services, travel, commodities."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Ryder."

Ryder: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. We request a Roll Call vote on this, and we also stand in opposition. We believe that just like some of the previous speakers have indicated that we do not...do not have the funds. These dollars were removed in Committee. They should stay removed. We ask for a Roll Call on this Amendment."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Breslin."

Breslin: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'm sorry to disagree with Representative Ryder, but these amounts were not taken out in Committee. These amounts...well I guess part of them were taken out in Committee, but not all. But they were miscalculations. The contractual services item for instance miscalculated what the rent should be, because they did not take into consideration the fact that there are going to be new rents paid during the next year. Now, don't shake your head. For both the offices in region two and region three, they have new offices and have new contracts for that rent, and the previous...the Amendments that were put on in the first, in the Committee, did not

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

have the right rents to use as their base. So it is silly to pass a Bill that doesn't have the correct figures to use as the base. The same for the travel and the same for the commodities. So I ask that the Amendment be adopted."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #5 be adopted?' All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Representative...have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Amendment there are 61 'ayes', 47 'nos', and the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #6 offered by Representative Leverenz."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Thank you. Amendment #6 is the new 50/50 Amendment. And would also split the amounts that were just adopted in #...Amendment #5, and if Amendment #5 had any flaws in it, it was because the agency submitted inaccurate ISL's. I move for the adoption of Amendment #6."

Speaker McPike: "Any discussion? The question is, 'Shall Amendment #6 be adopted?' Representative Breslin."

Breslin: "A question to the Sponsor?"

Speaker: "Yes."

Breslin: "What...Representative Leverenz, what does Amendment #6 do? And how come nobody talked to me about this?"

Leverenz: "You know, I didn't know I was supposed to check with you. However, with all of the Bills that have moved though the Appropriations Committee and that have been brought to the House floor, today in general, we have been tabling the bad 50/50 Amendments. Now, I know you have both on the floor and off the floor, and this is the new Amendment 50/50 that we have been talking about which Representative Ryder has complimented this side of the aisle, since we have found the error of our ways. And I would hope that

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

you, not being informed would not misguide your intentions and that you would support the Democratic offered Amendment #6."

Breslin: "Yes, and I supported the other Amendment as well. I stand in support of the Amendment I think I misinterpreted your explanation of the Amendment. Thank you."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Ryder."

Ryder: "Just in case the Sponsor of the Bill is wondering, they didn't talk to us either, Peg."

Speaker McPike: "The question is 'Shall Amendment #6 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, and the Amendments adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "There are no further Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. House Bill 3457, Representative Ryder's Bill. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 3457, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Capitol Development Board. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1, 2 and 3 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker McPike: "Any Motions filed?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Leverenz, do you have a Motion?"

Leverenz: "Did you call on me?"

Speaker McPike: "Yes, Sir."

Leverenz: "Is that why my light's on?"

Speaker McPike: "Yes, Sir."

Leverenz: "Now, I understand the system here. I now move to table Committee Amendment #1."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman moves to table Amendment #1. The question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 be tabled?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's tabled. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #4 offered by Representative Ryder

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

and Stephens."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Ryder withdraws Amendment #4. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #5 offered by Representative Leverenz."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "I reluctantly offer Amendment #5. It's the new 50/50 that I didn't check with Representative Ryder on. But I hope he understands my short sightedness, and I now move to adopt Amendment #5."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #5 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. House Bill 3458. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 3458, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Capitol Development Board. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee."

Speaker McPike: "Motion by Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "I now move to table Committee Amendment #1."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman moves to table Amendment #1. The question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 be tabled?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is tabled. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2 offered by Representative Ryder and Stephens."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Ryder withdraws Amendment #2. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor...Floor Amendment #3 offered by Representative Hartke."

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

Hartke: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #3 is an appropriation of \$25,000 for a study for a possible regional office building in Effingham, Illinois."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Ryder."

Ryder: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. We stand in opposition to this. I would like to draw the attention of the Assembly to a previous speech given that was there after labeled as a 'gravy train' speech. We are on the Capitol Development Board budget for improvements. We have many Amendments. Some of which are sponsored by Republicans, the majority of which are sponsored by Democrats. These are individual Member's projects. We are standing in opposition to them, and we are requesting a Roll Call vote. I think it's just by happenstance that Representative Hartke was the first one on, and I apologize to him. But when it's coming to individual Member project, sometimes known by another name, having him first up, seemed only appropriate."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise in support of Amendment #3. For some fairly good reason. We have tried to, I think, do this now for two years. We have worked through the Department of Central Management Services. And I further think it's the right thing to do. But here's the point. The Gentleman is trying to say, there was a previous speech with regard to 'gravy train', etc. The fact of the matter is, that the Members of the General Assembly do not get an opportunity to talk with the agencies generally before they submit their budgets to the Bureau of the Budget. The Governor's office does not come around and check with us to see what we need in our districts. The budget is submitted and it is our prerogative to look and support those things in our districts that we feel are of a timely nature, and

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

represent good government. This is not general revenue. And I believe it's the right thing to do. So the Gentleman shouldn't try to give a blanket indictment to Democrat or Republican Amendments that are Member add ons and try to taint them as the bad things that he feels they are. So I'd ask that you all support Representative Hartke in Amendment #3."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Ryder, did you ask for a Roll Call? Representative Hartke to close."

Hartke: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. The last year and the year before, I submitted Amendments and so forth, and they made it as far as the Governor's desk. We have many, many office buildings in Effingham, and the space needs there in a growing community are such that we think a regional office complex is a feasible idea. And this is \$25,000 for a study to determine those space needs, whether it is possible. So, I'd appreciate your support."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #3 be adopted?' All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion, there are 60 'ayes', 48 'nos', and Amendment #3...Representative Lou Jones votes 'aye'. 61 'ayes'. Amendment #3 is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #4 offered by Representative Giglio."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Giglio."

Giglio: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Amendment...what is it 1.9, I think it is for a school in my district, which is I think the second or third poorest school district in the state. And they need it for the gymnasium and I would ask for your favorable support."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Ryder stand in opposition and

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

asks for a Roll Call. The question is, 'Shall Amendment #4 be adopted?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Amendment, there are...Representative Woolard votes 'aye'. On this Amendment, there are 60 'ayes', 53 'nos'. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #5 offered by Representative Giglio."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Giglio."

Giglio: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is...this is another Amendment for a million dollars for another school for it's rehabilitation. And I would ask for your favorable support."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Ryder the same request.
Representative Ryder."

Ryder: "The same request, but I also have a question of the Sponsor."

Speaker McPike: "Proceed."

Ryder: "Representative, this school that your asking for us to build, where's the school to be located?"

Giglio: "In Calumet City."

Ryder: "Is that in your district?"

Giglio: "Yes, it is."

Ryder: "Thank you."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #5 be adopted?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. Speaker, 'aye'. McPike, 'aye'. Giglio, 'aye'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. Representative Granberg. Change him from 'no' to 'aye'. Representative Homer votes 'aye'. Further? Representative Currie, 'aye'. The Chair's going to ask

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

everybody to vote their own switch because we're going to verify these, so...have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Amendment, there are 50...on this Amendment, there are 57 'ayes', 56 'nos'. And Representative Ryder has asked for a verification."

Clerk Leone: "Poll of those voting in the affirmative. Balanoff. Black. Bowman. Breslin. Brunsvold. Bugielski. Capparelli. Currie. DeJaegher. DeLeo. Dunn. Edley. Flinn. Flowers. Giglio. Giorgi. Granberg. Hartke. Hicks. Homer. Lou Jones. Shirley Jones. Keane. Kraska. Kulas. Lang. Laurino. LeFlore. Levin. Martinez. Matijevich. McGann. McPike. Morrow. Munizzi. Novak. Phelps. Preston. Rice. Richmond. Ronan. Saltsman. Santiago. Shaw. Steczo. Stern. Sutker. Terzich. Trotter. Turner. VanDuyne. White. Williams. Wolf. Anthony Young. Wyvetter Younge. And Mr. Speaker."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Ryder."

Ryder: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Representative Mulcahey."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Mulcahey is not voting."

Ryder: "Representative Kulas."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Kulas. Remove the Gentleman from the Roll."

Ryder: "Representative Ronan."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Ronan. He's right down front."

Ryder: "Representative VanDuyne."

Speaker McPike: "Representative VanDuyne. Remove the Gentleman from the Roll."

Ryder: "Representative Hicks."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Hicks. Representative Hicks. Remove the Gentleman from the Roll."

Ryder: "Representative Flowers."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Flowers is in the rear."

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

Ryder: "Representative Morrow."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Morrow. Representative Morrow.
He's back there."

Ryder: "Representative Williams."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Williams. Paul Williams. Is the Gentleman here? Remove him from the Roll."

Ryder: "Representative Levin."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Kulas has returned, vote him 'aye'. Representative Hicks has returned, vote him 'aye'."

Ryder: "Representative Levin."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Levin. Mr. Levin.
Representative Ellis Levin, The Gentleman here? The Gentleman here? The Gentleman's not here, remove him from the roll."

Ryder: "Representative Phelps."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Phelps. Representative Phelps. There he is."

Ryder: "Representative Sutker."

Speaker McPike: "Rep...he's here."

Ryder: "Representative Woolard."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Woolard here? He's voting 'no'. He's here and he's voting 'no'."

Ryder: "Just wanted...just wanted to recognize that. Thank you.
No further."

Speaker McPike: "Nothing further. Anyone like...seeking...change your vote. Representative Giglio has asked verification of the negative. Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "Verification of those voting in the negative.
Ackerman. Barger. Barnes. Churchill. Countryman.
Cowlishaw. Curran. Daniels. Deuchler. Didrickson.
Doederlein. Ewing. Virginia Frederick. Goforth.
Hallock. Hannig. Har...Harris. Hasara. Hensel.
Hoffman. Hultgren. Johnson. Kirkland. Klemm. Kubik.

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day May 16, 1990

Leitch. Leverenz. Mautino. McAuliffe. McCracken.
McNamara. Noland. Bob Olson. Myron Olson. Parcells.
Parke. Bernard Pedersen. William Peterson. Petka.
Piel. Pullen. Regan. Ropp. Ryder. Sieban. Stange.
Stephens. Tenhouse. Wait. Weaver. Weller. Wennlund.
Williams. Wojcik. Woolard. And Zickus."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Giglio."

Giglio: "Has Representative Curran?"

Speaker McPike: "Who?"

Giglio: "Mike Curran."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Curran. Remove the Gentleman from the Roll. Any thing else?"

Giglio: "Representative Hannig."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Hannig. Remove the Gentleman...there he is."

Giglio: "Representative Ropp."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Ropp. He's here."

Giglio: "Representative Harris."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Harris. Is the Gentleman here? Mr. Harris. Remove him from the Roll."

Giglio: "Ackerman."

Speaker McPike: "He's here."

Giglio: "Representative Mautino."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Mautino. Remove the Gentleman from the Roll."

Giglio: "Ewing."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Davis would like to vote 'aye'. Representative Ewing. He's here."

Giglio: "Representative McAuliffe."

Speaker McPike: "Representative McAuliffe is here."

Giglio: "Wennlund."

Speaker McPike: "He's here."

Giglio: "Williamson."

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

Speaker McPike: "She's here."

Giglio: "Peterson."

Speaker McPike: "Who?"

Giglio: "Peterson."

Speaker McPike: "Which one?"

Giglio: "Both of them."

Speaker McPike: "Let's see. William Peterson. William Peterson.

I don't see him, is he here? Remove him from the Roll."

Giglio: "Churchill."

Speaker McPike: "He's here."

Giglio: "That's all."

Speaker McPike: "On this Amendment, there are 55 'ayes', and 52 'nos' and the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #6 offered by Representative White."

Speaker McPike: "Representative White."

White: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #6 to House Bill 3458 seeks to provide \$5 million to Steinmetz Wellness and Sports Center. It's a high school in Chicago. The young people were here a few weeks ago a Resolution was introduced on their behalf. It's a general high school in Chicago. I should say it's a general high school in within the next three years, it will be an academy. One of a kind in the nation. Much like the Math and Science Academy in Aurora, Illinois. And I think it's a worthwhile program. One that is designed to get the young people from suburbia and from the private sector back into the public school sector. And we find that when a young person has an opportunity to select a school of his choice, then all of us will be the beneficiaries of that. So, I move for the adoption of Amendment #6 to House Bill 3458."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Ryder."

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

Ryder: "Mr. Speaker, a question of the Sponsor."

Speaker McPike: "Yes."

Ryder: "Representative, you indicated that this would be located in Chicago, is this in your district as well?"

White: "Could you repeat the question?"

Ryder: "You indicated that this project would be located in Chicago. Would it also be located in your district as well?"

White: "No, it's located in Chicago. It's part of the Chicago public school system. It is not in my district. It's in Representative DeLeo's district, and it's endorsed by the United States Olympia Academy, the Big Ten, and once we have a large segment of the our population who are involved in coming up with some funds in support of this program, the Hospital Association, the doctors, the pharmacists, the entire social program is in support of this...this program."

Ryder: "Thank you for your answer, Representative. Mr. Speaker, we stand in opposition. We request a Roll Call."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #6 be adopted?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. Representative Woolard votes 'aye'. Representative Tenhouse votes 'no'. Anyone else? Representative Black did you want to vote? I can't see you. Votes 'no'. Representative Black votes 'no'. Representative Olson votes...Robert Olson votes 'no'. On this Amendment, Amendment #6, there are 64 'ayes', and 50 'nos'. Would you take the record. 64 'ayes', 50 'nos', and the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #7 offered by Representative Woolard."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Woolard."

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

Woolard: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, this is a Bill to appropriate hund...\$230,000 for the repair of the Hearing Impaired School, located in Marion. This school was developed with Capitol Development Board money when it was first conceived and they have some repairs and no taxing authority, and I think this is something that definitely needs attention of the House. This is a school that covers 27 downstate counties. Appreciate an 'aye' vote."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Ryder."

Ryder: "Thank you. Would the Sponsor yield for a question, please?"

Speaker McPike: "Yes."

Ryder: "Representative, you indicated this was for the school for the hearing impaired. Is that operated by the State of Illinois or the Department of Rehabilitative Services?"

Woolard: "It's actually operated under the jurisdiction of the Marion Unit School District, but it's operated with state funds and also every student who attends is paid...they pay a tuition from the school districts that are in attendance."

Ryder: "Is it K thru 12?"

Woolard: "Yes, it is."

Ryder: "And therefore, this school is in competition with the Illinois School for the Deaf? Is that correct?"

Woolard: "It's not in competition, no. Positively not. It serves a very important need in the southern sector in the state."

Ryder: "The building that you're asking, would be within your district, is that correct."

Woolard: "It is within my district, but its representative of 27 counties."

Ryder: "Oppose. Roll Call."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Hartke."

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

Hartke: "Mr. Speaker, I stand in support of this Amendment. You know, this school serves 27 counties in southern Illinois, and the building is indeed need of major repair and maintenance. I think this is a reasonable Amendment, and I think we ought to all support it."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #7 be adopted?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Amendment, there are 68 'ayes', 44 'nos'. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #8 is being offered by Representative Leverenz."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #8 would appropriate \$2,740,000 in Capitol Development Funds for the renovation of the Walker Branch of the Chicago Library. I move for the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Ryder."

Ryder: "Congratulations to the Sponsor of this Amendment. We have just now hit \$2.7 million. Some of the smaller items up until now, may have not been of consequence, but we have now hit 2.7 million and the rate is increasing arithmetically. We can not continue to add on these kinds of projects. The Capitol Development Board doesn't have that kind of money. It is bond money, not GRF. If we continue to do this. It's sending the signal throughout the state, that we've got the bucks, and we're going to spend it. We stand in opposition to this Amendment, and ask for a Roll Call."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #8 be adopted?' All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

take the record. On this Amendment, there are 59 'ayes', and 53 'nos'. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #9 is being offered by Representative McGann."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "I move for the adoption of Amendment #9. The Amendment would provide 2.4 million in Capitol Development Funds for a grant for a renovation of Wrightwood Branch of the Chicago Public Library."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Ryder."

Ryder: "We stand in opposition. This is the second largest add on to date, \$2.4 million for a Chicago library system. And ask for a Roll Call."

Speaker McPike:M\$"The question is, 'Shall Amendment #9 be adopted?' All in favor vote 'aye', oppose vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Amendment, there are 47 'ayes', and 59 'nos'. The Amendment is defeated. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #10 offered by Representative Saltsman."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Saltsman."

Saltsman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. In Peoria, Illinois, we currently have a Black Downstate Hall of Fame. At the present time, it is located at a small section of the Park and Recreation Center in Peoria. The present site is not large enough area to display some of the historical item that we should secure. The Executive Committee of the Downstate Black Hall of Fame, has been offered many items to expand this historic organization. Yet, the proper space and a new location is possible. We talk about tourism in Illinois, we like to make the Black Downstate Hall of Fame as attractive as the DuSable Hall of Fame is

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

in Chicago. We need this program to show the heritage and success of our black leaders in downstate Illinois. I ask for passage of this Amendment."

Speaker McPike: "Saltsman."

Saltsman: "I done...I done did. Get me the vote. Put 60 votes up."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Tenhouse. You have to wait 'til you're turned on, Sir. Representative Tenhouse. Hit your mike and see if it's working. No, it's not working. Take Representative Stephens' desk. Mr. Electrician, fix Representative Tenhouse's mike. Proceed, Sir."

Tenhouse: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, on Amendment #8 I'd like to be recorded as 'no', please."

Speaker McPike: "Alright, would have the Gentleman had voted, he would have voted 'no' on Amendment #8, and the record will so reflect that. Representative Ryder."

Ryder: "Thank you. Representative...may I ask a question of the Sponsor?"

Speaker McPike: "Yes."

Ryder: "Thank you. Representative, our analysis indicates a significant problem with your Bill. On two things, first of all, you're asking for GRF money rather than bonded money. Is that correct? Are you asking for GRF money?"

Saltsman: "Either one is possible the Governor sees fit to give us."

Ryder: "I appreciate your good nutured response. My specific question is, does your Amendment..."

Saltsman: "Yes, the Amendment now is asking for the bonded money from the Capitol Development Board."

Ryder: "Additionally, we think that there is a major flaw in your Amendment in that you're asking for the Capitol Development Board to make a GRF grant not to build something, but to grant money to build. Is that your intent?"

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

Saltsman: "No, this is for a new building."

Ryder: "But your Bill calls for it to be granted. And I think that's a flaw in your Amendment."

Speaker McPike: "Are you finished, Sir?"

Ryder: "Representative, do you understand my question? Typically, Capitol Development Board builds buildings. Your Amendment asks for them to make a grant, so someone else builds the Hall of Fame."

Saltsman: "Yes, we are asking for the grant from the Development Board to build this building...and construction."

Ryder: "Okay. Okay. There's no...there's no substantiate language, I mean, literally, we can not do what you're asking us to do. But if you persist, we stand in opposition, and ask for a Roll Call."

Saltsman: "I persist."

Ryder: "Good enough."

Speaker McPike: "Turn Representative Tenhouse's microphone off, Mr. Electrician. The question is, 'Shall Amendment #10 be adopted?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Curran 'aye'. Have all voted who wish? Curran 'aye' or 'no'? Curran 'aye'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Amendment #10, there are 61 'ayes', 51 'nos'. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #11 is being offered by Representative Saltsman."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Saltsman."

Saltsman: "Yes, Amendment #11, the Great Plain Sports and Science Academy is a valuable asset to the State of Illinois. The agency has been established by private contributions and fund raisers. The facility will be one of only four such programs in the United States. It will serve many of our

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

Olympic athletes, and will have medical treatment and experiments to help our athletes of the future. The Great Plain Sports and Science Academy will attract athletes from all around the world. It will be an asset to the State of Illinois. I ask for the passage of this. And pertaining to the grant, the reason the word grant was put into the last Amendment and this one, I've been informed, is that these are not present state facilities, and it has to be given as a grant. The language is correct."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Ryder."

Ryder: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. We do stand in opposition, and ask for a Roll Call. Our understanding is that the State Capitol Development Board does not have the authority to make a grant, that's why we raised the question concerning the technical qualities of the Amendment. In addition, we're talking about two and a half million dollars of GRF money. GRF is the money we don't have enough of. GRF is...is the money that we're short changing the Department of Mental Health, and the State Board of Education, and schools. GRF money two and a half million dollars. Just so everybody understands, you vote for this Amendment, you're spending money we don't have. Money that could go to other places."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Leitch."

Leitch: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise in support of the Gentleman's Amendment. I'm sick and tired of reading about the Olympics being in places like Indianapolis and many of the other parts of the midwest and other regions. We have an opportunity to bring Olympic groups to central Illinois, and to Illinois. And I think it's an important Amendment."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #11 be adopted?' All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

take the record. Mulcahey 'aye'. On this Amendment, there are 56 'ayes', and 55 'nos'. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #12 offered by Representative Saltsman."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Saltsman."

Saltsman: "Yes, in rural Peoria County, the Department of Aging has a facility to serve the people in the rural areas. And they have buses and...service to the senior citizens for transportation on our door to door programs which is very familiar in the State of Illinois. At the present time, they have no garaging facilities for these vehicles, no place to serve the maintenance, and this would be the same thing for a garaging and maintenance facility for the Department of Aging in rural Peoria County. I ask for it's vote."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Ryder."

Ryder: "Same objections to the previous two. I do compliment the Sponsor, he has been consistent throughout his three Amendments. We stand in opposition, and ask for a Roll Call."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #12 be adopted?' All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Amendment, there are 57 'ayes', and 55 'nos'. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #13 offered by Representative Giglio."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Giglio."

Giglio: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is that \$200,000 Amendment for the Capitol Development Board for the development of a...of a hopefully state wide

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

building code. This is the one we talked about earlier that was on 1442, and this is the appropriation for it. 200,000. I ask for your support."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Ryder."

Ryder: "This is GRF money? Remember this is money that could go to other place. Although, I could understand the intentions of the Sponsor. Just what we need is another study to figure out more state regulation of construction within the State of Illinois. We stand in opposition and ask for a Roll Call."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #13 be adopted?' All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Amendment, there are 50 'ayes', and 62 'nos'. The Amendment's defeated. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #14 offered by Representative Ryder."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Ryder. Read the Amendment, Mr. Clerk."

Ryder: "I Withdraw the Amendment, Mr. Speaker. Thank you for the courtesy, but we'll try our best to be consistent here, thank you."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Clerk, read the Amendment."

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #14 amends House Bill 3458. As amended on page two by deleting Section II and inserting in lieu thereof the following: Section II, the following named amount, or so much thereof as may be necessary, is appropriated to the Capitol Development Fund to the Capitol Development Board for the 3rd District Appellate Court in Ottawa to plan the construction of an Appellate Court annex. The amount is \$175,000."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Ryder."

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

Ryder: "As I said earlier, this is an idea whose time has not come, especially not this afternoon. And I withdraw the Amendment for a project that's not even faintly close to my district. Not even in your district, Mr. Speaker. Or the districts adjacent to me, but somewhere in Ottawa for a fine Representative in the Supreme Court someplace else. I withdraw that Amendment."

Speaker McPike: "Amendment #15. The Gentleman withdrew 14."

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #15 is offered by Representative Black."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Black."

Black: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Certainly fun to get up to present an Amendment on this side of the aisle after what's transpired the last half hour. But we're going to do it 'cause I want to be consistent. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, you passed this last year and the Capitol Development Board Budget, the Governor saw fit to veto it out. I'd like to be consistent and do it again. Let me tell you very briefly what Amendment #15 does. It appropriates the sum of \$166,250 to the Westville Community High School District #2 for costs associated with the replacement of a defective roof at the Westville High School. This was a Capitol Development Board project. They acknowledge that the roof is defective. They acknowledge that the state shares a \$166,000, but it was vetoed out last year. So I ask that we try it again. I'd ask for you support of Amendment #15."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Ryder, you ask for a Roll Call on this one too?"

Ryder: "Pardon me?"

Speaker McPike: "You ask for a Roll Call on this Amendment also? Does any one stand in opposition to the...to the Gentleman's Amendment? Does any one stand in opposition to this Amendment? Representative Leverenz."

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

Leverenz: "Inquiry of the Chair."

Speaker McPike: "Yes."

Leverenz: "Was that the hint for me to be in opposition to this...then I might be for it. Thanks."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #15 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #16 offered by Representative Ryder and Black and Parcells."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Ryder."

Ryder: "I...would yield my time to Representative Parcells."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Parcells."

Parcells: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Now, this Amendment really represents truly responsible budgeting. It would remove almost \$13 and a half million, three million of that being GRF in member add ons commonly called 'pork'. Added to this budget when we have an extremely tight financial situation this year. You know it was only a couple of weeks ago that we started with those 50/50 Amendments on all of the agencies. We did that because we said it was a more responsible way to spend money, and we wanted to make sure that nobody spent money in six months that they didn't already have. In fact the Majority was so insistent upon the wisdom of this policy, that it attached a 50/50 Amendments to agencies that are most vulnerable to a recession, or a short fall in anticipated tax revenues this fall, such as the Department of Public Aid, and the Department of Aging. Sponsors of the Amendments were trying to give the impression that they we're responsible Legislators, and we had to make hard decisions down here regardless of who was affected, whether it was the elderly or the poor. This policy was extended to this Bill as

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

well. Needed improvements as such places such as hot and cold water lines at the Alton Mental Health and Developmental Center, and Madden Mental Health Center in Broadview, may well have to be put on hold until 1991, because the mentally ill or retarded had too much...they had to share the burden of the state's financial problem. But now here we are, we got \$13 and a half million of 'pork', just plain oink, oink 'pork'. We have \$5 million for the Chicago library, after we just gave them \$18 million in the tax frenzy of last year. \$2 and a half million to Calumet City. Five million for a Wellness and Sports Science Center in Chicago."

Speaker McPike: "Representative, could you bring your remarks to a close?"

Parcells: "Yes, I shall...I'd be happy to, Sir."

Speaker McPike: "Thanks."

Parcells: "All the while, the patients, the retarded and the mentally ill at Shapiro Mental Health in Kankakee and Choate Mental Health Center in Anna, and the Murray Mental Health Center in Vandalia, and many other such centers around the state will have to wait for important life safety improvements, because we have to fund the 'pork' in Chicago, in Peoria, and Calumet City. What type of government policy is this? It is not responsible, Ladies and Gentlemen. This Amendment is responsible, and I ask for your 'aye' vote."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Will the Sponsor yield for a quick question?"

Speaker McPike: "Yes, she will."

Leverenz: "Her Amendment adds money, and it says \$125,000 and if it's not identified, then it must be general revenue. And I just wanted to make sure she wanted to make sure that that Amendment had that \$125,000 in it."

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

Parcells: "Just a moment, please."

Leverenz: "At the same time, perhaps we could make an inquiry to the chair to make sure it is technically correct, because some of these add ons didn't get added on."

Parcells: "We put back into the Bill what was in the Bill prior to the Amendments being added...the 'porkers'."

Leverenz: "Why would you have to do that twice if it was already in the Bill?"

Parcells: "Because we had...we were knocking out the other Amendment #3."

Leverenz: "And...and then you take out Amendment #3, is that correct?"

Parcells: "Beg your pardon?"

Leverenz: "Then you are deleting Amendment #3, would that also gut out, Representative Black's Amendment that was just adopted by a voice vote?"

Parcells: "You bet ya. Sorry, it wouldn't. And it won't any after this either. Because of the date that I filed it."

Leverenz: "I just wanted to...did you inform Representative Black of what you were doing?"

Parcells: "No, but it doesn't seem to remove his any way."

Leverenz: "Could you explain what oink, oink means?"

Parcells: "I think that's the noise that a pig makes and that these are pork Amendments."

Leverenz: "I don't know any, Mr. Speaker, I would rise in opposition to Amendment #16. Some of these Amendments were diligently debated on the House floor. This would blanketly remove those Amendments and gut the efforts of the last two hours on the House floor in talking about this. So, I would ask that we would vote 'no' against the Amendment."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Parcells."

Parcells: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. We've wasted a lot more time

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

then that around here. I guess we really want to show the voters how well we govern in this state, and we're going to govern by taxing frenzy and 'pork barrel' Amendment. This is a very good Amendment. It's responsible. We've wasted more time than this on this House floor, and I think it is very fiscally responsible for us to remove these pork barrel Amendments, and I ask for your 'aye' vote. And a Roll Call vote please."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #16 be adopted?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Amendment, there are 55 'ayes', 56 'nos'. The Amendment's defeated. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #17 offered by Representative Balanoff."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Balanoff."

Balanoff: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #17 to House Bill 3458 appropriates \$500,000 for expansion of the Jeffrey Manor-South Deering Branch of the Chicago Public Library. And yes, it is in my district."

Speaker McPike: "Is there any discussion? The question is, 'Shall Amendment #17 be adopted?' All those in say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments? Representative Ryder."

Ryder: "No, I...this Amendment, I was waiting for the next Amendment."

Speaker McPike: "Alright, next Amendments."

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #18 offered by Representative Balanoff."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Balanoff."

Balanoff: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #18 to House Bill 3458, appropriates half a

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

million dollars for expansion of the east side of the Chicago Public Library."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Ryder."

Ryder: "We stand in opposition and ask for a Roll Call."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #18 be adopted?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed voted 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. The Gentleman asks for a poll of those not voting."

Clerk Leone: "A poll of those not voting. Brunsvold. Capparelli. Giglio."

Speaker McPike: "Giglio, 'aye'. Brunsvold, 'aye'. Sutker, 'aye'. Leverenz, 'aye'. Hicks, 'aye'. Peterson, 'no'. Doederlein, 'no'. Alright, proceed with the poll of those not voting. Why don't you start over, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "Poll of those not voting. Capparelli. Satterthwaite. Terzich. And Williamson. No further."

Speaker McPike: "Alright, on this Motion, there are 55 'ayes', 58 'nos'. And the Amendment fails. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #19 offered by Representative Leverenz."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "I withdraw."

Speaker McPike: "No, that...I think you spoke in error."

Leverenz: "I'm sorry."

Speaker McPike: "I think you spoke in error, Sir. Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Yes, I was looking at Amendment #20. I don't want to withdraw 20. And Amendment #19, I now move that we adopt Amendment #19 which would provide that no more than 50 percent of the appropriations for new projects could be spent in the first half, and 50 in the second half of the year. I move for adoption of Amendment #19."

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

Speaker McPike: "Representative Ryder."

Ryder: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. We stand in opposition to this.

We are told that in the event that this Amendment is adopted, even in a modified fashion, that it presents significant life safety hazards with work that needs to be done on life safety studies for such departments as the Department of Mental Health. That it will indeed slow down the kind of emergency work that is needed here, or as well as some of the other projects that are...are part of this Bill. This is simply one of those Bills in which the 50/50 Amendment doesn't work. We attempted to express this before. We're expressing it again. On Capitol items, for the projects that we have and for the kind of bonding indebtedness that the Capitol Development Board does, it simply does not work. It is not good government. It's not a good idea, and even this attempt to modify it, doesn't happen. Thank you, we stand opposed and ask for a Roll Call."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #19 be adopted?' All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Amendment, there are 61 'ayes', 49 'nos'. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendment."

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #20 offered by Representative Countryman."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Countryman."

Countryman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, it's difficult..."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Noland, for what reason do you rise, Sir."

Noland: "I'd like to vote no on the Amendment."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman would like the record to reflect that he would have voted 'no' on Amendment #19. Amendment

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

#20, Representative Countryman."

Countryman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. It may, if it helps, this may be my last Amendment to a CDB Bill. And probably one of the only ones I've offered, but this is one of the most serious situations that I know we face in the state today, and it happens to be in my district at Northern Illinois University. Students from all over the state attend Northern Illinois University. This month the president of Northern Illinois University has ordered closed the Health Service Center at Northern because of a terrible asbestos problem. Asbestos is really floating in the air because roof leaks have caused the asbestos to degenerate to that point. The building has had to be closed. The building serves as the Health Service Area, that is all students who are ill have the opportunity then on a residential basis to go to the health service building and receive treatment. By closing the building, treatment will have to be rendered next year at local clinics and hospitals quite a distance away from campus and quite a distance away from the 21,000 residential students on campus at Northern Illinois University. The university went to the Board of Higher Education, submitted this project and in fact, it was given a priority of number eleven. However, when the Governor's budget was introduced, it...he only took the top eight projects. So, this project is just a few away, but since the time that all those decisions have made...been made, the situation has become...deteriorated substantially. We're in a crisis situation with health care to 21,000 students at Northern Illinois University. This building would...this remodeling would, in essence, would be asbestos removal and changing the heating system, the ventilation system, so that the asbestos will not be

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

floating through the air. It's an important Amendment to me, and I would request you're favorable vote."

Speaker McPike: "Any discussion on this? Anyone stand in opposition? Anyone want a Roll Call? The question is, 'Shall the Amendment be adopted? All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And Amendment #20 is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #21 offered by Representative Leitch."

Speaker McPike: "How many Amendments are filed to this, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk Leone: "There are 22 Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Thank you. Amendment #21, Representative Leitch."

Leitch: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I took Representative Leverenz advice and this is for \$200,000 to start the process for a new forensic facility. And I'd appreciate a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker McPike: "Anyone rise in opposition to the Gentleman's Amendment? The question is, 'Shall Amendment #21 adopted?' All in favor vote...all in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #22 offered by Representative McGann and Leverenz."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Ryder."

Ryder: "I'd be willing to allow the Gentleman to present his Amendment before I ask him a question."

Speaker McPike: "Representative McGann. Mr. McGann here. Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. We thought it was a little too fat before, and you might not have enjoyed it. This would appropriate \$2 million for the Wrightwood Branch

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

Library in Capitol Development Funds. I move for the adoption of the Amendment #22."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Ryder."

Ryder: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This is one of the few Amendments that we've defeated today. It is \$400,000 less than when we started. If we defeat it now, perhaps it will give you a chance to come in a little bit less next time around. I ask for a Roll Call, and stand in opposition."

Speaker McPike: "Representative McGann to close. Mr. McGann. Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. No, I'm not John Cullerton. But I'm just holding the lamp until Representative McGann gets here."

Speaker McPike: "Representative McGann is here. Here he is. Representative McGann to close. This is a \$2 million for a library."

McGann: "This is a...that is correct. Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the Assembly. I would just like to point out that the reason for the request for \$2 million for a library in Wrightwood, the City of Chicago is a result of a agreement...a court agreement under Judge Arthur Dunn, that stipulated developers who were purchasing the property verses the community opposition. The judge detailed in the agreement that the developers make available the land for a library. The land is worth roughly about \$300,000. If we can get this two million approved, and let it go on to the Governor, let him make the final decision. But at the same time, we would be working along in answering the need for the library, especially where the land it's already been donated for the amount of \$300,000. So, I would ask that in view of the fact that we have a developer community participation, that we should be helping out. And I would ask for an 'aye' vote on Amendment 22. If we leave it...if

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

we leave it go until 1991 or whatever, we are just increasing the in the average of anywhere from a half a million dollars on up in the cost of developing...or building such a library that's so badly needed. So, I would ask for your help. Let it go on, let it go all the way to the Governor, and let him decide. But I'd would ask my colleagues here to vote 'aye' on Amendment 22. Thank you."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #22 be adopted?' All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative McGann...explain your vote."

McGann: "Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Once again, I'll plead with you, and I don't ordinarily plead on the floor of the House here. But this is such an important project, and the need, I'm only asking let it take it's time to go to the Governor, and not shut it off at this juncture. That's all I'm asking for, because there has been an investment already of 300,000 in the land that this library be built on. That's all I'm asking for, the necessary votes to keep it alive for now. I know the crunch in the state. I know the problems, but if we keep it alive, it will at least have an opportunity to let the Governor decide. Pleads for more 'green' votes. Thank you."

Speaker McPike: "Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. Representative McGann has asked to poll those not voting."

Clerk Leone: "Curran. Davis. Richmond. Satterthwaite. And Williamson."

Speaker McPike: "Is anyone seeking to change their votes? No one. So, Representative McGann...Representative McNamara votes 'aye'. Alright, McNamara 'aye'. On this Amendment, there are 57 'ayes', and 55 'nos'. The Amendment's

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. House Bill 3459. Read the Bill,
Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3459, a Bill for an Act making
appropriations for permanent improvement. Second Reading
of the Bill. Amendments #1 and 2 were adopted in
committee."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "I move now to table Amendment #2, Committee Amendment
#2."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman moves to table #2. The question
is, 'Shall Amendment #2 be tabled?' All in favor say
'aye', opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The
Amendment's tabled. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3..."

Speaker McPike: "Is withdrawn. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #4 offered by Representative
Mautino."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Mautino."

Mautino: "Table...withdraw."

Speaker McPike: "Withdraws Amendment #4. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #5 offered by Representative
Mautino."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Mautino."

Mautino: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and
Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #5 is no increase in the
appropriation bottom line level. This is a change from
the funds that have been appropriated to the Capitol
Development Fund to the Capitol Development Board for the
Illinois State Police, whereby they have moved towards an
agreement with the City of Sterling for the replacement of
that facility in the Sterling area. I don't believe

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

there's any opposition to it. The language necessary is in the Senate, and this is just a line item change within the budget. And I move for its adoption."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #5 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #6 offered by Representative Ryder."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Ryder."

Ryder: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Amendment corrects a...an inadvertent omission from the reappropriation Bill this year. It is not a new project. It's a project we previously approved. I ask for approval."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #6 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Amendment #6 is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. House Bill 3460. Supplemental Calendar announcement."

Clerk O'Brien: "Supplemental Calendar #1 is being distributed."

Speaker McPike: "House Bill 3460."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3460, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for improvements at community colleges. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee or Floor Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. House Bill 3461. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3461, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the Department of Transportation. Second Reading of the Bill. Committee Amendments 1,2, and 3 were adopted."

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

Speaker McPike: "Any motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No..."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "I now move to table Committee Amendment #3."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman moves to table Amendment #3. All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's tabled. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #4 offered by Representative Ryder."

Speaker McPike: "Withdrawn. That's withdrawn."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #5 offered by Representative Ryder."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Ryder."

Ryder: "Floor Amendment #5 makes technical corrections at the request of the Illinois Department of Transportation. I move for its adoption."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #5. All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #6...no, no further Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. House Bill 3462. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3462, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Department of Transportation. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1, 3, 4, 5, 6, and 8 were adopted in committee."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Leverenz on a Motion."

Leverenz: "I now move to table Committee Amendment #8."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman moves to table Amendment #8. All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #9 offered by Representative

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

Ryder."

Speaker McPike: "Withdraws Amendment #9."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #10 offered by Representative Ryder."

Speaker McPike: "Withdraws 10."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #11 offered by Representative Ryder."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Ryder."

Ryder: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This deletes from the Bill, 2.2 million for road funds in Peoria County, 1.5 million road funds added by the committee, and a 100,000 GRF for a grant to the Cook County Research and Evaluation Project. We move for its adoption."

Speaker McPike: "Does anyone stand in opposition to the Gentleman? Representative Saltsman."

Saltsman: "Yes, there are some projects here that weren't included in the Governor's budget, because we don't have the opportunity to meet with him, and discuss what we want for our area. So, therefore, the only that way we have an opportunity to get something that's needed in our area, that the state's obligated for, is to put it on with these Amendments. We can't contact anyone from this side of the aisle to have any input into the budget, and therefore, I'm opposition to this Amendment."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #11 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'nos' have it and the Amendment's defeated. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #12 offered by Representative Ryder."

Ryder: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Floor Amendment #12 deletes 2.2 million GRF for Amtrack service between Chicago and Peoria. We move for its adoption."

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

Speaker McPike: "Representative Leverenz, stand in opposition to this?"

Leverenz: "Yes, Sir, I would. I would give Representative Saltsman's speech that he gave on Amendment #11, which applies to Amendment #12. This is a dastardly move by the other side of the aisle, something we worked out very hard in committee, and I think the Gentleman should withdraw the Amendment. And the alternative, all of us on this side of the aisle should surely vote 'red'."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #12 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'nos' have it. The Amendment's defeated. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #13 offered by Representative Ryder."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Ryder."

Ryder: "Thank you. This is a shift to GRF appropriations in the Division of Aeronautics to the Aeronautics Fund. I move for its adoption."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "I believe the Gentleman has a good cause the effect, however, is not correct. The money isn't there, and I would move to make sure the Gentleman doesn't get this Amendment adopted, if we would vote against it, voting 'red'."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #13 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'nos' have it. The Amendment's defeated. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #14 offered by Representative Ryder."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Ryder."

Ryder: "Thank you. This corrects drafting errors at the request of Illinois Department of Transportation. I move its adoption."

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #14 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #15 offered by Representative Leverenz."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "On Amendment #15, I would move that we would add the \$2.7 million in Road Fund money that is not general revenue, for resurfacing projects in Cook County. I move for the adoption of Amendment #15."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Lever...Ryder."

Ryder: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. We stand in opposition, ask for a Roll Call on this. And let me tell you the effect, this is road money that is a special project. A special project within a certain area of the City of Chicago. Road money that will not be used in your district or my district, it fact, it will be taken away from the projects in your district or my district. We stand in opposition."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #15 be adopted?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Amendment, there are 55 'ayes', 54 'nos'. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #16 offered by Representative Leverenz."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "This Amen...this Amendment will receive a...Representative Ryder speech 'A'. This appropriates \$200,000 in general revenue to DOT for a flood study in Lafayette Street in the City of Chicago. I move for the adoption of Amendment #16."

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

Speaker McPike: "Representative Ryder."

Ryder: "He...he had it wrong it's 'B'. Speech 'B', because it is timely, but it's not appropriate. We stand in opposition, and ask for a Roll Call."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #16 be adopted?' All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Amendment 16, there are 56 'ayes', and 51 'nos'. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #17, offered by Representative Matijevich."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #17 to House Bill 3462, would appropriate \$200,000 to the Northeast Illinois Planning Commission for technical assistance coordination in review of regional county and local storm water planning in northeastern Illinois, and looking by what's happening outside, we need some storm water management here, too. I would move for the adoption of Amendment #17."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #17 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #18 offered by Representative Leverenz."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #18 would prohibit DOT from spending more than 50 percent in the first and 50 percent in the second half of the fiscal year. I vote for the adoption of Amendment #18."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Ryder."

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

Ryder: "This is the opportunity for a new speech. These are road projects within this Bill, road projects in your district and my district. The Amendment that we're considering that Representative Leverenz has offered is a modified 50/50, which is to say that there is a possibility, very distinct that projects could be delayed, projects could be not granted, some projects would fall off. The boards because of the...the construction season being delayed now by heavy rainfall throughout the state. This could, indeed, endanger those projects and we ask for a Roll Call vote. We stand in opposition."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #18 be adopted?' All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Amendment, there are 56 'ayes', 51 'nos'. Amendment #18 is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #19 offered by Representative Hallock."

Speaker McPike: "Representative who?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Hallock."

Speaker McPike: "Hallock? I'm sorry. Representative Hallock. Withdraws the Amendment. Anything else?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. House Bill 3463. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3463, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the Historic Preservation Agency. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1, 2, 3, and 8 were adopted in committee."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Ryder on a Motion. Do you have a Motion to table #3?"

Ryder: "No, but I'll be glad to Motion 3, and withdraw 9."

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

Speaker McPike: "Okay, you don't have a Motion to table 3?"

Representative Leverenz, do you have a Motion?"

Leverenz: "Right."

Bowman: "I would move to table the Committee Amendment."

Speaker McPike: "What Committee Amendment number?"

Bowman: "Pick one, I'm looking."

Clerk O'Brien: "1, 2, 3, or 8."

Bowman: "I'll do 8. He'll do 3. Is that correct, Representative Ryder?"

Speaker McPike: "Yes, is this your's Representative Bowman?"

Bowman: "Yes, this came through my committee, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker McPike: "Alright, it's my error. The Chair's in error."

Bowman: "You never make an error. Okay, we move to table Amendment #3 and #8."

Speaker McPike: "Alright, the Motion is to table Amendment #3.

The question is, 'Shall Amendment #3 be tabled?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's tabled." The next Motion is to table Amendment #8. The question is, 'Shall Amendment #8 be tabled?' All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. #8 is tabled. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #9..."

Speaker McPike: "That's withdrawn. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #10 offered by Representative Stephens."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Stephens. Or Representative Ryder."

Ryder: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I think Representative Bowman is also a Cosponsor of the Amendment. It simply corrects an error in the Committee Amendment #3."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Unfortunately #10 is flawed, and not in order. We have another one coming up that will do that. I wish...I think

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

we should withdraw 10."

Speaker McPike: "10 is withdrawn. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #11 offered by Representative Ryder."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Ryder."

Ryder: "This...this is in order. It makes a correction on Committee Amendment #3. Move its adoption."

Speaker McPike: "3 was tabled. Amendment #11. The question is, 'Shall Amendment #11 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #12 offered by Representative Currie."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Okay. Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #12 provides the usual 50/50 Amendment related to personnel and travel. I move its adoption."

Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall Amendment #12 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment #12 is adopted. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #13 offered by Representative Bob Olson."

Speaker McPike: "Withdraw his Amendment. Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Well, it looks like we shot from the hip on #3. I move, having voted on the prevailing side, I move that we reconsider the vote by which the Amendment was tabled and with leave for the Attendance Roll Call to be used to reconsider. I thought Amendment 11..."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman moves to reconsider the vote by which the House tabled Amendment #3. Does the Gentleman have leave? Using the Attendance Roll Call the vote is

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

reconsidered."

Bowman: "Now I withdraw the Motion to table."

Speaker McPike: "Now the Gentleman withdraws the Motion to table.

Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. House Bill 3464. Read the Bill,
Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3464, a Bill for an Act making
appropriations to the Department of Mine and Minerals.
Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1, 2 and 3 were
adopted in committee."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "I now move to table Committee Amendment #3."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman moves to table Amendment #3. All
those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have
it. Amendment #3 is tabled. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #4 offered by Representative
Ryder."

Speaker McPike: "Is withdrawn. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #5 offered by Representative
Leverenz and Ryder."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Ryder."

Ryder: "This is an Agreed Amendment restoring 66,000 of GRF..."

Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall Amendment #5 be adopted?'
All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it.
The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #6 offered by Representative
Leverenz."

Speaker McPike; "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "It's the new 50/50 Amendment. I move for the adoption
of Amendment #6."

Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall Amendment #6 be adopted?'
All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes'

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

have it. And the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. House Bill 3465. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3465, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Illinois Racing Board. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 were adopted in committee."

Speaker McPike: "Any Motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker McPike: "Amendment #...further...Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #6 offered by Representative Ryder."

Speaker McPike: "Is withdrawn. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. House Bill 3466. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3466, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Department of Insurance. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments 1, 2 and 3 were adopted in committee."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I now move to table Amendment #3 from committee."

Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall Amendment #3 be tabled?' All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's tabled. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #4 offered by Representative Ryder."

Speaker McPike: "Is withdrawn. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #5 offered by Representative Leverenz."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Leverenz."

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

Leverenz: "Amendment #5 is a new 50/50 Amendment. I move for the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall Amendment #5 be adopted?' Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Hang on a second. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker McPike: "Yes."

Cullerton: "..."

Leverenz: "Absolutely not."

Cullerton: "Alright."

Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall Amendment #5 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #6 offered by Representative Ryder."

Speaker McPike: "He withdraws...no...Amendment #6, Mr. Ryder? Have you..."

Clerk O'Brien: "6 is offered by Representative Ryder and Leverenz."

Speaker McPike: "Oh, Amendment #6 is withdrawn. Wait a minute, Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Okay, I was going through my calendar too fast."

Speaker McPike: "Six is withdrawn. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #7 offered by Representative Ryder."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Ryder."

Ryder: "Mr. Speaker, this one is \$367,700 of non-GRF funds for physicians and a deputy director's leave of absence. I move the adoption. I was on 33 as opposed to 78 rpm's."

Speaker McPike: "No opposition? Amendment #7, the question is, 'Shall it be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Amendment #7 is adopted. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. House Bill 3467. Read the Bill,
Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3467, a Bill for an Act making
appropriations to the Office of Lieutenant Governor.
Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1, 2, 3 and 4 were
adopted in committee."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "I move to table Committee Amendment #4."

Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall Amendment #4 be tabled?'
All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it.
The Amendment's tabled. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #5."

Speaker McPike: "Is withdrawn. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #6 offered by Representative
Ryder."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Ryder."

Ryder: "This restores \$5 million to the GR...to the Lieutenant
Governor's Budget to fund the rural bond bank debt
reserve."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would ask everyone on
this side of the aisle to vote their conscience on this
fine General Revenue Fund Amendment for \$5 million for the
rural bond bank. So, I know I'm asking a lot of you
tonight. The hour of 5:41 arriving but try to use your
conscience on this fine Amendment."

Speaker McPike: "Ah...Representative Ryder...Question is, 'Shall
this Amendment be adopted?'"

Unknown: "No, we asked for a Roll Call, please. I thought there
was another Speaker that had attracted your attention."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Mautino...Mautino."

Mautino: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen
of the House. We established the rural bond bank. We

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

established the bond authorization for a \$100 million that additional \$50 million is reflected in a \$5 million base that must be set aside so the bonds can be sold. It's very simple. And, I stand in support of the Amendment. I hope that my colleagues would do so as well. It's a good Amendment."

Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall Amendment #6 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', oppose 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Well, the Amendment's adopted. Do you want a Roll Call? It's up to you. Okay. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #7 offered by Representative Leverenz."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Amendment #7 would provide the new 50/50 program. I move for the adoption of Amendment #7."

Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall Amendment #7 be adopted? All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. House Bill 3472. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3472, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Department of Financial Institutions. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 and 2 are adopted in committee."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "I now move to table Committee Amendment #2."

Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall Amendment #2 be tabled? All in favor say 'aye', oppose 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Amendment #2 is tabled. Floor Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3 offered by Representative Ryder."

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

Speaker McPike: "Is withdrawn."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #4 offered by Representative Leverenz."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "I revised revisited Amendment #4 with...due to the 50/50. I move for the adoption of Amendment #4."

Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall Amendment #4 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', oppose 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. House Bill 3473. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3473, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Department of Agriculture. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 and 8 were adopted in committee."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Leverenz. Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Now move to table Amendment #7."

Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall Amendment #7 be tabled?' All in favor say 'aye', oppose 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Amendment #7 is tabled. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #9 offered by Representative Hartke."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Hartke."

Hartke: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #9 is an appropriation request for a quarter million dollars to fund the Stabilizer Program. Last year we passed a sentence in language and this year I'm asking for the funding of that program."

Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall Amendment #9 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', oppose 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #10 offered by Representative

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

Leverenz."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Leverenz withdraws #10. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #11 offered by Representative Mautino."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Mautino."

Mautino: "Thank you. Amendment #11 would add \$200,000 to the Department of Agriculture grant to the Upper River Illinois Valley Development Authority. I move for the adoption of Amendment #11."

Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall Amendment #11 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', oppose 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #12 offered by Representative Saltsman."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Saltsman."

Saltsman: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #12 is to restore the money that was taken out in Amendment #1. It appropriates \$500,000 to the Department of Agriculture for the grant of watershed planning and conservation services in the Imperial Lake Region of the Illinois River. This is not new money this money was already in the GRF. And I expect that it will be voted back in. And I accept the passage of this Amendment."

Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall Amendment #12 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', oppose 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #13 offered by Representative Ryder."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Ryder, withdraws 13. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #14 offered by Representative Ryder."

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

Speaker McPike: "Representative Ryder."

Ryder: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This would cut \$34,500 APF from the Department of Ag. for a grant to the Harden County Fair Association. It would take the money out."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "I can appreciate the Gentleman's feelings. I would rise in opposition to Amendment #14. A dastardly deed, a little piddling little \$34,500 grant. I would just think that that's absolutely horrible. So, call that speech 'd' for dead and rise up on this side of the aisle and kill this horrible Amendment with your 'red' vote."

Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall Amendment #14 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', oppose 'no'. The 'nos' have it. The 'nos' have it. And the Amendment's defeated. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #15 offered by Representative Ryder."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Ryder."

Ryder: "This is to restore EDP line for the Department of Agriculture as part of their basic computer maintenance and the replacement. I think it's agreed."

Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall Amendment #15 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', oppose 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #16 offered by Representative Leverenz."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Amendment #16 would fix a technical problem with Amendment #10. I move for the adoption of 16 since 10 is adopted."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Leverenz, 10 was withdrawn. Question is, 'Shall Amendment #16 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', oppose 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

adopted. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #17 offered by Representative Leverenz."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "I must admit I stuttered on that last one. I was supposed to say it wasn't adopted. Amendment #17 is a new 50/50. I move for the adoption of Amendment #17."

Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall Amendment #17 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', oppose 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #18 offered by Representative Ropp."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Ropp. Mr. Ropp, is that correct?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Yes, Ropp - McPike."

Ropp: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. Mr. Speaker, this is to deal with the Bill that you and I have that transfers the State Fair and Duquoin Fair out of the Ag Premi Fund into the General Revenue."

Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall Amendment #18 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', oppose 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. House Bill 3474. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3474, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Illinois Criminal Justice Information Authority. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6 were adopted in committee."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Leverenz on a Motion."

Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move to table Committee Amendment #6 the old 50/50."

Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall Amendment #6 be tabled?'

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

All in favor say 'aye', oppose 'no'. The 'ayes' have it.
The Amendment's tabled. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #7 offered by Representative Ryder."

Speaker McPike: "Is withdrawn."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #8 offered by Representative Ryder."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Ryder."

Ryder: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This adds a line item for some federal funds to be distributed through the Criminal Justice Trust Fund. Move its adoption."

Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall Amendment #8 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', oppose 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #9 offered by Representative Leverenz."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #9...I move for the adoption of Amendment #9. It's a new 50/50."

Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall Amendment #9 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', oppose 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #10 offered by Representative Leverenz."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Amendment would create a new line item for Electronic Data Processing Division. I move for the adoption of Amendment #10."

Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall Amendment #10 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', oppose 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #11 offered by Representative Leverenz."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Leverenz."

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

Leverenz: "On Amendment #11 would appropriate \$525,000 in grant money to Criminal Justice Information Authority for purposes of transferring it to the State Police for the new DNA Program. I move for adoption of Amendment #11."

Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall Amendment #11 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', oppose 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. House Bill 30...3534. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3534, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Department of Energy and Natural Resources. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 and 10 were adopted in committee."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "I now move to table Committee Amendment #6."

Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall Amendment #6 be tabled?' All in favor say 'aye', oppose 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment's tabled. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #11 offered by Representative Ryder."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Ryder, withdraws #11."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #12 offered by Representative Ryder."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Ryder."

Ryder: "This is a reduction in the line item for the Museum of Broadcast Communications."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Could the Gentleman talk slower and explain the Amendment one more time."

Ryder: "Representative, I was just attempting to keep up the speed by which you have been appropriating appropriations today. \$3,000 cut Museum for Broadcast Communications."

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

Leverenz: "That's not even sporting a \$3,000 cut. I hope this Amendment would fail by you withdrawing it, but in the alternative we would vote 'red'."

Ryder: "You don't even have to vote 'red' because I'm not requesting a Roll Call. And we've probably spent \$3,000 worth of time discussing your questions. Thank you."

Leverenz: "But you're that... you are definitely worth it."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #12 be adopted?'"

Ryder: "...appreciate that."

Speaker McPike: "All those in favor say 'aye', oppose 'no'. The 'nos' have it. The Amendment's defeated. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #13 offered by Representative Ryder."

Speaker McPike: "Withdraws 13. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment 14 offered by Representative Leitch."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Leitch."

Leitch: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. A few minutes ago Representative Saltsman had an Amendment which put forth part of the Peoria Lake Program. This is the second piece. I am sorry, I'd like to withdraw this Amendment."

Speaker McPike: "The Amendment's withdrawn. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #15 offered by Representative Edley."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Edley. Mr. Edley."

Edley: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Amendment funds a Statewide Water Survey Study. It's a bipartisan Amendment. I'd appreciate a 'yes' vote."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Ryder."

Ryder: "Question is, 'Shall Amendment #15 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', oppose 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #16 offered by Representative Leitch."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Leitch."

Leitch: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This is the second piece of the Peoria Lake Management Plan. We just did a piece of it with Representative Saltsman's Amendment. This is the second very necessary piece. This is a number one environmental priority in our area. And I'd appreciate a favorable vote."

Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall Amendment #16 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #17 offered by Representative Satterthwaite."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Satterthwaite."

Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. This restores funds that were originally in the department's Bill for groundwater protection efforts in order to implement the mandates of that law from a few years ago. And I move for its passage."

Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall Amendment #17 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #18 offered by Representative Balanoff."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Balanoff."

Balanoff: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #18 to House Bill 3534 appropriates \$400,000 to the Department of Energy and Natural Resources for the first year costs associated with the Lake Calumet Groundwater Study Bill."

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

Speaker McPike: "Representative Ryder."

Ryder: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker McPike: "Yes."

Ryder: "Representative, is this the same Amendment that you submitted in committee that was defeated?"

Balanoff: "Yes...yes, it is the same Amendment that I submitted then."

Ryder: "Thank you...ah...to the Amendment. Mr. Speaker, I would ask for a Roll Call vote on this. The committee process defeated this Amendment. I think that was the right decision. We have appropriated in excess of a million dollars over the last years for this project. I would urge that the committee...the committee process be upheld. And a committee, or this Amendment be defeated on a Roll Call vote."

Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall this Amendment be adopted?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted? Representative Leverenz. For what purpose does the Gentleman from Cook rise?"

Leverenz: "To inquire of the Chair, Mr. Speaker. I'm out hustling 'green' votes. And I'm really confused here. The Speaker's voting 'red'."

Speaker McPike: "Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Amendment, there are 42 'ayes', 59 'nos'. And the Amendment fails. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #19 offered by Representative Satterthwaite."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Satterthwaite."

Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. This money shifts money...this Amendment shifts money internally for the department. The Amendment is at the request of the department. And there's no bottom line change. I urge the

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker McPike: "Have all...any discussion? Question is, 'Shall Amendment #19 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments.

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #20 offered by Representative Noland."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Noland."

Noland: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen. Amendment #20 appropriates \$200,000 from the General Revenue Fund to the Department of Energy and Natural Resources for a study for alleviating the siltation problem at Lake Shelbyville. I move for its adoption."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Novak."

Novak: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. I rise in objection to this Amendment. I'd like a Roll Call vote. Its been three or four years we put money in the budget for the Kankakee River and its serious problems, and its never spent. So, I think fair is fair."

Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall Amendment #20 be adopted?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Amendment, there are 48 'ayes', 60 'nos'. The Amendment fails. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. House Bill 3535. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3535, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the State Emergency Services and Disaster Agency. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Leverenz."

Clerk O'Brien: "I've got two Motions filed here."

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

Speaker McPike: "Any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "A Motion to table Amendment #1 offered by Representative Leverenz."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Leverenz."

Leverenz: "I so move to move Committee Amendment...ah...to be tabled."

Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 be tabled?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Motion is to table 1, 4 and 5. Question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 be tabled?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment's tabled. Further Motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Motion to table Amendment #4 to House Bill 3535."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "I move to table Committee Amendment #4."

Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall Amendment #4 and 5 be tabled?' Question is, 'Shall Amendment #4 and 5 be tabled?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Amendment 4 and 5 are tabled. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #6 offered by Representative Ryder."

Speaker McPike: "Withdrawn."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #7 offered by Representative Ryder."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Ryder...7 is withdrawn. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #8 offered by Representative Leverenz."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Amendment would provide the 50/50 new Amendment. I would move for the adoption of Amendment #8."

Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall Amendment #8 be adopted?'

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it.
The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #9 offered by Representative Woolard."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Woolard."

Woolard: "Yes, what this in fact does, Mr. Speaker, is restores the \$250,000 that was requested for the Hasmat Training in the Esda budget, and this is even a decrease between \$346,00 which was in the budget last year decreasing it down to \$250,000 this year. We believe it's much needed and we believe that there are many state employees and local government employees that need this training. And this will provide training for the trainers to ensure that we have adequate protection on our highways and in emergency settings throughout this state. I would move that we pass this very good Amendment."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Ryder. Representative Woolard moves the adoption of Amendment #9. All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #10 offered by Representative Leverenz."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Withdraw."

Speaker McPike: "Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Yeah, withdraw the Amendment, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker McPike: "Alright, 10 is withdrawn. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #11 offered by Representative Ryder."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Ryder."

Ryder: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This corrects drafting errors, I move its adoption."

Speaker McPike: "Say again?"

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

Unknown: "He moves the adoption."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman moves the adoption of Amendment #11. All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. House Bill 3536. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3536, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Office of the Governor. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1, 2 and 3 were adopted in committee."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move to table Committee Amendment #3."

Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall Amendment #3 be tabled?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's tabled. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #4."

Speaker McPike: "Is withdrawn. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #5 offered by Representative Leverenz."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Amendment #5 would provide the 50/50 new program. Move for the adoption of Amendment #5."

Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall Amendment #5 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. House Bill 3537. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3537, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Property Tax Appeal Board. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1 and 2 were adopted in

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

committee."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Move to table Committee Amendment #2."

Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall Amendment #2 be tabled?'

All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it.
And the Amendment's tabled. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3 offered by Representative Ryder."

Speaker McPike: "The Amendment's tabled. I'm sorry. The Amendment's withdrawn."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #4 offered by Representative Leverenz."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #4 is a new 50/50.
Move for the adoption of Amendment #4."

Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall Amendment #4 be adopted?'"
All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it.
The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Unknown: "Thanks, Tony."

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. House Bill 3538. Read the Bill,
Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3538, a Bill for an Act making
appropriations to the Pollution Control Board. Second
Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1, 2 and 3 were adopted
in committee."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Mr. Speaker, Amendment #3 I would now move to table
Committee Amendment #3 as the old 50/50."

Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall Amendment #3 be tabled?'"
All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it.
The Amendment's tabled. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #4 is withdrawn. Floor Amendment

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

#5 offered by Representative Leverenz."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "The new 50/50 Amendment move for the adoption of Amendment #5."

Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall Amendment #5 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. House Bill 3539. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3539, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Illinois Sports Facility Authority. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Move to table Committee Amendment #1."

Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 be tabled?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted (sic - tabled). Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2 offered by Representative Ryder."

Speaker McPike: "Is withdrawn."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3 offered by Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "The new 50/50 Amendment move to table...to adopt Amendment #3."

Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall Amendment #3 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. House Bill 3540. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3540, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Department of Professional Regulations. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1, 2 and 3 were adopted in committee."

Speaker McPike: "Any Motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker McPike: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #4."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Ryder withdraws the Amendment. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. House Bill 3541. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3541, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Department of Corrections. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1, 2 and 3 were adopted in committee."

Speaker McPike: "Any...Representative Leverenz. Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Move to table Committee Amendment #2 and 3."

Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall Amendment #2 and 3 be tabled?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Amendments 2 and 3 are tabled. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #4 offered by Representative Ryder."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Ryder."

Ryder: "Corrects technical error made in Amendment #1."

Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Can Amendment #4 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Amendment #4 is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #5 offered by Representative Ryder."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Ryder. Withdraws Amendment #5.

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #6 offered by Representative Currie. Currie."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Currie."

Currie: "Withdraw, please."

Speaker McPike: "Withdraws Amendment #6. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #7 offered by Representative Phelps."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Phelps."

Phelps: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Amendment agrees with Amendments 1 and 4 with the reductions considered, and increases the budget over the original request by 2 million 800,064. The...it increases 5% every correctional institutional security officer throughout the state. It does not include work camps or prisons. We have been around enough to know the last few years that we've done everything in the World to try to work with the overcrowding. We've been innovative on the probation officers, all the alternatives, we've mandated for counties to build jails, we've done nothing for the staff. Appreciate your support on this increase."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Ryder."

Ryder: "Representative, is this the same Amendment that we passed in committee?"

Phelps: "Yes, it is."

Ryder: "I ask for a vote, but not a Roll Call."

Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall Amendment #7 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #8 offered by Representative Currie."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Currie."

Currie: "Withdraw 8."

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

Speaker McPike: "Withdraws #8. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #9 offered by Representative Currie."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Currie."

Currie: "This Amendment appropriates \$58,000 for the Mother and Child Camping Program at Dwight."

Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall Amendment #9 be adopted?'

All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it.
And the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #10 offered by Representative Leverenz."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Leverenz withdraw 10."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #11 offered by Representative Leverenz."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "That is the new 50/50 Amendment, move for the adoption of Amendment #11."

Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall Amendment #11 be adopted?'
All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it.
The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. House Bill 3543. Read the Bill,
Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3543, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to Local Governmental Law Enforcement Officers' Training Board. Second Reading of the Bill.
Amendment #2 was adopted in committee."

Speaker McPike: "Representative...any...any Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3 offered by Representative Ryder."

Speaker McPike: "Withdrawn. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. House Bill 3544. Read the Bill,

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3544, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Department of Revenue. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 were adopted in committee."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Move to table Committee Amendment #5."

Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall Committee Amendment #5 be tabled?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted (sic - tabled). Further Amendments? I'm sorry. The Motion is adopted. Amendment #5 is tabled."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #6 offered by Representative Ryder."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Ryder withdraws Amendment #6. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #7 offered by Representative Leverenz."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "It's a new 50/50 Amendment. I move for the adoption of Amendment #7."

Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall Amendment #7 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. House Bill 3545. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3545, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Illinois Arts Council. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1 and 2 were adopted in committee."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Move to table the old 50/50 Amendment #2. God bless you."

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

Speaker McPike: "Thank you."

Speaker McPike: "Thank you. Question is, 'Shall Amendment #2 be tabled?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Amendment #2 is tabled. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3 offered by Representative Ryder."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Ryder withdraws Amendment #3. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #4 offered by Representative Leverenz."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "I wanted to withdraw this Amendment. But now move to adopt the new Amendment 50/50, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker McPike: "You withdraw the Amendment?"

Leverenz: "No, no, I wanted to do that but I really have to adopt it. So, I would move that we adopt Amendment #3."

Speaker McPike: "#4."

Leverenz: "Oh, 4, you're right the new 50/50."

Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall Amendment #4 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. House Bill 3546. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3546, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Illinois Educational Labor Relations Board. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1 and 2 were adopted in committee."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "I move to table Committee Amendment #2 the old 50/50."

Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall Amendment #2 be tabled?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's tabled. Further Amendments?"

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3 offered by Representative Ryder."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Ryder withdraws Amendment #3. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #4, offered by Representative Ryder."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Ryder."

Ryder: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This would combine the budgets of the State and Local Labor Relations Board to the Educational Labor Relations Board at a savings of \$500,000. And, I move its adoption."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "I believe that'd be a good idea before its time and reluctantly rise to oppose Amendment #4. And make sure that we defeat this. And we round up all are 'red' votes on the Democratic side and encourage all the Republicans to oppose this also."

Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall Amendment #4 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'nos' have it. The Amendment's defeated. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #5 offered by Representative Leverenz."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This is a new 50/50 Amendment. I move for the adoption of Amendment #5."

Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall Amendment #5 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "House Bill 3547. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Third Reading?"

Speaker McPike: "Yes, Third Reading on House Bill 3546 (sic - 3547)."

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3547, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Judicial Inquiry Board. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1, 2 and 3 were adopted in committee."

Speaker McPike: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #4 offered by Representative Ryder."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Ryder."

Ryder: "Is this the one I want to withdraw? Okay, I'll withdraw it."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman withdraws Amendment #4. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. House Bill 3548. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3548, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Liquor Control Commission. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee."

Speaker McPike: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2 offered by Representative Ryder."

Speaker McPike: "Withdraw Amendment #2. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. House Bill 3549. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3549, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Illinois Commerce Commission. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1 and 2 were adopted in committee."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Vote to table Committee Amendment #2."

Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall Amendment #2 be tabled?'

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it.
And the Amendment's tabled. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3 offered by Representative Ryder."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Ryder withdraws Amendment #3.
Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #4 offered by Representative Leverenz."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "The new 50/50, Mr. Speaker. I move for the adoption of Amendment #4."

Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall Amendment #4 be adopted?'
All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it.
And the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #5 offered by Representative Leverenz."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Thank you. The Amendment would restore certain positions for the tune of \$412,900 and 9900 in puff. And I agree...believe it's an Agreed Amendment."

Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall Amendment #5 be adopted?'
All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it.
And the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "House Bill 3646. Read the Bill, Mr..."

Clerk O'Brien: "Third Reading?"

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading, on House Bill 3549...House Bill 3646. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3646, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Office of Secretary of State. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1 and 2 were adopted in committee."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Leverenz."

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

Leverenz: "I now move to table Committee Amendment #2."

Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall Amendment #2 be tabled?'

All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it.

The Amendment #2 is tabled. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3 offered by Representative Ryder."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Ryder withdraws Amendment #3."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #4 offered by Representative Didrickson."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Didrickson."

Didrickson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #4 adds a \$120,000 for direction services to the SOS budget."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "We agree."

Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall Amendment #4 be adopted?'

All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #5 offered by Representative Leverenz."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #5 is the...ah...\$942,000 road fund money for the second year of the Commercial Driver Center. I move for the adoption of Amendment #5."

Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall Amendment #5 be adopted?'

All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #6 offered by Representative Leverenz."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This is a new 50/50 Amendment, move for the adoption of Amendment #6."

Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall Amendment #6 be adopted?'

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it.
The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. House Bill 3727. Read the Bill,
Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3727, a Bill for an Act to amend the
General Obligation Bond Act. Second Reading of the Bill.
No Committee Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1 offered by Representative
McPike."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Move for the adoption of Amendment #1."

Speaker McPike: "Question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 be adopted?'
All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it.
And the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. House Bill 3760, Representative
Wyvetter Younge. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3760, a Bill for an Act making
appropriations to the Illinois Community College Board.
Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Any Floor Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. Thank you for your cooperation,
Representative Ryder and Representative Stephens,
Representative Bowman and Representative Leverenz and to
all the other Members on the Floor. We have all the Bills
on Third Reading. Now we're going...now we're going to
stay until 7:00 and the rumor that we're not in Session
next week is true. Representative Leverenz, I'm sorry.
Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "I guess I'd make an inquiry of the Chair. And if I

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

could, I would now move that all Bills that were moved to Third: Matters of Appropriation be passed. And we could have leave for the Attendance Roll Call."

Speaker McPike: "Do we have leave?"

Leverenz: "Shoot it right to the other House, and make it look like we operate as fast as the Senate. And we would really do a job here. Were all those read a third time? Or are they in the proper order that we could do that?"

Speaker McPike: "No, they have to wait 'til tomorrow."

Leverenz: "So, if I would ask the question now, you would probably say..."

Speaker McPike: "They have to be read a third time tomorrow. Tomorrow. Not now."

Leverenz: "Not now. Thanks, I tried."

Speaker McPike: "Returning back to Special Call..."

Leverenz: "Wouldn't that be something fire 'em all out of here. Boom."

Speaker McPike: "...Environment, Second Reading. Alright, Representative I believe you're correct. We were finishing State and Local Government, Third Reading, when we left that Order. And I believe the next Bill is Representative Woolard. House Bill 3120. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "On page 15 of the Calendar, House Bill 3120, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Enterprise Zone Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Woolard."

Woolard: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. What House Bill 3120 does is adds an additional six enterprise zone opportunities for various local governments throughout our state. This is a much needed move, we also have an Amendment that was passed that will ensure that each and everyone of these enterprise zones throughout the state, if they're not used within three years they will

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

lose that authority. I believe this is a good Bill and I would encourage passage of House Bill 3120."

Speaker McPike: "Any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall House Bill 3120 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Bill, there are 100...102 'ayes', 9 'nos', 4 voting 'present'. House Bill 3120 having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 4134, Representative Hallock. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk. Representative Cullerton in the Chair."

Speaker Cullerton: "Mr. Clerk, please read House Bill 3134."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 3134, on page 16 of the Calendar..."

Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Hallock on 3134."

Clerk Leone: "A Bill for an Act to provide the Law Enforcement Medal of Honor. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Hallock."

Hallock: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. House Bill 3134 establishes the Law Enforcement Medal of Honor. The purpose of this Bill is to honor, on an annual basis a law enforcement official who, on a statewide basis, has been seriously injured or killed in the line of duty or who has otherwise displayed exceptional bravery or heroism. I think all of us, on an annual basis from time to time, reflect upon those men and women of this profession who have done so much to preserve our society as we know it today. There are, in fact, articles in the paper from time to time which also honor those members for their heroism in certain cases. What this Bill will do is establish a committee statewide, which would honor one of them statewide for their exceptional duty and their efforts on our behalf as citizens of this great state. Its a fine Bill, I believe it's one whose time has come. And it's one

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

which I think we need to do to set an example, that we, in the General Assembly, truly do appreciate the efforts being done by law enforcement officials statewide on our behalf. I would ask for your support.

Speaker Cullerton: "Gentleman's moved for the passage of House Bill 3134. Now, is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Young."

Young, A.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Cullerton: "He indicates he will."

Young, A.: "Under this Bill would we be giving just one such award a year?"

Hallock: "Yes."

Young, A.: "Alright. To the Bill, Mr. Speaker, I rise in opposition simply because just recently there were two Chicago police officers who were killed. And I think to try to single out one officer killed in duty throughout the state is...kind of makes the rest of them who would not get the award kind of diminished their contributions. If you have several officers in the course of the year who were killed in the line of duty...single out one for an award, I think, sends an improper message to the family and friends of the other officers."

Speaker Cullerton: "Further discussion. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Mr. Speaker, I reluctantly rise to oppose the Gentleman's Bill. I second what Representative Young has just said. I believe that they all should receive the same honor. And perhaps with a 'present' vote we could take it back to Second and amend it."

Speaker Cullerton: "Further discussion? Hearing none, Representative Hallock to close."

Hallock: "Well, Mr. Speaker, to the previous Speakers. Clearly on a state...ah...the size of Illinois with 11.5 million

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

people there are in every case probably more than one individual who has risen above and beyond the call of duty and performed so well, that he should in fact he or she should in fact be honored. I would be open to suggestions in terms of how many we should be honor on an annual basis. The purpose of this Bill is to try to begin the program. It's one I believe is very important. It's actually imperative that we do this. I would be open to suggestions, however, and in the Senate I would urge them to look at the Bill as well. If they, in fact, do believe that we should expand it beyond one I would be open to that possibility. But the key concept for me here today is to begin the program. We don't have a program like this now. I think we have to start somewhere and this Bill begins that process. I would ask for your support."

Speaker Cullerton: "The Gentleman's moved for the passage of House Bill 3134. All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. This is final passage. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 86 voting 'yes', 3 voting 'no', 22 voting 'present'. And this Bill having received the required Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Representative Capparelli, House Bill 3293. Out of the record. Representative Novak, House Bill 3398. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 3398, a Bill for an Act in relationship to unemployment. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Novak."

Novak: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 3398 creates a Veterans State Employment Contract Preference Act. It requires that any person, entity or local unit of government awarded a state contract

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

which hires employees to complete work under the contract shall employ a certain percentage meaning 5% of veterans to complete the work. The Bill was amended on the floor to accommodate the concerns of the AFL-CIO and the Contractors Association. This Bill is designed to more than just say, 'hire the veterans', it's designed to put Illinois in the forefront in our country to do something for our veterans who have fought and died for this country. And those veterans...those would be veterans who will be coming home from service in a few years to give them some preference for work with respect to state contracts that are awarded to either a unit of local government or private industry for job retention or job addition. I'd be happy to take any questions."

Speaker Cullerton: "The Gentleman's moved for the passage of House Bill 3398. On that is there any discussion? The Gentleman from DuPage Representative McCracken."

McCracken: "Thank you. I rise in opposition. And I don't want this to be confused with a discussion on the merits of veterans. We're not talking about veterans. We're talking about taxpayer money. And it is ironic, it is the height of irony, that this contract preference which is so important is exempted by all of the unions. If you belong to a union either, federal or state or education, you are not the subject of this contract preference. So that all of the unions which make up a larger and larger part of the public work force are exempted from this requirement. So the only ones this will hit are the non-union places, or the non-union jobs and that expense will be born by them. But, in fact, the expense if any will be born by the taxpayer because that cost of doing business with local and state governments will be passed on to the buyer and that is the taxpayer. Everybody wants to give someone else a

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

helping hand that's understandable, it's human nature. But it isn't your money to give away. It's the taxpayers money to give away. And, I say this is not the way to do it."

Speaker Cullerton: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from Madison, Representative Stephens."

Stephens: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker. Representative Novak, I rise in support of your Bill. I think points are well made by the previous Speaker and maybe we can improve upon this concept by not exempting unions. But, I have to rise in support. And, I hope that those people on this side of the aisle, who want to defer to the veterans to try to help them out just a little bit more, will join in support of this piece of legislation."

Speaker Cullerton: "Further discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall House Bill 3398 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. This is final passage. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, 100 voting 'yes', 10 voting 'no', 5 voting 'present'. House Bill 3398 having received the required Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Representative Myron Olson, 3404. Representative Myron Olson. Gary. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 3404, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act to revise the law in relationship to clerks of the courts. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Cullerton: "Mr. Clerk, maybe you could read it again."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 3404, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act to revise the law in relationship to clerks of the court. Third Reading of the Bill."

Olson, M. "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. As a request of Democratic Leadership, that Bill's sponsorship has been given to Representative Steczo. And I

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

am now the Cosponsor. Perhaps he would like to offer the Bill."

Speaker Cullerton: "Well, we'll...we'll take it out of the record then. Ladies and Gentlemen, I'd like to acknowledge the presence here on the House floor of the Clerk of the Circuit Court of Cook County, Aurelia Pucinski welcome to Springfield. Representative John Dunn, House Bill 3412. Out of the record. Representative Phelps, 3571. Representative Phelps. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 3571, a Bill for an Act to improve the delivery of health care services. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Phelps."

Phelps: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen...you're getting better...Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. By now I know we're all aware of the health care crisis not only in the Nation but in this state. And we all have worked together on both sides of the aisle in trying to create a forum with which we can communicate the seriousness nature of health care. Coming from a rural area I've tried to communicate what problems are unique to rural not only downstate but throughout this whole state. And I appreciate the cooperation with which each of you have shown me in trying to grasp a hold of this problem. It does come down to a matter of money in many instances. And in many cases it's better utilization of the resources that we already have in the Department of Public Health, Public Aid and other agencies. But what I try to do in this Bill is to extend the cooperation and coordination with the urban areas. Because over the last year I've studied and researched very closely that the problems in the rural areas are not specifically unique only to those areas, but they also exist within the urban settings. That's why we

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

want to unite with the urban Legislators like Representative Trotter and the rest of you who have signed on as Cosponsors. We know that one of the reasons and the ways that we can deal with the health care crisis is to do what this Bill outlines in five steps real quickly. One, to expand the use...utilization of community health centers. They've already proven to be a source of...to provide access to health care for a growing number of not only uninsured but medically under served people in areas that have manpower shortages in the medical profession. And also will set up a service corps for physicians to have incentives to locate in areas where we need doctors, obstetricians, nurses, physician assistants. This increases mid-level practice which we hope will grow through not only the Office of Rural Health that's created within the Public Health now, but through new programs in this Bill that we're outlining. Also the Emergency Medical Ambulance Improvement, which will set up a network of regional ambulances, which will show a better utilization of the taxpayers dollar and not worry about supplying each county with such expensive maintenance costs that...associated with ambulance services. And, finally, will provide technical assistance to hospitals that are not-for-profit and will agree to not discriminate against anyone regarding the source of payment. This is a sketch of this Bill that, I think, would afford cooperation and support from not only the rural but urban Legislators. I appreciate your support."

Speaker Cullerton: "The Gentleman moves for the passage of House Bill 3571. Now is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall House Bill 3571 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye'. All those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. This is final passage. Representative

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

McCracken, one minute to explain your vote."

McCracken: "It was a plot. Representative Terzich came over and talked to me and I didn't get a chance to speak on this Bill. Will the Sponsor nod or shake his head as appropriate? Will this cost \$70 million and is it budgeted in the Illinois budget? Oh, oh, I asked a compound question. First part of the question, will it cost \$70 million?"

Speaker Cullerton: "33 seconds."

McCracken: "How much will it cost? \$35 million? \$3.5 million? Is that budgeted? No. Okay. Thank you."

Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Hasara, one minute to explain your vote."

Hasara: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise in opposition to this Bill. We just created a wonderful program in the Department of Public Health called the Center for Rural Health. In this Bill we would now create the Urban and Rural Health Center. This department is working so well and it will totally gut the rural part of that area. And so for downstaters I don't think we want to lose that good program. Please vote 'no'."

Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Trotter, one minute to explain your vote."

Trotter: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. What this Bill does is it highlights that with the problems that we're having in the health care network. And with the delivery of health care is a statewide problem it is not...is exasperated in the cities. It shows up down in the county. Access to health care is a problem, infant mortality is a problem on both ends of the state be it on width...the width or the depth of the state. This Bill assists us in addressing those concerns an immediate way. The price of it that's not important. How much money do you put on a

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

life? And that's what you have to be concerned with a life of anyone, regardless of where you live, regardless of your color, regardless of your background, regardless of your status in life, is an important one. This Bill addresses that."

Speaker Cullerton: "Representative John Dunn, one minute to explain your vote."

Dunn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I may have a conflict of interest with regard to this legislation. To the extent I do, I shall never the less vote my conscience."

Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Phelps, one minute to explain your vote."

Phelps: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. First of all my switch is not registering an 'aye' vote on the board at least. It's lighting up on my desk under my name. I think it was your imitation that blew the switch. I will go ahead and explain my vote while we're trying to fix mine."

Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Phelps, your 'green' light on the...ah..."

Phelps: "Now it's on."

Speaker Cullerton: "Now it's on."

Phelps: "Thanks."

Speaker Cullerton: "And you're also re...you're also recorded on the...ah..."

Phelps: "Super. Can I explain my vote?"

Speaker Cullerton: "For a second, I thought you're 'green' light burned out because you're...'aye' on all these Bills."

Phelps: "Explain my vote and answer the inquiry that Representative Hasara made. I sponsored and cosponsored legislation that created the Office of Rural Health within the Public Health Department. And I sure wouldn't be offering something that would jeopardize its position now. I...it's my hope within this particular Bill that we

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

enhance its program. Only to reach out to also urban areas that are needed and hopefully we can have that partnership."

Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Black, for what purpose do you rise? Representative Black? Hello? Representative Black."

Black: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I just would rise to explain my vote."

Speaker Cullerton: "You have one minute to do so."

Black: "Thank you very much. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the Gentleman is to be commended for addressing what is indeed a serious downstate problem. But listen very carefully. We defeated the funding mechanism for this measure. I think two different times last year. This is...it's going to be a tough budget year folks. We all know that. This Bill diverts approximately \$3.5 million from the General Revenue Fund by diverting a portion of the Foreign Insurance Privileged Tax Receipt. Now, we defeated that last year. We didn't think we could afford it. No matter how good the idea is and it is a good idea. We can't divert \$3.5 million GRF."

Speaker Cullerton: "Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, Representative McCracken."

McCracken: "Verification."

Speaker Cullerton: "The Gentleman asks for a verification. Representative Phelps asks for a poll of those not voting."

Clerk Leone: "Poll of those not voting. Krska. Leverenz. Martinez and Williamson. No further."

Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Shaw, for what purpose do you rise?"

Shaw: "Leave to be verified, please."

Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Shaw asks leave to be

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

verified. Representative McCracken."

McCracken: "Yes, alright."

Speaker Cullerton: "Now, if you could please read the names of those voting 'aye'."

Clerk Leone: "Poll of those voting in the affirmative. Balanoff.

Bowman. Breslin. Brunsvold. Bugielski. Cullerton.

Curran. Currie. Davis. DeJaegher. DeLeo. Dunn. Edley.

Flowers. Giorgi. Goforth. Granberg. Hannig. Hartke.

Hicks. Homer. Lou Jones. Shirley Jones. Keane. Kulas.

Lang. Laurino. LeFlore. Levin. Matijevich. Mautino.

McGann. McNamara. McPike. Morrow. Munizzi. Novak.

Phelps. Preston. Rice. Richmond. Saltsman. Santiago.

Satterthwaite. Shaw. Steczo. Stern. Sutker. Terzich.

Trotter. Turner. Van Duyne. Weaver. White. Williams.

Wolf. Woolard. Anthony Young. Wyvetter Younge and Mr.

Speaker."

Speaker Cullerton: "Yes, Representative McCracken wants to hold off. Representative Sutker. Representative Sutker for an announcement and introduction. I'm sorry. Representative Sutker, for an introduction? No, I'm sorry. Representative Balanoff, for an introduction?"

Balanoff: "I rise for a point of personal privileged. I have with me here the next Cook County Clerk and the Alderman of the 49th Ward in the City of Chicago, David Or."

Speaker Cullerton: "Alright, Representative McCracken, do you have any questions of the Affirmative?"

McCracken: "Yes, thank you. Representative Mautino?"

Speaker Cullerton: "Representative McCracken, we might be able to save some time here. Representative Phelps for what purpose do you rise?"

Phelps: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. To utilize the time, I request Postponed Consideration."

McCracken: "Thank you, I think you have to remove one person

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

first, Representative Mautino."

Speaker Cullerton: "Is the Gentleman in the chamber? He's recorded as voting 'aye'. Please remove him. The Bill has 59 votes. The Gentleman's asked..."

McCracken: "Thank you."

Speaker Cullerton: "...for Postponed Consideration."

Unknown: "Let's adjourned."

Speaker Cullerton: "Yes, on the Order of Education, House Bill 2538. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "On page 4 of the Calendar, House Bill 2538, a Bill for an Act to amend the Civil Administrative Code. Second Reading of the Bill. There are no Committee or Floor Amendments."

Speaker Cullerton: "Third Reading. Representative Steczo, I understand that you're the new Sponsor of House Bill 3404. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 3404, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act to revise the law in relationship to clerks of the court. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Steczo."

Steczo: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. House Bill 3404 is a Bill that amends the Clerks of Court Act. And it provides language which deals with clerks depositing money into interest bearing...accounts after parties and actions are...are entitled to relief or forms of money. It indicates to that when the judgment is entered as to the disposition of the action. And the court shall order interest accrued directly to the distributive parties as it deems appropriate. In addition to that, the Bill sets out procedures such as the interest bearing account provisions, the possibility of intermingling funds. And the fact that the clerk of the circuit court must keep accounts in established banks and savings and loans doing business in

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

the State of Illinois. And, also provide language which deals with the payment of the money out of the deposited funds. I would appreciate the support of the House on this legislation and would answer any questions."

Speaker Cullerton: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of House Bill 3404. On that, is there any discussion? The Gentleman from DeKalb, Representative Countryman."

Countryman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Gentleman yield?"

Speaker Cullerton: "He indicates he will."

Countryman: "If money is deposited in the court, who gets the interest...with the circuit clerk?"

Steczo: "The fund."

Countryman: "What...what fund?"

Steczo: "The money is deposited with the clerk who then depos...Mr. Speaker, I'll defer to Representative Olson who...ah..."

Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Myron Olson."

Olson, M.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'll respond to Representative Countryman. In Amendment #2 it provides that the interest accrued goes to the parties that is deemed appropriate in the court order, rather than to the..."

Countryman: "Okay. So, that's the change from when you first mentioned it."

Olson, M.: "That's the change."

Countryman: "And now it goes to the parties...the court order."

Olson: "It goes to the parties as described in the order of the court."

Countryman: "With that Amendment I can support it. Thank you."

Speaker Cullerton: :Any further discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall House Bill 3404 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye'. All those opposed vote 'no'. The voting's opened. This is final passage. Have all voted

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 110 voting 'yes', none voting 'no', none voting 'present'. House Bill 3404 having received the required Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Agreed Resolutions."

Clerk Leone: "House Resolution 1739, William Peterson; 1740, DeJaegher; 1741, DeJaegher; 1742, DeJaegher; 1743, DeJaegher; 1744, Novak; 1745, Novak; 1751, Weller; 1752, Weller; 1753, Klemm - Deuchler; 1754, Curran; 1755, Cullerton; 1756, Hultgren; 1757, DeJaegher; 1758, DeJaegher; 1759, DeJaegher; 1760, Keane; 1761, Johnson - Black."

Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, these are all congratulatory. I move the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions."

Speaker Cullerton: "The Gentleman moves the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions. All in favor say 'aye', all opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Agreed Resolutions are adopted. Death Resolutions."

Clerk Leone: "House Resolution 1746, Johnson, in respect to the memory of Professor Massey. House Resolution 1747, offered by Representative Johnson, in respect to the memory of David Marker. House Resolution 1748, offered by Representative Johnson, in respect to the memory of Iva Matthews. House Resolution 1749, offered by Representative McAuliffe, in respect to the memory of Chicago Police Officer Gregory Hauser. And, House Resolution 1750, offered by Representative McAuliffe, in respect to the memory of Chicago Police Officer Raymond Kilroy."

Speaker Cullerton: "Representative Matijevich moves for the adoption of Death Resolutions. I'd like to announce to the Membership that by agreement of the Leadership both sides...both parties that we're going to read all those

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

Bills on Second Reading a second time and hold them there today. Messages from the Senate. I'm sorry. Representative Matijevich moves that the Death Resolutions be adopted. All in favor say 'aye', all opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. Death Resolutions are adopted. Now, Representative DeLeo moves that the House stand adjourned leaving one minute early allowing time for perfunctory. And that the House shall be in Session tomorrow morning at 9:30. All in favor say 'aye', all opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The House stands adjourned until the hour of 9:30 tomorrow morning."

Clerk Leone: "Messages from the Senate. A Message from the Senate by Ms. Hawker, Secretary. 'Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has passed Bills with the following titles, the passage of which I am instructed to ask concurrence of the House of Representatives, to wit; Senate Bill 1501, 1558, 1652, 1653, 1709, 1736, 1741, 1760, 1766 and 1867, passed the Senate May 16, 1990. Linda Hawker, Secretary.' A further Message from the Senate by Ms. Hawker, Secretary. 'Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has passed Bills with the following titles, in passage of which I am instructed to ask concurrence of the House of Representatives, to wit; Senate Bill 1916, Senate Bill 2062, Senate Bill 2075, Senate Bill 2076, Senate Bill 2087, Senate Bill 2088, Senate Bill 2097, Senate Bill 2106, Senate Bill 2171 and Senate Bill 2228, passed the Senate May 17, 1990. Linda Hawker, Secretary.' A Further Message from the Senate by Ms. Hawker, Secretary. 'Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has passed Bills of the the following titles, passage of which I am

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

instructed to ask concurrence of the House of Representatives, to wit; Senate Bills 2231 and 2256, passed the Senate May 16, 1990. Linda Hawker, Secretary. Introduction and First Readings of Senate Bills. Senate Bill 1501, offered by Representative Kulas - Levin - Balanoff, a Bill for an Act in relationship to medical and family responsibility. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1558, offered by Representative Leverenz - Sieben, a Bill for an Act to create the Prophetstown Civic Center Authority. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1652, offered by Representative Kubik, a Bill for an Act to amend the Public Community College Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 15...Senate Bill 1653, offered by Representative Homer, a Bill for an Act to amend the Unified Code of Corrections. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1709, offered by Representatives Kubik - Trotter, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Nursing Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1736, offered by Representative Breslin, a Bill for an Act to amend the Medical Practice Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1741, offered by Representative Parke, a Bill for an Act in relationship to automobile insurance theft. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1760, offered by Representative Parcells, a Bill for an Act to amend the Election Code. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1766, offered by Representative Kirkland, a Bill for an Act to allow school districts to conduct parental institutes. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1867, offered by Representative Barnes, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relationship to fire protection districts. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1916, offered by Representative Phelps, a Bill for an Act in relationship to correction facilities. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 2062,

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

offered by Representatives Steczo - Novak - Hicks, a Bill for an Act to amend the General Obligation Bond Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 2075, offered by Representative McGann - Barnes, a Bill for an Act to amend certain Acts in relationship to mentally ill and developmentally disabled persons. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 2076, offered by Representatives McGann - Barnes, a Bill for an Act to amend the Mental Health and Developmental Disabilities Confidentiality Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 2087, offered by Representatives Barnes - Hallock - Granberg - Balanoff, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Solid Waste Management Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 2088, offered by Representative Parke, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 2097, offered by Representative Parke, a Bill for an Act relating to the regulation of underground storage tanks. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 2106, offered by Representative Parcells, a Bill for an Act in relationship to fees amending named Acts. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 2171, offered by...correction...Senate Bill 2228, offered by Representative Lang, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Income Tax Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 2231, offered by Representatives Granberg - Hartke - Novak - Hallock, a Bill for an Act to amend the Environmental Protection Act. First Reading of the Bill. And Senate Bill 2256, offered by Representative Hicks, a Bill for an Act in relationship to international trade. First Reading of the Bill. On the Order of Second Reading, House Bills...House Bill 1162, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act relating to reviewing of increasing hospital costs. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1572, a Bill for an

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

Act to amend the Illinois Securities Law. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1679, a Bill for an Act to amend the Mortgage Escrow Account Act. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2074, a Bill for an Act in relationship to licensing of professional counselors. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2399, a Bill for an Act to amend the Code of Criminal Procedure. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2840, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relationship to the sale of tickets. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2874, a Bill for an Act relating to collegiate athletics. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2898, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2957, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act concerning jury instruction. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2991, a Bill for an Act to amend the Sanitary Districts Act. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3036, a Bill for an Act to repeal the Hazardous Waste Crane and Hoisting Equipment Operators Licensing Act. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3064, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relationship to toll highways. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3067, a Bill for an Act to amend the Baccalaureate Assistants Law. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3108, a Bill for an Act relating to liens. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3111, a Bill for an Act to amend the Criminal Code. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3114, a Bill for an Act to amend the Code of Civil Procedures. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3144, a Bill for an Act to amend the Criminal Code. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3148, a Bill for an Act concerning transfer of jurisdiction over state property of Lake County. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3150, a Bill for an Act to create an Office of Voluntary Action.

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3183, a Bill for an Act in relationship to recycling of newsprint. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3220, a Bill for an Act to amend the Code of Civil Procedures. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3231, a Bill for an Act appropriating...making appropriations to the Office of Auditor General. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3261, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Horse Racing Act. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3265, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to various agencies. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3266, a Bill for an Act making appropriation to various agencies. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3269, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to various agencies. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3270, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to various agencies. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3275, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relationship to Criminal Information Systems. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3282, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relationship to promotions and contest. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3284, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act relating to certain investments. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3307, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Insurance Code. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3323, a Bill for an Act in relationship to health care. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3337, a Bill for an Act to promote drug free work places. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3363, a Bill for an Act to amend the Real Estate License Act. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3367, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relationship to storm water management. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3381, a Bill for an Act to amend the Revenue Act. Second Reading

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

of the Bill. House Bill 3421, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3498, a Bill for an Act to amend the Medical Practice Act. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3501, a Bill for an Act to amend the Revenue Act. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3507, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act concerning the protection of certain natural habitats. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3510, a Bill for an Act to amend the Code of Civil Procedure. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3524, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Dental Practice Act. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3554, a Bill for an Act to amend the Code of Civil Procedure. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3587, a Bill for an Act to amend the Ambulatory Surgical Treatment Center Act. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3597, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Human Rights Act. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3610, a Bill for an Act to amend the Code of Criminal Procedure. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3611, a Bill for an Act to amend the Drug Paraphernalia Control Act. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3632, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Promotion Act. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3645, a Bill for an Act to create Certified Public Accountants Administration Act. (sic - Second Reading of the Bill.) House Bill 3649, a Bill for an Act in relationship to agrichemical contamination. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3674, a Bill for an Act in relationship to child support. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3692, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Pension Code. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3708, a Bill for an Act to license child care workers. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3713, a Bill for an Act to amend the Nursing Home Care

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

Act. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3753, a Bill for an Act to amend the Act concerning certain not-for-profit organizations. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3788, a Bill for an Act to guarantee a work opportunity for all Illinois citizens. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3811, a Bill for an Act to amend certain Acts in relationship to child support. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3872, a Bill for an Act to amend certain Acts in relationship to storm water and flood plains. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3877, a Bill for an Act to amend the Environmental Protection Act. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3881, a Bill for an Act to amend the Unified...Unified Code of Corrections. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3895, a Bill for an Act to amend the Act in relationship to County Property Tax. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3902, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act concerning combination by certain special districts. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3963, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act to provide for a system of probation. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3987, a Bill for an Act to amend the Personnel Code. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3989, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 4006, a Bill for an Act in relationship to Occupation Use Taxes. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 4013, a Bill for an Act to amend certain Acts in relationship to municipal waste. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 4050, a Bill for an Act to amend the Revenue Act. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 4067, a Bill for an Act to amend the Narcotics Profit Forfeiture Act. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 4084, a Bill for an Act concerning the administration of various schools for children. Second Reading of the Bill.

STATE OF ILLINOIS
86th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

118th Legislative Day

May 16, 1990

House Bill 4099, a Bill for an Act providing for the enforcement of certain acts...state and local food handling. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 4124, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Controlled Substances Act. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 4126, a Bill for an Act to amend the Code of Criminal Procedures. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 4171, a Bill for an Act to amend the Public Utilities Act. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 4172, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 4190, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relationship to toll highways. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 4196, a Bill for an Act concerning college athletics. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 4200, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. And House Bill 4201, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No further business. The House will now stand adjourned until tomorrow, May 17th at the hour of 9:30 a.m."

MAY 16, 1990

HB-1018	THIRD READING	PAGE	81
HB-1382	SECOND READING	PAGE	3
HB-1442	THIRD READING	PAGE	82
HB-1585	SECOND READING	PAGE	118
HB-1585	THIRD READING	PAGE	120
HB-1651	THIRD READING	PAGE	20
HB-1651	POSTPONED CONSIDERATION	PAGE	20
HB-2366	SECOND READING	PAGE	84
HB-2366	THIRD READING	PAGE	89
HB-2367	SECOND READING	PAGE	90
HB-2367	THIRD READING	PAGE	92
H3-2373	THIRD READING	PAGE	120
HB-2533	SECOND READING	PAGE	259
H3-3000	THIRD READING	PAGE	93
HB-3001	SECOND READING	PAGE	129
H3-3020	THIRD READING	PAGE	101
HB-3060	THIRD READING	PAGE	111
HB-3120	THIRD READING	PAGE	247
HB-3134	THIRD READING	PAGE	248
HB-3142	THIRD READING	PAGE	77
H3-3143	SECOND READING	PAGE	131
HB-3146	SECOND READING	PAGE	79
H3-3146	THIRD READING	PAGE	80
HB-3167	THIRD READING	PAGE	33
H3-3168	SECOND READING	PAGE	135
HB-3171	SECOND READING	PAGE	135
H3-3231	SECOND READING	PAGE	140
HB-3264	SECOND READING	PAGE	141
HB-3271	SECOND READING	PAGE	141
HB-3272	SECOND READING	PAGE	141
HB-3273	SECOND READING	PAGE	142
H3-3317	SECOND READING	PAGE	8
H3-3318	SECOND READING	PAGE	4
HB-3338	SECOND READING	PAGE	147
HB-3339	SECOND READING	PAGE	149
H3-3340	SECOND READING	PAGE	150
H3-3341	SECOND READING	PAGE	152
HB-3342	SECOND READING	PAGE	156
H3-3342	RECALLED	PAGE	157
H3-3343	SECOND READING	PAGE	166
H3-3344	SECOND READING	PAGE	168
HB-3391	SECOND READING	PAGE	171
HB-3392	SECOND READING	PAGE	172
H3-3394	SECOND READING	PAGE	175
HB-3397	THIRD READING	PAGE	122
HB-3398	THIRD READING	PAGE	250
HB-3404	THIRD READING	PAGE	252
HB-3404	THIRD READING	PAGE	259
HB-3404	OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	253
HB-3421	SECOND READING	PAGE	2
HB-3453	SECOND READING	PAGE	175
HB-3457	SECOND READING	PAGE	178
HB-3458	SECOND READING	PAGE	179
H3-3459	SECOND READING	PAGE	207
HB-3460	SECOND READING	PAGE	208
HB-3461	SECOND READING	PAGE	208
H3-3462	SECOND READING	PAGE	209
H3-3463	SECOND READING	PAGE	214
HB-3464	SECOND READING	PAGE	217
HB-3465	SECOND READING	PAGE	218
HB-3466	SECOND READING	PAGE	218
H3-3467	SECOND READING	PAGE	220
HB-3472	SECOND READING	PAGE	221
HB-3473	SECOND READING	PAGE	222

MAY 16, 1990

HB-3474	SECOND READING	PAGE	225
HB-3483	THIRD READING	PAGE	29
HB-3523	THIRD READING	PAGE	34
HB-3527	SECOND READING	PAGE	35
HB-3527	THIRD READING	PAGE	37
HB-3534	SECOND READING	PAGE	227
HB-3535	SECOND READING	PAGE	231
HB-3536	SECOND READING	PAGE	234
HB-3537	SECOND READING	PAGE	234
HB-3538	SECOND READING	PAGE	235
HB-3539	SECOND READING	PAGE	236
HB-3540	SECOND READING	PAGE	237
HB-3541	SECOND READING	PAGE	237
HB-3543	SECOND READING	PAGE	239
HB-3544	SECOND READING	PAGE	240
HB-3545	SECOND READING	PAGE	240
HB-3546	SECOND READING	PAGE	241
HB-3547	SECOND READING	PAGE	243
HB-3548	SECOND READING	PAGE	243
HB-3549	SECOND READING	PAGE	243
HB-3561	SECOND READING	PAGE	30
HB-3561	THIRD READING	PAGE	31
HB-3565	SECOND READING	PAGE	8
HB-3567	SECOND READING	PAGE	18
HB-3571	THIRD READING	PAGE	253
HB-3646	SECOND READING	PAGE	244
HB-3727	SECOND READING	PAGE	246
HB-3760	SECOND READING	PAGE	246
HB-3777	THIRD READING	PAGE	127
HB-3816	THIRD READING	PAGE	38
HB-3823	THIRD READING	PAGE	46
HB-3838	SECOND READING	PAGE	47
HB-3838	THIRD READING	PAGE	53
HB-3843	THIRD READING	PAGE	58
HB-3914	THIRD READING	PAGE	128
HB-4008	SECOND READING	PAGE	8
HB-4003	OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	8
HB-4031	THIRD READING	PAGE	59
HB-4074	THIRD READING	PAGE	32
HB-4128	THIRD READING	PAGE	60
HB-4129	THIRD READING	PAGE	61
HB-4129	MOTION	PAGE	90
HB-4141	THIRD READING	PAGE	70
HB-4149	SECOND READING	PAGE	71
HB-4149	THIRD READING	PAGE	75
HB-4187	THIRD READING	PAGE	75

SUSJECT MATTER

HOUSE TO ORDER - SPEAKER MCPIKE	PAGE	1
PRAYER - REVEREND JOHN LAMBERT	PAGE	1
PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE	PAGE	2
ROLL CALL FOR ATTENDANCE	PAGE	2
REPRESENTATIVE LAURINO IN THE CHAIR	PAGE	53
REPRESENTATIVE GIGLIO IN THE CHAIR	PAGE	96
REPRESENTATIVE MCPIKE IN THE CHAIR	PAGE	132
REPRESENTATIVE CULLEKTON IN THE CHAIR	PAGE	248
AGREED RESOLUTIONS	PAGE	261
DEATH RESOLUTIONS	PAGE	261
ADJOURNMENT	PAGE	262
MESSAGE FROM SENATE	PAGE	262
PERFUNCTORY SESSION	PAGE	262
PERFUNCTORY SESSION - ADJOURNMENT	PAGE	269