

STATE OF ILLINOIS
85th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

71st Legislative Day

June 28, 1987

Speaker McPike: "The House will come to order. Members will be in their seats. The Chaplain for today will be the Reverend William Shereos, who is Pastor of Hope Evangelical Free Church of Springfield. Reverend Shereos is the guest of Representative Mike Curran. The guests in the balcony may wish to rise and join us in the invocation."

Reverend Shereos: "Let's pray together. Almighty God and everlasting Father, You have said in Your word that if anyone lacks wisdom, that he should ask and You would give generously and without finding fault. And it's with that thought in mind that I lift up these men and women here today, asking for wisdom. For we ask in the name of the Lord Jesus. Amen."

Speaker McPike: "We'll be led in the Pledge of Allegiance by the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Tony Young."

Young - et al: "I pledge allegiance to the Flag of the United States of America, and to the Republic for which it stands, one Nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all."

Speaker McPike: "Roll Call for Attendance. Take the record, Mr. Clerk. 114 Members answering the Roll Call, a quorum is present. From the Republican side of the aisle, excused absences today, Representative Tuerk, Representative Harris and Representative Myron Olson. Do the Democrats have any excused absences? None. Representative Leverenz?"

Leverenz: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, thank you. We had a little ruling from the Chair yesterday, and I understand that the Gentleman's ruling may in fact be correct, because the Amendment was not a germane 'shepherd'."

Speaker McPike: "Hell, it's our understanding that if that Bill is called again... that it is our understanding that the Clerk handed the Speaker the wrong Amendment. And so the

STATE OF ILLINOIS
85th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

71st Legislative Day

June 28, 1987

ruling was based on the fact that the Clerk never actually received the Amendment. So, I presume if the Bill is called again we'll have to look at the Amendment that was actually adopted in the Senate. So, it's a Clerk's error. It was Jack O'Brien's error. It wasn't Tony's error. Representative Steczo should be punched present. 115 Members answering the Roll Call, a quorum is present. Representative Sutker."

Sutker: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would like to remind each and every one of us that one of our distinguished colleagues, who has been honored by Newsweek Magazine, as one of the great unsung heroes of America will be featured on Good Morning, America, tomorrow morning. His name is Representative Jesse White."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Huff."

Huff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I realize this is a little late, but I would still like to address and honor our salutorian from yesterday. I believe, Alan Greiman. You know I think I expressed how I felt about Alan in Senate Bill 1200, when I talked about his zeal and his perspicacity for detail and his predisposition for the people. And I have always admired Alan from afar, and I might have been trying to endear myself as a buddy. I just want the record to show that I would walk a million miles just to bask in his style because he is my buddy. Thank you."

Speaker McPike: "Thank you. Representative Greiman in the Chair."

Speaker Greiman: "Constitutional Amendments, Third Reading."

Clerk Leone: "House Joint Resolution Constitutional Amendment #13. Resolved, by the House of Representatives of the Eighty-fifth General Assembly of the State of Illinois, the Senate concurring herein, that there shall be submitted to

STATE OF ILLINOIS
85th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

71st Legislative Day

June 28, 1987

the electors of the State for adoption or rejection at the general election next occurring at least six months after the adoption of this resolution, a proposition to amend Section 8 of Article IX of the Constitution to read as follows:

ARTICLE IX

REVENUE

SECTION 8. TAX SALES

- (a) Real property shall not be sold for the nonpayment of taxes or special assessments without judicial proceedings.
- (b) (1) The right of redemption from all sales of real estate for the nonpayment of taxes or special assessments, except as provided in ~~paragraphs~~ ~~paragraph~~ (2) and (3) of this subsection (b), shall exist in favor of owners and persons interested in such real estate for not less than two years following such sales.
- ~~(2) The right of redemption from the sale for nonpayment of taxes or special assessments of a parcel of real estate which:~~
- ~~(A) is vacant non-farm real estate or (B) contains an improvement consisting of a structure or structures each of which contains 6 or more residential units or (C) is commercial or industrial property; shall exist in favor of owners and persons interested in such real estate for not less than 6 months following such sales.~~
- (3) ~~42~~ The right of redemption from the sale for nonpayment of taxes or special assessments of a parcel real estate which:
- (A) is vacant non-farm real estate or (B) contains an improvement consisting of a structure or structures each of which contains ~~6~~ 7 or more residential units or (C) is commercial or industrial property; and upon which all or a part of the general taxes for each of ~~2~~ 5 or more years are delinquent shall exist in favor of owners and persons interested in such real estate for not less than 90 days following such

STATE OF ILLINOIS
85th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

71st Legislative Day

June 28, 1987

sales.

(c) Owners, occupants and parties interested shall be given reasonable notice of the sale and the date of expiration of the period of redemption as the General Assembly provides by law.

SCHEDULE

This Constitutional Amendment takes effect upon adoption by the electors of this State. Third Reading of the Constitutional Amendment on the Order of Third Reading."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich, for the purposes of a Motion with respect to the Journal. Mr. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move that we dispense with the reading of the Journal and that the following Journals be approved: Journal 36 of April 28th; 37 of April 29th; 38 of April 30; 39 of May 5th; 40 of May 6th; 41 of May 7th; 42 of May 8th; 43 of May 11th; 44 of May 12th; 45 of May 13th; 46 of May 14th; 47 of May 15th; 48 of May 18th; 49 of May 19th and 50 of May 20, 1987."

Speaker Greiman: "Move for the approval of the Journal. Those in favor 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Journals for those dates are approved. On page 3 of the Calendar on the Order of Concurrences, Appropriation Bills, appears House Bill 451. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 451, a Bill for an Act appropriating funds in relationship to the Build Illinois Program, together with Senate Amendments #1, 2, 3 and 4."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Madison, Mr. McPike."

McPike: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move to concur in Senate Amendments #1, 2, 3 and 4 to House Bill 451."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman moves that the House concur in

STATE OF ILLINOIS
85th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

71st Legislative Day

June 28, 1987

Senate Amendments 1, 2, 3 and 4 to House Bill 451. And on that, the Gentleman from Adams, Mr. Mays."

Mays: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. This is a Build Illinois Approp. and it has no add ons to speak of at all. I rise in support of the Gentleman's Motion."

Speaker Greiman: "The question is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendments 1, 2, 3 and 4 to House Bill 451?' All in favor signify by voting 'aye', all those opposed 'no'. Voting is open. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 110 'ayes', none voting 'no', 1 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, the House having concurred in Senate Amendments #1, 2, 3, and 4. On this Order appears House Bill 482. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 482, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the State Board of Education, together with Senate Amendment #1."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Senate Amendment was an Amendment to change the effective date so that we could put this matter into the Conference Committee. I would move to nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 482."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich, moves to nonconcur to Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 482. There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall the House so nonconcur?' All in favor say 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the House does nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 482. On page 4 of the Calendar appears House Bill 483. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

STATE OF ILLINOIS
85th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

71st Legislative Day

June 28, 1987

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 483, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to State Board of Education, together with Senate Amendment #1."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Steczo."

Steczko: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would move to nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 483."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman moves to nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 483. All in favor say 'aye', those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the House does nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 483. On this Order appears Senate Bill 484 (sic - House Bill 484). Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 484, (sic - House Bill 484) a Bill for an Act making appropriations to State Board of Education, together with Senate Amendment #1."

Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Cook, Ms. Didrickson."

Didrickson: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members of the Assembly. I do move to concur with... I move to nonconcur... I'm moving to nonconcur on Senate Amendments 1 and 2... or Senate Amendment #1 on 484."

Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Cook moves to nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 484. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The House nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 484. On this Order appears, Senate Bill 606 (sic - House Bill 606). Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 606, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Secretary of State, together with Senate Amendments #1, 2 and 3."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. McCracken."

McCracken: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move to concur in Senate

STATE OF ILLINOIS
85th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

71st Legislative Day

June 28, 1987

Amendments #1, 2 and 3 to House Bill 606."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. McCracken, moves that the House do concur in Senate Amendment #1, 2 and 3 to House Bill 606. There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall the House so concur?' All in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 113 'ayes', none voting 'no', none voting 'present'. And the House does concur in Senate Amendments #1, 2 and 3 to House Bill 606. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Yes, Ms. Hasara."

Hasara: "Mr. Speaker, may I please be recorded as voting 'aye' on that."

Speaker Greiman: "Yes. Let the transcript so reflect. On this Order appears House Bill 758. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 758, a Bill for an Act making reappropriations to the Environmental Protection Trust Fund Commission, together with Senate Amendment #1."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Adams, Mr. Mays."

Mays: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I move we concur with Senate Amendment #1 to the Environmental Trust Fund Commission."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Adams, Mr. Mays, moves that the House do concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 758. And on that, there being no discussion. The question is, 'Shall the House so concur?' All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 112 voting 'aye', none voting 'no' and none voting 'present'."

STATE OF ILLINOIS
85th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

71st Legislative Day

June 28, 1987

The House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 and this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On page 5 of the Calendar, on this Order appears House Bill 759. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 759, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the Abandoned Mines Lands Reclamation Council, together with Senate Amendment #1."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Adams, Mr. Mays."

Mays: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I move we concur with Senate Amendment #1 to the Abandoned Mines Lands Reclamation Council Appropriation."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Adams, moves that the House do concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 759. There being no discussion, all in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 114 'ayes', none voting 'no', none voting 'present'. And the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 759. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On this Order appears Senate Bill 760 (sic - House Bill 760). Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 760, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the State Employees Retirement System, together with Senate Amendment #1."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Adams, Mr. Mays."

Mays: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I move that the House concur with Senate Amendment #1. What that Amendment did was incorporate the appropriations for all the retirement systems on this one retirement system Bill."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman moves for the passage... for the

STATE OF ILLINOIS
85th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

71st Legislative Day

June 28, 1987

concurrence of Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 760. And on that, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Terzich."

Terzich: "Representative Mays, on this appropriation, what does this fund the Pension System at? 60%?"

Mays: "Mr. Speaker, could you get some order in the Chamber. I can't hear the Gentleman's question."

Terzich: "I'm wondering what the percentage..."

Speaker Greiman: "Excuse me, Mr. Terzich. Ladies and Gentlemen, while often the appropriations process on the Floor is often perfunctory almost, when there are questions, I think we should give the people our attention. Mr. Terzich, proceed."

Terzich: "Yes, Representative Mays, do you know what percentage the systems are being funded at with this appropriation?"

Mays: "Representative, each of the systems have a different pay out level. But, I can tell you that each of the dollar amounts that were appropriated for the systems last year are appropriated in this Bill for those systems. They were around 60% last year. I think it would be somewhat lower for the given systems this year with the exception of State Employees."

Terzich: "It would be lower this year than last year?"

Mays: "Because the dollar value is the same and the retirement system has more people drawing benefits or the benefits have been increased over the past year. The dollar amount is the same this year in this year's budget."

Terzich: "I would assume it is about 57%. Does that sound correct?"

Mays: "My staff is telling me its average 55, 56, 57 percent, somewhere in there. State employees is higher than 60, but the others are going to be lower."

Terzich: "Alright, thank you. But, I am sure that doesn't make the troops too happy that are involved in these pension

STATE OF ILLINOIS
85th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

71st Legislative Day

June 28, 1987

systems. Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "The question is, 'Shall the House concur?' All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 108 voting 'aye', 2 voting 'no', 3 voting 'present', and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 760. And on this Order appears Senate Bill 766 (sic - House Bill 766). Calendar announcement."

Clerk Leone: "Supplemental #1 to the House Calendar is now being distributed."

Speaker Greiman: "Proceed, Mr. Clerk, on 766."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 766, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Commissioner of Banks and Trust Companies, together with Senate Amendments #1 and 2."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Adams, Mr. Mays."

Mays: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. This is the appropriations budget for the Commissioner of Banks and Trust. I move that we concur with the Senate Amendments #1 and 2."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Adams moves that the House do concur in Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 766. The question is, 'Shall the House concur?' Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 110 voting 'aye', none voting 'no', none voting 'present'. The House does concur in Senate Amendments #1 and 2. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On this Order appears Senate Bill 780...

STATE OF ILLINOIS
85th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

71st Legislative Day

June 28, 1987

768 (sic - House Bill 768). Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 768, a bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Illinois Criminal Justice Information Authority, together with Senate Amendment #1."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Adams, Mr. Mays."

Mays: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. This is the appropriation for the Criminal Justice Information Authority. I move that we concur with Senate Amendment #1 to this Appropriation."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman moves that the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 768. And on that, the Gentleman from Macon, Mr. Dunn."

Dunn: "Yes, would it be too much to ask what Senate Amendment #1 does?"

Mays: "No. Senate Amendment #1 makes some cuts in contractual to the tune of about \$11,000 in GRF, some equipment to the tune of about \$3,700 GRF, commodities about \$1,100 in GRF, in auto operations and things like that."

Dunn: "What are the cuts in contractual?"

Mays: "\$11,000 in General Revenue Fund dollars. The Senate total took us down \$27,000 from where we passed it out of the House last month."

Dunn: "Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Leverenz. The question is, 'Shall the House concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 768?' All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 105 voting 'aye', 9 voting 'no', none voting 'present'. The House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 768. And on this Order appears House Bill 770. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

STATE OF ILLINOIS
85th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

71st Legislative Day

June 28, 1987

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 770, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses to the Department of State Police, together with Senate Amendment #1."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Adams, Mr. Mays."

Mays: "Yes, I move we nonconcur in this particular budget. This is the budget for the Department of State Police. I believe that we should have some more discussions on this Bill."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman moves that the House do nonconcur in House Bill 770. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the House does nonconcur in House Bill 770. On this Order appears House Bill 771. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 771, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Health Care Cost Containment Council, together with Senate Amendments #1 and 2."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Macon, Mr. Tate."

Tate: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move to concur on Senate Amendments #1 and 2."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman moves that the House do concur in Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 770. And on that, the Gentleman from Macon, Mr. Dunn."

Dunn: "Mr. Speaker, can we have just a brief explanation of what these Amendments do before we vote on them? I don't think that is too much to ask."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Tate indicates he will yield for questions. Sure. Mr. Tate."

Tate: "Amendment #1 is... reduces the operation line by \$46,000 out of GRF to the estimated expenditure level. And our staff has no record of Amendment #2. So we will have to

STATE OF ILLINOIS
85th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

71st Legislative Day

June 28, 1987

yield to your side of the aisle or the Chair to provide us with Amendment #2. That's all."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Bowman. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bowman."

Bowman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #2 is a companion to Amendment #1 and provides the... that about \$46,000 is taken out of the Hospital Reimbursement line. It's \$46,000."

Tate: "Okay, we move to concur."

Speaker Greiman: "The question is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 771?' All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 114 'aye', none voting 'no', and none voting 'present'. And the House does concur in Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 771. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On this Order appears Senate Bill 773 (sic - House Bill 773). Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 773, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Illinois Commerce Commission, together with Senate Amendments 1, 2 and 3."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Adams, Mr. Mays."

Mays: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I move to nonconcur with Senate Amendments #1, 2 and 3."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman moves that the House nonconcur in Senate Amendments. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. Mr. Dunn, yes, for what purpose?"

Dunn: "I'd like to know, according to the analysis I have, the Senate Amendment #1 cuts the Transportation Division

STATE OF ILLINOIS
85th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

71st Legislative Day

June 28, 1987

\$1,773,000. And I'd like to know if that relates to the hazardous materials inspection in the Transportation Division."

Mays: "Thank you very much, Mr. Dunn. Representative Leverenz could probably get into this a little bit better than I and in more detail. But I understand that this whole division is... you know we have had some funding questions with the whole thing. We've passed some new fees and this Bill has to go to Conference to try to reconcile those things. At this time, it's my understanding, that it would indeed impact what you just said."

Dunn: "It would eliminate the whole million seven for this function. Is that correct?"

Mays: "The Senate Amendment reduced the operations about a million seven for the Transportation Regulatory Fund. And \$241,000, I think you're talking about Senate Amendment #1."

Dunn: "All you want to do is nonconcur here and get this in... "

Mays: "We are moving to nonconcur."

Dunn: "Okay, are you in favor of restoring these funds? I hope?"

Mays: "It's a part of the discussions that have to take place on this Bill."

Dunn: "Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. There is a reorganization going on within the ICC. As it went out of here, it had the money that it needed to have the reorganization take place. This would have destroyed the reorganization. I don't know that it has anything to do specifically to the hazardous that Representative Dunn referred to. And that's a great issue. That's why it is going to Conference."

Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall the House nonconcur in Senate

STATE OF ILLINOIS
85th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

71st Legislative Day

June 28, 1987

Amendments 1, 2 and 3?" All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it, and the House does so nonconcur. On this Order appears Senate Bill 774 (sic - House Bill 774). Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 774 (sic - House Bill 774), a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Lottery, together with Senate Amendment #1."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Adams, Mr. Mays."

Mays: "Thank you very much. This is the operations budget for the Department of Lottery. I move to concur with Senate Amendment #1."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman moves to concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 774. And on that, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment 1?' All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 106 voting 'aye', 4 voting 'no', 3 voting 'present'. And the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 774. And this Bill having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On this Order appears Senate Bill 775, (sic - House Bill 775). Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 775, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Nuclear Safety, together with Senate Amendments #1 and 2."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Adams, Mr. Mays."

Mays: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I move that we nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 and concur with Senate

STATE OF ILLINOIS
85th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

71st Legislative Day

June 28, 1987

Amendment #2."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Adams, Mr. Mays, moves the House do concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 775. And on that, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Leverenz."

Mays: "Mr. Speaker, I move that we concur in Senate Amendment #2, not Senate Amendment #1."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from... we will put the Motion differently. The Gentleman from Adams, Mr. Mays, moves the House do nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 775. And on that, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Would the Gentleman explain now... Is it my understanding you want to nonconcur in 1 and to concur in 2?"

Mays: "Yes, that is correct."

Leverenz: "I would implore you to concur in Senate Amendment #1. The chances of them receding are not there at all."

Mays: "May I explain where I am coming from on this so that you know exactly what I think we are trying to do? Right now, there are some Fee Bills that have been going through the process. I think they have passed the House and I think some of them have passed the Senate. It would not be my intent to restore the GRF reductions as evidence in Senate Amendment #1. But it would be... I am told that should those Fee Bills pass, we should be increasing the 853,000 that had been cut in Senate Amendment #1. The Department has some concern about radium in water and the radon and so that's why I am doing what I... "

Leverenz: "Well, I would again urge you to concur in Senate Amendments #1 and 2. And should that happen, there are other vehicles that will be in Conference Committee that will be readily available."

Mays: "Okay. So when the Fee Bills get all through, you're saying you will help us sort it all out, figure out how

STATE OF ILLINOIS
85th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

71st Legislative Day

June 28, 1987

many dollars we are going to have for these particular programs and put them in the right line?"

Leverenz: "Be very happy to consider your request."

Mays: "On that, I would be more than happy to concur with both Senate Amendments #1 and 2."

Leverenz: "You are a very learned person."

Speaker Greiman: "Who says that our negotiations are not out in the open? Alright, your Motion then, is now to concur in Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 775. On that the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yes, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Cullerton, proceed."

Cullerton: "I have an inquiry... procedural inquiry. Are the... other than Representative Tate, Leverenz, Mays and Bowman, are the other Members allowed to ask questions?"

Speaker Greiman: "You may propound a question."

Cullerton: "On the Appropriation Bills?"

Speaker Greiman: "Yes."

Cullerton: "Okay. It seems to me, a long time ago, we use to require... just for the benefit, especially on the weekends, for people sitting in the gallery that we used something other than numbers of Amendments and, the words 'concur and nonconcur'. We used to have to say how much the budget was and how much it was compared to the previous years. I think that's what we used to do. And some of these are final passage, aren't they?"

Speaker Greiman: "Most are final passage, Mr... "

Cullerton: "Would that be out of line, if we asked for that?"

Speaker Greiman: "They will generally yield for questions."

Cullerton: "Okay. I don't have any questions on this one. Maybe I'll do it the next one."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Bowman, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

STATE OF ILLINOIS
85th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

71st Legislative Day

June 28, 1987

Bowman: "Just to explain to Representative Cullerton that we are starting a new tradition. He is right, that is the way it used to be done, but this is a new tradition. Things roll along much faster this way."

Speaker Greiman: "The question is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 775?' And on that, all those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 111 voting 'aye', 1 voting 'no', 2 voting 'present', and the House does concur in Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 775. On this Order appears Senate Bill 776 (sic - House Bill 776). Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 776, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Veterans' Affairs, together with Senate Amendments 1, 2 and 3."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Macon, Mr. Tate."

Tate: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. We move to concur in Senate Amendment #1, which splits up the administration division in the central office of Veterans' Affairs Field Officers. We move to concur on Senate Amendment #2, which restores 210,000 for the Vietnam Veterans' Act Grant. And we move to concur on Amendment 3, which restores 16 nursing positions at Quincy Hospital. This is a positive vote for the Veterans of this State and I move for the concurrence."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Macon, Mr. Tate, moves that the House do concur in Senate Amendments #1, 2 and 3 to House Bill 776. And on that, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Leverenz."

STATE OF ILLINOIS
95th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

71st Legislative Day

June 28, 1987

Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. We would encourage the Gentleman to move to nonconcur, but in the alternative, it would be helpful that this side of the aisle would defeat this Motion outright and we will put this in Conference and make it correct."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Vermillion, Mr. Black."

Black: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Would the Gentleman yield for one question?"

Speaker Greiman: "He indicates he will."

Black: "Representative Tate, if we concur in Senate Amendments 1, 2 and 3, will that keep the twenty-six local Veterans' Affairs offices that were suddenly hit with a closing problem?"

Tate: "Correct. That's the whole issue. I think the other side of the aisle would like to close those offices down. This is a positive vote, if you concur with this."

Black: "I know the Veterans in my district certainly want that office to stay open. Thank you."

Tate: "That's what we are for."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Kulas."

Kulas: "Will the Gentleman yield to a question?"

Speaker Greiman: "He indicates he will."

Kulas: "Does Senate Amendments... are those satellite offices restored in the budget now?"

Tate: "Correct. Correct."

Kulas: "All of them?"

Tate: "Yes."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bowman."

Bowman: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Well, I assure everybody here that this side of the aisle is equally interested in keeping those field offices open. In fact, that is precisely the reason we want to make sure this Bill goes into Conference Committee, to make

STATE OF ILLINOIS
85th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

71st Legislative Day

June 28, 1987

sure our questions are answered. Our questions have not been answered on that point. We are not satisfied on that point. We want to make sure those offices are kept open and that is why we want this Bill into Conference Committee. I would also point out that Senate Amendments 1 and 3, taken in conjunction, reduce funding to the Quincy Veterans' Home and to the Manteno Veterans' Home. I think we ought to take a look at that as well. So this Bill ought to go to Conference Committee. We ought to defeat this Motion and then there should be a Motion to nonconcur."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Novak."

Novak: "Will the Sponsor yield, please?"

Speaker Greiman: "He indicates he will."

Novak: "Representative, you did say the Amendments would restore the funding for the twenty-six field offices?"

Tate: "Correct."

Novak: "What about the other eleven that the Department of Veterans' Affairs had indicated they would close down because of an Auditor General's recommendations? They will also restore them?"

Tate: "They are in... they are in, in Amendment #1."

Novak: "Okay, thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? There being none. The Gentleman from Macon, Mr. Tate to close."

Tate: "Well, contrary to what the Gentleman from the other side of the aisle said, Amendment #1 restores all the funding for the field offices for Veterans Affairs. Number 2, Amendment #2 restores GRF funding for Vietnam Veterans in this State. And number 3 restores nursing position at both Quincy and Manteno Hospitals. So if you are for Veterans in this State you should be for concurring."

Speaker Greiman: "The question is, 'Shall the House concur in

STATE OF ILLINOIS
85th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

71st Legislative Day

June 28, 1987

Senate Amendments #1, 2 and 3 to House Bill 776? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk... Mr. Leverenz. I believe you spoke on the Bill and in debate. Mr. Tate, one minute to explain your vote. Alright. Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question there 50 voting 'aye', 17 voting 'no', 47 voting 'present'. And the Motion fails. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Leverenz."

Leverenz: "I now move to nonconcur in Senate Amendments 1, 2 and 3."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Leverenz, moves that the House do nonconcur Senate Amendments 1, 2, 3 to House Bill 776. And, on that the Gentleman from Macon, Mr. Tate."

Tate: "I'd like to take this Bill out of the record."

Speaker Greiman: "No, you don't have the right to take the Bill out of the record at this point... under our Rules. We'll get you the Rule in a second. Mr. Tate, with respect to your Parliamentary inquiry, your attention is directed to Rule 39-1(b), which provides that when a Bill or Resolution is called on either the Order of Second or Third Reading, the Chief Sponsor may request the consideration of the Bill or Resolution may be deferred. So that what... while the Bill is at the Order of Second or Third Reading is within... is Bill within this House. Therefore, there is a certain proprietary interest that the Sponsor has. However, that proprietary interest is lost once the Bill is on concurrence, or in Veto Sessions or other times. Now on that, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Piel."

Piel: "Question of the Chairman."

Speaker Grieman: "Yes, Mr. Piel."

STATE OF ILLINOIS
85th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

71st Legislative Day

June 28, 1987

Piel: "A question of the Chair, Mr. Speaker. My question would be that in this type of a case, first, why is Representative Tate's name put on the Board, if he is not in control of the Bill, anymore? And, my second question... and my second question would be, what you could do is refer to Rule 38, because Mr. McCracken is very interested in that also. But the question I would have also is why did you, as the Chair... as the Speaker, call on Mr. Tate? And say, 'What do you want to do with your Bill, Mr. Tate?' And then he made a Motion. I mean, if it wasn't in his control, then why did you, as the Chair, ask what he wanted to do with his Bill? Starting the procedures. When his Motion failed, he wanted to take it out of the record. Now you said, 'No, no, you don't have control of it.' According to this, it does not state, 'I would like to add'. It just states Second and Third Reading. It does not state that he does not have control of it when it comes to concurrence or nonconcurrences, Mr. Speaker. I'd like you to answer the question."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. McCracken."

McCracken: "Mr. Speaker, I refer you to Rule 38, entitled 'Final Passage', which requires that only after a Roll Call has been started may a Bill not be taken from the record. And for purposes of that paragraph, and I direct your attention to paragraph 'd'. Concurrence is final passage for meaning of that Rule. And that Rule may be suspended only by an affirmative vote of 71. So the Gentleman is within his rights to take the Bill out of the record. And you should rule so."

Speaker Greiman: "Okay. I understand... I think I understand what you are suggesting. And we read together 38(b) and we read that with 39(b). And the two are not mutually inconsistent. I think that on 39... 38(b), we are

STATE OF ILLINOIS
85th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

71st Legislative Day

June 28, 1987

addressing a different issue altogether. We are talking about a situation... agreed that Concurrence means final passage. I announced that when I asked for the vote. However, this deals only with the question where the question has been put, whether the Sponsor himself or anybody else could take it from the record. Clearly nobody can. Now, let's proceed. Mr. Tate."

Tate: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Greiman: "Excuse me, Mr. Tate. Mr. McCracken, I think I cut him off. Mr. McCracken?"

McCracken: "No."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Tate."

Tate: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. We just got some religion over here, on Veterans' Affair. And we will now nonconcur."

Speaker Greiman: "Okay. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Leverenz, moves that the House do nonconcur in Amendments #1, 2 and 3 to House Bill 776. And on that, all in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes', have it. And the House does nonconcur in that Bill. On this Order appears Senate Bill... House Bill 777. Yes, Mr. Cullerton, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

Cullerton: "I wonder... to prove your point, I wonder if I could make this Motion? Representative Piel is surprised that you are calling... "

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Mays' light is on... so his light is on, so we'll go ahead and do that. On this Order appears House Bill 777. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 777, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Energy and Natural Resources, together with Senate Amendments 1, 4 and 5."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Adams, Mr. Mays."

STATE OF ILLINOIS
85th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

71st Legislative Day

June 28, 1987

Mays: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I move that we concur with Senate Amendments 1, 2, 3 and 4 on House Bill 777."

Speaker Greiman: "1, 4 and 5 or 1, 2, 3 and 4?"

Mays: "1, 2, 3 and 4, I'm sorry."

Speaker Greiman: "The Clerk advises me that we only have Senate Amendments 1, 4 and 5."

Mays: "I move that we nonconcur in Senate Amendments #1, 4 and 5."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Adams moves that the House nonconcur in Senate Amendment 1, 4 and 5. And on that the Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Klemm. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bowman."

Bowman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would also ask, oh... he made a Motion to nonconcur. I see. I misunderstood the Motion. I support the Motion."

Speaker Greiman: "The question is 'Shall the House nonconcur in House Bill 777?' All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the House does nonconcur in House Bill 777. On this Order appears House Bill 778. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 778, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Central Management Services, together with Senate Amendments 1, 2 and 3."

Speaker Greiman: "And on that the Gentleman from Adams, Mr. Mays."

Mays: "I've got a parliamentary inquiry."

Speaker Greiman: "Yes."

Mays: "If we... if I take this Bill out of the record, then you're gonna... then what's the next step? Move on to the next Bill? Can I take this Bill out of the record and

STATE OF ILLINOIS
85th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

71st Legislative Day

June 28, 1987

still maintain control over the Bill, or no?"

Speaker Greiman: "Well, no one else seems to be offering another Motion. You may do so. Mr. Leverenz, is seeking recognition. Mr. Leverenz."

Leverenz: "I move we nonconcur... I'd like to make a Motion to concur."

Speaker Greiman: "Alright."

Leverenz: "In Senate Amendments 1, 2 and 3."

Speaker Greiman: "Alright, Mr. Leverenz, the Chair, if you take this... if the Bill is taken out of the record, is what the Sponsor requested. The Chair will call this Bill again and allow you to put your Motion at a later time."

Leverenz: "Let me now withdraw that Motion."

Speaker Greiman: "Alright. There being no Motions pending, we'll take it out of the record. And on this Order appears House Bill 779. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 779, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Employment Security, together with Senate Amendment #1."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Adams, Mr. Mays."

Mays: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. And I want to thank the Chairman of the Appropriations Committee for going along with us on that. The Governor specifically requested we hold that Bill. So thank you very much. House Bill 779 is the operations budget for the Department of Employment Security. I would move that we concur with Senate Amendment #1."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman moves that the House concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 779. There being no discussion, the question is 'Shall the House so concur?' Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is now open and this is final action. Have

STATE OF ILLINOIS
85th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

71st Legislative Day

June 28, 1987

all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question there are 104 voting 'aye', 5 voting 'no', 5 voting 'present', and the House concurs in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 779. And this Bill having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On this Order, on page 4 of the Calendar... Yes, Mr. Granberg, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

Granberg: "Mr. Speaker, could the record reflect that I would have voted 'yes', on that... on those Amendments, please."

Speaker Greiman: "Let the transcript reflect that Mr. Granberg would have voted 'yes' on the preceding concurrence Motion. And on page 6 of the Calendar, on the Order of Concurrences, appears House Bill 780. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 780, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses for the Department of Labor, together with Senate Amendment #1."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Adams, Mr. Mays."

Mays: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I move that we nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman moves that the House nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 780. And on that, there being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall the House so nonconcur?' All those in favor say 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it, and the House does nonconcur. On this Order appears House Bill 781. Mr. Mays, for what purpose are you seeking recognition?"

Mays: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, we've worked out some... we've had some discussion on Central Management Service's Budget. And, I'd like at the appropriate time to move to concur with

STATE OF ILLINOIS
85th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

71st Legislative Day

June 28, 1987

that... House Bill 778."

Speaker Greiman: "Alright, let's... we've just asked for 781 to be read by the Clerk. We'll go back then immediately. Mr. Clerk, 781."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 781, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Guardianship and Advocacy Commission, together with Senate Amendment #1."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Macon, Mr. Tate."

Tate: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move to concur with Senate Amendment #1, which reduced the Department by... the Commission by \$7,300 for equipment and some other stuff."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman moves for the concurrence of Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 781. There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall the House so concur?' All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question there are 105 voting 'aye', 7 voting 'no', 1 voting 'present'. Mr. Mulcahey votes 'aye'. It is already on the Board. On this question, there are 105 voting 'aye', 7 voting 'no', 1 voting 'present', and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 781. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. On this Order appears House Bill 782. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 782, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Aging, together with Senate Amendments #1, 2 and 3."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Macon, Mr. Tate."

Tate: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. We move to nonconcur on Senate

STATE OF ILLINOIS
85th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

71st Legislative Day

June 28, 1987

Amendment #1, 2 and 3. The entire budget is a financial morris (sic - morass) and we just need a great deal of work."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman moves to nonconcur in Senate Amendments #1, 2 and 3 to House Bill 782. There being no discussion, the question is 'Shall the House nonconcur?' All in favor 'aye', all opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the House does nonconcur in Senate Amendments #1, 2 and 3 to House Bill 782. And on this Order appears House Bill 783. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 783, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Mental Health and Developmental Disabilities, together with Senate Amendments #1 and 2."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Macon, Mr. Tate."

Tate: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. We move to concur on Senate Amendment #1, which increases funding of \$8,000,000 to the Department of Mental Health."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Macon, Mr. Tate, moves that the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 783. And on that is there any discussion? There being none... Yes, Mr. Cullerton, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

Cullerton: "This is one where I would like to know what the Amendments do."

Speaker Greiman: "Alright. Why don't you put the question? The Gentleman will yield for questions."

Cullerton: "What's the effect of the concurring with the Senate Amendments?"

Tate: "The effect is Amendment #1 provides for the additional funding for the facilities to a tune of \$8,000,000."

Cullerton: "\$8,000,000?"

STATE OF ILLINOIS
85th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

71st Legislative Day

June 28, 1987

Tate: "Yes."

Cullerton: "How about #2?"

Tate: "We're not there yet."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman's Motion, Mr. Cullerton, is to concur in Senate Amendment #1 only."

Cullerton: "Okay. Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "The question is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 783?' Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is now open. And on this Amendment this is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question there are 114 'ayes', none voting 'no', none voting 'present', and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 783. Are there further Amendments? Or further Motions? Mr. Tate, do you have any further Motions?"

Tate: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. We move to nonconcur in the Senate Amendment #2. The current feeling is that there is not sufficient funds right now for Community Providers, as well as the facilities, and we are looking for an opportunity to provide some additional dollars."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman moves that the House nonconcur in Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 783. Is there any discussion? The question is, 'Shall the House nonconcur on Senate Amendment... yes, Mr. Novak, the Gentleman from Kankakee.'"

Novak: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Greiman: "He indicates he will yield for questions."

Novak: "Representative Tate, the second Amendment, does it delete any funding for facilities in the field like Shapiro and other facilities around the State?"

Tate: "No, the Second Amendment added money. However, we feel

STATE OF ILLINOIS
85th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

71st Legislative Day

June 28, 1987

it's not sufficient enough money."

Novak: "It's not enough money for the facilities? The second Amendment, is it enough money for the facilities to stay operating?"

Tate: "We're just not comfortable with the figure."

Novak: "Okay. Alright, thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "The question is, 'Shall the House nonconcur in Senate Amendment 2?' All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the House does nonconcur in Senate Amendment #2. Now let us return, as I understand it, to House Bill 778. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 778, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Central Management Services, together with Senate Amendments #1, 2 and 3."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Adams, Mr. Mays."

Mays: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I pulled this out of the record because we had some discussions ongoing on some health benefit issues that go through Central Management Services Agency. I think we can work those issues out, outside of this Bill, so I move to concur with the Senate Amendment #1, 2 and 3."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Adams, Mr. Mays, moves that the House do concur in Senate Amendments #1, 2 and 3 to House Bill 778. And on that, the Gentleman from Macon, Mr. Dunn."

Dunn: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Greiman: "He indicates he will yield for questions."

Dunn: "According to the information I have, Senate Amendment #2 will reduce the group insurance line five point five million dollars, and I am told this is done by slowing down payment of claims and reducing the claims reserve and I'd

STATE OF ILLINOIS
85th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

71st Legislative Day

June 28, 1987

like to know why we're doing that. It looks like we should be encouraging good faith with our creditors and not just the opposite."

Tate: "I think, you know, that is one of the reasons I was reticent about calling this Bill. I think that's one of the issues that can be worked out in a future Bill, future appropriation Bill. Maybe Mr. Leverenz would like to expand on that. That is that issue. That is one of the things at issue, and that is why I wanted to pull it out of the record and maybe nonconcur. But I think there is enough vehicles out there that we can address this specific issue."

Dunn: "That should definitely remain an issue. Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "The question is 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendments #1, 2 and 3 to House Bill 778?' All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Voting is open. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question there are 111 voting 'aye', 3 voting 'no', 1 voting 'present', and the House does concur in Senate Amendments #1, 2 and 3 to House Bill 778. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Giglio in the Chair."

Speaker Giglio: "Alright, on page 6 of the Calendar appears House Bill 784. Representative Mays. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 784, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Commerce and Community Affairs, together with Senate Amendments #1, 2 and 3."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman from Adams, Representative Mays."

Mays: "I move we nonconcur in Senate Amendments #2 and 3."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman moves that the House nonconcur in

STATE OF ILLINOIS
85th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

71st Legislative Day

June 28, 1987

Senate Amendment #2 and 3 to House Bill 784. And on that question, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "A question of the Sponsor."

Speaker Giglio: "He indicates he will."

Leverenz: "What is your intention with 1?"

Mays: "I think we would have to go to Conference on this Bill anyway, Representative. And with #1, frankly I concur with what the Senate did. It took out a lot of House add ons, that we were going to try to do on an Amendment when this Bill was in the House, but we didn't have the Amendment technically drafted... technically correct, so we had to withdraw it. So I think we have to go to Conference anyway. I think the statement we would make on nonconcurring in 2 and 3 is a fine statement. But, concurring in 1, I think, is going to send out the wrong signal. So, that's why I made the Motion I made."

Leverenz: "Oh, okay. Thanks."

Speaker Giglio: "Further discussion? The Gentleman moves that the House nonconcur in Senate Amendments 2 and 3 to House Bill 784. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it. The House does nonconcur in Senate Amendments #2 and 3, to House Bill 784. Representative Mays? Amendment #1?"

Mays: "Thank you very much. I would, by the same token, move that we concur with Senate Amendment #1 on that Bill."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman moves that the House do concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House bill 784. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 105

STATE OF ILLINOIS
85th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

71st Legislative Day

June 28, 1987

voting 'yes', 2 voting 'no', 7 voting 'present', and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 784. On page 6 of the Calendar appears House Bill 785. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 785, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Capital Development Board, together with Senate Amendment #1."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman from Adams, Representative Mays."

Mays: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I move that we concur with Senate Amendment #1 to this Bill."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman moves that the House does concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 785. And on that question, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Will the Gentleman yield for a question?"

Speaker Giglio: "He indicates he will."

Cullerton: "What does that Amendment do?"

Mays: "This particular Amendment would isolate the funding for the Assistant's Attorney General's line item. It changes contractual... there's no change, no net change in appropriation's level. It just takes the contractual line item, reduces it and adds that amount to a special line item for Special Assistant Attorney General."

Cullerton: "So that what?"

Mays: "So that I would imagine the Agency would contract directly with the Attorney General's office, as opposed to indirectly, as they are currently doing. I am not exactly thrilled about the Amendment, but I don't want to see this Bill go into Conference."

Cullerton: "Thank you."

Speaker Giglio: "Further discussion? None. The question is, 'Shall the House do adopt Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 785?' All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those

STATE OF ILLINOIS
85th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

71st Legislative Day

June 28, 1987

opposed 'nay'. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question there are 113 voting 'yes', 1 voting 'no', 1 voting 'present'. And the House does adopt Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 785. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 789, Representative Mays. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 789, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Corrections, together with Senate Amendments #1 and 2."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman from Adams, Representative Mays."

Mays: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I move that we nonconcur in Senate Amendments #1 and 2 on the Department of Corrections' Budget."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman moves that the House nonconcur in Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 789. And on that question, hearing none, all those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes', have it, and the House nonconcur in Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 789. House Bill 790, Representative Mays. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 790, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of the Court of Claims, together with Senate Amendment #1."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman from Adams, Representative Mays."

Mays: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I move that we concur with Senate Amendment #1 to the Department... to the Court of Claims' ordinary and contingent expense budget."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman moves that the House do concur with Senate Amendments #1 to House Bill 790. On that

STATE OF ILLINOIS
85th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

71st Legislative Day

June 28, 1987

question, hearing none. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 114 voting 'yes', none voting 'no', none voting 'present', and the House does concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 790. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 791. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill. Representative Mays."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 791, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Agriculture, together with Senate Amendment #1."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman from Adams, Representative Mays. The Gentleman from Adams, Representative Mays, on House Bill 791."

Mays: "I'd like to take this Bill out of the record for a moment. Just hold onto this Bill for a second."

Speaker Giglio: "Out of the record. House Bill 792. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 792, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Public Health, together with Senate Amendments #1, 2, 3, 5 and 6."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman from Adams, Representative Mays. Tate, I'm sorry. The Gentleman from Macon, Representative Tate, on House Bill 792."

Tate: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move to concur on Senate Amendment #1, 2, 3, 5 and 6."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman moves that the House do concur in Senate Amendments #1, 2, 3, 5 and 6 to House Bill 792. And on that question the Gentleman from Cook,

STATE OF ILLINOIS
85th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

71st Legislative Day

June 28, 1987

Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yes, Representative Tate, I was wondering, since this is final passage, if you could tell me what... how much money are we appropriating with regards to Community Social Service Programs for AIDS victims?"

Tate: "Staff says in the area of four million."

Cullerton: "I'm sorry. I'm sorry."

Tate: "The Staff says in the area of four million."

Cullerton: "Four million. How does that compare with last year?"

Tate: "Roughly equivalent, possibly a little less."

Cullerton: "Okay, I thought there was some new money. Maybe it's in another area. Is there any other area, perhaps, I didn't ask the right question. Is there any other area that deals with AIDS? In this budget. In the research or contract tracing or teaching sexual abstinence among teenagers. Any other appropriations, other than that four million dollars?"

Tate: "In response to your question, there are new line items, but no new money. So we are funding specifically, but we are not adding dollars. It is an overall program."

Cullerton: "Representative Bowman has told me that your answer was wrong. That the money is in there and I'm happy. Thank you."

Speaker Giglio: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from McLean, Representative Ropp."

Ropp: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Giglio: "He indicates he will."

Ropp: "I guess I just have a question in general. It seemed like when most of these Bills came through the House, we attempted to reduce all of these funds, so that we could somewhat match them up with the available dollars. Seems like all of the Senate Amendments that have come over have all been increased what went out of the House. And we are

STATE OF ILLINOIS
85th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

71st Legislative Day

June 28, 1987

all concurring in them. Why are we doing that?"

Tate: "Not necessarily in all cases we are... we're going, I'm sure as you observed today, we're sending quite a few Bills to Conference Committee today."

Ropp: "Okay, but last week, we were trying to reduce everything two percent. And here this one, we are going to at least increase by... "

Tate: "That's true."

Ropp: "At least two percent, maybe ten percent. Okay. Thank you."

Speaker Giglio: "Further discussion? Hearing none. The question is 'Shall the House adopt Senate Amendments #1, 2, 3, 5 and 6 to House Bill 792. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question there are 100 'yes', 10 voting 'no', 3 voting 'present', and the House does concur with Senate Amendments #1, 2, 3, 5 and 6 to House Bill 792. And this Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. On page 6 of the Calendar, appears House Bill 793, Representative Mays."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 793, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Local Governmental Law Enforcement Officers Training Board, together with Senate Amendments #1 and 2."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman from Adams, Representative Mays."

Mays: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I move we nonconcur with Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to the Police Training Board Budget."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman moves that the House nonconcur in Senate Amendments #1 and 2, to House Bill 793. And on that

STATE OF ILLINOIS
85th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

71st Legislative Day

June 28, 1987

question, hearing none, all those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it and the House nonconcur in Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 793. And on page 6 of the Calendar appears House Bill 799. Representative Mays. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 799, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Capital Development Board, together with Senate Amendments 1 and 2."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman from Adams, Representative Mays."

Mays: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I move that the House nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 so that this Bill can go to Conference."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman moves that the House nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 799. And on that question, hearing none, all those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes', have it, and the House nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 and 2 to House Bill 799. On page 7 of the Calendar appears House Bill 960, Representative Tate. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 960, a Bill for an Act making certain appropriations, together with Senate Amendment #1."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman from Macon, Representative Tate."

Tate: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. We would like to nonconcur to Senate Amendment #1 and send this to Conference. This was a vehicle Bill."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman moves that the House nonconcur to Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 960. And on that question, hearing none, all those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it and the House does nonconcur to Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 960. May I remind the

STATE OF ILLINOIS
85th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

71st Legislative Day

June 28, 1987

Body that all those persons who traveled back home to their districts and have returned, to come up to the well, the Clerk's station here and sign the necessary form so you can get reimbursed. On page 8 of the Calendar appears House Bill 1065. Representative Breslin in the Chamber? Representative Breslin, do you wish to proceed with House Bill 1065? Mr. Clerk, read the bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1065, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Office of the State Appellate Defender, together with Senate Amendment #1."

Speaker Giglio: "The Lady from LaSalle, Representative Breslin, on House Bill 1065."

Breslin: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen, I move to concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1065. The Amendment retains the level of funding for this Bill at \$4,828,700, as amended by the House, but reallocates the funding within the lines. The reallocation figures were given to the staff from the defenders office."

Breslin: "Personal services, Social Security and retirement lines are reduced by \$279,100 so that the line for contractual services, equipment, telephone communications and the intern program may be increased. I ask for concurrence."

Speaker Giglio: "The Lady asks that the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1065. And on that question, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Preston."

Preston: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Would the Lady yield for a question?"

Speaker Giglio: "She indicates she will."

Preston: "Can you tell me what the position is on this Amendment of the State Appellate Defender... the State Appellate Defender's Office?"

Breslin: "The office accepts the Amendment. It was made at their

STATE OF ILLINOIS
85th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

71st Legislative Day

June 28, 1987

suggestion. They are put in a bind by this. Under this Amendment, they don't have any money for raises. However, they... they are in a difficult position, as I think are most of the agencies around here. They do accept..."

Preston: "Their position is they support it, but they'd love to see it die so they get more money put in."

Breslin: "They wouldn't love to see it die. They're afraid that if they go back to a Conference Committee, they may lose more."

Preston: "I see. Thank you."

Speaker Giglio: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from Adams, Representative Mays."

Mays: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I rise in support of the Lady's Motion to concur with this Amendment. It reallocates the dollars at the level that the House passed them out at the agency's request."

Speaker Giglio: "Further discussion? Seeing none, the question is, 'Shall the House concur to Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1065?' All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, 107 voting 'yes', 8 voting 'no', none voting 'present', and the House does concur to Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1065. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On page eight of the Calendar appears House Bill 1163. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Leverenz. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1163, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Court of Claims, together with Senate Amendments #1, 2 and 3."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move we nonconcur with

STATE OF ILLINOIS
85th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

71st Legislative Day

June 28, 1987

Senate Amendments 2... 1, 2 and 3."

Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman moves that the House nonconcur to Senate Amendments #1, 2 and 3 to House Bill 1163. And on that question, hearing none, all those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the House nonconcur to Senate Amendments #1, 2 and 3 to House Bill 1163. On page eight of the Calendar appears House Bill 1234. Gentleman from Adams, Representative Mays. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1234, a Bill for an Act making reappropriations to the Capital Development Board, together with Senate Amendments #1, 2 and 3."

Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman from Adams, Representative Mays."

Mays: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I move we nonconcur with Senate Amendments #1, 2 and 3 on this budget."

Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman moves that the House nonconcur to Senate Amendments #1, 2 and 3 to House Bill 1234. And on that question, hearing none, those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the House nonconcur to Senate Amendments #1, 2, 3 to House Bill 1234. On the same Order appears House Bill 1275. Gentleman from Cook, Representative Leverenz. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1275, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Attorney General, together with Senate Amendment #1."

Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would move the House nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1."

Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman moves that the House nonconcur to Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1275. And on that question, the Gentleman from Adams, Representative Mays."

STATE OF ILLINOIS
85th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

71st Legislative Day

June 28, 1987

Mays: "I just wanted to rise in support of the Gentleman's Motion. I couldn't agree with the nonconcurrency more strongly. This would redistribute what was 1.2 million dollars for asbestos removal. It was questionable in our mind in the first place for his general office operations. I'm glad we're nonconcurring in this, and I look forward to some good discussions in Conference Committee."

Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman moves that the House nonconcur to Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1275. And on that question, hearing none, all those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the House nonconcur to Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1275. On page nine of the Calendar appears House Bill 1368. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1368, a Bill for an Act making an appropriation to the Office of State Attorney's Appellate Prosecutor, together with Senate Amendment #1."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would move that the House concur in Senate Amendment #1. It redistributes some money. There's a small add... increase in rental of 56,800. Eighty thousand was added to the Child Witness Program and cuts were made in personal services to bring the budget into an FY '87 expenditure level. I would move for the adoption of Senate Amendment #1."

Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman moves for the adoption of Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1368. And on that question, the Gentleman from Adams, Representative Mays."

Mays: "Would the Gentleman yield for a question?"

Speaker Giglio: "Indicates he will."

Mays: "Our staff analysis indicates that this 80,000 dollars that

STATE OF ILLINOIS
85th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

71st Legislative Day

June 28, 1987

was added by the Senate for the Child Witness Program is somewhat questionable. It was brought out in our analysis that last year the startup money for this Program, they used over 11,000 dollars, which was supposed to go for the Child Witness Program for rent, for buying a new car for the Assistant Director. I would think that this is something... you know, this Amendment might be something that we might not... you know, we might not want to concur in because we're rewarding...or we're giving them money when they misspent it in such a way. And I would like a Roll Call on that."

Leverenz: "Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Jeff, you make some good points. I would now move to nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1."

Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman moves that the House nonconcur to Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1368. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the House does nonconcur to Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1368. On page ten of the Calendar appears House Bill 1636, Representative Matijevich. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1636, a Bill for an Act making an appropriation to the Supreme Court, together with Senate Amendments #1, 2 and 4."

Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman from Lake, Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, there's a need to take the Supreme Court appropriation to Conference, so I would move to nonconcur with Senate Amendments 1, 2 and 4 to House Bill 1636."

Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman moves that the House nonconcur with Senate Amendments #1, 2 and 4 to House Bill 1636. All those... On that question, the Gentleman from Mays... I

STATE OF ILLINOIS
85th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

71st Legislative Day

June 28, 1987

mean, the Gentleman from Adams, excuse me. Representative Mays."

Mays: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I would ask for a division of the question. I agree that this Bill ought to go to Conference, but I don't think we should concur with Senate Amendment #2, and that's the only disagreement that I... Or, we want to concur with Senate Amendment #2. The others I don't believe there's any problem with nonconcurring in."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Speaker, I don't think we have to waste our time. You know, that's a small Amendment. You just admitted you wanted to go to Conference and the Conference Committee can do anything it wants, so that would... that would be a futile gesture for us to divide the question. So, I would ask that he just withdraw that. I don't think it's necessary at all."

Mays: "If the Gentleman is saying that he will address this issue in a similar manner at a later date, that's fine, I'll withdraw the question. If he is not saying that, I'll push it."

Matijevich: "Well, we would have to address it later, because the whole issue, the whole appropriation is in Conference. So, naturally, the issue will be addressed in Conference."

Mays: "I... At this time, I'll withdraw my objections, then."

Speaker Giglio: "Objection withdrawn. Further discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall the House nonconcur to Senate Amendments #1, 2 and 4 to House Bill 1636?' All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the House nonconcur to Senate Amendments #1, 2 and 4 to House Bill 1636. On page 11 of the Calendar appears

STATE OF ILLINOIS
85th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

71st Legislative Day

June 28, 1987

House Bill 1897, Representative Leverenz. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1897, a bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Office of State Treasurer, together with Senate Amendments #1, 2 and 3."

Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would now move that the House nonconcur in Senate Amendments 1, 2 and 3."

Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman moves that the House nonconcur to Senate Amendments #1, 2 and 3 to House Bill 1897. And on that question, hearing none, all those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the House nonconcur to Senate Amendments #1, 2 and 3 to House Bill 1897. On page 12 of the Calendar appears House Bill 2048. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2048, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to various legislative support agencies, together with Senate Amendments #1, 2 and 3."

Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would now move the House concur in Senate Amendments 1, 2 and 3 to House Bill 2048. Senate Amendment #1 reduced the number of the support service agencies by 529,000. Amendment 2 made a total deletion of 286,250. And Senator Rock's Amendment #3 added 18,000 in contractual and 21,000 in printing, but that doesn't change the total Bill, just moved money around. I would move now to concur in Senate Amendment 1, 2, 3."

Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman moves that the House concur to Senate Amendments #1, 2 and 3 to House Bill 2048. And on that question, all those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. The voting is open. This is final action."

STATE OF ILLINOIS
85th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

71st Legislative Day

June 28, 1987

Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question there are 114 voting 'yes', none voting 'nay', 1 voting 'present', and the House does concur to Senate Amendments #1, 2 and 3 to House Bill 2048. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On this same Order of Business appears House Bill 2049. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2049, a Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expense of the General Assembly, together with Senate Amendment #2."

Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would move that the House concur in Senate Amendments... Senate Amendment, rather, #2 to bring the appropriation level to 19,032,181."

Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman moves that the House does concur to Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 2049. And on that question, all those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question there are 105 voting 'yes', 9 voting 'no', none voting 'present'. The House does concur to Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 2049, and this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On page 12 of the Calendar appears House Bill 2050. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2050, a Bill for an Act making an appropriation to the General Assembly, together with Senate Amendments #1 and 2."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Leverenz."

STATE OF ILLINOIS
85th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

71st Legislative Day

June 28, 1987

Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would now move that the House concur in Senate Amendment #1, which adds 1,416,000 dollars. Now move for the adoption of Amendment #1... concur, rather."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman moves that the House concur to Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2050. And on that question, the Gentleman from St. Clair, Representative Stephens."

Stephens: "Will the Gentleman yield for a question?"

Speaker Giglio: "He indicates he will."

Stephens: "Representative, how much money was that again?"

Leverenz: "Pardon me?"

Stephens: "How much?"

Leverenz: "One million, four hundred sixteen thousand, in Senate Amendment #1, I'm asking the House concur."

Stephens: "Okay. Thank you."

Speaker Giglio: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Parke."

Parke: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield, please?"

Speaker Giglio: "Indicates he will."

Parke: "Yes, Representative, I'd like to know if that includes the 18,000 dollars per Legislator for their rate increase that was proposed here and passed the Senate?"

Leverenz: "Pardon me?"

Parke: "Does that include the 18,000 dollar per aide that supposedly is passed the House and Senate? Is that money in there?"

Leverenz: "Did you lose your staff analysis?"

Parke: "Yes, I did, as a matter of fact. That's why I asked the question."

Leverenz: "Let me explain to you then, what you want to do is address yourself to Amendment #2. I'm only addressing myself to Amendment #1."

STATE OF ILLINOIS
85th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

71st Legislative Day

June 28, 1987

Parke: "And I asked if it was in Amendment #1."

Leverenz: "No, it is not."

Parke: "Thank you."

Speaker Giglio: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from Adams, Representative Mays."

Mays: "Yes, I rise in support of the Gentleman's Motion on Senate Amendment #1. It brings us to the '87 approp level which is the level to which we have held every other constitutional officer. So, I would rise in support of the concurrence Motion on Senate Amendment #1."

Speaker Giglio: "Further discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall the House concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2050?' And on that question, all those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed 'nay'. And the voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question there are 102 voting 'yes', 10 voting 'no', 3 voting 'present'. And the House does concur to Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2050. Gentleman from Cook, Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would now move that the House nonconcur in Senate Amendment #2."

Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman moves that the House nonconcur with Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 2050. And on that question, hearing none, all those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the House nonconcur to Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 2050. On page 15 of the Calendar appears House Bill 2756. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2756, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to various agencies, together with Senate Amendment #1."

STATE OF ILLINOIS
85th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

71st Legislative Day

June 28, 1987

Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would move the House nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2756."

Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman moves that the House nonconcur to Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2756. And on that question, hearing none, all those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the House nonconcur to Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2756. We're going to return to the... page six of the Calendar on House Bill 791, Representative Tate. House Bill 791. Gentleman from Adams, Representative Mays."

Mays: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I move that we nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 on the Department of Agriculture's budget. There's been numerous questions raised as to the ability of the Ag Premium Fund to support the services that the Ag Department is required to provide, and I think we have to take a good, hard look at that, and that's why I'm nonconcurring in this Amendment."

Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman moves that the House nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 791. And on that question, all those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the House nonconcur to Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 791. We'd like to remind the Body that if there are Members that would want to nonconcur with Bills that are on the Calendar, would you please come up to the well and inform the Clerk? There's only a few days left and those of you that want to see that these Bills are either put in a Conference Committee or sent back to the Senate, would you please come up to the well and inform the Clerk those Bills that you want to nonconcur? Committee

STATE OF ILLINOIS
85th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

71st Legislative Day

June 28, 1987

Reports."

Clerk O'Brien: "Representative Matijevich, Chairman of the Committee on Rules, to which the following Resolution was referred, action taken June 28th, 1987, reported the same back with the following recommendation: 'be adopted' Senate Joint Resolution 34."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Keane in the chambers? House Bill 1023, Representative Keane. Take the Bill out of the record, Mr. Clerk. Representative McGann. Representative McGann in the chamber? House Bill 2353. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2353, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act to repeal the School Code, together with Senate Amendment #1."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative McGann."

McGann: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the Assembly. I move to nonconcur with House Bill 2353 to Amendment #1 from the Senate. It has the effective date on it, and it seems like Senator Jones wants to put it in a Conference Committee. And I'd move to nonconcur."

Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman moves that the House nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2353. And on that question, hearing none, all those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the House nonconcur to Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2353. On page seven of the Calendar appears House Bill 1023. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1023, a Bill for an Act to amend the County Hospitals Act, together with Senate Amendment #1."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Keane."

Keane: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move to nonconcur to Senate Amendment #1 on House Bill 1023."

STATE OF ILLINOIS
85th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

71st Legislative Day

June 28, 1987

Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman moves that the House nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1023. And on that question, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Huff."

Huff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor just explain what Senate Amendment #1 is all about?"

Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Keane. Representative Keane."

Keane: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Yes, the Amendment #1, Senate Amendment #1 deletes language which increased the available tax rate used to maintain county historical museums in counties other than Cook."

Huff: "Thank you."

Speaker Giglio: "Further discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall the House nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1023?' All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the House nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1023. On page three of the Calendar appears House Bill 113. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 113, a Bill for an Act to require reserved seating for certain live entertainment performances, together with Senate Amendment #1 and 2."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Stange. Mr. Clerk, take the Bill out of the record for a moment. Is Representative Ewing in the chamber? Ewing. Mr. Clerk, House Bill 126."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 126, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code, together with Senate Amendment #1."

Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman from Livingston, Representative Ewing."

Ewing: "I move to nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman moves that the House nonconcur to Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 126. And on that

STATE OF ILLINOIS
85th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

71st Legislative Day

June 28, 1987

question, hearing none, all those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the House nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 126. House Bill 113, Representative Stange. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 113, a Bill for an Act to require reserved seating for certain live entertainment performances, together with Senate Amendment #1 and 2."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Stange."

Stange: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move to not concur to House Bill 113. They're changing the total scope of the Bill, and I understand we're going to be working on it in Committee."

Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman moves that the House nonconcur to Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 113. And on that question, hearing none, all those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the House nonconcur to Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 113. On page nine of the Calendar appears House Bill 1421. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1421, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Nursing Act, together with Senate Amendment #1."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Panayotovich."

Panayotovich: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move to nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1. The Amendment provides some immunities we'd like to work on."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman moves that the House nonconcur to Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1421. And on that question, hearing none, all those in favor signify by

STATE OF ILLINOIS
85th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

71st Legislative Day

June 28, 1987

saying 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the House nonconcur to Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1421. On page four of the Calendar appears House Bill 655. The Lady from Cook, Representative Flowers. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 655, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act creating the Department of Children and Family Services, together with Senate Amendment #1."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Morrow, on House Bill 655."

Morrow: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 655. Thank you. I'm sorry. I wish to nonconcur."

Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman moves that the House nonconcur to Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 655. And on that question, hearing none, all those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the House nonconcur to Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 655. On page ten of the Calendar appears House Bill 1736, Representative Satterthwaite. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1736, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code, together with Senate Amendment #1."

Speaker Giglio: "The Lady from Champaign, Representative Satterthwaite."

Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I move to nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1. The immediate effective date that is put in there is not something that we want unless there is additional funding for education and that's why I nonconcur."

Speaker Giglio: "The Lady moves that the House nonconcur to Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1736. And on that question, hearing none, all those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the

STATE OF ILLINOIS
85th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

71st Legislative Day

June 28, 1987

Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the House nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1736. On page 13 of the Calendar appears House Bill 2190. The Lady from Cook, Representative Braun."

Braun: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move we nonconcur in Senate Amendment 2 to House Bill 2190."

Speaker Giglio: "The Lady moves..."

Braun: "1 and 2."

Speaker Giglio: "... that the House nonconcur with Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 2190. And on that question, hearing none, all those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the House nonconcur with Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 2190. On Supplemental Calendar #1 appears House Bill 2070. The Lady from Champaign, Representative Satterthwaite. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2070, a Bill for an Act to provide for the establishment of local governmental health services, together with Senate Amendment #2."

Speaker Giglio: "The Lady from Champaign, Representative Satterthwaite."

Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker, and Members of the House, I move to nonconcur with Senate Amendment #2. There are disagreements over the membership of the committee that's to be formed, and we need to send it to Conference to work out those details."

Speaker Giglio: "The Lady moves that the House nonconcur to Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 2070. And on that question, hearing none, all those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the House does nonconcur with Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 2070. On page four

STATE OF ILLINOIS
85th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

71st Legislative Day

June 28, 1987

of the Calendar appears House Bill 513. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 513, a Bill for an Act in relation to activities of merchants, together with Senate Amendment #2."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Steczko."

Steczko: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I would move to nonconcur in Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 513. The Bill deals with merchant check cashing fee limitations, but I was made aware that there was some important modifications to the Uniform Commercial Code that had to be dealt with. So, the Bill is going to Conference for that purpose. So, I would move to nonconcur."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman moves that the House nonconcur to Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 513. And on that question, hearing none, all those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the House does nonconcur to Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 513. Are there any other Members in the chambers that want to nonconcur with any of the House Bills? Are there any other Members that want to nonconcur? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Kubik."

Kubik: "Mr. Speaker, I would move to nonconcur on Senate Amendment #1 of House Bill 2021."

Speaker Giglio: "House Bill 2021. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2021, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Public Aid Code, together with Senate Amendment #1."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Kubik."

Kubik: "Mr. Speaker, I would move to nonconcur on Senate Amendment #1."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman moves that the House nonconcur to Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2021. And on that

STATE OF ILLINOIS
85th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

71st Legislative Day

June 28, 1987

question, hearing none, all those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the House does nonconcur to Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2021. We're going to return to page two of the Calendar and go right through. On page two of the Calendar appears House Bill 10, Representative Breslin. Representative Breslin in the chamber? Out of the record. On page three of the Calendar... Representative Breslin. Page two of the Calendar appears House Bill 10. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill #10, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code, together with Senate Amendment #4."

Speaker Giglio: "The Lady from LaSalle, Representative Breslin."

Breslin: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move to concur in the Senate Amendment to this House Bill. The Senate Amendment affects the IHSA, and I realize that there are some people who are opposed to the change; however, it is done in a very limited circumstance. It provides that a person, a high school student who has been injured or suffered a disability that prevents them from completing their four years of high school within the normal four year span and, thus, have passed over into the age bracket of being 19 and still have to go back to complete their four years of high school, they would have the opportunity to participate in interscholastic sports. There is a sunset provision on this Amendment that provides that this will sunset July 1 of 1988 so that the IHSA can go back and consider this circumstance again for other people. The reason it has been proposed by Senator Welch is for a particular circumstance for a young boy in our district. He was severely injured in an auto accident last year, missed an entire year of school. He has to go back and complete his high school education with a new class. He was an

STATE OF ILLINOIS
85th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

71st Legislative Day

June 28, 1987

outstanding athlete. He has some reservations... or shall I say, lacks enthusiasm for going back to high school without the ability to continue to participate in athletics as he had before. So, it is for that reason that Senator Welch has put this Amendment on this Bill, and I ask for concurrence."

Speaker Giglio: "Lady asks that the House concur to Senate Amendment #4 to House Bill 10. And on that question, the Gentlemen from Vermilion, Representative Black."

Black: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Will the Lady yield?"

Breslin: "Yes."

Black: "Representative, I think I've heard you, but let me make sure that I... that I understand what I think you said. This Amendment, if I heard you correctly, is a medical red shirt for high school athletes that sunsets in one year and allegedly only affects one individual in one school district?"

Breslin: "That is correct."

Black: "Is the Illinois High School Association in agreement with this Amendment?"

Breslin: "No, they are not"

Black: "I thought so. Thank you very much."

Speaker Giglio: "Further discussion? Gentleman from Cook, Representative Young."

Young: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This is more of an inquiry of the Clerk so that the record will be straight. The Message from the Senate incorrectly reported Senate Amendment #3 and Senate Amendment #4 to the House. Senate Amendment #3, aside from being technically incorrect, was tabled June 25th, and we'd like the record to reflect that."

Speaker Giglio: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from Champaign, Representative Johnson."

Johnson: "Who's the Sponsor of the Amendment?"

STATE OF ILLINOIS
85th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

71st Legislative Day

June 28, 1987

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Breslin."

Johnson: "Oh, okay. Forgive me, I didn't hear all the explanation. I just want to ask a couple of questions about existing rules and see how this would change it. Am I correct in saying that under the current rules of the IHSA, if a student reaches 19 after their senior year begins, even if it's before basketball season, for example, they can then complete that year, is that right? They're eligible even beyond their 19th birthday as long as they don't reach that 19th birthday till after their senior year begins."

Breslin: "That is correct. They have to reach their 19th birthday by August 15th. In this particular case, this kid reaches his 19th birthday two weeks later, and he thinks it's unfair that he can't participate."

Johnson: "The student in question reached his 19th birthday at what date?"

Breslin: "Pardon me?"

Johnson: "This student that this is directed toward reached his 19th birthday at what date?"

Breslin: "He reaches his birthday, the 19th birthday two weeks prior to the deadline."

Johnson: "Oh, August..."

Breslin: "Or, maybe I should say after the deadline."

Johnson: "In other words, he reaches 19 before August 15th?"

Breslin: "Correct, that's it."

Johnson: "And this Amendment would specifically do what?"

Breslin: "It would specifically provide for a medical exemption for someone who has been in that circumstance who has, because of an accident or health problem, missed the two preceding years and who reaches his 19th birthday after this particular time deadline set by the IHSA so that he can participate. There is a sunset on the Bill so that it

STATE OF ILLINOIS
85th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

71st Legislative Day

June 28, 1987

only lasts for one year."

Johnson: "And this would be under the limited conditions of somebody suffering a severe injury, being out the two previous school years..."

Breslin: "Semesters, yes."

Johnson: "Okay. Just speaking to the Bill."

Speaker Giglio: "Proceed."

Johnson: "And you're saying, Representative Breslin, then, that this would only... after this year, reaching this one, unique situation, the law wouldn't have any effect? Okay. I... You know, as with no pass/no play and various other things, there's a real philosophical dispute about people's... our ability or willingness or desirability of getting involved in the IHSA's internal rules. Have you talked... Have you talked to Liz 'Astroth' about this?"

Breslin: "I have not talked to him personally. I know that the father of this boy took this issue to the IHSA and had 146 signatures of principals who were members of the IHSA to discuss the rule. Regardless, they have... they have determined that they would not waive the rule for any reason."

Johnson: "I guess my concern is, and I know what Representative Breslin is trying to do, and I... I understand how the people would feel, but I'm just really concerned about setting this precedent of interfering with IHSA internal rules and then every year we'll come in with a different variation. Somebody who had dyslexia before they were in third or fourth grade and couldn't read well and so they got set back two or three years and somebody else... I guess all I'm saying is, I know it sunsets. I know Representative Breslin is really trying to help a constituent - and I really admire her for doing that - but I'm just really concerned about, number one, the precedent

STATE OF ILLINOIS
85th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

71st Legislative Day

June 28, 1987

of being involved in IHSA business and probably equally, if not more importantly, the precedent we're going to set by doing this because there's a whole lot of unfair situations that arise. And if we pass a Bill in the Legislature to address every one of them, we really, I think, probably, in some extent, we're going to be in here every year. And you have to draw lines somewhere. And I still don't know how I'm going to vote, as I'm thinking this out loud. But, philosophically and as a precedent, I think we're really... got to look real carefully at what we're doing here. Because I know in my district, I've been pretty actively involved, and people in the district and the community know I'm involved in sponsoring athletic teams and volunteer coaching and the various other support groups and all that. I've had a number of situations in the last couple of years in various aspects of IHSA rules where rules would appear to be inflexible, but you got to set rules for something. And it seems to me that when you're permitting somebody to play who's 19 years old already before his senior year begins, maybe that's one of those lines that we ought to draw. But, in any event, I just think we ought to know real carefully and think real carefully about what we're doing. I really would do probably exactly what Representative Breslin were doing if I were in her situation, but I'm not and I'm just trying to be analytical about it."

Speaker Giglio: "Further discussion? Gentleman from Rock Island, Representative Brunsvold."

Brunsvold: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I reluctantly stand in opposition to the Lady's Motion to concur. I have discussed this at length with the Illinois High School Association, and they are very, very much opposed to this Amendment. This would set up, as

STATE OF ILLINOIS
85th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

71st Legislative Day

June 28, 1987

Representative Black has indicated, a red shirt situation for athletes. Now, I would sympathize with the student that had the problem, and I know I would probably feel that same way if my son, who play... who is going to be a senior next year and were injured and would miss his sport next year, but I'm sure that I would not be down here trying to ask that he be allowed to play when he's 20 years old. I don't think that's proper. That's not what we should be doing, allowing this to happen. We cannot strike the 19 year old rule. We must keep some guidelines, as Representative Johnson has indicated, on the eligibility of students in the athletic arena. Now, we're going to affect probably over 500,000 kids in this state who are participating in sports, band, whatever. And where the rules are set, they have to be set regardless of the situation. I would not like to set this precedent, especially with the wording in there. It says, 'illness or injury'. Can you imagine a 6' 8" center that twisted a knee and he got okayed for another year's eligibility so he could play with another group of kids and maybe win the state basketball championship? I can see all kinds of bad situations that could develop from this Amendment, and I would ask that we not support the Motion to concur."

Speaker Giglio: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Rice."

Rice: "Can I ask the Sponsor a question, please?"

Speaker Giglio: "She indicates she will."

Rice: "Representative Breslin, is the student still eligible to graduate in the public high school at 21 years old?"

Breslin: "Oh, surely."

Rice: "Okay. To the Bill."

Speaker Giglio: "Proceed."

Rice: "Those of you that have not been injured, you don't know

STATE OF ILLINOIS
85th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

71st Legislative Day

June 28, 1987

the inconvenience of being an athlete and something unforeseen occurs. If we allow a youngster who has academically achieved and been socially acceptable and has attended school when he could, I see no reason for once in this time to make some changes or some consideration for an athlete or any student that might not be able to perform as other students. In our systems today, a child can be sick at home and have a telephone to continue his studies. We have all kinds of other programs that assist these youngsters in continuing their education and to continue to be a part of society. As in our advertisement, we say these people are persons, these people are people. Treat them as people. I think we need to, in this House, begin to accept these things that occur, unforeseen things that occur and allow this youngster or any other youngster who is able to return and be a part of that school community to be active in his sports. Certainly, at the college level and professional level, they have their rules that people have to conform by, and this would not hamper them. But, since we in the state allow a youngster to graduate at 21 years old, I see no reason why we cannot, in our hearts, consider and make acceptable in this particular situation, give this youngster an opportunity to perform. I don't see why this needs to be sunset. I wish that you all would concur in this situation."

Speaker Giglio: "The Lady from LaSalle to close, Representative Breslin."

Breslin: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen, this is not a red shirting Amendment. This Amendment applies to a very specific situation where the person in question didn't just twist his knee in a basketball game, but had a medically substantiated severe injury or illness which results in the student being absent from school for one or

STATE OF ILLINOIS
85th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

71st Legislative Day

June 28, 1987

more full semesters and prevents the student from participating in interscholastic athletic events for two or more semesters. In the present case, the IHSA provides for no flexibility. They don't allow for any exceptions. If you are 19 before the date of August 15th, you're out. In this particular circumstance, the young man in question had been involved in a serious automobile accident, and he was in a hospital for over four months. And at home and out of school for the entire year. This kid reaches 19 two weeks before the deadline date. If he had been born two weeks later, or two weeks earlier, I should say - I'm getting mixed up here - he wouldn't be in this problem. Every other kid who reached 19 before this deadline date gets to play, and he doesn't. You can imagine his circumstance. He's going back to complete his last year of high school with a new class. He's athletically able and has always participated before. He's not real anxious to go back to school under those circumstances and would very much appreciate the opportunity to continue participating in sports. This Amendment is repealed in one year. It requires, in my view, a little pressure on the IHSA to establish some kind of flexibility in their rules. They really ought to look at this. They really ought to be more flexible and this gives them a little nudge to consider it. It is not red shirting. I wouldn't dare bring that to this Body."

Speaker Giglio: "Question is, 'Shall the House concur with Senate Amendment #4 to House Bill 10?' And on that question, all those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. The Lady asks for the Poll of the Absentees."

STATE OF ILLINOIS
85th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

71st Legislative Day

June 28, 1987

Clerk O'Brien: "A poll of those not voting. DeJaegher, Leverenz. And Levin. No further."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative McGann."

McGann: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Would you kindly change my vote from 'no' to 'aye'?"

Speaker Giglio: "Change the Gentleman's vote from 'no' to 'aye', Mr. Clerk. Gentleman from Cook, Representative O'Connell."

O'Connell: "Mr. Speaker, change my 'no' vote to an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Giglio: "Change the Gentleman's vote from 'no' to 'aye'. The Gentleman from Kane, Representative Kirkland."

Kirkland: "Same thing, please, change my vote from 'no' to 'aye'."

Speaker Giglio: "Change the Gentleman to 'aye'. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shaw."

Shaw: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, would you change my 'no' vote to 'aye', please?"

Speaker Giglio: "Change the Gentleman's vote from 'no' to 'aye'. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Terzich. Change the Gentleman from 'no' to 'aye'. Mr. Clerk, change the Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Klemm, from 'no' to 'aye'. Mautino, Representative Mautino votes 'aye'. Representative Leverenz votes 'aye'. Representative Christensen votes 'aye'. Representative Regan votes 'no'. Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question there's 64... 54 voting 'aye', 47 voting 'no'... 65 'aye', 47 'no'. The Gentleman from... DeJaegher 'no'. There's 65 voting 'aye', 48 voting 'no', 1 voting 'present', and the House does concur with Senate Amendment #4 to House Bill 10. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On page three of the Calendar appears House Bill 42, Representative White. Jesse White, on House Bill 42. Do you wish to concur? Read the Bill, Mr.

STATE OF ILLINOIS
85th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

71st Legislative Day

June 28, 1987

Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 42, a Bill for an Act to amend the Liquor Control Act, together with Senate Amendment #1."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative White."

White: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd like to concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 42."

Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman moves that the House concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 42. Is there any in opposition? Hearing none, all those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question there are 108 voting 'yes', 1 voting 'no', 4 voting 'present', and the House does concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 42. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Stange, House Bill 47. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 47, a Bill for an Act to amend the Medical Practice Act, together with Senate Amendments #1 and 4."

Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Stange."

Stange: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I concur with Amendment 1 and 4 on House Bill 47. Amendment 1 and 4 basically cleans up the language a little bit. Appreciate your vote."

Speaker Giglio: "Any opposition? Hearing none, all those... The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Young."

Young: "Could the Sponsor explain the Senate Amendments, please? I didn't hear what he said."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Stange."

Stange: "Amendment 1 corrects the... or amends the proper Section of the new Medical Practice Act, applies... I'm sorry."

STATE OF ILLINOIS
85th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

71st Legislative Day

June 28, 1987

Amendment 1 basically cleans up the Medical Malpractice Act regarding medical fraud. Amendment 4 provides the DRE may license without examination any approved medical or osteopathic college graduate who has passed the U.S. public health service exam. Put in a cease and a desist order for surgeons, MDs. Very simple Amendment."

Speaker Giglio: "Further discussion? The Lady from Cook, Representative Pullen."

Pullen: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question, please?"

Speaker Giglio: "He indicates he will."

Pullen: "Since this vote constitutes final passage, would you also please explain briefly the underlying Bill so we know what we're voting on?"

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Stange."

Stange: "The effect of the original Bill basically is to take doctors that fraud Medicaid, once they're convicted a second time, removing them from being a doctor, taking their license forever. The second part of the Bill is basically a doctor selling frivolous prescriptions on the street to kids, whatever. Once that doctor is convicted a second time, we automatically pull his or her license."

Pullen: "Thank you."

Speaker Giglio: "Further discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall the House concur with Senate Amendments #1 and 4 to House Bill 47?' And on that question, all those in favor by voting 'aye', vote all those opposed 'nay'. And the voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question there are 114 voting 'yes', none voting 'no', none voting 'present', and the House does concur with Senate Amendments #1 and 4 to House Bill 47. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 100. Mr. Clerk,

STATE OF ILLINOIS
85th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

71st Legislative Day

June 28, 1987

read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 100, a Bill for an Act in relation to Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndrome, together with Senate Amendments #2 and 3."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative White."

White: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move to concur on Senate Amendments 2 and 3. These two Amendments are designed to put House Bill 100 in the same posture that Senate Bill 100 was in when it left the House. I move for its adoption."

Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Pedersen."

Pedersen: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. From the insurance standpoint, these Amendments have pretty much taken care of the problem, so I support the concurrence."

Speaker Giglio: "Further discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall the House concur with Senate Amendments #2 and 3 to House Bill 100?' All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question there are 111 voting 'yes', none voting 'no', none voting 'present', and the House does adopt... does concur with Senate Amendments #2 and 3 to House Bill 100. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 143, Representative Wolf. Out of the record. The... House Bill 180, Representative Satterthwaite. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 180, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code, together with Senate Amendments #1 and 2."

Speaker Giglio: "The Lady from Champaign, Representative Satterthwaite."

STATE OF ILLINOIS
85th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

71st Legislative Day

June 28, 1987

Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, the original content of House Bill 180 is still in this Bill; but, in addition to the cleanup language for the Merit Scholarship Act, we also now have the investment program so that we can establish a Board that will have investment alternatives to offer for people who want to start saving early for their college education for their children or grandchildren. Under this program, the Board will be able to consult with the Governor and the Bureau of the Budget Director and issue general obligation bonds of the state that can be offered as tax exempt bonds for investment, or they would be able to offer other investment programs, as were deemed advisable for people who want to save. I know of no opposition to the Bill, and I urge your support in concurrence with Senate Amendment #1."

Speaker Giglio: "Any... Any opposition? The Gentleman from Warren, Representative Hultgren."

Hultgren: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Giglio: "She indicates she will."

Hultgren: "Does... Do the Amendments insert the trust fund concept into the Bill, Representative Satterthwaite?"

Satterthwaite: "The only thing relative to a guaranteed tuition, which was a concept that had been floating around earlier, is that the Board can review the possibility of offering some kind of a tuition guarantee and come back with recommendations to the Legislature, if they deem that advisable."

Hultgren: "Do they have the authority to issue those rules for such a program without legislative approval?"

Satterthwaite: "No. I think they would only be able to consider a plan to bring back to us for approval."

Hultgren: "And it's clear in the Act that they don't have that authority without further legislative action?"

STATE OF ILLINOIS
85th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

71st Legislative Day

June 28, 1987

Satterthwaite: "Yes, I believe that's clear."

Hultgren: "Thank you."

Speaker Giglio: "The Lady from Champaign asks that the House concur with Senate Amendments #1 and 2? 1 and 2. Alright, the question is, 'Shall the House concur with Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 180?' All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question there are 113 voting 'yes', none voting 'no', none voting 'present', and the House does adopt... or does concur with Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 180. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Young, Anthony Young. Out of the record. Representative Stange. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill. House Bill 259."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 259, a Bill for an Act to amend the Compensation Act, together with Senate Amendment #1."

Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Stange."

Stange: "Mr. Speaker, out of the record."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative O'Connell. House Bill 262, Representative O'Connell. You wish to have this Bill called? House Bill 262. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 262, a Bill for an Act to amend the Unified Code of Corrections, together with Senate Amendment #1."

Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative O'Connell."

O'Connell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'd ask to concur in Senate Amendment #1. It provides that the motion to reduce the sentence may be made or the court may reduce a sentence without a motion within 30 days after the sentence is imposed. This language is consistent with recent court

STATE OF ILLINOIS
85th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

71st Legislative Day

June 28, 1987

decisions, and I ask for its favorable adoption... concurrence."

Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman moves that the House concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 262. There any opposition? Hearing none, all those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 114 voting 'yes', none voting 'no', none voting 'present', and the House does concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 262. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Stange, do you wish to call 337? Mr. Clerk, House Bill 337, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 337, a Bill for an Act to amend certain Acts in relation to blood banks, together with Senate Amendment #2."

Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Stange."

Stange: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is my blood donor Bill. Amendment 1 basically limits the direct donation to red blood cells. I believe Amendment 2 is incorrect. I don't have Amendment #2."

Clerk O'Brien: "The Message from the Senate indicates Senate Amendment #2, and they attach Amendment #2."

Stange: "Let me take that out of the record for a moment."

Speaker Giglio: "Take it out of the record. How about 375, Representative Stange?"

Stange: "... Record, right now."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Stange, are you ready with 337?"

Stange: "I'll take that out of the record also. Can we get back to 33... yes, 337."

Speaker Giglio: "337, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 337, a Bill for an Act to amend

STATE OF ILLINOIS
85th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

71st Legislative Day

June 28, 1987

certain Acts in relation to blood banks, together with Senate Amendment #2."

Stange: "I move to concur with Amendment #2, relating to red blood cells."

Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman moves that the House concur with Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 337. On that question, the Lady from Cook, Representative Pullen."

Pullen: "Will the Sponsor answer a couple of questions, please?"

Speaker Giglio: "Indicates he will."

Pullen: "First, would you please explain the Bill and the Amendment?"

Stange: "Sure, the Bill designated blood donors for basically the immediate family to donate blood to patients receiving operations, blood transfusions and so forth. Amendment 2 basically limits the directed donations to the red blood cells only."

Pullen: "Did I hear you say that the Bill still restricts the designated donor program to members of the family only?"

Stange: "That's correct."

Pullen: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I reluctantly rise to oppose this Bill. There are other Bills in the process that have designated blood donor language on them. When the Gentleman originally introduced this Bill, it was not restricted to family members, but there was an unfortunate Amendment adopted in Committee here which did restrict it to family members, which will mean that if this program goes into effect in accordance with the wording of this Bill, it will not be able to be used by many, many people - most people in Illinois. I think that that Amendment was unfortunate, and I know that that Amendment was not the Gentleman's idea, but that he was implored to accept it. But I think that it has rendered this Bill very unfortunately incomplete, and I think that

STATE OF ILLINOIS
85th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

71st Legislative Day

June 28, 1987

we should reject this and go with the other Bills that provide for designated donor programs, one of which the Gentleman sponsored in the House the other day. Thank you."

Speaker Giglio: "Further discussion? Gentleman from Cook, Representative Terzich."

Terzich: "Representative Stange, from the analysis, the limitation to the red blood cells, does that allow the... whoever is taking the blood to take out the other derivatives, for example, for hemophiliacs?"

Stange: "Would he repeat that question again, please?"

Terzich: "... Blood components such as plasma that are used for hemophiliacs?"

Stange: "My staff is looking at that right now. They could if they want to."

Terzich: "Well, I... no, from what I understand, will this Bill limit the blood bank or someone taking the derivatives, such as plasma and other components, or would it affect those type of plasmas and cells for hemophiliacs?"

Stange: "No, it won't."

Terzich: "It will not affect them whatsoever."

Stange: "It will not affect that whatsoever."

Terzich: "Alright, thank you."

Speaker Giglio: "Further discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall the House concur with Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 337?' All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question there are 105 voting 'yes', 8 voting 'no', and none voting 'present', and the... excuse me. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Preston. On this question there are 105 voting 'yes', 8 voting 'no', none voting 'present', and the

STATE OF ILLINOIS
85th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

71st Legislative Day

June 28, 1987

House does concur with Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 337. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Gentleman from Cook, Representative Preston, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Preston: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I just wanted to let people in the House know that we have a special guest here this morning... this afternoon at the front of the room there. With Representative and Judge Greiman is his mother, Mrs. Greiman, and we'd like to just welcome her to Springfield."

Speaker Giglio: "Mrs. Greiman, welcome to Springfield. Representative Stange, are you ready with 375? Out of the record. Representative Matijevich, on House Bill 401. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 401, a Bill for an Act to amend the Citizens' Utility Board Act, together with Senate Amendment #1."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 401 is further evidence that the only Bill that will pass the Senate in relation to Citizens' Utility Board mailings is one that, rather than having those mailings to be public utility mailings, they be State Government mailings. I would... we've had some debate with regards to the Senate Bill in the House, and I will now ask the Members to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 401."

Speaker Giglio: "Does anybody stand in opposition? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Piel."

Piel: "Representative Matijevich... will the Gentleman yield?"

Speaker Giglio: "He indicates he will."

Piel: "Representative Matijevich, is this Amendment similar to the Bill that we defeated about two weeks ago?"

STATE OF ILLINOIS
85th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

71st Legislative Day

June 28, 1987

Matijevich: "Yes, Representative Piel, it is."

Piel: "What exactly is the position of the different state agencies in reference to the Amendment?"

Matijevich: "Well, the... as I said, this Bill now removes all opposition from the public utilities, but it does have opposition from some of the state agencies, and primarily, the opposition of the Secretary of State's Office, which I'm sure will be a state agency which will... the CUB inserts will be placed in. In fact, I know the Secretary of State's Office has lobbied against this Bill. Nobody... I don't think anybody on the floor through the years has been supportive, and in fact, I have always put my name as a Chief Sponsor in the Secretary of State's budget, and I've often been a Cosponsor of the Secretary of State's Bills that are important to that office. I think the Secretary of State makes a mistake, and a political mistake, too, by opposing this Bill. This Bill really will determine the viability of the Citizens' Utility Board as an important quasi-governmental, quasi-public entity which I think gives some fairness as to the decisions of the Illinois Commerce Commission. But yes, you're right, if that's what the question is alluding to, do some state agencies oppose the Bill? Yes."

Piel: "I think... to the Bill, Mr. Speaker. I think... I would ask the Members of the House to look at this very closely before they... we're voting on final passage, but this is a Bill that we defeated before, and for those of you that might not recall, I think one of the main reasons we defeated it before - one, was that there was a lot of opposition from the different state agencies because of the potential cost involved, and this is just the first, Ladies and Gentlemen. What happens next week if, we'll say, we have a 'Citizens Knowledgeable for the Environment' group

STATE OF ILLINOIS
85th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

71st Legislative Day

June 28, 1987

formed, and they have things that come out, and they're going to be coming and saying, 'Hey, wait a minute. You do it for the Citizens' Utility Board and, you know, why can't we do it?' Before you know it, all our agencies are going to have four, five, six, ten different enclosures, and then, periodically during the course of the year. I understand, but the Citizens' Utility Board is not a state agency, it is a situation where it is giving good advice... or giving advice on what their feelings are on specific issues for the state. Whether you agree with them or disagree with them, they're giving their side of the story, but it's a situation when we sit here and start demanding and, you know, different state agencies that they have to come out and, you know, put things in there for this group. Now, next year, somebody else will come in and say, 'Hey, wait a minute. You do it for CUB. Why can't you do it for our group?' And I think it's a situation where we are really opening up a Pandora's Box and opening up something that... where down the road we can't sit here and say, 'No, you can't do it. Yes, you can.' And I think, you know, there's a... really a nightmare of problems as far as the administration of working something like this possibly out with the different state agencies, and I would ask for a 'no' vote on this concurrence."

Speaker Giglio: "Further discussion? There are approximately 20 Representatives seeking recognition to speak on this matter. Representative.. Representative Hicks."

Hicks: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, may... the Gentleman yield for a question?"

Speaker Giglio: "He indicates he will."

Hicks: "John, as I understand the Bill, if the mailing adds additional weight to the mailing that's going out with the Secretary of State's Office, does that have to be

STATE OF ILLINOIS
85th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

71st Legislative Day

June 28, 1987

reimbursed by CUB to them if it costs additional money to send it out?"

Matijevich: "The Citizens' Utility Board will pay for all printing and handling costs and all postage costs, if the piece weighs in excess of .35 ounces. Now, let me inform the Membership, though, that in all of the mailings that CUB had with the public utilities, never once did any mailing of the CUB insert weigh more than .10 ounce, and there was only one time, one time, where the incremental cost was such that CUB had to reimburse... I believe one or two... twice now... twice, the incremental costs were such that CUB had to reimburse the public utilities. Not only that, CUB worked cooperatively with the public utilities so that the... if the public utilities had a... had a program where they were stuffing envelopes, that they thought it might be a problem, then CUB did not place the inserts in that particular envelope of the public utility. It intends to do the same with all of the agencies of the state, and in fact, would like to sit down with the Secretary of State's Office, and they feel that if the Secretary of State's Office would sit and negotiate, it would remove its opposition."

Hicks: "Well, John, I understand the .35 ounces, but if the mailing itself that's going out of the Secretary of State's Office weighs, let's say, 22 cents worth, then say it's borderline on the 22 cents, so the next classification up adds another 17 cents. If that pushes it over that limit, are they required to pay the 17 cents?"

Matijevich: "Well, the likelihood of that happening is practically nil, Representative Hicks. First of all, I said to you that the... CUB is going to cooperate. There are many mailings that are very light from the Secretary of State's Office. Now, any agency of government - and this

STATE OF ILLINOIS
85th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

71st Legislative Day

June 29, 1987

includes only agencies with mailings in excess of 50,000 - any mailings of the agency that where the agency is going to have a heavy, you know, mailing, CUB isn't going to ask that their insert be included. CUB has the option of saying, 'Well, we don't want the mailings in this particular agency,' and they are going to cooperate totally. So that isn't... that just is not going to happen, Representative Hicks. The evidence is, is what happened in the past with the public utilities, and the same thing is going to happen in the future."

Hicks: "Thank you very much, John. With all due respect to the Sponsor and to the Bill, I... Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I believe we're setting a terrible precedent here by allowing a advisory group, if you want to call them that, to... a possibility of putting inserts into mailings throughout this state from a government agency. I think that's a bad precedent we're setting here in Illinois. I think that this Bill would start that precedent, we would see that happening all over the state, and with all due respect to the fine Sponsor of the Bill, I think it's a bad Bill and should be defeated. Thank you."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman from Livingston, Representative Ewing."

Ewing: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise in opposition to this measure. I spoke on it before, and of course, in the fashion of those organizations, they immediately contacted my local newspaper to tell how unsympathetic I was to the CUB policy. I want to make it clear right here that this is not an anti-CUB Bill, not an anti... I mean, my vote is not an anti-CUB vote. My opposition is based on reason. Ladies and Gentlemen, I would like you to listen to that reason. First of all, it's going to cost between 1.6 and 2 million dollars in

STATE OF ILLINOIS
85th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

71st Legislative Day

June 28, 1987

lost postage to one agency. Another point, that if it is near the line that's in the Bill as far as what we can send out for a current stamp, and we go over, every agency's going to have to pick up that cost. It's impossible to even estimate how much money that's going to cost, and that's going to come from the General Revenue Fund. That's the only place to make that up. Number one: it's going to cost a lot of money. Number two: with the court rulings that we have today, is it not possible that we'll soon have equal time for the utility companies or for any other agency? The precedent we're setting here is terrible, and the courts may well pick that up and say, anybody that's in the same position as CUB should be able to send out their literature free, at the taxpayers' expense, of course, and this Bill would allow CUB to solicit funds with government picking up the mailing charges. I would just like the CUB organization to look back at what they told us when we formed that, and they said they didn't want the... they didn't want government money, government support. And I say to you, let's don't give it to them. They're doing a good job. Let them send their own mailings. This is bad policy."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Lady... the Gentleman yield for a question?"

Speaker Giglio: "Indicates he will."

Bowman: "Thank you. Representative Matijevich, for legislative intent I would like to ask you couple of questions. First of all, will the Members of the House of Representatives or the Senate be required to include CUB inserts in their mailings or newsletters?"

Matijevich: "No, this, as I said in debate in the Senate Bill, this Bill would not only not intend to require legislators

STATE OF ILLINOIS
85th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

71st Legislative Day

June 28, 1987

to include CUB material in their mailings. Because CUB has the option of which agencies, it does not intend to use the General Assembly mailings for that purpose."

Bowman: "Thank you. Is an agency required to print materials for CUB?"

Matijevich: "No. CUB is totally responsible for the design, printing, delivery of the costs, and so these costs are all borne by CUB."

Bowman: "Thank you. Is CUB required to include its material in all state mailings over 50,000 pieces?"

Matijevich: "No, again, as I said in the debate, since CUB must pay to print and deliver its enclosures to an agency, CUB has the option of not sending its enclosures to a state agency for mailing, and CUB may choose not to send out enclosures."

Bowman: "And finally, what happens if federal law or regulation prohibits inclusion of CUB inserts in a particular mailing of a state agency?"

Matijevich: "There again, as I said in the debate on the Senate Bill, the Bill is subject to any federal laws or restrictions governing mailings of a state agency, and the State Employment Security, for example, could not use the postage restriction, then CUB would not, in fact, does not choose, as they said to me, the option of using the Employment Security for any mailings."

Bowman: "Well, thank you very much, Representative Matijevich. Briefly, to the Bill. I think my questions have been answered satisfactorily, and I would urge that we support this legislation. CUB is... in its short history, has proven that it's been very effective, and I think we need to make sure it continues as a viable organization, and this is the legislation that is necessary to continue its work and I think we ought to support it."

STATE OF ILLINOIS
85th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

71st Legislative Day

June 28, 1987

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative McCracken."

McCracken: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise in opposition to the Bill. This was discussed before, and sometimes we have a tendency to not listen to the second debate when a Bill comes before us again, but I think it's important to give this serious consideration. Nothing has gotten better about this Bill, and nothing should be different than the last outcome. But let me just say, what CUB chooses not to do today, what CUB tells Representative Matijevich it will not do today, CUB may do tomorrow, and if they're telling you now that they're not to require it of Legislators, but if it doesn't say that in the legislation, and if it gives them the authority to direct any agency to comply with their mailings, then nobody is safe. We're not safe. Nobody is safe. Because it doesn't matter what they say off the record, it doesn't matter what their intent is today. It doesn't matter, because the law doesn't say it. They can require it of anybody, and if it requires legislative or purported legislative intent to say that they don't need or that they can't require us to comply, then in fact, that's not correct. The law doesn't say it. This also allows them to solicit memberships at the cost of the state. If CUB is such a great organization and they're doing such a great job, why do they need this assistance? If they said when they were created that they didn't want government help, what has caused them to change their mind, if they've been so successful? If they're doing such a good thing, what is the problem that brings them back to us tonight? Vote 'no' on this Bill. It only requires a reimbursement where an incremental cost has been incurred. There is no reimbursement required for administrative costs. There is no reimbursement incurred for whatever

STATE OF ILLINOIS
85th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

71st Legislative Day

June 28, 1987

else goes into that mailing and that cost of production or that cost of mailing. What is reimbursed and is only reimbursed is the incremental increase in the postage, and the reason the Bill is put the way it is is because there never will be an incremental increase in postage to the tune of .35 ounce. That's the reason it's in here. You heard Representative Matijevich tell us there have only been one or two occasions where reimbursement was necessary. The point is, they get the benefits and none of the costs, none of the costs, because they will make sure their mailings are less than the incremental increase. Vote 'no' on this Bill. The precedent it sets is bad, the public policy is perverted, it is a 'no' vote."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman from Madison, Representative Wolf."

Wolf: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman moves the previous question. Shall the question be put? All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. Mr. Matijevich to close."

Matijevich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I always like to be accurate in what I say, and there was only one thing. I was confused whether it was one or two times, and that's why I hesitated when I said it, but actually, I was wrong, and here it is. Not once, not once in the entire history of carrying CUB inserts has a utility complained to CUB that the postage cost has increased as a result of the literature, and so it is to the benefit, to the benefit of CUB because it must pay the incremental cost of postage when it goes beyond that limit, that it is to their benefit to work with the agencies to make sure the costs are held down, so I would urge the Membership, those of you who think that this is not a vote against CUB, you

STATE OF ILLINOIS
85th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

71st Legislative Day

June 28, 1987

just don't understand politics. This is a vote against CUB if you vote against it. And we created CUB. Now, I think some of you are cheering because you don't like CUB. You want to protect the utilities. Well, I don't. I don't. The public utilities have enough protection. They have enough protection from the Illinois Commerce Commission. I get my dander up when I think about what the Public Utilities Commission has done in the last 10 years. It's about time we have some fairness to the consumers, and the only agency that's giving fairness is the Citizens' Utility Board. If you're for them, vote for this Bill. If you're against them, vote against it, but believe me, there will be political consequences."

Speaker Giglio: "The question is, 'Shall the House concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 401?' And on that question, all those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. The voting is open. Representative Stephens, one minute to explain your vote."

Stephens: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker. Political consequences, I'll be darned. You know, the CUB organization... maybe... I got a better idea. Why don't we just use their mailing list and send out the Secretary of State stuff through their stuff. That's fair. We'll pay for that. If CUB can stand on its own two feet, let it. It's a great organization. Let it recruit members, let it raise money, and let it live on its own. The next thing we'll be doing will be... every good idea will be paid for and mailed through the Secretary of State's Office. The Secretary of State doesn't stand against this because he stands against CUB. The Secretary of State believes in low utility rates. The Secretary of State stands against this because of the principle it sets. It's a terrible principle, John, and you know it."

STATE OF ILLINOIS
85th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

71st Legislative Day

June 28, 1987

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman from McLean, Representative Ropp, one minute to explain your vote."

Ropp: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. John, I don't think you can say that this is a vote against CUB, especially those of us that were here and voted for CUB to create it, and I would certainly hope that those CUB members or those people who supported that, they think any otherwise, then it's too bad. The tragedy of the situation is the precedent that we're about to... setting. Every other organization that we have established, including the corn growers, wheat growers and every other organization that form checkups now will be able to come in and do this. I don't think they ought to do that. The second thing is if, in fact, they are short of funds, they ought to do like the state is thinking about - raising their dues or raising their taxes."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Saltsman, one minute to explain your vote."

Saltsman: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I was on the Economic Development Commission before it was abandoned, and we had hearings throughout the state, and CUB was nothing more than a compromise from not having an elected Commerce Commission. Now, even though we reported back it was a bipartisan commission, the CUB program was nothing more than a compromise, so being as it was the easiest way for us to get out of here, and some of you Members weren't here when this compromise was made, we still owed them the favor of keeping them active. And that's what it amounted to. This was a good Bill. Vote for it."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Klemm, one minute to explain your vote."

Klemm: "Well, naturally, I'm surprised and disappointed that this is going to become a political issue that CUB's going to

STATE OF ILLINOIS
85th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

71st Legislative Day

June 28, 1987

use, because I remember when we debated this issue of creating CUBs, which many of us supported and were Cosponsors of the Bill, one of the safeguards we set is that it's not going to be a political entity, and I'm sorry it's turning out to be that. I'm also sorry that the legislation specifically says legislative branches of State Government can be used for the mailings. But even more so, I'm disappointed with CUBs in itself, because when I called up CUBs to find out why in your mailings don't you tell the people how much you could become a Member for, which was five dollars at that time, they said they don't want to tell anybody it's five dollars, because they want to get 25, 50 or 100 dollars more, because they'd like to make more money. And I said, 'You were created to help the small people. Why don't you at least say you could become a member for five bucks, and why don't you put it on your mailings?' In fact, I even gave it to Representative Ellis Levin and said, 'Would you look into it?', since I used to be the spokesman for utilities... for our side. I think that's... "

Speaker Giglio: "Would you close, please?"

Klemm: "So, I think it's wrong that a creation of our government here to protect the people is actually turning around to make more money. I think we should correct that, and I hope they're listening up there and will change that."

Speaker Giglio: "The Lady from Cook, Representative Didrickson."

Didrickson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker... Madam... Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I guess in explanation of my 'no' vote, I would just like to ask, you know, if the utilities and CUB have such a great relationship, why did they have to come down here to the General Assembly and stick us with this responsibility and all the state agencies? I don't understand. I think the correct vote's a 'no' vote, here."

STATE OF ILLINOIS
85th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

71st Legislative Day

June 28, 1987

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Well, I guess I'm a little clouded. I just went home over the weekend and I got my first electric bill for this summer. It's about 200 dollars more than it was the month before, so it's real tough. And then I saw a debate on the television about the county... I told my wife to turn the air conditioner off and don't put it on again for the rest of the summer. I think that it is viewed as a political issue, unfortunately. That's probably why, since there is only one Republican target, they're making her vote green, and it is a political issue, unfortunately, but these people have no place else to go. They're... the program they were using before was declared unconstitutional. This is it, and it's unfortunate some of the state agencies are working against it."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Barger."

Barger: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen, Mr. Klemm, Mr. Levin, someone else whose name I can't remember and myself were the original Sponsors of the CUB Bill, and it very definitely was supposed to be kept nonpolitical. We were supposed to, through the utilities, provide the initial mailings to give them an opportunity to present themselves to the people, and then they were supposed to take over for themselves, and they were supposed to be responsible for maintaining their own membership. If they are as wonderful as they say they are, they'll have no trouble at all maintaining it. But for Mr... for the Gentleman from Lake County to threaten everyone who votes against this Bill with reprisals from CUB is not only degrading to the General Assembly as a whole, it is also degrading to CUB. I don't think they made that threat, and

STATE OF ILLINOIS
85th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

71st Legislative Day

June 28, 1987

I don't think we should be subject to it. Thank you."

Speaker Giglio: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Preston."

Preston: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. There have been a number of comments made concerning the political nature of CUB. Well, CUB's political. Half the people who are on the CUB Board and half the people who work for the organization previously ran for public office and didn't get into public office, so they're on CUB. That has nothing to do with whether or not this issue is a good issue. You don't have to like the members of CUB to like consumers who are protected by it. This gives them the ability to increase their membership, to increase the knowledge that people throughout the state have about what's happening to them in the area of utility regulation. We shouldn't be one more time against educating the public, we should be in favor of it, and I urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative McCracken, one minute to explain your vote."

McCracken: "I spoke in debate. Just to seek a Verification."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Levin."

Levin: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I think that CUB has done a very good job for the consumers. We set up CUB as a kind of a quasi-government corporation, to be bipartisan, and it has. We set it up on the concept of free enterprise, that we weren't going to provide any taxpayers' subsidy, and we have not, that by allowing the consumers to get together and pool their resources, they can hire experts and attorneys to act on behalf of the public interest, and they've done that, and they've worked with all sides of the aisle when we rewrote the Public Utility Act. They worked as closely with

STATE OF ILLINOIS
85th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

71st Legislative Day

June 28, 1987

Representative Hastert as they did with myself, as they did with both sides in the Senate. I think they've done an excellent job, and if you cast one proconsumer vote this session, it's to keep CUB strong. I urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative McNamara, one minute to explain your vote."

McNamara: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I think maybe a good idea would be to nonconcur with this and bring it back to a Conference Committee so we can put Senate Bill 130 on it. Maybe that's the way we can make people understand that, you know, at least we are interested in protecting some of the consumers."

Speaker Giglio: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 66 voting 'yes', 38 voting 'no', 10 voting 'present'. Representative McCracken asks for a Verification. Mr. Matijevich asks for a poll of those not voting."

Clerk O'Brien: "Poll of those not voting. Krska. No further."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative McCracken, does Representative Bowman have leave to be verified? Representative Ronan? Representative Braun?"

McCracken: "Speaker, where is Representative Ronan going? That's what I want to know. Who are you talking to, Representative?"

Speaker Giglio: "Representative... Representative LeFlore, are you seeking recognition? Leave to be verified? Representative Anthony Young. Representative... Representative Wyvetter Younge, leave to be verified. Representative Davis. Representative McCracken. Okay. Representative Davis. Representative Jones. Yes, Representative Jones, leave to be verified. Representative Turner. Representative Rice. Representative Williams.

STATE OF ILLINOIS
85th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

71st Legislative Day

June 28, 1987

Representative DeLeo, for what purpose are you seeking recognition, Sir? Want leave to be verified?

Representative DeLeo. Representative Jesse White, leave to be verified. Representative McCracken."

McCracken: "I'm told the Black Caucus has a meeting. That's fine. We will verify them. I'm not agreeing to anybody else. Let's get done."

Speaker Giglio: "Mr. Clerk. Representative Shaw, yes. Representative Morrow, yes. Representative Flowers. Representative Leverenz, for what purpose are you seeking recognition, Sir?"

Leverenz: "I'd ask leave to be verified, and I'll change my vote... "

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "And I'll change my vote to 'aye'."

Speaker Giglio: "He has leave. Representative Berrios, for what purpose do you seek... Representative Berrios have leave to be verified? No. Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "I asked leave to be verified, and I didn't hear it. Pardon me?"

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman has refused."

Leverenz: "Well, problems."

Speaker Giglio: "Proceed with the verification, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Berrios. Bowman. Braun. Breslin. Brunsvold. Bugielski. Capparelli. Christensen. Cullerton. Curran. Currie. Daley. Davis. DeJaegher. DeLeo. Dunn. Farley. Flowers. Giglio. Giorgi. Granberg. Greiman. Hannig. Hartke. Hicks. Homer. Huff. Jones. Keane. Kulas. Laurino. LeFlore. Leverenz. Levin. Martinez. Matijeich. Mautino. McGann. McNamara. McPike. Morrow. Mulcahey. Novak. O'Connell. Panayotovitch. Phelps. Preston. Rea. Rice. Richmond. Ronan. Saltsman. Satterthwaite. Shaw. Steczo. Stern. Sutker. Terzich.

STATE OF ILLINOIS
85th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

71st Legislative Day

June 28, 1987

Turner. Van Duyne. White. Williams. Williamson. Wolf.
Anthony Young. Wyvetter Younge. And Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Leverenz, for what purpose are
you seeking recognition?"

Leverenz: "Leave to be verified, as well as Representative
Bowman."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman asks leave to be verified. Leave
is granted. Representative McCracken."

McCracken: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Representative Richmond."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Richmond. Representative
Richmond in the chamber? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giglio: "Remove him."

McCracken: "Representative Berrios."

Speaker Giglio: "Berrios. Representative Berrios.
Representative Berrios is in the chamber."

McCracken: "Representative Laurino."

Speaker Giglio: "Laurino. Representative Laurino. Is
Representative Laurino in the chamber? How is the
Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giglio: "Remove the Gentleman from the Roll Call."

McCracken: "Representative Panayotovich."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Panayotovich in the chamber? How
is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giglio: "Remove the Gentleman from the Roll Call."

McCracken: "Representative Farley."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Farley is in the center of the
chamber."

McCracken: "Representative Hicks."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Hicks is in the chamber."

McCracken: "Representative Greiman."

STATE OF ILLINOIS
85th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

71st Legislative Day

June 28, 1987

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Greiman. Representative Greiman in the chamber? Representative Greiman? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giglio: "Remove the Gentleman from the Roll Call."

McCracken: "Representative Brunsvold."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Brunsvold is in his seat."

McCracken: "Representative Granberg."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Granberg. Representative Granberg is in front of his seat."

McCracken: "Representative O'Connell."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Greiman has returned. Restore Representative Greiman to the Roll Call."

McCracken: "And go the party, Representative Greiman. I just did that so you could leave now."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative O'Connell."

McCracken: "He's in his seat, yes. Representative Phelps."

Speaker Giglio: "Phelps. Representative Phelps. Representative Phelps in the chamber? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giglio: "Remove the Gentleman from the Roll Call. Further questions?"

McCracken: "Yes. Representative Martinez."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Martinez is in his chair."

McCracken: "Representative Kulas."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Kulas is in the rear of the chambers."

McCracken: "Representative Curran."

Speaker Giglio: "Curran. Representative Curran is talking to some important people."

McCracken: "Nothing further."

Speaker Giglio: "There are 63 voting 'aye', 38 voting 'no', 9 voting 'present', and the House does concur with Senate

STATE OF ILLINOIS
85th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

71st Legislative Day

June 28, 1987

Amendment #1 to House Bill 404... 401. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. May I remind the Body once again that the vouchers have to be turned in tomorrow so the Clerk can get the statements on the payroll record. So, those of you that returned to your districts, may I remind you to come up to the well to sign the voucher slip. Those that haven't signed the slip, would you kindly come to the well immediately so the Clerk can proceed with his paperwork so that the Legislators could be paid? Page 19 of your Calendar on Speaker's Table, HJR 88, Representative Currie."

Currie: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I believe there's an Amendment filed to House Joint Resolution 88."

Speaker Giglio: "Mr. Clerk, read the Resolution."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Joint Resolution 88, creates a Joint Committee on Property Rights in Marriage. Rules Committee recommends 'be adopted'."

Speaker Giglio: "Any Amendments filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Amendments filed. Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Currie."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Currie."

Currie: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. The Resolution would create a Joint Committee to look at issues of property relationships in marriages. The Amendment only ensures that legislative and public member appointments are made in equal number. I'd be happy to answer questions and would urge its adoption."

Speaker Giglio: "The Lady moves for the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Joint Resolution 88. All those in favor... Question on the Amendment, Representative Johnson."

Johnson: "What does this create?"

Currie: "The Resolution, Representative, creates a Joint

STATE OF ILLINOIS
85th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

71st Legislative Day

June 28, 1987

House/Senate Committee to study issues of property relationships during the course of marriage. The Amendment makes sure that there are equivalent numbers of Legislators and public members on that Joint Committee."

Johnson: "What other Joint Committees do we have operating right now?"

Currie: "I don't have the answer to that question."

Johnson: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, we don't need this. The reason we have legislative..."

Currie: "Excuse me. Mr. Speaker, is the Gentleman speaking to the Amendment, or is he speaking to the Resolution? I believe we are on Amendment 1 to House Joint Resolution 88."

Johnson: "It's up to you, Speaker. I'll abide by your wishes."

Speaker Giglio: "Alright. Why don't we just adopt the Amendment... adopt the Amendment, and then we'll talk on the Resolution. All those in favor of the Amendment signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and Amendment #1 is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Giglio: "The Resolution, as amended. Representative Currie."

Currie: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. The Resolution would create a Joint House/Senate public member and Legislative Member Committee to look at issues of property relations during the course of an ongoing marriage. The Resolution has the support of the Illinois State Bar Association, the Chicago Bar Association, the League of Women Voters, the National Organization for Women and the Illinois Citizens' Council on Women. The effort is to find out whether and to what extent there are statutory problems that do not create for fair play in either

STATE OF ILLINOIS
85th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

71st Legislative Day

June 28, 1987

information about or relations to property in marriage so that that Committee can make recommendations to this General Assembly if there are areas where our present statutory constructions are not working well. I would appreciate your support for House Joint Resolution 88 as amended."

Speaker Giglio: "The Lady moves that the House adopt House Joint Resolution 88. And on that question, the Gentleman from Champaign, Representative Johnson."

Johnson: "We have a House Judiciary Committee, and we have a Senate Judiciary Committee. We don't need to create a Joint Committee to study one concept that just happens to be the focal point of the League of Women Voters' legislative program this year. The League of Women Voters and every other organization in this state have an opportunity to come down to Springfield with their legislative sponsors and their witnesses and pass Bills if they want to see them passed. For some reason or another, either the Bill wasn't introduced or it didn't pass, just like about 5000 other Bills around here. So, I don't know why we have to create a Joint Committee to study one Bill, one concept that... By the way, everybody ought to realize what we're going to study. I mean, we're going... the fact of the matter is, this isn't going to study anything. It's going to be used to either adopt or not adopt a concept that the League of Women Voters came to us all with as part of their program last year that completely upsets every kind of concept of property law or debtor/creditor relationships or anything else, to say that automatically when you're married, all the property that either party acquires during the course of their marriage is automatically joint property. And I don't believe, number one, that that's a good concept. But if you do believe

STATE OF ILLINOIS
85th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

71st Legislative Day

June 28, 1987

that it's a good concept, even though it would totally disrupt creditor/debtor relationships, totally disrupt the common law, totally throw out the Domestic Code, at least in certain pertinent aspects, that we ought to have one concept and create a Joint Committee, the House and the Senate, to do that. If that's the case, there's about 5000 other Bills that deserve an equal amount of attention, an equal amount of credibility and an equal amount of costs that's obviously attendant to creating a Joint Committee. So, with all due respect to the Sponsor, who I Cosponsor a number of Bills with and who's a fine person, a good Legislator, this is an idea whose time has not come."

Speaker Giglio: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from Livingston, Representative Ewing."

Ewing: "Madam... Mr. Speaker, I want a Roll Call vote on this Bill, and I would ask for that now. But the real problem with this, besides the theme of it, which I think is much like Representative Johnson said, was the form of legislation. We have committees to do that. We are just going to be inundated with special committees, special committees, Ladies and Gentlemen, are a good way to sneak every little idea through the Legislature that can't get past otherwise. Let's just can this special committee bit and let's can this idea. And if it gets the requisite... prerequisite votes, we want a verification. Mr. Speaker, verification of the Roll Call."

Speaker Giglio: "Excuse me. Excuse me. Representative Currie."

Currie: "Let's take this out of the record, if that's alright with the..."

Speaker Giglio: "Take the Bill out of the record, Mr. Clerk. We're going to return to page four of the Calendar on Concurrences. House Bill 527, Representative McCracken. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

STATE OF ILLINOIS
85th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

71st Legislative Day

June 28, 1987

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 527, a Bill for an Act to amend the Code of Criminal Procedure, with Senate Amendment #2."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative McCracken."

McCracken: "What am I supposed to do?"

Speaker Giglio: "Have that the House do concur with Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 527. And on that discussion, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Preston."

Preston: "Would the Gentleman yield for a question?"

Speaker Giglio: "He indicates he will."

Preston: "Representative, can you tell us what Senate Amendment #2 does?"

Speaker Giglio: "Representative McCracken."

McCracken: "Who asked this to be called?"

Speaker Giglio: "We're on Concurrences. We're going down the line."

McCracken: "Oh, is this next one in the Calendar?"

Speaker Giglio: "Yes."

McCracken: "Oh, alright. I thought someone wanted something. I didn't know why this was being called."

Speaker Giglio: "Take it out of the record."

McCracken: "I'm ready."

Speaker Giglio: "We want to follow in an orderly fashion, Representative."

McCracken: "Thank you. I appreciate that, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Giglio: "The Chair would like to be fair and go right down the line from page to page and Bill to Bill."

McCracken: "And tomorrow we will start where we left off tonight, I know."

Speaker Giglio: "Of course. Why don't we just..."

McCracken: "Was Senate Amendment #1 tabled? It isn't on the Bill in the House. It's just 2? Okay. Amendment... Senate Amendment #2 amends the Marriage and Dissolution Act to require a parent who temporarily removes a minor from the

STATE OF ILLINOIS
85th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

71st Legislative Day

June 28, 1987

state to inform the other parent of the address and phone number where the child may be reached and the date on which the child will return to Illinois. I don't believe this constitutes much different than what is practiced. There have been occasions where the child has been out of the jurisdiction for periods longer than agreed to and I move that the House concur in Senate Amendment #2."

Preston: "I have a couple more questions. Our analysis..."

McCracken: "I'm sorry. I'm sorry."

Preston: "Representative, our analysis indicates that this applies no matter how short the duration is that the child is removed from the state. Is that correct?"

McCracken: "Yes."

Preston: "So that if a parent who has custody of the child, visitation custody, let's say, temporary custody, wants to take the child to the Indiana dunes, they would first have to notify the other parent that that is being done, even if they're only going out of state for two or three hours. Is that correct?"

McCracken: "It's just a phone call."

Preston: "Well, what happens... that comes to my question. What happens if the other parent can't be reached? Maybe that other parent is also out of state."

McCracken: "Then there's no violation."

Preston: "Is that the case? All you have to do is make a reasonable attempt?"

McCracken: "Yes. It... If you can't comply with the requirement, there is no violation."

Preston: "Well, according... I don't have the Amendment. All I have is our analysis, but the analysis seems to indicate that what is required here is notice, not an attempt at notice, but notice. So, if you make the phone... if that's indeed what the Amendment says, if you make the phone call

STATE OF ILLINOIS
85th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

71st Legislative Day

June 28, 1987

and the other parent is not available, then you cannot take that child in the Indiana dunes..."

McCracken: "No, no. It doesn't require permission."

Preston: "Well, it requires notice. What happens if you can't give the other parent notice because you cannot locate that other parent?"

McCracken: "Then there's not a violation. I mean, that's just basic."

Preston: "If that's what it says in the Amendment..."

McCracken: "No, no, no, no, no, no. It does not say that."

Preston: "I'm sorry, I don't understand your answer, Tom."

Speaker Giglio: "Proceed."

McCracken: "Well, if you can't comply with something, then there's no violation, because you tried and could not."

Preston: "But does the Amendment, and again I don't have a copy of it, so I..."

McCracken: "No, it doesn't say that."

Preston: "It doesn't say that you have to give notice; you only have to attempt to give notice."

McCracken: "No, no, no. It says you have to give notice."

Preston: "Well, if you have to give notice, a phone call to someone who doesn't pick up the phone is not notice."

McCracken: "Representative Preston, we both went to law school. If you try and cannot comply, it's not a violation of law."

Preston: "I must have gone to a different law school because that is not my understanding of the law."

McCracken: "Well, I apologize for that. That was an uncalled for remark. But if you cannot comply, then there cannot be a violation."

Preston: "Well, if a precondition to removing that child from the state is that you did, in fact, give notice to the other parent and, in fact, for whatever reason, you have not given notice to that other parent, then, if that is a

STATE OF ILLINOIS
85th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

71st Legislative Day

June 23, 1987

precondition to removing the child from the state, you have not met that precondition, and according to what you are apparently telling me, you are not permitted to remove that child from the state."

McCracken: "I wouldn't call it a precondition."

Preston: "Well, I..."

Speaker Giglio: "Proceed."

Preston: "I just think that this Amendment requires a heck of a lot more study than we are able to give it in having an Amendment come over here and just voting one, two, three on it. You're talking about something that has far-reaching consequences and I'm going to vote not to concur in this Amendment."

Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman from Macon, Representative Dunn."

McCracken: "Speaker."

Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman from..."

McCracken: "Why don't we put it... tomorrow."

Speaker Giglio: "Take it out of the record?"

McCracken: "Yeah."

Speaker Giglio: "Take it out of the record. We have amongst us a former Representative and a former State Senator. Will everybody welcome Representative and Senator John Murlow. He also has with him his alderman from the ward in which he resides, Represen... or the Alderman Bernie Hansen. Welcome to Springfield. We understand they were in a parade. They just flew in to Springfield. Representative Homer, on House Bill 2151, do you wish to nonconcur, Sir? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2151, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code, together with Senate Amendment #1."

Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman moves that the House nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2151. On that question, all those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed

STATE OF ILLINOIS
85th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

71st Legislative Day

June 28, 1987

'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the House... Representative Homer."

Homer: "Mr. Speaker, also, I would like to move to nonconcur on Senate Amendment 1 on House Bill 615. 615, Senate Amendment 1, I would like to nonconcur."

Speaker Giglio: "Alright. The House does nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2151. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it and the House does nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2151. House Bill 615. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 615, a Bill for an Act to amend the Criminal Code, together with Senate Amendment #1."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Homer".

Homer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would move to nonconcur in Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 615."

Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman moves that the House nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 615. On that question, hearing none, all those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the House does nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 615. Agreed Resolutions."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 665, offered by Representative Tate; 667, Satterthwaite; 669, Countryman; and 670, offered by Representative Stephens."

Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman from Lake, Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, these are congratulatory, and I move the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions."

Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman moves that the House adopt the Agreed Resolutions. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Resolutions are adopted. General

STATE OF ILLINOIS
85th GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

71st Legislative Day

June 28, 1987

Resolutions."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 622, offered by Representative O'Connell; 663, Hallock; 673, W. Peterson; 674, Giorgi. And House Joint Resolution 109, Kulas."

Speaker Giglio: "Committee on Assignments. Death Resolution. Will the House please come to order? We have a Death Resolution."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 668, offered by Representative Hultgren, with respect to the memory of Hershel Martin. House Resolution 671, offered by Representative Daley, with respect to the memory of James F. Cannon."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Cullerton moves for the adoption of the Death Resolutions. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. The Resolution's adopted. Representative Cullerton now moves that the House stand adjourned until tomorrow, June 29th at the hour of 9:00 a.m. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. The House stands adjourned until tomorrow, 9:00 a.m."

DOCUMENT NAME	REQ OPER	STORE GROUP	PRINT COMMAND	DATE	COPY	D WIDTH	DEPTH	ERROR
T062887	39	0	pj	03/23/88	1	66	78	

12/18/87
13:56

STATE OF ILLINOIS
85TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

PAGE 1

JUNE 28, 1987

HB-0010	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	56
HB-0042	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	65
HB-0047	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	65
HB-0100	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	67
HB-0113	NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	52
HB-0126	NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	51
HB-0180	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	67
HB-0262	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	69
HB-0337	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	70
HB-0401	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	73
HB-0451	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	4
HB-0482	NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	5
HB-0483	NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	5
HB-0484	NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	6
HB-0513	NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	55
HB-0527	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	95
HB-0527	OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	98
HB-0606	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	6
HB-0615	NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	99
HB-0655	NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	53
HB-0758	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	7
HB-0759	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	8
HB-0760	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	8
HB-0766	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	10
HB-0768	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	11
HB-0770	NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	11
HB-0771	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	12
HB-0773	NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	13
HB-0774	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	15
HB-0775	NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	15
HB-0776	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	18
HB-0777	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	23
HB-0778	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	30
HB-0778	NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	24
HB-0778	OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	25
HB-0779	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	25
HB-0780	NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	26
HB-0781	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	27
HB-0782	NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	27
HB-0783	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	28
HB-0784	NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	31
HB-0785	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	33
HB-0789	NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	34
HB-0790	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	34
HB-0791	NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	49
HB-0792	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	35
HB-0793	NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	37
HB-0799	NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	38
HB-0960	NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	38
HB-1023	NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	50
HB-1065	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	39
HB-1163	NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	40
HB-1234	NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	41
HB-1275	NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	41
HB-1368	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	42
HB-1421	NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	52
HB-1636	NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	43
HB-1736	NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	53
HB-1897	NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	45
HB-2021	NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	55
HB-2048	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	45
HB-2049	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	46
HB-2050	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	46
HB-2070	NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	54
HB-2151	NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	98

12/28/87
13:56

STATE OF ILLINOIS
85TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

PAGE 2

JUNE 28, 1987

HB-2190 NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	54
HB-2353 NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	50
HB-2756 NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	48
HJR-0088 ADOPTED	PAGE	91
HJR-0088 OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	94
*HJR-0013 THIRO READING	PAGE	2

SUBJECT MATTER

HOUSE TO ORDER - REPRESENTATIVE MCPIKE	PAGE	1
PRAYER - REVEREND SHEREOS	PAGE	1
PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE	PAGE	1
ROLL CALL FOR ATTENDANCE	PAGE	1
COMMITTEE REPORTS	PAGE	50
AGREED RESOLUTIONS	PAGE	99
GENERAL RESOLUTIONS	PAGE	100
COMMITTEE ON ASSIGNMENT	PAGE	100
DEATH RESOLUTIONS	PAGE	100
ADJOURNMENT	PAGE	100