

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

Speaker Matijevich: "Good morning. The House will come to order, and Speaker Madigan, again, welcomes everybody to the House. The Chaplain... We will begin with a invocation, and the Chaplain for today will be Rabbi Israel Zoberman of Temple B'rith Shalom in Springfield. Rabbi Zoberman is a guest of Representative Michael Curran. Will the guests in the gallery please rise for the invocation?"

Rabbi Zoberman: "Our God of Life, Who's blessings sustain us day by day. Cherished Legislators, as the inspiring Psalmist shows, we are only little less than divine, endowed with unique powers the like of no other being on earth. You, infinite God, have transformed us, finite beings, the children of dust into the children of heaven, exploring the far reaches of Your creation as Pioneer 10, proud testimony to the boundless American spirit, has moved beyond the solar system. May we also become masters of our inner universe of the human heart and mind, reaching out to embrace the godly within us, to connect to the universes of our fellow man and woman with love. Amen."

Speaker Matijevich: "Remain standing as we are led in the Pledge by Representative Gordon Ropp."

Ropp et al: "I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United States of America and to the Republic for which it stands, one Nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all."

Speaker Matijevich: "Roll Call for Attendance. Representative Greiman on excused absences."

Greiman: "Yes, we are advised that Mr. Christensen will not be... will be excused today by reason of illness in the family, and his key has been removed pursuant to the rules."

Speaker Matijevich: "Thank you. Are there any Republican excused absences? If there are, we'll get to it later. Take..."

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

Take the record. There are 116 on the quorum call.  
Message from the Senate."

Clerk O'Brien: "Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary.

'Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred with the House in passage of Bills of the following title, to wit; House Bills #1156, 1602, 1603, 1651, 1653, 1778, 1789, 1805, 1835, 1844, 1864, 1890, 1941, 1992, 2012, 2013, 2014, 2023, 2035, 2058, 2085, 2171, 2176, 2290, 18, 22, 43, 60, 64, 104, 235, 261, 26, 112, 205, 247, 264, 333, 446, 470, 475, 577, 628, 690, 741, 757, 1002, 1371, 1584, 1593, 1610, 1650, 234, 320, 321, 349, 351, 1704, 1707, 1751, 1812, 1847, 1877, 1880, 1944, 1972, 1978, 2000, 2003, 2071, 2081, 2182, 2244 and 270, together with the attached Amendments, and the adoption of which I am instructed to ask concurrence of the House, passed the Senate as amended June 27, 1983. Kenneth Wright, Secretary."

Speaker Matijevich: "The first Order of Business will be on Concurrences, those Members who have Motions to nonconcur... those Members who have Motions to nonconcur. Will the record show that Representative Josephine Oblinger is in attendance. She was a little late on the... pushing the button. On page five of your Calendar appears House Bill 584, Tate. Is Tate in the Assembly? Not yet? There's a Motion to nonconcur on that. Page seven appears Senate Bill 1108, Giglio. Is Representative Giglio in the chamber? Did he nonconcur on that? Mr. Clerk, is that... Oh, that's his Motion, but he has not proceeded with it. Out of the record. Any Member who has a Motion to nonconcur, please advise the Clerk so we can proceed as quickly as we can. Next Order of Business, on page two of the Calendar appears the Consent Calendar Resolutions Fourth Day. The Clerk will read the Resolutions."

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 162 directs a Board of Higher Education to study the feasibility of state-wide savings in Illinois public higher education. Higher Education Committee recommends, 'be adopted'. House Resolution 241 as amended with Committee Amendment #1 concerns the Board of Higher Education. Higher Education recommends, 'be adopted as amended'. House Resolution 264 with Committee Amendment #1 concerns the United States Environmental Protection Agency applying for proposed or proposing federal sanctions. Energy, Environment and Natural Resources recommends, 'be adopted as amended'. House Resolution 314 concerns the Title IX, the education Amendment of 1972. Higher Education Committee recommends, 'be adopted'. House Resolution 326 concerns the Illinois Board of Higher Education. Higher Education recommends, 'be adopted'. House Resolution 327 directs the State Board of Education to establish Computer Literacy Advisory Committee. Elementary and Secondary Education Committee recommends, 'be adopted'. House Resolution 328 directs the State Board of Education to study the feasibility of establishing the Illinois School of Science and Mathematics. Elementary and Secondary Education Committee recommends, 'be adopted'. House Resolution 329 directs the State Board of Education and the Board of Higher Education to study and recommend action regarding the mathematic and science fields. Elementary and Secondary Education Committee recommends, 'be adopted'. House Resolution 348 directs the Department of Law Enforcement and the Criminal Justice Information Authority to hold public hearings concerning missing young adults. Executive Committee recommends, 'be adopted'. House Resolution 349 urges President Reagan and Congress to reassess the current allocation of radio frequencies by the FCC. Executive

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

Committee recommends, 'be adopted'."

Speaker Matijevich: "You have heard the Resolutions. The question is, 'Shall these Resolutions be adopted?' Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Consent Calendar Resolutions. Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 107 'ayes', no 'nays', 3 answering 'present', and these Resolutions are adopted. On page four of the Calendar on the Order of Concurrences appears House Bill 406, and Representative Greiman has a Motion to nonconcur. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Greiman."

Greiman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The... There was an Amendment put on 406 which attempted to effect the... the notice provisions. It's a Bill dealing with notice where an employer hasn't paid his medical insurance, and the employees are going to be terminated on their medical insurance. And we'd like to work out the notice provisions so that it's acceptable to everyone."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Greiman has moved to nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 406. All in favor... No discussion. All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay', and the House does nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 406. House will be at ease for a moment. Representative Tate is now here, and I believe that's... House Bill 584, Representative Tate has a Motion to nonconcur on page five of your Calendar. The Gentleman from Macon, Representative Tate, on House Bill 584."

Tate: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 584 which had passed out of this chamber 114 to 4 or something close to that earlier this year... The Senate had put on a very technical Amendment over there, but..."

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

however, there had been some... some of the insurance companies had expressed a concern with it. And an agreement has been worked out that we would like to send the Bill to the Conference Committee, and then it will be an Agreed Bill with everyone. Thank you."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Tate has moved to nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 584. There being no discussion, all in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay', and the House does nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 584. Representative Giglio is not here yet. On page nine of the Calendar appears House Bill 2078, and Representative Ropp has a Motion to nonconcur. The Gentleman from McLean, Representative Ropp."

Ropp: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. House Bill 2078 in the Senate, there were a few words that were added here in the House, and in their wisdom they took those words off. And I move to nonconcur. The words, you might want to know, were 'knowingly'. And that's not the necessary reason, but we've got to put this back into a Conference Committee to do some other things to it."

Speaker Matijevich: "Alright. Representative Ropp has moved to nonconcur with Senate Bill... Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2078. There being no... The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yes, I want to support this Motion since the Senate apparently inadvertently removed the mental state of knowingly that they had in... that we had added and that Representative Ropp had added to his Bill in the House. And I'm glad to see that Representative Ropp recognizes that we shouldn't pass the Bill without that and, therefore, I support his Motion."

Ropp: "I didn't say I recognized that yet. I'm willing to surrender on this one at this point only."

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

Cullerton: "I think you better surrender on all of them."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Ropp moves to nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2078. All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay', and the House does nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2078. On page three of your Calendar appears House Bill 114. Representative Brookins has a Motion to nonconcur. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Brookins."

Brookins: "Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Matijevich: "Yes, Sir."

Brookins: "Mr. Speaker, I nonconcur with the Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Brookins moves to nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 114. Being no discussion, all in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay', and the House does nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 114. The House will now go to the Order of Concurrences on page three of your Calendar. We're going to start right off the... at the start of the list, and the first Bill is House Bill 20, Giorgi. The Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, I move to concur to House Bill 20. All it does is extend the time for the finalizing of rules, again, to December 1st, 1984. That's all it does. Technical Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Winnebago has moved to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 20. On that, the Gentleman from DeWitt, Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentleman of the Assembly, I would support the Gentleman's Motion."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Giorgi has moved to... Oh, Representative Friedrich."

Friedrich: "I would like to... I would like to make a suggestion, Mr. Speaker, that the one moving to concur explain briefly

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

what the Bill does as amended. Some of us don't remember what House Bill 20 did, and by the time... these will move fast enough. I think it would be... really be helpful if the Sponsor of the concurrence Motion would explain, at least briefly, what the Bill does as amended."

Speaker Matijevich: "Well, I... I understand that but I would hope that they're very brief on the Bill. It's the Amendment we've... We have agreed by our action with the Bill, so what is at issue is really the Amendment, and we don't want to get into a second debate on the Bill. That's... That's what sometimes happens. Representative Friedrich."

Friedrich: "That's not really true. This is final action, and your vote here will be on the Bill as amended, not just on the Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "We... We know that, but we've debated the Bill. The Gentleman from Giorgi (sic - Winnebago) has moved to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 20. Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Yes. Yes, that's true. I was... I lived in Georgia at Fort 'Bennington' during World War II."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Georgia."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, this has to do with the bubble concept where you measure the emission from different smokestacks, and the only debate had... we had to have this Session was on the... extending the time so the rules could be read in conformity with federal and state rules. And all, it's a good Bill, and the Amendment from the Senate only extends the date, does nothing substantively to the Bill."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative... Representative Giorgi moves to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 20. Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. This is final action, requires 60 votes.

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 108 'ayes', no 'nays', and the House does concur with Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 20. House Bill 25, Cullerton. Clerk... The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 25. This is the Bill that allowed blind and deaf people to serve on a jury. When we drafted this Bill, we removed certain language, that language being that jurors must be of fair character, of improved integrity, of sound judgment and well informed. The Senate decided that they wanted to keep that language so they put that language back in with Senate Amendment #1, but the basic goal of the Bill is still achieved. So I would move to concur with the Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman moves to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 25. Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "I would support the Gentleman's Motion."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Greiman."

Greiman: "I wonder if the Gentleman would yield for a question."

Speaker Matijevich: "He indicates he will. Proceed."

Greiman: "Is it my understanding, Representative Cullerton, that the interpreter for the deaf person has to be present at the trial?"

Cullerton: "Well, yes, the... the Amendment says that the court appointed interpreter shall be available throughout the actual trial. I just find that to be somewhat duplicative of what was obvious in the original House Bill, but..."

Greiman: "Would that mean... Would that mean that it has to be the same interpreter would you think? Maybe we should even put something in the record to that effect. I mean... Could it be... It can be... There's nothing that requires it be the same person?"

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day .

June 28, 1983

Cullerton: "No, there's nothing that would... that would require that. I don't see any problem with it being a different interpreter."

Greiman: "So you could have a different interpreter each day..."

Cullerton: "Sure."

Greiman: "... of the trial and that wouldn't impair it."

Cullerton: "The point is as long as the deaf person can understand and..."

Greiman: "And has somebody with him. Okay. Thank you. I'd certainly support that. Thank you."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Cullerton moves to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 25. Those in favor signify with by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. Final action. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 90... 102 'ayes', 1 'nay', and the House does concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 25. House Bill 33, Cullerton. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yes, this Bill had to do with court appointed counsel for indigents. This is... deals with not public defenders who are employees of the county, but situations where the court appointed a counsel other than the public defender for poor people. Now, the way the law reads right now, outside of Cook County the attorneys fees are simply whatever the court deems reasonable. But in Cook County, we have a limit as to the hourly rate and as to the total amount that can be paid. Now the Bill originally eliminated the hourly rate and keep the cap of 1,000 dollars in felony cases and 150 dollars in misdemeanors. What the Senate has done is to increase the... reinstate the hourly rate but increase it from 30 dollars an hour to 40 dollars an hour in court and from 20 dollars an hour to

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

30 dollars an hour for out of court time. And they have increased the maximum felony fee from 1,000 to 1,250 dollars. So I would accept the Senate's Amendment and move to concur with Senate Amendment #1."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Cullerton has moved to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 33. There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall the House concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 33?' Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. Final action. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 103 'ayes', 5 'nays', and the House does concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 33. House Bill 41, Mautino. The Gentleman from Bureau, Representative Mautino."

Mautino: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move to concur in Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 41. House Bill 41, when it was heard in Committee, authorized Illinois Valley Port Authority to apply for foreign trade zone status. At that time, we made the commitment that any other trade zone, any other port authority that wanted to be embodied in the Bill would have the Bill available to them for that purposes. The two port authorities that are in Amendment #1 besides Illinois Valley is Peoria and Maukegan, and I accept that Amendment. #2 is the members of the Illinois Valley Regional Port Authority District for a quorum currently consists of five members and four members constitute a quorum. This Amendment provides that three of those five would create a quorum, and I move for concurrence on both Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Mautino has moved to concur with Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 41. There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall the House concur with Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 41?'"

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. Final action. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 112 'ayes', no 'nays', and the House does concur with Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 41. House Bill 61, Gordon Ropp. Is Gordon Ropp in the chamber? We'll... Oh, there he is. The Gentleman from McLean, Representative Gordon Ropp, on House Bill 61. Representative Ropp."

Ropp: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 61 is the Bill that dealt with extending the inspection for tractioned type boilers from one to two years. In the Senate, they added a Section which currently there are a certain class of old boilers that do not have a certain kind of a seal on it which would give some date in terms of historic value of that boiler. This Amendment says that those boilers that were manufactured prior to putting that seal or that decal on it should also now come under the inspection process at least once every two years. Heretofore, under current practice within that fire marshal's office, they were not being inspected at all. Therefore, for the historic value, and at least being... having the opportunity to display them, they could not be displayed. Now this Amendment says that they shall be inspected at least once every two years, and I move to concur in the Senate Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Ropp moves to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 61. There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall the House concur with Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 61?' Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. Final action. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 109 'ayes', 1 'nay', 2 answering 'present', and the House does concur with Senate

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

Amendment #1 to House Bill 61. House Bill 76, Ropp. The Gentleman from McLean, Representative Ropp."

Ropp: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 76 merely permits automotive service vehicles to display amber flashing lights while servicing disabled vehicles on a highway. The Bill also dealt with emergency type vehicles and other vehicles that provided for emergency service on highways. It allowed them to use amber lights. This also includes that class of vehicles that is considered automotive service. I move to concur."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Ropp has moved to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 76. There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall the House concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 76?' Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 111 'ayes', no 'nays', and the House does concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 76. Leave of the House, we'll skip by 97 and return to it. House Bill 108, Van Duyne. The Gentleman from Will, Representative Van Duyne, on House Bill 108."

Van Duyne: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #1 put on by Representative... I mean Senator Berman over in the Senate merely changes the Bill to make it where it doesn't take effect if such distribution of the property or release of the court occurs prior. So in other words, it makes it concurrent with the action of the Bill, not prematurely, and I move for concurrence."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Van Duyne has moved to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 108. There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall the House concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 108?' Those in favor

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 104 'ayes', no 'nays', and the House does concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 108. We have nonconcurred with 114. The next Bill is House Bill 171, Johnson. Is Representative Johnson in the chamber? I don't see him. Leave. We'll return to that. House Bill 213, Wojcik. The Lady from Cook, Representative Wojcik, on House Bill 213."

Wojcik: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, the Amendment that was put on in the Senate I concur with, and it authorizes the Committee to receive any funds from private sources and to provide that the township board 'may' rather than 'shall' establish an administer of fund for the Committee on the Disabled. I move to concur."

Speaker Matijeovich: "Representative Wojcik moves to concur with Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 213. There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall the House concur with Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 213?' Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 110 'ayes', 1 'nay', and the House does concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 213. House Bill 227, Breslin. The Lady from LaSalle, Representative Breslin."

Breslin: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen, this is the Bill that allows work... time-sharing rather in the State Appellate Defender Act. The only change that the Senate put on this Bill was to give it an immediate effective date. That is the Senate Amendment. I move for passage... for concurrence."

Speaker Matijeovich: "Representative Breslin moves to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 227. There being no

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

discussion, the question is, 'Shall the House concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 227?' Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 106 'ayes', 1 'nay', 1 voting 'present', and the House does concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 227. House Bill 240, Rea. Representative Rea."

Rea: "House Bill 240 amends the downstate Firemen's Pension Fund. It provides that child's disability benefits or dependent benefits shall be... shall not cease upon a child's attaining age eighteen if the child is independent by a reason of a physical or mental disability and that increase costs of a local government resulting therefore are not reimbursed by the state. All it... And their Amendment, which I am in agreement with, just keeps you from getting double payment, receiving more than one payment at the same time. And I would move to concur."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Rea has moved to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 240. There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall the House concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 240?' Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. This is final action. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 101 'ayes', 10 'nays', 1 answering 'present'. The House does concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 240. House Bill 242, the Gentleman from Vermilion, Representative Stuffle."

Stuffle: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, the Senate Amendment to House Bill 242 further limits the application of this Bill to provide that where there's a collective bargaining agreement in place in payment of a group insurance plan,

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

premium is mandated in that collective bargaining agreement, that a municipality shall not have to pay for any other group policy premium payment. I would concur... move to concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 242."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Stuffle has moved to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 242. Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 78 'ayes', 32 'nays', 1 answering 'present', and the House does concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 242. Leave of the Sponsor, we'll take House Bill 265 out of the record for the moment. House Bill 267, the Lady from DuPage, Representative Nelson."

Nelson: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 267 originally was the Bill that required operators of nonhazardous sanitary landfills to post a performance bond. It was amended to add an exemption for units of local government and for the State of Illinois, and the Senate, in its wisdom, added after Illinois in the Bill the words, 'its agencies and institutions', to make it perfectly clear. And so, I would move that the House concur with Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 267."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Lady from DuPage moves to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 267. There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall the House concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 267?' Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed voting 'no'. Final action. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 105 'ayes', 7 'nays', 2 answering 'present', and the House does concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 267. House Bill 268, the Lady from DuPage, Representative Nelson."

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

Nelson: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Members of the House, House Bill 268 originally was the Bill to allow the use of photographic evidence in court. The Amendment added by the Senate tightens one Section and says that the prosecuting attorney must furnish a written request for the return of the property to its owner. I would move at this time for concurrence with Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 268."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Lady from DuPage has moved to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 268. There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall the House concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 268?' Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 113 'ayes', 1 'nay', 1 'present', and the House does concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 268. Leave of the House, we're going to go on the top of page five. There's a Motion to nonconcur on House Bill 487. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative DiPrima, on the Motion to nonconcur with Senate Bill... House Bill 487. Is DiPrima back there? I can't see through Stuffle. Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Would I have leave to handle that for Representative DiPrima?"

Speaker Matijevich: "Leave... Leave for Representative Leverenz to handle that Motion. Leave. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "I would move that the House not concur in the Senate Amendment #2."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative... moves to nonconcur with Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 487. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yes, I want to support this Motion. What they've done to Representative DiPrima's Bill here is, they put an

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

Amendment on that only applies to veterans who are allies of the U.S. during the Second World War. And I'm told by staff that Italy was not an ally of the U.S. during the Second World War, so that Italian Veterans from the Second World War would not be entitled to this preference. And I'm sure that's why Representative DiPrima is nonconcurring, and I agree with him."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Pierce."

Pierce: "Will the last speaker yield to a question?"

Speaker Matijevich: "He indicates he will. Proceed."

Pierce: "Which side was Ireland on in World War II?"

Cullerton: "Ireland?"

Pierce: "The Republic of Ireland."

Cullerton: "Neutral. They were neutral on all... In other words, they were on both sides."

Pierce: "Okay. Thank you."

Speaker Matijevich: "How can they be on anybody's side? They fight each other. Representative... Representative Birkinbine."

Birkinbine: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I just thought it was interesting that the previous speaker from Chicago had to learn from staff that Italy was not on our side during the Second World War."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Leverenz has moved to nonconcur with Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 487. Those in favor signify... say... Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay', and the House does nonconcur with Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 487. Back to the Calendar on Concurrences. Leave with the Sponsor, we will hold House Bill 273. House Bill 286, Steczo. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Steczo."

Steczko: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. House

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

Bill 286 is a Bill which would... which allowed local school districts to include the cost of converting bus engines to alternative fuel sources as a direct cost in their claims for reimbursement from the state. The Senate added Amendment #1 which also allowed the cost to... of converting more efficient fuel engines which are engines that can be converted at a far lesser cost, and I would move for the... for concurrence in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 286."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Steczo moves to concur with Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 286. The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Would the Sponsor of this Motion yield to a question, please?"

Speaker Matijevich: "He indicates he will. Proceed."

Hoffman: "Do you have any indication of what the additional cost and the... any feel for what the additional cost would be in the general distributive or in the transportation formula because of this legislation?"

Steczko: "Representative Hoffman, no, I don't except I think the feeling would be and a fiscal note filed on House Bill 286 indicated that the cost would be minimal especially in light of the... the savings that we would have over the long run in fuel, and maintenance, et cetera."

Hoffman: "You're suggesting then that the reduced cost would be reflected in the transportation fund in excess of what the right-off on the depreciation acceleration might be."

Steczko: "Yes."

Hoffman: "Thank you very much."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Would... Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Matijevich: "Proceed."

Friedrich: "If a school bus had 200,000 miles on it, this would

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

permit the school district to put in a new motor diesel, for example, as opposed to gasoline at the state's expense. Is that right?"

Steczo: "Representative Friedrich, under the present circumstances, they can do that and that engine's depreciated over a period of ten years. The... What we're trying to do here is to get... or to try to have school districts convert their engines to alternative fuel sources and... and take that as a direct cost which would be paid back over a period of one year. What Amendment #1 does, actually, is make it a lot easier and less expensive for the state because the cost for converting to alternative fuel engines is approximately 1,500 to 2,000 dollars. But in its original form, House Bill 286 preempted a conversion say to diesel engines which can be done for far less dollars than that, so we would be allowing them to... take this reimbursement and actually be saving the state money by doing so in the long run too."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Steczo has moved to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 286. Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Final action. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 103 'ayes', 1 'nay'... Mautino 'aye', I mean Mulcahey. 104... You all look alike. That's right. 104 'ayes', 1 'nay', 3 answering 'present', and the House does concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 286. House Bill 373, the Gentleman from Sangamon, Michael Curran."

Curran: "Thank you... Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 373 amended the Child Care Act of 197... 1969. It prohibited licensed day care facilities from... from releasing a child to anyone other than persons on a certain list. What the Amendment does is

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

clears up an oversight so that the same mother, for example, coming every day to pick up the child doesn't have actually to go into the day care facility and actually show identification. This Amendment met with the approval of the Department of Child and Family Services and, therefore, this Bill passed overwhelmingly in the Senate. I concur with the Amendment, and I ask for concurrence."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Curran has moved for the... on the Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 373. There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall the House concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 373?' Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. Final action. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 112 'ayes', 1 'nay', 2 answering 'present', and the House does concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 373. House Bill 385, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Yourell."

Yourell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move to concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 385. What it does is exempt municipalities in excess of 500,000. I move to concur in Senate Amendment #1."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Yourell moves... We have a machine problem. We'll be at ease for a moment. The Gentleman from DeWitt, Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, I wonder if the Gentleman might hold the Motion on 385 for a few minutes."

Speaker Matijevich: "Out of the record for a moment. House Bill 400, Representative Pierce, the Gentleman from... Hold it. Out of the record, request of the Sponsor. Looks like a big Bill, Dan. House Bill 404. House Bill 404, Van Duyne. The Gentleman from Will, Representative Van Duyne."

Van Duyne: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This is just a very technical Amendment, and I move to concur."

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Van Dwyne moves to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to... Gentleman from Cook, Representative Piel, for what purpose do you rise?"

Piel: "Would the Gentleman mind explaining what his technical Amendment does?"

Speaker Matijevich: "Explain the technicality, Representative Van Dwyne."

Van Dwyne: "It just merely makes it identical to the sister Bill that Senator Welch had put out in the Senate. That's all. There is absolutely no substantive change whatsoever."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Van Dwyne has moved to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 404. Those in favor... Representative Birkinbine."

Birkinbine: "Thank you. Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Matijevich: "He indicates he will."

Birkinbine: "Is this the Bill that deals with local fire departments and how they split money with school districts on the tax..."

Van Dwyne: "No. No, no, no. This has..."

Birkinbine: "Well see, none of us could tell that, because you never even referred to what the Bill, itself, does."

Van Dwyne: "Well, okay. Well, I'm sorry. I really didn't want to take up the time. It's very, very minuscule. It's a Bill..."

Birkinbine: "It's final action."

Van Dwyne: "The Bill only changes the leasing habits of the Department of Transportation from five years to fifty years in order to let four little towns... let four little towns enter into the hydro-electric project on the Illinois and Des Plaines River - that's Rockdale, Peru, Channahon and one other one downstream, but I can't think... Marseilles. And the technical Amendment that Senator Welch put on merely conforms my Bill with his."

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

Birkinbine: "Thank you very much."

Speaker Matijevich: "There being no further discussion, those in favor of concurring with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 404, final action, vote 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 111 'ayes', no 'nays', 1 'present', and the House does concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 404. Christensen 'aye', and let the record show that Representative Ray Christensen, who has been on excused absence, has just arrived. And he should get his key back any moment now. Thank you and good to see you, Ray. House Bill 406, we've already nonconcurrred in. House Bill 417, Richmond. Representative Richmond."

Richmond: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to take this out of the record for the moment."

Speaker Matijevich: "Out of the record, request of the Sponsor. House Bill 427, Mulcahey. Is the Gentleman from Winnebago... Is Representative Mulcahey there? Representative Mulcahey."

Mulcahey: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker. Is this my... This is my Bill. Amendment #1... I move we concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 427. The Bill simply allows teachers to resign by giving a thirty day notice to the school board in the middle of the year. The Amendment says that they cannot resign if they resign to take another teaching job. That's all the Amendment does without concurrence of the board."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Mulcahey moves to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 427. The Lady from DuPage, Representative Nelson."

Nelson: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Members of the House, I think that the Amendment makes a bad Bill slightly better, and I would just like to alert you to the fact that

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

this is the Bill that would allow teachers to break their contracts. Many of us know that in other kinds of sectors people who sign contracts are expected to fulfill them, and if a teacher can resign during the school year, it makes it very, very difficult for a board of education to find a good replacement in time. I would urge you to vote 'no' at this point because this is final passage."

Speaker Matijevich: "Represent... Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Yeah, just a question of the Sponsor."

Speaker Matijevich: "Proceed."

Bowman: "In light of the last comment, doesn't this require concurrence of the board of education?"

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Mulcahey."

Mulcahey: "Yes, Representative Bowman, you're absolutely correct. It does."

Bowman: "Well, then Representative Mulcahey, don't you feel that if the board has to concur in the action that it would be mutually beneficial and there should be no problem?"

Mulcahey: "Yes, I do."

Bowman: "Good. Then I think I'll vote for it."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Mulcahey moves for the... Representative Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Just to make sure you know exactly what you're voting on here, the last... the last question, the last answer, someone obfuscated the issue because the only... In this legislation, the Amendment provides that if a teacher resigns to take another teaching contract, it must be in concurrence with the board. If the teacher leaves for any other reason, to take a... you know, to go to Greece, to take a job with Apple Computer, there is no necessity to have an agreement on the part of the board. It's one way. She says or he says, as the case may be, you know, 'I'm resigning tomorrow.' And they say,

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

'Well, you know, you have a contract.' They say, 'Well, I'm sorry, I'm leaving and the law says unless you're going to another teaching position you can do that.' So that's what you're voting on."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Mulcahey has moved for the concurrence on Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 427. Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. This is final action. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 76 'ayes', 32 'nays', and the House does concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 427. 45... 438, McAuliffe or Neff. Representative Neff on House Bill 438."

Neff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move to concur on Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 438. This takes care of a problem called to... to our attention by the Illinois State Police and the Secretary of State. It would permit the use of tow... tow-dollies which are substitute wheels so that a front wheel drive vehicle can be towed behind another vehicle. Now this Amendment is supported by the Illinois State Police, Department of Transportation, the American Association of Motor Vehicles and the Motor Vehicle Laws Commission. I... I move to..."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Neff moves to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 438. There being no discussion, those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 111 'ayes', no 'nays', and the House does concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 438. House Bill 440, the Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Klemm."

Klemm: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

House. House Bill 440 amended the Animal Control Act to allow county boards to establish the dog registration fee and, also, it added to the the current law that if an animal in addition to a person were injured by an attacking dog that the owner of that attacking dog would pay for the loss of not only injury to the person but also to the animal. Senate Amendment #1 took out the animal part of that provision and left the current law as it is, and I do move... and I move to concur with Senate Amendment 1."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Klemm has moved to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 440. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Cullerton. No, that's Brummer. I'm sorry. I'm so used to you..."

Brummer: "Yes, will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Matijevich: "Yes."

Brummer: "Would you... What is the existing law with regard to injuries, liability of the owner for injuries inflicted by an animal owned by him whether it's a dog or other animal?"

Klemm: "Injuries to whom?"

Brummer: "Injury to someone else, not himself. He's not going to sue himself, I assume."

Klemm: "No, I was wondering what... I... I didn't hear the last part of what you said. I just... The current law says that if a dog or another animal without provocation attacks or injures a person who is peacefully conducting himself in any place he may be lawful to be, the owner of such dog or animal is liable in damages to such person for the full amount of the injury sustained. That's the current law, and the Senate just leaves it that way."

Brummer: "And what did your Bill, as it went over to the Senate... to the Senate, do with regard to that issue?"

Klemm: "It would have added the word after any person, added the word 'or animal'. And the reason the... And the reason for

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

that was that if your walking along the street with your little pet poodle, and all of a sudden a pit bull dog from another owner looks at that little poodle, and races over, and attacks it and kills that poor poodle that you could look at that and say, 'Hey, you did something bad.' And I can hold the owner responsible. The Senate didn't feel that was necessary, and it just took that out and left the law as it currently is."

Brummer: "Okay."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Klemm has moved for the concurrence with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 440. Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 110 'ayes', 4 'nays', and the House does concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 440. House Bill 441, the Gentleman from McLean, Representative Ropp."

Ropp: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. House Bill 441 amends the Storing Personal Property for Hire Act to clarify and bring the Act into... into accordance with the current practice. The Senate Amendment took care of the mental status of the Bill, and I move to concur with their wisdom."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Ropp has moved to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 441. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Okay, now, let me just tell you what Representative Ropp really means. He agreed and everybody's agreed in the House to my knowledge that there should be a mental state when we have criminal acts. Now, I would point out that if you don't indicate a mental state, then a mental state of recklessness could be the mental state, or I should say, is at a minimum the mental state. The people apparently

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

supporting this Bill, I don't think it's the Department of Agriculture in this particular case, but some people have been telling Representative Bopp and apparently the Senate Sponsor that by having the word 'knowingly' in the statute that that means that you can never enforce it because all the defendant has to say is that, 'Hey, I didn't know that was the law.' And then the law doesn't apply. Now that's just not right. That's just not right. Now if you look at this particular Bill, they make you eligible for a year in jail if you... if you violate any Category II violations. And you look Category II violations and they say, 'practices or conditions that if continued could cause significant loss to depositors.' Now that's real clear, isn't it, what they mean by that? We're making it a criminal offense to do that. So all we said was you have to know that you're doing that. You have to know that the actions that you are performing will contribute to conditions that if continued could cause significant loss to depositors. It's... It's really... I think it's important. I just think that there's a misunderstanding by the general public, perhaps, and I'd like some of my colleagues to... who are familiar with criminal law to reinforce this that to put a mental state in doesn't mean that you can say whether the state has prove that the... that the defendant knew... specifically knew what the provisions of House Bill 441 were. And I think for Representative Bopp to give into them is really a mistake, and for that reason, I would object to his Motion to concur. And this is not going to have to go to a Conference Committee at all. All they have to do is recede from the Senate Amendment, and there's no need for a Conference Committee. The Bill which passed will still pass again, and final action will be in the Senate. So I

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

would ask for your support in nonconcurring with this Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative McCracken."

McCracken: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The Gentleman is correct in his analysis of mental states. The term 'knowingly' in the criminal law is used to denote intentional behavior as opposed to accidental or negligent behavior. It does not require knowledge of the law which a person's violating. It doesn't require even knowledge in the layman's sense of the word. All it requires is intentional behavior. Under the Criminal Code, if a law... or if a mental state is not stated in the definition of an offense then absent a clear legislative policy to make it an absolute or strict liability crime, then the Criminal Code will require a mental state either, intentional, knowingly or reckless. Now I... I had talked to Representative Cullerton and I indicated that I would stand up to confirm his understanding of the law. I don't know what wise policy is in this case; however, maybe... maybe we should require that a reckless state exist in this case. I don't... I haven't looked at the law, but I do confirm his understanding of the mental state law in the Criminal Code. If we didn't put anything in, if we did not include the word 'knowingly' and as long as we made it clear that we were not trying to impose strict liability, the courts would then require at least a reckless state. Maybe that's a good idea in light of the fact that we're dealing with essentially a regulatory scheme. I think 'recklessness' protects the defendant in this case. I think 'knowingly' certainly protects the defendant. For those reasons, I'm not certain that we need to nonconcur here, although, I, of course, do confirm his understanding of the mental states in the Criminal Code."

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Macon, Representative John Dunn."

John Dunn: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think a point that should be made is that if I understand the status of this Bill, the word 'knowingly' has already been added as an Amendment and it was taken off in the Senate. If the Bill becomes law in this form, the legislative intent will be absolutely and perfectly clear, and it will indicate, as Representative Cullerton has indicated, you don't have to have any idea what you're doing. All you have to do is do it. So I think this is one very clear instance where the 'knowingly' Amendment which Representative Cullerton has suggested many, many times not only has importance but it is absolutely imperative that we refuse to concur here, and send this Bill back to the Senate, and ask them to take off their Amendment and put this word back in the Bill. Because if we don't, the mandate of the General Assembly will be very, very clear that the word 'knowingly' does not belong in the Act. The legislative intent is that you don't have to know what you're doing."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Ropp to close."

Ropp: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. Let me again preface this by saying that I am not an attorney, nor do I intend to be in any way, shape or form, but what I hope to be is a little bit practical. And I had a case listening to the radio the other day coming down to Springfield where, and some people may say this may not apply, but here was a father who had struck his daughter some 31 times and killed her. And he, when questioned, he says, 'I didn't know what I was doing, or I didn't know that she was dying.' To me this is a situation where we have criminals, we have people that have done wrong and to

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

prove that they have a mental state, as I think, is doing nothing but adding to the cost of what attorneys will be acquiring. And I'm not sure we have to add substantial amounts of dollars to people in that profession just to prove whether or not someone knows that he is in error. If he's violated the law, he's violated the law, and that's why I say we ought to concur."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Fopp has moved for a concurrence with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 441. Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 48 'ayes', 62 'nays', 1 answering 'present', and the Motion fails. And Representative Fopp now moves to nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 441. Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay', and the House does nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 441. House Bill 450, the Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Hallock."

Hallock: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. House Bill 450 merely increase the size of the Rockford Mass Transit District Board from three to five members. As amended from the Senate, the Bill would say the Board can be three or five, not three to five, and I would ask for your support."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Hallock has moved to concur with... Representative Hallock has moved to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 450. On that, Representative Mulcahey."

Mulcahey: "The Sponsor, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Matijevich: "Yes, he yields."

Mulcahey: "Representative Hallock, you are... are increasing the... increasing it from two to three. Is that the

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

membership, from two to three?"

Hallock: "No, the Bill, itself, increase the size of the Board from three to five members. The Bill said the Board would have to have five members. The Bill, as amended by the Senate, says the Board can be three or five members whichever they choose to have."

Mulcahey: "Okay. Is this a paying job? Is this a nonpaying job?"

Hallock: "Well, I think they are paid a per diem of a very small amount."

Mulcahey: "Who makes the decision if it's going to be three or five?"

Hallock: "The Bockford Mass Transit Board."

Mulcahey: "It's not... It's not by referendum."

Hallock: "No."

Mulcahey: "Thank you."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Hallock has moved to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 450. Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 102 'ayes', 1 'nay', 1 answering 'present', and the House does concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 450. House Bill 455, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Terzich."

Terzich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I move that we concur with Senate Amendment #1 to the Firemen's Bill of Rights. The Amendment provides that the Bill does not apply to any criminal investigations. It does not apply to informal inquiries defined as a meeting with citizens' complaints and, also, does not apply to investigations of alleged offenses for which the maximum penalty is less than 72 hours. This was also proposed by the Municipal League and also supported by the Firefighters' Union, and I would move

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

for its adoption... concurrence."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Terzich has moved to adopt Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 455. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Piel."

Piel: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Would the Gentleman yield for a question?"

Speaker Matijevich: "He indicates he will. Proceed."

Piel: "Correct me if I'm wrong, Bob, but the Senate Amendment deleted everything after the enacting clause, basically rewrote the Bill. Correct?"

Terzich: "All that... Basically, they put it in the same posture as the Policemen's Bill of Rights Bill."

Piel: "But it did rewrite the entire Bill, correct?"

Terzich: "Right."

Piel: "Okay. I noticed there's a couple of things in here that was, you know, a little bit... you know, concerned me a little bit. One dealt with lie detector tests, which sort of concerned me, because they had... I'm looking for the exact part... that they did not have to, you know, submit to a lie detector test in one thing and another, and I was a little bit concerned about what the possible ramifications towards the firefighter would be, as if he had signed a previous commitment on a lie detector test. And then there was another area that said, 'shall not be subject to abuse'. Now, are you... Now, is that basically talking about physical, verbal, mental abuse? What exactly are they talking about in that area?"

Terzich: "Well, on some of these tactics that have been used in some investigations, that there are certain harassments or abuses that a superior officer could take, whether this is on a detail type, you know, cleaning under the rig or detail out of... to a different house and what have you. And there are certain abuses, and there's also been a

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

number of cases whereby there has been verbal, as well as other physical abuse, depending upon the situation."

Piel: "Question. What is the deciding factor? This is something that is a little bit vague to me, and I don't have the entire Bill in front of me here. But what is the deciding factor, as far as the abuse factor... as far as the abuse per se? In other words, what you might think would be mental abuse, I might not. What I might think would be mental abuse, you might not. In other words, you know, who's the deciding... Who's to decide what is abuse and what is not?"

Terzich: "Well, that would, I'm sure, would be determined by a review board. The Bill provides, you know, for a... not to have this abuse, but that's an arbitrary statement - what is abuse and what is not abuse. And that would have to be determined if there's any charges brought before, you know, that particular individual."

Piel: "Thank you."

Terzich: "I would move for its concurrence."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Terzich has moved to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 455. Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 94 'ayes', 16 'nays' and 1 answering 'present'. The House does concur with Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 455. We're going to skip. On page five, there's a Motion to nonconcur on House Bill 558. The Gentleman from McLean, Representative Ropp, on House Bill 558."

Ropp: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, House Bill 558 was a Bill that dealt with mobile homes and paying the taxes. The Senate put on an Amendment which makes the Bill not very applicable to my desires, and I move to nonconcur."

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Ropp moves to nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 558. There being no discussion, those in favor signify... those in favor say 'aye'... One moment, Representative Cullerton, the Gentleman from Cook."

Cullerton: "Is it because you want to add something to the Bill, or is it because you just didn't like the Amendment?"

Ropp: "I don't like the Amendment for one thing. What the intent of the Bill was to make sure that the taxes... the privilege taxes would be paid in full prior to the transfer of the title of the mobile home, and that the county treasurer was to certify that. The Amendment, as I read it, that come over from the Senate merely requires the proof of that payment be provided to the transferee. It does not involve the county treasurer, and the treasurer's the one that wants the money. I don't think the individual to whom it's being transferred could care less whether or not the taxes are paid, and my intent of the Bill falls for not."

Cullerton: "Alright. Fine."

Speaker Matijevich: "You've heard the Motion to nonconcur. All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay', and the House does nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 558. House Bill 459, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move to concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 459. The original Bill requires that mandated reports to the General Assembly be made by filing copies with the Majority - Minority Leadership of both Houses, the Clerk of the House, the Secretary of the Senate and the Report Distribution Center in the State Library. The Bill was amended in the Senate because one of the 108 agencies which

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

was named in the Bill which now reports to the General Assembly was the Illinois Criminal Justice Information Authority. In December of 1982, however, the... I'm sorry. The Law Enforcement Commission was named to the Bill, but in December '82 the Illinois Criminal Justice Information Authority was created to replace the Commission. This Amendment simply removes the Commission from the Bill and makes the Authority subject to the Bill's reporting procedures. That's all it does. I move that we now concur."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Bowman has moved to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 459. Final action. Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 110 'ayes', 1 'nay', and the House does concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 459. House Bill 488, Representative Rea. The Gentleman from Franklin, Representative Rea."

Rea: "Let me withdraw this temporarily."

Speaker Matijevich: "Out of the record, request of the Sponsor. House Bill 498, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Keane. Representative Keane on House Bill 498."

Keane: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move to concur to Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 498. Senate Amendment #1 clarifies the language of the original Bill. It removes the requirement that the audit authorized by the Bill is to form an opinion on and the big word was, 'fairness of presentation'. It specifies that such audit is only to form an opinion of the report itself, so they didn't want us to say... they didn't want the Auditor General to be saying whether the report was fair or not. And I have no problem with the Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Keane has moved to concur

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 498. Final action. Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 111 'ayes', 1 'nay', 1 answering 'present', and the House concurs with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 498. House Bill 520, the Lady from Champaign, Representative Satterthwaite."

Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I move to concur in Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 580 (sic 520). It simply puts all of the school districts of the state in conformity in regard to public meetings."

Speaker Matijevich: "It's 520 not 580."

Satterthwaite: "520, excuse me."

Speaker Matijevich: "Alright. The Lady has moved to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 520. On that, the Lady from DuPage, Representative Nelson."

Nelson: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I think it's reasonable for school boards to allow time for public comment at school board meetings, and I support this Bill."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Piel."

Piel: "For purposes of clarification, Mr. Speaker, will the Lady yield for a second?"

Speaker Matijevich: "She indicates she will. Proceed."

Piel: "Basically, all Senate Amendment #1 does is include the Chicago School Board under the provisions of the Bill which require public comment at board meetings. Is that correct?"

Satterthwaite: "Yes."

Piel: "Fine. Thank you very much."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Satterthwaite has moved to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 520. Final action. Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 109 'ayes', 3 'nays', and the House does concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 520. Representative Rea has now requested we go back to House Bill 488. The Gentleman from Franklin, Representative Rea."

Rea: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. This Bill creates the Civic... the Herrin Civic Center Act, and the Amendment on it adds Elgin to that. And I would move for concurrence."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Rea... The Gentle... The Gentleman... Representative Rea has moved to concur with Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 488. On that, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Matijevich: "He indicates he will. Proceed."

Cullerton: "Does this give power to issue revenue bonds to certain authorities?"

Rea: "It gives authorization to issue revenue bonds at the maximum interest rate of 9%, and the... it does give them that authorization."

Cullerton: "It does say that these particular agencies would be eligible to receive state aid for their civic centers. Is that correct?"

Rea: "That's correct. That's correct."

Cullerton: "And I don't know if you had an opportunity to read the comments by the analyst on the Democratic side saying that, 'Research does not support the continued financial growth of civic centers'. Did you read that in the analysis?"

Rea: "Yes, I did Representative Cullerton. And in response to that, there... they had... had made a study or a report on it, and I certainly disagree with their report. And many

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

other people do. And for instance, in this case, there's Herrin. There's Mt. Vernon. Those are not just... We're not just referring to those towns and the size of those towns because there's many other communities in the area that they serve, and so you're... you're talking about not, for instance, in the case of Herrin of 10,000 population but you're talking in terms of a couple hundred thousand."

Cullerton: "Well, Mr. Speaker, to the Motion."

Speaker Matijevich: "Proceed."

Cullerton: "If it's a great idea, we should nonconcur and create 20 more of these civic centers, and if it's a bad idea, we should nonconcur and kill the Bill. Both... Both ways of looking at it result in the same 'no' vote on the Motion to concur, and I would ask for everyone to support a Motion to first defeat this Motion to concur and then nonconcur and this idea which will undoubtedly just cost more money to taxpayers for goals that may not be so financially sound."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from DeWitt, Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Assembly, I rise in opposition to the Bill as well. We are in a very difficult fiscal situation in the state, and I wonder whether it is a proper step at this point to be proliferating civic centers given the fiscal situation the state is in. And I would urge a 'no' vote on the Bill as well."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Jefferson, Representative Hicks."

Hicks: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think there's a little misconception here about the idea of a civic center. These are community centers that we're having. We're not talking about building another Springfield Capitol Center here like we have here that's

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

going in the red. We're talking about building civic centers... community buildings, community centers in out part of the country. I think it's a slight misconception on what the Bill actually calls about. We're not talking about hundreds of thousands of square foot. We're talking about just a few thousand square feet buildings. So I think it's a slight misconception on being called a civic center. It's more of a community center, and I would ask for your positive vote on this."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Rea to close."

Rea: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, this is very important legislation to these communities. It will have an important impact, not only culturally but economically and would certainly benefit the whole region that they will serve as well as the state. And I would move for concurrence at this time."

Speaker Matijevich: "The question is, 'Shall the House concur with Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 48 (sic - 488)?' Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. This is final action so... Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 35 'ayes', 75 'nays', 4 answering 'present', and the Motion fails. And Representative Rea now moves to nonconcur with Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 488. Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay', and the Motion prevails. And the House nonconcur with Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 488. House Bill 546, Capparelli. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Capparelli."

Capparelli: "Mr. Speaker, I would move to concur on Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 546. It's a... technical Amendment requested by the Comptroller and the Attorney General to process vouchers for payment under the Police

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

Training Act, and I would move to concur."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Capparelli has moved to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 546. Being no discussion, the question... those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Final action. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 98 'ayes', no 'nays', and the House does concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 546. 556, request of the Sponsor, out of the record. We've already nonconcurrred in 558. House Bill 561, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Panayotovich."

Panayotovich: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 561. It was an Amendment for the construction companies to make sure that the removing requirements about executive heads of government... They must conduct a survey before they make a contract and a bid, and I move to concur."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Panayotovich has moved to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 561. On that, the Gentleman from DeWitt, Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, I wonder if the Gentleman would take the Bill out of the record for a moment."

Speaker Matijevich: "He indicates he will."

Vinson: "Thank you."

Speaker Matijevich: "House Bill 576, the Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Klemm."

Klemm: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 576 adds definitions in the Bill of highway, state highway, interstate highway, controlled access highway and tollway... toll road. These terms were used in the current legislation. It was felt that it would help clarify those terms being used, and I move for the adoption of Senate Amendment..."

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Klemm has moved for the adoption of Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 576. There being no discussion, those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Final action. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 103 'ayes', 6 'nays', 1 answering 'present', and the House concurs with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 576. House Bill 579, the Gentleman from Rock Island, Representative DeJaegher."

DeJaegher: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the General Assembly, I move to concur with House Bill 579. All it does is change the requirement from ninety days to sixty days for noncertified employees."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative DeJaegher has moved to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 579. On that, the Gentleman from DeWitt, Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, I appreciate you calling on me, but my light wasn't on this time. I think Representative Nelson would have..."

Speaker Matijevich: "Alright, that was Piel's light. I'm so use to your light being on over there, it automatically comes to you. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Piel."

Piel: "Will the Gentleman yield, please?"

Speaker Matijevich: "He indicates he will. Proceed."

Piel: "Eob, maybe I misunderstood you. You said from ninety to sixty days, or is it ninety to thirty days?"

DeJaegher: "Ninety to thirty, I'm sorry."

Piel: "Ckay, okay. Thank you."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Nelson, the Lady from DuPage."

Nelson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. A question of the Sponsor."

Speaker Matijevich: "Proceed."

Nelson: "Representative DeJaegher, isn't this the Bill that says

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

school boards have to send a letter to someone who is going to receive a different job assignment?"

DeJaegher: "Yes."

Nelson: "What happens if between the time the school board sends the letter, and the time school opens they need to reassign this person that they've already sent a letter to?"

DeJaegher: "I...Normally what happens, these budgets are programmed in in April of each year. I think that the school boards have the opportunity of placing a person in a specific job. And I feel that even if circumstances such as that with this thirty day, that still would give them enough time to reassign that person, make that person knowledgeable what his job assignment was going to be."

Nelson: "To the Bill, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Matijevich: "Proceed."

Nelson: "I opposed this Bill originally, because I think that it is absolutely unnecessary, and I've really not changed my mind. What it seems to be saying is that school boards must send a letter to people who are having a job transfer. They are going to be doing that anyway, and the Bill will have no affect on locking people into a certain assignment. Of course, school boards are going to notify people if they need them to take on another assignment. We are talking here not about teachers, but noncertificated personnel, and I think that this Bill, specifically, is absolutely unnecessary. So I'm going to oppose it on that grounds."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative DeJaegher to close."

DeJaegher: "Hopefully that all of you will address yourself to this. I think that this is a necessity that these people should be knowledge to what their job assignments are, and hopefully that you will vote affirmatively."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative DeJaegher has moved to concur

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 579. Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. This is final action. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 70 'ayes', 41 'nays', 3 answering 'present', and the House does concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 579. House Bill 584, we have already nonconcurrred with. House Bill 603, the Lady from Marshall, Representative Koehler."

Koehler: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 603. What the Amendment does...the original Bill required that highway commissioners submit bills for final payment on road projects to the Township Board of Trustees. And what this Bill does...the Amendment does, it changes to within thirty days after receipt of the Bill, and it also provides that the district clerk of each road district shall be responsible for placing the advertisement of bids and be present when the bids are opened. I would move to concur with Senate Amendment #1. The township road commissioners are in favor of this as well as are the township officials of Illinois. I know of no opposition. It passed the House and the Senate without...with very few dissenting votes."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Koehler has moved that the House do concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 603. There being no discussion, those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 112 'ayes', no 'nays', and the House concurs with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 603. And the...Having the Constitutional Majority, this Bill is hereby declared passed. House Bill 667, Brunner. The Gentleman from

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

Effingham, Representative Brummer."

Brummer: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. 667 increased the authorized interest rate to be paid on bonds issued by a drainage district. The Bill, as it passed the House, made reference to the...to a hundred and twenty-five percent of the Bond Buyer Index. Inadvertently, we mandated that rate. We intended to allow a rate up to that amount. Senate Amendment #1 does that. It clarifies that, and I would move for the concurrence of Senate Amendment #1."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Brummer moves to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 667. There being no discussion, those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 110 'ayes', 2 'nays', 1 answering 'present'. The House does concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 667, and this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 670, Representative Peterson."

Peterson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I find that I was away from my desk, and I was recorded as voting 'no' on House Bill 576. I will like leave of the House to be recorded as voting 'yes' for House Bill 576."

Speaker Matijevich: "Leave of the House to record Representative Peterson as voting 'aye' on House Bill 576. House Bill 670, the Sponsor yields to Representative...The Gentleman from Morgan, Representative Reilly. Representative Re..."

Reilly: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move to concur in Senate Amendment #1, Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 670. This Amendment is the work product of the Joint Committee on Alcoholism and Drug Consolidation which was established by House Joint

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

Resolution 22. Representative Matijevich and Senator Etheredge were the Co-chairman. The conclusion, which is embodied in Amendment #1, is that the Alcoholism and Drug Services Agency provided or agencies of the state should be consolidated into one, and the Joint Committee is directed to continue meetings through the summer and fall and to propose back to the Veto Session some further details as to exactly how that ought to be carried out. Be glad to answer questions, otherwise, I would ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Reilly has moved to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 670. On that, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Jaffe."

Jaffe: "Would the Gentleman yield for a question?"

Speaker Matijevich: "He will. Proceed."

Jaffe: "Alright, basically, what you're advocating over here is a new co-department. Is that not correct, Jim?"

Reilly: "Yes."

Jaffe: "Okay. And could you tell us what the position of the administration has been with regard to that co-department?"

Reilly: "They have not officially, to my knowledge, taken a position, though I have talked to the Governor and his people, and the Governor, personally, is supportive of that idea."

Jaffe: "Well, if I may just speak to this Bill."

Speaker Matijevich: "Proceed."

Jaffe: "You know, I...I think that we ought to take a look at this Bill, because this is not just a minor change. This is really a major overhaul on what we're advocating. Now, it's a creation of a new co-department and, yet, it's a co-department that by this legislation no one really knows how it will operate. From the analysis that I see, the Administration has taken no position on this

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

reorganization. The Governor did not support related legislation in the 82nd General Assembly, and the new co-agency maybe contrary to prior recommendation of the Governor's state government reorganization task force report. And of course, this Amendment by itself cannot cause the reorganization and would have limited force and effect, since it does not expressly repeal current statutory authority of the Dangerous Drugs Commission, nor of the Division of Alcoholism. Nor does it provide for the transfer of personnel, appropriations, powers or duties. But overriding that, is I think what you have with this type of legislation, I think we've all gotten a lot of mail on it, is, you know, for a long period of time the Legislature went toward the direction of decriminalizing alcoholism. And I think that with this concept, what we're doing is we're moving back, and we're back in the field of criminalizing alcoholism again. I think it's a step backward. I know that opposing this type of legislation is the Illinois Association of Community Mental Health Agencies. And since the Administration really has taken no position on it since we criminalized alcoholism again, and since I think some of the other groups are against this particular Amendment, I think we ought to defeat it."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yes, I just wanted to let the Body know that if this passes, this will be Mr. Justice Getty's first Bill. He wrote this Amendment. He appeared in Committee and indicated that he felt that it was a compromise that was a reasonable one. And I think that if we do concur, that we should all clap as if we would a freshman passing his first Bill."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amen. As long as you vote 'aye' in the end."

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

Representative Satterthwaite, the Lady from Champaign."

Satterthwaite: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I'm sure that Mr. Getty would do a good job of writing whatever piece of legislation he undertook to write. However, I think that the basic question is whether are not we, as the legislative Body, agree with the reorganization of these services into a new department. Now, I have no problem with the consolidation of the activities of the Dangerous Drugs Commission and the Division of Alcoholism within the Department of Mental Health, but I have not seen any statistics in regard to whether this is going to be anymore cost effective or provide any additional or better services than by a reorganization under a department that we already have in existence. My concern is, that if we separate these services out into a new department, what we will end up with is a lot more administrative overhead and less money to go to direct services for the people in need. I think it is a major issue, and I'm not sure that we should be attempting to deal with it here in the last minutes of a hectic legislative Session before we really had time to assess the impact of creating a new department. That's not an insignificant move. And for that reason, I will vote 'present' and urge other members to be very cautious about taking this step which cannot be completed by this action but would certainly push us in the direction of a completely new department."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from DeWitt, Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Assembly, I rise in support of the Gentleman's Motion. I think this particular consolidation will work very well, and I think that it's particularly desirable that this consolidation be in a separate agency. I think if anybody examines the

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

bureaucracy in the Department of Mental Health, if anybody deals with that bureaucracy, then you know that that Department simply cannot function the way it's currently constituted. It just got too much to do, and it can't do any of it well. By separating this function from the Department of Mental Health and consolidating it in a separate agency, perhaps, perhaps and hopefully, the Department will be able to do what is left with it with some degree of ability, at least some minimum degree. So I will urge an 'aye' vote on this Motion."

Speaker Matijevid: "The Gentleman from Bureau, Representative Mautino."

Mautino: "Thank you. Will the Gentleman yield for a question?"

Speaker Matijevid: "Indicates he will."

Mautino: "In the...In the consolidation, we have federal grants that come to the Department of Mental Health and Developmental Disabilities as it pertains to the alcoholism program."

Reilly: "I'm sorry, I can't hear."

Mautino: "Jimmy, under the federal funds that follow in the Department of Mental Health and Developmental Disabilities, we have those programs channeled for alcoholism abuse, et cetera. Would those funds follow this new creation?"

Reilly: "Yes. Basically, those funds come to the Department now and have to follow and some of them have to go to dangerous drugs, for example, now. The answer is yes."

Mautino: "Well, I'm not only concerned about dangerous drugs, I'm concerned about alcoholism services as well."

Reilly: "I...I understand. I'm just giving that as an example of how those funds go out of the Department already."

Mautino: "The bone of contention, as presented to us, was the fact that the General Assembly has no basic input into the appropriation of those funds that are presented to Mental

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

Health and Developmental Disabilities. Mainly because the contractual service agreements cause a lot of us concerns. If you will recall within that agency as it pertains to alcoholism, evaluation, workshops, et cetera, we're talking about five or six hundred thousand dollars that was submitted on contractual service agreements which were questionable, at least by the Appropriations Committee. My concern then is, will we have an input into that appropriation under this new agency?"

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Piel."

Reilly: "No...Oh, I'm sorry."

Piel: "I move the previous question, Mr. Speaker."

Reilly: "The answer is yes."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Piel has moved the previous question. Oh, I'm sorry. Well...They turned your light off, so I thought you were all through. Representative Mautino."

Reilly: "No, I'm answering. I'm trying to answer his question."

Speaker Matijevich: "Proceed."

Reilly: "Alright, sorry. The answer is yes. You're talking about the problem with the Block Grant Board. Actually, it, in a sense, is our fault already we don't have that control. For example, last year, they presented to us a suggested allocation between different areas of spending of that fund, and we changed it in Appropriations. We probably ought to do more of that on our own, but yes, we would...we would have that ability in the new agency."

Mautino: "Thank you."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Piel, the Gentleman from Cook."

Piel: "I move the previous question, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Piel moves the previous

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

question. The question is, 'Shall the main question be put?' Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay' and the main question is put. The Gentleman from Morgan, Representative Reilly, to close."

Reilly: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This study which has been going on for some time now has produced...revealed some significant differences in the field both alcoholism and drugs. And it seemed, I believe, in fact, the thing that convinced the Chairman of the Committee particularly that we ought to move now and not move later is continuing the discussions for another year will simply continue the civil war, if you will, in the field for another year. We're not creating anymore departments than we have now. You currently have more than one. We aren't creating anymore divisions than you have now. You currently have more than one. What we were doing is trying to bring together what is, in fact, one field. That is the field of substance abuse. I believe it's been studied carefully. I believe that it's important for the good of those who work in the field, but more importantly, for those who have troubles that need to be dealt with by those who work in the field, that we adopt and concur in Senate Amendment #1 to Senate...to House Bill 670. And I so move."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman has moved to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 670. Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. This is final action. The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Hoffman, one minute to explain his vote."

Hoffman: "Not necessary, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 107 'ayes', 5 'nays', 5 'present', and the House concurs with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

670. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. And Justice Getty thanks you. That it not only was his first Bill, that's his last Bill. We are going to move to two nonconcurrences on House...On page seven appears House Bill 1205. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Yourell. And Hannig is next."

Yourell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move to nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1205. What the Amendment does, it's created a position of Assistant Executive Director of the State Board of Elections, and there is no funding for it, so I move to nonconcur."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Yourell moves to nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1205. No discussion. All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay' and the House...the... All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay' and the Motions prevails, and the House nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1205. On page five appears House Bill 687, Hannig. Representative Hannig."

Hannig: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. After consultation with the Senate Sponsor on this Bill and discussion with others, I would move to nonconcur on Senate Amendments 1, 2, and 3 to House Bill 687."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Hannig has moved to nonconcur with Senate Amendments 1, 2, and 3 to House Bill 687. Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay' and Motion prevails. And the House nonconcur with Senate Amendments 1, 2 and 3 to House Bill 687. House Bill 682. The Sponsor yields to Representative Stuffle, the Gentleman from Vermilion."

Stuffle: "Mr. Speaker and Members, I would move to concur in the Senate's Amendment to House Bill 682 which is Sponsored by

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

Representative Matijevich and myself. The Amendment was proposed by the Pension Laws Commission and better and more accurately defines the actuary that must be utilized by local municipality in making an actuary determination on the forty year amortization pay back for the unfunded liability in the Firemen's... Downstate Firemen's Pension Funds. I move to accept Senate Amendment #1.

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Stuffle moves to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 684 (sic - House Bill 682). There being no discussion. All in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 106 'ayes', 2 'nays', 1 answering 'present'. The House does concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 684, and this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority...682 rather, and this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 684, Stuffle. Gentleman from Vermilion, Representative Stuffle."

Stuffle: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, the Senate Amendment places this Bill with regard to the bond authority of the Illinois Farm Development Authority in exactly the same language and posture as the Bill that we sent back to the Senate two days ago. It redefines agri-business by limiting its size. It redefines and limits the provisions of the...what the bond proceeds can be used under this program and the maximum amount of borrower loan ability and provides for the same overall revenue bond authority that we provided for in that Bill. It's an agreed to Amendment with all the interested parties, and I would move to concur in the Senate Amendment to House Bill 684."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Stuffle moves to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 684. There being no

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

discussion, all in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 101 'aye', 4 'nays', and the House does concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 684. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Yes, Woodyard, 'aye'. House...687, we have nonconcurrred in. House Bill 722. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Levin."

Levin: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 722 authorizes local boards of education to go self-insurance for purposes of workers' compensation, unemployment compensation and occupational diseases. Senate Amendment #1, which was offered by Senator Maitland, limits the applicability of House Bill 722 to just the Chicago Board of Education. I move for concurrence in Senate Amendment #1."

Speaker Matijeich: "Representative Levin has moved to nonconcur...to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 722. On that, the Gentleman from DeWitt, Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, I wonder if the Sponsor might take this out of the record for a few minutes."

Speaker Matijeich: "He indicates he will. Out of the record. House Bill 730, the Gentleman from Franklin, Representative Rea."

Rea: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. House Bill 730 allows credits for coal research and development. And Amendment 1 only inserts in front of facility, 'Illinois', to make sure it's an Illinois facility, and I would move for concurrence."

Speaker Matijeich: "Representative Rea has moved to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 730. There being no

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

discussion, all in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. This is final action. Nelson, 'aye'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 106 'ayes', 10 'nays', and the House concurs with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 730. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 740. The Gentleman from St. Clair, Representative Flinn."

Flinn: "Mr. Speak...Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I am going to have to ask leave of the House to place this Bill on Interim Study. There's some federal regs that have just come down in the last seven or eight days. It would negate this Bill. I've discussed it with the Chairman of the Joint Committee on Administrative Rule, Representative Reilly, and I'm really...discussed in great detail... But if we pass the Bill, it would not do what we ask to do, and we going to hold it on Interim Study and then get to federal regs, so we can clean the Bill up. It's not so important that we need a Conference Committee. So I would move...ask leave to place it on Interim Study."

Speaker Matijevich: "Does the Gentleman have leave of the House to place House Bill 740 in Interim Study Calendar? And...We're checking the rule out, Representative Flinn. We've never done this before, and we're not sure that we can suspend that rule. Representative Flinn."

Flinn: "Mr. Speaker, maybe I can solve the dilemma by withdrawing my request for Interim Study and let it die on the Calendar, and we could revive it somehow next year, once we get the federal regs."

Speaker Matijevich: "Alright. Alright, thank you. Out of the record. We will revert to page three. Representative Tim Johnson on House Bill 171, the Gentleman from Champaign."

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

Johnson: "I would move to concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 171. This is simply a technical addition to the Bill that prohibits forced psychiatric examinations of victims in sex crimes. The Senate felt it was necessary to add the language, except as provided by Supreme Court Rule, to make the Bill or allow the Bill to overcome any possible constitutional conflict. It is purely a technical change."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Johnson moves to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 171. The Gentleman... There being no discussion, those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 113 'ayes', no 'nays' and the House concurs with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 171. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 742, Kulas. Clerk will read the Bill. I'm sorry. Representative Kulas."

Kulas: "Can we take this out of the record for a few minutes?"

Speaker Matijevich: "Out of the record. House Bill 749, Suzanne Deuchler. Lady from Kane."

Deuchler: "Mr. Speaker, I move to concur with Senate Amendment 1. It's a technical Amendment and changes the reference to the University of Illinois...from the University of Illinois to the Board of Trustees of the University of Illinois. This is the Bill that required plans for smoke detection systems to be submitted by January 1, of 1985 in the state university system."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Lady has moved for the adoption of Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 749. The Gentleman from Vermilion, Representative Stuffle."

Stuffle: "Will the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Speaker Matijevich: "She indicates she will. Proceed."

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

Stuffle: "Representative, since this is final action and the Amendment does not really deal with the body of the Bill, refresh my memory, if you would. The Bill now with the Amendment, if accepted, would do what as to the cost of the universities in terms of smoke alarms and as to the effective date of what action they have to take?"

Deuchler: "There is no cost at this time. We are merely saying that plans must be submitted for the smoke detection systems by January 1, of 1985."

Stuffle: "In submitting the plan, there is no date in place that they're required to add additional equipment?"

Deuchler: "That's correct."

Stuffle: "Thank you."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Deuchler has moved for the concurrence in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 749. There being no discussion, all in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. The Clerk will...Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 110 'ayes', 1 'nay', and the House does concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 749. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 753, the Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Tuerk."

Tuerk: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, House Bill 753 was the Bill to give senior citizens a little earlier access to the homestead exemption. In the Senate, there was a clarifying Amendment put on after the assessor's office of Cook County and Peoria County decided that it would be clearer if Senate Amendment #1 was added to the Bill which takes effect January 1, 1984. I would move to concur in the Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Tuerk moves to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 753. The Gentleman from

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

DeWitt, Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise in support of the Gentleman's Motion. This has been a matter of some concern for a number of years, and by passing this Bill, we will resolve the situation in a very favorable fashion for the taxpayer. And I will urge support for the Bill."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Tuerk has moved for the concurrence with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 73 (sic - 753). There being no further discussion, those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Final action. Have all voted? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 113 'ayes', no 'nays'. House does concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 753, and this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 754, the Gentleman from Livingston, Representative Ewing."

Ewing: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I would move to concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 754. House Bill 754 provided for the funding of alternative schools operated by regional superintendents of school. This Amendment is mostly correctional. It does provide, though, that any such alternative school must be in actual operation on the date of this Bill to get funding. And I would move for the adoption of Amendment 1."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Ewing has moved to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 754. There being no discussion, those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 115 'ayes', no 'nays', and the House does concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 754. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority,

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

is hereby declared passed. House Bill 768. The Sponsor yields to the Gentleman from Vermilion, Representative Stuffle."

Stuffle: "Well first, Mr. Speaker, in violation of the rules on a point of personal privilege, I didn't vote for that rule anyway, I want to introduce the former Democratic Leader of the House who's celebrating his 39th Birthday today over on the Democratic side, former Representative Clyde Choate."

Speaker Matijevich: "Happy Birthday."

Stuffle: "Now having violated the rules, Mr. Speaker, with regard to House Bill 768 of which you are the principal Sponsor, I would move to accept Senate Amendment #1 which excludes from the provisions of the Bill those personnel that otherwise would be covered who work for the Secretary of the State. I would ask concurrence in the Senate Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Stuffle moves to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 768. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Jaffe."

Jaffe: "Yeah, just one question, Larry. Why are we exempting the Secretary of State? I mean, we have everybody else included, why the Secretary of State?"

Stuffle: "Personally, I think everybody should be in, but as the Bill was originally put together, they were added, I think, as an afterthought. The Bill was put together particularly for the state police people. These people, according to my information from the Sponsor who's sitting in the Chair of the...there was no agreement worked out to put them in to start with. They were added, and now they're being subtracted at the request of the Secretary of State."

Jaffe: "But this...this includes police outside of the state police, does it not, or is it limited to the state police? I thought it..."

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

Stuffle: "No, no, this includes other people besides the Secretary of State's people. I'm just suggesting to you that there was a request that they be taken out of the Bill at this time. Our particular concern, Representative Matijevich and I, of long standing, is to cover disciplinary proceedings against what we normally would consider the Community Uniform Peace Officers. And we would, at this time, like to take them out of this Bill, because there has not been agreement on that issue."

Jaffe: "No. All...All I'm trying...All I'm trying to get at is all police officers within this state are going to be covered except for the Secretary of State. Is that correct or incorrect?"

Stuffle: "I believe Representative Matijevich has a separate Bill that deals with the state police."

Jaffe: "But this covers all other police within the state. For instance, the police in the City of Chicago, are they covered by this Act?"

Stuffle: "This would cover the Uniform Peace Officer, as I understand it."

Jaffe: "So what we're saying is that..."

Stuffle: "We wouldn't, Representative. The House Amendment took out references to part-timers, reservists, auxiliary peace officers. So it covers full-time policemen, and the Amendment would exclude the Secretary of State."

Jaffe: "Alright. So...Alright, thank you."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Dwight Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Representative Stuffle, will you yield to a question? My analysis says that it rein...Senate Amendment reinstated the Secretary of State's police. Is this incorrect?"

Stuffle: "I read the Amendment to say it excludes employees of the Secretary of State's office from the definition of a peace officer."

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

Friedrich: "Well, apparently our analysis is wrong, because it says it reinstates the Secretary of State's... You're moving to concur or nonconcur?"

Stuffle: "I move to concur, Representative."

Friedrich: "I believe if you'll read the Amendment, you may find that they're in there."

Stuffle: "I'm reading from the synopsis of the Bill provided by the Legislative Council."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Fiel."

Fiel: "I might be wrong, Mr. Speaker, but what I think it does, it reinserts the Secretary of State into the Bill which would exclude them. So, I think...I think Representative Stuffle is correct."

Stuffle: "It is. It is an insertion of language where the exceptions are made which makes them come out of the Bill."

Speaker Matijevich: "He is correct. Representative from Cook, Representative Bullock."

Bullock: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I just rise in support of the Senate Amendment #1 to 768. The language is as Representative Stuffle and Representative Fiel have so indicated. I don't know of any opposition to the Bill. We certainly don't think Secretary of State should be covered under the provision of the Act, and I would urge a 'yes' vote on concurrence Amendment #1 to House Bill 768."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Stuffle has moved concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 768. Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 100...there are 99 'ayes', 12 'nays', 1 answering 'present'. The House does concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 768, and this Bill, having received the

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 775, the Gentleman... Out of the record. House Bill 782, Kulas. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Kulas."

Kulas: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 782 amended the School Code which added certain Sections for approval of ethnic schools for the purpose of teaching a foreign language. And the Senate Amendment #1 change the Bill by... In my Bill, originally it said upon...upon taking of a test, the child would be able to get credits. The Senate Amendment changes upon successfully completing a test, and I would move that the House concur to Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 782."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Kulas moves to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 782. There being no discussion, all in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. Final action. Oh, I'm sorry. Mrs. Nelson to explain her vote."

Nelson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I believe that this Bill is improved with the addition of the Senate Amendment. I just want to make sure that people understood that the Bill that we are passing would allow alternative schools, ethnic schools to receive...for the students of those ethnic schools, to receive credit for attaining proficiency in a foreign language that they would learn there."

Speaker Matijevich: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 96 'ayes', 21 'nays', and the House does concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 782. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 810, Reilly. The Gentleman from Morgan, Representative Reilly."

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

Reilly: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move to concur in the Senate Amendment to House Bill 810. The Bill simply allows the sale of school property by sealed bids in addition to auction. All the Amendment does is say that the Bill takes effect when signed, becomes law when signed. I would move concurrence in the Senate Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Reilly moves to concur with Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 810. There being no discussion, all those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. Final action. All voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 117 'ayes', no 'nays', and the House does concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 810. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 818, Stuffle, the Gentleman from Vermilion."

Stuffle: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, the Senate Amendment further tightens down the language of this Bill which affects the School Code with regard to a single school district in Representative Homer's area. The Bill provides with the Amendment that the school aid would be computed differently for only that school district, because that district suffered over a twenty percent lost in its basic EAV because of the passage and implementation of the Farm Assessment Law. The Senate Amendment, as I said, tightens that down to indicate that the twenty percent reduction in one years EAV, and the Bill only applies to one year, must be caused by that passage of that Public Act that dealt with the Farm Assessment Law. I would move to concur with the Senate Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Stuffle has moved to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 818. The Gentleman

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

from DuPage, Representative Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise in support of the concurrence with this Amendment. I think this will resolve a very difficult problem for one particular district, and I would ask your support."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Homer."

Homer: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Matijevich: "He indicates he will. Proceed."

Homer: "Representative Stuffle, the Amendment would adjust the equalized assessed valuation formula by removing all diminutions or reduction in an EAV that have not to do with the Farm Law Assessment Bill. Is that right?"

Stuffle: "That's right. That's the problem your district faced. Your district's EAV was substantially reduced because of that. They were impacted to the degree the...the Bill would indicate as the threshold, and we're trying to tighten it down so that, indeed, this does apply to your district. And it's limited to that extent."

Homer: "I think that's fair, thank you."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Clerk has to change the paper in the machine, so he's got to...he has to open up the machine. This is to change the paper. Representative Brummer, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

Brummer: "I wondering if the Sponsor would yield."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Stuffle, do you want to yield? Proceed. Proceed."

Brummer: "Yes, It appears that this is, in effect, a one-hundred percent whole harmless. They will be able to use their 1981 EAV. Now, a lot of other school districts would drop by ten percent or fifteen percent, and they aren't being held harmless. I was wondering why we just don't set a floor below which they could not drop. If eighty percent

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

is the cut off point, allow them to have eighty percent of their '81 EAV rather than a hundred percent of their '81 EAV. A lot of other school districts would be dropping. They'd dropped five percent down to ninety or ninety-five or something of this nature, and they are going to incur some loss of the State Aid Formula. Why should we work for this district, reinstate a hundred percent of their '81 EAV?"

Stuffle: "I guess you could do that, Representative, if you wanted to. But, the whole point of the Amendment is to ensure that we don't hold them harmless completely from other reductions that would be occasion by other increases in the EAV. As you know, they can have...if we just put it in the vacuum and their assessment dropped, there could be other factors involved because the drop besides farm assessment. You're right, we could probably try to peg this at some level. But I would rather deal with this in this fashion, because if we go the other way, we would be in the situation of having to deal with districts throughout the state who's EAV losses, because of the Farm Assessment Bill, range from something over zero up to twenty percent. That would be the effect of what you proposed, I think. And why do we do this? I guess we do it because it is a single district involved, and it had a most dramatic reduction."

Brunner: "What I was suggesting is that we hold them harmless up to eighty percent, because that's what we're doing with regard...we...with regard...regard to other districts. We're allowing them to drop down to ninety or eighty-five with no special reimbursement. Why don't we put that same floor with regard to this district? If the floor is eighty percent, say any district that suffers a loss in which their EAV is eighty percent...is twenty percent less than

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

the prior year, they shall be held harmless up to that twenty percent level."

Stuffle: "Well you...It seems to me that you're still suggesting that we're going to have more than one district involved."

Brummer: "No, what I'm suggesting is that with regard to the district that had suffered the loss of more than twenty percent, hold them at the plateau of twenty percent, because there are many other districts that had suffered some loss, and we aren't doing anything with regard to them, and I'm not suggesting we ought to do anything with regard to them. I think it's ironic, though, that a district that suffers a dramatic loss suddenly has a hundred percent held harmless, whereas, other districts have...have some loss and they aren't being held harmless at all with regard to that loss. I'm not suggesting we ought to hold those other districts harmless. I'm just simply suggesting that we ought not to hold this district a hundred percent harmless. If there is a dramatic drop, put a floor below which they will not drop."

Stuffle: "Well, I wish you'd suggested that before. I don't think that's necessarily a good idea. It only affects one district. The point of it is, it only affects that district for one year. That district will continue to have probable dramatic reductions from the '81 assessment base. For that reason, I would renew my Motion to concur. I think that that's a reasonable standard in the Bill."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Stuffle has moved to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 818. Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 100 'ayes', 10 'nays', 1 answering 'present'. The House does concur with...Representative Ebbesen, 'aye'. The House

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 818, and this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 835, out of the record momentarily. Request of the Sponsor, leave to come back to it. House Bill 853, Representative Myron Olson."

Olson: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I seek to concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 853. 853 was the JCAB Bill which dealt with regs and publishing in Illinois Register. In Senate Amendment #1, provides that the Chairmanship of the Joint Committee on Administrative Rules may not be filled in two successive terms by persons of the same political party, rather than the same House of the General Assembly. So, I move for an affirmative vote and concurrence on Senate Bill 853."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Olson has moved to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 853. There being no discussion, all those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. This is final action. The Clerk... Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 116 'ayes' no 'nays', and this Bill...and the Senate... House concurs with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 853. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 859, McMaster. The Clerk will read the Bill. Whoops, I'm sorry, Representative McMaster."

McMaster: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I move to concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 859. The original Bill had to do with the purchase of township property to be done at a town meeting. The Senate Amendment merely adds the requirement that any petition to raise taxes would have to come from ten percent of the electors in the township before the tax increase could be on the ballot at a town

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

meeting."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative McMaster moves that the House concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 859. There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall the House concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 859?' Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 104 'ayes', 5 'nays', and the House concurs with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 859. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 922, the Gentleman from Will, Representative Davis."

Davis: "Mr. Speaker and Members, thank you. The Senate put a very good Amendment, I think, on this Bill that actually helped me, help the Bill, something I forgot to do. In Senate Amendment 1, they refer to the Bill as amended in the House to make the preferred stock for local insurance companies nonvoting rather than voting. It's a simple change but an important change to the Bill itself and...to clean it up. And I would move for concurrence at this time and answer any questions."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Davis moves that the House concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 922. There being no discussion, all those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. Final action. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 113 'ayes'...115 'ayes', no 'nays', 1 present, and the House does concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 922. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 924, Leverenz. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Leverenz."

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I...On the board, House Bill 924, I move that the House concur with the..."

Speaker Matijevich: "924 on the board."

Leverenz: "...Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 924. It changes the repeal date from January of '85 to June 30, of 1984. It is a technical change. It would allow the Bill to be in existence for one year only to make the transfer. I move the House concur in the Senate Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Leverenz moves that the House concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 924. There being no discussion, all those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. Final action. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 113 'ayes', and no 'nays', and the House concurs with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 924. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared. House Bill 960, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Birkinbine."

Birkinbine: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move to concur with Senate Amendment 1 and Senate Amendment 2 to House Bill 960. The Bill, as it originally passed the House, increased from twenty-five thousand to thirty-five thousand dollars, the amount a person must receive before filing a statement of economic interest. The Senate Amendments deleted those...the exemption for those people who are covered by a Collective Bargaining Act and also deleted the automatic indexing provision that we had in the Bill. I, frankly, disagree with the two Senate Amendments but feel that those two battles can be fought in another year, and therefore, move to concur."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Birkinbine has moved to

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

concur with Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 960. There being no discussion, those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Final action. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 95 'ayes', 12 'nays', 3 answering 'present', and the House concurs with Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 960. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 975, the Gentleman from Vermilion, Representative Larry Stuffle."

Stuffle: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, the Senate Amendment to House Bill 975 was worked out between myself and the persons involved with the forest preserve districts in a compromise with the municipal league with regard to obtaining municipal approval prior to having forest preserves acquire land within municipalities and further provides the approval as required except when a forest preserve district is acquiring land for linear park or trail not to exceed a hundred yards in width, or when the district is acquiring land in the municipality that's contiguous to an already existing park or forest preserve. I know of no opposition to the Amendment. It is worked out, as I said, with the municipal league, and I would ask the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to 975."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Stuffle moves to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 975. The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Gene Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Would the Sponsor of this concurrence yield to a question?"

Speaker Matijevich: "He indicates he will. Proceed."

Hoffman: "Is DuPage County included in this by the Senate Amendment or is it excluded?"

Stuffle: "We restore the Bill to the current language that was

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

basically current language with the exceptions I just noted to you. They were already in the law, Representative."

Hoffman: "They were already in the law, so you're now including other districts of lesser population?"

Stuffle: "Yes. Yes, but with regard to that point, we're putting it back, basically, where it was with just one minor change, I note, as an exception."

Hoffman: "Alright, thank you very much."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Stuffle moves to concur with...Representative Ropp."

Ropp: "Mr. Speaker, would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Matijevich: "He indicates he will. Proceed."

Ropp: "In terms of either the Amendment or the Bill itself, is there any anticipation of where these linear parks might come from or..."

Stuffle: "It's not what you're thinking about... went through my mind too."

Ropp: "Thank you."

Stuffle: "Your area is not covered. It's not big enough to be in the Bill."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Saltsman (sic - Stuffle) has moved to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 975. Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. Final action. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 105 'ayes', 5 'nays', and the House concurs with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 975. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 985, Don Saltsman."

Saltsman: "Concur."

Speaker Matijevich: "Hold the Bill. Out of the record, request of the Sponsor. House Bill 997, Lady from DuPage (sic - Cook), Diana Nelson."

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

Nelson: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House.

House Bill 997 was originally the Bill that was developed to encourage math and science teachers to remain as teachers and not go into private industry, because it would give them a share in the profits of any computer soft wear that they've developed either on the job or off the job. The Senate thought that that was such a good idea, they wanted to expand the Bill, and so what they did was add an Amendment that makes the legislation applicable to school district employees. At this time, I'd like to move for concurrence with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 997."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Nelson has moved to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 997. The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Matijevich: "She indicates she will. Proceed."

Hoffman: "Now as I understand the Senate Amendment, it provides...It would be more inclusive than it was originally. In other words, it will be all employees whether they were teachers or not."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Nelson. Nelson."

Nelson: "That's correct, Representative Hoffman. There were certain Senators who felt that..."

Hoffman: "Thank you very much. It's an excellent Bill."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Tate. Your light's on. Alright. The Lady has moved to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 997. Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 111 'ayes', 1 'nay', and the House concurs with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 997. And this Bill, having received the

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Request of the Sponsor, House Bill 1024 out of the record. House Bill 1052, Yourell. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Yourell."

Yourell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move to concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1052. What the Amendment does is change the language from mandatory to permissive in the statement of written policy for library boards. I move the...to concur in Senate Amendment #1 to 1052."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Yourell has moved to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1052. There being no discussion. Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. Final action. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 110 'ayes', 2 'nays', and the House concurs with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1052. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1065, request of the Sponsor, out of the record. House Bill 1076, we will momentarily pass over that Bill and come back to it. House Bill 1079, O'Connell. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative O'Connell."

O'Connell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. The Amendment #1 placed in the Senate on House Bill 1079 is... simply makes some technical changes. It clarifies changes which lists all of the effected Sections which were not listed in the original Bill dealing with the Board of Pharmacy. The Amendment is, basically, technical. It does not make any substantive changes in the Bill, and I would ask for its concurrence."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman moves to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1079. There being no

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

discussion, all those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. Final action. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 111 'ayes' no 'nays', and the House concurs with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1075. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1108, request of the Sponsor, out of the record. House Bill 1133, the Gentleman from Bureau, Representative Mautino."

Mautino: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1133. 1133 in its original form addressed the new method of collecting sales tax on motor fuel in the State of Illinois. The Amendment offered by the Senate closed a loophole as it pertains brokers and resellers. It passed the Senate, I believe, 57 to 2. It is acceptable to me, and I concur in Senate Amendment #1."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Mautino moves to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1133. The Gentleman from DeWitt, Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the Gentleman has presented before us a very good Bill. I believe the Senate Amendment is acceptable, and I would rise in support of concurrence."

Speaker Matijevich: "The question is...Question is, 'Shall the House concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1133?' Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. Final action. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 112 'ayes', no 'nays', 1 answering 'present'. The House concurs with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1133. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1138, the Gentleman

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

from Morgan, Representative Beilly."

Beilly: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 1138 deals with a situation, in which we have set in the law a requirement that the Pollution Control Board can adopt a regulation until after it's studied an economic impact statement which is prepared by another department, and... But, also, where we've set some absolute deadline and where... when the rule has to be adopted. There was some controversy between the agency and some of the Business Groups and the Municipal League. Senate Amendment #1 takes care of that controversy so both the Pollution Control Board, and the Business Groups and the Municipal League are in support. I would move concurrence that the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1138."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Beilly moves to concur on Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1138. There being no discussion, all those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 100...Mautino, 'aye'. 113 'ayes', 2 'nays', and the House concurs with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1138. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. We'll back up to House Bill 1108, Representative Giglio has a Motion to nonconcur. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Giglio."

Giglio: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move to nonconcur on Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1108."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Giglio moves to nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1108. Representative Koehler."

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

Koehler: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Representative Giglio, I am concerned that if we would nonconcur with House Bill 1108, plus there is also a Motion to nonconcur on House Bill 1257, that we would be having several Conference Committees, several Conference Committees being appointed, and I don't really think that that is necessary. Would you mind taking this out of the record until we have discussed this situation and come to an agreement?"

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Giglio."

Giglio: "Representative Koehler, you know I appreciate your candor; however, I'm afraid one of them is going to get lost in the shuffle and rather than see that happen, I would...I would like to go ahead and do..."

Speaker Matijevich: "His response is rather than he getting lost in the shuffle, it rather be you."

Koehler: "Well thank...Thank you very much, but Representative, I'm afraid I would have to object to this, and I would prefer that we would just have...There are two Bills that could be sent to Conference Committee, and I think it might be important that we have only one."

Giglio: "Well, I'd...I'd like to pursue it now, Judy."

Koehler: "Well, Thank you."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Giglio moves to nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1108. Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no', and the Motion prevails. And the House nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1108. House Bill 1141, Steczo. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Steczo."

Steczko: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. House Bill 1141, when it was considered by the House, it came to my attention, rather, by Representative Mays and a few others, that by passing this particular legislation there

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

may be conformance problem with Federal Unemployment Insurance Law. To get around that particular problem, we've amended in the Senate this legislation to say, 'accept...Accept as otherwise provided by Federal Law', and I think that resolves all the problems that we had. And I would move for concurrence of Amendment #1 to House Bill 1141."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Steczo moves to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1141. There being no discussion, all those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 110 'ayes', no 'nays', and the House concurs... 'aye' for Curran. 111 'ayes', no 'nays', 1 answering 'present'. The House concurs with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1141. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1155, Hannig. Is Representative Hannig in the chamber? Out of the record for the moment. House Bill 1196, the Lady from Sangamon, Representative Oblinger."

Oblinger: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I move to concur with Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 1196. This is a Barber's Bill, and it was agreed to by both of Beauty Culture and Barber Industries. It allows barbers, now, to do permanent waving and have it included in their curriculum, and it does the reciprocal. In the House, we said beauticians may practice in barber shops, and now we say barbers may practice in beauty shops. And that's what the Amendment does."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Oblinger moves the adoption... Moves that we concur with Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 1196. There being no discussion, all those in

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 114 'ayes', no 'nays', and the House concurs with Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 1196. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1205, we have already nonconcurrred. House Bill 1208, the Gentleman from Cook... Representative McCracken, 'aye' on that last...oh. For what purpose does Representative McCracken seek recognition?"

McCracken: "I'll handle 1208, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Matijeovich: "Oh, 1208, the Gentleman from DuPage, Representative McCracken."

McCracken: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentleman. I move that the House concur in Senate Amendment #1. This is an Amendment to insure the effect we seek to effect by placing this language in a number of Sections in the Illinois Revised Statute relative to local government operations. This is in response to the 'Folder' decision in a 1982 U.S. Supreme Court case. The Amendment is technical in nature. The Bill retains the same objective. I move the concurrence of Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 1208."

Speaker Matijeovich: "Representative McCracken has moved to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1208. There being no discussion, all those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. This is final action. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 116 'ayes', no 'nays', and the House concurs with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1208. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1255, Gentleman from Vermilion, Representative Stuffle. Representative Stuffle on the...Out of the

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

record. Out of the record. House Bill 1257, the Lady from LaSalle, Representative Breslin. Out of the record. House Bill 1261, the Gentleman from DeKalb, Representative Ebbesen. Representative Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "Oh, yes, I move to concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1261 which is..."

Speaker Matijevich: "Amendment 2, Joe."

Ebbesen: "Is it Amendment 2? Alright, thank you, Mr. Speaker. It's a clarifying Amendment so that the property of certain...civic center does not lose its already existing tax exemption on this property."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Ebbesen moves to concur with Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 1261. I think that's Hawkinson, is it? Representative Hawkinson on that."

Hawkinson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Matijevich: "He indicates he will. Proceed."

Hawkinson: "Representative, I was under the impression on the original Bill that the original Bill covered all the civic centers and made all of them exempt. Is that correct?"

Ebbesen: "Well, I was too, but evidently, that there is a certain civic center... Well then in this specific case, I think it addresses itself to Aurora. And they came in under a... DeKalb County came in under a different Section of the statutes, did it by ordinance or Resolution as a county, as opposed to how every...all the others were created. And what this does is clarifies that in the case of Aurora, which I think is a theater whatever it is, it's got exemption now and to make sure that they do not lose that exemption as a result of this Bill. That's all."

Hawkinson: "Would this Amendment apply to future civic centers created under that same statute?"

Ebbesen: "Yes, under the County Act, but DeKalb County is the only one that ever did that."

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

Hawkinson: "Thank you."

Speaker Matijeich: "Representative Ebbesen has moved for the...concurrence of Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 1261. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yes, I...I am still somewhat uncertain as to the need to add Aurora. As I understand the need for the original Bill was that DeKalb was the only authority with three hundred million to five billion equalized assess valuation to establish a Metropolitan Civic Center Authority by ordinance of the County Board, and that they needed the Bill to exempt property formed under that particular Act for the property to be exempt from taxation. Of the remaining ten downstate civic center authorities, only Rockford pays the taxes because of its agreement to pay the taxes when it was formed. So that, I don't understand what the need of the Senate Amendment is."

Ebbesen: "Well, I...I think the answer to that is that they...like Aurora is, now, presently tax exempt. And if this, the original basic Bill was enacted into the law, that they want to make sure that there is...it's kind of clarifying Amendment. That's my understanding of it."

Cullerton: "Well, what about the other nine downstate civic centers? Why is Aurora different than the other nine downstate civic centers?"

Ebbesen: "You know there are...Why doesn't somebody from Aurora, or Representative Hastert, who's familiar with Aurora situation, which I am not, maybe they can clarify."

Cullerton: "I rather hear from Representative Deuchler."

Ebbesen: "Alright."

Cullerton: "I think she actually has Aurora in her district."

Speaker Matijeich: "Representative Deuchler."

Deuchler: "Yes, Representative, our Attorney from the civic center authority has been very concerned about other

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

legislation that has passed regarding another civic center that did have the language in it that stated that that civic center would be tax exempted, and because of that language being in the other statute, it was necessary to embody it in this Amendment."

Cullerton: "But as far as you know, there is no difference between the tax exempt status of Aurora Civic Center and the ten other downstate civic centers, except for, Rockford which had an agreement when they were established to pay the taxes and DeKalb which is established under a different Section of the Code. There is no difference between Aurora and all these other ones. Right?"

Deuchler: "That's correct."

Cullerton: "Well, now this Attorney, who works for the authority, looking out for his particular authority, is going to cause the same problem for the other nine authorities, because they're going to look at this and say, 'Well, if Aurora is specifically exempted then maybe we're not'. So it...it just seems to me that it's...it's a lawyer trying to justify his existence on the authority. Unless I'm missing something..."

Deuchler: "Well...you know, Representative, we certainly would be very glad to have the other civic centers, also, have the tax exempt provision. However, in this instance, I do represent Aurora. I have been contacted by my Attorney, and this is a local problem. I support the Amendment."

Cullerton: "Did you see my point? Did you see my point though? He's worried by a language in a Section that doesn't even effect him. He's worried that might somehow effect Aurora. So he's being over protective, having the same effect on the other nine downstate civic center authorities."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Will, Representative Van Dwyne wants..."

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

Van Dyne: "Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Speaker. As...I hope some other people in the House remember or that we all should remember, Wyvetter Younge had a Bill where we all tried to get our metropolitan exposition authorities put on this in the same posture as Representative Ebbesen had did with his, and as the Aurora people. But as I understand it from staff, there is a...there is a dereliction here somewhere between how these Metropolitan Exposition Centers were formed. And I agree with Representative Cullerton and also Representative Deuchler, that if one is going to be exempted, then they all should be exempted. As I understand it, the Joliet Exposition Authority is not being taxed, but that doesn't necessarily mean that they're being taxed because it's technically correct. And I think that, truthfully, I have no opposition to Representative Ebbesen having his put on there, but it is specific and selfish Amendment in the sense that it only directs itself to one metropolitan exposition authority. And I don't ordinarily do this, but I would ask, truly, that we all get together and nonconcur on this. Vote 'no' on the concurrence Motion and, hopefully, we can get this in the Conference Committee and get this thing ironed out, because there is an ambiguity in the way these things were formed, and I think staff can come up with a solution, and I would ask for a 'no' vote."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Cullerton, were you through with your question?"

Cullerton: "I just...I just want to politely say, I think we should vote 'no' and then send it back to the Senate. Just go to a Conference Committee and do what you want to accomplish but for the other ones as well."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentleman of the House, my

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

understanding that, yesterday, we passed the Bill out here, Senate Bill 726, that provided all that."

Cullerton: "Well, they haven't receded from this Amendment...and let's get on with it."

Ebbesen: "Okay. I...I...I have no objection. You know, this was not my idea. The Amendment came back. I can very easily..."

Speaker Matijevich: "Do you want to change your Motion then?"

Ebbesen: "...to nonconcur and go to a Conference...I want my Bill that's all."

Speaker Matijevich: "Alright, Representative....Representative Ebbesen now withdraws his Motion and now moves to nonconcur with Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 1261. All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The Motion prevails, and the House does nonconcur with Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 1261. House Bill 1262, the Gentleman from Morgan, Representative Reilly."

Reilly: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move to concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1262. The Bill provides a...an incentive payment in effect to school districts that consolidate. All the Amendment did was put in a July 1st effective date. I move to concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1262."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Reilly moves to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1262. There being no discussion, all those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 111 'ayes', 2 'nays'. The House concurs with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1262, and this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Reilly on House Bill 1264."

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

Reilly: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 1264 deals with the Psychologist Registration Act. I am going to move to concur in Amendments 1, 2, and 4 and to nonconcur in 3, it being my hope that the Senate will recede from Amendment #3 and that would then be final action. The Amendments are...the Amendments I'm concurring in are essentially technical in nature. The Amendment #3 in which I am going to suggest we nonconcur deals with a, somewhat, separate problem, and while I have no problems with it, I think it should be dealt with on a Bill that was not brought to me by the...on some other Bill, this one having been brought to me by the Illinois Psychology Association that opposes that Amendment. So, I would move to concur in Senate Amendments #1, 2, and 4 and to nonconcur in 3."

Speaker Matijevich: "I didn't hear which... You are moving to concur on which..."

Reilly: "1, 2 and 4."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Reilly moves to concur in Senate Amendments 1, 2 and 4 to 1264. There being no discussion, those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 109 'ayes', 1 'nay', and the House concurs with Senate Amendments 1, 2 and 4 to House Bill 1264. Now Representative Reilly moves..."

Reilly: "I move to nonconcur in 3."

Speaker Matijevich: "... moves to nonconcur with Senate Amendment 3... Senate Amendment #3 to House Bill 1264. Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay'. The Motion prevails, and the House nonconcur in Senate Amendment #3 to House Bill 1264. Representative Van Dwyne, you're seeking recognition. For what purpose?"

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

Van Dyne: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Could you tell me what... if that's an American-made hat up there and what it says on your podium?"

Speaker Matijevich: "Somebody... Somebody snuck that up here. It says Manteno Veteran Center Task Force. For those in the gallery, we have a rule that we can't... We can't make introductions. So that was Fangle's way, I guess, of going around a rule. Snuck that one in on me. House Bill 1280. The Lady from Champaign, Representative Satterthwaite."

Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I move to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1280. It is a technical change. The original Bill dealt with a situation of double taxation. I had chosen to do it one way. The Senate is choosing to do another, but it resolves the problem, and I move for concurrence."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Satterthwaite moves to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1280. There being no discussion, all those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. Final action. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 111 'ayes'... 112 'ayes', 1 'nay', and the House concurs with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1280. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1287 momentarily out of the record. Leave to return to it. House Bill 1293. The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 12... 1293 was amended to conform the Chateline Act with the original proposal that passed this House, and it provides that the 200 dollar limit is taken off of the value of the material that... that can be a lien and for... had to do with the towing and storage of automobiles. And I would move for concurrence in the

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

Senate Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Hoffman moves to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1293. There being no discussion, all those in favor signify by ... Representative Dunn, the Gentleman from Macon. Representative Dunn."

Dunn: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Matijevich: "Indicates he will. Proceed."

Dunn: "Who wants this Bill that provides for a lien for tow truck charges?"

Hoffman: "Well, this actually clarified the language of the... of the Bill that we originally sponsored and passed, Representative Dunn. If you'll notice, when we passed the Bill in the House, we were dealing with the Section 4203, and this just brings that into conformity with the Chateline Act."

Dunn: "You could have fooled me. I don't think you answered my question. Who wants this legislation?"

Hoffman: "Who wants the legislation?"

Dunn: "Yeah."

Hoffman: "The people that want the legislation are the people who are ... who are now put in the position of storing property, in some cases, at the request of the police departments and have no way to collect."

Dunn: "Don't those people who... Your answer to the question then is that the tow truck operators want this legislation. Isn't that correct?"

Hoffman: "That is correct."

Dunn: "And does this Amendment remove the ceiling that was placed in this legislation initially to limit the tow truck charges which can be the subject of a lien to a maximum of 200 dollars? That's been removed now, hasn't it?"

Hoffman: "This Amendment seeks to conform the Chateline Act with

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

the original proposal as we introduced it. At least, that's how I understand the Amendment according to the explanation that I have here."

Dunn: "At one time, in this Bill, there was a maximum limit of 200 dollars for a lien. Is there such a limit in the Bill now?"

Hoffman: "No."

Dunn: "So, there is no limit to the charges which can be the subject of a lien in favor of a tow truck operator."

Hoffman: "It's my understanding that the lien - what we're doing with this is attempting to conform the Chateline Act with the original proposal so that there is a way for the people who store this property to recover their costs."

Dunn: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the Membership should have its attention called to what we're doing here. There are a lot of people in the State of Illinois who need help from government, and we attempt to provide that help in Children and Family Services and Mental Health. To the poor we try to provide good schools. This Bill provides help to tow truck operators. Now, I don't have to tell anyone who lives in the City of Chicago that the companies that operate towing services in the state of... in the City of Chicago seem to be able to do pretty well on their own. We've read news story after news story about the towing bandits. What this Bill will do is to provide those people who have a contract with the various police departments to tow trucks or to tow cars from public places without the owner's consent not only to impound the vehicles and require that cash be paid to get the car back, but to put a lien on the title to the car which will further create a problem for the owner of the vehicle who may have to hire a lawyer to untangle the legal web that has been woven by placing a lien on the title.

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

And the Bill, at one point, not... wasn't bad enough, but the Bill did have a 200 dollar ceiling on it, and that's been removed. So, I would urge the Membership to take a long, hard look at this and see whether you want to support the towing bandits in the City of Chicago by voting green or whether you want to oppose this Bill and vote red."

Speaker Matijevich: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Well, would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Matijevich: "He indicates he will."

Cullerton: "Could you..."

Hoffman: "Yes."

Cullerton: "Representative Hoffman, could you just explain how this Bill is designed to work in practice? Let's say, for example, my car is parked illegally in a public parking lot and..."

Hoffman: "And the maximum they can charge - this doesn't effect the 35 dollar limit that's in the law now. It doesn't effect that at all."

Cullerton: "Let me just finish now with this scenario. My car is then towed. Alright?"

Hoffman: "Yes."

Cullerton: "And somebody has a lien. Now would that be the towing company that towed the car?"

Hoffman: "Yes."

Cullerton: "Alright. They have a lien on my car for their cost, I presume, in towing. Alright? Now, all we're saying.... All our questions are, according to the Senate Amendment, it's slightly more than technical, because right now there's a 200 dollar limit on the lien. And our analysis indicates that 200 dollar limit is removed. Now, if it's removed, it just seems to me that 200 dollars is a lot money already to reimburse the towing company for removing

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

a car. And, therefore, why would we want to remove the 200 dollar cap? That's my only question."

Hoffman: "If... They cannot recur... They cannot recoup any more than their cost. In other words, the 35 dollar tow is in the law now. We don't... We don't affect that at all. What we're saying is that if their... whatever their costs are, they can recover, which is a reasonable standard for a business."

Cullerton: "And it can exceed 35 dollars?"

Hoffman: "The tow can't exceed 35 dollars. That's in the law now. This just effects the lien."

Cullerton: "So, the lien would include things like storing charges and things like that."

Hoffman: "Correct."

Cullerton: "And what you're saying is that it can go over 200 dollars by accepting this Senate Amendment."

Hoffman: "That is correct. They can recover what it costs them. Now, you know, is that unreasonable to allow people to recover what their costs are?"

Cullerton: "My next... When you phrase it that way, no. But is it... is it, at the same time, the question is, do we think that the towing companies should be allowed to say that their costs exceed 200 dollars? And... And not just... not just say that they can... they can recoup more than 200 dollars, but give them a lien which is different than just saying that they... they're not..."

Hoffman: "They already have the right to a possessor's lien. They already have that right."

Cullerton: "With a 200 dollar cap, and you're removing the cap."

Hoffman: "And this... We're removing the cap to say they can recover what it costs them."

Cullerton: "Okay. Fine."

Hoffman: "That's reasonable."

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

Cullerton: "Mr. Speaker, I just... to the Bill, I agree with Representative Dunn. I think we should send this back to the Senate."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Hawkinson."

Hawkinson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Matijevich: "He indicates he will. Proceed."

Hawkinson: "Representative, if they already have a possessory lien, which I agree that they do have, why do we need to give them this additional lien?"

Hoffman: "I'm sorry, I didn't hear you..."

Hawkinson: "Why do we we need to have this Bill if they already have the possessory lein, which I agree that they already have?"

Hoffman: "Alright. The... This... The Amendment... The Amendment removes... removes the cap. The original Bill, as it passed the House, did two things. It clarified the... the existing statutes, and I took an Amendment from Representative Topinka that was in this Bill to provide for notification and so on so people could find their vehicles in the communities other than Chicago. And so the Senate Amendment, in effect, says that they... they have the lien, and it removes the 200 dollar cap."

Hawkinson: "So, you're saying that with the Topinka Amendment aside all the Bill did was clarify existing law? A further question then. In addition to the situation raised by Representative Cullerton of the car that was parked illegally and towed, oftentimes, at the request of the police department, a vehicle will be towed of a victim of a crime, someone who has not broken the law. But that vehicle is towed and held for evidenciary purposes in a criminal proceeding. Who is responsible to pay off that lien? Is it the car owner, or is it the police department?"

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

Hoffman: "The ... I do not know the answer to that question.

That has never been posed, and this is not an area..."

Hawkinson: "My concern is that the car owner should not be responsible in that type of situation."

Speaker Matijevich: "Are you through, Representative Hawkinson? I guess you are. The Gentleman from Madison, Representative Wolf."

Wolf: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Wolf has moved the previous question. The question is, 'Shall the main question be put?' Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no', and the main question is put. Representative Hoffman to close."

Hoffman: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I appreciate the questions and the opportunity to clarify the intent of the Senate Amendment. And, you know, I honestly believe that this is a legitimate Amendment. I think what we're trying to do is to cover the costs that... that are incurred by business operators, and I would move for the adoption... or the concurrence of Senate Amendment #1."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Hoffman has moved for the concurrence with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1293. Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. Representative Leverenz, one minute to explain his vote."

Leverenz: "I wanted to ask a question. But this was a terrible Bill when it went to the Senate. It still provides that if you relocate cars, for example, a downstate Illinois for GMAC and you have a tow truck and a little garage, you would have to have an employee around the clock available for somebody to want their car back. And to take the cap off, you could end up having the lien really be more than the car is worth. Ship it back."

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Leverenz, if it's a bad Bill, you better change your vote. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Bowman, to explain his vote."

Bowman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I won't speak long, because it has 101 'no' votes, but I do want to give Representative Hoffman a lesson in economics. The reason that it is not reasonable to let them recover what they say their cost is, is that you don't shop around to have someone tow your car. You don't choose among alternative suppliers of towing services to have your car towed off a lot. You just ... If someone tows your car, you walk in, and you have to pay whatever they say that it's cost. That's the problem with this."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Giorgi promises this will be a good one. One minute to explain his vote."

Giorgi: "This must be the most peculiar special interest Bill of the Session. Is it not? Weren't you the one that was haranguing last night about the special interest Bill?"

Speaker Matijevich: "Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 9 'ayes', 104 votes, and the Motion fails. And Representative Hoffman now moves to nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1293. Those in favor signify by ... Those in favor say 'aye', those opposed 'no', and the Motion prevails. And the House nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1293. House Bill 1328. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative DiPrima."

DiPrima: "Yes, Sir. Mr. Speaker, I move to concur with Senate Amendment #1 on... The original Bill exempted from the need to file the transfer declaration for deeds to property which was transferred and in which the United States or any of its agencies is either the grantor or grantee. The Amendment deletes this language and substitutes for it an

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

exemption on deeds in which the Administrator of Veterans' Affairs of the U. S. A. is the grantee pursuant to a foreclosure proceeding. And I move for concurrence."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative DiPrima moves to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1328. There being no discussion, those in favor... Representative Dunn wants him to clarify all of that. Proceed, Representative Dunn."

Dunn: "I had a little trouble hearing. Does this have anything to do with retrocession?"

Speaker Matijevich: "No."

DiPrima: "No, Sir."

Speaker Matijevich: "Retro...Only retrogression."

DiPrima: "That's when an enemy is in retreat."

Speaker Matijevich: "You've heard the Motion. Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Final action. Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question... Fiel 'aye'. 108 'ayes', no 'nays', and the House concurs with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1328. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1339. The Gentleman from Effingham, Representative Brummer."

Brummer: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the Assembly. 1339 allows appeal of interim rate orders of the Illinois Commerce Commission by either side with regard to that issue. Amendment #1... Senate Amendment #1 simply clarifies when the... a public utility company can place into effect increased rates pending the appeal. It is a clarifying Amendment, and I would move to concur."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Brummer moves to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1339. There being no discussion, all those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted? Have all

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 108 'ayes', no 'nays', and the House concurs with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1339. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1386, the Gentleman from Lake, Representative William Peterson."

Peterson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 1386 dealt with the residency requirements for school board members, and this Bill passed out of the House 108 to nothing. Senate Amendment 1 states that any superintendent who signs a multi-year contract may not obtain teacher tenure rights in any school district in Illinois. If the superintendents elects to be hired on a year-to-year basis, tenure can be obtained; but, once he does obtain that or goes to another multi-year contract, he would lose that tenure. I ask for concurrence to Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 1386."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Peterson moves to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1386. There being no discussion, those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 112 'ayes', no 'nays', 1 answering 'present', and the House concurs with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1386. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1388, Rep... the Gentleman from St. Clair, Representative Monroe Flinn."

Flinn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1388. It provides that the conveyance from the DOT to the City of Centreville be made with a quick claim deed only after payment of the current appraisal value and also at the convenience of the DOT.

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

The reason for this is that the DCI is not quite done with the property, and they want to wait till they're finished using it before they convey the land to the City of Centreville. I ask for adoption of my Motion to concur."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Flinn has moved for the concurrence with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1388. There being no discussion, those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. This is final action. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 113 'ayes', no 'nays', and the House concurs with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1386... 88. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1390, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Ronan."

Ronan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I move to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1390. It's an agreed Amendment prepared by the Illinois Horse Council and the Department of Agriculture allowing transferability of funds and deleting some out-of-date language. I move for the adoption."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Ronan moves for the concurrence with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1390. On that, the Gentleman from Bureau, Representative Mautino."

Mautino: "Will the Gentleman yield for a question?"

Speaker Matijevich: "He indicates he will. Proceed."

Mautino: "Is there a change in the formula provisions in Amendment #1 from the Senate?"

Ronan: "Repeat the question."

Mautino: "Is there a change in the formula provisions in that Amendment #1 from the Senate?"

Ronan: "Not to my knowledge."

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

Mautino: "Are you shaking your head, Ropp, yes or no? What is it? There is a change? State the change, will you please, Representative Ropp?"

Speaker Matijevich: "Yield to Representative Ropp."

Ropp: "I'm not sure the formula is changed other than the percentage of pay goes up about a percent as it increases..."

Mautino: "Who benefits from the increase of the one percent on the formula funding? Does the track, the horse owners or the Department of Agriculture in their Premium Fund?"

Ropp: "Here is the problem currently in that, in this fund, it's a cash flow situation; that much of the money is paid out of this fund in the first three months of July, August and September during the racing season. And what we're attempting to do by this provision is to beef up that cash flow fund earlier in the year so that it can be used to pay out the normal operation. I don't think that we're going to, in fact, spend any more money, but it does provide for more early money to be used for the operation of this horseracing fund. So, it's not going to be a terrible loss to the state, but it improves the cash flow situation."

Mautino: "Thank you."

Speaker Matijevich: "You've heard the Motion to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1390. This is final action. Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 112 'ayes', 1 'nay', and the House concurs with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1390. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1399. The Lady from Cook, Representative Penny Fullen."

Pullen: "Mr. Speaker, I move to nonconcur in Senate Amendments 1

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

and 2 to House Bill 1399. This is a Bill relating to the Abortion Law of 1975. The Amendments make the time period change consistent and relate to the copy of the pregnancy test being given to the woman. The Amendments are acceptable, but there is a further problem with the language that the Senate did not fix relating to the giving of the pregnancy test to the woman. And we need to nonconcur in these Amendments in order to correct that difficulty."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Lady has moved to nonconcur with Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 1399. Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay', and the House nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 and 2 to House Bill 1399. One moment. We've got a slight machine problem. House Bill 1402. Request of the Sponsor, out of the record. House Bill 1412, Representative Roger McAuliffe. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative McAuliffe."

McAuliffe: "I move... Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move to concur in Senate Amendment to House Bill 1402."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative McAuliffe moves..."

McAuliffe: "I'm sorry. 1412."

Speaker Matijevich: "... moves the... to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1412. No discussion. Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 106 'ayes', 7 'nays', and the House concurs with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1412. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1437, Hensel. Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Hensel."

Hensel: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I move to

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

concur with Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 1437. The Senate Amendment #2 amends the Beauty Culture Act by adding to the definition of the practice of beauty culture, the practice of cutting hair. And I would move to concur with Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 1437."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Hensel moves to concur with Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 1437. There being no discussion, those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 108 'ayes', 2 'nays', and the House concurs with Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 1437. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1495. The Sponsor yields to the Gentleman from DuPage, Representative McCracken."

McCracken: "Thank you... Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen. I move to concur with Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 1495. It is the same as Bill 963, which the current Speaker in the Chair carried and was passed by this House 110 to 1 and deletes the requirement in the Narcotics Profit Forfeiture Act that reimbursement be made prior to distribution to the Metropolitan Enforcement Group. I move the concurrence of Senate Amendment #1."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman has moved to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1495. Gentleman from Cook, Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yes. Will the Sponsor yield?"

McCracken: "Yes."

Cullerton: "As I understand the Senate Amendment, it eliminates the requirement that proceeds of the seized property be used to pay certain state expenses first before being distributed to the cities. So, I would assume that someone

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

is going to be opposed to this idea. Is that right?"

McCracken: "Probably."

Cullerton: "Who... Who might that be? Sometody who's concerned about the state?"

McCracken: "According to the Democratic analysis, notody, but my Republican analysis indicates the Bureau of the Budget was opposed to this."

Cullerton: "Oh, I can see where the Democratic analysis probably wouldn't check it out first with the Bureau of the Budget. Do you know how much money the state would lose, or is it unable to be determined?"

McCracken: "It isn't a loss. It is a transfer from the General Revenue Fund. 1.5 million dollars."

Cullerton: "Well, it sounds like the Democratic analysis is correct, and this sounds like an excellent concept. And we should adopt the Motion to concur."

Speaker Matijevich: "Being no further discussion, you've heard the Motion to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1495. This is final action. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 115 'ayes', no 'nays', and the House does concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1495. House Bill... And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1504, Jesse White."

White: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move to concur on Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 1504. It's a simple change. All it does, it makes the Bill conform to the State Mandates Act."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative White has moved to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1504. There being no discussion, all those in favor signify by voting 'aye',

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

opposed by voting 'no'. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 103 'ayes', 10 'nays', 1 answering 'present'. The House concurs with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1521 or 1504, and this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1521, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Ronan."

Ronan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I move to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1521. Senate Amendment #1 was an idea that was brought up on the floor of the House here during the debate on this Bill saying that there should be a hearing mechanism to establish due process of law when the Department of Labor moves to revoke a contractor's license. I agreed to have this Amendment put on in the Senate. It's been added, and I move to concur."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Ronan moves to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1521. On that, the Gentleman from DeWitt, Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Assembly, I rise in opposition to the Gentleman's Motion. This is a terrible Bill. What you're voting on is final action on this Bill. And if you cast a vote for this Bill, then you're casting a vote to create a black list. We don't need a black list in Illinois. We ought to be against this. We ought to vote this Bill down, and I would urge a 'no' vote on this Bill."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Tuerk."

Tuerk: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, the Assistant Minority Leader just took the words right out of my mouth. I concur in his remarks. I think the Bill ought to be defeated... or the concurrence Motion."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Adams, Representative

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

Mays."

Mays: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. As Representative Vinson stated, this is final action. Let me explain a little bit... You know, the Amendment itself doesn't look all that bad, because it does provide for a hearing process. But, regardless, the underlying Bill that we will be voting on for final action would ... would deny a contractor, for two years, any job with the state if they are... have been found to not be paying the prevailing wage on a given project. Now, that means that the employee is going to put in a complaint, this employee of that contractor. And if indeed that employee's complaint is upheld, not only is the contractor put out of work for two years on state projects, but that employee is also put out of work as well as the other ten of fifteen or twenty employees of that given contractor forbidden from bidding on state jobs. Now, I understand the problem he's trying to address. I just don't think this is the proper mechanism. As this is the final action on the Bill itself, I would urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Ronan to close."

Ronan: "Well, just goes to show what happens when you try to work with the other side of the aisle. They... They raised an objection when this Bill came up. I told them I'd address the objection in the Senate. I went along with their recommendation, and now they get another opportunity to take another whack at this fine Bill. That's their decision to make. It's still a good Bill. It's a good concept, and I move for the adoption... or concurrence with the Senate Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Ronan moves to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1521. Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. This is

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

final action. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish?  
The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are  
65... 64 'ayes', 49 'nays'. The Gentleman from De...  
DeWitt, Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Request a verification."

Speaker Matijeich: "Requests a verification. There are 64  
'ayes', 49 'nays', 1 answering 'present', and the...  
Representative Bonan asks for a Poll of the Absentees.  
Representative Marzuki, for what purpose do you seek  
attention?"

Marzuki: "Is my vote."

Speaker Matijeich: "Was that change to 'aye'? Change Mar..."

Marzuki: "Change to 'aye'."

Speaker Matijeich: "Change Marzuki from 'no' to 'aye'. There  
are 65 'ayes' and 49 vote... 'nays'. And the Poll of the  
Absentees."

Clerk O'Brien: "Poll of the Absentees. Bullock. Ralph Dunn.  
Levin. Mautino. No further."

Speaker Matijeich: "The Clerk will call out the Affirmative Roll  
Call. When your name is called, be in your seat and raise  
your right hand."

Clerk O'Brien: "Alexander. Berrios. Bowman. Braun. Breslin.  
Brookins. Brummer. Erunsvold. Capparelli. Christensen.  
Cullerton. Curran. Currie. DeJaegher. DiPrima. Domico.  
Doyle. Farley. Flinn. Giglio. Giorgi. Greiman.  
Hannig. Hicks. Homer. Huff. Hutchins. Jaffe. Johnson.  
Keane. Kraska. Kulas. Laurino. LeFlore. Leverenz.  
Marzuki. Matijeich. McGann. McPike. Nash. O'Connell.  
Panayotovich. Fangle. Preston."

Speaker Matijeich: "Representative Kulas, are you asking leave  
to be verified? Representative Kulas asks leave and has  
leave to be verified. Proceed."

Clerk O'Brien: "Rea. Rhem. Rice. Richmond. Bonan. Saltsman.

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

Satterthwaite. Shaw. Slape. Steczo. Stuffle. Taylor.  
Terzich. Turner. Van Duyn. Vitek. White. Wolf.  
Younge. Yourell. Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Matijevich: "Questions of the affirmative...  
Representative Mulcahey."

Mulcahey: "Mr. Speaker, please record me as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Matijevich: "Record Mulcahey as 'aye'. We start out with  
66. Is that correct, Mr. Clerk? 66 'ayes'. Proceed,  
Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Representative Berrios."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Berrios. I don't see him in  
his seat. Is Representative Berrios in the chamber? Take  
him off."

Vinson: "Representative Bowman."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Bowman is not in his seat.  
Representative Woods Bowman. Take him off the Roll Call."

Vinson: "Representative Brookins."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Brookins is not in his seat.  
Remove him from the Roll Call."

Vinson: "Representative Brummer."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Brummer is sitting in the  
seat right next to Cullerton."

Vinson: "Representative DeJaegher."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative DeJaegher is in his seat."

Vinson: "Representative Domico."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Domico. I don't see...  
Return Representative Brookins. I don't see Representat...  
Return Brookins and Representative Domico is following in  
his footsteps."

Vinson: "Representative Farley."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Farley. I don't see  
Representative Farley. Remove him from the Roll Call."

Vinson: "Representative Giglio."

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

Speaker Matijevidich: "Giglio. I don't see Representative Giglio.

Remove Representative Giglio from the Roll Call."

Vinson: "Representative Greiman."

Speaker Matijevidich: "Representative Greiman. Remove him momentarily. His coat is there. He will be back."

Vinson: "Representative Hicks."

Speaker Matijevidich: "Representative Hicks. I don't see him at his seat. Remove him from the Roll. Representative Johnson, for what purpose are you seeking recognition?"

Johnson: "Please switch my vote from 'yes' to 'no'."

Speaker Matijevidich: "Switch Johnson from 'yes' to 'no'."

Vinson: "Representative Leverenz."

Speaker Matijevidich: "Representative Leverenz. I don't see Representative Leverenz. Is he in the chamber? Remove Representative Leverenz from the Roll."

Vinson: "Representative Pangle."

Speaker Matijevidich: "Representative Pangle. I don't see Representative Pangle. Remove Representative Pangle from the Roll."

Vinson: "Representative Preston."

Speaker Matijevidich: "Representative Lee Preston. Is Representative Preston in? I don't see him in the chair. Remove him. And return Representative Woods Bowman to the Roll Call. Return Representative Ferris to the Roll Call. Return Representative Bullock to the Roll Call. Return..."

Vinson: "Wait a minute. Bullock was never taken off."

Speaker Matijevidich: "Oh. I thought he was. I'm sorry. Representative Giglio. Return Representative Giglio to the Roll Call. Return Farley to the Roll Call, and Representative Bullock seeks recognition to be voted 'aye'."

Vinson: "Did you remove Representative Preston?"

Speaker Matijevidich: "Yes, we did. And Representative Young asks

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

leave to be verified 'aye'. Leave, and she is verified."

Vinson: "Representative Rea."

Speaker Matijevich: "One moment. Return Representative Greiman to the Roll. Representative Rea is right up in front here."

Vinson: "Representative Rhem."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Rhem. Is Representative Rhem... He's usually in his seat, and there he is."

Vinson: "Representative Richmond."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Richmond is in his seat."

Vinson: "Representative Saltsman."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Saltsman is right here."

Vinson: "Representative Satterthwaite."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Satterthwaite? Is she in back of you... I can't... No. Remove Satterthwaite, and Representative Shaw asks leave to be verified. Leave, and Representative Shaw is verified."

Vinson: "Representative White."

Speaker Matijevich: "One moment. Leave for Don Saltsman to be verified. Leave, Saltsman... Saltsman and Berrios ask leave to be verified. Leave."

Vinson: "Representative White."

Speaker Matijevich: "One moment. Representative Hutchins asks leave to be verified. Leave, and he is verified. Representative Jesse White is in the back."

Vinson: "Representative Keane."

Speaker Matijevich: "What was that? Representative Keane? He's in his seat."

Vinson: "Representative Hutchins."

Speaker Matijevich: "Hutchins..."

Vinson: "Was he... Did we give him leave?"

Speaker Matijevich: "Hutchins asked leave to be verified."

Vinson: "No further questions."

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

Speaker Matijevich: "No further questions. Will the Clerk give us the count? 61 'ayes', 48 'nos', 1 'present', and the House does concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1521. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. We will revert back to House Bill 1257. The Lady from LaSalle, Representative Breslin. Representative Breslin on House Bill 1257. No? She still wants out. Alright. Out of the record. House Bill 1561, McGann. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative McGann."

McGann: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the Assembly. I concur with Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 1561. It's actually just a change in date. So I'd move concurrence on House Bill..."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative McGann moves to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1561. There being no discussion, those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Final action. Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 113 'ayes', no 'nays', and the Senate... the House concurs with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1561. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1665, Representative Neff. Gentleman from Henderson, Representative Neff."

Neff: "I move to concur in a Senate Amendment to House Bill 1665. All this does is add the effective date."

Speaker Matijevich: "Gentleman moves to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1675... 1665. There being no discussion, all those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 9... 102 'ayes', no 'nays', and the House concurs with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1465."

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

And this Bill, having... 1665. I'm sorry. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. And now, Representative Breslin tells me she is ready with House Bill 1257. The Lady from LaSalle, Representative Breslin on House Bill 1257."

Breslin: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I ask to nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1257."

Speaker Matijevich: "Did you say non?"

Breslin: "Nonconcur."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Lady moves to nonconcur with House... Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 1257. There being no discussion, all in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no', and the Motion prevails. And the House nonconcur with Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 1257. House Bill 1723. The Gentle... Gentleman from Adams, Representative Mays."

Mays: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move to concur with Senate Amendment #1 which provides that disabled veterans may not hunt or fish without a license where the disabilities prevent them from doing so safely."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Mays moves to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1723. There being no... Representative Cullerton, the Gentleman from Cook."

Cullerton: "I think this is a great idea, because we were concerned in the House, I think, even when we passed this, that people who are ten percent disabled out hunting and fishing could become soon 100 percent disabled. And I think that it's a good idea that the Senate came up with here which is rare, I think, that to say that the disability must not prevent the veteran from fishing or hunting in a manner which is safe to themselves and others. So, I support the Motion."

Speaker Matijevich: "Gentleman from Effingham, Representative

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

Brummer."

Brummer: "Yes, does the underlying Bill exempt them from the license, or does it simply give them a free license?"

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Mays."

Mays: "According to the analysis on this Bill, it is not... they are not required to obtain a license."

Brummer: "So, who's going to make the determination of whether or not they can fish or hunt in a manner in which is safe to themselves and others? Are they going to make that determination? They don't need a license, I guess, in order hunt or fish. So, how is someone going to make the determination of whether they're entitled to that free hunting and fishing because it may not be safe to themselves or others? Who's going to make that determination?"

Mays: "I don't think the Bill, with this Amendment on it, addresses that point, Representative."

Brummer: "Well, the... the Amendment addresses the issue of fishing or hunting. It specifies that the disability must not prevent the veteran from fishing or hunting in a manner which is safe to themselves or others. Now, somebody's got to make that determination. My question is whom."

Mays: "And I don't believe that the Bill, as amended, addresses who would make that determination."

Brummer: "Well, as the Sponsor, for purposes of legislative intent, could you indicate in words that will go down in posterity and be searched in court records in trials of veterans who are hunting, when it may not be safe to themselves and others, who's going to make that determination and what criteria should be used?"

Mays: "I think the Department of Veterans' Affairs ought to be able to make the determination in a reasonable manner or the Department of Rehabilitative Services. There ought to

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

be a joint discussion on this issue."

Brummer: "Well, are you going to require them to have a certificate first from the Department of Veteran Affairs that they will not be dangerous to themselves or others?"

Mays: "I think that would be a good idea."

Brummer: "The..."

Mays: "Your point is well taken, Representative..."

Brummer: "Well, somebody's got to make that determination. And, you know, if you were giving them a free license, that determination could be made when the free license was given. You know, and that's why I asked if it was a free license or if it was an exemption from the license, because somebody does need to make the determination as to whether the Senate standard can be applied. And I just... it totally escapes me how that's going to be done."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative DiPrima, for what purpose are you seeking recognition?"

DiPrima: "Yes, Mr. Speaker... Mr. Speaker, I just wanted to show Brummer. See, if you are a disabled veteran, you have one of these cards. This is issued by the Department of Veterans' Affairs, the United States Veterans' Administration."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Brummer wants..."

Brummer: "Yes. And I wonder if that card indicates that your disability would not make you dangerous to yourself in hunting. I mean, that's the Senate criteria that's put on, and I want to know who makes the determination of whether you can hunt safely or not. That's what the Senate Amendment said; that you have to be able to hunt safely. And somebody's got to be able to make that determination, and I was wondering if that card said that you knew... said that you could hunt in a manner that was not dangerous to yourself."

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

DiPrima: "What do you think - people are insane? They're going to go hunting if they can't handle a weapon or anything?"

Brummer: "Well, that's what the Senate Amendment says. They can't... They can't entitle to this exemption. Did you look at the Senate Amendment?"

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Piel."

Piel: "I move the previous question, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman moves the previous question. The question is, 'Shall the main question be put?' Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no', and the main question is put. Representative Mays to close."

Mays: "Well, this Amendment is identical to one that was put on Senate Bill 807 in the Senate. And that Bill, when it came over to the House, passed out of here 107. Now, Representative Brummer has some very good points. I think we ought to just go with a vote on this thing. One way or the other, I'm doing my duty to Mr. Vadalabene in good faith, and I'd just as soon get this Bill on out. So, I'd urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Mays moves to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1723. Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Final action. Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 110 'ayes', no 'nays', and the House does concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1723. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. The Gentleman from Sangamon, Representative Curran, on House Bill 1772."

Curran: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I move to concur with the Senate Amendment on 1772. It's been worked out with the Department of Revenue. This Bill now will be acceptable to the Department of Revenue, and I'm sure will be signed by

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

the Governor. I move for concurrence."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Curran has moved to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1772. There being no discussion, those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed 'no'. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this issue, there are 112 'ayes', no 'nays', and the House does concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1772. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1842. The lady from DuPage, Representative Cowlshaw. Harris will handle that. McCracken. I can't see here. There's Harris. No, I know them."

McCracken: "Mr. Speaker, ladies and gentlemen of the House, I move to concur in Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 1842 which allows for a court hearing in the case where a not-for-profit successor corporation has signed a binding contract to continue the services of the dissolved hospital on a permanent basis. I move the adoption... or the concurrence..."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative McCracken moves to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1842. There being no discussion, all those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 111 'ayes', 2 'nays', and the House concurs with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1842. Van Dwyne seeks recognition."

Van Dwyne: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. As a point of personal privilege and also an informational thing for the House on Mr. Ebbesen's Bill that we had a little while ago, there were..."

Speaker Matijevich: "Well, let's get back to that."

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

Van Duyne: "Well, all I want to say is I remove my objection, if you'll let me just say it for eight seconds, and I'll be all done."

Speaker Matijevich: "Alright. Go ahead."

Van Duyne: "Because it's been taken care of in Senate Bill 726. Thank you."

Speaker Matijevich: "And the House does concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1842. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1922, the Gentleman from Morgan, Representative Reilly."

Reilly: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1922. The Bill, as introduced, provides really some technical and clean up language on the changes we made last year in the court supervision and the requirements that problems with youth be taken care of by youth services bureaus in each agent in each area. Senate Amendment #1 is really a technical clean up even on that. There are no substantive changes, and so I would move concurrence in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1922."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Reilly moves to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1922. On that, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yes, would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Matijevich: "Indicates he will. Proceed."

Cullerton: "Representative Reilly, if you could refer to the Bill, at page 21, line 5."

Speaker Matijevich: "One moment. The Clerk has an announcement."

Clerk O'Brien: "Supplemental Calendar #1 is being distributed."

Speaker Matijevich: "Proceed, Representative Cullerton. Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "At page 21, line five of the Bill there's a deletion

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

of the appointment of legal counsel for a minor if no living arrangements are agreeable to the minor and the child... the minor child."

Reilly: "John, you'll have to wait until I get that. My version of the Bill has five pages. I'm sorry. Would you repeat the question?"

Cullerton: "Yes. First of all, we're talking about, at page 21, line five of the Bill, the Senate Amendment deletes appointment of legal counsel for a minor if there's no living arrangements agreeable to the minor and the parents. So, my question is, isn't this substantive, and who will protect the interest of the minor if no legal counsel would be appointed? And this is a deletion from the original Bill."

Reilly: "What... What this... I am told what this does is allow the agency to file the petition directly, rather than having to go through the roundabout route that they now follow where the agency notifies the court which then initiates the... the petition."

Cullerton: "Well, then who protects the interest of the minor?"

Reilly: "I don't know the direct answer, and Bruce Rubenstein from the Department is not here. Frankly, as a courtesy, I'd appreciate it if you'd notify us in the future if you have questions of that nature so we can try to get them answered. I think there probably is one... Let's now take this out of the record, and I'll try to get him. And we'll find out what the answer is."

Cullerton: "I agree... I'll just tell you that this ... we just had a chance to analyze this particular Amendment and..."

Reilly: "Fine. Let's take it out of the record, and I'll get him here. And I think there probably is an answer, but I don't know what it is."

Cullerton: "Right. Thank you. And I'm really sorry,

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

Representative Reilly, that I didn't do it. And I won't do it again."

Reilly: "Good."

Speaker Matijevich: "1922, out of the record."

Cullerton: "That's the problem with being the Minority, Representative Reilly. You know we have our rights..."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Terzich is seeking recognition. Representative Terzich."

Terzich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I would like to suspend the necessary rule to have an Executive Committee meeting with regard to Senate Joint Resolution 36. I discussed it with the other side of the aisle and like to have a meeting immediately."

Speaker Matijevich: "Alright. The Gentleman asks suspension of the rules so the Executive Committee can meet in the conference room right outside here by the Speaker's Office. And Representative Vinson indicates that the Minority agrees to this. Leave, and leave is granted. And the Executive Committee shall meet immediately. House Bill 1934. The Gentleman, Representative Neff. Gentleman from Henderson."

Neff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 934 I wish to concur on this Amendment. What the Amendment does is allows house trailers to obtain special permits to remove larger units. This came about, because many people are demanding now three bedroom homes. And this does not necessarily mean that they can move them, because they still must get a permit from IDOT. And they identified what roads they could move over and also the time they can do it. So, I don't think this hurts anything. And all of our surrounding states do allow this. In fact is 22 other states allow this, and it would allow four inches wider and ten inches longer in moving the house trailers. I would

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

move to ado... to... concur to the Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Neff moves that the House concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1934. There being no discussion, those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Final action. The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 113 'ayes', no 'nays', and the House concurs with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1934. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1955. The Lady from Cook, Penny Pullen."

Pullen: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move to nonconcur in the Senate Amendment to House Bill 1955. As it went to the Senate, it simply repealed the Illinois Air Carriers' Act. In the Senate, the Department of Transportation decided that they needed an extensive Amendment relating to the regulation of ultralight vehicles. They have now decided that they would need to change that Amendment significantly, and they have requested that I move to nonconcur which is my preference anyway. So, I now move to nonconcur in the Senate Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Pullen moves to nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1955. Being no discussion, all in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay', and the House does... The Motion prevails, and the House does nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1955. I understand 1922 has been worked out. The Gentleman from Morgan, Representative Reilly, on House Bill 1922."

Reilly: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I renew my Motion to... that the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1922."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman moves to concur with Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 1922. On that, the Gentleman

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

from Cook, Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yes, I wanted to indicate that I agree with the Motion and that I once again want to apologize to Representative Reilly for not checking this out with him first. And I... I just hope that it never happens again, and we'll try to get our work done faster over here. And... It's just one of the problems of being in the Majority and trying to be responsible to the Minority, as we have the whole Session. And I once again really say I'm very sorry."

Speaker Matijevich: "Gentleman moves to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 195... 1922. Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. Final action. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. Nelson 'aye'. Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 107 'ayes', no 'nays', 3 answering 'present', and the House does concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1922. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2029, Ronan. Gentleman from Cook, Representative Ronan."

Ronan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I move to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2029. This Bill is a Bill that involves the Professional Boxing and Wrestling Act to guarantee that a physician be present at boxing and wrestling events. There was a concern that this legislation might also involve amateur boxing, which we did not want to address, so that this Amendment makes sure that amateur boxing is not covered by the legislation. I move for the concurrence Motion."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Ronan moves to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1020... 2029. The Gentleman... Representative Bastert, Gentleman from

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

Kendall."

Hastert: "Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Matijevich: "He indicates he will. Proceed."

Hastert: "What does this do with amateur wrestling? Free style, 'Greeko', Roman and scholastic?"

Ronan: "It removes both amateur boxing and wrestling."

Hastert: "I beg your pardon?"

Ronan: "It... Amateur boxing and wrestling are not covered anymore by this Bill."

Hastert: "So, it does remove amateur wrestling out of it. Thank you."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman has moved to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2029. Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Final action. Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 111 'ayes', 1 'nay', 1 'present', and the House does concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill... O'Connell 'aye'. 112 'ayes'. The House does concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2029. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2055, McAuliffe. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative McAuliffe."

McAuliffe: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move to nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2055."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman moves to nonconcur... to concur was it?"

McAuliffe: "Nonconcur."

Speaker Matijevich: "Nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 25... 2055. All in favor say 'aye'... Gentleman from Cook, Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Could you tell us why you're moving to nonconcur? Is

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

it because there's a problem with the Senate Amendment or because you want to use it as a vehicle?"

Speaker Matijevich: "Or because Vinson's talking to him."

McAuliffe: "Because there's some Senate Bills that didn't get heard yesterday and possibly we can put them into this Bill."

Cullerton: "Oh. Those are the Senate Bills that are... Those were House Bills that weren't heard in the Senate that were part of a ... part of a package to try to clear up the fraud in DuPage County. Is that the package you're talking...?"

McAuliffe: "They're House Bills that the Speaker is in support of."

Cullerton: "I know. We voted for them. We want to clear up the fraud in DuPage County as well and anybody else, and I think that this is a good idea to nonconcur so we can use this as a Conference Committee to get those good Bills out. So, I support your Motion."

Speaker Matijevich: "Gentleman moves to nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2055. All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay', and the 'ayes' have it. And the House does nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2055. House Bill 2072. The Lady from DuPage, Representative Nelson. Out of the record, request of the Sponsor. House Bill 2078 has already been nonconcurrred with. House Bill 2109. The Lady from Marshall, Representative Koehler."

Koehler: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 2109 originally amended the Public Utilities Act to provide that the transportation of persons or property by water and the transportation of passengers by commuter bus were not activities subject to economic regulation by the Illinois Commerce Commission. Senate Amendment #1 deleted the Subsection regarding the

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

transportation of persons or property by water and the common carrier Section. I would move to concur with House Amen... with Senate Amendment #1."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Koehler has moved to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2109. There being no discussion, all those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 115 'ayes', no 'nays', and the House does concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2109. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. The Lady from Sangamon, Representative Josephine Oblinger, on House Bill 2135."

Oblinger: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, there are two... I would move to concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2135. There are two parts to it. The first is correcting a spelling error where the word 'violation' was spelled as 'violence'. And the second one is taking out 'priority shall be given, in all cases, to the frail, abused or disabled elderly adults' from a definition and putting it under the eligibility clause. I would request concurrence in this."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Lady has moved to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2135. There being no discussion, all those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 111 'ayes', no 'nays', and the House does concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2135. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Slape 'aye'. 112 'ayes'. Request of the Sponsor, House Bill 2201, out of the record. House Bill 2230, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

Steczo."

Steczo: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2230 clarifies the language in the Illinois Vehicle Code to include second division vehicles in the multi-year Section providing for multi-year license plates. It also provides that all second division vehicles registered at not more than 8,000 pounds may obtain personalized license plates. It authorizes that the issuance of multi-year plates can be issued to certain trailers and recreational vehicles weighing up to 16,000 pounds. This Amendment has the support of the Secretary of State's Office, and I would ask for concurrence in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2230."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Steczo has moved for the concurrence in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2230. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yes, will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Matijevich: "He indicates he will. Proceed."

Cullerton: "I hope I wasn't hasty in telling the representative of the Secretary of State's Office that I was for this. I have a question on page two of the Senate Amendment. There is... There is... Lines 25 through 32 are struck, and what's included in that Section is language that says the Secretary of State has authority to refuse to issue personalized plates which are offensive or indecent or duplicative. Now, is that language contained somewhere else so that we don't have to worry about that being struck, or is it really the intent of the Senate to take away the Secretary of State's authority in that response?"

Steczo: "Representative Cullerton, it was not the intent to purposely delete that language. That language was... was deleted, because that same language was included in Senate Bill 1121 which recodified the Secretary of State statutes.

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

And Senate Bill 1121 is on its way to the Governor. So, it would be duplicative if we left that language in."

Cullerton: "Okay. Fine. Thank you very much."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Steczo has moved for the concurrence with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2230. No further discussion, all in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. Final action. Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 113 'ayes', no 'nays', and the House does concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2230. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2240. The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Churchill. 2242. Who? Giorgi? The Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Giorgi, the crime stopper."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, this is the Crime Stoppers' Commission Bill, but the name was changed. All the Amendment... Amendment #1 does is change the name to the Anti-Crime Advisory Council. And I urge your support to concur."

Speaker Matijevich: "Gentleman moves to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2242. On that, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Matijevich: "Sure will."

Cullerton: "Was the name changed to protect the innocent?"

Giorgi: "You guys... You're talking legalees. I understand your guys."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative John Dunn, do you have a question?"

Dunn: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise in opposition to concurrence of Senate Amendment #1 to 2242. The only good purpose I could see of the Illinois Crime Stoppers' Commission was to keep Dick Tracy happy."

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

And now they're going to change the name so it's not crime stoppers textbook anymore, and it wcn't even do any good for Dick Tracy. I urge a 'no' vote on this thing which has no basis in fact, or law, or need or any other perspective you can conjur up. It's just another bad Commission."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Gordon Ropp."

Ropp: "A question to the Sponsor."

Speaker Matijevich: "Proceed."

Ropp: "Why was the name changed? There must be some reason."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "I guess the reason the name was changed was that there are four or five crime stopper type operations in the State of Illinois. And rather than single out crime stoppers for the honor and glory because they are outstanding, they thought they'd change the name so that no one had a preference."

Ropp: "Does the other House Cosponsor welcome the change of the name?"

Giorgi: "This is Speaker Madigan's Bill, and I was informed that he..."

Ropp: "Oh, I was looking at Representative Churchill. That's who I see on our analysis. The hyphenated one."

Giorgi: "He's... He's... I guess he's not disagreeing with it."

Ropp: "He's not disagreeing?"

Giorgi: "Guess not."

Ropp: "Is that not disagreeing?"

Giorgi: "In Italiano, that's it."

Ropp: "Okay. Thanks."

Giorgi: "This is bad."

Speaker Matijevich: "Rep... Representative Giorgi has moved to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2242. Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. This is final action. Have all voted? Have all voted who

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 74 'ayes', 39 'nays', 4 answering 'present', and this... then the House concurs with Senate Bill... Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2242. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2284. Sponsor yields to Representative McAuliffe, the Co-Chief Sponsor of the Bill. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative McAuliffe."

McAuliffe: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would move to concur in Senate Amendment #1 and #2 to House Bill 2284. Amendment #1 provides a seizure provision shall not apply to any vehicle which has been leased, rented or loaned by its owner. The owner did not have knowledge of and consent to the use of the vehicle in the commission of or attempt to commit an offense prohibited by Section 4-103. Senate Amendment #2 creates the offense of vehicle conspiracy. It also provides that vehicle theft conspiracy is a Class 3 felony for a first conviction. Second or subsequent convictions are a Class 2 felony."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative McAuliffe moves to concur with Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 2284. There being no discussion, all those... Oh, I'm sorry. Representative Cullerton. Gentleman from Cook, Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yeah, I just wondered if you could explain Amendment #2, Senate Amendment #2."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative McAuliffe on Amendment #2."

McAuliffe: "Senate Amendment #2 creates the offense of vehicle conspiracy. It also provides that vehicle theft conspiracy is a Class 3 felony for a first conviction. Second or subsequent conviction is a Class 2 felony."

Cullerton: "Okay. I think that there was a similar Bill in the House, wasn't there, that did the same thing? But I think

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

that that was amended. I think we just amended that to take out the conspiracy part or redefine it. I think Representative Johnson offered an Amendment and I offered an Amendment. You're not sure. Well, this is final passage. I guess... Alright. I have... I have no opposition."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gent... The question is, 'Shall the House concur with Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 2284?' Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed voting 'no'. This is final action. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 114 'ayes', no 'nays', 2 answering 'present', and the House does concur with Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 2284. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2287. Gentleman from Henderson, Representative Neff."

Neff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2287 is really... gives some clarifying language and also, in regards to the qualification of a religious organization bus driver, which merely reflects a current administrative rule. And I see no change, but it's what's been followed for some time. I know of no opposition to this Amendment, and I would move that the House concur in the Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2287."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Neff moves that the House concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2287. There being no discussion, those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. Final action. Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 113 'ayes'... 114 'ayes', no 'nays', 1 'present', and the House concurs with Senate Amendment #1

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

to House Bill 2287. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Joint Resolution 13, Representative DiPrima."

DiPrima: "Yes, Sir, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I concur with Senate Amendment #1. The Amendment goes as follows. It urges Congress of the United States to immediately take whatever public or forceful action as necessary to identify and release prisoners of war and secure the fullest possible accounting of those still missing as a result of the Viet Nam War. Now, Senate Amendment #1 corrects the resolve clause to include, 'Senate concurring herein', and I move for its adoption."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative DiPrima moves to concur with Senate Amendment 1 to House Joint Resolution 13. The Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Tuerk."

Tuerk: "I wonder if the Sponsor would enunciate with a little more clarity."

Speaker Matijevich: "He does alright."

DiPrima: "Are you trying to admonish me?"

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative DiPrima moves to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Joint Resolution. Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. Clerk will take the record. On this, there are 112 'ayes' and no 'nays', and the House concurs with Senate Amendment #1 to House Joint Resolution 13. And House Joint Resolution 13 is hereby declared adopted. House Joint Resolution 35, DiPrima. Gentleman from Cook, Representative DiPrima."

DiPrima: "Mr. Speaker, I move for the adoption of Senate Amendment #1. I move to concur. This urges Congress to adopt House Resolution 103 which proposes to increase the federal reimbursement to state veterans' homes for residential skilled nursing and acute nursing care. Senate

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

Amendment #1 changes reference to House Resolution 2920 instead of 103 to reflect recent action in the United States Congress. And I move for the adoption of Senate Amendment #1."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman moves to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Joint Resolution 35. There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall the House concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Joint Resolution 35?' Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 116 'ayes', no 'nays', and the House does concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Joint Resolution 35. And House Joint Resolution 35 is hereby declared adopted. We have concluded the Calendar on concurrence except for some Motions to concur that I have. Representative Yourell in the Chair."

Speaker Yourell: "Appearing on the Order of Concurrence is House Bill 97. Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Alright. I've been requested to hold this for a moment. Hold this for a moment. The next one I've got would be..."

Speaker Yourell: "Appearing on the Order of Concurrence is House Bill 273."

Matijevich: "No. That one is out of the record, too. The next one is House... House Bill 835 and then House Bill 1076 and House Bill 1287. Those are the only three I've got left, except for those that are out of the record."

Speaker Yourell: "Appearing on the Order of Concurrence is House Bill 835. Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I would move to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 835. This Bill provided for salary increments at seventeen and a half and twenty five years for the State Troopers. The Amendment deletes the

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

seventeen and a half year increment but keeps the twenty five year increment. I would move to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 835."

Speaker Yourell: "Gentleman moves to concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 835. Is there discussion? Being no discussion, all those in favor will vote 'aye', those opposed will vote 'no'. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question, there are 111 voting 'aye', none voting 'no', and the Gentleman's Motion to concur prevails. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. What's the next one, John?"

Matijevich: "The next one is House Bill 1076, and I would move to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1076. It adds that the State Board of Education is authorized to enter into contracts with corporations and private individuals for the distribution of surplus commodities in school lunch programs. Also adds that such contracts may be for the processing of surplus commodities in addition to the distribution of such commodities. I am told that these changes are really current practice. So, I would, therefore, move to concur with the Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1076."

Speaker Yourell: "Gentleman has moved to concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1076. And on that question, Representative Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor of the concurrence Motion yield to a question?"

Speaker Yourell: "Indicates he will."

Matijevich: "Yes."

Hoffman: "What is the estimated additional cost involved in this program, Representative Matijevich?"

Matijevich: "I can see no reason why there would be any

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

additional cost. Are you talking about the Amendment or the program?"

Hoffman: "The program. The Bill."

Matijevich: "There neither could be any additional cost, I understand, to the Bill as amended, because I am told, by the liason from the State Board of Education, the Bill now just does what they're doing. But it makes the language more specific."

Hoffman: "Thank you very much."

Speaker Yourell: "Being no further discussion, the..."

Matijevich: "Wait. Wait."

Speaker Yourell: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "I've been requested to take the Bill out of the record for a moment."

Speaker Yourell: "Out of the record. Representative Matijevich, what's your next Bill?"

Matijevich: "Alright. That Bill will remain out of the record. The next one is Senate Bill 12... House Bill 1287. And the Senate Amendment on 1, Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1287, the Senate was... This is the Anatomical Gift Act, and the Senate was concerned on the notice requirements that were written into the Bill. And all this Amendment does, it's a provision allowing the specified persons to request that the donee return the body to the custody of the person making the request. If the terms of the gift do not preclude the return of the body, the donee must honor the request. I think it does improve the Bill. So, I would move to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1287."

Speaker Yourell: "Gentleman has moved to concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1287. Is there discussion? There being no discussion, the question is, 'Concurrence of Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1287?' All those... All

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

of those in favor will vote 'aye', those opposed will vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? On this question, 116 voting 'aye', 1 voting 'no'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. What reason does Representative Matijevich rise?"

Matijevich: "Speaker, I was moving to ask leave to suspend the rule relating to the time regarding the Supplemental Calendar. I understand all these Bills were supposed to be on the Regular Calendar and the Amendments have been on the Members desks for about thirty-six hours. So, there is no reason why we can't go to the Supplemental Calendar #1. So I ask leave that that rule be suspended, and I believe that the Minority has agreed to this from what I understand."

Speaker Yourell: "Does the Gentleman have leave? Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. No problem with leave, and I would point out to the Republican Members that the analysis on these are in the package that were distributed this morning."

Speaker Yourell: "Representative Tuerk, for what reason do you rise?"

Tuerk: "Mr. Speaker, I don't believe you announced the result of that 1287. That's the only reason I was rising."

Speaker Yourell: "It was 107... On that question... On that question, there was 116 voting 'aye', 1 voting 'no'. That Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed."

Speaker Matijevich: "On the Calendar... which has been your Supplemental Calendar #1, on the Order of Concurrences. Is Representative Giorgi in the... well, let's skip over that one for the moment. House Bill 842, Hastert. The

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

Gentleman from Kendall, Representative Hastert."

Hastert: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move to concur with the Senate Amendment #1 on House Bill 842. The Senate Amendment merely tightens down the Bill and says that the ECCA or Department of Commerce and Community Affairs only has to report to townships, municipalities and counties. It's a narrowing down of the Bill, tightens it up, and I would move to concur with that Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Hastert moves to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 842. There being no discussion, those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 116 'ayes', no 'nays', and the House does concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 842. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 786, Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "186, isn't it? Isn't that 186?"

Speaker Matijevich: "186, I mean."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, I move to nonconcur to the Senate Amendment to House Bill 186 because of the technicalities that are wrong with the Amendment. Move to nonconcur."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Giorgi moves to nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 186. On that, the Gentleman from DeWitt, Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Yes, Representative, can you explain what the effect of the Senate Amendment is?"

Giorgi: "The Amendment purported to exempt cities, counties and townships and it's worded incorrectly. We want to do that."

Vinson: "You just don't want to do what the Senate Amendment would do?"

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

Giorgi: "The Senate Amendment is inaccurate, ill-worded and it's wrong."

Vinson: "But I mean the concept of the Senate Amendment is what you object to?"

Giorgi: "We agree with it. We agree with it. It should be underlined, if you have a copy of the Amendment in your hand. It should be underlined?"

Vinson: "There's a technical flaw in it?"

Giorgi: "Yes, Sir. It should be underlined. The wording, the new wording that they want into the Act should be underlined. It's a very elementary understanding."

Vinson: "Okay. Do you have intention to combine any other aspects of Workers' Comp into this Bill?"

Giorgi: "I... I don't know what the Senate will do when I send it back to them."

Vinson: "I'm sorry, I..."

Giorgi: "I can't pass it out. It's inaccurate. It's inaccurate. It's ill-worded. It's all wrong, and it's not the type of work that I do. I don't want my name in the record on this type of a..."

Vinson: "Representative, you say the problem is that there's no underlining there?"

Giorgi: "That is correct."

Vinson: "Well, why don't we grant leave of the Body to amend it on it's face and underline it? That'll solve your problem with the underlining."

Giorgi: "No, they... then next week you might be in the court telling us that..."

Speaker Matijevich: "Can't amend it on Second... It's not on Second Reading, see?"

Giorgi: "... what we've done wasn't constitutionally right, and you'll nullify the entire law. I know what you are thinking, Sam."

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

Speaker Matijeovich: "He knows what you're thinking."

Vinson: "Well, Mr. Speaker, to the Bill, to the Motion. I think it's clear what the Gentleman intends to do with his Conference Committee, and I'd urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Matijeovich: "The Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Tuerk."

Tuerk: "Would the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Matijeovich: "He indicates he will."

Tuerk: "I think the Assistant Minority Leader asked a question and you didn't really answer. Do you plan to use this Bill for any other purpose other than what you stated?"

Giorgi: "Oh, you know..."

Tuerk: "What?"

Giorgi: "If you came to me after this was nonconcurrent to and might want to put something on, I wouldn't give you a flat no. I'd let you put something on maybe."

Tuerk: "Well, the answer is yes then."

Speaker Matijeovich: "He's trying to help you out, Fred. The Gentleman has moved to nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 186. All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. And the 'ayes' have it, and the House does nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 186. House Bill 1037, Gentleman from Livingston, Representative Ewing."

Ewing: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, this Bill deals with support dogs for the handicapped, and in the Senate there was a purely technical... technical Amendment. And if... if the House doesn't adopt it, I'll have to bring the dogs back for a show."

Speaker Matijeovich: "Representative Ewing has moved to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1037. There being no discussion, all in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. This is final action. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

record. On this question, there are 115 'ayes', no 'nays', and the House does concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1037. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1057, Representative Pullen. Is she in the chamber? I don't see her. Out of the record. House Bill 1089, Hicks. Gentleman from Jefferson, Representative Hicks, back there? Representative Hicks. I don't see him. Out of the record. House Bill 1090, the Gentleman from Lake, Representative Pierce, is not in his seat. Out of the record. House Bill 1134, Mautino, the Gentleman from Bureau. Representative Mautino."

Mautino: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. Senate Bill 1134 has been amended in the Senate, and I move to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1134. The Bill, in its original form, addressed the question of continuing education for insurance agents, making the single category of insurance producers, and it was supported by this House overwhelmingly in its original form. The explanation of the Senate Amendment is that they remove the prohibition against bank holding companies acting as registered agents. And it sets... excuse me, it provides that the bonds shall be in the amounts of at least twenty-five hundred rather than a thousand, and not greater than fifty thousand. I move to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1134."

Speaker Matijeich: "Representative Mautino moves to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1134. There being no discussion... Representative Brummer."

Brummer: "Yes, will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Matijeich: "He indicates he will."

Brummer: "At the time we established the multi-bank holding companies, I know the independent insurance agents were

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

very concerned about this very issue. What is their position on Senate Amendment #12?"

Mautino: "Mr. Jay 'Shaddick' of the Independent Agents has signed off on it."

Brummer: "Thank you."

Speaker Matijevich: "Gentleman has moved for the concurrence in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1134. Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 114 'ayes', no 'nays', 1 answering 'present'. The House concurs with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1134, and this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1225, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Yourell."

Yourell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House..."

Speaker Matijevich: "We'll get to you, Dan. Representative Yourell."

Yourell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Amendments #1 and 2 changed... Senate Amendment #1 changed the Bill to apply only to Cook County and take out the other 101 counties. I don't know why they did that, but it's alright with me. And it... #2 is a technical correction in the... in the Bill, and I move to concur in Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 1225."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Yourell moves to concur in Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 1225. There being no discussion, all those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. Final action. Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 112 'ayes', 3 'nays', and the House does concur with Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 1225. And this

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1090, Representative Pierce, the Gentleman from Lake."

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1090 provides for the termination of self-storage liens upon the removal of the property from the warehouse or upon its sale. This was really suggested by Representative Cullerton when the Bill passed the House. He thought it should be made clearer as to when the lien ends, and I agreed with him, and we put the Amendment on in the Senate. And, therefore, I move to concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1090."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Pierce moves to concur with Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 1090. There being no discussion, all those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 114 'ayes', no 'nays'. The House does concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1090. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1250, Gentleman from Dupage, Representative Gene Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move that we concur in Senate Amendment #1 and #3 to House Bill 1250. In these Amendments we addressed some changes in the School Code in terms of boundaries of school districts and dealing with districts in regard to their assessed valuation. We put into Senate Amendment #3 some changes in Section 71 of the School Code. Changed the term of legal voters to registered voters, some other clarifying language, and included in Section 74 of the School Code elementary districts as well as community units and high school districts that were included, and set

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

some population limits. And I would move for concurrence with the Senate Amendments to House Bill 1250."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Hoffman has moved to concur with Senate Amendments 1 and 3 to House Bill 1250. The Gentleman from Effingham, Representative Brummer."

Brummer: "Yes, is there anything in here that would authorize as a result of any consolidation an increase of tax rates?"

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Hoffman."

Hoffman: "No."

Brummer: "Thank you."

Speaker Matijevich: "Gentleman from Macon, Representative John Dunn."

Dunn: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Matijevich: "He will. Proceed."

Dunn: "What is the philosophy behind restricting the number of people who can be involved with petitions from those who are legal voters to those who are registered voters?"

Hoffman: "I... I'm sorry. Would you... would you change that? Would you repeat your question?"

Dunn: "Okay, they... well, they... My analysis says at the present time, regarding Amendment #3, that legal voters' signatures are required and your Amendment would change that to registered voters. And registered voters, obviously, would be a smaller group of people. I wonder why... I'm for everybody registering to vote. On the campaign trail, it drives me wild when people criticize and they are not registered to vote, but I just wonder why we'd say that... why use this language?"

Hoffman: "Alright. The reason for that is that you can determine who the registered voters are from the official registered voters' list and at the... as of the date of the petition. So you are looking at those voters who are registered and are on the official voter registration roll. That's the

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

reason. It's my understanding that this Amendment proposed by Senator Berman was pursuant to some work by Representative Jaffe in this area previously."

Dunn: "Okay, thank you."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Hoffman has moved to concur with Senate Amendments 1 and 3 to House Bill 1250. Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 111 'ayes', 2 'nays', and the House concurs with Senate Amendments 1 and 3 to House Bill 1250. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1260, the Gentleman from Bureau, Representative Mautino."

Mautino: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 1260, in its original form, sponsored by Stuffle, Mautino, Winchester and Woodyard, was a bipartisan effort to establish the Illinois Export Development Act of '83. The legislation then went to the Senate, after being overwhelmingly passed by the House, and they made changes as it pertains to the appointment of the Board. The House originally had the provisions for four members appointed by the Governor, two by the Speaker and two by the President of the Senate. Amendment #1 changed that to have all eight members appointed by the Governor with the advice and consent of the Senate. The second Amendment was technical in nature, making the changes on some corrective spellings. That's what 1 and 2 do to 1260, and I move for a concurrence of Senate Amendments 1 and Senate Amendment 2 to House Bill 1260. Be happy to answer any questions."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Mautino has moved to concur with Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 1260. There

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

being no discussion, all those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 112 'ayes', no 'nays', and the House does concur with Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 1260. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1310, request of the Sponsor, out of the record. House Bill 1336, the Gentleman from Lake, Representative Pierce, out of the record. House Bill 1378, Homer. Representative Homer on House Bill 1378."

Homer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen. I move to concur in Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 1378. The Amendment is a technical Amendment. It substitutes terminology to make it consistent. In one portion of the original Bill, the term 'money or other thing of value' was used, and then the term 'consideration' was used later on in the language, and this would simply make the Bill consistent. And it's strictly a technical change. I would move concurrence."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Homer has moved for the concurrence in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1378. There being no discussion, those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 115 'ayes', no 'nays', and the House does concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1378. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On the Supplemental Calendar under Speaker's Table, Short Debate Calendar, appears House Resolution 413, Terzich. Gentleman from Cook, Representative Terzich."

Terzich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, House Resolution 413 involves the

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

Chicago Housing Authority, and it requests that the Illinois Investigating Commission conduct an investigation on the manner in which the Chicago Housing Authority has failed to execute the Scattered Site Housing Program in the City of Chicago. It has caused many problems throughout the City that we are trying to avoid, problems throughout the City of Chicago with regard to CHA housing. And I would move for its adoption of House Resolution 413."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Terzich moves for the adoption of House Resolution 413. Is there any discussion? If none, those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Takes sixty votes. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 99 'ayes', 2 'nays', 7 answering 'present'. And House Resolution 513 (sic) is hereby declared adopted. Messages from the Senate."

Clerk O'Brien: "A Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. 'Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House in passage of Bills following title, to wit: House Bills #390, 415, 506, 537, 541, 542, 609, 620, 621, 606, 643, 663, 669, 691, 695, 700, 708, 709, 721, 747, 751, 755, 758, 813, 849, 854, 863, 884, 888 and 543, together with the attached Amendments, the adoption of which I am instructed to ask concurrence, passed the Senate as amended June 27, 1983. Kenneth Wright, Secretary.' Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. 'Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate concurred with the House passage of Bills of the following title, to wit: House Bills #930, 932, 963, 1001, 1092, 1017, 1054, 1081 and 564, together with the attached Amendments, the adoption of which I am instructed to ask concurrence of the House, passed the Senate as amended June

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

27, 1983. Kenneth Wright, Secretary."

Speaker Matijevich: "Committee Reports."

Clerk O'Brien: "Representative Terzich, Chairman of the Committee on Executive, to which the following Resolution was referred, action taken June 28, 1983, reported the same back with the following recommendation: 'Be adopted' Senate Joint Resolution 36."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Chair is going to try to continue on the Regular Calendar on the Order of Concurrences. There are some Bills that we went past because the Members were not here. I think probably the best way to do it... one moment. I'd like to discuss the best way to do it with the Parliamentarian. The House will be at ease for a moment. The Lady from Cook, Representative Braun, for what purpose are you seeking recognition?"

Braun: "Mr. Speaker, for two purposes. First, I move that we reconsider the vote by which House Resolution 413 was approved. Second, I have requested of the Democratic Caucus Chairman a caucus of the... a Democratic caucus to be held immediately."

Speaker Matijevich: "Alright. Right now you are recognized for that first... first request, and that's for reconsideration."

Braun: "Mr. Speaker..."

Speaker Matijevich: "You can't have... you can't have both. We have to do one thing."

Braun: "Alright then, Mr. Speaker. Actually, the Motion on House Resolution 413 is in part the purpose for the Democratic caucus, and I think it appropriate before that Motion is debated and is heard on this House floor..."

Speaker Matijevich: "Well, then we'll take it out of the record and when we are going to have a Democratic caucus, we'll discuss that. May I tell you, Representative Braun, that

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

the Democrats do have plans for a caucus and there are no needs for... no need to have two caucuses, so the Chair is going to have to respectfully move... Yes, I have been told that the problem always is at this time of the year that we have a paper flow as between the Senate and the House. The Senate right now is in recess, and we are trying to complete some of our work. And then in a little while, then we are going to be able to recess. And I would respectfully ask all Members, Democrats and Republicans, we have been doing real nicely, moving along, and I don't want anything to disrupt it at this time. You will later be heard on that Motion that you have after the Democrats return from their caucus. And that's the best and only thing the Chair can do. Proceed, Representative Braun."

Braun: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I don't intend to be disruptive. However, there is an issue of great significance to the black Members of the Democratic side of this aisle which has just been rammed through on a quick vote about which there was no debate and about which we have very serious concern. There are also other concerns that we have that we would like to explore with our other Democratic Members before the situation on this House floor becomes one that could potentially be an embarrassment to everyone. Given that, Mr. Speaker, I would ask that the Democratic caucus which was apparently planned for later in this day be moved up to now or as soon as practicable, so that we may resolve these issues with a minimum of controversy on this House floor."

Speaker Matijevich: "Yes, Representative Braun, we are going to do it as soon as practicable, and I would hope that you come up here and discuss it with me and we'll..."

Braun: "I will do that, Sir."

Speaker Matijevich: "You have always been very cooperative. And

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

now we are going to try to complete... on the Order of Concurrences, some Members we skipped over your name on the matter of concurrences, and rather than going through all of the Calendar, the Clerk would like to announce the Calendar."

Clerk O'Brien: "Supplemental Calendar #2 is being distributed."

Speaker Matijevich: "Any Members that have Motions for Concurrence on the Regular Calendar, would you come up to the well and tell the Clerk so that we can do it in an expeditious manner? Those who have Motions to Concur on the Regular Calendar that we passed by, or on that Supplemental... I see Representative Fullen is back and I'm not sure if Representative Hicks is back. We went through a few. Yes, attention of the Eody. The Clerk is going to announce a error in Supplemental Calendar 2, I believe."

Clerk O'Brien: "Mrs. Oblinger, House Bill 2081 is listed on the Calendar as 2082. It will appear on the next Supplemental Calendar, which will come out shortly. 2081 amends the Illinois Act on the Aging. Appears on Supplemental Calendar #2, but it's incorrectly listed as House Bill 2082. That will be corrected on the next Supplemental Calendar."

Speaker Matijevich: "On Supplemental Calendar #1 appears House Bill 1057, Fullen, which we took out of the record. Representative Pullen on House Bill 1057."

Pullen: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, thank you for going back to my Bill. I move that the House do concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1057. The original Bill is maintained and removes the self-repealing date of July 1st, which is now in the law, and advance disbursements to community mental health centers. It is extremely critical for the cash flow of these community mental health centers that this Bill be passed and,

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

hopefully, signed before July 1st, if we can accomplish that. The Senate has added an Amendment which the Department of Mental Health requested to clarify their responsibility in allowing local workshops and work activities at Mental Health Department facilities to hold receipts from the sale of products. The continued operation of the workshops and commissaries in the Department is jeopardized if we do not approve this because the Auditor General has cited the Department for violation of the law in their current practice of the holding and use of workshop funds locally. They need the statutory authority to continue this in order for the operation of the workshops and commissaries to continue in a smooth fashion. And I urge adoption... concurrence in Senate Amendment #1."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Lady has moved to concur, is that right, Penny? To concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1057. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Jaffe."

Jaffe: "I just wondered if you would yield for a question, Penny. I'm looking at our analysis over here and one of the... one of the things that it says in the analysis, it says, 'This Amendment does not address some of the fund abuses. It seems to insulate the Department from regulation of such practices.' I don't have a person here from my staff to talk to me about it. Could you tell me what they mean by that?"

Pullen: "Sir, the liaison from the Department informs me that the problem that your staff had, in his understanding, is mostly..."

Jaffe: "I'm sorry. I can't hear you. Could you talk a little louder?"

Pullen: "I couldn't hear you very well either."

Jaffe: "Well, alright, but I do want to hear you because I love

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

to hang on every word that you say, Penny."

Pullen: "I know. The liaison from the Department informs me that his understanding is that your staff's main problem is with the Department's handling of amusement funds. He believes that this Bill helps that situation, although it is not intended really to address that. It's intended to address the problems with workshops and commissaries."

Jaffe: "Well, it says that... it says, 'seems to insulate the Department from regulation of such practices'. Would that not... is that incorrect?"

Pullen: "The Department is still subject to, even under this, to audit of these funds, but this legislation would authorize the existing practice of the funds being held locally instead of having to be deposited into the State Treasury and then remitted back out. It is matters of very small disbursements at a time. We're talking about commissaries."

Jaffe: "Alright, thank you very much for your answers."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Dunn."

Dunn: "Must to my surprise, and just for the record, I rise in support of concurrence on this Bill."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Mautino."

Mautino: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Lady yield for a question?"

Speaker Matijevich: "She indicates she will. Proceed."

Mautino: "Representative Pullen, your Amendment addresses the contingency funds for the clients in the facilities, does it not, under Senate Amendment 1? It permits the Department to hold and administer income from it's... not only the commissaries, but also from the individuals as it pertains to workshop programs and the personal fundings? Does it or does it not?"

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Pullen."

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

Pullen: "No, Sir. That is patient funds, and this does not address patient funds. It's the business operations of the workshops and commissaries."

Mautino: "Okay, I guess I want to address the Dixon situation, if I may. Would you tell me how this Amendment addresses the Dixon Developmental Center?"

Pullen: "There are no commissaries or workshops at Dixon, so it doesn't address the situation at Dixon."

Mautino: "That's exactly what I wanted to hear because there was a commissary and, of course, and there was workshops at Dixon that are no longer there, that are now under contractual services to the same individuals you are addressing in this legislation, is that not true? We have contracted out a lot of the services, especially dietary and in those areas. Is that going to be the continuation of this program?"

Speaker Matijevich: "Are you through, Representative Mautino. Representative Penny Pullen to respond."

Pullen: "I'm sorry, Sir. I do not have that information, and the Gentleman from the Department who is assisting me is not aware of that specific situation at Dixon. I wish I could answer your question."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Vitek."

Vitek: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move the previous question."

Speaker Matijevich: "Looks like it won't be necessary. Representative Pullen to close."

Pullen: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I believe that I have satisfied the questions of the Gentleman from Cook, I hope to his satisfaction. The other Gentleman, I'm sorry. I didn't do anything to Dixon, and I can't help what that situation is, but it does not relate to this Bill, to my knowledge. This Bill is very critical to community mental health centers in Illinois. Their cash flow situation, which is already

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

difficult, depends on its passage here today. I believe that the Senate Amendment responsibly addresses a problem that the Auditor General has raised, and that the initial Bill is very critical. And I urge that the House join me, please, in concurring with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1057."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Pullen moves to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1057. Those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 111 'ayes', no 'nays', and... yes, record him as 'yes'. And the House concurs with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1057. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On the Regular Calendar appears House Bill 400. The Gentleman from Lake... Wojcik 'aye', Hensel 'aye'. Oh, there he is. Hensel 'aye'. Leave. Gentleman from Lake, Representative Pierce, on House Bill 400."

Pierce: "House Bill 400 is a Bill which prohibits the State of Illinois from withholding, because of the state income tax, interest and dividends. This would have occurred if the... under federal law because we are tied into the federal income tax, and on July 1st, unless Congress passes legislation, which might happen, Illinois, because we are coupled in with the federal tax, would have withheld interest and dividend payments for the payment of taxes. Now, the Senate Amendment #1 added two categories that weren't in the original House Bill 400 that would be withheld for the first time under federal and state law of July 1st. Those are under deferred compensation and certain pension payments. So what House Bill 400 is amended by Senate #... Amendment #1 says is that there

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

should be no additional withholding on Illinois income tax of any item, interest, dividends, deferred compensation or pension, after July 1st, that isn't withheld prior to July 1st. The Amendment completes the purpose of the Bill, and I would, therefore, move to concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 400."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Pierce moves to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 400. The Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Hallock."

Hallock: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Matijevich: "He indicates he will."

Hallock: "So with the passage of House Bill 400, as amended from the Senate, there will be no withholding whatsoever as of July 1st?"

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Pierce."

Pierce: "There will be no additional withholding, other than what's withheld now, which is wages and salaries and so on and so forth. What House Bill 400 will provide is that there will be nothing withheld after July 1st, that isn't withheld under present law."

Hallock: "Thank you."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Pierce has moved to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 400. There being no further discussion, those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 114 'ayes', no 'nays'. The House does concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 400, and this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On page 4 of your Calendar appears House Bill... Representative Doug Huff, for what purpose do you rise?"

Huff: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. I'd like leave to move House Resolution

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

244 into Interim Study."

Speaker Matijevich: "You weren't recognized for that purpose.

We're still on some concurrences. House Bill 417, Richmond. Representative Richmond on House Bill 417."

Richmond: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 417. This Amendment provides that an unmarried surviving spouse of a law enforcement officer or firefighter who is killed in the line of duty shall be entitled to employment with the state that's commensurate with the surviving spouse's abilities. It passed as amended in the Senate 53 to 2, and it's supported by every law enforcement, and police and firemen association in the state."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Richmond has moved to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 417. On that, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I initially had asked Representative Richmond to take this out of the record so I could study further the Amendment. And I have met with representatives from the Attorney General's Office, and I rise in full support of this particular Motion to concur. All the questions that I had have been answered. As I understand the legislation, which has been introduced at the suggestion of the Attorney General, the Director of Central Management Services, after an applicant has gone through the procedures necessary to be eligible to be a state employee, shall employ the people in question. The law says that they are entitled to it, and they shall be hired by the state. The legislation makes clear that they cannot exceed the salary of the deceased spouse. Once they are hired, they come under all the personnel rules and

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

regulations. And I think that when you look at the goals of this particular Amendment, it was put on in the Senate, the Attorney General should certainly be complimented for bringing this legislation to us, and I would ask for the support on this Motion of Representative Richmond's."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Birkinbine."

Birkinbine: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Matijevich: "He indicates he will."

Birkinbine: "A question generated by the comments of the last speaker. Does this mean that the surviving spouse of a deceased fireman or policeman will, must be employed by the State Government?"

Richmond: "Yes, that's the intent of the legislation."

Birkinbine: "So that, even if there is no particular job opening at the time or if, say, we're going through one of these periods where there is a hiring freeze, if a surviving spouse comes and says, 'Okay, here I am. These are my abilities. Now, give me a job.', government would have to comply."

Richmond: "The answer is yes, but it would only... there would only be about four or five cases per year involved. And, given the circumstances, I feel it's justified."

Birkinbine: "Okay. Thank you."

Richmond: "You're welcome."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Richmond has moved for the concurrence of Senate Amendment #1... Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 417. Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. Final action. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 81 'ayes', 36 'nays', none answering 'present', and the House does concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

Bill 417. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. The Chair would like, again, if there are any Members who we have skipped over your Bill and you would like to entertain the Motion to concur, to... on either the Regular Calendar or Supplemental 1, to come to the well and let us know. Yes, Representative Rice, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

Rice: "I would like to have the House review 1024."

Speaker Matijevich: "One moment on that. One moment with that, Representative Rice. Carol Eraun, would you come here for a moment? Would the House please give the Chair your attention? The House is going to be in a recess until 3:30, at which time we've come to a point where we want to make sure that both the Majority Party and Minority Party give close analysis now of the Senate Amendments that have just come over on the Supplemental Calendar. And so that enough time is given for that, we want to break for an hour. The House will recess and come back in one... at 3:30. One moment. Alright. The Clerk will make an announcement."

Clerk O'Brien: "Supplemental Calendar #3 is being distributed."

Speaker Matijevich: "Messages from the Senate."

Clerk O'Brien: "Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary."

"Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House passage of Bill the following title, to wit: House Bill #1040 together with an Amendment, and the adoption of which I am instructed to ask concurrence of the House, passed the Senate as amended June 28, 1983. Kenneth Wright, Secretary."

Speaker Matijevich: "Alright, so that everybody here knows the intentions of the Chair, as I said, we are going to recess

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

for an hour. When we come back, we are not sure yet. The Speaker and others are doing some work on what we know to be a very important problem, and if they conclude with that, we will probably come to a caucus when we come back. If they have not concluded with that, we will continue with some of our work on Concurrence and then go to the caucus when they are concluded with that. But then we want to continue, after that, with some more of the paper work that is coming from the Senate, but our intentions now are to try to get out of here as early as possible tonight, and with everybody's cooperation, we think we can do that. And at this stage of the Session, hallelujah. We've recessed until 3:30."

DiPrima: "Yes, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I just want to give a final report on that Poppy Day collection. We collected a total of \$342.79. Now, you must remember that we had fifty-nine Members less, and so that was pretty good considering we collected 389 last year, and 340... so we did pretty good. I want to thank each and every one of you, and those of you that are on the adjournment poll, the exact time of adjournment will be made by the master dictophone in the transcribing department. So submit your... the time of adjournment. Is Tom Ewing here? Jack, can I make a presentation to you? Alright. Now, Jack, you know Ewing has been making a lot of noise about the Ladies bathroom and the shortage of the potties or what have you, so on behalf of the... here's a contribution of an additional potty. It's fur-trimmed."

Davis: "Well, we accept on behalf of... it's not quite enough, nor is it probably big enough. But the truth of the matter is, it is a step in the right direction, and we hope the Speaker will continue and finish building the bathroom so that the issue is put to rest finally."

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

Speaker Matijevich: "The House will come back to order. Messages from the Senate."

Clerk O'Brien: "A Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. 'Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred with the House in passage of Bills of the following title, to wit; House Bills #805, 1045, 1144, 1179, 1182, 1369, 1382, 1409, 1413, 1414, 1470, 1337, 1355 and 1838, together with the attached Amendments, and the adoption of which I am instructed to ask concurrence of the House, passed the Senate as amended June 28, 1983. Kenneth Wright, Secretary.'"

Speaker Matijevich: "The House... The House is going to try to continue with as many concurrences for a while as we can get out of the way. Representative Hicks, we were trying to move on Supplemental Calendar 1, the concurrence, and you weren't there. Are you ready to proceed with House Bill 1089? The Gentleman from Jefferson, Representative Hicks."

Hicks: "Yes, Sir. On House Bill 1089, I'd move for concurrence for the Senate."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Hicks moves that the House... one moment. Alright. We didn't want to proceed until you came back, Sam, so I think we're ready to go. The Gentleman moves to... that the House concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1089. There being no discussion... I'm sorry. Representative Hawkinson."

Hawkinson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Matijevich: "He indicates he will. Proceed."

Hawkinson: "Representative, what did the Senate Amendment do?"

Hicks: "Yes, Sir. It simply dealt with the problem of the bringing of the 80,000 pound weight classes and made the additional two weight classes if and when we do go to

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1963

80,000 pounds in the state."

Hawkinson: "I know that the Farm Bureau supported your original Bill. Are they still supportive with the Senate Amendment on it?"

Hicks: "I have not discussed the Senate Amendment with them, but it's in the same light as the rest of the Bill. There is really no change in the Bill, other than the fact of what we're trying to do to bring it up to the semi tractor-trailer type of license plate, and that's the same thing the Amendment does. It simply takes an effect of once we do go to the 80,000 pound, that the farm trucks will still be in compliance."

Hawkinson: "Any opposition that you know of to that Amendment?"

Hicks: "The only opposition I would know would be the same opposition we had to the original Bill, which was expressed in Committee by the Midwest Truckers' Association, and I would assume that they would still be in opposition. Their opposition was never changed, and I don't think you could change it."

Hawkinson: "Thank you."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Yourell."

Yourell: "Yes. Are we finished with this Order of Business?"

Speaker Matijevich: "Not yet. Would Representative Yourell come to the podium? The Gentleman has moved that the House concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1089. Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? This is final action. The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 110 'ayes', 2 'nays', and the House concurs with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1089. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Yourell."

Yourell: "Yes. Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise on a point of

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

personal privilege. I got a call... "

Speaker Matijevich: "Proceed."

Yourell: "... from several of my newspaper editors in my district today. It seems that the Minority Party is again demonstrating their lack of expertise and talents and abilities relative to certain measures that are being considered on this House floor. It's the old press release gimmick again, and the last one that went out relative to the headline was, 'Yourell Bilks Taxpayers for Travel Expense'. That was in reference to a Bill of Representative Nash's, and really, if you want to be effective with that kind of nonsense, you really ought to... you really ought to - and I'm directing this at the Republican Leadership - you really ought to put your names on it, because my editors call me and say, 'What's this trash?' If you're ashamed to put your name to it, we're not going to print it. So if you really want to be effective, don't be afraid to put your name on it."

Speaker Matijevich: "Talk to Representative Pierce about that, will you? The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Pierce."

Pierce: "Was that put out at state expense by state employees with state stamps on state envelopes to attack Members of the Legislature for partisan political purposes? Is that where our state... Is that why we need almost a million... a billion dollars more here in the... in the tax plan put forward by Representative Daniels in order to pay for all these flacks in the... "

Yourell: "I think that's accurate, Representative Pierce, but again, you know, if you can do that, you ought to really try to be effective, and it's really so ineffective. It's kind of a... at least the editors of my newspapers laugh at it, and they throw it in the wastebasket when they receive it. So, if you want to really do a good job, I'll show you

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

how to do that."

Pierce: "Well, how much do you think of the new billion dollars proposed by Minority Leader Daniels is going to go for that type of expense, for attacking fellow Members of the Legislature for spending too much money?"

Yourell: "I think it will be a substantial amount, because here it is only June, and the primary's not until next March, so they're starting early, so judging from that, they're going to spend a lot of money for that purpose and... and again, if you're going to be effective, you really ought to know how to do it."

Pierce: "Well, I notice he's up from 750 million to over 900 million, and the 1 cent permanent sales tax we'll probably use for that purpose, I imagine."

Yourell: "Well, maybe we ought to get a release on that."

Pierce: "Alright. Thank you."

Speaker Matijeovich: "Alright, the Chair is now going to back... go back to the regular Calendar. On the Order of Concurrences, there are some that are in the position to call. One of them's mine. We'll take that out, for the minute... moment. House Bill 1155, Hannig. Representative Hannig on House... alright. There's an okay on that, but you want to take it out? Alright. Alright. The Gentleman... House Bill 1255, Stuffle. Is Representative Stuffle on the floor? House Bill 1257, Breslin. Is Representative Breslin... 1257 we've nonconcurred in. Okay. House Bill 1388, Monroe Plinn. It's on page eight. We have concurred in that one. That one's evidently been okayed. House Bill 1399, Pullen. Have we done that one yet? We've done that. We nonconcurred. Well, this is the list I'm going by that... 1412, McAuliffe. Did we do that one? We've done that one. How about 2201, Diana Nelson? Are you ready, I understand? We're on the Supplemental

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

Calendar #2, on the Order of Concurrences appears House Bill 18, Capparelli. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Capparelli."

Capparelli: "Mr. Speaker, I would move to concur with Senate Amendment #1, which deletes the inflation multiplier factor added to the Bill in House Amendment #4. The Bill now, as it was, gives an increase in homestead improvement exemptions to 25 to 30,000 statewide. I would ask for a Roll Call."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman has moved that the House concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 18. There being no discussion, those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. This is final... final action. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 93 'ayes', 11 'nays', 6 answering 'present', and the House does concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 18. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 22, Jaffe. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Aaron Jaffe."

Jaffe: "Mr. Speaker, I... I move to concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 22. What it does is, it increases the membership of the Advisory Commission from 10 to 11 members. It adds one public member's seat and broadens the type of background or experience which is necessary to allow for persons having experience with victims of domestic and sexual violence. It raises the quorum from five to six and raises the number of votes necessary for the Commission actions from five to six, and it also provides that the Senators can appropriate services for victims of domestic violence. And I would move its... its adoption."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Jaffe has moved that the

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

House concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 22. On that, the Gentleman from DeWitt, Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. I wonder if, at this time, the Gentleman would take the Bill out of the record."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Jaffe."

Jaffe: "Do you have a problem with this, Sam? I don't understand."

Vinson: "Yes. I'd like to come over and talk with you about it for just a minute."

Jaffe: "Okay. Could we have leave to come back to it pretty shortly..."

Speaker Matijevich: "Yes... Out of the record, with leave that we return to it. House Bill 26, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. I would ask Representative Hastert, my hyphenated Cosponsor, to move... "

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Kendall, Representative Hastert."

Cullerton: "Speaker? I'm sorry. I was thinking of 46, and I got them mixed up. I move to nonconcur on... "

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Cullerton moves to nonconcur with Senate Amendment #26 to House... Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 26. No discussion? All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay', and the House does nonconcur to Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 26. House Bill 43, Cullerton. Yields... One moment? Are we ready to go back to the Jaffe Bill? Alright. Leave of the House. We'll return back to House Bill 22. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Jaffe."

Jaffe: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. The problem that the Minority Leader had was that he thought that there were some general revenue funds to be used in this particular Bill. There are no general revenue funds used at all. The money is

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

raised through fines levied against lawbreakers. I've explained that to him, and I believe he has no opposition to it."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from DeWitt, Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. I am reminded by Representative Jaffe that that is, in fact, the case, and given the fact that there is no general revenue money involved, I have no opposition to the Bill."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Jaffe moves to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 22. Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. This is final action. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 99 'ayes', 13 'nays', 2 answering 'present'. The House concurs with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 26, and this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 43. That was House Bill 22, the last Bill. House Bill 43. Cullerton yields to Representative Hastert from Kendall County."

Hastert: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move to concur with Senate Amendment #1. Senate Amendment #1 was an agreed Amendment. It was put on by Senator Netsch. What it tried to do, or what it did do, was to put the point of notice at a more timely place, and it's a good Amendment. It was agreed. We worked it out with the Assessors' Association, and I move to concur."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Cullerton and Hastert have moved to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 43. There being no... Representative Hawkinson."

Hawkinson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Matijevich: "He indicates he will. Proceed."

Hawkinson: "Representative, with the Amendment, are the assessors

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

now in agreement with this Bill?"

Hastert: "They drew it up... the Amendment."

Hawkinson: "Thank you."

Speaker Matijevich: "You've heard the Motion. All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 113 'ayes', no 'nays', and the House does concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 43. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 60, Representative Flinn from St. Clair County."

Flinn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move that the House concur in Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 60. Senate Amendment #1 eliminates the counties of Peoria and Winnebago from the Bingo Bill, which were inadvertently included in the original Bill, and Senate Amendment #2 eliminates the pay of workers up to 15 dollars a day for working the bingo game. And I would ask for adoption of my Motion to concur in these two Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Flinn has moved to concur with Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 60. There being no discussion, those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 99 'ayes', 13 'nays', and 2 voting 'present', and the House concurs with Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 60. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Panayotovich, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

Panayotovich: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I request leave of the

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

House to correct an error that occurred in the Roll Call vote of Senate Bill 749. I was voted 'aye'. The switch was faulty, and I would like to be recorded as 'nay'. And I ask for leave."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman asks leave to be recorded as voting 'no' on Senate Bill 749? Was that it?"

Panayotovich: "Yes, Sir. Yes, Sir."

Speaker Matijevich: "749. Leave, and leave is granted."

Panayotovich: "Thank you."

Speaker Matijevich: "He was trying to take care of the first termers, I believe. House Bill 64, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Terzich. Representative Terzich."

Terzich: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 64 is the New Car Buyers' Protection Act. What Amendment #1 does, it excludes motor homes from the Bill, since the products in there are covered by the manufacturer. It also provides... requires that the seller of a new car to provide the buyer with a written statement clearly setting forth the buyers' rights under the New Car Buyers' Protection Act, and I would move for concurrence with Senate Amendment #1."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Terzich has moved to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 64. There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall we concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 64?' Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Final action. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 96 'ayes', 12 'nays', 1 answering 'present'. Hastert 'no', and McCracken 'no'. Wojcik 'no', and Barger 'no', and Kirkland 'no'. The Clerk is giving me the count. Will the Clerk read into the record those who asked to be recorded 'no'."

Clerk Leone: "The changes are as follows: Hastert votes 'no', having been re... not recorded; Karpziel votes 'no', having

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

been recorded as 'aye'; Kirkland votes 'no', having been recorded as 'aye'; McCracken votes 'no', not having been recorded; and Bernard Federsen votes 'no', not having been recorded."

Speaker Matijevich: "Did you get Kirkland and Wojcik?"

Clerk Leone: "Kirkland votes 'no', having been recorded as 'aye', and Wojcik votes... "

Speaker Matijevich: "'No'."

Clerk Leone: "... 'No', not having been recorded."

Speaker Matijevich: "Alright. Could the Clerk give me the count?"

There are 94 'ayes', 18 'nos', 1 'present'. Topinka... oh, boy. Alright, let's dump this Roll Call. I think we can get enough votes. The question is... on the concurrence of Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 64. Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this, there are 73 'ayes', 43 'nays', 2 voting 'present', and the House concurs with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 64. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Harris, are you seeking recognition? Representative Vinson, are you seeking recognition?"

Vinson: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. If you would just, for a couple of minutes, stand at ease so that analyses can be distributed?"

Speaker Matijevich: "We'll be at ease for a little while. The other night, we... "

Vinson: "Thank you."

Speaker Matijevich: "The other night, we couldn't keep up with the printer, and now we're ahead of everybody. Representative Pierce, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

Pierce: "Well, just... just a point of inquiry. We might be able to follow these Amendments better if we get the latest Digest distributed. Somehow, we don't have, on the floor, the latest Digest. We have June 17th, and I know very well that June 24th is printed and out, but somehow, we don't get them. I don't know why we can't get the latest digest on the floor. It would show a lot of these Senate Amendments in the Digest."

Speaker Matijevich: "We'll take that under advisement and find out where the Digests are. We'll appoint a Committee. That's right. We'll appoint a Committee and Pierce and Slape are Co-chairmen of that Committee. The Gentleman from DeWitt, Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and thank you for the courtesy, and we are now prepared to go."

Speaker Matijevich: "You ready to go?"

Vinson: "Yes, Sir."

Speaker Matijevich: "Alright. I understand 104 is out of the record at the request of the Sponsor or somebody. We'll come back to it, Al. Leave to return shortly. House Bill 112, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Preston."

Preston: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would move to nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 112. The Senate Amendment changed the Bill to require continuance requests in Housing Court, which is one of the divisions of the Cook County Circuit Court, to be in writing only by the defendant. The original Bill required those to be in writing by the defendant or the plaintiff which, in Housing Court, is the City of Chicago, and it's important to have either one have the reasons for the continuance to be in writing so that there is a permanent court record that you or I or someone could review to see why there may have been continuance after continuance. And

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

I would ask your 'aye' vote on my Motion to nonconcur, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Preston moves to nonconcur on Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 112. The Gentleman from DeWitt, Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, will the Gentleman yield for a question?"

Speaker Matijevich: "He indicates he will. Proceed."

Vinson: "Your intention is to remove the Senate Amendment? Is that what I understood? Do you have any other intentions to engraft new material onto this, Representative?"

Preston: "No. I was hoping we could put a office expense increase on this Bill, but I was told it's not germane, so I have no other intentions, Representative."

Vinson: "Thank you. Then I have no reason to oppose the Gentleman's Motion."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman moves to nonconcur on Senate Amendment #1. All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay', and the Motion prevails, and the House does nonconcur with Senate Amendment #112... That Motion carries. That's what I said. On the regular Calendar on page seven, the Sponsor... I'm the Sponsor, and I'm yielding to the Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Hoffman, on a Motion to concur with Senate Amendment #1 on House Bill 1076. The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Originally, I was concerned about if there was a fiscal cost involved in the concurrence on 1076. I have since had a conference with the State Board of Education, and in fact, what is happening is they are putting into the statute's current practice so to satisfy their... their legal staff. And on the basis of that discussion, I move that we concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1076."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Motion to concur with Senate Amendment

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

#1 to House Bill 1076. Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. This is final action. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 110 'ayes', 2 'nays'. The House concurs with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1076, and this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 104, Representative Ronan."

Ronan: "I move to nonconcur with Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 104, and I request a Conference Committee."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Ronan moves to nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 104. There being no discussion, all in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay', and the House... the Motion prevails. And the House does nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 104. 205, Davis. The Gentleman from Will, Representative Davis. Beady on that? Proceed."

Davis: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The Bill as... as passed the House and Senate permitted recorders in counties of the second class, and those are under a million and over 25,000, to keep their offices in a building other than the county courthouse. It... The effect of Senate Amendment #1 was to add an immediate effective date, and the effect of Senate Amendment #2 was to provide that recorders' fees for assignments of mortgages are five dollars for the first two pages plus one dollar for each additional page. Also provided that no assignment of a mortgage shall assign more than one mortgage document in a single transaction. I will answer any questions that you might have, and otherwise, I would move for a concurrence in Senate Amendments 1 and 2."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Davis moves to concur with Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 205. On that, the... "

Davis: "1 and 2, Sir."

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

Speaker Matijevich: "1 and 2, rather. On that, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Yourell."

Yourell: "Will the Gentleman yield?"

Speaker Matijevich: "He indicates he will. Proceed."

Yourell: "Jack, on Amendment #2, does that apply to all counties, or just in compliance with the original intent of the Bill to apply only to counties of second class?"

Davis: "Bus, hang on just a minute. It was brought by the Recorders' Association of Illinois, and I'll have to ask my staff guy. There is no second class provision in Senate Amendment #2, so it would apply to all counties."

Yourell: "Thank you."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Knox, Representative Hawkinson."

Hawkinson: "Speaker, will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Matijevich: "He indicates he will. Proceed."

Hawkinson: "Representative, does the prohibition that says that no assignment of a mortgage shall assign more than one mortgage document in any way effect the numbers of parcels that may be assigned by one document? One assignment?"

Davis: "The Amendment, Representative Hawkinson, does not refer to that. It is silent on that particular issue, so I suppose the prevailing law would... would prevail in that case. I cannot answer your question."

Hawkinson: "We... We had a... a Bill earlier in the Session that would have attempted to limit the number of parcels to one that could be on each mortgage document. I just wanted to make sure, for legislative intent, that you're not trying to do that with... "

Davis: "No, I am not trying to do it again. This is a recorders' Bill that was attached... or, Amendment that was attached to my Bill. There is nothing in the statute as being amended as I'm... as I'm reading in here that says anything

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

about the number of parcels in a single document."

Hawkinson: "Thank you."

Davis: "Just that only one document per transaction."

Hawkinson: "Thank you."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Davis moves to concur with Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 205. Representative Brummer has a question. Proceed."

Brummer: "Yes. I'm addressing the same issue as the prior questioner, I guess, and our analysis indicates that by providing that no assignment of a mortgage shall assign more than one mortgage document as this Amendment proposes, the initial five dollar fee will be assessed more often. Our analysis certainly seems to indicate to me, unless I'm misreading it, that there is some type of limitation with regard to the number of documents... the number of mortgage documents that can be assigned in any one assignment."

Davis: "Well, again, I'll read you the exact wording. It says, 'for recording assignments of mortgages, five dollars for the first two pages thereof, plus one for each additional page thereof, provided, however, that no assignment of a mortgage shall assign more than one mortgage document'. It's obviously a fee increase, Bill, for the recorders' offices."

Brummer: "Yes, but the last language you just read seems to me to clearly indicate that no assignment shall assign more than one mortgage document. Isn't that exactly what it says?"

Davis: "That's precisely what it says."

Brummer: "Well, that... that's exactly opposite the answer that you gave to the prior questioner. The... And.. And the problem that arises is that when savings and loans or banks or something of this nature merge, they... they will assign, in one document, all the... all the mortgage

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

assignments that that financial institution holds, and instead of recording 1,000 or 5,000 assignments, they will record one assignment. Now, I have no quarrel with... with some increase in fee to the recorder's office with regard to that assignment, but I think it is absolutely ludicrous and needless production of paper to require a separate assignment with regard to every assignment document. That was a controversial item, if you recall, if you had this Bill in the Judiciary Committee. I don't recall who... who... "

Davis: "I didn't. No, I didn't."

Brummer: "Well, we dealt with that. Representative Greiman addressed that issue in the Judiciary Committee, and it was decided not to pursue, you know, to go forward on that basis, because that seems to be absolutely ludicrous. And I don't know why, now, we should accept it, unless there's been some explanation that I'm not aware of."

Davis: "Well, I... I'm not aware, Representative Brummer, of any explanation beyond what is in front of me that I can simply say to you is the only instance that your quarrel is with the multiple mortgage documents in a merger of a savings and loan? Mr. Speaker, I wish to divide the question and Senate Amendment #1 to concur."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Davis moves to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 205. Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Final action. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. Representative Davis, for what purpose..."

Davis: "Well, Sir, I divided the question since there seemed to be some concern on Amendment #2. I think that should be considered solely by itself on a Roll Call vote. I simply say to you now, that on Senate Amendment #2, I will move to

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

concur. The arguments may be legitimate that have been raised, or the concerns on Amendment #2. I am a little out of my element, here. I... The Bill was amended by the Recorders' Association of Illinois. I was told about the Amendment. Here's the language, and if there are any effects beyond what is here, I am unaware of it. And I would move now for a concurrence of Amendment #2."

Speaker Matijevich: "Well, let's take the record on #1 first. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 115 'ayes', 1 'nay', and the House does concur with Senate Amendments... Amendment #1 to House Bill 205. And now, the Gentleman moves to concur in Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 205. Being no further discussion... I'm sorry. Representative Greiman."

Greiman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I guess the... about the worst you can say about this is that it just... "

Speaker Matijevich: "One moment. Leave that Donico, who's standing here, be 'aye' on that last Roll Call."

Greiman: "It's just that it doesn't make any sense, and nobody's been able to explain why we ought to have it. It's really foolish. At the Judiciary Committee, as a Member that... the day this Bill came before the Committee, I had been an attorney for the Federal Savings and Loan Insurance Corporation, dissolving bankrupt savings and loans, and we had thousands of mortgages. And we would have been required to make a document, under this Bill. We would have had to have one document for each mortgage, where, in fact, when... when the FSLIC purchased all of the mortgages on the savings and loans, they... they were able to have the assignments on... on one document. The next thing, I guess, will be that we can have no parcel of... no more than one parcel of real estate in a single deed, and so, then we'd have to have a deed for each parcel of real

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

estate conveyed. I really... Frankly, if they could tell me why they need this, and why we should have this, I might vote for it. I do real estate. That's what I do in the real world. But I have no... I absolutely cannot understand this. This Bill just should be defeated because it's stupid."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Deuchler."

Deuchler: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, as to the question that Representative Greiman raised about why the clerks want this Bill... recorders of deeds want this Bill, as our recorder in Kane County explained to me, it is a problem of cross-referencing and filing of these documents that is a problem for the recorders, and the recorders statewide have supported this Bill. It's one of their... their major legislative package items this year."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Brummer."

Brummer: "Yes, if I might briefly speak to this issue, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Matijevich: "Proceed."

Brummer: "I... The increased recording fees may be entirely legitimate, and I would certainly support that. It is certainly also possible and, in fact, is done in other recording fee schedules, that when there is more than one tract of land described in a deed, for example, there is an additional one dollar for every additional tract. The purpose of that additional charge with recording on deeds is so that the recorders' offices are compensated with regard to each additional tract that they have to index and things of this nature. They can do the very same thing, and I would certainly support that additional fee with regard to assignment documents, but it is absolutely ludicrous to require a separate assignment document for every mortgage that is assigned. It is needless production

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

of paperwork. It will entail needless attorney fees with regard to the attorneys involved in that. It absolutely makes no sense. It will clutter the records in the recorder's office. The sensible thing to do with regard to this is to nonconcur with Senate Amendment #2, send it back to... to Conference Committee, or send it to Conference Committee, adopt a modified fee schedule so that the recorder's office can be compensated with regard to the indexing they need to do, and... and remove the prohibition, though, from having more than one tract of land or one mortgage described in any assignment. I would respectfully urge a 'no' vote on this concurrence Motion."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Ronan. Ronan. Representative Ronan, I guess, doesn't want recognition. The question is, 'Shall the House concur with Senate Amendment... Representative Davis to close."

Davis: "Yeah. I'm going to continue proceeding along the Motion to concur. I have not been convinced by the arguments, although I'm certainly a bit out of my element. I don't know how many savings and loans do actually merge, and this problem creates itself by multiple mortgages. I simply know that if you're going to do a multiple mortgage of 500 or 1,000 within the recorder's office, they have to go back into that bulk mortgage and then pick out each individual one and record them, and there is an enormous consumption of tax monies for that purpose. And I don't know where the monies are going to come from to pay that. I suppose from the FLIC or from the mortgage institutions that are closing. I also know that this 59 is nothing out of the Senate with this Amendment on it. Whether it was on an agreed Bill or list... list or not, I don't know. It was noncontroversial there, and I think the Senate has a right to a Roll Call on a 59 to nothing vote in this chamber on

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

Senate Amendment #2. And I move to concur."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Davis moves to concur on Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 205. Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed voting 'no', and this is final passage. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 69 'ayes', 38 'nays', 6 voting 'present', and the House does concur with Senate Amendment 2 to House Bill 205. And concurring with both Amendments, this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Hays 'no' on this... on this last Amendment. House Bill 234, the Lady from Cook, Representative Currie."

Currie: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I move the House do concur in Senate Amendments 1, 2, 3 and 6 to House Bill 234. 234 is the Freedom of Information Act. The Senate Amendments redefining 'public body' for purposes of this Act, responding to concerns brought from the Department of Law Enforcement about the confidentiality of criminal arrest records, concerns about the Legislative Investigating Commission with respect to their confidential sources, and some of the financial security strategies of the Illinois Housing Development Authority bring this Bill into virtually identical form as Senate Bill 1332, the Open Records Act, that this House passed last week. House Bill 234 is, I think, in good shape. It is the Freedom of Information Statute, the statute lacking in this state but in no other other state, nor in the Federal Government. I move that the House do concur in these Amendments."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Currie moves to concur in Senate Amendments 1, 2, 3 and 6 to House Bill 234. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Piel."

Piel: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Lady yield to a

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

question?"

Speaker Matijevich: "She indicates she will."

Piel: "Representative Currie, I noticed that you mentioned that it is now completely the same as the one that we sent... or that came over to here a week or so ago. Correct?"

Currie: "We had amended Senate Bill 1332 to include the provisions that had already been ratified by the House in 234. In the meantime, there were further negotiations with law enforcement personnel, the Legislative Investigating Commission, the Department of Registration and Education. Those provisions... "

Piel: "I've got no problems with that, Barbara."

Currie: "Okay."

Piel: "There's only one question. Didn't we have, in the other one, the... the charge... you know, this is a minor point, but didn't we have the 50 cent a page charge or the minimal charge. I noticed that in Amendment #2, they are taking that out."

Currie: "There had been a request by the Municipal League that the copying fees, and only copying fees, are permitted in the Bill... "

Piel: "Eight."

Currie: "Be capped at 50 cents. They later changed their minds and concluded that, in fact, if the copying... the cost of copying were more than 50 cents, the local government or the state agency should be able to recover that actual charge. So, we deleted the Section that capped the copying fees at 50 cents, at their request."

Piel: "Okay, now. In other words, the people would still be paying up to 50 cents. Am I correct or not?"

Currie: "People will be paying the actual cost of copying, whether it is more or less than 50 cents."

Piel: "Oh, they would. They would. That was all I needed to

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

know. Thank you."

Currie: "Thank you."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Matijevich: "She indicates she will."

Cullerton: "Did this... Did these Amendments in the Senate... have anything to do with the Illinois Development Housing Authority?"

Currie: "The... No. The Illinois Housing Development Authority, however, is the subject of part of Amendment #6."

Cullerton: "That would be IHDA or... "

Currie: "IHDA."

Cullerton: "IHDA. I meant IBDA."

Currie: "IHDA."

Cullerton: "Yes. Did the Senate Amendments have anything to do with the Illincis... "

Currie: "Housing Development Authority."

Cullerton: "Housing Development Authority."

Currie: "Yes."

Cullerton: "And what is it the... What does the change in the Bill... How does it effect that Authority?"

Currie: "Their concern was the financial advantage that might be available to a commercial enterprise of private party if their... if the Agency's own financial strategy were disclosable, and I believe that the language we adopted ensures that there will be no commercial advantage to somebody by virtue of access to IHDA information. I could read you the language we adopted, if you like."

Cullerton: "Yes. Could you, please?"

Currie: "Drafts, notes, recommendations and memoranda pertaining to the financing and marketing transactions of the public body to the extent they contain infcormation which, if

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

disclosed, would be likely to give financial advantage to a private party with respect to contemplated financial transactions with or by the public body."

Cullerton: "So the purpose is to make sure that a person in the... out there in the public could not get records which would allow that person to have an unfair advantage economically."

Currie: "It is... It is the only... The only issue at stake in this Amendment is to ensure that private commercial enterprises are not taking advantage of the information available from an agency like the Illinois Housing Development Authority to put themselves competitively ahead of somebody else. In fact, let me just make the point that in the public policy Section of House Bill 234, there is specific language saying that this Act shall not be used to further private commercial gain. That is... That is one of the statements that... that this Bill says is not the intent of freedom of information. We are concerned with Government accountability, and there is nothing in this Amendment that would prevent access to the public of information about the management of the Housing Development Agency, the recipients of grants or bond authorizations from that Agency."

Cullerton: "So... "

Currie: "It is a financial strategy that we are attempting to protect to the extent that knowledge of it would give competitive advantage to a private commercial firm."

Cullerton: "So it's not intended to provide the Authority itself with any special advantages, but rather, to try to guarantee that someone would not make the authority the victim, if you will, by allowing them to get some special knowledge."

Currie: "Exactly. Either... Either advantage themselves over the

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

Authority or with respect to some other private competitor."

Cullerton: "Fine. Thank you."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Kendall, Representative Hastert."

Hastert: "Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Matijevich: "Would the crowd in front of Hastert find some way to stoop down? Proceed."

Hastert: "Representative Currie, just a couple questions. I see, by the synopsis, that an Amendment that we put on here dealing with the Legislative Investigative (sic - Investigating) Commission was taken off. Is that correct?"

Currie: "It was, but if you look at Amendment 6, you will see that... "

Hastert: "That's... That's my second question."

Currie: "... That it was taken off by virtue of being replaced by language, I think, more... more targeted to the issues of concern by the Agency."

Hastert: "My second question is, then, is that the agreed Amendment that we had talked about previously that you put on a Senate Bill in this chamber? Is that the same Amendment?"

Currie: "It was certainly agreed to by the Sponsor, by the Executive Director of the Legislative Investigating Commission. I hope it will be agreed to by the Members of this House, as well."

Hastert: "Thank you."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Lake, Representative William Peterson."

Peterson: "Thank you. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Matijevich: "She indicates she will. Proceed."

Peterson: "Representative, my concern is with Amendment 2 taking the cap of 50 cents off the copy charge. I feel that the

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

Municipal League is very wrong by taking a cap off. I mean, what's going to prevent a municipality by saying, or any governmental unit, saying it's two dollars a copy or five dollars a copy. I don't think that's going to be reasonable. If there's no cap, then it's up to that governmental unit to decide what they want to charge, and I think this, in many cases, could limit access to copies of records. And I think there will be some problems with this particular Amendment."

Currie: "Actually, Representative Peterson, the language - and I'm looking for it now - I believe specifies that the agency, the local government, whatever governmental body, may charge no more than the actual cost of copying. So, the effect of removing the cap does not change the capacity of the... no agency can charge more than the actual cost of copying, with or without the 50 cent cap. What it now can do is charge 75 cents, if that is the actual cost of copying. With the 50 cent cap, of course, they would not have been able to do so. But I will look and find for you the... the specific language that says, 'actual cost of copying'."

Peterson: "Thank you. I just do have concerns that someone could say, 'Our actual cost would be a dollar a copy', and I think that would limit, in some cases, access to those copies. Thank you."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Bullock. Representative Bullock moves the previous question. The question is, 'Shall the main question be put?' Those in favor say 'aye', those opposed say 'no'. The Motion prevails. The main question is put. The Lady from Cook, Representative Currie, to close."

Currie: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I think the Bill has been well discussed. I think this now

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

about the fourth discussion in this House. Freedom of information - it isn't too late for Illinois to join the pack. We may be last, but I think that this Bill makes it pretty clear that we'll be among the best. I hope we'll have your support to concur with the Senate in Amendments 1, 2, 3 and 6 to House Bill 234."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Currie moves that the House concur with Senate Amendments 1, 2, 3 and 6 to House Bill 234. Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 97 'ayes', 13 'nays', 3 answering 'present', and the House concurs with Senate Amendments 1, 2, 3 and 6 to House Bill 234. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Hopp 'aye'. Hopp. Jane Barnes 'aye'. House Bill 235, the Lady from Cook, Representative Currie."

Currie: "Just... Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I move the House concur in Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 235. House Bill 235 provides that sex harassment on the job is a violation of the... of the Human Rights Act. The Bill was amended in the Senate to respond to a specific concern of the State Chamber of Commerce by specifying that harassment among co-workers is not a violation against the employer without the employer's knowledge. As amended, the Bill has support from the National Organization for Women, the Illinois State Chamber of Commerce, the Department of Human Rights, the Commission on Human Rights, many other good government groups. I hope the House will concur with the Senate in that Amendment. I'm happy to answer any questions."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Currie moves that the House adopt... concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

235. There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall the House concur with Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 235?' Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 102 'ayes', 6 'nays', and the House concurs with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 235. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 247, Pierce. Are... Is that in or out? The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Pierce."

Pierce: "House Bill 247, Mr. Speaker, is the Bill that came out of the Joint Senate-House Committee to revise the Real Estate Transfer Act forms green sheets. Senate Amendment #1 is a technical Amendment that we... that we agreed to that was... that was recommended by the Department of Revenue that makes it clear that we're talking about... we're talking about creative financing and we're talking about seller financed transactions as being the ones that are exempt from coverage by the full disclosure of the green sheets. And, therefore, I move the adoption... I move concurrence by the House in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 247."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Pierce moves to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 247. The Gentleman from Kendall, Representative Hastert."

Hastert: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Matijevich: "He indicates he will."

Hastert: "Representative Pierce, the... you said we adopted an Amendment in Committee, and it failed to get recorded?"

Pierce: "No, I'm sorry. This was an Amendment that the Department of Revenue wanted to put on the Bill that I think conformed with what our intention was in the Bill,

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

and that is to... to... not to rely on seller financed transactions in establishing the state... the multipliers."

Hastert: "Well, yeah. I'm familiar with what we're doing. This is the thing that we worked out. Is this the... Is this the Amendment that we worked out in our Joint Committee? That's why I'm asking."

Pierce: "Yes, I believe this was the Amendment... "

Hastert: "The check off thing where you had the seven check offs? Is this part of that?"

Pierce: "Yeah. This is part of it, and it's... it's a Amendment that I'd... that we had agreed to but somehow didn't get put on in the House."

Hastert: "Well, that's what my analysis said. I just wanted to make sure that's that Amendment."

Pierce: "Well, that's correct. It was an Amendment that... that you and I had agreed on, and the green sheets Joint Committee agreed on, but somehow, it wasn't placed on exactly that way in the House, so we agreed to have a friendly Amendment in the Senate, which... by Senator Netsch, who was on our Committee, that accomplishes the purpose."

Hastert: "Then, for the record, this is the Amendment that we agreed upon that was part of the State Bar Association's concern and part of the Illinois Taxpayers' Federation concern, and that we agreed on in the bipart... in the Joint Committee."

Pierce: "That's right, and I'm glad that the State Bar Association has such a capable spokesman as yourself to bring that into legislative intent."

Hastert: "Thank you."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Hallock."

Hallock: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise in support of the

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

Gentleman's Motion. Last year, as you may recall, those of you who were here, we became a bit overzealous in the way we amended the green sheet form. This Bill seeks to correct those errors, and I think, in fact, should be supported."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Pierce moves... moves that the House concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 247. Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 111... 12 (sic - 112) 'ayes', no 'nays'. The House concurs with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 247. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 261, the Gentleman from Macon, Representative Dunn."

Dunn, J.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 261. Senate Amendment #1 clarifies that insurers may go directly to their own attorneys for the purposes of initial advice and consultation. This was a stumbling block in the Senate. The subject matter of this Bill is prepaid legal insurance. We authorized that a year or two ago. No one would write prepaid legal insurance unless the subject matter of... of House Bill 261 is adopted, which provides that the insurance company can, at least on the initial go round, provide for their own counsel to screen the insurers to determine whether they really have a legal problem or not. When the Bill got to the Senate, there was a stumbling block, and the Senators wanted to provide that the insurers may also go directly to their own attorney instead of to the insurance company attorney for initial consultation. In any event, after initial consultation, the insured is free to go back to his own attorney... his

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

or her own attorney. I recommend an 'aye' vote. I know of no opposition. No, and this does not deal with prepaid legal plans. It effects them in no way."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman has moved that the House concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 261. There being no discussion, those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 106 'ayes', 6 'nays'. The House concurs with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 261, and this Bill, having received a... received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 264, Stuffle, the Gentleman from Vermilion. Representative Stuffle."

Stuffle: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, the Senate Amendment to this Bill takes out the original provisions of the Bill which are now covered more than adequately by the collective bargaining Bill which, yesterday, was passed in the Senate without Amendment and sent to the Governor. The Senate Amendment also provides, after having stripped the Bill of provisions regarding inservice training with regard to collective bargaining, that now being out, the Amendment would take out the bar that now exists in the law that prevents persons who have an association with a school district from being on the State Board of Education. Currently, if you're employed by a school district, in whatever capacity - an administrator, a teacher or whatever - you may not be a member of the State Board of Education. This Amendment would allow a person, would not necessarily put them on there at all, but would take out that bar to that membership. Currently, you can do millions of dollars worth of work with school districts, have a beneficial interest in their activities, serve on the State Board of

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

Education, but if you teach there or work there, you can't. I think that's an unfair restriction. It ought to be removed. People ought to be treated the same, and based upon their merits and their abilities, considered for appointment to the State Board. Accordingly, I would move to concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 264."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Stuffle moves to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 264. The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, with all due respect to the fine Sponsor, I rise in opposition to this legislation. When I sponsored the Bill that created the State Board of Education back in 1973, one of the agreements that we made was that no one effected by the decisions of that State Board of Education directly, which was going to be appointed by... by the Governor from judicial districts, was to be an employee of school districts who were going to be regulated and effected by the decisions made by the State Board of Education. There was an obvious conflict of interest... obvious conflict of interest if you are... the regulator and the regulatee. Now, you'd normally don't put foxes in with chickens, and in my judgment, that's exactly what you're doing here. You have to separate it. The line was very clear. It was very intentional, and it has worked very well for the last 10 years. In fact, we have a member serving on the State Board of Education who was a school teacher but is no longer. That's no prohibition on that. And so, with all due respect to the fine Sponsor, I would suggest to you that he does not have a good piece of legislation. I would ask for your 'no' votes on this concurrence."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Fullock."

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

Bullock: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise in support of a concurrence to House Bill 264. One of the previous speakers makes an interesting point, and I certainly respect his judgment on most issues, but in this one, he's absolutely incorrect. For all of us know, whether it's at the state, the national or the local level, that in many instances, the regulator is, in fact, the regulatee. I asked the Gentleman who regulates the banks on the Federal Reserve Board. I asked the Gentleman who regulates the business on the Interstate Commerce Commission, and we could go on and on and on. The list is endless. It's not too often, it's not too infrequent, rather, that we do find individuals who represent a particular industry or organization or group serving on a policy making regulatory agency. For after all, who could deny that they have expertise in these sensitive, complex areas? And in this particular instance, when we're talking about a public school education and policies in public schools, we need administrators. We need educators who understand the intricacies of education, of making decisions effecting the lives of the children of this state. I certainly rise in support of House Bill 264 as amended. I would urge an 'aye' vote and concurrence with Amendment #1."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative McCracken."

McCracken: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen. I also rise in opposition to this Bill. The experience of the Federal Government is instructive in this area. There is no allowance in the... among the federal regulators to both occupy a position in the regulated industry and to be a regulator at the same time. Absolutely, beyond any doubt, that has been impermissible in the federal system for many,

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

many years. Recently, the federal system has also begun to require a period or a sabbatical from the private sector having... after having been a regulator. So now, not only does the Federal Government prohibit the... the dual identity, but even after a period of time having regulated the industry, a person is restricted from entry into that same industry. So let's not make a mistake as to what is done in the federal level. Not only do they prohibit that dual identity, but they even stop you from getting into the industry later. This... This Bill is not wise, because it allows that dual identity. There is no prohibition against a person being on the Board of Education, after having left another position in the regulated area. Let's keep it that way. The Supreme Court has said we have a legitimate interest in that, and I submit it's more than just a legitimate interest. It's wise policy. I oppose this Bill and ask for a 'no' vote."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Kulas."

Kulas: "I move the previous question."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Kulas moved the previous question. The question is, 'Shall the main question be put?' Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no', and the main question is put. Representative Stuffle to close."

Stuffle: "Mr. Speaker and Members, I heard the arguments from the other side. I remember well what Representative Hoffman did a few years ago, but frankly speaking, now you can be retired from the school board. You can be retired as a teacher. You can do a million dollars worth of business with the district or the state in education, and you can serve on the board, but you can't be a teacher. You can't be a school board member. You can't be an administrator and serve. Frankly, that's discriminatory, in my opinion,

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

and in so, in a manner that's most unfair to those people. We want expertise on this board and we certainly need some. We've got some good people there, but I think we could have some better ones, some people with day-to-day contact with education, and this Amendment ought to pass. I would point out to you, too, in addition, that 10 years ago, when he passed that, there was a great hue and cry to get politics out of education, to appoint the board, not to have an elected superintendent, and I think we've come a long way since then. We see changes of attitude that have shown us that we, in the General Assembly, make policy for education, that politics is in the middle of it, that we need input from people who know what's going on on a day-to-day basis, and there's only one way to get that. This Bill with this Amendment doesn't force those people to be on the board. It only gives them that opportunity to be on the board. I'm not too concerned about what happened 10 years ago, because I have to deal with legislation, and you do, in 1983, not 1973. I happen to believe we ought to get rid of the board completely and elect the gentleman that runs education in this state, and make him... that agency responsible again to the people. Short of that, I think we ought to put the people there, or give them the opportunity to be there, that day-to-day have to deal with the kids, the parents, the taxpayers, the voters, and know what's going on, and we ought to open an opportunity up to them, as well as the other people who make money off the systems, who have been out of the system for 20 years. And for that reason, I would move to concur in the Senate Amendment, because I think it's a fair and honest, equal, balanced approach to membership on the State Board of Education."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Stuffle has moved to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 264. Those in favor

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. This is final action. Representative Nelson, one minute to explain her vote."

Nelson: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I would rise in opposition to this Bill for exactly the reason that Representative Stuffle just said. As the law stands, it is fair, honest, equal and balanced, because both teachers and school board members are prohibited from serving on the State Board. Representative Stuffle and I have served for this entire term on the House Education Committee and know how very often all that we do there is argue over turf. I would hope that more red votes would go on the board, so as to prevent that kind of thing from happening at the State Board level. Just because there are other places where regulators are, in fact, the regulatees... "

Speaker Matijevich: "The Lady will bring her remarks to a close."

Nelson: "... does not make it right."

Speaker Matijevich: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 71 'ayes', 41 'nays', 4 answering 'present'. The House does concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 264, and this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 320, the Gentleman from Rock... Brunsvold, from Rock Island."

Brunsvold: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would move nonconcurrency on Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 320. Amendment #1 is really not that disagreeable, in my opinion; however, Amendment #2 contains the adult education formula, which has not been agreed upon by both parties yet, and I would thus move for a nonconcurrency on Senate Amendments 1 and 2 on House Bill 320."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Brunsvold moves to nonconcur with Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 320. All

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The Motion prevails, and the House nonconcurrs in Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 320. House Bill 321, Cullerton. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Cullerton. Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yes. Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move to concur with Senate Amendment #1 and 2 to House Bill 321. Senate Amendment #1 authorizes the Dangerous Drugs Commission to develop an automated system for dealing with prescription blanks, effective immediately. The Dangerous Drug Commission will be taking over from the Department of E and E this duty, effective July 1st, of '84. This simply allows them to start setting up the computers in anticipation of that obligation. Senate Amendment... Senate Amendment #2 deletes the provision allowing for a Class A misdemeanor fines in excess of \$1,000. It restores the street value fine provisions that are in the current law for drug cases. It allows for additional fines to be imposed when the suspension is imposed, and it provides judicial discretion as to whether additional fines should be imposed. I would indicate that this... these Amendments were worked out with the Dangerous Drug Commission and the State's Attorneys' Association, including the State's Attorney of Cook County, and I would ask for concurrence with Amendments... Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 321."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Cullerton has moved to concur with Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 321. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Yourell."

Yourell: "Will the Gentleman yield?"

Speaker Matijevich: "He indicates he will."

Yourell: "Representative Cullerton, what... what effect does... do these Amendments have on the present law relating to the seizure of drugs and the bail and the fine relative to the

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

street value of those drugs?"

Cullerton: "The Bill - original Bill - took out the street value classification that you had put into the law the previous Session. What the Senate Amendment does is retain the Bill that you passed last year to say that the street value shall be what the fine shall be - the street value of the drugs."

Yourell: "Are you saying that the Senate Amendment restored the street value fine provisions for drug cases?"

Cullerton: "That's correct."

Yourell: "How about for the bail?"

Cullerton: "I don't believe that this Bill dealt with bail. This... This Bill is designed to take some money to finance... you know, fines... to take fines from defendants to fund youth programs. That's the original purpose of the Bill, and the arguments were over who should get the fines. And they worked out this compromise. But with regard to what the fines should be, we retained the law that you passed last year. With the Senate Amendment #2, that restores the street value fine provisions."

Yourell: "So, okay. In this... In the Amendments in the original Bill, there's nothing in here that deletes from the existing law that the bail shall be determined by the street value of the drugs, and the fine shall also be determined the same way. But what you're saying to me is that it did change the statute in regard to the... to the disposition of the revenue collected through those two devices, instead of the money now going to the Illinois Drug Enforcement Fund for the prevention of drug uses, it's going to be split up... split up among the State's Attorneys?"

Cullerton: "Well, it's... there's somewhat of a complicated formula, but the... the goal was to provide for... to raise

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

funds to fund juvenile drug abuse programs."

Yourell: "How much... Can you tell me... All of the monies collected through that... those two provisions in the existing statute went to the Illinois Law Enforcement... what do they call that?"

Cullerton: "Yeah, I know... "

Yourell: "Department... Illinois Law Enforcement Department, and in that Department, they established a fund for those revenues to be deposited in. Now, how much... I had initial... discussions with somebody regarding this Bill before it got to this stage, relative to... they want to... they wanted to take part of those monies away from the Law Enforcement Department and put them in the various funds of the State's Attorneys throughout the state. How much does the State's Attorney of Cook County get from this... this Bill now?"

Cullerton: "I... I really don't know. I know that when the Bill passed out of the House, the Juvenile Drug Abuse Fund got 12 1/2 percent, and everybody else got 87.5. And that's what the compromise was."

Yourell: "Does the State's Attorney of Cook County get 25 percent of the funds that used to go to the Department of Law Enforcement?"

Cullerton: "I don't know the answer to that. I know that the Juvenile Drug Abuse Fund got 12 1/2 percent."

Yourell: "Thank you."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Hawkinson."

Hawkinson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Gentleman yield?"

Speaker Matijevich: "He indicates he will."

Hawkinson: "Representative, how will the Amendment to House Bill 670, which abolished the Dangerous Drug Commission, effect your Bill?"

Cullerton: "I don't know."

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

Hawkinson: "Do you think we might have a problem? If 670 becomes law as amended, we will have the consolidated program and no longer have the Dangerous Drug Commission, and... just wanted to alert you to that possible problem, in the event you'd want to go to Conference or perhaps..."

Cullerton: "Well, no, I think that I can talk to my constituent, Governor Thompson, and if he's still my constituent, and if he doesn't sell his house, and maybe I can get him to change the... the title on an Amendatory Veto."

Hawkinson: "Thank you."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative John Dunn."

Dunn, J.: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Matijevich: "He indicates he will."

Dunn, J.: "What are prescription blanks?"

Cullerton: "Okay. Well, this... This is Senate Amendment #1, and all I can tell you is that the Department of Registration and Education has a function right now that's being transferred to the Dangerous Drugs Commission, and it's going to be transferred July 1st, 1984. This Senate Amendment #1 allows the Dangerous Drug Commission to develop an automated system for dealing with prescription blanks, effective immediately. It just means that they can start programming their computer with this information so that they can take over that function as is required by law next year."

Dunn, J.: "Do you know the position of the Governor on that transfer?"

Cullerton: "Well, once again, that is already... that's not being done by this Bill. That is already law. So, what..."

Dunn, J.: "What is... You mean, Senate Amendment #1 is already law?"

Cullerton: "No. The transfer is already law. Senate Amendment #1 allows the Dangerous Drug Commission the authority to

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

develop a system a year in advance of the transfer."

Dunn, J.: "Well... Now, I am confused. The analysis that I have indicates that Senate Amendment #1 provides that the Dangerous Drugs Commission, rather than the Department of E and E, shall supply, issue and receive copies of prescription blanks. And they must not be authorized to do that now."

Cullerton: "I read... I'm reading the same analysis. I just spoke with Senator Netsch, who described this Amendment to me in the way that I've described it. If you want, I'll be happy to take the Bill out of the record, and we can go over and have Senator Netsch explain it in greater detail, if you want."

Dunn, J.: "Fine."

Cullerton: "I'd like to take the Bill out of the record."

Speaker Matijevich: "Leave to take the Bill out of the record. House Bill 333, the Gentleman from Bureau, Representative Mautino. Out of the record. House Bill 349. Representative O'Connell asks leave to take it out and return to it. He's going... someone's getting his file from his office. House Bill 351, Mulcahey. The Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Mulcahey, on House Bill 351."

Mulcahey: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I move that we do concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 351. The Senate Amendment just simply adds the provision that allows people to be exempted from the required daily physical education when appropriate excuses are submitted to a school by a pupil's parents or a person licensed under the Medical Practice Act, and I would move for the adoption of... I would move to concur."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Mulcahey moves that the House concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 351. The

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION CREATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

Gentleman from DeWitt, Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, would the Sponsor yield for a question, please?"

Speaker Matijeich: "He indicates he will. Proceed."

Vinson: "I'm sorry, Representative Mulcahey. I just did not hear your explanation of the Amendment, and I wonder if you might further explain it."

Mulcahey: "It adds to the provision that allows pupils to be... to be exempted from the required daily physical education when appropriate excuses are submitted to a school by a pupil's parents or a person licensed under the Medical Practice Act."

Vinson: "Thank you."

Speaker Matijeich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Piel."

Piel: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Gentleman yield?"

Mulcahey: "Yes, I will."

Piel: "Dick, question. Alright. What you're basically, then, adding into this in Senate Amendment #1, right now, the way it is, is that the students to be excused from physical education would have to have a medical excuse from a doctor, correct? Okay. Now, it said, 'doctor or chiropractor', the way it left the House. Now, is 'chiropractor' still in there?"

Mulcahey: "It's 'doctor or chiropractor'."

Piel: "Okay. So, we're talking about a doctor or chiropractor. Now, Senate Amendment #1 will add that a person, or a student's parents or guardian can sit there and make an appropriate excuse and get them off of physical education?"

Mulcahey: "It just makes it clearer, Bob, that a parent now when he did... the parent, indeed, does write that note excusing that student, that note has to be accepted by the school. Prior to this time, it wouldn't. If a

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

chiropractor said, 'You can't take P.E.', and the parent wrote a note to that effect, it was... it was useless. The superintendent could not accept it."

Piel: "Okay. Let's go back. The way our analysis reads it here, it says, 'allows a student's parents or guardian to submit appropriate excuses to excuse a student from physical education classes'. What would an appropriate excuse be, and under what... and under what conditions would a parent be able to get a child excused on their own from physical education class? The reason I'm asking the question is because I don't think a parent has the background to say, 'Hey, you know, the kid, you know, is just telling a lie, or the kid does have a sore leg or whatever.'"

Mulcahey: "Well, it's twofold, Bob. Number one, first of all, a parent, I think, any time could probably write a note to a principal saying that... asking that the student not take physical education that day because they don't feel good, they've got a cold or whatever. And number two, this simply clarifies it in the law that, indeed, that excuse has to be accepted by the principal because, indeed, it was administered. It was put out by a chiropractor. Prior to that time, the superintendent... the principal did not have to accept a note that came from a parent, the parent indicating that that son... the child has been to a chiropractor. The chiropractor says, 'You got a bad back. You can't take P.E.'"

Piel: "Fine. Thank you. Thank you."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Lady from Champaign, Representative Satterthwaite."

Satterthwaite: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question, please?"

Speaker Matijevich: "Proceed."

Satterthwaite: "Representative Mulcahey, does this mean that a chiropractor can excuse a child for an emotional condition

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

as well as he might excuse them for a physical condition?"

Mulcahey: "Of course not. It's just for... physical education is just for a physical condition."

Satterthwaite: "Well, but the Bill does not say that. It says, 'physical or emotional condition as determined by a person licensed under the Medical Practice Act', and so, it seems to me that it leaves the door open for a chiropractor to be determining whether or not a child has an emotional condition that should excuse him from that class. And I, again, fear that we have a Bill that is far too broad, does not specify that the chiropractor can only excuse for conditions relating to his specialty, but, in fact, allows a chiropractor to excuse a child whether or not that condition relates to the area of expertise of the chiropractor. And you apparently don't read it that way, but it certainly sounds to me that the chiropractor will have the right to excuse the child whether or not it is within the chiropractor's area of expertise."

Mulcahey: "No, I disagree with that. I do not think that's the intent of the law, Representative Satterthwaite. That's not the... "

Satterthwaite: "Pardon me?"

Mulcahey: "That's not the intent whatsoever."

Satterthwaite: "Well, I would certainly hope it isn't the intent, but unfortunately, I think the language of the law says exactly that, and for that reason, I think we should not concur in this Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Kulas."

Kulas: "I move the previous question."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Kulas moves the previous question. The question is, 'Shall the main question be put?' Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay', and the

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

main question is put. Representative Mulcahey to close."

Mulcahey: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. The Amendment simply clarifies the Bill. Even more so, it clarifies the intent as it was originally... came out of the House, and it sailed out the House. It sailed out of the Senate, and I would ask for an affirmative vote and concur with House Bill 351."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Mulcahey moves that the House concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 351. Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. This is final action. The Lady from DuPage, Representative Diana Nelson. One minute to explain her vote."

Nelson: "Thank you very much. Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I would like to point out one more issue involving this bad Bill that was raised in Committee. The Medical Society testified that there is not much danger in excusing a child from physical education courses. The danger to that child might come when it's time for that student to return to physical education courses, and at that point, it really is necessary for a doctor to certify that the child is well enough to return. I would urge 'no' votes."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Rock Island, Representative Brunsvold, one minute to explain his vote."

Brunsvold: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I do not really disagree with the medical excuses by chiropractors. However, the part about the parents writing the medical excuses, in the last 14 years that I have been teaching P.E., would be a very great opportunity for the kids who don't like a specific activity to get out of that activity. And this is what they'll do. If I've scheduled a specific event for two weeks, they'll bring a medical excuse because they don't like the event that's scheduled, and this is just a

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

way... another way of getting out of the P.E. courses. I would urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Matijevich: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 69 'ayes', 41 'nays', 4 answering 'present', and the House does concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 351. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Revert back to House Bill 333, the Gentleman from Bureau, Representative Mautino."

Mautino: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 333, as it left the House, was the 'bi-money' Bill that was for the Department of Conservation to stop illegal game providers in this state. In the Senate, they adopted an Amendment, and the Amendment in the Senate basically authorized the Illinois Park Foundation and offered a... a tax credit of up to 100 percent for those donations made to the... the foundation. I see nothing wrong with the legislation. It... It flew out of the House originally as I believe 1259 or something like that and got caught up in the Senate. And I move to concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 333."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman has moved to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 333. The Gentleman from DeWitt, Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, we are in a situation in this legislative Session where we cannot pay our Bills, where the General Revenue Fund is under siege. We have had to borrow to prop it up. We are 520,000,000 dollars behind in obligations, deferred obligations, that we have to find a way to pay. We're in a situation where we may still be living with a doomsday budget for higher education, for mental health and for

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

elementary and secondary education. If you grant tax credits to groups, tax deductions to groups, however desirable those tax deductions may be, however worthy maybe those functions, then what you're doing, in effect, is saying that we're going to have less revenue and as a result of that lower amount of revenue we're going to have to lay off teachers. We're going to have to cut out general assistance. We're going to have to stop caring for the mentally ill and lay off patient care workers in mental health. We're going to have to cut back on school aid payments, and we're going to have to cut salaries for college professors. I don't see how that makes reasonable sense in this kind of a legislative Session. If the state were in a position where we had a five hundred million General Fund balance, then a Bill like this might make eminent sense. I have no doubt about the Sponsor's good intentions in presenting this legislation to the Assembly. He's a fine man, and I'm sure he's very concerned about the issues involved here, but I do have to raise the point that the state General Fund is broke, that we can't meet the obligations we've already contracted, and we ought not be cutting taxes for a purpose like this when essential services are already threatened and in hazard. And I would urge a 'no' vote on this Bill."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Hastert."

Hastert: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Matijevich: "Proceed."

Hastert: "Representative Mautino, is this 100% income tax deduction? Is that correct?"

Mautino: "Originally it was 200. I recommended that it be the same as it is for any other charity organization if they donated to it. It's 100%. If you give them 1,000 dollars, you can write 1,000 dollars off your federal income tax

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

which then would probably reflect in ours."

Hastert: "Well, you can write... This would also allow us then to write that 1,000 not only off our federal income tax but then also write it off our state income tax. Is that correct?"

Mautino: "One effects the other. We write our federal... our state off of our federal. Yes."

Hastert: "That's correct, but we write it off the state off the federal and then we also write it off the state. Okay."

Mautino: "True."

Hastert: "That's all I wanted to clarify. I think we've been preaching here that if you destroy the tax base then you destroy the revenue to the State of Illinois. We've talked about this over and over again. This is just another situation, as well intentioned as the Sponsor of this Bill is, that we are really taking the circumvention around the Illinois General Assembly, where we don't have to... as long as we can our money to income tax deductions then we don't have to pay taxes, and we don't have to appropriate the money through the General Assembly. Again, it's the same old story. It's the same old sermon, I guess, but if you want to raise income taxes then we can afford to give things away and deductions. I think that's another decision that we're going to have to face tonight, or tomorrow or somewhere along down the road. But the less deductions we have..."

Speaker Matijevich: "Will the Gentleman wait. Representative Mautinie... Mautino, for what pur... for what reason do you rise?"

Mautino: "First of all, it's not my Amendment. It was one put on the Senate. I don't love it either, Denny. If... My problem is, I've agreed to not have this Bill used for a vehicle for anything. If we could concur, I'd recommend to

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

the Governor that he strike that provision out, but if that's the case, I can't do it. Let's take it out of the record or I'll... I just don't want to put it in Conference Committee and use it for a vehicle for anything. So I don't know what to do. Let's take it out for a minute. I'll come talk to Sam."

Speaker Matijevich: "Leave. Out of the record. Would Representative Mautino, Van Duyn and Representative Reilly come to the podium just for a few seconds. I want to talk about a Bill. Mautino, Van Duyn and Reilly. The next Bill is Senate Bill 349, O'Connell. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative O'Connell."

O'Connell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would ask at this time that the House concur in Senate Amendments 1 and 4 that were placed in the Senate. Senate Bill (sic - Amendment) 1 was basically a technical change in that it changed different Sections of the statutes than the Bill which we passed out of the House. It also addressed what officers, I should say what representatives of the corporation, could represent the corporation in a small claims court. The Bill... The basis of the Bill allows corporations to go into a small claims court, that is court defined as anything involving the claim of 2,500 dollars or less, without the need for an attorney. So Senate Amendment #4 prohibited the use of any assignee or subrogee of the corporation from representing that corporation in a small claims court. I would, therefore, ask that we concur in Senate Amendments 1 and 4."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman has moved to concur with Senate Amendment #1 and 4, is that correct, to House Bill 349. There being no discussion, all those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. This is

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 114 'ayes', no 'nays'. The House concurs with Senate Amendments 1 and 4 to House Bill 349. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 470, Jim Rea. The Gentleman from Franklin, Representative Jim Rea."

Rea: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. House Bill 470 gives the Bend Lake Conservancy District permissive action in developing an industrial park, and Amendment 1 prohibits the Conservancy District from engaging in coal mining. And I think it's a good Amendment, and I support it and move for concurrence."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Jim Rea moves to concur with House... Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 470. Is there any discussion? Not, the question is, 'Shall House Bill... Shall the House concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 470?' Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? This is final action. The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 114 'ayes', no 'nays'. The House concurs with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 470, and this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 475, Johnson. The Gentleman from Champaign, Representative Johnson, is he here? Out of the record. House Bill 577, Pierce. The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Pierce, on House Bill 577."

Pierce: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, House Bill 577 lowered the fee in the Cook County, which we had raised just two years ago for filing forcible entry and detainer cases from 40 dollars to 15 dollars. Senate Amendment #1 was apparently suggested by the Circuit Court Clerk of Cook County and keeps the

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

basic House Bill intact, but adds... adds to it certain small charges of two dollars for record searches and for hard copy print output, gives the chief judge some discretion in putting charges for obtaining records from the circuit court and increases the jury demand fee from 50 to 90 dollars. It wasn't my idea, but I have no objection to Senate Amendment #1 and, therefore, would move at this time to concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 577."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Pierce has moved that the House concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 577.

On that, the Gentleman from Knox, Representative Hawkinson."

Hawkinson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Gentleman yield?"

Speaker Matijevich: "He indicates he will. Proceed."

Hawkinson: "Does Senate Amendment #1 apply only to Cook County, Representative?"

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Pierce."

Pierce: "Yes, it's my understanding that it amends the Clerks' of Court Act that applies only to Cook County."

Hawkinson: "Thank you."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Erummer. Representative Pierce has moved that the House concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 577. All of those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed voting 'no', and this is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 111 'ayes', 3 'nays', and the House concurs with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 577. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. We will revert back to House Bill 475. The Gentleman from Champaign, Representative Johnson."

Johnson: "I would move to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 475 which simply changes the amount in question

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

from 250 (sic - 250,000) to 100,000 dollars. Has to do with the... the Authority. I don't think there's any objection to it. The original Bill amended the Farm Development Authority Act to increase up to 250,000 the limit on the issuance of notes and bonds of the Farm Development Authority. Pursuant to an agreement with the Farm Bureau and with the independent community banks, we had changed the amount. Now this... this Amendment is to change the Bill to 100,000 which I'm sure is agreeable to everybody. Have indicated that it is. I would move for concurrence with this..."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Johnson has moved to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 475. There being no discussion, those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. This is final action. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 114 'ayes', 1 'nay', and the House concurs with Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 475. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. The Gentleman from Vermilion, Representative Stuffle, on House Bill 628."

Stuffle: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, the Senate Amendment was worked out between the State Board of Education, I understand, and the Bureau of the Budget regarding the manner in which we make transfers and payments to the Chicago and downstate Teacher Retirement Systems. We, specifically, with the Amendment put into the law the current practice of semi-monthly payments to the two systems from transfers into the common school fund. That's agreed to. The Bill otherwise establishes a transfer formula that's the same transfer for retirement funds that we have for school aid funds based in the same way, one-twelfth each month, August through May, one-sixth

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

in June. I know of no opposition at this point to the Amendment, and I move to concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 628."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Stuffle has moved to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 628. On that, the Gentleman from DeKalb, Representative Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "Yes, will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Matijevich: "He indicates he will. Proceed."

Ebbesen: "As far as you know, the transfer of dollars, Representative Stuffle, are we talking about any loss of interest, any loss of interest at all to the General Revenue Fund?"

Stuffle: "Representative, it's my understanding there isn't... there would not be now. The difference of opinion arose over whether we had a payment once a month where there might be a cash flow problem to the state or twice a month which is the current practice. With the Amendment, what the Bill does is codify what's been being done by putting it in the Finance Act. And the Amendment according to SEE in my asking them about it was worked out with the Bureau of the Budget. They brought the Amendment into Committee, and the Senate accepted and signed off on the Bill with the Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Stuffle has moved to... that the House concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 628. Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. This is final action. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 113... 112 'ayes', no 'nays', 1 'present'. House concurs with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 628. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. We'll revert back to House Bill 321. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would move to concur with Senate Amendment #1 and 2 to House Bill 321. As I indicated before, Senate Amendment #1 authorizes the Dangerous Drug Commission to develop an automated system for dealing with prescription blanks effective immediately and provides that the Dangerous Drug Commission, rather than the Department of R and E shall supply, issue and receive copies of prescription blanks next July, 1984. The Dangerous Drug Commission is part of the Executive Branch of government underneath the Governor's Office. The Director is in the cabinet. The Department of R and E is in favor of this change. With respect to the Senate Amendment #2, this restores the street value, fine provisions, for drug cases. Has nothing to do with the issue of bail. It allows for additional fines to be imposed, and it provides for judicial discretion as to whether additional fines should be imposed. I would move for the concurrence of Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 321."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Cullerton has moved to concur with Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 321. There being no discussion, those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. This is final action. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 111 'ayes'... 12 (sic - 112) 'ayes', 2 'nays', and the House concurs with Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 321. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Yourell."

Yourell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. We would like... The Democrats would like leave to caucus in Room 114."

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

Speaker Matijevich: "Alright. The Republicans, the good news is that you can break for dinner for one hour. The Democrats who... meet in Room 114 for one hour. Room 114 immediately for a Democratic Conference. Republicans can enjoy themselves for an hour. And we'll return to try to complete some work, and we appreciate all the cooperation today. Return in an hour, one hour."

Clerk O'Brien: "The House... The House will remain in recess until 7:30."

Speaker Matijevich: "The House will come to order. The Clerk has Calendar announcements."

Clerk O'Brien: "Supplemental Calendar #4, 5 and 6 have been distributed."

Speaker Matijevich: "There's an error on one of the Calendars. The Clerk will announce the error."

Clerk O'Brien: "On Supplemental Calendar #5, on the back, on page two, it's on both sides of that Calendar. Senate Bill 1067 was an incorrect message from the Senate. That should have been Senate Bill 1064. Senate Bill 1064 appears on Supplemental Calendar #6. So disregard that Senate Bill 1067 on Amendment... Supplemental #5."

Speaker Matijevich: "We are going to go back to Supplemental Calendar #2 on Concurrences. House Bill 690, Homer. Is Representative Homer here? Representative Homer on House Bill 690 on Supplemental Calendar #2, page two."

Homer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. In consultation with my fellow chief Sponsors on the Bill, I'm going to move to nonconcur with... with Senate Amendment #1. Senate... Senate Amendment #1 would purport to put a presumption into the law with regard to when a person was guilty of the offense, and I would at this time ask that you join me in nonconurrence."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman has moved to nonconcur with

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 690. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Cullerton. That's all right. No discussion..."

Cullerton: "I support..."

Speaker Matijeich: "... all in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay', and the House nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 690. House Bill 757, Wojcik. The Lady from Cook, Representative Wojcik."

Wojcik: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I do concur with the Amendment. It amends the various statutes..."

Speaker Matijeich: "Could you hold for one moment? There have been many requests to find out how late we're running. It looks as though we'll go to 10:00, so if anybody needs to order out, you can do so. It'll be about 10:00. Representative Wojcik, proceed."

Wojcik: "I want all of you to know that Representative Hensel won the bet. This Amendment covers various statutes concerning notices to provide that notice can be given in an English language, no harm to Joe Berrios, supplement having general circulation in an area. At present the statutes require publication in a newspaper either published in an area or having general circulation in the area. The Amendment also applies retroactively to notices having been published previously in a newspaper supplement. I move for concurrence."

Speaker Matijeich: "Representative Wojcik moves to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 757. And on that, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Wojcik: "Yes."

Cullerton: "What is the need for this particular Amendment? Have there been newspaper notices for budget and appropriation ordinances that were not in English?"

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

Wojcik: "John, I must tell you that the problem is that in some local governmental units in DuPage County, your favorite County..."

Cullerton: "Eight."

Wojcik: "Alright. There are legal notices that have to be placed in the Suburban Trib, and there are some problems that have derived from that placement."

Cullerton: "Well, why didn't you say that?"

Wojcik: "Because you love DuPage County so much."

Cullerton: "Okay. Fine. I support this."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Wojcik moves to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 757. Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 113 'ayes', no 'nays', and the House does concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 757. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1002, Stuffle. Representative from Vermilion, Representative Stuffle."

Stuffle: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, the Senate Amendment to this Bill does some damage to the purposes we attempted to take care of in the House. There's still questions regarding this Bill, and I think we need some more cleanup language in it regarding the meetings of county boards. Representative Woodyard and I introduced this Bill for our particular county, and because of that, I would move to nonconcur in the Senate Amendment on 1002."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Stuffle moves to nonconcur on Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1002. All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay', and the Motion prevails. And the House nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1002. House Bill 1156, Steczko. The Gentleman from Cook,

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

Representative Steczo, is he in his seat? Representative Steczo. Is Representative Steczo over there? I can't... Somebody's in the way. Out of the record. Oh, Representative Steczo is now here. House Bill 1156, the Gentleman from Cook."

Steczko: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. Senate Bill... Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1156 puts the Bill in the same shape as Senate Bill 838 when it passed the House. It simply... What that Amendment does, it says that on utility easements that are apportionable the exemption that we put in for gas and oil pipelines is for those pipelines where they have exclusive easements. And so all that Senate Amendment #1 does is use the word 'exclusively', and I would ask concurrence on Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1156."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Steczo moves to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1156. The Gentleman from Macon, Representative Dunn. No? All in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. This is final passage. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 113 'ayes', no 'nays', 1 answering 'present'. Matijevich 'aye'. 114 'ayes', and the Senate... the House concurs with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1156. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1371, Bowman. House Bill 1371, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The Senate Amendment that went on the Bill I have no basic disagreement with; however, it wasn't written quite right. And the Senate Sponsor had the Bill on the Consent Calendar, didn't want to take it off to correct it, so I would move to nonconcur so we can put it in Conference

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

Committee and do it right. We... It'll be... When it comes back, it'll look basically like it does now, but I'd like it in a Conference Committee. So I move to nonconcur."

Speaker Matijevich: "Was that move to nonconcur?"

Bowman: "Yes. A Motion to nonconcur."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Bowman has moved to nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1371. Representative Bullock."

Bullock: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Gentleman yield for a question?"

Speaker Matijevich: "He indicates he will. Proceed."

Bullock: "Representative Bowman, as I look at Amendment #1 to 1371, it's a perfectly good Amendment, to me, as a matter of fact, it ought to be passed. Why are we putting this in Conference Committee?"

Bowman: "Representative Bullock, as I tried to indicate, I have no quarrel with what we're trying to do with the... with the Amendment. It's just that we didn't do it quite correctly and, seriously, when it comes back it'll look very much like... like that. You're reading the analysis. You're not reading the Amendment, and the analysis speaks to the intent. And I would like to make sure that we do it the proper way. That's all."

Bullock: "Well, Mr. Chairman, and Members of the House, I certainly take Representative Bowman's word for it, but I don't know if he has but one vote on the Committee. And I have every confidence that staff is correct in its analysis of these measures, and this particular Amendment does, in fact, aid providers of service who do business with the state. And it relates to the payment of delinquent amounts of percentages when the state refuses to pay its bills, after thirty days. Representative Bowman, I certainly believe in you and have confidence in you, but I'm afraid

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

that this laudable idea may not come back. And we may not have a chance to vote on it again, so I don't know."

Bowman: "Representative... Representative Bullock, I'd like to put your mind at ease. I'm... accepted this Amendment as a favor to the Realtors, and I've never done a favor for the Realtors before in my life. And I'd like to them one, and so this is my opportunity. And I don't want to mess it up, frankly. I'm happy to bring it back to do the same thing that the Amendment is..."

Bullock: "Mr. Speaker, we'll give the Realtors a break, but I certainly will hold Representative Bowman to his word that we're going to see this Amendment at final passage."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Bowman moves to nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1. All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay', and the Motion prevails. And the House nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1371. House Bill 1584, the Gentleman from Lake, Representative Churchill."

Churchill: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Amendment #1 to Bill 1584 was some corrective language that was requested by the Illinois Municipal Retirement Fund for the language in the Bill. It's corrective. It doesn't make any substantive change, and I would move to concur."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Churchill moves to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1584. There being no discussion, all those in favor signify by voting... I'm sorry. Representative Dunn. The Gentleman from Macon, Representative Dunn. I didn't see your light. Proceed, Representative Dunn."

John Dunn: "Question of the Sponsor."

Speaker Matijevich: "Proceed."

John Dunn: "My analysis says this will allow cooperative... cooperative educational programs to participate in

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

Municipal Retirement Fund. I don't know what that means. Where I come from they operate cooperative nursery schools and cooperative kindergartens. Is that what we're talking about?"

Churchill: "Basically, Representative, this Bill goes to vocational centers. Vocational centers have to pay their noncertified employees retirement funds through the county, through the regional superintendent of schools before it goes into the IMRF. What this does is, basically, to create an administrative district so that these districts will be independent."

John Dunn: "Thanks. That's fine."

Speaker Matijevich: "The question is, 'Shall we concur... concur with Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 1584?' Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. This is final passage. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 110 'ayes', 11 (sic - 11) 'ayes', no 'nays', and the House does concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1584. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1593, Slape. Representative Slape, the Gentleman from Bond County."

Slape: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would move to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1593. Senate Amendment... Senate Amendment #1 takes out the provision that was in the original House Bill that said that the coroner had to come up with a standardized form to be used by all local governments. And Senate Bill... Senate Amendment #1 also creates the Local Government Audit Advisory Board in the Comptroller's Office. The Comptroller would appoint these people to help him in the implementation of this Act."

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

Speaker Matijeovich: "The Gentleman moves to concur... Did I hear you currently? You're moving to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1593. The Gentleman from Champaign, Representative Johnson."

Johnson: "This... This would create another board. Is that right?"

Slape: "This would create a board that would report to the Comptroller, and the board would be a... They would meet with no salary. It would be consisted of six people from local government, six CPA's and six people from the private sector."

Johnson: "Doesn't... Doesn't the... Is this something that serves a need that the Comptroller Office doesn't now have? Does the Comptroller have sufficient personnel in the office now that they could... that they could deal with any of the problems that this new board might ascertain? Why do we need this board? The whole direction has been this year to try to eliminate Commissions, and boards and so forth, and now we're creating another one. I just want to know why we need this board."

Slape: "Well, this would be an area for strict expertise. It's... I'm sure that the Comptroller has people in his office that he could put from time to time on this issue but this would give him people who's dedication would be only towards the implementation of this Act."

Johnson: "Well, what is the board going to do that we can't do now without the board... besides create jobs for people?"

Slape: "Create jobs? It doesn't create any jobs."

Johnson: "What else... What else can't... What is society unable to do now that we're going to be able to do after this State Comptroller Local Government Audit Advisory Board is implemented?"

Slape: "They're going to be able to implement more fully and

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

better the Revenue Section of the Illinois Constitution."

Johnson: "Well, how are they gonna be able to do that?"

Slape: "Well, they're going to make suggestions to the Governor... or to the Comptroller to make his office more efficient and..."

Johnson: "Can't the Comptroller, or his people or the Auditor General make those recommendations now?"

Slape: "Well, maybe we ought to get some order because I really don't want to answer this question for you five or six times. I'm sure the... Comptroller has people that can handle the job, but this would give him the expertise, people that would be dedicated only to this subject and that's where their only dedication's going to be to it. And they're going to report back to him then."

Johnson: "What... What are the... I see a CPA..."

Slape: "Six people from local government..."

Johnson: "What about the other six... six public and six local officials? Aside from that general category is there any specific expertise that they have to have?"

Slape: "Well, I would assume that they'd bring their expertise from their field to the office."

Johnson: "Well, what I mean is, you haven't in the public members or the six local government officials, you haven't specified that they be six public members that have credentials in the relevant area of expertise. They're just six public members. Right?"

Slape: "That's right, but I could recommend to the Comptroller that he... that he only appoint people that have been reelected once so that we know they're people had confidence in."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from St. Clair, Representative Flinn. The Gentleman from St. Clair, Representative Flinn."

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

Richmond: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Matijevich: "That wasn't Representative Flinn. His light was on. Representative Karpel. It sounded like Flinn. Could have gotten away with that."

Karpel: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker. May I ask the Sponsor questions?"

Speaker Matijevich: "Proceed."

Karpel: "Representative, this original legislation came out of the Local Accounting Task Force, didn't it?"

Slape: "Yes, it did."

Karpel: "It's my understanding that the real meat of the original Bill has been taken out with this Amendment and replaced with this advisory board. Is that right?"

Slape: "Well, I... That would be the way, you know, your perspective of looking at the Bill. If the standardized form was the most important part of the Bill to you, that portion of the Bill has been removed by Senate Amendment #1."

Karpel: "Well, if that's not the most important part of the Bill, what is left of the original Bill?"

Slape: "That only... As you... As you know, Representative, being a Member of the Task Force, House Bill 1593 originally required that the Comptroller to make information and reports that are filed to him by local government available. The Comptroller does that as a matter of practice, but the Bill was originally introduced to him to make sure that no matter who was Comptroller that that practice would take place in that office. Now... Then we amended into a Section where the Comptroller should come up with a standardized form for all local governments to report the different reports that have to be reported to the Comptroller's Office and kept on file there. Now through some cooperation with the Comptroller, and Senator

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

Nedza and I think Representative Keane may have had some input into it, we've come up with the creation of this board which the Comptroller feels will help him. The board can work only through suggestions of the Comptroller or they can be extraneous in the sense that they can come up with some of their own ideas on how that Section of the Constitution should be implemented and what kind of recommendations should be made to the General Assembly in implementing this Act."

Karpiel: "Alright, Representative, there aren't going to be any Legislative Members on this Board?"

Slape: "No, there will not be. That way we won't have to worry about Legislators wanting per diem or something of that sort."

Karpiel: "Alright. Well, to the Bill..."

Speaker Matijevich: "Proceed."

Karpiel: "... or to the... Yes, to the Bill, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Matijevich: "To the Motion. Proceed."

Karpiel: "To the Motion. As a Member of that Task Force, and studying this issue for several years, I feel... I'm a little disturbed that what I consider a very important part of the Bill has been taken out, but I think that the part that is left in is at least something. And this local accounting Audit Advisory Board, while I do not usually support Commissions, and Boards and that type of thing, there is no reimbursement for these Members. And I think it's something that was going in the right direction that we're trying to get at in the state, the Local Accounting Task Force, and I would support this Motion."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman Kulas, Representative Kulas."

Kulas: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move the previous question."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Kulas moves the previous question. The question is, 'Shall the main question be

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

put?" Those in favor say 'aye', those opposed say 'no', and the main question is put. Representative Slape to close."

Slape: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I'd only ask for a favorable Roll Call on the Motion to concur on Senate Amendment #1."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Slape moves to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1593. Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. This is final action. Representative Farger, one minute to explain his vote."

Barger: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. It's a pleasure to be allowed to explain my vote once again. In this particular Bill, it has a very interesting situation. Every... local governmental body from the City of Chicago down to every township in the little towns like Pana and some of these other communities in Southern Illinois are going to be required to fill out exactly identical forms. Now this is... They took that out?"

Speaker Matijevich: "Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 72 'ayes', 43 'nays', and the House does concur with Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 1593. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On the same page is House Bill 333, the Gentleman from Bureau, Representative Mautino."

Mautino: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. We had this proposal approximately an hour ago. I now move to nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 333."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Mautino moves to nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 333. The Gentleman from DeWitt, Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Thank you..."

Speaker Matijevich: "He nonconcurs."

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

Vinson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise in support of the Gentleman's Motion."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Hastert. Alright. The Gentleman has moved to nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 333. Those in favor say 'aye', those opposed say 'no'. The Motion prevails, and the House does nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 333. House Bill... There's a Motion to nonconcur on House Bill 2000. Is Representative Giglio over there? Representative Giglio back there? No, well, we'll get to it then. House Bill 1602, Virginia Frederick. The Lady from Lake, Virginia Frederick."

Frederick: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1602 tightens the language to protect the individual home owner by specifying dimensions of the easement and distance from the lot line. I move concurrence of Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1602."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Lady moves to concur. Was that to concur, Virginia? Concur? The Lady moves to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1682 (sic - 1602). There being no discussion, those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'nc'. This is final passage. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 105 'ayes', 2 'nays', and the House does concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1602. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Brunsvold 'aye'. On 2014, there's a Motion to nonconcur. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Yourell."

Yourell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment... Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2014

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

says that election judges can't serve as poll watchers. It's a stupid Amendment, and I move to nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2014."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Yourell moves to nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2014. On that, the Gentleman from DeWitt, Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen... First, Mr. Speaker, an inquiry."

Speaker Matijevich: "Yes, Sir."

Vinson: "In what order are you proceeding on the Calendar?"

Speaker Matijevich: "Well, we're trying to get if we can to get to nonconcurrences first so that we can put those in the posture if they have to go to Conference Committees. And Representative Yourell came to me and said he had a nonconurrence."

Vinson: "Okay. I wonder if the Gentleman would yield for a question."

Speaker Matijevich: "Proceed. We'll take this out of the record? There is a problem if we go out of the order, and we'll exceed to the Minority because it's a problem on both sides. They're... They're taking these Bills as they come, so we'll get back to you Representative Yourell. We'll be at ease for a moment. The next Bill, we are going to stay on the Order of Concurrence on page three of the Calendar Supplemental II, and the Lady from Lake, Virginia Frederick has House Bill 1603."

Frederick: "Mr. Speaker, thank you. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, 1603, Amendment #1 is the same as it was on 1602 which simply tightens the language protecting the homeowner by specifying the dimensions of the easement and distance from the lot line. I move concurrence with Senate Amendment 1 on 1603."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Frederick moves to concur

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1603. There's no discussion, those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. This is final passage. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 107 'ayes', 5 'nays', 1 answering 'present', and the House does concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 603 (sic - 1603). House Bill 1650, the Gentleman from... And this last Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1650, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Terzich."

Terzich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Amendment #1 adds the language contained in House Bill 1651 to House Bill 1650. The subject matter of both Bills is technical language requested by IHDA... a bond council to clarify when a contract is made with respect to bonds and notes. And the Amendment also adds an immediate effective date, and I would move for its adoption."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman has moved to concur. Is that correct, Representative Terzich? Representative Terzich moved to concur... concur... to Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1650. On that, the Gentleman from DeWitt, Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, the Gentleman moved to concur?"

Speaker Matijevich: "Yes."

Vinson: "I would join him in that motion. I believe it's appropriate."

Speaker Matijevich: "All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. This is final passage. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 109 'ayes', 1 'nay', 3 answering 'present'. The House does concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1650. This Bill, having received a

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed.  
Representative Terzich on House Bill 1651."

Terzich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Amendment #2 allows a municipality to voluntarily see to IDHA the municipality's portion of the state sealing on mortgage revenue bonds which is set by the Internal Revenue Code. The Amendment provides that the Authority bonds shall set the interest rate to be paid on bonds and notes which are not exempt from taxation, and the Amendment does not effect the interest rate ceiling on tax exempt bonds. The Amendment also provides for an immediate effective date, and I would move for the concurrence with Senate Amendment 2."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Terzich has moved to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 16... Senate Amendment 2 to House Bill 1651. There being no discussion, those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. This is final passage. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 110 'ayes', no 'nays', 4 answering 'present', and the House moves to concur with Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 1651. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1653, the Gentleman from Effingham, Representative Brummer. Representative Brummer."

Brummer: "Yes, give me just a moment here. This Bill... This Bill addresses the issue of unemployed individuals coming into the unemployment office and listing on the unemployment form the job search that they have undertaken. It passed out of here, I think, unanimously. Amendment #1 placed on in the Senate is clarifying. Provides that nothing in this Subsection shall limit the directors approval of alternate methods of demonstrating an active search for work based on regular reporting to a trade union

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

office. This is a current practice with regard to the alternate method, and I would move for concurrence with Senate Amendment #1."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Brummer moves to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1653. The Gentleman from Champaign, Representative Johnson."

Johnson: "Representative Brummer, I support what you're trying to do. My experience has been that the Department of Labor, at least up till three or four months ago, were doing quite the opposite. They were indicating that union members not only had to report to a union hall, but also had to satisfy the active work search requirement by going out to the individual jobs which is totally impractical. And I'm wondering... I support your Amendment. I think it's good, but I'm wondering if, in fact, the Department of Labor has now changed their policy so that they determined that reporting to a union hall is sufficient to meet that work search requirement. A number of constituents in the last year, who have written, or called or come in to see me, and indicated that they were having difficulty because the Department of Labor said, you not only had to go to the hall, you had to go out to the individual employers which, of course, put the employee in the rock and a hard place because they can violate their union membership requirements by doing that."

Brummer: "I have not had any indication that that was the case. This Bill had its origin in the Department of Labor eliminating on the application the job search history. That's why both labor, and the State Chamber of Commerce and organized business supported this Bill, so that... so that that job search was from business standpoint was demonstrated. From labor standpoint, the record with regard to that was retained in the event that a decision

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

was appealed."

Johnson: "Okay. Well, let me..."

Brummer: "This was... This was an Agreed Bill. The... And I do not know that it was agreed to by the Department of Labor because they were the ones that changed the form in the first place. It was agreed to by labor and by business, and... and the Senate Amendment is merely clarifying with regard to the alternate method of demonstrating active search for work."

Johnson: "Okay, well, let me... let me just state for a matter of later ascertainment of legislative intent by courts or by the administrators that it's the intent of this Bill as amended that the Department of Labor shall approve regular reporting to a union hall as sufficient to satisfy the active work search requirement contained. And I think it's important to put that in the record because I think this is a very important practical problem."

Brummer: "Well, I think that depends on the status of the unemployed individual prior to becoming unemployed. If that was a regular union employee who worked out of the union hall as a regular course of employment, yes, that would be the case. If it was someone else, no, that would not be sufficient."

Johnson: "I appreciate your supplementing my legislative intent. You're absolutely right."

Speaker Matijeich: "The Gentleman from DeWitt, Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, this Bill was amended in the Senate. The nature of the Amendment, I don't have information on from staff yet, and I don't have information on as to whether it is still an Agreed Bill. And for that reason, I wonder if the Gentleman might pull this out of the record for a few minutes with leave to come back to it."

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Brummer?"

Brummer: "Yes, take it out of the record."

Speaker Matijevich: "Out of the record. House Bill 1704, Homer.  
Representative Homer on House Bill 1704."

Homer: "Mr. Speaker, would you take that Bill from the record?"

Speaker Matijevich: "Out of the record. House Bill 1707,  
Pedersen. Representative Pedersen on House Bill 1707."

Pedersen: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'd like to concur with the  
Amendments on House Bill 1707. The original Bill was a  
department Bill, a consumer Bill, that prohibits small  
insurance companies, that is those with less than five  
million in capital reserves or surplus from engaging in the  
reinsurance business. Now, Amendment #1 provides a limited  
grandfather clause for some agreements that are already in  
place by companies that are under the 5,000,000 dollar  
level. And so all this does is really allow them to  
continue under the... in the present situation. The  
Amendment #2 adds an uninsured motorist property damage  
coverage to the law. This passed the Senate on a 59 to 0  
vote, and I ask that the House concur."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Pedersen moves to... that the  
House concur with Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill... How  
about 1 and 2? That's 1 and 2, correct? Representative  
Jaffe, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

Jaffe: "I would just like to have an explanation of #2. I don't  
quite understand it. I don't... Could you give us an  
explanation of Amendment #2?"

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Pedersen giving an  
explanation of Amendment #2. Proceed."

Pedersen: "Well, what it does is fill in a little..."

Jaffe: "Could we have a little order, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Matijevich: "Could we have some order."

Jaffe: "It's impossible to hear."

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

Speaker Matijevich: "It's impossible to hear. It seems as though there are more people on the floor than belong here. I'm not sure, but it looks that way. Anybody unauthorized on the floor, please leave, so we can have order. And I would ask the doorman to assist in that. This is a night that we could ill afford to have unauthorized people on the floor. And let's have some order. Representative Pedersen."

Pedersen: "What it does Representative is fill in a little loophole in the law. Presently, it just provides bodily injury coverage, and this would provide property damage coverage."

Jaffe: "Well, but I... I'm reading over here. It says, 'amends to provide that on or after January 1st, no uninsured... insurance shall be issued, renewed for delivery unless such coverage is in limits for property damage in an amount less than 5,000 dollars subject to a 250 dollar deductible'. Does that mean that the small... the smallest deductible that you could have is 250 dollars? Is that it?"

Speaker Matijevich: "There's still some noise, and there's still unauthorized people on this floor. And I would hope they leave right away. These are the nights when the General Assembly doesn't have a good name, when there's too much commotion on the floor. So let's clear the floor of unauthorized personnel. Proceed, Representative Jaffe."

Jaffe: "Now, it's mandatory to have uninsured motorists in every policy that you have. Correct? Alright. Now, are you putting in some types of limits? I just don't understand. I wish you would explain it."

Pedersen: "It's a 5,000 property damage coverage with a 250 deductible."

Jaffe: "In other words, it's not less than 5,000. Is that correct?"

Pedersen: "No. No, that's correct."

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

Jaffe: "So the property damage has to be in excess... Well, why... why do we have to put that into the law? I don't understand. Why... Why can't you just do it in an insurance policy?"

Pedersen: "Well, because there's some people that don't have the coverage, and here you want coverage against the person who doesn't have insurance."

Jaffe: "Well, no, I understand that, but... but the point that I'm trying to ask you is merely this. And I don't know if I'm for or against the Amendment. By law you're mandated to have uninsured motorist. Okay. Now, you're saying that you have to have it for an amount not less than 5,000 dollars and the deductible has to be at least 250 dollars. Why... Why do we have to do that in the law? Why can't the companies do it in their own policy? I don't understand. Do we have any limits now if you change the limits? Is that what you're saying?"

Pedersen: "No, we're not. The companies can do it now, but their... only a few are."

Jaffe: "Well, what are the limits now? I would imagine that we're probably changing limits. Is that correct?"

Pedersen: "There are... There are no limits now because there is no such coverage."

Jaffe: "Well, I... I... there is that... that type of coverage. I just don't understand the reason for it. I don't know whether to be for or against it because I don't think I'm getting an answer."

Pedersen: "Well, they say there is no property damage coverage under this uninsured motorist coverage now, and we're just filling in that loophole to provide the coverage."

Jaffe: "In other words you're saying that there is... there is coverage now for personal injury but not for property damage."

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

Pedersen: "That's correct."

Jaffe: "I see. Okay."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative... Representative Pedersen has moved that the House concur with Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 1707. Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 105 'ayes', 1 'nay', 8 voting 'present', and the House concurs with Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 1707. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Czzie Hutchins, for what purpose do you rise?"

Hutchins: "Speaker, I voted 'yes' on 16... House Bill 1651. I'd like to change that to a 'present' please."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman asks leave to be recorded as 'present' on House Bill 1651. Does he have leave? Leave, and... and the Gentleman will be so recorded. We'll have... be at ease for about two seconds. Could the House... Could the House have the attention of the Chair? We are now going to proceed to Supplemental Calendar #5. This is the Order of Nonconcurrency, and so that the new Members are aware of the procedure, you may refuse to recede to a House Amendment to a Senate Bill and ask for a Conference Committee or you may recede. If you recede, that is final action so that you know what we're doing. The first Bill on the Order of Nonconcurrency is Senate Bill 12, Representative Giglio. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Giglio."

Giglio: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move to recede from Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 12."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Giglio moves to recede from

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 12. Is there... The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Birkinbine."

Birkinbine: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Would the Sponsor tell us what the Bill and that Amendment does?"

Giglio: "Yes, Representative Birkinbine, the Bill was the Bill to have the people in Area 4, the state police hire the... the special police, the one, you know, with the special uniforms, the one that helped at the traffic accidents and work inside, no pay, special training, no guns. Then we came in with Amendment #1, and Amendment #1 was an Amendment that the state police could retire with 25 years of service rather than 30 years of service at age 45. The Senate refused to accept that Amendment, and that whole Amendment was tacked on. And they refused to accept it, so I'm asking that that Amendment be taken off."

Birkinbine: "The Senate refused to accept the Amendment with early retirement."

Giglio: "Yes."

Birkinbine: "Thank you very much."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Giglio has moved to recede from House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 12. Those in favor shall signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. This is final action. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this issue, there are 113 'ayes', 1 'nay', and the House recedes from House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 12. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House... Senate Bill 26, O'Connell. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative O'Connell."

O'Connell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. At this time, on Senate Bill 26, I would make a Motion to refuse to recede from the Senate's action on Amendment #2, and I would ask that a Conference Committee be appointed."

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative O'Connell has moved to refuse to recede from..."

O'Connell: "I'm sorry, Mr. Speaker. Strike that Senate Amendment #2. It's House Amendment #2."

Speaker Matijevich: "Right. The Gentleman has moved to refuse to recede from House Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 26. On that, the Gentleman from DeWitt, Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise in opposition to the Gentleman's Motion. What the Amendment that he would refuse to recede from would be and try to fight for in the Committee, in the Conference Committee, is an Amendment that would spend money unnecessarily, an Amendment that would duplicate services again unnecessarily and given the state of the budget, given the state of the General Revenue Fund, I don't believe that we ought to be doing that. I believe we should defeat the Gentleman's Motion, and I would urge a 'no' vote on the Gentleman's Motion."

Speaker Matijevich: "There being no further discussion, the Motion is to refuse to recede from House Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 26. Those in favor say 'aye', those opposed 'no'. Those in favor vote 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? On this issue, there are 67... 68 'ayes', 44 'nays', and the House does refuse to recede from House Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 26. And a Conference Committee will be reported. House (sic-Senate) Bill 101, the Sponsor yields to Representative Vinson on Senate Bill 101. Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would move that the House refuse to recede from Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 101 and request a Conference Committee with the Senate."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman moves to refuse to recede from

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 101 and requests a Conference Committee. There being no discussion, all in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay', and the Motion prevails. And the House refuses to recede from House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 101, and a Conference Committee will be selected. House (sic-Senate) Bill 337, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Piel."

Piel: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would move that refuse to recede on House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 337 and would also move that a Conference Committee be appointed."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Piel has moved to refuse to recede from House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 337 and requests the appointment of a Conference Committee. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yes, Representative Piel, you were the Sponsor of the Bill. It dealt with abortion. Representative Terzich put an Amendment on that the Senate apparently doesn't like which is surprising. What is your goal here to go to a Conference Committee."

Piel: "Representative Terzich had asked me to try and keep the Amendment on, and if we couldn't keep the Amendment on and try and work things out in a Conference Committee, John."

Cullerton: "Didn't the Amendment pass as a law as another Bill?"

Piel: "No, I don't believe it did."

Cullerton: "Okay."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman... Gentleman refuses... moves to refuse to recede to Senate Amendment #1 to... House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 337. All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay'. The Motion prevails, and the House refuses to recede on House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 337. And a Conference Committee will be appointed. House (sic-Senate)

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

Bill 346, the Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move that..."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Could I ask the Sponsor to take this out of the record, please, for a few minutes so I can..."

Speaker Matijevich: "Leave to take it out of the record. Alright. Out of the record. Senate Bill 434, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Levin."

Levin: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move that the House refuse to recede from Amendment #1 and ask for a Conference Committee."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Levin moves to refuse to recede on House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 434. Requests the appointment of a Conference Committee. On that, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "No."

Speaker Matijevich: "No. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Birkinbine."

Birkinbine: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Could the Sponsor of the Bill give us some idea of the issue involved?"

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Levin."

Levin: "I'm sorry. Could you repeat your question, Representative?"

Speaker Matijevich: "You asked for it. Repeat the question."

Birkinbine: "What is it you are refusing to recede from?"

Levin: "Okay. The... There was an agreement that was reached that is reflected in this Amendment in terms of the scope of the Bill that the Bill would cover existing associations but not cover new associations. And certain other policy issues were resolved in terms of deleting provisions that

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

there were objections to. That's what Amendment #1 did, and it's my intention to live up to the agreement that I made with the various parties that were interested in this legislation. That included the Realtors, the Home Builders, the Chicago Bar Association, the Condominium Associations and so on."

Birkinbine: "Thank you. Mr. Speaker, if you could just encourage the Body, much like concurrence, to explain what it is we're dealing with we can expedite things."

Speaker Matijevich: "Alright. Thank you. The Gentleman moves to refuse to recede on House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 434. Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay'. The Motion prevails. The House refuses to recede in House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 434, and a Conference Committee will be appointed. House (sic-Senate) Bill 521, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative O'Connell."

O'Connell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. On Senate Bill 521, I would ask that we refuse to recede and put this Bill into a Conference Committee. The reason is is that when the Bill was over here, the Amendment that was put on was technically incorrect as from the Reference Bureau. We failed to detect it until the... Bill had passed. The only way we can correct the Bill and make it technically correct is to place it into Conference Committee and make that correction. So I would move to... refuse to recede..."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman moves to refuse to recede from House Amendments 5 and 6 to Senate Bill 521. There being no discussion, all in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay'. Motion prevails. The House refuses to recede in House Amendments 5 and 6 to Senate Bill 521, and a Conference Committee will be reported. House (sic-Senate) Bill 526, Terzich, the Gentleman from Cook."

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

Terzich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, at the request of the Senate Sponsor, I would like to recede from House Amendment #1 which added a provision about the Illinois Commerce Commission to fix..."

Speaker Matijevich: "That's Amendment #2, Representative Terzich."

Terzich: "Like I say, Amendment #2, to fix the rates on resale of Lake Michigan water, and I would move to recede from House Amendment #1... 2."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Terzich moves to recede on Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 526. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "I would ask the Sponsor just to hold this for... and take it out of the record for a few minutes."

Speaker Matijevich: "Out of the record. House Bill (sic-Senate) 530, Nash. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Nash."

Nash: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move to refuse to recede from Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 530 and ask that a Conference Committee be set."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Nash moves to refuse to recede on House Bill... on Amendment #1 and 2 to Senate Bill 530. Requests appointment of a Conference Committee. No discussion, all those in favor... The Gentleman from DeWitt, Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, I think a number of Members, as they've just indicated by yelling, would like to have an explanation of what the Amendments do."

Speaker Matijevich: "Would you explain... Would you explain why you are refusing to recede, Representative Nash."

Nash: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #1 is a technical one, and Amendment #2, this is the Foreign Bankings Act that permits foreign banks to set up

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

other than one branch in Chicago... central... business district which is the Loop area. This is for the Bank of... so they can set up in Skokie and the Bank of China so they can set up in Chinatown where their customers are located."

Speaker Matijevich: "Are you saying why you should recede or why you should fail to recede... refuse to recede."

Nash: "No, I'm just explaining the Amendments. That's why I refuse to recede. These Amendments are needed. That's why I refuse recede."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman from Champaign, Representative Johnson."

Johnson: "Is the relevant Amendment that you're dealing with here a branching Amendment or an antibranching Amendment?"

Nash: "It's neither. Right now under the Foreign Banking Act, foreign banks can set up in the central community district. Okay, what this Amendment does, it permits them to set outside the foreign community district like in... the Bank of... which is the Jewish bank in Skokie and the Bank of China in Chinatown."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman moves to refuse to recede from Senate Amendments 1 and 2... Senate Amendment 1 and 2 to Senate Bill... House Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 530. All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay'. The Motion prevails. The House refuses to recede from House Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 530. A Conference Committee will be appointed. Senate Bill 557, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Nash, on the next Bill."

Nash: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move to refuse to recede from Amendment #1 and ask that a Conference Committee be appointed."

Speaker Matijevich: "Could you explain? They'd like you to

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

explain?"

Nash: "The Amendment in question is the one that provides substantive language that provides that if a Member leaves office during his or her term then the unexpended or unobligated portion of his or her district office allowance shall lapse. I think there's some technical problems and they have to be cleared up."

Speaker Matijeich: "The Gentleman moves to refuse to recede from House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 557. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay', and the Motion prevails. The House refuses to recede in House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 557. A Conference Committee will be appointed. House (sic Senate) Bill 578, Gary Hannig. Representative Hannig."

Hannig: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I would move that the House not..."

Speaker Matijeich: "Refuse."

Hannig: "I would move that House not... refuse to recede from House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 578, that the House not recede."

Speaker Matijeich: "The Gentleman moves to refuse to recede. Could you explain why, Representative Hannig?"

Hannig: "Yes, this Bill deals with hazardous waste, and as it was passed from the Senate and came over to the House, there was a feeling among some that, perhaps, the Bill went a bit too far. Representative McPike, the Majority Leader, offered an Amendment that he felt was acceptable to the business community and would make this Bill agreeable to them. And it was offered and adopted in this House. The Senate Sponsor, Senator Demuzio refused to accept that Amendment, and I am now moving that we refuse to recede from that Amendment and that a Conference Committee be set up."

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

Speaker Matijeovich: "Representative Hannig moves to refuse to recede to House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 578. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay', and the Motion prevails. The House refuses to recede in House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 578, and a Conference Committee will be report... appointed. We revert now to House (sic-Senate) Bill 526, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Terzich on Senate Bill 526."

Terzich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, again, I would like to make a Motion that we recede from House Amendment #2."

Speaker Matijeovich: "The Gentleman moves to recede from House Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 526. On that, Representative William Peterson."

Peterson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would like to speak against this Amendment being receded. It's a good Amendment. It has to deal with the sale of water from those other than those municipalities drawing from Lake Michigan. I think this item has been debated and discussed quite a bit, and I would hope that this Body would vote against this Motion being receded."

Speaker Matijeovich: "Representative Braun, the Lady from Cook."

Braun: "Representative Terzich, you've asked for a Conference Committee to be appointed on this?"

Terzich: "No. I want to recede."

Braun: "You want to concur?"

Terzich: "I want to recede from the Amendment."

Braun: "Oh, okay. Yes. Okay. Alright. I understand then. Okay. Thank you."

Speaker Matijeovich: "Representative Brummer."

Brummer: "Yes, I did not understand what the Amendment did that the Gentleman indicates he wants to recede from. Wonder if he might explain it?"

Speaker Matijeovich: "That's the water rate thing you debated last

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

night or for some time ago, one day. Representative Terzich would you explain?"

Terzich: "Yes, the Amendment provided that the Illinois Commerce Commission shall... fixed a rate for resale of Lake Michigan water by a municipality unless such rates were otherwise agreed upon. At the present time that's... that is determined by the circuit court, and there's some disagreement. The Senate Sponsor is not in favor of this. I did not express any..."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Karpiel."

Karpiel: "Yes, thank you. Representative, I'm sorry. It's so noisy in here, I had a hard time hearing. This Amendment says that the Illinois Commerce Commission can set the rate for Illinois water to municipalities... I mean for Lake Michigan water to municipalities?"

Terzich: "Yes."

Karpiel: "You mean the City of Chicago then could not charge whatever they would want to?"

Terzich: "This does not apply to the City of Chicago. It just applies to communities that receive water other than directly from Lake Michigan."

Karpiel: "Alright. So this would have nothing to do with Lake Michigan water being piped into either... northwest suburban Cook, or into DuPage or anything?"

Terzich: "Yes, it would if it was sold from one community to another, from one water system to another."

Karpiel: "And that the Commerce Commission then could set the price. And this is the Amendment you want to recede from?"

Terzich: "That's correct."

Karpiel: "Could I ask who's Amendment this was?"

Terzich: "Excuse me?"

Karpiel: "Could I ask who's Amendment this was?"

Terzich: "Mr. Peterson. Right behind you."

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

Karpiel: "Oh, it's his Amendment that you're asking to recede from?"

Terzich: "That's correct."

Karpiel: "Thank you."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Will the Gentleman yield for a question?"

Speaker Matijevich: "He indicates he will."

Vinson: "Representative, just... just a couple of seconds ago, you stood up with a Motion to refuse to recede, and I wondered why you've changed your mind in the intervening couple of seconds?"

Terzich: "I did not, Representative."

Speaker Matijevich: "No, it's the same Motion we started with a little while ago."

Terzich: "Straight arrow. Same Motion."

Vinson: "Well, to the Motion..."

Speaker Matijevich: "Proceed."

Vinson: "... Mr. Speaker. I would urge a 'no' vote on the Motion. This is a very important issue that affects Democrats and Republicans alike. This is not a partisan issue. This is an issue of whether you want the Mayor of Chicago to set the rate on water for every community that has to get water from Chicago, and that's all of suburban Cook, that's areas outside of Cook, and I don't know that you really want to grant all of that... that power, invest that in the Mayor. It seems to me that you want a little protection, a little due process, a little statutory protection, which is all Mr. Peterson's asking for. He's simply asking that you cloak in due process and justice water which every community has to have to grow. Now this Motion would stifle growth in the suburbs. This Motion is an antisuburban Motion. It is not a Democrat Motion. It's an antisuburban Motion. It's an anticollar county Motion.

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

And if you really believe in justice for the suburbs, in growth for the suburbs, in a strong economy for all of Illinois, then you'll vote against this Motion. And I urge a 'no' vote on the Motion, and Mr. Speaker, I request a Roll Call."

Speaker Matijevich: "I thought Art Telcser was back for a moment. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Kulas."

Kulas: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move the previous question."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Kulas moves the previous question. The question is, 'Shall the main question be put?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay', and the main question is put. Representative Terzich to close."

Terzich: "Well, yes, Mr. Speaker, because of those comments by Representative Vinson, I agree with you, Representative Vinson, because the City of Chicago is not included in this Amendment. And for that reason, I would say that you should vote 'aye' on receding for it because the City of Chicago is not included in this. So I would move that the House recede from Senate... House Amendment #2."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Terzich moves to recede from House Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 526. Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. This is final action. The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Pierce, to explain his vote, one minute."

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, the Amendment is a good Amendment. We shouldn't recede from it. People that are in incorporated areas have no protection at all. They have no rate regulation at all when they are served by a municipality because once they're served by the municipality, even if their private water company sells out or whatever, they are not under the Commerce Commission under present law, and the municipality doesn't care about them because they are outside the village limits. And the only regulation they

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

would have would be from the Illinois Commerce Commission to give them some protection. There's problems throughout the suburban area. I think Mr. Peterson has addressed them, and even though he's the other party, and even though Mr. Vinson spoke on the same side as he did, I think they are right. I think the 'no' vote is a proper one here. The Amendment is a good Amendment."

Speaker Matijevich: "Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 53 'ayes', 56 'nays', and the Motion fails. And Representative Terzich now moves to refuse to recede... no... what? Okay, Representative Terzich."

Terzich: "Yes, I'd like to table Senate Bill 526."

Speaker Matijevich: "Gentleman asks leave to table Senate Bill... He asks leave to table Senate Bill 526. Leave, and the Bill is tabled. Senate Bill 690, Sam Wolf."

Wolf: "Mr. Speaker, I move that the House refuse to recede from House Amendments 2 and 4 to Senate Bill 690, and that a Conference Committee be appointed. Senate Bill 690, basically, is a Bill that would require the owner of a motor vehicle that sustained damage exceeding one half of the value to notify any subsequent buyer of that damage and the name of the firm that repaired the damage. Both Amendments 2 and 4 are Amendments that I believe are needed on this Bill to put it in the proper form. And I would move for adoption of the Motion."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Wolf has moved to... moved to recede or did you..."

Wolf: "Refused to recede, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Matijevich: "...refused to recede from House Amendments 2 and 4 to Senate Bill 690. All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no', and the Motion prevails. The House refuses to recede in House Amendments 2 and 4 to Senate Bill 690, and a

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

Conference Committee will be appointed. House Bill...  
Senate Bill 702, Jaffe, the Gentleman from Cook."

Jaffe: "Mr. Speaker, I would move to refuse to recede from House  
Amendment #1. House Amendment #1 was offered by  
Representative Kirkland, which is really a nothing  
Amendment that really clarifies the landlord tenant law.  
It's a good Amendment, so I would refuse to concede and ask  
for a Conference Committee."

Speaker Matijevich: "Was that refuse? I didn't hear. The  
Gentleman moves to refuse to recede from House Amendment 1  
to Senate Bill 702. There being no... Representative  
Birkinbine."

Birkinbine: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield for  
a question?"

Speaker Matijevich: "He indicates he will."

Birkinbine: "If it's a nothing Amendment, why are you willing to  
recede from it?"

Jaffe: "Because Representative Kirkland offered it in good faith.  
It is a clarification of the landlord tenant law. I'm  
refusing to recede. You understand that?"

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Birkinbine. Birkinbine."

Birkinbine: "I'm sorry. I couldn't hear the Sponsor."

Jaffe: "I said I'm refusing to recede, you understand that?"

Birkinbine: "Oh, I'm sorry. No, I misunderstood."

Jaffe: "Oh, okay."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman asks... moves to refuse to  
recede. All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay', and the  
Motion prevails. The House does refuse to recede on House  
Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 702, and a Conference Committee  
will be appointed. We revert back to Senate Bill 346, the  
Gentleman from Dupage, Representative Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen  
of the House. At the request of Representative Cullerton,

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

we took this out of the record, and I have had an opportunity to speak to him about this. And he now has no opposition. Senate Amendment... I move that the House refuse to recede from House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 346 and request a Conference Committee. The Amendment put on an immediate effective date, and I'd like to take that out in a Conference Committee."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman moves... I couldn't hear with all the noise. Is that refuse... refuse to recede on House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 346, and that a Conference Committee be appointed. All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay', and the House does refuse to recede on House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 346. And a Conference Committee will be appointed. Senate Bill 811, Representative Pedersen. Representative Pedersen on Senate Bill 811."

Pedersen: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to nonconcur."

Speaker Matijevich: "Refuse to recede, I think, you want a Conference Committee, is that correct?"

Pedersen: "Correct."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman moves to refuse to recede from House Amendments 1, 2 and 3 to Senate Bill 811. There being no discussion, all in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay'. The Motion prevails, and the House does refuse to recede on House Amendments 1, 2 and 3 to Senate Bill 811. A Conference Committee will be appointed. Senate Bill 849, Representative Jim Keane. Is James Keane on the floor? Out of the record. House Bill 879, Dick Klemm, 879. Representative Richard... Dick Klemm."

Klemm: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Can I take it out of the record?"

Speaker Matijevich: "Out of the record momentarily. House... Senate Bill 903, McGann. The Gentleman from Cook,

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

Representative McGann."

McGann: "Thank you, Mr. Chairman, Members of the Assembly. I would like to move to recede on Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 903."

Speaker Matijevich: "Could you tell what the Amendment is that you are receding from?"

McGann: "Oh, I'm sorry. The Amendment actually... Mr. Chairman and Members of the Assembly, this Amendment would permit garbage or refuse from the dwellings, residences, farms and other sites to be dumped into receptacles at highway roadside rest areas. The House Sponsor of this Amendment, of course, now has agreed to recede also from this."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative McGann moves to recede from Senate... House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 903. Those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', those... Representative Hawkinson."

Hawkinson: "Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Matijevich: "Proceed."

Hawkinson: "Representative, is this the Amendment that the Farm Bureau wished placed on this Bill?"

McGann: "I don't believe that, Representative, that John Cullerton is a farmer. So, therefore, I would say that it was not requested by the farms."

Hawkinson: "Is he the Sponsor of this Amendment? Thank you."

Speaker Matijevich: "Gentleman moves to recede from House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 903. Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. This is final action. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 80... 90 'ayes', 15 'nays', 1 answering 'present', and the House does recede from House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 903. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 923, Lady

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

from Sangamon, Representative Oblinger."

Oblinger: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I wish to recede from Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 923. The reason for the Bill, 923, was because of a situation where a township which includes... a village which includes two townships was trying to buy land and a building for ten dollars. And we thought that this ought to be corrected, Senator Davidson and I, and that's why we introduced 923. The Amendment that was put on takes us right back to the situation in which we were before this Bill was introduced."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Oblinger moves to recede from House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 923. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Well, Representative, I believe that this was my Amendment that you agreed to in the House. And now you are saying you don't want this Amendment."

Oblinger: "The Sponsor in the Senate, Senator Davidson, told me that the reason he did not want that is... the Amendment you put on is the situation which caused the trying to buy a building and a lot for ten dollars in the first place. And I agreed with him that I would then recede from the Amendment."

Cullerton: "So this would result in taking the... by changing the law, this will result in taking the power away from the people to..."

Oblinger: "No, it doesn't take the power away from the people. They can sell it by auction, which I think is a far better way than letting fifty people put on it that they want to buy it for ten dollars. It's a great big building out here in Chatham with a lovely lot, and they were trying to get it for ten dollars because the township in which this village takes in two townships, the village people were

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

doing it to take it away from the township people who aren't even in that area. They had no... they had no recourse."

Cullerton: "Well, under current law, if a township community building is proposed for sale to a school district, a petition signed by at least fifty township electors be filed with the Board of Managers Clerk before a referendum is held. And this Bill deletes that. And I know that you've got one particular building in mind, but you are changing the law for the whole state. Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker."

Oblinger: "Would he like me to take it out of the record to talk to him about this?"

Cullerton: "I... I'd appreciate it."

Speaker Matijevich: "Out of the record. Senate Bill 972, Giorgi. The Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "John, is that a Senate Bill or a House Bill? Is that a Senate Bill? I'm not the Sponsor. Oblinger is the Sponsor."

Speaker Matijevich: "Of Senate Bill 972? Oh, alright. Not according to the Calendar, but..."

Giorgi: "No, 923. Well, 923 is up on the board."

Speaker Matijevich: "Oh, well, it's... we went by. That's out of the record. 972, you've got the next Bill on the Calendar."

Giorgi: "Alright, let's take a look at it. What's the... somebody wants me to nonconcur? Someone says 'yes', and I nonconcur?"

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Giorgi? We're waiting for orders, huh? Hup, two, three. Let's take that out just for a moment and return back to Dick Klemm on Senate Bill 879. Senate Bill 879, the Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Dick Klemm."

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

Klemm: "Thank you... Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I wish to refuse to recede from House Amendments 1, 2, 5 and 7 from Senate Bill 879."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Klemm moves to refuse to recede on House Amendments 1, 2, 5 and 7 to Senate Bill 879. There being no discussion, all in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay', and the House does refuse to recede on House Amendments 1, 2, 5 and 7. And a Conference Committee will be appointed. We now... we're going to revert back to Senate Bill 526. The Chair made a... entertained an improper Motion, and we were helped by Ed Webb. We made an improper Motion, so I now will recognize Representative Terzich. Representative Terzich on his Motion."

Terzich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. I forgot to read the rule book where the rules are wrote. So since I cannot table this, I would like to give a little reversionary and nonconcur with receding with that and ask for a Conference Committee."

Speaker Matijevich: "Alright..."

Terzich: "Anything for a glass of water."

Speaker Matijevich: "So that the record is clear, the Chair ruled in order in entertaining the Motion to Table on Senate Bill 526. So that was an improper Motion, and now the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Terzich moves to refuse to recede on Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 5... Senate Bill 526. There being no discussion, all in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay'. The Motion prevails and the House does refuse to recede on House Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 526. And a Conference Committee will be appointed. Now, we go back to Senate Bill 972, Giorgi. Oh, I'm scrry. Representative Braun, for what purpose do you rise?"

Braun: "I just had a question, but I think Representative Terzich will answer it for me. Thank you."

Speaker Matijevich: "You're getting along real well."

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

Representative Giorgi on Senate Bill 972. Out of the record now? Alright, out of the record. Senate Bill 1024, Stuffie. The Gentleman from Vermilion, Representative Stuffie."

Stuffie: "Mr. Speaker and Members, I would move that the House refuse to recede in it's Amendments to this Bill and ask for a Committee on Conference. The House worked very hard to put together a decent proposal on this Bill, and I think that as it came over, it was a very poor Bill. I think one out of the Prairie 2,000 Package that needed significant Amendment, and the Senate has refused to accept ours. So I would move that the House refuse to recede from its Amendments to Senate Bill 1024, and that we move to a Committee on Conference."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Stuffie moves to refuse to recede on House Amendments 1 and 3 to Senate Bill 1024. On that, the Gentleman from DeWitt, Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. Will the Gentleman yield for a question?"

Speaker Matijevich: "He indicates he will. Proceed."

Vinson: "Representative, is the language that you would refuse to recede from the language that would guarantee repayment to the Pension Funds?"

Stuffie: "The language that we put in this Bill is not identical to the language of the Bill coming back from the Senate on House Bill 2290. That, in part, is the problem."

Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, to the Motion..."

Speaker Matijevich: "Proceed."

Vinson: "I would support the Gentleman's Motion."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Birkinbine, are you seeking recognition?"

Birkinbine: "I was just going to ask the Sponsor what the Amendments he was referring to did, but I think that's been

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

answered already. Thank you."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Stuffle moves to refuse to recede on House Amendments 1 and 3 to Senate Bill 1024. Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay', and the Motion prevails. The House refuses to recede in House Amendments 1 and 3 to Senate Bill 1030, and a Conference Committee will be appointed. House Bill 1067 is in error on your Calendar. It should read 1064. That's on the Supplemental Calendar 6. Is Representative McAuliffe in the chamber? I don't see him here so we won't call that yet. House... Senate Amendment... 1093, McCracken. The Gentleman from Dupage, Representative McCracken."

McCracken: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move that the House not recede from House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1093. The underlying Bill creates the new offense of unlawful sale of household appliances. The Amendment deleted the Bill and added a provision consistent with the wishes of the Sheriffs' Association. I move that the House not recede from House Amendment #1."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman moves to refuse to recede on House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1093. Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay'. The Motion prevails. The House refuses to recede in House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1093, and a Conference Committee will be appointed. We skipped over Senate Bill 1030, Representative Hicks."

Hicks: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I'd like to refuse to recede on Amendments 1 and 3 and request a Conference Committee on Senate Bill 1030."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Hicks has moved to refuse to recede on House Amendments 1 and 3 to Senate Bill 1030. Asks for a Conference Committee. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay'. Motion prevails. The House refuses

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

to recede on House Amendments 1 and 3 to Senate Bill 1030, (sic-1024) and a Conference Committee will be appointed. Senate Bill 1199, Klemm. Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Klemm."

Klemm: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move to refuse to recede from Senate Amendment #1 and Senate Amendment #2. Very honestly, we're trying to see if we can correct that particular definition, and I do ask for a Conference Committee. Amendment #1."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Klemm has moved to refuse to recede to Senate Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1199. The Gentleman from DeWitt, Rep... no. All those in favor say 'aye'... Representative Cullerton, Gentleman from Cook."

Cullerton: "Yes, will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Matijevich: "He indicates he will. Proceed."

Cullerton: "Representative Klemm, the Amendment #1 was technical in nature, was it not?"

Klemm: "Yes, it was. Basically a definition of waterways."

Cullerton: "So you asked the Senate Sponsor to nonconcur so you could put this into a Conference Committee?"

Klemm: "Really we need a clear definition of some descriptive definition of the boundaries. Really the Amendment #1 is correct. There's no problem with it, but to correct the description of the boundaries, we have to go to a Conference Committee to do that."

Cullerton: "This is something you discovered after you... the Bill passed the House... passed the Senate?"

Klemm: "Yes, that's right."

Cullerton: "Okay, thank you."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Klemm has moved to refuse to recede with House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1199. Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it, and the House refuses to recede in Senate (sic-House) Amendment

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

#1 to Senate Bill 1199. Conference Committee will be appointed. Senate Bill 1203, LeFlore. Gentleman from Cook, Representative LeFlore."

LeFlore: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I refuse to recede from House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1203 and wish to request that this Bill be put in Conference Committee."

Speaker Matijevich: "Gentleman moves to refuse to recede on House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1203. The Gentleman from DeWitt, Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, I would rise in support of the Gentleman's Motion."

Speaker Matijevich: "All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay', and the Motion prevails. The House refuses to recede in House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1203, and a Conference Committee will be appointed. House (sic-Senate) Bill 1211, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Cullerton. Gentleman from DeWitt, Mr. Vinson."

Cullerton: "Yes, I refuse to..."

Vinson: "Would the Gentleman take this Bill out of the record for a few minutes?"

Speaker Matijevich: "Out of the record. Senate Bill 1218, Yourell. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Yourell, 1218."

Yourell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I refuse to recede from House Amendments #1, 2 and 3 to Senate Bill 1218 and ask that a Conference Committee be appointed."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman moves to... Bus, I didn't hear that... moves to..."

Yourell: "Refuse to... refuse to receded from House Amendments 1, 2 and 3."

Speaker Matijevich: "... moves to refuse to recede on Senate... House Amendments 1, 2 and 3 to Senate Bill 1218. All in

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay'. Motion prevails and the House does refuse to recede on House Amendments 1, and 2 and 3 to Senate Bill 1218. Conference Committee will be appointed. Senate Bill 1222, Cullerton. Gentleman from Cook, Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yes, I... you want me to hold this one too? Yes, I'll... at the request of Representative Hoffman, I'll hold this Bill too."

Speaker Matijevich: "Out of the record. The Gentleman... the Lady from Cook, Representative Currie, on Senate Bill 1226."

Currie: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I move that the House refuse to recede from House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1226."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Currie moves to refuse to recede on House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1226. There being no discussion, all in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay'. The Motion prevails, and the House does refuse to recede on House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1226. And a Conference Committee will be appointed. Senate Bill 1269, Lady from Cook, Representative Wojcik."

Wojcik: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I move to recede Senate Bill 1269, and I request a Conference Committee referring to Amendment #1."

Speaker Matijevich: "The Lady from Cook moves to refuse to recede from House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1269 and requests a Conference Committee. There being no discussion, all in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay'. The Motion prevails, and the House does refuse to recede on House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1269. And a Conference Committee will be appointed. Senate Bill 1313, Representative Farley. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Farley. I don't see Representative Farley. Out of the record. Is

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPTION DEBATE

74th Legislative Day

June 28, 1983

Representative McAuliffe here on the nonconcurrency on 1064? Representative McAuliffe is not here. We have good news. The Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, I do now move that the House adjourn until Wednesday, June 29th, the hour of 9:00 a. m."

Speaker Matijevich: "Does the Clerk need some time?"

Giorgi: "Does the Clerk need some time?"

Speaker Matijevich: "No, no. The..."

Giorgi: "God bless you, Clerk."

Speaker Matijevich: "Representative Giorgi moves that the House do stand adjourned until 9:00 a. m. Wednesday, tomorrow. All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay'. The House does now stand adjourned until 9:00 a. m. tomorrow. Good work."

01/19/84  
11:41

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

PAGE 1

JUNE 28, 1983

HB-0018	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	155
HB-0020	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	6
HB-0022	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	155
HB-0022	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	156
HB-0022	OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	156
HB-0025	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	8
HB-0026	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	156
HB-0033	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	9
HB-0041	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	10
HB-0043	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	157
HB-0060	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	158
HB-0061	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	11
HB-0064	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	159
HB-0076	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	12
HB-0104	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	163
HB-0108	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	12
HB-0112	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	161
HB-0114	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	6
HB-0171	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	55
HB-0186	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	129
HB-0205	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	163
HB-0213	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	13
HB-0227	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	13
HB-0234	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	170
HB-0235	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	176
HB-0240	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	14
HB-0242	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	14
HB-0247	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	177
HB-0261	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	179
HB-0264	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	180
HB-0267	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	15
HB-0268	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	16
HB-0286	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	17
HB-0320	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	185
HB-0321	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	186
HB-0321	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	203
HB-0321	OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	190
HB-0333	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	195
HB-0333	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	215
HB-0333	OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	198
HB-0349	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	198
HB-0351	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	190
HB-0373	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	19
HB-0400	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	145
HB-0404	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	20
HB-0406	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	4
HB-0417	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	147
HB-0427	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	22
HB-0438	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	24
HB-0440	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	24
HB-0441	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	26
HB-0450	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	30
HB-0455	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	31
HB-0459	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	34
HB-0470	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	199
HB-0475	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	201
HB-0487	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	16
HB-0488	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	37
HB-0498	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	35
HB-0520	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	36
HB-0546	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	39
HB-0558	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	33
HB-0576	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	40
HB-0577	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	199
HB-0579	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	41

01/19/84  
11:41 -

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

PAGE 2

JUNE 28, 1983

HB-0584	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	4
HB-0603	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	43
HB-0628	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	201
HB-0667	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	44
HB-0670	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	44
HB-0682	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	51
HB-0684	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	52
HB-0687	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	51
HB-0690	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	204
HB-0730	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	53
HB-0740	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	54
HB-0740	OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	54
HB-0749	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	55
HB-0753	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	56
HB-0754	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	57
HB-0757	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	205
HB-0768	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	58
HB-0782	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	61
HB-0810	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	62
HB-0818	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	62
HB-0835	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	125
HB-0842	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	129
HB-0853	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	66
HB-0859	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	66
HB-0922	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	67
HB-0924	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	68
HB-0960	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	68
HB-0975	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	69
HB-0997	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	71
HB-1002	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	206
HB-1037	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	131
HB-1052	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	72
HB-1057	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	141
HB-1076	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	126
HB-1076	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	162
HB-1076	OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	127
HB-1079	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	72
HB-1089	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	151
HB-1090	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	134
HB-1108	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	74
HB-1133	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	73
HB-1134	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	132
HB-1138	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	74
HB-1141	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	75
HB-1156	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	207
HB-1196	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	76
HB-1205	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	51
HB-1208	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	77
HB-1225	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	133
HB-1250	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	134
HB-1257	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	106
HB-1260	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	136
HB-1261	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	78
HB-1262	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	82
HB-1264	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	83
HB-1280	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	84
HB-1287	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	127
HB-1293	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	84
HB-1328	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	91
HB-1339	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	92
HB-1371	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	207
HB-1378	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	137
HB-1386	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	93
HB-1388	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	93
HB-1390	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	94

01/19/84  
11:41

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

PAGE 3

JUNE 28, 1983

HB-1399	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	96
HB-1437	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	97
HB-1495	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	97
HB-1504	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	98
HB-1521	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	99
HB-1561	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	105
HB-1584	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	209
HB-1593	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	210
HB-1602	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	216
HB-1603	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	217
HB-1650	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	218
HB-1651	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	219
HB-1653	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	219
HB-1653	OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	222
HB-1665	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	105
HB-1707	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	222
HB-1723	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	106
HB-1772	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	109
HB-1842	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	110
HB-1922	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	111
HB-1922	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	114
HB-1922	OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	113
HB-1934	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	113
HB-1955	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	114
HB-2014	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	217
HB-2029	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	115
HB-2055	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	116
HB-2078	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	5
HB-2109	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	117
HB-2135	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	118
HB-2230	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	119
HB-2242	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	120
HB-2284	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	122
HB-2287	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	123
SB-0012	NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	225
SB-0026	NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	226
SB-0101	NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	227
SB-0337	NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	228
SB-0346	NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	239
SB-0434	NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	229
SB-0521	NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	230
SB-0526	NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	234
SB-0526	NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	244
SB-0526	TABLED	PAGE	238
SB-0530	NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	231
SB-0557	NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	232
SB-0578	NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	233
SB-0690	NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	238
SB-0702	NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	239
SB-0811	NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	240
SB-0879	NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	244
SB-0903	NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	241
SB-0923	NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	242
SB-0923	OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	243
SB-1024	NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	245
SB-1030	NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	246
SB-1093	NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	246
SB-1199	NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	247
SB-1203	NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	248
SB-1218	NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	248
SB-1226	NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	249
SB-1269	NON-CONCURRENCE	PAGE	249
HR-0162	ADOPTED	PAGE	3
HR-0241	ADOPTED	PAGE	3
HR-0264	ADOPTED	PAGE	3

01/19/84  
11:41 -

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

PAGE 4

JUNE 28, 1983

HR-0314 ADOPTED	PAGE	3
HR-0326 ADOPTED	PAGE	3
HR-0327 ADOPTED	PAGE	3
HR-0328 ADOPTED	PAGE	3
HR-0329 ADOPTED	PAGE	3
HR-0348 ADOPTED	PAGE	3
HR-0349 ADOPTED	PAGE	3
HR-0413 ADOPTED	PAGE	137
HJR-0013 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	124
HJR-0035 CONCURRENCE	PAGE	124

SUBJECT MATTER

HOUSE TO ORDER - REPRESENTATIVE MATIJEVICH	PAGE	1
PRAYER - RABBI ISRAEL ZOPERMAN	PAGE	1
PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE	PAGE	1
ROLL CALL FOR ATTENDANCE	PAGE	1
MESSAGE FROM SENATE	PAGE	2
REPRESENTATIVE YOBELL IN CHAIR	PAGE	125
REPRESENTATIVE MATIJEVICH IN CHAIR	PAGE	128
MESSAGES FROM SENATE	PAGE	138
COMMITTEE REPORT	PAGE	139
MESSAGE FROM SENATE	PAGE	149
RECESS	PAGE	150
HOUSE RECONVENES - REP. MATIJEVICH IN CHAIR	PAGE	151
MESSAGE FROM SENATE	PAGE	151
RECESS	PAGE	204
HOUSE RECONVENES - REP. MATIJEVICH IN CHAIR	PAGE	204
ADJOURNMENT	PAGE	250