

Doorman: "Attention Members of the House of Representatives. The House will convene in fifteen minutes. Attention Members of the House of Representatives. The House will convene in five minutes. All persons not entitled to the House floor, please retire to the gallery."

Speaker Redmond: "The House will come to order. Members please be in their seats. Be lead in prayer by the Father Krueger, the House chaplain. He's here. He's lost some weight, and he's having trouble getting up to the podium. Doorman won't let him in till the prayer's over."

Reverend Krueger: "In the name of the Father, the Son, and the Holy Ghost. Amen. O Lord, bless this House to Thy service this day. Amen. Gustavo Gutierrez said, 'Any claim to non-involvement in politics is nothing but a subterfuge to keep things as they are.' Let us pray. Almighty and Everlasting God, we bow our heads in prayer this day, acknowledging Thy supremacy in all that we have, all that we are, and all that we will be. We are grateful to Thee for the many blessings of this life, but especially for our privilege to serve the people of this State of Illinois in the House of Representatives. Help us, O Lord, in all our doings to bring distinction to our chosen field of politics. Guide our spirits and enlighten our minds that we may seek new and untried ways to alleviate the pains, injustices, and inequities of our present social order. Afford us always with the courage and determination to pursue only those courses that are consistent with Thy will and for the good of all mankind; through Jesus Christ our Lord. Amen. I've been asked to say a prayer for Kevin, the brother of Representative Vince Molloy, who died this week. O God, whose mercies cannot be numbered, accept our prayers on behalf of the soul of Thy servant, Kevin, departed, and grant him an entrance into the land of light and joy, in



the fellowship of Thy saints; through Jesus Christ our Lord. Amen."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Satterthwaite, pledge of allegiance."

Satterthwaite (et al): "I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United States of America and to the Republic for which it stands one nation, under God, indivisible with liberty and justice for all."

Speaker Redmond: "Roll Call for attendance. Your switch only. Take the record. Reading of the Journal. Representative Walsh is in the chamber."

Clerk O'Brien: "Journal for the 68th Legislative Day, Monday, June 18, 1979. And the House met pursuant to adjournment. The Speaker in the Chair. Prayer by Reverend Albert Hillestad."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move that we dispense with the reading of the Journal and that Journal #68 of June 18, Journal #69 of June 19, Journal #70 of June 20, Journal #71 of June 21, 1979 be approved as read."

Speaker Redmond: "You heard the Gentleman's motion. Those in favor of the motion indicate by saying 'aye'. 'Aye'. Opposed, 'no', and the 'ayes' have it. The Journals are approved as the same as if they were read. Agreed Resolutions. Representative Collins is in the chamber. Agreed Resolutions."

Clerk O'Brien: "Agreed Resolutions. House Resolution 419, Bluthardt. 420, Schoeberlein. 422, Leverenz. 423, Steczo. 425, Sharp. 426, McClain."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, Bluthardt's, 419, honors the legendary Ray Elliot, 420 by Schoeberlein talks about a Claude L. Hanson overpass, 422 by Leverenz honors Max R. Fritschel, Steczo's, 423, asks for an extension of the reporting



date, 425 by Sharp talks about a study on waste disposals, 426, McClain's, talks about saving the nursing home industry, and I move for the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions."

Speaker Redmond: "Represent...Representative Giorgi, did you move the adoption?"

Giorgi: "I moved for the...I moved for the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Giorgi has moved for the adoption of..."

Clerk O'Brien: "426 isn't on the agreed list."

Giorgi: "Remove that then. Remove 426."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Giorgi has moved for the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions. Those in favor say 'aye'. 'Aye'. Opposed, 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Agreed Resolutions are adopted. Further Resolutions."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 426, McClain-Kempiners..."

Speaker Redmond: "Committee on assignment."

Clerk O'Brien: "And House Res..."

Speaker Redmond: "Secretary..."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 424, Huff."

Speaker Redmond: "Committee on assignment. Representative Griesheimer, Secretary of War."

Griesheimer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I bear fearful information to you. As Secretary of War, I'm reporting to my Commander-in-Chief this morning that Illinois has been attacked on its second front, and I believe that we're going to have to expand our war to include a new state. I have with me in my possession a letter from the Governor of Kansas sent to a business in Des Plaines, Illinois, and with leave of the House I would like to read this fearful document."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed. Proceed."

Griesheimer: "I won't mention the Gentleman's name, but he



is the president of the corporation. 'Dear Mr. So and so, Kansas is an actively and aggressively seeking sound economic development with our central location, excellent transportation system, favorable labor climate, right to work law, right to work law, right. I'm sorry. My record's stuck. Right to work law and pro-business attitudes. We are convinced that considerable opportunities for profit exist here for manufacturing and distribution operations. As part of our overall growth program, a number of state's business leaders called the Kansas Cavalry, get that, the Kansas Cavalry will be in your area June 25 and 27. We would appreciate the opportunity to meet with you to discuss the advantages of Kansas as a business location and to learn more about your plans for expansion. In addition, on Wednesday, June 27 I will be hosting a luncheon at the Drake Hotel and would be pleased to have as many of..as my personal...have you as my personal guest. Understanding that scheduling conflicts occur, we most certainly would welcome another key executive of your firm/your person in charge of your new facility planning. The affair will begin at 11:45. A reply card to make your luncheon reservations is enclosed as well as to indicate your interest in scheduling time for a few of our cavalry members to call on your office. We are eager to meet with you and to share many advantages that Kansas may offer you. Looking forward to hearing from you, John Carlin, Governor of Kansas.' Mr. Speaker, we have a two front war going on. I know this broke Mr. Hitler's back, but we are in...compelled...compelled, may I say to you, to start an attack on Kansas immediately. They are infiltrating the Drake Hotel. I call upon the Chicago leadership immediately to get their major forces mustered from the Department of...and the Chamber of Commerce and Economic Development that are massed in



Chicago and meet at this time and this place to deter this attack. Can you think, Ladies and Gentlemen, if they take over...if they take over the City of Chicago and make the Drake Hotel their center of operation, even Waukegan is in peril, and I must think of my own district. Mr. Giorgi, if you'd do anything to help us, maybe you can bring over one of your platoons from Rockford."

Speaker Redmond: "An inquiry from the Chair. Is Kansas contiguous with Illinois? Their borders?"

Griesheimer: "It isn't now, Mr. Speaker, but if we conquer them, they may be."

Speaker Redmond: "Probably have to organize the...an airborne invasion. Is that correct? And, let's see, who do have in the airborne. We have...we have Major...Major Epton here, and Representative Bow...Captain Bower, Representative Giorgi's a paratrooper, Representative Matijevich... Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, I think that we ought to expand the military capabilities of General Griesheimer. Give him some G-2 now, and I think what we ought to do is give him the last half a dozen copies of 'Crane's Business Review' for part of his intelligence and reconaissance and pick out the high...the hundred highest paid executives which seem to me be exorbitantly paid."

Griesheimer: "They're all union executives, though."

Giorgi: "No, they're not. No, they're not. Take those highest paid executives and then take the inept managers and those we want to get rid of and meet that Governor of Kansas and give him all those people."

Speaker Redmond: "Represent...Representative..."

Griesheimer: "...we have a new enemy, too. I...on the way down Sunday from my home, I listened to the Cub ball game all the way down, and there were about six



interruptions from the Governor of Colorado asking everybody from Illinois to come to Colorado as a tourist attraction, so we've got a new enemy."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Wolf, do you want to reactivate the Navy?"

Wolf: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I know Kansas must have a superb Navy, but Commander Davis isn't here this morning, and I'd like to plot that. But, I thought maybe we could just try to brainwash their General Assembly and have them pass worse workmen's comp and unemployment comp laws than we have in Illinois, and that would do the trick."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Totten."

Totten: "Well, Mr. Speaker, as our Commander-in-Chief, I would ask that you mobilize...ask the Department of Agriculture to mobilize all their plows and plow under the State of Kansas. And, while our farmers are over there plowing the State of Kansas under, I would volunteer to take care of all the farmers' daughters."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, having been in the intelligence division, I suggest we dispense Giorgi and Hanahan out there, and maybe we can get Kansas as screwed up as Illinois is, and we won't have any problem."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Henry."

Henry: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Before we surrender Chicago I'd like to offer our front line is Representative Bullock and our heavy duty artillery is Representative Pouncey...Taylor Pouncey."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Polk. United States Marines subsidiary of the naval militia."

Polk: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. As usual there will be a lot of rhetoric and a lot of talk here, but the Seven House Marines met last evening at Tongue's Tavern After we consumed...quaffed down a few cases of beer,



we made the determination that you people can stay and hear the Air Force, the Navy. The Army can talk all you want to. When you're ready to dispense the Seven Marines, we'll go down and take care of it."

Speaker Redmond: "Brigadier General Ebbesen is out of uniform today. Representative Boucek."

Boucek: "Mr. Speaker, I think the only way we could pass this important piece of legislation is to put it on Postponed Consideration, and at this time I move that we adjourn."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hudson."

Hudson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Yesterday I was inclined to take this whole thing as a joke, but it's getting very serious, and I can begin to see a dangerous...what I think is a very dangerous arms build up beginning to take place between Wisconsin and Illinois, and this has...this has not been put on the SALT talks. It hasn't been brought up in anyway, and I'm going to suggest to the Sponsor that he crank this into the SALT talks down there. At least, bring it before the Senate, so they can gear the strategic arms limitation factor into this whole thing. It's getting out of hand. It's getting serious. It needs to be curbed, so I'm going to suggest, Mr. Speaker, an emergency appropriation from the House here. Representative Griesheimer...to send him to Washington, so he can meet with the Senate, meet with those that are discussing strategic arms limitation...that this...this dangerous escalating arms situation between Illinois and Wisconsin be properly addressed."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Griesheimer."

Griesheimer: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I appreciate those comments, but let's face it. We've got trouble...trouble right here in River City, and we have to recognize our trouble and take care of it. Yesterday I was contacted by the military radio station in OshKosh, Wisconsin, and the line has now been drawn. They're going after Johnson



Motors in Waukegan, and by next year the famous trousers will be called Waukegan Bygegan, and we will have a new plant down in Waukegan. I will keep you posted as more of these important matters come in. We do have some of our counterspies down in Mississippi. We're investigating the Southeastern Mississippi Industrial Council, who recently...recently contacted someone in Morris, Illinois. We'll have more for you later, Sir. We'll carry on."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Piel. Piel."

Piel: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I spent about four hours last night...I had to vote 'present', because I had in-laws in Wisconsin, but I spent about four hours last night checking my family tree, and I find I have no in-laws down in Kansas, and so I'm going to change my 'present' vote to 'aye' with leave of the House."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "I really hadn't planned to say anything, because I pushed the Page button, and..."

Speaker Redmond: "Sometimes we think that that happens pretty often. Representative Kulas."

Kulas: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I just got a call on my walkie-talkie from Commander DiPrima, and he said to tell Griesheimer not to worry, because he's got all the veterans behind him."

Speaker Redmond: "Messages from the Adjutant General."

Clerk O'Brien: "A message from the Senate by Corporal Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred with the House in the passage of Bills of the following titles, to wit: House Bills #859, 829, 884, 889, 921, 922, 933, 961, 893, 1010, 1051, 1158, 1211, 1226, 1255, and 2569 together with attached Amendments, in the adoption of which I am instructed to ask the concurrence of the House passed by the Senate as amended June 27,



1979. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House in the passage of a Bill of the following title, to wit: House Bill #998 passed by the Senate June 27, 1979 by a three-fifths vote. Kenneth Wright, Secretary."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Catania."

Catania: "At Representative DiPrima's desk I've been asked to inquire, General Redmond if women are going to be drafted for this effort?"

Speaker Redmond: "Integrated into the armed forces for combat. Representative Huff."

Huff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This is a belated report from the chicken little division, which is...which has been diligently keeping an update report on the progress of Skylab, and I'd just like to report to Field Marshall Griesheimer that according to the best estimates of NASA, that Skylab will be falling in two sections on July 14th, and I just wanted to know if there was any mobilization orders from the Field Marshall. Perhaps, he can arrange where each piece might fall prospectively on Wisconsin and Indiana."

Speaker Redmond: "It's just been called to the Chair's attention that we're very fortunate we have a former Navy fighter pilot. Representative McPike, will you and Colonel Epton be ready to be...what do you do? Mustered in our muster out in short order? Representative Roman Kosinski."

Kosinski: "Mr. Speaker, I offer the services of the 532nd Armored Infantry of which I was a member for the ...for three years to Mr. Griesh...Grasshopper, should he need it."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Polk."

Polk: "Well, Mr. Speaker...Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentle-



men, I've just heard some rumors coming around. I want to hope to dispel those rumors, but I've been hearing that there's special air fares to Canada by the McBroom Agency being offered. And, I sincerely hope that those on the other side of the aisle would assume it's all a rumor."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Oblinger."

Oblinger: "Mr. Speaker, I just went and offered my services to the Navy. I want to tell you I was a Lieutenant Commander for 24 hours until I found out I was going to have our son, Carl."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Wolf."

Wolf: "I wonder if Representative McPike could yield for a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McPike, Representative Wolf has a question."

Wolf: "Mr. McPike, is it true that...that you were a pilot and that you were the initiator of the American kamikaze pilots with fourteen missions? Is that true, Sir?"

McPike: "I'll tell you. I was talking to Jack Davis the other night, and he told me that he had a...a perfect imitation of..."

Speaker Redmond: "Richtofen."

McPike: "I...you know, I forget, but he told me that if I could pause a little, that I'd sound just like Jimmy Stewart, and I...I watched Jimmy fly in a great movie in 'Wings of St. Louis'. And, that's why I decided to become a Navy pilot."

Wolf: "You can fly my mail anytime. I haven't gotten any for days."

Speaker Redmond: "Anybody got anything on concurrence in which they desire to nonconcur? Representative Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I would like, on House Bill 4, to concur in Senate Amendment #1 and nonconcur in Senate



Amendment #2, so it can go back and we...Conference Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question's on the Gentleman's motion that the House concur in Senate Amendment 1. Those in favor vote 'aye'. Opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 96 'aye', no 'nay', and the House does concur in Senate Amendment 1. Representative Ebbesen has moved that the House nonconcur in Senate Amendment 2. Those in favor say 'aye'. 'Aye'. Opposed, 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, and the House nonconcur in Senate Amendment 2. Is that a Conference Committee? Okay, we'll send it back. Okay, we'll send it back to the Senate. On 15, Representative Getty. Out of the record. Ralph Dunn. I guess that's too early for that one. 129. Representative Klosak, for what purpose do you rise? Klosak."

Klosak: "Mr. Speaker, on House Bill 1636 the...on the concurrence call, the Senate tacked on one Amendment. At this time I would like to move that we do not concur with Senate Amendment..."

Speaker Redmond: "It's on page 6. Representative Klosak has moved that we nonconcur in Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 1636. Those in favor say 'aye'. 'Aye'. Opposed, 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The motion carried, and the House nonconcur. Anyone else on nonconcurrence? Representative Peters."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, it's not a nonconcurrence but a concurrence which is just a technical change in a Senate Bill, which we'll accept..."

Speaker Redmond: "What's the number?"

Peters: "On page 8 at the top of the page. House Bill 1966. What the legislation did, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, was to extend the scholarship that we make available to doctors, to those who would



practice in...in basically public health kind of programs, and we also provided in the legislation that unless time was served in areas of the state needing this, the recipients would have to pay back three times the scholarship...funds. There was a technical error in that language which the Senate corrected. The Bill is...remains the same as it was when it left the House, and I would move concurrence to Senate Bill 1 to House Bill 1966."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question's on the Gentleman's motion that the House concur in Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 1966. Representative Getty."

Getty: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Getty: "Is that treble damages for each year of default? Is that treble damages for each year of default?"

Peters: "It is my understanding that it is treble damages for the total...the total amount of time. In other words, if the scholarships are given and you do not put in that time, it's three times whatever the scholarship is. You're saying if someone...if someone serves one year and does not serve two years in a treble area, it would be proportionate to the time that he has spent."

Getty: "So there's a proportion of the time that he was to serve, and it would be prorated. Is that correct?"

Peters: "That is my understanding."

Getty: "Okay, thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? The question is on the Gentleman's motion that the House concur in Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 1966. Those in favor vote 'aye'. Opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 110 'aye' and 2 'no', and the House does concur in Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 1966. 2547. Representative Epton. Representative Epton."



Epton: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is an Amendment that I asked the Senate to put on. It's just a grammatical correction. It changes the language in the Bill so that it's more...it's clearer. Instead of reading 10% or more, it simply reads more than 10%, and I ask concurrence in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2547."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question's on the Gentleman's motion that the House concur on Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 2547. Those in favor vote 'aye'. Opposed vote 'no'. Representative Totten, for what purpose do you rise?"

Totten: "Mr. Speaker, could you just ask the Sponsor to explain the Bill, because it is final passage?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Epton."

Epton: "Thank you. I apologize. I thought that this was so well known. This is the Illinois Insurance Exchange Bureau, which passed out of this House almost unanimously and passed out of the Senate 54 to nothing."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 116 'aye' and no 'nay', and the House does concur in Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 2547. 684. Representative Chapman."

Chapman: "Mr. Speaker, this is an Amendment to the uniform child custody jurisdiction, and there's a technical Amendment which specifically allows the Clerk of the Court to charge fees for certain registration, and certification duties, and other minor technical changes. I move that the House concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 684."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? Representative Totten."

Totten: "Well, again, we're...we're adopting a technical Amendment, but the Bill..."



Speaker Redmond: "Explain the Bill, Representative Chapman."

Chapman: "This is the Uniform Child Custody Jurisdiction Act.

It passed overwhelmingly in the House. The Sponsors are Chapman, Sandquist, Lechowicz, Marovitz, Barnes. The Act, which has already been adopted in 30 states, settles child custody disputes which cross state lines. This is commonly referred to as child snatching and is a problem in custody cases. In many cases, problems arise when one state is unaware of or refuses to go along with another state's previous or pending proceedings. This encourages such practices as child snatching and makes it difficult to keep the child's best interests as the prime concern. The Act lists as its purposes avoiding jurisdictional conflict, promoting cooperation, resident conflict between courts of different states, setting litigation in the state with the closest connection with the child and the family, discouraging continuing controversy by encouraging binding decisions and discouraging child snatching."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? The question's on the Lady's motion that the House concur in Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 684. Those in favor vote 'aye'. Those opposed vote 'no'. Final action. Representative Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "Just by way of explanation of my vote, this fine Bill was mostly the product of the organization that Representative Getty and I sit on called the Commission of Uniform State Laws, and because it is the product of that, it has to be a good Bill, so..."

Speaker Redmond: "I think our Rules...our Rules prohibit lobbyists from being on the floor. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 119 'aye' and 3 'no', and the House does concur in Senate Amendment 1 to 684. 129. Representative Mahar."



Mahar: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move that we do concur with Senate Bill... Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 129. House Bill 129 is one of the chop shop Bills, which basically provides for the inspection of premises and the licensing of auto auctioneers. What Senate Amendment #1 does, is changes the definition Section for rebuilding and scrap process it to conform with all of the Bills that are passing through in this area, so there's uniformity. The next thing it does is add that municipalities would be entitled to auction their own vehicles. In other words, they would be exempt from the provisions...the auto auctioneer part of the...of the legislation, and I would ask for concurrence."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Would the Sponsor yield? According to the analysis I have, Senate Amendment #1 prohibits any municipality from engaging in the business of auctioning vehicles. Is that correct?"

Mahar: "No, it...it authorizes them to auction their own vehicles. There was some question in the Bill that to license auto auctioneers that the municipalities, who might be auctioning off their used police cars, etc. would come under the...under the provisions of the Bill. And, this just simply takes them out."

Schlickman: "There is no prohibition on municipal activity under this Amendment. Is that correct?"

Mahar: "That is correct."

Schlickman: "Okay, thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further discussion? The question's on the motion to...that the House concur in Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 129. Those in favor vote 'aye'. Opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 114 'aye' and 3 'no', and the House does concur in Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill



129. 382. 382. Representative Kempiners."

Kempiners: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 382 is spons...or House Bill 382 is sponsored by Representative Kozubowski, and it is the appropriations Bill for the Intergovernmental Cooperation Commission. Senate Amendment #1 just moves ...there's no change in the dollar figure of the appropriation Bill, but it decreases contractual services and puts the amount that was decreased as a line item for dues for intergovernmental organizations to which this Legislature belongs, and I would move the...that we concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 382."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Schlickman: "Did you indicate that these are just line item transfers and no net increase?"

Kempiners: "There is no net increase in the bottom line figure. That's correct. I'm not sure that it's a change within line items. It's my understanding that they took that money, and they added one line item. It just spelled out that that change was for those dues. I mean, it's not even a change in the purpose of the appropriation, because we would have appropriated that anyway."

Schlickman: "Well, according to our analysis, Senate Amendment #1 adds, which would be something new, an..."

Kempiners: "No, that..."

Schlickman: "...appropriation of \$166,300 for dues for inter-governmental organizations."

Kempiners: "No, that...that is incorrect. It takes that 166,000 out of contractual services. What it adds is the line item..."

Schlickman: "Okay. I understand. Thank you."

Kempiners: "...not the amount."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further discussion? Representative Pullen. Representative Pullen."



Pullen: "I'll try if you will, Mr. Speaker. I'd like to ask the Sponsor some questions, please."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Griesheimer, just a moment, please. Representative Griesheimer, it looks like you're having an insurrection. Look at Representative Borchers and his display."

Griesheimer: "Mr. Speaker, please overlook that. Everyone knows Webber Borchers is the type of guy that would rather run than fight. I mean, you know, he's always backing off of issues, not standing up to his responsibilities, and so I'm not surprised to see this. He'll be the first one on one of those DC-10's flying up to Canada. Webber, I don't know what we're going to do with you. You'll wind up in Sweden one of these days."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Borchers."

Borchers: "Mr. Speaker, someone has maligned me. I want you to all know that I may be too old, evidently, maybe to get into a free for all, but I still know how to shoot and a pretty good way to go is by having somebody give me a jeep. So, let's don't have anymore putting me in the position of I'd rather make love than war. Now, that's...making love is a lot...is interesting, but making war under certain conditions is interesting, too. They go together well. Anyway, please keep these kind of signs off of my desk, because I am not a pacifist. I believe if they step on your toes, you kick them in the ankle. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Pullen."

Pullen: "I don't know how to follow that act. I'd like to ask the Sponsor some questions, Mr. Speaker."

Kempiners: "I choose not to get into an economic war with Representative Pullen."

Pullen: "Well, you're going to have to answer anyway. What is the amount of this appropriation to the Commission on Intergovernmental Cooperation?"



Kempiners: "The total amount is 538,900."

Pullen: "\$538,900?"

Kempiners: "Correct."

Pullen: "Would you mind telling us what the \$538,900 of our taxpayers' money will be used for in the next year?"

Kempiners: "Somebody just said war, but I...that's not what it's going to be used for. Basically, the Intergovernmental Cooperation Commission monitors what is happening in Washington with regard to the impact it will have on state government. We have, and you have received, a number of reports during the past Session. For example, a subreport, a very critical report of CETA and its operation and a number of other reports that give us ideas of what we ought to be doing in the state Legislature to respond, either favorably or negatively, to those activities in Washington."

Pullen: "And what is the 6...\$166,300 in dues from our taxpayers to intergovernmental organizations for?"

Kempiners: "There are national organizations, such as the... well, and local organizations such as the Council of State Governments, which have a...well, Kozubowski, who's the Sponsor of this Bill, was the president of that last year, as well as the National Conference of State Legislatures, the Advisory Commission on Intergovernmental Relations, which again is a...are coalitions of state Legislators watching...watching what is happening in Washington, and Representative Totten reminds me that one of these groups is promoting tax indexing. So, I... you know, it's a nationwide movement."

Pullen: "Are any of these dues for the American Legislative Exchange Council?"

Kempiners: "No."

Pullen: "I'm glad to hear that, because the American Legislative Exchange Council does not believe in using taxpayers' money to fund its coffers. Thank you."



Speaker Redmond: "Representative Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Friedrich: "How many people do you have on your staff?"

Kempiners: "Eleven."

Friedrich: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to speak to the Bill.

I served on this Commission for 10 years. Our total staff was one person. That was the Secretary, the Chairman, and she also served as Secretary in the Senate. I get reams of stuff from this outfit, and I'm sure you do, too, because you're on the same mailing list. And, I promptly throw it away, because I don't have time to read it. Maybe I should, but if there...I think this is a good example of proliferation of a Commission and it's what happens once you create one. You never can get rid of it, and it always gets bigger. I think that's a rule that is almost automatic."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? The question's on the Gentleman's motion that the House concur in Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 382. Those in favor vote 'aye'. Opposed vote 'no'. Representative Dyer."

Dyer: "Yes, I'll explain my vote in the form of a question. I just would like to ask the Sponsor if he thinks this will help promote peace between Illinois and Kansas and Wisconsin. In that case, I would support it."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Totten."

Totten: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I've been one who's long advocated the abolishment of Commissions. In my Bill to do that, this is one of the Commissions that I thought was doing such a...was doing a good job that its duties ought to be maintained, and it was transferred into another portion. I served on this Commission for four years until the Minority Leader chose to remove me from it, but it is still a...one of the more worthwhile Commissions



of state government. I think it's one that deserves our continued support, and it's one that's made, I think, an outstanding contribution in the reports and research that it does to Members of the General Assembly, and I would encourage an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kempiners to explain his vote."

Kempiners: "Yeah, to explain my vote, Mr. Speaker. I've heard some things on this House floor that we all get on the...inundated with paper and obviously, we have to be selective as to what we read. But, any of you who have read the reports prepared by this Commission will know that they have been very helpful to us in identifying where waste exists, where we can cut back on some spending, it gives us some idea of federal programs that are being worked on in Washington that, quite frankly, we ought to be against. And, if you don't want to read these reports, that's fine. But, I think that with the interrelationship between the federal government and the State of Illinois and the decisions that are made in Washington that will impact unfavorably upon us, in economic sense, we've got to have some Commission looking at that. I've got 98 votes, and I'm going to shut up."

Speaker Redmond: "The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 99 'aye' and 'no', and the House does concur in Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 382. 71. 551. Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Just an inquiry of the Chair. In the last day or so we've had some very helpful synopses of these Amendments on our desk. Is that the plan for today...?"

Speaker Redmond: "They're on the way."

Bowman: "They are?"

Speaker Redmond: "Yeah."

Bowman: "Okay."



Speaker Redmond: "We're trying to take the least controversial, so there won't be that kind of a problem."

Bowman: "Okay."

Speaker Redmond: "Once in a while somebody slips me a bad one, but...551."

Bowman: "Okay, thanks."

Speaker Redmond: "551. Representative Griesheimer on uniform uniforms. Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Representative Darrow tells me that there's some material up in the front that ought to be distributed by the Pages."

Speaker Redmond: "There is or is not?"

Madigan: "There is. The synopsis."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Griesheimer."

Griesheimer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Before proceeding to concur with House Bill 551, first let me say this is the boat titling Bill. It passed this House by an overwhelming majority. The Senate put an Amendment on it, and there's a technical error in the Amendment that was brought to my attention by the Parliamentarian. It's purely procedural in nature in that the Senate, although properly adopting the Amendment, their drafting department failed to underline the provision in the Amendment itself. I would ask leave of the House to amend the Senate Amendment on its face, underlining all of the new language. I have cleared this with the Parliamentarian."

Speaker Redmond: "Parliamentarian, will you come here? Representative Griesheimer, I...I think your request was that we be given permission to amend this Senate Amendment 1 on its face by underlining. Is that correct?"

Griesheimer: "That's correct, Mr. Speaker. I have discussed this with the Parliamentarian yesterday. He said it was an ongoing problem we have with the Senate. I did check with Enrolling and Engrossing. They said they



could do it, because it's purely procedural. It's not substantive in any way. It does not affect the language."

Speaker Redmond: "Why don't you talk to the Parliamentarian about that? Well, you tell me whether we should or we shouldn't do it, or whether...there have been objections raised, and really the proper way is to have the Senate underline the Amendment. I don't think they'll give us any trouble, but..."

Griesheimer: "As I understand, Mr. Speaker, this would require me to nonconcur then and send it to a Conference Committee?"

Speaker Redmond: "Yes."

Griesheimer: "All right. Then I'd move to nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 551, and ask for a Conference Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman moves to nonconcur in Senate Amendment 1. Those in favor of the motion signify by saying 'aye'. 'Aye'. Opposed, 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. We nonconcur in Senate Amendment 1 to 551. 716. Representative Schneider on 716. Stuffle on 716."

Stuffle: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members, there are two Senate Amendments to Senate Bill...to House Bill 716. The first Amendment provides that where there is a...an action under Section 11-1 of the School Code to organize a community consolidated school district, that that organization must be out of entire existing school districts. This Amendment was offered in the Senate to correct a problem up in the Joliet area where there's been an attempt to, in effect, steal the major portion of the real estate in one district and annex it into another. This Amendment would correct that problem. Amendment #2 provides for a clean up of the language of a Bill that we passed in the House dealing with teacher leaves of absences where they are Members of the General Assembly. I would move to concur in both Senate Amendment 1 and 2 to House Bill 716."



Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kempiners."

Kempiners: "Yes, will the Gentleman yield, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Kempiners: "I heard a reference about the situation in Joliet and school districts stealing from another. Would you like to describe to me in more detail what that Senate Amendment #1 does and where it came from over in the Senate?"

Stuffle: "Representative Kempiners, my understanding of this Amendment, and this is an issue that I dealt with at some point when I was a staff Member in the Senate. You probably understand better than I, because of that area. It's my understanding that one school district would like to annex a portion of another, and that portion they would like to take out of the existing district has an oil refinery in it."

Kempiners: "Well, I'm...I'm very much aware of what you're talking about. You don't have to go into that, but what does the Amendment do, and will it prohibit the consolidated district that is under organization now from being formed? That's the question I have."

Stuffle: "I will read you the Amendment. What the Amendment says is that a community consolidated district may be organized pursuant to law now only out of entire existing school districts, and its organization shall not result in the division of or taking of a portion of an existing school districts or school districts. In other words, the consolidation would have to occur involving entire districts and not one district in a portion of another only with regard to Section 11-1 which is limited in the type and size of districts which may be organized."

Kempiners: "Well, if I might speak to this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Kempiners: "Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, to adequately



represent my district I'd probably have to vote 'present' on this, because part of my district would be for this and part of my district would be against this, but I think there's a philosophical principle involved in Senate Amendment #1 which goes against my grain. I, for one, believe that it's up to the people of a school district to determine their course of action, and there are people who feel that they want to create a school district, and they have the support of the people in the area, and they're being stopped by a high school district. Now, if I understand the Bill as described by the Sponsor or the Amendment as described by the Sponsor, he basically is going to mandate that every unit...I'm sorry...that every elementary school district that feeds into the Joliet township high school district the only way they can form or become part of a unit district would be to combine with the Joliet township high school district, if I understand the way he described that Amendment. And, I can guarantee you, Ladies and Gentlemen, that the Legislature over the past few years through fiscal appropriations and through the various school aid formula Bills we've passed have been encouraging the formulation of unit districts, but I can guarantee you that some of these elementary school districts leading into the Joliet township high school district would not want to enter into that kind of a unit district. There is a move that...that people would like to separate from that particular school district and create their own and make it a unit district. It is my understanding of this Amendment as described by the Sponsor that that would make it impossible for them to do. I don't want to get into the battle between the Joliet township high school district and these elementary school districts, but I think the underlying principle, if you believe that the people



ought to determine for themselves what they are going to do with regard to a school district, you ought to be opposed to this Amendment. I think that is the basic underlying principle that is being addressed and one which I...that I oppose in this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? Representative Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would rise in support of the Amendment, possibly because I represent the area of...of the 'rapee', and the Bill represents the area of the 'raper'. In any event, the problem with the existing law is that the county superintendent of schools has jurisdiction to carve up existing school districts in creating new school districts. And, what the particular district attempted to do here was take up an area that probably has virtually no one living on it, probably has no children, but has an assessed valuation of in the millions of dollars in attempting to organize a school district out of area which is to the southeast of the Joliet school district. Fortunately, it has not come to pass, because of a lengthy and expensive and long-standing legal struggle on the part of the Joliet school district. It's...the law should not allow this type of thing to happen because of the unbelievable financial consequences to an existing school district if a portion of that district, that does not have any children on it but has a lot of assessed valuation, can be willy-nilly taken away by an elected politician. I don't think it ought...the law ought to allow that, and so I would support the concurrence on Senate Amendment #2."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Stuffle."

Stuffle: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, to close. I think it's important to point out, as Representative Leinenweber did, on Amendment 1 that what we have here is an effort under an old Section of the School Code which probably ought



to be repealed that allows through the back door, in effect, for one school district to take from another its assets and to leave the old school district, if you will, with nothing in the way with the wear with all to conduct business, to take away the base on which they operate without taking away, as Representative Leinenweber said, really their students, but only, if you will, their money. Virtually all school district consolidations are going on under 11-6 of the School Code, and with regard to an Amendment passed some time ago...some years ago by Representative Walsh using and utilizing full existing school districts, not raiding from those that exist now, so I would renew my motion to concur in Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 716."

Speaker Redmond: "The question's on the Gentleman's motion that the House concur in Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 716. Those in favor vote 'aye'. Opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Representative Robbins, for what purpose do you rise?"

Robbins: "Mr. Speaker, I'm voting 'no' on what I think is a good Amendment, because I don't have the Amendment. Have they been distributed?"

Speaker Redmond: "Yes, they have." Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 99 'aye' and 25 'no', and the House does concur in Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 716. 1655. It's on page 6. Ralph Dunn. 1655. Ralph Dunn. Wait a minute. I'm wrong. It's Birkinbine. It's Birkinbine. Page 7. 1655."

Birkinbine: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The Senate Amendment to HB 1655 removes \$3,700 that would've been used to move the Industrial Pollution Control Finance Authority into a larger and more expensive office. The Bill itself is the ordinary



and contingent expenses of the Industrial Pollution Control Finance Authority. It just would reduce it, as I said, by \$3,700, and I move for concurrence."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question's on the Gentleman's motion that the House concur in Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 1655. Those in favor vote 'aye'. Opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 112 'aye' and 2 'no', and the House does concur in Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 1655. 733. Representative Telcser. Telcser."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, you may recall that House Bill 733 was one of the Bills dealing with the Asian Development Bank. The Senate adopted a Committee Amendment, and I would like if I could have leave, Mr. Speaker, to defer to Representative McCourt who knows more about the issue than I do. May I have leave to...so he could explain the Amendment?"

Speaker Redmond: "It seems unlikely that that's possible, but Representative McCourt."

McCourt: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, as I understand it, this Amendment is...is the same as has been put on a few other pension systems this Session, and it just allows the Board of Investments a more rapid manner to exchange certain investments depending on how the market is going rather than waiting until the Board of Investors might meet, and so I recommend adoption of this...well, concurrence in this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? Representative Terzich."

Terzich: "Well, I do have a question. What pension systems is this referring to? Is this the State Board of Investments..."

McCourt: "No."

Terzich: "...or is this...?"

McCourt: "Illinois Municipal Retirement Fund. IMRF."



Terzich: "The IMRF, and..."

McCourt: "Right."

Terzich: "...this is on the Chicago Municipal Fund, right?
The Bill?"

McCourt: "Well, it's the IMRF Fund."

Terzich: "Then this only applies to IMRF Funds and not the..."

McCourt: "Correct."

Terzich: "...Muni...Chicago Municipal Employees' Pension
Fund."

McCourt: "Correct."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? You moved to concur, did you, Representative McCourt? The question's on the Gentleman's motion that the House concur in Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 733. Those in favor vote 'aye'. Opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 97 'aye' and 12 'no', and the House does concur in Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 733. On the Order...387. Representative Mahar. 387."

Mahar: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would ask that we nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 387 and that a Conference Committee be appointed."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? The question's on the Gentleman's motion. Those in favor to nonconcur say 'aye'. 'Aye'. Opposed, 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, and the House nonconcur in Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 387. I can't encourage the Members too strongly to...to give us a list of the nonconcurrences. If you're going to have a Conference Committee, it has to go back to the Senate, and we may run into problems timewise, so I would encourage you to do that. The next one is 733. No, we did that. 292. Representative Terzich."

Terzich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I would move that we nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1."



Speaker Redmond: "Representative Terzich moves to nonconcur in Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 292. Those in favor say 'aye'. 'Aye'. Opposed, 'no'. The motion carries. The House nonconcur in Senate Amendment to House Bill 292. 1649 on page 7. Representative Hallock."

Hallock: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Amendment #1 reduces by 350,000 the appropriation for the Illinois Commerce Commission. Senate Amendment #2 restores 71,000 to operations for the Motor Vehicle Fund, which will allow us to phase out 7 downstate enforcement offices. I would ask that the House respectfully concur with both of these Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan. You moved to concur, did you, Representative Hallock?"

Hallock: "Yes."

Speaker Redmond: "The question's on the Gentleman's motion that the House concur in Senate Amendment... Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 1649. Those in favor vote 'aye'. Opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 106 'aye' and 2 'no', and the House does concur in Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to 1649. 1196. Page 5. Representative Reilly."

Reilly: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. By agreement with the Governor's office and the Joint Committee on Administrative Rules that produced this Bill, we amended House Bill 1196 in the Senate, and we still accomplish the same goals in a less cumbersome way. I would ask that the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1196."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "What does the Amendment do?"

Reilly: "In the Bill as it originally stood...as...still as it stands, it...the goal is to get administrative agencies



as they...before they...or as they're putting into effect the rule, the study, the economic effects of that rule. The Governor's office had some problems originally twofold. Number one, they were concerned that...they didn't want to have to give that economic impact statement in the initial publication of the rule. They wanted to do that when the Joint Committee's comment period, which is a separate comment period, begins. Number two, they didn't want to have to do that in every trivial case. They wanted to do it only in cases that we, the Joint Committee, requested, and so we agreed to that change, and that's what the Amendment does."

Leverenz: "Does Representative Yourell support this?"

Reilly: "Yes."

Leverenz: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? The question's on the Gentleman's motion that the House concur in Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 1196. Those in favor vote 'aye'. Opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 128 'aye' and no 'nay', and the House does concur in Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 1196. 1205. Representative Reilly."

Reilly: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. What happened with House Bill 1205, previously the House had passed over to the Senate several Bills dealing with emergency funds for county fairs. The Senate took all of them and amended it...amended them into House Bill 1205. The House has already acted on all of these. They're all in good shape procedurally, and it's just easier to handle them in one Bill. I would ask for House concurrence in Senate Amendments #1, 2, and 3 to House Bill 1205."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, I understand that there's quite a bit



of money coming out of the Agricultural Premium Fund for some...some Articles or some stuff that...I wish he'd explain the Amendment a litte better."

Reilly: "All right. The...it...I'm getting advice from various people as to how to explain it. There is \$2,200 for Winnebago Fair Association among other things. What it...but these are all Bills that...that have previously been passed here. I can...I can give you the whole list. I'm sure Representative Matijevec's staff also has a whole list, or I can read the whole list if you prefer. What it does is make appropriations...consolidates them all into one Bill."

Giorgi: "What's the largest bequest?"

Reilly: "The largest is for the Heart of Illinois Fair at \$190,000."

Giorgi: "Whose the...whose district is that from?"

Reilly: "I'm sorry. I honestly don't know."

Giorgi: "Which county is it?"

Reilly: "It's not mine."

Giorgi: "Which county is that?"

Reilly: "Peoria."

Giorgi: "Peoria. Well, they supported the Bill last night. What's the next largest bequest?"

Reilly: "Let's see. I guess the next one is 75,000 for Livingston County."

Giorgi: "Livingston County. Isn't that the county that has three county fairs? Is the Representative from Livingston County here?"

Reilly: "I...I am told the answer is 'no', but I...right next...my seatmate says 'no'."

Giorgi: "They have three county fairs in that district. Three county fairs, and the rest of the counties have one county fair."

Reilly: "They may have three in the district, not in the county."

Giorgi: "How much money does your district get?"



Reilly: "Total, I don't know. We get the Morgan County, the Pike County Fair, and the Jersey County Fair all had... had situations."

Giorgi: "And what's the total?"

Reilly: "The total for the Bill is \$668,799."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, just to speak on this for a moment. This total is \$668,000 and here in Springfield the Exhibition Hall needed a measly \$200,000 to continue their magnificent project of 20 million dollars...the capital city of Illinois, and all of these people that have got both of their hands in that racetrack skim voted 'no'. Why don't you take it out of the record, so that we can maybe have them amend their ways a little bit? Maybe they ought to admit their public sins, some of these people."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Reilly."

Reilly: "I'll be more than happy to admit my public sins, but I'm not going to take the Bill out of the record."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Satterthwaite."

Satterthwaite: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Reilly: "Yes."

Satterthwaite: "Representative Reilly, do I understand that you are indicating to the Members that all of these are appropriations made because of destruction of buildings by act of God?"

Reilly: "It's my understanding. Yes."

Satterthwaite: "None of these are appropriations simply for new construction or for rehabilitation of old structures unless they were damaged in some way by a fire or...or storm?"

Reilly: "You are correct."

Satterthwaite: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Van Duyne."

Van Duyne: "Would the Sponsor yield for a question?"



Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Reilly: "Yes."

Van Duynes: "Representative, you said you'd gladly admit your public sins, but would you admit your sins publicly?"

Reilly: "That's a different question. I didn't answer that question."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kent."

Kent: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I really am quite shocked with Representative Giorgi and his logic, because I remember that he spoke of all the snow and the damage that was caused in the Rockford area, and he forgets that it also snowed in these county fair areas. The county fairs in our rural counties are an important event for those people in those rural towns, and I just can't understand his objection when there has been disaster in those buildings that we cannot put them back in place in time for the fair. I urge a 'yes' vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Bullock."

Bullock: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I was wondering if the Sponsor would yield to a question?"

Reilly: "I will."

Bullock: "Representative Reilly, could you explain for the Body Amendment...Senate Amendment #3 to this Bill in terms of what it does?"

Reilly: "Senate Amendment #3 adds \$29,700 for a disaster grant to the Warren County Fair for replacing a cattle barn destroyed by a fire June 4, 1979."

Bullock: "When was the cattle barn destroyed?"

Reilly: "According to the information I have, June 4, 1979."

Bullock: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this appropriation for nearly \$700,000 coupled with an appropriation that went out of this House last week for 29 downstate county disaster relief, brings a total of about 3.3 million dollars that has been awarded either for re-



pairing cattle barns and state fairs damaged by weather, which I think is more than commensurate with a Bill that was defeated in the Senate which would've given 3.2 million for all of the citizens of Illinois who are blind, aged, and poor, and victims of the blizzard of '79. I certainly hope that the Sponsor also is equally concerned with human misery as he obviously is with brick and mortar, and I hope that the next time around that we can give the same relief to the individuals that suffer."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ewell."

Ewell: "Mr. Speaker, I've learned better than to ask questions, so I just want to make a few statements. And, one of the things that puzzles me is why does God send his angels with the wind and the rain to batter all of the county fairs in Illinois? I don't understand it, unless there are some misgivings and perhaps some sin and inequity in these fairs, so let's talk about a little of the sin and inequity in these fairs and the system in which it brings it about. Now, I think it's a very lovely system to have a special pocket fulfilled by the coffers or filled by the people of Cook County who manage to put all of this money into this sinful race-track skimming operation that all of you so abhor and detest and rightly so for is not this money tainted? But, alas, shall we take this money? Yes. And how do we take it? Do we come before any Boards, any Commissions, make a case, or even go to the Court of Appeals and say this is necessary for some reason? No, we do not. There are no bids on these particular projects. There are no...requirements to find out what has to be done. It's simply everybody's grab bag. Cook County puts it in, and the rest of the counties take it out. Not all of the counties, but some of the counties do not receive the same amount of wind, and hail, and acts of



God that descend upon you for your wrathful ways. I suggest that we ought to let some of these state fairs lie in their abandonment and lie in the mud and the inequity which they have brought upon themselves for perhaps this punishment is righteous. And, I have to ask what is it that would let the Schuyler County Fair and Livestock Association need \$20,000 in state assistance? No bids, no prerequisites. Just dip into the Christmas tree bucket provided by the people of Cook County. Why should Ogle County Fair get 24,000; LaSalle County Fair, 38,000; McDonough County Fair, 55,000; Livingston County Fair, 75; the Heart of Illinois Playground, \$190,000? All from the Ag Premium Fund. That is this dispicable place that, in Cook County, somehow manages to raise all of this evil money. Next, we might ask you prudent Gentlemen, every year since I've been here these county fairs have been falling down. There have been acts of God. No vandalism. Just acts of God. I must ask another question of you prudent and wise Gentlemen. Why is there no insurance against some of these acts of God? I suggest to you Gentlemen that these are not acts of God, but man-made dev... man-made devilishness that is here to perpetrate a wrong on all of the people of the State of Illinois. Now, there are more people who...dipping into the till to get some money. I have a couple more. Schuyler County Fair and Livestock Association. We might ask what have they done for the State of Illinois that they are deserving of \$20,000 of public trough money, and mind you, Gentlemen, this is public money. Or, at least, it was when we put it in. But, once we get it into this evil bank account known as the Agricultural Premium Fund, there are only certain people who can withdraw from the fund. Why is that LaSalle County Fa...Junior ...? We even put the kids in this proposition. The



LaSalle County Junior Fair Association wants \$38,000. The...Gentlemen, we could on and on and talk about the evil misdoings of the county fair and the rightful retribution that has been spread upon them. I suggest it would be wise of us, perhaps at some juncture of our life, to take a little courage, to take a little heart, and to say 'no' to those who would thieve from the rest of the people of the State of Illinois for their own benefit. Gentlemen, this is a classic case of unjust enrichment. Not one dime do you contribute to the coffers, but all do you take out, and that is unfair, unreasonable, and as a prudent man, I must search my conscience and vote 'present'."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I think two of the Speakers who have rose to oppose this have not been here as long as the Speaker of the House, and Larry DiPrima, and Doc Capuzi, and a few others. If it had not been for the Farm Bureau and the farmers' backing, the horse racing in Illinois, there wouldn't have been any Agricultural Premium Fund. They don't remember this, because they weren't here, and the people who put over parimutual betting in Illinois was the farm group and the downstate Legislators, and we built the Exhibition Hall in Chicago out of that. They've got more money than anybody else. This is just sending some of the money back to the people who made the Agricultural Premium Fund possible."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Henry."

Henry: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would like to ask the Sponsor a question."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Henry: "The question is on the cattle and horse show area. How large is this area and where is it?"

Reilly: "I'm sorry. I don't exactly understand the question. Which one are you referring to?"



Henry: "Will he repeat that, please?"

Reilly: "I'm sorry. I don't exactly understand your question. Which...?"

Henry: "The Heart of Illinois fairgrounds."

Reilly: "I don't know. Somebody from Peoria County would have to answer that. Representative Schraeder or Tuerk or..."

Henry: "Mr. Speaker, could I get an answer to that question?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Would you repeat the question? I'd be more than happy to answer it and give you a factual story."

Henry: "I want to know the area of the cattle and horse show arena for the \$200,000."

Schraeder: "Could you repeat...?"

Henry: "Why does it need to be replaced?"

Schraeder: "I beg your pardon. Repeat that, would you please. I'm trying to get the question."

Henry: "The cattle and horse show area."

Schraeder: "Yes, Sir."

Henry: "The cattle and horse show area destroyed at the Heart of Illinois fairgrounds. I want to know the area. How large is this area?"

Schraeder: "The area probably covers at least the...the underground coverage of this particular House of Representatives in footage. It's probably as large..."

Henry: "How...?"

Schraeder: "It's a one-story building."

Henry: "One-story building. It takes \$200,000 to repair this area?"

Schraeder: "Yes, Sir."

Henry: "Mr. Speaker, could I address myself to the Bill?"

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Henry: "The question I have is...the statement I have to make is why are there any bids on \$200,000? If we in Cook County, or outside of Cook County, or the Chicago area



have this type of legislation pending, the first thing that we would hear, and I've been hearing for the last 6 to 8 days, is do you have any bids? And, I'd like to refer back to Representative Bullock's statement. We're talking about bricks and mortar. What about the people? What about the people that put the money there? I understand the money comes from the area close to where I represent, but when we discuss helping people, the other side of the aisle has taught me that they are either for big business and yesterday I heard them talking about little business. It seems as though they don't know which way they want to go. With this piece of...\$2,000...\$200,000 to repair an area the size of this building is nonsense to me."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Oblinger."

Oblinger: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is, 'Shall the main question be put?' Those in favor vote 'aye'. 'Aye'. Opposed, 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The motion carried. Representative Reilly to close."

Reilly: "Well, Mr. Speaker, having been forced to admit my public sins during this debate, I only want to make two brief comments in closing on what I...I apologize to you, Sir. I told you this was going to be non-controversial. I thought it would be. I...I didn't know. But, two things. Everyone of these projects has been or will be bid. I mean, none of these are going to be done without competitive bidding. Most of them already have been bid, and that's the figure that's in the Bill...is the...is the bid...the lowest bid that they got. So, there's none of them going to be done without bids. Second of all, by far the biggest user of the Ag Premium Fund is McCormick Place in Chicago. Now, I have no objection to that. I think that's a useful asset for the entire state, but those



who say that those of us who are taking a measly \$700,000 out of that fund for these disaster grants are somehow feasting at the public trough in ways that other parts of the state don't, simply are wrong. This money is there. We've always passed these, and we passed them before this year. I would ask for a concurrence in Senate Amendments 1, 2, and 3."

Speaker Redmond: "The question's on the Gentleman's motion that the House concurs in Senate Amendments 1, 2, and 3 to House Bill 1205. Those in favor vote 'aye'. Opposed vote 'no'. Representative Sumner to explain her vote."

Sumner: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. To answer a few of the questions for the last Representative that was asking questions, the building was tumbled by the snow and the ice storm that we had this past winter. It was totaled. The other thing is, it is not used by Peoria County alone. It is used by all of the people of Illinois. Just two weeks ago we had the Peoria High School State Rodeo in this area. It is there annually, and there are many, many young people from the Chicago area as well as other areas of the state who come here, and I certainly feel that to provide these needs for our young people of Illinois, so that they have something to do other than the illicit things that you complain of, I certainly do hope that you will support this with your 'aye' vote. It is very important, and it's for all of the state, not just one area."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Huff."

Huff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I have no comment."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Rep...the Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 104 'aye' and 28 'no', and the House does concur in Senate Amendments 1, 2, and 3 to House Bill 1205. Representa-



tive Bullock, for what purpose do you rise?"

Bullock: "Mr. Speaker, I rise on a point of personal privilege for the purpose of an introduction. Seated in the left-hand corner of the Democratic side of the aisle is a distinguished Illinoisan, the Deputy Director of the Chicago Office of Man Power and former Member of the State Board of Education and the wife of my running mate from the 22nd District, Dr. 'Mercedia DeFrates Goodwin'. I'd like Dr. Goodwin to stand. Representative Goodwin's wife and ask you to give her a hand."

Speaker Redmond: "12...1640. Page 6. Representative Reilly."

Reilly: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 1640 is the OCE for the Commission on Human Relations. The Senate made two large cuts in this, and they're not acceptable. It seems to me that the Commission can't do its job even for the six months that it's going to be in business. I would ask that the House nonconcur in Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 1640."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman's moved that the House nonconcur in Senate Amendment 2 to House Bill 1640. Those in favor say 'aye'. Opposed, 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The House nonconcur. 1260. Bradley. House Bill 1260. Representative Bradley."

Bradley: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move to concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1260. What the Senate did was remove the...the 30-day period after the policy has been delivered to the purchaser and reduce it to a 10-day period, and I know of no opposition in the House now on the...on this Amendment, and I move the adoption of...I move to concur in the Senate Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? The question's on the Gentleman's motion that the House...Representative Brummer."

Brummer: "I wonder if the Sponsor could briefly...?"



Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman standing between Representative Brummer and the Chair sit down."

Brummer: "...briefly explain what the Bill did when it left the House?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Bradley."

Bradley: "The...yes. The Bill, when introduced, allowed for the outline of coverage to be delivered either at the times of delivery of the policy or the time of the ...of the sale. And, there was some concern that if it was done at both times, then we could extend the time to 30 days to make a decision whether you wanted to keep the policy, and we're just reducing it back to the 10 days and still allowing them to make the delivery of the outline of the coverage along with the delivery of the...of the policy."

Brummer: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? The question's on the Gentleman's motion that the House concur in Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 1260. Those in favor indicate by voting 'aye'. Opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. Whoops! The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 113 'aye' and no 'nay', and the House does concur in Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 1260. 2111. Representative Mautino."

Mautino: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move that we concur in the Senate Amendments placed on House Bill 2111. Basically, what they did for the State of Illinois was to allow the third and ...the third and fourth race accumulation for the Hambil... to retain the Hambiltonian in the State of Illinois. There was a movement by some people on the east coast that wanted to try to bid on the Hambiltonian and what this merely does is allow the third and fourth race percentages to go to the Hambiltonian Fund, and I move we concur with that Amendment."



Speaker Redmond: "Representative McGrew."

McGrew: "Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

McGrew: "Representative, is one of the major problems we're still fighting the fact that we don't have any pari-mutual betting down there and, therefore, not the income?"

Mautino: "I think that that would be a fair assumption. Representative Ralph Dunn would have more information on that than I would, but the Hambiltonian is the premiere race in the State of Illinois and in the nation as you know."

McGrew: "Absolutely, and I have been to there many, many, many times. Is there any possibility of trying to straighten that out?"

Mautino: "They do have..."

McGrew: "Okay."

Mautino: "...parimutual betting now...."

McGrew: "Okay. I...I concur. Go ahead."

Mautino: "...I was informed by Representative Dunn."



Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? Representative Getty.

Representative Getty. Anything further? The question's on the Gentleman's motion that the House concur in Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 2111. Those in favor vote 'aye' Opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 113 'aye' and 6 'no', and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1. 2658. Bradley-Daniels. 2658."

Bradley: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The very simple Amendment...a technical Amendment to add to 2658, the product liability Bill, is simply on page 2, line...or line 19. They inserted the word, 'or', immediately after the semicolon on line 19. It does not affect the contents of the Bill at all, but it just grammatically corrects it, and I move the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Leinenweber."

Bradley: "...or its concurrence."

Leinenweber: "Yeah, is this the Bill that allows the so-called state of the art as the defense in a product liability case?"

Bradley: "No."

Leinenweber: "Well, what does the Bill do?"

Bradley: "The Bill addressed itself to the problem of the wholesaler...retailer in being involved in the malpract... or a...a...a suit. It removes them in a product liability case."

Leinenweber: "That's the distributors are no longer...if they don't actually do anything to the product, is that right?"

Bradley: "That's right."

Leinenweber: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, just briefly speaking on this Bill. I know...I'm sure it won't do much good, but this really isn't a very good Bill, and the reason it isn't is because it flies in the face of the



entire concept of products liability. Now, if we disagree with products liability, then we probably ought to abolish that as a tort. The theory behind products liability is one of absolute...of no fault, and that is to spread the risk of injury...the loss associated with injury to those who profit by the article..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Beatty, for what purpose do you rise?"

Beatty: "Point of order, Mr. Speaker. This..."

Speaker Redmond: "State your point."

Beatty: "This Bill has been through here. We have a technical Amendment from the Senate. We've passed this Bill. Is it proper for the Member to be speaking about the basic Bill, or are we discussing the Amendment? Concurring with the Amendment?"

Speaker Redmond: "Well, the motion...motion is to adopt the Amendment..."

Beatty: "Then I think he should be informed that he shouldn't speak about the Bill. He should speak about the Amendment."

Leinenweber: "Mr. Speaker, I...this is final action. I was under the impression..."

Speaker Redmond: "Yeah, well I think that it may be necessary to explain the Bill to explain the impact of the Amendment. Proceed."

Leinenweber: "The only point I would like to make is that the distributors, even though they may not have any actual contact with the product other than taking it in the original package, nevertheless do profit. They're in the business of distributing these products, and they do profit by it. They profit by it in the same way as the retailer does, and they profit by these products in the same way as the manufacturer does. So, in a sense, by eliminating the distributors, even though they don't actually in...involve themselves in making the product,



nevertheless are involved in profiting through the product and the whole theory I would point out behind products liability is to spread the loss between those who profit by the sale of a defective product, even though the actual defect is there through no fault of their own. Philosophically, this Bill flies in the face of the tort of products liability, and I would urge opposition to House Bill 2658 on that basis."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? Representative Bradley. Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, as the Gentleman from Bloomington originally mentioned, all we're concerned with now is a technical Amendment making a grammatical change. The merit of this Bill previously had been thoroughly discussed by Judiciary I, had previously been thoroughly discussed by this full House, and has passed the Senate. Now, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, going into the merit of the Bill. Both the distributors and the trial lawyers are in agreement that relief from unwarranted product liability suits should be granted to the distributors. In substance, they are in agreement. Procedurally, they are in disagreement. What this Bill does, and I think rightfully so with respect to the issue of strict liability, would exempt a distributor from being an unnecessary party to a law suit. The trial lawyers want them to be an unnecessary party to a law suit. This Bill in no way exempts a distributor from liability on account of the distributors' negligence, on account of the distributors having had anything to do with the design or specification of the product, would not exempt the distributor with respect to his having made any modification to the product. Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, this was thoroughly discussed before. The Full...the Judiciary I Committee, the full House, the Senate, its Committee also felt that this was a meritorious approach.



I think it is, and we should give it our support, and I would urge an 'aye' vote with respect to concurrence to Senate Amendment #1."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? Representative Daniels."

Daniels: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would like to again urge the passage of House Bill 2658. This Bill was heard thoroughly in the Judiciary Committee, had widespread support with both the trial lawyers, in testimony and working out various provisions. We were able to come up with a Bill that I think is certainly excellent in form. The Amendment offered by the Senate is technical in nature. As Representative Schlickman stated, this applies to cases in strict liability, and I certainly think it's a Bill worthy of your support in the area of products liability."

Speaker Redmond: "The question's on the Gent...Representative Brummer."

Brummer: "Yes, just a comment on the...on the comment of the prior speaker. He indicated the trial lawyers were in support of that. That did not...that is definitely not the case."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Daniels."

Daniels: "Representative Brummer, what I meant to indicate was the trial lawyers participated in the discussion. We were able to come out with a Bill that had been worked thoroughly by the various groups here. I...I certainly don't think the trial lawyers were in support of the Bill. I thank you for pointing that out, and I wouldn't want to indicate to the Membership that they actually were in support of the Bill. As a matter of fact, we found the trial lawyers to be a problem in many of our legislation. We hope that will be corrected in the future."

Speaker Redmond: "The question's on the motion to...that the House concur in Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 2658."



Those in favor vote 'aye'. Opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 111 'aye' and 10 'no', and the House does concur in Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 2658. Representative Mahar. Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would like to have a conference in 118, Mr. Speaker, immediately for about 45 minutes."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay. We'll be back at 12:15. Is that correct? Representative Greiman."

Greiman: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. We'd like to have a Democratic conference in room 114 immediately subject to the same call in return."

Speaker Redmond: "The House will stand in recess until 12:15 for the purpose of a Republican conference in 118; Democratic conference in 114. Two...two minute perfunctory then we'll stand in recess."

Clerk Leone: "Message...a message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred with House..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Daniels, will you come up here?"

Clerk Leone: "I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred with the House of Representatives in the passage of Bills. House Bills 2428..."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay. I've been advised that the...the Democratic conference has been cancelled. Proceed."

Clerk Leone: "House Bills 2428, 1970, 2034, 2134, 2194, 2348, 2210, 2226, 2301, 2431, 336, 1062, 2596, 2410, 2679, 1477, 1463, 1382, 1679, 1759, 1911, 1914, 1936, 2012 together with the attached Amendments hereto, and the adoption of which I am instructed to ask concurrence of



the House, to wit. Passed the Senate as amended:
June 27, 1979. Kenneth Wright, Secretary.

Speaker Redmond: "The House will be in order. We understand that we worked so hard today that the voting machine is kaput, so we're going to have give them about an hour to repair it. I talked to Representative Ryan, and his conference is still in Session, so the House will be in recess until 2:30. You can get lunch, but that looks like a long night. 2:30. Looks like a long night, though. You tell that to Terzich, will you? You tell that to Bob Terzich. Well, it'll take an hour to get it back. I'm not doing anything. You want the lights on? We'll turn the TV lights on from now till 2:30, and then they'll be off all the rest of the time. The House will come to order. Members please be in their seats. Messages from the Senate."

Clerk O'Brien: "A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has refused to concur with the House in adoption of their Amendments to the following Bills: Senate Bills #88, 375, 263, 533, 133, and 257, action taken by the Senate June 28, 1979. Kenneth Wright, Secretary."

Speaker Redmond: "On the Order of Concurrence appears House Bill 1170. Representative Abramson. Better take... 1170, but I don't see him. We'd better take this one out. 339. Representative Kelly. Representative Kelly."

Kelly: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I have House Bill 339, and it is...I would ask to concur in Senate Amendment #1 and Senate Amendment #2. The Amendment changes the proposal around almost...well, not 180 degrees but quite a bit. The Bill, as it initially passed out of here, was somewhat controversial, because it would've permitted certain alcoholic beverages to be served or delivered. Not to be sold but to be



delivered onto certain state and municipal properties. The Senate decided, and I concur, that now it will not apply to any delivery. The delivery...prohibition against delivery goes back into the law as it...in other words, as it stands. But, what it does now, it would permit certain convention centers and conference centers, which as it was passed out of here, to...to be able to provide this alcohol on their premises, but only to those who are participants in the conference or the convention. It also allows the...the Department of Transportation of the State of Illinois at the State Armory in Springfield to...to have alcohol on that premise. So, it's a much softer...in fact, it's... should be noncontroversial at this point, and I move for the...to concur in Senate Amendment #1 and Senate Amendment #2."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, you know, this Amendment is rather lengthy, and on this particular subject the discussion we had when this Bill was originally heard, I would appreciate if Representative Kelly would take this Bill out of the record until such time when there's better attendance on the House floor."

Kelly: "We shall take it out of the record, Sir. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Out of the record. 1171. I see that Representative Jane Barnes is talking with the former international miler from Penn State. Now the Attorney General of the State of Illinois, Bill Scott. 1171. You didn't know that he was the first man that ran the four minute mile, did you? Some think it was Bannister, but it wasn't. Representative Jane Barnes."

Barnes: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move to concur with Senate Amendment #1, and what it does, it makes some total reductions of approximately \$27,600 in the Pollution Control Board budget."



Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? The question is on the Gentleman...or the Lady's motion that the House concur in Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 1171. Those in favor vote 'aye'. Opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this questions there's 97 'aye' and 2 'no', and the House does concur in Senate Amendment 1 to 1171. 1172. Representative Barnes. Representative Jane Barnes. 1172."

Barnes: "Mr. Speaker, that belongs to Representative Sam Vinson."

Speaker Redmond: "Is Representative Vinson here? Mr...LIS. Can't they tell the difference between Jane Barnes and Sam Vinson?"

Vinson: "All right. I move that the House concur."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? Representative Totten says you can't do that. Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "It's okay."

Speaker Redmond: "You've...you've been..."

Vinson: "The Senate Amendment, Mr. Totten, reduced personal services by \$5,000, retirement by 400, social security by 300 for a total reduction of 5700. The agency agrees, and when they'll agree to a reduction, it's the best thing we can do for the taxpayer. I urge adoption...concurrence."

Speaker Redmond: "The question...Representative Johnson."

Johnson: "I move the previous question."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 1172?' Those in favor vote 'aye'. Opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "My button won't work. Now it is. I'm sorry."

Speaker Redmond: "The Clerk will take the...button, button, who's got the button? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 110 'aye' and 4 'no', and the



House does concur in Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 1172. 1174. Representative Oblinger. Representative Collins."

Collins: "Mr. Speaker, I heard Representative Vinson's last remark, and I would like to offer him some 'myogliacin'."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Oblinger. 1174. Appropriation of the expenses of the State Employees' Retirement System. Okay. Out of the record. 1177. Representative Grossi. Representative Sandquist."

Sandquist: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move we concur in the Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1177, which is the appropriation for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Liquor Control Commission. We have put an Amendment on which increased the appropriation by \$240,000 for additional agents of the Commission. The Senate Amendment cuts that back to an increase of only 81,000, and that's because we're going to phase in the additional agents. The Commission does agree with it, and, therefore, I ask that we concur."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question's on the Gentleman's motion the House concur in Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 1177. Those in favor vote 'aye'. Opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 97 'aye' and 11 'no', and the House does concur in Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 1177. 1288. Representative James Taylor. Out of the record. 1351. Representative Schneider. Out of the record. 1531. McClain. Out of the record. 1538. Representative Jack Davis. Davis. Out of the record. 1614. C. M. Stiehl. Representative C. M. Stiehl."

Stiehl: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move that the House do concur with Senate Amendment to House Bill 1614. It reduces the appropriation by \$56,000 and it eliminates two positions..."



Speaker Redmond: "Is there any...?"

Stiehl: "This has been worked out and is agreeable to everybody."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question's on the Lady's motion for the...that the House concur in Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 1614. Those in favor vote 'aye'. Opposed vote 'no'. Final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Who do I hear? Representative Totten."

Totten: "Well, this...Mr. Speaker, thank you. This is the appropriation, I believe, for the St. Louis Metropolitan Airport Authority, and it's been one that has been for the Waterloo Airport. It's been one that's been lively debated and very controversial. It's a lot of money that's not needed for something that's never going to be built, and I would encourage a 'no' vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? Anything further? Anything further? I think we'd better take this one out of the record. I think we'd better take it out of the record before Representative Stiehl sprains an ankle. 1531. 1531. Representative McClain."

McClain: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would move to concur with a Senate Amendment to House Bill 1531. This is the appropriation for the Appellate Defender's office. The Senate cut approximately \$97,000 from the budget. Seven thousand of that was from travel and ninety thousand from personal services. It's the feeling of the Appellate Defender's office that this is pretty drastic, especially in terms of travel, but they fear Conference Committees, so they've asked me to concur. I don't share the belief, by the way. But, they've asked me to concur, and I honor that, and so I would move to concur with Senate Amendment to House Bill 1531."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Johnson."



McClain: "Oh, I'm sorry."

Johnson: "Mike, does this Bill, as amended, reflect or anticipate the passage of the Bill you presented and passed out of Judiciary Committee that removes from the auspices of the State Appellate Defender those offenses that are not subject to imprisonment?"

McClain: "I heard you. Mr. Johnson, let me take it out of the record, and I'll come over and talk to you."

Johnson: "Okay."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? The question's on the Gentleman's motion that the House concur in Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 1531. Those...oh, out of the record. 1630. Yes. Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Supplemental Calendar #1 is now being distributed."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, House Bill 1630 is the appropriation for the office of the Attorney General. The Bill has been amended with the reduction of 233,000, and while this will curtail somewhat the needs of the Attorney General, I do move that we concur in the Senate Amendments. I would point out to you again that the office of the Attorney General raises 10 million...brings in 10 dollars for every one it spends, and I'm not sure this is a good savings, but we will go along with the reduction, and I move the concurrence in the Senate Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question's on the Gentleman's motion that the House concur in Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 1630. Those in favor vote 'aye'. Opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 136 'aye' and no 'nay', and the House concurs in Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 1630. 1170 on page 5. Representative Abramson."



Abramson: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move that the House not concur in Senate Amendments #1, 2, and 3 to House Bill 1170."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question's on the Gentleman's motion that the House nonconcur in Senate Amendment 3 to House Bill 1170. Those in favor say..."

Abramson: "Mr. Speaker..."

Speaker Redmond: "...'aye'. 'Aye'."

Abramson: "That's Amendments 1, 2, and 3."

Speaker Redmond: "What was that?"

Abramson: "Amendments 1, 2, and 3."

Speaker Redmond: "Yeah. Did he...did we nonconcur with Senate Amendments 1, 2, and 3 to House Bill 1170? Those in favor say 'aye'. 'Aye'. Opposed, 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The motion carries, and the House nonconcur. Representative...Representative Bullock."

Bullock: "Thank you...thank you, Mr. Speaker. I had my light on. You probably didn't see it. I just wanted the Gentleman to explain the rationale for nonconcurrence. I didn't have a copy, and I don't know if it's too late for that or not."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Abramson."

Abramson: "Senate Amendments #1, 2, and 3 basically made it a nine-month budget rather than a twelve-month budget, and there's still some discussion going on as to how these departments that are going to be merged are going to be funded, so we thought that we'd take the Amendments off and...and work it out in a couple of days in conference."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Braun."

Braun: "Mr. Speaker, I...I know that we all have our package of information from LIS on these, but it would be very helpful if the Sponsor would explain in detail what happens. I know you just did, but it seems to me that these Amendments...one of the Amendments cut something



from the FEPC budget and the other two add money to the FEPC budget."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Abramson."

Braun: "But, it...it would really be helpful if we got a full explanation before we were asked to concur or not concur."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Abramson."

Abramson: "Senate Amendment #1 made a total reduction of \$604,100 to allow for a 7% solution. It eliminates funding for new employees and the systemic investigations unit, it provides nine-months' funding for reorganization of a new Human Rights Department, reduces contractual services and travel items. Senate Amendment #2 restored \$41,400 reduced by Senate Amendment #1 as follows: restored one EDP position and ETP division, restored \$11,400 in personal services and charged processing division, restored \$18,400 to contractual services, transfers \$18,300 federal funds out of EDP and into contractual services. Senate Amendment #3 restored \$14,600 for a nine-month funding for one CETA position to be transferred to GRF payroll in '80. The total reduction by the three Amendments is about \$580,000."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Gene Barnes. Gene Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Would the Sponsor yield to just a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Barnes: "Representative, I believe that you indicated that you are nonconcurring with one of the Amendments. Is that correct?"

Abramson: "Mr. Barnes, we nonconcurrred in all three Amendments."

Barnes: "Okay. No...no problem. The only question I was going to raise...well, no problem here. But, I understood you to say that you were going to nonconcur, and as I understand the procedure here, is if you nonconcur with one, you nonconcur with them all, so it doesn't matter. Thank you."



Speaker Redmond: "The motion to nonconcur prevails, and the House nonconcur in Amendments 1, 2, and 3 to House Bill 1170. 1634. Representative Mahar."

Mahar: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would move that we do concur with Senate Amendments 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 to House Bill 1634. House Bill 1634 is the ordinary appropriation for the military and naval in the total amount of 4,608,500. The House added Amendment #1 which put \$168,100 in for the facilities division, and Senate Amendment #1 took \$83,000 out. Senate Amendment #2 reduced the 168,100 that was put in in the House Amendment #1. Senate Amendment #3 restored 11,400 for personal services, and then Senate Amendment #4 restored the 168,100 back into the Bill, and Senate Amendment #5 corrected a technical error. So, in essence, we have pretty much what we sent out of the House, and I would urge concurrence."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question's on the Gentleman's motion. Representative Griesheimer."

Griesheimer: "Is this a short debate type of thing, or can we ask questions, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "You can ask questions."

Griesheimer: "Well, just one quick question. Do I understand that the Senate has the nerve to cut back the expenditure for supporting our military?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mahar."

Mahar: "Yes, they had some momentary thoughts, because they took the money out in Senate Amendment #2 then turned around and put it back in in Senate Amendment #4."

Griesheimer: "But, in any case, we will be at full strength before the invasion starts?"

Mahar: "We will be. We certainly will be."

Griesheimer: "All right. Then I'd urge concurrence."

Speaker Redmond: "The question's on the Gentleman's motion



that the House concur in Senate Amendments 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 to House Bill 1634. Those in favor vote 'aye'. Opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 98 'aye' and 25 'no', and the House does concur in Senate Amendments 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5. 1635. Representative Peters, can you handle that? Out of the record. 1637. Representative Jones."

Jones: "Mr. Speaker, I move that we concur in House Bill... the Senate Amendment to House Bill 1637. They reduced it by \$1,054."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McPike."

McPike: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

McPike: "We couldn't hear the explanation to the Senate Amendment, Representative. Would you explain it again?"

Jones: "Senate Amendment #1, it...I stand corrected...reduces \$5,400 of the general revenue fund in personal services and fringe benefits for the 7% solution."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? Representative McPike?"

McPike: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "The question's on the Gentleman's motion that the House concur in Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 1637. Those in favor vote 'aye'. Opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 109 'aye' and 8 'no'. This... the House does concur in Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 1637. 1638. Representative Steele. E. G."

Steele: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move that we do concur with Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 1638, which, in total, reduces approximately \$82,000 the appropriation for the Department as compared to its passage here in the House."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? Representative Peters."

Peters: "No, Mr. Speaker, I'm not going to contravene or



contradict what the Sponsor wants on this. It's his Bill and its Department, but just to indicate to the Members of the House that the Appropriations Committee on both sides spent an awful lot of time trying to put in some realistic figures and realistic budgets for these Departments. What has happened is that the Senate has, in many instances, changed a lot of the figures and a lot of the negotiations that were reached on our end, and all I'm suggesting to you is that in a number of these cases we're going to be back next year talking about supplementals because of the changes that the Senate made because of their, quote, 7% solution, which to me is a...not a solution at all in some Departments. When you had a small agency like the historical library and you cut out \$5400, what you're doing effectively is telling the janitor he can't work or two janitors are going to work a half a year, and they're going to be back here again. I just want the House to understand where we're going when we keep approving the, quote, 7 cent...7% solution of the Senate. It's madness."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you very much. Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I would like to echo the Minority Spokesman on Approp II. You will find in many of these budgets, especially in the small ones, and I don't want to contravene anything that the Sponsor would want to do with their Bills, but especially in these small agencies the so-called 7% that the Senate has simply cut in various agencies, a 7% solution. I will not be here myself, but you will be back on every one of these budgets come this fall for a supplemental. Now, if that's what you want to do and if that's what the Sponsors of these various Bills wish to do, that's what they are putting this General Assembly and these agencies



in the posture of. They will be back here. Small agencies, large agencies alike, but with that 7% solution there was no...no justification in many...in many areas for the reduction other than to have those agencies come back here in the fall to ask for a supplemental."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? Representative Steele?"

The question's on the Gentleman's motion that the House concur in Senate Amendment...Representative Van Duyne."

Van Duyne: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'm sorry I'm a little bit belated, but I heard Representative...the previous Representative speak, and truly I have been advocating that ever since I've become a Member of the Appropriation Committee. I can't see any sense in giving a blanket appropriation to these people without having some control over it, so just as a direct remark or response, I can't see a thing wrong with having them come back every six months."

Speaker Redmond: "The question's on the Gentleman's motion that the House concur in Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 1638. Those in favor vote 'aye'. Opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 113 'aye' and 18 'no', and the House does concur in Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 1638. 1639. Representative Schuneman."

Schuneman: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 1639 is the appropriation for the Department of Personnel, and I would move to concur in Senate Amendments #1, #2, #3, and #5. The Senate made several cuts in this budget. The overall effect of which is to reduce the appropriation by about \$400,000, and those cuts are acceptable by the agency, so I would move the concurrence."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Darrow."

Darrow: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Would the Sponsor yield for a question?"



Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Darrow: "Are there funds in here for the Governor's fellowship program?"

Schuneman: "No, they've been deleted."

Darrow: "The entire amount?"

Schuneman: "\$109,500."

Darrow: "Well, don't you think that was a worthwhile program for you Republicans to have since you had a Republican Governor?"

Schuneman: "Well, we thought so, Representative."

Darrow: "But you're going along with the concensus of the public that this should be eliminated?"

Schuneman: "Well, you realize I'm sure, Representative, that Republicans have a high sense of fiscal responsibility, and it's in that sense that we've agreed to go along."

Darrow: "The public has taught you that, is that correct? Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? The question's on the Gentleman's motion that the House concur in Senate Amendments 1, 2, 3, and 5 to House Bill 1639. Those in favor vote 'aye'. Opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 132 'aye' and 15 'no', and the House does concur in Senate Amendments 1, 2, 3, and 5 to House Bill 1639. 1641. Representative Telcser. Is Representative Telcser on the floor? Out of the record. 1642. Representative Jones."

Jones: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move that we do not concur in the Senate Amendment. The staff has found a technical error, and it has to go back to be corrected."

Speaker Redmond: "The question's on..."

Jones: "Nonconcur."

Speaker Redmond: "The question's on the Gentleman's motion. Representative Schlickman."



Schlickman: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Schlickman: "There are two Senate Amendments. Are you non-concurring with both?"

Jones: "No, it's Amendment #3 is where the problem, but the whole thing needs to be reworked because of that."

Schlickman: "Is one dependent upon the other?"

Jones: "This makes them both incorrect really."

Schlickman: "Oh, okay. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "The question's on the Gentleman's motion to nonconcur in Senate Amendments 1 and 3 to House Bill 1642. Those in favor say 'aye'. 'Aye'. Opposed, 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The motion carries, and the House nonconcur. 1643. Representative Epton."

Epton: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is a Bill covering the appropriation for the Department of Insurance. Initially, it called for an appropriation of...in excess of 7...of 7 million dollars. The Senate Amendment #1 makes a total reduction of \$493,700 and Senate Amendment #2 makes a reduction of \$41,400, so that the revised budget for the Department of Insurance is \$6,904,600. I discussed this with the Chairman of the Appropriations Committee and the Chairman of the Insurance Committee, and they have no objection, and I move concurrence with Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 1643."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any objection? J. J. Wolf."

Wolf: "I just have one question of the Sponsor."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Wolf: "Bernie, on Senate Amendment #2, Representative Epton, they're restoring \$41,400 for filling some six vacant positions. Can you tell me what kind of positions they got? They can't pay very much money."

Epton: "Actually, I left it out, Representative Wolf, because I just wanted to see if you were alert. I'm glad you



asked that question. Are there any other questions? Jake, those...those...as for the positions of examiners which they have not been able to fill, I wonder if you have any Republican or Democratic applicants. We'll be happy to see what we can do."

Wolf: "Well, they said six. They're hiring people for 6 or 7 thousand dollars a year?"

Epton: "That's just for part...that's for part of their budget."

Wolf: "Okay."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? The question's on the Gentleman's motion that the House concur in Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 1643. Those in favor vote 'aye'. Opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 142 'aye' and 6 'no', and the House does concur in Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 1643. 1644. 1644. Representative Peters."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would move to concur in Senate Amendments 1, 2, and 5 which, in essence, is concurring with the total Senate action on House Bill 1644, which is the appropriation for the Department of Children and Family Services, and what the Amendments do, Amendment 5 reduces the budget by \$73,700 to eliminate two planning positions in the City of Chicago and two planning positions in downstate. Amendment #1 makes a reduction of \$384,000 in personal services for the so-called 7% solution, reduces \$243,000 from personal services for the phasing in of the 211 new positions we created and then makes other reductions consistent with what is necessary for the appropriate reductions in retirement and social security, and finally Amendment 2 reduces another \$62,000 by eliminating an additional 4 positions. My personal inclination is not one of happiness at the Senate action, but the Depart-



ment informs me that they can get by and hopefully we don't have a supplemental in the end of the year, and I would move concurrence with Senate Amendments 1, 2, and 5 to House Bill 1644."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Bradley."

Bradley: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise to suggest that we do not concur in the three Amendments that are before us this afternoon on 1644. There was a great deal of work done on this particular piece of legislation, and the Sponsor's suggestions were losing some 211, I think he said, new positions with one of the Amendments. Four...four positions with one of the Amendments and some other positions or some other areas, and I think he said we ...he hoped we wouldn't have to come back and ask for a deficiency appropriation to run the Department for a full year. And, if that's the case, I would suggest that we nonconcur in these three Amendments and get the Bill in the shape that it should be in if it's going to take more money to fund DCFS, because I have a...truly a vested interest in...in the Amendment. We know we fought long and hard over Illinois Soldiers and Sailors Children School, and it's not in this budget. I would certainly appreciate it very much if we could put the thing in the shape that it should be in instead of passing along something out of here and not funded properly, sit down with the Senate, work out the problems that are clearly in the...the Amendments. As the chief House Sponsor mentioned, he was not happy with the action by the Senators. I'm not happy with the action in the...in the Senate either, and I would certainly hope that we could hold off our votes here and not to concur so that we can sit down in a Conference Committee and try to work out these problems. I'd appreciate a 'no' vote on the Gentleman's motion."



Speaker Redmond: "Representative Peters."

Peters: "Mr..."

Speaker Redmond: "Wait a minute now. Representative Pullen."

Pullen: "I'd like to answer the Sponsor a question."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Pullen: "Is there any budget, as it went out of the House or in the Senate Amendments, for International Year of the Child?"

Peters: "On my Boy Scout oath, there is not."

Pullen: "On your Boy Scout oath, there is not?"

Peters: "Cross my fingers and my heart. There is not."

Pullen: "Thank you. I'll keep looking."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Peters. Borchers. Borchers."

Borchers: "Mr. Speaker and fellow Members of the House, by chance yesterday we checked out something that went on in relation to Children and Family Services in Taylorville, Illinois. As a result of it...of that investigation, I had my assistant make further investigations. Now, I want you to know that there are 4 children in the nurs...in the Veterans...Sailors and Veterans Home in Normal. They are there, some of them, drugs, stealing, runaway, suspicion of prostitution, and these children are incorrigible. They feel that they cannot take care of them in Christian County. They feel they need this home. Then I checked up in Champaign. I checked up, and they have 30 to 36 children they feel are incorrigible. Then they found... then I checked up in Macon County, and that was around 14-17. Then I checked up in Cumberland County. They had a couple. The point I'm trying to make is...is this ...to me it became evident that all of the people I've talked to in the Department and not the head of the Department but the people under the head...all 100% that I talked to this morning were in favor of keeping the home in Bloomington. They feel that it's necessary,



because they have nowhere to put these boys and girls that they consider incorrigible. They run away, they... there...two of the girls that...I checked in Taylorville, run away just a couple of days ago, have boys in and out of the place all day and night, and it's one of the things that we have to maintain somewhere in this state... a home for them, and I think we should certainly go along with Representative Bradley and his request. Go to a conference and discuss this some more, so we can help these boys and girls, because that is certainly my duty for both the boys and girls in my area, and I think if you all would check up, you'll find it's the same for yours."

Speaker Redmond: "Representatiave Satterthwaite."

Satterthwaite: "Will the Sponsor yield to some questions?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Satterthwaite: "Representative Peters, I'm also concerned about the Soldiers and Sailors Children Home in Bloomington-Normal, but I think the two previous speakers have a direct staff problem quite adequately. I would like to ask questions instead in regard to the Senate Amendment #1 which, according to my synopsis here, reduces certain personnel line items so that we apparently will not be hiring the 211 new employees as quickly as the Department had anticipated. Is that accurate?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Peters."

Peters: "Mrs...Representative Satterthwaite, my understanding is that what the Senate Amendment accomplishes is makes a reduction to allow for a one-month longer period in terms of phasing in the people to hire. The Senate staff and evidently the Senate agreed that the time frame was a little bit too short and that they couldn't get all those people on board so all this money wouldn't be required."



Satterthwaite: "Would you tell us what the purpose of these 211 new positions are? What kind of workers are we talking about?"

Peters: "It...in the main, Representative, they are case-workers and in supportive staff."

Satterthwaite: "These are people who would be going into the accelerated program to eliminate child abuse?"

Peters: "Yes, Representative, I am informed here that partially, but most of the people that you are referring to were on board or are in the process of getting on board for the supplemental that we passed early on in the year when we approved the additional 2 point or 3.1 million dollars."

Satterthwaite: "Well, my concern is what the specific responsibilities are to be of these caseworkers who will be delayed in their hiring if we cut back this amount of money from the budget?"

Peters: "Representative, they will be involved in the investigation of child abuse, they will be involved in the placement of foster care, a wide area of responsibilities within the Department but not heavy in terms...not administrative. They're...they are people who are supposed to be dealing with the front line needs of the placement and the care of children."

Satterthwaite: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, if I may then address the motion for concurrence, I agree with the previous speakers that we ought to in... to defeat this motion to concur. We ought, instead, to put this budget into a position for a Conference Committee or else, at least, ask the Senate to...to rescind their action on this Amendment. It was brought to the attention of this House a few months ago that the magnitude of the child abuse problem in the state was such that we needed supplemental funding at that time in order to get caseworkers on board to address the numbers of



complaints that were being filed, to do follow-up so that we could assure that the children who might be abused were having adequate kinds of...of services provided to them. If we are, in fact, going to slow down the hiring of caseworkers for the Department of Children and Family Services, it seems to me that we are reversing action that we strongly supported a few months ago on this House floor. I am concerned that along with the closing of the Soldiers and Sailors Children's Home at Normal we are going to need more follow-up for those children as well. We will have a whole category of children that the Department has served in the past who will now need to have caseworkers following up wherever they are placed out in the community and foster care homes or wherever they may go. If they go back to their normal family living circumstances, they will still need follow-up. I suggest to you that it is a step backward to delete the funds that the Senate has taken out of this appropriation, and I just urge the defeat of this motion to concur."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "I move the previous question."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is, 'Shall the main question be put?' Those in favor say 'aye'. 'Aye'. Opposed, 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The motion carries. Representative Peters to close."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I appreciate the concerns of the people who have addressed my motion to concur in this Bill. Let me indicate that I certainly am very much concerned about the problems of the Department of Children and Family Services. All that has happened has not satisfied me 100%, but given existing conditions, given things that happen, I feel this is the best situation that we could come out with. In regard to the home at Normal, that



battle was fought in the House Committee, it was fought on the House floor, it was fought in the Senate, it was fought in the Senate Committee, and I would think that that problem is pretty much resolved. There will not be another opportunity really for us to engage in the kind of deliberations people might be talking about. All we're going to end up doing is forcing this into a conference and resolving it basically in the same manner that is before you right now. And, my understanding talking to the Representatives that have asked me, there are still 25 children to be placed. We've still some three or four months in which to do that. The various agencies, the private care agencies, the child care agencies have assured us time and time again that they are working well on this problem, and we'll have this problem well in hand before the closing date arises. I would appreciate, for the Department and for what I think is being done as a very good job in the Department, you affirmative vote in concurrence on 1644."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? The question's on the Gentleman's motion that the House concur in Senate Amendments 1, 2, and 5 to House Bill 1644. Those in favor vote 'aye'. Opposed vote 'no'. Final action. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 79 'aye' and 71 'no'. Representative Peters. Representative Peters."

Peters: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I really don't want to do this, but we're...what we're going to end up doing is wasting an awful lot of time. All of us know what the resolution of this is going to be and, frankly, I'm very, very surprised at the people that ask me for continuing to do what they're doing. We have gone and the Department has gone over backwards in attempting to resolve those



problems that they have presented to us. They know full well, as we all know full well, that in the final resolution what's going to happen is exactly what's laid before us right here. Now, Mr. Speaker, this is an affront, I think, to the Department and an affront to the goodwill of the people who have been working on this matter. I'm going to ask, one, for a poll of the absentees, Mr. Speaker. And, secondly, I'm not sure what I'm going to do after that."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has requested a poll of the absentees. Wait till we get to you name. It makes it easier if we do it that way. Representative McClain has requested that his vote be changed from 'no' to 'aye'. Proceed."

Clerk Leone: "Poll of the absentees. Birchler. Chapman. Christensen."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, excuse me. Did Representative Birchler vote?"

Speaker Redmond: "Birchler didn't vote. No."

Clerk Leone: "Dawson. Donovan. Ralph Dunn. Ewing."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ralph Dunn, 'aye'. Representative Ewing, 'aye'. Representative Ackerman desires to be recorded as 'aye'. Proceed with the poll of the absentees."

Clerk Leone: "Friedrich."

Speaker Redmond: "Is Representative Friedrich here? Proceed."

Clerk Leone: "Kelly. Klosak. McGrew. Molloy. Ronan. Skinner. Van Duynes. Waddell."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Peters."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, I'm not sure Representative Ronan answered. I didn't hear it."

Speaker Redmond: "Who?"

Peters: "Ronan."

Speaker Redmond: "He's here. He's..."

Peters: "He's not here?"



Speaker Redmond: "Ronan's not here? Who's that? Proceed.

Waddell, 'aye'. Representative Winchester, 'aye'."

Clerk Leone: "Williams. Sam Wolf. And, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further, Mr. Peters? What's the count now? 85 'aye'; 69 'no'. Representative Peters."

Peters: "What is the count, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "Eighty-five. Representative Chapman.

Representative Chapman, 'aye'. Representative

Schoeberlein, 'aye'. Representative Mautino."

Mautino: "Mr. Speaker, will you please change me from 'aye' to 'no'?"

Speaker Redmond: "Change Mautino from 'aye' to 'no'. Representative Bullock, 'aye'. Representative Schneider, 'no'. The lights permitting the photographer to take pictures is on. It's up in the gallery. Representative Frederick, 'aye'. Now what's the count? 87 'aye'; 69 'no'. Representative Peters."

Peters: "Postponed consideration, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Postponed consideration. 1645. Ralph Dunn. Out of the record. 1641. Representative Telcser."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, House Bill 1641 is the operating budget for the Capital Development Board. A Senate Amendment #1 has a total reduction of some \$71,940. Sixty-eight nine eighty of which were line items which were reduced for the 7% cost of living increases, and in addition to that, personal services line item in the EDP division was reduced by \$2,960. That's the only Amendment that was adopted, and I move that the House concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1641."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Telcser."

Telcser: "I move that the House concur with Senate Amendment #..."

Speaker Redmond: "The question's on Representative Telcser's



motion that the House concur in Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 1641. Those in favor vote 'aye'. Opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 103 'aye' and 4 'no', and the House does concur in Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 1641."

Speaker Matijevich: "Senate Bills, Second Reading. Senate Bill 483. Winchester. Is he ready? Senate Bill 580. Ewing. Out of the record. Senate Bill 587. Bower. 587. Read the Bill."



Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 587, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the expenses of the Governor's Office of Manpower and Human Development. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1,2,3,4,5,6, & 7 have been adopted previously."

Speaker Matijevich: "Any motions filed?"

Clerk Leone: "A motion ..."

Speaker Matijevich: "... Monroe, one moment. Monroe Flinn. Monroe Flinn, could we see you at the podium? Let's relax for one minute. Monroe Flinn's going to be up."

Speaker Flinn: "Any motions filed?"

Clerk Leone: "Motion to move to table Amendment #7 to Senate Bill 587, Catania."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Catania."

Catania: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Members of the House.

This is a motion to table Amendment #7, which was adopted in Committee. Amendment #7 removed \$314,000 all federal funds, which was supposed to go to the Office of Consumer Services. This is an office which was accused of, which did fund groups which issued a press release which attacks some Legislators here. I believe that the move to take out their funding was in retaliation for that move. I didn't like what they did either, but I think it was a violation of free speech really to say that they shouldn't have that money. However, the Director in an effort to conciliate and to try to resolve the problems that have been raised has sent a letter to Representatives Ryan, Peters, and Bower stipulating that they are going to add four Legislators to the Advisory Panel for the Office of Consumer Services, one from each party in each House, and that he would ask the Leaders to appoint those people, and that the Consumer Coordinating Council will review all applications and contracts for form content and propriety of potential grants before he gives final approval. So, I



think the Director has made a good faith effort to meet the objections that were raised by the Appropriations Committee in voting to adopt this Amendment and I would hope that you would agree with me that the Amendment should now be tabled. I move for adoption of the motion to table."

Speaker Flinn: "The Lady has moved to table Amendment #7 to Senate Bill 587 and on the motion, Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Members of the House.

This is a very important matter and I hope Members will think carefully about this vote. The Appropriation Committee very carefully considered whether this unit should be funded and decided overwhelmingly that it should not be. I believe the vote was 16 to 7. The issue is very simple. Should we be funding advocacy groups that come down here and lobby that present their case to the Legislature that are trying to sell a specific point of view, or should we be funding legitimate, legislative, administrative and executive branch programs? I submit to you that the answer is that we should not be funding these advocacy groups. That was the decision of the Appropriations Committee and it was based on sound evidence. I would recite to you just one example that came out of Appropriations Committee, of the kind of funding that this group engages in. One contract, one grant they made to one of these advocacy groups was based upon a request that said, we can't tell you how much money we're going to spend or what we'll spend it on until after you give us the money, until we know how much we got from you, we can't tell what we'll do."

Speaker Flinn: "One moment please. Representative Wikoff, for what purpose do you rise?"

Wikoff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Could we have a little order in here?...."

Speaker Flinn: "Yes, I think you're right. One moment, Representative Vinson. Could we get some order? Could the Gentleman have order, please? Let's settle down and



listen to the discussion on the motion. Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. As I said, this one contract stated in it, we can't tell you what we're going to spend the money on, what we'll do with it, because we haven't gotten the money yet. And they made a grant on that basis. I contend that that is irresponsible, an irresponsible waste of the taxpayers' money. What we do with this group is, again, and I repeat this so that everybody will know, we fund advocacy groups like the Public Action Council. That's a mistake. That's not right. If we wanted to fund legitimate, objective consumer oriented groups I wouldn't have an objection in the world. I helped create this. But it's a machine that's run out of control and now is the chance to bring it under control. I would urge defeat of the motion to table."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, would the Sponsor of the motion explain it again? There is so much noise and so many people..."

Speaker Flinn: "Alright. Let me try to get order before she tries to answer. She indicated she'll yield. Would the Members be in their seat please? And please be quiet and stop all the conferences all over the floor. Please, while the Lady tries to answer the Gentleman from Cook. Representative Catania to answer the question."

Catania: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Members of the House. Representative Leverenz has requested that I very briefly explain the Amendment again because he feels that not everyone heard it the first time. This is a motion to table Amendment 7, which was adopted in Committee. What Amendment 7 did was to remove \$314,000 of federal funds, which would have gone to fund the Office of Consumer Services. The Amendment was adopted I believe because of lobbying efforts in behalf of consumer groups trying to counteract



oppression, in their view, by utility companies and a press release was issued by one of those groups which named some Legislators on this floor. And I didn't like that action. I think a lot of other people here didn't like that action. But threats were made on this House floor to stop all funding for all of those kinds of groups simply because of that incident. The Director, Mr. 'Gause', has sent a letter to Representative Ryan with copies to Representatives Peters and Bower stipulating that he is going to take several steps to insure that no misuse of these funds will occur. He says that the Consumer Coordinating Council, which is the Advisory Panel to the Office of Consumer Services, will be expanded to include four Legislators from the House and Senate, one from each party to be nominated by our party Leaders and that this Consumer Coordinating Council will review all applications and contracts for form content and propriety of potential grants before the Director gives approval and that he will indeed heed their advice. I think that a very good faith effort has been made by the Director, Director Gause, to meet the objections that were raised in Committee and therefore I am moving to table Amendment 7. And I ask for your support."

Leverenz: "A further question, isn't it true a number of the grants or these contracts were received by the individuals or the organizations represented by individuals on the Advisory Council? And how does that happen?"

Catania: "Well, I don't know that, Representative Leverenz. But I do know..."

Leverenz: "Well I suggest..."

Catania: "I just told you about Director Gause and his good faith efforts to see that no abuse of this expenditure would occur in the future."

Leverenz: "I suggest that you don't have an answer to that then your motion may not be the proper one to make. Again, I understand that organizations that are represented on the



Advisory Council, the fronts for those organizations, they are the ones primarily receiving the contracts. The question is how does that happen?"

Catania: "I have no information on that. I will try to get you an answer."

Leverenz: "How much did they give away last year? And what is their dollar request for this year and how much over last year?"

Catania: "All the contracts are competitive. There's no guarantee any particular group will get any kind of funding and as I pointed out, the Director is requesting that the party Leaders in the House and Senate nominate people to serve on the Advisory Council, and he has made a commitment in writing to heed the recommendations of those people."

Leverenz: I understand that there are guidelines made up for these grants. Is that correct?"

Catania: "That's correct."

Leverenz: "I understand they are not enforced and they are extremely flexible so that these grants can be made to those represented on that Advisory Council. I don't understand why a letter at this point should solve that problem. Further, I re-ask my earlier question. 314,000 is the grant amount for this year. What was it last year?"

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Leverenz, are you finished?"

Leverenz: "Well, apparently the Sponsor of the motion doesn't have a question, an answer to the question."

Catania: "The answer is that it was around \$300,000."

Leverenz: "About..."

Catania: "He doesn't have the exact total. It was approximately \$300,000."

Leverenz: "Do we have any idea then why some, many of the contractors were unknown and the effective dates on those contracts were unknown?"

Catania: "He says he can provide you with a list of the contractors and effective dates."



Leverenz: "Apparently, he was unable to provide that information to staff. Contractor unknown for 15,000 for effective date unknown. Another for 10,000, another one 18,300, another for \$100,000 to the Illinois Information Service for a briefing package, an orientation package, another one for 20,000, another one for 10,000, another for 4,000, another one for 5,000, another one to an unknown for unknown effective dates for \$83,000. The Illinois Public Action Council is only 3800 and another one for 3100. I think that's a horrible waste of money, and you know, to feed these organizations in that fashion is just incredible. The Cook County Legal Assistance Foundation is funded out of this so that we are providing taxpayers' money to fight government. Do you have any opinion?"

Catania: "I have a list here of their contract let to date. It has the contractors listed, the amounts for the contracts, and the day it is signed. I don't know if you have a copy of this list or not but you're certainly welcome to have a copy of this one."

Leverenz: "Well, you have no idea then what the \$314,000 may even go to and it's an increase over the previous year and Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, for \$314,000 we should have some indication other than a letter from the Director, who has literally no control over funding of contracts to people unknown and dates unknown and I think that is a horrible waste of money. I would ask that everyone would join in defeating this motion."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman from Will, Representative Davis."

Davis: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker. I carried this Amendment last year on the floor to abolish the funding for this agency and decided not to offer it at the last moment simply because we were involved in protractive negotiations with the Director, who was then the new Director of that agency, with the Governor's people to Amend the Executive Order to abolish the abuses this agency has created by giving



grants to lobbying agencies that are registered lobbyists in this State and that by taking taxpayers' money, free-up other lobbying money to come down here to lobby you and I. I think that's wrong, and for concepts, incidently, that most of us do not agree with. I think it's wrong and I think it's bad. Well the promises were made by that Director last year, the promises were made to discontinue those practices. You just heard Representative Leverenz tell you that they weren't discontinued and they're not going to be discontinued. Let me further suggest to you that this grant, this agency, was developed by a grant written for the Federal Government by one of the very people who works for the Illinois Public Action Council who so dastardly slammed our colleagues on the other side of the aisle. And if you want to support this motion, if you want this motion to be supported and you want to see this agency refunded, the least you could do is make damn certain that those five Legislators that were slammed by that agency are on the Advisory Council."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman from Randolph, Representative Birchler."

Birchler: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I think the message has been made loud and clear. My strong feeling is this, if you don't give them the money, they can't spend it to fight you. I ask for a 'no' vote."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman from Macoupin, Representative Hannig."

Hannig: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman has moved the previous question. The question is shall the main question be put. All those in favor say 'aye'. Those opposed say 'no'. I believe the 'nos' have got it so the previous question loses. Representative... Representative Conti, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Conti. Representative Conti, you have your light on."



Conti: "Mr. Speaker, I was just going to call the attention of the Members to one of my Sigma Tau Gamma fraternity brothers is here, the former Superintendent of Schools, Ray Page. He was standing in the aisle here just a minute ago with the Attorney General, but he's here from Tucson and we wanted to welcome him back to the State of Illinois."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman from Bureau, Representative Mautino."

Mautino: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I believe that Representative Hannig had asked the movement of the previous question. In light of that, I would like to explain my vote, Sir, if you.."

Speaker Flinn: "Well, his previous question didn't move.."

Mautino: "I'm sorry.."

Speaker Flinn: "I think the 'nos' by far were louder than the..."

Mautino: "Well, therefore I would like to pursue the question of tabling Amendment #7. I happen to be one of those Members on this side of the aisle that was chastised by the group in question. I was also a Member of the Appropriations Committee that took total funding from this agency. I now stand before you in support of the motion by Representative Catania to reinstate the dollars in this program and I'd like to explain why. First and foremost, we have reached an agreement with the Director and the legal counsel for the agency that there would be no duplication in any grant that appears before us in the Appropriations II Committee. We have also received an agreement that all of the contracts that are issued by that division will be tightened up to our satisfaction. We also already have some letters of support to the Leadership for recommendation on the Advisory Council. Most importantly, I don't believe we should be throwing the baby out with the bath water. We're talking about a grant for an agency of about approximately \$6900 that upset many of us on this side of the aisle, five Members on this side for certain. While other Members



have come to us with support, we appreciate your comments and your concerns. But I do believe that we would be doing a disservice, since I've had the opportunity to examine a little more in detail a complete elimination of the funding for this division. I would stand before you and say that I am at a point now that I find myself in agreement with the Director and the legal counsel for the division and I ask for support for Amendment #7 to be tabled because I think it's the right and proper thing to do. And if any of you know me here in the House floor, you'll know that I value my word and I would have made it clear to the division that they had better value theirs with me as well. So, I ask for support for Amendment #7."

Speaker Flinn: "There has been a request for T.V. lights to be turned on and they are on. They're on and the request is granted. Representative Currie."

Currie: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I rise to support the motion to table Amendment #7. I opposed the Amendment when it was offered in the Appropriations II Committee. I think this issue really has two parts. One, is a vendetta against the Illinois Public Action Council. I hold no personal belief for the activities of IPAC on this House floor at the end of May. I think they behaved shoddily to Members of this House, too, in fact, the entire House of Representatives. But it was not for their lobbying activities with the Illinois General Assembly that IPAC is funded under this government program. That program is not taxpayers' money being used to fight government as Representative Leverenz suggested. What it is is the hiring of expert individuals in organizations to intervene in behalf of the consumer in the rate-making process. Commonwealth Edison, for example, comes to the Illinois Commerce Commission and says we need this kind of increase, this size increase, 18%, 29%, whatever it may be, in our utility rate. The individual consumer is not well placed



to come before that Commerce Commission and say the nay. The point of this government program is to offer a voice to the consumer in those particular operations of government. That's what the funding is for, it isn't for the lobbying that IPAC does on the House floor. The second issue, I think has been well addressed by Representatives Catania and Mautino, is the issue of accountability in the granting of monies under this program. It seems to me the commitments we have had from the Director, exactly the points that Representative Mautino made, are relevant here for these reasons. I support the motion to table Amendment #7."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Peters. Representative Peters, your light's on. Representative Totten. The Lady from Cook, Representative Braun."

Braun: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise in support of this motion to table Amendment #7. I can say to you all of us have heard horror stories from our constituents about the escalating costs of energy, about the rising costs of electricity. This office has provided a voice for consumers to fight back rate increases, to let their case be heard. And because of their activities, consumers have been successful in keeping rate increases within reasonable margins and fighting back rate increases that otherwise they would have to pay. Your constituents, the consumers of the State of Illinois, are the beneficiaries of this program and unless we continue this program's operation those consumers, your constituents, will not have a voice, will not be the beneficiaries of something vitally near and dear to their hearts and their pocketbooks. I suggest to you, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, that this Amend. this motion be supported by all of us, that this Amendment be tabled so that this Office of Consumer Services can continue its good works and with the hope and with the full expectation



that in the next year such abuses as may have existed in the past will be cured by the administrators of the department. "Thank you."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman from Will, Representative Van Duyne."

Van Duyne: "I move the previous question."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman has moved the previous question. The question is shall the main question be put. All those in favor say 'aye'. Those opposed say 'no'. All those in favor vote 'aye' and opposed vote 'no'. It takes two-thirds of those voting. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there 109 'ayes' and 37 'nays'. And the previous question has been moved. The main question is put and the Sponsor to close. Representative Catania."

Catania: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Members of the House. To answer the questions that were raised earlier, the appropriation in FY-78 was \$265,000; the appropriation in FY-79 was \$283,600. This amount which we are asking to restore with this motion to table the Amendment is \$314,000, which is all federal funds. This is appropriated by the Federal Government so the consumer groups can represent our constituents in their presentations before the utility companies. I think we have had assurances from the agency that they will correct abuses which we have verified did indeed exist in the past. I want to thank Representative Mautino for his very gracious statement in behalf of this motion and I ask for your support."

Speaker Flinn: "Alright. The question is shall Amendment #7 be tabled from Senate Bill 587. And all those in favor vote 'aye' and those opposed vote 'no'. I would remind the T.V. people that during the explanation of vote and the taking of the record there is no filming permitted. Would you turn the T.V. cameras off? Have all voted who wish? Bill, I'm sorry. Representative Wikoff to explain his



vote. There are several people. I'll get to all of you."

Wikoff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I had my light on ever since the start of this debate and as one of the Cosponsors of this Amendment I would have liked to have the opportunity to have been heard. But I would just point out two things. There's been a lot of comments made about some guarantees that the Director has made. I have a letter in my file from that same Director last year making a similar guarantee that all requests that came through my district would be passed in front of me for my observation. I have yet to find one of those requests. One other thing I would also point out is we voted some time ago for a little governmental reorganization and as such, that Director's position has been eliminated."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman from Franklin, Representative Rea, to explain his vote. One minute, the timer's on."

Rea: "Mr. Speaker, in explaining my vote I'd just like to mention that the Office of Consumer Services has certainly represented the consumers. I do not condone the action that was taken here a few weeks ago, but they have done a job in other areas and I would like to remind all the people that come from a coal mining area that the Office of Consumer Services has provided a valuable technical assistance in terms of the fuel adjustment hearings. And I would ask that you keep this in mind and if you have voted red, that you would change it to green."

Speaker Flinn: "The Lady from Adams, Representative Kent, to explain her vote. One minute. The timer's on."

Kent: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I believe in consumers and consumers voicing their opinion. But it is a shame and a sad day when those who purport to help the consumer do not tell the truth to them and tell them they are helping them when they really aren't. And this is the trouble with the Public Action Council. They did not tell the people the truth. The people did not know what they were here for;



they thought it was for help, but it wasn't. I urge you to continue to vote 'no'."

Speaker Flinn: "The Lady from Champaign, Representative Satterthwaite, to explain her vote. One minute, timer's on."

Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker, and Members of the House, we are hung up on one agency that is not currently being funded under this program and has not applied for any additional funding. They were in error in action on a totally different issue. I want to remind the people that it serves a very diverse community. In my area they are giving technical assistance to the farm bureau trying to fight having their property taken up by new lines running catty-cornered across their fields. In the course of the last year over \$75,000,000 of rate requests have been denied in cases intervened by funding through this agency. I think this is the best way we can assist our consumers in trying to keep rates under control and I urge support of this motion."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Greiman, to explain his vote."

Greiman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentleman of the House. We can, I am sensitive to what went on here a month or so ago as we all are. And I respect Representative Mautino for his graciousness. But we have to look at the bottom line in this case. Commonwealth Edison asked for a 128,000,000. They intervened; they got 74,000,000 in increase. Another time, Iowa-Illinois asked for eight million eight, they got six million seven after intervention. Another People's Gas and Light, sixty-two million requested, thirty-eight million got received. Those are incredible savings and they're asking for \$315,000. If that's not an incredible return, this is a victory for the public utilities. It's not a victory for anybody else. Unless those figures turn around, the people of Illinois will be stripped of their advocate and the public



utilities will have won another one. The bottom line of this is such a bargain for \$315,000. We can't pass it up."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman from Hardin, Representative Winchester, to explain his vote. One minute, timer's on."

Winchester: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I was one of those Legislators that voted in favor of Amendment #7. I have since had a chance to talk to the Director of the Department of GOMED and I'm convinced that they should have at least one more opportunity. But I want to say one thing, that I resent the fact that someone representing the scam organization in my district would call my Mother, who's 63 years old, and cuss her out because I supported that Amendment. I resent that and if there's anybody down there representing my district in the news media, I hope to God that they print it that anybody from my district that calls me again and intimidates me or threatens me, I'm going to do everything I can next year to get this Bill killed."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Bowman to explain his vote. One minute. Timer's on."

Bowman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I hope everyone heard what Representative Greiman said. And for those of you who live in the area served by Commonwealth Edison, I would like to remind you that they have just petitioned the Illinois Commerce Commission for the largest rate increase in history...the largest rate increase in history. And if this particular Amendment isn't saved who's going to intervene in behalf of the consumer? Do you honestly think that anyone of your constituents is going to go out and hire a high priced lawyer to go into intervene in those rate cases? It's laughable to think that anyone would be able to have those kinds of resources. We have to provide those resources in the name of the people of Illinois to put the attorneys in intervention cases, acting on behalf of the people. Lastly, I'd say for those



of you who take at all seriously the notion that we're funding these advocate groups..." (Timer)

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Williams to explain his vote. One minute, timer's on."

Williams: "I just want to tell you that I was one of those severely criticized and chastised by this IPAC group and I want you to know that I stood up on behalf of my constituents in my district because this was going to be an increase for the people in my district, and I stood up as a responsible Legislator when I cast my vote. And I don't appreciate the fact that they distributed that information that was printed here in our own facilities here, in the offices right back here, to actually people in my district. And I think this Bill should be severely defeated, or this Amendment should be defeated. I think that we can have an overview here on these rate increases. That's what we're here for too and I don't think anyone that says that we're not concerned with the rate increases of Commonwealth Edison, or anyone else that we have no concern. I think that's irresponsible talk. And I'm happy to see that this thing has a lot of 'no' votes and it should have more 'no' votes."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman from Champaign, Representative Johnson, to explain his vote. The timer's on."

Johnson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I think everybody is either missing or people haven't discussed one real important point. I've never been an opponent or an enemy of public utilities. I think they serve a valuable function and by and large, they're very responsible. But for those people that purport to believe in free enterprise, public utilities are not examples of the free enterprise system in work. They are by their very nature a public utility and they are subject and given a monopoly in certain limited areas. Now you realize that every one of us in terms of our monthly bills, in power bills, and telephone bills, and



water bills, pay for the advocates of public utilities and I think, in most cases with good reason, to go in and ask for a rate increase. So what we're doing is subsidising as probably the system perpetuates itself, those rate increases to be lobbied for and worked for by legal counsel. The consumers, the people who don't have an organized lobby on their behalf, and the people who don't have the proceeds from water bills and power bills to go into pay their lawyer's efforts, don't have a similar function in a similar, or a counterpart provision in their regard. So, I urge you to look at this and to say let's give a little equity. Let's give a balanced treatment and in these very complex legal proceedings where both sides ought to be represented, not only the public and not only the public utilities, but the whole interest of Illinois. And don't try to put this across as a private enterprise issue cause it's not. It's an issue of fairness to everybody and I urge some of you who believe in the free enterprise system to give a balanced view to this and to vote 'yes' on this motion to table."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Ewell, to explain his vote. One minute, timer's on."

Ewell: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, all of us from time to time happen to have been wronged in one way or another. But the thing that makes us an interesting Body is that we have learned to forgive and to forget. And you have to be broad-minded about this particular thing. There are some 13 other agencies from 13 other consumer groups that are beneficiaries of this particular project: United Mine Workers, The Citizens for a Better Environment, The Illinois Public Action Council, only one, Madrake Concerned Citizens, South Austin Coalition, Quad Cities Consumers, Land of Lincoln Legal Assistance, Prairie Alliance, Illinois Power Projects, Kewanee Land Community, Labor Coalition on Public Utilities, Southern Counties Action Move-



ment, Central Illinois Consumer Legal Assistance, Foundation of Chicago, Illinois Association of Community Action Agencies. Gentlemen..." (Timer)

Speaker Flinn: "Would you bring your remarks to a close please? Turn Ewell on."

Ewell: "We simply have to learn to forgive and to forget. Because after all, if we're going to be in this one congregation of faithful Bodies on the 30th and are going to pass these good Bills, we've got to have a little bit more good will passing between the aisles and across. So, Gentlemen, consider it as a whole."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Gaines, to explain his vote. One minute. Timer's on."

Gaines: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I'm quite concerned that we let the intemperate actions of an agency that is no longer going to be funded by this grant stop us from helping organizations that do do good grass roots work. I'm particularly surprised at Representative Reilly voting against this grant because all the organizations that came down here testified for his Bill, benefit from this grant. And when they came down he said they were worthwhile organizations. Now, he's turning around and saying let's not give them any money. So I feel that the organization's in a hole. The majority of the organizations that get money from this grant are good organizations. And because we removed that one apple from the Bill, but I don't think we need to throw out the Bill now that the apple is gone. I, too, was quite disturbed about that one organization. But since they are no longer going to be..." (Timer)

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman from Bond, Representative Slape, to explain his vote. One minute, the timer's on."

Slape: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I think the major question to be asked here is that the good that is coming out of this department justifies the expense to the taxpayer. The abuses



that were pointed out by Representative Leverenz is a clear indication that this program is not working and it's not worthy of our vote. If the people of our district have a problem they should come to us. They pay us \$25,000 to represent them and we are the elective Representatives, and I know that there's not a man or woman in this chamber that would not be glad to represent its constituents. And I urge all those who are voting red to stay red."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Marovitz, to explain his vote. One minute, the timer's on."

Marovitz: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I think we ought to take a look at this and say when are we going to give the citizens of the State of Illinois a fair shake. I think it's really irresponsible to interject the actions of one group into this although their actions may have been unfounded, and untimely and wrong at the time. It's irresponsible to interject that into this debate right now. We want citizens... all of our citizens to be adequately represented. We want to be able to hire experts to intervene in rate making cases. I would say let's forget about it. If there were ever any meaningful utility reform legislation in this House, there's never been, any utility reform legislation in this House, and there never will be. And we all know it. Let's face up to it. So let's give some professionals a chance to intervene on behalf of all the citizens of the State of Illinois. And that's what this Amendment's about."

Speaker Flinn: "The Lady from St. Clair, Representative Younger to explain her vote. One minute, timer's on." Representative Younger is not there. The Lady from Cook, Representative Macdonald to explain her vote. One minute, the timer's on."

Macdonald: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I cannot match the eloquence of Representative



Johnson or others that have spoken here, but I can tell you, you had better believe that back in your district, the people are very concerned about utility rates. Night before last, after I got back to my hotel, I had a telephone call from a group of young executives who are in the northwest suburban area. They are so outraged about the announcement of the new rates that are to be coming this summer that they have demanded that I do something down here and to send them all of the material I can about how they can form a coalition of their own and be protected from these outrageous, what they consider to be outrageous rates. So you better believe your people back home, rich or poor or from moderate income areas, are very much concerned and they need this protection."

Speaker Flinn: "Have all voted who wish? Well, Representative Catania, you want to explain your vote. You have your light on. Timer's on."

Catania: "Mr. Speaker, I don't want to belabour this..."

Speaker Flinn: "...alright..."

Catania: "I do want to make two points..."

Speaker Flinn: "Okay."

Catania: "One point is that we all deplore that fact that Legislators here were attacked. However, my second point is that it was within a few hours of our appropriation to the public broadcast systems of this state of five million dollars, and many statements on this House floor, that if those public broadcast stations were ever to attack something we did here that they disagreed with, that we would never attack them in retaliation. What was within only a few hours of those altruistic statements, those idealistic statements on this floor, that the threat was made to cut this appropriation. Don't let us go on record against free speech. Please vote 'yes'."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Emil Jones, to explain his vote. One minute, the timer's on. I'm sorry, everybody has an opportunity, one minute apiece."



And we're going to do it."

Jones: "Yes, thank you Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I am not one of those Gentleman who was maligned on the floor, but I was maligned outside the chamber of this, outside the door of this chamber. And let me say this to each and every Member here, I gave that group every courtesy possible. I took the Insurance Committee, Subcommittee to the City of Chicago. We heard testimony on insurance Bills. I brought the Committee back to Springfield, we heard testimony there, and when this Bill came up, prior to the Bill coming up, we had several task force meetings going into late at night, and when I walked out this door of this chamber to have an individual from the Public Action Council literally curse and swear at me because he didn't like the way I voted on another piece of legislation, I know the emotion around this would tickle the issue, but I have mixed emotions as far as my voting is concerned..." (Timer)

Speaker Flinn: "Would you bring your remarks to a close? Representative Jones, turn him back on."

Jones: "Yes, thank you for the courtesy, Mr. Speaker. But I felt very, bad and sorry for my friends who, for their own personal reasons, representing their districts, who saw fit to vote another way. Fortunately, unfortunately the Bill did not pass. But many long hours went into that legislation. And I felt it was unjust, unjust of this group, to use taxpayers' money to attack any Member in this House for voting their conscience, for voting the will of their district. So, I have mixed emotions about this legislation. I don't know what I'm going to do at this point."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Sandquist to explain his vote. One minute, timer's on."

Sandquist: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd just like to say to you, those of you on the



other side, we all know what that famous Democrat said, if you can't stand the heat, you stay out of the kitchen. Now, nobody, nobody likes to see bad things that we put out like they were. But let's be bigger than that. Let's show that we really are great Legislators; we're doing something for our people. Let's support this motion to table and let's not throw that baby out with the bath water."

Speaker Flinn: "The Lady from St. Clair, Representative Younge, to explain her vote. One minute, the timer's on."

Younge: "Thank you. The general consumer public needs this agency to protect it against the rate increases of the public utilities. The public utilities have hundreds of staff people working on rate increases. And the only protection that the public, taxpaying public has, is this consumer agency. To take offense at something that was done and therefore terminate the agency is like taking offense at the Department of Public Health for making a mistake. Without this agency the rate increases of the public utilities will go up and up and up. These people represent us and it is important that they be continued to represent the public interest and try to keep the rate of these public utilities in line."

Speaker Flinn: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there 90, or 82 'ayes' and 89 'nos'. And the motion to table loses... Further Amendments?... Representative Catania?"

Catania: "Mr. Speaker, I was asking for recognition. I'd like a poll of the absentees and a verification of the negative vote, please."

Speaker Flinn: "She has a right to poll the absentees, and we're going to poll the absentees. I don't care how long it takes. Everybody's going to get a fair shake. Would the...who's calling for the Speaker? Representative Emil



Jones, for what purpose do you arise?"

Jones: "You only have two absentees and that wouldn't be sufficient..."

Speaker Flinn: "Well, we're going to call them. Call the absentees."

Clerk Leone: "Poll of the Absentees. Molloy and Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Catania."

Catania: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I request a verification of the negative vote please."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Just an indication, Mr. Speaker, that if sufficient number of verified off the negative vote, I'd like to poll the affirmative."

Speaker Flinn: "You'll be recognized for that purpose. Representative Waddell."

Waddell: Point of, Point of Inquiry.. did you not announce the defeat of that measure?"

Speaker Flinn: "Well, I'm sorry. I guess I overlooked the Lady's light. And we, normally when we do that, we back-up and give 'em a fair shake. So, I must assume that she did have her light on. She was yelling for me. I'm looking there out of my only good eye I've got on the Democrat side and I didn't see her. So, we're going to let her verify the Negative Roll Call, and we've had a... we've had a request to verify the Affirmative Roll Call so why not have an Oral Roll Call? Why don't we go through it just one time, instead of going through it twice?.. Well, apparently if, apparently they object to the ... verify the Negative Roll Call. Representative Schlickman for what purpose do you arise?"

Schlickman: "For leave to be verified at this time please."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman requests to be verified. He's verified. Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. I would ask Ms. Catania's indulgence to verify Representative Schoeberlein, who's in the nurses'



station. We can bring him back out if necessary, but I think it'd be a courtesy to do that."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Catania agrees. Representative Schoeberlein is verified. Representative Gene Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you verymuch. I'm not, I'm voting 'aye' but I'm not on one side or the other on this issue, but Oral Roll Call is much faster and there is no provision that anyone can object to the Chair indicating an Oral Roll Call. That's just not in the rules. You can't object."

Speaker Flinn: "Well, I was only inquiring should we and apparently there were more 'nos' yelling than anything else. So, obviously, I thought it would save time but I'm not going to make that decision. Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I concur with your action, but I just want an indication if this comes up again between now and midnight June the 30th, if the Chair indicates an Oral Roll Call, there is nothing...no provision in our rules that anyone can object to it."

Speaker Flinn: "I didn't..."

Barnes: "That's a decision of the Chair."

Speaker Flinn: "I didn't indicate we were, I just asked should we. Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "I ask leave to be verified right now if I may."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Leverenz asks leave to be verified. Representative Catania? Representative Leverenz asked to be verified, okay? You're verified."

Catania: "Fine."

Speaker Flinn: "The Clerk will proceed with the negative votes."

Clerk Leone: "Abramson. Ackerman. Jane Barnes. Bell.."

Speaker Flinn: "Just a minute. Would one of those who are asking for the verification come up here. We're granting you the privilege of coming up here where you can see better. We just can't get the Members to sit down. And it gives you a little better view. Representative Wikoff, for what purpose do you arise?"



Wikoff: "Might I have leave to be verified?"

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman has leave to be verified. Representative Wikoff...Proceed with the negative verification."

Clerk Leone: "Birchler. Birkinbine. Bluthardt. Borchers. Boucek. Bradley. Campbell. Capparelli. Capuzi. Christensen. Daniels. Davis. Dawson. Deuster. Domico. Donovan. Doyle. Ralph Dunn. Ebbesen. Ewing. Flinn. Friedland. Friedrich. Griesheimer. Grossi. Hallock. Hanahan. Hoffman. Hoxsey. Hudson. Dave Jones. Kane. Kempiners. Kent. Klosak. Kornowicz. Kosinski. Kozubowski. Kulas. Laurino. Lechowicz. Leinenweber. Leon. Leverenz. Matula. McAuliffe. McCourt. McGrew. McMaster. Meyer. Neff. Pechous. Piel. Pullen. Richmond. Rigney. Robbins. ~~Ronan~~. Ropp. Schlickman. Schoeberlein. Schraeder. Schuneman. Simms. Slape. Stanley. Stearney. E.G. Steele. Sumner. Swanstrom. Terzich. Totten. Tuerk. Van Duyne."

Speaker Flinn: "Mr. Clerk, would you slow down a bit?"

Clerk Leone: "Vinson. Vitek. VonBoeckman. Waddell. Walsh. Watson. Wikoff. Williams. Sam Wolf. Woodyard and Yourell."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Catania, any questions to the negative votes on this Roll Call?"

Catania: "Representative Bluthardt?"

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Bluthardt. He's in his chair."

Catania: "Representative Boucek?"

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Boucek? He's in his chair. He's in his chair."

Catania: "Representative Bradley?"

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Bradley? The Gentleman is not in his seat. How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Flinn: "Remove him from the Roll."

Catania: "Representative Daniels?"

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Daniels? Representative Vinson? What... oh, Representative Bradley is back. Return him. Representative Daniels is not in his seat. How is the



Gentleman recorded? Daniels."

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'"

Speaker Flinn: "Remove him from the Roll. Did you replace Bradley?"

Clerk Leone: "Yes, I did."

Speaker Flinn: "Okay. Further questions?"

Catania: "Representative Dawson?"

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Dawson? The Gentleman is not in his seat. Is he on the floor? I don't see him. How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Flinn: "Remove him from the Roll."

Catania: "Representative Ralph Dunn?"

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Ralph Dunn, I don't see the Gentleman in his seat. How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Flinn: "Remove him from the Roll."

Catania: "Representative Ewing?"

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Ewing. I don't see the Gentleman in his seat. How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Flinn: "Remove him from the Roll."

Catania: "Representative Hanahan?"

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Hanahan, I don't see the Gentleman in his seat. How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Flinn: "Remove him from the Roll."

Catania: "Representative Hoffman?"

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Ralph Dunn has returned. Would you put him back on the Roll. Representative... who was the last one, Hoffman?"

Catania: "Representative Hoffman."

Speaker Flinn: "Gene Hoffman. I don't see him in his seat.

Is the Gentleman on the floor? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."



Speaker Flinn: "Remove him from the Roll."

Catania: Representative Klosak?"

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Klosak's in his seat."

Catania: "Representative Leon?"

Speaker Flinn: "Representative John Leon? I don't see the Gentleman in his seat. Is the Gentleman on the floor? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Flinn: "Remove him from the Roll."

Catania: "Representative McAuliffe."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative McAuliffe. One moment. Representative Vinson, for what... oh, Representative McAuliffe is over here. Representative Daniels is back, would you put him back on too? Representative Ewing has returned also. Put him back on the Roll. Representative Lucco is back.. oh, you weren't taken off."

Catania: "Please don't put Representative Daniels on more than once, okay?"

Speaker Flinn: "Verify Representative, well you're already verified. You questioned him and he's back. That's equivalent to verification."

Catania: "Just want to be sure. Representative Piel?"

Speaker Flinn: "Representative who? Piel's in his seat. Representative Bullock, you wish to be verified? Can Representative Bullock be verified? Yes, he's verified."

Catania: "Representative Ronan."

Speaker Flinn: "Who?"

Catania: "Ronan."

Speaker Flinn: "Ronan. The Gentleman's in his seat."

Catania: "Representative Ropp."

Speaker Flinn: "He's in his... he's back in the back there."

Catania: "Representative Stanley."

Speaker Flinn: "Stanley? I don't see... yes, Representative Stanley is in his seat. He's not waving his arms. Representative Stanley, wave your arms would you? Representative



Stanley?"

Catania: "Speaker, he's not in his seat."

Speaker Flinn: "Oh, that's not him. I'm sorry. Okay. How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Flinn: "Remove him from the Roll."

Catania: "Representative E.G. Steele."

Speaker Flinn: "E.G. Steele, Representative E.G. Steele? He's in his seat."

Catania: "Representative C.M. Stiehl."

Speaker Flinn: "C.M. Stiehl. . I don't see the Lady in her seat. Is she... she's right back there."

Catania: "Okay. Representative Waddell."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Waddell. He's in his seat."

Catania: "Okay. Representative Sam Wolf."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Chuck Campbell. He's in his seat."

Catania: "Sam Wolf."

Speaker Flinn: "Sam Wolf? He's in his seat."

Catania: "Representative Simms."

Speaker Flinn: "Say that again."

Catania: "Timothy Simms."

Speaker Flinn: "Tim Simms. He's right down the aisle on the Republican side where he belongs."

Catania: "Representative Sam McGrew?"

Speaker Flinn: "Sam McGrew... Representative Van Duyne, would you move so I can see Sam McGrew sitting there? Well, he's not sitting there. The Gentleman is not in his seat. How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Flinn: "Remove him from the Roll."

Catania: "Representative Doug Kane."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Doug Kane, I don't see the Gentleman in his seat. How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."



Speaker Flinn: "No? Take him off the Roll."

Catania: "Representative Ed Doyle?"

Speaker Flinn: "Doyle's in his seat."

Catania: "I'm sorry. I see him. Representative Buz Yourell?"

Speaker Flinn: "Buz Yourell's standing right here by me ready to take over any time I get in trouble."

Catania: "Representative...."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Kane has returned. Would you put him back on the Roll? Who was the last one, Susan?"

Catania: "Representative Cal Schuneman? Has he been verified?"

Speaker Flinn: "Cal Schuneman? Is Representative Cal Schuneman back there? There's so many people standing up I can't see. He's not in his seat."

Catania: "Okay. He's gone to a Conference Committee, and he let us know before he left."

Speaker Flinn: "Okay. Alright. Leave him on the Roll."

Catania: "Okay. We have Marco Domico, right?"

Speaker Flinn: "Domico? Right."

Catania: "Okay. No further questions."

Speaker Flinn: "Alright, give us the count. Representative Domico, you weren't taken off... Representative Younge, for what purpose do you arise?"

Younge: "May I be verified?"

Speaker Flinn: "Well, ...I...alright. We'll verify Representative Younge, but we're done counting I think. Representative... Representative Borchers."

Borchers: "Mr. Speaker, now I would like to ask a question. I thought I heard C.M. Stiehl being called and verified as being here, but I see by the Board..."

Speaker Flinn: "No, she was taken off the Roll."

Borchers: "I see. Okay."

Speaker Flinn: "Well, she'll be taken off the next round. They'll question her again no doubt. There she is, right there. We didn't take her off the Roll. I take it back. She was



standing right behind Susan when we did that. On this question there are 83 'aye', 82 'aye' and 83 'nos'.

And this motion, Representative Christensen."

Christensen: "How am I recorded, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Flinn: "How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Christensen: "Will you change me to 'aye' please?"

Speaker Flinn: "Change the Gentleman from 'no' to 'aye'."

Representative Beatty."

Beatty: "Record me 'no'."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative, change Beatty from 'aye' to 'no'. Any further changes till we get this count? It's 82 'ayes' to 83 'nos'. And the motion loses. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #8, Bower, amends Senate Bill 587 as amended by renumbering Sections 11 through 15, adds 12 through 16 respectfully, and so forth."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman from Effingham, Representative Bower. Let's...let's give the Gentleman some attention now."

Bower: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House."

Speaker Flinn: "We're going to turn...we're going to turn the TV lights on, so everybody knows. This will make longer speeches."

Bower: "This is a technical Amendment that is needed to correct the numbering due to the fact that Amendment #7, Mr. Vinson's mo...Amendment was passed, so I would ask for your favorable vote."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #8. Any further discussion? If not, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #8 be adopted to Senate Bill 587?' All those in favor say 'aye'. 'Aye'. Those opposed, 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, and Amendment #8 is adopted. Further Amendments?"



Clerk Leone: "Amendment #9, Winchester-Barnes, amends Senate Bill 587 as amended on page 3, line 33 and so forth."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Winchester. Representative Winchester."

Winchester: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #9 restores 18,000 federal labor projects funds...\$18,000 in federal labor projects funds to the state-wide man power service division equipment line item. The 18,000 was reduced in Amendment #1 prior to the Committee's restoration of 10 new positions were...which were eliminated in the Senate. These dollars are necessary in order to provide the office equipment for the reinstated persons, and I would ask for a favorable vote on Amendment #9."

Speaker Flinn: "Further discussion? If not, the Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #9 to Senate Bill 587. All those in favor say 'aye'. 'Aye'. Those opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #10, Terzich, amends Senate Bill 587 as amended in the title and in each following Section of the Bill by deleting the Governor's Office of Manpower and Human Development and so forth."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Terzich."

Terzich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, this is a simple Amendment which I'm sure will be approved by the Membership. It simply changes the name of the Governor's Office of Manpower to Personpower since certainly we wouldn't want to discriminate against anybody in the State of Illinois, and I would move for adoption of Amendment #10."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Darrow, you have your light... all right. Any further discussion? Representative Bower."

Bower: "I would question whether this is a proper Amendment. This is an appropriation Bill, and I would believe that



this would be a substantive change. I'd like a ruling of the Chair on that."

Speaker Flinn: "You...you're questioning the germaneness of the Amendment?"

Bower: "I am."

Speaker Flinn: "Would the parliamentarian come to the podium, please?"

Bower: "It's germane, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Flinn: "Parliamentarian to the podium."

Bower: "I checked with my counsel, and he said it was germane. Walter Kozubowski said it was germane."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Bower, are you questioning the germaneness of the...?"

Bower: "I question the germaneness on the point that this is a substantive change in an appropriation Bill."

Speaker Flinn: "On what basis do you question it?"

Bower: "Well, this is changing the name of the agency in an appropriation Bill."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Barnes. Representative E. M. Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I would raise that same question. I believe that this Amendment, as offered, will change the name...will change the name of the agency...will change the caricature of the name, and I don't believe that a substantive Amendment can be made to an appropriation Bill. As I understand our rules, you can only either add or subtract dollars in an appropriation Bill, and you cannot make substantive changes relative to language."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative...Representative Bower, the question of germaneness...the Bill is germane. The thing that happens in the Amendment is that it names an agency, and in naming that agency, if there is no such agency, there is a problem as to whether or not since there is no agency, that that monies can be spent, but



that's not a question of germaneness at all. It's a question that the House has suddenly appropriated some money to an agency that doesn't exist. Representative Terzich, proceed."

Terzich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker..."

Speaker Flinn: "Just one moment...one moment, Representative Terzich. Representative J. J. Wolf."

Wolf: "Oh, I didn't want to interrupt. I just wanted to know what the new initials...Governor's Office of Personpower and Human Development...would that then be known as GOPHD?"

Speaker Flinn: "Representatiave Birkinbine."

Birkinbine: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. If I could make a suggestion to the Sponsor of this Amendment. I don't think this solves the problem, because the word, person, still has the word, son, in it, and is, in itself, blatantly sexist. The same problem arises if we use the word, human. That has the word, man, in it and is blatantly sexist. Perhaps, we should either use the word, people, or beings..."

Speaker Flinn: "Well..."

Birkinbine: "...because that'd have the Governor's Office of Beingpower."

Speaker Flinn: "Let's...let's let the Sponsor explain the Bill, and we'll discuss the Amendment. Representative Vinson, for what purpose do you arise?"

Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, just to make sure that the Chair, and the Assembly, and the parliamentarian are aware of the fact that there is no substantive legislation on the booksgiving a name to this agency. This is the only piece of legislation that affects that, and I believe that affects whether the Amendment's germane or not."

Speaker Flinn: "You...you might discuss that during the discussion of the Amendment, and it's not a question of



germaneness at all. We're going to proceed and let the Sponsor explain the Amendment, and if you want to talk against the Amendment on that basis, proceed when you get recognized. Representative Terzich."

Terzich: "Well, Mr. Speaker, it is a good Amendment and that's why it is germane in keeping in line with the progressive thinking of the state of Illinois. Certainly, we wouldn't want to discriminate against anybody in this here very important office, and, therefore, in view of the fact that we have changed workmen's compensation to worker's compensation. We have amended many state statutes to bring in line against sex discrimination that it's only appropriate that this very, very well-known agency of the Governor's should be known as the personpower rather than manpower, and I would urge adoption of Amendment #10."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Piel."

Piel: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move the previous question."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman has moved the previous question."

Well, just a minute, Representative Barnes. The Gentleman has a perfect right to make the motion. Let's not demonstrate over a motion. You can vote one way or the other. The Gentleman has moved the previous question. The question is, 'Shall the main question be put?' All those in favor say 'aye'. Those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the main question's put. Representative Terzich to close."

Terzich: "Well, I would urge support by the Membership. I am sure that these progressive thinking people of the General Assembly can certainly appreciate the value of this particular change. I know that the Governor may have paid thousands of dollars for someone to come up with such a name as he has now, the Office of Manpower. But, I'm sure that the General Assembly will support this change and vote for Amendment #10 changing it to Personpower."



Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #10 to Senate Bill 587. All those in favor vote 'aye', and all those opposed vote 'no'. Representative Gene Barnes to explain his vote. One minute. The timer's on."

Barnes: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I would have like to have spoken on this. All of those Gentlemen here that think this is a big joke voting green, in fact, what you are doing is eliminating an agency. That's what you are doing by voting green on this Amendment. This is serious business. It is not a big joke. You're talking about changing, by Amendment, the name to appropriate to an agency that does not exist. If, in fact, you do that, you eliminate the agency that does exist. Now, let's quit kidding around here. This is no big joke. This Amendment should go down. It never should've been proposed."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Bower to explain his vote. One minute. The timer's on."

Bower: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I have mixed emotions about this Amendment for the following reason. I think it was proposed by certain people to..."

Speaker Flinn: "The TV lights are off. We're explaining votes."

Bower: "Don't cut me short then. The Amendment was proposed and sponsored by various people, I think, to point out the absurdity of completely neutering all of our statutes. I would agree with Representative Birkinbine. This Amendment does not go far enough. If we are going to call it the Governor's Office of Personpower, we ought to neuterize human and make it huperson. Representative Barnes made a very important point in stating that we will eliminate an agency if we change the name of this in...by...in this Amendment, and there are



several Bills that have already been considered of substantive nature by this General Assembly in which the Governor's Office of Manpower and Human Development are specifically referred to by name. I urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Flinn: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Doug Huff. One minute to explain your vote. Timer's on."

Huff: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I mean, what you see I'm not voting. That's indicative of my attitude. People in my district, where I come from, manpower or office or whatever you want to call it...it...personal being, she...she, he, or whatever. It's just a word. As far as I'm concerned, you can kill all these agents."

Speaker Flinn: "Okay. Representative Conti. Conti. Elmer Conti."

Conti: "Mr. Speaker, I...before I tried to introduce one of the great state superintendent of schools a few minutes ago, and he evidently walked out with the Attorney General. He is back with us now, and we would like to welcome him back to the State of Illinois. He's living in Tucson. A former fraternity brother of mine at Western Illinois University, Ray Page."

Speaker Flinn: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Terzich. You want to take it out of the record or what?"

Terzich: "No, no."

Speaker Flinn: "Oh, no. Okay."

Terzich: "It appears that I might lose this Amendment, but certainly, you know, when it comes up to ERA time I'm going to remember this Roll Call, and I'll probably vote against ERA just because of this Amendment. And, it has redeemable qualities that if it eliminates the agency, maybe it has better qualities. So, this is your last chance to eliminate the agency."

Speaker Flinn: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Chapman. One minute to explain her vote."



Chapman: "To explain my 'non' vote. I think we all have better things to do than have an Amendment like this proposed or to vote on it or to talk upon it, but if we're wasting time, I might as well join the crowd. I have a little book here. It's called, I'm in Training to be Tall and Blonde, and in it there's a cartoon that says women hold up half the sky and the answer is, yeah, but in a poorer neighborhood. And, then for Mr. Terzich there's a lady who looks like somebody we see around the Capitol, and she says if ERA passes, and listen to this Representative Terzich. If...Terzich. If ERA passes, men will be forced to shave their legs. So, that's something you'd better give some real thought to before you vote on ERA again."

Speaker Flinn: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 14 voting 'aye' and 123 voting 'no', and this motion having...has failed. Amendment #10 fails. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #11, Totten, amends Senate Bill 587 as amended on page 1 by deleting everything after the enacting clause..."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Totten. Representative Tot... before you start, Representative Totten, let me get some order if I can. Let's have a little order, please. The noise volume is way up high, and I'm sure this is an important enough Bill and are...so are the Amendments, at least to the Sponsor. They're entitled to be heard. Representative Totten."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Representative Terzich, this is another excellent chance. If the Governor's Task Force on Cost Control had ever missed a chance to reduce state monies and to remove an agency, this is one they should've done. I can remember the years when Jimmy Taylor and I



here together battling GORE over the misuse of funds and the fact that the agency was abusing the privileges of hiring and giving to the poor. Well, things haven't changed in those years, and Mr. Taylor knows it as well as I do. This agency is still misusing funds, and we ought to direct our attention to what's going on in GOMHD and through the use of CETA funds. The Amendment that I proposed proposes to reduce the total appropriation of the agency to one dollar, and I'd like to give you a number of reasons why. I don't know how many of you got the chance to read the report put out by Inter-governmental Cooperation Commission, which was a critique on this agency, but let me point out some of the highlights. Since 1974, as CETA became more of a public employment program than a training program, the federal government has issued more guidelines which have completely dismantled local government control. Control of the program has returned to the federal government. In Illinois alone under misuse of plans, the report cited a number of reasons. Let me just highlight a couple of them. In 1977, the City of Chicago was accused of improper hiring processes in utilizing CT...CETA funds. Other prime Sponsors have been accused of political favoritism when hiring workers and administrative personnel, and the Governor's Office, GOMHD, the Governor's Office of Manpower and Human Development has been under a federal grand jury investigation, and yet director after director have...has told us that they were going to clean up this agency, and the story hasn't changed in the seven years that I've been down here no matter whether we called it GORE or GOMHD. In fact, even in East St. Louis they lost their prime Sponsorship because of alleged misuse of funds and nepotism, and the program was turned over to the St. Clair County...or to the county. Let me point out that



every major media publication and even...I don't know how many of you watch '60 Minutes', I believe, or '20 on 20' (sic) not so long ago talked about the misuse of CETA funds. But, here's one article entitled, 'CETA: Jobs and Rip-offs', and what it pointed out was that not the needy are getting the jobs in the CETA program but even the qualifications for some of these programs, as was pointed out in Dallas, required not only a high school education but a college education to get some of the jobs under the CETA program. Here's another article pertaining to the rot of the CETA program. 'CETA workers target of payroll and staff probe'. Here's another article. 'CETA picks cream of crop statistics showed'. This referred to the fact that they were picking those people for the program that would give them the most success rate rather than those people for which the program was supposedly targeted, those who had high unemployment or weren't able to get jobs. Now this agency is before us asking for some 114 million dollars. They're asking for an increased head count from 331 to 362 people and yet continually. Here's another article. 'FBI probing... this is in Rockford again...park payroll irregularities'. Another article out of the 'Springfield Register'. 'GOMATE vio...GOMHD violates regulations. Federal government'. Another article. 'CETA program records missing in East St. Louis'. Here's another article. 'CETA funds paid for no work', and we're asked to appropriate more and more dollars. Let me point out to the Members of the General Assembly that even the Auditor General in looking over the records of CETA and GOMHD has come to these conclusions. The Auditors found: 1. Documents missing from the files. 2. No central filing system. Incomplete records of property bought with CETA funds. On GOMHD, the Auditor said it



cannot insure the financial integrity of its own reports, and it cannot insure that subgrantees comply with federal regulations. The Auditor General also said of the subgrantees written accounting procedures did not exist. Supporting documentation was missing from the file. Payments listed were not supported by documentation. And, funds from different programs were commingled, and yet we are asked, as they come before us with this appropriation, to appropriate additional monies. Well, let me tell you Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, if there's ever been a program that deserves to be terminated, this is one. And, let me conclude while bring...to bringing before you some instances of misuse of GOMHD funds. This probably deserves the Golden Fleece Award for Illinois. One of the incidences...one of the incidents cited was actually happened in Chicago. They paid a young man \$750 per month out of...in CETA funds to teach inner-city youths how to slap their bodies rhythmatically and thus become human drums. That was documented for \$750. In Miami, Florida CETA paid for a nude sculpturing workshop in which naked men and women ran their hands over each others' bodies to help them discover that they had both male and female qualities. In Salem, Oregon, they financed the construction of a steel-reinforced concrete rock on an island in the Willamette River. It would be used for practice by rock climbers. One group of youths, supposedly being trained as recreational supervisors, were paid to play softball all day. One of the biggest frauds in the CETA program is that it is being used as a means of shifting legitimate county and city jobs to the federal payroll. Well, Ladies and Gentlemen of this House, we heard on an earlier Amendment one of the difficulties and controversies that it has...this agency has created. Well, I bring these to your atten-



tion, because there is many more records on the file of not only misuse of funds but actually using funds for which they were never intended by this General Assembly. I see no reason why this agency should be funded. We should give the money back to the federal government, and we should join many other states in saying that we don't want money that's used for purposes that is used in the Governor's Office of Manpower and Human Development, and I ask for the support for Amendment #11."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #11 to Senate Bill 587, and on that motion, Representative Stearney is recognized."

Stearney: "Would the Gentleman yield?"

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman indicates he will."

Stearney: "Mr. Totten, are these all federal funds?"

Totten: "Mr. Stearney, it is federal funds."

Stearney: "Do you know how much is state funds? How much...?"

Totten: "...the Sponsor can answer that. I don't have the..."

Stearney: "Well, tell me, Representative. Do you know if this agency has performed anything beneficial to the public? To the people of the State of Illinois in the last year?"

Totten: "In going through the voluminous files that I have accumulated on GOMHD and CETA, I can see not for one purpose there was money spent that was worthwhile, and in answer to your prior question, there are general revenue funds. They asked for an 80% increase in general revenue funds in their budget this year."

Stearney: "And their budget is 114 million dollars?"

Totten: "114 million dollars."

Stearney: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, in addressing myself to the question. I, first of all, wish to apologize to you for not finding... having an Amendment drafted earlier to banish this agency to the Island of Angelostowhead. It's apparent



that 114 million dollars is being seriously wasted every year of the taxpayers' money and not one bit... not one iota of good is coming from it. It's unfortunate that this agency continues to grow and fester at the expense of the people of the State of Illinois and mind you now, federal money is our money. It doesn't come from Algeria or Kuwait. It comes from the United States Treasury. We are funding an agency that is wasting the taxpayers' money, and I wish to remind you, and Representative Bill Harris will verify this fact, that the Island of Angelostowhead was under 12 feet of water this spring. Now, mind you, this is the only way, and we've done it once. We've proved it once, that we've defied the laws of nature. We've shown that whereas Copernicus' laws of the planets revolving around the sun and Newton's law of gravity and then a bureaucrat can never be abolished. We defied the laws two years ago. We defied the laws of gravity. We defied the laws of nature. We abolished the bureaucracy. We did it in a lowly amount mind you, but I think this time Representative Totten has touched on a very serious issue, and we can do it again. We can abolish this agency. Maybe we aren't going to send it to the Island of Angelostowhead and drown the bureaucrats this year, but we can prove it. We can vote 'no'. We can save the taxpayers money, and we can justify our very existence here by this one very simple Amendment. Vote 'no'."

Totten: "Vote 'yes'."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman from Effingham..."

Totten: "I'm sorry. One moment. Vote 'yes' on Representative Totten's Amendment. Yes, yes, yes."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman from Effingham, Representative Bower."

Bower: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I share with Representative Totten many of the



concerns with the CETA program as it's operated around the country and Illinois. I think that you'd better bear one thing in mind. GOMHD administers less than one-fifth of all the CETA money in Illinois. There are 70 other agencies in this state that administer CETA money, and most of the fraud and abuse in the CETA program in this state has been in the metropolitan areas that are not under the control of GOMHD. This agency also administers other programs to help the poor, the weatherization program which provides for insulation and other weatherization programs to assist those that live in housing that is inadequate in the winter, also the office of industrial services and industrial development. I urge you to vote 'no' on this Amendment."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Yourell."

Yourell: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman has moved the previous question. The question is, 'Shall the main question be put?' All those in favor say 'aye'. Those opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Sponsor to close. Representative Totten."

Totten: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I bring this Amendment before you not in jest. I am quite serious. This is an agency that ought to have been abolished a long time ago. It was created to find a means to use money that the federal government had to spend and wanted to find a way to do it. They did it. We obliged. We started spending it. We have no control over it. Every report that's come out on this agency is critical. I don't know how many directors we've gone through in the seven years I've been down here, but everyone of them has promised to clean up this agency. They have not done it. I don't think we ought



to give them another chance, even the weatherization program which the Sponsor just talked about. Here's an article. It says weatherization program lags due to the bureaucracy. It's such a big thing they can't keep track of what's going on. It's time that we interject some fiscal responsibility. Federal dollars are our tax dollars. They come from people of Illinois, and Illinois gets one of the worst deals on returned money, and this agency ought to be abolished, and this is a way to do it, and I think it's a responsible way to do it."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #11 to Senate Bill 587. All those in favor will vote 'aye', and those opposed will vote 'no'. Representative Huff to explain his vote. One minute. The timer's on."

Huff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'll be very brief. I'm voting 'aye'. I'm in the mood to kill anything today. Anything...anybody around here want to kill a...anything...agency, come to Huff."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman from..."

Huff: "No, Mr. Speaker, if I might just for a second..."

Speaker Flinn: "Go ahead. Sorry."

Huff: "I still have a few seconds up there. One of the speakers made a reference that the money doesn't come from Kuwait or any of the OPEC nations. He made reference that it comes from the Treasury, but we print set money. I just wanted to remind the House that unless we start dealing with real issues it won't be long before the...all our money will come from the OPEC nations. That's 110 billion dollars a year we spend on oil. It won't be long, Ladies and Gentlemen."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman from Macon, Representative Borchers, to explain his vote. One minute. The timer's on."



Borchers: "I'm going to vote 'no' because of a little incident I know in Decatur. A \$50,000 a year engineer has got a \$15,000 wife on the payroll, and that's the money she's getting. Now, that sounds pretty good to me, so I'm going to vote 'aye'. I'm sorry about that. I make the same mistake as Stearney. I vote 'aye'."

Speaker Flinn: "The Lady from Sangamon, Representative Oblinger, to explain her vote. One minute. The timer's on."

Oblinger: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I don't know how many of you have had direct connection with this CETA program or the office of GOMHD, but I would like to assure you, as the Director of the Department on Aging, I can tell you that they do a terrific job in a number of areas. They have a program called Title 6, which is a program that hires and trains elderly people so that they can remain in their homes. We have the Governor's discretionary 4%, which is another training program, and let me remind you that if these CETA dollars and federal dollars don't come to Illinois, they don't go back to the general treasury to reduce our taxes. It goes to another state in region five. I want my taxes to come back to Illinois, not to Michigan, not to Indiana."

Speaker Flinn: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 46 voting 'aye', 96 voting 'no', and the Amendment loses. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #12..."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative... Representative Yourell, for what purpose do you arise?"

Yourell: "Mr. Speaker, would it be in order now to move that we eliminate the explanation of votes for the conclusion of this Session?"

Speaker Flinn: "The motion would be in order. Those of you who wish to vote one way or the other could vote. Do you so move?"



Yourell: "Yes...yes."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Yourell, has moved that we dispense with the explanation of votes for the rest of the Session. Would you let me finish the motion first? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Yourell, has moved that we dispense with the explanation of votes for the balance of this Spring Session. Discussion on the motion. Representative Matijeovich."

Matijeovich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I'm going to be consistent as I was the other day, and I don't want to use the name of my good friend in this debate, but I see Walter Kozubowski here, so that ought to be alert enough of you that something may be coming down the track shortly. So, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think that we who are very concerned that a package is being developed that may cause some very difficult problems to taxpayers in the State of Illinois to the tune of a quarter of a billion dollars, which some have plugged as tax relief, could have some very significant problems to many of us, and to turn our voices in silence at this stage of the Session especially when, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I was provided with a Calendar of six years ago that in at that time we had the Calendar in one page, and it was so big that they had to split it up in two long pieces of paper, and we're in much better shape than we were six years ago. We don't have to turn off voices now when there are critical issues that very often in the closing hours are what do the most damage to the taxpayers of the State of Illinois. I would urge the Membership in this Legislature...in this House, to resist Representative Yourell's motion. We'll be here. We're be here till past midnight Saturday. We know that, whether you close our voices or not. The job will be done either



way so why turn out...turn off the voices of Members in this Legislator who have to protect their constituency, and I urge a 'no' vote against the motion to foreclose explanation of votes."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Conti."

Conti: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, we sat around here for 5½ months. The key to the success and the failure of this General Assembly is going to take place within the next 48 hours. I make a substitute motion that his motion lay on the table."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Conti has moved that...that Representative Yourell's motion lie on the table. This is not debatable, and we're going to vote on it. All those in favor of tabling the motion of Representative Yourell, which is to dispense with the explanation of votes for the balance of this Session, vote 'aye', and those opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 106 voting 'aye' and 11 voting 'no', and the motion to table passes. Further Amendments on 587?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #12, Bower, amends Senate Bill 587 as amended by replacing all the periods at the end of Sections and so forth."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Bower. Representative Bower."

Bower: "Mr. Speaker, I move to table this Amendment."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman withdraws Amendment #12. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Flinn: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 891. Representative Barnes, for what purpose do you arise?"

Barnes: "I suggest, Mr. Speaker, that you call the parliamentarian, because I'm going to raise a question right now."

Speaker Flinn: "All right. First, let's...since we're working for nothing so far today, why don't we have a Roll Call



for attendance? Roll Call for attendance. The Clerk will take the record. Everybody's here but Representative Molloy, and he was an excused absence. They're all here. Senate Bill 891. Now, Representative Barnes, what was your...? You suggested we get the parliamentarian. I'll get him up when we need him. He's resting in the back, because he's going to have a hard night."

Barnes: "Well, you need him. You need him right away. That's the reason I..."

Speaker Flinn: "All right. We'll get him right away. Here he is. Senate Bill 891. Representative Vinson, for what purpose do you arise?"

Vinson: "Yes, Sir, Mr. Speaker. I wonder if we could now consider the motion that I have at the table pertinent to 587 that I discussed with you?"

Speaker Flinn: "Would you read...? Yes. All right. That slipped my mind. Would you read Representative Vinson's motion. He put it down here in writing. Would you listen to the Gentleman's motion now? It's an advisory motion is all it is. Read the motion."

Clerk O'Brien: "I move that the House instruct House Conferees not to recede from the position adopted by the House in Amendment #7 to Senate Bill 587 in any Conference Committee."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman has moved..."

Clerk O'Brien: "Representative Sam Vinson."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman has moved that the House advise the conferees...point of order. Representative Matijevich. He's filing for a point of order."

Matijevich: "Well, I think that type of motion is out of order. It's presumptive, and I think it binds the House to action in the future, and that type of motion, I believe, in my opinion, would be out of order."

Speaker Flinn: "The motion is only advisory. They're asking that the House advise the conferees. The conferees can



report back in any manner they wish. Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I think this is an awful precedent. It is highly presumptuous. I move that that motion lie on the table."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman has moved that that motion lie on the table. The motion is not...to table is not debatable. All those in favor vote 'aye' of tabling Representative Vinson's motion. All those in favor vote 'no'...opposed vote 'no', rather. Motion to table. Representative Vinson's motion. Not debatable, Representative Vinson. I'm sorry. I'll recognize you. You cannot explain your vote. We're in...why don't you look at the Board and see if you wish to. We need to move along. We've got an awful lot of work in front of us here. All right. Have all voted who wish? Representative Vinson to explain his vote. One minute. The timer's on."

Vinson: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I would urge a 'no' vote on this for two reasons that are very important. First of all, it's important that we adopt this procedure of advising the conferees. It's important that we have an impact on Conference Committees where much legislation's done late in the Session. Each of you will have something important to do in the next few days, and by voting 'no' on this particular motion and going to my motion you'll have a chance to have an effective impact on whatever particular issue motivates you in the remaining days of the Session. I would urge a 'no' vote on this motion."

Speaker Flinn: "Okay. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Ray Ewell."

Ewell: "Mr. Speaker, we just want to explain to the freshmen, so that they won't get unduly disturbed, that don't worry about advising the conferees, because in most



cases they aren't going to get to read the...they will get to read...they won't get to read the report until after their signatures are on it already."

Speaker Flinn: "Right. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 86 voting 'aye' and 67 voting 'no'. The Gentleman's motion has been tabled. All right. Now, we're going to... Representative Griesheimer, for what purpose do you arise?"

Griesheimer: "Mr. Speaker, I have a parliamentary inquiry."

Speaker Flinn: "State your point."

Griesheimer: "Just a moment ago I was advised that our hard-working Senate has adjourned for the day, and they did this by sending every House Bill on Second and Third Reading back to Committee, and I was wondering if you might get the real Speaker out, suggest to him that we do this with all the Senate Bills, and we can adjourn for the day as well."

Speaker Flinn: "As I understand what happened there, that's the equivalent of our Interim Study. We do it Bill by Bill, and they do it in a bunch at a time, if that is any satisfaction. Those are...those are Bills that expired with their deadline last night at midnight. That's what they did with them. Senate Bill 891."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 891. A Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expense for the Department of Transportation. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, and 24 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Flinn: "Are there any motions pertaining to these Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "A motion to table Amendment #4 by Representative George Ryan."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Ryan. Representative Ryan on the motion to table Amendment #4."



Ryan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is a pork Amendment that adds \$220,000 from the road fund for a storm sewer in Northlake, and I would move to table Amendment #4."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker. I don't quite understand why the Gentleman would go to do this. However, the Appropriations Committee understood the need. It's a \$220,000 appropriation for a storm sewer on a state route that will take water off the toll road, and I would ask for your red vote on the motion to table Amendment #4."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Jake Wolf. Jake Wolf."

Wolf: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, let me rise in support of Representative Ryan's motion, and if I could just get a little order over here for a second, Mr. Speaker. Thank you. Ladies and Members of the House, Amendments #4 through 20, which I believe there have been motions filed on, are pure and simply pork barrel Amendments which amount to some 82.2 million dollars in add-ons which are unbudgeted pork projects. In addition, besides these there's an additional some 60 million dollars in Floor Amendments for pork barrel projects which have been filed here by individual Members. Now, I know we'd all like to see our colleagues get a little ink and get their...we understand their interests and their concern in their local improvement programs, but I'm sure that everybody's got their press releases but by now, and you told the folks back home all the great things that you'd like to do, and that should be sufficient. Now, some might argue, also, that it is legislative prerogative for us to decide how the dollars should be spent and what the priorities ought to be, and I agree. This is a legislative priority, but by the same token we ought to be cutting



out other areas to show that if we say this is a priority, we should then be able to vote to reduce other programs and let which we haven't done. As a matter of fact, this House hasn't been able to kill much of everything, because everybody jumps on every giveaway program and every spending measure like it was their last chance to get on the train. Let me point out further that the Illinois State Constitution, Article 8, Section 2B says the General Assembly, by law, shall make appropriations for all expenditures of public funds by the state. Appropriations for a fiscal year shall not exceed... shall not exceed funds estimated by the General Assembly to be available during that year, and so, Mr. Speaker and my colleagues of the House, what I'm saying is we have a Constitutional mandate not to expedite...exceed the spending of estimated income, and I would urge everybody at this particular time to...to take that under consideration. Let's try to uphold our Constitutional duty. Let's table these pork barrel projects. When we get over to the Senate we're going to have a Conference Committee. They're all going to be taken off. Why don't you give us a break, those that have to go to these Conference Committees? And, take them off right now. You'll save us a lot of work."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Conti. Representative Leverenz, you've already spoken once. For what purpose do you arise?"

Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would make a substitute motion then at this time that we would take all of the motions to table Committee Amendments on one Roll Call."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman has moved to...for a substitute motion to table all of those motions which have been moved to table Amendments...Committee Amendments on one Roll Call. All those in...Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I believe the question is divisible.



If that's the motion, then I'm going to ask you to...to divide the question..."

Speaker Flinn: "It is...it is divisible but not debatable."

Ryan: "...if Representative Leverenz will take...withdraw his motion, and he's got the first five on here. No wonder. One, two, three...we get the first six. If he'll withdraw that and come over here and talk to me, why maybe we can work something out."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Leverenz, while I recognize a few other people, will you talk to Representative Ryan about this subject matter privately? Representative Conti."

Conti: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, there are pork barrel Bills that are in here, but I don't know. Downstate has its problems with it's rivers, and that comes once a year, but everytime it gets cloudy in Northlake, that becomes the bathtub of the northern part of the city...of the state rather. Now, this is very important to some...I'd say close to a quarter of a million people a day that have to work in industry in Northlake, which has a large clearing industrial district. Cars are rerouted, and everytime we have an inch or two rainfall, we have a problem in Northlake. It may be a pork barrel to you, who are sitting downstate or in the other part of the county that don't travel through Northlake, but this is a real problem for us up there in Leyden Township, and I certainly don't consider this as a pork barrel. This is a must. The thousands of man hours that are lost going to and from work; the thousands of man hours that are lost trying to catch an airplane at O'Hare Airport. this is right between the middle of the conflicts of O'Hare Airport and the City of Chicago. This is a... not a pork barrel. This is a must. It's a necessity."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Matijevich."



Matijevich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, in past Sessions we've had a procedure where the person handling the DOT Bill very often has said, 'Well, I'll take all Amendments, because we know one of two things will happen. Either, they're going to take them all in conference, or the Governor's going to veto.' And, Governors through the years have made a lot of good press by saying that they've knocked off so many millions of dollars in...in excess dollars that we irrespons...irresponsibly have ballooned in the budget, but I want to say what Elmer Conti just said. You know, you may call something pork until you need it in your district, and then it's no longer pork. It's a necessity, and this is the only vehicle that we, Legislators, have to tell a Governor, no matter what party he is, that this is a need. This is the only vehicle that Legislators have used through the years to enlighten Governors to say, 'I may not get it now, but I'm going to keep throwing this on until you wake up that we do need it.' Don't take that prerogative away from this legislative process, and it is especially important to the party that doesn't have the Governor. You notice that many of these Amendments are Democratic Amendments, and that is important, because if we have a Democratic Governor, you, as the Republican Party, will want to enlighten the Democratic Governor that you have needs, that you...in your district. So, I don't think that it's excess. We know that many of...much of this is going to be stricken out, but very often through the years it will be put on. And, let me tell you, by accepting that motion all you're doing is handing the bureaucracy, and I don't mean the executives, the ingrown bureaucracy, the right to tell you where everything in the State of Illinois belongs, and I don't think that's right. I think we're elected from our districts. We ought to have some input into



it, so I stand with Elmer Conti and Ted Leverenz and urge you to vote against the motion to table. These are Committee Amendments."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Barnes. Representative Gene Barnes."

Barnes: "Well, Mr. Speaker, this is not a Bill that I...the Amendment that I wish to speak on, so..."

Speaker Flinn: "Okay. Fine. Thank you. Have all...Representative Ryan to close on his motion to table Amendment #4."

Ryan: "Well, Mr. Speaker, if we could stand at ease for another minute or two, we may be able to work something out so we don't have to go through 62 Amendments."

Speaker Flinn: "The House will stand at ease for a moment or two. While we're at ease I would like to state we're on the transportation Bill, and my experience tells me that Matijev...what Representative Matijevich said a few moments ago is true. I think rather than to have all kinds of motions and substitute motions, as these Amendments come up, why don't we vote them up or down on their merits? Rather than all kinds of motions, which will simply delay us. It's only a suggestion. Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Well, Mr. Speaker, we have finally reached an agreement, and I will withdraw my motion to table on the following Amendments. Four, five, six, eight, nine, ten, eleven, twelve, thirteen, fourteen, fifteen, sixteen, eighteen, nineteen, twenty, and that's it. Now..."

Speaker Flinn: "Let's let the Clerk catch up to it here."

Ryan: "Jack, did you get all that? See, Jack is all right."

Speaker Flinn: "Okay. Okay."

Ryan: "You got good health."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman withdraws all of those motions to table..."

Ryan: "And am willing to accept each one of those Amendments."



Well, you...they're automatically on there. You don't have to do anything with them."

Speaker Flinn: "Right."

Ryan: "I withdrew my objection."

Speaker Flinn: "Right. When you withdrew your objection, those Amendments were..."

Ryan: "All right. Now, we still have filed for Amendment #17. Representative Wolf has a motion filed to table Amendment #17, and the Sponsor of that Amendment may be willing to withdraw it. I don't know."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Wolf. Jake Wolf. Representative Leverenz, for what purpose do you arise?"

Leverenz: "Yes, I did have the appointed conference with Representative Ryan. I have talked with most of the Leadership and the Chairman of Appropriations I, and I just wanted to restate as I understand it. I am withdrawing my substitute motion to take all of the Committee Amendments: motions to table in one Roll Call."

Speaker Flinn: "Thank you."

Leverenz: "He will withdraw all of his motions to table with the exception of Amendments 17..."

Speaker Flinn: "All right. We're on that now."

Leverenz: "...21, and 23."

Speaker Flinn: "We're going to go with 17 and..."

Leverenz: "The Sponsors of those, 17 and 21, I understand that those will stay."

Speaker Flinn: "Fine, Teddy. That's where we're going to go. Representative Jake Wolf on a motion to table Amendment #17."

Wolf: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I have filed a motion to table Amendment #17 which would add 20... which adds \$23,661,445 in general revenue funds for grants to local units of government for cost associated with the winters of 1978-79. This has been commonly referred to as the snow job Amendment. I believe all



Illinois counties are included in this Amendment, and I would ask a favorable Roll Call to table this Amendment, Mr. Speaker. We've gone through this before in the House. We fought this battle. It has not survived. It's lost before. It'll just come off in Conference Committee, and it's just going to take a lot of time. I would just at this time, Mr. Speaker, ask for a favorable vote from the Members of the House to table Amendment #17, which would save us \$23,661,445 in general revenue funds."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman has moved to table Amendment #17 on Senate Bill 891. Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, Amendment 17 is House Bill 898 that a lot of the Members in this House have received mail on that had to do with the extraordinary winter and the extraordinary upheaval of the roads in the northern counties, and southern counties, and a lot of the counties that had snow damage. I don't know what kind of a deal George Ryan and Jake Wolf have made with the people where the Amendment's part of this Amendment, but I got letters here from the Village of Shorewood, Blakemore, Hooppole, Evergreen Park, Westmont, Chicago Heights, Addison, DeKalb County, Winnebago County, Boone County, City of Morris, Stephenson County, Morton Grove, Bond County, and about 20 other townships and county highway departments that have really suffered more than any entity of government in the State of Illinois during the past winter. Now, the number one priority of governments is moving traffic, and all these people are asking for is that swollen general revenue fund; that is beyond everyone's expectations, that 20 million dollars of that money be spent on helping these cities that can't pull out from the emergency that was caused. There are some cities that have had to borrow money to pay for their snow bills, and there are cities that have had to



float bond issues to pay for their snow bills, and we're sitting here taking care of about 20 Amendments and none of those Amendments are more important than the Amendment to help the city, counties, and township with the 1/3 monies that they didn't get from the Federal Government, and I think that this General Assembly would like to see these cities, counties, and townships receive some aid. It's a very small pittance compared to what the total is in the general revenue fund."

Speaker Flinn: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #17... Committee Amendment #17 be tabled on Senate Bill 891?' All those in favor of tabling that Amendment will vote 'no'...'yes', and all those opposed vote 'no'. Representative Jake Wolf to explain his vote."

Wolf: "Yeah, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I asked you in all reason. You know, these counties are already getting reimbursed two-thirds of the cost with federal dollars. This brings it up to 100%. Many of these counties included in here weren't even part of the disaster area declared by the Federal Government. It is absolutely ludicrous to be blowing 23 million plus dollars for something like this. I know it to say, you know, one more for the Gipper. Let's help our colleague and so forth, but it's absolutely ludicrous."

Speaker Flinn: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 66 voting 'aye' and 82 voting 'no', and the Gentleman's motion to table loses. Representative Wolf. Representative Jake Wolf."

Wolf: "I'd like a poll of the absentees. I think a lot of them have voted already."

Speaker Flinn: "All right. The Gentleman has a right to poll the absentees. As soon as the slip comes out of the machine, we'll poll the absentees."

Clerk O'Brien: "Poll of the absentees. Beatty. Bowman."



Bradley. Breslin. Conti. DiPrima. Ebbesen. Epton.
 Gaines. Kane. Katz. Klosak. Kulas. Mautino. McGrew.
 Molloy. Pierce. Sandquist. Schlickman. Skinner.
 Slape. E. G. Steele."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Slape wants to vote 'no'. Vote Slape 'no'. Bradley, 'no'. 'Aye'. Bradley, 'aye'. Sorry about that. Representative McCourt, 'aye'. Representative Hallstrom, 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Waddell. Walsh. And, Sam Wolf."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I thought your right eye was your good eye. I would like to be recorded as 'aye'."

Speaker Flinn: "'Aye'. Representative 'aye'...Bowman is 'aye'. Representative Walsh is 'aye'. On this question there are 71 voting 'aye' and 81 voting 'no'. Representative Ewing votes 'aye'. 72 voting 'aye' and 81 voting 'no', and the motion to table Amendment #17 loses. Further motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "A motion to table Amendment #21 by Representative Celeste Stiehl."

Speaker Flinn: "Repres...is the Lady from St. Clair, Representative Stiehl."

Stiehl: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The Department of Con...of Transportation and the Sponsor of Amendment #22 and myself have come to an agreement, and the Sponsor of the Amendment, Representative Younge, has agreed to restore these funds for operating this office in Amendment 63. And, therefore, I move to withdraw my motion."

Speaker Flinn: "The Lady withdraws her motion. Further motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "A motion to table Amendment #23 by Representative J. J. Wolf."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Jake Wolf."

Wolf: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, Amendment 23 is



technically incorrect and will be replaced by Amendment #53, and I would ask for a 'yes' vote on table."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman moves to table Amendment #... Committee Amendment #23. Any discussion? If not, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #...Committee Amendment #23 be tabled?' All those in favor vote 'aye'...say 'aye'. Those opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Amendment #23 is tabled. Any further motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further motions."

Speaker Flinn: "Amendments from the floor. I hope there are none."

Clerk O'Brien: "We have some Floor Amendments."

Speaker Flinn: "Oh, we do have."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #20..."

Speaker Flinn: "Okay. Read the only one we got."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #25, Schraeder, amends Senate Bill 891 by inserting after the last sentence in Section 15 the following and so forth."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Schraeder. Could we have a little order now? The noise level's getting a little high again. Let's try to cut the noise level down. There's all kind of conferences going on all over the floor. Let's try to give the Sponsors of these Amendments a fair shake. Representative Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I appreciate those comments, and I'll be very brief. But, this addresses a problem that exists on interstate highway 74 going east and west throughout most of the state. But, let me say that I'm very, very happy to announce that the Department of Transportation indicated their willingness to show that the legislative intent is being followed to some degree. Now, I'm going to table my Amendment, but I'm going to tell you what it does just to pinpoint an example of what the Legislature should do by these



Amendments, and I don't care whether there is 100, one for each district, or whatever. It just shows that the legislative intent is here, and we should follow it. We had had on the state...interstate highway 74 numerous areas set aside partially improved for cubal-sized comfort stations. Now, this is a laughing matter, and I stole the language from Representative Leverenz, but I want to point out that here's a situation that has existed since interstate 74 was first under construction and opened up to traffic. The people going east and west have no place to stop. At the present time, most all of them have to bring a shovel and stop at a farmer's house for a stack of cobs. They don't have to do this now, because Transportation's indicated that they're going to proceed with the construction of the rest of these facilities, and since they've been willing to do that and indicated they're going to, most happy to move for the...tabling Amendment 25."

Speaker Flinn: "It'd be..."

Schraeder: "People are going to have comfort from now on."

Speaker Flinn: "It'd be better if we just withdraw it."

Schraeder: "Okay."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman withdraws Amendment #25. Further Amendments? Rep...wait a minute. Representative Giorgi, for what purpose do you arise?"

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, for the purpose of an announcement. In the gallery to my left is the President of the Illinois Municipal League, J. Leo Davis, of Carlyle, Illinois and the first Vice President of 'Ronald McGaw', Rockford, Illinois. In the left gallery."

Speaker Flinn: "Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #26, Anderson, amends Senate Bill 891 as amended on page 20 and so forth."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Anderson."

Anderson: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #6 appropriates



two million or whatever part needed thereof for the resurfacing and widening of U. S. 150 between Morton and East Peoria. I am attempting to put this Amendment on to show my concern for that particular route. I appreciate your 'aye' vote."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Barnes. Gene Barnes."

Barnes: "Mr. Speaker, I'm not opposed to the Amendment. I'm not opposed to any Amendment, but as I indicated when the Bill first came up, the parliamentarian...I would suggest that the parliamentarian make a ruling relative to House Rule 27F relative to all of the Amendments on this Bill."

Speaker Flinn: "The House will be at ease for a moment or two. We're thinking that over, Gene. Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Mr. Speaker, would you take this Bill out of the record for about ten minutes and proceed with some other business?"

Speaker Flinn: "All right. The Bill's out of the record at the request of the Sponsor for about ten minutes or so. The next Bill on this Order of Business is Senate Bill 1272. Is Representative Emil Jones on the floor? Representative Emil Jones. Out of the record at the request of the Sponsor. Representative Brummer on the floor? Representative Brummer. While we're waiting... while we're waiting to get back on 891, on the...we're not at ease. We're back in order. On the Supplemental Calendar #1 appears House Bill 922 and Representative Brummer for a motion."

Brummer: "Yes, I move to nonconcur with the Senate Amendment."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman moves to do not concur with Senate Amendment #4 on House Bill 922. All those in favor say 'aye'. 'Aye'. Those opposed say 'no'. Okay. One more and then we're going to go back to another Order of Business until Representative Ryan gets ready."



On the same Supplemental #1 appears on page 3 Senate Bill 486. Representative Mahar for a motion. Conference Committee report."

Mahar: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would move that we concur with the first Conference Committee report on Senate Bill 486. Senate Bill 486 is a supplemental appropriation for emergency services and some other agencies that is very much needed in the closing days of June. It goes as follows if the Senate concurs with House Amendment #5 which they had failed to concur with previously, and they receded from Amendment #6 in...in the Bill and added that instead of the 100 thousand dollar appropriation be cut to \$69,000 in Amendment #6, and that's the money for the Howe Development Center, and I would ask for a concurrence."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman moves to adopt the Conference Committee report #1 on Senate Bill 486. This is final action. All those in favor vote 'aye', and those opposed vote 'no'. Representative Hannig, you're on deck. 413 will be next. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 130 voting 'aye' and 5 voting 'no', and this Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. First, we're going to go to Agreed Resolutions. Read the Agreed Resolutions."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 430, Pierce; 431, Madigan; 432, Oblinger."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Giorgi. Representative Giorgi."
 Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, Pierce's 430 talks about a laborfest in Lake County, 431 by Madigan honors a Mike Barry, and Oblinger's 432 honors the Internal Order of Job's Daughters, and I move for the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions."



Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of these Agreed Resolutions. All those in favor say 'aye'. Those opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, and the Resolutions are adopted. On page 4 under the Order of Concurrence appears House Bill 413. Representative Hannig is recognized for a motion."



Hannig: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. House Bill 413 would allow counties to assess and collect property taxes on state-owned lands which are leased to non-exempt entities. This Bill earlier passed the House unanimously. It passed the Senate unanimously. This Senate Amendment #1 was offered... was given to me by Enrolling and Engrossing in order that this Bill be technically correct. It does not change any intent of the Bill, and I would move that the House concur to Senate Amendment #1 on House Bill 413."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman has moved for the...that the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 413 and on that motion, Representative Van Dwyne. Don't get excited, Leroy. I'll get with you."

Van Dwyne: "Thank you. I don't know whether this is the proper rationale or not, but I'm going to vote against this Bill. And, really, I like Representative Hannig, and I really have no real strong desires one way or another. Not desires, but strong feelings any other way for Rep...Senator Demuzio, but it seems that Senator Demuzio and Senator Carroll have taken it upon themselves to either be the protector of the peoples' money or the protector of the Governor's budget or whatever the hell they're doing. But, they're just unscrupulously, and vindictively, and whatever other adjectives I can't think of...are just tubing our Bills after we fight the Republicans on the other side to get them on. After we even fight some of our own people to get them on, then they go over to the Senate, and they get in their Committees, and they just wipe them out, and I just thought I'd take this opportunity at least to voice my opposition to that kind of act. After all, you know, Ann Willer and I argue about this constantly...about our own priority. Now, whether you're for education



or whether you're for the Crime Victim's Act or hospitals or whatever you're for...or roads, that's your prerogative...that's your own priorities, and I think they're very important to each one of us. And, I don't see why that our own Democratic Senators should just be promiscuously just wiping our Amendments out over in the Senate without ever contacting us or without ever even letting us voice an opinion, so I'm...on this Bill alone, and I...I would hope...and I hate to do this to Hannig. He's a very nice, young guy, and I don't even know if I'm going to be very successful in this attempt, but I would ask our Democrats, especially our Democrats, to just withhold their votes, and maybe in some way, shape, or form, we might be able to send a message to Senator Demuzio and Senator Carroll."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman from Bond, Mr. Slape."

Slape: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. As hyphenated Sponsor of this...House Bill 413, I would ask Mr. Van Dyne to please hold his scorn for all the Senate Bills and to help Mr. Hannig and I get this Bill passed on this final passage. Thank you."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Hannig, do you wish to close?"

Hannig: "I would just remind the House that this is a House Bill, and I would appreciate your favorable support."

Speaker Flinn: "The...the Gentleman has moved for the concurrence of Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 413. Final action. All those in favor vote 'aye'. Those opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 141 voting 'aye' and 2 voting 'no', and this Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. On page 3 appears House Bill 339 under concurrence. Representative Kelly for a motion."



Kelly: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. This is a Bill that we had taken out of the record temporarily. What this Bill does now, and I want to concur with the Senate. It merely permits convention and conference type facilities to have liquor on their premises and only available to those who are participating in that particular event. It, also, allows the Illinois State Armory in Springfield to have alcoholic liquor on their premises, and I...I move to concur in Senate Amendment #1 and 2 to House Bill 339."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman moves to concur in Senate Bill ...Senate Amendments #1 and 2 on House Bill 339, and on that motion, Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Flinn: "Indicates he will."

Leverenz: "Could you explain again what you want to let the State Armory do that's across from the Capitol?"

Kelly: "It says here in the Amendment alcoholic liquor may be sold at retail in the Springfield administration building of the Department of Transportation and the Illinois State Armory in Springfield provided that the controlling government authority may consent to such sales only if the request is in for nonprofit organizations, such as sales which would not impede normal operations of the Department involved. In other words, it will be under control, and it is supported by the Department."

Leverenz: "Would this cover such things like the Peter Frampton concert that was held there about a week ago... a week and a half ago? And, if it would, would you perhaps think of amending the sale of marijuana that was so prevalent there all over the first floor of the Armory? I was there, and it was amazing the amount of quantity in plastic bags. I understand they were dime bags. I never saw a nickel bag in my life, but would that be included?"



Kelly: "No, it wouldn't be applicable to an event like that. I don't know how many people...how many Legislators had driven by the group that was out there for Peter Frampton, but you would've noticed if you would've looked that there was many...in fact, I didn't see anybody above the age of 17 or 18 that were there, and they wouldn't be able to obtain liquor because of the current law let alone the new law that's coming in. So, I don't feel that..."

Leverenz: "So..."

Kelly: "...be any...any problem."

Leverenz: "Well, then it wouldn't be available to those that the marijuana was available to? People that... I'm kind of short. These people were much shorter than I, and even the Springfield police officer that I did talk to agreed that the amount of marijuana that was there was tremendous, and it was so sweet smelling in the air that you could stand on the street outside the Armory, and when you took a breath, you wanted to hold your breath to see how it felt. Thank you."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Mahar."

Mahar: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Flinn: "Indicates he will."

Mahar: "Dick, this Bill apparently has changed considerably from when it left the House. As I understand it, the original Bill allowed the delivery of alcoholic beverage to any state building. Is that correct? Or local municipality?"

Kelly: "That...that's true, Representative Mahar."

Mahar: "Can...can...under the Senate Amendment, can liquor still be delivered to city halls, and school houses, and so forth?"

Kelly: "No, Bill, it cannot."

Mahar: "In other words, you just say certain specific agencies



of the state can sell...can now sell liquor. Is that it? Such as the State Armory?"

Kelly: "It can be..."

Mahar: "What about the State Capitol here?"

Kelly: "No, not in the State Capitol at all."

Mahar: "Okay."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Tuerk. Tuerk."

Tuerk: "Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Flinn: "Indicates he will."

Tuerk: "According to my analysis, I see the effect of Senate Amendment #1 is to eliminate the necessity of having dram shop insurance for park districts. Is that correct?"

Kelly: "Yes, it is. However, Senate Amendment #2 cancels out the Amend...Senate Amendment #1, so there isn't any effect by Senate Amendment #1 after the adoption of Senate Amendment #2."

Tuerk: "In other words, they will have to carry dram shop?"

Kelly: "Yes, Sir."

Tuerk: "Thank you."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Winchester. Winchester."

Winchester: "Yes, would the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Speaker Flinn: "Indicates he will."

Winchester: "Under the Amendment put on in the Senate does this still allow universities who have student union buildings, who have ballrooms, or whatever where they make it available to groups to have parties, and rallies, and things like that to now be able to sell alcoholic beverages?"

Kelly: "No, this is not a...an arrangement for that. What it does permit is a... Let's say a university that has a convention or a conference facility, they can, for the particular persons that are participating in that convention or conference only, can have liquor available on the premises and not sold, but is available."

Winchester: "Oh, that makes it even better. Thank you."



Kelly: "I'm... Okay, I'm sorry. It would provide... It could be available, delivered, or sold."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Hudson."

Hudson: "Repres... Repres... Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Representative Kelly, would... would this provision permit young people... make it easier for certain groups of young people to have access to alcoholic beverages?"

Kelly: "I... I don't feel that it would. I... that's certainly the intention of myself and of the Senate when they came up with this amendatory agreement here, that it would not expand the additional, let's say, liquor disposal to young people in that capacity. So, I don't... I don't see where that would be effective. What it would allow, it would allow, let's say, a university that has a convention, let's say, of university's brothers and sisters that join them, and they want to serve alcoholic liquor on the premises. They will have that available. This does not open the door up to the use and disposal of alcoholic liquor to the university in that capacity."

Hudson: "Well, I... I think that my concern would be that if it does have this effect in any way, that it could lead to more... The effects of alcohol could lead to more sexual promiscuity, and that in turn might lead to unwanted pregnancies, which might in turn lead to more abortions. So, I... This is... this is a concern that I... that I have, and I'm really quite serious about possible effects..."

Kelly: "Well, maybe... maybe I could just..."

Hudson: "...expanding this..."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Darrow."

Darrow: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move the previous question."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman moves the previous question.

The question is, 'Shall the main question be put?' All those in favor say 'aye'. Those opposed say 'no'. The



'ayes' have it. Representative Kelly, to close. Now you can answer that."

Kelly: "Thank...thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would just like to respond to the last statement. I feel that House Bill 21, which has now passed the House and Senate, which raising the drinking age up to 21 across the board... That would automatically prohibit any alcoholic liquor being sold to anyone below the age of 21, and I don't see anyone dispensing alcoholic liquor or anything else on a free basis, so I would ask for your support in... and concurring with me on Senate Amendment #1 and #2 to House Bill 339."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman has moved for the concurrence of Amend...Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 339. All those in favor vote 'aye'. Those opposed vote 'no'. Representative Piel, one minute to explain your vote."

Piel: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I had my light lit. Representative Kelly, I'm not going... You don't have to answer the question as soon as you get finished talking. Please don't start the timer until he hears me."

Speaker Flinn: "He's not...he's not going to answer. He's not even going to listen to it apparently."

Piel: "No, he...if he'd just shake his head."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Kelly, he's asking you a question. Shake your head 'yes' or 'no' when he..."

Piel: "Is it true with the effect of Senate Amendment #1 park districts don't have to get dram shop insurance on this? Just shake your head 'yes' or 'no'. They don't have to get...they don't have to get dram shop insurance with the effects? Okay."

Speaker Flinn: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Totten."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. A lot of questions have been raised on this Bill, and they were raised during debate. I think it



was a good Bill as it went out of the House. I don't think the Senate Amendments helped or hurt it one way or another. The provision about dram shop insurance that was done in Amendment #1 was reversed..."

Speaker Flinn: "Sorry about that. I had somebody else on. Proceed."

Totten: "They will require dram shop insurance. The Bill would be...the Bill would be of good use in a lot of communities where park district facilities are built, or when rented out for weddings, and things like that that could be used as money-making and to help relieve the burden on taxpayers. I just think it's a good proposal and deserves our support."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Richmond, one minute to explain your vote."

Richmond: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and I'll use less than that. I see we got quite a few votes. This... I speak in favor of this proposal, because it would certainly be an asset in my district as it would relate to the use of Southern Illinois University facilities when we do have large conventions in that area, so that we could have a cocktail hour there, and I think it would enhance our ability to attract a lot of things to the area, and I certainly support..."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Ropp, to explain his vote. One minute."

Ropp: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I just kind of have a point in question in regard to this. We have passed the Bill, which will eventually become law, that we've raised the drinking age to 21, and we were very much concerned that we were trying to eliminate possible watering holes throughout the state, and I bet we've had 15 to 20 Bills that have continued to provide the opportunity for the consumption of alcoholic beverages and actually to provide additional water holes which,



to me, look like an attempting...attempting to provide young people with that opportunity to be exposed to alcohol, and that's why I'm voting 'no' on this."



Speaker Flinn: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 106 voting 'aye', 42 voting 'no', and this Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 453. Representative Sharp is recognized for a motion on Senate Bill 453 in the Order of Concurrences."

Sharp: "Yeah, that's House Bill 453, Mr. Speaker. I move that the House concur in Senate Amendments 1, 3, 4, and 5 to House Bill 453. House Bill 453 is legislation that deals with the problems that we have encountered in the area of hazardous waste disposal. The Senate Amendments that were put on clarify the intent of the legislation as it was passed out of the House. We had originally required a 20-year post maintenance period. The intent was on hazardous waste disposal sites. We found out that it was just on...we had it for all disposal sites including general refuse, and that wasn't the intent of the law. We also clarified the limitations on the location of a hazardous waste disposal site within a mile and a half from a municipality; a thousand foot of a public or a private water supply. We also excluded sludge from publicly-owned sewage works from the fee that is imposed on the hazardous waste that is disposed of in Illinois, so it basically clarifies the Bill as it left the House and passed. I think it was 157 to nothing. I move that we now concur in the Senate Amendments."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman moves that we concur in the Senate Amendments #1, 3, 4, and 5 on Senate Bill 453. All those in favor...House Bill, I'm sorry. All those in favor vote 'aye', and those opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 144 voting 'aye' and 2 voting 'nay', and this Bill having



received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 1642. Representative Oblinger is recognized for a motion. Oh, we've already nonconcurred. We didn't scratch it off our list. House Bill 265. Representative Kosinski is recognized for a motion on House Bill 26...yes, who's hollering 'Speaker'? Representative Stearney, for what purpose do you arise? I'm...we asked what we weren't doing when you interrupted me. Representative Kosinski for a motion on 265... House Bill 2..."

Kosinski: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House..."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Stearney."

Stearney: "Point of order, Mr. Speaker, and the point I raise is germaneness of Senate Amendment...I haven't said anything yet...#1 to House Bill 265."

Speaker Flinn: "Well, let's not get into any fights. We're waiting on Representative Ryan to get settled till we get back. All we were trying to do was move a few things. Representative Kosinski, would you take this out of the record."

Kosinski: "I'll take it out of the record."

Speaker Flinn: "All right. What about...? Messages from the Senate."

Clerk O'Brien: "A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House in adoption of their Amendments to a Bill of the following title: Senate Bill 1395. I am further directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has refused to concur with the House in adoption of House Amendment #4, action taken by the Senate June 28, 1979. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has refused to concur with the House in adoption



of their Amendments to the following Bills, to wit:
Senate Bills #1386, 1277, 1247, 1211, 927, 1053, 973,
918, 908, 830, 669, 590, 584, 577, and 546, action taken
by the Senate June 28, 1979. Kenneth Wright, Secretary."



Speaker Flinn: "On the Order of Concurrence on the Supplemental Calendar appears House Bill 889. Representative Willer is recognized for a motion. Representative Willer. Representative Willer, you're recognized for a motion on 889 on the Order of Concurrence."

Willer: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would move that the House would concur with Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 889. Senate Amendment #1...oh, well we... this 889 is right, but we want to deal with Senate Amendment #1 first."

Speaker Flinn: "88...talk about both Amendments. Explain both Amendments, and we'll deal with them."

Willer: "Okay. Senate Amendment #1 makes two changes. It changes the word 'probable' cause to 'reasonable'. Oh, dear, where is it? 'Reasonable relief', and it defines a strip search which we have not done in the House. Senate Amendment #2 simply makes sure that nobody who is incarcerated in a jail, has been sentenced by the court to a jail or a prison, will be covered by this particular law. There was some worry that maybe this would apply to people sentenced to a jail sentence or prison sentence, and I accept both Amendments and would ask the House to...would ask the House to, also."

Speaker Flinn: "The Lady has moved that the House concur in Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 889. On that question all in favor vote 'aye'. Those opposed... Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Yes, would the Lady yield for a question, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Flinn: "She indicates she will."

Vinson: "Can you explain to me, Representative Willer, what the impact of this will be with regard to inspections... visitors at correctional institutions?"

Willer: "This...I...this Bill does not cover visitors, because it deals with that part of the statute that says rights



upon arrest. So, it does not have anything to do with visitors."

Vinson: "Thank you."

Speaker Flinn: "Before I recognize anybody else on this, I would...would like to say that I've been advised that some of the press people are going up and down the aisles doing interviews, and would all of...all of those who are not entitled to the floor please remove themselves from the floor? We're in serious business here in the late hours of this Session. We cannot be interfered with in that way. So, those who are not entitled to the floor, please removed themselves. Representative Johnson."

Johnson: "Well, Ann, as you're aware from the history of this, I...I want not only to support the Bill, but make it stronger and more protective. I'm just concerned about Senate Amendment #2. Our synopsis says that it provides the Bill is inapplicable when the person taken into custody by...or remanded to the sheriff or correctional institution pursuant to court order. How about a...how about a court order prior to conviction ordering the individual to the jail until bail is posted?"

Willer: "Well, I was assured that this was simply to...this was the worry of the downstate sheriffs, and I simply can't answer your question. I don't believe it was meant to cover those people. I suppose if someone wants to abuse somebody and finds this is a loophole, I suppose it's possible, but I was assured that was not the intent..."

Johnson: "For example, an individual who's arrested for a traffic violation for which there's...let's say, there's no license...license to post as bond and there's a 50 dollar bond or whatever it might be and he or she... oh, I guess in this case, she, wouldn't have the bond



and be ordered to the county jail until bond was posted. It would seem to me that we ought to have protections against the kind of searches that have gone on in those situations and that's why I'm concerned that Senate Amendment 2, in the usual good judgment of the Senate Judiciary Committee, might be weakening your Bill rather than strengthening it, and that's what I'm concerned about."

Willer: "Well, you know, this was designed for the arrest stage #1. I think that...now it could happen...what you're talking about. I think with the other safeguards in the Bill and prohibiting a strip search for someone brought in on a minor traffic violation, except where drugs and guns are suspected, with the person being strip searched, having to be given a copy of the report, I really don't believe there'll be many abuses of it, because there is a record. Now, you know, there's no perfect Bill, Tim."

Johnson: "Yeah. Well, let me just...then I'm not going... you know, it's your Bill. You've done a good job with it. I think it's a real forward step, and I'm not going to urge people to vote 'no' on the motion to concur if you want to. But, I am saying that I think you ought to be real careful about taking what I think is an excellent Bill and watering it down so much that we really don't have any practical protections, and I can see potential abuses in Senate Amendment #2. The only reason you had your Bill to begin with was because of abuses of existing law, and I'm afraid we're giving them too fertile a climate to continue to abuse the law. But, if you want to vote to concur, I'll join you in doing that and hope that we can strengthen it next term."

Willer: "Well, I agree if we find there are abuses, we can strengthen it, but right now I would ask the House to concur in both the Amendments."



Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman from Madison, Representative Sam Wolf, is recognized."

Wolf: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman has moved the previous question. The question is, 'Shall the main question be put?' All those in favor say 'aye'. 'Aye'. Those opposed...the 'ayes' have it. Representative Willer to close."

Willer: "Well, I think everything's been said. I, again, repeat my request to have the House concur in both Senate Amendments."

Speaker Flinn: "The Lady has moved for the adoption of the Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 889. All those in favor vote 'aye'. Those opposed vote 'no'. Representative Piel, to explain his vote. One minute. The timer's on."

Piel: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I had my light on to ask Ann a question. Ann, I noticed for one thing that they brought in Senate Amendment #1. I know this is a little bit, you know, irrelevant, but I...you know, it says 'without probable cause'. That didn't take out the part of your Bill, you know, that dealt...explained what the 'probable cause' was, did it? I hope not, because I'm for the Bill. I'm for the Bill, but when it says 'probable cause', that's what worried me a little bit."

Speaker Flinn: "Vote Mulcahey 'aye', please. Clarence Darrow. Have all voted who wish? Repes...you don't need to explain your vote, Rep...oh, I don't want to recognize him for that. He's explaining his vote. Look at the 'ayes' up there. Why should we waste our time? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 150 'ayes', none voting 'no'. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 614 (sic) on Concurrence. Representative Stiehl. Cissy Stiehl. She's not on the floor. Take that one



out of the record. Oh, Representative Stiehl, on 1614. There she is. Plenty of time, Cissy. No hurry. Walk slow. The Lady from St. Clair, Representative Stiehl."

Stiehl: "Thank...thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move for concurrence to Senate...the Senate Amendment to House Bill 1614. The Senate Amendment reduces the appropriation for the Airport Authority from 256,000 to 200,000. It's a \$50,000 reduction, and I would ask for concurrence in this Amendment."

Speaker Flinn: "The Lady has moved for the adoption of Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1614. Any discussion? If not, the question is, 'Shall we adopt Senate...concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1614?' All those in favor vote 'aye'. Those opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 129 voting 'aye', 15 voting 'nay'. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Also, under Concurrence is House Bill 2310. Representative Keane is recognized for the purpose of a motion on House Bill 2310. Representative Jim Keane."

Keane: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. House Bill 2310 adds two members to the Illinois State Scholarship Commission. One of the members is a student. The Amendment...Amendment #1...Senate Amendment #1 changed the student membership term to two years from one year, and Senate Amendment #2 said that any student who is receiving an Illinois State Scholarship could not be... could not be the student member, so the student member cannot be receiving an Illinois State Scholarship grant or scholarship. I would ask that you concur in Senate Amendments 1 and 2."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman has moved for the concurrence in Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 2310. Representative Polk on that motion."



Polk: "Yeah, I...Mr. Speaker, would the...would the Sponsor yield?"

Keane: "Yes."

Polk: "I know of the Sponsor's interest and concern in regard to students and scholarships because of the way he did a great deal of study in regard to one of my Bills, and unfortunately, I didn't totally understand what you said in regard to this, but would you, for my edification, again just go over this one more time?"

Keane: "Yes, I'd be happy to, Representative. What would you like me to go over? The Amendments?"

Polk: "Just exactly what it does. Yes."

Keane: "The Amendments change the term of the student member from one year to two years. That's Amendment #1. Amendment #2 would not allow the student member to be a recipient of an Illinois State scholarship or grant while he was serving as a member of the Illinois State Scholarship Commission."

Polk: "Well, then that would mean we've attempted to change the law this year saying that the top 400 students in the state would be receiving scholarships. If one of those students who was outstanding, going to a university, going to school, was an outstanding student and was chosen by his peers to serve, that he could not serve in that posture. Is that correct?"

Keane: "That is correct."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Mahar. Representative McCourt then. You had your light on, Representative Mahar. Representative McCourt."

McCourt: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is the same Bill that we heard a few days ago. It wasn't very good then, and it hasn't gotten any better now. What it says, that in the Illinois State Scholarship Commission we're going to have two students that are going to decide eligibility for scholarships. Now,



these students should be going to school. They've got enough to do going to school without being on the Illinois State Scholarship Commission. So, for if no other reason alone this Bill should be defeated, and it, too, is crazy."

Speaker Flinn: "Any further discussion? Representative Walsh."

Walsh: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to ask the Gentleman a question."

Speaker Flinn: "You certainly have that right."

Walsh: "Thank you, Speaker. My question is, why are we considering this since we took final action on exactly this measure either yesterday or the day before?"

Keane: "Representative Walsh, we did not take final action yesterday or the day before. The Bill was taken out..."

Walsh: "Not on this Bill but on another Bill that was amended in the Senate. It was a concurrence."

Keane: "Well, I'm not familiar with what you're...you know, what Bill you're talking about."

Walsh: "Well, then I'm afraid the damn thing is going to get one more vote today. Well, let me...let me say this, Mr. Speaker. In addition to the comments that were made by Representative McCourt and the objections that several of us had yesterday, and I don't think this point was made. It is just patently unfair, Mr. Speaker, to have a class of people who have an advantage over all of the rest of us in being appointed to this or to any other Commission. It would be like saying that a real estate broker has to be appointed to the State Scholarship Commission or a laborer or some other special interest group. I don't see why on earth we have to have, outside of the absurdity of having a student running something as important as the Scholarship Commission. That aside. The point of having any special group, even PhD's and that would make a great deal more sense than to have a student, but to have



one class of student...one class of people made special by virtue of what they do and advance them and give them an opportunity to be a Member of this Board. I also point out to you in connection with that, that students are not now disqualified from being Members of the State Scholarship Commission or of any of the other governing Boards providing they are of the proper age whatever the statute says with respect to age. So, they're not now disqualified. The effect of it is that we can have the appointing authority appoint a student and then be required to have a student on the Board by virtue of a Bill such as this. This is a terrible, terrible idea, Mr. Speaker, and I urge that it be defeated today."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman from Coles, Representative Stuffle."

Stuffle: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman has moved the previous question."

All those in...the question is, 'Shall the main question be put?' All those in favor say 'aye'. 'Aye'. Those opposed, 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Gentleman... the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Keane, to close."

Keane: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I would like to clarify some mistakes that previous speakers have made. It provides...the Bill provides one student Member. The student would not lack or be taken away from his studies since the meetings are but once a month. He would probably be somewhat enlightening. In terms of the students representing a class, they really aren't. If anyone is familiar with the past operation of the Illinois State Scholarship Commission, they are aware that the students have suffered tremendously financially because of the actions or inactions of the Illinois State Scholarship Commission and as consumers hopefully this will not happen. I would ask for your favorable consideration."



Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman has moved that the House concur in Senate Amendments #1 and 2 on House Bill 2310. All those in favor vote 'aye'. Those opposed vote 'no', and Representative Ebbesen is recognized for one minute to explain his vote. The timer is on."

Ebbesen: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would encourage an 'aye' vote on this. You know, we all know the history of the...of the State Scholarship Commission. I think it would be great to have a student on there to serve as an ombudsman to rattle their cage once in a while on behalf of the students. The students never know where they are as far as getting reports back, where they stand in their applications, and I think it's an excellent idea, if it doesn't serve anymore purpose than that student being there to rattle the cage of that Commission so that the students can get some action out of them."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Kane to explain his vote. One minute. The timer's on."

Kane: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I don't know why we're all crying about putting a student on the Scholarship Commission. We have bankers on the Banking Commission and savings and loan people on the Savings and Loan Commission. On the Hospital Cost Control Commissions we have both the providers and the consumers on those Commissions. We don't have any problem putting all of those people there. We have barbers in charge of the Barbers' Commission and cosmetologists on the Cosmetologists' Commission, and I would appreciate an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Griesheimer to explain his vote. One minute. The timer's on."

Griesheimer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. With regard to the comments of the prior speaker from DeKalb I think rattling the cage might be a good idea, but from what



I noted when we reduced the age of...for students to purchase alcohol in this state, I would suggest maybe we ought to place one in the Legislature...have a couple of student Representatives appointed to the Legislature to rattle our cages as I got hundreds of letters concerning my vote against raising the age to drink. It makes about the same amount of sense to put one of the Scholarship Commission. I think this is ludicrous. We ought to just vote 'no' and take care of it."

Speaker Flinn: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Borchers. Representative Borchers to explain his vote. One minute. Timer's on."

Borchers: "Mr. Speaker and fellow Members of the House, it occurs to me that though I'm going to vote 'no' for a very simple reason. I know what will happen to those poor students or that poor student. He'll end up by getting all the hot coffee or the hot this or hot that, and I don't want to make the poor boy or girl a slave to the Commission, so I'm going to vote 'no'."

Speaker Flinn: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there 105 voting 'aye' and 53 voting 'no', and this Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. On Concurrences appears Senate (sic) Bill 1576. Representative Jaffe is recognized for the motion on that Bill."



Jaffe: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 1576 adds the provision that in a case where a number of tenure teachers are dismissed due to economic necessity the teacher or teachers with the least amount of tenure shall be dismissed first unless an alternative dismissal procedure has been established through a collective bargaining agreement. In addition, Senate Amendment 2 stipulates that the proposed procedure shall not interfere with the affirmative action program of a school district. This is in conformity, really, with the college teacher Bill that we passed out previously, and I would move to concur in Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 1576."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman has moved to...for the House to concur in Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 1576. Representative Bullock, on that motion."

Bullock: "Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Speaker Flinn: "He indicates he will."

Bullock: "Representative Jaffe, does this Bill affect Chicago...Cook County?"

Jaffe: "No, I do not believe that it does."

Bullock: "I didn't hear you, Representative Jaffe."

Jaffe: "No, it does not."

Bullock: "Does the Bill, in any way, affect any existing collective bargaining agreements in force?"

Jaffe: "No, it does not."

Bullock: "Thank you."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Birkinbine."

Birkinbine: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I suggest that we do not concur with the Senate Amendment. In effect, what it does is simply



say that teachers are to be retained only on the basis of the length of duty and not on the basis of whether or not they are good teachers. I suggest to you that the only reason we have schools in this state is to educate our children. The best way to do that is with the...is with the best qualified teachers. We don't have schools simply to provide jobs for teachers. The only thinking behind this kind of Amendment is what can we do for the teachers who have been in school the longest? I suggest we should be thinking about what can we do for the students to try and improve their education? I suggest to you all that we do not concur on this Amendment. Thank you."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Jaffe, to close."

Jaffe: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I would move that we concur in Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 1576. I think what the prior speaker says is really quite false. Teachers have to be certified in their particular subjects before, you know, they can be put on to another job and so on and so forth. I think everybody understands this Amendment and I move to concur in Senate Amendment #2."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman has moved that the House concur in Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 1576. All those in favor vote 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Representative Schneider to explain his vote for one minute. The timer is on."

Schneider: "Thank you. Mr. Speaker, possibly some confusion at one point where one of the opponents was suggesting that incompetency would be somewhat rewarded by the notion of tenure. Provisions for tenure teachers in most school districts require continued evaluation programs. Teachers that are inept at some phase of their career are either instructed with proper



cooperation by the chairman and their department on ways to improve and correct their teaching. The teacher has gotten to a point where he is more senior than another teacher has reached a point of competency, the equivalent of that of his underling, at least in terms of time. So you're not giving up anything in terms of ability or competency. You're actually providing a very simple and orderly procedure, I might add, for an appropriate and fair way for teachers to be dismissed in the event that there needs to be that kind of mass removal. I would also again add to...others of you have some doubts about group dismissals that the courts have never opposed, in my understanding of it, group dismissals for just cause, and I again would cite a number of instances. Like in the early '30's, in the height of the depression when that had to happen even in group reductions of..."

Speaker Flinn: "Would you bring your remarks to a close, please?"

Schneider: "I would solicit an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Flinn: "All right, Representative McClain, to explain his vote. One minute, the timer is on."

McClain: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, just for your information, the other day a superintendent from one of my local systems came to me and said that he was against this Bill. So I got a representative of the Illinois Education Association to sit down with him, we explained what this Bill does in terms of terminating people with seniority last in the certification categories. And after the I.E.A. representative explained it to the superintendent he said, 'Well that's fine. I agree with it. Then I got the wrong information.' So he withdrew his



opposition after he learned the whole Bill and said that he now supported that kind of concept. That's what he does anyway. So I think that some of the information that you are receiving and I was receiving was based inaccurately and that we ought to support this concurrence. I'd ask for your 'aye' vote."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Totten, to explain his vote. The timer is on."

Totten: "Mr. Speaker, I don't want to explain my vote but I may want to ask for a verification."

Speaker Flinn: "Okay. Representative Stuffle, to explain his vote one minute. The timer is on."

Stuffle: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members. There's no perfect way to set up a dismissal program. But in the absence of a seniority program and the absence of what is known to be in existence in private enterprise, you have nothing but subjective evaluation. You have no fairness in any program then. This should be supported. I find it interesting to see 'no' votes and people not voting up there who are here who supported exactly this kind of concept last week in a Bill that came over sponsored by Senator Berman from Chicago. This is a good Bill, it deserves our vote. It's the only fair way, the fairest way I should say, that we can approach this subject matter. I urge an affirmative vote and concurrence in 1576."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Hallstrom, to explain her vote one minute. The timer is on."

Hallstrom: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. In no way do I want to be unfair to teachers. But I really don't think that this Bill is in the interest of children. If you have two tenured teachers and you must fire one of them...you may need a good math teacher in your school, but if



the teacher who's been there say 15 years and the other one has been there 5, if that teacher is an art teacher and hasn't been teaching math, it doesn't seem fair to me, to the students, that the board has to let the...the 5 year teacher, who is the expert teacher in math, go and keep the 15 year... tenure teacher who may not have taught that subject at all. And I would ask for your 'no' vote."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Ebbesen, to explain his vote one minute. The timer is on."

Ebbesen: "All right. Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, you know we've got somewhere 1,100 local boards of education out there and for the life of me, you know, they're elected by the people in their local districts and you've placed the confidence in them to run a good educational program and now you're going to tie their hands by saying, 'Look you're mandated because someone's been there longer than someone else that they're entitled to stay.' And you're taking away all of the...ability of that board to function as it should in the administration of every school district. I think you're making a terrible mistake and that those who are voting green ought to reconsider their position and I would be in hopes that Representative Totten does verify the Roll Call."

Speaker Flinn: "Well we already have that request. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 106 voting 'aye' and 61 voting 'no' and Representative Totten has requested a verification of the 'aye' votes. Representative Jaffe has requested a poll of the absentees. Representative Totten has requested a poll...a verification of the 'aye' votes and Representative Jaffe has requested an absentee poll."



Clerk O'Brien: "Poll of the absentees. Beatty. Davis. Virginia Frederick. Dwight Friedrich. Huff. Dave Jones. Kelly."

Speaker Flinn: "Dave Jones 'aye'. Representative Kane, for what purpose do you arise? Representative Kane."

Kane: "Vote me 'present', please."

Speaker Flinn: "Change Kane from 'aye' to 'present'. Proceed with the absentees."

Clerk O'Brien: "Kelly. Meyer. Molloy. Schlickman. No further."

Speaker Flinn: "Proceed with the...how many are we starting with?"

Clerk O'Brien: "106 'aye'. Would some...Representative Totten come up here so he can observe. Proceed with the call of the 'aye' votes for verification purposes."

Clerk O'Brien: "Abramson. Anderson. Balanoff. E.M. Barnes. Jane Barnes. Bell. Birchler."

Speaker Flinn: "One moment, please. Representative Huff, for what purpose do you arise? Vote Huff 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Boucek. Bower. Bowman. Bradley. Braun. Breslin. Campbell. Capparelli. Capuzi. Christensen. Cullerton. Currie. Daniels. Darrow. Dawson. DiPrima. Domico. Donovan. Doyle. John Dunn. Epton. Ewell. Farley. Flinn. Gaines. Garmisa. Getty. Giorgi. Goodwin. Greiman. Grossi. Hallock. Hanahan. Hannig. Harris. Henry. Huff. Jaffe. Johnson. Dave Jones. Emil Jones. Kempiners. Kornowicz. Kosinski. Kozubowski. Kucharski. Kulas. Laurino. Lechowicz. Leon. Madigan. Marovitz. Matijevich. Mautino. McAuliffe. McBroom. McClain. McGrew. McPike. Mulcahey. Murphy. Oblinger. O'Brien. Patrick. Pechous. Pierce. Polk. Pouncey. Rea. Reilly. Richmond. Rigney. Ronan. Ryan. Sandquist. Satterthwaite. Schisler. Schneider. Schraeder. Skinner. Slape. Stanley. Steczo. Stuffle. Taylor. Telcser."



Terzich. Van Duyne. Vinson. Vitek. VonBoeckman.
 Watson. White. Winchester. J. J. Wolf. Sam Wolf.
 Woodyard. Younge. Yourell. Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Flinn: "We're beginning with 107 'ayes'. Representa-
 tive Piel."

Piel: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. How am I recorded?"

Speaker Flinn: "How is the Gentleman recorded? Piel."

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Piel: "Would you change my vote to 'aye', please?"

Speaker Flinn: "Change him to 'aye'. Any further changes
 before we get started here in the questioning?

If not, we're starting out with 108 'aye'. Represen-
 tative Totten, questions on the affirmative vote.

Turn Totten on."

Totten: "Balanoff."

Speaker Flinn: "Balanoff. Representative Balanoff is in
 her seat."

Totten: "Bradley."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Bradley is... I don't
 see the Gentleman in his seat. Is he on the floor?
 How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Flinn: "Remove him from the record. Representative
 Steczo, for what purpose do you arise?"

Steczko: "Mr. Speaker, may I have leave to be verified, please?"

Speaker Flinn: "May the Gentleman have leave to be verified?
 You are verified. Proceed, Representative Totten."

Totten: "Campbell."

Speaker Flinn: "Campbell...Chuck Campbell. The Gentleman
 is not in his seat. Is he on the floor? How
 is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Flinn: "Take him off the roll."

Totten: "Capparelli."

Speaker Flinn: "Is Ralph Capparelli...the Gentleman is not in



his seat. How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Flinn: "Take him off the roll."

Totten: "Christensen."

Speaker Flinn: "Repeat that, will you please?"

Totten: "Christensen."

Speaker Flinn: "Christensen. He's in his seat."

Totten: "Daniels."

Speaker Flinn: "Was that Daniels? Representative Daniels. The Gentleman is not in his seat. Is the Gentleman on the floor? How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Flinn: "Remove him from the roll."

Totten: "Dawson."

Speaker Flinn: "Glenn Dawson. The Gentleman is not in his seat. How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Flinn: "Remove him from the roll."

Totten: "DiPrima."

Speaker Flinn: "Larry DiPrima. The Gentleman is not in his seat. How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Flinn: "Remove him from the roll."

Totten: "Donovan."

Speaker Flinn: "Tim Donovan is sitting in his seat right down front."

Totten: "Doyle."

Speaker Flinn: "Ed Doyle. The Gentleman is not in his seat. How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Flinn: "Take him from the roll."

Totten: "Ewell."

Speaker Flinn: "One moment. Representative Laurino and Representative Hanahan want to be verified. Okay? They are verified. Who was the other one now?"



Totten: "Ewell. Ray Ewell."

Speaker Flinn: "Ray Ewell. The Gentleman is not in his seat. Is he on the floor? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Flinn: "Remove him from the roll."

Totten: "Farley."

Speaker Flinn: "Bruce Farley is in his seat."

Totten: "Kozubowski."

Speaker Flinn: "Kozubowski. The Gentleman is not in his seat. How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Flinn: "Remove him from the roll."

Totten: "Kucharski."

Speaker Flinn: "Kucharski. The Gentleman is not in his seat. How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Flinn: "Remove him from the roll."

Totten: "Lechowicz."

Speaker Flinn: "Ted Lechowicz. The Gentleman is not in his seat. How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Flinn: "Remove him from the roll."

Totten: "Marovitz."

Speaker Flinn: "Marovitz is in his...he's by his seat."

Totten: "Matijeovich."

Speaker Flinn: "Matijeovich. The Gentleman is not in... there he is, over here on the Republican side."

Totten: "Kulas."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Kulas. The Gentleman is not in his seat. How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Flinn: "Take him off the roll."

Totten: "Leon."

Speaker Flinn: "John Leon. The Gentleman is not in his seat. How is the Gentleman recorded?"



Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Flinn: "Take him off the roll."

Totten: "Mautino."

Speaker Flinn: "Mautino is in his seat."

Totten: "McAuliffe."

Speaker Flinn: "Roger McAuliffe. The Gentleman is not in his seat. How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Flinn: "Remove him from the roll."

Totten: "McBroom."

Speaker Flinn: "Who?"

Totten: "McBroom."

Speaker Flinn: "McBroom. Ed McBroom is standing out in the middle."

Totten: "McGrew."

Speaker Flinn: "Chuck Campbell is back. Put Chuck Campbell back on the... Who was the last one?"

Totten: "McGrew. Representative McGrew."

Speaker Flinn: "Sam McGrew. The Gentleman is not in his seat. How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Flinn: "Take him from the roll."

Totten: "Meyer."

Speaker Flinn: "Ted Meyer's...I don't see the Gentleman in his seat. How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Speaker Flinn: "Take him off anyway. Representative John Leon is back. Put him back on the roll."

Totten: "Patrick."

Speaker Flinn: "Langdon Patrick. In his seat."

Totten: "Pierce."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Pierce. The Gentleman is not in his seat. How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Flinn: "Remove him from the roll. Did we take Ray



Ewell off?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Ray Ewell is removed from the Roll Call."

Speaker Flinn: "He's back, put him back on the roll..."

Representative Ewell, for what purpose do you arise?"

Ewell: "Mr. Speaker, the Governor resents Mr. Totten taking me off the Roll Call during our conversations."

Speaker Flinn: "Ewell is back on the Roll Call. What's your next one there, Don?"

Totten: "Schisler."

Speaker Flinn: "Gale Schisler. I can't see back there. Is Schisler back there? I don't see him in his seat. How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Flinn: "Take him off the roll."

Totten: "Schraeder."

Speaker Flinn: "Fred Schraeder. The Gentleman is not in his seat. How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Flinn: "Take him from the roll."

Totten: "Skinner."

Speaker Flinn: "Skinner. The Gentleman is not in his seat. How is the Gentleman...there he is in the back."

Totten: "Slape."

Speaker Flinn: "Slape. Mike Slape. He's way over here, sitting over here."

Totten: "Stuffle."

Speaker Flinn: "Larry Stuffle, right down in front."

Totten: "Taylor. Jim Taylor."

Speaker Flinn: "Jim Taylor. Did you say Jim Taylor? The... You don't look like him, Emil. The Gentleman is not in his seat. How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Flinn: "Take him from the roll."

Totten: "Terzich."

Speaker Flinn: "Jim Taylor. Put him back on the roll, he's



just come in. Terzich...Bob Terzich. The Gentleman is not in his seat. How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Flinn: "Take him from the roll."

Totten: "Telcser."

Speaker Flinn: "Who?"

Totten: "Representative Telcser."

Speaker Flinn: "Telcser. Wave your hand, Art. Okay, I got you. He's in his seat."

Totten: "Representative J.J. Wolf."

Speaker Flinn: "Jake Wolf is way back over here on the Democrat side where he belongs."

Totten: "Representative Sam Wolf."

Speaker Flinn: "Sam Wolf. Representative Sam Wolf is over here. Put Schisler back on, he has returned. Representative Ralph Dunn, for what...vote Ralph Dunn 'aye'. Move him from 'no' to 'aye'."

Totten: "Domico."

Speaker Flinn: "Garmisa is sitting in his seat."

Totten: "No, Domico."

Speaker Flinn: "Oh, Domico. Marco Domico, he is not in his seat. How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Flinn: "Take him from the roll."

Totten: "No further questions, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Flinn: "Dawson is back, put him back on the roll. Was Stuffle removed? You were not, okay. Daniels is back, put him on there. Put him back on the roll. Representative Bower, change Bower to 'no'. From 'aye' to 'no'. Representative Stearney. Change Stearney from 'no' to 'aye'. On this question there are 95 voting 'aye', 59 voting 'no' and this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed... Representative Davis. Did he return yet? He was next up here, I don't see..."



You've got 1538...recognize... The Chair recognizes Representative Davis for a motion on 1538 on Concurrence."

Davis: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senator Shapiro... House Bill 1538 was the appropriation for the funding mechanism that would add three additional State's... Assistant State's Attorneys in counties that had correctional institutions. In the Senate, Senator Shapiro, added on an Amendment for \$30,000, and I don't have the exact figure, because I can't find it. Here it is. Thirty-four thousand dollars eight hundred... or thirty-four thousand eight hundred dollars to... appropriated to the Department of Local Government Affairs for payments for Assistant State's Attorneys in counties where a state senior institution of higher education is located on a formula basis. It's simply what the Amendment does. I have no objection to it and would move that the House concur in Senate Amendment #1."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman has moved that the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1538. Any further discussion? If not, the question is, 'Shall we concur with this Amendment?' All those in favor vote 'aye'. Those opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 144 voting 'aye' and 2 voting 'nay'. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2148. Representative Campbell is recognized for the purpose of a motion."

Campbell: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is one of the series of assessor Bills that we passed out of here, and this is one of those which provides for post election qualifications for jurisdictions under 25,000. It was amended in the Senate, and yesterday we took it out of the record, because



there was some concern about the language of the Senate Amendment which inserts on page 2, line 9 by inserting 'elected or' immediately after 'to be'. Actually, the intent of the Amendment is simply to... or elected if the township assessor was appointed to fill a vacancy. Well, after quite a bit of discussion with the Republican and Democrat staff, and Mike Getty, and the Senate Sponsor we decided that...that it does that, and we would like... now like to move to concur in Senate Amendment #1."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman has moved that the House concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2148. Any further discussion? If not, the question is, 'Shall we concur with that Amendment?' All those in favor vote 'aye'. Those opposed vote 'no'. Representative Robbins to explain his vote. One minute. The timer's on."

Robbins: "I think...I think you should take a look at what you're voting on this. Where it says 'to be elected' that means that a man has to have all of the qualifications and all the schooling before he's allowed to run."

Speaker Flinn: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 139...140 voting 'aye' and 8 voting 'nay', and this Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 172. I don't see Schisler...Schisler. Yes, he is back there. Representative Schisler is recognized for a motion on House Bill 172 on Concurrence."

Schisler: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 172. It's just a technical change changing July 21 to July 1st. Enrolling and Engrossing had it incorrect."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman has moved for the...that the House concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 172. Any discussion? If not, the question is, 'Shall we



concur with Senate Amendment #1?' All those in favor vote 'aye'. Those opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 140 voting 'aye', 1 voting 'nay'. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 222. Representative Schisler is recognized for a motion."

Schisler: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move to concur with Senate Amendment #1 and Senate Amendment #2. Senate Amendment #1 was put on to change the fiscal year, and it was changed back to and to say 'shall begin January 1 of each year and terminate on December 31 of that year'. The Amendment #2 was a pay raise for the Director and the Assistant Director of the Department of Conservation to the same level as the Director and Assistant Director of the Department of Agriculture. The...currently the Director of Conservation's \$35.2 in 1980 would be \$37.3, and 1981, 40,000. I would ask for a favorable...and it wouldn't be effective until January 1981, because he's already been confirmed by the Senate. I would ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman has moved for...the Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 222. Any further discussion? If not, that is the question. All those in favor vote 'aye'. Those opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there 135 voting 'aye' and 10 voting 'nay', and this Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Senate...House Bill 706. Representative McBroom or Ryan. Either one. Take it out of the record? Representative Getty. I'm going to the next one. 20... 2730. I'm going down a list I got here. Representative



Bullock for the purpose of a motion on 2730. Bullock, you want that out of the record? Representative Bullock. Out of the record. Representative Matula back there for 2367. Representative Matula is recognized for 2367."

Matula: "Thank you...thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move that the House concur with Senate Amendment #1 of House Bill 2367. What Senate Amendment #1 does, it allows the Volun...Voluntary Health Service plan corporations to contract with the Department of Public Aid in order to serve those eligible for medical assistance under the Public Aid Code, and I ask for concurrence of Senate Amendment #1 for House Bill 2367."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman has moved...Representative Getty."

Getty: "Will the Gentleman yield?"

Speaker Flinn: "Indicates he will."

Getty: "Representative Matula, referring to Amendment #1 and directing your attention to Section 2A, specifically lines 14 and 16, will you explain to the General Assembly why it's necessary to delete 'sole and specific' and add the language 'and providing other medically-related services'?"

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Matula."

Matula: "Representative Getty, simply to allow them to contract with the state."

Getty: "Thank you very much, Sir."

Matula: "Thank you."

Speaker Flinn: "Further questions? If not, the question is, 'Shall the House adopt Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2367?' All those in favor vote 'aye'. Those opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 145 voting 'aye', none voting



'nay', and this Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 961. I think that's on noncurrence, I believe. Representative Stearney. 961 on the...on the Supplemental Calendar. Representative Stearney is recognized."

Stearney: "Mr. Speaker, I move to nonconcur in the Senate Amendments to House Bill 961."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman has moved to nonconcur with the Senate Amendment 2 on...1 and 2 on House Bill 961. All those in favor say 'aye'."

Stearney: "'Aye'."

Speaker Flinn: "Those opposed say 'no', and the House non-concurs. House Bill 1463. Representative Hoffman is recognized for a motion."

Hoffman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move that the House concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1463. The first two demonstration projects in terms of a genetic definition of handicapped and provides that the Illinois Office of Education will elicit demonstration projects rather than developed under the supervision of. That's all this Senate Amendment does, and I move we concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1463."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman has moved that the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1463. Further discussion? If not, that is the question. All those in favor vote 'aye'. Those opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 149 voting 'aye' and 7 voting 'nay', and this Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. The same Sponsor with 1461. Representative Hoffman is recognized."

Hoffman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move that we nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1461. This partic-



ular Bill amends the School Problems Commission Act,
and I would like to send it back to the Senate to see
if I can get them to recede Senate Amendment #1."



Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman has moved to non...not to concur with the Amendment 1 on House Bill 1461. All those in favor say 'aye'. Those opposed say 'no', and the House nonconcur with House...the Senate Amendment #1 on House Bill 1461. House Bill 1944. Representative Katz is recognized for a motion on 1944."

Katz: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, the motion is to concur in Senate Amendment 1. This is to the Bill the House passed creating a Sunset Act. The Senate Bill provides that any agents to the Amendment covered in the original Bill and abolished by the select Joint Committee cannot continue functioning after a period of more than one year for a close down. It adds a Section on personnel. It adds language permitting the select Joint Committee to hire staff if necessary. It makes corresponding technical changes in the numerical order of the Bill. I would urge the adoption of and the concurrence in Senate Amendment 1."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman has moved for the...that the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1944. All those in favor vote 'aye' and those opposed vote 'no'. Representative Sandquist."

Sandquist: "Not...after it passes."

Speaker Flinn: "All right. Leave him on. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 145 voting 'aye' and 2 voting 'nay', and this Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Representative Sandquist."

Sandquist: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd like to ask the House leave to suspend the appropriate Rule to be listed as a Cosponsor of this Bill, 1944. It has the approval of the chief Sponsor."

Speaker Flinn: "Does the Gentleman have leave to be listed as a chief Cosponsor? Unanimous consent. No objection?"



Leave is granted. House Bill 1174. Representative Dave Jones is recognized for a motion. We're done with that. I'm sorry. We're not done with it? 1174. It shows it out of the record."

Jones: "House Bill 1174 is the appropriation for the State Employees' Retirement System. The Senate reduced it by \$1,050, and we accept the Amendment and move to concur in House Bill 1174."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman has moved that the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1174. Any discussion? If not, that is the discuss...that is the question. All those in favor vote 'aye'. Those opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 148 voting 'aye' and none voting 'nay', and this Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 24...2431. Representative Sharp is recognized for the purpose of a motion."

Sharp: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I move that we concur in Senate Amendment #1. It does two simple things. It changes from the word 'medium' to 'median' and from 'Boards' to 'Board', and I'd move that we concur."

Speaker Flinn: "Any discussion? If not, the Gentleman has moved adoption of Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2431. All those in favor vote 'aye'. Those opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 151 voting 'aye' and none voting 'nay', and this Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1646. Representative Abramson is recognized for a motion. 1646."

Abramson: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move that the House not concur with Senate Amendment



#1 to House Bill 1646. The Senate Committee reduced the appropriation by \$316,285 in addition to the \$280,200 that the House Committee took."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman has moved that the House do not concur with Senate Amendment #1 to 6...to House Bill 1646. All those in favor say 'aye'. 'Aye'. Those opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, and the House does not concur with Senate Amendment #1. House Bill 1681. Representative Watson is recognized for a motion on 1681."

Watson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'd like to move that we concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1681. House Bill 1681 is the prisoner of war license plates Bill. The Amendment would allow the Secretary of State to issue special registration plates to former Members of the General Assembly."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of House...Senate Amend...Representative Friedland."

Friedland: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. My few brief remarks in no way should reflect upon the House Sponsor of this measure. It's a very important measure and was considered in the Motor Vehicles Committee in the House and passed overwhelmingly on the House floor to provide license plates for prisoners of war. However, if you take a look at the Senate Amendment, you'd see that in the waning days of the Session when we're dealing with the budgetary and fiscal and other important matters that some Senators had time to tack an Amendment onto this Bill which would provide for license plates for retired Members of the General Assembly. And, I think we ought to slam dunk this one across the rotunda back to them and tell them to clean it up. Thank you."

Speaker Flinn: "Any further discussion? Representative Ewing."

Ewing: "Would the...? I'd like a little more explanation



about the Amendment. What type of special license plates? Free? Gold-trimmed?"

Watson: "No, they would be regular registration fees."

Ewing: "What is the difference in the plate? Does it say 'retired' on it?"

Watson: "That's right."

Ewing: "That's all?"

Watson: "No, it would have...just as our plate now, it would have instead of having the 'official' it would have 'retired' in that section and the numbers would start at #178, and it would be of first come, first served from then on."

Ewing: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I agree with the last speaker. I think we should non-concur in this Amendment and send it back to the Senate. We don't need that kind of ridicule."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman from Champaign, Representative Johnson. Representative Johnson."

Johnson: "I move the previous question."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman has moved the previous question. The question is, 'Shall the main question be put?' All those in favor say 'aye'. Those opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Sponsor to close. Representative Watson."

Watson: "Well, this...this Amendment was attached on in the Senate by Senator Mitchler and Senator Chew."

Speaker Flinn: "You shouldn't have said that."

Watson: "That's okay. I would appreciate a favorable vote."

Speaker Flinn: "Okay. The Gentleman has moved that the House adopt Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1681. All those in favor vote 'aye' and those opposed vote 'no'. Representative Schoeberlein to explain his vote."

Schoeberlein: "I didn't quite understand what Representative Friedland said. Did he say tired Members?"

Speaker Flinn: "Retired Members. Former Members I believe was



the word. Representative Slape to explain his vote. One minute. The timer's on. Slape."

Slape: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I think that the House Sponsor had a very important Bill that he brought before this House before, but the Senate over there has attached an Amendment, and they made no provisions. The House has a Bill that we just passed out of here not too long ago for vanity plates for the public. There's no provision for any extra pay on this. It's just something for all of our constituents, once again, to get mad at the General Assembly and once again, to get mad at us Members, and I'd urge a 'no' vote and send it back to the Senate to be cleaned up and put back in good form."

Speaker Flinn: "Have all...have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 14 voting 'aye' and 130 voting 'no', and the Gentleman's motion to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1681 fails, and the Gentleman now moves to nonconcur with Senate Amendment #8...with 1 to House Bill 1681. All those in favor say 'aye'. Those opposed...the 'ayes' have it, and the House non-concurs. Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Do you have any intention of going back to the Bill that we left for ten minutes an hour ago?"

Speaker Flinn: "The answer is 'yes', but wait till I get it on the mic. Representative Ryan, we're sailing right along, and I got a pretty good list here, and I've cut it off, and why don't we stay on Concurrences a while if you just...?"

Ryan: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I wished you would've had..."

Speaker Flinn: "We're going to do that..."

Ryan: "...at least...had at least the courtesy to tell me that's what you were going to do, but I guess you and Mr. Madigan have decided you want to hold this Bill for



some reason or another. I'm not sure what it is, and so..."

Speaker Flinn: "Well, I don't...Darrow's here. It can't be Darrow again."

Ryan: "I said Madigan."

Speaker Flinn: "Oh, I thought you said Darrow."

Ryan: "Madigan. Madigan."

Speaker Flinn: "Oh."

Ryan: "If you don't want to call this Bill, that's certainly up to you, Mr. Speaker. You and Mr. Madigan."

Speaker Flinn: "I would prefer staying on non...on Concurrences rather on this list. At least, the list here we have."

Ryan: "I'm not sure that's your preference. I think that's your orders."

Speaker Flinn: "Whatever you say. House Bill 1363. Representative Bell is recognized for a motion on 1363."

Bell: "I move we concur with the Senate Amendment to 1363. All it does is solve any problems that any hospital district might have with this Bill, and it basically does limit it to the one township that we had in mind that needed to detach from the Hammond Henry Hospital District."

Speaker Flinn: "Any dis...?"

Bell: "I would appreciate a favorable vote."

Speaker Flinn: "Any discussion? If not, the Gentleman has moved that the House adopt or rather concur with Senate Amendment #1 on House Bill 1363. All those in favor vote 'aye' and those opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 137 voting 'aye' and 2 voting 'nay', and this Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House bill 2192. Representative Farley is recognized for a motion."

Farley: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the



House. I move to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2192. What this Amendment would do would then increase the bonding authority of the Chicago Park District from three-quarters of 1% to 1%. An estimated amount that this would generate through general obligations bonds would be about 30 million dollars, and I'd appreciate a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of... Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I rise in opposition to this particular motion. I'd like to call to the Body's attention precisely what this does. This increases the bonding authority for the Chicago Park District. Now, that's going to mean increased taxes down the road. In case some Members here haven't been reading the Sun Times' series on the Chicago Park District, I'd like to just quote a couple of brief paragraphs. It says that the Chicago Park District cries poverty and operates skeleton staff parks but is incredibly slow to reach out for ready-made help. In the past 15 years it has passed up millions of dollars...millions of dollars in direct federal grants while drawing increasingly on local property tax revenues. The U. S. officials have openly chided the district for foot dragging and seeking federal cash and even try to prod it into action. It seems to me that the Legislature should not be the...the Body to grant this increased authority to the Chicago Park District only to put an increased burden on the backs of the Chicago taxpayers when the park district has done nothing to tap these federal monies, so until the park district gets its house in order, I think we ought to defeat this motion for concurrence."

Speaker Flinn: "Further discussion? If not, the Gentleman has moves that the House adopt Senate Amendment #1 to House



Bill 2192. All those in favor vote 'aye' and those opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Vote Flinn 'aye' back there, will you please? Have all voted who wish? Representative Farley to explain his vote."

Farley: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker. In explaining my vote I would like to point out that...to the Members that the park district is a separate entity, and we have increased this before. Now, the park district operates at approximately 90 million dollars in its...in its bonding authority. With the loss of the personal property and the other losses the fund is down to around 71 million. What this would do would just be put it back to where it can effectively operate, so I would appreciate more green votes up there and pass this Bill."

Speaker Flinn: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 85 voting 'aye' and 64...Phil Collins."

Collins: "Yes, could you poll the absentees?"

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Willer, for what purpose do you arise?"

Willer: "Change my vote to 'yes'."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Willer to 'aye'. From 'no' to 'aye'. Representative Rea. Vote Rea 'aye'. Representative Sandquist. Sandquist."

Sandquist: "Change me to 'aye'."

Speaker Flinn: "Change Sandquist to 'aye'. Tim Donovan, 'aye'. Pechous, 'aye'. Would it be easier, Mr. Clerk, if we took a new Roll Call? We got 90 now? Okay. Any further changes? Representative Ewell. Representative Currie. Currie votes 'no'. Ewell. Ewell. Turn Ewell on. Ray Ewell."

Ewell: "I wonder if I could explain my vote a second?"

Speaker Flinn: "You can explain your vote. Go ahead."

Ewell: "Well, I've been doing a little research, and I have



a Resolution on the park district, and the park district has, at various times, been charged with malfeasance, and a number of other things. All of which have been on a regular and recurring basis, and I wouldn't object to this so much but until we could have some form of investigation of the park district, I think that we ought not be raising the bonding rates as such, and I'm forced to vote 'aye'. 'No'. I'm sorry...forced to vote 'no'."

Speaker Flinn: "Change Ewell to 'no', put Birchler on 'aye' and Harris on 'aye'. Representative Jake Wolf. Representative Borchers, 'no'. Representative Jake Wolf. Wolf is recognized."

Wolf: "I would just..."

Speaker Flinn: "I'll get to all of you. Just wait a minute."

Wolf: "Yeah, I would just hope that some of our downstate friends would have some mercy on us up there. This is without referendum and increasing the general obligation bonds, and it's worth a lot of millions of dollars, and I would ask for some return in time. Whenever you have something up with no referendum tax increases, you know, you're always hollering for us to give you some help. Now, we're asking you for some help. How about some 'no' votes?"

Speaker Flinn: "Representative McCourt."

McCourt: "Well, I just want to reiterate what Jake Wolf and my colleague from the 11th District said. This is... this is a deplorable concept. Everytime that any area of the state asks for increased taxes we...we generally go along with it as long as there's a front door referendum. This is a tax increase without a front door referendum. I mean, please help us. We need it. Vote 'no'."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Bowman, you got your light on. I think you just spoke in debate, but I don't think you



can...all right. Representative Bowman will want a verification if it gets 89 or more. Any further changes? Would the...would the...? Representative Peters wants to vote 'aye'. 'No'. Wants to change from 'aye' to 'no'. Representative Hoxsey. Change Hoxsey to 'aye'... from 'present' to 'aye'. On this question there are 90 voting 'aye' and 64 voting 'no', and Representative Bowman has asked for a verification of the Affirmative Roll, and I assume Representative Farley would like a poll of the absentees. Is that right, Representative Farley? Representative Farley wants a poll of the absentees. Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Would you record me as 'aye' on this, please?"

Speaker Flinn: "Vinson, 'aye'. Stiehl. Representative C. M. Stiehl."

Stiehl: "'Aye'."

Speaker Flinn: "C. M. Stiehl, 'aye'. Representative Hoxsey."

Hoxsey: "Could I be verified, please?"

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Hoxsey would like to be verified. You are verified. Representative Gene Barnes would like to be verified. Representative Abramson. Abramson."

Abramson: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to be verified."

Speaker Flinn: "Verify Abramson. Leinenweber, 'no'. 'No'. Mark Leinenweber, 'no'. Let's get all our changes in before we start, so we'll know what the count is. Okay. No further changes. The Clerk will poll the absentees. Well, just one moment. Representative Bowman has withdrawn his request for a verification. Give me a count on it now, will you? Representative McAuliffe is 'aye'. 'Aye' for McAuliffe. Roger wants 'aye'... 'no'. On this question there are 93 voting 'aye' and 66 voting 'no', and this Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1648. Representative Mahar is recognized for a motion on this Bill."



Mahar: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I ask for concurrence of Senate Amendment #1 and #2 to House Bill 1648 which is the regular appropriation for the ESDA. Senate Amendment #1 reduced the appropriation by 30,750. That was the 7% solution you heard about earlier, and Senate Amendment #2 added 200,000 for the Radiological Emergency Response Plan. I would ask for concurrence."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman has moved that the House concur in Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 1648. Any discussion? If not, that is the question. All those in favor vote 'aye'. Those opposed vote 'no'. Representative Schneider."

Schneider: "Thank you. I didn't catch the Bill till late. John Hallock. Hallock. On that Senate Amendment, is there a substantive Bill for that? It says the appropriation for expenses in connection...oh, I'm sorry. I thought it was..."

Speaker Flinn: "Mahar is the Sponsor."

Schneider: "...Mahar. Okay...in connection with the Illinois Radiological Emergency Response Plan. Is that...?"

Mahar: "Yes, it's Senate Bill 1048. Representative Geo-Kar... Senator Geo-Karis' Bill."

Schneider: "It's gone? It's passed out?"

Mahar: "Gone. Yes."

Schneider: "Okay. Thank you."

Speaker Flinn: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 123 voting 'aye', 10 voting 'nay', and this Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 525. Representative Doug Huff. You're on deck there, Doug. Up and at 'em. 525. You're recognized for the purpose of a motion."

Huff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move to concur with Senate



Amendment 1 to House Bill 525. It adds bullets containing or carrying an explosive agent to the list of weapons under Subsection A-1 of the unlawful use of weapons statutes. It makes a criminal offense of knowingly selling, manufacturing, purchasing, possessing, or carrying explosive bullets which would constitute a class A misdemeanor."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Kosinski."

Kosinski: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I am in accord with Senate Amendment #1 to 525. To be explicit when they...when they speak of bullet they mean the projectile that leaves the weapon to hit the target, and this outlaws explosive projectiles...a devastating weapon...devastating bullet that is of no value to hunters, law enforcement...cannot be used by law enforcement, and generally should be outlawed. I concur with Senate Amendment #1."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Johnson."

Johnson: "Well, I understand what the Sponsor wants to do and I guess what the Senate Amendment added, but I'm looking at the language of Senate Amendment #1, and I'm not sure it's as clear as it...as we would have it. The language added is bullet containing or carrying an explosive agent. Gunpowder is an explosive agent, isn't it?"

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Darrow."

Johnson: "I guess question of the Sponsor. Is gunpowder an explosive agent?"

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Huff. Turn Huff on."

Huff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Of course, gunpowder is an explosive agent, but we're talking about a type of bullet that has the effect of exploding on impact. It's bad enough to be shot, but I don't think a person should suffer..."

Johnson: "Well, the point...I...you know, Representative Huff, I understand what you want to do, but I'm talking about



the language of Senate Amendment #2, specifically the language bullet containing or carrying an explosive agent, and I'm concerned that a court or a...well, as I suppose in the last analysis, a court, could interpret this to prohibit hunters or people legitimately in possession of firearms and ammunition from carrying those explosive agents to go hunting. You know, I understand what you're trying to do. You're trying to prohibit the type of things that are similar to Molotov cocktails or something, but I don't think the definition of this Amendment does it, and I think it could be used or misused, as the case may be, to prohibit lawful gun owners from carrying ammunition."

Speaker Flinn: "Represent..."

Johnson: "I know what you're trying to do, but I just don't think the wording does it. I think you'd be better off to move to nonconcur and specifically define in a Conference Committee what you're trying to get at."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Kosinski indicates he has the answer to the question. Representative Kosins..."

Kosinski: "Tim, this is a matter of semantics. To the technician, the bullet is the projectile. You're getting it confused with the general ammunition."

Johnson: "No, I'm not. I'm not getting it confused. I understand what the...the mechanism of a..."

Kosinski: "The bullet...it specifically says a bullet which contains powder or an explosive agent. It doesn't say ammunition which contains an explosive agent. To the technician there's no comparison. This is an exploding head bullet, and it is...cannot be confused with the general cartridge or ammunition as a whole."

Johnson: "Where is bullet defined anywhere in this...in this statute?"

Kosinski: "To the technician who is familiar with ammunition bullet is the projectile."



Speaker Flinn: "Representative Yourell."

Yourell: "Yes, I hate to disagree with my friend, Representative Kosinski, but obviously he doesn't understand the mechanics of a bullet. He wants to suggest that the projectile that leaves the case containing the powder is the bullet. That is correct, but there are many, many bullets that actually explode on impact without any powder within the bullet itself. All you have to do to make that kind of projectile is make an X on the point of the bullet, and that will splatter a...a very well-known bullet that they use in moose and elk hunting, not only in this country and in Africa...all over the world is a projectile that actually splinters and spreads after it enters into the cavity. Just a minute. We're going to have a conference here."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Hallock."

Hallock: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Although I support the concept that Representative Kosinski has tried to impress upon us, I would oppose this concurrence motion. What the Bill would do as amended is say that if we...if one is caught carrying one bullet...as small as one bullet as defined herein, he begets a class 4 felony, and a class 4 felony we all know will give you up to one to three years in jail. And, I believe that Representative Kosinski's intention is clear and unanimous, but the Bill itself does not achieve that goal, and I would urge nonsupport of his motion."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Katz."

Katz: "Well, here's another example of what happens when you go and add an Amendment that really creates a new Bill. The Bill had to do with smoke detectors, and now we're getting into gunpowder. Nobody knows what's happening. We may be making a serious criminal penalties applicable to ordinary hunters. I think the Gentleman ought to



move to nonconcur and just take the Bill in the form in which it went to the Committee."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Stearney."

Stearney: "Well, Mr. Speaker, for the Representative, Mr. Huff, perhaps it would be best to take this back and then concur...put it into a Conference Committee, so you can redefine the language as exactly what the term 'bullet' entails rather than risking the defeat of the measure."

Speaker Flinn: "Turn Huff on."

Huff: "Mr. Speaker, in deference to my rather sage colleague over there I think I will take it out of the record."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman moves to take this out of the record. House Bill 2779. Representative Friedrich. Dwight Friedrich is recognized for a motion."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, this is a... one of the revisionary Bills of the Legislative Reference Bureau. There are no substantive changes, and I move to concur in the Senate Amendment which just added another change."

Speaker Flinn: "The...any further discussion? If not, the Gentleman has moved to adopt...the Gentleman has moved to adopt Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2779. All those in favor vote 'aye'. Those opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 124 voting 'aye', 2 voting 'nay', and this Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2686. Representative Pierce. Representative Pierce is recognized for a motion on House Bill 2686."

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is a...the Senate Amendment to House Bill 2686 is a technical Amendment that we support in the Energy Resources Commission. It's requested by the Institute



for Natural Resources, and I move the concurrence of the House with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2686."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative...I don't see any lights over there. Representative Meyer. Representative Meyer."

Meyer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This is the farthest thing from the truth. It creates a comprehensive energy plan and provides that the report will be made every two years. It creates an energy policy and planning Act. I...you know, I don't think that this is a technical Amendment at all."

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, I think the Gentleman is looking at the Bill which passed the House. All the Amendment does, and I know...I know Representative Meyer would not accuse me of misrepresenting, but all the Amendment does is...it's kind of a silly Senate Amendment that weakens the Bill a little bit...a little bit by giving the institute more discretion on...in the Bill. It's something they requested, and it's...it doesn't really change the basic Bill which created the Energy Policy and Planning Act. If anything, it weakens the Bill and makes it more permissive than the original Bill."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Bullock."

Bullock: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman moves the previous question. The question is, 'Shall the main question be put?' All those in favor say 'aye'. 'Aye'. Those opposed say 'no'. The main question's put. Representative Pierce, do you wish to close? The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2686. All those in favor vote 'aye'. Those opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 110 voting 'aye', 26 voting 'no', and this Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2201. Representative McClain for a motion to...on 2201."



McClain: "Mr. Speaker, would you take that out of the record, please?"

Speaker Flinn: "Out of the record. Request of the Sponsor. House Bill 2649. Representative Hanahan. Is he on the floor? 2649. Would the...for a motion."

Hanahan: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, House Bill 2649 is the regular and ordinary contingent expenses for the Office of Education and the various line items for the operation grants of the...educational grants in the state both mandated and unmandated grants, and it also includes a federal programs as by law we must appropriate for the various federal grants that operate education in Illinois. I'd like to simply say that the Senate, in its wisdom or lack thereof, has agreed in the main with the House Bill 2649 as it had left here except in a few areas, specifically in operations the difference between the final Senate action and the House action for operations is 14,621,000.6 now versus 14,642,800 dollars as it left the House. In the Special Educated Mandated Transportation Program it is identical. In the private tuition they increased 4 million dollars. In the extra services in special education...decreased it 1 million dollars. They remained the same in special ed personnel at 119 million, reduced the orphan tuition from 15 million to 14,100,000, on the deaf-blind center it remained intact at 1,900,000, on the regular transportation they decreased it a half a million dollars from 55 million to 54,500,000, and in school foods a decrease of 1 million dollars to 14 million dollars, on the mandated general revenue fund: programs of the grant program. On the other general revenue funds and grants programs the Senate reduced adult education 1 million dollars from 9,500,000 to 8,500,000, gifted education was increased from 4 million to 5 million. I hope Representative Dunn is listening, because I'm sure he'd be happy to hear that.



On the gifted centers it remained intact at 750,000, bilingual Chicago was increased from 8 million to 14 million, bilingual downstate was decreased...or increased from 2 million eight to 4 million six, the vocational education was remained intact at 20,500,000, the textbooks remained the same as the House sent it out at 11 million dollars, the truant program remained identical at 560,000, the high impact on employment remained the same at a half a million dollars, the in-district remained the same at a half a million dollars, the desegregation money was completely removed because of the failure of the Bill that would have been necessary to implement the spending, so they decreased that the full amount of 5 million dollars, bilingual evaluation was completely removed and truant evaluation was removed, vocational material remained intact at 1 million dollars, and student...student interest stayed the same at 100,000. Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this is the changes the Senate made and at this time I'd like to move to concur with Senate...with the Senate Amendments to House Bill 2649."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Peters."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, when the categorical Bills were before us, we did indicate at the time, and there was much discussion over the fact that the Bills would go to the Senate, they would come back to the House, and perhaps they would be worked out to some extent in Conference Committee. It would appear that we are not going to have that report. What Representative Hanahan said in regard to the figures of the Bill is absolutely correct. If my memory serves me on it, this portion of the legislation is about 3½ to 5 million dollars over where we would have wanted it to be. This part of the funding for education,



overall in terms of the dollars it expends in my estimation; is a reasonable one. It would have been our hope, however, that there could have been some cuts in some of these areas not by much but by some, so that we would have been able to provide some additional funds to the distributive formula. Just to point out, and again not to dispute what Representative Hanahan has said, because he has given you the figures accurately, but so that the Members on this side and the other side who are concerned about some programs will nite one or two of the line items that I know they have asked me about so that I could at least fulfill my responsibilities to them so that you know what's in this in regard to a couple of the items. The pupil transportation, again just to reiterate and Han... Representative Hanahan was right when he told you, the estimated expenditures of last year were 47...almost 48 million. This Bill would provide 54. We would have liked to make that a little bit higher if we could have. The transportation figure for special ed was at 33 million five last year. It is now at 40 million. We would have hoped to make that 42 or 43 if possible, but it's at 40 in this Bill. One of the items that has caused much debate and consideration here in the Assembly over the past years has been the funding of bilingual education. The total amount last year of estimated expenditures for bilingual was 14,600,000. The Bill, as it left the House, was at 10,800,000. The Senate increased that from 10,800,000 to 19,100,000. It would have been our hope that that would again be less, so that we could have provided some more funds for the distributive part of this Bill. I am not certain nor can I speak for what the Governor will do on this nor would I want to suggest to the Members at least on this side of the aisle that they should vote 'yes', that they should vote 'no', or that



they should vote 'present'. Overall, I would indicate to you that the figures here are reasonable figures. We would have liked to have them lower in some areas and higher in some areas. If you wish to vote 'yes' again, I say I think that this part of the Bill is reasonable, but I think also that we should expect that the executive might cut some of these line items down in order to provide a more balanced kind of...a more balanced kind of picture, so...but again, I don't want to...I don't want to lead anyone into voting 'no' or into voting 'yes'. In my judgment and the judgment of our staff the figures as are presented here are reasonable figures. They are about 5 million dollars over the Governor's line item. They are lower in transportation than we would have wanted them to be. They are much higher in bilingual and some of the other lines than we would have wanted them to be. I'm not... I don't know what the executive will do when the letter...when this legislation gets there, but overall my vote will be...my personal vote will be for this, and I hope that the information I have given you in regard to the categoricalals gives you some insight into what you want to do, but I see my friend from Will County is up and, yes, the bilingual line is about...about 5 or 6 million dollars too heavy, and I hope that that is noted when it does go downstairs, and maybe we can pare it down. Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Macon, Mr. Borchers."

Borchers: "Well, Mr. Speaker and fellow Members of the House, there are two things that disturb me in this. One is, of course, the bilingual and the fact we're spending money, again, on the possible loss one day of the English language. I know some of you will laugh at that, but you should read the reports of the environmental impact study about this matter that within 32



years we're going to be...have about around a 500 million population of which 1 million, 9 hundred... ninety-one...191 million will be the descendants of illegal alien children and the illegal aliens we have today. Well, let that go. The thing that also disturbs me is the fact that and it says in the Bill that no part of this appropriation may be distributed to school districts in which students are segregated. Now, I don't mind integration, but the fact of the case is the Higher Board of Education will be able to hold funds against the...what the honest belief is of a school board in relation to segregation whether or not segregated or not, and I don't trust people from another part of a state, perhaps Chicago or downstate or whoever they may be, to make the final decision whether to be able to withhold funds, because in their opinion a school is not properly segregated or integrated or whatever. Therefore, I cannot support such a Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is there any further discussion? Who? The Gentleman from Kane, Mr. Friedland."

Friedland: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise to speak to Amendment #2 in House Bill 2649. In this Amendment there are two major points that we should be concerned with. The adult education line item has been reduced by the Senate an additional 1 million over the 1.2 million that the House lowered the appropriation. Many of us on both sides of the aisles have worked with the adult education for many years, and they have many good programs and are successfully serving thousands of our less fortunate citizens. I'm concerned about the heavy cut in their appropriations. Also, in the Amendment the adult education funds are broken out in three separate line items. This is in direct contrast to House Bill 2210 which consolidated this funding into one line item in



order to allow greater flexibility in local programs. Perhaps, we are just paving the way for future supplemental and deficiency appropriations. Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Hanahan."

Hanahan: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I know that every Member of this House would like to go home to their districts and say that they have done all they could for education. I think that this budget that we're now going to vote on is one of those Bills that you could be proud of, that has been worked out, worked over, worked around, worked through with every element of educational interest in this state. Not everyone got what they wanted believe me, but at the same time we have provided, I think, the best budget that we possibly can for the mandated programs and the unmandated programs and grants in this state. We have specifically worked over various programs that...like adult education, voc ed, bilingual, special ed, and the rest, and I know that there are Members of the House that come to me and said, 'Well, can't we do a little more for this one or why are we doing so much for that program?' I could just say to you Members of the General Assembly it's been my privilege to handle this legislation for the last 7 or 8 years. I just wish that more Legislators took the time to see the end result...forgetting about just the vote on...on 700 or 887 million dollars...forget about that big block number, but to see what the implementation means to the future of Illinois, to see the help that it's giving to that special ed children, to see the help that we give to the voc education needs of this state and to the other various orphans and all the other programs that we've got. When you see those programs in action, you can be proud you're a Member of the General Assembly, and I ask for a favorable Roll Call for House...for this concurrence motion on 2649."



Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendments #1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 to House Bill 2649. All in favor vote 'aye'. All opposed vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 135 'ayes', 24 'nays', 4 recorded as 'present', and the House does concur in Senate Amendments 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 to House Bill 2649. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2201. The Gentleman from Adams, Mr. McClain. The Gentleman is not on the floor at the present time. Mr. Bullock on House Bill 2730. I'm sorry. What, Glen? On the Order of Concurrences...both the Gentlemen are not on the floor...appears House Bill 320. Mr. Getty. Take it out of the record. 226. Mr. Harris."

Harris: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 226, as amended by Senate Amendment #1, authorizes the Institute of Natural Resources to conduct studies of the effect of all state and federal sulfur dioxide regulations, and emission standards for the use of Illinois coal and other fuels. I ask for the adoption and concurrence of Amendment #1."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Champaign, Mr. Johnson."

Johnson: "Well, you may just go over it again and let me ask a couple of questions. What does the...what does the Senate Amendment that we're concurring to do?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "It's Senate Amendment #1, Mr. Harris?"

Harris: "Senate Amendment #1, Mr. Johnson. It gives the Institute of Natural Resources the right to review the sulfur dioxide emission standard and make a 12-month report effective July the 4th, 1980 to the Governor and the House and the Senate and biennial thereafter."

Johnson: "Okay, what...then what's the purpose of doing this study and making the report?"



Harris: "The effect of the emission standards on the market-ability of Illinois coal and the economy of the State of Illinois."

Johnson: "What does the Bill itself do?"

Harris: "This...this is the Bill."

Johnson: "Okay. Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I think you ought to look at this. I realize there's a division of opinion, and this doesn't talk directly to the question of standards and emissions standards, but for those people who sat up on the floor of the House before and said, as I think they properly should say, that a clean environment and clean air for our children and our grandchildren is a worthy objective, this is at least some bit of a circuitous way of attempting to lower that goal, and I think we ought to look and see what it is, because you know just as sure as we're standing here that we're...no offense to the Sponsors, but the Sponsors that are on this Bill, they're going to come back and say in order...obviously in order to market more coal we're going to lower our initiate standards, we're going to make Illinois air more pollutable, so that we can sell more coal, and as worthy as...that's what you want to do? You want to vote for the Bill, because that's what the result's going to be. If you believe what we sit up in the floor of the House and said before, you ought to vote 'no' on this motion to concur."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Kosinski. All right. The Gentleman from Williamson, Mr. Harris, to close."

Harris: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. My colleague...I'm afraid he didn't understand the Bill. This does not lower any standards...any emissions standards whatsoever. It just gives the Natural Resources...the Institute of Natural Resources



asked for a one...a report July the 1st, 1980 and biennially thereafter be made to each Member of the House, each Member of the Senate, and the Governor. If you don't believe in the economy of the State of Illinois, if you don't want to burn the United States' largest natural resource known to man, I wouldn't vote for the Bill, but if you want to have the economy strong, and use coal, and produce energy, vote for this and concur with this Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 226?' All in favor vote 'aye'. All opposed vote 'nay'. The Lady from Cook, Mrs. Pullen, to explain her vote. Timer's on."

Pullen: "What concerns me about this Amendment is that it guts the very fine Bill that this Sponsor proposed and succeeded in getting passed through this House, which curbed the powers of the state EPA on sulfur dioxide emissions standards, and I'd rather see us tell the Senate that we liked our Bill in the House version, and we don't like their watering it down until it's almost nothing. I think the time is now, Bill. I don't think we have to wait till next year until the report is in. I think we ought to tell the Senate we liked your Bill, not theirs, and I'm really sorry that they weakened the Bill so tremendously, and I'm sorry to see that the House is buying this package."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Lady from Cook, Mrs. Macdonald. Timer's on."

Macdonald: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'm sure that those of you who have been here in other past Sessions know my feeling about sulfur dioxide emissions wherever they are, the danger of acid rain, and all of the other accompanying perils that there are with sulfur dioxide emission. I would just hope that we would think about this very carefully. I am..."



someone else has pushed my switch here. I'm going to vote 'present' for the moment, but I have some grave reservations about this whole Bill and was not able to vote for it in Committee, and I wish you would very carefully consider what the Senate has done to this Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Macon, Mr. Borchers, to explain his vote. Timer's on."

Borchers: "Mr. Speaker, all I want to say is there are a lot of people in this state...in this country that believe we should go back to living in Indian tepees and log cabins and go back a thousand years. Of course, we may have to before long, but it's a little silly. We got to go on ahead, and we certainly got to protect the interests of our coal miners and goodness knows, they need it."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Jackson, Mr. Richmond, to explain his vote. Timer's on."

Richmond: "Some people feel that some mischief built into this Bill and certainly that is not the case. I don't know what you're afraid of on this Bill, because this is just a means of getting the report as to the standards, and I certainly urge its passage."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 122 'ayes', 34 'nays', 7 recorded as 'present', and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 226. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 320. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Getty."

Getty: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, House Bill 320 is a Bill which would provide significant standards for the Office of Public Guardian. The Senate Amendment is an Amendment that largely cleans up and clarifies several points. It provides that in counties other than Cook



the appointment would continue to be made by the Governor. It further provides that in Cook County the appointment would be a judicial one. It requires that the public cut guardian in Cook County be an attorney. It clarifies that persons with small estates will be served by the state guardian rather than the county public guardian, and it clarifies the monitoring duties to require that periodic contact be made with the ward and provides for an immediate effective date. This Bill was a Bill that received unanimous Subcommittee and, I believe, Committee support in the House. It meets a very difficult problem that was unearthed some year ago concerning the Office of the Public Guardian, and this will go a long way towards cleaning that office up and making it a responsive one. I would ask for your wholehearted support."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The Gentleman from DeKalb, Mr. Ebbesen. Ebbesen, please. Okay. The Gentleman from DeWitt, Mr. Vinson."

Vinson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. The Sponsor of this Bill deserves a real commendation for his efforts on this...extensive Subcommittee and Committee hearings discussion. It's a good Bill. He's worked closely with us from downstate who did not want to move in this direction, and he has not forced us to move in this direction. He's simply correcting the problems in Cook. He's worked closely with the Governor on the project. I would urge a 'yes' vote on it."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any further discussion? The Gentleman from Kane, Mr. Waddell."

Waddell: "Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Indicates he will."

Waddell: "What is the rationale? Why does a public guardian have to be an attorney?"

Getty: "The Office of the Public Guardian is one that exercises



consistently court duties and responsibilities in Cook County. At the suggestion of the Governor's staff, the Bill was amended in the Senate to provide that in Cook County, where that is to be a judicial appointment... judicial responsibilities directly, that the public guardian would be an attorney. In downstate it would be a suitable person. We have not changed the law outside of Cook."

Waddell: "Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Hallock."

Hallock: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Indicates he will."

Hallock: "Does this Bill, as amended, make any provisions for compensation for the guardian?"

Getty: "In...it makes no change in downstate, as Representative Vinson indicated. It provides that the office in Cook County would be a salaried position. It sets up the office so that the...a person would be given a salary. It would be appropriated by the County Board, and he would be paid, and his office expenses would be paid. Any fees that would be charged or come due in owing through the office would go into the general revenue fund of the county."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 320. All in favor vote 'aye'. All opposed vote 'nay'. Marco. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 145 'ayes', 13 'nays', 4 recorded as 'present', and the House does concur in Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 320. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 27... 2730. Mr. Bullock."



Bullock: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 2730 adjusts the short term and long term borrowing authority and limitations on units of local government and school districts to help them overcome problems created by the abolition of the corporate personal property tax. The Senate Amendment applies to the principle approved by the House to all of the state units of local government. As mandated, the Bill increases the statutory long term debt limitation by 15% of the amount which could have been borrowed in 1979 whichever is greater. generally, the average loss across the state in assessed valuation statewide is about 15%? This Bill, in effect, would increase the percent of total local property tax levy which may be borrowed for short term borrowing purposes from 75 to 85% and authorizes local governments to sell tax anticipated warrants and notes in anticipation of those receipts, a loss of the corporate personal property tax replacement, and Members of the House and Mr. Chairman, I move to concur in Senate Amendments 1 and 2."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Totten."

Totten: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. All I can say is 'wow'. What the Senate did to this bad Bill was make it twice as worse... twice as bad."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Twice as bad, please. And there's a question about that. Please continue."

Totten: "Made it twice as worser."

Speaker Lechowicz: "No, please."

Totten: "What the Senate has done is provide for a 15% increase in GO bond debt limits across the board for local government. Secondly, they've increased the limit on tax anticipation warrants from 75% of the



tax's levy to 85% of the tax's levy. Now, if you think your local districts may be expending money in fashions that aren't too appropriate now or aren't too responsible, what we have done in this Bill is just given them the sky. The sky is the limit in this proposal. This Bill is no better than when it passed out of the House with just a few votes over the necessary. Exac... It's not no better. It's a lot worse and I would re... ask the Members to look carefully at the analysis of this Bill, what the fiscal impacts of this Bill are. They are disastrous and this concurrence should not be concurred in. In fact, the Bill ought to be defeated."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from DeWitt, Mr. Vinson."

Vinson: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. Representative Totten's absolutely right. What this in essence is is a companion measure to 2569 and we have not come close yet to working out the problems to give us a real replacement for the personal property tax. We're going to be back here in a special Session and we should not approve this. We ought to vote 'no' on this."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Terzich."

Terzich: "Amen. I move the previous question."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is shall the previous question be moved. All in favor signify by saying 'aye' aye, oppose. Previous question has been moved. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bullock to close."

Bullock: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I wish to correct two points that one of the previous speaker's misstated. To begin with, the Bill passed out of the House overwhelmingly and it passed out of the Senate 44 to 7. Secondly, there is a hold-harmless provision in here which will protect some of those districts. Thirdly, the limit of



the Bill self-destructs in October of 1980. And finally, there are no increases in this Bill beyond those that are already referendums. No non-referendum debt authorization is implied or incurred in this Bill. This Bill, in effect, deals with two problems I've mentioned. The loss of the corporate personal property tax and secondly, it deals with many districts over this state that have had a decrease in assessed valuation. And thirdly, it deals with the working cash for problems created by the law of assessed valuation. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Mr. Speaker, I would move to concur and urge those to give green votes to concur with Senate Amendments 1 and 2 for this comprehensive piece of legislation."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is shall the House concur in Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 2730? All in favor vote aye, all oppose vote no." Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Keane."

Keane: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. It's important that this Bill pass so that local government and school districts can sell anticipation warrants and can meet their salaries and obligations between now and the time that the corporate personal property tax revenues come in. Without this... Without this Bill, the set... the assessment level that is used for setting tax anticipation warrants is reduced by the amount of the personal property tax that has been taken away. So you will cut the ability for them to... for local government and school districts who sell those warrants by 25% and in some cases even more. I would ask for you to consider this and vote green."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Conti, Mr.... to explain his vote. Timer is on."

Conti: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I don't know who's asking for this Bill but I'm a local



official and if ever you're going to encourage a Proposition 13 in the State of Illinois it's going to be passing Bills like this. We don't need it. If we need it, we should take care of our own problems locally by referendum, but enacting a law like this you're encouraging you're encouraging Proposition 13 in the State of Illinois."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from DeWitt, Mr. Vinson. Timer is on." The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Wolf."

Wolf: "I just want to change..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Did you want to speak, Mr. Vinson?"

Vinson: "Just like to indicate that if this gets above 93, Totten and I would like a verification. Above 89, Totten and I'd like a verification."

Speaker Lechowicz: "How about above 93? Okay. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Wolf."

Wolf: "I just want to change my vote to aye. I didn't realize the switch was open."

Speaker Lechowicz: "You want to change your vote to aye? Did you hear that Mr. Vinson? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 95 ayes, 76 nays. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Totten. You're not recognized for that."

Totten: "It's getting near the end of the Session. I always have trouble getting recognized, but, Mr. Speaker, I would like to request a verification."

Speaker Lechowicz: "They're all here."

Totten: "What?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bullock asks for a poll of the absentees."

Clerk Leone: "Poll of the absentees. Capparelli. Molloy. Richmond. And Schlickman."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is there a question of the absentees? Do you persist? No, sit over there. Verify the Affirmative Vote."



Clerk Leone: "Alexander."

Speaker Lechowicz: "She's here."

Clerk Leone: "Balanoff."

Speaker Lechowicz: "He's here."

Clerk Leone: "E.M. Barnes."

Speaker Lechowicz: "She... He's... E.M. Barnes? He'll be here."

Clerk Leone: "Beatty."

Speaker Lechowicz: "He's here."

Clerk Leone: "Birchler."

Speaker Lechowicz: "He's here."

Clerk Leone: "Bluthardt."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Big Bluthardt."

Clerk Leone: "Bowman."

Speaker Lechowicz: "He's here."

Clerk Leone: "Bradley."

Speaker Lechowicz: "He's here."

Clerk Leone: "Braun."

Speaker Lechowicz: "She's here."

Clerk Leone: "Bullock."

Speaker Lechowicz: "He's here." Do you persist? Continue."

Clerk Leone: "Capuzi. Chapman. Christensen. Cullerton.

Currie. Darrow. Dawson. Deuster. DiPrima. Domico.

Donovan. Doyle. John Dunn. Epton. Ewell. Farley.

Flinn. Gaines."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Would Mr. Laurino please rise? Please continue."

Clerk Leone: "Garmisa. Getty. Giorgi. Goodwin. Greiman.

Hanahan. Hannig. Harris. Henry. Huff. Huskey.

Jaffe. Emil Jones. Kane. Katz. Keane. Kelly.

Kornowicz: Kosinski. Kozubowski. Kucharski. Kulas.

Laurino. Lechowicz: Leon. Leverenz. Madigan.

Marovitz. Matijevich. Mautino. McClain. McGrew.

McPike. Mugalian. Mulcahey. Murphy. O'Brien.

Patrick. Pechous. Pierce. Pouncey. Preston. Rea.

Ronan. Satterthwaite. Schisler. Schneider.



Schraeder."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Excuse me. Mr. Ronan, does that mean Mr. Vinson is number one? Okay. Please continue."

Clerk Leone: "Sharp. Slape. Stearney. Steczo. Stuffle. Taylor. Terzich. Van Duyme. Vitek. VonBoeckman. White. Wikoff. Willer. Williams. J. J. Wolf. Sam Wolf. Younge. Yourell. And Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Harris, did you give this Illinois coal to Mr. Vinson to smoke in his pipe? Mr. Harris."

Harris: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I'm for whatever's fair. If he wants to smoke his pipe, I'll just let him smoke his pipe."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Are there any questions of the solid 95 votes? Mr. Piel, what do you seek recognition for?"

Piel: "I'd like to change my vote to aye please."

Speaker Lechowicz: "That's better. 96. Kindly record Mr. Richmond as aye. No. That's 97 solids. Do you have any question of the solid vote Mr. Totten?"
Solid."

Totten: "I'm going to start with the wishy vote that's not here."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Who's that?"

Totten: "The wishy vote."

Speaker Lechowicz: "I'm sorry, he's not a Member of this General Assembly."

Totten: "E. M. Barnes."

Speaker Lechowicz: "He's here."

Totten: "Doyle."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Eddie Doyle is always here."

Totten: "Stearney."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Well, that's on your side. I don't know. Mr. Stearney. Webber, where did you send him? Pizza? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "Gentleman is recorded as voting aye."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Remove him."



Totten: "Ewell."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Ewell. Mr. Ray Ewell. How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "Gentleman is recorded as voting aye."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is Mr. Ewell in the chamber? Remove him."

Totten: "Flinn."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Monroe Flinn. He's here."

Totten: "Huff."

Speaker Lechowicz: "'Who?"

Totten: "Huff."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Huff? He's here."

Totten: "Kulas."

Speaker Lechowicz: "He's there."

Totten: "Marovitz. McClain." Take him off, He's not here.

Speaker Lechowicz: "Who was that Mr. Totten?"

Totten: "McClain. I see him. Murphy."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Laz Murphy from the 82nd. He's got to be around somewhere. Mr. Murphy. How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Leone: "Gentleman is recorded as voting aye."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Remove him."

Totten: "Yourell."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Yourell. How is the Gentleman recorded?" Mr. Yourell."

Clerk Leone: "Gentleman is recorded as voting aye."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Remove him."

Totten: "VonBoeckman."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. VonBoeckman. He's in his chair. Put Mr. Ewell back on."

Totten: "No further questions."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Put Mr. Ewell back on the Roll Call. Okay. Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Ewell what purpose seek recognition?"

Ewell: "I'm mad about his calling my name on verifications. The Governor's still mad about it."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is he?"



Ewell: "Yeah, we were in conference."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Would you tell that to Mr. Totten?"

Ewell: "That's who I'm telling. Mr. Totten."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Thank you. Thank you. What's the count, Tony? On this question there are 94 'ayes', 76 'nays', and the House does concur with Senate Amendments 1 and 2 on House Bill 2730. This Bill having received the Constitutional...Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2564. The Gentleman from Rock Island, Mr. Darrow."

Darrow: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "2564."

Darrow: "...Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is the legislation to remove the sales tax on food and drugs."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Excuse me, Clarence. UPI wants permission to steal photos. Granted."

Darrow: "Wait a minute. I'll...can I go curl my hair and button my coat? Eat your heart out, Marovitz."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Look out for Mr. Wonderful. Please continue, Mr. Darrow, on the concurrence of Senate Amendments 1 and 2 on House Bill 2564."

Darrow: "This is the legislation that removes the sales tax from food and prescription drugs. It's back over here for concurrence on two Senate Amendments. What these Amendments do are..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Bowman, would you kindly be in your own chair? Please continue, Mr. Darrow."

Darrow: "Representative Bowman is the joint chief Sponsor of this legislation. What the Amendments do...removes common medical remedies and substitutes insulin urine testing materials, syringes, needles used by diabetics. This legislation was sponsored in the House by the Major...Assistant Majority Leader, Representative Lechowicz. It is now encompassed in this Bill. We also have a hold harmless provision in here which



guarantees that local municipalities will not suffer from this legislation. We also have changed this too, in the Senate, from one-twelfth to one-eighth of the receipts from the income tax will be going to municipalities. I would ask that the House concur in these Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Would the Membership kindly be in your own seat, please? You know, it's a very important Bill for all the people of Illinois, and I appreciate the Sponsor taking the time out to try to explain the importance of the Bill. I think the Membership should give the Gentleman your attention, and is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Will, Mr. Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. It's interesting that this Bill would be called this evening on the eve of an effort by your side of the aisle to stick it to us in the Collar County area and suburban..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Point of order. The Gentleman's not through."

Leinenweber: "...with an increased sales tax on food of 1%. This seems to be somewhat..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Kindly address your remarks to the Bill."

Leinenweber: "I was, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Please continue."

Leinenweber: "It just seems to me to be fraught with hypocrisy that on the eve of such an effort, which we are reliably informed by the press is going to come tomorrow, that you would be calling this Bill for concurrence, and I would urge a 'no' vote, so you can be consistent with yourselves."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from DeKalb, Mr. Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "Well, yes, would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Indicates he will."

Ebbesen: "Representative Darrow, you indicated that local municipalities will not suffer from this legislation. Would you explain what you meant by that?"



Darrow: "Yes, the final percentage of the sales tax on drugs and food that will be phased out will be the percentage that is assessed by the local municipalities. What we've done in this legislation is provided a hold harmless provision, so that the percentage of income tax going back to municipalities will make up for the lost revenue which they would receive from their loss of sales tax on these items. If the income tax is not sufficient to make that up, we will guarantee that it will be under the hold harmless."

Ebbesen: "Well, in total under the hold harmless, where's the money coming from to replace?"

Darrow: "It will be coming from the income tax from general revenue fund."

Ebbesen: "And how much does that amount to?"

Darrow: "One moment. I'll check the figures."

Bowman: "That's in the..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Bowman."

Bowman: "...in the last year, that would amount to about 150 million. That allows for inflation, of course, because in the current year it would only require about 90 million."

Ebbesen: "Is that amount in the Governor's budget?"

Bowman: "We don't know who the Governor's going to be then."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Next year?"

Darrow: "This percentage will not...this hold harmless provision will not come into effect until the final phase out of the income tax...of the sales tax on food and drugs, which will not be until July 1, 1983. As you know, this is phased out over a period of years starting January 1, 1980 with the final phase out July 1, 1983."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The..."

Ebbesen: "Mr. Speaker, I'm ready for a Roll Call."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Pardon me, Sir?"

Ebbesen: "No, I said, Mr. Speaker, I'm ready for a Roll Call, unless there's..."



Speaker Lechowicz: "Thank you very much." The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Terzich."

Terzich: "Amen. I move the previous question."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook moves the previous question. All in favor signify by saying 'aye'. 'Aye'. Opposed...the previous question's been moved. The Gentleman from Rock Island, Mr. Darrow, to close. Mr. Darrow and Bowman to close."

Bowman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Illinois has waited a long time for this legislation, and I think we ought to send it to the Governor, so he can affix his signature to it promptly. Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendments #1 and 2 on House Bill 2564. All in favor vote 'aye'. All opposed vote 'nay'. The Gentleman from Whiteside, Mr. Schuneman, to explain his vote. Timer's on."

Schuneman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. By way of explaining my vote, I think everyone in this chamber tonight knows that this is an irresponsible action. The State of Illinois simply cannot afford to give up these kinds of revenues and continue to fund education in the future and to continue to fund the road programs that we all know are so important. It may ultimately become an embarrassment to the Governor or some other political tool, but it makes no economic sense. We all know it, and we should all vote 'no'."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Conti, to explain his vote. The Gentleman from Bond, Mr. Watson, to explain his vote. Timer's on."

Watson: "I'd like to have asked a question of the Sponsor, because if this is a..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Which one?"

Watson: "If this is a tax relief proposal, it's completely



ludicrous. If they knew anything about the taxing on prescriptions, the...and if they knew what the average price of a prescription was, we're going to see a tax relief of about 11 cents per prescription. I wouldn't say that this is anything that the people of Illinois are necessarily going to favor. It's going to end up being a windfall profit for retail pharmacists, and I don't really think the people are going to benefit from it. I think it's ludicrous. I would urge a...I'm going to vote 'present', because I believe I've got a conflict of interest, but I...I do think that a 'no' vote would be very appropriate."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Lady from St. Clair, Mrs. Stiehl, to explain her vote. Timer's on."

Stiehl: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I can remember when Governor Walker was the... our chief executive and many times three or...well, I shouldn't say many. Three or four times this Bill came up, and the Republicans all voted for it, and the first time or two I voted for it, because I really believed in it, and I think everybody in this House would like to take the tax off of food and drugs, but I think we all know...we have learned that this is the phoniest issue that can come before this House. Now, you've voted an increase in education. You voted an increase in public aid. You're considering a road program. You have voted down every other tax relief proposal that's been before this House."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Macon, Mr. Dunn, to explain his vote. Timer's on."

Dunn: "Mr. Speaker, I just wanted to announce that I'm voting my conflict."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Ewell, to explain his vote. Timer's on."

Ewell: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, I told Mr. Totten



the Governor in Iowa's close, but he wouldn't listen. Therefore I've got to vote 'aye' and send him this good Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Macon, Mr. Borchers, to explain his vote. Timer's on."

Borchers: "Well, again, all this is to me is irresponsibility in the state finances, and I ought to take a record as I vote to make certain I never hire any of you to handle any of our finances. if I'm my own family."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Hallock, to explain his vote. Timer's on."

Hallock: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is a kind of Bill we all would like to support, but I think the record should reflect this will cost the state approximately \$700,000,000, and the state, at this point in time, just cannot afford it. I would urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Wayne, Mr. Robbins, to explain his vote. Timer's on."

Robbins: "This Board looks real nice to me up there. In the debate that we had in our district there were three incumbent Democrats and one incumbent Republican, and the Gentleman asked them how they could do it and how they could pay for it, and they all said they couldn't, so I'm so happy to see that they have figured out a way. Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Hudson, to explain his vote. Timer's on."

Hudson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. No government, be it state or federal, can do these two things at the same time and be responsible. It cannot increase, everlastingly, its spending and at the same time afford tax relief to its people without facing insolvency, and that's where we will head if we continue in this way and, also, add to the inflationary spiral which is the real enemy of



our people today, and this is what we're adding to, and that is inflation. And, this is the way we get to inflation. It's the cause of it...federal and state spending money we don't have."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Livingston, Mr. Ewing. Timer's on."

Ewing: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, we've considered in the Revenue Committee this year a number of proposals to remove sales tax from all matter of items in this state. This was by far the biggest and one of the few that got out. The problem has been well stated here. It would cost, over the period cumulatively of the first four years of implementation, over a billion dollars. The state projected revenue growth is only 800 million. The two don't match up. There's no way you can bring them together, and I think if we're going to do this, we must look at our entire taxing system to decide how we're going to make up the revenues if we're going to take it off of food and drugs."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Hardin, Mr. Winchester, to explain his vote. The timer's on."

Winchester: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I think we all know, in the words of Phil Collins, how ludicrous this is. We all recognize it for what it is. It's a publicity stunt for the Democrats' re-election. It's for the benefit of the press down there, and I think they're intelligent enough to realize that this is fiscal irresponsibility, and I think they're going to fool your plan, and you're going to get bad reports back in your district. It is fiscal irresponsibility. We can't afford it. We've got to fund education. We've got to fund transportation. We've got to fund mental health, and we need it with the sales tax on food and drugs. Come on, wake up. Let's vote right and vote 'no'."



Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Beatty."

Beatty: "Mr. Speaker, in explaining my vote, I have two hopes for this Bill. I hope is that the Governor takes it seriously and works out a plan if he doesn't agree with this one to really eliminate the tax on foods and on drugs, and I also hope that the Democratic party remains consistent and if they're going to propose any sales tax for the RTA, that they certainly not consider foods and drugs, and I think we'll find out what that intention is in the near future, but I don't think we should be inconsistent, and I vote 'yes'."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Lady from Champaign, Mrs. Satterthwaite. Timer's on."

Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, from time to time we pass one or another tax relief form. This one is certainly one that goes directly back in the pockets of every citizen of the State of Illinois. It has no adverse affect by having all kinds of administrative costs to see that the tax gets back into the hands of the people. I'm very proud of the Democratic party for finally coming to grips with something like this that we can give to the people of Illinois, so that everyone can benefit. It has to make our taxing system far less regressive than it is currently. I think that we all ought to be proud of it. We ought to convince our Governor that he should support it, too. We don't want it as a campaign issue. We want it as a tax relief program for our citizens. We have provided..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Mugalian, to explain his vote. Timer's on."

Mugalian: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would like to talk about something that you might call political amnesia. It was just a year ago that a lot of people on the other side of the aisle voted to slash sales tax. And, what



...and what did they slash them on? On machinery. In fact, they're back this Session to get even more cuts on sales tax on machinery. That was a sales tax cut that's going to cost the State of Illinois about a half a billion dollars in five years. Now, it has been said at times the Democratic party is a party of the people. I don't think that's necessarily...that that necessarily means that the Republicans aren't, and that they don't care, but they sure are awfully forgetful, because this is a sales tax cut for the people that have to eat food. It's a sales tax cut for people and not for machinery and machine..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Yourell, to explain his vote. Timer's on."

Yourell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I'm really amazed at the turn of events. On April 13, 1967, I introduced this identical Bill. It went to the Revenue Committee of the House. Governor Ogilvie was then the chief executive of the State of Illinois. This Bill...this Bill...this identical Bill removing the sales tax on food and drugs was presented to the Revenue Committee of that...of the House on that occasion. That Committee was staffed and had a majority of Republican Members on it. At that time, 12...13 years ago they turned thumbs down on this identical proposal. I'm delighted to find out that the Democratic party of which I'm a Member has finally seen the light of day. Really, it's about time."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Griesheimer, to explain his vote. Timer's on."

Griesheimer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would like to direct my comments to 88 people sitting on the floor of this House and more particularly, three of those people. There's only one fiscally responsible party in the United States, and you need to look no further than this



Legislature or Washington, D. C. to find it out. We have 89 fiscally irresponsible people who hypocritically vote for every spending measure, and they are now proposing that they have a way to cut taxes and do it within the realm of reasonableness. Well, I say it is impossible. They are cheats. They are liars. They will hold themselves up to ridicule and then go home and tell all of their people that they have cut taxes. Well, they haven't cut taxes. They have not even approached this. I urge..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Give the Gentleman your attention, please."

Griesheimer: "I would urge you to look at the Illinois Conservative Union voting record on who spends the money and all of the winners...the 'hi' guys...the guys that spend all the money seem to be sitting on the other side. The same people that are voting green..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bowman. Timer's on."

Bowman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I don't know...since when has it been fiscally irresponsible to give tax relief to people who have to eat. Let's talk numbers for just a second. I don't know how many of you read the Comptroller's reports, but the Governor's got several hundred million dollars squirreled away in surplus state accounts, and that is going to come back to haunt him if we don't give tax relief now. It's precisely those large surpluses in California that generated the pressures for Proposition 13 out there. Lastly, I'd like to point out that if the revenue growth in the general funds continues at its historical rate, we can do this and still have enough money left over to fund programs at an increased rate of about 8% a year. This is not fiscal irresponsibility. I submit to you this is very well conceived tax relief for people who have to eat, and that's everybody Ladies and Gentlemen."



Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Conti. Timer's on."

Conti: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'm not going to take my full time, and I just want to make a statement for the record that at 9 p. m. on June 28th we are taking off 140 million dollars... or taking away 140 million dollars from the general revenue by passing this measure and by...in the next 48 hours, by June 30th that same party that's taking the sales tax off of food and drugs is going to put 100 million dollars more in Cook County...in the suburbs alone in Cook County with a one cent sales tax. The same party. If they haven't, then their Leadership hasn't showed them their proposal how to finance the RTA, and this same party at 9 o'clock on June 28th is now taking off 140 million and in the next 48 hours they'll be putting on 230 million within the next three years."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Schneider, to explain his vote. Timer's on."

Schneider: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I just want to point out to Representative Griesheimer with all the...the diatribe he laid on us a minute ago that he, too, votes irresponsibly and last night on 101 with that 'aye' vote is clear evidence of that. Possibly, he was influenced by Senator Geo-Karis who sponsored the original Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Collins, to explain his vote. Timer's on."

Collins: "Mr. Speaker, I don't need a minute, because I think Representative Griesheimer said it all. You're the party of the people, all right. You're the party that stick it to the people and stick it to them time and again, and you're doing it again. Well, you keep sticking it to the people. This is the worst piece of political cynicism I've ever witnessed."



Speaker Lechowicz: "We'll take this Roll Call in your district. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise to explain my 'aye' vote and to cite to the Members on the other side of the aisle this language. Shall legislation be enacted and the Illinois Constitution be amended to impose ceilings on taxes and spending by the State of Illinois, units of local government and school districts. That was the language of the Thompson proposition. I'm sure all of you supported the Thompson proposition. I suspect that many of you caused those petitions to be circulated in your district. But I'm sure that you would rather not discuss the circulation procedures any further...although various grand juries are. This was your campaign gimmick, the Thompson proposition. This was the Governor's campaign gimmick to reelect himself and to elect a Republican Legislature. The results from the people were overwhelming, the Governor told us. And this Roll Call is a response to that referendum of the people. If you wish to ignore the voice of the people as expressed in response to your referendum, so be it. But the record is there and you'll live with it."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Henry, Mr. McGrew."

McGrew: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I stand here totally appalled at the other side of the aisle. You know, I've put up with the tirades over there when they have got up and said, 'I've seen the polls in my district, and they say raise the drinking age. I've seen the polls in my district, and they say that we can't have branch banking. Where are the polls now? Where are they? Huh? We know."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Polish phrase. Please continue."

McGrew: "I was, of course, referring to telephone poles."



Speaker Lechowicz: "Please continue, Mr. McGrew."

McGrew: "I was, of course, Mr. Speaker, referring to telephone poles."

Speaker Lechowicz: "I'm well aware of that."

McGrew: "Well, I just am a little bit surprised that the other side of the aisle is getting this carried away, that... you know, we passed this. I sponsored it under Democrats and under Republicans. We had a lot of support on the other side of the aisle when we had a Democrat Governor. As a matter of fact, there were many Members that are still sitting there that thought that was one of the greatest pieces of legislation we passed. Where are you tonight? I ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Shame. The Gentleman from Sangamon, Mr. Dave Jones. Timer's on."

Jones: "I'm voting 'no' on this, because I don't think it's going to mean a thing to the purchasers of groceries in the long run. Everytime my wife goes to the grocery store the items have gone up a nickel or a dime from eighty cents to a dollar, and in the long run the money will...the increase will be absorbed by the food industry, and it won't mean a penny savings to the taxpayers, so I'm voting 'no'."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Darrow amends his motion to send the sales tax receipts not only to the Governor but to Dave Jones. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Henry, to explain his vote. Timer's on. I'm always neutral, Cal."

Henry: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members. You know, I'm very proud to be a Member of a party that can spend for the people, because the people on the other side of the aisle, I think they take it all to the bank anyway. But, on a serious note the people from my district, and I'm sure throughout the state are people that are living on fixed income, people of low income, and people that cannot do any better, our senior citizens. They need



this tax break, and I applaud the Sponsor of this Bill, and I would hope some of the people on the other side of the aisle will remember the day they'll live on fixed incomes, remember some of the days that they may not be as fortunate as some of the other people, and I think what government is supposed to do is to look out for the people that cannot look out for themselves and let's forget about the brick and mortar. Let's talk about the people. Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Peters, to explain his vote. Timer's on."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I am reminded once again of a poem I saw on the side of the bus, if I might restate that. Little pigeon in the sky, drop some whitewash in my eye. Little pigeon, I don't cry. I'm just glad that cows don't fly. I just saw a very big cow fly by. Please look up."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Totten. Timer's on."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I wish you'd take the timer off as you did for the Majority Leader. But, let me point out to the Members of the other side..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Point of order is raised. The Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Daniels. Explain your vote."

Daniels: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I listened to the comments by our esteemed Majority Leader when he talked about a ceiling on taxes and the Thompson Proposition. Well, Mr. Madigan, your train is rolling. Your train's coming straight towards us. The people of the State of Illinois, and the Collar County areas, and the City of Chicago, and Cook County know what you're doing on the sales tax, and we know your train is coming, and we'll see how you vote when you impose a new tax... a sales tax in the RTA region on the people of the State of Illinois in the RTA district."



Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Effingham, Mr. Brummer. Timer's on."

Brummer: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members. I hold in my hand the State of the State budget message of the Honorable James R. Thompson, March 7, 1979. On page 9 of that message he said, 'I do not believe in a system of taxation which salts away huge amounts of windfall revenue. I believe we shouldn't take extra dollars out of taxpayers' pockets in the first place, and if extra revenues ever do pile up, I will propose that we cut the tax that produced them. I'm advised that we will have a surplus at the end of this fiscal year within the next two or three days of 400 to 400 million dollars.' I would hope that the Governor abides by his word...he does not propose to salt those extra revenues away, but, on the other hand, that he grant the relief that the taxpayer wants."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Totten."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is that long enough?"

Totten: "...I'm in trouble here."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Please continue. Timer's on."

Totten: "Let me point out to the Majority Leader who didn't read that Amendment...that proposition that the Governor proposed nor did he listen to it, that it's his side of the aisle that has so far proposed over 2 billion dollars in spending increases in this Session of the General Assembly. That proposition said limit taxes, and it's his side of the aisle that's proposing an increase in the corporate property tax that'll produce more revenues than is needed. It's his side of the aisle that's proposing revenues to raise taxes in the RTA region and throughout the whole state for the road program, and it's his side of the aisle that's voting irrespon-



sibly to take away the 700 million dollars that this will eventually cost. Ladies and Gentlemen of this House, it's the Democratic party lead by that Majority Leader who's preparing this blueprint for bankruptcy that this Bill will create."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Will, Mr. Davis, to explain his vote. Timer's on."

Davis: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. In the...in the eighteenth century, Louis the sixteenth's wife, Marie Antoinette, when told that the peasants had no bread, said let them eat cake, I think what's coming towards the Collar Counties...suburban and the downstaters in this state tomorrow afternoon, you can go back and tell your constituents you may have voted for tax relief but let them eat concrete."

Speaker Lechowicz: "It's tough chewing. The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijeich. Timer's on."

Matijeich: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I've heard some talk on the other side of the aisle about fraud. Now, let me give you an idea of what I think is fraud. The other day when Governor Thompson and Jane Byrne announced their proposed road program, they kicked it off with their tax relief program. Do you know what Governor Thompson is going to give taxpayers in a year? Here it is."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Five dollars."

Matijeich: "Five dollars. Five dollars. Lucky, aren't you? Five dollars from the Governor of the State of Illinois. Do you know what he's going to give you in turn, and this is bipartisan? Eight billion dollars of road program that's going to take a quarter of a billion dollars in new taxes this year. Now, you tell me what a fraud is. It's a bipartisan fraud. Now, if you want to be fiscally responsible, when that train comes down the tracks, let's get together. Let's stop it. You



want to talk about stop spending."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Everybody get their five dollars? The Gentleman from Vermilion, Mr. Campbell. Timer's on."

Campbell: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, in Illinois we have Christmas two times a year. Thank God the real one is in December. In June, it's political chicanery, false promises, and all of you on the other side know that there's not enough money to fund this under any circumstances, and you're simply giving false promises to everybody out there that you're voting for that you think you're voting for, and I ask you to vote 'no'."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Sangamon, Mr. Kane."

Kane: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, we've heard an awful lot about false promises and how the state doesn't have the money to fund this tax relief program, and it's been said that in five years when this tax...this tax break becomes effective, it's going to cost 660 million dollars. But, let me tell you this. That if you had enacted the Governor's tax or spending limitation program, in five years that would have cut spending by 816 million, so this is less costly...less costly than the Governor's spending limitation program, and, in addition to that, for those five years and forever after we are not going to have to pay sales tax as on food and medicine, and the Governor said in his budget program he'd rather not take the taxes out of the peoples' pockets than take it out and return it to them later, and I would say that all of the..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Skinner. Timer's on."

Skinner: "Unfortunately the Democratic Leadership has killed affordable senior citizen property tax relief and other moderate proposals. I resent that and hope every senior citizen does, too. What I fear is that the Governor



may sign this Bill in order to get the votes to impose a sales tax on the RTA area. That won't be a good deal. It's 140 million dollars tax relief versus 320 million dollars in extra sales taxes. Nevertheless, I've decided to believe the Governor's promise to veto this Bill and vote 'present'. If no or very few Republican votes are cast 'yes', the hypocritical nature of this Bill will be obvious to all."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Rock Island, Mr. Darrow."

Darrow: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. When this legislation passed out of the House of Representatives, it was a bipartisan effort. A number of Republicans joined the Democrats in saying that this Bill would reach the Senate. When it came out of the Senate Revenue Committee, it was a bipartisan effort. Both sides of the aisle worked on it. In the Senate we have the same situation. This is not a Democratic tax relief Bill. This is a Democratic tax relief Bill. This is a bipartisan tax Bill for the people of the State of Illinois. The other night I was in a supermarket and a woman came up to me with a couple of small children, and she was paying her receipt...or her bill, and I got to thinking how best could she make her feelings felt to the Governor of this State who has said that he will veto this measure. I am reminded of what happened to the Governor of this state when he signed the Bill increasing the salary of the Executive Branch and the Legislative Branch. A campaign was mounted to send our Governor tea bags, and after he received the pile of tea bags he sat back, and he was forced to change his mind, and he did a flip-flop, and he called us back into Session and had us reduce our increase and his increase. And, I think that if the people of the State of Illinois take their cash register receipts... the old folks, the young folks, the people with small



children, and, yes, even the small boy who's on an allowance who goes to the store to buy some candy...if they all take those receipts, and they send them to Governor James R. Thompson, Capitol, Springfield, Illinois, he will change his mind and do a flip-flop on this, also. I am confident that he will see the wisdom in signing this legislation. I am confident that he will..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 2564?' Have all voted...? Yes, Sir. The Gentleman from Marion, Mr. Friedrich. Is your light working, Sir?"

Friedrich: "Well, I don't know. They said..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Well, it isn't."

Friedrich: "...someone wanted to tell me to turn it off. I've been up here for a half an hour, and you've recognized 20 people."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Your time is...your time..."

Friedrich: "Now, is my light on or isn't it?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "No, it is not."

Friedrich: "Well, the electrician sent..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Not on here."

Friedrich: "...somebody up here to tell me to shut it off."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Timer's on. Continue."

Friedrich: "Now, Mr. Speaker, I want to tell you if these people were consistent, they'd go a lot farther than this. I think we ought to bring it back to a Conference Committee and take all the tax off of shoes. That's a necessity. Everybody has to have shoes. It gets cold in the wintertime. They ought to take it off of clothing. We ought to all have to wear clothing. They ought to take it off of heating fuel. The poor people and the rich people and everybody have to buy fuel. That's a necessity. They ought to take it off of automobiles. We have to have cars to get to work. What's going on



here is the oldest trick in politics. You get a majority in the Legislature, and you get a Governor of the opposite party, and you try to embarrass him. That's all they're trying to do. They know the money's not there. They want more money for Spanish-speaking people. They want more money for public aid. They want more money for the poor districts. They want more money for Chicago, and they want less taxes. You can't get it. Now, let me tell you one more thing. This Governor has been responsible in the ar...the fiscal area. Governor Walker went through 450 million dollars and got us down to the place where there..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendments #1 and 2 on House Bill 2564.' Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 96 'ayes', 37 'nays'. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Collins, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

Collins: "Mr. Speaker, I request a verification."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman is entitled to that. We'll poll the absentees. We will have a verified Roll Call so all of Illinois can see this Roll Call. Would the Gentleman kindly poll the absentees?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Poll of the absentees. Abramson. Hoffman."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Emil Jones, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

Jones: "Mr. Speaker, could you open the switch so that some of my own colleagues on the other side of the row that didn't get their tickets to get on board that they can get on board?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Continuing the poll of the absentees."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Verify...poll of the absentees."

Clerk O'Brien: "Margalus. Molloy. Schlickman. And, Stearney."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Collins, do you persist in the verification? Would the Membership kindly be in your



chair? Would all unauthorized personnel remove themselves from the chamber right now? We'll proceed to have an Oral Verified Roll Call, and after the verified Roll Call no one will be able to change their vote. Would the Membership kindly be in respective seats? That means all staff personnel remove themselves from the floor. We'll have an Oral Verified Roll Call. The Clerk will proceed to verify an oral verification. Let's have a little order in the chamber. Let's have a little order in the chamber. John, will you sit down? And, would the Membership on the...there's five votes recorded on the Board. Remove that immediately. When your name is called, you will stand, vote your conscience and your switch. Clerk, please proceed."

Clerk O'Brien: "Abramson."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Continue."

Clerk O'Brien: "Pass. Ackerman."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Yes."

Clerk O'Brien: "Ackerman, 'no'."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Oh."

Clerk O'Brien: "Alexander."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Alexander, 'ayé'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Alexander, 'aye'."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Hit your switch, ma'am. Thank you."

Clerk O'Brien: "Anderson."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Anderson, 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Anderson, 'no'. Balanoff."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Balanoff, 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "E. M. Barnes."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Aye."

Clerk O'Brien: "Aye. Jane Barnes."

Speaker Lechowicz: "We can't hear up here. Please. That includes the press. I'm sorry, who's..."

Clerk O'Brien: "Jane Barnes."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Jane Barnes, 'present'."



Clerk O'Brien: "Beatty, 'aye'. Bell."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Bell?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Bell, pass. Bianco."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Bianco, 'present'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Birchler."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Birchler, 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Birkinbine."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Birkinbine, 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Bluthardt, 'no'. Borchers, 'no'. Boucek,
'aye'."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Boucek, 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Bower."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Bower. Continue."

Clerk O'Brien: "Pass. Bowman."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Bowman, 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Aye. Bradley."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Bradley, 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Braun."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Braun, 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Breslin."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Breslin, 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Brummer."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Brummer, 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Bullock."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Bullock, 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Campbell."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Campbell, 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Capparelli."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Capparelli, 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Capuzi."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Capuzi, pass."

Clerk O'Brien: "Pass. Catania, pass. Chapman."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Hanahan,
what's your point?"

Hanahan: "A Gentleman is here during the verification. I'd
like him removed from the floor."



Speaker Lechowicz: "Would the Gentleman kindly remove himself off the floor on the verification? All unauthorized personnel remove themselves off the floor now. All right. Who were we verifying, Sir?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Campbell."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Campbell was 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Excuse me. I was on Chap..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Aye."

Clerk O'Brien: "Collins."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Collins, 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Conti."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Conti. Mr. Hanahan, please sit down. Mr. Hanahan, please sit down. He's entitled to the floor...verifying."

Clerk O'Brien: "Conti, 'no'."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Conti, 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Cullerton."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Cullerton, 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Currie."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Currie, 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Daniels."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Daniels, 'present'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Darrow."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Darrow, 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Davis."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Davis, 'present'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Dawson."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Dawson, 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Deuster."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Deuster. Continue."

Clerk O'Brien: "DiPrima."

Speaker Lechowicz: "DiPrima, 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Domico."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Domico, 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Donovan."



Speaker Lechowicz: "Donovan, 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Doyle."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Doyle, 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "John Dunn."

Speaker Lechowicz: "John Dunn, 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Ralph Dunn."

Speaker Lechowicz: "No."

Clerk O'Brien: "Dyer."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Dyer, 'present'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Ebbesen."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Ebbesen. How do you vote, Sir? I don't know. Mr. Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "Well, yes, Mr. Speaker, I'd like the indulgence of the Chair to come back to me after you've completed the Roll Call. I'd like to see how 100% of my Leaders vote."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Fine."

Ebbesen: "Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "How do you vote, Sir?"

Ebbesen: "I passed for the time being."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Oh, he passes. Fine. Please continue."

Clerk O'Brien: "Epton."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Epton, 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Ewell."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Ewell, 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Ewing."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Ewing, 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Farley."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Farley is 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Flinn."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Flinn is 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Virginia Frederick."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mrs. Frederick is 'present'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Friedland."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Friedland, pass. 'Present'.. I'm sorry."



Clerk O'Brien: "Present. Dwight Friedrich."

Speaker Lechowicz: "No."

Clerk O'Brien: "Gaines."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Gaines, 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Garmisa."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Garmisa, 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Getty."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Getty, 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Giorgi."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Giorgi, 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Goodwin."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Goodwin, 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Grieman."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Grieman, 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Griesheimer."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Present. Mr. Griesheimer."

Clerk O'Brien: "Grossi."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Grossi. Mr. Grossi."

Grossi: "Mr. Speaker, on Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House
Bill 2564 I vote 'no'."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Votes 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Hallock."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Who was that? Hallock?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Hallock."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Present."

Clerk O'Brien: "Hallstrom."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Hallstrom, 'present'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Hanahan."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Hanahan, 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Hannig."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Hannig, 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Harris."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Harris, 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Henry."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Henry, 'aye'."



Clerk O'Brien: "Hoffman."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Hoffman, pass."

Clerk O'Brien: "Hoxsey."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Hoxsey, 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Hudson."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Hudson, 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Huff."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Huff, 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Huskey."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Huskey, 'present'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Jaffe."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Jaffe, 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Johnson."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Johnson. Continue. Johnson."

Clerk O'Brien: "Pass. Dave Jones."

Speaker Lechowicz: "No."

Clerk O'Brien: "Emil Jones."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Emil Jones, 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Kane."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Kane, 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Katz."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Katz, 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Keane."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Keane, 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Kelly."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Kelly, 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Kempiners."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Kempiners, 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Kent."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mrs. Kent. Continue."

Clerk O'Brien: "Klosak."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Oh, I'm sorry. Mrs. Kent's there. Votes
'present'. Klosak. Continue."

Clerk O'Brien: "Kornowicz."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Kornowicz, 'aye'."



Clerk O'Brien: "Kosinski."
Speaker Lechowicz: "Kosinski, 'aye'."
Clerk O'Brien: "Kozubowski."
Speaker Lechowicz: "Kozubowski, 'aye'."
Clerk O'Brien: "Kucharski."
Speaker Lechowicz: "Kucharski. Continue."
Clerk O'Brien: "Kulas."
Speaker Lechowicz: "Kulas, 'aye'."
Clerk O'Brien: "Laurino."
Speaker Lechowicz: "Laurino, 'aye'."
Clerk O'Brien: "Lechowicz."
Speaker Lechowicz: "Aye."
Clerk O'Brien: "Leinenweber."
Speaker Lechowicz: "Leinenweber, 'no'."
Clerk O'Brien: "Leon."
Speaker Lechowicz: "Leon, 'aye'."
Clerk O'Brien: "Leverenz."
Speaker Lechowicz: "Leverenz, 'aye'."
Clerk O'Brien: "Macdonald."
Speaker Lechowicz: "Macdonald, 'no'."
Clerk O'Brien: "Madigan."
Speaker Lechowicz: "Madigan, 'aye'."
Clerk O'Brien: "Mahar."
Speaker Lechowicz: "Mahar, 'present'."
Clerk O'Brien: "Margalus."
Speaker Lechowicz: "Margalus, pass."
Clerk O'Brien: "Marovitz."
Speaker Lechowicz: "Marovitz, 'aye'."
Clerk O'Brien: "Matijevich."
Speaker Lechowicz: "Matijevich, 'aye'."
Clerk O'Brien: "Matula."
Speaker Lechowicz: "Matula, 'present'."
Clerk O'Brien: "Mautino."
Speaker Lechowicz: "Mautino, 'aye'."
Clerk O'Brien: "McAuliffe."



Speaker Lechowicz: "McAuliffe, 'present'."

Clerk O'Brien: "McBroom."

Speaker Lechowicz: "McBroom, 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "McClain."

Speaker Lechowicz: "McClain, 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "McCourt."

Speaker Lechowicz: "McCourt, 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "McGrew."

Speaker Lechowicz: "McGrew, 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "McMaster."

Speaker Lechowicz: "McMaster. Mr. McMaster."

McMaster: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will you pass me up now
and come back later?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Yes, Sir. Pass."

Clerk O'Brien: "McPike."

Speaker Lechowicz: "McPike, 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Meyer."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Meyer, 'present'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Molloy."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Molloy. I believe he's excused."

Clerk O'Brien: "Mugalian."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Mugalian, 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Mulcahey."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mulcahey, 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Murphy."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Murphy, 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Neff."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Neff."

Neff: "No."

Speaker Lechowicz: "No."

Clerk O'Brien: "Oblinger."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mrs. Oblinger, 'present'."

Clerk O'Brien: "O'Brien."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. O'Brien, 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Patrick."



Speaker Lechowicz: "Patrick. Continue."

Clerk O'Brien: "Pechous."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Pechous, 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Peters."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Excuse me. Kindly record Mr. Patrick as 'aye'. Who was that? Peters?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Peters."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Present."

Clerk O'Brien: "Piel."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Piel. Mr. Piel."

Piel: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I think Mr. Ebbesen had a good point and with the leave of the House I will wait and see how my Leadership votes. Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "What'd he say?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Pierce."

Speaker Lechowicz: "He passed."

Clerk O'Brien: "Pass."

Speaker Lechowicz: "All right."

Clerk O'Brien: "Pierce."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Pierce, 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Polk."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Polk, 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Pouncey."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Pouncey, 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Preston."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Preston, 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Pullen."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Pullen, 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Rea."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Who?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Rea."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Rea, 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Reed."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Reed. Mrs. Reed."

Reed: "Present."



Speaker Lechowicz: "Present."

Clerk O'Brien: "Reilly."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Reilly. Mr. Reilly."

Reilly: "Present."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Present."

Clerk O'Brien: "Richmond."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Do you want your 'present' button, Mr.

Reilly? Oh, it's not working here on the Board. Okay, who was that?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Richmond."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Richmond, 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Rigney."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Rigney, 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Robbins."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Robbins..."

Robbins: "Present."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Present."

Clerk O'Brien: "Ronan."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Who?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Ronan."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Ronan, 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Ropp."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Ropp, 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Ryan."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Ryan, 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Sandquist."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Sandquist, 'present'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Satterthwaite."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Satterthwaite, 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Schisler."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Schisler, 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Schlickman."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Schlickman. Continue."

Clerk O'Brien: "Schneider."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Schneider, 'aye'."



Clerk O'Brien: "Schoeberlein."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Schoeberlein, 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Schraeder."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Schraeder, 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Schuneman."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Schuneman. Yes, Mr. Schuneman."

Schuneman: "I would like to ask you to come back to me, Mr.

Speaker. I'd like to see how my Leadership is going to vote on this issue before I vote."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Fine. The Gentleman passes."

Clerk O'Brien: "Sharp."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Sharp, 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Simms."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Simms, 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Skinner."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Skinner votes 'present'. He trusts Leadership."

Clerk O'Brien: "Slape."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Slape, 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Stanley."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Stanley, 'present'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Stearney."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Stearney. Continue."

Clerk O'Brien: "Steczo."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Steczo, 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "E. G. Steele."

Speaker Lechowicz: "E. G. Steele, 'present'."

Clerk O'Brien: "C. M. Stiehl."

Stiehl: "No."

Speaker Lechowicz: "C. M. Stiehl, 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Stuffle."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Stuffle, 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Sumner."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Sumner, 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Swanstrom."



Speaker Lechowicz: "Swanstrom, 'present'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Taylor."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Taylor, 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Telcser."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Telcser, 'present'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Terzich."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Terzich, 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Totten."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Totten, 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Tuerk."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Tuerk, 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Van Duyne."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Van Duyne, 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Vinson."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Vinson, 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Vitek."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Vitek, 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "VonBoeckman."

Speaker Lechowicz: "VonBoeckman, 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Waddell."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Waddell, 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Walsh."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Walsh, 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Watson."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Watson, 'present'."

Clerk O'Brien: "White."

Speaker Lechowicz: "White, 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Wikoff."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Wikoff. Continue."

Clerk O'Brien: "Willer."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mrs. Willer, 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Williams."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Williams, 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Winchester."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Winchester, 'no'."



Clerk O'Brien: "J. J. Wolf."

Speaker Lechowicz: "J. J. Wolf, 'present'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Sam Wolf."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Sam Wolf, 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Woodyard."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Woodyard, 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Younge."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mrs. Younge, 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Yourell."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Yourell, 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker, 'aye'. On this question there are 95...wait a minute. There are only 93. Somebody's hitting the switches. Please. What's the official count, Mr. Clerk? We're going to...we're going to go back and pick up three people who asked to be passed to see how their Leadership voted. I believe that was Mr. Ebbesen. How would you like to be recorded, Sir?"

Ebbesen: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to explain my vote in the process."

Speaker Lechowicz: "It's...you're out of order, Sir. How do you want to be recorded?"

Ebbesen: "Obviously, I'm going to follow my Leadership and vote 'no' although there's a word out here you can now take a political ride, Mr. Speaker and Members of this House. You want to take a political ride? If not..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Just kindly record the Gentleman as 'no'. Mr. Johnson."

Johnson: "Record me as 'aye'."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Kindly record Mr. Johnson as 'aye'. The Gentleman from Knox, Mr. McMaster. Mr. McMaster, please."

McMaster: "Please record me as 'no'."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Kindly record Mr. McMaster as 'no'. I believe those were the three that asked to be polled



after their Leadership. What's the count, Mr. Clerk? Well, let's start counting. All right. Let the Membership kindly be in your own seat. Please sit down. Please sit down. Please sit down. The Gentleman from Whiteside, Mr. Schuneman."

Schuneman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I think this is no place for timidity. I vote 'no'."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Kindly record Mr. Schuneman as 'no'. Mr. Wikoff. Mr. Wikoff. The Gentleman from Champaign."

Wikoff: "Aye."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Don't panic, fellows. I mean, I think you're a little bit too late. What's the count, Mr. Clerk? Wikoff voted as 'aye'. Oh, Mr. Piel, did you ask to...ask to come back after your Leadership voted?"

Piel: "Yes, I did."

Speaker Lechowicz: "All right. I'm sorry, Mr. Piel."

Piel: "I'm up there as 'present'. Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "He wants to be recorded as 'present'. Mr. Piel. P-I-E-L. Piel. Mr. Borchers, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

Borchers: "A point of privilege. Personal privilege."

Speaker Lechowicz: "What's your point, Sir?"

Borchers: "I'm just happy that I'm not a politician or a coward."

Speaker Lechowicz: "What are you talking about? The Gentleman from Rock Island, Mr. Bell."

Bell: "Mr. Speaker..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Pardon me."

Bell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Would you record me as 'aye' on that? I took a pass."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Kindly record Mr. Bell as 'aye'. The Gentleman from Effingham, Mr. Bower, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

Bower: "...me as 'aye'."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Kindly record Mr. Bower as 'aye'. Mr. Boucek."



Boucek: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to ask you a question.
Can't you keep order in your House?"

Speaker Lechowi c: "You come to my house, and it's always in
order. The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Deuster."

Deuster: "Well, as a Cosponsor of this bipartisan proposal,
I was passed over, and I'd like to be recorded 'aye'.
Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Lady from Lake, Mrs. Frederick."

Frederick: "Mr. Speaker, please record me as 'aye'."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. J. J. Wolf."

Wolf: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I don't think this is any time for
me to be timid of the political process. I'd like to
change from 'present' to 'no'."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Kindly record Mr. Wolf as 'no'." Okay.
What's the...what's the count, Mr. Clerk? Oh, I'm
sorry. The Lady from Cook, Mrs. Catania."

Catania: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'm delighted that the
Democrats have decided to help people who are in need.
I hope that the Majority Leader will pursue the motion
he has followed to reconsider the votes by which the
county hospital Bill was defeated yesterday. I'd
probably vote 'aye' for the poor people."

Speaker Lechowicz: "You're out of order, ma'am. The Gentleman
from Cook, Mr. Kucharski."

Kucharski: "Please record me as 'present'."

Speaker Lechowicz: "As 'present', Sir?"

Kucharski: "Yes, Sir."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Kindly record Mr. Kucharski as 'present'.
The Lady from Sangamon, Mr. Oblinger...Mrs. Oblinger."

Oblinger: "Please record me as 'aye'."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Kindly record the Lady as 'aye'. The
Gentleman from Wayne, Mr. Robbins."

Robbins: "I thought when I put my red light it would change my
vote. Please record me as 'no'."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Kindly record Mr. Robbins as 'no'. The
Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Abramson."



Abramson: "Mr. Speaker, please record me as 'present'."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Kindly record the Gentleman as 'present'.
What's the count, Mr. Clerk? The Gentleman from DuPage,
Mr. Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Present."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Kindly record Mr. Hoffman as 'present'.
That concludes everybody that wants to be recognized.
What's the count, Mr. Clerk? Who? Margalus wants to
be recorded as 'aye'...clear. No. On this question
there is 100 'ayes', 43 'nays', 29 recorded as 'present',
and the House does concur in Senate Amendments 1 and 2
on House Bill 2564. This Bill having received the
Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. The
Gentleman from Will, Mr. Van Dwyne."

Van Dwyne: "I was just going to make a motion. Having voted on
the prevailing side to make a motion to reconsider the
vote by which this concurrence passed."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Hanahan."

Hanahan: "I move for that motion to be...lie on the table."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman has a motion to lie on the
table. All in favor signify by saying 'aye'. 'Aye'.
Opposed...motion prevails. Okay. House Bill 2201.
The Gentleman from Adams, Mr. McClain."

McClain: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and
Gentlemen of the House. I would move to concur with
Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2201. House Bill 2201
is the general distributive aid formula for the state
school fund. The Senate Amendment reduced the sum of
money by which it left the final House action by about
2 million dollars, so the Membership understands what
we're doing, and I...this appropriation is approximately
42 million dollars more than the Governor's recommenda-
tion to us. We amended that high because of the
available cash balance now in June 1979 as opposed to
last year and the year before that, and we believe that



there is sufficient funds for this kind of monetary cash to the state school aid distributive formula, and I'd ask for a favorable vote."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from LaSalle...all right. Would you kindly give the House your attention, please? Would you kindly give the House your attention, please? The Gentleman from LaSalle, Mr. Anderson."

Anderson: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I rise to oppose the Gentleman's motion. On a final Senate action it remains 59.5 million over the allocation of the Governor's budget. 51.9 over in the form, and...but we passed out a few moments ago 7.7 million over operations. Now, after the last vote, you know, where we're going to take and reduce the sales tax on food and drugs, I think this is just much too high, and I think we should send it either to a Conference Committee or kill this thing. There is another vehicle alive that is over in the Senate, and I urge you to vote 'no' on this."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Coles, Mr. Stuffle."

Stuffle: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members, I rise to..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Excuse me, Larry. Please, Ladies and Gentlemen, we've got a few more matters to take care of tonight. In all fairness, kindly give the Gentleman your attention. Will the House please come to order? Would the House please come to order?"

Stuffle: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members, I rise to support concurrence in the Senate's action on House Bill 2201. For many years we have stood here and argued about full funding of the school aid formula on both sides of the aisle. Republican and Democrat alike. We have argued that we should not put together or vote for a school aid formula that cannot be fully funded. Indeed, Members of both sides of the aisle stood and voted for House Bill 513. By a 154-11 margin, that Bill went out of



this House. Last night that Bill went out of the Senate by a 47-8 vote margin. We should be putting our money where our mouth is. If we can stand up and talk about full funding, if we can look at the available balance, as Representative McClain indicated was there, this can be afforded. This should be sent to the Governor. We should not stand, in any way, to be hypocritical of our own actions, but we should put our money where our mouth is and vote 'aye'."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Peters."

Peters: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, it's probably not going to end up doing much good but at any rate for the purposes of the record, what Representative McClain said is absolutely correct in terms of the financing of this. We just passed out some legislation in regard to a supposed relief on sales tax. What we're going to end up doing here is passing out legislation which is, again, 51 million dollars... at least 51 million dollars more than we can afford. I would suggest to the Members on this side of the aisle that they end up either voting 'no' or 'present', although I don't know that's going to do some good. But, I would suggest to all the Members that are interested in this that they don't make any plans to go anywhere in August, because we might be back."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Terzich."

Terzich: "I do move the previous question."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman has moved the previous question. All in favor signify by saying 'aye'. 'Aye'. Opposed...the previous question's been moved. The Gentleman from Adams, Mr. McClain, to close."

McClain: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. As of this date, there is approximately 380 million dollars available cash in our state treasury as opposed to about a year ago when the cash



flow was substantially below half of that kind of sum of money. We have the available balance for this kind of funding. If you believe that education ought to be the highest priority in the state treasury in our legislative program, I'd ask for an 'aye' vote. It's a mere 42 million dollars over the Governor's conservative approach to education, and I ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendments #1 and 2 on House Bill 20...I'm sorry. The question is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2201?' All in favor vote 'aye'. All opposed vote 'nay'. The Gentleman from Wayne, Mr. Robbins, to explain his vote. Timer's on."

Robbins: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I have been very proud to have sat in the galleries of this House when Governor Dan Walker stood on the podium and promised that he would spend everything within the state's means, and he did and left us almost bankrupt, and you have cooperated to try to build fiscal responsibility. I think it's time you settle back to cooperating and trying to build fiscal responsibility, and the way the Board looks I think I'm wasting my time."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Skinner, to explain his vote. Timer's on."

Skinner: "So it's a mere 41 million dollars over what the Governor asked for. It's...this and the last Bill that we passed with regard to education is 81 million dollars over the 61 million dollar increase the Governor budgeted for 3% fewer students. The sales tax relief Bill will cost 140 million dollars...140 plus 61 over what the Governor budgeted, which was 81. I misspoke. Is 201 million dollars. There's 385 million dollars in the till right now. That's not a surplus. That's a balance. We can spend that extra 181 million dollars



once, and everybody that voted for the education Bills and the sales tax relief Bill have spent that money."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Sangamon, Mr. Kane, to explain his vote. Timer's on."

Kane: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, there have been a lot of Members from downstate on both sides of the aisle that have said that this school formula Bill...that this money Bill funds was a good formula. We've said that it's going to bring an awful lot more money to our schools, and we've taken credit for it already, but if you aren't going to vote for the money, you shouldn't have voted for the formula Bill, and I'd urge those downstate Republicans that have already gone back to their districts and told them what good things they have done for those districts, you'd better put your votes where your speeches are, and I'd urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Schneider. Timer's on."

Schneider: "I'd just again want to point out, Mr. Speaker, that the irrate fiscal conservative from McHenry, Representative Skinner, also voted on 101. Forty-five million dollars."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 104 'ayes', 58 'nays', 9 recorded as 'present', and the House does concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2201. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. On the Order of Concurrence on page 3. Mr. Daniels."

Daniels: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move to concur in Senate Amendments #1 and 3. Those Amendments were offered in the Senate restoring some language that Representative Greiman had objected to



previously on the Bill. Senate Amendment #3 changes some language used in the terminology knowingly and also provides that only the Attorney General may bring class action suits, and part of that includes allowing courts to award fees to the prevailing defendant. House Bill 15 is commonly referred to as the Illinois brick decision. I believe that we've been able to meet the objections to this Bill, and I know of no opposition at this time, and I move for its concurrence."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Getty. Mr. Getty, please."

Getty: "Yeah, Mr. Speaker. I, too, rise in support of House Bill 15. This Bill received a great deal of scrutiny in Committee...Subcommittee...very extensive hearings on it. This is a Bill that is a Bill that will be fair for all of the people of Illinois. I suggest to you that the Amendment in the Senate might not be perfect, but it is one that will still not destroy a Bill which does a great deal of good, and I ask that you support this very important measure."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendments #1 and 3 to House Bill 15?' All in favor vote 'aye'. All opposed vote 'nay'. Johnny. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 155 'ayes', 6 'nays', 1 recorded as 'present', and the House does concur to Senate Amendments #1 and 3 to House Bill 15. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. On the Order of Concurrences, House Bill 525. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Huff."

Huff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I now move to nonconcur with Amendment #1 to House Bill 525."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman moves that the House nonconcur with Amendment #1 to House Bill 525. All in favor



signify by saying 'aye'. 'Aye'. Opposed...and the House nonconcurrs to Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 525. On the Order of Senate Bills, Second Reading, appears Senate Bill 891. Any Amendments? This is DOT, Ladies and Gentlemen. The Gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Ryan, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

Ryan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise for the purpose of a motion on this Bill, and I move to suspend House Rule 27F so that the Amendments that are filed on Senate Bill 891 may be considered."

Speaker Lechowicz: "27F, if my memory serves me correctly, limits the...the appropriation funds which the matters can be taken from. It's either capital or operations, and the Gentleman wishes to suspend it for this Bill only. Is that correct, Mr. Ryan?"

Ryan: "Yes, that's correct, Mr. Speaker. The problem being that..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Amendments are improperly drawn?"

Ryan: "Well, that's part of it. Yes. The whole Bill would be improperly drawn."

Speaker Lechowicz: "That is correct."

Ryan: "But, this is the first year, I think, we've encountered this because of a change in our Rules, and we've tried to amend it, but it's a pretty difficult Amendment, and it would be much easier to do it this way."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Daniels."

Daniels: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, will the Gentleman yield?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Indicates he will. Mr. Ryan."

Daniels: "How many votes...?"

Ryan: "If there's a question I haven't answered for you on this, Lee, there's...I'll certainly be glad to try and do it."

Daniels: "The question I have first of all is how many votes this takes to pass?"



Speaker Lechowicz: "The motion?"

Daniels: "Yeah."

Speaker Lechowicz: "107."

Daniels: "Representative Ryan, is it your present intention or has anyone approached you to use House Bill 891 as a vehicle for the Governor's package...Mayor Byrne's package for an Amendment to the RTA funding or DOT with an increase of the sales tax?"

Ryan: "Well, I resent the question, Representative Daniels..."

Daniels: "I asked you that before."

Ryan: "But, I think I've been over that with you, and I'll tell you again that whatever is in here to...Amendment #2 to this Bill reduced that whole program down to the budget book, and what will happen with this Bill as far as the RTA funding...certainly there's some RTA funding in here. For me to tell you there wasn't, I'd be crazy. It's the regular funding that's in the budget as the budget was introduced."

Daniels: "I still don't believe I got an answer to my question."

Ryan: "Well, what...you asked me if there was RTA funding. I said that there would be RTA funding in here."

Daniels: "Has anybody asked you to use this Bill as a vehicle for the purpose of an increase in the RTA funding..."

Ryan: "No."

Daniels: "...over its current level or for the implementation of the sales tax for the RTA?"

Ryan: "No."

Speaker Lechowicz: "This is an appropriation Bill, Mr. Daniels. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. Just to try to clear up a little something here. I raised the original question on this Bill as I raised on other Bills that have come before us. We had at least three or four Bills that fell into this category. The only problem here is that our own Rule, 27F, which



prescribed, and this is the first year that we had that Rule, that you cannot amend capital projects into general revenue funds. The ordinary and contingency expense for the Department of Transportation is the title in the original item in this Bill...operations. Therefore, the situation exists if this Bill and all of the Amendments to be adopted thereon to...if this Bill to be in accordance with the Rules that we have set forth, we must then suspend 27F. Otherwise, all of the Amendments that all of the Members of the House and the Bill in itself that was offered to this Bill would be null and void. That is all that is here. There is no intentions I know on my part when raising that question. As far as the Minority Leader, I know of no intentions on his part to do anything but insure each and everyone of the Members who has filed an Amendment on this Bill that their Amendment will be considered on its merit and would be able either to be attached or not attached on the vote that we will give here. There's nothing else whatsoever allowed with this Bill...House...Senate Bill 891. That's all that is there, and that's all that will be there."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Bureau, Mr. Mautino."

Mautino: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I have a question and would like to pose it to the Sponsor in lieu of the question originally raised by Representative Daniels. Would the Gentleman yield?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "What's your question?"

Ryan: "Yes."

Mautino: "Representative Ryan, if, in fact...if, in fact, legislation is adopted to this 891 that addresses the question of the formula for motor fuel tax or increases of dollars for specific areas within the RTA-CTA, is it your intention if that happens to continue with that legislation or will you, in fact, table this Bill?"



Speaker Lechowicz: "...this is an appropriation Bill."

Mautino: "Well, I asked that question...right answer."

Ryan: "Well, I'm not sure that I understand your question.

If, in fact, what happens to this Bill? Well, what's your question, Representative?"

Mautino: "Well, it's my assumption that bonding authority could be included within the purview of this legislation."

Ryan: "Well, it can't be, but it...and if you'd done your homework, you'd know that."

Mautino: "I'm trying...just trying to get you on the record, Representative Ryan."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any further discussion? The question is, 'Shall the motion...the Gentleman's motion prevail?' All in favor vote 'aye'. All opposed vote 'nay'. The motion is to suspend Rule 27F. It takes 107 votes. Johnny. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 126 'ayes', 16 'nays', and the Gentleman's motion prevails. Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment 26, Anderson, amends Senate Bill 891 as amended on page 20 by inserting between lines 9 and 10 the following."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from LaSalle, Mr. Anderson."

Anderson: "Amendment #26 appropriates 2 million dollars for the widening and resurfacing of Route 150 between Morton and East Peoria. I urge your 'aye' vote."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Ryan."

Ryan: "I oppose the Amendment, Mr. Speaker, because it's not in the budget, and I would..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is there any further discussion? The question is, 'Shall Amendment #26 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye'. Opposed... 'noes' have it. Amendment 26 is lost. Any further Amendments?"



Clerk Leone: "Amendment 27, Capparelli-Kosinski-McAuliffe, amends Senate Bill 891 by inserting after the last line..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Take the Amendment off. Out of the record. Neither one of the Gentlemen are on the floor. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment 28, Skinner, amends Senate Bill 891 as amended..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, most...unlike most of the Amendments that are offered tonight this money is in the budget, and I don't want it there."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Marco."

Skinner: "This is a pork Amendment basically. That is, the project is a pork project which cannot be justified on a cost benefit analysis basis. What this Amendment attempts to do is to stop the administration from wasting 9.2 million dollars building the CTA extension to O'Hare Airport. Now, I've used the word 'waste', and it's a very strong word, and I mean every...every hard letter in the word. Let me tell you why it's a waste. Here's one of RTA's 50 to a dollar maps that they've spread all over the region. Now, for those of you who are from downstate, you may not know where O'Hare Airport is in relationship to the Milwaukee Road and the Chicago Northwestern Railroad. The Milwaukee Road...the west line runs about one mile south of O'Hare Airport. The Chicago Northwestern Railroad runs about two and a half to three miles north of O'Hare Airport. Be...through O'Hare Airport and into...through O'Hare Airport is a freight line that the 'Sauk' Railroad has and into O'Hare Airport is a spur that the Chicago Northwestern Railroad has. There are two tracks to the O'Hare Airport area already, and yet the 'Vorhees' study, which was commissioned by



Lou Hill in one of his rein...his prior lives as a...as planning director of the City of Chicago, refused to consider the two logical alternatives which are not to build another...a third fixed rail to the Northwestern side of Chicago. I would estimate that for 20 million dollars or less one could provide basically the same... this is 20 million dollars of total project money... federal and state. The money I'm trying to amend out of this budget is only the state's share. It doesn't include the local share which Chicago is paying, and it certainly doesn't include the over 80%...the 88% match that the Federal Government's coming in with. I would estimate that for under 20 million dollars total one could provide the basic transportation opportunities to the office and factory complex surrounding O'Hare Airport. Now, what's so important about the office and factory complex surrounding O'Hare Airport? It is extremely important, because there are as many jobs in that complex as are in the Loop. Now, let me repeat that. There are as many jobs in the metropolitan Chicago area around...in and around O'Hare Airport as are in the central business district of Chicago. Now, one might think that I would applaud the expenditure of money to provide new transportation opportunities to O'Hare Airport, because indeed that's where as many jobs are as in the Loop, and, as you can see from this map, all the little lines run down to the Loop. The suburbs are very poorly served. The problem is that I just have this congenital defect on...against waste. Taking the CTA out the northwest expressway, out the Kennedy, and out the tollway is going to do a couple of bad things. Number one, it is going to tie up traffic on that road, because two lanes are going to have to be closed for at least a two-year period. The northwest tollway and the Kennedy, specifically the Kennedy, is



going to be worse than the Eden's is this year with reconstruction. The second reason is we are spending somewhere between 160 and 180 million dollars to construct this project, and what benefits are we going to gain besides having park and ride facilities in the northwestern part of Chicago which the neighborhoods in the northwestern part of Chicago do not want? The benefits we're going to provide is a local train that's going to go behind the other local trains all the way to O'Hare. Now, that's where the airport is. This originally was sold on the basis that airline passengers would be major users. Yet, I'm told by Jobie Berman of the Illinois Department of Transportation that the cars which the CTA intends to order do not have luggage racks. Rather difficult to take a plane without a suitcase. What I'm suggesting is that the Illinois... now attempt to save approximately 130 to 150 million dollars. Now, that's how...that I think is a large amount of money, especially in view of the bonding capacity and the amount of dollars that we're talking about spending in the cross town and Franklin Street subway dedesignation deals. This project is not quite as bad as the Franklin Street subway which was also designed by current RTA Board Chairman, Lou Hill, when he was in his former life as the head of the Department of Planning in Chicago, but it's almost as bad.. The Environmental Impact Statement filed by the Federal Government indicates...filed with the Federal Government by the City of Chicago indicates that the northwest line will lose 6 million dollars per year after it's in full operation. Now, if they admit they're going to lose that much, you know they're going to lose a lot more. I would suggest that virtually everyone who takes the CTA to O'Hare Airport, except the direct employees..."



Speaker Lechowicz: "Would the Gentleman kindly bring his remarks to a close?"

Skinner: "When my ten minutes are up, I will be happy to, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lechowicz: "They're just about up."

Skinner: "Well, you haven't got the timer on, so I don't know how you're going to know one way or the other."

Speaker Lechowicz: "I've been looking at my watch."

Skinner: "Well, I'm happy that we all know how to tell time."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from DeWitt, Mr. Vinson."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, I haven't finished, and my ten minutes are not up."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Vinson."

Vinson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. Representative Skinner, I think, would make a fine Director of Corrections to relocate Concordia. He'd make a fine Director of Transportation. He might even make a fine Governor, but until he does that I'd just like to point out until he becomes one of that, that if every Member of the House has an alternative for every project in this budget, we ain't going to be out of here by Chuck Campbell's second Christmas this year, and I would urge a 'no' vote for the Amendment on that basis."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Lady from Cook, Mrs. Pullen. You'll be able to close, Sir."

Pullen: "Well, there isn't an alternative being offered on this project. It's a suggestion that the project be deleted, and it's a very sound suggestion, because the project is a total waste, and the people in the area don't want it. This is a case of somebody saying this is something I need for my district. Please give me the dough. It's a case of people saying this is in our district, and we don't want it. I suggest that we should all give Mr. Skinner's Amendment a resounding 'aye'. It's an excellent Amendment."



Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Skinner, to close."

Skinner: "I'm still on my original ten minutes. Virtually everyone that takes the CTA out to the airport is going to have to transfer to a bus to get to his or her final designation in the office and factory complex around O'Hare Airport. If they're going to have to transfer anyway, they might as well transfer from a train to a bus, and that will allow us to save approximately 130 to 150 million dollars. Now, I will certainly admit that my arguments on Concordia were much more trivial than the arguments on this but only because of the cost of Concordia was a lot less than the cost of this. The administration has now admitted that it made a mistake on the Franklin Street subway, which I attempted to take money out of the budget last year and failed to do, and I would like to help the administration admit that it's making a mistake on this capital project, which I do not believe is necessary. I definitely want a Roll Call vote, Mr. Speaker, because I know that as soon as the construction starts, anybody that drives into Chicago from the northwest side is going to be very angry at anybody that votes for this project."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question..."

Skinner: "Thank you for your kind attention."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #28 be adopted?' All in favor vote 'aye'. All opposed vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 61 'ayes', 73 'nays', and the Amendment fails. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #29, VonBoeckman, amends Senate Bill 891 by inserting after the last line in Section 45 the following."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Tazewell, Mr. VonBoeckman."



VonBoeckman: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is Route 29 that I take to Springfield everyday, and it is the only industrial road to our industrial area in Pekin. It's in a deplorable state. It's the oldest highway in the State of Illinois, and it's high time that we appropriated the money to repair this much needed stretch of highway. I ask an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is there any discussion? The question is, 'Shall Amendment #29 be adopted?' All in favor...the Gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Ryan."

Ryan: "Well, I oppose the Amendment, Mr. Speaker, because the money is not in the budget."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #29 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye'. Opposed...the 'noes' have it. Amendment #29 fails. Any further Amendments? Roll Call? The question is, 'Shall Amendment #29 be adopted?' All in favor vote 'aye'. All opposed vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 55 'ayes', 73 'nays'. The Amendment lost. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Tazewell, Mr. VonBoeckman."

VonBoeckman: "Well, it looks like we're going to kill them all so I'd like to table Amendment...House Amendment #46, because I'm going home."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman withdraws Amendment #46, Mr. Clerk. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #30, Anderson, amends Senate Bill 891 as amended on page..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from LaSalle, Mr. Anderson."

Anderson: "Mr. Speaker, Amendment #30 provides 850 thousand dollars for the widening and repair of two bridges on Illinois Route 17 between Wenona and Illinois Route



179. I,urge an 'aye' vote. Eight hundred and fifty thousand dollars."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Ryan."

Ryan: "I have to oppose this for the same reasons, Mr. Speaker. It's not in the budget."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #30 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye'. Opposed...Amendment 30's defeated. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment 31, Huff, amends Senate Bill 891 as amended on..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Huff."

Huff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I suspect that these Amendments are out of sequence. Would the Clerk read Amendment 31, please?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Clerk will kindly read Amendment 31."

Clerk Leone: "Amendment 31 amends Senate Bill 891 as amended on page 13, line 8 by deleting 143 million dollars and inserting in lieu thereof 71 million, 500 thousand dollars."

Huff: "I move to table that Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman withdraws Amendment 31. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment 32, Huff, amends Senate Bill 891..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Huff."

Huff: "Would he please read it, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Read the Amendment."

Clerk Leone: "Amendment 32 amends Senate Bill 891 as amended on page 13, line 8 by deleting 143 million dollars and inserting in lieu thereof 47 million, six hundred and sixty-six thousand, six hundred and sixty-six dollars."

Speaker Lechowicz: "You want to read that one again?"

Huff: "I'm sorry, Mr. Speaker. I move to table that one, also."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman withdraws Amendment #32. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment 33, Huff, amends Senate Bill 891 as



amended by deleting Section 19."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Huff."

Huff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I offer this Amendment in the broad context and implication of the recent announcement of Mayor Byrne's and Governor Thompson's intent to dedesignate the Franklin Street subway and the cross town expressway. In the face of the coming tidal crunch it is, in my opinion, a wise move. A highway reconstruction only aggravates the fuel shortage. It should not be built, and a transit system that starts nowhere and goes nowhere should not be built. In any case, they have laid the problem in our lap in the eleventh hour and want us to work out the details. If we follow their analogy of the reasons given for pursuing the action on the cross town and Franklin Street subway, then, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I urge you that we must look at the RTA in the same way. The RTA basically is a fleet of regional transportation that runs on gas and taxes, and there is, in my opinion, nothing regional in the transportation system. For my people who will tell you that they cannot find one bus line that will take them directly to the outlying job areas the way the rail commuters can come into Chicago to work. And, many of these rail commuters earn three times the amount of...that the...earns three times the amount that the people in my district make and yet we are asked to subsidize these commuter fares and just recently the Director of RTA, Lou Hill, has proposed a 30 cents increase in CTA fares. I want to know why we are doing this and why we are allowing this to happen. Is this fare equitable? In my opinion, it is not. It amounts to economic peonage for those who pay more and get less in transportation. This is the kind of thinking, Ladies and Gentlemen, that has given rise across the



page of history to the Moses, the Lenins, the Maos, and the Dr. Kings, but to put aside for the moment that question, Mr. Speaker, the RTA fleet, like any naval fleet when faced with the oncoming typhoon, the order is given to break the formation and each ship is on his own. My Amendment recognizes the coming storm caused by the energy crunch and, in effect, says that each county must ride out this storm on their own. To do otherwise would be disastrous. The Mayor of Chicago has already asked with regards to transportation that she wants to run a meat and potato operation. Well, Mr. Speaker, this Amendment provides that and throws in a little gravy besides. I solicit your 'aye' vote on Amendment 33 to Senate Bill 891."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, I'm astounded to find this 143 million dollars for the RTA in the administration budget. The administration wants us to pass a sales tax which will bring the RTA 323 million dollars. If we're going to pass a sales tax, the quid pro quo is the RTA...won't get a state subsidy. So, it seems to me to put the Transportation Department budget into the form, which the administration apparently wants, we should help the administration along by deleting all state subsidies to the Regional Transportation Authority. I think we do that by voting 'yes' on Representative Huff's motion. I don't agree with the logic necessarily behind his arguments, but I certainly do agree that the RTA's expenditures in this...in the Chicago metropolitan suburbs are absolutely backwards. Sixty-five million dollars are going to subsidize railroad commuters who earn approximately 50% more than the average nonrailroad commuter household in the six-county area according to 1970 census data while only 60 million dollars is being



spent in the suburbs to subsidize those people who need public transportation most. That is, those people who do not own a car. I assure you most people who take trains do own cars. For that reason, I think we should support Representative Huff's motion and let the administration attempt to get its sales tax passed."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #33 be adopted?' All in favor signify by saying 'aye'. Opposed...the 'noes' have it, and Amendment 33 is lost. Any further Amendments? Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment 34, Younge, amends Senate Bill 8..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Lady from St. Clair, Mrs. Younge. Mrs. Younge. There are 64 Amendments on this Bill, and it's the intent of the Chair when this Bill is completed we're going to go home. Please continue. Mrs. Younge."

Younge: "Would you have the Clerk read the Amendment, please?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mrs. Younge, do you want to continue on Amendment #34?"

Younge: "Yes, it changes 20..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Withdraw it?"

Younge: "Yes, this..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Withdraw Amendment #34."

Younge: "No, no, no, no."

Speaker Lechowicz: "No?"

Younge: "Amendment #34 corrects a technical Amend...error in the Amendment as found in the Committee and changes the funding from one line to another within the Bill, and I ask for the approvement of the Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Having a difficult time hearing up here. The Lady moves the adoption of Amendment #34. Is there any discussion? The Lady from Cook, Mrs. Pullen."

Pullen: "I'd like to ask the Sponsor a question, please."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Indicates she'll yield."

Pullen: "Is the...is it the effect of this Amendment that it changes this million, five hundred thousand dollar



appropriation from the Capital Development line item to the road fund, so that the money for the dredging of this park would come out of...Park Lake would come out of the road fund?"

Younge: "That's correct."

Pullen: "So this comes out of the road fund if we adopt this Amendment?"

Younge: "It comes out of the road fund. There is a...I have a commitment from the Division of Highways that they will during the FY '80 budget dredge this lake in Frank Holten State Park through which there is a highway going, and the Committee was given written evidence that they had made a commitment to dredge this lake, and this Amendment is within their commitment and upholds their commitment, and I ask for the passage of this Amendment."

Pullen: "But this takes the money that was put in in Appropriations Committee, which would fulfill the commitment of the Department that you say is a commitment, but it takes it from Capital Development Board money and puts it into road fund money, so that we will be spending a million, five hundred thousand dollars from the road fund to dredge a lake in a park. Is that right?"

Younge: "The commitment was by the Division of Highways. This merely puts the...the Amendment and calls on the correct fund under the Division of Highways and takes it out of an improper fund where it was originally and puts it in the correct fund. It's a correcting Amendment."

Pullen: "It's a diversionary Amendment. Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Ryan."

Ryan: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I oppose this Amendment. This is money that came out of the road fund. It's in the Capital Development Board. Now, she wants to transfer it over there. As I understand it, the CDB has offered to work with her and help



her with this problem, and I see no reason for the Amendment, and I oppose it."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Lady from St. Clair, Mrs. Younge, to close."

Younge: "This is not a matter that we have talked with the CDB about. This is a matter where the citizens of the St. Clair County agreed with the Department of Transportation that in conjunction with highway work on I-270 going through Frank Holten Park they would do some correcting work in reference to a lake there in the park. The Department of Transportation told the Committee that it had made this commitment to the people of that area. It had intended to uphold its commitment and that it would start this work during FY '80. This Amendment merely puts this in writing, and so far as I know, the Department does not oppose this Amendment. It merely writes down their commitment to the people, and this is the information they told the Committee at the hearing."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #34 be adopted?' All in favor signify by saying 'aye'. Opposed...the Amendment is defeated. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment 35, Neff...Neff-McMaster-McGrew, amends Senate Bill 891 by inserting after the last line in Section 48 the following."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Henderson, Mr. Neff."

Neff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #35 to Senate Bill 891 goes for widening of a road in McDonough County and Warren County. This is Route 67, and many of you folks have heard of 'Forgotonia'. This goes into the State of 'Forgotonia'. There's an area there, and you look on the map that has no four-lane roads whatsoever. All we're asking in this...we've got a five-mile stretch on 67 that is all ready to put the other two lanes in. The ground has been purchased



and so forth. We would like to get that...those other two lanes in and then from then on obtain some right of way to have a four-lane road. Now, Western...Western University, and many of you folks have friends...some of you have students going to Western College, and many of you have been down there, and you know there's no way those young people or you yourself can get in there only on a rough, two-lane road, so again I ask you and plead with you that we try to give these people some help and on this, Mr. Speaker, I would appreciate a Roll Call vote."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Ryan."

Ryan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This is 7½ million dollars from the road fund. It's not in the budget. I guess the total in road funds is 7.7 million, and I oppose the Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #35 be adopted?' All in favor vote 'aye'. All opposed vote 'nay'. No. Pull those pins. Have all voted who wish? The Gentleman from Knox, Mr. McMasters, to explain his vote. Timer's on."

McMasters: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Believe me, this is not what many of you think it is. It's something that we honestly need in that part of the state. We need some highways if we're going to have any type of a benefit to the economy of our area. Just as you people need things for your areas of the state...just as Chicago needs it. I see Zeke Giorgi over there. He thinks he needs things for Rockford, and Zeke, I thank you for your vote, and I wish that more of you would join people like Zeke Giorgi and give us a good 'yes' vote for this good legislation."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 53 'ayes', 67 'noes'. The Amendment lost. Any further Amendments?"



Clerk Leone: "Amendment 36, Johnson, amends Senate Bill 891 as amended on page 20 by inserting between the lines 9 and 10 the following."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Who's the...whose Amendment? The Gentleman from Champaign, Mr. Johnson."

Johnson: "This is some money for road between Arthur and Atwood, and I move for its adoption. I don't need a regular Roll Call. You can...I'm sure the 'ayes' will prevail orally, anyway."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Ryan."

Ryan: "For the out...for the reasons outlined previously, it's not in the budget. I oppose the Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #36 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye'. Opposed...the Amendment's defeated. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment 37, Johnson, amends Senate Bill 891..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Champaign, Mr. Johnson."

Johnson: "This appropriates an appropriate amount of money for a road between Illinois, the Phio Road, and Homer, and this goes into Chuck Campbell's district and the 53rd District, and I move for its adoption."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The Gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Ryan."

Ryan: "I oppose the Amendment, Mr. Speaker. It's not in the budget."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #37 be adopted?' Any...all in favor say 'aye'. Opposed...the Amendment's defeated. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment 38, Kornowicz..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Kornowicz."

Kornowicz: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this is a peoples' Bill. This is a Kornowicz-Winchester-Ryan-Madigan combination. It's Amendment 38. The sum of 2 million, 250 thousand dollars appropriated from the road fund to the Department of Transportation for im-



provement of 2 point miles of Pulaski Road from I-55 to 59th Street. This...in regards to the resurfacing of this street was promised to State Representative Kornowicz for about 6 months promised by...by the entire DOT, and I hope for a...for a favorable vote, and I'd like to have a Roll Call on this one."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The Gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Ryan."

Ryan: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I'm certain that the Gentleman didn't intend to be misleading, but I am not a Cosponsor of this Amendment, and if my name is on there, maybe we ought to take it out of the record and have the Clerk redo it if my name appears on there, because that certainly is in error."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Clerk, is the Gentleman's name on the Amendment?"

Clerk Leone: "The Representative Ryan's name is not on the Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman wants to withdraw the Amendment. Withdraw the Amendment. Oh, it's not. You got the wrong Amendment, Artie. Check your hankie."

Ryan: "Are we talking about Amendment 38, Mr. Speaker? You..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Yes, Sir, we are. Oh, pardon me. Put Mr. Kornowicz on."

Ryan: "It says Kornowicz, Winchester and Ryan and Madigan. Well, then, if that's the case, Mr. Speaker, I think the Gentleman should withdraw the Amendment. It's in error, and I think it ought to be withdrawn, taken out of the record, and we should move to 39. My name should not be on that Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madigan."

Ryan: "I ask for a Roll..."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, I move to strike Mr. Ryan's name from that Amendment."



Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman moves we strike..."

Madigan: "And then to strike Ryan."

Speaker Lechowicz: "What about Winchester from southern Illinois? Withdraw Mr. Ryan's name from that Amendment immediately. Now, back to Mr. Kornowicz on his clean Amendment."

Kornowicz: "For a favorable vote."

Speaker Lechowicz: "For what?"

Kornowicz: "I know what's his name is going to vote for it. On Amendment 38. He promised me. You promised."

Ryan: "Who?"

Kornowicz: "Well, I think that..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "In the record."

Kornowicz: "...Mr. Ryan, I think."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Ryan?"

Kornowicz: "Yeah."

Speaker Lechowicz: "He withdrew his name. No, it was withdrawn."

Kornowicz: "I ask for a favorable vote, George. You know..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Ryan. His name was mentioned in debate a number of times."

Ryan: "Well, Mr. Speaker, this is \$2,250,000, and I really don't think it's a very humorous matter. This is very serious. I certainly can appreciate how hard Representative Kornowicz has worked for this Amendment, and I must add that there hasn't been an hour during the last five days that he hasn't been to me and asked me to support this Amendment, and each time I've had to tell him that I just didn't think I could do it, and I have to maintain that posture, and I oppose the Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Another Cosponsor, Mr. Hardin...the Gentleman from Hardin, Mr. Winchester."

Winchester: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. It's not often that I go against my Leader, but this is the great state of southern Illinois shaking hands with the great state of



Chicago on this particular issue. I voted...I voted 'no' on almost all these Amendments, and I have one, and I intend to vote 'no' on it. Maybe even withdraw it, but on this particular issue I'm going to vote 'aye' and ask everyone else to."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich, on the deal."

Matijevich: "I haven't been in on a deal in 13 years, but let me tell you that the Kornowicz and some others did talk to some of us about the need, and I was convinced, and this is one of those cases that I believe that you have to give a Representative like Eddie Kornowicz...you know, he's got a lot of friends around here. You see him in the balcony all the time. He waves at them, and, you know, a guy with that many friends you got to help him. He's got all kinds of power, and this is the type of vehicle that he's got to convince the Governor. If he vetoes it this time, he's going to come back next year. We're going to fix up Pulaski Road. Let's face it. We may not do it this time, but we're going to do it."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is this for Pulaski Road?"

Matijevich: "Hell, yes, it's for..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "There's no question about this Amendment."

Matijevich: "All in favor say 'aye'. The 'ayes' have it."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is whether the...Amendment 38 should be adopted. All in favor wave your hankies. Opposed, don't. The 'ayes' have it. The question is whether Amendment #38 should be adopted. On that question, the Gentleman from Rock...Bond, Mr. Watson."

Watson: "I'd like to move the previous question."

Speaker Lechowicz: "He moves the previous question. All in favor say 'aye'. 'Aye'. Opposed...the previous question's been moved. Mr. Kornowicz to close."

Kornowicz: "Well, I know George is going to help out, and he's going to get all of his troops out there to vote on this



Amendment. Amendment 38. Let's vote 'yes'."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment 38 be adopted?' All in favor vote 'aye'. All opposed vote 'nay'. For Pulaski Road. Pulaski. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 73 'ayes', 64 'nays', and General Pulaski wins, and the Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment 39, Ewing-Hoxsey, amends Senate Bill 891 by inserting after the last line in Section 45 the following."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Ewing. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Conti, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

Conti: "I rise for a point of personal privilege."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Please proceed, Sir."

Conti: "Mr. Speaker, we've got a Gentleman back here that tried to get an Amendment passed..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Who's that?"

Conti: "...to a small town...Macomb, Illinois, and we became very serious about it, and that town, since 1959, has been denied a four-lane highway into that town. We make light of a 2 million dollar expenditure now on Crawford Avenue or Pulaski Road, whichever what... whatever you want to call it. They have access to all kinds of four-lane highways, all kind of tri-states and Congress...street expressways, and were we made light of a man that's been waiting since 1957 for a street...or 1959 out of Macomb, Illinois, and it wasn't quite the expenditure as this. Now, if we're going to clown around all night and play with people's feelings, this man is at a verge of a nervous breakdown over this. This is the one...been one of his pets since 1957, and if we can play around with people's feelings like this, I think we ought to adjourn and go home."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Clarence Neff looks in good health. Thank



God. Amendment 39. Mr. Ewing."

Ewing: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I couldn't get Representative Kornowicz to Cosponsor this with me. It isn't Pulaski Highway, and I move to withdraw it. It's Pulaski Road."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Pardon me."

Ewing: "Road. I move to withdraw it."

Speaker Lechowicz: "He withdraws the Amendment. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #40, Yourell-Barnes-Huskey, amends Senate Bill 891 as amended on line 30..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Yourell. Barnes. Mrs. Barnes or Huskey. Withdraw the Amendment. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment 41, Hoxsey-Ewing, amends..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Lady from LaSalle, Mrs. Hoxsey."

Hoxsey: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I would withdraw Amendment 41."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Lady withdraws Amendment #41."

Hoxsey: "And 44."

Speaker Lechowicz: "And 44. Thank you, ma'am. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment 42, McGrew-McMasters-Neff-Darrow-Polk-Bell..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. McGrew."

McGrew: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I might also add that I had my light on on Amendment #35. I was standing here yelling at the top of my voice for recognition when you gaveled that Amendment gone. Number 42 is an Amendment that would appropriate \$525,000 to fix 3.56 miles north of Geneseo. Frankly, this is a road that has been gravel. It's a state highway, but it has been gravel for four districts. The problem for these unfortunate people are that they reside on the very border of both legislative districts. It is between Representative Polk, Darrow, and Bell, and my own. They are...it's one of the most highly traveled roads in the



district, and I ask for a Roll Call."

Speaker Lechowicz: "I've been asked to announce that there are three more appropriation Bills that we have to move tonight after we get through with this Bill, so would the Membership kindly relax and come about to do the business of the House. We'll be here for quite a while."

McGrew: "May I have a Roll Call?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "It'll be a while. Is there any further discussion? The Gentleman from Knox, Mr. McMasters."

McMasters: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Let me say that this road that is affected by this Amendment is in such bad shape that we can't even drive hogs across it, so you talk about pork barrel roads. This isn't a pork barrel road. It isn't good enough for pork barrels. We need your vote."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Champaign, Mr. Johnson. He's not there. The Gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Ryan."

Ryan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I have to oppose this Amendment on the same grounds that this money is not in the budget, and I would request a 'no' vote."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Knox, Mr. McGrew. The Gentleman from Henry, Mr. McGrew."

McGrew: "I ask for a Roll Call."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #42 be adopted?' All in favor vote 'aye'. All opposed vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 68 'ayes', 69 'noes'. The Amendment fails. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment 43, Winchester, amends Senate Bill 891 as amended on page..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Hardin, Mr. Winchester. Take it out of the record. Withdraw it. Any further Amendments?"



Clerk Leone: "Amendment #45, Beatty-Kucharski-Madigan, amends Senate Bill 891 as..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Beatty."

Beatty: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Amendment is an Amendment that is...cost of 18 million dollars. It's for adding two additional lanes on two bridges in the City of Chicago and for adding two lanes of highway that approach this bridge on 63rd Street to 67th Street in the City of Chicago...Cicero Avenue. This area has been waiting now since the early sixties for road repair work and for new bridges. The bridges... the bridge has been found to be unsafe after an investigation by a House Committee. This was probably about four years ago. The engineers of the state have been working rather slowly on it. Now, this...this is actually on the route of the proposed cross town expressway, and if you can believe what you read in the papers, there's not going to be a cross town, but we still need additional lanes on the bridge. The road has to be expanded. This area services...that is, it lends access to the suburbs on the south, which are very numerous. Yet, it just adjoins Midway Airport and also the traffic coming in from the western suburbs and also it usual... it generally helps the suburbanites coming into work. There are long delays there. Much gas is being wasted. It's extremely frustrating to the suburbanites when they come in, and they have to spend all their time waiting in order to get across the bridge. I...this is for land acquisition, pre-engineering, and construction of the two additional lanes and two respective bridges and for widening of that road. It needs to be done. If the bridge takes a collapse now in the near future...if it's not in the Governor's budget, we do know that the investigation has shown that it is dangerous. It needs to be replaced. It's been in that



condition for a number of years. If it's not in the budget, it should be in the budget. It's needed. It will conserve a lot of gas. It'll speed people to their homes, and I ask for a favorable vote."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "Yes, I wonder if the Sponsor would tell me if he thinks there is enough money in the cross town and Franklin Street dedesignation deal to pay for this?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "It's part of the deal."

Beatty: "Well, this area of the City of Chicago and suburbs is landlocked. We're getting damn little service from any CTA or RTA. We have very little railroad transportation. We're in terrible shape out there, and I don't know how we could be expected to support any programs around here for funding of these different things if we don't get some road service, but I think there's plenty of money in these funds...Franklin subway which we don't give a damn about on the southwest side. We...because I think there's plenty of money around."

Skinner: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I'm sure there's plenty of money around, too. Two billion dollars is what's involved in the Franklin Street subway...Franklin Street subway and cross town dedesignation, and it is undoubted that this is a deserving project, and there's no reason we shouldn't put it in law. One should not have infinite trust in the Department of Transportation to make the best judgments always."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Ryan."

Ryan: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I am absolutely amazed that the Committeeman from the 13th Ward has to come to Springfield after delivering one of the largest pluralities for the Mayor of the City of Chicago to ask for 18 million dollars from the road fund, and I have to oppose this."

Speaker Lechowicz: "What's the purpose? For a bridge?"



Ryan: "It's not in the budget."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Beatty, to close."

Beatty: "Well, Ladies and Gentlemen, this is actually a matter of public safety. This bridge is rather high, and it covers quite a span of ground. It's dangerous. A lot of cars pass on it. Buses carrying school children pass on it."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is that by the industrial district, John?"

Beatty: "It's including industrial. That's right."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Forty-six thousand employees there?"

Beatty: "All Republicans."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Ryan, do you remove your objection?"

Ryan: "Absolutely not and would like a Roll Call vote. Oh, Mr. Speaker, I feel a little nervous here. Our 89th vote, Walter Kozubowski, doesn't appear to be here, but we'll take the Roll Call anyway."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #45 be adopted?' All in favor vote 'aye'. All opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Dawson, where are you? The Gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Ryan, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

Ryan: "Mr. Speaker, in case this prevails, I'd like to verify it."

Speaker Lechowicz: "You're entitled to that, Sir. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madigan, to explain his vote. Oh. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Kornowicz, to explain his vote."

Kornowicz: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this is one of the most important streets leading to the Ford city...leading to the airport, and everytime I go down that street I kind of shake, because I feel that the bridge is going to cave in. I only weigh around 200 pounds, and I don't know if this bridge can hold any-



more, so I ask the Members to vote 'yes' on this."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, 'Will the bridge support 200 pounds or not?' The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Kucharski, to explain his vote."

Kucharski: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House."

I don't know if John had mentioned during the debate that the Chicago Today years ago did investigation or they looked around throughout the state and rated this particular bridge the worst in the state. The two northbound lanes were built in 1914 by the railroads. The two southbound lanes were built in 1946 by the Department of Transportation, and it really bottles up the area. When you have a six-lane road up to 63rd Street going down to a four-lane road for the next mile then widening to a six-lane again, you know, it can take you 45 minutes to go five blocks. It's really needed. Since we're not going to have any...any road built by Cicero any longer...it appears that way, this road has to be widened to service the Midway Airport, Clearing industrial district, Burbank, Bridgeview, Oak Lawn, Palos, Orland, Chicago, Ford City. It's necessary, and I'd appreciate an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this again is an instance where, I think, if we look around this may have been the first time that Eddie Kucharski has gone on the floor because of what it means to him and his district. This is a case where a Legislator and his district has a high priority, and I think his voice ought to be heard in the Executive Department. This is the only way he has to express his feelings, and I would urge the Membership to support Eddie. He doesn't go out on the floor very often, but when he does, he means it, so I would urge your support."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The quest...have all voted who wish? Have



all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 87 'ayes' and 71 'nays'. The Gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Ryan, wants a verification. Yes, Sir. Mr. Ryan."

Ryan: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker. I see some very interesting names on the Roll Call, so I'm going to withdraw my request for a verification."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman withdraws his request for a verification. The Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments? Mr. Robbins, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

Robbins: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, having voted on the prevailing side, I ask that we bring up all of the downstate Amendments, because they will not total what we have given for Cook County and pass them. I think it should be fair that the money be divided around a little."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Oh, we'll get back to that at the appropriate time. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #46, VonBoeckman..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Okay, wait a..."

Clerk O'Brien: "...amends Senate Bill 891..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "It was withdrawn."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #47, Donovan-John Dunn-Borchers, amends Senate Bill 891..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Macon, Mr. Donovan."

Donovan: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Amendment 47 rewrites Amendment 14 to make the language more explicit in the communities involved, and the economic feasibility study regarding construction of an all-cargo facility. The appropriation is no change. It's \$250,000, and I ask your support... favorable vote."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Kane, Mr. Schoeberlein."

Schoeberlein: "Not on this Bill, Sir. I have a motion I'd like to make."



Speaker Lechowicz: "All right. Fine, Sir. The question is whether I said that the Amendment of John Beatty's was adopted, and it was. The Gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Ryan."

Ryan: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker. I don't know whether the Gentleman realizes that this same language is in this Bill now is contained in Amendment #15 for the same purpose and, I believe, the same amount of money. Is that right?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Donovan?"

Donovan: "Fifteen, you say?"

Ryan: "Or four...what is it? Fourteen?"

Donovan: "It's on fourteen."

Ryan: "We adopted this..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "It was adopted in Committee, Mr..."

Ryan: "We adopted this in Committee, and it's Amendment #14 by Representative McClain. It adds \$250,000 for the same purpose."

Donovan: "Ours changes one word and...which is from equitably to equally, and then we allot the money out by grants."

Ryan: "I didn't understand your response."

Donovan: "All right. We changed one word which is not much of a change, but it talks about from equally to...from equitably to equally. That is the word change..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "And it also changes it to grants."

Donovan: "...and then it's dispersed by grants."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Yes, we can. Any further discussion?"

Ryan: "Well, we feel that this is in the Amendment...or in the Bill, Mr. Speaker, and I'm going to have to oppose it at this time."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Could the Sponsor yield or perhaps the Chair? If we get this one on, will it be 500 total?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Donovan? The question is, 'If this Amendment's adopted, will it be 500 total?' Mr. Leverenz



had a question. Would you repeat your question, Sir?"

Leverenz: "Are we going to give them \$500,000? Is that what we're doing, or...?"

Donovan: "No."

Leverenz: "I would ask that you withdraw the Amendment."

Donovan: "No, it deletes...this Amendment deletes Amendment 14."

Leverenz: "Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #47 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye'. Opposed...the Amendment's defeated. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #48, Schraeder, amends Senate Bill 891 as amended by deleting Section 9."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker, if there's no objection, I'd like to run 48, 49, 50, and 51 all at one time, so Mr. Ryan can go on record, and I'd like to have a record vote on this of supporting a program which the Governor will certainly be in favor of, because it'll stop him from asking for a cent and a half tax increase in the gasoline. So, I would like, if I could, to run four of them at the same time."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman asks leave to take the next four Amendments together. Is there any objection? The Gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Ryan."

Ryan: "No, Mr. Speaker, I don't have any objection, and I don't...I heard him use my name, but I don't know what he said about going..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman asked leave to have the next four Amendments..."

Ryan: "Well..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "...heard together."

Ryan: "You know, I don't object to that at this point, but I would like to have him explain, you know, what the Amendments do."

Schraeder: "If I get leave to have all four of them, I'll tell



you, because I know you want to support them, because it's going to stop from having..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Glen."

Schraeder: "...for tax increase."

Ryan: "Well, it doesn't matter whether I want to support them or not support them, Mr. Schraeder. I'll grant you leave if you want to take them all at once. That doesn't bother me, but I just want to make sure you explain them."

Schraeder: "Well, I'm trying to be helpful to you, Mr. Ryan. You want me to take them one at a time? Great."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Darrow."

Ryan: "No, that's fine. That's..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Come up to the podium, please."

Ryan: "Pay attention."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Are there any objections to hearing those four Amendments? Objections have been raised. We'll continue. We're on 48. Mr. Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Well, I just want to point out, of all the Amendments that have been heard before, Mr. Ryan has jumped up on his feet and raised his arms and made all kinds of statesman-like utterances, and all of them have to do with spending money. Now, I'm going to give him the chance on these four Amendments coming up, so he doesn't have to support the Governor's proposal for a cent and a half tax increase on the gasoline tax, and I think on that basis he'll probably want to support all of them. They're relatively cost-saving. But, let me point out, the State of Illinois now collects motor fuel taxes in the amount of 430 million a year; auto licensing and fees, 300 million a year, and the Illinois sales tax on gasoline, 400 million a year and roughly a billion to 130 million dollars. Now, that's not peanuts, and so what I am proposing by these Amendments is to stop this money from going into general...into general revenue and put it where it belongs."



In the road fund, and that's where Mr. Ryan's going to have to okay this, because it's certainly important. But, I just picked out four, so he can...he doesn't have to support all 16, but let me point out what some of those are that are being diverted from the motor funds. Boating and access facilities, 16 million bucks; driver's education, 9 million dollars; general revenue...General Revenue Department, 7 million; disposing of wrecks and abandoned cars, 3 million; Department of Personnel, 8.5 million; improvement of state police facilities, 1 billion...million; aeronautics, 2..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Excuse me. Mr. Winchester on a point of order. What's your point, Sir?"

Winchester: "Point of order, Mr. Speaker. Is the Gentleman speaking on Amendment 48, 49, 50, or 51 all together?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "He's not on 48. Right?"

Schraeder: "Well, I told 48 was the one I was supposed to talk about, and if you want all four of them, I didn't get leave."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Oh, just 48."

Winchester: "Good. Okay."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Wolf."

Wolf: "Well, I just want to bring up a point of order. I understand what the Gentleman is trying to do, but as I understand, this is federal funding for specific projects, and if they are federal, I don't think we could use the money for anything else."

Schraeder: "Well, would you please give me a chance to explain it, and if you want to vote against it..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Please explain it."

Schraeder: "...if you want the Governor to have to put a cent and a half tax on the gasoline increase..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Schraeder."

Schraeder: "...then vote against them."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Please continue, Sir."



Schraeder: "I'm trying to, Mr. Speaker, and with the indulgence of those people that want a cent and a half gas increase, fine. Okay, can I continue?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Yes, Sir."

Schraeder: "Okay. Railroad freight subsidies, 6.5 million; Secretary of State's office, 61 million; law enforcement, 65 million; Illinois court system, 11 million; Comptroller, 77 hundredths million; state auto insurance and workman's comp, 2.4 million; and on and on and on. Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I'd like to..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Excuse me, Mr. Schraeder. The Gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Ryan, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

Ryan: "Well, Mr. Speaker, the Gentleman isn't talking to the Amendment. The Amendment's only about 100 thousand dollars, and he's talking about millions over there..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Please let him...let him finish, please."

Ryan: "...he ought to address himself to the Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "He's addressing himself to the Amendment. Mr. Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Well, the Gentleman on the other side, the Minority Leader, I know he doesn't want to support these. He can't fly in the face of the Governor, but he wants to support a taxing increase, and I'm just trying to help him out of that predicament. This Amendment 48, after I've given you the facts and how much money, is leaving the road fund takes 100,000 dollars from the Mass... Urban Mass Transit Act and puts it back in the road fund. Now, I don't see anything wrong with that, and that's Amendment 48, and I'd ask for Mr. Ryan to get his horses together and get on a train. I got a free ticket for him."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Hey, Fred. Is this 100% federal pass through money?"

Schraeder: "Mr. Chairman, I'm not sure that you..."



Speaker Lechowicz: "Yeah, it's 100% fed..."

Schraeder: "...as Speaker of the House, should be up there asking questions, if you don't object to Mr. Ryan."

Speaker Lechowicz: "I'm helping you."

Schraeder: "Okay. Hurry up."

Speaker Lechowicz: "100% federal pass through money."

Schraeder: "Yes. Right."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #48 pass?'

All in favor vote 'aye'. All opposed vote 'nay'. Vote me 'aye'. It's all federal money pass through. It's not free, but it's pass through money. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 73 'ayes', 54 'nays'. The Amendment's adopted. Any further...? Yes, Sir. The Gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Ryan."

Ryan: "To begin with, I'm going to verify the Roll Call."

Speaker Lechowicz: "That's all right."

Ryan: "Now, I got the spokesman of the Committee back here. He's had his hand up and his light on."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Oh, is he leaving?"

Ryan: "If we're going to start playing games, just tell me and we'll all play them."

Speaker Lechowicz: "No, Sir."

Ryan: "Well, would you recognize these Members that want to be recognized?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "I recognized Mr. Wolf before."

Ryan: "All right."

Speaker Lechowicz: "His light's still on."

Ryan: "Does that mean you can't recognize him again?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Who's that?"

Ryan: "Mr. Wolf."

Speaker Lechowicz: "I thought he talked before."

Ryan: "Mr. Winchester."

Speaker Lechowicz: "His light is not on up here, Sir." Well, I'm sorry. It doesn't work up here, and I mentioned



that before. Okay. The Gentleman from Hardin, Mr. Winchester. And, we'll dump the Roll Call, and we'll start all over."

Winchester: "First of all, let me tell you, the...only about the last two or three sentences of what the Representative said is what Amendment 48 does. Amendment 48 is the funding for the new rural small urban transportation fund, and this Sections provides the administrative cost for the program, and it is...it is 100% federally reimbursed. This is the program for our senior citizens to have their mass transit program throughout the downstate areas. It's a very important Amendment, and we shouldn't act irresponsibly based on the comments the other speaker made, and we should vote to defeat this Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "He indicates he will."

Leverenz: "Could you explain for me...perhaps I'm confused. Do you want to delete 100,000 dollars of administrative expenses, which are federal monies for this program? If we didn't appropriate it, would that money go to the road fund?"

Schraeder: "My understanding..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Schraeder."

Schraeder: "My understanding, that money would be in the road fund. We've diverted it."

Leverenz: "Could you explain where you got that information, because I understand it is incorrect by our own Democratic staff?"

Schraeder: "Well, it was given to me by a constituent who knows where the money goes to."

Leverenz: "Mr. Speaker, to the Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Please continue, Sir."

Leverenz: "I think that what the Gentleman wants to do might



be a good program, but you just can't do it the way he's doing it here. You will not put 100,000 dollars into the road fund with this Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. J. J. Wolf."

Wolf: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I was cut off. I was trying to yield, and I was trying to say, Fred, I would be with you 100% with what you're doing, but it's exactly the way it's stated here. This is federal Section 18 money, and if we kill this project, we just can't use it for the road fund, and whoever told you that gave you bad information."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Schraeder, to close."

Schraeder: "Well, when I started out I had four Amendments, and what I was trying to do was point out to you on the other side of the aisle those people, including the Governor and his cohorts...his Leadership, want a cent and a half tax increase on gasoline, and I'm saying to you of all these figures I read, and I'll quote some of them again. It proved boating and access facilities, 16 million dollars; driver's education, 9 million; disposing of wrecked and abandoned cars, 3 million; Department of Personnel, 8.5 million; and on and on for 620 million dollars that are diverted from the motor fuel tax fund, and all I'm saying is that if you'll quit the...transfer these funds out of the motor fuel, we'll have the money. That's all I'm saying, and I'm not going to get an accountant to find out whether this is fact. I'm sure it is."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is..."

Schraeder: "But, all I'm saying is you've got a chance now to keep from putting a gas tax on, and I'm sure you'll want to do that, Mr. Ryan."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #48 be adopted?' All in favor vote 'aye'. All opposed vote



'nay'. The Gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Ryan."

Ryan: "Well, Mr. Speaker, the Gentleman is absolutely confused about this, and if you put this Amendment on, you're going to lose 100,000 dollars in federal funding, and it's just that simple. That's all there is to it. It hasn't got anything to do with a gas tax, diversion, motor fuel, and if he's going to present these kind of Amendments, he ought to do his homework on them."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Schraeder.
He wants to explain his vote."

Schraeder: "Well, it's nice, Mr. Ryan. But, I always thought that federal funds came out of my pocket just like state taxes do, and if it doesn't...if it's different to you, if you aren't paying any federal taxes, I better send an IRS guy over there to look at your tax report."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 35 'ayes', 81 'nays'. The Amendment fails. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #49, Schraeder..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker, this Amendment 49, \$1,742,000 for urban mass transit matching funds. Again, it's tax money. We could stop it. Wouldn't have any problems, and the other two Amendments are just like this. I wanted to take all four of them at one time. I was going to withdraw them, but when the opposition from Mr. Ryan arose, he doesn't want to eliminate the possibility of a gas tax. If he doesn't, I can't help that. I do, and I will withdraw this one and the other two, but I'm not going to vote for his gas tax increase."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman withdraws Amendments 49, 50, and 51. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #52, Meyer..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Meyer."

Meyer: "I withdraw Amendment #52."



Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman withdraws Amendment 52.

Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #53, J. J. Wolf..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. J. J. Wolf."

Wolf: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, this is the same as Committee Amendment #23, which was unanimously adopted in the Appropriations I Committee. It was tabled, because it was technically incorrect. It deletes 40,000 dollars for a federal seat belt and national maximum speed limit public information program, and I would ask for its adoption."

Speaker Lechowicz: "He withdraws Amendment 53. Any further Amendments? Oh, I'm sorry. What?"

Wolf: "No, this replaces Amendment #23 which was adopted in Committee unanimously which was technically incorrect. The same thing."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Well, we should withdraw 23 then."

Wolf: "We tabled 23 before."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Oh, did we? Fine. The Gentleman moves the adoption of Amendment #53. Any discussion? The question is, 'Shall the Amendment be adopted?' All in favor signify by saying 'aye'. 'Aye'. Opposed... 53 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #54, Stearney..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Stearney. Withdraw the Amendment."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #55, Meyer..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Meyer."

Meyer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Amendment reduces the contractual services...personal services, rather, by \$36,000. I urge its adoption."

Speaker Lechowicz: "I believe you have to amend them...Amendment on its face."

Meyer: "Yeah, page 11. I mean, line 11."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Does the Gentleman have leave? Objections."



Meyer: "I move to amend on its face, line 11."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Leverenz."

Leverenz: "I object."

Meyer: "Well, I..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "He's moving."

Meyer: "To amend line 11...21 to...to say 31 on the face, and I so move."

Speaker Lechowicz: "You need unanimous consent to amend on its face. Objections have been raised. You don't have it. You withdraw the Amendment. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #56, McCourt-Hallstrom, amends Senate Bill..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. McCourt. Out of the record. Withdraw the Amendment. Oh, Mrs. Hallstrom."

Hallstrom: "Yes, please."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Please."

Hallstrom: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Two years ago when Governor Thompson and then Mayor Bilandic reached an accord in reducing the scope of the Chicago cross town project, money was released and allocated to various local road projects throughout the state. One point five million was set aside to improve Illinois 58, Gulf Road between McCormick Boulevard West to U. S. Route 41. This roadway was then and is now in a deplorable condition. All parties recognize the dire need to improve this highway including the Department of Transportation. This is a main arterial road dividing the City of Evanston and the Village of Skokie. It serves as the principal artery to many hospitals, Old Orchard Shopping Center, rest homes, O'Hare Airport, just to name a few of the heavy traffic generators. The reason this project has not been completed to date even though the money was allocated for this purpose is that IDOT refused to begin the project until it could get the communities



bordering this highway, Skokie and Evanston, to agree to take over the future maintenance once the project was completed. Skokie and Evanston were unable to agree to take over the maintenance, and without any of the interested Legislators being advised, the allocated funds were used by IDOT for other projects. The seriousness and need of this improvement was first made known to Jim McCourt and to myself when two boys were killed in April, '77 on this road due to the terrible condition of the highway. Please support this Amendment to correct a real need. Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I hope everybody was listening very carefully to Representative Hallstrom, because what she said in the presentation of Amendment #56 is what we're going to discuss tomorrow. She told her...told you in the adoption of her Amendment that the agreement was made between Mayor Bilandic and Governor Thompson on the dedesignation of the north leg of the cross town expressway, and now here she is telling you that the deal fell through. Now, I hope that all of you downstaters listen to her even though she's not in downstate, because they're trying to sell you out for your vote. That's what they're trying to do. That's what they did then to get the votes. Now, don't fall for that deal, because you're going to be back here, and you're going to say, 'What happened? I gave you my vote. What happened to that freeing up of all that funds for downstate? What happened? Even in our six-county area of the freeing up, and we gave you 40 million dollars to match to provide new funds?'. And, the whole reason for it was so we could impose a sales tax on the poor people in Cook County mainly. Now, I don't think that anybody in the State of Illinois



should get the wrong end of the deal that we ought to spread everything over equally, but I want to tell you. You should've listened very carefully to her, because that's the stress of this program today only there's a different Mayor and the same Governor, but the very same thing will happen unless we, the Legislature, stick together and don't let them rip us off just for our votes, and I support Holly on this."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any further discussion? The Gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Ryan."

Ryan: "Mr. Speaker..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "It's Dolly Hallstrom."

Ryan: "I have no objection."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #56 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye'. Opposed... Amendment 56 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment 57, Simms-Giorgi, amends Senate Bill 891..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Who was that?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Simms-Giorgi."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman withdraws the Amendment."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #58, Johnson-Wikoff-Satterthwaite..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Champaign, Mr. Johnson."

Johnson: "This is the appropriate amount of money for an improvement of a road between Route 36 and Philo, Illinois. We need it. We need it. It's in bad condition."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is this the appropriate... appropriate amount of money?"

Johnson: "I don't know what it is. What's the Amendment say?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Ryan."

Johnson: "Whatever it takes."

Ryan: "Well, Mr. Speaker, it appropriates a million and a half dollars. It's not in the budget, and it's out of the road fund, and I oppose it."

Speaker Lechowicz: "All in favor of Amendment 58 say 'aye'."



Opposed...Amendment fails. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment 59, Redmond-Daniels, Hoffman..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Daniels."

Daniels: "Withdraw 59, 60, 61, and 62."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman withdraws Amendments 59, 60, 61, and 62...50 million dollars less. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment 63, Younge, amends Senate Bill 891..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Lady from St. Clair, Mrs. Younge."

Younge: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this Amendment restores the funds for the St. Louis railroad relocation project. The Appropriations I Committee asked for certain documents of the Department of Transportation. Those documents have been presented to the Committee. The...the restoration of the funds are for 250,000 dollars plus 130,000 in order to meet the objectives of the project, and I ask for your favorable vote on this matter."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. J. J. Wolf."

Wolf: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, the Lady cut this appropriation from...was it a \$250,000 appropriation to 100 dollars in Committee with a very tearful display about how badly that operation was, and they didn't hire any people from East St. Louis? And, now she's cut a deal with Fred Hewett from DOT to put not only 250,000 back but 370, because he promised three jobs. Three jobs worth \$130,000. I think the deal stinks, and I hope you vote against it."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Would the Gentleman kindly address his remarks to the Amendment? The Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "Would the Lady yield to a question or two?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "She will not."

Skinner: "Why not? She'll talk to me on the floor."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Pardon me?"



Skinner: "I would like to know if you received the report that RTA Board Chairman, Louis Hill, did for this project while he was still on the City of Chicago's payroll when he was apparently either on termination pay or vacation pay?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mrs. Younge."

Younge: "The reports that the Department of Transportation made available to the Committee are with Chairman Matijevich. I have not personally received the reports or seen them. He has them."

Skinner: "Well, that's very interesting, because when the Department was asked to give the reports to the Chairman he also asked that the reports be given to me, and I have yet to see them, and I hope the Department of Transportation can find another copy considering the amount of money we paid Mr. Hill. I would like to observe, Mr. Speaker, that each...that I've been informed that each and every contract that was filed with the Comptroller's office by the Department of Transportation on this project was filed after the completion of the work for which the project was written. That strikes me as mighty, mighty strange."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Dawson. He moves the previous question. All in favor signify by saying 'aye'. 'Aye'. Opposed...the previous question's been moved. The Lady from St. Clair, Mrs. Younge, to close."

Younge: "The Department of Transportation has agreed to go back to the original purposes of this project, the St. Louis railroad relocation project. The original purpose was to move towards the goal of nonrailroad community and economic development. This was supposed to have been a major thrust in the project, and the Department now has decided and agreed to go back to that...that goal and also permit the input of local residents in the



materialization of the project. The Department has agreed to live up to its standards of a utilization study which would mean that the percentages of the populations in the local area would be used in all future hirings, and this was our request. It has agreed to involve members of its staff in promoting minority business enterprise development, and with these agreements, I feel that the project is one that will help the east side of the metropolitan area, and it lives up to the purposes for which the funds were originally allocated and; therefore, the project should proceed, and that is why I've asked that the funds be put back into the project."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #63 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye'. Opposed...Amendment 63 is..."

Younge: "Roll Call. A Roll Call."

Speaker Lechowicz: "...lost. Any further Amendments?"

Younge: "I want a Roll Call."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #64, Ryan, amends Senate Bill 891 as amended..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Ryan."

Ryan: "Withdraw 64."

Speaker Lechowicz: "It lost. I said. The Gentleman withdraws Amendment 64. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. The Clerk will read House Bills 483, 580, and 1372 for a Second Reading, but we're going to have to go back to those Bills to consider the Amendments. There's about 27 Amendments on those Bills. Since there's a time limit as far as...12 o'clock is quickly approaching. We've got to beat the clock. Read the Bills."



Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 483. A Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Department of Administrative Services. Second Reading of the Bill." Senate Bill 580. A Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Department of Revenue. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1272. A Bill for an Act to make appropriations for certain claims against the State of Illinois in conformity with awards made by the Court of Claims. Second Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Senate Bill 483."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 483. This Bill has been read a second time previously. Amendments #1, 3, 4, 5, and 6 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Flinn: "Any motions pertaining to these Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #4. I move to table Amendment #4 by Representative Matijevec."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Matijevec moves to table Amendment #4. Any discussion? If not, the Gentleman's move to table...Representative Matijevec."

Matijevec: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move to table Amendment #4. That was for IBA rental, which we found in discussions with the Auditor General, we were too high in reduction, and, therefore, I move to table Amendment #4. It's been agreed on both sides."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman moves to table Amendment #4 to Senate Bill 483. All those in favor vote 'aye', and those opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this... All right. We'll have to take that over again. Let's take a voice vote. It looks like an agreed...All those in favor of tabling Amendment #4 say 'aye'. Those opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Tabled...Amendment #4 is tabled. Any further motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further motions."



Speaker Flinn: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendments 7 and 8 were withdrawn. Amendment #9, Matijevich, amends Senate Bill 483 on page 1, line 25."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, in tabling Amendment #4, this is the proper figures in the reduction of IBA, Illinois Building Authority, rental payments that should have been made in the amount of six hundred and fifty-two thousand, seven hundred, thirty. This is an agreed Amendment, and I move for its adoption."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #9 to Senate Bill 483. Any discussion? If not, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #9 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye'. Those opposed. The 'ayes' have it. Amendment #9 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #10, Matijevich, amends Senate Bill 483 as amended on page 1, line 15, and so forth."

Matijevich: "This is an agreed restoration agreed to by the department and the Minority. The Amendment reduces contracts that will service line items in the Office of Management, Information, and Communications by eighty-five thousand, five hundred, and some further reductions all agreed upon, and I move its adoption."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #10 to Senate Bill 483. All those in favor say 'aye'. Those opposed. The 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #11, Winchester, amends Senate Bill 483 as amended on page 2, line 12, and so forth."

Winchester: "Thank...thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #11 adds sixty thousand dollars from the GRF to the equipment line item for the Illinois Information Service division of the Department of Administrative Services. They have a twenty thousand dollar, or twelve thousand dollar, I believe, appropriations now. They have very antiquated



equipment. Most of it goes back to the Ogilvie administration, and this would give them a sufficient amount of money to buy some additional equipment for the Illinois Information Service, and I would ask for the adoption of Amendment #11."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #11. Representative Matijevich on the motion."

Matijevich: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I will quickly say that the department did not justify this request. I think really what happened was they were so amazed when they came in Committee, that I didn't raise heck with IIS like I usually did, so that they probably thought I was so kind, that they would go further and add more monies. I've been opposed in the past, but I told Representative Winchester I'll go along with him on this, so we'll see..."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #11 to Senate Bill 483. All those in favor say 'aye'. Those opposed. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #12, McClain, amends Senate Bill 483 as amended on page 1, line 10, and so forth."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative McClain."

McClain: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #12 will restore one million, one hundred and four thousand, seven hundred dollars in personal services to the department. I've talked to Mr. Winchester. He was amazed that I put the Amendment in and said that he'd support it. The Amendment would provide the restoration of the director's office, which would provide funding for one methods and procedures advisor position, originally requested assistant policy and planning for the department along with additional funds, which were removed by the Senate. The restoration of the Office of Procurement will provide sufficient funding, so that the services provided by this division will not have to



be reduced, as was indicated by the department if funds were not restored. Areas affected would be in a procurement of items for all state agencies. This process would be considerably slowed down if funds were not restored. This office annually purchases over a hundred and twenty-five million worth of goods. Additionally, the mail and messenger services function may have to be reduced if funds are not restored. In the Office of Fiscal Management the restoration will provide funds, so that services will not have to be reduced in this office. The department has indicated that the following areas may be affected if funds are not restored. The processing and certifying of all state agency vouchers may be slower, the auditing of financial records of smaller state agencies will be restricted, and the billing for revolving funds, as well as internal bookkeeping will be severely impaired. In the Office of Management, Information, and Communication the restoration will provide funding for forty-two positions, as well as additional funds that the agency had requested. The agency is moving this fall into a new 6.7 million dollar computer facility in Springfield and requested the positions for additional program expansion and maintenance, which will be required, and I'd move for adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman's moved for the adoption of Amendment #12, and on that motion, Representative Winchester."

Winchester: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Under normal circumstances, I would be very amenable to accepting this Amendment, but, unfortunately, I don't think that the Senate would go along with it. It would be an attempt to throw the Bill into a Conference Committee. I think it would be ludicrous for us to accept the Amendment, and I would very sincerely ask that this Amendment not be adopted. We're satisfied



with the cuts and the agreed Amendments that would be put back onto the Bill. This is not an agreed Amendment, and I think it's very important that we do not adopt it."

Speaker Flinn: "Is there any further discussion? Representative McClain to close."

McClain: "Well, thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I don't know what to say, Bob. I thought we had worked this out. This is what the Governor requested, saying that he needed to run his department, and all this basically does is restore those positions and that money that he posed us in his budget initially. I don't understand why the opposition all of a sudden from the department, unless the department and the Governor had inflated their budget when they first came to the Assembly with their program, which if that's the case, then I guess the appropriation process ought to look at all the Governor's budget more closely. So, however, I believe that this sincere Amendment should be put on to replace those positions that the department initially said, and the Governor said that they needed, and I'd ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman has moved to adopt Amendment #12 to Senate Bill 483. All in favor say 'aye'. Those opposed say 'no'. The 'nos' have it. The Amendment lost. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #13, McClain, amends Senate Bill 483 as amended on page 6, line 12."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative McClain for a short, short description of this Amendment. We're running out of time."

McClain: "Well, I'd rather go till after midnight on this Bill, but I guess I won't. I'll just..."

Speaker Flinn: "No, I won't let you. Go ahead." Proceed. Withdraw the Amendment. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #14, Mulcahey, amends Senate Bill 483 as amended by inserting immediately following Section three..."



Speaker Flinn: "Representative Mulcahey."

Mulcahey: "Mr. Speaker, I withdraw Amendment #14."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman withdraws Amendment #14. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #15, Schraeder-Kent, amends Senate Bill 483 on page 8 and so forth."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker, this is an Amendment that's been asked for by the department. It's an emergency situation. They were going to try to get a Bill introduced to take care of the situation. Basically, this is for security and to keep the place maintained until they can get in a Bill in the fall to sell the Peoria State Hospital at some other method as they now have. And if there's any question, I'm sure Mrs. Kent and myself will be more than happy to answer them. She's also Cosponsor."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #15. No further discussion? If not, question, the question is, 'Shall this Amendment be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye'. Those opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #16, Winchester, amends Senate Bill 483 on page 2, inserting immediately after line 14 the following..."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Winchester."

Winchester: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The Department of Transportation can obtain federal reimbursements for funds expended on certain informational projects, only if such projects are done under contracts with a single source. It provides a complete package, a videotape, film, printed materials. In other words, the contractor must pay all production costs, travel, tape, or film purchases, duplication, etc., and submit one bill to the Department of Transportation,



which then gets reimbursement. The reimbursement varies from seventy percent to ninety percent depending on the federal contracts involved. The Department of Transportation would like to contract with Administrative Services to have the Illinois Information Service execute these contracts. IIS agrees, but has no fiscal mechanism to accept funds and disperse them for expenses. It is proposed that an additional line be placed in the IIS FY '80 budget to authorize expenditures for these specific projects. There are only three with full reimbursements of these funds to the General Revenue Fund. None of these projects would be involved additional staff personnel for the IIS. I ask for..."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #16. All those in favor say 'aye'. Those opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #17, Macdonald, amends Senate Bill 483 on page 1 by deleting line 1 and 2, and so forth."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Macdonald."

Macdonald: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is a salary for the Director of the new Department of Rehabilitation Services. When the transition was made, they inadvertently left out his salary. It is the same as it was before, forty-two thousand, eight hundred and eighty-eight. Employees' retirement, three hund...three thousand, four hundred, thirty-one. Social Security, two thousand, six hundred and twenty-nine. Under the new department, the only way the salary can be set is through the State Comptroller Administrative Services by the Legislature, so I move for your adoption of this Amendment."

Speaker Flinn: "The Lady...Lady moves for adoption of Amendment #16. Any further discussion? If not, the question is, 'Shall...' Seventeen, I'm sorry. Seven...Amendment



#17. 'Shall Amendment #17 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye'. Those opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Flinn: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 580."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 580. This Bill has been read a second time previously. Amendments 1, 2, and 3 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Flinn: "Any motions pertaining to those Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Flinn: "Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #4, Stanley, amends Senate Bill 580 as amended on page 2, line 15 and so forth."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Stanley. Withdraw the Amendment."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #5, Stanley..."

Speaker Flinn: "Withdraw the Amendment."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #6, McAuliffe..."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative McAuliffe. Withdraw the Amendment."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #7, McAuliffe..."

Speaker Flinn: "Withdraw the Amendment."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #8, Ronan..."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Ronan. Withdraw the Amendment. Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Leave to withdraw all the Amendments. They're the same Sponsors who aren't here."

Speaker Flinn: "Okay, we will."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #9, Ronan..."

Speaker Flinn: "Withdraw the Amendment."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #10, Ronan..."

Speaker Flinn: "Withdraw the Amendment."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #11, McAuliffe..."

Speaker Flinn: "Withdraw the Amendment."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #12, Stanley..."



Speaker Flinn: "Withdraw the Amendment."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #13, Ronan..."

Speaker Flinn: "Withdraw the Amendment."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #14, Ronan..."

Speaker Flinn: "Withdraw the Amendment."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #15, McAuliffe..."

Speaker Flinn: "Withdraw the Amendment."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #16, McAuliffe..."

Speaker Flinn: "Who was that?"

Clerk O'Brien: "McAuliffe."

Speaker Flinn: "Withdraw the Amendment."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #17, Macdonald."

Speaker Flinn: "Withdraw... Representative Macdonald. Amendment #17 on House Bill... Senate Bill 580."

Macdonald: "I move to withdraw the Amendment. It's the same Amendment we have passed."

Speaker Flinn: "Withdraw the Amendment. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Flinn: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1272."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1272. This Bill has been read a second time previously. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Flinn: "Any Amendments from the floor? Representative Schoeberlein, do you wish to speak while they're looking up the Amendments? Representative Schoeberlein."

Schoeberlein: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, if I can have a minute of your attention. Representative Neff, in the last 15 minutes, demonstrated that he can take it, because he met defeat with a Bill. And, he turned right around and voted for a Bill for Kornowicz that had an amount in it five times greater than his Bill. I don't believe we understood the Bill too well...the Neff Bill, and I, therefore, move that we reconsider the vote by which that Bill was narrowly defeated."



Speaker Flinn: "Would you...would you hold that? We're...I didn't know that was the purpose for which you arose. We're on another Bill here, and we're getting ready to read the Amendments."

Schoeberlein: "Well, that's the reason I've been here a half an hour standing here."

Speaker Flinn: "All right. Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker, I believe your point is extremely well taken. We're on another Bill. We're in the process of considering Amendments. I believe that the Gentleman did not vote on the prevailing side. I think we'll take care of the situation tomorrow, Al."

Speaker Flinn: "Okay..."

Lechowicz: "So, let's continue on with the business of the House."

Speaker Flinn: "...we need to get this out of the way today. All right. Any further Amendments on 1272?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1, Matijevich, amends Senate Bill 1272 on page 1, line 1 by changing appropriation and so forth."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #1 is an inclusive, up-to-date list of awards given to me that must be amended into the court of claims Bill, except they left out a page, and that's included in Amendment #2, so I move the adoption of Amendment #1."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman moves the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1272. All those in favor say 'aye'. Those opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #2, Matijevich, amends Senate Bill 1272 as amended..."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,



as I said in the adoption...in the Amendment #1, they inadvertently left out a page of awards. Amendment #2 provides that page. I move for the adoption..."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman moves the adoption of Amendment #2. All those in favor say 'aye'. Those opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #3, Taylor, amends Senate Bill 1272 as amended on page 1 by deleting the title and inserting in lieu thereof the following."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Taylor."

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, Amendment #2 appropriates \$62,190 for contractual service for a moving...storage house. I move for the adoption of Amendment #3."

Speaker Flinn: "Let me get...let me take the time. Let everybody get their lights on, and I'll recognize everybody on the floor. The Gentleman from Marion, Representative Friedrich. Representative Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, would the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Speaker Flinn: "He indicates he will not." Yes, he will. I'm sorry."

Friedrich: "Has this ever been presented to us before?"

Speaker Flinn: "Turn Representative Taylor on. Turn Taylor on."

Taylor: "Representative Friedrich, it has and you voted for it. It passed out of this House and out of the Senate. It went to the Governor's desk. It came back and it was overridden the Governor's veto in this House and went and got stalled in the Senate in the last of the last Session. That's why I have it back again this year."

Friedrich: "I thought it was familiar. That's the reason I..."



Speaker Flinn: "Representative Mulcahey."

Mulcahey: "Would the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Flinn: "Yes. Yes, he will."

Mulcahey: "Representative Taylor, would you specify a little bit more about this Amendment? You know, be more specific about the...is this 'Medley' Movers?"

Taylor: "Well, this is a man who pulled himself by his boot-strap and was fortunate enough to get a few trucks, and he was able to move around and move poor people on welfare when they were set out on the street in the City of Chicago."

Mulcahey: "Mr. Speaker, I think this is a good Amendment, and I believe it should pass."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "I move the previous question."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman moves the previous question. The question is, 'Shall the main question be put?' All those in favor say 'aye'. Those opposed...the 'ayes' have it. Representative Taylor to close."

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker, I move for the adoption of Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 1272."

Speaker Flinn: "Okay, this is the last one, so we'll have a Roll Call. All those in favor vote 'aye'. All those opposed vote 'no'. Representative Greiman. One minute to explain your vote."

Greiman: "Mr. Speaker, I just wanted to note that this is my business vote of the Session. It's for small businesses, and I'm going to vote 'aye' for 'Medley' Mover and for the Chamber of Commerce."

Speaker Flinn: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 74 'ayes' and 57 'noes', and the Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Flinn: "Third Reading. Messages from the Senate."



Clerk O'Brien: "A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate refused to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendments to a Bill of the following title: Senate Bill 1423, action taken by the Senate, June 28, 1979. Kenneth Wright, Secretary."

Speaker Flinn: "Representative Lechowicz, for the purpose of a...for the purpose...introduction to First Reading first."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2785, Daniels. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Probate Act. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2786, Daniels. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Probate Act. First Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Flinn: "Committee on Rules. Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker, I now move that the House stand adjourned until 10 a. m. tomorrow morning."

Speaker Flinn: "The Gentleman moves that the House stand adjourned until 10 a. m. All those in favor say 'aye'. Those opposed say 'no', and the House is adjourned."



LEGISLATIVE INFORMATION SYSTEM

DAILY TRANSCRIPT INDEX
JUNE 28, 1979

PAGE

	HB-0004	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	10	
	HB-0129	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	15	
	HB-0172	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	170	
	HB-0222	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	171	
	HB-0226	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	197	
	HB-0292	NON-CONCUR	PAGE	28	
	HB-0320	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	200	
	HB-0339	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	48	
	HB-0382	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	16	
HB 453	HB-0513	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	246	Page 144-145
	HB-0525	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	185	
		CONCURRENCE	PAGE	250	
	HB-0551	NON-CONCUR	PAGE	22	
	HB-0684	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	13	
	HB-0716	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	22	
	HB-0733	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	27	
	HB-0961	NON-CONCUR	PAGE	173	
	HB-1170	NON-CONCUR	PAGE	54	
	HB-1171	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	49	
	HB-1172	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	50	
	HB-1174	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	176	
	HB-1177	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	51	
	HB-1196	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	29	
	HB-1205	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	30	
	HB-1260	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	40	
	HB-1363	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	180	
	HB-1461	NON-CONCUR	PAGE	173	
	HB-1463	NON-CONCUR	PAGE	173	
AB 1576	HB-1531	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	52	pg. 157
	HB-1614	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	51	
	HB-1630	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	53	
	HB-1634	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	56	
	HB-1636	NON-CONCUR	PAGE	11	
	HB-1637	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	57	
	HB-1638	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	57	
	HB-1639	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	59	
	HB-1640	NON-CONCUR	PAGE	40	
	HB-1641	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	70	
	HB-1642	NON-CONCUR	PAGE	60	
	HB-1643	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	61	
	HB-1644	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	62	
	HB-1646	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	176	
	HB-1648	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	184	

LEGISLATIVE INFORMATION SYSTEM

DAILY TRANSCRIPT INDEX
JUNE 28, 1979

PAGE 2

HB-1649	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	29
HB-1655	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	26
HB-1681	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	177
	NON-CONCUR	PAGE	179
HB-1944	MOTIONS	PAGE	175
HB-1966	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	12
HB-2111	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	41
HB-2192	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	181
HB-2201	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	245
HB-2367	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	171
HB-2547	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	13
HB-2564	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	210
HB-2649	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	192
HB-2658	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	43
HB-2686	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	190
HB-2730	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	203
HB-2779	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	189
HB-2785	1ST READING	PAGE	308
HB-2786	1ST READING	PAGE	308
SB-0483	2ND READING	PAGE	296
SB-0580	2ND READING	PAGE	296
SB-0587	2ND READING	PAGE	72
SB-0891	2ND READING	PAGE	251
SB-1272	2ND READING	PAGE	296

LEGISLATIVE INFORMATION SYSTEM

DAILY TRANSCRIPT INDEX
 JUNE 28, 1979

PAGE 3

SUBJECT MATTER

SPEAKER REDMOND - HOUSE TO ORDER	PAGE	1
REVEREND KRUEGER - PRAYER	PAGE	1
ROLL CALL FOR ATTENDANCE	PAGE	2
AGREED RESOLUTIONS	PAGE	2
HR 426 TO C.A.B	PAGE	3
HR 424 TO C.A.B	PAGE	3
MESSAGES FROM THE SENATE	PAGE	8
CONFERENCE	PAGE	47
MESSAGES FROM THE SENATE	PAGE	47
ADJOURNMENT	PAGE	308