Doorkeeper: "Attention, Members of the House of Representatives, the House will convene in five minutes. All persons not entitled to the House floor, please retire to the gallery."

Speaker Giorgi: "The House will be in order and the Members will be in their seats. We shall be led in prayer by Father Krueger."

Krueger: "In the name of the Father, the Son and the Holy Ghost. Amen. O Lord, bless this House to Thy service this day. Amen., Alexander Graham Bell said: 'When one door closes, another opens; But we often look so long and so regretfully upon the closed door that we do not see the one which has opened for us.' Let us pray. Almighty God, we come before Thee this day with hearts and minds filled with mixed emotions. We have come to the end of another legislative year with pressures of work to be done, and worry over that which cannot be done. Give to us Thy grace to accept that which has been for good and courage to look forward to the new Session with strong determination to pursue that which we feel is needful. Bless those who will have completed their duties as Members of this House and this Session ends. Fill their hearts with righteous pride and bless whatever new endeavors they may choose to pursue. And, O Blessed Lord God, may we each one be thankful for the freedom to live and to serve in this great State of Illinois and the mighty nation of the United States. Through Jesus Christ, our Lord. Amen. And now, Mr. Speaker, may I thank you and the Members of the House for the privilege and honor that has been mine to deeply and humbly enjoy serving you as your Chaplain this Session. May the coming holy season bring to each of you joy and a new year of happiness as God blesses you one and all."

Speaker Giorgi: "Thank you, Father Krueger. Messages from the Senate."

12-14-78
Clerk O'Brien: "A Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House in the adoption of the following Joint Resolution, to wit: House Joint Resolution 9110. With Senate Amendment 91 concurred in by the Senate December 13, 1978. Kenneth Wright, Secretary."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative John Vitek, for what reason do you arise?"

Vitek: "Mr. Speaker, may the record show that Representatives Domico and Nardulli are excused for illness today."

Speaker Giorgi: "Let the record so indicate. We will stand at ease...it's 11:10 now, we'll stand at ease until 11:30 when we will call Roll Call and promptly Third Reading Bills. 11:30. The House will be at ease."

Speaker Giorgi: "Roll Call for attendance. Agreed Resolutions."

Clerk Hall: "House Resolution 1186, 1187, 1188,1190, 1191, 1192, 1193, 1195, 1196, 1197, 1198. House Joint Resolution 113."


Clerk Hall: "House Resolution 1133. Whereas, the Honorable Shelby J. Vasconcelles, able and personal press secretary in the State House Press Room is retiring on December 31, 1978, after nearly four decades in state service. And whereas, Shelby or 'Shelly' or 'Judge', as he is affectionately referred to by his friends has been press secretary for the Capitol Press Corp and Illinois Legislative Correspondence Association since 1947, and whereas, under his leadership the Press Corp covering the General Assembly and state government on a regular basis has grown from a modest four newspapers to 40 representatives of radio, television, newspaper and wire service from throughout the State of..."
Illinois and whereas in earlier days the original press room consisted of borrowed chairs and desks located in modest surroundings and was later moved to more spacious facilities and currently is situated in an excellent facility that accommodates the working press covering Illinois state government and much of this successful growth has been due to Shelby Vasconcelles' efforts and whereas press secretary Shelby has served under 8 Governors, beginning with Governor Dwight Green and under 8 Secretaries of State. And, Shelby has...and whereas Shelby not only is helpful to the members of the ILCA, which he serves, but also to the lawmakers on both sides of the aisle and to others in state government who often turn to him in the Press Room for information and assistance. And whereas, Mr. Shelby Vasconcelles, who is a devoted family man with a loving wife and four daughters lives on a small farm near Cantrall, a few miles north of Springfield, and whereas the country gentleman plans upon his retirement to live the life of a county squire tending to his farm and raising his Doberman Pinschers and perhaps maintaining a few ties with the Capitol on a part-time basis. And whereas, the beer-loving, rum-playing Shelby is widely respected by politicians, bureaucrats, lobbyists, reporters and others who come in contact with him because he is able and efficient, not just on routine daily chores but also during important occasions such as presidential visits, when he has always demonstrated efficiency and smoothness in handling credentials, helping with security and other important details vital to such events. Therefore, be it resolved by the House of Representatives of the 80th General Assembly, of the State of Illinois, that we join with his legion of friends and admirers in wishing our best to our good friend, Shelby J. Vasconcelles, upon his retirement at 12-14-78
the end of the year and we wish him many years of retirement, which he so richly deserves. And, be it further resolved that a suitable copy of this preamble and Resolution be presented to Shelby Vasconcellos as a formal and lasting expression of the respect and esteem of all of us in this chamber hold for his outstanding Capitol Press Secretary and public servant."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative John Matijevich, on the Agreed Resolutions."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Resolution 1186 commends Michael Cobb Novak of Oaklawn Community High. House Resolution 1187, the celebration of Jamaica's independence...17th Independence Day. House Resolution 1188 commends Juanita Prince for her 26 years of dedicated work. House Resolution 1190, Ryan, recognizes Mrs. William Margalus for her patience for living with Representative Margalus for 46 long years. Representative Edgar, House Resolution 1191, the Eastern Illinois University's Champion...championship football team, the national champs. House Resolution 1192, Dyer, commends Mark Paterson for his Eagle Scout award. 1193, Shelby, for all his good hard work for all of us in the Legislative Correspondence. House Resolution 1195 gives accolade to Walter Corpus, that's by Dave Robinson. 1196, Brummer, commends Paul Dickerson, outgoing Chairman of the Board in Jefferson County. House Resolution 1197, McClain, does a thing for his Quincy College Hawks, as usual. House Resolution 1198, Pechous, the Eagle Scout Award to David Darwin. House Resolution 113, Bowman, extends the date for the Long Term Debt Commission. I move the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions."

Speaker Giorgi: "John, did you read the House Joint Resolution? Any discussion? Representative Matijevich moves..."
that the Agreed Resolutions be adopted. All in favor will signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Agreed Resolutions are adopted. Any further Resolutions, Mr. Clerk? Death Resolutions."
Clerk Hall: "House Resolution 1189. Mann. House Resolution 11...1211, Ryan."
Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Matijevich moves the adoption of the Death Resolutions. All in favor will signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it. General Resolutions."
Clerk Hall: "House Resolution 1194. Brady."
Speaker Giorgi: "Committee on Assignment. Senate Bills, Third Reading. Senate Bill 273. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
Clerk Hall: "Senate Bill 273. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act to create the Guardianship of Mental Health Advocacy Commission. Third Reading of the Bill."
Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Sandquist on Senate Bill 273. Take the Attendance Roll Call before we recognize Mr. Sandquist. Mr. Sandquist on Senate Bill 273."
Sandquist: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 273 is the one that we moved out of Rules and on to Second Reading without reference to a Committee. What it does, it combines for the advocacy and human rights authority, which we had previously passed, so that both the...not only the mentally ill but also those with development disabilities will be considered by the one Commission. It passed the Senate 54 to 0, it passed out of our House bypassing Committee by 141 to 5. I think everybody is in agreement this is a good thing that we should pass so it will be effective with the new Commission that will be appointed for...starting next year and I ask for a favorable Roll Call."
Speaker Giorgi: "Is there any discussion? Is there any discussion? If not, the question is, shall Senate Bill 273 pass. This has an effective date clause and it takes 107 votes. All in favor will signify by voting 'aye'... Representative Totten first. Representative Totten."
Totten: "Was there a request for a fiscal note on this?"
Speaker Giorgi: "No request for a fiscal note, Mr. Totten."
Totten: "Does the Sponsor know what the fiscal impact would be?"
Sandquist: "The money...the money was already appropriated in fiscal 79 budget. There's no additional funds necessary."
Speaker Giorgi: "All in favor will signify by voting 'aye', all opposed by voting 'no'. This will take 107 votes. It has an immediate effective date. Representative Bradley on Senate Bill 273."
Bradley: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I wonder if the Gentleman, the Sponsor of the Bill would give us another explanation. I just walked on the floor and I think some of the other Members are in the same position. It might help us to make a decision here."
Speaker Giorgi: "Continue, Mr. Sandquist."
Sandquist: "I'll be happy to try to do that. Last year we passed Senate Bill 253, which was the advocacy and human rights authority for those with mental...who are mentally ill. Before that there...by executive order there was an advocacy program for those developed...with disabilities, developmental disabilities. What this Bill does is to combine it all into one and to take advantage of the federal funds to do so. So we will have one authority which will cover legal advocacy and human rights and the guardianship services for both those with...who are mentally ill and those who have
disabilities."
Bradley: "Thank you very much. I'm throwing an 'aye' vote up there and I would urge other Members to do the same thing. Thank you."

Speaker Giorgi: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 116 'aye', 6 'nay', 4 voting 'present' and this Bill, having received the three-fifths Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Hoffman, for what reason do you rise? Representative Gene Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I'm just trying to get your attention to see if you'd be interested in moving to Amendatory Veto Motions and I can stick..."

Speaker Giorgi: "The Speaker has outlined the schedule for me and I'd like to keep to that. Senate Bill 1878. Senate Bill 1878. Read it, Mr. Clerk. Representative Ewing...Is Senator Ewing in the room? Is Representative Ewing in the room? Take it out of the record, he's not here. Senate Bill 1879. Representative...Mr. Clerk, read the Bill a third time."

Clerk Hall: "Senate Bill 1879. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of various state agencies. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Polk on Senate Bill 1879."

Polk: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, this is the supplemental appropriation for the Department...Bureau of Employment Security. It's 6 million 873 thousand 240 dollars. All this is federal funds with the exception of the Dawson Amendment, which is $5, which is 350 dollars of General Revenue. I would appreciate an 'aye' Roll Call."

Speaker Giorgi: "Is there any discussion? Representative Totten, on Senate Bill 1879."
Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor yield?"
Speaker Giorgi: "He indicates he will."
Totten: "Representative Polk, what is the monies for 910 South Michigan in this Bill?"
Polk: "In the Bill that we passed last year we mandated that they move out of 910 South Michigan and we have 800 thousand dollars in here for the transfer and move if we deem it necessary, if we can find another building that will meet the needs. Contracts have been requested and made by...by G.S...in regard to finding another place and at this point no place...we don't have a contract with anyone and they're looking. But we wanted the 800 thousand dollars in there for the move if the Senate so decreed that we had to or if we decided that we had to. But it's also been agreed that that 800 thousand cannot be spent for anything else so if they don't move the money will still be there."
Totten: "Okay. There were two Committee Amendments and there were Amendments 3, 4 and 5?"
Polk: "Yes."
Totten: "What were the...floor Amendments? Is that right?"
Polk: "Okay, 3 was just a technical Amendment, that was the Barnes Amendment, technical and no...(unintelligible) Okay. 4 put in 7,800...excuse me it reduced by 7,800."
Totten: "What did it reduce?"
Polk: "Internal transfer for personal services. It was a reduction."
Totten: "All right, thank you."
Speaker Giorgi: "Any further discussion? Does this have an... Representative Levin on Senate Bill 1879."
Levin: "Okay. Would the Sponsor yield for a question?"
Speaker Giorgi: "He indicates he will."
Levin: "It's my understanding that the Senate yesterday, in one of the Bills that it considered and passed, put an
Amendment in to pay off Medley-Movers. Is that in this Bill?"

Polk: "No, we discussed this at great length at the possibility of moving from one building to the others. And since we owe Medley such a large sum we thought we would consider at least seeing if they wanted to be interested in the contractual business. At this point we haven't offered it to them."

Levin: "Is it in...is there an appropriation in this Bill for Medley Movers?"

Polk: "No, not in this...not in this particular Bill, no."

Levin: "Do you know, just for information sake, which Bill that appropriation is in?"

Polk: "I am totally unprepared to answer it, I have never heard about it."

Levin: "Okay, but it's not in this Bill, it was not entered in the Senate? Okay."

Polk: "Not in this Bill."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Dunn on Senate Bill 1879. John Dunn."

Dunn: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Giorgi: "He indicates he will."

Dunn: " Unless I didn't hear everything, I didn't hear you explain Amendment §5. Would you please explain what that does?"

Polk: "Oh, Amendment §5 is the Dawson Amendment. It adds 350 dollars from General Revenue Funds for a plaque in front of a park in his district."

Dunn: "Thank you."

Speaker Giorgi: "No further discussion? Representative Polk, to close."

Polk: "I'd appreciate an 'aye' Roll Call, get it over to the Senate."

Speaker Giorgi: "The question is, shall Senate Bill 1879 pass? It has an immediate effective date clause. All
those in favor will signify by voting 'aye' and those opposed by voting 'no'. It will take 107 votes.
Have all voted who wish? Representative Collins, to explain your vote or...
Leinenweber: "No, Representative Leinenweber wishes..."
Speaker Giorgi: "To explain your vote?"
Leinenweber: "Yeah, I think we ought to pay attention to what we're doing here. There is a substantial sum of money...pork legislation in this, Representative Collins and Representative Dawson put in, so I think we ought to be very careful what we're voting on here."
Speaker Giorgi: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 111 'aye', 18 'nay' and 0...10 voting 'present' and this Bill, having received the three-fifths Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed.
Okay. Senate Bill 1878. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill a third time. 1878. Representative Ewing asks that the Bill be returned back to Second Reading for the purpose of an Amendment, does the Gentleman have leave? Any objections? No objections, leave has been granted, the Bill is on Second Reading."
Clerk Hall: "Amendment #7. Hoxsey. Amends Senate Bill 1878 as amended...."
Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Hoxsey on the Amendment, Senate Bill 1878."
Hoxsey: "Yes, Amendment #7 to Senate Bill 1878 is merely a corrective Amendment for cleaning up the Amendment passed yesterday. Appreciate a favorable vote on it."
Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Totten on the Amendment."
Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. In a form of a question to the Sponsor, this is more than a clean up, isn't it? Doesn't it restore funding for a chapel and auditorium in Pontiac?"
Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Hoxsey, will you answer the
question?"
Hoxsey: "Yes. That Amendment to restore was passed yesterday. The wrong figures were put in is all, Don. This is just straightening out the figures. The Amendment was passed yesterday to restore the funding."
Totten: "Okay, but the Amendment was passed in the sum of about 350 thousand dollars and this would increase it?"
Hoxsey: "Yes, yesterday...the wrong figures were put down in here when it was passed. This is merely to correct that Amendment."
Totten: "All right."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Schneider on the Amendment."
Schneider: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. It seems like a new device to me that you'd put in an Amendment that is erroneous and then come back and call it clean up. Representative Hoxsey, could you tell us the amounts again, I'm sorry? I like the...I like it, it's a good technique."
Hoxsey: "The first Amendment was a Democratic Amendment and this makes a correction on that Amendment, the way it was meant to be."
Schneider: "That's still how much the money was yesterday and what it is today and what the increase actually is overall?"
Hoxsey: "It is not an increase, it just puts in the 650 thousand dollars for the chapel repair."
Schneider: "Now yesterday what was it?"
Hoxsey: "It was 74 thousand dollars less, which is where the mistake was made. That was left out and it should have been in there."
Schneider: "Was it left out because of miscalculations?"
Hoxsey: "It was left out by error..."
Schneider: "A typo?"
Hoxsey: "Typo error, yes."
Schnedier: "Not bad. I like it, okay."

Speaker Giorgi: "Can I just interrupt for a moment. The Committee on Government Reorganization needs more time to meet. Do they have leave to meet while the House is in Session? Are there any objections? Leave has been granted, they can meet while the House is in Session. Representative Ewing, on the Amendment.

Representative Ewing, on the Amendment."

Ewing: "Mr. Speaker, I would support this Amendment. It puts the Bill in a posture that we should be able to hopefully get the measure passed in this Body and concurred in in the Senate. I think the staff of both the sides of the aisle have worked on this Amendment and I would ask that it be approved."

Speaker Giorgi: "There being no further discussion, the...

Representative Hoxsey moves that the Amendment be adopted. All in favor will signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the Amendment is adopted. Third Reading. Third Reading. Representative Ewing, for what reason do you rise?"

Ewing: "Are we going to go ahead and consider that Bill? It was on Third, it needs to move if possible."

Speaker Giorgi: "Would you like to request to suspend the rules so the Bill can be moved?"

Ewing: "If necessary, yes, Sir."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Ewing moves that the proper rule be waived... We'll find the right rule here. Rule 35(c) be waived so the Bill can be considered for a third time today. All in favor signify by saying 'aye'...voting 'aye', you have to vote on this. All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye' and those opposed by voting 'nay'. Do we have leave to use the Attendance Roll Call? The leave has been granted to use the Attendance Roll Call. The rule is suspended. Read the Bill a third time again."
Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1878. A Bill for an Act making certain appropriations to the Capital Development Board for permanent improvements. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Ewing on Senate Bill 1878."

Ewing: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, we talked about this Bill yesterday but I would...just to refresh memories, this Bill appropriates approximately 9 million 500 thousand from the Capital Development Fund for reconstruction of the damage done to the Pontiac Correctional Institution at the time of the riot in July of 1978. There is also an appropriation for the Morton College to fix some buildings there that are deteriorating and a 30 thousand dollar appropriation for the remodeling of the Executive Mansion. These are all in this Bill, which is an appropriation of the Capital Development Board. I'd be glad to answer any questions. Otherwise, I would ask for a favorable consideration of this Body."

Speaker Giorgi: "Is there any discussion? There being no discussion, the question is... Representative Totten on Senate Bill 1878."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Giorgi: "He indicates he will."

Totten: "With these appropriations, Representative Ewing, are we going to need new authorization?"

Ewing: "The authorization is included in Senate Bill 1880."

Totten: "In other words these appropriations would force a new authorization is what you're saying?"

Ewing: "Well, part of is, as I understand, is covered by current authorization. There would have to be an increase in the authorization."

Totten: "How much would these force an increase in the authorization?"

Ewing: "Nine million."
Totten: "Nine million dollars?"
Ewing: "Nine million, yes."
Totten: "Well. Okay, thank you. I'll only ask one more question. Has the authorization...where is the authorization Bill?"
Ewing: "Pardon... Would you..."
Totten: "Where is the authorization Bill?"
Ewing: "Second Reading here in the House."
Totten: "Okay. Mr. Speaker, on the Bill. Here we're asked, maybe for good cause and maybe for not that's for each of us to evaluate, to again increase our bond authorization and in this case it's about the nine million dollars that they're asking for the reconstruction of the damage done to Pontiac. But we're already seriously impaired on our bond ratings and to come before us at this late moment and without due consideration as to our total bond of indebtedness and be asked to increase authorization seems rather foolish at this point and could possibly in the future jeopardize our bond rating. We're already paying about 200 million dollars a year debt service on our existing outstanding debts and this of course would increase this, if my calculations are correct, about nine million would be, maybe another 17 million in long range costs to the state so that I would recommend that we vote 'no' on this Bill until we can have an entire look at our Capital Development Bond Authority and the outstanding debts."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Tipsword on Senate Bill 1878."
Tipsword: "Would the Gentleman yield for a couple of questions, please?"
Speaker Giorgi: "He indicates he will."
Tipsword: "What does this provide for the Executive Mansion? I know we just rebuilt it and I wonder what
we're remodeling now."
Ewing: "As I understand it's storm windows."
Tipsword: "They can sure use those after these last two
winters, I suspect. What, also, at the Pontiac
Correctional Facility?"
Ewing: "What at the Pontiac Correctional..."
Tipsword: "Yes..."
Ewing: "Well they're going to be doing a lot of remodeling
in the...the different cell houses, in addition to
rebuilding the commissary and the chapel. And when
we talk about the chapel at the Pontiac Correctional
Institution, it's not just a building for religious
services, it's their meeting room, it's their hall."
Tipsword: "Their auditorium and general gathering..."
Ewing: "That's correct."
Tipsword: "You said that there had to be a partial
authorization. I don't know what our schedule is
here but I had heard that today might be the last
day to...when do you plan to bring up the rest of
the authorization?"
Ewing: "Today."
Tipsword: "Is it on Second?"
Ewing: "It's on Second Reading."
Tipsword: "Was it on Second yesterday?"
Ewing: "It was on Second, it was read and..."
Tipsword: "Left on Second?"
Ewing: "Yes, it was actually taken out of the record."
Tipsword: "Yeah, thank you very much."
Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Ewing, do you care to close?"
Ewing: "Yes. Mr. Speaker, in response to the reference
Representative Totten about the additional bond
authorization requirement, I don't think anybody on
this House floor is any more concerned about bond
authorization and state debt than I am. But...with
the problem of setting priorities, we have an institution
here that's under deadlock. We have an institution here in which we've lost three correctional officers. We have an institution that if it isn't corrected we could lose many more correctional officers. We could have many prisoner deaths. We have no choice in the matter but to correct and rebuild the Pontiac Correctional Institution. And to do that we have to have the money. The administration has determined that the way they can finance this is through additional bonds. For that reason I would have to ask your indulgence and approval of this Bill and of the authorization Bill which will follow."

Speaker Giorgi: "The question is, shall Senate Bill 1878 pass? All in favor will signify by voting 'aye' and those opposed by voting 'no' and the effective date clause necessitates 107 votes. Representative Cunningham, to explain his vote on Senate Bill 1878. Representative Cunningham."

Cunningham: "Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'm voting 'aye' because that's the only responsible vote to cast in this instance. We had some criticism of the appropriation but it behooves those who doubted it to suggest an alternative. An organized society has to protect itself against the criminal element who destroyed the facilities and they have to be restored. But there is one melancholy fact that should be called to the attention and conscience of each of you and that is though this is a state-wide Bill in scope of about nine and a half million dollars, the share that went to the 54th District is one half of one one dollar bill. You'll notice there's an item in there for a radio tower for a police station at Carmine for fifty cents and fifty cents to Litchfield. I submit to you that that's indicative of the ratio in which the 54th District has shared in the goodies of government in
all of the eight years that I've been here. And I ask you to recognize that in the days that lie ahead in the 81st General Assembly and be more generous and kind in your distribution of the wealth of the land in relation to the 54th than you have been heretofore or in this Bill of 1878...vote 'aye'. Thank you, Speaker."

Speaker Giorgi: "Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 125 'aye', 16 'nay', 1 voting 'present' and this Bill, having received the three-fifths Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Madison, for what reason do you seek recognition?"

Madison: "On a point of personal privilege. Mr. Speaker..."

Speaker Giorgi: "State your point."

Madison: "I have to leave to go...to drive to Indianapolis, Mr. Speaker, and this is essentially my last day in the Legislature and I just wanted to say to the Members how fortunate I've been to have served in this Body with all of you and how much I appreciate your help and your thoughtfulness and I can tell you very, very plainly that I'm going to miss you. Thank you very much."

Speaker Giorgi: "Senate Bill 1893: Read it a third time, Mr. Clerk."


Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Ebbesen, on Senate Bill 1893."

Ebbesen: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 1893 amends the State Scholarship Commission appropriation for '79. And really it's a lateral shift of dollars. There really are no increased appropriations. It transfers money within the General Revenue Fund of about 62 thousand dollars and..."
the Student Loan Fund of about 172 thousand and it also transfers 100 thousand dollars for scholarships for dependents of prisoners of war and to the Department of Veterans Affairs and I would move for its adoption."

Speaker Giorgi: "Is there any discussion? There being no discussion, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1893 pass? All those will signify by voting 'aye' and those opposed by voting 'no'. The effective date clause on this Bill requires 107 votes. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 139 'aye', 7 'nay', none voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional three-fifths majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Mulcahey 'aye' on Senate Bill 1893. Representative Terzich. Senate Bills, Second Reading. Senate Bill 1889. The Bill has been previously read a second time."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1889. A Bill for an Act to provide for the normal costs of various state retirement systems for the increase and rate of automatic annual increases and annuities. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Clerk..."

Terzich: "Mr. Speaker..."

Speaker Giorgi: "Hold it, Mr. Terzich, hold it. Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "This Bill has been read a second time previously."

Speaker Giorgi: "Any Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1. Stuffe - Terzich. Amends Senate Bill 1889 on page 1, by deleting line 1, 2, 3 and so forth."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Terzich, on Amendment #1."

Terzich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I move to table Amendment #1. A corrective Amendment has been submitted but not yet printed for distribution, so..."
Speaker Giorgi: "You move to withdraw Amendment #1?"
Terzich: "Amendment #1 and..."
Speaker Giorgi: "Any objections? The Amendment is withdrawn. Any further Amendments?"
Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2. Terzich. Amends Senate Bill 1889 on page 1, by deleting line 1, 2, 3 and so forth."
Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Terzich on Amendment #2."
Terzich: "Can we take it out of the record for a minute, Mr. Speaker?"
Speaker Giorgi: "Take it out of the record. Senate Bill 1890, which was read a second time yesterday...Senate Bill 1890, Mr. Clerk."
Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1890. This Bill has been read a second time previously. No Committee Amendments."
Speaker Giorgi: "Any Amendments from the floor?"
Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1."
Speaker Giorgi: "Whose Amendment? Representative Matijevich."
Clerk O'Brien: "Matijevich. Amends Senate Bill 1890 on page 1, by deleting Section 1 and so forth."
Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Matijevich, on the Amendment."
Matijevich: "No, I'm withdrawing that. I found out it costs a few dollars and we don't need it."
Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Matijevich, you want to withdraw that Amendment? Any objection? The Amendment is withdrawn. Any further Amendments?"
Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
Speaker Giorgi: "Third Reading. Would you like it called on Third, Representative Winchester? Call it on Third."
Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1890. A Bill for an Act in relation to the Game and Fish fund. Third Reading of the Bill."
Speaker Giorgi: "There was no Amendment placed on the Bill so it doesn't need a suspension. Are there any objections? Otherwise we must wait for the Supplemental? Any
objections to waiving the proper rule so this Bill can be read a third time and voted today? If there are objections we must wait for a Supplemental Calendar. If there are none, we'll place it on Third Reading and read it now. No objections, it goes on Third Reading. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1890. A Bill for an Act in relation to the Game and Fish Fund. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Winchester, on Senate Bill 1890."

Winchester: "Thank you...thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill 1890 was introduced by the Department of Conservation at the request of the Bureau of the Budget and sets up a schedule for the retirement of bonds which will be issued to construct a fish hatchery system for Illinois. This fulfills the departments commitment to the legislature last year when House Bill 2491, the Fishing License Increase Bill, was passed. Five million dollars of Capital Development Board Bonds has been appropriated for the Little Grassy site and legislation will be introduced this spring to authorize and appropriate 14.75 million dollars in CDB Bonds for the Sand Ridge hatchery. Any delay will cost the state 40 thousand dollars per month. Two hatcheries are necessary to complete the system, as Little Grassy Lake is a warm water hatchery, while Sand Ridge will be a cold and cool water hatchery, which is necessary to produce salmon and trout. I would appreciate a favorable Roll Call vote. Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Giorgi: "Any discussion? There being no discussion, the question... Representative Totten, on Senate Bill 1890."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"
Speaker Giorgi: "He indicates he will."

Totten: "Where is the bond authorization for this?"

Winchester: "It's in Senate Bill 1880."

Totten: "That also is in Senate Bill 1880. How much is it?"

Winchester: "It's five million dollars for Little Grassy."

Totten: "Is there anything for the other one?"

Winchester: "That will come in the spring of this coming year."

Totten: "Okay, what's the authorization for Capital Development Bond funds or bonding authorization for use for hatcheries? We've never done that before."

Winchester: "Would you repeat that, Don?"

Totten: "Have we ever used bond funds for building a hatchery? Where is the authorization for doing this?"

Winchester: "Well first of all, Don, no. Your answer to that question is 'no', because we've never had a hatchery before."

Totten: "Okay, where is the authorization to use it for that particular purpose?"

Winchester: "The authorization for Little Grassy is in Senate Bill 1880. And the authorization for Sand Ridge will come in the Spring Session."

Totten: "How much did you say Sand Ridge was?"

Winchester: "15 million."

Totten: "Okay."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Totten, are you still seeking..."

Totten: "I've still got some questions but..."

Speaker Giorgi: "Continue...continue."

Totten: "I'm looking for the authorization in 1880. I can't find it."

Speaker Giorgi: "The Sponsor of 1880 is off the floor, he's in a Leadership meeting."

Winchester: "Don, are you looking for the appropriation or the authorization?"
Totten: "The authorization."

Winchester: "Well the authorization is in the Senate Bill. Don, the appropriation for the Bill passed in 1681...

1601 last spring, if that will help you in your question...

they passed."

Totten: "Okay, is the authorization under the Department of Conservation?"

Winchester: "Yes."

Totten: "When we built the Spring Grove Hatchery did we use bond funds?"

Winchester: "Repeat your question, Don."

Totten: "When we built the Spring Grove Hatchery, you'd indicated we hadn't used bond funds before, when we built the Spring Grove Hatchery what funds did we use?"

Winchester: "I've been told it was an old hatchery and has been bought outright."

Totten: "Okay, there's one other hatchery that the state owns. How did we build that or get control of that?"

Winchester: "Repeat that question one more time, Don, so that we hear it here."

Totten: "There are two existing state hatcheries that I know of, one is Little Grassy and one is Spring Grove. Spring Grove you told me we bought outright. How did we get Little Grassy?"

Winchester: "A straight out acquisition, years ago."

Totten: "Why don't we do that now rather than use bond funds?"

Winchester: "We don't have the funding mechanism."

Totten: "All right, I have no further questions."

Winchester: "Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Winchester."

Winchester: "A clarification, this is not coming out of General Revenue Funds, this...last year when we passed the hunting and fishing license increase, we're setting up a mechanism now where we can take the funding for
Little Grassy and Sand Ridge out of the hunting and fishing fund. We're not taking it out of General Revenue Fund. So I would ask for a favorable Roll Call vote on this Bill."

Speaker Giorgi: "Okay, there being no request for further discussion, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1890 pass and all those in favor will signify by voting 'aye' and those opposed by voting 'no'. This will take 89 votes because the effective date is next July 1, '79. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 123 'aye', 9 'nay', 8 voting 'present' and this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. The House will be at ease for a few minutes.

Speaker Redmond: "The House will be in order. Messages from the Senate."

Clerk O'Brien: "Messages from the Senate. A Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate concurs with the House in the passage of a Bill of the following title, to wit: House Bill 3419, together with Senate Amendment #1. Passed by the Senate as amended December 14, 1978, by a three-fifths vote. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House in the adoption of the following Joint Resolution, to wit: House Joint Resolution 107. Concurred in by the Senate December 14, 1978. Kenneth Wright, Secretary."

Speaker Redmond: "House Bills Second...Senate Bills, Second Reading. Senate Bills, Second Reading appears Senate Bill 1880. Representative Madigan is recognized."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1880. This Bill has been read a second time previously. No Committee Amendments."
Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"
Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1. Giorgi. Amends Senate Bill 1880 on page 1, line 12 and so forth."
Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madigan. Representative Giorgi."
Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, this is a Bill and this attempt that I've been making for the last half-a-dozen years, all I'm trying to do is to... for the second largest city in Illinois, Rockford, and in the suburban area we're paying in the neighborhood of 300 thousand dollars a year rent to special interests. I'd like to see all these 25 state supported agencies under one roof so the people of Rockford can go to one building and have their services from the State of Illinois. This is... I'd like to advise most couples to buy a house rather than pay rent, at the end of 20 years you've got rent receipts, at the end of 20 years we'd own the building ourselves from the rent that the various departments will be paying to these special interests. And I'm sure that... this has been on the Governor's desk before, the Republican Party has been aware of it and I urge support of this Amendment."
Speaker Redmond: "Representative Totten. Representative Totten."
Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The Sponsor of this Bill isn't here right now and I would object to us having an Amendment tacked on to a Bill that the Sponsor isn't here. I believe it's Representative Telcser and if we could just hold till he gets here and find out what the position is on this Amendment."
Speaker Redmond: "Well, we were kind of stalling a little bit until he got here, so.... We had no intention of doing anything till... What did you say, Mr. Clerk? You say Mr. Ryan is the Sponsor? The Bill says that Representative Ryan is the Sponsor. What is your pleasure.
Representative Ryan?

Ryan: "We'll wait for Representative Telcsen, it's his Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Take it out of the record. Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, in the absence of the Chairman of the Democratic Conference, I now ask for a Democratic Conference and would you assign a room? For the purpose of transmitting a message from the Governor."

Speaker Redmond: "114. Room 114 for the Democrats. And if Representative Ryan has a comparable motion, 118. Representative Madigan."

Mahar: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I ask for a Republican caucus in Room 118 immediately."

Speaker Redmond: "How long will the conference last? Representative Madigan, how long do you want?"

Madigan: "A half an hour to an hour."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay, we'll be back here at 1:15. Representative Ryan, what's your pleasure?"

Ryan: "3:00."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madigan, Representative Ryan wants to come back at 3:00. Is that..."

Madigan: "He can do better than that."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "One hour."

Speaker Redmond: "One hour, we'll be back at 1:30. You're always prompt, Representative. We'll be back at 1:30."

Clerk O'Brien: "Representative Willer invites everyone over to her desk for birthday cake."

Speaker Giorgi: "Will Dave Epstein come to the podium, please? I have an announcement from the Speaker's Office to stand at ease for a half hour. We'll come back at a quarter till 3:00."
Speaker Redmond: "The House will come to order. The Members please be in their seats. Messages from the Senate."

Clerk O'Brien: "A Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House in the adoption of their Amendment to Bills of the following title, to wit: Senate Bill 1883.

And further, they concur in Amendment #1... I am further directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has refused to concur with the House in the adoption of Amendment #2 and 3 to Senate Bill 1883.

Action taken by the Senate December 14, 1978, by a three-fifths vote. Kenneth Wright, Secretary.

A Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House in the passage of a Bill of the following title, to wit: House Bill 3427, together with Senate Amendments #1 and 3, passed by the Senate as amended December 14, 1978 by a three-fifths vote. Kenneth Wright, Secretary.

A Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate concurs with the House in the passage of a Bill of the following title, to wit: House Bill 3423, together with Senate Amendment #1. Passed by the Senate as amended December 14, 1978, by a three-fifths vote. Kenneth Wright, Secretary."

Speaker Redmond: "Supplemental Calendar. On Supplemental Calendar #1 appears House Joint Resolution 110. The Speaker yields to Representative Schneider to handle the concurrence. Representative Schneider."

Schneider: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I move that we do concur on the motion and in that motion is the request to extend the reporting date for HJR 110, suggested by the Senate, so I therefore move that motion..."
be adopted."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question is on the Gentleman's motion that the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Joint Resolution 110. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Representative Brummer. It extend the reporting date. About three or four months."

Brummer: "The reporting date of what?"

Speaker Redmond: "Of the report of the special Joint Legislative Investigating Committee on Illinois Corrections. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 118 'aye' and no 'nay' and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Joint Resolution 110. House Bill 3419 on the Order of Concurrence. Representative Williams."

Williams: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I move to concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3419. 3419 was just a line item transfer and Senator Lemke in the Senate put an Amendment to appropriate 30,000 dollars to the Department of Public Health to meet the expenses of the Office of Health, Facilities and the Quality of Care in the administration of the Ambulatory Surgical Treatment Center Act. Actually, what this would do is add three people, three inspectors to the Department of Public Health so that they could inspect these abortions...clinics. And this would be for the Chicago area. It was concurred in by a Roll Call vote in the Senate of 48 to 2. So I would move then that we do concur with this Senate Amendment #1."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? The question is on the Gentleman's motion that the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3419. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish?"
Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 112 'aye' and 4 'no' and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3419. On the Order of Nonconcurrence appears Senate Bill 1883. Representative Jack Davis is recognized. Representative Johnson desires to be recorded as 'aye' on the previous motion. Representative Jack Davis."

Davis: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move to recede from Amendments 2 and 3 to Senate Bill 1883. There's two Amendments, one is a Circuit Clerk Sangamon University Grant for training... elected circuit clerks. The other Amendment is an Amendment that creates an instructed development program grant to the local law enforcement training agencies, and an evaluation grant. Now, unfortunately we'd like to have one of the two or those but they were in the same Amendment and I don't think we have time for a Conference Committee to work out the problems so we're going to recede from the two to keep the Bill in tact, Representative Totten... Or I would so move to recede, the will of the House."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved to recede from Amendments 2 and 3 to Senate Bill 1883. Any discussion? All those in favor indicate by voting 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 128...129 'aye', 5 'no' and the House does recede in Amendments 2 and 3 to Senate Bill 1883. Representative Davis. The Supplemental Calendar is in error in showing Amendment #1. It shouldn't have shown that."

Davis: "I'm terribly sorry. Yes, Amendment 1 was in error in the printed Calendar. I discussed that with the Clerk for the information he..."
Speaker Redmond: "That's all right, it's just...
Davis: "It's a printing error, is a motion... leave of the House...
Speaker Redmond: "No, you don't have to do anything.
Representative... Representative Friedrich on the floor? Is Representative Terzich on the floor?
Is Representative Willer sworn in today? Were you sworn in today... the flowers on the desk? Okay... okay.
Agreed Resolutions. Representative Giorgi, Agreed Resolutions."
Giorgi: "The pay plan's agreed."
Giorgi: "Hold it, 1210 I don't have... yes I have. Okay, fine. Go ahead, continue."
Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, all the Agreed Resolutions have to do with the retiring of the Members of the General Assembly."
And I thought if the Clerk would read 1185, which has to do with one of our illustrious Members that could apply to all the other Members of the General Assembly that are going to retire and we can mention their names after that 1185 is read. Could you please read House Resolution 1185?"

Speaker Redmond: "What was the motion, that the Bill...that 1185 be read?"

Giorgi: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, it's an...it's an exemplary Resolution which would apply to all the Members of the House."

Speaker Redmond: "Would you read it, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk Hall: "House Resolution 1185, Mugalian. Whereas, the person of whom this Resolution speaks has an abhorrence of cliches and reverence of classical linguistic style and whereas said person, Robert E. Mann, by name, has a reputation as an Independent, by which no inordinate stretch of intellectual effort would imply qualities of unreverence, nonconformity, daring and delight in the unconventional. Whereas, this Resolution may be interpreted as an expression of appreciation to a redoubtable colleague, it need not and indeed will not contain the boilerplate of which thousands of such Resolutions emanating from this chamber have been so constructed; and whereas, Robert E. Mann, will accumulate several pieces of parchment attesting to his innovative and heroic legislative initiatives (which go back to a time when many of his colleagues were posturing in their Doctor Dentons); this Resolution will abstain from such encomiums because he needs another laudatory Resolution like a moose needs a hat rack; Therefore, be it resolved by the House of Representatives of the Eightieth General Assembly of the State of Illinois that our esteemed colleague, Robert E. Mann, a Representative of the 24th Legislative District, is a delightful dinner
companion especially when the service is bad and the meat
course is cold and unchewable, and that his colleagues
feel especially wanted when he asks them for a match
for his cigar; and be it further resolved, that due to
solemnity and in words unvarnished by superfluous
adjectival flourishes with an utter abnegation of
rhetorical pyrotechnics that we, his colleagues,
commencing on the subsequent to January 10 next, will
sorely miss his familiar, supportive and pleasurable
presence in this Body, and that we say to him by these
presents, 'Good health, good fortune to you and your
family. You will always have a special place in our
hearts.'

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Giorgi has moved the adoption."

Giorgi: "Of all the Agreed Resolutions here, Mr. Speaker.
Every Member that is retiring has a Resolution in his
honor in this sheet of Resolutions."

Speaker Redmond: "The question's on the Gentleman's motion.
Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes'
have it. Representative Mann, respondent."

Mann: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, these
have been a turbulent, challenging, but really marvelous
16 years of my life and I know that when I leave here
that there... I'm going to miss you all. I want to
thank you for your friendship. I want to thank you
for your support. We haven't always agreed but I've
always had a feeling of mutual rapport and respect
for you. This is a great Body, we deserve 28 thousand
dollars a year, we really deserve 38 and maybe more.
I'll be back to see you because I won't be able to stay
away. Thank you very much for everything through
the years."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you very much. Well, Mr. Speaker and Members
of the House, if I may indulge the Members for just a
moment. I have waited intentionally until what I thought would be the last day of this current Session to say these words, and I hope it will be our last day of the current Session. But let me say one thing. It's not too often that too many of us have an opportunity in our lives to be able to not only participate in history but to be able to be a recipient of what I had known as a legend in my life and my family. As a very young boy many years ago and Corneas, I'm sure, probably would remember it, he remembered everything, as a very young boy many years ago back in the late 1930's when the then Ward Committeemen, I think he was a Committeeman at that time, William Dawson who went on to be a Congressman, was having meetings; they used to hold meetings in private households. And one of the meetings that they held was held at my... my home, at my mother's and father's house and I'm one of 11 kids, and one of the people there was Corneas Davis. So my family and I have always held him not in just high esteem but I've held him in awe in reality because this was a person from my childhood that I considered as part of my history from the City of Chicago. As I said as I began, few of us have the opportunity in our lifetime to be able to participate with one that you were introduced to as a child as being a history maker in your own life. I've had that opportunity. My father passed on some 10 years ago so he didn't see any of this for myself. Corneas has sort of adopted me since I've been here and has been my professional political father since that time. And I would like to say, and as I said, I hope the House would indulge me because it is sort of private but I'd like to say to Corneas, thank you for all that you have been, not only to myself, but to all that you have been to all of the people of the State of Illinois, but especially for that little boy that
remembers from many, many years ago that here was history that I was introduced to. And thank you for all of the things and thank you for just being able to be a part of that and for being able to sit here with you for 8 years. My family to you, all of the very best for the wonderful life and the wonderful things that you have did for us. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Meyer."

Meyer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the appropriate rule and add as names of Cosponsors all of the Members of the House on each and every Resolution of retiring Members."

Speaker Redmond: "Does the Gentleman have leave? Hearing no objection the Attendance Roll Call will be used. Anymore Resolutions, Mr. Clerk? Don't misunderstand our sequence here. We have some more Bills on Third Reading, we have a few more vetoes that we have to take care of and we have a Supplemental Calendar coming out, I guess, but we thought that we would... Representative Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, at the appropriate time I have a Senate Bill on Third Reading which has to go back to Second for another Amendment and I'd... would so move, 1886, please."

Speaker Redmond: "As soon as the Amendment is printed we will get to it, Representative Friedrich. The Amendment isn't printed yet."

Friedrich: "I'd be willing to ask for consent to waive that on the grounds that this is a revisionary Bill..."

Speaker Redmond: "...Mr. Friedrich. Representative Conti."

Conti: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, if I can get up on a point of personal privilege. I've had the opportunity to serve back in the 50's. After a 14 year absence I came back and I've had the pleasure of sitting next to Adeline Geo-Karis. She's moving to..."
the Senate and she's been a wonderful help to me and I certainly enjoyed having her as a seatmate and I wish her as much luck in the Senate as she's had in the House. Good luck to you, Adeline. Joe, you're right, 2 years wasn't a long time.

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "If I may invoke, Mr. Speaker, a point of personal privilege. Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I want to thank my beloved seatmate, Elmer Conti, whom I've nudged constantly with my chair, probably injured him more times than he cares to admit, and tell you that I've enjoyed my two seatmates, Elmer Conti and Mike Abramson...(unintelligible)...but I'd like to tell you this, although I'm going to the Senate I know that you're going to miss your birthday girl here because Roland Tipsword, the birthday boy, is going to be gone. But you know, Speaker...Mr. Speaker, you have the voice and I was delighted to participate in your birthday celebration. And as I told the boys at the Bar Association when I first went to practice law, at first they tolerated me, then they got use to me, then they miss...they'll miss me if I'm dead. So I'm telling you the same thing, you're going to be glad I'm gone but you're going to miss me but I'm going to come back and haunt you. I've enjoyed my associations. I think George Ryan has done a terrific job as Minority Leader, I like Blair, I like Washburn, I like everybody, even Bluthardt when he picks on me still love him and I'm delighted to have been with you. God bless you all."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Bluthardt."

Bluthardt: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, a parliamentary inquiry."

Do the rules provide any penalty for perjury?"

Speaker Redmond: "That would be a terrible idea. Representative Satterthwaite."

Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, it's
been a great privilege to me to occupy a seat next to Representative Tipsword for this past Session. I regret very much that he will not be among our Membership when we come back for the new Session in January. It has been a great personal loss to me and I am sure also a personal loss to the other Members of this Body not to have his guidance for the future. I hope very strongly that he will enjoy his new roll back in his legal office and the forthcoming contacts that we may have with him in a new roll, but it is indeed a great loss to us. I have found that he has been extremely fair in anything that he has done here on the House floor. Never has he tried simply to pass a Bill or to give me information that might help to pass a Bill unless he felt that that was to my advantage and to the advantage of the people of my legislative district. I don't think we can ask for any greater contribution from any Member of the House than have he advise us on the basis of our needs and our constituents needs. And I commend him highly for his practices."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Tipsword."

Tipsword: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I thank Representative Satterthwaite for her very kind remarks, undeserved as I know they are. But I would like to say to the Members of the House while I do have the floor that it's been an extreme pleasure and honor for me to serve in this Body for the past six terms. It's been an honor not only to myself but I've considered it an honor to my family, who has resided in this state for more than eight generations and in fact there's generations that we cannot possibly count more than those eight. Those eight just happen to be the ones of which we have a record. My family and myself are all very proud of the State of Illinois and we can only wish for this state.
for all of its citizens and assuredly for each and every one of you who serve in this House the greatest of success in the future years, in the immediate future and in the long years to come. Thank you very, very much."

Speaker Redmond: "On the Order of Amendatory Veto Motions appears Senate Bill 388. Representative Gene Hoffman from the clean district of DuPage County, the 40th, Representative Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would move that we accept the Amendatory Veto which has been placed on Senate Bill 388. Senate Bill 388 was part of the special education package which provided for compliance with public law 94-142. This particular Bill was to bring Illinois in line with the federal requirement to provide programs for handicapped children attending nonpublic schools. In that Bill we provided for transportation to and from and it was this Section that the Governor amended and provided that what is presently covered in the School Code right now would be the language that is used in Section 29-4 for providing transportation to students. Although the Senate Sponsor, Senator Berman and myself, are in some disagreement with the Governor on this particular veto, we thought the language we had was better, but we have agreed to accept the Governor's Amendatory Veto and to work during the next Session to work out any difficulties that may be caused with this. So with that in mind I would ask for your 'aye' vote in accepting the Governor's Amendatory Veto to Senate Bill 388."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? The question is, shall the House accept the Governor's specific recommendation for change with respect to House Bill 388 by adoption of the Amendment? All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 144 'aye' and 1 'no'
and the House, having received...the motion, having received
the Constitutional Majority, prevails and the House
accepts the Governor's specific recommendation for change
regarding House Bill 388 by adoption of the Amendment.
On the Order of Senate Bills, Third Reading appears
Senate Bill 1886. Representative Friedrich is
recognized."
Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to have this returned to the
Order of Second Reading for the purpose of an Amendment."
Speaker Redmond: "Does the Gentleman have leave to return
Senate Bill 1886 to the Order of Second Reading for
the purpose of the Amendment? Hearing no objection, it
will be returned to the Order of Second Reading."
Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2. Friedrich. Amends
Senate Bill 1886 as amended in the third paragraph of
Section 1 and so forth."
Speaker Redmond: "Representative Friedrich."
Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, this involves some more consolidation
and it was in the visionary Bills of the Reference
Bureau. This was occasioned because of full override
vetoes, House Bill 32, 2428, 2597 and Senate Bill 1850.
So I move the adoption of the Amendment."
Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? Representative
Totten."
Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor yield
for a question?"
Speaker Redmond: "He will."
Friedrich: "I hope I can answer it."
Totten: "Well I'm looking at a copy of the Amendment, this
is Amendment #2 and it's to Section 32. It says,
'Salaries of Judges of the Circuit Court, each Judge
of the Circuit Court shall receive and be paid out of
the state treasury an annual salary of 50,000', crosses
out 43,000. That's the only change, what is that?"
Speaker Redmond: "Anything further?"
Totten: "I'm waiting for the answer."

Friedrich: "This is caused by the override on House Bill 32, which increased the state's share and lowered the county's share..."

Totten: "The effect of House Bill 32 was to do what?"

Friedrich: "To have the state pick up a bigger percentage of the tab for Judge's salaries. It doesn't change the salary any, is that what you're worried about? The Bill itself changed the percentage that the state paid and the county paid and this just brings the whole statute into conformity."

Totten: "Well..."

Speaker Mann: "Would the Parliamentarian please come up?"

Totten: "The question is, if we overrode on 32 why do we need this?"

Friedrich: "This just clears up the language, Don, that's all these do so that there's no conflicting statutes. It doesn't change...it makes no substantive change of any kind. And that's true of the other three items, too."

Clerk O'Brien: "Representative Mann in the Chair."

Totten: "Okay."

Speaker Mann: "Is there any further discussion on Amendment #2? Representative VanDuyne..."

VanDuyne: "VanDuyne, Bob."

Speaker Mann: "Excuse me, Leroy."

VanDuyne: "I don't really think the Sponsor of this Amendment is being, well to use the phrase, truthful. There's two changes on the front, it changes the salary from 42,000 to 50. It says, 42,000, 43,000, they're both crossed out and there's a 50,000 dollar inserted there and underlined, now that's a change. In other words, it's their pay raise. And on page 2, line 22, 36,500 is crossed out, 40,500 is crossed out and 44,500 is inserted."

Friedrich: "The pay raise Bill has already passed. This merely..."
brings it into compliance of..."

VanDuyne: "The Governor...the Governor brought it down, didn't he?"

Friedrich: "No, no, not to my knowledge."

VanDuyne: "Now, one other question and this point is, does this in effect have the state pick up all of their salary, rather than the counties?"

Friedrich: "No. Well, it's in compliance with the Bill that was passed here except for 500 dollars they'd be paying it all. But we've already passed that Bill, Representative VanDuyne."

VanDuyne: "Mr. Speaker, really, he keeps saying we've already passed this, we've already passed this, but in effect and I'm not the most knowledgeable person here parliamentarian-wise or procedurally, but any way you cut this Amendment strictly it is raising this thing, their pay 4,000 dollars on page 2 and it is raising their pay 7,000 dollars on page 1."

Friedrich: "Okay, Dave is standing here and he says no it's not."

VanDuyne: "How do you account for the fact that it's... Then the state's going to pay for all their salaries, the county won't pay any? Okay, he explained to me that the counties now will pick up 500 dollars of the Judges salary only, or the increase? Total? God bless. He explained to me that it became law yesterday that the state picks up all except 500 dollars of their salaries. The state will pay 44,000 and the counties will pay 500 dollars."

Friedrich: "That's the result of the override yesterday."

VanDuyne: "Thank you very much."

Speaker Mann: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Houlihan...no. Representative Friedrich, to close."

Friedrich: "I just move the adoption of the Amendment."
Speaker Mann: "Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 1886 has been moved for adoption. All those in favor indicate by saying 'aye', opposed same...same sign. Are there 5 Members that are asking for a Roll Call? The Amendment is adopted. Third Reading."

Friedrich: "Now, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Mann: "Representative Friedrich."

Friedrich: "I would ask for the suspension of Rule 35(c) which provides that by suspension of this rule a Bill which has been amended can be passed on the same day by suspension of this rule. I move the suspension of the rule for the purpose of calling it for Third Reading."

Speaker Mann: "Is there leave for the Attendance Roll Call? Leave has been granted and the Attendance Roll Call is entered to suspend the rule. Now, on the Bill, Senate Bill 1886. Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1886. A Bill for an Act in relation to the nonsubstantive revisions, renumbering, repeal of Sections Acts necessitated by the Amendments, Amendments and repeals and additional Sections of two or more Public Acts of the 80th General Assembly. Third Reading of the Bill."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this is the final now of the Reference Bureau's work to revise the statutes. It makes no substantive changes and by passing this we'll be able to get it all on computers so that beginning this Session they can use that in their reference. I move for the passage of this Bill."

Speaker Mann: "Is there any discussion on the Bill? The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Griesheimer. The question is, shall Senate Bill 1886 pass? All in favor vote 'aye', all opposed vote 'no'. Yeah, I'd like to... Pardon... Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's
109 'aye', 21 'no', 17 voting 'present' and this Bill, having obtained a three-fifths Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed."
Speaker Mann: "Senate Bills, Second Reading. Senate Bill 1889. It's been read a second time. Representative Terzich."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1 was withdrawn. Floor Amendment #2. Stuff. Amends Senate Bill 1889 on page 1 by deleting lines 1, 2, 3...

Speaker Mann: "Representative Stuff." Stuffle: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members, Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 1889 is quite simple. It does, for Members of the General Assembly who have service in the State Employees system, the same thing that we have done for Members previously with regard to both the IMRF system and all of the Cook County Pension systems. It provides for the transfer of credits and the purchase of credits previously attained in the State Employees system. It provides, I want to make clear, that they pay a substantial cost for those benefits. This is not a give away. The Amendment would provide that the Member would have to pay the employee cost based upon the interest level when he entered the General Assembly, the rate in the salary then, six percent interest per annum from the date of membership in the General Assembly system plus the full funded costs of the transfer, the employer cost as well as the employee cost. This is exactly the same proposal that we made with regard to the other systems last year and the previous year. I talked on the Republican side with Representative Ebbeisen on this particular Amendment. He has no problems, I understand, with this and I would move adoption of Amendment #2."

Speaker Mann: "The Gentleman has moved adoption of Amendment #2. Is there any discussion? The question is on the adoption of the Amendment. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair
the 'ayes' have it and Amendment #2 is adopted. Do you want a Roll Call? Mr. Speaker... Do you want a Roll Call? The question is on the adoption of Amendment #2. All those in favor indicate by voting 'aye' and opposed by voting 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Terzich, to explain his vote."

Terzich: "Well, Mr. Speaker, on the Amendment I'd simply like to remind the Membership that this particular proposal has been presented to the Pension Laws Commission. It has also been presented to the Leadership, the Chairman of the Pension Laws Commission and the Actuaries and also Mike Mory, who is in charge of the State Employees Retirement System, who drew up the language. It's all been in compliance with all of the Commission's approval and it's also consistent with the previous Bills that have been passed. And therefore I would recommend an 'aye' vote on this Amendment."

Speaker Mann: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 81 'aye', 48 'no', 7 voting 'present' and Amendment #2 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Mann: "Third Reading. On Third Reading appears Senate Bill 1889. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill. It was read a second time already."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1889. A Bill for an Act to provide for the normal costs of various state retirement systems for the increase in the rate of automatic annual increases and annuities..."

Speaker Mann: "Representative Terzich..."

Clerk O'Brien: "Third Reading of the Bill."

Terzich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, again the entire Bill, including the Amendment, has been approved by the Pension Laws Commission. They're also making their recommendation..."
Senate Bill 1889, for the first time, sets up the mechanism for funding of pension benefits that have been approved by the General Assembly on funding them on a sound actuarial basis. We did pass House Bill 1803 providing for an increase in the increment from two to three percent. Senate Bill 1889 now sets up the contribution to be made by the state, a one percent for the benefit plus an additional two percent to pay for the normal cost plus interest for future benefits down the road. This is a precedent for the General Assembly and what we're looking forward in the future is passing of pension benefits and I would urge support of Senate Bill 1889. The...Senate Bill 1888 we previously passed setting up the appropriation which was presented by Senator...Representative Ebbesen yesterday. And I would urge support of Senate Bill 1889.

Speaker Mann: "The Gentleman from Livingston, Mr. Ewing."

Ewing: "Mr. Speaker, doesn't this Bill have to come out on a Supplementary Calendar? We can't just move a Bill from Second and amend it and then bring it to Third Reading, I don't believe, without leave of this House or 107 votes?"

Speaker Mann: "Are you objecting, Mr. Ewing?"

Ewing: "I sure am."

Speaker Mann: "Take it out of the record until we get it on the Supplemental. Representative Meyer, for what purpose does the Gentleman arise?"

Meyer: "Point of order on the same Bill, Mr. Speaker. Doesn't our Constitution provide for three readings on three separate days?"

Speaker Mann: "It was read yesterday a second time, Ted."

Meyer: "But it's on Third Reading."

Speaker Mann: "It was held on Second Reading."

Meyer: "Yeah, but how does it..."

Speaker Mann: "We just moved it to Third. We read it a third
time and moved it and we're going to hold it till it comes out in the Supplemental. Representative Telcser...
Representative Telcser. Is the Gentleman from Cook ready on Senate Bill 1880?"
Telcser: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, Senate Bill... has it been read a third time yet? Have you read it, Mr. Clerk?"

Speaker Mann: "It's on Second Reading. It's been read a second time. Are there any Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1880. This Bill has been read a second time previously. Floor Amendment #1, Giorgi. Amends Senate Bill 1880 on page 1, line 12 and so forth."

Speaker Mann: "The Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Did I hear Representative Telcser say he's in agreement with the Amendment? I explained the Amendment earlier. Did I understand he's... he's in agreement with the Amendment? Are you in agreement with the Amendment?"

Telcser: "No, we're not, Representative."

Giorgi: "All right, I'll go into the Amendment again."

Speaker Mann: "Proceed. Proceed, Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, what we're talking about here is extending the authorization of the Capital Development Bonding Authority and what I've been trying to do for the last 10 years is try to wise up Illinois State Government as to the absorbent rents we're paying in the Rockford land area. We're paying special interests rents in the Rockford land area up to 75,000 dollars a year. Our rent totals in the Rockford land area for 25 state supported agencies total 300,000 dollars a year. For 340,000 dollars a year we can build a State of Illinois building in the urban renewal area of Rockford and at the end of 20 or 30 years own the building and the people of Rockford will be better served by having all of their state supported agencies in one building. When a person went into the Public Aid offices he could be referred to the Unemployment
offices or the WIN offices or whatever. Now, this is the second largest city of Illinois, you're going to build four or five satellites in the Chicagoland area, I've been trying to get this passed for the last ten years. In Governor Walker's last days in office he promised to sign this Bill. This has been brought to the attention of Governor Thompson and Thompson is aware of this Bill and I urge the support of this Assembly in this Amendment."

Speaker Giorgi: "Rep...the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Telcser."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I rise to oppose the Gentleman's Amendment to Senate Bill 1880. In the first instance there is no appropriation available for the sum of money needed for the project in the Gentleman's home town. Let me also add, Mr. Speaker and Members, that it's my understanding this Amendment had been offered in Committee during the course of this Session and was never adopted in that Committee. And Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, it seems to me inappropriate and ineffectual to offer an Amendment and have it adopted to this authorization Bill when there is no appropriation available. Let me also point out that this project is not in the overall plan for the agency at this time. And so, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, for these reasons I respectfully rise and oppose Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1880."

Speaker Mann: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Schlickman, do you desire to speak, Representative Schlickman?"

Schlickman: "Will the Sponsor yield for one question? Has anyone other than yourself conducted a feasibility study with respect to the construction of the State Office Building in Rockford and if so, who?"
Giorgi: "Representative Schlickman, who was born in Rockford, there have been a number of studies made on this edifice and there's much justification for the construction of this edifice. And...what?"

Schlickman: "I'll have to repeat the question. Has a feasibility study been conducted and if so by whom?"

Giorgi: "By the Capital Development Bonding Authority itself."

Schlickman: "And when was that study conducted?"

Giorgi: "It's been done more than once. It's been...maybe the last three times...three times in the last five years."

Schlickman: "And what are the conclusions or recommendations of that study?"

Giorgi: "At one time there wasn't enough money but I think we've reached a point in our history where just a few moments ago we authorized a 20 million dollar expenditure for a fish hatchery, I think 100,000 dollar Amendment is not unreasonable...that I'm asking for."

Schlickman: "Well let me conclude by saying, for the record, I wasn't born in Rockford but I'm proud to have been raised in Rockford."

Speaker Mann: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Not many people realize this but I represent the growing tip of the City of Rockford. I would like to ask the Sponsor if this office building is going to be built in the dying center of Rockford?"

Giorgi: "The intention is to locate it in the urban renewal area, which now encompasses the entire downtown area of Rockford, so you can't say..."

Skinner: "Thank you very much, that's the answer to my question. If I may speak to the question. I do not think public money should be used to bail out real estate speculators who own land in the central city whether that real estate speculator happens to be the city..."
or private entrepreneurs or secret land trusts. It might make sense to build a state office building in the Rockford area if it were built near 'Cherry Vale' the regional shopping center that in five years has succeeded in killing downtown Rockford. I would suggest we save downtown Rockford for senior citizen high-rises facing the river because that's the commendable use of land down there. This is just an incredible misallocation of resources. The Amendment, by the way, failed in the Committee because the Sponsor did not come to the Committee, if my memory serves me correctly. He may not be... Excuse me, I'm wrong, it failed in Committee on it's own merit..."

Giorgi: "There was no Committee hearing on this Bill. This Bill came out of Rules last week. There was no Committee Bill."

Skinner: "An Amendment was attempted to be put on some Bill in the Appropriations Committee and I distinctly remember that I won a very small victory at that time because the Sponsor or someone would not agree to an Amendment which would say that the building would have to be built within one mile of the new shopping center, which would minimize traffic congestion in the Rockford area. The Bill, as proposed, will maximize traffic congestion in the Rockford area and I would urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Mann: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Totten."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I, too, rise in opposition to this Amendment for one very dangerous precedent that the Amendment establishes and that is that it creates a new authorization for a single purpose. In this case the Rockford - Giorgi Memorial in downtown Rockford. It is undoubtedly an unwise fiscal posture for us, at this point, to be increasing our authorization categories for any reason,
but this one certainly could be expanded in the future and one that could cost us a considerable amount of more dollars than what the Representative from Rockford proposes to put forth now. It's dangerous, it's costly and it's unwise and I would recommend a 'no' vote on the Amendment."

Speaker Mann: "Representative Giorgi, to close."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, I should correct Representative Telcser's remarks. There was no hearing on Senate Bill 1880, Mr. Telcser. This came out of Rules last week. There was a hearing on the Bill that Representative Skinner alluded to and the Bill was placed on a Committee and was placed on in the House and was vetoed by the Governor in August or September. And something else that should be corrected, the land in question is owned by the City of Rockford. All the urban renewal area that we're talking about is owned by the City of Rockford Urban Renewal Development Authority. I'm talking about 25 state supported agencies in the City of Rockford that are paying from 5,000 to 20,000 to 75,000 dollars a year in rent to special interests. We're paying 288,000 dollars a year in rent and we could have a building of our own for 340,000 in mortgage payments, which will become ours in 20 years. Now this is what we always suggest, this is what the Governor has in his budget for four or five satellites around the City of Chicago. Rockford is the second largest city in Illinois and Rockford deserves this consideration. I urge the adoption of this Amendment."

Speaker Mann: "The question is, shall Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 1880 be adopted? All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. For what purpose does Representative Skinner arise?"

Skinner: "To request if this happens to get a misty majority that I be allowed to verify at least those people who are
not on the Attendance Roll Call-off of it."

Speaker Mann: "The Gentleman is entitled to a verification. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. Pardon? Mann 'aye'. On this question there are 80 'aye', 67 'no', 0 voting 'present' and...and...and Representative Skinner has asked for a verification. Do it fast, Jack. Call the 'aye' votes, Mr. Clerk."


Speaker Mann: "Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Yes. I have checked the Attendance Roll Call from some time this morning and I find none of the absents voting and if the Republicans don't really care whether this gets on, I don't care either so why don't we just let it go down the tubes."

Speaker Mann: "The Gentleman has withdrawn his request for a verification. And on Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 1880 there are...Amendment #1 rather, there are 79 voting 'aye'...80 voting 'aye', 67 voting 'no', 0 voting 'present' and Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1880 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Mann: "Third Reading."
Speaker Mann: "On Senate Bill 1889, the Gentleman from Livingston, Representative Ewing, has withdrawn his request that the matter be printed on the Supplemental Calendar and at this time may we have leave and use the Attendance Roll Call for the matter to be called a third time at this time? The Gentleman from... Representative Skinner objects. Oh, you want to be recorded as voting 'no'? Let the record... All right, let's take a Roll Call on the matter. Representative Terzich asks leave to suspend the calendar rule for the immediate consideration of Senate Bill 1889. All in favor vote 'aye', all opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this motion there are 113 'ayes', 9 voting 'no', 3 voting 'present'. The rule is suspended. Read the Senate Bill a third time, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1889. A Bill for an Act to provide for the normal costs of various state retirement systems. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Mann: "And now the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Terzich, on Senate Bill 1889."

Terzich: "Well, I wasn't turned on there, Mr. Speaker. I'm lit up now, thank you."

Speaker Mann: "I'm sorry, you're turned on now."

Terzich: "Well again, Senate Bill 1889 is an extension of House Bill 1803, which we previously passed, providing an increase in the forced retirement benefits for state employees from two to three percent. And although the obligation of the state in regards to retirement contribution is specifically spelled out within the various Articles of the Pension Code, the state has traditionally appropriated an amount sufficient to meet each year's benefit payouts plus administration expenses for each respective retirement system. Senate Bill 1889 recognizes the danger associated with..."
such funding policy by specifying an additional two percent of the payout to be appropriated with payout so that the reserves may be accumulated, earn interest and therefore reduce subsequent requirements. Again, this is a precedent that we're setting, we hope, for future pension benefit increases whereby when the General Assembly does pass retirement Bills that there are proper funds being appropriated to meet these obligations in accordance with proper actuarial underwriting standards. This has been recommended and approved by the Pension Laws Commission and I would urge support by the Membership of Senate Bill 1889."

Speaker Mann: "Is there any discussion? Is there any discussion on Senate Bill 1889? Representative Skinner?"

Skinner: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, if the Gentleman would yield to a question or two. I must admit I get very nervous when we talk about legislative pensions, especially at the end of the Session. It usually is somebody's special interest being driven into the pension fund and I'm sure I'll find out who those are after I've finished talking, at least after the Session's over. If someone has been in the military during a war are they going to be able to transfer their credits for the first time to the Illinois General Assembly Retirement Fund?"

Terzich: "No, Representative. In most pension plans, that if you are in the active employment of a municipal government and you happen to be drafted into the military service, the time that you spent in military service would be credited service time in a pension system. This simply means that if you happen to be in...drafted into the war while you are in the employ that you would accumulate pension service credit. And therefore it would be considered service time. This is
a standard provision in most pension plans. It has nothing to do with military service other than the fact that if you went into the military while employed... while in the employ of the governmental unit."

Skinner: "Well certainly the military would have to be very desperate to take many of us."

Terzich: "You speak for yourself."

Skinner: "I am. How much is this going to cost do you think?"

Terzich: "It costs nothing and any transfer of the funds are actuarily calculated and that if there is any transfer that the employee would have to make the difference in payment, which would be quite substantial, and their contribution would have to be made at a specified accumulated amount of interest which would be compounded at six percent."

Skinner: "I get very nervous when anybody says something doesn't cost anything."

Terzich: "You get very nervous on everything, Representative."

Skinner: "Perhaps for good reason."

Terzich: "This is a very difficult subject that was submitted to the Pension Laws Commission, it was submitted to the State Retirement System. We have this under our other systems and I have no problem with this. There's no cost to the system that any transfers would have to be paid by the person. All he's doing is transferring service credits such as under the Reciprocal Act."

Skinner: "All right, do any of us lose under this Bill? I can't imaging that, but..."

Terzich: "Do any what?"

Skinner: "Do any of us lose anything under this Bill?"

Terzich: "Not to my knowledge."

Skinner: "Do any of us win anything under this Bill?"

Terzich: "I couldn't tell you."

Skinner: "All right, what types of Representatives...what would their prior employment be who would be winners under
this Bill?"
Terzich: "Janitors:"
Skinner: "Janitors?"
Terzich: "Yes, if they were under state employ. Whoever had any state time, the same as members under the IMRF or the country funds or any...any municipal government system that they would have the right to transfer their service credits into each system."
Skinner: "How is this different from the transfer provisions we've had?"
Terzich: "Well I just said that what happened, this was not amended into the system. The IMRF systems were included, the Cook County systems were included, the Chicago systems were included and unfortunately this was overlooked."
Skinner: "All right...and what we overlooked was...repeat it again for my dense mind."
Terzich: "So anyone who was a state employee and then ran for office while he was a state employee would end up..."
Skinner: "No."
Terzich: "No. If he had previous state service or pension credit he could transfer it, not while he's in the employ. But those service credits that he earned prior to entering into the General Assembly."
Skinner: "Well this is a fascinating Bill and I'm sure I've piqued enough interest of at least one reporter so that they'll find out what's really happening and I just can't wait to read it in some newspaper next week."
Terzich: "Well, most reporters seem to know more about everything than everybody else anyhow so..."
Skinner: "Well I'm sure that most reporters know more about this Pension Bill than I do."
Terzich: "...come over and explain it to you. He'll probably know more.
Speaker Mann: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Mahar."

Mahar: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Mann: "He indicates he will."

Mahar: "Representative Terzich, does this mean that a person from a municipal corporation who was drafted into service and then can receive pension benefits, can he get pension benefits both from the military pension and a governmental pension at the same time? Can he use the same period of time for two pensions?"

Terzich: "No, this simply... This is a standard provision on all pension systems that if you happen to be in the employ of a governmental unit or even private industry and you happen to be drafted into service that they would continue your service credit while you were in service. That's all it means. If you were in one year or two years or three years. Most people who are drafted are not career personnel and therefore it would not apply to any military retirement system."

Mahar: "Let me ask you one more question. If I were a teacher and I was drafted into service and then I came out, would this then apply to my teacher's pension? This can be used in my teacher's pension as well as a potential military..."

Terzich: "No, this does not. We went through this with the state teachers and we had numerous discussions about military service credits. No, this does not apply to teachers. Only if you were in essence...while the employee of the service."

Speaker Mann: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Representative, just one question, how does this relate to the state university systems?"

Terzich: "It does not amend that Article. It has nothing to do with the state university system."

Bowman: "Okay, I'm just trying to figure out whether I have a
conflict of interest, that's all."
Schlickman: "Thank you. I guess I don't."
Speaker Mann: "Is there any further discussion? The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Griesheimer."
Griesheimer: "Will the Sponsor yield, Mr. Speaker?"
Speaker Mann: "The Gentleman indicates he will."
Griesheimer: "Representative Terzich, anyone else serving in any other area of government, either as an employee or an elected official, can transfer his time, for instance, into the Legislative Retirement Fund, is that correct?"
Terzich: "Most systems, such as all... under the IMRF can transfer, all the Cook County systems, all of the Chicago systems. And this is simply...we overlooked the state system when we passed it in this General Assembly...the same posture."
Griesheimer: "Is your answer 'yes' to my question?"
Terzich: "I'm sorry?"
Griesheimer: "My question was, can anyone else working for any other level of state government, either as an employee or an elected official, transfer their time into the Legislative Retirement System?"
Terzich: "No."
Griesheimer: "Well who's transferring time into what? In other words, if you work for some other level of government, is that what's being transferred into the state system?"
Terzich: "What we're saying that is if you have service credit, service credit in a state system or a governmental system, such as IMRF, Cook County system, the Park District, the Sanitary District, any service credit, you can transfer the service credits over from one system to another system by paying the actuarial difference in the system. And this we omitted by including this one."
Griesheimer: "And how far back can you go?"
Terzich: "Well you can go back for as much service that you have, whatever your service credits are."
Griesheimer: "Can you transfer time in the National Guard into any the Legislative Retirement System?"
Terzich: "No, not to my knowledge. The National Guard time, no."
Griesheimer: "Thank you."
Speaker Mann: "The Gentleman from Whiteside, Representative Schuneman."
Schuneman: "A question of the Sponsor, Mr. Speaker."
Speaker Mann: "The Gentleman indicates he'll yield."
Schuneman: "Those of us that are in the General Assembly make a contribution to our pension plan and the state makes a contribution to our pension plan. Now those people who transfer in, who have been state employees, have been covered under the state employees pension plan. Okay, when they transfer in, do I understand that they have to pay something into the General Assembly pension system? And one...
Terzich: "Yes...yes, they. Yes, they have to take out of their pockets the actuarial difference between the system that they're transferring from into the system that they're transferring to. In other words, they have to pay the difference in the value."
Schuneman: "Okay, now do they... Do they pay only their share or do they also pay the state's share?"
Terzich: "Both the employee and the employers share."
Schuneman: "Both. That answers my question, thank you."
Speaker Mann: "The Chair recognizes Representative Stuffle."
Stuffle: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members, perhaps I could clear this up by way of explaining again the Amendment that I put on the Bill, which seems to be the crux of the matter. If you came from state employment into the General Assembly system, let us say two years ago when the salary
was $20,000, you would have to pay, based upon that $20,000 and 10 percent employee costs for every year you wanted to bring in. You would also have to pay the 21.7 percent annualized employer costs. You'd have to pay up to now, if you're going to buy it now, six percent per annum on that, minus what you paid into the system, the state employees system that you were in. That would be a substantial difference. All we're doing in this case is saying that for those people in the employ of the state system, covered by the state system, that they can do the same thing we've already said can be done for the IMRF people who become General Assembly Members, for elected people, for everyone of the people who might come in from the Cook County systems. The Actuary of the Pension Laws Commission has looked this proposal over before and he's approved it. It's not a rip off, as a Member of a Senate Pension Staff for several years, I saw a number of Bills come down the pike that wouldn't have made us pay this amount of money by any means. This is actuarially sound, it isn't giving away anything to anybody without making them pay for it and I suggest to you that many people probably cannot even afford to pay the difference for this type of credit."

Speaker Mann: "For what purpose does the Gentleman from Madison arise, Mr. Lucco?"

Lucco: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I raise the previous question."

Speaker Mann: "The Gentleman has moved the previous question.

The question is, shall the main question be put? All in favor say 'aye', all opposed say 'nay'. The previous question has been called and Representative Terzich, to close."

Terzich: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I think this properly had a very, very good and intelligent discussion on this Bill. It is a great step forward, I think, in the
pension systems, by having such a Bill for the funding. Amendment #2, again has been approved by all the systems, the State Retirement system, General Assembly Retirement System, the Pension Laws Commission and our Actuary and I therefore would urge a favorable vote on Senate Bill 1889."

Speaker Mann: "The question is, shall Senate Bill 1889 pass? All in favor vote 'aye', all opposed vote 'no'. This Bill requires 107 votes. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Terzich, to explain his vote."

Terzich: "Well, Mr. Speaker, for those people who are voting 'present', or those who are voting 'no', I think it's very inconsistent and very unfortunate when you have a Bill of this caliber and they're voting 'no' on it on proper funding of pension systems. And if... with regard to Amendment #2, I don't know how much more has to be explained to the Membership, there is no cost element involved in this. It has been approved by all of the systems. It has been approved by all the Actuaries. It was intelligently discussed, it's a fair and an equitable Amendment, otherwise it wouldn't be on the Bill and therefore, I would certainly request that they consider their positions and I can assure you that a 'yes' vote is a proper vote on this type of a Bill."

Speaker Mann: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Wolf."

Wolf: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I'm going to join in voting 'yes'. It seems there seems to be some apprehension on the parts of the Members. I happen to be one of those who did not vote for that 50 percent increase in the annual increment from the retired people who are probably very vociferous at this time in opposition to the General Assembly's raise. But Representative Terzich is absolutely right.
Once the General Assembly has taken action I think we have an obligation to make sure that these pension systems are properly funded and I think it's something that the General Assembly ought to realize. Many of us have been voting for all these increased benefits and these bags of goodies to take home and telling everybody how wonderful you are because you voted for it and then go back and telling them how great you are because you voted against all these appropriation Bills that are going to pay for it. And while I did not support that particular piece of legislation to increase that increment without a contribution on the part of the employees, certainly I am voting 'yes'. We do have an obligation to fund it and I would urge my colleagues to do so."

Speaker Mann: "Have all voted who desire to vote? Have all voted who wish? The Lady from Cook, Representative Catania."

Catania: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I just wanted to observe that I have a conflict in this Bill because the original Bill provides for financing the cost to the Chicago Teacher's Retirement System and I have a relative who is affected by that. I'm voting my conscience."

Speaker Mann: "Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question there are 120 voting 'aye', 13 voting 'no', 21 voting 'present' and this Bill having obtained the three-fifths majority is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 13... Third Reading, Senate Bill 1880."


Speaker Mann: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Telcser."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, Senate Bill 1880 is the one which was just amended a short while
ago. This is the authorization Bill for the Capital Development appropriation. All of you know what's in it, I appreciate a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Mann: "The Gentleman asks leave to take this matter up without...without Supplementary Calendar. Does he have that leave? Can we use the last Attendance Roll Call? I hear an objection. The Gentleman has leave, the Attendance Roll Call is used to suspend the rule and...and now the Clerk, having read it a third time, is there any discussion? Representative Totten."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. What the Sponsor failed to point out, the original Bill is for 180 million plus the Amendments but the total cost over the 25 year period of this increased authorization will be 306.2 million, that is to retire it at today's present interest rate over the next twenty-five. I think this authorization in a lot of cases raises serious questions and some of the Amendments attached to it certainly warrant more review than they have in this Veto Session and the overall expense over 25 years is too much and I would recommend a 'no' vote."

Speaker Mann: "The Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Speaking up...with the arch conservative, Representative, Representative Totten, when he mentioned that 305 million dollars is going to be the cost of this over a 20 year period, he should be reminded that's less than one years growth in Illinois income. So I think it's very prudent and very fiscally responsible."

Speaker Mann: "Yes...yes, the Gentleman from Lawrence, Representative Cunningham."

Cunningham: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the distinguished Sponsor of this Bill needs no
help from anyone to pass the Bill but I respectfully say to you that unless you vote 'aye' on this you're going to look awfully foolish because 1601 was the Bill that came out that authorized the expenditures that are involved here. Practically everyone of you was on that Bill, including the Gentleman from Hoffman Estates. You cannot go both directions simultaneously forever without the public rising up that you're not keeping score in the ball game. We've already authorized the expenditures, our system requires that we authorize the bonds to complete the act that we've signified we believed in. This Bill deserves the unanimous vote of everyone here so that you have some degree of continuity and common sense in your voting pattern. And besides that there are nice things in the Bill for everyone of you in your districts. I urge you to vote green in that happy confidence."

Speaker Mann: "That may be the last time we hear the dulcet tones of...of our distinguished Representative from Lawrence. Is there further discussion? Is there further discussion on Senate Bill 1880? Representative Telcser, to close."

Telcser: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I can simply tell you I'd appreciate a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Mann: "The question is, shall Senate Bill 1880 pass? All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record please. On this question there are 114 voting 'yes', 32 voting 'no', 3 voting 'present' and this Bill, having attained the three-fifths majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Steczo, 'aye'. Senate Bill 1881."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1881. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of various state agencies."
Third Reading of the Bill.

Speaker Mann: "Representative Ewing."

Ewing: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is the legislation which I explained yesterday. It is the appropriation for the funds to pay for the new prison guards, for the increase in salaries of the current prison guards, provide funds for prosecution of the people who might be indicted as a result of the Pontiac riot. Also, provides funds for the defense through the public defender's office in any county which might incur expenses as a result of prosecutions arising from the Pontiac riots. The total amount of this Bill is for approximately 13 million, 41 thousand dollars. This money is needed for our prison systems. We have serious problems which must be addressed. We all know that they can't all be solved by throwing money at them but we do have to pay a reasonable amount for salaries, for prison officials, prison guards. We do have to prosecute and defend these costs and I would ask for your favorable consideration of this Bill."

Speaker Mann: "The Majority Leader, Mr. Madigan."

Madigan: "A question of the Sponsor."

Speaker Mann: "The Gentleman indicates he will yield."

Madigan: "Mr. Ewing, when this Bill was considered yesterday I asked if the Governor's Office would provide us with a clarification regarding the various methods of appropriation that we have employed recently to transmit money to agencies or authorities not necessarily connected with the State of Illinois. As you know, we now have three different avenues of transmittal. This Bill contains two, where prosecution money for States Attorneys is appropriated first to the Department of Corrections and then the defense money for the public defender is transmitted first to the Comptroller and then
in the case of the money for the Metropolitan Fair and Exposition Authority in Chicago, the money is appropriated directly to the authority. And apparently these three different opinions have emanated from the Governor's Office and I had asked you or some of your staff if you could provide some clarification for the Body."

Speaker Mann: "Representative Ewing."

Ewing: "You...you made your statement concerning your question. Did you not receive clarification?"

Madigan: "No, I have not."

Ewing: "I have not response except maybe it's in the federal mail, I don't know."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, in explaining my...excuse me, Mr. Speaker, are we on debate or explanation of votes?"

Speaker Mann: "We are on debate."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I simply wish to express my regret to the Governor's Office and to the various emissaries from the Governor's Office who regularly travel the floors of this Body. Certainly they receive a great deal of cooperation from the Members of the House of Representatives but yet when one Member asks them to clarify three different opinions which have emanated from that office during a period of one week, the answer is silence. And I think it's regrettable for those of us who wish to perform intelligently and effectively in this job that we cannot get a simple, intelligent legal opinion out of the Governor's Office when we request it."

Speaker Mann: "The Gentleman...the Lady from Cook, Representative Willer, did you desire recognition?"

Willer: "Not right now."

Speaker Mann: "The Gentleman from Lake, Representative
Matijevich: "Would the Gentleman yield for a question?"

Speaker Mann: "He indicates that he will."

Matijevich: "Representative Ewing, would you tell this Body whether those increases in wages meet the guidelines of Genghis Khan?"

Ewing: "I'm certain they do. They're very minimal."

Matijevich: "Let's be specific because I heard somebody tell me that they were 30 percent, 30 percent. Well there's the Chairman of the Appropriations II and he says 30 percent. Now you and I know, Representative Ewing, that much of what the Governor has done on corrections he did because he responded to media. That's why he did it. We know that there's got to...there's a lot of work that has to be done on corrections. But the Governor doesn't jump until the media makes him jump and that's what he's doing downstairs with the reactions to Khan. We all know that. Now it's going to be, I believe, that we don't need the Legislature anymore. All that we have to do when any issue comes up, we can go up to the press box and say, 'What shall we do with this because we want to know how the Governor's going to react to whatever you say.' Are we coming to that? I hope not. I hope not, because I think there's as much common sense, logic, reasoning, right here on the floor of this House, in the Senate too, I believe, so I think that there's no heat on that. I'm all for that. I believe that we've got to do something for corrections. But let's not put the heat and the spotlight in one area where the Governor's got it jumped so high that he's going to blow the dome off the Capitol Building every time the press says, 'Governor, what are you going to do?' I think the Governor's got to do what all of us do. When we feel
it's right, we do it. No matter the heat, no matter the heat. And I believe that the Governor ought to be what he said he was the other day on the television when he said, 'I believe what was done was reasonable and right.' But then when the media reacted he jumped. Now let's have a Governor, I don't care if he's going to be a President, because somebody said to me, 'If he's President I hope we're not in war because we're going to keep retreating.' Let's have a Governor that's a Governor that leads, leads, leads and be strong and we'll back him up 100 percent."

Speaker Mann: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Would the Sponsor yield for a question, please?"

Speaker Mann: "He indicates that he will."

Barnes: "Representative, what was the base pay for correctional workers prior to the proposals that are embodied in this Bill?"

Ewing: "716."

Barnes: "And how much will it be going to now?"

Ewing: "915."

Barnes: "915?"

Ewing: "Yes, Representative..."

Barnes: "That's 199 dollars. Is that correct? Per month?"

Ewing: "Yes."

Barnes: "Do you realize, Representative, if you extend 30 percent at that base that you will come to almost the exact figure give or take a few dollars?"

Ewing: "Mr. Speaker, if I...Representative Barnes, if I could answer your question on this. You know that this happen- ed in July and it wasn't until..."

Barnes: "No, Representative, I believe that this Bill was introduced in this Fall Veto Session but I believe it was drafted after what had taken place in July. I
believe it was also proposed in the Fall Session. At least that's the information that I received from the Governor on the indication: process and deduction of this Bill."

Ewing: "That's correct, but the salaries themselves were raised in July and that's...that's before we realized we had inflation and we had to have the limit."

Speaker Mann: "One moment...one moment...one moment..."

Barnes: "Well, Representative... I haven't quite finished."

Speaker Mann: "One moment, Representative Barnes. The t.v. light is not on, Gentlemen, and therefore will you kindly observe the rules of the House until that occasion. I'm sorry, Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I hadn't quite finished. What...well in fact what has taken place there going back to July, was any of the money...any of the money that was appropriated for personal services for the Department of Corrections as it relates to the contractual collective bargaining agreement, was that...any of that money used relative to personal services through the current period of time? Any of the current dollars, I'm talking about current appropriated dollars for Fiscal Year '79, was any of that money used for...to meet the costs of collective bargaining?"

Ewing: "The answer to that, if I understand your question correctly, would be yes."

Barnes: "Well with that...with that."

Speaker Mann: "Excuse me, Representative Barnes. Would the Gentleman in the balcony kindly observe the rules of the House. The t.v. light is not on. Now, if we have to ask that your...have you...remove you from the House, we will."

Barnes: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Well, Representative, I won't belabor the point but the point that I was making here was the same point that was being made by the
Chairman of the Appropriations Committee I, was what we have here is a situation irrespective to when those decisions were made. Those decisions were made in the current fiscal year, they were made in the current fiscal climate, they were made even after the current standards, quote - unquote, that have been emanating from the hill in Washington relative to the...relative to the standards that's been coming out of Mr. Kahn's office. As you have indicated by the dollar amount that is represented here for each individual correctional officer. You are far exceeding those guidelines, not two-fold, not three-fold, but in many cases four-fold and perhaps even five-fold in terms of the amount of money that they will be receiving. Not quite five, it's somewhere between four and five times the current amount of suggested maximum rate of increase for salaries for anyone to fall within the guidelines of Mr. Kahn's office in Washington. So what we are seeing here, what we are seeing emanating here from the office on the second floor, on the one hand saying 'Yes, it is okay for me to respond to the whims of the press when it relates to problems in our correctional system' that was propounded by the current administration in the first place...but it is quite okay for us to exceed the recommended guidelines of the pay proposals emanating from Washington some four times that amount because that would probably be a popular issue relative to myself and the press.' But on the other hand, on the other hand the hypocrisy to come down and say that relative to actions that were taken in these halls, relative to issues that was taken that relate to the General Assembly, that I am not sure that the Executive Branch should have had anything to do with in the first place except be beneficial of the actions that was taken, not taking the heat that was involved with it.
He is saying that that is perfectly okay. Well, you can't have it both ways, you can only have it one way or the other. I have never seen a salmon that could both swim upstream and downstream at the same time..."

Speaker Mann: "One moment... one moment. For what purpose does the Gentleman from Kankakee, Representative Ryan arise?"

Ryan: "Mr. Speaker, how long are we going to be subjected to these tirades that have nothing to do with the merits of the Bill? I wish you would instruct the Gentleman to keep his comments to the Bill. We're not here for political speeches, he can give those a year from now. We'd like to move on with the business of the House and get out of here."

Speaker Mann: "Representative Barnes... The Gentleman from Cook Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "I had really come to a close but I think that the major issue here is relevant directly to this Bill, for embodied in this Bill is a proposed increase for correctional workers of some 28 to 30 percent. Now, if that is not a related issue, especially since our good chief executive is saying that we should not go beyond the 7 percent guidelines because that would be inflationary. If it's inflationary on the one hand and not inflationary on the other hand I suggest to the executive on the second floor, I'm sure he is listening, that if he is a salmon and can swim both upstream and downstream at the same time we have really got a jam in that office."

Speaker Mann: "Ladies and Gentlemen, the t.v. light is on. Is there further discussion? Is there further discussion? The Lady from Cook, Representative Willer."

Willer: "Yes, would the Sponsor yield for one short question?"
Speaker Mann: "He indicates he will yield."

Willer: "What is the exact cost... I'm sorry, I don't have the figures here of the Bill, what is the exact cost of the cost of the renovation due to the riot?"

Ewing: "That was about 10 million. That was in a Bill passed out of here earlier today."

Willer: "This Bill has nothing to do with that?"

Ewing: "No, this has to do with personal services mostly. The Department of Corrections, new guards, that type of thing."

Willer: "Okay, thank you."

Speaker Mann: "Representative Ewing, to close."

Ewing: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, we have heard quite a bit of talk about this Bill here today. I would make just a couple of quick points. First of all, the increases in salary were effective August 1, before we had any guidelines. I also believe that people making $7,600 dollars a year need the raise every bit as much as people making more. We need them if we're going to have guards. It was legitimate, I think it's legitimate and we have to fund it. Second, I would reiterate to this Assembly the comment which I made yesterday when this Bill came up, that when I vote my switch, even though there may be some who would say I have a conflict of interest since this provides money for defense, I have a law partner who will and is serving as a special prosecutor. None of those funds go into my law firm or into my pocket but I want everyone here to know that when I vote my switch on this I'm voting my conscience and I would ask for a favorable vote."

Speaker Mann: "The question is, shall Senate Bill 1881 pass? All those in favor vote 'yes', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Giorgi."
I wonder if Representative Ewing would put into the record that that other...that fee doesn't go into the rent, law library, telephone or utilities too. I believe I'd put that in the record."

Speaker Giorgi: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question there are 147 voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no', 4 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having attained the three-fifths Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. The Gentleman from Champaign, Representative Johnson."

Johnson: "Mr. Giorgi, I've only been here for one term now but that has to qualify as the number one cheap shot I've heard from any Member of this General Assembly and I think you owe Mr. Ewing an apology."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Keats is voting 'aye'.
Would Speaker Redmond please come up to the podium? Mr. Speaker. On the Order of Reduction and Item Veto Motions appears a motion with respect to Senate Bill 1587. And...Representative Kane, Senate Bill 1587. The Clerk will read the motion."

Clerk O'Brien: "Motion 1. Kane. I move the House concur with the Senate in passage of the following items of Senate Bill 1587. The veto of the Governor notwithstanding. Page 50, line 3 to 10."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kane."

Kane: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this motion to override the Governor's Veto of this Two and a half Million Dollars in Senate Bill 1587 should really be considered with a similar motion to override the Governor's Veto of 21.9 million dollars in 1601, which I believe is the next motion that's on the Calendar. Both of these vetoes were vetoed by the Governor for school construction debt service grants."
When the General Assembly first authorized the school construction bond authority for 400 million dollars 100 million dollars of that was to service debts by, local school districts who had gone out and built new buildings. Of that 100 million dollars 76 million has been already sold. The amount of money that has been expended to date is 58.4 million, leaving proceeds available of the bond money...of bonds already sold, 17.6 million and for the unsold bonds out of that 100 million an additional 24 million dollars. The Office of Education and the Legislature has felt that this has been a good use of bond funds for the state to take over the debt service of the local school districts. I think that the Office of Education has circulated to every Member of the House the list of school districts that would be receiving these debt service grants. The money would go to a direct reduction of property tax levies at the local school level and I would urge the House to follow the example of the Senate and override the Governor's Veto of this Two and a half Million Dollars in House (sic) Bill 1587."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? Representative Telcser."

Telcser: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I rise to oppose the Gentleman's motion for a variety of reasons, the least of which is that the Fiscal 1979 budget does not include funds for this type of debt service grant. But more importantly, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, let me point out that a State Board of Education study and a CDB study which were done cooperatively recommend that this particular program be discontinued. Let me also point out to you, Mr. Speaker and Members, that this is not a justifiable use of bond money. This particular program fails to meet
any guidelines which are used and are necessary for
bondability guidelines adopted by CDB. These guidelines
assure bond holders that the funds are being used properly.
And I need not remind the Members that once we start to
deviate from these guidelines we could very well
jeopardize the salability of bonds and also possibly
hurt the stat's bond rating. Mr. Speaker and Members
of the House, the essence of this program is that
we will be bonding cash grants through this program.
Now, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, everyone
knows that that is not proper use of bond financing.
Bonds should be used to finance capital improvements
and these bonds ought to be issued and used pursuant
to guidelines which will maintain their marketability
and the strength of these various bonds. Let me also
say that projects which are used by this bond financing
ought to be projects which have direct responsibility
and direct connections with functions of state
government. The Gentleman's motion would not adhere
to this particular practice. We would, in effect, be
paying for projects or uses or cash grants put forth by
a local government, by a local unit of government, by
a school board. Now, Mr. Speaker and Members of the
House, it is for these reasons, just to name a few,
that I rise to oppose the Gentleman's motion. I say
to you, Mr. Speaker, that this is not a partisan issue.
I say to you, Mr. Speaker, that there's not a Member
in this Body who at one time or another does not see
a legitimate, honest need for a bond financed program
for that particular district. I say to you, Mr. Speaker,
that if we would attempt to use bond financing for this
particular program and to continue to do so we would
be jeopardizing the very projects and the very needs
for the people in our districts. So, Mr. Speaker and
Members of the House, I sincerely hope that the Members
will vote 'no' on the Gentleman's motion regarding Senate Bill 1587."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Gene Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I was the House Sponsor of the School Bond Construction Act in 1973, which provided 400 million dollars in bond authority, of which 100 million was to be used for debt service to compensate those school districts whose taxpayers had agreed to build needed school facilities without any assistance from the state. Therefore, they did not have a need at that time and would not have in any other way been able to participate in the bond program. They met the need when they saw it and it appeared that we were only going to help those who hadn't done so. It's my understanding that only 58.4 million of this 100 million that we set aside for debt retirement has been expended And 24 million of the authorization or authorized 100 million is still unsold. Also, I might point out that there's approximately 17, 18 million dollars in cash currently available in the program. Now, much of what the Sponsor of the Bill said I concur with in terms of the idea of using money on the state level to retire bonded indebtedness of school districts. Unfortunately however, eligible school districts have made their budget plans on the basis that the service grant would be forthcoming. And for this reason you are hearing so much from those districts...school districts in your legislative district that...affect it. It seems to me like where we are right now, we're in a position in which a previous General Assembly committed 100 million dollars for a purpose for which now many people feel it should not be used. My inclination at this time is to say to the school folks, this is it. This is the..."
last time that we're going to do this. We should not do this in the future. There will still be left, we will not expend the whole 100 million for the purpose, we'd be up to about 83.7 million and that we should override the Governor's Veto with the proviso that this is it. This is the last time that we do this. We are out of this business. Do not budget this in the future. We're sorry but we can't relieve your taxpayers of their property tax in this way anymore and this is it. And it's on that basis, Ladies and Gentlemen, that I reluctantly must oppose the Governor on this issue and vote to override on this Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? The question is.... shall the item on page 50, lines 3 thru 10 of Senate Bill 1587 pass, notwithstanding the veto of the Governor? All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'.

Representative Kane seeking recognition?"

Kane: "Mr. Speaker, I was just going to close the debate. What I want to do is point out some of the fallacies of the Assistant Minority Leader when he opposed this Bill in this appropriation of these bond funds, saying that it would jeopardize the state's credit and also the sale of state bonds. That frankly is hogwash. We've been selling these bonds all along. We've sold up to...we've sold 58.4 million of these bonds. They have not jeopardized the state's credit rating, they have not jeopardized the sale of state bonds. What we are saying with this program and this was set aside of 100 million dollars worth of bonds out of a total 400 million dollar program, is that we've agreed to help local school districts build needed buildings. And we've set aside 100 million to say to those school districts that had already gone ahead and built the buildings relying on themselves and not waiting for state help that, 'No, we are not going to penalize you for going..."
ahead and meeting your local needs. We're not going to penalize you for waiting for the state to come and bail you out. We're going to set aside 100 million dollars worth of these bonds to help you pay your debt service. I think that this is a legitimate use of state bond dollars that we are going to help these local districts retire their debt and I think that this is something that the state should be involved in as part of an ongoing school building program around the state and I'd urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 97 'aye' and 38 'no'. Representative Kane."

Kane: "Could we poll the absentees?"

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has requested a poll of the absentees: Mr. Clerk, will you poll the absentees?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Abramson, Adams, Antonovych, Bartulis, Beatty, Bennett, Brandt, Deavers, Domico, Epton, Ewell, Ewell votes 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ewell desires to be recorded as 'aye'. Representative Vinson wishes to be recorded as 'no'. Representative Emil Jones 'aye'. Representative Bartulis 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Ewing."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ewing 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Friedrich."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Friedrich 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Gaines."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Gaines 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Giglio."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Giglio, 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Harris."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Harris, 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Holewinski."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Pouncey, are you seeking recognition? I didn't get your...oh, okay. Proceed."


Speaker Redmond: "On this question there's 103 'aye', 41 'nay', the motion, having failed to receive the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared lost. Senate Bill 1587. Representative Telcser. Representative Telcser, 1587."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I am moving regarding motion #2, line item veto #2, to restore 744 thousand, 281 dollars in Capital Development Bonds for planning the new state office building in Chicago. The Governor vetoed out these funds in July simply because the funds were not needed at that point in time. There was a number of...there were a number of other projects for which these funds were needed that had already been started. And at that particular time of the year the funds were needed for those particular programs. Now, at this time, the money would become available if this motion prevails in order that the new state office building in Chicago can begin to be consummated and conceived on the planning board. And so, Mr. Speaker, it is for those reasons that I move to restore that 744 thousand dollars for the purpose of the Chicago state office building."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? Representative McClain."

McClain: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Gentleman yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

McClain: "Did you say the the Chicago state office building?"
Telcser: "It's a state office building located in Chicago."
McClain: "Thank you."
Speaker Redmond: "Any further discussion? Representative Totten."
Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, would the Sponsor yield for a question?"
Speaker Redmond: "He will."
Totten: "Does this office building have a stadium in it?"
Telcser: "It may in the end, who knows. It will have a health club for you, Don."
Skinner: "I'm under your left little finger there."
Speaker Redmond: "Well, you're not always here."
Skinner: "I would like to ask the Sponsor of this motion if the Capital Development Board is also going to study the remodeling of current structures rather than starting from scratch?"
Telcser: "Well, Representative, I'm really not aware of the day to day operations of CDB or whether or not they are going to study the feasibility of remodeling current structures. I'm told they do that from time to time on a variety of projects but I could not tell you what their plans are for this particular one."
Skinner: "Well, I know we have the Sherman House sitting in downtown Chicago right across the street from the present state office building and I believe it probably is capable of being renovated into a site...state office building for substantially less money than it would cost to tear down the Sherman House and to rebuild a new high-rise. It seems to me if you can't guarantee us that that alternative is going to be considered that we should not approve this $44 thousand dollar appropriation. It would seem perhaps you are ignoring...or the Capital
Development Board would be ignoring the cheapest method, as indeed they did ignore the cheapest method for building 750...1,500 new prison bids in Illinois. Can you tell us that they will...can you find out from the Capital Development Board before we vote on the Bill whether they will consider renovation of the Sherman House?"

Telcser: "I'm just informed that they will not consider renovation of the Sherman House, Representative."

Skinner: "How much will the entire project cost? 100 million, 200 million?"

Telcser: "Well this...they're only in the planning stage now, Representative Skinner. I don't even...I don't believe that they, at this point, they've even hired an architectural firm and as you know, Representative, the ultimate cost will depend upon what construction costs are at the time the project is underway. No one can predict a world-wide inflation problem which affects not only those of us as individuals and our families but inflation has a great impact upon the construction industry. And I am...I'm sure that people who plan projects this early really have no way of knowing what their ultimate costs will be and what the various bids will come in at for the various components of each individual project. So..."

Skinner: "We do know, however, that the architects fees on a completely new building will be greater than the architects fees on a remodeled building. That we know for sure."

Telcser: "Well I...you may be right, Representative. I wouldn't dispute that but I...I just know that on smaller projects I have talked with a number of people in the real estate business and they all tell me that it sometimes becomes more costly to remodel an existing structure than to build a new one. Because once
they get behind the walls and into these older buildings
they find a number of circumstances for which they
were...never planned. I know this is the case in
walk up buildings, as an example. There’s a great
deal of renovation going on in my district and I've
talked to builders and 'rehabers', I talk to them almost
every day and they always tell me the pitfalls and
the dangers of remodeling and rehabilitating existing
structures as opposed to new construction. So I...you
may be right but I really would not put that...your
statement into granite. You may be wrong, in fact."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, if I may address the question. What
I am afraid of is that the Capital Development Board will
put this building into granite, or perhaps marble.
I'm afraid we're going to build a Taj Mahal in the
loop for the state office workers who tend to leave their
offices starting about 3:00 in the afternoon if the
present state employees in Chicago are any indication.
And I just don't think this is the cheapest way to
go. I think if the Capital Development Board will not
guarantee that we are going to look into rehabilitating
current structures that are vacant or in purchasing
office buildings that are already constructed as we
had the opportunity to do during the Walker administration,
light fire to the fund raising campaign for re-election,
I think that we should not vote for this money. I
think that we are probably allowing the camel to stick
his nose underneath the tent and by the time we finish
or the subsequent General Assembly's finish, the costs
will be over 100 million dollars. Now if it only costs
100 million dollars to build a state office building
in Rockford where the costs are less than in the
building trades in Chicago it ought to cost...maybe it
will even cost more money than 100 million. I think
there are all sorts of unanswered questions, including
whether we even need a state office building...a new state office building in Chicago. Perhaps as the Speaker has suggested we should put it in DuPage County. That is a possibility that will not be considered if this appropriation is...is passed.

I would also suggest that if one wanted to put the state office building in Chicago in a logical place, one would put it between Union Station and the Chicago Northwestern Station so it would be...have max...so that the suburbanites, who do indeed make up a majority of the six county area at the present time, would have easiest access, instead of having to walk across the loop to the state office building then they could just walk two blocks, perhaps, maybe even a tunnel could be built between the Chicago Northwestern Station and Union Station. I understand that a very influential Chicagoan holds title to the property, I think it's Mr. 'Swibel'. I'm sure he would be willing to sell this land for the right price. And indeed if the office building were built perhaps we could build it on top of the stadium and we could make it convenient for everyone. I mean the state employees wouldn't even have to leave the building to go to the games then."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Satterthwaite."

Satterthwaite: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Satterthwaite: "Representative, I am not familiar enough with where the location of this building is to know whether it is justifiable or not. But could you describe to me better where it will be located? Is it in your legislative district, for instance?"

Telcser: "No, I'd be delighted to have it in mine, Representative. Unfortunately, it's not located in my legislative district, although, as I just said, I would welcome it. The location is in the Chicago downtown loop area..."
in the same general neighborhood where it is currently located. It is my opinion a very logical location because of one, public transportation and secondly, it is also...would be across the street, generally, from the Cook County Building, from the City Hall and would become a part of a complex of government offices in one immediate neighborhood. So that citizens that have business with the government can come downtown to Chicago, come to downtown Chicago where there is public transportation and where most of the Cook County, City of Chicago, and hopefully state offices would be available without having people running great distances, having to go great distances to inquire or to receive various government services."

Satterthwaite: "What would happen to the...the LaSalle Street Building and the other offices that are currently in Chicago now? Are you anticipating that all of those services would be moved into this new location?"

Telcser: "All right, it would be the intent to move as many offices as possible over to the new state office building from the existing one."

Satterthwaite: "I guess I still fail to understand how that would make it any more convenient than a location that's already in existence now, relatively close to that same area."

Telcser: "I'm sorry, Representative, could you repeat your question?"

Satterthwaite: "I fail to understand how it would be any more practical than in the existing facility that's already there."

Telcser: "Well, Representative, currently there are state offices spread all over the downtown Chicago area. As an example, on South Michigan Avenue, which is a long and great distance from the current state office building location. What we would be able to do is to
consolidate all the various locations into the new state office building. And that would be a great improvement in terms of constituent services and constituent accessibility."

Satterthwaite: "But you really cannot predict at this point how much additional money would be necessary to complete that project, right?"

Telcser: "I think that's an honest statement, correct."

Satterthwaite: "And so actually what we are being asked to vote upon is something that is a vote of confidence saying, as a matter of convenience just so we can relocate offices that are now in scattered sites, we should support a pig in the poke not knowing how much it will eventually cost. I find, after the defeat of the last motion, which definitely would have been an advantage to the real estate taxpayers of the State of Illinois, allowing in many cases a several cent reduction on 100 dollars of assessed valuation we are now being asked instead to go into a project for which there is no cost estimate and a dubious convenience to the constituency. I feel that there is no reason for us to support by state funding something as nebulous as this proposal when we have just, within the last few minutes, rejected a proposal that is an ongoing program of proven value to the taxpayers and we are indeed now forcing local taxes to go up by rejection of that proposal. In fairness, I think we cannot justify this nearly as much as the last proposal that was just defeated and I urge a 'no' vote on this motion."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lynn Martin."

Martin: "I move the previous question."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is, shall the main question be put? Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the motion carries. Representative
Telcser, to close."

Telcser: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I think that this issue has been fairly debated. Let me simply add that in the locations spread throughout the loop, aside from the current state office building, we're paying out huge sums of money in order to lease space. By putting these agencies into a new state office building we would not only be consolidating location-wise state services but we would be affecting a reasonable amount of savings to the taxpayers of the State of Illinois because we would be no longer leasing space from individuals who are private owners of existing properties. So, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I would appreciate a favorable vote on my motion regarding Senate Bill 1587."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is, shall the reduced item of appropriation on page 8, line 5, of House Bill...Senate Bill 1587, be restored to its original amount, notwithstanding the reduction of the Governor? All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Representative Cunningham."

Cunningham: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, explaining my 'no' vote in this instance, I respectfully urge you to believe that if you create yet another mammoth monument to the clout of the Chicago politicians, by building this unneeded monstrosity, you are merely underscoring the fact that downstate we do not have a muscular vote...the muscle to win in this case. Please, your priorities are 180 degrees out of phase if you authorize this expenditure. We ought to believe that until we have roads that are passable throughout the rest of the state we cannot indulge the Chicago people by this...a luxury that we cannot afford. The treasury is hemorrhaging. Vote 'no'."
Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I...we'd just like to remind the Membership of the House that this issue has been discussed for not only this Session but in the previous Sessions. And this money was in the budget in the previous Sessions. The Governor amendatorily vetoed this line item by mistake and if you read his Message to each and every one of us, he stated publicly that he did it by mistake. This is a very important project. What the Membership did not realize, I believe, is the amount of cost savings to the taxpayers with the implementation of this project and the building of this building. What we're voting on presently is the authorization for a engineering study, whether it's going to be a new building or a renovation of an existing structure, that's up the architectural study. But I don't believe there isn't anybody in this General Assembly or in this state that doesn't realize the fact that it's absolutely imperative that a new facility be built within the Chicago metropolitan area. I think it's absolutely imperative that we strongly reconsider and provide the necessary votes on this issue. One of the most important features of the Governor's Task Force on Economy in State Government, they pointed out the amount of money that would be saved if this building, if this architectural study would be implemented and be put into effect. I would strongly encourage an 'aye' vote...we'd sincerely appreciate it. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Barnes. Representative Gene Barnes. Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 91 'aye' and 52 'no'. The motion, having
received the Constitutional Majority, prevails and the item on page 8, line 5, is restored to its original amount notwithstanding the reduction of the Governor. A Message from the Senate."

Clerk O'Brien: "A Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has refused to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendments to a Bill of the following title, to wit:

Senate Bill 1879. House Amendments 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5. Action taken by the Senate December 14, 1978. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Representative Ryan in the Chair."

Speaker Ryan: "We are now going to go to the time clock. These are not new issues, they've not been discussed and we're going to put the time clock on and you're going to be held to a limit here. Turn the clock on. Now, under the Order of Reduction and Item Veto Motions appears Senate Bill 1601. Representatives Mudd and Stuffle. Who's going to present the Bill? Take it out of the record? Out of the record, Mr. Clerk. Anybody want to handle it for him? Take it out of the record. Senate Bill 1601. Representative Telcser."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, my motion... my motion deals with the same general type of issue as we just heard regarding Senate Bill 1587. This motion would restore to Senate Bill 1601 4.6 million dollars in Capital Development Bonds for the planning and the construction of the new revenue building here in Springfield. The...this amount of money was reduced out of the Bill earlier this year for the same reasons as the Governor reduced out the 744 thousand dollars from Senate Bill 1587 regarding the state office building. We are now ready to move ahead with the project, with the new revenue building in Springfield. The 4.6 million dollars would be necessary for that..."
project. And for those reasons, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I now move that the House restore the 4.6 million dollars for the purposes of building the new revenue building in Springfield."

Speaker Ryan: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Skinner, and the clock is on."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, I'm happy that the ten minute clock is on. It is perhaps appropriate that the first Bill we consider after the Senate has killed the meaningful Senior Citizen's Tax Relief Bill is a Bill to make it more efficient for Illinois..."

Speaker Ryan: "Would you confine your remarks to the Bill that we're discussing, Representative."

Skinner: "...to make it easier for Illinois bureaucrats to be able to extract money from the taxpayers. I would ask the Sponsor of the Bill if any consideration whatsoever has been given to locating...relocating the Revenue Department in the Sangamo Electric Building, which has recently been emptied of employees? Does Mr. Telcser know the answer to that question."

Telcser: "Yes. Representative, CDB did look at that particular piece of property. It did not meet the needs which the Revenue Department stated they had to have regarding this new facility and for those reasons CDB rejected that particular building for this project."

Skinner: "Will this make it more efficient to collect taxes?"

Telcser: "Well I... on the basis of what the Revenue Department tells us I'd say, yes."

Skinner: "Do you think that's a good goal?"

Telcser: "To collect taxes? I think that the collection of taxes fairly and equitably is always a good goal, don't you?"

Skinner: "Will this help collect taxes out of the State of Illinois?"

Telcser: "I think it will."
Skinner: "Will this add to traffic congestion in downtown Springfield?"

Telcser: "That may or may not be true but I'm sure the local government will be working closely with CDB in terms of looking at the traffic patterns for employees coming to and from work or from citizens seeking help in the Revenue Department Building. And I'm sure that the local Springfield Traffic Department, along with CDB, can work out any traffic problems if in fact they would exist."

Skinner: "I appreciate your indulgence, Mr. Sponsor."

Telcser: "Thank you, Representative."

Speaker Ryan: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Terzich."

Terzich: "Yes, Representative Telcser, will this build a big dynasty in downstate here, I mean, outside the City of Chicago?"

Telcser: "Well, Representative, it won't build anymore of a dynasty downstate than the state office building will build in Chicago."

Terzich: "Well I would like to recommend you, Roscoe Cunningham would like to be a building manager, so if you have a Supplemental..."

Telcser: "Well, now that Roscoe is going to be retired I'm sure the Department will be glad to talk with him."

Speaker Ryan: "Is there any further discussion? Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I don't know why but I always hear about new buildings being put in Springfield. How about sending some to Lake County, Illinois, Art, it's about time."

Speaker Ryan: "Is there any further meaningful discussion? If not, the Gentleman from Cook to close, Representative Telcser."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, let me simply
say in closing that a number of Members have suggested that we send to Senator-Elect Geo-Karis a mental institution for her district or a prison. You may be interested in that, Senator. And if so, I suggest next Session that we work together to bring some facilities to your district. Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I appreciate a favorable Roll Call.”

Speaker Ryan: "The question is, shall the reduced item of appropriation on page 20, line 10, of Senate Bill 1601 be restored to its original amount, notwithstanding the reduction of the Governor? All in favor signify by voting 'aye' and all opposed by voting 'nay'."

Speaker Ryan: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Getty, to explain his vote. Okay, my error. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Last call, have all voted who wish? Representative Lechowicz, to explain his vote."

Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker, this item should be restored as the other item has been restored. I believe it's been pointed out that the Revenue Building is long overdue. There was some discussion as far as the location of the building. They figure since Springfield is the Capital of this fine State, it should be centrally located, available to every constituent in this state. As far as any traffic congestion I believe most of us deal with the Department of Revenue either by letter or by phone and I think that any favorable consideration of this item should be restored."

Speaker Ryan: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 89 'aye' and 54 'nay' and the Representative from Madison County, Mr. Byers, has requested a verification. Representative Telcsler has requested a poll of the absentees. Representative Lechowicz, for what purpose do you arise?"
Lechowicz: "I'm sorry, Sir, did you ask for a poll of the absentees?"

Ryan: "Yes."

Lechowicz: "And would you kindly ask the Speaker to come out?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Abramson."

Speaker Ryan: "Come out, Mr. Speaker."


Speaker Ryan: "Would you, Mr. Clerk, call the Affirmative Roll now, please? Representative Harris wishes to be recorded 'aye'. Representative Leverenz wishes to be recorded 'aye'. Representative Campbell wishes to be recorded 'aye'. Representative Wolf wishes to be recorded 'aye'. Representative Wikoff wishes to be recorded 'aye'. Representative Ralph Dunn wishes to be recorded 'aye'. Representative Bennett wishes to be recorded 'aye'. Does the Gentleman persist in his verification? The Gentleman persists in his verification. Mr. Clerk, would you call the Affirmative Roll, please?"

Clerk O'Brien: "E.M. Barnes. Jane Barnes."

Speaker Ryan: "Record Representative Ebbesen as 'aye', please. Representative Lechowicz, for what reason do you arise?"

Lechowicz: "I'm sorry, Sir, could the Clerk tell us what we're starting at?"

Speaker Ryan: "What's the count, Mr. Clerk? 97 'aye'... Would you record Representative Stuffle as 'aye'? That would make 98 'ayes'."
Lechowicz: "Thank you, Sir."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Darrow wishes to be recorded as 'aye'. Representative Birchler, recorded 'aye'. Does the Gentleman still persist in his verification? Pardon? The Gentleman insists on a verification.

Representative Brummer. Record him 'aye'. Representative O'Brien. Record him 'aye'. Representative Hoffman, 'aye'. Representative Mulcahey 'aye'.

Now is there anybody else that wants to be recorded before we continue with Representative Byer's request for a verification? Do you still persist, Mr. Byers?"

Byers: "Mr. Speaker, I do think that there's a number of people now that formerly were against the Bill that are for it and I'm sure now that it has the number of votes that it's needed. There is some ghost voting on the Bill, people that's been gone for a long, long time and I'm sure they didn't want to be recorded for it but I will withdraw my objection, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Ryan: "What's the final count, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "104 'aye' and 44 'no'."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Byers."

Byers: "Yes, Representative Robinson came over and told me how badly he needed this building for Springfield so I'm going to withdraw my recommendation."

Speaker Ryan: "Did you want to be recorded as 'aye', Representative?"

Byers: "No."

Speaker Ryan: "On this question there are 104 'aye', 44 'nay'. This motion, having received the Constitutional Majority, prevails and the item on page 20, line 10, of Senate Bill 1601 is restored to the original amount, notwithstanding the reduction of the Governor. Senate Bill 1601, a motion by Representative Brady. The Clerk will read the motion."
Clerk O'Brien: "Motion. I move that the House concur with the Senate to restore the following reduced item to the appropriation in Senate Bill 1601, the Governor...the reduction of the Governor notwithstanding, page 29, line 12. Signed by Representative Brady."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Brady, to explain your motion."

Brady: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and fellow Members...."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Brady, could I interrupt you for just one moment, please? We have a former Member of the House and a former Member of the Senate in the chamber and he's getting ready to dash out so, former Senator and Representative, Meade Baltz, is here. He used to represent I think the 42nd or the 43rd District. Continue, Representative Brady."

Brady: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and fellow Members. The restoration I am seeking is a line item to restore the amount to 15 million dollars for the authorization of school construction in Chicago. The original legislation was to...designated to put 300 million in bonding authorization for school construction in the State of Illinois, 200 million downstate and 100 million to Chicago. Chicago has expended 40 million of its 100 million and requested 15 million for 9 schools to be constructed in this next year. In talks with the Governor's Office, what happened was that Chicago told the Governor's Office that they could only spend 2 million of that 15 million this year so they took the line item of 15 and reduced it to 2. The problem is, to let the bids and the contracts in the next six months period of time, Chicago needs that 15 million committed that is in the School Construction Fund and authorized for their use. As of October 31st the Comptroller's Office showed that the balance in the School Construction Fund is 38.2 million dollars.

So we're not talking about any new state money, or we're...."
not talking about state money that can be freed up for another source. It is in the School Construction Fund, we want to authorize it here for the construction of the 15 million in Chicago. Only 2 will be expended in the next six months, but the 15 million is necessary to let the contracts and I urge your support of this motion."

Speaker Ryan: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Telcser."

Telcser: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, it's my understanding that the Governor vetoed this item out of the Bill because of what he perceived to be a fiscal impact upon the State's General Revenue Fund which we could not afford at this particular time. There seems to be a difference of opinion as to whether or not there would indeed even be a fiscal impact at this....at the present time or perhaps at a date a few years down the road. So, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, on the assumption that there would be a fiscal impact in the current year, which we could not afford, I'm going to vote against the Gentleman's motion."

Speaker Ryan: "Is there any further discussion? Representative Brady, to close."

Brady: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I think I fully explained that there is 38.2 million as of October 31st sitting in the School Construction Fund that can't be used for any other purpose. It does not affect the General Revenue Fund at all and I urge your support of this motion."

Speaker Ryan: "The question is, shall the reduced item of appropriation on page 29, line 12, of Senate Bill 1601, be restored to its original amount, notwithstanding the reduction of the Governor? All in favor will signify by voting 'aye' and all opposed by voting 'nay'.
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Have all voted—who—wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. This motion, having failed...having 80 'aye', 52 'no', 4 voting 'present', having failed to receive the Constitutional Majority... Representative Lechowicz, for what reason do you arise?"

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to poll the absentees. This is a very important facet as far as the Board of Education in the City of Chicago and I'd like to see if we can restore this money."

Speaker Ryan: "The Gentleman has requested a poll of the absentees, Mr. Clerk. Representative Robinson, for what reason do you arise?"

Robinson: "Vote me 'yes'."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Robinson would like to be voted 'aye'. Any other changes before we poll the absentees? Poll the absentees, Mr. Clerk."


Speaker Ryan: "Representative Darrow should be recorded as 'aye', Mr. Clerk. Representative Mugalian, for what reason do you rise?"

Mugalian: "Mr. Speaker, I'm voting for this Bill but I can see there are at least 8 green lights here of people that have left 2 hours ago. I know we're going to have a verification. I wonder if we could have another Roll Call, let's just vote our own switches, cause we have to get out of here sometime tonight."

Speaker Ryan: "Continue with the poll of the absentees."

Clerk O'Brien: "DiPrima."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative DiPrima wishes to be recorded 'aye'. Representative Caldwell wishes to be voted 'aye'. Representative Harris 'aye'. Representative Steczo wishes to be recorded 'aye'. Representative Friedland wishes to be recorded as 'no'. Continue with
the poll, Mr. Clerk.


Speaker Ryan: "Representative Peters wishes to be recorded as 'aye'."


Speaker Ryan: "Record Representative Conti as 'aye'.

Representative Bennett wanted...would like to be recorded as 'aye'. Who else? Representative Pechous, for what reason do you rise?"

Pechous: "Please record me as voting 'aye' on Senate Bill 1601."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Willer, for what reason do you rise?"

Willer: "Well...record me 'yes'."

Speaker Ryan: "Pardon?"

Willer: "Record me 'aye'."

Speaker Ryan: "Record the Lady as 'aye'. What's the count now, Mr. Clerk? The count is now 91 'aye' and 52 'no'. On this question there are 91 'aye' and 52 'noes' and his motion, having received a Constitutional Majority, prevails and the item on page 29, line 12, of Senate Bill 1601 is restored to its original amount, notwithstanding the reduction of the Governor. On Supplemental Calendar #4 under the Order of Nonconcurrence we have Senate Bill 1879. Amendments 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5.

Representative Polk."

Polk: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, we've had an interesting afternoon on this particular piece of legislation. We sent this Bill to the Senate with 5 Amendments. The Senate saw fit to knock off all 5
Amendments, which means in effect that effective the 15th of January the Department will lay off 465 positions state-wide. Now, this isn't just in the City of Chicago, near the County of Cook, this is state-wide. This means that we can no longer pay the rent at 910 South Michigan for the remaining 7 months. We cannot pay for equipment already obligated, in some instances already purchased. Mr. Chairman, I'm moving that we do not and we refuse to recede from the Senate's motion."

Speaker Ryan: "The Gentleman has moved to refuse...that the House refuse to recede from House Amendments 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5. Is that right, Representative Polk?"

Polk: "That's right."

Speaker Ryan: "Is there any discussion? If not, the question is... Representative Byers."

Byers: "Well, Mr. Speaker, is he going to let the Bill die or is he going to...

Byers: "Is he going to let the Bill die? Or is he going to ask for a Conference Committee?"

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Polk, do you want to add the request for a Conference Committee to the motion?"

Polk: "Yes, it now goes back to the Senate."

Speaker Ryan: "Pardon?"

Polk: "It now goes back to the Senate."

Speaker Ryan: "Did you want to request a Conference Committee."

Polk: "We'll request a Conference Committee on the 10th of January."

Speaker Ryan: "You have to make your request now, Representative."

Polk: "I will...then I will so do."

Speaker Ryan: "Representative Kane, did you seek recognition?"

All right, the Gentleman's motion is that the House nonconcur or refuse to recede...the motion is the House refuse to recede from Amendments 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 and request a Conference Committee. The question
is on the motion. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', all opposed signify by saying 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it and the House refuses to recede and requests a Conference Committee. Representative Telcser, would you handle House Bill 3423, please, on Supplemental Calendar #2? On the Order of Concurrence under Supplemental Calendar #2 the Order of Concurrence is House Bill 3423. The Senate Amendment #1. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Telcser."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3422 deletes the...an appropriation of 192 thousand 713 dollars in the Public Utility Fund. It adds 140 thousand 900 dollars to the General Revenue Fund and the Public Utility Fund. The funds break down in this fashion, the General Revenue Fund transfer from the Department of 'R and E', 70 thousand dollars, General Revenue Fund transfer from the Department of BED and 30 thousand dollars, from the Public Utility Fund, 40 thousand 900 dollars. Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I've explained to you what the substance of the...of Senate Amendment #1 is to House Bill 3423 and Mr. Speaker and Members it is my understanding the Sponsor of the Bill was not in agreement with the substance of the Amendment and I therefore move the House do not concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3423."

Speaker Ryan: "Is there any discussion on the Gentleman's motion? The Gentleman moves that the House do not concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3423. All in favor will signify by saying 'aye', all opposed will say 'no' and the 'ayes' have it and the House does not concur in House Bill 3423. On Supplemental
Calendar #2 under the Order of Concurrence is House Bill 3427, Senate Amendments 1 and 3. The Lady from Cook, Representative Catania."

Catania: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. House Bill 3427 is the appropriation of 232 thousand dollars of Federal Funds to the Fair Employment Practices Commission. The Senate put 2 Amendments on this Bill. The 1st Amendment requires that the money be spent for contractual services as the Department had indicated that it intended to spend it. Amendment #3, which is the other one with which we are moving to concur is a reduction of 36...38 thousand 400 dollars. It is with some reluctance that I move to concur with these 2 Amendments, particularly with the one that reduces it. However, the people at the agency are nice people and they don't want to keep us here for a long time so they have asked me to move to concur and I make that motion to concur with both Amendments 1 and 3 that the Senate has put on this Bill."

Speaker Ryan: "Any discussion on the Lady's motion? The question is, shall the House concur in Senate Amendments 1 and 3 to House Bill 3427? All in favor will signify by voting 'aye' and those opposed by voting 'no'.

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. Representative Hoffman, 'aye'. Representative Laurino 'aye'. I guess we'd better dump this Roll Call and take a new one. Now, Representative Skinner, don't be ill-tempered. All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted... Take the record..."

Clerk O'Brien: "Representative Hart in the Chair."

Speaker Hart: "Have all voted who wish? On this question there are 123 'aye', 16 'no', 2 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional three-
fifths Majority, is hereby declared passed and the House does concur with Senate Amendments 1 and 3 to House Bill 3427. The Gentleman from Taylorville, Mr. Tipsword."

Tipsword: "Mr. Speaker, just a matter of inquiry. It's been a little difficult to follow the Calendar and where we are. What...what are our intentions this evening and what have we got to do yet?"

Speaker Hart: "Couldn't you have asked that question about five minutes earlier?"

Tipsword: "I thought sure you'd been keeping track."

Speaker Hart: "We have completed the Supplemental Calendars 1, 2, 3 and 4. There are still some remaining items on the Regular Calendar and we're waiting for the Senate to take action on some Bills. They may have to come back and we may have to come back. Mr. Giorgi, Agreed Resolutions."


Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, 1245 by Jane Barnes talks about Mrs. Elizabeth Hahn. 1246 by Kelly talks about a golden anniversary. 1247 by Kelly eulogized a 7th anniversary and Pullen recognizes the rights of people. And I move the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions."

Speaker Hart: "Is there any discussion? All in favor of the motion signify by voting 'aye', opposed 'no'. Voice vote, saying 'aye';'aye', those opposed 'no'. The 'ayses' have it and the Resolutions are adopted. On the...on page 5 of the Regular Calendar under the Order of Speaker's Table appears certain Resolutions. Is Representative Taylor in the chambers? It's page 5 on my Calendar. It begins on page 5. House Resolution 154. Representative Taylor. Is the Gentleman in the chamber?"
Taylor: "Mr. Speaker, I move for the adoption of House Resolution 154. It's a simple Resolution where it's going to give that agent an opportunity to go out and investigate the claims that has been alleged by...and then the...(unintelligible) interest to them."

Speaker Hart: "The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Would he yield to a question?"

Speaker Hart: "He indicates that he will."

Matijevich: "Who alleged the claims? That's all right, I was just wondering..."

Speaker Hart: "Representative Taylor."

Taylor: "That was by the Department of...Public Aid, Cook County Public Aid during the time when..."

Matijevich: "I just wondered who was 'alleging' around here, I thought it was an alligator."

Speaker Hart: "I think everybody's doing a little of it. Is there any further discussion? All right, Representative Taylor, do you wish to close the debate?"

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker, I think everyone is familiar with this Resolution, I simply move for its adoption."

Speaker Hart: "The question is, shall the House adopt House Resolution 154? We're going to have a Roll Call on this because it will require the expenditure of money and it will require 89 votes. All in favor vote 'aye', all opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Representative Taylor."

Taylor: "Thanks, Mr. Speaker. This Bill, Resolution, deals with the Medley Movers. There's been some cases made and persons have said that they were not true. I simply want the agency to investigate those charges that have been made so that I can bring it back to this House and let you know exactly what the findings are and I solicit your support. I need a few more votes."
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Speaker Hart: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, while Representative Taylor is trying to round up some votes, I noticed that some of the Members of the Investigating Commission aren't voting on this issue or are voting 'no' and I wonder if Mr. Taylor could take a minute and tell us how many investigations you held this year and if you arrested anybody?"

Speaker Hart: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Maybe he might tell us if he retired that crime buster, Charlie Seragusa, who couldn't track a bleeding elephant through snow."

Speaker Hart: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, I think if he ever could use a lot of these IBI guys, I find that they're running over each other trying to find some work, you know they dismantled the IBI and they're out in the boondocks writing traffic tickets. Maybe Taylor could use some of those guys."

Speaker Hart: "Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question there are 93 'aye', 22 'no', 2 voting 'present' and the House does adopt House Resolution 154. House Resolution 400. Representative Catania. Take that one out of the record. House Resolution 403. Representative Catania."

Catania: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. This is a Resolution that's been on the Calendar for quite some time, you might have wanted to amend it really because the Gentleman that it commends has done all sorts of great things for us lately. This only comments on his service to women. What it does is
to commend Senator John Knuppel on his dedication
to law and justice in his concern for the equitable
status of women. Now, I realize that he's helped
men as well as women lately and you might all want
to just let him know how utterly you appreciate his
efforts by supporting this Resolution."

Speaker Hart: "The Gentleman from Adams, Representative
McClain."

McClain: "Would the Lady answer a question, please?"
Speaker Hart: "She indicates that she will."
Catania: "I'll try."

McClain: "Susan, is this a serious Resolution? I mean like
in voting 'aye' John's not going to get real
perturbed, I mean there's no tongue in cheek comments
throughout the Resolution or anything?"
Catania: "I wouldn't do anything to irritate John, believe
me."

McClain: "I mean, there are no tongue in cheek comments
throughout..."
Catania: "No. No, no, it really is very sincere because
he has definitely espoused the cause of Illinois
women. He really has. And the Executive Committee
agreed, which is why this got out on the Calendar.
It was sent to the Executive Committee for their
consideration and it's out here now for our vote
and it seems like a good day to express appreciation
to him."

Speaker Hart: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative
Keats."

Keats: "I just wanted to ask a question. Is John Knuppel
the only person mentioned in this Resolution?"
Catania: "Yes, he is, but I'll be happy to attach the Roll
Call if you all want to vote for it so he'll understand
that we all appreciate him."

Keats: "No, I'm perfectly... I just wanted to make sure there
wasn't someone we were unaware of being commended to."

Catania: "No, we're not appreciating anybody but John Knuppel in here."

Keats: "I'm in favor of the Resolution then. Thank you very much."

Speaker Hart: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Walsh."

Walsh: "Well, Mr. Speaker, since we're commending people for what they've done for women, I see House Resolution 400 has been passed over. Was that done in error?"

Speaker Hart: "No, that was removed at the request of the Sponsor."

Walsh: "Well I would move then, Mr. Speaker, that we include that with House Resolution 403 and consider the two of them together."

Speaker Hart: "You're out of order."

Walsh: "Why am I out of order?"

Speaker Hart: "Because I said so. Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, now that I've had a chance to glance at the rules I concur that he's out of order. The Sponsor withdrew the Resolution."

Speaker Hart: "You don't need to refer to the rules to determine that, Representative Geo-Karis. Is there any further discussion? The question is, shall House Resolution 403 pass? We'll have a voice vote. All in favor of the Resolution indicate by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it and the Resolution is adopted. House Resolution 404. Representative Catania."

Catania: "Well, this one was to commend Representative Terzich, but as Representative Simms points out, he would be too modest to accept it so I'd like to take it out of the record."
Speaker Hart: "You want to take it out of the record or do you want to table it?"

Catania: "I'd rather leave it on the Calendar because it's nice to have it there. But could I just take it out of the record for now? He might do something nice if we come back."

Speaker Hart: "Well the likelihood is very...slim, but we will take it out of the record in deference to the Sponsor. House Resolution 457. Representative Steczo. Is the Gentleman in the chamber? Take it out of the record. We'll get back to it possibly. House Joint Resolution 26. Representative Stearney. Okay, we'll go back to Representative Steczo on House Resolution 457."

Steczo: "Okay. Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. House Resolution 457 is...attempts to address a problem that we've been debating here for the last few years. What it attempts to do is to set up a House Committee which would report to us and would have investigatory powers to try to determine what goes on relative to Workmen's Compensation rates in the State of Illinois. It's something that I think we debate constantly. It's something that I feel we've never received any pertinent answers to. It's about time, I feel, that we have a select Committee in this House with the powers to subpoena to try to find out the kind of information that's necessary. The Committee would report back in the near future and perhaps once and for all we could find out the kind of decision-making process that the insurance companies go through to determine what kind of Workmen's Compensation rates...or how they're determined rather. One of the reasons I feel this Resolution is so important is because right now we are being faced with another increase in Workmen's
Compensation costs and I think it's about time that the insurance companies were made accountable to the House of Representatives and the Senate. It's important too because we are expected to take the heat constantly while these insurance companies are let off and I would appreciate any support for House Resolution 457."

Speaker Hart: "Representative Hanahan."

Hanahan: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I support this Resolution primarily because I think the truth should be told about the almost unbelievable conspiracy that takes place in setting and requesting the costs of Workmen's Compensation Insurance in this state. Right now the Department of Insurance has just recently denied another increase request. At the same time Judge Burge has ordered a rebate that's costing insurance companies 50 some million dollars of overcharges to the business community of this state for insurance, for Workmen's Compensation Insurance. I think as long as this House has to take the abuse and the heat and all the guff from the business community who are being misled through the propaganda laid out by the insurance companies that sell Workmen's Compensation Insurance in this state, we should at least know why we're going to take that guff. We should have some ability of sifting through all of the baloney that has been handed out on why we are the bad guys on trying to give decent benefits to an injured worker or his spouse. I can just suggest to you that if the insurance companies have nothing to hide they'd be welcoming an investigation by the House of Representatives that will truly try to sift out the fat from the meat and try to come to some reasonable conclusions on why Workmen's Compensation Insurance costs have skyrocketed at the same time the
profits, the profit levels of the Workmen's Compensation carriers have skyrocketed along with it. And what they're doing with the reserves, the hundreds of millions of dollars that they're charging the employers today just to put the money into their reserve accounts. I could just suggest that it would be an interesting report, I'm sure, that any worthwhile study will come with it and give us some insight on just how Workmen's Compensation Insurance is really being manipulated and the employers of this state are really being taken to task, including some public bodies are really being taken over and ripped off by Workmen's Compensation Insurance costs. I just urge a favorable vote."

Speaker Hart: "The Gentleman from Champaign, Representative Wikoff."

Wikoff: "Well, will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Hart: "He indicates that he will."

Wikoff: "Is...does this Committee or investigation also include the Industrial Commission?"

Steczo: "The purpose of the Resolution was simply to address the problem of insurance companies, at the present time doesn't deal with the Industrial Commission. Mainly because during our debates in the past as to Workmen's Compensation rates it seems that we've had various sides of the business community and the labor community debating with each other. But when we have attempted to try to find information from the insurance companies themselves they're nowhere to be found. So I think we have to address that kind of a thing. We have to address the way in which the actuaries work for insurance companies and try to determine exactly how those rates are set."

Speaker Hart: "Representative Wikoff."

Wikoff: "May I make one comment?"
Speaker Hart: "Proceed."

Wikoff: "I think what you're doing is laudable and I have no objection to that but I wish you would include this to include the Industrial Commission because I think therein lies part of the problems of some of the excessive rates that we have."

Speaker Hart: "The Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Tuerk."

Tuerk: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House."

House, it seems to me that in the closing hours of the Spring Session we had a similar Resolution to this. As I recall we defeated that resoundingly and I think we should do the same thing on this Resolution for the simple reason that we have a Labor Laws Commission, we have an Insurance Committee, we have all kinds of Committees in this House to take a look at such as exposed in this Resolution, plus the fact that I think the people on the other side of the aisle come up with these phony Resolutions to do these things when actually we can look back to 1975 and understand the reasons for, quote, the excessive increases in Workmen's Comp. Insurance coverage, unquote, because these are the very things that we kept telling those people on the other side of the aisle when they passed the bad Bills back in '75. I think all this is is a lot of window dressing. I think we have the proper Committees in the House right now to investigate this sort of thing and I think this type of Resolution deserves a death."

Speaker Hart: "The Gentleman from Madison, Representative Byers."

Byers: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise in support of this motion. I think this is a very good thing for us to have a study of this and I don't see why the insurance companies have anything to fear if their rates
have not been too high. I think this is a thing that we're doing for the business community of the State of Illinois and also doing for the working people of Illinois to see if they're getting...the rates are fair and just and equitable. This is a motion that should be approved and I don't think it's a Democratic issue or a Republican issue, it's an issue that's going to be...to help both the business people and the working people in Illinois and everybody should vote 'aye' on this Amendment...motion."

Speaker Hart: "The Gentleman from Coles, Representative Stuffle."

Stuffle: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members. I rise in support of the Resolution. It seems to me odd that we keep being attacked who are for labor, generally so or most of the time, that those people who tend to be for business all the time would attack a Resolution that will bring the facts to bear on both sides of the issue. It seems to me about time that we broke up the collusive little agreement between the Chamber of Commerce and the insurance companies in this state, if it does exist. If it doesn't then I don't see why they don't want us to know it doesn't exist. This ought to be passed for the benefit of business or labor or whoever has the facts on their side. If you're afraid, on labor's side, that the benefits are the cause, you ought to oppose it. But I hear labor people standing here and supporting it. But I hear business people standing here and opposing it. Who's afraid? It seems obvious that the fears are not on the side of those who voted for the benefits or voted to sustain the benefits. Time after time I get calls from the Chamber of Commerce, the manufacturers, and they say, 'Will you reconsider your position?' I say, 'Yeah, if you'll offer
us a fair compromise, not to cut the heart out of all the benefits but to make them fair.' Also, I asked them, 'Why won't you let us take a real look at the issue?' And the same thing occurs today that occurs every other day, the shrill voices get up for business and the insurance companies and say, 'We don't have anything to hide, but we don't want you to look at the facts.' We ought to look at the facts and we ought to pass this Resolution today.'

Speaker Hart: "The Gentleman from Kane, Representative Friedland."

Friedland: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Hart: "The Gentleman from Kane has moved the previous question. All in favor of the previous question say 'aye': 'aye', opposed 'no'. The motion carries and the previous question passed. Back to Representative Steczo to close the debate."

Steczo: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House..."

Speaker Hart: "Just a minute, Representative Steczo. The Lady from Lake has a point of order she'd like to make."

Geo-Karis: "Point of Order, Mr. Speaker. I notice in that Resolution that the report is due June 1, 1978. Would the Gentleman care to amend his Resolution?"

Speaker Hart: "Would you like leave to amend your Resolution on the face to have a...what date would you suggest?"

Steczo: "June 1, 1979."

Speaker Hart: "All right, is leave...leave is granted to amend the Resolution on its face to change the reporting date to June 1, 1979. Representative Steczo."

Steczo: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I think the fact that we've seen so much disagreement every time that we discuss Workmen's Compensation rates and it's always the Legislature that takes all the heat. And I'd like to
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take you back a few years when we had an alleged mal-
practice crisis in the State of Illinois alone. Now...
or an actual malpractice crisis. We had time after
time doctors as groups coming down, time after time
trial lawyers groups coming down to Springfield debating
between themselves. But any time, any kind of information
was requested from those people who could actually
make a difference, the insurance companies, again they
were nowhere to be found. We find ourselves in one
insurance crisis after another and I think it's important
for us, the Members of the House, who are going to
ultimately take the heat or ultimately decide what's
going to happen, to really know once and for all
exactly how these rates are determined. I think it's
important for us to know and I would ask for an
affirmative vote. Thank you."

Speaker Hart: "The question is, shall House Resolution 457
be adopted? All in favor vote 'aye', all opposed
vote 'no'. The Lady from Lake, Representative Geo-
Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the
House, I think it's high time we find out the truth.
We've heard stories that the insurance companies are
at fault, the employers are at fault, I think it's
high time that we do find out the truth. I think the
Resolution is commendable and if anyone's telling
the truth they have nothing to fear and I certainly
support it."

Speaker Hart: "The Representative from DuPage, Representative
Hudson, to explain his vote...he waives. Have all
voted who wish? Take the record. On this question
there are 120 'aye', 6 voting 'no' and House Resolution
457 is adopted. Next page. Page 6, top of the page.
Okay, we'll get there. House Joint Resolution 26.
Representative Stearney. The Gentleman is not on the
floor. Take that out of the record. House Joint Resolution 42. Representative Porter. Not on the floor, take it out of the record. House Joint Resolution...Representative Bradley. Out of the record. Senate Joint Resolution 105, Representative Van Duyne. Representative Leinenweber indicates that he will handle SJR 105 on the floor."

Leinenweber: "The reason we put it in as a Joint Resolution, we thought it would save the time of both Houses to have one for the Joliet Catholic High School football team who won unprecedented fourth straight Class 4-A Football Championship and I kind of thought it would go on the...the other list but I would move its adoption."

Speaker Hart: "Is there any discussion? All in favor of the adoption of Senate Joint Resolution 105 indicate by saying 'aye'; 'aye', opposed 'no'. The Resolution is adopted. The Gentleman from Sangamon, Representative Jones."

Jones: "We still have on the Calendar an Amendatory Veto motion on Senate Bill 1617 that hasn't been called, I'd like to have it called."

Speaker Hart: "We'll get to it. On the Order of Motions on pages 6 and 7 and 8 there are several motions. Is there any of the Sponsors of any of those motions who wish to table any of them? Representative Keats, are you wanting attention or..."

Keats: "The Order of Motions...on the Order of Motions I move we adjourn sine die."

Speaker Hart: "Well, you weren't recognized for that purpose although in my heart... Does anyone wish to table any of the motions that they are the Sponsors of on the pages that I've just indicated? Representative Bluthardt."

Bluthardt: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I move to table House Resolution
467 on page 8. I appreciate the fact that that dealt with the question of the eligibility of a Member of this House. I'm satisfied now that she's had a second chance, the voters being fully advised in the matter have put her back here and I move to table that Resolution."

Speaker Hart: "Leave is granted...and House Resolution 467 is tabled. Are there any other Sponsors? Representative McMaster. Representative McMaster."

McMaster: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I see I have a motion to discharge Appropriations I on House Bill 2967. I would move that that motion be struck."

Speaker Hart: "Is there objection?"

McMaster: "Go to hell."

Speaker Hart: "Leave is granted and House Resolution...House Bill... and the motion to discharge House Bill 2967 from Appropriations Committee #I is tabled, or withdrawn. Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 1574 had been discarded for another Appropriation Bill which has passed both Houses and I move that Senate Bill...the motion on Senate Bill 1574 be tabled. The motion on Senate Bill 1574."

Speaker Hart: "What page is that on?"

Geo-Karis: "That's on page 7, Sir."

Speaker Hart: "1674?"

Geo-Karis: "15...1574."

Speaker Hart: "Oh, 1574. The Lady asks leave to withdraw her motion on Senate Bill 1574. Is leave granted? Leave being granted the motion is withdrawn. The Gentleman from Harden, Representative Winchester."

Winchester: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I am the Sponsor of House Bill
2966, it's on page 6 under motions. I would now ask for leave that that motion be tabled."

Speaker Hart: "What was the number?"

Winchester: "House Bill 2966."

Speaker Hart: "Wait a minute, I'm getting my picture taken. Okay...okay, get this show going. Is there objection?

Leave is granted to the Gentleman to withdraw his motion to House Bill 2966. Anybody else? Representative Kempiners."

Kempiners: "As the Sponsor of House Bill 2964 I would ask that we table the motion that we have on the Calendar on page 6 regarding that Bill."

Speaker Hart: "Is there objection? Leave is granted and the motion to...with reference to House Bill 2964 is withdrawn. Are there others? Senate Bill 1582. The Gentleman from Sangamon, Representative Jones, asks leave to withdraw his motion with regard to that Bill. Is there objection? Hearing none, leave is granted and the motion to Senate Bill 1582 is withdrawn. The Gentleman from Vermillion, Representative Campbell."

Campbell: "Mr. Speaker, I make the same motion with respect... regarding Senate Bill 1585."

Speaker Hart: "Is there objection? Leave is granted to withdraw the motion to Senate Bill 1585. Are there others? McCourt. Representative McCourt."

McCourt: "Mr. Speaker, on page 8, House Joint Resolution Constitutional Amendment 35. I move that that be withdrawn."

Speaker Hart: "Is there objection? Leave is granted to withdraw the motion with reference to HJRCA 35. Are there others? Are there others? I'm not going to hold this order of business too long. Representative Totten."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I have a motion on page 8
in regards to HJRC A 44, I'd like to call that."

Speaker Hart: "We'll get there."

Totten: "That's what I've been told for a whole year."

Speaker Hart: "Do you wish to move that to Third Reading?"

Totten: "Yes, I'd like to take the motion which says to change the Order of Business to Constitutional Amendments. I'd like to go to that and then move on 44."

Speaker Hart: "We'll get to it. The Gentleman from Coles, Representative Edgar."

Edgar: "Did I interrupt Representative Totten?"

Speaker Hart: "No. We were done with him."

Edgar: "I'd like to withdraw the motion I filed last Session on House Bill 2972."

Speaker Hart: "Is there objection? Hearing none, leave is granted and the motion to House Bill 2972 is withdrawn. Representative Ralph Dunn."

Dunn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I have a motion on Senate Bill 1572 on the Calendar and I'd like to have it withdrawn if I could."

Speaker Hart: "Is there objection? Hearing none, the motion to Senate Bill 1572 is withdrawn. Yeah, we're ready for it but I'm not authorized to accept it. Are there others who wish to take action similar to that with reference to the motions on pages 6, 7 and 8? Representative Totten."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I am still prepared to call my motion there and since there's nothing else pending I believe it would be in order to go to that motion."

Speaker Hart: "I'm advised that it would require too much time of the House to do that at this time."

Totten: "I would make it very simple."

Speaker Hart: "Is Representative Ewing in the chamber? Do you wish to take any action on...do you wish to take
any action on you motion on page 6 with reference to House Bill 2845?"

Ewing: "Mr. Speaker, I think all those are going to die in good time. You can remove all of mine from the Calendar that are resting there."

Speaker Hart: "Do you want that one withdrawn? Is there objection? Leave is granted to withdraw the motion to House Bill 2845. Representative Bennett. Is the Gentleman in the chamber? Do you wish to take any action on your motion with reference to House Bill 2968? Representative Bennett."

Bennett: "I wish to withdraw that."

Speaker Hart: "Is there objection? Leave is granted and the motion to House Bill 2968 is withdrawn. Representative Rigney, do you wish to take any action with reference to your motion on House Bill 2971? Do you wish to withdraw that motion? Is there objection? Hearing none, leave is granted and the motion to House Bill 2971 is withdrawn. The Gentleman from Champaign, Representative Johnson."

Johnson: "The same motion as to my motion as to House Bill 1597...1597. I'm sorry, Senate Bill 1597."

Speaker Hart: "Is there objection? Hearing none, the motion to Senate Bill 1597 is withdrawn. Representative Collins."

Collins: "Mr. Speaker, the same motion. I would like leave to withdraw my motion pertaining to House Joint Resolution Constitutional Amendment 32."

Speaker Hart: "Is there objection? Hearing none, the motion to HJRCA 32 is withdrawn. Representative Winchester, did you wish to make a motion to withdraw your motion to Senate Bill 1863, which appears...appears at the top of page 8?"

Winchester: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, table that motion."

Speaker Hart: "Is there objection? Is there objection? Hearing none, the motion to Senate Bill 1863 is withdrawn."
Representative Ebbesen, Representative Ebbesen, on page 8 of the Calendar appears a motion with reference to House Resolution 449. Do you wish to withdraw that?

Ebbesen: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, withdraw House Resolution 449 and also House Joint Resolution 52."

Speaker Hart: "Hearing none...hearing no objection the motion to withdraw the motion to House Resolution 449 and HJR 52 are withdrawn. Representative Hudson, on page 8 of the Calendar appears a motion presented by you on HJR 107. We've already done that one I'm advised. Pardon the interruption. Okay... which one has he got? Representative Keats, on page 8 appears a motion presented by you to HR 1059, do you wish to take any action on that?"

Keats: "Mr. Speaker, that motion, or I mean that particular Resolution was in poor form. We changed the form and it was passed on the Consent Calendar some time ago. Please allow this one to die. Thank you."

Speaker Hart: "Hearing no objection, the motion to HR 1059 is withdrawn. Representative Bennett still in the chamber? Representative Bennett, on page 7 about the middle of the page appears a motion to discharge Appropriations II from Senate Bill 1586. Do you wish to take any action on that motion?"

Bennett: "I wish to table that motion."

Speaker Hart: "Page 7, about the middle of the page, Senate Bill 1586..."

Bennett: "I wish to table that one, too."

Speaker Hart: "All right, hearing no objection, leave is granted to withdraw the motion with reference to Senate Bill 1586. Representative Schuneman in the chamber? Representative Jack Davis, on page 7, Senate Bill 1599 has a motion put by you..."
Davis: "Table that dude."

Speaker Hart: "We're going to do that. Hearing no objection the motion to Senate Bill 1599 is withdrawn. The Lady from Cook, Representative Pullen."

Pullen: "Mr. Speaker, on page 7 is a motion with respect to House Bill 2990, of which I am the principal Sponsor, I'd like to withdraw the motion, please."

Speaker Hart: "What page is that on, Representative Pullen?"

Pullen: "7. Right near the top."

Speaker Hart: "All right. Hearing no objection, the motion with reference to House Bill 2990 is withdrawn."

Pullen: "Thank you."

Speaker Hart: "Thank you. Representative Peters, on the Calendar on page 7 at the bottom appears motions with reference to two Senate Bills. Do you wish to withdraw those motions? Hearing no objection, the motion to withdraw Senate...the motions with reference to Senate Bills 1688 and 1856 are withdrawn. Representative Tim Simms, on page 7 of the Calendar appears a motion with reference to Senate Bill 1573. Do you wish to withdraw that motion? Page 7. Senate Bill 1573, discharge appropriations. Hearing no objection, leave is granted to withdraw the motion with reference to Senate Bill 1573. The Gentleman from Harden, Representative Winchester."

Winchester: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Would it be possible to go to Third Reading in order that I might move a Bill back to Second Reading for the purpose of an Amendment?"

Speaker Hart: "What number is it?"

Winchester: "It would be Senate Bill 1891."

Speaker Hart: "1891. Where is that?"

Winchester: "It's on Third Reading. Let's see..."

Speaker Hart: "Page 3, top of page 3."

Winchester: "Right. I believe Representative Dan Houlihan
Speaker Hart: "Representative Dan Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move to table Amendment #1 and..."

Speaker Hart: "Well, we've got to get it back to Second."

Houlihan: "All right."

Speaker Hart: "Is there leave to take House Bill ... Senate Bill 1891 back to the Order of Second Reading? Hearing no objections the Bill is now on Second Reading. Representative Houlihan."

Houlihan: "I have filed two Amendments. I would move at this time to table Amendment #1 and I would ask to consider Amendment #2."

Speaker Hart: "Do you wish to withdraw Amendment #1? Amendment #1 is withdrawn. Amendment #2. Read the Amendment."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2. Dan Houlihan. Amends Senate Bill 1891...."

Speaker Hart: "Representative Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #2 to the Bill deletes 99 thousand dollars from the Governor's Office for the advocacy purpose and restores that to the Governor's Office of Manpower. As I understand, it is an agreed Amendment with the Sponsor of the Bill and I move the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Hart: "Is there any discussion? If not, the question is on the adoption of the Amendment. All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3. Hanahan. Amends Senate Bill 1891...."

Speaker Hart: "Representative Hanahan."

Hanahan: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, Amendment #3 simply deletes the 123 thousand 520 dollars for the..."
operational costs over the Fiscal Year '79 requests and it's...of the requested increase 10 thousand dollars was supposed to go for telecommunication and 113 thousand 520 dollars for the personal services line item in the Governor's Office. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, all day we have been pilloried about that we do not have close to a million dollars in the State of Illinois to pay for the pay increases and that we should be taken to task by this Governor. At the same time he has the gall to come before this House and ask you to give him a credit card for 123 thousand dollars so that he can expend and put together a little package for whatever purposes he has in mind for his office and supposedly cost control. Now, over the last couple days I've had a lot of discussion with a lot of people on this Amendment. I could just suggest to those Members of the General Assembly, if you think that you want to buy a pig in the poke, vote against this Amendment. But if you want to stand up here and say to the Governor, hey wait a second. You know, we aren't going to buy just every single request that is not justified. And under no conditions is this request justified. Have somebody come on this floor and tell you what you're...what the 113 thousand dollars is going to be spent for in a detailed analysis and you'll find out it's another boondoggle. I think if we're going to have a little parsimonious action by this General Assembly it should start on this Bill and this Amendment will help do it and I ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Hart: "Is there any discussion? Representative Winchester."

Winchester: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. During the recent campaign we were all
telling our constituents how much we were concerned about cost control in state government and finding waste in state government so Governor Thompson commissioned this task force to travel around the state and find places where they could save dollars. They have done this, they have come up with recommendations, many of the Democrats and I think Representative Hanahan has agreed with some of those recommendations, many of those recommendations, and now we need the money to implement that. I don't understand Representative Hanahan's argument. I think they're totally false. I think he needs... I think maybe he has some personal grudge against a particular section of the Governor's Office. I think it's wrong, I think we need this 123 thousand dollars in order to implement the task force so that this 123 thousand can generate perhaps 15, 100, 150 million dollars or more in savings to the taxpayers of the State of Illinois. I urge that Amendment 93 be defeated."

Speaker Hart: "The Lady from Champaign, Representative Satterthwaite."

Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I've been going round and round and round on this part of this Bill for the last week and a half. This Bill, you will remember, was discharged from Committee after it had not gotten sufficient votes in Appropriation II to get it out. It bypassed that... completion of that Committee process, it went to Third Reading, the Amendments have gone on now in such a fashion that bargains that were even made on the floor of this House were not completed. At the time that I tried to deal with the Sponsor of this Bill I got the information from the Republican staff that 70 thousand dollars of the new personnel money was to go
to implement this task force report that has been referred to. If you look at that task force report it says the reorganization within the Governor's Office should cost 100 thousand dollars annually. Here we are asking for a six month appropriation that is far in excess of a total year's cost according to this task force report. If that is not wasteful of taxpayer's money I don't know what is. It certainly is not a way that we should be going in this point of the legislative session with the assumption that we're implementing a cost control task force to come up with an appropriation for six months, greatly in excess of what that task control task force claims for a year's expenditures for that purpose. I don't know what kinds of deals have been made behind the scenes but I certainly do not want to be a party to any kind of deal like this that allows us to give the Governor 123 thousand dollars of slush money for the next six months.'

Speaker Hart: "Is there further discussion? Representative Hanahan, to close. Representative Lechowicz. I'm sorry, I didn't see your light."

Lechowicz: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I believe this Amendment has been discussed on the floor. It's been discussed in a number of places. I don't believe that there is really any further opposition to the Amendment. I would hope that the Sponsor will withdraw his opposition to Amendment #3. I believe that the items that were mentioned by Representative Hanahan and Satterthwaite and that the Amendment should be adopted."

Speaker Hart: "Do you wish to close, Representative Hanahan? Representative Hanahan, to close."

Hanahan: "Well, let the Sponsor address himself to it if he'd like."
Speaker Hart: "Well he's already addressed himself one time on the issue."

Hanahan: "Is he going to withdraw his opposition? That's the question?"

Speaker Hart: "Representative Winchester."

Winchester: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. As it was, yesterday that little bird flew by and I am now withdrawing my opposition to this Amendment."

Speaker Hart: "All in favor of the Amendment say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #4. Hanahan..."

Speaker Hart: "Just a minute, Mr... Representative Hanahan."

Hanahan: "I'd like to withdraw that Amendment. That was a back-up Amendment."

Speaker Hart: "Amendment #4 is withdrawn. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Hart: "Third Reading. Representative Winchester."

Winchester: "I would like to have leave of the House to suspend the Rule 35(c) in order that that Bill, 1891, might be heard on Third Reading now."

Speaker Hart: "Is there objection? Is leave granted to use the Attendance Roll Call for the suspension of the rule so that this Bill may be read a Third time and considered? Leave is granted. Has the Bill been read a third time?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1891. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act making an appropriation for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Bureau of the Budget. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Hart: "Representative Winchester."

Winchester: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is the appropriation for the Governor's Office of Manpower and Human Development."
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It's a transfer and a supplemental. It is approximately 12 million, 800 thousand dollars. I would now appreciate a favorable Roll Call vote on this Senate Bill 1891."

Speaker Hart: "Is there any discussion? If not, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1891 pass? All in favor vote 'aye', all opposed vote 'no'. Glenn... Glenn... Glenn, hit mine. Have all voted who wish? Representative Winchester."

Winchester: "I would like to explain my vote, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Hart: "I don't believe it's necessary but you can if you want to."

Winchester: "Okay. No, I think it's not necessary. Thank you."

Speaker Hart: "Have all voted who wish? Take the record.

On this question there are 116 'aye', 20 'no' and none voting 'present' and this Bill, having received the necessary three-fifths Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Messages from the Senate."

Clerk O'Brien: "A Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House in the passage of adoption of their Amendments to a Bill of the following title. Senate Bill 1879. House Amendment #1. I am further directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has refused to concur with the House in the adoption of Amendments #2, 3, 4, 5, 6 and 7 of Senate Bill 1879. Action taken by the Senate December 14, 1978.

Kenneth Wright, Secretary."

Speaker Hart: "Representative Giorgi moves that we suspend the provisions of Rule 67...68(d) so that we can act on these concurrences without the one day delay. Is leave granted to use the Attendance Roll Call on that motion? Leave is granted. Representative Porter."
Representative Porter. On the Calendar on page 7 appears a motion filed by you with reference to Senate Bill 237. Do you wish to withdraw that motion?"

Porter: "Yes."

Speaker Hart: "Hearing no objection the motion with reference to Senate Bill 237 is withdrawn. Representative Byers, do you want to do yours? Okay. Representative Ewing."

Ewing: "Mr. Speaker, are we on the matter of concurrence on House Bill...I mean Senate Bill 1878?"

Speaker Hart: "We don't have a Calendar yet. We don't have that on the Calendar yet. It will be here in a few minutes. We have to have a Supplemental Calendar printed and it's not been circulated at this time."

Ewing: "Would it be in order to waive the printing of that on the Calendar?"

Speaker Hart: "Well, I don't think there's any necessity. You can make the motion but I see no reason to do it. Representative Ewing, on page 7 about the middle of the page there's another motion Sponsored by you with reference to Senate Bill 1584. Do you wish to withdraw that motion? Leave is granted and the motion to withdraw...the motion to Senate Bill 1584 is granted."

Ewing: "I had just said we could just leave it there, but if you really want it off. I want to wait and be sure it's on the Calendar."

Speaker Hart: "The Calendar is now being circulated. I don't believe that the Amendments have been printed yet. We don't print them. The Gentleman from Cardin, Representative Winchester."

Winchester: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Representative Hart and soon-to-be, citizen Hart. While there's a lull in the House I just wanted to take this opportunity,
Dick, to say that I've really enjoyed working with you over the last four years, you've kind of been my leader. You're a highly respected individual in the House and a highly respected individual back in the 59th District and even though you're sort of retiring out of it, I know you're going to stay into politics, Dick and I... I just want to say from the bottom of my heart, I've really enjoyed working with you and you've done a good job up there as Speaker and I'm sure Speaker Redmond would have been really nervous if you'd decided to run for reelection, you've done such a good job. Thanks, Dick, for being my friend."

Speaker Hart: "Thank you very much. Thank you. I don't expect to ever hold any other public office but I don't expect ever to quit working for the economic development of Southern Illinois and I know I'll be in touch with all of you representing the 59th District in that regard. Thank you very much for your remarks. Representative Ewing, your row is being very active today. Do you want to go to the Order of Senate Bill 1878? Do you... We're on Supplemental Calendar 95 on the Order of Nonconcurrence. Representative Ewing."

Ewing: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, now that this is printed on the Calendar under Nonconcurrence the Senate did not concur in the House Amendments 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 and 7 to this Bill dealing with the Pontiac Correctional Institute and the prison funds and I would move that we recede from our Amendments."

Speaker Hart: "Do you want to go all of them... recede from all of them?"

Ewing: "Yes, and I'll take them all together if there's no objection."

Speaker Hart: "That's in order. Representative Byers."

Byers: "Mr. Speaker, if he could explain what the Amendments
were again it might help."

Speaker Hart: "The Gentleman from Madison, Representative Byers, would like an explanation of what the Amendments consisted of."

Ewing: "Amendment #2 put in 657 thousand dollars for restoration work, I think, on the chapel. Amendment #3 put in 1 million 500 thousand dollars for reconstruction, rehabilitation of the Morton College. Amendment #4 put 30 thousand dollars in for the Executive Mansion and their storm windows and then Amendment 5, 6 and 7 dealt with the restoration of a...and correction of a small amount of money for construction at the Pontiac Prison. If you'll remember, we amended the Bill yesterday to correct it and then we brought it back to day to recorrect the correction. Those were the last Amendments."

Speaker Hart: "The Gentleman from Byers...Madison, Representative Byers."

Byers: "Mr. Speaker, I would support Representative Ewing in his move to recede from these Amendments."

Speaker Hart: "Is there further discussion? Representative Totten."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Hart: "He indicates that he will."

Totten: "What's left in the appropriation now? The original Bill?"

Ewing: "The original Bill as it came out of the Senate for 9 million, 412 thousand, 501 dollars."

Totten: "For what?"

Ewing: "This is the Bill for the funds for the reconstruction, redevelopment, remodernizing the plant for capital improvements at the Pontiac Institution. Repair the damage from the riot."

Speaker Hart: "Is there further discussion? If not, all of the Amendments will be taken on one motion to nonconcur.
Ewing: "We're moving to recede from it."

Speaker Hart: "All right. The question is, shall the House recede from House Amendments 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 and 7 to Senate Bill 1878. The Gentleman from... Representative Ewing."

Ewing: "Yes, as I understand it a 'yes' vote on this is final action."

Speaker Hart: "That is correct."

Ewing: "Right. Thank you. I'd ask for a 'yes' vote."

Speaker Hart: "The question is, shall the House recede from Senate Amendment...from House Amendment 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 and 7 to Senate Bill 1878. All in favor vote 'aye', all opposed vote 'no'. Walt....Walt....Representative Kozubowski, would you vote my switch there, please? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question there are 120 'aye', 4 'no'...Simms 'aye' and 1 voting 'present'. And the House does recede from House Amendments 2, 3, 4 5, 6 and 7 to Senate Bill 1878 with a three-fifths Constitutional Majority. Representative Lechowicz is recognized on the Adjournment Resolution. Everybody listen very carefully. This doesn't mean we're going to adjourn but we're going to adopt the Resolution."

Lechowicz: "Thank'you, Mr. Speaker, you're absolutely correct. I would hope that the Membership would kindly remain in this chamber. There are about 3 or 4 more Bills that we have to wait for to come from the Senate which will require our stay here just a little bit longer. There's about 2 more Bills on our Calendar that we have to act upon and as you know, the Department of Labor, Representative Polk's Bill, is in the Conference Committee. We're waiting for that Conference Committee Report to be prepared and distributed and the Children and Family Services is another Bill.
I've got two more Bills besides that. But at this time, Mr. Speaker, I'd move that when the House adjourns today that we stand adjourned until January 10, at 10:00 a.m., 1979."

Speaker Hart: "Read the Resolution."

Clerk O'Brien: "Resolved by the House of Representatives of the Eightieth General Assembly of the State of Illinois, the Senate concurring herein, that when the two Houses adjourn on Thursday, December 14, 1978, they stand adjourned until Wednesday, January 10, 1978 at 10:00 a.m....1979."

Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker, I move the adoption of...1979 is correct. I move the adoption of House Joint Resolution 114."

Speaker Hart: "All in favor vote 'aye'; 'aye'...say 'aye', opposed 'no' and the Resolution is adopted. We will be back here in regular Session at 10:00 in the morning on January 10, 1979. That hasn't been determined yet. Representative Friedrich."

Friedrich: "I think the House ought to be apprised, this is the first time, to my knowledge, that the Legislature didn't meet at the same time as the inauguration so I hope this press will realize this is an effort to add a little economy."

Speaker Hart: "I'm sure that they'll note it duly. Representative Geo-Karis, the Lady from Lake."

Geo-Karis: "If I may exert a point of personal privilege, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think you've been an outstanding Legislator and I respect you as a good lawyer, also. And I might add... I'd like to add my comments for Reverend Davis, Corneal Davis, one of the most humane, kindest, most well-balanced individuals, that I've ever had the pleasure of knowing and it's really my gain that I've had a chance to meet him and know him. I'm sorry he's leaving.
the House but now that he is I'm glad I'm leaving the House because I'd miss him terribly. He's done a great job as the Assistant Majority Leader and he's just decent, kind, humane man who certainly has advocated the cause of the equality of women like no one else has. And in the meantime I don't want this man to be forgotten, this other man, the most eloquent orator I have ever heard, since Senator Dirksen, is leaving the House also. He doesn't always agree on my Bills, I don't always agree on his Bills, sometimes he votes against his own Bills by mistake but we all love him dearly. And Roscoe, I want you to know, Roscoe Cunningham, the fastest typist and the most eloquent orator I know and I'm glad I'm leaving here now, another reason, because I won't have you around because I'd rather have you around to talk to in here. Roscoe, good luck.

Speaker Hart: "I'm going to miss seeing Kay much more than I'm going to miss seeing him. Since your name was mentioned in debate I won't call on you, Representative Cunningham. The Gentleman from Lawrence, Representative Cunningham."

Cunningham: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House and my dear friend, the Senator to-be from Lake County, from the bottom of my heart thank you for your kindness in tolerating me all of these eight years. You know, I was very apprehensive after my personal disaster in March coming back here to work with you. I had visions that everything would be very bad. It wasn't bad at all. It was a delight throughout the spring and again here in the fall. I have had so many of you, when I rose to speak, why I could hear you say that I'll bet every day when we had this gag rule that was applied. And I worried
about that a little and then I thought how fine and
gentle of the regular Speaker at the Speaker's stand
that he applied the rule that every candle should be
permitted to flicker until the very end and not snuff
out any candle on the hope that he might bring
some light in a world of darkness. Now, I want to
say this. There are some of you that would have sent
Cinderella home at 11:00 or even 10:00 and God
bless you that you didn't cause that to happen because
I have enjoyed working with you here until the ninth
hour. I will not, as someone else has said, be
around to haunt you. I will not be here at all.
If you want to see Kay and me, come down to the County
of Lawrence. We have one of the finest international
airports in all the world and it will get you in.
You call 32300 and I will come immediately in my
carriage and escort you into our town that you might
see us. The welcome mat will be extended to each
of you at 904 State in Lawrenceville. Meat and drink
is furnished. Over the years there's been nothing
that's happened to me that I've enjoyed as much
as being associated with each of you. And I say this
in all sincerity and you believe me when I tell you,
regardless of what is written to you by those
intellectual leaders of the State of Illinois, the
press, about the...they love you as much as I. And
regardless of what they write about you I want you to
know that they and I know that Illinois is in good
hands in the Eighty-first General Assembly with those
of you coming back and with the fine replacements that
are coming in. I have no fear whatever for the
Republic or for the State of Illinois. I don't know where
or when we'll meet again but we shall. Until then, I
want you to think of me as that line somebody wrote long
ago. Write me as one who loved his fellow men and the.
Members of the General Assembly."

Speaker Hart: "I think they want more. The cake and his voice are both gone. Senate Bills, Third Reading.
Representative Dan Houlihan is recognized on Senate Bill 1877. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1877. A Bill for an Act to make an appropriation to various agencies of state government. Third Reading of the Bill."

Houlihan: "At this time, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I request leave of the House to return Senate Bill 1877 to the Order of Second Reading for the purpose of an Amendment."

Speaker Hart: "Is there objection? Hearing no objection the Bill will be returned to the Order of Second Reading."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #8. Chapman. Amends Senate Bill 1877 by inserting after Section 1.3 the following."

Speaker Hart: "The Lady from Cook, Representative Chapman."

Chapman: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, Amendment #8 appropriates 50 thousand dollars to the Illinois Department of Public Health to provide additional staff to inspect ambulatory surgical treatment centers. The issue of care of women in abortion clinics has been one that has greatly concerned this Legislature. A Sub-Committee of the House Human Resources Committee in recent meeting heard from the BGA, who told us that we needed additional inspectors. In addition to this, the Department needs to change its ways if we are going to provide safe and humane care for persons in our hospitals and ambulatory surgical treatment centers. The figure that is presented to you is one that was requested by the Department of Public Aid in...to the Bureau of the Budget earlier in the year. It provides four new staffs. For your information, all of the hospitals and surgical treatments in the state north
I-80 are inspected by only two individuals. So clearly there is a need for these additional persons. This Amendment is one of the Bills which I introduced earlier in the year and this seemed a better approach to take. The Director of the Department of Public Health, Doctor Peterson, indicated that he supports this proposal. I would ask you to also.”

Speaker Hart: "Is there further discussion? Representative Brummer, would you turn off Representative Doyle's button? I don't think he wants to talk but his button is flashing. Representative Totten."

Totten: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker. I think in the form of a question, but earlier today we put an Amendment on another Bill for 30 thousand dollars for the exact same purpose and now we have another 50 thousand coming through for that which would make a total of 80 thousand dollars. I think it was 3419.""

Speaker Hart: "Representative Chapman."

Chapman: "Is my mike on... I couldn't tell you about that request on another Bill. I can tell you that the 50 thousand is the figure that the Director of the Department of Public Health has requested which will provide the four new staffs and some contractual services funds to improve data collection and reporting procedures of the Department in their efforts to better regulate abortion clinics and other ambulatory surgical treatment centers."

Totten: "Yes, well I was correct. House Bill 3419. We concurred in that Amendment, which added 30 thousand dollars for the exact same purpose and that Bill is now gone. And your 50 thousand would make it 80 thousand for the same purpose."

Speaker Hart: "Are you finished, Representative Totten?"

Totten: "Yeah, I'd like to know if she wants 80 thousand now. It started at 30 and now she's asking for another 50,000."
Speaker Hart: "Representative Chapman."

Chapman: "Mr. Totten, I didn't ask for the 30 thousand. What this Amendment requests is 50 thousand. I presume that the Governor is, anyway I hope the Governor is able to communicate with his own Department and since 50 thousand is the amount that has been requested by the Department I ask for your approval of this figure."

Speaker Hart: "Representative Pullen."

Pullen: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would simply like to point out that the euphemism, ambulatory surgical treatment centers, means abortion chamber and I think that we should know that that's what we're voting on and I certainly agree with Representative Totten that this is rather haphazard procedure to be adding 30 thousand here and 50 thousand there and maybe we'll get another Bill that we can slap 150 thousand on to try to take Doctor Peterson's neck and all the proabortionist's, in this states, neck off the noose out of the shameful procedures that are going on in the State of Illinois. And I'm going to vote 'present' because I do not approve of trying to control this kind of activity with this kind of legislation. I think we ought to simply outright ban it."

Speaker Hart: "The Gentleman from Coles, Representative Edgar."

Edgar: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I have served on the Sub-Committee that held the hearings on this question and I don't think it's a matter if you're for or you're against abortions. I think what became obvious in those Committee hearings were that for whatever reason public health wasn't getting the job done. Now, whether it was lack of desire on their part or the lack of manpower, which I think came out of that Committee hearing, it was the lack of manpower. Now, it's going to take more
than just manpower. But to vote 'no' on this is not to protest abortion. To vote 'no' is to continue the unscrupulous practices going on in some of these abortion clinics. And it's outright criminal and the problem has been... Representative Pullen, please let me get done. The problem is that the state has not done its job in inspecting these and we want to attempt to give them what is necessary to carry out proper inspections. Now, in regard to the 30 thousand dollars that was stuck on another Bill which those of us involved in this hearing knew nothing about, I would suggest the Governor can veto that 30 thousand. But I think this money will be well spent. I think it will assist the Department of Public Health, which admitted they needed more manpower to do this job and hopefully it would get the Governor's Office to make a decision on what they're going to do in this matter. So I would urge a 'yes' vote on this not because for a person... because you're pro-abortion or anti-abortion, because you think that we ought to have proper types of health facilities in this state."

Speaker Hart: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Gaines."

Gaines: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I talked to Doctor Peterson today by phone and this is the Bill that he wants supported. Now, the Department of Public Health was not prepared for the problem that has arisen. And the main reason is because they did not have budgeted sufficient funds to provide persons to monitor these centers or clinics or whatever you want to call them, which we now find are not complying with the law. And if we really want to help poor women, rich women, or whatever kind of women that go to these treatment centers, whether we're for or against what they're doing, I think that there
should be helpful services available. I do not think that a bad mess is made worse by allowing butchers to operate anywhere. So, I feel that we should support this Appropriation Bill and I'd like a lot of green votes up there."

Speaker Hart: "Is there further discussion? The Lady from... excuse me, the Gentleman from DeWitt, Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I would suggest that the solution is not more money for the Department of Public Health but better management and therefore I would urge defeat of this effort."

Speaker Hart: "Is there further discussion? Representative Chapman."

Chapman: "Mr. Speaker, I join with Representative Edgar and Representative Gaines in asking you to vote 'yes' to provide funds to start the long job of doing something to improve the regulatory procedures of our State Department of Public Health. We have a long way to go and this is an important first step."

Speaker Hart: "Are you ready for the question? All in favor of the Amendment signify by saying 'aye'; 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Do you want a Roll Call? All right. All in favor of the Amendment signify by voting 'aye' and those opposed by voting 'no'. Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "I would suggest that anyone that anyone that thinks state government is competent should vote 'no' and the rest of us should vote 'yes'."

Speaker Hart: "Well, that's usually the way that the winners look at it. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question there are 72 voting 'aye', 23 voting 'no' and the Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #9. Dan Houlihan. Amends
Senator Hart: "Representative Houlihan."

Houlihan: "I move to withdraw Amendment #9."

Speaker Hart: "The Gentleman asks leave to withdraw Amendment #9. Leave is granted. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #10. Mrs. Younge. Amends Senate Bill 1877..."

Speaker Hart: "Representative Younge."

Younge: "I thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move for the adoption of Amendment #10, which would add the sum of 60 thousand dollars to the Speaker of the House for expenses for the special planning committee on economic prosperity for the East St. Louis area. This Bill has passed the House but there weren't enough people in the Appropriations Committee to get it out of that Committee and therefore we're adopting a different form here. The Speaker agrees and all of the parties that will serve on the Committee agree and I move for the adoption of this Amendment."

Speaker Hart: "The Gentleman from Champaign, Representative Johnson."

Johnson: "Well, I want to request a Roll Call on this Amendment and also I want to request a verification if the 'yeses' exceed the 'noes'."

Speaker Hart: "All right, is there further discussion of the Amendment? If not, all in favor of the Amendment signify by voting 'aye' and those opposed by voting 'no'. Will the pretty lady sitting in Representative Hart's seat vote my switch 'aye', please? Thank you. Have all voted who wish? Representative Johnson."

Johnson: "We've just been berated, with good reason, in the news media for people being voted when they're not here. I'm looking up at this board and I can see easily 15 or 20 people who are on the board who aren't..."
even here, they're in Chicago. Now no wonder the
news media are going to take after us if we have
this ghost voting continue. So I urge people who are near
desks that are voted 'yes' or 'no' and the people aren't here, to
put them on 'present'."

Speaker Hart: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record.
Representative Johnson."

Johnson: "I ask for a verification of the Affirmative Roll."

Speaker Hart: "Okay, let me announce the results. On this
question there are 52 voting 'aye', 40 voting 'no'
and 2 voting 'present' and the Gentleman from Champaign
requests a verification of the Affirmative Vote and
the Lady from St. Clair requests a poll of the absentees.
The Clerk will poll the absentees."


Speaker Hart: "Anderson 'no'."

Pouncey. Reed. Reilly. Ryan. Sandquist. Satterth-
Von Boemken. Williams. Winchester and Yourell."

Speaker Hart: "Read the Affirmative Roll Call."
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Speaker Hart: "Are there any questions of the Affirmative Vote? Representative Johnson?"

Johnson: "Representative Beatty."

Speaker Hart: "Representative Beatty. Is the Gentleman in the chamber? Remove him from the Roll Call."

Johnson: "Representative Capparelli."

Speaker Hart: "Representative Capparelli. Is the Gentleman in the chamber? Remove him from the Roll Call."

Johnson: "Representative Corneal Davis."

Speaker Hart: "Representative Corneal Davis. Is the Gentleman in the chamber? Remove him from the Roll Call."

Johnson: "Representative Doyle."

Speaker Hart: "He's here."

Johnson: "Representative Garmisa."

Speaker Hart: "Is Representative Garmisa in the chamber? Remove him from the Roll Call."

Johnson: "Representative Kosinski."

Speaker Hart: "Representative Kosinski in the chamber? Remove him from the Roll Call."

Johnson: "Representative Laurino."

Speaker Hart: "Is Representative Laurino in the chamber? Remove him from the Roll Call."

Johnson: "Representative Levin."

Speaker Hart: "Is Representative Levin in the chamber? Remove him from the Roll Call."
Johnson: "Representative Brady."
Speaker Hart: "Representative Brady is in his seat."
Johnson: "Representative Matejek."
Speaker Hart: "Representative Matejek. Is the Gentleman in the chamber? Remove him from the Roll Call."
Johnson: "Representative McLeod."
Speaker Hart: "Representative...just a minute. Representative Kane."
Kane: "Parliamentary inquiry. If we have a verified Roll Call which has a total vote less than 89, what position are we in?"
Speaker Hart: "This is an Amendment and it just takes a simple majority of those voting on the issue."
Kane: "Yeah but we're verifying a Roll Call and I think..."
Speaker Hart: "Well...that's another question."

Johnson: "Representative Robinson. Representative Robinson."
Speaker Hart: "Is Representative Robinson in the chamber?
Remove him from the Roll Call."
Johnson: "Representative Harris."
Speaker Hart: "Representative Harris is in the Democrat aisle."
Johnson: "Representative Schneider."
Speaker Hart: "Representative Schneider in the chamber?
Remove him from the Roll Call."
Johnson: "Representative Mugalian."
Speaker Hart: "Representative Mugalian in the chamber?
Remove him from the Roll Call."
Johnson: "Representative Mulcahey."
Speaker Hart: "He's here."
Johnson: "Representative Skinner."
Speaker Hart: "Is Representative Skinner in the chamber?
He's in the rear of the chamber."
Johnson: "Representative Willer."
Speaker Hart: "Representative Willer in the chamber? Remove
Johnson: "Representative Mautino."
Speaker Hart: "Representative Mautino in the chamber? Remove him from the Roll Call."
Johnson: "Representative Van Duyne, for what purpose... Van Duyne 'aye', Bradley 'aye'. Steczo 'aye', McGrew 'aye'. VonBoeckman 'aye'. Keats 'no'. Sorry about that. Representative Johnson, for what purpose do you rise?"

Johnson: "I just wondered if you could announce the result on the Amendment."
Speaker Hart: "I'm going to as soon as the Clerk lets me know what it is. Representative Younge, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"
Younge: "I'd like to verify the Negative."
Speaker Hart: "Well, wait a minute, we'll find out what the vote is. On this question there are 43 'aye' and 43 'no' and the Amendment fails. Are there further Amendments?"
Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
Speaker Hart: "Just a minute now, the Lady did request a verification of the Negative Roll Call and I think she's entitled to that so we will verify the negative vote. I'm sorry, Representative Younge, I momentarily forgot about that request. Representative McAuliffe wishes leave to be verified. Does he have leave? He's right here down in the well. Leave is granted. Representative Christensen 'aye'. On this question there are 44 'aye', 43... Representative Totten."
Totten: "Question of the Chair, Mr. Speaker, if you've got
a verified Roll Call on the 'ayes' how can he join the 'ayes'?

Speaker Hart: "Because he's here. He hasn't voted yet."

Totten: "You already called the vote on it."

Speaker Hart: "Well, but the result hasn't been finalized and he's here present and entitled to vote. Just the same as anybody would be entitled to change their vote before the result. On this question there are 44 'aye', 43 'nay' and the Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Hart: "Third Reading. Former Representative Joe McGah... Where is he? You're wanted in the Speaker's Office, Joe. Mr. Skinner, D, McHenry. Representative Hanah...Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Are we proceeding now with Third Reading of the Bill?"

Speaker Hart: "Yes, the Bill is going to Third Reading. You'll have to..."

Houlihan: "I would move at this time, Mr. Speaker, to suspend the appropriate rule so that the Bill may be considered now."

Speaker Hart: "You'll have to...Is there leave to use the Attendance Roll Call so that the appropriate rule may be suspended so that we may take action on Third Reading on Senate Bill 1877? Leave is granted. Read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1877. A Bill for an Act making an appropriation to various agencies of state government. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Hart: "Representative Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 1877, as introduced, appropriated 35 hundred dollars for the painting of a portrait of former Lieutenant Governor, Neil Hartigan. As amended
both in the House and in the Senate this Bill now does substantially more than that and in consequence would more appropriately be termed an omnibus appropriation measure. The total appropriation of the Bill, as amended now, is 2 million 610 thousand dollars. The various items which are provided for by way of an appropriation in the Bill are as follows: 35 hundred dollars for...to Administrative Services for the Hartigan portrait. 2 million dollars... 2 million 4 thousand dollars to the Illinois Court of Claims to pay awards and for the Crime Victims Compensation Act provision. 25 thousand dollars to Registration - Education for a feasibility review of the Koster dig. 170 thousand dollars, this is not a new appropriation but rather a transfer from guardianship in the Mental Health Advocacy Commission to the Comptroller for the Illinois Developmentally Disabled Advocacy Authority. 42 thousand to the Jackson Union County Regional Port District and 88 hundred dollars to the Atomic Energy Commission. Additionally, the Bill as amended in the House adds an additional 453 thousand to the Department of Law Enforcement for grants to metropolitan enforcement groups. These are the...what are refered to as the MEG units. And the last item that it provides for is an additional 146 thousand 300 dollars additional award to the Court of Claims for an award to be paid as authorized by the Court of Claims in addition to the prior amounts that are appropriated here and to the court of claims. That, in summary, represents the appropriations contained in the measure and I would ask for your support of the Bill."

Speaker Hart: "Is there any discussion? If not, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1877 pass? All...all in favor vote 'aye', all opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who
wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to bring the attention of the Membership that this measure, to be effective, will need 107 votes. If there are any questions respecting any of the items that are contained in the Bill I'll be happy to answer them. I would ask for your support of this measure. It is a varied appropriation list but these are essential items of government that must be funded and I would ask for the 107 votes."

Speaker Hart: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "I would like to ask the Sponsor, in view of the fact that we had a Verified Roll Call with only 86 people on it how he expects to find 107 now. Oh they're there, they floated in from somewhere."

Speaker Hart: "Only the 'ayes' and 'noes' were verified, there were... Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question there are 108 'aye', 22 'no', 2 voting 'present' and this question, having achieved the necessary three-fifths majority is hereby declared passed. Well, I see it now, do you want to talk? The Gentleman has requested a verification of the Affirmative Roll Call. Does the Gentleman persist?"

Totten: "Yes, I persist."

Speaker Hart: "Representative Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, that is not a timely request. The Chair has already declared the Bill passed and the board has been cleared and I would ask that the request be ruled out of order."

Speaker Hart: "I believe the rules provide that until another Order of Business has been undertaken that the..."
request must be honored and we have a question to put before the Gentleman. Would you withdraw your request if we went to your Constitutional Amendment, Second Reading and read the Amendment a second time?"

Totten: "Now we're talking."

Speaker Hart: "Do you withdraw your request for a verification?"

Totten: "No, I don't."

Speaker Hart: "You do not. Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I would hope that the Gentleman who's asking for the verification would kindly reconsider because a number of people that voted on this issue just walked over to the Senate. And I believe that you did declare the matter passed by a three-fifths majority. I believe his request is untimely and I would hope that he would reconsider his request of the Chair and we could proceed with the other matters on hand."

Speaker Hart: "Does the Gentleman... Does the Gentleman persist in his request? Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Let us proceed with the work of the House, please."

Speaker Hart: "Well, the thing that we're on right now is the verification of the Roll Call and as soon as the Sponsor and the requestor have concluded their discussion... Does the Gentleman persist in his request for a verification? Representative Totten."

Totten: "I withdraw my request."

Speaker Hart: "The request is withdrawn. Representative McGrew, on the Calendar on page 8 near the top appears a motion filed by you with reference to House Resolution 913. And in order to clear up the Calendar do you wish to withdraw that motion?"

McGrew: "With reluctance."

Speaker Hart: "With reluctance leave is granted and the motion with reference to H.R. 913 is withdrawn."

You had your chance. Representative Schuneman, on the
Calendar on page 7 appears a motion sponsored by you with reference to Senate Bill 1596. Do you wish to withdraw that motion?

Schuneman: "Yes, Sir."

Speaker Hart: "All right, hearing no objection the motion with reference to Senate Bill 1596 is withdrawn. Representative Schoeberlein, on page 7 you have a motion filed with reference to Senate Bill 1423, near the top of the page. Do you wish to withdraw that motion? Representative Schoeberlein is recognized."

Schoeberlein: "Mr. Speaker, of course I'd like to speak to the Senator whose original Bill it was. That one you're referring to, that is the audit of the RTA."

Speaker Hart: "All right, do you..."

Schoeberlein: "Is that the one you're referring to?"

Speaker Hart: "It's Senate Bill 1423, I don't know what the subject matter is but it is a motion to take from the table."

Schoeberlein: "Well, I'll withdraw it subject to leave that I can bring up the Bill at the next Session of the Legislature."

Speaker Hart: "You can always file another motion. The motion is withdrawn. Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, we've been getting up in time today as far as honoring Members who are leaving with a good deal of experience, a tremendous amount of fortitude as far as representing the respective people of their districts and the State of Illinois and on both sides of the aisle. I know that the Bill that was just passed, Senate Bill 1877, was sponsored in the House by Representative Dan Houlihan and I just wanted to point out to the Body that that probably
will be the last Bill that Representative Houlihan will sponsor in this General Assembly. I just want to thank him publicly for an outstanding effort and contribution that he's made in the very short period of time that he's been a Member of this General Assembly and the fine work that he's provided in the Committee action and especially on this House floor. And to every Member who is retiring for my own little way I want to wish you the best in health and happiness in the coming years and hopefully you'll be returning to Springfield either as a reelected Member, I hope a lot of them will reconsider and especially Dan. I know it's a family commitment to his wife and his children and it's for this reason that he is retiring but he will be sorely missed." Speaker Hart: "Representative Houlihan."

Houlihan: "I think it's too late in the day, too late in the Session to make anymore speeches. I'd simply echo the words that were previously stated today by Representative Corneal Davis, Representative Bob Mann, Representative Jesse Madison and Representative Cunningham because each of their statements echoes my feelings at this time. Let me say very simply and very briefly, thank you all so very much for what for me has been a magnificent experience in representing the people of this state, the people of my district in this chamber. Thank you very much." Speaker Hart: "Representative Younge."

Younge: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I just wanted to take one moment to say that I think that I will miss, too, all of the Members of the General Assembly who will not be returning but especially I will miss Don Brummet, who is the downstate leader. He has consistently helped me in my efforts and he has set an example of preparedness and leadership for the downstaters and
for all of Illinois and I want to wish him Godspeed."

Speaker Hart: "Thank you. Apparently he's received it.
You could get your fireman's hat, Dave. We haven't
seen that... No, but I'm a taxpayer... I'm beginning
to feel more and more like one. That's right...right.
39... Joe McGah, you're wanted in the Speaker's Office.
Joe McGah is wanted in the Speaker's Office. At the
podium. Joe McGah is wanted at the podium."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Mr. Speaker, I think in all fairness to the Members
of this chamber that somebody ought to know what's
going on here. We are held up with three Bills in the
Senate. I wish that your Clerk or you would bird-
dog the Department of Children and Family Services
Bill. I've been back and forth three times and they
tell me it's here...they tell me over there that
it's here, they tell me here they haven't got it.
Somebody ought to use some of their authority to
find out where in the hell it is and get it in
here and let's get going with it. We're waiting for
3423 to be printed and what's the other Bill there?
The Labor Bill, the Conference Committee is reported
as being typed and as soon as we get that, that's
the end of the game, I believe. But I wish somebody would
start to find out what's going on here and get it
moving."

Speaker Redmond: "I think the problem is the breakdown in
communications between the House and the Senate.
The Clerk has been trying to find out from the
Senate what they did with the Children and Family
Services and we're unable to get any...any lucid
response. Now, I don't know what more we can do.
Do you want me to appoint a...scrimmagers to go
out and...Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Well, Mr. Speaker, they can do what I've been doing for
the last 45 minutes. They can get off their duff, walk across there and find out where it is and get a straight answer from somebody so we'll know whether we're going to act on it or whether they're playing games with it."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Jean Chapman."

Chapman: "Mr. Speaker, I have the same kind of interest in the Department of Children and Family Services Bill that Mr. Ryan has. This House put on an Amendment to the DCFS Bill yesterday that would require the Department to spend the money the way the Department says they want to spend the money. And the Senate was not willing to accept our good Amendment. I wonder if that Bill is hiding over there so it can be the last order of business on which we're asked to vote. I wonder if the Senate is afraid that this House is going to put that Bill into a Conference Committee where it belongs because they've refused to accept our good Amendment. The Senate always hopes that we're going to succumb to their wishes and a good many times in the last few years we've shown the Senate that we are their co-equals. I'd like to find where that...out where that DCFS Bill is too because I'd like to have us vote on it and put it in a Conference Committee and straighten things out."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Peters, for what purpose do you rise?"

Peters: "Mr Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, just in terms of a point of answer. When that Bill came up on the House floor, if the Sponsor of the Amendment had paid some attention to people who put in a hell of a lot of time on that Bill, she would have known what was going to end up happening in the Senate and would have known the fix we're in. As far
as I'm concerned I am not going to put that Bill into Conference Committee, it could wait till January 10 or we can table it and let those who are responsible for the mess answer for the question of the lack of funds for the abused children's program."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Chapman."

Chapman: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, the Department says they want 1.1 million dollars. I wonder why they advised the Senate when they had a good Amendment. We talked with them about the Amendment a week or so ago, they didn’t object to the Amendment and suddenly when it passed the House they developed all kinds of reasons why the Amendment was not workable. Not one of them appears to have any basis in fact. I wonder if the Department really wants 1.1 million dollars to do a better job with child abuse."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I wonder when we can show...be subservient to the Senate and pass Senate Bill 1617? The Senior Citizen Bill? I mean couldn’t we vote on it maybe before the 9th hour when Roscoe disappears into never-never land?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Well somebody asked how can we do this and I would say that all...all of the, or maybe Ryan and the Leaders ought to go over to the Senate. We all know it’s a matter of agreement and give them a 15 minute time limit. All of the work in 15 minutes otherwise there’s no reason why it can’t be done January 10. Let’s be here 15 minutes only and then if it’s not done in 15 minutes adjourn till January 10 and those three issues can be done in 2 hours. I’m sure of that."

Speaker Redmond: "Have we adopted the Adjournment Resolution
here? So all we have to do is put that question, is that right? I'm getting the urge to put the question. Representative Bradley."

Bradley: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I was going to make the same suggestion and I've been running back and forth to the Senate also and I think there's five Bills and all you have to do is look at the Members that are in attendance here this evening, we really probably don't have enough to do anything and those who are still here are certainly to be complimented on the... on being in attendance. But I feel the same way, if we can't get these things resolved, put them on the Calendar on the 10th of January at 10:00 and in 1 hour we'll finish it all up and let's get out of here and go home. And if it takes a motion...if John's made it, I second the motion."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kent. Our Parliamentarian is over in the Senate and we're getting closer and closer to putting that question. Representative Kent."

Kent: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I have a Bill that has passed the Senate many hours ago and it should be concurred here in this House. I'm just wondering why a delay of that kind because it isn't tied up into anything? But here it is, it isn't over this way. I'd like to call it and get it out."

Speaker Redmond: "Where is it? Is it on the Calendar?"

Kent: "It was passed in the Senate...oh, at least 2 or 3 hours ago and they have not received it supposedly here. Now where is it?"

Speaker Redmond: "What's the number of the Bill?"

Kent: "The number is 3429. And all I have to ask for is concurrence."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, a half an hour ago I went over and
they said that the Clerk of the Senate was down there signing it then and that's a half an hour ago and it's only 5 minutes to walk over there so I don't know either. Their delivery system is extremely poor."

Speaker Redmond: "The Clerk says he doesn't have it. Messages from the Senate."

Clerk O'Brien: "A Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has refused to recede from Amendment #1 to House Bill 3423. I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has refused to recede...concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendments to Senate Bill 1884, which Amendments are Amendment #1 and 2.

I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House in the passage of a Bill of the following title, House Bill 3429, together with Senate Amendments 1 and 2. I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House in passage of House Bill 3424 with Senate Amendment #1. I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House in the passage of House Bill 3425, together with Senate Amendment #1. I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House in passage of House Bill 3442, together with Senate Amendment #1. I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House in passage of House Bill 2757, together with Senate Amendment #2. Action taken by the Senate December 14, 1978. Kenneth Wright, Secretary."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Pullen, for what purpose do you rise? Representative Pullen."
Pullen: "Mr. Speaker, I would appreciate it if you could
ask the Clerk to reread the part of that Message
relating to House Bill 3423."

Speaker Redmond: "Mr. Clerk, did you hear the...3423.
The First Conference Committee Report has been
prepared on that and it's on the Supplemental Calendar
#6."

Pullen: "Is that what the Message was, that they concurred
in the Conference Committee Report?"

Speaker Redmond: "The Message was that they were requesting
a Conference Committee."

Pullen: "I'm wondering, Mr. Speaker, if they are requesting
a Conference why we already have the Conference
Committee Reports on our desks and they were
distributed 10 minutes ago? I really question that
kind of procedure, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Collins... Representative
Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Penny, that's what we call legislative antici-
pation. If you want to stay here an hour later you
can stay at your desk and look at that report.
We knew what was going to happen, we acted and
we're going to adopt that report. If you want
to stick around an hour later and sit at your desk
and look at it, go ahead, but it's all been worked
out."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kent."

Kent: "Mr. Speaker, could I now waive the appropriate rule
so that the concurrence of 3429 could be acted on?"

Speaker Redmond: "29..."

Kent: "3429. All I have to do is ask for concurrence.
I agree with it, let's go with it."

Speaker Redmond: "There's nothing in front of the Clerk, on
the Clerk's desk with which to work. If you'll
just wait a few minutes, why we will have a Calendar and
we'll take yours in due course. We don't have anything in front of us now and it would be pretty dangerous procedure to be adopting something without any..."

Kent: "He just read the Message."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Pullen."

Pullen: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I don't know why Mrs. Kent can't put such a motion when we have a Conference Committee Report before us when the Conference Committee hasn't even been appointed and at the same time that I'm objecting to the report having been on our desk 10 minutes before the Message is read that they simply are requesting a Conference Committee, we get a Calendar saying Conference Committee Report's on it for House Bill 3423. I don't presume to keep this House here terribly long, Sir, from Lake County, but I do think that this is a little bit more than legislative anticipation and I think it's an insult to our intelligence to have the report actually on the desks. I know that often it is written before the Committee is appointed but to have a report signed by people who haven't even been appointed to a Committee and on our desks before that Committee is appointed or before we even agree to appoint a Committee, I think it's a little more than legislative anticipation. I think it's very questionable."


Pullen: "Mr. Speaker, how can we go to that Calendar when we have just heard a Message from the Senate requesting the appointment of a Conference Committee and this Calendar lists that Conference Committee's Report? How can we go to that order of business, Mr. Speaker, has that Committee been appointed?"

Speaker Redmond: "We have the Supplemental Calendar #6 on
which under the Order of Conference Committee Reports appears House Bill 3423 and Representative Ryan is the spokesman...I mean is the Sponsor and Representative Ryan is recognized. Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "I'm ready."

Speaker Redmond: "House Bill 3423... 3423."

Ryan: "We want to concur in the Conference Committee Report and that rescinds Senate Amendment #1, adds 20 thousand to the international year of the child conference and adds 25 thousand dollars for the Lyric Opera trip to Italy and makes a technical correction in the Bill and I would move for the passage."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Friedrich...Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, I think Representative Pullen has a point. Is there anything in the minutes, the proceedings of this House that a Conference Committee was ever reported and who they were?"

Speaker Redmond: "The Senate acceded to our request and appointed a Conference Committee and that's where the modus operandi for appointing the House Conference Committee so it was in response to the Senate's action. Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Now, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think we're make much to do about nothing. I see 9 signatures on this Conference Report. The Minority Leader has spoken, why don't we take a vote and let's get going."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on Representative... Representative Collins."

Collins: "Can we have a discussion, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Collins: "Well, you can defeat it along with me because I'm going to vote 'no'. But, Mr. Speaker, I see an item in here, it's a mere 20 thousand dollars to the international year of the child, whatever that may
be. But I do remember an international women's year and the havoc that that caused throughout the nation and probably throughout the world and I'd hate to think that we're getting into something else like that. I also see 25 thousand dollars for the Lyric Opera of Chicago to perform at 'LaScala', which I think is a notable thing, however I do have to ask a question as to whether that's a duplication of the 25 thousand that went to the Arts Council for this same purpose and if it's not the further question as to why we have to underwrite the Lyric Opera's trip to Italy. I would assume that their performance would be a paid performance and that rather than having expenses and losing money we would anticipate a return on our participation at 'LaScala'. I don't know if the Sponsor can answer these questions or not but it seems to me a ridiculous thing to do, especially in light of the fact that I'm not being an opera buff but I did read the opera in question did receive mixed reviews in Chicago and if it can't go over in Chicago it's got to bomb at 'LaScala', so I have to question the wisdom of sending a rather poor opera to the mecca of opera. And these would just be a couple of objections that I have to this Bill. It's a pity that this type of thing has to be placed on a substantive Bill of some importance, I am told. I've asked a number of questions...."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan, for what purpose do you rise?"

Ryan: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I understand it was the intent of the opera to ask Representative Collins to be the lead soprano. If he doesn't want it in the Bill, let's take it out of the record. Take the Bill out of the record."
Speaker Redmond: "Out of the record. Representative Catania, for what purpose do you rise?"

Catania: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I just wanted to make an observation on Representative Collins' first remarks when he was talking about international women's year and the international year of the child. You know, Representative Collins, once you start giving money to women, children can't be far behind."

Speaker Redmond: "Supplemental Calendar #7. Representative John Dunn, for what purpose do you rise?"

Dunn: "Well, Mr. Speaker, it did seem appropriate at this time to remind the Members that it is only a year from tomorrow when the deadline will be upon us for filing petitions for reelection and I just thought the Members would like to be aware of that. Just a year and a day."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan. You interrupted me and I signed Ryan. Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Mr. Speaker, I want to go back to 3423 and move to nonconcur in the Conference Committee Report and ask for a second Conference Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "You heard the Gentleman's motion, is there any discussion? 3423, the Gentleman moves to nonconcur in the Conference Committee Report. Those in favor say 'aye'; 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The House does not adopt the First Conference Committee and the Second Conference Committee is requested. Okay, we will..."

Ryan: "I would request the same conferees from my side of the aisle."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan appoints the same Members. Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Have you received the Messages from the Senate on Children and Family Services? Did you get that yet or have we found that? Is it in this chamber or where
is it?"

Speaker Redmond: "All we've received are the ones that are on the Calendar. Is it on there? What number? It's on the bottom there, 1884."

Ryan: "Ready to run with it?"


Reilly: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move to concur in the Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3425. What the Senate has done is last week in another Bill we appropriated some federal funds for the Route 51 project, FAP 412 and the Chicago - Kansas City Expressway. The Senate staff felt that these ought to be line itemed in my Bill, which basically dealt with buying some portable truck weight scales, was the appropriate place to do that. I move that we concur in that Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? The question is on the Gentleman's motion that the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3425. Representative John Dunn."

Dunn: "Mr. Speaker, I'm looking for that Amendment on my desk now. I think maybe...Amendment #1, I'd like to ask a question of the Sponsor, if he'll yield. The Amendment I have before me says that this provides for 20 million dollars on FAP 412 from Rockford to Decatur. I'd like to know how much of that 20 million dollars will be spent on the segment between Decatur and Bloomington?"

Reilly: "The Department of Transportation informs me they don't know."

Dunn: "Well, unless they know I'm not going to sit still for
passage of this Bill and I just..."

Reilly: "Well then the answer will be none."

Dunn: "Okay. Then I'll have to act on that accordingly which is what I suspected was the case anyway and I just have to indicate, Mr. Speaker, that I cannot sit here on behalf of my district and let this Bill go out in this fashion with no funds in it for the portion of FAP 412 from Decatur to Bloomington. So, should this Bill pass I will have to ask for a verification."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Well, Mr. Speaker, on behalf of the Bill, I live 300 miles from Rockford but anything they do on Route 51 helps my town, it helps all the towns up and down that road, Vandalia and all of them and I'm for it even if they spend it just south of Rockford, it still helps me. It will help Decatur too, in case he's interested."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mautino."

Mautino: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I also stand in support of this Conference Committee Report and would like to point out that those of us in the General Assembly are duty bound to look further than just right now. What we have to look is at least 10 or 12 years in the future and you cannot start something and leave cloverleafs sitting with no roads going to those cloverleafs. The North South Freeway is the most important priority for any road program in the State of Illinois. There is virtually none now. We have to start somewhere. Rockford is not in my district, it doesn't go through my district but 51 is extremely important and I would hopefully and respectfully suggest to my colleague, John Dunn, that he would not persist in his request when this legislation does receive the needed votes
Speaker Redmond: "Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "I'd like to echo Mr. Mautino's words. It's my understanding and I would not propound this as being word from God but it's my understanding that what this will buy us in the Decatur to Bloomington section is the detailed engineering design very quickly in...beginning in this calendar year on the expressway."

Speaker Redmond: "Are you ready for the question? Representative Van Duyne."

Van Duyne: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Really, the four years that I've sat here there's been a lot more perplexing situations that has confronted us that I'd just like to draw attention to one little thing. You know, Will County has paid three and a half million dollars into the R.T.A and just a couple of days ago I asked for a lousy 50 thousand dollar override for the Union Depot in Joliet, 50 thousand bucks, after we paid in three...over 3 million bucks. Now here's one here that as I can see it's just a little Amendment for PAP 412 from Rockford to Decatur for 20 million. And then down below it says north of Peoria and south to Quincy, 10 million. We're talking 30 million bucks and they're asking me to vote for something where there's no match... I don't even know. The whole thing has been very vague and I'm not even sure I might not even vote for it but I'd like to at least point out to the people who are perpetrating the hoax that we're about to vote on, you know, they voted, at least enough of them voted against it to dissuade enough of the people in this...this audience on the House floor to turn down a measely 50 thousand bucks for the Joliet Depot."

Speaker Redmond: "Are you ready for the question? The
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question is on the Gentleman's motion that the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3425. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed: vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative John Dunn."

Dunn: "Mr. Speaker, just to indicate that should this receive the requisite majority I will ask for a verification."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Peters."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, that being the case, I think we all know that there's not 107 people here and if one thing gets through then the other things get through, otherwise I think we're all wasting our time here. Everything is going to end up being verified and maybe some decision ought to be made as to whether we should just adjourn and come back on January 10."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Reilly."

Reilly: "Postponed Consideration, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "On this question there's 89 'aye' and 6 'no' and Representative Reilly requests Postponed Consideration. Postponed Consideration. 3429, Representative Kent."

Kent: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I wish to concur in the two Amendments that the Senate has added to 3429. Senate Amendment #1 reduces the Department's transfer ability and makes no dollar changes. Senate Amendment #2 makes various line item transfers within the Division of Water Resources. The Amendment does not change the total dollar amount. I urge you to vote 'yes'."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? Representative Dunn."

Dunn: "Mr. Speaker, if I'm looking at the correct Amendment I think I have the same problem with this Bill as I
did with the last one. It does not... I address the question to the Sponsor, it does not... Senate Amendment #1 deletes lines 2 thru 5 and insert 'to Rockford to Decatur, 13 million dollars'.

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kent."

Kent: "Yes. It does. But these are federal funds. It was the original level as it was in the... when we first started. Also, these are federal funds because these highways were designated by Congress and these are the monies that we are requesting from them so that we can begin these highways that are so needed in our state. This reduces a transfer ability and it's a wise idea."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Dunn."

Dunn: "Well, nonetheless, Mr. Speaker, I have the same problem with this Bill as I did with the last one."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lynn Martin."

Martin: "I wonder if Representative Dunn might wish to consider his position. Certainly those of us who've waited a very long time, and I've only been here two years, these highways have been down and planned for 11 and 12 years, are going to remember all parts of that highway if we get a chance to start building them and start using the federal funds. Certainly my concern for the areas near Decatur will be very great indeed if I can get some of this highway started. The plans are there and I think Representative Dunn knows them and I think he knows the time frame in which it's going to built and where and how. And I think what he is doing for a short term purpose has such long term dilatorious effects on his own district that I would hope he would reconsider. This isn't... this isn't just the usual pork Amendment brought up at the last minute. This is the year and a half to get federal priority funding that's going to help..."
Decatur and Representative John Dunn, I really hope you rethink this because when all of us want to work for the Decatur leg it will be rather difficult to do if the part of the leg that's supposed first is not built."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Van Duyne."

Van Duyne: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I agree with Representative Martin exactly and explicitly and concurrently and wholeheartedly and that's exactly what I tried to tell her when I tried to explain that we in Will County had put over 3 million dollars into the R.T.A. in the last year and all we were gathering back was the meagre 50 thousand dollars, 50 thousand dollars, not 50 million. She's talking 7 and a half and 10 and half million dollars. We're only talking 50 thousand. She voted absolutely 'no', plain out, here's the Roll Call. Lynn, hey listen, Lynn, please learn something before you go to the Senate."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Peters."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, just to suggest that if Representative Dunn persists in his motion that there obviously are not enough people here, let's go on with the next order of business."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kent, what's your pleasure?"

Kent: "Well, I just can't imagine this, I really can't because this that I am trying to ask for puts... Amendment #1 puts it back to its original, Amendment #2 just gives it... allows some water resource projects to begin right now. Representative Van Duyne's Bill, when he was asking, was not for federal funds. These are federal funds that we are able to receive and I think that he is wrong in his assumption that we should vote for one thing over another. This is important to all of us and I think that Representative Dunn, too, should remember that he has a highway coming
and this is going to connect it. For heaven's sakes if Route 51 just drives out of Decatur it's not going anywhere."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Van Duyne."

Van Duyne: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I question the quorum."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, Representative Giorgi has reminded us many times on the floor of this House that Rockford is the second largest city in the State of Illinois. There's no north and south road out of Rockford and I think that about anybody on this floor from one time or another goes into Rockford either from the south or north. If this would help my town, I'm 300 miles away and it certainly...anybody that had...would give it any thought at all would know that this helps the town of Decatur because certainly the people in Decatur go to Rockford. They go to Wisconsin and they have to go on this road. How anybody could oppose a road which helps their town and is a partial part of a total freeway from Decatur to Rockford, I can't understand it. There must be something about this that Representative Dunn isn't explaining because if I had an opportunity to do this for a town of mine like Decatur I'd be in here pulling for it instead of trying to stop the actions of this House. I think he's being very short-minded here in his actions and I hope the people of Decatur are aware of what he's doing."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from St. Clair, Mr. Flinn."

Flinn: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would like to remind the Gentleman from Decatur, who is objecting, that had we all taken this parochial view when he had his jailhouse Bills that he would never have passed them to start with. Now, we've got a brand new jail in St. Clair County. It cost us a lot of bucks and I
voted for his Bill and I voted for it because the only way we can get something done in this state is for all of us to do it. We would never build any roads in this state if we took such a parochial view. I'm like Representative Friedrich, I live a long way away from the proposed roads but we'll never get anywhere unless we start someplace. And I would suggest that the first time something comes up for Decatur we might remember this."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Lady from Adams, Mrs. Kent."

Kent: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. One more thing before I call a Roll. I think it is odd that those of us who are still here, who have stayed because they are trying to do their jobs, have got to be penalized by one person because there are those who are not here. We have a job to do and that's what we are here and voted to do. And I just think it's terrible that at this hour of the night, after all of this unnecessary delay, that we should be penalized, those of us who are trying to do our jobs. I urge you to vote 'yes'. We're going to see how many votes are up there and Representative Dunn can go right ahead and point them out, the ones that aren't here. But I will say this, that you are doing a disservice to the State of Illinois."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, shall the House concur on House Bill 3429? All in favor will vote 'aye', all opposed will vote 'nay'. Johnny, 'aye'. The Gentleman from Will, Mr. Van Duyne, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

Van Duyne: "Thank you, thank you, Mr. Speaker. Could you tell us which way, how the question is raised here, whether you vote 'yes' or 'no' and whether you're for it or against? Is it just an outright refusal of the money, a reduction? Do you vote 'no' and
you raise or if you vote 'yes' you deny?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Lady from Adams, Mrs. Kent."

Kent: "On many votes we have concurred, that means we agree and I would urge you to say 'aye' because we agree."

Van Duyne: "Agree with what, Mr. Speaker?"

Kent: "We agree with the Amendments that put the Bill back in its original form for the federal funds and makes an allowable transfer between the water resource projects."

Van Duyne: "So then if you're against it you vote 'no', right?"

Kent: "I would think so, yes."

Speaker Lechowicz: "If you want to lose the federal money you vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 114 'aye', 3... The Gentleman from Macon, Mr. Dunn, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

Dunn: "Mr. Speaker, I respectfully request a verification of the Affirmative Roll Call."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Lady asks a poll of the absentees. Excuse me, the Lady from Adams, Mrs. Kent, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

Kent: "I'm very sorry but I will take the Bill out of the record, Representative Dunn."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Lady takes the Bill out of the record. On the Calendar is Senate Bill 1884. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Peters, on the Order of Nonconcurrency. 1884, Jack. 1884, Jack."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is the Bill that provides 5.1 million dollars, supplemental appropriation to the Department of Children and Family Services. You may recall the Amendment which was added to the Bill here in the House which specifically line items out some of the..."
which specifically line items out the monies here.

Two Amendments were passed, one Amendment being
a technical Amendment, the other Amendment being
the Amendment I just spoke of. The Senate refused
to accept those two Amendments and before we get
too far into all of this and talk for too long on
this and waste a lot of everybody's time on it, it
is my intention, Mr. Speaker, to ask that the House
recede. If there is one person who is to object
I will ask now that this be placed on Postponed
Consideration, we'll take care of it in January, if
then."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The Lady from Cook,
Mrs. Chapman."

Chapman: "Mr....Mr. Speaker, I certainly have every intention
of asking that this Bill go to a Conference Committee,
where it belongs...."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, take the Bill out of the record."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman has asked leave of the
House to take the Bill out of the record. It's
out of the record. The Gentleman from Kankakee...
excuse me, Mr. Giorgi, on Death Resolutions. Let
me tell you, Jerry, we're getting out of here
right away. Death Resolutions."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 1216. Respect to the
memory of Springfield former Mayor, John W. (Buddy)
Capp, Jr. House Resolution 1214. Simms - Giorgi."

Speaker Lechowicz: "If you would, please."

Whereas, it was with deep regret that this chamber
was informed of the passing of Mable Green Pierce,
on October 30, 1978. And whereas Mable Pierce was
a former 33rd District State Representative who served
with dedication in the Illinois...."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Excuse me, Jack. These Death Resolutions
are former Members of the House. Would the Body kindly arise and give your attention to the Death Resolutions, please? Please continue, Sir."

Clerk O'Brien: "Whereas, Mable Pierce was a former 33rd District State Representative who served with dedication in the Illinois General Assembly from 1948 to 1962, later to be employed at the Rockton Wagon Wheel Lounge. And whereas, Mrs. Pierce was a widow..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Excuse me..."

Clerk O'Brien: "Whereas Mrs. Pierce was the widow of former State Representative William Pierce and was previously married to former Legislator, Representative Leroy Green. Whereas, Mrs. Pierce leaves a proud heritage to her family of five children, 16 grandchildren and three great-grandchildren and to all those who loved and respected her. And whereas, her passing is a severe loss, not only to the Members of her immediate family but to her community and to the state which she served so well. Therefore, be it resolved by the House of Representatives of the 80th General Assembly of the State of Illinois, that we express our profound sorrow upon the death of our beloved friend and former colleague, the Honorable Mable Green Pierce and that we extend our heartfelt sympathy to each of the Members of her family in their time of bereavement. And be it further resolved that a suitable copy of this preamble and Resolution be presented to the family of Mrs. Mable Pierce as a token to our great sorrow and sense of loss. House Resolution 1212. Bradley. Whereas, the Members of this House have learned with great sorrow of the recent death of the Honorable Felix Edward Wilson of Clinton, Illinois. Former distinguished Member of this House. And whereas, Mr. Wilson, who
before his retirement was track foreman for the Illinois Central Railroad, always took an active part in the political life of his community, serving as DeWitt County Democrat Chairman for many years and as Precinct Committeeman for over half a century. And whereas, he was elected to the Illinois House of Representatives three times, serving with distinction in the 63rd, 64th and 66th General Assembly and during his last term he served as Vice-Chairman of the House Committee on Public Utilities, Railroads and Aviation. And whereas, he was past President of the Illinois Central Service Club and of the Brotherhood of Maintenance of Way, Local 1069, a 50-year Member of the Masonic Lodge, Order of Eastern Star and Knights of Templers and a Member of the Bloomington Consistory of Ansar Shrine, Springfield, as well as the American Legion and the Federal Order...Fraternal Order of Elks. And whereas, Mr. Wilson contributed much to his community and to the state and he will be sorely missed by many friends. And whereas, he is survived by his beloved wife, Hazel, three sisters, Lucie Robinson, Paducah, Kentucky; Nellie Davis, Eddiville, Kentucky; and Mary Dalton, Fredonia, Kentucky. Therefore, be it resolved by the House of Representatives of the Eightieth General Assembly of the State of Illinois that we express our deep sorrow upon the death of Mr. Felix Edward Wilson and that we extend our heartfelt sympathy to his family and many friends in their time of bereavement and be it further resolved that a suitable copy of this Preamble and Resolution be forwarded to Mrs. Felix Edward Wilson, 520 East Woodland Street, Clinton, Illinois. And be it further resolved that as a further mark of our respect this House do now adjourn."
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Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Giorgi, moves the adoption of the Death Resolution. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', all those opposed? The Death Resolutions are adopted. The Gentleman...and the House stands adjourned until January 10, 1979. The House stands adjourned."
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Para</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Speaker</th>
<th>Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>11:00</td>
<td>Speaker Giorgi</td>
<td>House to order</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Reverend Krueger</td>
<td>Prayer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Giorti</td>
<td>Messages from the Senate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Giorgi</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vitek</td>
<td>Excused absence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Giorgi</td>
<td>Stand at ease 11:30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>House in order</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Roll Call for attendance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11:30</td>
<td>Clerk Hall</td>
<td>Agreed Resolutions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Giorgi</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk Hall</td>
<td>HR 1193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Giorgi</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Matijevich</td>
<td>Agreed Resolutions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Giorgi</td>
<td>Death Resolution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk Hall</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Giorgi</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk Hall</td>
<td>General Resolutions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Giorgi</td>
<td>Committee on Assignments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk Hall</td>
<td>SB 273, 3rd Rdg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Giorgi</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sandquist</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>11:46</td>
<td>Totten</td>
<td>Question</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Giorgi</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sandquist</td>
<td>Asks for explanation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bradley</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td>Sandquist</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page</td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Speaker</td>
<td>Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Giorgi</td>
<td>Passed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hoffman</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Giorgi</td>
<td>SB 1879, 3rd Rdg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk Hall</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Giorgi</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Polk</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Giorgi</td>
<td>Yield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Polk</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Giorgi</td>
<td>Question</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Polk</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Giorgi</td>
<td>Explain amendment #5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dunn, J.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Polk</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Giorgi</td>
<td>Passed, SB 1878 to 2nd Rdg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Leinenweber</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Giorgi</td>
<td>Amendment #7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk Hall</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hoxsey</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Giorgi</td>
<td>Leave for Committee to meet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Schneider</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hoxsey</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page</td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Speaker</td>
<td>Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ewing</td>
<td>Support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Giorgi</td>
<td>Amendment adopted, 3rd Rdg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ewing</td>
<td>Asks suspend rules</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Giorgi</td>
<td>Leave granted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>SB 1878, 3rd Rdg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Giorgi</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ewing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Giorgi</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td>Totten</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ewing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Giorgi</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td>Tipsword</td>
<td>Yield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ewing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Giorgi</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ewing</td>
<td>To close</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Giorgi</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
<td>Cunningham</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Giorgi</td>
<td>SB 1878 passed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Madison</td>
<td>Point of personal privilege</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Giorgi</td>
<td>SB 1893</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>SB 1893, 3rd Rdg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Giorgi</td>
<td>SB 1889 passed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ebbesen</td>
<td>Passed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Giorgi</td>
<td>SB 1889 read 2nd time previously</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Giorgi</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page</td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Speaker</td>
<td>Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>12:12</td>
<td>Speaker Giorgi</td>
<td>Move to table</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>Withdrawed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Giorgi</td>
<td>Floor Amendment #2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Terzich</td>
<td>Take out of the record</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>SB 1890 read 2nd time previously, Floor Amendment #1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Giorgi</td>
<td>Withdraw it</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Matijevich</td>
<td>Withdrawn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Giorgi</td>
<td>Withdrawn, 3rd Rdg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>SB 1890</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Giorgi</td>
<td>No objections, rules suspended</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>SB 1890, 3rd Rdg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Giorgi</td>
<td>SB 1890, 3rd Rdg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Winchester</td>
<td>Yield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Giorgi</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Winchester</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Giorgi</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
<td>Winchester</td>
<td>Clarification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Giorgi</td>
<td>Passed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>In chair, House to order</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>Message from the Senate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>SB 1880 read 2nd time previously</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Speaker</td>
<td>Information</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>Floor Amendment #1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Giorgi</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Totten</td>
<td>Object</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Ryan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Take out of record</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Madigan</td>
<td>Ask for Democratic Conference</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mahar</td>
<td>Ask for Republican Conference</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Madigan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Back at 1:15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ryan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Madigan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Back at 1:30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>Willer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:15</td>
<td>Giorgi</td>
<td>Asks for Epstein, stand at ease - 2:45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>House to order</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:42</td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>Message from Senate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Supplemental Calendar #1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Schneider</td>
<td>HJR 110 - amendment #1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page</td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Speaker</td>
<td>Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Brummer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>House concurs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Williams</td>
<td>HB 3419, Senate amendment #1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td></td>
<td>Sepaker Redmond</td>
<td>House concurs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Jack Davis</td>
<td>SB 1883, Senate amendments #2 and #3, move to recall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>House recedes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>David, J.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td></td>
<td>Sepaker Redmond</td>
<td>Agreed Resolution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Giorgi</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td>Sepaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk Hall</td>
<td>HR 1185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Giorgi</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Ayes have it</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mann</td>
<td>&quot;farewell speech&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td></td>
<td>Barnes, E.M.</td>
<td>Thanks C. Davis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Meyer</td>
<td>Suspend rule</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3:03</td>
<td></td>
<td>Friedrich</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td></td>
<td>Conti</td>
<td>Point of personal privilege</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Karis, G.</td>
<td>Point of personal privilege</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page</td>
<td>Time</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Speaker</th>
<th>Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bluthardt</td>
<td>Parliamentary inquiry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satterthwaite</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tipsword</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hoffman</td>
<td>SB 383, move to accept</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Friedrich</td>
<td>SB 1886, leave to return to 2nd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>Floor Amendment #2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Friedrich</td>
<td>In chair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speaker Mann</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Van Duyne</td>
<td>Questions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Friedrich</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speaker Mann</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Friedrich</td>
<td>To close</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speaker Mann</td>
<td>Amendment adopted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Friedrich</td>
<td>Move to suspend rules</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speaker Mann</td>
<td>Leave granted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>SB 1886, 3rd Rdg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Friedrich</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page</td>
<td>Time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page</td>
<td>Time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3:45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page</td>
<td>Time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>4:00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Speaker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4:09</td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Mann</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ewing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Mann</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Madigan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ewing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Mann</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Matijevich</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Mann</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Barnes, E.M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ewing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Mann</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ryan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Mann</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Barnes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Mann</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Willer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ewing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Mann</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Giorgi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Mann</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Johnson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Mann</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4:30</td>
<td>Speaker Mann</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Speaker Redmond In chair
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Page</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Speaker</th>
<th>Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>73</td>
<td></td>
<td>Kane</td>
<td>Speaks on motion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74</td>
<td></td>
<td>Telcser</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76</td>
<td></td>
<td>Hoffman</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77</td>
<td></td>
<td>Kane</td>
<td>To close</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Kane</td>
<td>Poll absentees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78</td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Motion last</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Telcser</td>
<td>SB 1587, motion #2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>McClain</td>
<td>Yield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79</td>
<td></td>
<td>Telcser</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Totten</td>
<td>Question</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Telcser</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td></td>
<td>Skinner</td>
<td>Question</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81</td>
<td></td>
<td>Telcser</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82</td>
<td></td>
<td>Skinner</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84</td>
<td>4:55</td>
<td>Telcser</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Martin, L.: **Move previous question
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Page</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Speaker</th>
<th>Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Telcser</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cunningham</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lechowicz</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Motion #2 prevails</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>Message from the Senate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Ryan</td>
<td>In chair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88</td>
<td></td>
<td>Telcser</td>
<td>SB 1601, motion to restore</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Ryan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Skinner</td>
<td>Question</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89</td>
<td></td>
<td>Telcser</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Ryan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lechowicz</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Ryan</td>
<td>Byers requests verification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td></td>
<td>Lechowicz</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>Polls absentees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Ryan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lechowicz</td>
<td>Wants count</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>92</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Ryan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Ryan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5:17</td>
<td></td>
<td>Byers</td>
<td>Withdraws request</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Ryan</td>
<td>SB 1601, item restored</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>93</td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>94</td>
<td></td>
<td>Brady</td>
<td>SB 1601, move to restore #3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page</td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Speaker</td>
<td>Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Ryan</td>
<td>To close</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lechowicz</td>
<td>Poll absentees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Ryan</td>
<td>&quot;yes&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>Polls absentees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Ryan</td>
<td>&quot;aye&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pechous</td>
<td>&quot;yes&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Ryan</td>
<td>&quot;yes&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Ryan</td>
<td>91 ayes, 52 nos, motion prevails</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97</td>
<td>5:35</td>
<td>Polk</td>
<td>SB 1879, amendment #1,2,3, 4, and 5, moves to refuse to recede</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Ryan</td>
<td>Question</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Byers</td>
<td>Request Conference Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Ryan</td>
<td>House refuses to recede</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Telcser</td>
<td>HB 3423, Senate amendment #1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Ryan</td>
<td>House nonconcurs in Senate amendment #1, HB 3427</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Catania</td>
<td>Senate amendment #1, #3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Speaker: Ryan
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Page</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Speaker</th>
<th>Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>5:42</td>
<td>Speaker Hart</td>
<td>HB 3427, Senate amendment #1 and #3 passed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tipsword</td>
<td>Question</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>In chair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Giorgi</td>
<td>Agreed Resolutions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Hart</td>
<td>Resolution adopted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101</td>
<td></td>
<td>Taylor</td>
<td>HR 154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Hart</td>
<td>Yield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Matijevich</td>
<td>Yield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Taylor</td>
<td>To close</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Taylor</td>
<td>Explain vote</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Hart</td>
<td>House adopts Resolution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Giorgi</td>
<td>House adopts Resolution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hart</td>
<td>HR 403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103</td>
<td></td>
<td>Catania</td>
<td>HR 403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Hart</td>
<td>HR 403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>104</td>
<td></td>
<td>Catania</td>
<td>HR 403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Hart</td>
<td>HR 403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Keats</td>
<td>HR 403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Hart</td>
<td>HR 403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page</td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Speaker</td>
<td>Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Walsh</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Hart</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>George Karis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Hart</td>
<td>403 passed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107</td>
<td></td>
<td>Hanahan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Hart</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>109</td>
<td></td>
<td>Byers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Hart</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6:07</td>
<td>Speaker Hart</td>
<td>Mover to previous question</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111</td>
<td></td>
<td>Karis, G.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Hart</td>
<td>Leave to change date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Steczp</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DATE:** 12-14-78
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Page</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Speaker</th>
<th>Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Hart</td>
<td>HR 457 adopted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Leinenweber</td>
<td>SJR 105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Hart</td>
<td>Resolution adopted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Jones, J.D.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Hart</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Keats</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>113</td>
<td></td>
<td>Bluthardt</td>
<td>HR 467, move to table</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Hart</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Hart</td>
<td>Leave granted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>McMaster</td>
<td>HB 2967, motion withdrawn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Hart</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Karis, G.</td>
<td>SB 1574, move to table motion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Hart</td>
<td>Leave granted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>114</td>
<td></td>
<td>Winchester</td>
<td>HB 2966, table motion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Hart</td>
<td>Leave granted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>?</td>
<td>HB 2964, table motion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6:15</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Hart</td>
<td>Leave granted, withdraw motion, SB 1582</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cambell</td>
<td>SB 1585, move to withdraw</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Hart</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>115</td>
<td></td>
<td>Totten</td>
<td>Question</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Hart</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Edgar</td>
<td>Withdraw motion, HB 2972</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Hart</td>
<td>Withdrawed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dunn, R.</td>
<td>Motion withdrawn, SB 1572</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Speaker</td>
<td>Information</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Hart</td>
<td>Wants to go to his motion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Totten</td>
<td>HB 2845 withdraw motion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>116</td>
<td>Hart</td>
<td>HB 2971, Rigney withdraws motion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ewing</td>
<td>HB 2968, motion withdrawn, HB 2968</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Johnson</td>
<td>Motion withdrawn, SB 1597</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hart</td>
<td>Withdrawn</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bennett</td>
<td>Same motion, HJR Committee amendment 32</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Collins</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hart</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ebbesen</td>
<td>Table motion, SB 1863</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>117</td>
<td>Hart</td>
<td>HR 449 and HJR 52 withdraw motions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Hart</td>
<td>Motion carried</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Keats</td>
<td>HR 1059, motion withdrawn</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hart</td>
<td>SB 1586, motion withdrawn</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bennett</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Hart</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>118</td>
<td>Hart</td>
<td>SB 1599, motion tabled</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Davis, J.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pullen</td>
<td>HB 2990, withdraw motion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Hart</td>
<td>HB 1688, 1856, motions withdrawn</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Simms</td>
<td>SB 1573, withdraw motion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Winchester</td>
<td>Question, HB 1891, leave</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page</td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Speaker</td>
<td>Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Hart</td>
<td>to return to 2nd Rdg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Houlihan, D.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Hart</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Houlihan</td>
<td>HB 1891 on 2nd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Hart</td>
<td>Table amendment #1, consider amendment #2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Houlihan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6:35</td>
<td>Speaker Hart</td>
<td>Amendment adopted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>Amendment #2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Hart</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>Floor Amendment #3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td></td>
<td>Hanahan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>121</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Hart</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>122</td>
<td></td>
<td>Winchester</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Hart</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Satterthwaite</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Hart</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lechowicz</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>123</td>
<td></td>
<td>Hanahan</td>
<td>Withdrews opposition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Hart</td>
<td>Amendment adopted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Winchester</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>Floor Amendment #4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Hart</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page</td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Speaker</td>
<td>Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6:43</td>
<td>Speaker Hart</td>
<td>SB 1891 passed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>124</td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>Message from the Senate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6:43</td>
<td>Speaker Hart</td>
<td>Giorgi moves suspends, leave granted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>126</td>
<td></td>
<td>Porter</td>
<td>SB 237, withdraw motion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6:43</td>
<td>Speaker Hart</td>
<td>SB 1584, motion withdrawn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ewing</td>
<td>SB 1878 - 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, move to recede</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>128</td>
<td></td>
<td>Byers</td>
<td>Wants explanation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ewing</td>
<td>Responds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6:43</td>
<td>Speaker Hart</td>
<td>Support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page</td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Speaker</td>
<td>Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>129</td>
<td>6:54</td>
<td>Speaker Hart</td>
<td>SB 1878 -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ewing</td>
<td>SB 1878 - 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, House recedes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>130</td>
<td></td>
<td>Lechowicz</td>
<td>Adjournment Resolution, January 10, 1979</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Hart</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lechowicz</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Hart</td>
<td>Resolution adopted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Friedrich</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Hart</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>131</td>
<td></td>
<td>Karis, G.</td>
<td>Point of personal privilege</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Hart</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>132</td>
<td></td>
<td>Cunningham</td>
<td>&quot;thank you&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Hart</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>SB 1877, 3rd Rdg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Houlihan, D.</td>
<td>Leave to return to 2nd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Hart</td>
<td>No objection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>Floor Amendment #8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Hart</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>134</td>
<td></td>
<td>Chapman</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Hart</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>135</td>
<td></td>
<td>Totten</td>
<td>Question</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Chapman</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Hart</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page</td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Speaker</td>
<td>Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>136</td>
<td></td>
<td>Pullen</td>
<td>Oppose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Hart</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>137</td>
<td>7:15</td>
<td>Edgar</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Hart</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Gaines</td>
<td>Urge defeat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Hart</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vinson</td>
<td>To close</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Hart</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Chapman</td>
<td>SB 1877 - amendment #8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Hart</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Skinner</td>
<td>Amendment adopted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>138</td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>Floor Amendment #9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Hart</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Houlihan, D.</td>
<td>Move to withdraw</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Hart</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>Floor Amendment #10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Hart</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Younge</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Hart</td>
<td>Possible verification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Johnson</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Hart</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Johnson</td>
<td>Asks for verification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Hart</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>Continues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page</td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Speaker</td>
<td>Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>140</td>
<td>7:24</td>
<td>Speaker Hart</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>Affirmative Roll Call</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Hart</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Johnson</td>
<td>Questions Affirmative Roll Call</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>141</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Hart</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Kane</td>
<td>Parliamentaty inquiry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>142</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Hart</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Johnson</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Hart</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Younge</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Hart</td>
<td>Verify negative vote</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>143</td>
<td></td>
<td>Totten</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Hart</td>
<td>SB 1877, amendment #10 adopted, 3rd Rdg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Houlihan</td>
<td>Suspend rules etc...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Hart</td>
<td>Leave granted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>SB 1877, 3rd Rdg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>144</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Hart</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Houlihan, D.</td>
<td>Needs 107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>145</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Hart</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Houlihan, D.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Hart</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Skinner</td>
<td>Question</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Hart</td>
<td>Passed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Totten</td>
<td>Wants verification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Houlihan, D.</td>
<td>Asks request be ruled out of order</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page</td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Speaker</td>
<td>Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>147</td>
<td>7:42</td>
<td>Lechowicz</td>
<td>Request must be honored</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>147</td>
<td></td>
<td>Totten</td>
<td>Withdraws request</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Hart</td>
<td>Question</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>148</td>
<td></td>
<td>McGrew</td>
<td>Motion withdrawn, HR 913</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>149</td>
<td>8:12</td>
<td>Schuneman</td>
<td>SB 1596, motion withdrawn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>149</td>
<td></td>
<td>Totten</td>
<td>SB 1423, withdraw motion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Hart</td>
<td>Good wishes etc...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Houlihan, D.</td>
<td>&quot;thanks&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>8:13</td>
<td>Younge</td>
<td>&quot;I'm one&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>8:13</td>
<td>Speaker Hart</td>
<td>Responds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>8:13</td>
<td>Ryan</td>
<td>&quot;what's going on&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>8:13</td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>151</td>
<td></td>
<td>Tyan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>151</td>
<td></td>
<td>speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Chapman</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Peters</td>
<td>Discussion</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GENERAL ASSEMBLY
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HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Page</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Speaker</th>
<th>Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>153</td>
<td>8:29</td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Message from Senate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>154</td>
<td>8:30</td>
<td>Kent</td>
<td>HB 3429</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156</td>
<td></td>
<td>Pullen</td>
<td>Re-read message</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ryan</td>
<td>HB 3423</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Friedrich</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Karis, G.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page</td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Speaker</td>
<td>Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>157</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Collins</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ryan</td>
<td>Take out of the record</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>158</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Catania</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dunn, J.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ryan</td>
<td>HB 3423, move to non-concur</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>House does not adopt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>159</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ryan</td>
<td>HB 3425, Senate amendment§1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:48</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>160</td>
<td></td>
<td>Dunn, J.</td>
<td>Question</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Reilly</td>
<td>Possible verification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Friedrich</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>161</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mautino</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Echos Mautino</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vinson</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Van Duyne</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>162</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>HB 3425 - House</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Peters</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page</td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Speaker</td>
<td>Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Reilly</td>
<td>PPC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Kent</td>
<td>HB 3429, Senate amendment #1 and 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>163</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>164</td>
<td></td>
<td>Dunn, J.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>165</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>166</td>
<td>8:59</td>
<td>Peters</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>166</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>165</td>
<td></td>
<td>Kent</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>166</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Van Duyne</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>166</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Van Duyne</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Friedrich</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td>In chair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>166</td>
<td></td>
<td>Flinn</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>166</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Kent</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td>HB 3429</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Van Duyne</td>
<td>Question</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>167</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>167</td>
<td></td>
<td>Kent</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page</td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Speaker</td>
<td>Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>168</td>
<td></td>
<td>Dunn, J.</td>
<td>Request verification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>168</td>
<td></td>
<td>Kent</td>
<td>Take out of record</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>168</td>
<td></td>
<td>Peters</td>
<td>HB 1884, amendment #5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>168</td>
<td>9:09</td>
<td>Chapman</td>
<td>Take out of the record</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Peters</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>171</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td>Resolution adopted, House adjourned, January 10, 1979 10:00 a.m.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Resolution adopted, House adjourned, January 10, 1979 10:00 a.m.