Doorpersons: "Attention, Members of the House of Representatives, the House will convene in fifteen minutes. All persons not entitled to the House floor please retire to the gallery."

Speaker Redmond: "House will come to order, Members please be in their seats. Led in prayer by the Reverend Krueger, the House Chaplain."

Rev. Krueger: "The Father, the Son and the Holy Ghost, Amen. Bless this House to Thy service this, Amen. Sydney Tremayne said: 'No one agrees with other people's opinions: he merely agrees with his own opinions expressed by somebody else.' Let us pray. Almighty God, we call upon Thee this day for Thy presence in all our being and in all our doing. As we perform our chosen responsibilities serving in this House of Representatives, grant that we may be like-minded in the pursuit of such law and legislation that is for the best good and well-being of the people of the State of Illinois. Grant this, O Father, in the Name of Thy Son who went about seeking a better life for those with whom he lived."

Speaker Redmond: "Roll Call for attendance. Committee Reports."

Clerk O'Brien: "Representative Pierce, Chairman of the Committee on Revenue to which the following Bill was referred, action taken December 5, 1978. Reported the same back with the following recommendation: do pass as amended Senate bill 1819."

Speaker Redmond: "Record show Representative Walsh is in the chamber. Reading of the Journal."

Clerk O'Brien: "Journal for November 28, 1978. The House met pursuant to adjournment, the Speaker in the Chair."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz, for what purpose do you rise?"

Lechowicz: "All right, thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move that we dispense with the reading of the Journal and that Journal #163 of November 28, 1978 be approved as read."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion on the Gentleman's motion? The question is on the Gentleman's motion that the reading of the Journal be dispensed with and that it be approved. Those in favor indicate by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the
motion carried. Representative Ebbesen, will you please walk
down the center aisle? Representative Ebbesen, will you please
enter the chamber through the center aisle? House will be at ease
until 12:35, one of the Appropriations Committees is still meeting.
Representative Katz.

Katz: "Mr. Speaker, yesterday the House gave permission for the House
Rules Committee to hear four Bills. It turned out that another
Member, Mr. Terzich, had a fifth Bill which is Senate Bill 1889.
I would like leave of the House to permit the Rules Committee to
today hear Senate Bill 1889 waiving the posting and notice re-
quirements for the Rules Committee. This has been approved by
the Minority Leader and the Majority Leader. They have no ob-
jection to the allowance of the motion giving to Mr. Terzich the
same allowance that was given to the other Members yesterday."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Katz has moved that the posting no-
tice be suspended with respect to Senate Bill 1889. Does he have
leave to use the Attendance Roll Call in support of that motion?
Hearing no objection, leave is granted and we will now have a
meeting of the Rules Committee in the Speaker's office. Members
of the Rules Committee, the Speaker's office right now. Advised
by the Members of the Appropriation Committee that they have ad-
journed and we expect full attendance on the floor in about five
minutes so I would suggest that everyone within hearing...voices.
We've got a very important, at least one very important measure
on here... 3449 that... called eavesdropping. House will come to
order. Page 5 under the Order of Amendatory Veto Motions, appears
Senate Bill 255. Representative Mugalian is recognized with re-
spect to Motion #1 on Senate Bill 255."

Mugalian: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move that the House accept the
Governor's Amendment to Senate Bill 255. What the Amendment does
is to the change the effective date to January of 1979 in order
to make it consistent with the other changes in the Mental Health
Code."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? Representative Matijevich."
Matijevich: "Just a clarification. This is Senate Bill 255, not
House Bill 255, right?"
Speaker Redmond: "Senate Bill 255."
Matijevich: "Remember what that Bill was?"
Speaker Redmond: "I think I do. I think I do. I think that was either Jack Hill's or Giorgi's or Hanahan's, wasn't it? Oh, no. I was thinking... Is there any further discussion? The question is, shall the House accept the Governor's specific recommendation for change with respect to House Bill 255... Senate Bill 255 by adoption of the Amendment? All in favor vote 'aye' and opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 138 'aye' and 6 'no' and the motion having received the Constitutional Majority prevails and the House accepts the Governor's specific recommendation for change regarding Senate Bill 255 by the adoption of the Amendment. Senate Bill 1472, Representative Monroe Flinn. Motion to accept with respect to Senate Bill 142, Representative Monroe Flinn is recognized."
Flinn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker, I move that we do accept the Governor's amendatory veto on Senate Bill 1472. It's already been accepted by the Senate by unanimous majority."
Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? The question is, shall the House accept the Governor's... Representative Totten."
Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Would the Sponsor yield for a question?"
Flinn: "Yes, yes."
Totten: "Could you explain what the Bill did and what the amendatory veto does?"
Flinn: "Well, the Bill originally spread out the group and covered in more... comprehensively the Southwestern Industrial Planning Commission so that there'd be a much larger group of people represented and the Governor saw fit to cut that back because there was some... that were some areas in which we would be discriminating against some people and... by putting certain people on. And so they cut it back, there's just not as many on there as there originally in the Bill. I think it's just an idea somebody had in the Governor's staff. I don't agree with them, but it's not that important one way or the other."
Totten: "Monroe, did this create... does the original Bill create a
new Council?"

Flinn: "No, it added Members to the old Council."

Totten: "Okay."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? Representative Monroe Flinn to close."

Flinn: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I think I've said about all there is to say about the Bill. I would move that... ask that my motion be adopted."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is, shall the House accept the Governor's specific recommendation for change with respect to Senate Bill 1472 by adoption of the Amendment? All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? All voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 154 'aye' and no 'nay'. The motion having received the Constitutional Majority prevails and the House accepts the Governor's specific recommendation for change regarding Senate Bill 1472 by adoption of the Amendment. 1617, Representative J. David Jones. Out of the record. Item Veto Motions on page 4. Item Veto Motions appears motion with respect to Senate Bill 1587. Representative Kane. Representative Kane. Out of the record. 1610, Representative Mudd or Stuffle. Take your choice. Out of the record. 1485, Representative Matijevich. 1845, Representative Matijevich. Matijevich, Representative Hanahan and Ewell, will you please sit down?"

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, my motion is to override the item veto of the Governor on page 1, line 20 in the amount of twenty-five thousand dollars. This was a request by the Economic and Fiscal Commission to purchase economic forecasting models from the data processing center. I'm sure the Governor felt that the Commission could use this for political purposes and... and we now have bipartisan support and Roscoe Cunningham is a Member of the Economic and Fiscal Commission, he can attest to that. The Economic and Fiscal Commission needs this information for future economic forecasting. We had a meeting of the Economic and Fiscal Commission last week and they unanimously supported the item veto motion override. It also
had unanimous support in the Senate. So I would urge your support of my motion."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? Representative Totten."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Would the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Totten: "The Governor indicated in his veto message that we would be providing funding to the Commission for information already available and the funding is duplicative. Is that a fact?"

Matijevich: "His message indicated that it was... it would be duplicative of information received by the Comptroller. We think, however, that probably he was just fearful that the Commission could use that and we do have as you know the possibility of using it for... for political purposes. That was never the intent of the Commission. It would never have been used that way. So I really think that... he couldn't have said that in a veto message I'm sure. So I would urge Members that we do receive this information that we so vitally need."

Totten: "Representative, but... you read something into the veto message which isn't there. My question was, is whether it is duplicative of the Comptroller's information or not."

Matijevich: "Well, the Comptroller can get the like information but we, as a Commission, need similar information. As you know, we have the statutory responsibility that... that this Legislature has given to the Economic and Fiscal Commission to make such forecasts. We even have the constitutional authority to do it. So I think that because of that constitutional mandate that you just have to support this."

Totten: "Okay, I think you're right and I'll vote to support the amendatory... the line item... override."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Cunningham."

Cunningham: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Chairman John is certainly right when he implies that the Economic and Fiscal Commission fights the battle of the entire General Assembly to restore it to the grandeur of former days, back in the days when the Budgetary Commission was going full strength."
This Legislative Body had a direct control over the budget. But after the Bureau of the Budget came upon the scene and became the singular property of the Executive Branch, it greatly diminished the role of the legislative arm in the fixing of the budget. I for one believe that you should reassert the authority of other years by backing the efforts of the Economic and Fiscal Commission to play a meaningful role in the budget process. And the way that you do that and avoid the fifth wheel position that you are presently in in regard to the budget it strengthen the hand of the Economic and Fiscal Commission. Please vote 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there anything further? The question is, shall the item on page 1, line 20 of House, of Senate Bill 1845 pass notwithstanding the veto of the Governor? All in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 121 'aye' and 19 'no'. The motion having received the three-fifths Constitutional Majority prevails and the item on page 1, line 20 of House, of Senate Bill 1845 is declared passed notwithstanding the veto of the Governor. Representative Bradley, do you desire to proceed with Senate... oh, you don't have a motion on that, do you? House Bills, Second Reading. House Bill 2973, Representative Abramson. Out of the record. Representative Catania on 2978. 2978, Representative Catania. Out of the record. 3316, Representative Kane or James Houlihan. Out of the record. ...449, I understand that 3449 is actually on the Order of Third Reading, Supplemental Calendar will so reflect. 3449 is on the Order of Third Reading, not Second Reading. Any objections to proceeding with 3449 now? Hearing no objection, read the Bill on Third Reading, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3449. A Bill for an Act to create the Commission on Urban Education. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McCourt. Representative McCourt."

McCourt: "Mr. Speaker, this... and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Bill recreates the Urban Education Commission which has been in existence for approximately ten years. The appropriation for this Bill has already passed the House and Senate for ten thousand
dollars, but through inadvertence this Commission terminated June
the 30th of this year and I'd respectfully request your favorable
support for recreating the Urban Education Commission and I so
move."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question is, shall this Bill
pass? Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all
voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the...
the record. On this question there's 127 'aye' and 20 'no' and
having received the three-fifths Constitutional Majority is here-
by declared passed. House Bills, Third Reading. 3319, Jesse
Madison. Out of the record. 3420, Representative Ewing. Out
of the record. 3434, Representative McGrew. Representative McGrew,
do you want 34...3434 called? Out of the record. 605, Repre-
sentative Dan Houlihan. Senate Bills, Second Reading. Senate Bill
1469."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1469. A Bill for an Act making appro-
priation to the Department of Registration and Education to the
Illinois State Museum. ...Reading of the Bill...Second Reading
of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Out of the... 886...886, Representative Friedrich."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1886. A Bill for an Act relating to
nonsubstantive revision, renumbering or repeal of Sections in
Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor? Representative
Friedrich."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Friedrich. Please give the Gentle-
man order."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, these are revisionary Bills that are drafted
by the Legislative Reference Bureau. We found out that because of an-
other thing that was caught and also a Bill that was enacted last
week, they're going to need some Amendments. The Amendments are
not printed because they were just introduced and what I'd like
to have done with the leave of the Body is to advance these Bills
to Third Reading with the understanding they'll be brought back
next week when these Amendments are printed for the consideration
of the Body."
Speaker Redmond: "Any objection to advancing Senate Bill 1826 to the Order of Third Reading and then returning it to Second for the purpose of Amendment next week?"
Friedrich: "1886, Mr. Speaker."
Speaker Redmond: "The Bill... Amendments from the floor other than the ones Representative Friedrich was discussing?"
Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1887."
Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"
Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment..."
Speaker Redmond: "All unauthorized persons please leave the floor. All unauthorized persons leave the floor."
Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendments #1 and 2."
Speaker Redmond: "Representative Friedrich."
Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, the same explanation that... we didn't get these Amendments: in in time to have then printed. I would like leave of the Body to move them to Third Reading with the understanding they would be brought back next week for the purpose of adopting these Amendments."
Speaker Redmond: "Is there any objection to according this the same treatment as we did the previous Bill? Hearing no objection... Third Reading. Consideration Postponed, House Bill 1089, Representative Younge. Out of the record. 2538. Representative Dunn. Out of the record. 2792, Representative Tipsword. Representative Tipsword, do you want 2792 called? Out of the record. 3071, Representative Younge. Out of the record. 3422, Representative Hadigan. Out of the record. House Resolution 97, Representative Younge. Is that out of the record? I didn't get that signal. That looks... that looked like 'roll it down'. Committee Reports."
Clerk O'Brien: "Representative Matijeovich, Chairman of the Committee on Appropriations I to which the following Bills were referred;
action taken December 6, 1978. Reported the same back with the following recommendations: do pass Senate Bills 1882 and 1885; do not pass House Bill 3445; do pass as amended Senate Bills 1877, 1878 and 1888. Representative Peters, Chairman of the Committee on Appropriations II... Representative Barnes, Chairman of the Committee on Appropriations II, to which the following Bills were referred; action taken December 6, 1978. Reported the same back with the following recommendations: do pass Senate Bill 1884; do pass as amended Senate Bills 1878, 1881, 1883 and 1893."

Speaker Redmond: "Order of Motions, on page 7 appears a motion with respect to Senate Bill 1892. Representative Stuffle is recognized. Representative Stuffle."

Stuffle: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members. At this time I would like to pursue the motion on Senate Bill 1892 to move that particular Bill to Second Reading without reference to Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? Any discussion? Representative Greiman."

Greiman: "What is it about more than... except for having a number, what is it all about?"

Stuffle: "The Bill is the School Aid Formula Bill, the same Bill, in effect, that we passed here last week. It's necessary to move the Bill to Second Reading today and pursue it next week if we were to pass that piece of legislation in either House prior to the adjournment given the schedule of the two Houses."

Speaker Redmond: "Question's on the Gentleman's motion to advance Senate Bill 1892 to the Order of Second Reading, Second Legislative Day without reference to Committee. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? All voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 132 'aye' and 6 'no'. The motion prevails, Senate Bill 1892 is advanced to Second Reading, Second Legislative Day. Mr. Clerk, will you read the Bill? Representative Bowman, for what purpose do you rise?"

Bowman: "May I be recorded as 'yes' on the last vote please?"

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman have leave to be recorded as voting 'yes' on the last Roll Call? Hearing no objections, so recorded."
Will you read the Bill, Mr. Clerk?

Clerk O'Brien: "Bowman 'aye'. Senate Bill 1882. No, it's 1892. Senate Bill 1892. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments..."

Clerk O'Brien: "No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. Representative Edgar, for what purpose do you rise?"

Edgar: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. On a point of personal privilege. I'd like to call attention to the Members of the House that on Saturday at 12:30, Eastern Illinois University will be playing for the National Championship in Texas for the Division II in collegiate football. I believe this is the only Illinois football team that's gone to any kind of bowl or playoff game. It'll be televised on ABC at 12:30 and you might want to take the phone off the hook and watch television, 12:30 on Saturday and see what a good Illinois football team looks like. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McPike, do you have a similar point with respect to the Navy or the Army? Representative Sandquist, for what purpose do you rise? Representative Sandquist."

Sandquist: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I'd just like to call the House's attention to the fact that the great Navy team, and I'm sure Representative McPike joins with me, that they showed what they could do last Saturday against Army, and they will also be in a bowl against Brigham Young on December 22. I urge you to watch that."

Speaker Redmond: "Do you have a motion also in addition to that... the man in motion?"

Sandquist: "I have...on Senate Bill 273...like to move that we suspend the appropriate rule and advance Senate Bill 273 to Second Reading without reference to Committee. It was heard in Rules this morning and it passed out 14 to 1. This is the Bill which puts the disabled people under the Guardian and Advocacy Commission as well as the Mental Health. It passed the Senate 54 to nothing. It's an emergency to be done now because the Commission is being created and..."
will take affect on January 1. And I ask the support of the Body.

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Katz."

Katz: "I would wonder why we could not simply waive the required number of days, let the Bill be heard in Committee before we go into Session next week so that there would be an opportunity for a Committee of the House, the one that heard your Bills before, to be able to hear this matter. It seems to me that it would be useful, it's an important Bill, it is a complicated subject and it would not really delay your time schedule by having a Committee hearing before we go into Session next week."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Sandquist."

Sandquist: "Well, it's my understanding that the Committee was not going to be meeting. And I think this is an important thing. All... All it really does is put those who are with disabled disability in the same position as those with the mentally handicapped. We went all through this with the mentally handicapped and I think it's important that this be done at this time."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mann."

Mann: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I want to concur with Representative Sandquist. I think that Senate Bill 273 in order to be complete in its effect and its scope ought to include the retarded as well as the mentally ill. That does not mean for purposes of treatment that they're going to be segregated but it means that it'll be within the scope of the Bill. Am I correct? Yes, and I think it's a good Bill. And for that reason I think we ought to vote for the motion."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further discussion? The question is on the Gentleman's motion that Senate Bill 273 be advanced to the Order of Second Reading, Second Legislative Day without reference to Committee. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 140 'aye' and 6 'no'. The motion prevails, Senate Bill 273 is advanced to the Order of Second Reading, Second Legislative Day. Mr. Clerk, will you read the Bill?"

No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. Representative Winchester, do you seek recognition with respect to Senate Bill 1891?"

Winchester: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'm... a parliamentary inquiry. On my motion I ask that it be moved to Second Reading, First Legislative Day. Can I amend that on its face to read Second Legislative Day?"

Speaker Redmond: "Our clairvoyant Clerk has changed it to Second Legislative Day."

Winchester: "Thank you very much. Well then, my motion is to...
pursuant to Rule 66(a), I move to discharge the Committee on Appropriations II from further consideration of House Bill or Senate Bill 1891 and suspend the appropriate rule relating to Calendar requirements and advance to the Order of Second Reading, Second Legislative Day. That is a supplemental appropriation and a transfer Bill of various dollars for the Governor's Office of Manpower and Human Development."

Speaker Redmond: "Discussion on the motion? The question's on the Gentleman's motion... Representative Hanahan. Representative Hanahan."

Hanahan: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I believe this Bill was just heard an hour ago by the Appropriations Committee. Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I'd like to first start by saying that nobody has demonstrated any immediate need for the consideration of this Bill. It was well heard by the Appropriations Committee. The decision by that Committee was to leave the Bill in Committee and let it die there a peaceful death and let the next Session of the General Assembly grapple with the serious consideration of what the CETA programs are in this state. I suggest very respectfully to the Members of this General Assembly that it is not incumbent upon us at this time of the year at the lame duck Session to consider some legislation that will be scrutinized and carefully adjusted by the next Session of the General Assembly. At no time though do I want my criticism of this motion to be
construed I'm against CETA. I am against the kind of proliferation of high salaried, undeserving, patronage hungry administrators of CETA. I'm sick and tired of good programs being criticized by the general public because of the gall and the absolute greed of some of these administrators in the GORE programs, in the old CETA programs and the manpower treatment programs that they have now got going on in this state. If any of you Legislators spend a few minutes of your time and go and check on the salary schedules of the administrators of CETA, you'll be sick. And they have the gall to criticize Legislators who are elected by the people to represent the people to draw a salary of twenty-eight thousand dollars a year. Ladies and Gentlemen, you should see the salaries that CETA pay some undeserving bureaucrat who is now going ruin a worthwhile project that the Federal Government has said should be continued for another four years. I just believe that this motion should be denied, should be defeated and allow the next Session of the General Assembly to take a statesmanlike view on the CETA program, look at the problems that they have got and correct those problems so that the whole program don't go into disrepute. I'd just urge a negative vote and to follow in the consideration of what the Appropriations Committee just did an hour ago and allow this Bill to die. If you believe in the Committee structure like some of you espouse that you do, we heard the testimony, we listened to the Director and we found no reason for the necessity of considering this Bill today in 1978 when next month the next Session of the General Assembly who should be meeting every week will have plenty of opportunity to look over the requests of CETA in the next Session. And I urge a negative vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McClain."

McClain: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. With all due respect to the previous speaker, I stand in support of this motion. I stand in support for several reasons. First of all, we all understand where Mr. Hanahan's coming from. A lot of CETA positions are janitors and the like, building trades that take positions away from what he's vitally interested in and I don't blame him
for that. In the municipality of Quincy we have an agreement that CETA employees will not be employed that would take positions away from unions. That's part of our collective bargaining agreement and that's not at issue for us. I would say that in terms of what GOMAD has done in the last year has been phenomenal. If you remember a year ago the Chicago newspapers said it was the most poorly organized and poorly administered department in the State of Illinois. It's come full circle. It is now, I think, one of the best. And all of you know that when you have constituent problems or problems with programs in those communities, GOMAD now responds quickly and efficiently and I stand in support of this motion and I hope it succeeds."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Barnes, E.M."

E. Barnes: "Thank you very much. Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this measure was heard before the Appropriations II Committee but unfortunately it was heard towards the very end of the Committee hearing. Many, many of the original Members who had been in attendance earlier had other meetings to attend and was not in attendance when this Bill was being debated. I think and I concur with Representative McClain and I concur with Representative Winchester, I indicated to him at the meeting that I would stand in support of this. I think that many of the Committee Members who had to be called away to other things at that particular time has indicated to me that if... if they had been in attendance, they would have supported this Bill and we would have had the necessary votes to bring this Bill out. We received nine votes in the Committee on Affirmative Roll Call to bring it out. It was only two votes, I believe, that was cast against bringing out this Bill on a do pass motion. So you can say... you can see that the indication from the Members in attendance was that this Bill should have come out of that Committee on a do pass recommendation. I fully stand in agreement with Representative Winchester. I would urge you sincerely from that viewpoint and additionally to the viewpoint expressed by Representative McClain, cause I know in my own district there are many, many people that are beneficiary of the CETA program that might be
hurt and hampered if we do not pass this appropriation. This agency, I have had many letters and correspondence with them in the intervening time since last year, in the intervening time since we went out of Session in June, this agency has tried a yoeman's job to clear up the problems it had in the past and I would urge each and every Member to support this motion to bring this Bill out to the House floor for this consideration."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Vinson, Vinson."

Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, insofar as I contributed substantially to the commotion in Committee this morning that may have delayed this Bill, I think it's appropriate that I speak on it this afternoon. I did that, contributed to that commotion to try to get the attention of the Director and to try to get across to him the need to recognize that this Legislature has a legitimate right to have input and to get responses quickly and efficiently from him. Before this morning I didn't believe that he recognized that need. I am somewhat persuaded that he recognizes it better this afternoon, I believe that the motion should be adopted and that we should discharge the Bill and bring it to the floor. Therefore, I urge that you vote green on this."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Winchester to close."

Winchester: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I'd like to reiterate that I do have the support of Representative Barnes who is the Chairman of the Appropriations Committee. I have the support of Representative Peters who is the Minority Spokesman of the Appropriations Committee. I have the support of both the Majority and the Minority Leader on both sides of the aisles. I also take exception to Representative Hanahan's comments in that he feels that this legislation is not important enough that it be moved during this Session and should wait until the next Session. That is not true. If the money is not appropriated now, then CONAD will not be able to obligate their monies. Consequently, they'll lose the money for the CETA program, the federal boys will give the money to the surrounding states so it's very important that we do act on this now and I would very much appreciate, Mr. Speaker, a favorable vote on my
motion to discharge. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Question is on the Gentleman's motion to discharge Committee and advance Senate Bill 1891 to the Order of Second Reading without... reference. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Motion is to discharge the Committee. Have all voted who wished? Representative Winchester, for what purpose do you rise?"

Winchester: "Does this take 89 votes?"

Speaker Redmond: "89 votes."

Winchester: "89 votes? Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wished? Clerk... Clerk will take the record. Representative Marovitz desires to be recorded as 'aye'. All voted who wished? All voted who wished? Representative Winchester, I misled you. Inasmuch as it's not on the Calendar it requires 107 votes. Representative Winchester."

Winchester: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, for bringing that to my attention I... I was overconfident and thought that I had the necessary 89 votes. But I want to reiterate, I want to reiterate, Mr. Speaker, that if we don't get this Bill out today we're going to lose a considerable amount of money. As a matter of fact thirteen million dollars we're going to lose, Mr. Speaker, if we don't do this today. The CETA program is very important to the State of Illinois. It takes low income people and sometimes people who don't have any income at all..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hanahan, for what purpose do you rise?"

Hanahan: "Gentleman's talking in error. And first of all we're on the Roll Call here. He had plenty of opportunity to explain his vote earlier."

Speaker Redmond: "Your objection is well taken. Have all voted... Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "I want to explain my vote because I know... oh, he's over 107, he knew he would be. I want to explain my vote because I think the CETA program hasn't proved out to what it is. I get a hell of a lot of complaints from people and they tell me that the CETA program which was initially intended to try to give people jobs to instruct them on different types of..."
employment, low income people. That would be good. The original intent of the CETA program, I think, was good and I supported it originally. But what has the CETA program become? It has become a program to...for political patronage in the first place. Secondly, it's become a program so that people in government can slot...people in government and use CETA funds. But then the state taxpayer then ends up, did you ever see government get rid of an employee? No. Once they are employed, they stay there and the CETA program is just adding layers and layers of people into government that is be... going to become progressively larger in years to come. And I think it's time that we evaluate it now. This is one thing that they ought to be talking to President Carter about and telling him, 'Jimmy, look over that program now. It's not what it started out to be.' Maybe somebody ought to be talking to the Congress about the CETA program and say, 'Hey, this isn't supposed to be political patronage.' And it is. And I think that Illinois ought to be the ones to start that looking into it right now and, therefore, I'm voting 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wished? Clerk will take the record. Representative Hanahan requests a verification of the Affirmative Roll Call. Representative Winchesters requests a poll of the absentees. Mr. Clerk, will you poll the absentees."

Clerk O'Brien: "Abramson, Beatty, Brandt."

Speaker Redmond: "It's been called to the Chair's attention that obviously some of these votes have been...voted in absentia so I think probably to save time it would be a better idea... Representative Ryan objects. Proceed with the poll of the absentees."

Clerk O'Brien: "Brandt, Capparelli, Christensen, Epton, Farley, J.M. Houlihan, Levin, Madigan, Madison, McAuliffe, Pierce, Porter, Satterthwaite, Schlickman, Skinner, Terzich, and Younge."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hanahan."

Hanahan: "May I respectfully request the Speaker to use the option of the Oral Roll Call?"

Speaker Redmond: "I think we've gone too far on that, Tom."

Hanahan: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I could name ten guys that are on that
board right now that aren't here. And you know, I mean if Mr.
Ryan wants to persist in his objection, we'll go through it.
But I want to get out of here just like everyone else. And there's
Members here that do not want to vote 'aye' on this thing and
are locked in and if he persists, let him go ahead. He don't
run the place."
Speaker Redmond: "I think at this stage it's quicker to verify. It
would have been quicker to start with, but we didn't do it that
way. Representative Ewell."
Ewell: "Could I be switched from 'present' to 'aye'?"
Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ewell desires to be recorded as
'aye'. Now proceed with the verification of the Affirmative Roll
Call."
Clerk O'Brien: "Antonovych, E.M. Barnes, Bartulis, Blanco, Birchler,
Bowman, Breslin, Caldwell, Campbell, Catania, Chapman, Conti,
Cunningham, Daniels, Corneal Davis, Dawson, Deavers, Deuster,
DiPrima, Domico, Doyle, Ralph Dunn, Dyer, Ebbeson, Edgar, Ewell,
Ewing, Friedland, Friedrich, Gaines, Garmisa, Geo-Karis, Giglio,
Greiman, Griesheimer, Harris, Hart, Hoffman, Holewinski, Dan Houlihan,
Hoxsey, Hudson, Huskey, Jaffe, Dave Jones, Emil Jones, Kane, Katz,
Kelly, Kempiners, Kent, Klosak, Kosinski, Kucharski, Laurino."
Speaker Redmond: "Representative Laurino, for what purpose do you
rise?"
Laurino: "Mr. Speaker, would you change my vote from 'aye' to 'no'?"
Speaker Redmond: "Change Representative Laurino from 'aye' to 'no'.
Proceed."
Clerk O'Brien: "Lechowicz, Leverenz, Lucco, Luft, Macdonald, Nahar,
Mann, Margalus, Marovitz, Peggy Smith Martin, Matejak, Matula,
McBroom, McClain, McCourt, McLendon, McFaster, Meyer, Nugalian,
Murphy, Nardulli, Neff, O'Brien, Pechous, Peters, Reed, Reilly,
Richmond, Rigney, Robinson, Ryan, Sandquist, Schneider, Schoebelien,
Schuneman, Sharp, Shumpert, Stanley, Stearney, Steczko, E.G. Steele,
C.M. Stiehl, Stuffle, Sumner."
Speaker Redmond: "Representative Skinner, for what purpose do you
rise? Representative Skinner desires to be recorded as 'aye'."
Clerk O'Brien: "Telcsen, Tuerk, Van Duyne, Vinson, Vitke, Waddell,
R.V. Walsh, Willet, Williams, Winchester, Yourell, Mr. Speaker."
Speaker Redmond: "Questions of the Affirmative Roll Call, Mr. Hanahan?
Representative Hanahan."
Hanahan: "Is Representative Daniels..."
Speaker Redmond: "He's that skinny kid there."
Hanahan: "Oh, I didn't recognize him with all that weight loss. Representa-
tive Dawson."
Speaker Redmond: "He's in the back."
Hanahan: "Representative Domico."
Speaker Redmond: "Domico. Is Representative Domico here? How's he recorded?"
Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."
Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."
Hanahan: "Representative Dyer."
Speaker Redmond: "Representative Dyer on the floor? Yeah, she's talking
on the phone there."
Hanahan: "Representative Ebbesen."
Speaker Redmond: "He's there. Didn't you see him?"
Hanahan: "I didn't see him. I couldn't see that far. I need my eyes
examined."
Speaker Redmond: "You want to come down?"
Hanahan: "Representative Friedland."
Speaker Redmond: "Friedland's back there."
Hanahan: "They need to put a light back there, I can't see that.
Carmisa."
Speaker Redmond: "I saw him, center aisle there."
Hanahan: "Geo-Karis."
Speaker Redmond: "Is Representative Geo-Karis here? She's talking
to Representative Macdonald."
Hanahan: "Representative Greiman."
Speaker Redmond: "Who?"
Hanahan: "Oh, Greiman's here now."
Speaker Redmond: "Greiman's there."
Hanahan: "Dan Houlihan."
Speaker Redmond: "He's back there. reading the Wall Street Journal."
Hanahan: "Representative Leverenz."
Speaker Redmond: "He's back there."
Hanahan: "Representative Luft."
Speaker Redmond: "He's..."
Hanahan: "Representative Mahar."
Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mahar here? He's up in the gallery."
Hanahan: "Representative McCourt."
Speaker Redmond: "Representative McCourt is back there."
Hanahan: "Representative Nardulli."
Speaker Redmond: "...that, Representative Hanahan?"
Hanahan: "Nardulli."
Speaker Redmond: "Is Representative Nardulli here? Nardulli. How is he recorded?"
Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."
Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."
Hanahan: "Representative O'Brien."
Speaker Redmond: "He's here."
Hanahan: "Representative Stanley."
Speaker Redmond: "Run, sheep, run. Representative Stanley. Is Representative Stanley here? How's he recorded?"
Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."
Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."
Hanahan: "Representative Stearney."
Speaker Redmond: "Representative Stearney here? Stearney. How's he recorded?"
Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."
Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."
Hanahan: "Representative Sumner."
Speaker Redmond: "Representative Sumner is in her seat."
Hanahan: "Representative Van Duyne."
Speaker Redmond: "He's right here."
Hanahan: "Oh, I didn't see him in his seat. Representative... Let's see. Representative Wolf."
Speaker Redmond: "Representative Wolf. He's in his seat."
Hanahan: "Oh, he's not voting 'aye'. I'm sorry. And Representative Yourell."
Speaker Redmond: "Representative Yourell here? That's not Representative Yourell. Representative Winchester, for what purpose do
you rise?"

Winchester: "Well, Mr. Speaker, you didn't see him but Representative Yourell just opened the door and stuck his head in and waved his hand."

Hanahan: "I didn't see him."

Winchester: "Well, would Representative Yourell please come back to the floor?"

Hanahan: "I'm the one challenging."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Yourell. What's the count now, Jack? Remove Yourell. Representative Adams. Representative Adams desires to be recorded as 'aye'. What's the count? Any questions? Representative Wikoff, Representative Davis, both recorded as 'aye'. Representative Boucek as 'aye'. What's the count, Mr. Clerk?"

Hanahan: "I'm glad to see the Republicans joining, you know... were they bought with a Coke or what did Totten say last week? They were bought with wine or a Coke? Caviar?"

Speaker Redmond: "110 'aye', 39 'nay', the motion prevails. Mr. Clerk."


Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. Representative Hanahan."

Hanahan: "Mr. Speaker, was the motion made to move this Second Reading, Second Legislative Day?"

Speaker Redmond: "That was the motion."

Hanahan: "Oh, that was part of that motion? You know, it's curious that, Mr. Speaker, on a point there that it was moved to Second Reading that we now can have an opportunity for Amendments because of the speed, this alarming speed that seems to be necessary to push this Bill through that has a lot of odor to it and I just don't understand the speed. We're going to be in next week for a couple of days. I'm sure in between now and next week some Legislators may wake up and find out what a terrible administrative program this is and may want some Amendments to it. I was just
hoping that the Sponsor may agree to bring it back to Second
Reading next week for some clarifying Amendments so that we could
insure that CETA is operated properly and with no question."
Speaker Redmond: "...comments the Chair could make is when a Mem-
ber puts a motion that has to be put to the... question has to
be put. I have no alternative and Representative Winchester did
put the motion and it carried. Now do you care to respond to
Representative Hanahan, Representative Winchester?"
Winchester: "Yeah."
Speaker Redmond: "Representative Winchester."
Winchester: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I, you know, I want to be reason-
able about this with the Representative. And I think that we would
be more than happy to look at his Amendments, to consider his Amend-
ments and if we think they're reasonable and fair Amendments, then
I would just out of courtesy to the Member bring it back from
Third Reading to Second Reading. But I want the...."
Hanahan: "Whose judgment is going to make it fair and reasonable?"
Winchester: "Well, I..."
Hanahan: "If the judgment to make this motion was fair and reason-
able, I'd agree to that. But I can't agree with that kind of...
of... you need 107 votes on Third Reading. I sure hope you could
get 'em."
Winchester: "Well as the Sponsor of the Bill, I'm more than willing
to sit down with your Amendments. Why don't you come forward
with your Amendments and we'll look at them and I think it's a
reasonable thing that I'm doing here, Representative."
Hanahan: "No, I think it's a very... very confusing for me to go
through an election with conservative Republicans telling me
about how bad some of these programs are and then to watch their
votes up on the board."
Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kucharski, that may be on the Sup-
plemental Calendar, so... your motion. Resolutions."
Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 1181, Beatty. 1182, Matejk. And
1183, Huskey."
Speaker Redmond: "Representative Giorgi."
Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, House Resolution 1181 by Beatty honors the
Vikings of St. Lawrence. 1182 by Matejk recognizes the wedding. And 1183 by Huskey tells about a retirement. And I move for the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? Question's on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions. Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, motion carried. The Agreed Resolutions are adopted. Death Resolutions."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 1184, Summer. In respect to the memory of Mr. Alphie Menold. And House Resolution 1179, Representative James Taylor. In respect to the memory of Wonnie Joseph Cook, Jr."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Giorgi moves the adoption of the Death Resolution. Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, motion carried and the Death Resolutions adopted. General Resolutions."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Reso... House Joint Resolution 109, Bradley."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Bradley. Representative Bradley."

Clerk O'Brien: "Motion to table."

Bradley: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to table that House Joint Resolution 109. We're going to... we've had some discussion and we're going to introduce House Joint Resolution 111 and we can take that up next week. So I now move to table House Joint Resolution 109."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman's motion to table. Are there any objections? Hearing none, leave is granted. Further Resolutions."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 111, Bradley."

Speaker Redmond: "Speaker's Table. House will come to order. On the Supplemental Calendar #1 appears House Bill, on Third Reading appears House Bill 3449. Representative Bowman. It's on your desk."

Bowman: "Not here."

Speaker Redmond: "Well..."

Bowman: "The people around me don't have one."

Speaker Redmond: "...George, will you give him one? Representative Madigan, do you move to suspend the provisions of Rule 33(a)... Senate Bills on Second Reading, First Legislative Day to Senate Bills, Second Reading, Second Legislative Day."
Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, I make that motion with the exception of Senate Bill 1879. That motion for all Bills on the Supplemental #1 Calendar, Senate Bills, Second Reading, First Legislative Day except Senate Bill 1879."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Walsh."

W. Walsh: "Wonder if the Gentleman would include in his exception Senate Bill 1819?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Bradley. The Clerk advises me that that Bill should not be on Second Reading, First Legislative Day because it should be returned to the Calendar from whence it came which was Second Reading, Second Legislative Day."

W. Walsh: "Well, we aren't going to have an opportunity. Now there are Amendments, Mr. Speaker, that are being prepared. There will be no opportunity to amend that Bill following the action that was taken in the Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Bradley."

Bradley: "Mr. Speaker, I will put the Bill in whatever condition we need it, put it at Second Reading. I had it read a second time yesterday, said I would hold it on Second Reading today so that it could be subject to Amendments. And I'm ready to debate the Amendments now and go ahead and move the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Greiman."

Greiman: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I have filed an Amendment to that Bill but I have also filed a request for a fiscal note on... on Article... on Amendment #1 which has now become the whole Bill. As of a couple minutes ago, Mr. Bradley didn't have a fiscal note. And I think the impact of that fiscal note is very crucial on the adoption of the Amendment and I certainly don't believe it...well it would be contrary to the rules to move that Bill with a request for a fiscal note pending."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, the motion is simply to move the Bills from Second Reading, First Legislative Day to Second Reading, Second Legislative Day."

Speaker Redmond: "Actually 1819 is already on, should be on Second Legislative Day. It was on Second Reading, it was taken off and
returned to Second..."

Greiman: "If that's where it is, okay. If that's where it is, it is immaterial."

Speaker Redmond: "So, Representative Madigan's motion is that all of the Bills with the exception of 1879 be moved to the Order of Second Reading, Second Legislative Day. Now those in favor of that motion indicate by voting 'aye' and opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 131 'aye' and 8 'no' and the motion prevails. Read the Bills, Mr. Clerk. You'd better call them individually. Bill... first one would be...19, were you going to call that one or hold that one? Take 1877."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1877. A Bill for an Act making appropriation for various agencies of state government. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1, 2, 3, 4 and 6 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any motions from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment 7 was withdrawn. No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. I'm sorry. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, would the Clerk inform the Body who is the House Sponsor of that Bill?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Amendment?"

Madigan: "Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "The House Sponsor of the Bill is D.L. Houlihan."

Madigan: "Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "House Bill... Senate Bill 1878."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1878. A Bill for an Act making certain appropriations to Capital Development Board for permanent improvements. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1, 2, 3 and 4 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any motions, any Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed, no Floor Amendments."
Speaker Lechowicz: "No Floor Amendments."
Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."
Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from McLean, Mr. Bradley. Bradley please."
Bradley: "Mr. Speaker, we jumped over House Bill or Senate Bill 1819 at the top of the list and there was some question about the fiscal note on Senate Bill and I know that there has been a request for a fiscal note."
Speaker Lechowicz: "We'll get back to it. We're on..."
Bradley: "Just a minute, Mr. Speaker."
Speaker Lechowicz: "Yeah."
Bradley: "Very important to many people. We've jockeyed around and held this Bill for a lot of people for a long time. The fiscal note, the Department of Revenue has indicated to me that in their opinion there is no fiscal impact at all. They cannot give me a fiscal note on it other than to simply say there is no fiscal impact to their knowledge. Now I think we ought to go ahead with the Bill. I've held that Bill and yesterday told Mr. Greiman I would hold the Bill and we'd try to amend it. If it'll make him happy, I'd like to try to amend it today so that when we come back because of the limited number of days, we'll have it on Third Reading and we'll pass it next Tuesday and send it to the... send it to the Senate for concurrence. That's all we're trying to do."
Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Greiman."
Greiman: "Well, Mr. Speaker, this Bill would..."
Speaker Lechowicz: "Which one are you referring to - 1878?"
Greiman: "1819, is it?"
Speaker Lechowicz: "1819, we're not on that subject right now. We're on 1878. I'll recognize him at the appropriate time. Any further Amendments on 1878?"
Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."
Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1881. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expense of various state agencies. Second Reading of the Bill."
Speaker Lechowicz: "Any motions from the floor?"
Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."
Speaker Lechowicz: "Any motions from the floor?"
Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."
Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendments from the floor?"
Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, Martin. Peggy Smith Martin."
Speaker Lechowicz: "The Lady from Cook, Mrs. Martin, on Amendment #2."

P. Martin: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. This is a clean-up Amendment. It amends Section 4 to the sum of four hundred and fifty thousand dollars or so much thereof so that the State Comptroller may distribute to the county for the defense cost associated with the Pontiac disturbances."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion on the Amendment? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Barnes."

E. Barnes: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. This Amendment in essence is clarifying language to Amendments which we adopted in Committee this morning. There were some discussions there from both sides of the aisle. And they wanted the Committee Amendment which was adopted clarified more clearly in the language in terms of the intent of the purpose in which this... these funds could be utilized. That's what's involved in terms of this Amendment. It is merely clarifying the... the clarifying language for the Amendments which were adopted in the Committee."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question has been raised whether this Amendment has been distributed. The Amendment has not been printed or distributed. We won't, we can't consider it at this time. Take the Bill out of the record, the whole Bill. Senate Bill 1882. Now let me point out to the Membership that the fiscal note on Senate Bill 1819 has been filed with the Clerk. Anybody that is interested can come up and take a look at the fiscal note. We'll get to that matter when we get through with the items on Supplemental Calendar #1 on the first page. Now at this time we will consider Senate Bill 1882."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1882. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections
of an Act making appropriation to the ordinary and contingent expense of Environmental Protection Agency and Pollution Control Board. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1883."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1883. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expense of various state agencies. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1, 2 and 3 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Totten, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

Totten: "Inquiry of the Chair, Mr. Speaker. Need a Committee Amendment also be, must be distributed on the floor?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "No."

Totten: "Why not?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "(tape failure)"

Totten: "Well, you just ruled that a Bill... if the Amendments aren't distributed we can't hear it. We haven't seen these Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Point's well taken, but it was not raised at the appropriate time. Third Reading. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Peters."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, the... the Gentleman from Cook probably has a very good point of order but I might just indicate to him for the point of expediency the three Amendments referred to refer to one grant of some fifty thousand dollars for training of Court Clerks and two grants for the training of police officials. So they're... it's... I know your point is... the letter of... the letter of the law, but the... they're federal... well, but they're Amendments that have been agreed to down by both sides and we're..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Law and order Kosinski wants to point out it's federal money, Mr. Totten. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Totten."
Totten: "But that manna from heaven still costs us money. Wouldn't it be more proper to ask to suspend the rules..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "They were suspended."

Totten: "No, they... that requires the Amendments to be on our desks if we're going to proceed that way?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "That's a good point and it's... that motion, Mr. Totten? The Gentleman moves that the House suspend the appropriate rule so that the Amendments would not have to be on the desks of the Members the required period of time. Is there... to use the Attendance Roll Call, leave? Objection has been raised. All in favor vote 'aye', all opposed... On that question, Mr. Ewing.
Mr. Schuneman, I'm sorry."

Schuneman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Is the Gentleman's motion applicable to this particular group of Bills or... the way the motion was stated, I get the impression it might apply to any Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "On just this Bill, on just this Bill."

Schuneman: "On this Bill?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Yes, Sir."

Schuneman: "Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is there still objection? Hearing none. Mr. Walsh persists in his objection. All those in favor of Mr. Totten's proposal voice 'aye', all opposed vote 'nay'. Aye. Require 89 votes. All we're doing is suspending the appropriate rule so that the Amendment does not have... Amendments do not have to be distributed on this one Bill to be considered. We're suspending Rule 34. Have all voted who wished? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 116 'ayes', 11 'nays' and the appropriate rule, Rule 34, is suspended to consider Senate Bill 1883. And on that question, were there any motions from the floor on Amendments 1, 2 or 3?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any motions on Amendments 1, 2 or 3?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No, no motions filed."

Speaker Lechowicz: "No motions. Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1884."
Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1884. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Commission on Delinquency Prevention and the Department of Children and Family Services. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1885."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1885. A Bill for an Act making appropriation for the printing of portrait of Senate President Thomas C. Hynes. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1888."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1888. A Bill for an Act making appropriations to provide for the normal cost of fiscal year 1979 of the increase in the rate of automatic annual increases and annuities. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motion filed."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Ebbesen moves that the House suspend Rule 34 so that the Amendment does not have to be distributed on the Member's desks. All those, leave for the Attendance Roll Call? Leave is granted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1893."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 18..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "93."

Clerk O'Brien: "93. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act making an appropriation to the Illinois State Scholarship Commission. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Ebbesen, you renew the proposal to ask leave of the House to suspend the Rule 34 so that this matter can be
considered at this time? Is there any objection? The Gentleman has leave to use the Attendance Roll Call. Any motions on Amendment #1?

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1819, Mr. Bradley.

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1819. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Income Tax Act. This Bill has been read a second time previously. No... Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Bradley moves that we suspend the Rule 34 so this matter can be considered at this time. Is there any objection? Objection has been raised by Mr. Walsh. Mr. Bradley."

Bradley: "Would... what's the rule that we're having to suspend so that we..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "34, that's the one as far as... Has the Amendment been distributed?"

Bradley: "Amendments have been distributed."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Okay, fine. Jack, has the Amendment been distributed? 1 and 2 have been distributed. Please proceed, Mr. Bradley. Amendment #1."

Clerk O'Brien: "Was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motion filed."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Amendment #2."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, Greiman. Amends Senate Bill 1819 as amended in Section 701 and so forth."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Greiman, on Amendment #2."

Greiman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would like if I may to go over the whole chronology of what has happened with respect to this Bill and this Amendment. We passed a Bill to set up a mechanics to collect income taxes that are earned in Illinois and are sent to nonresidents. We set up on the withholding procedure, a withholding process so that
people who get rent and royalties, who don't live in Illinois, but
who have earned income in Illinois, taxable here, would have their
income withheld and would have to if they cared to, file a return
in Illinois to get either a refund or to pay the proper, distributable
tax. Now that was passed and became the law of Illinois. Mr.
Bradly has offered in Amendment #1 to this Bill to totally repeal
that mechanics, to totally repeal the mechanics that allow us...
that allows us to collect money from nonresident taxpayers for
money earned in Illinois and taxable in Illinois. Now he says
as his rationale that it hits a lot of people who are just Ma and
Pa grain elevator operators and just Ma and Pa livestock people.
And he may be right and I certainly don't want to do anything to
those people..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Excuse me, Mr. Greiman. Would the Parliamentarian
come to the Speaker's rostrum? Please continue."

Greiman: "Okay. So that my... what my Amendment does is to keep the
mechanics that we set up in the law previously but to exclude farm
income and farm... and livestock and money received on livestock
sales and transactions, to exclude that class of people that Mr.
Bradley says are just, well, Ma and Pas. Now if there are any
freshmen or Representatives-to-be, you learn in this place there's
a few ways of passing a Bill. One is you rumble, that's a good
way. Another way is you say, the Senate, we passed this by an
overwhelming majority and the Senate killed it and that's... usually
you can pass it with that way. Another way would be that the
Federal Government says we can't do this. And if you say that,
we usually pass the Bill, too. Now the fourth way and a very good
way always is you say this affects Ma and Pa operations. And we
all have a lot of heart for that, so we say, okay let's give them
a pass. And I'm saying to Mr. Bradley, let's give the Ma and Pa
grain elevator operators a pass, but let's keep that mechanics,
the mechanics for collecting the tax due on Illinois income but
going to nonresidents. Now I asked for a fiscal note and Mr.
Bradley said before, before the note came, the Department of Revenue
says it has no impact. Well, that's not quite what it says. It
says that they are unable to know what the liability is and they
cannot estimate the cost. But they do say that checking with Iowa which has this kind of law that they record, that they concede the program was worthwhile in that... this is quote, 'They felt that collections far exceeded administrative costs.' They indicated that they have three to four thousand taxpayers making estimated payments to avoid withholding and thousands of businesses required to withhold. Now what Mr. Bradley's Bill does without... without my Amendment is to let entertainers who come here, let people who make a lot of money in Illinois leave here without leaving a little tax on the money they earn here. It leaves the R... the Real Estate Investment Trust to have lots of out of state people and not pay tax on money earned in Illinois. This is not a tax relief thing, this is a tax forgiveness Bill cause if that money goes out to... to out of state investors, if they have that money out of state, they won't pay Illinois taxes on it. And anybody who thinks they are is just naive. I ask that you... that you, I apologize for speaking so long, but I ask that you do adopt House Amendment... House Amendment #2. Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The Gentleman from Livingston, Mr. Ewing."

Ewing: "Mr. Speaker, I wonder if the Sponsor would yield for a question."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Indicates he will."

Ewing: "Under your Amendment now, those people who should have been collecting tax all year and haven't been partly because they probably didn't know about it and because the regulations weren't out, are they going to be liable for the payment of this tax?"

Greiman: "I don't think so. We passed this tax this year, I don't even know when it's... when the withholding process was available. I don't think the regs. were even... were even founded, so I don't believe so."

Ewing: "It is in effect this year, you know."

Greiman: "Yeah. It is... well, you know, the Department can handle it. Obviously the Department should have leave time. I'm not sure by the way that this would necessarily forgive the tax. I don't think Mr. Bradley's Bill will escape that if the tax is due, if the
withholding should have been done. It's an enforcement thing.

Tom. I don't believe this... that Bradley's Bill even as it stands
will forgive that tax. It doesn't do that at all."

Ewing: "Right. Mr..."

Greiman: "...so that his Bill doesn't help."

Ewing: "Right. Mr. Speaker, could I speak to the Amendment?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Please proceed."

Ewing: "The Sponsor of the Amendment indicated he wanted to give
a little background on this tax problem. Well I think equally
as important as his background is the fact that this Amendment
was presented in the House Revenue Committee on which I sit. The
Sponsor was unable to get this legislation out of the House Revenue
Committee. It's my understanding that the Sponsor was also unable
to get it out of the Senate Revenue Committee, but that really
doesn't matter because as the Sponsor said, if you know how to
pass legislation around here, you can get it done. And he did,
he got it put on in a Conference Committee at the end of the Ses-
son. And we passed out this very, very complicated tax measure
without ever getting Committee approval, without ever knowing how
it was going to be enforced, the kind of reaction it was going to
have. It's going to make tax collectors out of almost every little
businessman, every person who has a partner that lives out of state who
own any property. It is extremely far-reaching. Now that isn't
to say that we shouldn't try and collect the money due the State
of Illinois. But if you think you can do it in the twelfth hour
and make a law that's enforceable and one that's fair, I think
you're wrong. Under the terms of this law, everyone who hasn't
withheld money from his partner or even if you pay rent to a land-
owner who lives out of state, you are now obligated under the
terms of this law to the State of Illinois to pay that tax. If
you failed to withhold it, even though the state didn't get the
regulations out and to the best of my knowledge the regulations
are not approved now, have never been filed as they should have
been and are not in the proper order to be enforced. Now the Bill
that we are trying to amend here would repeal this Section and
would allow us to work on it at some leisure in the next General
Assembly Session to try and come up with a means to collect the money due the State of Illinois without all of the disadvantages of this Bill and this Amendment. This Amendment attempts to take out farmers so that we can woo the farm vote. It doesn't quite do that even. And I would urge a 'no' vote on this Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Christian, Mr. Tipsword."

Tipsword: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen, I'll try to be very brief but I also would urge a 'no' vote on this Amendment. I think it opens up that same can of worms that Representative Ewing was just talking about and gives some opening to the Department of Revenue to continue with the very silly regulations that they have set forth. I think this broad Section C that he would restore here with the exception of livestock and farm income lets them still have that regulation that says whenever you pay anything to anyone who has a post office box that you're going to have to withhold and you're going to be responsible if you don't withhold for paying their income taxes. Whoever wrote it was never out of a metropolitan area in their life because they don't realize that there are hundreds and hundreds and hundreds of communities in this state that the only way you get your mail is through a post office box. And that would take most of your small, rural communities, not on the rural routes but in the rural communities themselves of towns of a thousand or seven hundred or eight hundred people that everything that is paid to them except and unless it be with this Amendment, only farm income or income that could be attributed to farm. Everything else would be subject to withholding and to their silly regulations under this thing. I agree with Representative Ewing. I think we should pass this Bill as it is, not put this Amendment into it. And then next year with more time and with more public fanfare and more hearings go into the ways in which we must go about trying to collect anything that is not being collected at the present time. I think to put this back in as in the way that Representative Greiman would suggest just opens up the whole can of worms again that we are now saddled with in the State of Illinois."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Henderson, Mr. Neff."
Neff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise to oppose this Amendment. What Representative Bradley is trying to do is to correct an error that was made some time ago and a piece of legislation that passed through this House and I question very much whether ten percent of the Legislators knew this was in the Bill. And even the Department of Revenue didn't come out with it even though it was supposed to take effect last January of '78. They didn't come out and have it figured out until about August of this year. And no doubt that Mr. Greiman, Representative Greiman would like to make some changes but as... been brought out here I don't think this is the time to do it. I think probably we need some changes in the Revenue Act, but I think it should be a completely new Bill and we should have a chance to go over it. The Bill that was passed here a year or so ago was passed in a Conference Committee that I don't think any... I haven't talked to anybody that knew this was on the Bill and even the Revenue Department didn't know it was on there till several months afterwards. So, therefore, I think at this time we should leave the Bill as Representative Bradley has it in and pass it out and let the Department of Revenue or Representative Greiman if he desires to, come in with whatever corrections and let's look it over and try to straighten it out in a reasonable fashion. Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Katz."

Katz: "This seems to be an example of throwing out the baby with the bath water. As I understand it, we passed a law that says that people who are out of state and who earn money in the State of Illinois have to give the State of Illinois the amount of money that is due it from the money they've earned in Illinois. A prize-fighter comes in Illinois and gets a fifty thousand dollar purse, he is obligated under this, the person who gives him the money, to see that the Illinois Department of Revenue gets the money that it's entitled to. Now that's immanently reasonable. We know we're going to have severe budgetary constraints. We know that we have all sorts of demands for funds. We've certainly got to keep our revenue up and so we pass a law that say that nonresidents who come here and earn money have to make sure that they pay their
taxes. Now along came Mr. Bradley and he says that this is creating
a problem for small farmers and livestock people. So all that
this Amendment does is to cut out the people that Mr. Bradley
says has a problem. It cuts out the farmers and the livestock
people. But if Mr. Greiman's Amendment isn't adopted, what Mr.
Bradley's Amendment is going to do is throw out the prizefighter, it's
going to through out the people of wealth who earn money in Il-
inois. It seems to me we're in an emergency Session. The most
Mr. Bradley should be able to do is to deal with his emergency
which is the livestock people and the farmers and Mr. Greiman's
Amendment confines it to that. I see no reason why everybody else
who earns money in Illinois and lives in other states should get
a pass. I think this is a good Amendment and I would urge its
support."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Walsh."

W. Walsh: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, with
apologies to my dear friend, Clarence, it seems to me that what
the previous speakers who opposed this Amendment were saying was
that it's all right for the small businessman in Illinois to be
a tax collector for Illinois residents, but they object very strongly
to him being a tax collector for people who derive income from
Illinois who are foreign or nonresident Illinoisians. I suggest
to you, Mr. Speaker, that we are losing a tremendous source of
revenue if we let this Bill pass as it is. And I support the
Amendment only because I would hope to get people like my dear
friend, Clarence, to vote against the Bill tomorrow. There's no
question, Mr. Speaker, that we should not be acting at this time
on legislation as far-reaching and as meaningful as this. Now I
suggest to you that the objections I heard and that is that the
gross amount that a farmer receives or a warehouse receives or
a cattle distributor receives must be withheld upon, that is ab-
solutely not true, Mr. Speaker, because the payee can exempt him-
self from that withholding by simply filing a declaration of es-
timated income. Something that all of us in Illinois must do if
we have earnings beyond a certain point. Why on earth are we here
discriminating against the Illinois taxpayer? That's exactly what
we're doing. We're requiring him to file forms, we're requiring of him to pay taxes on certain income and not requiring people who live outside of the state. It's ridiculous. I urge you, Mr. Speaker, to vote for this Amendment in order that we may make the Bill a little more palatable for the people, for the farmer, for the farm interests. We need them on our side to defeat this Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madigan."

Madigan: "I'd like to address a question to Representative Bradley, the Sponsor of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "He indicates he'll yield."

Madigan: "Representative, could you comment on the... on your analy- sis of the effect of Representative Greiman's Amendment? And my question more directly is, if Mr. Greiman's Amendment is adopted, do you feel that the grain elevator operators and the livestock operators will be removed from the provisions of the withholding Sections of the statute?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Bradley."

Bradley: "They may be but that doesn't solve the problem that ad- dresses itself also in the livestock business. For instance, in the hearing yesterday it was pointed out that truckers that would be trucking cattle from another state into Illinois, into one of the terminals, anyone of the, I think, sixteen or eighteen terminals we have in Illinois, the trucker is paid of his commission or his fee at the stockyards and the trucker would be... would lose two and a half percent of whatever his fee might be also. So we have other complications other than just the ones that we've been dis- cussing here today. So in reply to your question would it solve the problem for the grain elevators and the stockyards, the answer is no."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from St. Clair, Mr. Flinn."

Flinn: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman has moved the previous question. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', all opposed. The previous question has been moved. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Greiman, to close on Amendment #2."

Greiman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. And I thank the House for spirited
debate. I would make this observation sort of as a point of personal privilege. The Sponsor that they were talking about was not me because frankly I never heard of the whole problem until about two weeks ago. I think it was Senator Hall who was the Sponsor of this whole business originally. But in any event, I represent people in the 15th Illinois Legislative District. Not in the 1st Missouri or not in the 7th New York or not in the 8th Minnesota District. And I think that if you are, if you feel sensitive about the rights of nonresidents in not paying Illinois taxes properly accrued oughtn't to be... that ought to be paid, then you should vote 'no' on this Amendment. But if you may have any feeling that people who work here, get money from Illinois and escape our income tax, escape our income tax ought to pay their share, their fair share, then you must vote 'yes', you must vote 'yes' on this Amendment. I think that Mr. Bradley's comments were quite clear, that this Amendment does in fact let the livestock, elevator operators who are Illinois residents out of it, lets the grain operator people out of it. This Amendment takes care of them, it protects them and lets the farm people out of it, it protects the farmers. So we've taken a class of people out of the operation of the statute, but for others, for the prize-fighter, for the author of a book that makes a lot of money, why would we take them out? Why? I protect Illinois taxpayers. Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, shall Amendment #2 be adopted? All in favor will vote 'aye', all opposed will vote 'nay'. The Lady from Adams, Mrs. Kent, to explain her time. The timer is on."

Kent: "I think that Mr. Greiman has lost the whole point of this. Sales to... in Illinois are different from wages in Illinois and we do not want to place the burden of the collecting of those out of state on our own businesses who will in turn move to another state where they don't have to do this. And so I think it's the burden that we're putting on our own businesses to collect it. It has nothing to do with letting them off scot-free. What we want to do is to do it for the people that earn income and not..."
sell something to the State of Illinois."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Mugalian, to explain his vote. The timer is on."

Mugalian: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I attended the Revenue Committee hearing. I'm a Member of that Committee and, as I recall it, the only testimony that was adduced as to the problems that this Bill would create unless it's revealed are those dealing with the marketing of farm products, your grain elevators and your stockyards, all the transactions that involve sales and the examples were given of people that bring in livestock from Missouri and sell it in East St. Louis. Those are the only kinds of problems that this Bill was supposed to address. It seems to me that this Amendment has totally taken care of that entire class and, therefore, I can't understand why there would be any opposition to this Amendment by the Sponsor of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Skinner, to explain his vote. The timer's on."

Skinner: "Well I must admit this is a confusing issue and I'm confused. But I know the only letters I've received are from grain elevator operators, one specifically in Harvard which is right on, virtually on the Illinois-Wisconsin border. And I'm not about to vote for any Bill that is going to put a bulldozer through the Illinois income tax law and I fear that that's what we may be doing if we reject this Amendment. This Amendment specifically addresses the problem that has been demonstrated to us state-wide. I'm not sure what the other problems are but I sure haven't heard a convincing case for not making those people pay taxes, especially prizefighters that come into the state."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Ewell, to explain his vote. The timer is on."

Ewell: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, when we start talking about fiscal policy and fiscal economics and take it upon ourselves to advise the Congress to balance their budget and what they ought do and ought not do I think that's fine. But I think when we look at the case here, we have the first, we have a situation that you are allowing people to actually, as it's been said, put a bulldozer
through the state collection process. There are two ways you can unbalance your budget over here. One, you can spend more money. Two, you don't have to collect any. And what you're doing here is giving great impetus, putting holes in the... putting holes in the collection mechanism and allowing people who clearly ought to be taxed to be taxed. You cannot look at something simply because someone in some vague sense says it might hurt a farmer, it might hurt a grain... those who sell livestock, et cetera. You have to look at the actual...(microphone turned off)."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Kindly conclude his remarks. Conclude your remarks, Sir."

Ewell: "I'm off, oh. But you have to look at the Bill and what it does. The exclusions are there. Gentlemen, the Bill is fair. Take a look at the Bill and not the rhetoric that you hear on the floor."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Effingham, Mr. Brummer, to explain his vote. The timer is on."

Brummer: "Yes. Briefly explaining my 'yes' vote, the Illinois Department of Revenue admits they have no idea how much money they're losing out of state as a result of out-of-state residents not paying Illinois income tax. This Bill was originally designed to address that problem. The Illinois Department of Revenue did indicate, their estimates, though, of one hundred to three hundred million dollars they were losing each year. They did not know how much, they have no way of knowing, nor once they know can they effectively reach the out-of-state people who refuse to pay Illinois income tax. The Bill was introduced last year to address that problem. I feel the... it's created some major problems with regard to the agricultural industry. Representative Creiman has now introduced an Amendment to take care of the problem with regard to the agricultural industry. I do not think we should throw out the entire enforcement Amendment... enforcement procedure by passing this Bill, but rather should write in the exemptions with regard to the agricultural industry so that we continue to have a...(microphone turned off)."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman kindly bring his remarks to a
Brummer: "So that we will have some method of collecting an estimated one hundred to three hundred million dollars of out-of-state residents who are not paying Illinois income tax. In the long run the alternative is that you and I as Illinois residents are going to have to pick up that additional tax and pay it. I urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Request has been given, asked of the Chair to put the TV lights on. Lights are on. The Gentleman from St. Clair, Mr. Flinn, to explain his vote. The timer is on."

Flinn: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I normally don't get up and explain my 'no' vote when there's 89 'noes' up there, but I think the previous speaker should be answered. He talked about the Department of Revenue not knowing how much money was missing. What he don't... didn't say to you, I don't know whether he knows or not, but the Department of Revenue took no position on the Bill more than a year ago. They had no idea how to write regulations. And finally in desperation, they just wrote some and threw them at them and that's what brought all the fire down on us now. That's why we got the problem, exactly why we got the problem. Just as Representative Ewing pointed out earlier, we passed something in a Conference Committee that we had no idea what we were doing and now we're paying for our sins."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all voted who wished? All voted who wished? Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 62 'ayes', 88 'nays' and the Amendment is not adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, Bradley. Amends Senate Bill 1819 as amended by deleting the title and inserting in lieu there-of..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from McLean, Mr. Bradley."

Bradley: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House."

Speaker Lechowicz: "For what purpose the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Beatty, seek recognition?"

Bradley: "Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #3 addresses itself to our pay raise Bill. It's a repealer on the pay raise..."
that I'm offering at this time..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Beatty."

Beatty: "Mr. Speaker, I question the germaneness of this Amendment to the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Jack, may I see the Amendment? I had the Parliamentarian examine the Amendment which amends several different Acts in connection with state salaries. The Bill is an Amendment to the Illinois Income Tax Act and by its legal title is limited to the subject of income taxation. The subject of the Amendment is not germane to the subject of the Bill now before us. Therefore, the Amendment is out of order. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, a fiscal note was requested. Has one been filed?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "It's been filed, yes."

Schlickman: "All right, thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Pardon me? Motions. Mr. Telcser on his motion.

Senate Bill 1880. Pursuant to Rule 31(b), Mr. Telcser moves to advance Senate Bill 1880 to Second Reading without reference to Committee. He asks leave to use the Attendance Roll Call. Is there any objection? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Telcser, could you give us a brief description of the Bill?"

Telcser: "Representative, as you recall when we closed out the Session earlier this year, these Bills were left behind in the Senate. And this Bill is the authorization for the CDB Bill and it includes these items. It includes in Section A an authorization level of five hundred and sixty-two million, nine hundred thousand dollars for education; for Corrections a total of a hundred and eight million, eight hundred thousand; for Conservation a hundred and twenty-five million; Mental Health and Children and Family Services and Veterans, a hundred and ten million, eight hundred thousand; for various other state agencies a hundred and one million,
seven hundred thousand; for ports seventeen million, nine fifty; Water Resources twenty-nine million, six hundred; higher educations grants ten million, eight hundred thousand; and Food for Century III thirty-four million, three hundred thousand."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Motion is to advance this Bill to Second Reading. Second Legislative Day. Is that correct, Mr. Telcser?"

Telcser: "That's correct, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lechowicz: "But your intention is to hold this Bill on Second Reading. Is that correct, Sir?"

Telcser: "That is correct."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Madigan, any further questions?"

Madigan: "No, thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "Would the Gentleman yield to a question?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Indicates he will."

Skinner: "Is this the Bill that has the seventy million dollars in it for the two new prisons that aren't needed?"

Telcser: "It increases the Corrections authorization by a figure in the amount of about fifty-one million dollars. Whether they're needed or not depends on one's point of view and their own personal opinion. I wouldn't comment on that."

Skinner: "The total amount will be approximately seventy million dollars to build the two new jails? Is that correct? I mean that's what Director Rowe said this morning."

Telcser: "To the best of my knowledge, Representative, the latest figure is somewhere about fifty-eight or sixty million. Maybe we're talking about the same item but from different..."

Skinner: "I think we're talking about the same item, but I'm talking about the final cost and you're talking about the preliminary estimate. I'd just like to point out to everybody and I have no... or I have no real feeling that the press will be able to comprehend this considering their recent emphasis on pay raises. But we have been told this morning by Director Rowe that we can transform Manteno Mental Health institution into two seven hundred and fifty bed prisons for less than twenty million dollars. The Bill which you are asking to have advanced to Second Reading without
any delay is going to end up costing the State of Illinois' residents seventy million dollars which is about fifty million dollars more than we need to spend on prisons in order to get the same number of beds. Now I would think that the print media and a electronic medium could be taken a lot more seriously in their concern about waste in Illinois if they would perhaps focus a little light on large wastes of money rather than on small, what they consider it, wastes of money."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Ms. Pullen."

Pullen: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question, please?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Indicates he will."

Pullen: "I see by glancing at this Bill that there's some money in here for the Illinois Community College Board for capital purposes and I wonder whether you can possibly tell me whether there is anything in here for any capital purposes at Oakton Community College."

Telsner: "Representative, this is an authorization Bill for appropriations which we made last year. And as I recall, Oakton was not included."

Pullen: "I recall that Oakton was included in something last year whether it be this particular appropriation or not and I would really like to know the answer to that question before you get to Third Reading."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Marion, Mr. Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, basically these are the same figures that went out of here on the bond authorization Bill last year or this year during the middle of the year and that Bill got bogged down in the... between Conference Committees between the House and the Senate. This does not have the county jails and so on that the other Bill did have. But in responding to the Gentleman from Lake, number one, the prison at Hillsboro is certainly well under way and contracts have been let and it is going to be finished in time to meet the deadlines that the state has agreed to with respect to the court order. The same thing is true at Centralia. The project is well under way and contracts are to be let again on the 14th. But this includes many
of other things besides the prison. And the other part of that statement was, and Director Rowe testified this morning, that up to this point neither the Department of Mental Health nor the Governor had been willing to release any additional mental health facilities for the purpose of building prisons."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Lady from Cook, Mrs. Willer."

Willer: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker. Would the Sponsor yield to..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Indicates he will."

Willer: "Representative Telcser, could you tell me if there's anything in this appropriation for DuPage Community College, COD College of DuPage?"

Telcser: "No, there isn't, Representative."

Willer: "Well, could I speak to this then, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "On the motion to advance the Bill from... to by pass Committee? The Bill's going to remain on Second Reading, ma'am."

Willer: "Pardon me?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "On the motion, do you want to debate the motion?"

Willer: "Yes, I don't want to advance this."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Okay. Please continue."

Willer: "Yes, I would join with Representative Skinner and I sense dissatisfaction on the part of Representative Pullen. I don't want this Bill advanced either this quickly. I'm sorry I did not read the Bill too thoroughly so I don't know what's in it but I've been assured there's nothing in it for improvements or anything for the Community College of DuPage. I happen to think it's a scandal. This is the largest junior college in the state. It still has just a temporary learning center. They have been bounced around and around and around, up and down as far as priorities, as far as money for a permanent learning center. Representative Skinner has rightly pointed out we could save a lot of money if we... if converted our mental health facilities and used our bonded... bonding money for that and save money on new prisons. And I would also join with him and say, why does the press delight in excoriating us for some of the money we spend but continues to ignore this matter of saving money, bond money if we rehabilitate
facilities for prisons instead of building new ones. We need a permanent learning center at the College of DuPage. They keep getting pushed around and the run around and I'm certainly going to... I don't want this advanced this quickly and I'm not sure I'm not going to scream when it does get advanced."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Telcsr."

Telcsr: "Well, Mr. Speaker, in closing let me point out that this Bill is simply an authorization Bill and the Members such as the previous speaker I'm sure recognize that without an appropriation that their projects in which they have expressed an interest would then not appear in this authorization Bill. So let me suggest that the Members of the House who have risen to discuss this motion get the projects in which they have an interest be appropriated for. And once they have the appropriation for those projects then of course they would be in the authorization Bill. And that is the reason why you don't find those particular projects in this Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, shall the House suspend Rule 31(b) and move to advance Senate Bill 1880 to Second Reading without reference to Committee? All those in favor will vote 'aye', all those opposed will vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 120 'ayes', 24 'nays', 2 recorded as 'present' and the Gentleman's motion prevails. Any further? Read the Bill."


Speaker Lechowicz: "The Bill remains on Second Reading. Was read and the Bill is being held on Second Reading. Any other motions, Jack? The Gentleman from Hardin, Mr. Winchester, on a motion on Senate Bill 1890."

Winchester: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Pursuant to Rule 31(b) I move to advance Senate Bill 1890 to Second Reading without reference to Committee. This Bill was reported out of the Rules Committee today and what it is... that's automatic. It was introduced by the Department of Conservation at the request of the Bureau of
the Budget and it sets up a schedule for the retirement of bonds which will be issued to construct a fish hatchery system for Illinois. This fulfills the Department's commitment to the Legislature last year when House Bill 2491, the Fish and License Increase Bill, was passed. Five million dollars of the C.D. bonds have been appropriated for the Little Grassy site and legislation will be introduced this spring to authorize and appropriate 14.75 million dollars in C.D.B. bonds for the Sand Ridge Hatchery.

I'd ask for a favorable Roll Call to... to move to Second Reading without the benefit of Committee."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? Gentleman from McHenry, 'Mr. Skinner.'"

Skinner: "Yes, will the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Indicates he will."

Skinner: "Is the Digest correct that this hatchery is going to cost thirty-five million dollars?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "It's the authorization and the bonds, I believe. Mr. Winchester."

Skinner: "Well...

Winchester: "The cost of the hatchery is twenty million dollars. The two hatcheries together. The two hatcheries, twenty million dollars."

Skinner: "None of this is coming out of the General Fund, right?"

Winchester: "None of this is coming out of the General Revenue Fund, it's coming out of the Fish and Game Fund."

Skinner: "Why, that's an awful expensive set of hatcheries."

Winchester: "And that's as a result of the increase in the fishing licenses last year, Cal."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any further discussion? The Gentleman moves that... Yes, Sir. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Barnes."

E. Barnes: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Would the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Indicates he will."

E. Barnes: "I realize we are talking about bonds here, but what about the debt retirement? Where will that money come from?"

Winchester: "Told by the Department of Conservation that this is the..."
schedule for the debt retirement of the bonds."

E. Barnes: "No, but... normally, Bob, the debt retirement comes out of General Revenue for bonding, so I'm asking you whether or not the debt retirement would come from General Revenue or would it come from some other source?"

Winchester: "Representative Barnes, this Bill would make it come out of the Fish... and license increases for fishing license, the Fish and Game Fund."

E. Barnes: "Okay, so the debt retirement will come out of the Fish and Game Fund."

Winchester: "Right, rather than the General Revenue Fund."

E. Barnes: "Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any further discussion? The Gentleman moves that the House suspend 31(b) to move to advance Senate Bill 1890 to Second Reading without reference to Committee. All those in favor vote 'ayes', all opposed will vote 'nays'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 138 'ayes', no 'nays' and the Gentleman's motion prevails. Clerk will read the Bill."


Speaker Lechowicz: "The Bill has been read a second time, we'll hold it on Second Reading. On the Cal... on the Supplemental Calendar, Supplemental #1 appears Senate Bill 1881."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1881. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expense of various state agencies. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Excuse me. Mr. Ewing on the floor? Mr. Ewing? Mr. Ewing?"

Ewing: "We have no objection to the Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any motions on the Amendment? What was it? To suspend the rule, Mr. Ewing? The Amendment has not been printed. You'll have to suspend the appropriate rule so we consider this... according... wait a minute."
Ewing: "It's not my Amendment, Mr. Speaker. I think it's Representative Martin's. All I know is somebody handed it to me. I just said we have no objection to it. '' She wants to put it on?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Has the Amendment been distributed, Mr. Clerk? According to some of their people, they have it. You do have a copy? Amendment #1 is not distributed or Amendment #2. Do you move that we suspend the appropriate rule so we consider these, this Bill at this time without the Amendments being distributed? On that question, Mr. Totten."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would object to that having now seen our staff's copy of the Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Objections have been raised. Mr. Ewing, do you persist in your motion? Do you want to hold this Bill until the Amendments have been distributed? Mr. Ewing. Would you put Ewing on please? What do you want to do, Mr. Ewing? Proceed with the Bill?"

Ewing: "I would like to know...I'm not the Sponsor of this Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Oh, who's the Sponsor of the Amendment?"

Ewing: "Representative Martin and I've said it before."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Oh, I'm sorry. Mrs. Martin."

Ewing: "If she wants to move to suspend the rule, that's her business."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. E.M. Barnes on Amendment #1 first though. Amendment #1, Mr. Barnes."

E. Barnes: "Amendment #1 is a Committee Amendment, was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Yeah, but it has not been printed and distributed on the floor. In order for us to move that on this Bill, we have to suspend the appropriate rule...as far as the distribution of the Amendments to the Members on the floor."

E. Barnes: "Well, as far...Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, as far as I'm concerned, the Amendment was adopted in Committee. It's up to the Bill's Sponsor whether or not he wants to suspend the rule to move his Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in the Committee."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Ewing."

Ewing: "Mr. Speaker, there was an Amendment adopted in Committee."
This Amendment is proposed by Representative Martin to clarify an Amendment adopted in Committee. So I don't think as Sponsor of the Bill..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Excuse me, Sir. You got to adopt Amendment #1 or file a motion on Amendment #1 and then we get to Amendment #2. But I has to be distributed and it has not been distributed."

Ewing: "The... you're... Mr. Speaker, you're saying the Committee Amendment has to be distributed?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "That is correct."

Ewing: "All right. Then I would move, I'm sorry, I would move to suspend the rule so that we can move on with this Bill. It is an important Bill. We do need to move on."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman asks leave to use the Attendance Roll Call to suspend the appropriate rule, I think it's 31, so we can consider this measure... 34 so we can consider this measure at this time. Is there any objections? Hearing none... objection has been raised. All those in favor to suspend Rule 34 vote 'aye', all those opposed will vote 'nay'. Question is to suspend Rule 34 so we can consider Amendments 1 and 2 at this time. We will have discussion on the Amendments on Senate Bill 1881. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 95 'ayes', 38 'nays', 2 recorded as 'present' and the Gentleman's motion prevails. Now Amendment #1."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motion filed."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, Peggy Smith Martin. Amends Senate Bill 1881 as amended by deleting Section 4.10 and so forth."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Lady from Cook, Mrs. Martin."

P. Martin: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. What Section 4-10 does is appropriate four hundred and fifty thousand..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Excuse me, Mr. Martin... Mrs. Martin. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman, is raising the point that the Amendment has not been distributed. We just suspended that rule,
Schlickman: "That was for 1, not 2."
Speaker Lechowicz: "1 and 2, Sir."
Schlickman: "No, that was only for 1."
Speaker Lechowicz: "I mentioned 1 and 2 quite specifically, Sir.

The Lady from Cook, Mrs. Martin."

P. Martin: "It's really a clarifying Amendment and it states that the sum of four hundred and fifty thousand dollars shall be appropriated to the State Comptroller's Office for distribution to counties for defense costs associated with the Pontiac disturbances. The reason for this is that it is not... the trial will not necessarily be held in the county where Pontiac is located. And if not, then the State Comptroller then will be the designee to certainly distribute those funds."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Conti."

Conti: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'm not, I don't have any discussion on this particular Amendment but I am getting a little excited with suspending some of these rules. The one we just suspended before this adds four hundred and fifty thousand dollars for the State Comptroller to reimburse Livingston County for defense costs associated with the Pontiac disturbance. When you're spending that kind of money..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "That...that's this Amendment now, Sir."

Conti: "On this Amendment I'd like to see the Amendment on it. Did we suspend the rules on this one, too?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Yes, Sir. 1 and 2. Please continue, Mrs. Martin. Mr. Conti, the Lady is responding to your inquiry."

P. Martin: "I was just explaining that the trial does not have... necessarily have to be held in Livingston County. So therefore, we're giving to the State Comptroller the right to distribute the money in whatever county the trials may be held."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Will, Mr. Jack Davis."

J. Davis: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen, there seems to be some confusion. Amendment #1 was a Committee Amendment that already added in four hundred and fifty thousand dollars..."
for defense costs to offset the four hundred and fifty thousand dollars estimated for prosecution costs. I raised the point in Committee because the Committee Amendment was worded in such a manner as that if a change of venue did occur that the money could only be directed into the Livingston County Public Defender's office by the Trial Judge in... or by the Chief Judge in Livingston County. Representative Martin's Amendment simply clears up that language to allow the Comptroller to disburse that money to whomever or whatever public defender in whatever venue is... is going to take charge of defending the case if a public defender is used. That's all her Amendment does. The other Com... the Committee Amendment has already added in the four hundred and fifty thousand dollars."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Peters."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Representative Davis did indicate some of what I was going to say but let me add this because of some of the questions I've received here. The Bill itself as received by the Committee provided for an expenditure of four hundred and fifty thousand dollars to pay for the costs of prosecuting those individuals who were involved in the Pontiac riot. An Amendment was offered in Committee to pay to the Livingston County Public Defender the sum of four hundred and fifty thousand dollars to defend those individuals who are being prosecuted for the disturbance at Pontiac. The argument... and that Amendment passed in Committee. We were not all for it, but it passed. The argument then proceeded in Committee that it may well be that the trial will not be held in Livingston County, but by motions for change of venue or whatever the trial may be held in another county. For that reason, Mrs. Martin is offering the Amendment here to still make available to the Comptroller the sum of four hundred and fifty thousand dollars to pay for the defense expenses of those individuals associated with the Pontiac disturbance to the Comptroller and for whatever county that trial may be held in."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Barnes."

E. Barnes: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House."
Since I offered the Amendment in Committee I hope the Members of the House would take cognizance into what I will say. Already included in the Bill was an Amendment from the Senate for the sum of four hundred and fifty thousand dollars for the purpose of reimbursing Livingston County for prosecution relative to the incident that took place in that county. My Amendment in Committee authorized reimbursements to that particular county for the Public Defender, has nothing whatsoever to do with the individual case. Only if a Judge in that jurisdiction would appoint a Public Defender, that county would not have to incur that cost. It would be reimbursed the same as upon the appointment of a special prosecutor, that county would have to reimburse the cost of the prosecution. That's all that Amendment does. Nothing else. That's all it does. Only on the direction of the Judge to... involved in appointing a Public Defender for the purpose of defense involved in the litigation of that question.

Representative Davis from Will County raised the question of whether or not there was a change in venue. And what would you do in that case? I explained to him it was my purpose do insure whatever county that may be faced with an extraordinary cost involved in the litigation of this question, their taxpayers in that county would not be saddled with that cost. The state would be available for reimbursement through the Comptroller's Office at the designation of the judiciary... the Judge involved in that jurisdiction. This Amendment of Representative Martin's only is a clarifying Amendment that, in fact, took out the phrase 'Livingston County' which I had put in cause my thought was to reimburse only Livingston County; took out that phrase and... and changed the language so that if there was a change in venue, if there was a change in venue, that that county could apply for reimbursement for those funds through the Comptroller on the designation of the Judicial Judge involved. That's all the Amendment does. It has nothing to do whatsoever specifically with any individual's defense, only if the Judge so designates a Public Defender."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Peters, for what
purpose do you seek recognition?"

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, just so that there is not some question on the part of the Members, Representative Barnes and I are saying the same thing. I agree with what he's saying."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Good, good. You support the Amendment."

Peters: "That's not... that's not... what... the explanation is correct."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The explanation is correct, thank you. Mr. Ewing."

Ewing: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, maybe... I'd like to make a comment that might help clarify this. The Department of Corrections or myself, a Sponsor of this Bill, are not asking for state funds to defend those people who may have perpetuated (sic) the crimes at Pontiac. But if somebody is brought before the Judge indicted, they are required to appoint a Public Defender if that party does not have his own defense counsel. The County of Livingston or any other county in this state and any of you who have penal institutions or state institutions might be faced with the same problem could be bankrupt because the Judge in that county appoints maybe one, a dozen, or two dozen Public Defenders for a situation as large as the Pontiac riot. This is nothing that the County Board of Livingston County or any other county has any say-so over. They cannot refuse to appropriate the money. They have to pay the money on order of the court. We are merely saying that if the court requires a Public Defender to be employed in the defense of any of these prisoners that the money, those counties who have to put up the money will be reimbursed. There is precedent for this in Will County and in other counties around the state where we've had similar problems."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Thank you, Sir. The question is, shall Amendment #2 be adopted? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', all those opposed by voting 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? The Gentleman from Macon, Mr. Dunn, to explain his vote. The timer is on."

J. Dunn: "Well, just... Mr. Speaker, thank you, to point out that there is a total of nine hundred thousand dollars in this Bill."
Four hundred and fifty thousand for prosecution, four hundred and fifty thousand for defense and I think that the procedure and custom in this House has been to take care of these items after the items of expense have been determined not to provide them in a way of a retainer or a pot out of which to pay these expenses in advance. Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all voted who wish? Yes, Sir. Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 113 'ayes', 30 'nays', 1 recorded as 'present'. The Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. Kindly record Mr. Tim Johnson as 'aye' on that Amendment. On page 3, Consideration Postponed, is House Bill 1089. Lady from St. Clair, Mrs. Younge. Mrs. Younge. House Bill 2538, John Dunn."

J. Dunn: "Mr. Speaker, just table that Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman asks leave to table House Bill 2538. Any objections? Hearing none, the Bill is tabled. House Bill 2792, Mr. Tipsword. Take it out of the record. House Bill 3071, Mrs. Younge. Take it out of the record. House Bill 3422, Mr. Madigan."

Madigan: "I request leave to take this Bill to the Order of Second Reading for purpose of Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman asks leave to take this Bill back to Second Reading for purpose of Amendments. Any objections? Hearing none, this Bill's on Second Reading."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, Madigan. Amends House Bill 3422..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madigan, Amendment #2."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, I request to withdraw that Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman asks leave to withdraw Amendment #2. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, Madigan. Amends House Bill 3422 by de... on page 1 by deleting line 15 and so forth."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madigan, Amendment #3."
Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, during our debate yesterday on this particular Bill one of the items under discussion was whether or not this particular appropriation would be used solely for the conversion and remodeling of the Donnelly Hall which is across Lake Shore Drive from McCormick Place. This Amendment if adopted would provide specifically that the $4.8 million appropriation could only be used for that purpose. And I would move for the adoption of this Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "On the Amendment, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Mann."

Mann: "Mr. Madigan, I realize that you're not... you're a Member only of this Body and therefore can speak only for this Body, but would the strategy be to take this Amendment off in the Senate so we can go into a Conference Committee?"

Madigan: "No, it would not."

Mann: "Are you assure..."

Madigan: "And I'm the..."

Mann: "Are you assuring me of that, Sir?"

Madigan: "Mr. Mann, I'm the Sponsor of the Bill. I'll state to you right now on the record that... that I will not cause this Amendment to be taken from the Bill. And I do not plan to take the Bill into a Conference Committee."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Totten, on the Amendment."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Would the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Indicates he will."

Totten: "Mike, what is the total anticipated cost of renovating the Donnelly Building?"

Madigan: "...of the... the change in the statute, it was estimated to be approximately twenty-two million dollars."

Totten: "How much have we appropriated so far for that?"

Madigan: "2.5 million. 4.8 million last Session which is now deposited in the Authority account."

Totten: "So that additionally you would expect for a number of years that we would be appropriating this money from this fund for..."
solely the renovating of the Donnelly Building?"

Madigan: "That is correct."

Totten: "What was the... are you saying that the original cost es-
timate was twenty-two million?"

Madigan: "That's what I said."

Totten: "Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Collins."

Collins: "Mr. Speaker, I must just comment that this Amendment does
remove any doubt as to... in my mind anyway, to where the money's
going so I can't have any objection on that basis. I would like
to just point out the point that I made yesterday though that
the continual appropriation of the cigarette tax monies to McCormick
Place is a violation of a pledge that was made in 1967. However,
there is obviously nothing I can do about it at this time."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Lady from Cook, Ms. Pullen."

Pullen: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Indicates he will."

Pullen: "The language of the Amendment says... adds... the words
'only for costs associated with converting the R.R. Donnelly
Building to exposition and convention purposes'. Would you please
define the phrase 'associated with'?"

Madigan: "I don't feel that I'm in a position to render a definition
of those words other than to refer you to a dictionary."

Pullen: "I'm wondering what your intent is, Sir, to use that phrase
instead of the word 'of'."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, shall Amendment #3 be adopted?"

Pullen: "Mr. Speaker, I'm not finished. I didn't get an answer to
my question."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, my answer to her question is simply this -
that I would not attempt to offer any definition other than what
is contained in a recognized dictionary of the English language."

Pullen: "Thank you. Will you please tell us what the address is of
the R.R. Donnelly Building that is mentioned in this Amendment?"

Madigan: "I don't know the address off the top of my head. I've
already referred to the building on a few occasions as being
located immediately west of McCormick Place and across the other
side of the Outer Drive and I.C. railroad tracks."

Pullen: "Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Taylor."

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman has moved the previous question.

Have all... All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', all those opposed. The previous question's been moved. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madigan, to close."

Madigan: "I would request an Affirmative Roll Call, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, shall Amendment #3 be adopted?

All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', all those opposed by voting 'nay'. Johnny. The Gentleman from Lawrence, Mr. Cunningham, to explain his vote."

Cunningham: "Well, Mr. Speaker..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The timer's on."

Cunningham: "...and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the reason you should still be voting 'no' is that the effect of this Amendment and this Bill is to place this $4.8 million that's skimmed off of the money that belongs to all the people for all the years that lie ahead is to place that money beyond the reach of this General Assembly to every recoup it, put it back to higher priority uses. Now I'm sure the Sponsor is sincere when he says this goes to the Donnelly account. But what happens to it, it's earmarked for that as it goes into this exposition fund. Who's to say that the next General Assembly perhaps with the same Sponsor transfers the accumulated cash that belongs to the entire State of Illinois to other purposes that you fear and have previously expressed your opposition to? If you recognize that possibility, why not take out the insurance against being duped by voting 'no'?

Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 123 'ayes', 25 'nays', 9 recorded as 'present' and the Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. Back to Consideration Postponed."
Now Mr. Madigan on House Bill 3422 as amended.

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, I now move that we suspend the appropriate rule to allow for the immediate consideration of this Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman moves that we suspend House Bill...

House Rule 35(c). Leave for the Attendance Roll Call? Gentleman moves that we suspend Rule 35(c). All those in favor vote 'aye', all opposed vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 114 'ayes', 31 'nays', 1 recorded as 'present' and the rule is suspended. Now on the Bill. Jack, you read that Bill already."

Clerk O'Brien: "The Bill's been read a third time previously."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madigan, on House Bill 3422 as amended."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, the Bill has been debated at great length on previous occasions. I stand by my original statement that the Bill is intended to clarify a legal question raised by the Department of Revenue. In light of Amendment #3 adopted to the Bill there can certainly be no question that the $4.8 million appropriation will be solely for the remodeling and conversion of the Donnelly Hall. I would request a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Griesheimer."

Griesheimer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Indicates he will."

Griesheimer: "Mr. Madigan, have the bills already been incurred for the Donnelly Building so that this money is paying off vouchers and billings already outstanding?"

Madigan: "In answer to your question, Mr. Griesheimer, by stating that contracts have been entered into by the Public Building Commission in Chicago which is performing the work."

Griesheimer: "I see. In other words, the contracts are signed but the billings for the services have not actually been received by the Building Commission in Chicago. Is that it?"

Madigan: "... that the price specified in the contracts would delineate what the cost will be."

Griesheimer: "All right, is it your understanding that any of these
monies not used for the express purpose that you've limited this particular Bill as amended to will be returned to the State of Illinois?"

Madigan: "In light of the... in light of the Amendment that we have adopted if they're not used for that purpose, they would be required to remain in that account."

Griesheimer: "Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, shall the House... question is, all those in favor will vote 'aye' on House Bill 3422 as amended, opposed vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? Requires 107 votes. Have all voted who wish? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madigan, to explain his vote."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, as I had explained previously this money has already been appropriated and signed by the Governor. The money currently is reposed in the account for the Metropolitan Fair and Exposition Authority. If this Bill is not passed or a similar authorization Bill, the money will simply remain in that account. It will not be used for any other purpose, local or state related. Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 108 'ayes', 47 'nays'. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority... three-fifths Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1089. The Lady from St. Clair, Mrs. Younge, 1089."

Younge: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 1089 appropriates one million, nine hundred thousand dollars to the Department of Business and Economic Development for loans for industrial development in St. Clair County. This Bill is a part of a package of Bills that is now in the Senate and will be discussed with the Governor after a visit to the East St. Louis area by Lieutenant Governor O'Neal on Monday representing the Governor to consider and decide what we can do in order to keep Obear Nester Glass Bottling Company open. The largest plant in East St. Louis has announced it is going to close on the 31st of January of next year. This is Obear Nester Bottling Company. This plant employs some six hundred and fifty people with a payroll of eight
million dollars a year and the plant is closing, it is shipping some of its glass bottling contracts to Lincoln, Illinois and others to Florida. The employees of this plant have decided that they will form a manufacturing firm and attempt to corral as many of existing contracts as possible. They will meet on Friday morning at 9:30 in the morning with Anheisaur Busch Company in St. Louis in order to try to retain 30 percent of the glass bottling contracts over there. We have met about Obear Nester in the... with the representatives of President Carter and we have been assured that the Federal Economic Development Administration Funds that can be available to build an industrial park will be available if the other elements can be worked out. These funds would be used to match what is called 304 funds which the Governor has available at his discretion from the Federal Government to build industrial parks and... but the requirement for those funds is a state 25 percent matching share. This is a... probably the biggest emergency the City of East St. Louis has faced and also the County of St. Clair and I'm asking you to pass this Bill out in order to place it in the Senate so that when the Governor considers the matter of the closing of Obear Nester this Bill will be in place for the consideration next week. And I ask you for this help in reference to, I think, the gravest problem that has faced my community in quite awhile. We have lost twenty-seven hundred jobs and there will be six hundred and fifty more. So I ask for your favorable consideration of this matter."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I rise to ask for a verification on the last vote."

Speaker Lechowicz: "We haven't had a vote on 1089 yet but you'll be recognized at the appropriate time if you persist."

Skinner: "The last vote, the vote where you..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Indicates she will."
Schlickman: "I can't find a copy of the Bill, but I do have the synopsis and it states that this is an appropriation of $1.9 million. Is that correct?"

Younge: "That is correct."

Schlickman: "Now this is $1.9 million from the General Revenue Fund, is that correct?"

Younge: "That is correct."

Schlickman: "Now this would be available to the Illinois Industrial Development Authority for loans, is that correct?"

Younge: "That's correct."

Schlickman: "Now what interest rate is provided?"

Younge: "The loans would be at a three percent interest rate."

Schlickman: "Three percent?"

Younge: "Yes. The purpose of the loans would be to attract eighty percent matching funds from the Federal Government plus the matching funds that the Governor has under his jurisdiction under Section 304 of the Economic Development Act."

Schlickman: "But you're talking about General Revenue funds being loaned by the state to private interests at the rate of three percent?"

Younge: "Yes, in order to stimulate employment. It is going to cost the people of the State of Illinois eighty-five hundred dollars per unemployed employee at this plant. It will mean that we will have six hundred and fifty people who will be going into the unemployment compensation lines, will be going into food stamps and aid to dependent children and what I'm saying is that we ought to make an investment to keep these people working. We have a very unique situation. We have glass bottling contracts which is the subject matter of this plant. There are sufficient governmental contracts. There are sufficient contracts at various hospitals, at various prisons, at various public institutions to keep these people working. And I'm saying that we make a one-time investment in these people to keep them in the employment lines rather than putting them in gainful unemployment which is what we continuously do. So it is a very small investment and I think that we want to make it at a low.
interest rate in order to stimulate public employment."

Schlickman: "Why are you asking the state to provide the local match? Can't the local authorities secure the necessary local match? Or is this too great a risk for financing of the local match at the local level?"

Younge: "I'm asking the state to provide the local match because the 304 funds which are made available to the Governor's discretionary fund require a twenty-five percent state local match. And I'm asking for the state to help because first of all the deadline for closing this plant is 31 January and this is an emergency. And we need the ability of the... of the employees there to act swiftly and I think the only Body that can act swiftly to pull this package together is the General Assembly. The employees are willing to make available a part of their severance pay in order to make this package work. And I'm asking the General Assembly to help because it is the only Body that can act swiftly."

Schlickman: "One further question. Can you ask me or can you answer me as to whether or not this $1.9 million from the General Revenue Fund is contained within the Governor's budget?"

Younge: "I have not seen the Governor's budget for next year. The Governor has commissioned the Lieutenant Governor to go to East St. Louis on Monday in order to meet with a special steering Committee in order to meet with the employees to help him make some decisions about this. All I'm asking the House to do is to help me get this Bill into the Senate so that it can be a part of the package that is considered in order to how are we going to keep this plant open. The closing..."

Schlickman: "Well, I respectfully suggest to you, Mrs. Younge, that this Bill has an immediate effective date for the current fiscal year. Now I'm not talking about the budget for the next fiscal year, I'm talking about this year's budget and I ask you the question straightforward and I'd like an answer - 'yes' or 'no' - whether or not this $1.9 million appropriation from the General Revenue Fund to loan to private interest at the rate of three percentage is within the Governor's budget."
Younge: "The answer is, I have talked with the Governor last night and he is sending Lieutenant Governor O'Neal to East St. Louis to see if this is the emergency that he ought to intervene. And I believe he will make that decision and I merely want this Bill to be in the Senate so when he decides it can be acted on timely should he decide to move. We need this in order to keep that plant open, to keep that eight million dollars from leaving Illinois and to keep six hundred and fifty people from going on the unemployment rolls."

Schlickman: "May I address myself to the Bill, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Please proceed."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I don't want to be-labor an issue. This Bill once previously failed to secure the necessary votes for passage and I trust the House will view the Bill in the same light today and refuse to allow it to be passed and thereby be defeated. Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, we're talking about $1.9 million from the General Revenue Fund which obviously is not budgeted. We're talking about granting this money to a private interest at the ridiculous low rate of three percent. We're talking about loaning money in an area where there is high risk and probably no ability in the future to pay the state back. We're talking about discriminating against twenty-two other counties within the State of Illinois that have high employment. And on these basis and for many other reasons that I'm sure will come to each mind or to the mind of each Mem-ber of this House excluding the Sponsor, I would urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Peters. Mr. Peters. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Gaines."

Gaines: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. In response to my colleague here to my left even though he's mainly right... I was in Washington with Ms... Representa-tive Younge at a conference and we talked with federal people. And there will be federal funds available if there's state funds available also. And all Ms. Younge is asking is that we put this into the Senate and we're quite sure that the Senators who
are known to be more frugal than we are would certainly not pass it out of the Senate unless all the things that Ms. Younge has described were true based on the Lieutenant Governor's visit to East St. Louis and that is all this will do at this particular time. And we understand that in the Senate that they will hold it until the Lieutenant Governor reports back to the Governor and at which time the Governor can determine if, in fact, there is funds in his budget for this. As Ms. Younge says, the Governor does not know... the Governor has some discretionary funds he can use and also the fact that the Senate will still have to work on it next week. But if we do not pass it this week and if the Governor and everybody else that Mr. Schlickman's concerned about agrees that it should be done, it will then be too late. So that is why we want to put this in the position where the Senate can act on it next week after the Governor's had an opportunity to make the proper investigations. So I'm asking for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Huff."

Huff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Indicates he will."

Huff: "Well, Representative Young, you indicated that the loan would be at three percent. Would that be a federally insured loan? Is that a federally insured loan? Is that amount federally insured?"

Younge: "The total amount of the loan would come ninety-five percent from the Federal Government. What we're talking about is the use of eighty percent federal funds to build an industrial park at this site plus use a matching share from the Governor's Discretionary Fund 304 and then the... a... with the need for twenty-five percent of a state matching amount. And so..."

Huff: "Well... all right, we'll... where would that twenty-five percent state matching fund money come from? The General Revenue?"

Younge: "It would come from this Bill, yes."

Huff: "All right. I'd like to speak to the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Please proceed, Sir."

Huff: "Speaker, it seems to me... I haven't had anything to say"
in the course of the discussion today, but I've listened to some supplemental appropriations go out. One, I think, was twenty million dollars for a fish pond which is another way of saying that's a lot of caviar. Now we're not talking about $1.9 million expenditure from the State Revenue Fund. What we are talking about is..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Excuse me, Mr. Huff. Please proceed."

Huff: "What we are talking about possibly is another thirty or forty million dollars to care for eight hundred unemployed people who will show up on the supplemental rolls of public aid. I don't understand our thinking here. I think that the state would benefit by supporting this and keeping those people employed and the taxes that would accrue from their taxable income will go to support this state. I think this is a good bill and is deserving of your consideration. Thank you. Positive consideration."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Lady from... The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Caldwell."

Caldwell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I think very simply put, I think Mrs. Younge should be congratulated for the work that she has done in putting together a package which will enable business to be retained in the State of Illinois. Also I think that her zeal in maintaining the six hundred fifty to eight hundred jobs is very important. As Representative Huff just indicated if these people are out of work as of January 31st, they will go directly to the relief rolls once they have collected their unemployment insurance. I think one of the functions of government and I think the Governor is to be congratulated on having joined in this meeting and has indicated to Mrs. Younge and to all of us that this is a way to go because it's a function of government. Now we have sat here in the last few days and voted out and Representative Huff indicated millions of dollars for improvements and fish ponds and other similar projects. If there is any reason at all for us to be dealing with this type of thing in this Veto Session this would be, it seems to me, a project that we should give 107 votes or whatever is needed.
And I would urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Lechowicz: "A request has been made of the Speakers to have
its camera lights on. Request is granted. The Lady from St.
Clair, Mrs. Younge, to close."

Younge: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I'll ask the House to
make an investment in keeping six hundred and fifty tax paying
employees employed. And I appreciate your support in this
emergency."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Shall the House pass House Bill 1089? All those
in favor will vote 'aye', all opposed will vote 'nay'. Takes
107 votes. Mike. The Gentleman from Madison, Mr. Byers, to
explain his vote. The timer is on."

Byers: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to rise in support of this mo-
tion. I live in this area also in southwestern Illinois and
what Representative Hyvetter Younge has told the General Assembly
today is absolutely the truth. There's a very drastic situation
with this plant being closed down, the people facing unemploy-
ment in an area where there's already one of the highest unem-
ployment rates in the nation. And I think we want to do some-
thing for people and do something we can get our money's worth
out of it. This is a worthwhile project. People can work, have
gainful employment and the dignity that jobs have rather than
unemployment or welfare and I would strongly urge an 'aye' vote
on this Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Madison, Mr. McPike, to explain
his vote. The timer is on."

McPike: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,
I think Representative Younge described this best when she said
it's an emergency. There are areas of the state that need spe-
cial help. And if you took a look at any statistics you would
have to agree that East St. Louis is in desperate need of some
help from the state government at this time. Over the last ten
years the per capita income in this area has typically been about
fifty percent of the per capita income in the rest of Illinois.
The unemployment rate right now is nine percent in East St. Louis.
It's typically fifty to a hundred percent above the rest of the
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State of Illinois. We're looking at six hundred people losing their jobs. It could throw the unemployment rate in this city to twenty percent. Now twenty percent is considered a depression anywhere in the United States and that is indeed what East St. Louis is facing. Representative Younge is trying to put together a package of Bills to bring some economic assistance to this area so that the people can stay off of welfare. We're trying to stimulate the economy in the area, not drive people away from jobs. We're trying to keep jobs here, we're trying to make an effort, we're trying to let...

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman kindly bring his remarks to a close?"

McPike: "It's an excellent Bill. I think if you really want to keep people off welfare and give them a chance to earn a living and self-respect, you'll give this an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Lady from Lake, Mrs. Geo-Karis. The timer is one."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I fully support this Bill because about seventy percent of the people in that area are on public aid. And we're not going to get them out of public aid if we don't support some jobs for them. And I think it's the responsibility for us to do some good there as much as we can. If we want to have people try to learn to maintain their self-respect and be decent, law-abiding citizens, we've got to help them, too. And I certainly ask for more votes. Guess you got then. How many do you need?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "107."

Geo-Karis: "Let's give her 107. It's a very worthwhile project."

Speaker Lechowicz: "I'll take 108. The Lady from... the Gentleman from DeKalb, Mr. Ebbesen, to explain his vote. The timer is on."

Ebbesen: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.
I would encourage people who are either staying off of this Bill or are voting red to give this an affirmative vote. I think everything has been said about the unemployment down in this area and you're talking about General Revenue Funds, but this
Bill is just going over to the Senate and the Sponsor has indicated and others have that in the meantime the Governor's office goes down there. If he finds out that it's not available, it may be killed in the Senate and if it even goes to his desk, he's still got a pen, he can veto this legislation. And I think that we ought to assist this, the people in this geographical area. I think it's been said and we ought to put 107 votes up there and send it over to the Senate.

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Deacon Davis, to explain his vote."

C. Davis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'm going to use the three B's. I'm going to be brief. I'm going to be sincere. I'm going to vote and be seated. These are people who are crying for a chance instead of charity. Which side do you choose? A 'yes' vote will say 'I choose to give you a chance'."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 115 'ayes', 29 'nays', 1 recorded as 'present' and this Bill having received the three-fifths Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2792, Mr. Tipsword. House Bill 3071, Mrs. Younge. Out of the record. House Resolution 97, Mrs. Younge. Mrs. Younge? Out of the record. Agreed Resolutions."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Joint Resolution #112, Stanley-Waddell."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, Stanley's Resolution 112 tells about a retirement of Chief of Police of Carpentersville. I move for the adoption of the Agreed Resolution."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Gentleman has moved for the adoption of the Agreed Resolution. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed? Agreed Resolution is adopted. Any announcements? Announcements? Any announcements? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madigan, on the Adjournment Resolution."

Madigan: "Speaker..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Wait one moment. The Gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Ryan, for what purpose you seek recognition?"

Ryan: "Question of the Chair, Mr. Speaker. Can you give us next
week's schedule?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "That's what we're trying to get right now."

Ryan: "All right."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, would the Clerk tell us what the Adjournment Resolution provides as far as reconvening next week?"

Clerk O'Brien: "When we adjourn today, we came... we were to come back at one o'clock Tuesday."

Madigan: "A Perfunctory Session?"

Clerk O'Brien: "It didn't indicate what kind of Session."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Well, let me interject if I may. I spoke to Senator Rock in Chicago Monday and they tell me that was the intent of the Senate to have Perfunct Tuesday and be here Wednesday and Thursday. They're going to receive the messages from the House in a Perfunctory Session in the Senate on Tuesday and be in Session on Wednesday and Thursday. And may I strongly recommend that then we be in Session on Wednesday and Thursday."

Madigan: "So, Mr. Speaker, I would now move that we adjourn to a Perfunctory Session on Tuesday and to a Regular Session on Wednesday at one p.m."

Speaker Lechowicz: "You've heard the Adjournment Resolution that the House will stand adjourned until Tuesday, Perfunctory Session; one o'clock Wednesday, Regular Session. All those in favor will signify by saying 'aye', all those opposed? The House stands adjourned."
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Page</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Speaker</th>
<th>Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>12:00</td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>House to order</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Rev. Krueger</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Roll Call</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>Committee Reports</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>Reading of Journal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lechowicz</td>
<td>Move to dispense</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12:08</td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>House at ease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Katz</td>
<td>Leave to hear S.B. 1889</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1:16</td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>House to order</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mugalian</td>
<td>S.B. 555</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>Matijevich</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>S.B. 255, passed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>Flinn</td>
<td>S.B. 1472, move to accept</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Totten</td>
<td>Yield?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Flinn</td>
<td>Discussion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Flinn</td>
<td>To close</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>House accepts Gov's change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Matijevich</td>
<td>S.B. 1845, move to override</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1:25</td>
<td>Totten</td>
<td>Yield?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Matijevich</td>
<td>Discussion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cunningham</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page</td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Speaker</td>
<td>Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>S.B. 1845 passed, override</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>H.B. 3449, 3rd Rdg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td>McCourt</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Passed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>S.B. 1886, 2nd Rdg. No C.A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>Floor Am. §1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Friedrich</td>
<td>Leave to move to 3rd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>3rd Rdg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>S.B. 1887, 2nd Rdg. No C.A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1:37</td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>Committee Reports</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Stuffle</td>
<td>Motion, S.B. 1892</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Greiman</td>
<td>Discussion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Stuffle</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Motion carries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bowman</td>
<td>Leave to vote 'yes'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>S.B. 1892, 2nd Rdg. No C.A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page</td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Speaker</td>
<td>Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>3rd Rdg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Edgar</td>
<td>Point of personal privilege</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sandquist</td>
<td>Motion, S.B. 273</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Katz'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mann</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Motion prevails</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>S.B. 273, 2nd Rdg. No C.A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>3rd Rdg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Winchester</td>
<td>S.B. 1891, motion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>1:52</td>
<td>Hanahan</td>
<td>Oppose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td>McClain</td>
<td>Support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td>E.M. Barnes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Winson</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Winchester</td>
<td>Question</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Winchester</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page</td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Speaker</td>
<td>Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Hanahan request verification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>Polls absentees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hanahan</td>
<td>Asks for oral roll call</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Rawell</td>
<td>Switch to 'aye'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:05</td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>Reads affirmative roll</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Laurino</td>
<td>Change to 'aye'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>Proceeds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Skiinner 'aye'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>Continues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>2:14</td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Questions Affirmative roll</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
<td>Winchester</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hanahan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Adams 'aye'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hanahan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Motion prevails</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page</td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Speaker</td>
<td>Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>2:21</td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>S.B. 1891, no Ams.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hanahan</td>
<td>To 3rd Rdg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Question</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>2:40</td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Discussion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hanahan</td>
<td>House Agreed Resolution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Explains Resolutions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>2:55</td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Agreed Resolutions adopted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>Death Resolutions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>adopted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>H.J.R. 109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bradley</td>
<td>Moves to table</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Motion carries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>H.R. 111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2:40</td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2:55</td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>House At Ease</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bowman</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Madigan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Walsh</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Page</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Speaker</th>
<th>Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Walsh</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bradley</td>
<td>1819</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Greiman</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Madigan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Motion prevails</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>S.B. 1877, 2nd Rdg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td>Discussion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Madigan</td>
<td>Question</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td>Discussion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>S.B. 1878, 2nd Rdg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3:02</td>
<td></td>
<td>Bradley</td>
<td>question, fiscal note</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Greiman</td>
<td>on 1819</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td>Discussion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>S.B. 1881</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page</td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Speaker</td>
<td>Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>AM. #2, 1881</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Martin</td>
<td>Am. #2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Barnes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td>Take out of record</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>S.B. 1882, 2nd Rdg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td>3rd Rdg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>S.B. 1883, 2nd Rdg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Totten</td>
<td>Inquiry of Chair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td>Discussion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>29</td>
<td>Peters</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Totten</td>
<td>Motion to suspend rule</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td>Objections raised</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Schuneman</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3:08</td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td>Motion on Rule 34 prevails</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td>3rd Rdg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>S.B. 1884, 2nd Rdg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td>3rd Rdg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>S.B. 1885, 2nd Rdg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td>3rd Rdg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>S.B. 1888, 2nd Rdg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td>Rule 34, motion prevails</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Page</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Speaker</th>
<th>Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td>3rd Rdg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>S.B. 1893, 2nd Rdg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td>3rd Rdg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>S.B. 1819</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td>Rule 34, objection raised</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bradley</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>Am. #2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td>Calls Parliamentarian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Greiman</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ewing</td>
<td>Sponsor yield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3:17</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ewing</td>
<td>Question</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Greiman</td>
<td>Discussion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ewing</td>
<td>Speaks to Amendment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tipsword</td>
<td>Urges 'no'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Neff</td>
<td>Opposes Amendment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Katz</td>
<td>Supports Amendment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Page</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Speaker</th>
<th>Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td></td>
<td>W. Walsh</td>
<td>Vote for Amendment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Madigan</td>
<td>Question to Bradley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Madigan</td>
<td>Question</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bradley</td>
<td>Discussion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Flinn</td>
<td>Moves previous question</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Greiman</td>
<td>Point of personal privilege</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>3:32</td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td>Am. #2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Kent</td>
<td>Explains vote</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mugalian</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3:35</td>
<td>Skinner</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ewell</td>
<td>Explains vote</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Brummer</td>
<td>Explains vote</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Flinn</td>
<td>Explains vote</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td>Am. #2 fails</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>Am. #3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page</td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Speaker</td>
<td>Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td></td>
<td>Bradley</td>
<td>言語の問題</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bradley</td>
<td>出検を、第3路線。</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Schlickman</td>
<td>財政通知</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td>テレスの移動を進める S.B. 1880</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Madigan</td>
<td>質問</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Telcser</td>
<td>対話</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td>質問</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Skinner</td>
<td>対話</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Telcser</td>
<td>対話</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>3:45</td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td>議決否?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Telcser</td>
<td>対話</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td>議決否?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Willer</td>
<td>対話</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Telcser</td>
<td>対話</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td>接尾辞。</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td>議決否。 S.B. 1880, 2nd Rdg. No C.A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td>議決否。 S.B. 1890, move to advance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page</td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Speaker</td>
<td>Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Skinner</td>
<td>Yield?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lechowicz</td>
<td>Discussion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Winchester</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lechowicz</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>3:55</td>
<td>Barnes</td>
<td>Yield?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Winchester</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lechowicz</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>O'Brien</td>
<td>S.B. 1890, 2nd Rdg. No C.A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lechowicz</td>
<td>Hold on 2nd.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>O'Brien</td>
<td>S.B. 1881, 2nd Rdg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
<td>Lechowicz</td>
<td>Discussion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>E.M. Barnes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td></td>
<td>Lechowicz</td>
<td>Motion on S.B. 1881</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ewing</td>
<td>Motion prevails</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>O'Brien</td>
<td>AM. #1 adopted, Floor Am. #2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lechowicz</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Peggy Martin</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Schlickman</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td></td>
<td>Lechowicz</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Conti</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lechowicz</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Martin</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lechowicz</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Davis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page</td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Speaker</td>
<td>Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Peters</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td></td>
<td>E.M. Barnes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td></td>
<td>Peters</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ewing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4:11</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td>Explain vote</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td></td>
<td>J. Dunn</td>
<td>Am. adopted, 3rd Rdg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td>Tabled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td>Tabled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Madigan</td>
<td>Leave to return H.B. 3422 to 2nd.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td>Am. #2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>Withdraw Am. #2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Madigan</td>
<td>Withdrawn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td>Am. #3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Madigan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mann</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Totten</td>
<td>Yield?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Madigan</td>
<td>Discussion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page</td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Speaker</td>
<td>Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td>Yield?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Madigan</td>
<td>Discussion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td>Moves previous question</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Taylor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Madigan</td>
<td>To close</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cunningham</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td>Am. adopted, 3rd Rdg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td></td>
<td>Madigan</td>
<td>H.B. 3422, motion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td>Motion carries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>Read 3rd time previously</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Madigan</td>
<td>H.B. 3422</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Griesheimer</td>
<td>Yield?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td></td>
<td>Madigan</td>
<td>Discussion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Madigan</td>
<td>Explains vote</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td>H.B. 3422 passed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Younge</td>
<td>H.B. 1089, 3rd Rdg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Skinner</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td></td>
<td>Schlickman</td>
<td>Question</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64, 65</td>
<td></td>
<td>Younge</td>
<td>Discussion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page</td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Speaker</td>
<td>Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4:35</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td>Gaines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td>Huff</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td>Younge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td>Caldwell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td>Youngw</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td>To close</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td>McPike</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td>Geo-Karis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td>Support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td>Ebbesen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td>C. Davis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td>Explains vote</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td>H.B. 1089 passed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>Agreed Resolutions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td>Giorgi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td>Explains</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td>Madigan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td>Adjournment Resolution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td>Ryan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td>Question</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Speaker</td>
<td>Information</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Madigan</td>
<td>Question</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Madigan</td>
<td>Move to adjourn, Perfunct on Tuesday</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4:48</td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td>House adjourned.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>