Doorkeeper: "All persons not entitled to the House floor, please retire to the gallery."

Speaker Redmond: "The House will come to order. Members please be in their seats. We'll be led in prayer by the Reverend Krueger, the House Chaplain."

Reverend Krueger: "In the name of the Father, the Son and the Holy Ghost. Amen. O Lord, bless this House to Thy service this day. Amen.

Benjamin Franklin observed; There are two ways to suf...There are two ways to sufficiency and happiness. We may either diminish our wants or augment our means; Either will do - the result is the same. But if you are wise, you will do both at the same time; And, if you are very wise, you will do both in such a way as to augment the general happiness of society. Let us pray. Almighty Father, Eternal Lord and Master, we bow our heads as we call upon Thy presence as we meet this day to pursue our duties as Members of the House of Representatives of the General Assembly of the State of Illinois. Enable our hearts and minds and bodies to be attuned to Thy most gracious Will, that our doings may be just and equitable; prudent, yet courageous; wise, yet understandable; necessary, yet acceptable; that the general happiness and welfare of this state may be prospered. We ask this in the Name of Thy only Son, Jesus Christ our Lord. Amen."

Speaker Redmond: "Messages from the Senate."

Clerk O'Brien: "Messages from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has passed the Bill of the following title; the veto of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding and the passage of which I am instructed to ask concurrence of the House, to wit: Senate Bill #1850, passed by the Senate November 29, 1978, by a three-fifths vote. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate acceded to the Governor's specific recommendations for change which are attached. ...Bills 255, 388, 1472 and 1617. The acceptance of which I am instructed to ask concurrence of the House. Taken by the Senate"
November 28, 1978 by a three-fifths vote. Kenneth Wright, Secretary.

Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has restored the items reduced and vetoed by the Governor... Bills of following title and the restoration of which I am instructed to asked concurrence of the House, to wit: Senate Bills #1587 and 1601. Action taken by the Senate November 28, 1978, by a three-fifths vote. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has restored the items reduced by the Governor, which are attached and the Bills of the following title and the restoration of which I am instructed to ask concurrence of the House, to wit: Senate Bill #1531, action taken by the Senate November 28, 1978. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has passed the item which is attached in the Bill with the following title, the item veto of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. The passage of which I am instructed to ask concurrence of the House, to wit: Senate Bill #1845, passed by the Senate November 28, 1978, by a three-fifths vote. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has passed Bills with the following titles, the passage of which I am instructed to ask concurrence of the House of Representatives, to wit: Senate Bills #273, 1877, 1878, 1891 and 1892, passed by the Senate November 29, 1978, by a three-fifths vote. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has adopted the following Senate Joint Resolution, the adoption of which I am instructed to ask concurrence of the House of Representatives, to wit: Senate Joint Resolution #107, adopted by the Senate November 29, 1978. Kenneth Wright, Secretary."

Speaker Redmond: "...The Journal. Representative Giorgi."

Clerk O'Brien: "Journal for Monday, November 27, 1978..."
Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, I move that we dismiss with the reading of the
Journal and that Journal #162 of November 27, 1978, be approved as
read."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? The question is on the
Gentleman's motion the reading of the Journal be dispensed with.
That the Journal be approved. All those in favor indicate by saying
'aye', 'aye'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the motion carried,
the Journal is approved. Senate Bills, First Reading."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 273, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections, and
the Title, and to repeal Sections of an Act to create the guardian-
ship of Mental Health Advocacy Commission, First Reading of the
Bill. Senate Bill 1877, a Bill for an Act making appropriations
to various agencies of state government, First Reading of the Bill.
Senate Bill 1878, a Bill for an Act making certain appropriations
to the Capital Development Board for permanent improvements, Thir...
First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1891, a Bill for an Act to
amend Sections of an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and
contingent expense of the Bureau of the Budget. First Reading of the
Bill. Senate Bill 1892, a Bill for an Act to amend the School
Code, First Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "...the record show that Representative Walsh is in the
chamber....before the Roll Call. Before the Roll Call. Rep-
resentative Walsh, do you desire to make public confession yet
today? No! He declines. ...Call for attendance. Are any of
the TV crew here from DuPage County? Okay, turn on the lights.
Representative Dan Houlihan, are you seeking recognition?"

Houlihan, D.: "Mr. Speaker, I believe Representative Sandquist is seeking
recognition."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Sandquist. Pardon me."

Sandquist: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd
like to move to suspend the appropriate rule so that Senate Bill 273
could be heard in Rules...tomorrow."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? Have you discussed this with
the Leadership, Representative? Representative Schlickman, for
what purpose do you rise?"
Schlickman: "Could we have an explanation of the contents of this Bill?"

Speaker Redmond: "Would you proceed, Representative Sandquist?"

Sandquist: "This is an implementation of the Mental Health program that we have previously put through and I think it's an important thing that we do at this time."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any objection to the Gentleman's motion? Hearing none, we will use the Attendance Roll Call in support of the motion. Representative Katz on the floor? We now have a Bill in Rules that would suggest that the Co-Chairman......Representative Katz."

Katz: "Mr. Speaker, there is no meeting that's been scheduled for tomorrow. I will check with the Minority Leader and the Majority Leader, but the meeting of the Rules Committee was today. The Gentleman has got his suspension one day late. However, I would suggest that rather than taking up the time of the floor, I'll be glad to talk to the Gentleman and the Leaders on both sides to see about the problem."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, as a follow-up to Representative Katz's statement; would the Speaker please advise the Membership that certain Bills came over from the Senate today, were read in for the first time today. They need sponsors, if they are nonappropriation Bills, it will be necessary for the Sponsors to file an application with Representative Katz to have those Bills heard in the Rules Committee before they can move on to the other Committees."

Speaker Redmond: "I think this would be an appropriate time to call... on the Order of Constitutional Amendments, Third Reading, Consideration Postponed, House Joint Resolution Constitutional Amendment 54. (sic) Representative Ryan, would you like that one called? On page 3, House Joint Resolution Constitutional Amendment 44."

Ryan: "......believe I'm the Sponsor, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Do you think it would be an appropriate time to..."

Ryan: "I guess anything on the Calendar is appropriate to be called at any time, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "On the Order of Item Veto Motions appears Senate Bill 1601, the motion to override. Representative Mudd is recognized.
Representative Mudd.

Mudd: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I would move at this time that ...make a motion to have immediate consideration of the item veto motion on Senate Bill 1601."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mudd has moved to suspend the provisions of Rule 44 (1-b), with respect to Senate Bill 1601. Representative Schlickman. The question is on...Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Could the Parliamentarian tell us which rule that is?"

Speaker Redmond: "One day rule for the first day of veto. ...this Bill, it takes 89 votes. All those in favor of the Gentleman's motion..... Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Mr. Speaker, would you restate the motion, please?"

Speaker Redmond: "The motion is to suspend the provisions of Rule 44 (1-b), which is the one which requires one-day notice for consideration of a motion with respect to Senate Bill 1601. It takes 89 votes to suspend that rule."

Ryan: "All right. Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The Sponsor had not spoken to me about this. I'll have to object to the motion."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mudd."

Mudd: "...Representative Ryan.....I would recall that motion and if you'd like to discuss....at this time I'll take it....and not call the motion. I think this particular Bill....I don't want to debate it or talk about it but this is the Bill that every school district in the state has been waiting to be called and I think that it's been indicated that the bonds have been sold and all they have to do is be disbursed now. We have the money......"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mudd, I wonder if you would hold that motion for a short while? Representative Ryan, do you seek recognition?"

Ryan: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. I request a Republican Conference in a room. I haven't had time to call for a room yet."

Speaker Redmond: "How long will it take?"

Ryan: "...118, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Room 118, Republican caucus. When will you be back on the floor?"
Ryan: "I'll need about 30 or 40 minutes."

Speaker Redmond: "Back on the floor at 2:45."

Ryan: "That'll be fine."

Speaker Redmond: "2:45."

Ryan: "Thank you."

RECESS...........

Speaker Redmond: "The House will come to order. Members please be in their seats. Representative Schuneman, are you seeking recognition? Somebody said something about a motion... on a Resolution."

Schuneman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker, at this time I'd like to move to suspend Rule 41 (a) for the immediate consideration of Senate Joint Resolution #107."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Would we have an explanation of what it is?"

Speaker Redmond: "Sure."

Schuneman: "This is a Resolution which was for... a Resolution for a Joint Study Committee having to do with hazardous waste materials. It was created under a Bill that was passed in the last Legislative Session but the Committee was not appointed in a proper time. We couldn't meet to comply with the statutory deadlines."

Speaker Redmond: "Any objections to the Gentleman's motion? Hearing no objection, the Attendance Roll Call will be used in support of the motion. Now on the Resolution. Representative Geo-Karis, you may leave the room. You may leave the room. Representative Schuneman."

Schuneman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. At this time I would move for adoption of the Resolution."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? Would you explain it? Representative Collins is sore beset. Representative Collins said he didn't hear the explanation...."

Schuneman: "Oh! This is to recreate a joint Study Committee for hazardous waste disposal, which was created under a Bill that we passed in the Spring Session. The Members were not appointed in a timely fashion so we couldn't meet. Now we're trying to recreate the..."
Committee. I move for the adoption, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Collins gives his approbation. The question is on the Gentleman's ...Gentleman's motion. Representative Hanahan."

Hanahan: "...the Gentleman yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Hanahan: "What's...What's the purpose of this Commission when you have Atomic Energy Commission that is supposed to be studying the same ...problem? At least the last fifteen years that I know about that they've been studying it. What's the need for another Commission?"

Schuneman: "I think the....the Committee ...the Committee, Representative, was agreed upon by Sponsors and interested parties who were involved in the sponsorship of several Bills in the House. One of them was Representative John Sharp that dealt with toxic waste materials and that sort of thing. Another one was one that I had dealing with radioactive waste disposal. And our Bills got out of the House, got into the Senate where they were lost in the last Session. And it was agreed by a Joint Senate and House Committee at that time that this should be a Resolution of those Bills."

Hanahan: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I have no great objection to the intent of the legislation or the proposition before us, but I certainly could see an awful lot of duplication. And you talk about waste of money. I don't know how much money this is going to call for in order to ...to study another problem. But we have had the Atomic Energy Commission as the duly constituted Commission of this House for at least fourteen years that I know of and this has been one of their prime motivations for continuing their existence; to figure out what to do with hazardous material waste products and the transportation of it. Now it seems to me that if we want to continue to proliferate the House with unneeded and unnecessary expenditures, this is a good way - by voting 'aye' on this Resolution."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? The question is on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of the Resolution. Representative Sharp."
Sharp: "Yeah. I was just going to answer a couple of the comments that were made before. This Resolution came out of a situation that arose over the past year-and-a-half when the Wilsonville Landfill really came into the forefront as a problem area. When we handled the Bills the problems of nuclear wastes and industrial wastes were considered. Now the real concern here is that when we talk about nuclear wastes it falls under the Department of Public Health and when we talk about hazardous industrial wastes it falls under the State EPA. What we're trying to do here - and it's going to be a very short-lived Commission - is take the Bills that have been introduced, try to work out some agreeable solution as to how we should approach the problem of industrial and nuclear waste and then present it to the General Assembly. So it's not designed to be an ongoing Commission. It was really designed to work out the differences in the Bills that were introduced last Session."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? The question's on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Senate Joint Resolution 127. Those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. 107....I guess our machine is ....it's hard to read. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 129 'aye' and 5 'no', and the motion prevails. Representative McCourt is recognized. For what purpose do you arise?"

McCourt: "Mr. Speaker, there's a ...a motion filed for House Bill 3449, which would move to bypass Committee and place this Bill on Second Reading, Second Legislative Day. This is for the Urban Education Commission, Mr. Speaker. The...By inadvertence the Commission expired June 30, however, we have already made the appropriation for fiscal 79 and it merely recreates the Urban Education Commission. I solicit your favorable support."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman has moved that House Bill 3449 be advanced to the Order of Second Reading, Second Legislative Day, without reference to Committee. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 114 'aye' and 11 'no', and the motion prevails. Mr. Clerk,
read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3449, a Bill for an Act to create the Commission on Urban Education, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. Tell them where you got it, Representative McCourt. Representative Bradley, for what purpose do you rise?"

Bradley: "...Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I have a request and I'll explain it. I would like to suspend Rule 61 (b). I have talked with the Minority Leader and the Chairman of the Revenue Committee. We have a Bill that's going to be heard; Senate Bill 1819 will be heard in that Committee at 4 o'clock this afternoon. What I would like to do is suspend the rule so that we can redischarge the Committee, read the Bill a second time, and then I will recommit the Bill to the Revenue Committee so that we can go ahead with the hearing this afternoon. It's just with the... we're running out of days, I would like to have it read a second time so if it comes out of that Committee this afternoon in a 'do pass' motion it will be on Third Reading tomorrow and we can pass it. It's a Bill that deals with...it's a Bill that deals with the non-resident collection or the collection of nonresident earnings outside of the State of Illinois that we've heard so much about from our grain elevators and from our livestock...such as down in East St. Louis, the Stockyards. So, now Mr. Speaker, I move first that we suspend Rule 61 (b), so that I can move to discharge the Committee and that would take 107 votes and I would ask for the 107 votes."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? The question is on the Gentleman's motion that the provisions of Rule 51 (d) be sus...66 (b)... 66 (b) be suspended to permit Senate Bill 1890 to be discharged from the Revenue Committee. On that question those...Representative Bradley...."

Bradley: "The Senate Bill is 1819."
Speaker Redmond: "1819?...Senate Bill 1819...be discharged. Rules Committee...er...the Revenue Committee be discharged. ...in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. All voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 136 'aye' and 3 'no' and the motion carries and Rule 66 (d) is suspended. Representative Bradley."

Bradley: "Now, Mr. Speaker, I move that the Committee...Revenue Committee, be discharged of Senate Bill 1819."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved that the Revenue Committee be discharged for the further consideration of Senate Bill 1819. Any objection to using the same Roll Call? Representative Greiman? Representative Greiman."

Greiman: "Would the Gentleman yield for a couple of questions? Okay. This is a Bill that we ...that deals with the method that we collect money from nonresident....people. Is that right?"

Bradley: "Let me correct you. We are attempting to collect from nonresident people. Yes."

Greiman: "Well, okay, we have a process...under...presently, in the law, that allows us to collect taxes from nonresidents. There may be some questions about it. Now, this Bill, 1819, does not provide a new remedy, that correct?"

Bradley: "1819 does not provide new revenue."

Greiman: "All 1819 does is abolish the present remedy which may not be the best remedy but it just abolishes it outright without having any new kind of process, is that right?"

Bradley: "Well, I hope you were listening when I said...we have a Committee hearing this afternoon, in Revenue, we're looking for a great deal of testimony from both the Revenue Department and those people who are having problems with the collection of it. I am not opposed to collecting the taxes, I am opposed to the regulations it seems and the difficulty that the people are having in collecting those taxes."

Greiman: "Well, something...

Bradley: "Then I think we can approve it."

Greiman: "Representative Bradley, we are...if there is a hearing going to
be taking place in Revenue, why are we discharging the Committee?"
Bradley: "I explained that to start with. We simply...what I am going to
do is have it read a second time, that's what we're going through.
Move it back and recommit it to the Revenue Committee as soon as
we accomplish this, so that it will have met the requirements of
being read three times by tomorrow. That's all I'm doing."
Greiman: "Do you think it will..it will get a Committee hearing today?"
Bradley: "That's right. Absolutely."
Greiman: "Okay."

Speaker Redmond: "Are there any objections to using the same Roll Call on...
Representative Schickman...with the exception of Representative
Schickman who desires to be recorded as 'no'? Hearing no objection,
the same Roll Call will be used and the motion prevails and
Representative Schickman will be recorded as 'no'. Representative
Bradley."
Bradley: "Now, Mr. Speaker, I move to recommit..."

Speaker Redmond: "I think we had better read the Bill first."
Bradley: "Yes."

Clerk O'Brien: ". Bill 1819, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of
the Illinois Income Tax Act, Second Reading of the Bill. No
Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"
Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. Representative Bradley."
Bradley: "Now, Mr. Speaker, I move that ...that we recommit Senate Bill
1819 to the Revenue Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? Representative Walsh.
Simple majority. Simple majority of those voting. Representative
Greiman. It's a motion to recommit."
Greiman: "Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Greiman."
Greiman: ". A point of inquiry. I'm a little hazey on this. If we
have ... If this is recommitted back to the Committee on Revenue,
and it's voted out today or by the Committee on Revenue, where
will it come back on, will it be on Second Reading at that time?"
Speaker Redmond: "It's on Third Reading, subject to recall to Second for the purpose of an Amendment."

Greiman: "Well, will any Member have the right to recall it?"

Speaker Redmond: "Yes."

Greiman: "So that if... if I have an Amendment I will be able to recall it and have that Amendment heard, is that right?"

Speaker Redmond: "Right. Representative Bradley has indicated he has no objection to that also."

Greiman: "Well, I don't want to know about no objection, I mean is that what the Parliamentarian says?"

Speaker Redmond: "Yes."

Greiman: "Okay."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Bradley."

Bradley: "If there was somebody who was really concerned, Mr. Speaker, you moved it to Third Reading. I wouldn't object if you moved it to Second Reading and left it there and we'd recommit to the Committee on Second Reading. That's fine with me."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any objection to returning it to the Order of Second Reading? Hearing no objection, it will be returned to the Order of Second Reading. Now Representative Bradley removes his...motion to recommit to the Revenue Committee. All those in favor indicate by saying 'aye', 'aye'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the motion carries. Senate Bill 1819 is recommitted to the Revenue Committee."
Speaker Redmond: "...House Bills, Third Reading. On House Bills, Third Reading appears House Bill 3422. Representative Madigan is recognized."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3422, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expense of various state agencies. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Bill was debated for a short time last week and then taken from the record at my request in order to provide an opportunity for some education as to the impact of the Bill. The Bill is housekeeping in nature. I stated that earlier and I stand by that statement without renunciation. During the last Session of the Legislature this Body, in conjunction with the Senate and with the approval of the Governor, appropriated 4.8 million dollars to the Department of Revenue for transmittal to the Metropolitan Fair and Exposition Authority which is McCormick Place. Subsequent to the adjournment of the Session representatives of the Department of Revenue rescinded an earlier opinion and they now state that that money should have more properly been appropriated directly to the authority without first being appropriated to the Department of Revenue. I have been informed today that the Department of Revenue has already deposited this money in the Metropolitan Fair and Exposition Authority Fund, however, the money cannot be spent by the Authority until there is authorization by the General Assembly. To answer a question which was raised last week and I'm sure will be raised today, this is not the Sports Stadium Bill which is currently assigned to the Rules Committee. That Bill would provide that the McCormick Place Authority could conduct sports events. This Bill does not deal with that question. This is the appropriation that will be used by McCormick Place for the continued remodeling of Donnelly Hall, which is across Lake Shore Drive to the west."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? Representative Collins."

Collins: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think what Representative Madigan has just told us and the memorandum that he distributed tells as much as by what it does not say. He correctly
states that this money should be statutorily deposited in the Metropolitan Exposition Fund because of an action taken erroneously by this Body. Now let me take you back a few years and there are only a few of us that were here in 1967 that will remember when McCormick Place burned down and because a politically powerful insurance agency in Chicago had placed inadequate insurance on McCormick Place somebody had to bail the Exposition Authority out and rebuild McCormick Place and so this Body did pass legislation allowing the diversion of cigarette tax funds to be used to re-build McCormick Place until it was completed and then that money was to revert to the state. However, those of us who knew at the time and should have known at the time realized last year that Chicago, in their greed, were not about to let go of any money that they could continue to rip off of the rest of the people of the State of Illinois. So they struck a deal where they'd keep getting at least a portion of that money, I guess ad infinitum, after giving assurances in 1967 that this money would revert to the state after the structure was rebuilt. Now that's the facts of the case. This money...This money is...This Bill gives us a chance to undo a wrong that we started twelve years ago. Furthermore, the Majority Leader has not said that this money can't be used to start the sports arena in Chicago because he very well knows that with accompanying legislation it can be used. And I say to you that this is money that is fully intentioned to be used as seed money to start the so-called 'Sports Palace' in Chicago. This type of legislation is fraught with danger as everyone in this Body knows. We know that these things are automatic losers. We know that you have to use them almost every day of the week to even hope to break even. We know that there has to be contracts with professional teams. We know that throughout this country these places have been built and have been albatrosses around the necks of the taxpayers of those states. You only have to look as far as that beautiful structure in New Orleans to realize that what started out as a 65 million dollar construction project topped out at over two hundred million dollars...a two hundred million dollar rape of the taxpayers of Louisiana. And that's what we're being asked to
inaugurate today. I stand before you and I feel that the events of the future will bear out what I have to say. This is the start of the erection of the sports arena in Chicago. And every one of us, no matter what side of the aisle we sit upon should not vote for any such legislation until we are satisfied that it will be paid for and paid for out of operation funds and not tie this thing, tie this can to the tail of the Illinois taxpayers without any testimony as to the efficacy of this project. I say reject this Bill today. We can start the consideration of a sports palace in the next Session. We can undo a wrong that the Legislature did over twelve years ago. We can strike a real blow for the taxpayers of the State of Illinois. This is dangerous legislation and I ask you to join me in rejecting it."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mann."

Mann: "Mr. Speaker, would Mr. Madigan yield to a question? ...Yield to a question, Mr. Madigan? The question is, can this money, in fact, be used as seed money for a Chicago stadium?"

Madigan: "With the passage of the Bill in the Rules Committee, yes."

Mann: "Can this money be used in its present form if some other means is used as 'start-up' money for a Chicago stadium?"

Madigan: "No."

Mann: "Second......question.........

...There is an Amendment attached herewith that says that there will be 30 thousand dollars for remodeling of the interior of the Executive Mansion in Springfield. Can you tell me what that 30 thousand dollars will be used for?"

Madigan: "No, I can't. I suggest that you ask whoever sponsored that Amendment in the Committee."

Mann: "Well, you're the Sponsor of the Bill, Mr. Madigan. I would think you would know about a 30 thousand dollar Amendment that's taking care of the Governor's little interest in this rip-off Bill. Who would know about it?"

Madigan: "I suggested the Sponsor of the Amendment. Is the name on the Amendment?"

Mann: "Can anybody explain? Is this to buy more cribs for the Governor, or kennels, or what? What's the purpose of the 30 thousand dollars?
Madigan: "Is there a name on that Amendment, Mr. Mann?"

Mann: "What's the purpose of the 30 thousand dollars? Can anybody tell me what the 30 thousand dollars is for? Are we going to use another watchtower up there or is this for the Presidential plane or... what is the 30 thousand dollars for?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan is seeking recognition."

Ryan: "Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Certainly, Representative Mann, I can attempt to answer that question, probably not to your satisfaction, but I can answer it. The 30 thousand dollars is amended - at the request of the Governor for nonbondable repairs and maintenance work at the Executive Mansion. They've got to paint it, they've got to buy some storm windows like you have to do for your house occasionally. And that's the purpose of the 30 thousand dollars."

Mann: "I would think that you would have a better answer, you know, given the mood of the taxpayers. I know that he needs storm windows...."

Ryan: "What did you expect, Representative Mann, you asked...."

Mann: "Well, 30 thousand dollars is a lot of money, I asked you to tell me what the 30 thousand dollars is for."

Ryan: "I just told you, it's to pay for the Mansion to buy some storm windows."

Mann: "No, you didn't. You must have a lot of storm windows. Do you have an itemization?"

Ryan: "No, I haven't."

Mann: "Well, then, how can you ask us."

Ryan: "Do you want it?"

Mann: "Yeah. I want an itemization. I'm not going to give them 30 thousand dollars for a new pool table."

Ryan: "Well then vote 'no', Representative."

Mann: "Well, you're just standing there asking for 30 thousand dollars."

Ryan: "Yes. If you want to take my word for it, it's to paint the Mansion. It's to make necessary repairs at the Mansion and to buy some storm windows."

Mann: "Oh! Is that..."

Ryan: "I don't want to argue with you about it. That's the direct answer I've got for you."
Mann: "Well, that's not good enough. Well, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to address myself."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Mann: "Mr. Speaker, if you think that we're in trouble with the voters over the pay raise, you vote for this Chicago phantom stadium Bill, you give 4.8 million dollars for a stadium that nobody knows where it's going to be built, how it's going to be built, who it's going to be built for; this is going to be known as the 'Teapot Dome Chicago Stadium Rip-off'. Don't make the mistake, Ladies and Gentlemen, of just putting up a blank check of 4.8 million dollars, it's not a housekeeping Bill. It's a stadium keeping Bill that nobody knows anything about, except Mr. Ogilvie, who is supposed to have rendered a report eleven months ago and Mr. Bilandic who wants to get his job operation going, who is a little short on patronage these days; and the Governor who wants his storm windows. Now everybody is getting something out of it except the taxpayers. This is 4.8 million dollars that we're being asked to vote for in the ninth hour after we're being blasted across the state for a cost of living increase. Don't do it. Don't make us look like laughing stock. Now, if there's 4.8 million dollars that ought to be spent, if there's a stadium that ought to be spent, let's do it, soberly, next Session so the taxpayers and the people of the State of Illinois know we're not doing it in the lake, to the lake or to them. I don't know what effect this is going to have on the stadium. I don't know whether Mr. Bilandic wants to build an island. I don't know whether they want to take the Chicago stadium and knock it down and make it a dome. Nobody knows where they want to put it. There's a big argument between the Park District and the administration, but all we do know is that here we have more of the summity that's causing so much cynicism among the voters; 4.8 million dollars for a phantom stadium that Mr. Madigan says is just a mere housekeeping Bill. It's a mere bagatelle of deals by people that are not accountable. We're going to get blamed for it. We're going to get blamed for the stadium that nobody can even tell us about. This is a horrible Bill...at the wrong time of the Session. It ought to be defeated badly. If you think the 40 percent pay raise is going to
cause an odor, wait until this one starts to sift through the city and the state. Please vote 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Darrow."

Darrow: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. It was less than a month ago that Representative Ryan came into my district and accused me and Representative Jacobs of carrying Chicago's water. His words at that time were that when Chicago rings a bell, Darrow and Jacobs answer it. Here we have a.... Here we have a Bill that's been amended by Representative Ryan, a deal has been cut with Madigan and Ryan, and it looks to me like Ryan is going to have to help Chicago out here. There's no question about it. Negotiations are in. This Bill is greased and it will sail out of here, but will the people back home in Kankakee and in Representative Ryan's district know that he has sold out to Chicago again? Someone ought to go in his district and point it out. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Tuerk."

Tuerk: "Mr. Speaker, would the Sponsor yield to a couple of questions?"

Speaker Redmond: "He indicates he will."

Tuerk: "As I recall earlier this year there was a Bill which you handled which appropriated X number of dollars - and I wish you would refresh my memory - for the renovation of the Donnelly Building as adjunct of McCormick Place. Is that correct?"

Madigan: "This is the same Bill."

Tuerk: "How much was that amount? What was the amount?"

Madigan: "4.8 million dollars."

Tuerk: "And that was a one-time....one-time only?"

Madigan: "That's right. It's an appropriation for this fiscal year."

Tuerk: "Well, is this part of a bigger picture for Donnelly renovation, that's the basic question? As I remember, Mike, the amount was something like 10 or 12 million dollars, supposedly, as I remember it, as a one-time only deal?"

Madigan: "The figure was 22 million dollars and this is the appropriation pursuant to that statutory authorization. That the Statute today reads, 4.8 million dollars of the cigarette tax shall be diverted to the Metropolitan Fair and Exposition Authority, each year. This
is the appropriation for that purpose."

Tuerk: "To do what, now?"

Madigan: "Remodel the Donnelly Building."

Tuerk: "Now what are all these questions floating around relative to the stadium? Now, would you answer me directly as to whether or not any portion of these funds would be used for a Chicago stadium?"

Madigan: "These particular funds, no."

Tuerk: "If the enabling legislation is passed, would these funds be used for that purpose?"

Madigan: "...Answer without intimate knowledge is 'no'. The question that you're attempting to reach is, would these monies in the future be used for that purpose given the passage by this Body and signature by the Governor...of the Bill that's currently assigned to the Rules Committee? And the answer is that that is a possibility."

Tuerk: "That is a possibility. You can't give me a definitive answer then?"

Madigan: "It's...It's been the subject of speculation in the media for several weeks."

Tuerk: "Well, I understand that, but I'm asking you as the Sponsor of the Bill. Are these funds going to be used for the renovation of Donnelly?"

Madigan: "Yes. The funds...The money contained in this Bill will be used for Donnelly."

Tuerk: "How many years are we going to be appropriating this 4 million for the renovation of Donnelly?"

Madigan: "Well, it was originally estimated to be a 22 million dollar project."

Tuerk: "It seemed to me it wasn't that high of an estimation last spring."

Madigan: "It was, 22 million dollars. There were, I believe, 2.5 million dollars in the 1977 Session of the General Assembly and then 4.8 million in the 1978 Session."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I don't mind helping the storm windows for 30 thousand dollars in the Mansion and decorating, but I think the 4 million dollars is a lot of money at this time. And the Representative from the ...from Cook County said earlier, that he feels that it's a little bit too much. It
seems to me that when we did appropriate some money for the McCormick Place, to fix up the Donnelly Building, at that time, I think it was estimated it would be in the amount of about 10 million dollars. I guess with inflation our rates are going up all the time. But I'd like to speak against the Bill because I think that Chicago is a big enough city it should be able to raise its own funds to take care of its own buildings."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Johnson."

Johnson: "I think the question of the use of the funds with respect to a sports stadium and so forth has probably been discussed better than I can. But unlike Representative Geo-Karis, notwithstanding the fact that the Governor is a member of my own party, I can't understand for the life of me how we can dedicate 30 thousand dollars to remodeling the Governor's Office. Now I understand that there's certain needs that the Governor has that are in excess of the average person. But the average home in the State of Illinois doesn't even cost 30 thousand dollars. And I think at a time when we've just gone through an election and talked about fiscal responsibility; when we passed the Thompson Proposition by 82 percent and we had a campaign run by people on both sides of the aisle, that we want to bring government spending down. We want to do something about the 'aristocradization' of government. To spend 30 thousand dollars this year on remodeling the Governor's Mansion with no more answers than that, I think, just laughs in the face of the people, and tells them they have no more judgment than to accept that then then they deserve the government they get. Now, I think, in both counts, on both the count of the primary expenditure and the 30 thousand dollars is totally unjustified. We're going to go around here and be asked to uphold vetoes for other amounts of money, but yet we can dedicate 30 thousand dollars to this. I think it's unjustified and on that alone I would ask people to vote 'no' on this... on this motion."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ewell. Representative Ewell."

Ewell: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, I think we're getting far astray in this Bill. I think first of all we've got to recognize the simple fact that this money was appropriated on page 237 of the
Governor's fiscal year 19...fiscal...19...fiscal year 79 budget. We're not asking for any new money. We're not asking you to give us money that wasn't already appropriated. We're simply saying if you read the Bill that the Department offered language which at the time they thought would make the pay-out. Since that time there's some question about it and they therefore asked us very simply to amend the language. Now we're asking for money that was in the Governor's Budget, not new money and we're only asking to pay it out. I point out to you that we cannot remain as prophets of doom, people who see nothing. Those of you who criticize this, who would light no light, create no lightness, no candies and give us no hope in the City of Chicago. The City of Chicago is a great city. All you have to do is witness some of the other cities in the United States. It is great because people like Burnham planted trees. That's why we have a Lakefront there, because there were people before who thought about it. It's great because people like Daley made plans for the city. And they have created, they have attempted to build. We have a wonderful exposition center in McCormick Place. We're attempting to now remodel one of the older Donnelly facilities which we are able to acquire at not much of a cost. What we're attempting to do here is to go on and keep the City of Chicago as a great place to live and to work. The City of Chicago provides the cultural, the educational, all of the things that the rest of the people of the state want, we have it there. We have it at a nominal cost. We have it free for most of the people. You're talking about 4.8 million dollars. May I point out that there are 3.5 million people in the City of Chicago. Over 5.5 million people in the County of Cook. All of whom will use these facilities. And what we're talking about is less than a dollar per person. Now I don't hear any talk about fiscal responsibility when we decide that we've got to subsidize every jurisdiction from downstate. If the barn burns, we take care of it. You don't tell us that there're only 50 people in the county. We still put out one hundred thousand dollars. You tell us that the river overflows to four farmer's areas and we build a new slough, a dam, a back-water, anything that you want. This is not new money. We're talking about equity.
We're talking about fairness. If you want the City of Chicago to grow, to continue to be a great city and a place that we're all proud of then you've got to have a little seed money. And who else can we turn to but the state? This is fair and exposition money. Do you know where the fair and exposition money comes from? It comes from the race tracks. Are there any race tracks downstate? Cahokia, yes. Where are the others? Fairmount, only. All of the revenue comes out of Cook County. And you're saying to us, that the revenue from Cook County does not deserve to be spent in part in Cook County, but all of it has to go back down to some other jurisdiction. Gentlemen, that's inequitable, it's unfair and I'm sure when you take the race track money and take care of all of your own fairs, all of your own pet propositions, all of the 4-H Clubs, you never stop to think of where the money came from. Well, we're trying to give you the kind of center, the kind of place that will produce more revenue and we would ask for a little help from our friends. Because...remember, Gentlemen, it's going to rain in the spring and those same streams are going to overflow, they're going to flood your lands again and you will be back because the roof of half of your county fairs will be gone and you'll be here asking us to help you with your poor county who can't afford to take care of it's own county roof, it's own grandstand and their own little ovals out in the counties. Thank you, Ladies and Gentlemen."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schoeberlein."

Schoeberlein: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved the previous question. The question is, shall the main question be put? Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the motion carries. Representative Madigan. The question is, shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Request a poll of the absentees."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Johnson."

Johnson: "If this receives four more votes, then I'd like to request a
verification of the Roll Call...the Affirmative Roll Call."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Collins. Representative J. David Jones desires to be recorded as 107....Clerk, will you poll the absentees?"

Madison. Peggy Smith Martin. McAuliffe. Meyer. (unintelligible)

Speaker Redmond: "...86 'aye', 70 'no'...Representative Younge."

Younge: "Recorded?"

Speaker Redmond: "How is Representative Younge recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Lady is recorded as not voting."

Younge: "Vote me 'yes'."

Speaker Redmond: "Record the Lady as voting 'yes'. Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, would you put this matter on Postponed Consideration?"

Speaker Redmond: "Postponed Consideration. Representative McGrew, do you want 3434 called? Jesse Madison here? Representative Ewing, do you want 3402 called? House Bills, Second Reading....2973, Representative....Would you read the Bill, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2973, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expense for the Industrial Commission. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments 1, 2 and 3 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motions with respect to the Amendments 1, 2 and 3?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Motion to table Amendments 1, 2 and 3, by Representative Abramson."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Abramson, on the motion. Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Representative Abramson has been excused today for illness and I would request that you take this out of the record."

Speaker Redmond: "Take this Bill out of the record. Representative Catania, do you want 2978 called? Out of the record. ...16.... Representative Kane or James Houlihan....Out of the record. Representative Bus Yourell, do you have a motion? I thought you had one. Representative Yourell."
Yourrell: "Well, the only...the only thing that I have on the Calendar is Senate Bill 1550 that is on...is indicated as being on Second Reading, which in reality it is on Third Reading. But I have talked to the Clerk's Office and I'll hold that until tomorrow so they can be put on the Calendar...."

Speaker Redmond: "The Calendar is in error, it should be on Third Reading. Do you want to go with it now?"

Yourrell: "Whatever."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan. 1550 shows on the Order of Senate Bills, Second Reading. It should be Third Reading. Do you have any objection to calling that one now, although the Calendar is in error? The Game Code Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1550, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Game Code, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "As my Republican counterpart is the Sponsor, it can't be all bad. No. Republican County Chairman in McLean County. It's a pleasure, Representative Ryan. ...on Third Reading. Okay. Will you read the Bill on Third Reading, Mr. Clerk. Okay. Representative Yourrell."

Yourrell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 1550 is a very simple Bill and it was passed out of the Senate with a substantial vote. It came to us in the later days of the Session and...and Representative Neff and I are Co-sponsors of the Senate Bill and what it does is increase the deer hunting permits and fees from the present five dollars to fifteen dollars. It has the complete support of the Illinois Wildlife Federation, the Illinois...Deer Hunters Association and all conservation groups in Illinois. The money will...the additional money, which will be in the amount of about a million dollars a year, will go into the game and fish fund and with that additional revenue we'll be able to increase the efficiency of the Department of Conservation in this area by hiring, I think, fifteen additional conservation officers and other things that will make deer hunting in Illinois a...better for our residents. I ask for your favorable response for a vote for Senate Bill 1550."
Speaker Redmond: "Representative Stuffle."

Stuffle: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members. Would the Sponsor yield to a couple of questions?"

Speaker Redmond: "He indicates he will."

Stuffle: "Representative Yourell, could you spell out for the Members of the House what the Department intends to do with the money that would be generated by this increase from five to fifteen dollars on the permit fees?"

Yourell: "Yes. Yes, I could do that, but I don't happen to have my file at my desk because I didn't expect this Bill to be called today. But Representative Neff has that file and he'll be glad to indicate to you what that money will be used for."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Yourell yields to Representative Neff."

Neff: "...this money will go to...will be to mechanize and modernize the permit allotment system which will take 200,000 of it; establish a study to determine the productivity of the female deer in Illinois, which they figure will cost about 60,000; establish a study to determine the fawn survival, which will cost another 40,000; and increase the Shawnee Cooperative Project Airport. Also it will place 15 more conservation officers and provide them with two-way radios which they will be able to give better service. I've talked to many sportsmen on this in our part of the country and they are all in favor of it since the money is going to improve the duck hunting for the sportsmen and make better duck - deer hunting rather - I think if you talk to any sportsman or any sportsman group you'll find they are very much in favor of this."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Stuffle."

Stuffle: "Mr. Speaker, if I could continue to question the Gentleman?"

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Stuffle: "Did you state, or did I see it elsewhere, I didn't hear completely what you said, that part of this money would go for the purchase of an airplane? If so, how much?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Neff."

Neff: "To purchase a surveillance airplane - it would run 100,000 dollars. Stuffle: "And what is the purpose of ......"
Neff: "...and that would also be to employ the aircraft pilot and maintain its crew, also....the 100,000 dollars will go."

Stuffle: "Is this a new fawn survival study or is this same sort of thing that's already been going on?"

Neff: "Well, I believe this is going to be entirely a new program. This is something they haven't been doing in the past - at least the Department tells me they haven't."

Stuffle: "Lastly, you said there would be 16 new employees hired by ... under this authority."

Neff: "I'm sorry, 15 new conservation officers hired. You see we have many others in the state right now. We have counties that have no ...conservation officer at all. And some of these counties are located where there is a lot of hunting - along the ...rivers and so forth - and this would place a conservation officer - I believe - in almost all counties."

Stuffle: "Is there any intention by the Department to attempt to use or any of this money or to use any other action within the Department to change the method of allocating the permits as they are now given? I know that many people are adverse to the method that they are now given out...on."

Neff: "To my knowledge - this hasn't been....there's nothing in here. I don't know whether my Cosponsor ...."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Yourell."

Yourell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Yes, Representative Stuffle, included in the suggestions, and I want you to understand one thing that's important, all of the things that we're talking about, the conservation officers, the airplane, the maintenance and the pilot and all of those other ...the study for the fawns and the female deer population, all of those things are subject to review by the appropriate Appropriations Committees of the House and the Senate. So that if there is some problems with that we can ...we can do what we have to do with it. But in the allocation process, included in the suggestions by the Department, is the updating of an in-house computerization system by which the allocation process will be determined. So, 'yes', to that question, has there been any
thought given or any suggestions to that? 'Yes', by the Department of Conservation."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative.....Wolf. Representative Wolf."

Wolf: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I am very happy that Representative Stuffle brought out those questions. Now, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, before you vote on this issue, I'd like to point out a couple things for you in case you weren't paying attention. This money is entirely for administration. It is not going to improve deer hunting and I might point out, this is a 200 percent increase. Not a 40 or a 60 percent increase, for example, like some salaries were increased and there was a great hue and cry about that. This is a 200 percent increase to buy an airplane, to hire some more officers, to computerize the deer permits so they can tell you faster that you can't have the county to hunt in that you want to. I'd like to point out, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, that earlier this year we passed an increase for the fishing license, but that was a different type of a situation. There would be the construction of a new cold-water fish hatchery, there would be increased stocking and other things to improve fishing conditions in the state. Now, I just want you to know, before you vote on this, that that's what it is, it's all administration. They are not going to help the deer population, they're going to count it, they are going to get some bureaucrats out there and tell you 'yeah, that's a deer out there.' Or they'll get an airplane and spot somebody without an orange hat on so they can go down and give them a 35 dollar fine like they've been doing this year, and things of that nature. I'd like to say for the record that every sports club in this state is not in favor of this because I happen to belong to a couple of them and they're not."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kent."

Kent: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Could I ask one question of Mr. Yourell? How will I answer the question of those people in my area who are unable to obtain deer permits in their own areas? When I say that it's gone up to 15 dollars, the first thing they're going to ask me is, will that mean that we will have more licenses? Will
that mean that the people in our county can hunt where they are feeding the deer? All of this, how am I going to answer that?"

Yourell: "Well, if I was in your county, the way I would answer it is if ...you would have paid attention to the suggestions made by the Department of Conservation, who is in total support of this legislation, that they're going to review and do something with the allocation processes by which the permits and the hunters have been selected in the past. They're going to use an in-house computerized selection process where everybody is given a fair shake."

Kent: "I hope its fair because the way it is now it is not. I will be voting 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Winchester."

Winchester: "Thank you...Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I live in the deer capitol of the State of Illinois, in Hardin and Polk Counties. And I'm going to be voting 'no' on this Bill only because I object to a 10 dollar increase. If it was a 5 dollar increase, I would be supporting it. But in all fairness to Representative Yourell and to other Members who are on the fence-line I would like to say that every year we are losing as many as 20 to 100 thousand deer in our deer herd population to poachers. People are coming over from the State of Kentucky, from the State of Missouri, from the State of Indiana and they're killing deer and they're dressing the deer and they're cutting the deer and they're transporting it back to those states and they're selling it. We only have about 150 conservation law enforcement officers in Conservation. They can't patrol the entire State of Illinois. The Department needs more money to hire more conservation officers to protect the deer population. This is probably the right idea, but again I have to vote 'no' because I think 10 dollars is too much of a jump. Next year if it's 5 dollars I would be supporting it. But the Department of Conservation does need that airplane that everyone seems to be concerned about because it would have special surveillance equipment on it that would help spot nighttime deer poachers...which is very important. We don't have that equipment
today. Again I want to reiterate that we're losing thousands and thousands and thousands of deer every year because of poachers.... because the Department of Conservation does not have the tools to function properly in trying to apprehend those who are breaking the law and depleting our deer hood....deer herds. So again I may sound... I'm saying on one side I'm not supporting it and then I'm saying on the other side I hope others do. But in all honesty I can't support a 10 dollar increase. I would support a 5 dollar increase, but we do need more Conservation law enforcement officers. We do need an airplane with the proper surveillance equipment and we do need a better way of ...of handling the deer permit applications. Thank you, Mr. Speaker." 

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mautino." 

Mautino: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor, Mr. Neff, yield for a question, please?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Mautino: "Mr. Neff, to the best of my recollection, the Department of Conservation uses planes right now for checking the duck population and also the flight patterns and the feeding spots for the ducks in the State of Illinois. Could this not be used for deer as well or do we need a different vehicle to do that same procedure?"

Neff: "In answer to that...those planes that they use are leased from the Department of Transportation and that means if they're going to give surveillance and check on these deer they would have to have the money to lease it. Of course I he .....this includes 100,000 dollars which wouldn't buy a plane and pay for the upkeep and the pilot and all on it. But this would be through a lease agreement, I'm sure. The planes they have now, I don't believe they own any planes, but the planes they have now are leased planes."

Mautino: "Second question, Sir. I believe that you stated in your opening remarks that this would be beneficial to the deer hunters of the State of Illinois. Within the 36th and 37th Legislative District we have some of the finest deer hunting areas in the state. I would like to have someone tell me how an increase of this fee will help the deer hunters of the State of Illinois, specifically the
37th District. Are you saying...we'll allow....there are some
state lands that we will now allow deer hunting on or....how does
this benefit the hunter?"

Neff: "Why, I think by the increase and watching the herds...and so forth,
is going to increase the deer. That's one way that the deer....we
should have more deer. That's one of the things they want to do....
you definitely want to get the deer herds increased. Another
thing, by using this plane and so forth, we'll probably get rid of
a lot of the pouchers that are going out of state...to people
coming in the state. So...I think we'll have more deer to hunt.
I....Even though one Gentleman said the club that he belongs to
isn't in favor of this, I've talked to at least half dozen different
clubs over the state and deer hunter groups and they've all
sanctioned this and thought it was the right thing...right route
to go since the money will go back into the deer fund to help the
deer population."

Mautino: "Thank you for your comment. I'm going to refer to Mr. Yourell
after I do make a statement. I find it very difficult to buy the
argument that if we watch the deer herds that's going to increase
the population. To the best of my knowledge there's only one way
that the deer population increases and it's not by watching them."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Conti."

Conti: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen, I move the previous question."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved the previous question. The
question is, shall the main question be put? Those in favor say
'aye', 'aye'; Representative....those opposed 'no'. The motion
carries. Representative Yourell."

Yourell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I
know there have been a number of inquiries relative to questions
raised by this Bill and what the Department of Conservation intends
to do with the money. I would suggest to those that have a
difficult time with certain areas of concern as to the airplane
or to the fawn population or to the monitoring of the doe population
as to the ....proliferation of the herd in certain counties that all
of these suggestions by the Department of Conservation are subject
to the appropriate Appropriation Committees of the House and that can be brought up at that time. As to the increase of the fee from 5 to 15 dollars, I would suggest to you, as one who has hunted in Illinois and all over this country for many many years, that if you increased the fee from 5 to 15 dollars and make it a substantial fee, you will in the first instance reduce the number of applicants who are not serious deer hunters and thus increase the opportunities of those who are really serious about deer hunting in Illinois; by increasing that fee from 5 to 15 dollars. This legislation has the complete support of the Illinois Wildlife Federation, the Illinois Association of Deer Hunters and most other conservation and hunting groups in the State of Illinois. I think that it's long overdue. All of this money, in addition to what will be extended from the legislation, will be placed in the Game and Fish Fund of the Department of Conservation and I suggest to you, those of you who are really in favor of increasing the deer opportunities for hunters in Illinois, to vote 'yes' on this Bill.

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Byers, for what purpose do you arise?"

Byers: "A question of the Sponsor, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "We've already closed the motion to.....Rep...I recognized Conti and he moved the question and I put the question. The question is, shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Representative Byers, to explain his vote."

Byers: "Mr. Speaker, I wanted to ask Representative Yourell why we cannot charge people from out of state to come in Illinois more to hunt deer. I know there's a lot of people that come in. I think there's a lot of people in Illinois that do not get deer permits that would like them. I would favor having this Bill amended and having...charging 25 or 30 dollars above the Illinois price to raise money and these people, most of them are probably wealthy industrialists and they'd be glad to pay that to kill deer in Illinois."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Griesheimer."

Griesheimer: "...Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, as you know, in the last four years I've worked diligently with the
Department of Conservation in all of their efforts to try to update a Department that probably dated back to the 1800's at best. There wasn't a sportsman in this state, member of the Wildlife Federation or any of the sportsmen's clubs in this state (sic) who didn't take great umbrance with the Department of Conservation because it was failing to do the job that they expected of it.

The reason the Department was failing to do the job, whether it was under a Republican or a Democratic Administration, was because we, the Members of the Legislature, had failed to properly fund it first of all and second of all we had not asked for reasonable fees from the people using the facilities to support an adequate conservation program. In Wisconsin and in Michigan where they did make the demands of the hunters and the fisher....fishermen and the various sportsmen there were actual tangible results; they became centers for hunters and fishermen throughout the United States. Whereas Illinois lagged far behind. When the Fishing License Bill and the General Hunting License Bill passed this last year we gave the Department of Conservation its first chance to advance in many many years, 20 to be exact. Now we have an opportunity to allow it to advance a little bit more and not at the expense of the taxpayers, but at the expense of the deer hunters and we urge you to understand that the deer hunters by and large endorse this program. In the latest Department of Conservation news letter it was indicated there was a harvest of 13,000 deer in the State of Illinois. This is the largest number we've had in many many years. We're starting to develop our state again. The worst thing we could do is to lay this development aside. I urge you to consider this as a positive program supported by sportsmen for sportsmen that will benefit the entire State of Illinois, not just from a conservation standpoint but also from the standpoint that as we draw people in from other states to go deer hunting in our state we're going to be bringing in tax dollars and economies throughout the State of Illinois. From Cairo to Waukegan, from Moline to Danville there'll be more people in our state using our state and maybe we'll start using those tourists facilities and other facilities that have been asked for for so many
years. I urge a 'yes' vote on this important Bill to conservation.

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I believe that Senate Bill 1550, which passed overwhelmingly in the Senate and requires 107 votes in the House, is a fair Bill. Now, I believe if you just take a look at the Bill itself it calls for an increase as far as the deer hunting permits from 5 dollars to 15 dollars. Even at that rate we'll be one of the lowest state fees in the United States for deer hunting, resident or nonresident. I think it's fair and equitable. We're talking about providing the necessary revenue for improving ...improving in the State of Illinois deer hunting. Now I didn't agree with all of the expenditures, we're talking about creating approximately a million dollars in revenue by the increase in the fees. I think that the revenue expenditure can be discussed further with the Department of Conservation in the Appropriation Bill. That's my intention. It's my intention and I discussed this in the Rules Committee of the House when the Bill was originally brought before the Rules Committee as far as its emergency nature, but it is an emergency. We just went through one three day period of deer hunting in this state and this coming weekend is the additional three day period of deer hunting. I for one strongly support this measure. I believe that the safety program that's been initiated in this state is a model throughout this nation. I for one think that it's imperative that a person do wear the proper clothing for their own self-protection. I for one personally believe that deer hunting and the true economy that it does produce, besides the sportsmanship. It's immaterial to a lot of people whether they actually bag a deer or not. It's the idea of getting...of getting some fresh air, getting together with their friends that's important. I would hope that the House would see the wisdom in this Bill, give the 107 votes that are needed. It's long overdue. I strongly encourage an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record.

On this question there's 112 'aye' and 34 'no'; and the Bill
having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. ...Senate Bills, Second Reading, appears Senate Bill 1469. Representative Brady. Brady, do you want that one? Out of the record. We just passed 1550. 1886, Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Record me as 'aye' on that last Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, you spoke for it. Record Representative Lechowicz as 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "...Bill 1886, Representative Friedrich, a Bill for an Act in relation to the nonsubstantive revision, renumbering or repeal of Sections of Acts necessitated by the Amendment, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to have those Bills held on Second. We do have to make some other changes. I was advised by the Reference Bureau this morning."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay. We'll take them out of the record."

Friedrich: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan, you seek recognition on House Joint Resolution 110?"

Ryan: "Well, not really, Mr. Speaker. But since you've called on me I'll ....House Joint Resolution #110 is the Resolution sponsored by Speaker Redmond and myself, with the blessing of the Governor and the Department of Corrections, to form a special joint Legislative Advisory Committee consisting of 16 people who are experts in the field of criminal justice and corrections. The appointments are made by the Speaker and the Minority Leader in the House and the President and the Minority Leader in the Senate. It directs .... has the Department...Director of the Department of Corrections or his designee to serve as an ex officio nonmember of the Commission. I would move for the adoption of this House Joint Resolution 110."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Very briefly, Mr. Speaker. I believe that this is probably
one of the finest Resolutions to be considered by this General Assembly. I don't have to bring to the memory of the Membership, as far as some of the unfortunate situations that happened in the penal institutions of this state just before the primary elections. Unfortunately, some of the situations are trying to be corrected even to this date. This Resolution will address itself to that critical question and come up with a possible solution to some problems that are long overdue in Corrections. Ladies and Gentlemen, I strongly recommend that you consider very seriously House Joint Resolution 110 and give your favorable vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any objection to Representative Ryan...that we suspend the rule for immediate consideration? Do I hear any objection? This is on suspension of the rule, Representative Katz. Representative Katz."

Katz: "Well...Excuse me. Mr. Speaker, it's hard to know whether I should be in favor of the suspension of the rule unless I know the scope of this. Mr. Ryan, we have a Criminal Sentencing Commission that's set up that's restudying...with the administration's participation...the criminal laws. Would you mind delimiting for me what we need this for...that isn't already being covered in the existing commission that we already had...that the Department of Corrections is intimately involved with?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Yes, Representative Katz, I think it would simply add to what you're...what you're speaking of and to help solve some of the problems. That's the reason for it. ...Help put more of a focus on the problem. Are you paying attention? ...That's my answer, Representative Katz."

Speaker Redmond: "No objection to suspension of the rule, I take it? We'll use the Attendance Roll Call. Now we go to Representative Ryan's motion for the adoption of Joint...House Joint Resolution 110. Those in favor indicate by voting 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 146 'aye' and no 'nay' and the motion carries and the Resolution is adopted. The Item Veto Motions
appearing on page four on the Calendar, appears Senate Bill 1601.
Representative Mudd is recognized."
Mudd: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I would like to renew my motion to...to suspend
Rule 44 (1-b) so that this Bill can be heard now."
Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? Representative Ryan."
Ryan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Gentleman yield?"
Speaker Redmond: "He will."
Ryan: "Representative Mudd, why do we have to do this now?"
Mudd: "I think everyone is pretty well informed as to what the Bill is
and I see no problem with waiting on it. I think everyone on
your side of the aisle surely is aware of this Bill now.
Especially after an hour or so ago and I think we can go with it.
I think everyone has an understanding of what it is."
Ryan: "Why can't it wait and go through the normal process?"
Mudd: "I think what it...I think maybe it could probabi wait, but I
don't see any reason why it should. If we can, you know, go ahead
with it now and get some of this work done."
Ryan: "I object to the motion, Mr. Speaker."
Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan objects. Representative Mudd moves
(sic) that the Rule 41 (1-b) be suspended. Is that correct, Rep-
resentative Mudd?"
Mudd: "Yes, Sir."
Speaker Redmond: "...4..(1-b) The question is on the Gentleman's
motion for the suspension of the rule. Those in favor vote
'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all
voted who wish? Representative Ryan."
Ryan: "Mr. Speaker, how many votes are required here?"
Speaker Redmond: "..89 to suspend, 107 to adopt."
Ryan: "I...if this reaches, 89 I'd request a verification."
Speaker Redmond: "...all voted who wish? ...all voted who wish? Rep-
resentative Mudd."
Mudd: "I think that we've got a good count on this. I just wanted to
have some idea who the school board should be calling before we
do call the Bill."
Speaker Redmond: "What is your pleasure, Representative Mudd? Clerk?"
Representative Ryan?

Ryan: "Does Representative Mudd have all the numbers he needs?"

Speaker Redmond: "...will take the record. On this question there is 83 'aye' and 59 'no' and the Gentleman's motion fails. Representative Mudd."

Mudd: "...tees, please."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman's requested a poll of the absentees, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "...bramson, Bennett, Boucek, Brandt, Cunningham, Daniels, Ebbesen, Epton, Ewell, Friedrich, Gaines, Getty, Hart, Hoffman, J. M. Houlihan, Emil Jones..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Emil Jones, 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Leverenz, Madison, Mann, Matejek, McAuliffe, Meyer, Molloy, Porter, Richmond, Schlickman, Simms, ... man, 'no'; Simms, Stearney, ... Duyne, and Wolf."

Speaker Redmond: "...84 to 60. ...motion fails. ...Bradley, did you have a motion? Agreed Resolutions....tative Bradley, Agreed Resolutions."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 1171, Madigan; 1172, Madigan; 1173, Kelly; 1174, Ryan; 1175, Waddell; 1176, Kelly; 1177, Kelly; 1178, Johnson-Wikoff; and 1180, Hanahan."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, Madigan's 1171 notes that Bogan High School won the football championship, city high football championship. 1172 by Madigan tells about St. Rita's championship. 1173 by Kelly tells about a 50th wedding anniversary. 1174 by Ryan celebrates another anniversary. 1175 by Waddell heralds a 35th anniversary. 1176 by ... Kelly recognizes a semi-weekly newspaper in Illinois. 1177 by Kelly denotes another 50 years of service. 1178 by Johnson signals the retirement of Mr. Everett Hedrick. 1188 (sic) by Hanahan relates the 25th anniversary of North Austin Boy's Clubs of Chicago and I move for the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? The question is on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions.
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Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye', opposed 'no'; the 'ayes' have it. The Agreed Resolutions are adopted. Representative Totten, for what purpose do you arise?

Totten: "...Speaker, I have a motion on the Calendar in regard to SJR-48."

Speaker Redmond: "Which page?"

Totten: "Page 8."

Speaker Redmond: "Page 8 of the Calendar. Under the Order of Motions,...what was the number of the motion?"

Totten: "SJR-48."

Speaker Redmond: "48?.....44?"

Totten: "44, I'd like to, but I'll let that go....48."

Speaker Redmond: "I see, it's a Senate Joint Resolution."

Totten: "Right."

Speaker Redmond: "Will you read it, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "I move to suspend...suspend provisions of Rule 41 (a) for immediate consideration of Senate Joint Resolution 48. Representative Totten."

Totten: "The motion is to suspend provisions of Rule 41 (a) for immediate consideration of SJR-48, which is an Amendment asking...which is a Resolution asking Congress....the President to balance the Federal Budget. And I'm asking for the motion to suspend the rule so that we could consider it immediately."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any...any discussion? Representative Pierce."

Pierce: "Uh...Mr. ....would the Gentleman yield to a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Pierce: "Does this Constitutional Amendment, SJR-48, now contain a ...a Senate Joint Resolution 48, now contain a call for a Federal Constitutional Convention?"

Totten: "That provision was amended out of it in the Senate."

Pierce: "Amended out in the Senate, so it's no longer in it in the House?"

Totten: "Correct."

Pierce: "And do you intend to put it back in?"

Totten: "No."
Pierce: "All right then, I'm satisfied with it."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any objection to the suspension of the rule? Hearing no objection, the Attendance Roll Call will be used.

Now, Representative Totten, on the adoption of the Senate Joint Resolution 48."

Totten: "Mr. Speaker, I would yield to Representative Vinson for the explanation on the adoption of the Resolution."

Speaker Redmond: "To whom?"

Totten: "Representative Sam Vinson."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Vinson......is that......"

Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, this Resolution, not as strong as some of us would like it in that it does not contain a provision calling for Constitutional Convention on the subject, requests that the Congress of the United States immediately begin the procedures necessary to amend the Constitution by passing the appropriate Resolution in Congress to require that the Federal Government balance its budget. For too many years those of us in the states have taken heed over inflation, over the problems that inflation causes her citizens and that inflation originates and is caused by the failure of the Congress and the President to balance the Federal Budget. This is an opportunity to encourage the Congress and the President to take those steps and to take them quickly, to balance the Federal Budget so that we can bring an end to what inflation has done to her people. I urge adoption to the Resolution."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? Representative Kane."

Kane: "Would the Gentleman yield to ....a number of questions?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Kane: "Does this Resolution require a balanced budget every year?"

Vinson: "Yes, Sir. There's a provision for emergencies wherein it can be suspended."

Kane: "What are those provisions?"

Vinson: "Requires ...The Resolution does not spell that out. It leaves it to the Congress to decide in adopting the Amendment. But it does recognize the need for emergency situations, such as, for
example; in World War II, where a balanced budget just might not be feasible."

Kane: "Would this apply, regardless of whether the economic cycle is on the up-side or the down-side?"

Vinson: "Yes, Sir."

Kane: "So we would run a balanced budget during a depression?"

Vinson: "Well, many of us, Mr. Kane, don't feel that we're going to face a depression. We feel that we're going to face a runaway inflation and that's the problem we're going to have to deal with."

Kane: "But you're asking for...I can understand why you would ask for it in times of inflation, but this Resolution calls for a balanced budget regardless of the state of economy, does it not?"

Vinson: "Yes, Sir, it does, but it does not mandate what the Federal Reserve does with the money supplied to respond to a depression situation."

Kane: "Well, isn't that necessary to tie-in?"

Vinson: "Well, as long as the Federal Reserve is an independent institution you can't do that."

Kane: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, could I address myself to the...to the Resolution? I think what this Resolution is is a very simplistic answer to a very complicated question. The Federal Budget is only a small part of what makes our economy go. If we're going to have anything at all to do and to talk about inflation we have to talk about the Federal Reserve Board International Balance of Payments. Also whether or not we are in our inflationary or recessionary cycle of the budget...we have to be looking at employment as well as the supply of money. I think that this is simply an election gimmick. It has...is of no worth at all. I think that since the election is over that we should simply resoundingly defeat this. This goes back to the kind of economics that we had under Hoover and that's what brought us to the depression of 1929. I'd urge a defeat of this ridiculous Resolution."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Byers."

Byers: "Mr. Speaker, this is another example of some of the ridiculous
Resolutions that we pass and for the Illinois General Assembly to send to the Congress of the United States a requirement to ask for a balanced budget would be the height of folly after some of the things that have transpired here recently. I'm sure that a lot of people would have a good chuckle when they received that. I think that the best thing that we should do is vote 'no' on this as we do on...as I do on most of the Resolutions. In the first place I don't know that a balanced budget would be a good thing when we still have hungry people in our country, we do not have a cure for cancer. It doesn't say where we are going to cut out the money to do what Representative Vinson wants Congress to do. I think it's far too encompassing at all for us to vote for it. Is he going to cut out bombers or is he going to cut out defense carriers or is he going to cut the Navy back or Army back. I think it's a vote against the military, it's a vote against the poor people, it's a vote against the sick people and it's a vote against the very economy of our country and I'd recommend a 'no' vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Deuster."

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Resolution is not complex at all, it's not going to foul up government down in Washington. All it is going to do is to do what we have done on so many occasions to express the support and the interests of the Members of the General Assembly to the Federal Government, for them to do exactly what we have done here at Springfield on the state level for two years. We have balanced our state budget. All of us in public life are blamed for inflation. We know the inflation is not caused here at Springfield but principally at Washington. The United States House Judiciary Committee has already hired staff. They are going to hold hearings in 1979 on the subject of amending the United States Constitution to call for a balanced budget with some reasonable limitations and exceptions. All we are going to do here by voting 'yes' for Senate Joint Resolution 48 is to encourage Congress to do what we think they are going to do already and that is to move in the
direction of a balanced budget. President Carter has said that is his objective. As I mentioned a moment ago, the Judiciary Committee is going to hold hearings, not only to have a balanced budget but to lock it into the Constitution if they can. All we are doing is expressing the interest and the support and the desire of our constituents that there be some responsibility down at Washington as there has been for two years in the State of Illinois under the leadership of Governor Thompson. With the cooperation of both sides of the aisle we have given the people a balanced budget in Illinois. It can be done in Washington and I urge every Member of this House to vote 'yes' in support of the Federal Government balancing their budget and bringing their financial house in order too. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "...Speaker said the magic word, he talked about the guys in Washington that aren't balancing the budget. Could I ask the Sponsor of this Resolution a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Skinner: "Who is the Sponsor? ...Speaker, I don't know who the Sponsor is, I'm sorry. Oh, ...the Representative from...from the center...the central part of the state. Are we on the main Resolution here?"

Vinson: "Yes, Sir."

Skinner: "Does this Resolution have a ...have an official request for a Constitutional Convention?"

Vinson: "No, Sir."

Skinner: "All we are doing is memorializing Congress to get their...to get their House into order before they start throwing bricks at the public officials in Illinois who have balanced their budget, is that correct?"

Vinson: "Yes, Sir."

Skinner: "Well, I wish...you know, I would like the opportunity to deliver this in person, to the President, and perhaps our U.S. Senator who is forming a third party and obviously won't run for re-election. I am as incensed about the ...the incredibly...the
incredibly hypocritical talk out of both sides of your mouth action on the part of the national Democrats who serve our Nation and our state as anything I have ever been. I am as incensed about that as the people of this state are as incensed about our pay raise. I surely hope everyone votes for this and perhaps we should all deliver it in a mass to the President. In fact, Representative Catania has been invited to an inflation conference in Boston...this...at the end of this week and she's not going to be able to go. Now, one is going to have to pay one's own way to get there but perhaps there's a volunteer here who would like to deliver a message to the President that perhaps he may take it and put it in an appropriate place."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Conti. Representative Conti, are you seeking recognition?"

Conti: "I move the previous question."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is, shall the main question be put? Those in favor indicate by saying 'aye', 'aye'; opposed 'no', the 'ayes' have it, the motion carries....Representative Totten. Representative Vinson, isn't he the Gentleman that committed the same crime that Representative Kempiners did?"

Vinson: "Yes, Sir."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, word has been...the word simplistic has been used in describing this Amendment. In my judgment nothing is more simplistic than a President of the United States who thinks that the way to fight inflation is to intervene in individual wage and price decisions and to distort the market and to distort everything else. And to claim that those individual wage and price decisions...that those individual wage and price decisions are the cause of inflation. They are not. The farmer that raises the price of grain when the market...with the market going up doesn't cause inflation any more than the Illinois Legislature did last week. What causes inflation is an unbalanced budget in Washington. And what this...what this Resolution seeks to do very simply is to urge the President and
Congress to begin balancing the budget in Washington so that we can bring inflation under control. I urge adoption of the Resolution."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the adoption of the Resolution. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Representative Geo-Karis to explain her vote."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, one of the highest causes for inflation is the over-cost of government. What this Resolution simply does is express that the...the Federal Congress not spend more than it takes in. I am sick and tired of deficit financing. That's what really causes inflation. And I think the...the taxpayers have given us the message and I think it's high time that we take that message and send it to our Congressmen and Senators via this Resolution and get something done. You don't run your house on deficit financing perpetually or otherwise you'd all be bankrupt. And it's high time we run this government like we run a good orderly home."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mugalian."

Mugalian: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I...I think most of us here have been very sensitive about the criticism of the pay raise. And we have been thinking about whether or not we have been earning our 26 thousand or whether we deserve our 28 thousand. And I suppose one of the best measures of that is what kind of thought processes we go through here and what kind of Resolutions - even though they'll only memorialize Congress - passes this august Body. Now if I were to describe this proposal as simplistic, that would be an understatement, or if I would describe it as naive that would be a gross understatement. To think that you would...require a mandate...a balanced federal budget every year regardless of your economic cycle, whether it's high or low, you don't have to be a 'Keynesian', you don't have to be a classical economist to know that inflation is not caused solely by whether you balance your budget or not. It has to do with many other things including the equation about price and money and goods. And voting for this only indicates that you don't have the sense or the time or the courage to think...
Speaker Redmond: "Representative Pullen."

Pullen: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I am for apple pie and motherhood. And I would say to you that everyone in this country, except Alfred Kahn, knows very well the gross overspending of the Federal Government is what causes inflation in this country. And it's time that Congress gets its house in order and that those on the Potomac who like to pronounce things to us should have a few things pronounced to them. If there's one thing we can do today in representing our constituents it is to help them cry out to the Federal Government 'Stop ripping us off. Give us a balanced budget. If you can't live within your means the way we have to you should get out.' I vote 'aye.'"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to explain my vote because some conservative groups in my area think this is the best thing since light bread. And I want to tell you, I've been here awhile and we memorialize Congress to do all kinds of things. One afternoon we spent a whole afternoon memorializing Congress and I've yet to see in all of my years here that the Congress has listened to us about anything. So I think we are wasting our time memorializing Congress on any issue. And I agree with Representative Mugalian. If they did balance the budget it would really mean nothing. You've already heard that we balanced the budget for two years in Illinois. And that has done nothing for inflation. I think if I were going to do anything to help save money of the taxpayers in Illinois I would like to see this Legislature not introduce one Resolution. Congratulatory, I don't care if it's Boy Scouts or what...you'll save a few dollars. With this you'll save nothing. Here Bus Yourell has got 20 Resolutions in his hand right now. He'd save a lot more money if he'd throw them all in the wastebasket. Here's some more. Throw them all in the wastebasket. But this Resolution does absolutely nothing. I vote 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Ewell."
Ewell: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, this represents a myopic view of economics. I think we are spending our time in an absurd manner. In the words of a Gentleman from the back row, 'we are creating a lot of sound and thunder that's signifying nothing.' I think what we do here in telling Congress what to do is ridiculous. We've been here year after year and I think this make-work project which all of us are going to go home and tell the voters what we did for them today is 'we sent Congress a Resolution'. Hurray! We've done a great day's work. I think we should have been at the Senate at home if this is all that we are going to do. This is really a case of absurdity and a total waste of the taxpayer's money and our time."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record.

On this question there's 106 'aye' and 25 'no', and the Gentleman's motion prevails. Senate Joint Resolution 48 is adopted. ....Announcements. Representative Pierce."

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, the House Revenue Committee which was scheduled for 4 p.m. will have a very important meeting with only one Bill, immediately upon adjournment, right here in the Capitol Building, Room 122.....and I want Room 118, excuse me, Room 118, on the First Floor of the Capitol Building. Representative Bradley has Senate Bill 1819. He says it's a very important emergency matter. I know there's a lot of interest in it downstate. We'll meet immediately upon adjournment downstairs. You'll be out in plenty of time for the ... Governor's Mansion...for all the shrimp and lobster and everything we're going to have at the Governor's Mansion..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Katz."

Katz: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise to.... to seek the leave of the House for the House Rules Committee to meet tomorrow, a half an hour before the Session begins. I would like leave to suspend the posting and notice requirement. I have checked with the Minority Leader and the Majority Leader. They have no objection. This is to accommodate those Members who have picked up Senate Bills, so that they can be heard tomorrow."
Speaker Redmond: "What Bill numbers do you contemplate calling? Do you have them?"

Katz: "Senate Bill 1892, Mr. Speaker, and Senate Bill 273, have been specifically brought to my attention. I don't know if any Member, however, has picked up another Bill and may come later. And so, I would really like leave simply to suspend them with regard to Senate Bills that have reached the House, to avoid the problem of some...."

Speaker Redmond: "How about all Senate Bills? How about all Senate Bills in the House?"

Katz: "Yes."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Mr. Speaker, I recall the Gentleman talking to me about one Bill only. I don't know where we get the rest of these Bills. What are you looking for, a blank check?"

Katz: "Whatever the Minority Leader would like. Senate Bill 1892 and Senate Bill 273, that's the education Bill. No, that is the Mental Health Bill. 1892 is an education Bill. Those are the only two that Members have raised with me, Mr. Ryan. If you would like to limit it to those two I have no objection."

Ryan: "Well, as I recall, Representative Katz, you only talked to me about one of these Bills and I'd like to have a minute to look."

Speaker Redmond: "Why don't we... Why don't we take this matter up in another three or four minutes."

Katz: "All right."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Yourell."

Yourell: "Just to advise the Members of the House that the Committee on Townships and.....Committee on Counties and Townships will not meet until January."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lucco, are you seeking recognition?"

Lucco: "Yes. Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I just want to make a correction. On page 9 of the Calendar, if I might, the Illinois Energy Resources Commission's tour of the General Electric facilities at Morris, is scheduled for Thursday, December 7, instead of Wednesday, December 6. Thank you."
Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madigan, earlier in the day, reminded all of the House Members who are going to sponsor Senate Bills that they should be sure and identify them...their sponsorship with the Clerk. Getting awfully close to 'der tag'. Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, a reminder to the Appropriations I Committee, in case you haven't received your notice, we are meeting at 9 a.m., in the morning, in Room 114. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Are there any other announcements? Representative Barnes. Will the Gentleman....."

Barnes: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. The same holds true for the Appropriations II meeting. We will be meeting promptly at 9 o'clock tomorrow morning."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Stanley, did I see a member of the press sitting in the chair beside you awhile ago? I just was kinda curious as to whether or not I did see that. .....Representative Katz."

Katz: "Mr. Speaker, I've been checking with the Minority Leader. The Bills that the Rules Committee would like leave to hear tomorrow, suspending the posting and notice requirements, are Senate Bill 273, Senate Bill 1880, Senate Bill 1890 and Senate Bill 1892. We seek leave to have those heard tomorrow in a meeting of the Rules Committee that will take place in the Speaker's Office a half hour before the Session begins."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any objection to the suspension of the rules. Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker, I would hope that with the addition of these...these new Bills that maybe we should meet just a little bit earlier so that we can promptly begin and end this...tomorrow's Session. Instead of making it 11:30, promptly, how about making it 11 o'clock, Harold? .....With the addition of Bills that weren't originally posted."

Katz: "Excuse me. Mr. Speaker, I believe that we could easily handle four Bills in a half an hour if we really began."

Lechowicz: ".....That's what you just read. That's fine. No objection."
Katz: "Okay."

Speaker Redmond: "Are there any objections to the motion to suspend the rule...posting rule? Hearing no objection, Representative Ryan, to...."

Ryan: "Well, did we read the Bill?"

Speaker Redmond: "He did read them."

Ryan: "He did read them? Okay."

Speaker Redmond: "Any objection? Hearing none, leave to use the Attendance Roll Call. That correct....the motion to suspend prevails. Now, Representative Katz."

Katz: "Well, Mr. Speaker, then I simply have an announcement....that the Rules Committee will be meeting tomorrow morning in the Speaker's Office. If we go in Session at noon, it would be at 11:30 a.m., promptly, in the Speaker's Office, to hear Senate Bill 1880, Senate Bill 1892, Senate Bill 273, Senate Bill 1890....one half hour before Session time, in the Speaker's Office."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker, I move that the House now stand adjourned until Wednesday, December 6, at noon."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? The question is on the motion that the House stand adjourned until noon tomorrow. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the motion carries. The House now stands adjourned until noon tomorrow."
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<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sandquist</td>
<td>SB-273 (Suspend rule)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Schlickman)</td>
<td>Asks for explanation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sandquist</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Katz</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>Madigan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ryan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td>Mudd</td>
<td>SB-1601, move to suspend rules</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Madigan</td>
<td>Whick rule?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1:50</td>
<td>Ryan</td>
<td>Re-state motion?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mudd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Request Republican Conference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page</td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Speaker</td>
<td>Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>2:55</td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Recess...2:45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>House to order</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Schuneman</td>
<td>Move to suspend (SJR 107)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Schlickman</td>
<td>Question</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Schuneman</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td>Hanahan</td>
<td>Yield?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Schuneman</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td>Sharp</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Motion prevails</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>McCourt</td>
<td>HB-3449 (Motion)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>3:05</td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Motion prevails</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>HB-3449, Second Reading, No C.A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Third Reading</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bradley</td>
<td>Suspend Rule 61 (d) SB-1819</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bradley</td>
<td>Move Revenue Committee be discharged</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Greiman</td>
<td>Yield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bradley</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Same Roll Call; Motion prevails</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>SB-1819, 2nd Reading, no C.A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Third Reading</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bradley</td>
<td>Move to re-commit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page</td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Speaker</td>
<td>Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Greiman Parliamentary inquiry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Bradley Return SB-1819 to Second Bradley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Motion carries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien HB-3422, Third Reading</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Madigan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Collins</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.</td>
<td>3:21</td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Mann Questions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Madigan Questions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ryan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Mann</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Darrow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Tuerk</td>
<td>Speaker Redmond Questions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Madigan Questions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Geo-Karis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Johnson</td>
<td>Speaker Redmond Asks ...no vote</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ewell</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DATE:** December 5, 1978
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Page</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Speaker</th>
<th>Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>22.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Moves previous question</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Schoeberlein</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Madigan</td>
<td>Poll absentees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Younge</td>
<td>'yes'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3:42</td>
<td>Madigan</td>
<td>Postponed Consideration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>SB-2973, Second Reading</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Am. #1, 2 and 3 adopted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ryan</td>
<td>TOOR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Yourell</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>SB-1550, Third Reading</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Yourell</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Stuffe }</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Yourell }</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Questions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Neff }</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Stuffe)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Neff }</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page</td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Speaker</td>
<td>Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27.</td>
<td>3:52</td>
<td>Yourell</td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Wolf</td>
<td>Kent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Yourell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Mautino</td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Winchester</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Neff</td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Conti</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Moves Previous Question</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yourell</td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Byers</td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Griesheimer</td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34.</td>
<td>4:09</td>
<td>Lechowicz</td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SB-1550 passed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Lechowicz</td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SB-1886, 2nd Reading, No C.A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Friedrich</td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hold on Second</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>TOOR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page</td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Speaker</td>
<td>Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ryan</td>
<td>HJR 110, Suspend Rule</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lechowicz</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Motion carries, Resolution adopted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Katz )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ryan )</td>
<td>SB-1601, Motion to suspend rule.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ryan )</td>
<td>Yield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Nudd )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37.</td>
<td>4:18</td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Possible verification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mudd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Motion fails</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mudd</td>
<td>Poll absentees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>Polls absentees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Motion fails</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>Agreed Resolution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Giorgi</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Resolution adopted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Totten</td>
<td>Motion SJR 48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>Reads motion.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page</td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Speaker</td>
<td>Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Totten</td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pierce</td>
<td>Yield?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Totten</td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Totten</td>
<td>Yield to Vinson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Vinson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Kane</td>
<td>Yield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vinson</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41.</td>
<td>4:30</td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Byers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Deuster</td>
<td>Oppose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Skinner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Conti</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Moves previous question</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Geo-Karis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Explain vote</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Mugalian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Pullen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page</td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Speaker</td>
<td>Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Matijevich</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>SJR 48 adopted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pierce</td>
<td>Announcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Katz</td>
<td>Leave to suspend posting requirement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ryan</td>
<td>Senate Bills 255, 273, 1880 and 1890 and 1892.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Katz</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4:43</td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Announcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Yourelli</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Announcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lucco</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Announcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Matijevich</td>
<td>Announcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Barnes</td>
<td>Announcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Katz</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lechowicz</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ryan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page</td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Speaker</td>
<td>Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Leave to use Attendance Roll Call</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Katz</td>
<td>Announcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Adjourn...Wednesday 12:00 noon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lechowicz</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>House adjourn.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>