

Speaker Redmond: "The House will come to order. Members please be in their seats. We'll be led in prayer this morning by the Reverend Krueger, the House Chaplain."

Reverend Krueger: "In the name of the Father, the Son and the Holy Ghost. Amen. O Lord, bless this House to Thy service this day. Amen. Your prayers have been requested for the repose of the souls of Dora Hoffman, the Mother-in-law of Representative Frank Giglio and Maria Conti, Mother of Representative Elmer Conti. Rest eternal, grant them O Lord ...and let light perpetual shine upon them. Let us pray. O God, whose mercies cannot be numbered, accept our prayers on behalf of the souls of Thy servants, Dora and Maria, departed. And grant them an entrance into the land of light and joy, in the fellowship of Thy Saints, may they rest in peace and may light perpetual shine upon them. Amen.

It was Edward W. Grant who said: The church is the only conscience the government has. When the church is silent the state can have no conscience. Let us pray.

Almighty God, Father of all men and Creator of all things, we ask Thy heavenly benediction upon each Member of this House of Representatives that they may be enabled to serve better the people of the State of Illinois by serving Thee alone. Provide for them the enlightenment and understanding so necessary as they consider the issues of the day; let not Thy Voice be silent, rather may It pervade all their thinking and action to the realization and effecting of Thy Kingdom; through Christ our Lord. Amen."

Speaker Redmond: "Committee Report. Consent Calendar, Second Reading, Second Day. Representative Geo-Karis, as usual, is on the floor."

Geo-Karis: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Reverend Father and Ladies and Gentlemen of this Assembly. It's my pleasure to introduce to you, a class of 48 from Big Hollow School in



Ingleside, Illinois, and I'm happy to tell you that although the school is in the 32nd District, two of the people with it are from my District, the 31st, of Lake County, Illinois, Ruth Gunn and the Principal, Glenn Gosser, Linda Shaffer, Tony Zehn, Wally Gochenauer, Bill Loomis and a class of 48 from Big Hollow School in Ingleside, Illinois, Lake County, and we welcome them here. There they are in the..."

Speaker Redmond: "What district are they from?"

Geo-Karis: "Pardon?"

Speaker Redmond: "What district are they from?"

Geo-Karis: "The students are from District 32 and half of the staff with them are from...is from District 31, which is my district."

Speaker Redmond: "Who represents them?"

Geo-Karis: "I'd like to say that Representative Reed, Representative Pierce, Representative Deuster, from District 32, have them as constitutents; and Representative Matijevich, Representative Griésheimer and I have the part of the staff as our constitutents."

Speaker Redmond: "Is that Representative Matijevich...the other Representative....over there?"

Geo-Karis: "He's here somewhere. I don't know whether the others are here yet? "

Speaker Redmond: "There he is."

Clerk O'Brien: "Consent Calendar, Second Reading, Second Day. Senate Bill 241, a Bill for an Act to amend the Insurance Code, Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 439, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation to the currency exchange, Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 443, a Bill for an Act in relation to currency exchanges, Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 446, a Bill for an Act in relation to currency exchanges, Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 448, a Bill for an Act in relation to currency exchanges, Second Reading



of the Bill. Senate Bill 449, a Bill for an Act in relation to currency exchanges, Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 517, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Insurance Code, Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 538, a Bill for an Act in relation to fire protection districts, Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 560, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation to rate of interest and other charges in connection with sales on credit and the lending of money, Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 562, a Bill for an Act to amend the Probate Act, Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 643, a Bill for an Act to amend the Highway Code, Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 663, a Bill for an Act to amend the Court Reporters Act, Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 691, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act concerning common trust funds, Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 756, a Bill for an Act to amend the Minimum Wage Law, Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 817, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act relating to currency exchanges, Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 843, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Securities Law, Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 856, a Bill for an Act to amend the Uniform Commercial Code, Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 913, a Bill for an Act concerning preferred claims for wages, Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 922, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Aeronautics Act, Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 935, a Bill for an Act to amend the State Employees Group Insurance Act, Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 936, a Bill for an Act to amend the State Employees Group Insurance Act, Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 937, a Bill for an Act to amend the State Employees Group Insurance Act, Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 938, a Bill for an Act to amend the State Employees



Group Insurance Act, Second Reading of the Bill.
Senate Bill 952, a Bill for an Act to amend the Insurance Code, Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 980, a Bill for an Act to amend the Motor Fuel Tax Law, Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 984, a Bill for an Act to amend the Workmen's Compensation Act and Workmen's Occupational Diseases Act, Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1068, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act concerning conveyances, Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1071, a Bill for an Act relating to recording or releasing of certain documents, Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1102, a Bill for an Act to amend the Insurance Code, Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1115, a Bill for an Act to amend the Common Trust Fund Act, Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1148, a Bill for an Act relating to conservation rights in real property, Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1161, a Bill for an Act relating to the right to disclaim succession law, Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1203, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act authorizing municipalities to incorporate, Second Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. Senate Bills, Second Reading. First, Senate Bill 1063. Representative Epton is recognized."

Epton: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, when this original Amendment was presented to the Committee unfortunately we failed to underline the Amendment. The Amendment is exactly as it was presented to the Committee and it's with the full approval of the Committee that I'm simply asking it....the Amendment be underlined so that it will be properly represented in the Bill. I ask approval of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1063, which in no way effects the Bill in its entirety."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Gentleman's motion for



the Amendment ofHave you read the Amendment, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1063, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Insurance Code, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1, Epton, amends Senate Bill 1063 on page four and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment #1. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye'; opposed 'no', the 'ayes' have it, the motion carried, the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading." Senate Bills, Second Reading. Senate Bill 16."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 16, Bill for an Act to amend the Chicago Regional Port District Act, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 23.."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 23, a Bill for an Act to amend the Currency Exchange Act, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 40."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 40, a Bill for an Act to amend the Condominium Property Act, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 41."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 41, a Bill for an Act to amend



the Condominium Property Act, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 42."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 42, a Bill for an Act to amend the Condominium Property Act, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 43."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 43, a Bill for an Act to amend the Condominium Property Act, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 44."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 44, a Bill for an Act to amend the Condominium Property Act, Second Reading of the Bill, No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 45."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 45, a Bill for an Act to amend the Condominium Property Act, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 46."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 46, a Bill for an Act to amend the Condominium Property Act, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 47."



Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 47, a Bill for an Act to amend the Condominium Property Act, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 48."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 48, a Bill for and Act to amend the Condominium Property Act, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 49."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 49, a Bill for an Act to amend the Condominium Property Act, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 50."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 50, a Bill for an Act to amend the Condominium Property Act, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 51."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 51, a Bill for an Act to amend the Condominium Property Act, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 53."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 53, a Bill for an Act to amend the Condominium Property Act, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."



Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 54."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 54, a Bill for an Act to amend the Condominium Property Act, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 56."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 56, a Bill for an Act to amend the Condominium Property Act, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the Floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 57."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 57, a Bill for an Act to amend the Condominium Property Act, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 58."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 58, a Bill for an Act to amend the Condominium Property Act, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the Floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 59."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 59, a Bill for an Act to amend the Condominium Property Act, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 60."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 60, a Bill for an Act to amend the Condominium Property Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"



Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 66."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 66, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Municipal Code, Second Reading of the Bill. Got a floor Amendment on this?"

Speaker Redmond: "Is there a floor Amendment on this?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1, failed in Committee. Floor Amendment #2, Sumner, amends Senate Bill 66, on page 1, line 1."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Skinner on the floor? Take this out of the record. 73."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 73, a Bill for an Act to amend the Criminal Code, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Take that out of the record. 85."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 85, a Bill for an Act to make an appropriation from the Capital Development Fund, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Would you take that one out of the record, please?"

Speaker Redmond: "Out of the record. I know one that can go, 1359. Representative Matejek."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1359, a Bill for an Act to establish the Illinois Board of Hearing Aid Dealers and Fitters, Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Floor Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions. Amendment 2 and 3 failed in Committee. Floor Amendment #4, Reilly."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Matejek."

Matejek: "I believe Representative Reilly agreed to table this Amendment, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Leave granted to table Amendment #4? Hearing



no objections, leave is granted. Amendment 4 is tabled.

Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #5, Simms, amends Senate Bill 1359, as amended and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Simms."

Simms: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #5 restores the Bill to the Senate version and exempts mail order hearing aid companies from the jurisdiction of the Act. I would move for its adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment #5. Those in favor say 'aye'; opposed 'no'; the 'ayes' have it, the motion carries, the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: Amendment #6, Geo-Karis, amends Senate Bill 1359 on page 3, line 8 and 9 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Amendment #3...."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment 6."

Geo-Karis: "I mean...Amendment #6...If you'll wait just a second, I'm getting it out. Amendment #6 amends the Senate Bill 1359 by providing that fitters and one physician licensed to practice medicine in all of its branches who specialize in otology or otolaryngology and one member from the general public representing consumer interests. And those are the members of the board. I have the consent of the Sponsor of the Bill on this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Lady's motion for the adoption of Amendment #6. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye'; opposed 'no', the 'ayes' have it and the motion carries, the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #7, Geo-Karis, amends Senate Bill 1359 on page 3 by inserting between lines 23 and



24 the following."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Amendment #7 provides, in essence, on page three, that prior to being fitted by a hearing aid dealer for a hearing aid, any individual under the age of 18 years must show proof from a physician licensed to practice medicine in all its branches, preferably an otologist or otolaryngologist, of having undergone a hearing examination which has ruled out any medically treatable problem causing hearing loss or any contraindication of hearing aid use. I move the passage of this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Is this agreed, Representative Geo-Karis? Representative Dyer."

Dyer: "May I ask the Sponsor of the Amendment a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Dyer: "Representative Geo-Karis, for what reason do you omit audiologists from your list of people who should be included in this examination?"

Geo-Karis: "Because I felt that an otologist, who's a specialist in hearing or an otolaryngologist, who's a specialist in hearing and eye and nose...and throat... would be more qualified and I felt also if the audiologist wanted a Bill they should have a separate Bill because I feel like the paramount importance here is the examination and I promised the lady that you introduced me to, that I would be sure to have an otologist on the Bill....and I did. And also that I would see to it that minors, under the age of 18, had to have a medical examination."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Lady's motion for the adoption of Amendment #7. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the motion carried and the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"



Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #8, Reilly, amends Senate Bill 1359 on page..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Reilly....Representative Reilly."

Reilly: "Mr. Speaker, I'd ask leave to table that Amendment also."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, we just won't offer Amendment 8. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 152... We better take that one out, I'm not sure....153."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 153, a Bill for an Act making appropriation to the Department of General Services, Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motions or floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions or floor Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 161."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 161, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code, Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motions or floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions or floor Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 198."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 198, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act in relation to county zoning, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 206."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 206, a Bill for an Act to amend the Vehicle Code, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."



Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 207."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 207, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act relating to mechanics' liens, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 245."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 245, a Bill for an Act to create the Illinois Hazardous Materials Transportation Act, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 286."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 286, a Bill for an Act to amend the Officers Conflict of Interest Act, Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the Floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "A motion to table Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 286, by Representative W. D. Walsh."

Speaker Redmond: "Better take that out of the record. 294."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 294, a Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Illinois Legislative Investigating Commission, Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 and 2 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motions or Amendments from the floor? Representative Houlihan."

Clerk O'Brien: "A motion to table Amendment #1 to Senate Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Out of the record. Representative Peters."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, on 294, it is my understanding that the Chairman of the Committee has agreed, in conversations with Representative Barnes, to table Amendment #2 on Senate Bill 294. Representative Matijevich."

Speaker Redmond: "I think maybe we better take this out until we can get some things straightened out. 305 out of the



record. 319."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 319, a Bill for an Act to make an appropriation to the St. Louis Metropolitan Area Airport Authority, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 327."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 327, a Bill for an Act to make an appropriation for the Department of Local Government Affairs, Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1, 2, 4 and 6 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Those Amendments aren't printed, so if we take this one out of the record..."

Speaker Redmond: "Out of the record. 330."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 330, a Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Veterans' Affairs, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1, DiPrima-McClain, amends Senate Bill 330, on page 5 by inserting between lines 9 and 10 the following."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative DiPrima."

DiPrima: "Yes, ...good Amendments, I accept them."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question is on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment #1. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye'; opposed 'no', the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #2, DiPrima-McClain, amends Senate Bill 330 on page 4, by inserting between line 6 and 7, the following, Section 2.1 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Who's the Sponsor of the Amendment?"



Clerk O'Brien: "Representative DiPrima and McClain."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative DiPrima."

DiPrima: "Good Amendment. One hundred thousand..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Mr. Speaker, I gotta have a better explanation than that."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has requested an explanation of Amendment #2."

DiPrima: "I'll discuss it with him. This comes originally from the three million dollar appropriation I passed last Session...and then they absconded it on me and Governor Thompson was kind enough to restore one hundred thousand to get my program going. It's in regard to building a nursing home up in the Great Lakes Region, near the Downey Hospital. All right. Don't worry about it. Why don't you pull this out for a minute, I have to talk to the Representative, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "You'll lose. 351."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 351, a Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Illinois Arts Council, Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 and 2 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Out of the record. 358."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 358,did you want to get 357?"

Speaker Redmond: "I didn't intend to, but..."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 358, a Bill for an Act to amend the Office of Public Defender, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 357."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 357, a Bill for an Act to amend the Juvenile Court Act, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"



Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "359."

Clerk O'Brien: "Third Reading."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 359."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 359, a Bill for an Act to amend the Juvenile Court Act, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 360."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 360, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Commission on Delinquency Prevention Act, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 371."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 371, a Bill for an Act to amend the law in relation to mechanics' liens, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 381."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 381, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 384."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 384, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 413."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 413, a Bill for an Act to amend



an Act concerning taxation of motor fuel for motor vehicles and boats. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "We have the wrong number on the board, Mr. Clerk. Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No floor Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 418."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 418, a Bill for an Act to amend the Minimum Wage Law, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 419. Representative Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "Mr. Speaker, I wasn't paying too close attention. Did you move Senate Bill 357 to Third Reading?"

Speaker Redmond: "Yes."

Leinenweber: "There's a request for a fiscal note in that Bill. I wonder if it could be brought back?"

Speaker Redmond: "Mr. Clerk, do we have the request?"

Leinenweber: "It was filed this morning. Mr. Harrison told the Clerk about it about 20 minutes ago."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Could we have this taken out of the record until Mr. Brady gets here, please?"

Speaker Redmond: "Which one are you talking about."

Houlihan: "419."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, let's get the act together. 418, that has been moved to Third Reading, is that correct, Mr. Clerk? Mr. Clerk, 418, we moved that to Third, is that correct? There are no floor Amendments? Am I right on that?"

Clerk O'Brien: "418 is moved."

Speaker Redmond: "It's Third Reading. 357. Representative Leinenweber indicates that he had filed a request for a



fiscal note. Consequently we will have to return that to the order of Second Reading and will be held there until the fiscal note is furnished. 419. Out of the record. 429. Is it 419 you want out or 429, Representative.....?"

Unknown: "Both."

Speaker Redmond: "429, out of the record. 440."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 440, a Bill for an Act to amend the Currency Exchange Act, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 445."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 445, a Bill for an Act to amend the Currency Exchange Act, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 447."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 447, a Bill for an Act to amend the Currency Exchange Act, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 466."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 466, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Insurance Code, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 467."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 467,"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Houlihan, 467 out? 477."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 477, a Bill for an Act to amend the Probate Act, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee



Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 497."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 497, a Bill for an Act to amend the Uniform Disposition of Unclaimed Property Act, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 549."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 549, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Air Carriers Act, Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motion or floor Amendment?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions or floor Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Never mind. Let it go."

Speaker Redmond: "Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Let it go."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 550."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 550, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Air Carriers Act, Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motion or floor Amendment?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions or floor Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 596. Pardon me. Second Reading on that. The fiscal note has not yet been furnished. Is that correct? Representative McPike, are you aware that a fiscal note has been requested? 550. Request has been filed. 596."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 596, a Bill for an Act to amend the Revenue Act, Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motions or floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions or floor Amendments."



Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 613."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 613, a Bill for an Act to amend the Senior Citizens and Disabled Persons Property Tax Relief Act, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "I hear noises. Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Question, Mr. Speaker. I note that you jumped over Senate Bill 600. Could you give me some idea of what your intentions are on that Bill, today?"

Speaker Redmond: "No, not today."

Ryan: "You mean, you can't give me your intentions...today... or..."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, we won't call it today, we may call it tomorrow. Request of the Sponsor."

Ryan: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "313....."

Clerk O'Brien: "613, the Bill has been read a second time, no Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 622."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 622, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Insurance Code, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 624. Representative Houlihan. Which one?"

Houlihan: "624."

Speaker Redmond: "Out of the record. 629."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 629, a Bill for an Act to amend certain Acts in relation to reduced fares for public transportation. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"



Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 635. Representative Houlihan."

Houlihan: "There's an Amendment being prepared to 629. May we have it taken out of the record?"

Speaker Redmond: "Return 629 to the order of Second Reading. Take it out of the record. 635."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 635, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Insurance Code, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 653."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 635, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act relating to criminal identification investigation, Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motions or floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions or floor Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 664."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 664, a Bill for an Act to amend the Motor Fuel Tax Law, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 666."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 666, a Bill for an Act to amend the Metropolitan Transit..."

Speaker Redmond: "Has a fiscal note been furnished on this?"

Clerk O'Brien: "A fiscal note is not furnished."

Speaker Redmond: "Take that one out. 676."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 676, a Bill for an Act to amend the Civil Administrative Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"



Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 679."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 679, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Eggs and Egg Products Act, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 682."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 682, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Insurance Code, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 686."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 686, a Bill for an Act to amend the Revenue Act, Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motions or floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions or floor Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 693. Out of the record. 695."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 695, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 705."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 705, a Bill for an Act to make an appropriation to the Comptroller for reimbursement to Cook County. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 708."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 708, a Bill for an Act to amend the Gas Revenue Tax Act, Second Reading of the Bill."



No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 720."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 720, ... Representative Mautino on the floor? Do you want 720 called now?"

Mautino: "Mr. Speaker, I have an Amendment coming up for.... I'd just like to take that one out of the record at this time."

Speaker Redmond: "Take that one out of the record. 728."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 728...."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Getty.... Out of the record. 743."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 743, a Bill for an Act to amend the Real Estate Brokers and Salesmen License Act, Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee. Floor Amendment, John?"

Speaker Redmond: "Any motion or floor Amendment?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions. Floor Amendment #2, DiPrima, amends Senate Bill 743 on page 4, line 23 and 27.."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative DiPrima."

DiPrima: "Yes, I have an Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 492. All it does is change the word 'Illinois Veterans' Commission', to 'Veterans' Affairs'. I move for its adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "Wrong Bill, I think. This is a Real Estate Broker and Salesmen License Act."

DiPrima: "Hold that off."

Speaker Redmond: "Out of the record. 779, Representative Johnson."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 779, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act concerning discriminatory solicitation or inducement regarding real estate,.."

Speaker Redmond: "Out of the record. 788."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 788, a Bill for an Act to amend the Motor Fuel Tax Law..."



Speaker Redmond: "Has a fiscal note been furnished on that?"

Clerk O'Brien: "A fiscal note has not been furnished."

Speaker Redmond: "Out of the record. 801.

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 801, a Bill for an Act in relation to marriage and divorce, Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Leinenweber, for what purpose do you rise?"

Leinenweber: "I have an Amendment, #7."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay. Is there any motion filed with respect to the Committee Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #7, Leinenweber-Schlickman, amends Senate Bill 801, on page 11, by deleting line 27 through 30 and so forth."

Leinenweber: "Mr. Speaker, Amendment #7 to House Bill 801 is ...has the agreement of the Sponsor to be put on. 801 is the revision of the marriage law. There was a provision in the Bill which provided for a particular procedure for divorce, which would have been automatic at the end of a six month period. It was the feeling of myself and Representative Schlickman, that the Sponsor, and also, the Sponsor, as I understand it, did not want this to be a no-fault divorce Bill. So it was by agreement of the Sponsor; Amendment #7 will just eliminate this one provision that provided for an automatic divorce."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Jaffe."

Jaffe: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, what Representative Leinenweber says is correct and I am in agreement with Amendment #7 and I would urge its support."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the motion for the adoption of Amendment #7. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye'";



opposed 'no'; the 'ayes' have it, the motion carries, Amendment #7 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #8, Schlickman-Leinenweber, amends Senate Bill 801 on page 41, line 8 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Jaffe."

Jaffe: "Again, Mr. Speaker, this is an Amendment by Representative Schlickman and Leinenweber and we agreed to it in Committee and I would urge its adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment #8. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye'; opposed 'no', the 'ayes' have it and the Amendment ...the motions carries and the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #9, Stearney, amends Senate Bill 801, as amended, on page 1, line 28 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Stearney."

Stearney: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #9 to Senate Bill 801 provides that in a divorce matter, where the defendant answers, he is entitled to have the right to have a jury determine the question of fault. Last Session we attached this very same Amendment to a no-fault Bill and I think it's an appropriate gesture in this type of circumstances and I according ask for a 'yes' vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Jaffe."

Jaffe: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, whereas the other two Amendments that I ...that were offered by Representative Leinenweber and Schlickman, I think, were reasonable, we accepted them even though they were major changes in the Bill. I think the following Amendments that were filed by Representative Stearney are really dilatory and really are an attempt to gut a Bill that, I think, will be acceptable to almost everyone in this House. All you have to do is look at Amendment 14, which says that you can have a marriage from year to



year so you know that Representative Stearney is not serious. As to Amendment #9, he puts in there, no jury. Anybody who is a lawyer knows that a divorce case is an equitable proceeding, that a jury is just advisory, that very seldom you ever have a jury in divorce matters. I think, what the Sponsor of this Amendment is trying to do is bring up all the bad tactics that are used by lawyers in divorce cases, to bring all sorts of acrimony so that they can pad their fees and do all sorts of things that they really should not be doing. As I indicated to you, there is no jury finding in a divorce proceeding which is mandated. It is just advisory, nobody uses it and I would urge the defeat of Amendment #9."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Stearney to close."

Stearney: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, one thing we must remember, the proponent in this measure is attempting to throw sand in your eyes and cloud the issue. He says that a jury's opinion is merely only advisory, but I hasten to remind him, and as a lawyer he should know, that even in a civil case, the judge may set aside the verdict, a judgment n.o.v., as a matter fact, even in a criminal case, the judge may set aside the verdict. So merely, in a divorce case, we shouldn't take away a right that has been part of the divorce act for many ...many years. Furthermore, let me say to him, even in a traffic case, if you are charged with speeding, if you're charged with drunken driving, you're entitled to a jury. And in this situation we're talking about an individual who may be divorced, who is going to lose his family, his children and all of his property and this gentleman over here says a jury of his peers shall not be entitled to sit and hear the matter. This is ridiculous. A jury has been part of the divorce act for many years, it should continue, even



if his Bill becomes law. I ask for a favorable Roll Call on this matter."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Gentleman's motion for adoption of Amendment #9. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 27 'aye' and 47 'no', the Gentleman's motion fails. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #10, Stearney, amends Senate Bill 801 on page 41 by deleting line 13 through 23 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Stearney."

Stearney: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Amendment says that this Act shall only apply to marriages performed after its effective date... The prior law applied to all marriages performed before the effective date. I think this is a rational measure. I think anybody whose marriage, under the present system, that ...should he become involved in a divorce, the present law should apply to that and not this Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Jaffe."

Jaffe: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I think that this Amendment just shows how ...not serious....the Sponsor of these Amendments are. You cannot possible have two different marriage laws, or two different divorce laws. You can't have divorce laws that provide for divorce for a certain date and then after that they would have another divorce law. It's a ridiculous Amendment and I would urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further discussion? The question is on the Gentleman's motion. Representative Stearney?"

Stearney: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, considering the marital property division of this Bill, which state.....that all property acquired after the date of your marriage automatically becomes one half of



the spouse and your spouse automatically gets one half I think that the Bill is in the nature of ex post facto. In other words, you're getting married now, you're married today under the present act, you know what your rights and your obligations and responsibilities are. This here Bill takes effect tomorrow and automatically everything you've acquired is gone. So I think that this Bill is amended, should be adopted. He shouldn't be afraid of adopting this one Amendment. It isn't going to gut the Bill. There's nothing wrong with it. He shouldn't be afraid of it. I ask a 'yes' vote on this particular Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment #10. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Representative Geo-Karis to explain her vote."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker, I was going to ask him a question. I didn't quite get the drift of the Amendment, that's all. I wonder if he'd....Forget it."

Members: "Roll Call."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 19 'aye' and 65 'no', and the Gentleman's motion fails. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #11, Stearney, amends Senate Bill 801, as amended, on page 21, by deleting 24 through 31 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Stearney."

Stearney: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Bill here would delete lines 24 through 31 of the Bill. The Bill provides that the court, on dividing the property of the spouses after determining that he's going to allow the divorce, that he could divide the property without regard to marital misconduct, in just proportions. Well this Amendment would say....would



remove that provision and say the court is to divide the property on equitable considerations as it is being done now. Remember, if the Bill...if this Bill were to become law, a judge may divide the property equally between the spouses, regardless if one spouse is entirely responsible for the dissolution of the marriage. I think this is inherently wrong, equity should apply. If one of the spouses is at fault for the dissolution of the marriage, that spouse should not be rewarded with getting one half of the family property automatically. I think this is a just, it's a rational, it's equitable measure. I don't think anyone can logically object to this particular Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Jaffe."

Jaffe: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I think this is possibly the worst Amendment of the bunch and they are all bad. What Representative Stearney is trying to do is trying to take children and use them as pawns or hostages or as trading positions in a divorce action. What we're trying to do in Senate Bill 801 is take children and take them out of the trading processes as they have been in cases past. I would say that this is a terrible Amendment. We don't want our children used as pawns, in trading positions in divorce cases and I would urge a 'no' vote on this particular Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Stearney."

Stearney: "Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I beg to differ with the Gentleman. Here he's talking about children. My amendment deals with property. Once again he's throwing sand in your eyes. He can't answer the argument on a logical, rational basis so he begins talking about using children being used as pawns. We're talking about marital property. This here provision hits at the heart of his Bill. This Bill is atrociously



bad. The reason being that it says your property... the marital property, all property you acquired after the date of your marriage is going to be divided equally regardless if your spouse was responsible for bringing this marriage to an end. This here Amendment would correct that malady, that deficiency in this Bill. It has nothing to do with children. It doesn't deal with the question of child custody or who's going to be awarded permanent custody of the children. It deals with the property rights; and that's essential, that's why this here Amendment should be adopted. Don't let him throw sand in your eyes."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Stuffle, for what purpose do you arise?"

Stuffle: "Mr. Speaker, would the Sponsor of the Amendment yield to a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He's already closed but go ahead."

Stuffle: "Okay. My apology. Representative Stearney, what was deleted at lines 24 through 31 on page 21? What was the language that exists now that you're trying to delete?"

Stearney: "I quoted it. 'Shall also divide the marital property without regard to marital misconduct, in just proportions considering all relative factors, including the contribution of a spouse as a homemaker, or to the family unit.'"

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment #11. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all vote who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 21 'aye', and 60 'no', and the Gentleman's motion fails. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #12, Stearney, amends Senate Bill 801 on page 21, line 24 by deleting the word 'without' and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Stearney."



Stearney: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Amendment merely deletes the word 'without' and 'just', and it inserts the word 'with'. The intent of the matter ...the Bill is to be that the court shall consider marital misconduct in making allocation of the marital property. I think that's equitable. The court should consider who was responsible for the dissolution of the marriage. I ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Jaffe."

Jaffe: "Mr. Chairman, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I rise in opposition to this Amendment too. This is the same Amendment as the other one, just reworded. All that it says is that...our Bill says that it shall also divide the marital property without regard to marital misconduct and Representative Stearney wants to put in 'with marital misconduct'. It's a bad Amendment and what it's used for again, and it's not throwing sand in your eyes, and I think Representative Stearney, as a lawyer, knows what trial techniques are and what settlement techniques are. This is the way that you use children and this is the way you use property in order to affect a settlement and this is the way you bring acrimony back into the divorce proceeding. I would urge a 'no' vote on this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Stearney to close. The question is on the Gentleman's motion for adoption of Amendment #12. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 22 'aye' and 58 'no', and the Gentleman's motion fails. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #13, Stearney, amends Senate Bill 801, as amended, on page 14 by deleting line 15 through 19, and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Stearney."



Stearney: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Amendment would restore the defenses of condonation, connivance, insanity, lapse of time, recrimination, and collusion and shall remain as affirmative defenses in a divorce action. This here Bill eliminates the existing defense of recrimination and I believe all other defenses, because it makes no mention of them. I think it's essential, if a party is being sued for divorce and the other party objects to it and answers, he's got to be able to defend. If you remove his affirmative defenses there's no possible way he can defend. Automatically he must lose. If you can't defend, you're going to be vanquished and so I ask that this reasonable Amendment be made a part of the Bill. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Jaffe."

Jaffe: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, again this is, you know, this is an Amendment which attempts to bring the acrimony back into the merit. Basically what we're trying to do in this Bill and what we're trying to do in all of the reform Bills is try to take the acrimony out of marriage, take the bitterness, take the hostility, try to preserve the family, if you can do that at all. What Representative Stearney is doing is he's trying to bring back the acrimony and he will do more to destroy the family with this Amendment than with a lot of others and I would urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? Representative Stearney."

Stearney: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the Sponsor of this Bill talked about acrimony. Well, first we have no-fault insurance, now it's no-fault divorce, next term somebody on the other side will introduce no-fault criminal law. You know we're getting to the point that nobody is responsible for anything and if you engender a dispute, an issue, you're creating acrimony. That's



what's wrong in this world, this is absurd. This Amendment should be adopted. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Gentleman's motion for adoption of Amendment 13. Those in favor say 'aye', we're voting on the Amendment, those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there'e 25 'aye' and 51 'no', and the Gentleman's motion fails. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #14, Stearney, amends Senate Bill 801 on page 18 by inserting after line 18, the following 'f' and so forth."

Stearney: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is the best Amendment that I've filed with this Bill. If we're truly interested in no-fault divorce, this here Amendment would provide that all marriages would be self-destructive after one year. By that I mean, after one year the marriage would automatically terminate if there were no children born to the parties and it could be renewed indefinitely if the parties were to go to the County Clerk and renew it for an indefinite period of time. Now remember I had this Amendment filed last Session on the no-fault Bill and I got a few votes. Hopefully by next Session I'll have this Bill adopted to the divorce Act. I think, after speaking to a great many young people, not only in Springfield but in my district, they think it's wonderful. Let me add one other observation. We have so many young people now, living in common law marriages and the reason being is that they don't want to be hassled with a divorce. Well this gives them a means to live without sinand to have that marriage automatically terminated after one year if they want to get out of it. I think this is reasonable, if we want no-fault, this is the logical extension of that thinking and I think this Amendment should be adopted."



Speaker Redmond: "Representative Jaffe."

Jaffe: "Mr. Speaker, I think this Amendment shows how serious the Sponsor was in all of these Amendments and I would urge a 'no' vote on this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Deuster."

Duester: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, they've said that the definition of an optimist is a man that goes over to the County Clerk's Office to find out when his marriage license expires. I think that Representative Stearney is providing that expiration date and every optimist ought to vote 'yes' on this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Gentleman's motion. Representative Stearney."

Stearney: "Well, I think every bachelor in this Assembly should vote for this measure. I mean this is the appropriate way to handle a very serious situation of marriage. This is appropriate, I think it's a logical extension of the thinking of no-fault. It should have been on this Bill. It should have been on Representative Greiman's Bill. I ask for a favorable Roll Call on the most important Amendment in the General Assembly this Session."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Gentleman's motion. Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, there's times when I agree with the Sponsor. But this is one time, I think, we're going from the sublime to the ridiculous."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment 14. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 23 'aye' and 71 'no', and the Gentleman's motion fails. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 821. Roll Call for attendance. No, Simms. Stearney to make an observation."



Stearney: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, ..last Session this Amendment only received 15 votes, this time it got 24, I venture to say that within maybe six years this will part of the Divorce Act. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Roll Call for attendance. 821."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 821, a Bill for an Act to amend the Civil Practice Act, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 850."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 850, a Bill for an Act to amend the Revenue Act, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 919."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 919, a Bill for an Act to amend the Insurance Code, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1, Schuneman, amends Senate Bill 919 in the House, on page 7, by deleting line 15 through 19 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mautino on the floor? Better take this one out of the record, temporarily. 967."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 967, a Bill for an Act to amend the Insurance Code, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 968."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 968, a Bill, for an Act to amend the Unified Code of Corrections, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."



Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. Representative Dyer is recognized. Representative Dyer."

Dyer: "Mr. Speaker, with your leave and the leave of Members of the House, I would like to introduce two distinguished guests from Downers Grove, Illinois, good friends of Speaker Redmond, Representative Schneider, Hudson and myself, Mr. Bob and Mrs. Tinker Harvey. They are up in they gallery."

Speaker Redmond: "981. Representative Matijevich."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 981..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Matijevich for an introduction."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, one of my favorite Representatives, Ray Christensen has his wife, Norma, up in the balcony, on this side. Norma.."

Speaker Redmond: "961." (this is what he said)

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 981, a Bill for an Act to amend the Revenue Act, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments "

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading." 1007."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1007, a Bill for an Act to amend the Unified Code of Corrections, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1012. Better take that out. 1013."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1013, a Bill for an Act to amend the Criminal Code, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"



Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 10.....Take that one out.
1025."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1025, a Bill for an Act to amend
an Act relating to inspection of medical records,
Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1027."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1027, a Bill for an Act to amend
the Medical Practices and the Medical Studies Act,
Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1037."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1037, a Bill for an Act to amend
the Wrongful Death Act, Second Reading of the Bill.
No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1043."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1043, a Bill for an Act to amend
the Code of Criminal Procedure, Second Reading of the
Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1053."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1053, a Bill for an Act to amend
the Illinois Antitrust Act, Second Reading of the Bill.
No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "...1104....Third Reading."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1104, a Bill for an Act to amend
the Illinois Insurance Code, Second Reading of the Bill."



No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1107."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1107, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Insurance Code, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1136."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1136, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1138."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1138, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1143."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1143, a Bill for an Act to amend the Unified Code of Corrections and the Code of Criminal Procedure. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor or any motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions or floor Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1208."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1208, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "1234."



Clerk O'Brien: "Third Reading."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1234."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1234, a Bill for an Act to amend the Chicago Regional Port District Act, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1236."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1236, a Bill for an Act to amend the Metropolitan Transit Authority Act, Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motion or floor Amendment?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motion or floor Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1281."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1281, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Jones. 1281...Twelve eighty-one. Is it all right to move it to Third Reading? The record shows Gene Hoffman is the Sponsor of that. 1281 back to the Order of Second Reading. 1295."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1295, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Income Tax Act, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1317."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1317, a Bill for an Act to amend the Municipal Code, the School Code..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Houlihan."

Houlihan: "We...take that out of the record, please?"

Speaker Redmond: "Out of the record. 1364. 1364."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1364, a Bill for an Act in relation



to the filing of returns by taxpayers having monthly tax liability for certain occupation and use taxes, Second Reading of the Bill. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1373."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1373, a Bill for an Act to amend the Revenue Act, Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motions or floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Motion to table Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1373, by Representative Douglas Kane."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Rigney. We're on 1373, are you aware that a motion was filed to table Amendment #1? Who's the Sponsor of the Amendment?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The motion was filed by Representative Kane."

Speaker Redmond: "Is Representative Kane on the floor? Representative Rigney, what's your pleasure?"

Rigney: "Mr. Speaker, I visited with Representative Kane, last night, in regard to his motion. He assured me that if he was not on the floor this morning, to allow that Bill to go on to Third Reading. He will not vote for the Bill on Third Reading but he does not want to hold it up for his motion."

Speaker Redmond: "If he should recant, would you return it to the order of Second Reading to give him a vote on the motion?"

Rigney: "Yes I would."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay. Third Reading. 13....Wait a minute. I understand there's a further Amendment on that?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, Rigney, amends Senate Bill 1373, on page 2, line 9 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Rigney. Dan Houlihan."

Houlihan: "I'm informed that Representative Kane is just off



the floor. If we're going to do this, instead of doing it piecemeal, perhaps if you take the Bill out of the record, at this point, and try and get it done all at one time, rather than have it go on to Third, and if he comes back with a motion to table the Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Rigney said Representative Kane wouldn't persist on his motion. Am I correct on that?"

Houlihan: "Right."

Speaker Redmond: "And he has agreed to return it back to the order of Second in the event there's any misunderstanding there."

Houlihan: "All right."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Rigney on the floor Amendment."

Rigney: "The floor Amendment merely assures that one of the sessions of the assessing institute will be held in Cook County. This was something that they were interested in and so we had the necessary Amendment drafted for them."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Houlihan."

Houlihan: "I wonder if I could ask him to expand on that explanation? I didn't quite follow what you're doing here with Amendment #2."

Rigney: "The Assessment Institute was called for in the Bill. There was interests in Cook County that at least one of these sessions be held in Cook County. So the Amendment provides that at least one session must be held in a county of over three million, namely Cook County."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment #2. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye'; opposed 'no', the 'ayes' have it. The motion carries and the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."



Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1379."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1379, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Municipal Code, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 330. Out of the record? Representative DiPrima. Representative Jones and Caldwell, will you please sit down? Representative DiPrima."

DiPrima: "Yes, Sir. Mr. Speaker, I'd like to have Senate Bill 492...."

Speaker Redmond: "How about 330....We're on 330 now."

DiPrima: "Well, we're holding that in abeyance until I clear..."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay. You want to do something with 491?"

DiPrima: "On 492, I wanted to have that recalled back to Second Reading for the purpose of an Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "The order of business is Senate Bills, Third Reading. On Senate Bills, Third Reading, appears Senate Bill 492. Representative DiPrima has requested that that be returned to the order of Second Reading. Does he have leave? Hearing no objection, leave is granted. Wait a minute now, is there any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1, DiPrima, amends Senate Bill 492, in Section 6, by deleting Veterans' Commission and inserting in lieu thereof, Department of Veterans' Affairs."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman moves the adoption of the Amendment. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye'; opposed 'no', the 'ayes' have it, the motion carries, the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. Constitutional Amendments, Second Reading. Constitutional Amendments, Second



Reading, appears House Joint Resolution Constitutional Amendment 22, for which Representative Totten is recognized."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I have Amendment #1 for that if the Clerk would read that?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Totten."

Totten: "I believe there's a floor Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Are there any Amendments from the floor on that, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "This House Joint Resolution Constitutional Amendment #22 was read a second time previously. Floor Amendment #1, Totten, amends House Joint Resolution Constitutional Amendment 22..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I don't believe that Amendment's been distributed. Would the Gentleman mind taking that out of the record? We don't have it here.

Totten: "...Distributed it yesterday. Yes it has."

Lechowicz: "We don't have it here."

Speaker Redmond: "We'll take it out temporarily. We'll get back to it. Joe Cardoni. Joe, will you see Representative Lechowicz. He says that we don't have the Amendment. How about House Joint Resolution Constitutional Amendment #29, Representative DiPrima. Is there any floor Amendment on that? Any motion on the Committee Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "House Joint Resolution Constitutional Amendment #29, this Resolution was read a second time previously. Committee Amendments 1 and 2 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motions with respect to the Committee Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Any floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No floor Amendments."



Speaker Redmond: "Do you want that moved along, Representative DiPrima?"

DiPrima: "I want to hold it on second and I want to put an Amendment on that....another Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Hold it on second. Representative Lechowicz. The Order of Concurrence. On the Order of Concurrence appears House Bill 120, Representative Greisheimer on the floor? 120. Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Yes, I wonder if you could give us a clue as to what concurrences look like this year? Are they printed on a special color paper like they have been previously?"

Speaker Redmond: "I don't know."

Skinner: "I have yet to fine one....and they may be being stuck routinely in my book. But...."

Speaker Redmond: "Blue paper."

Skinner: "Blue paper, thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Going to have the blue flu. 120, Representative Greisheimer."

Greisheimer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move that we concur with the Senate on the Senate Amendment. It merely clarifies the rule with regard to four-way intersections where the lights are not working and I'd move that we adopt...or concur with the Senate."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question is, shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 120. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there is 109 'aye' and no 'nay', and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 120. Consideration Postponed. On Consideration Postponed appears House Bill 217. Representative Pouncey."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 217, a Bill for an Act making an appropriation to the Department of Local Government Affairs, Third Reading of the Bill."



Pouncey: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 217 appropriates \$1,250,000 for a Lindblom Park. Amendments 1, 2 and 3 were placed on in Committee. One Amendment is for a park, Wrightwood Park, Columbus Park and Fernwood Park in the 28th Representative District. These parks are very much needed. They were asked for in the last Assembly...last Session of this Assembly. Any favorable support I can get this morning I'd appreciate."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is, shall this Bill pass?
Representative Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "Mr. Speaker, this is only my third term in the General Assembly...so this is only the third time that this Bill has been considered during my tenure down here. Those like Representative Walsh and others who've been here seven and eight terms have probably considered this seven or eight times. I would imagine that after...this Bill, if it does pass and after the Governor vetoes it, that it'll be back again next term. But one thing is very interesting; during my first term, it started out that the field house was to cost \$500,000 then it went up to \$600,000 and then to \$800,000 and now the Lindblom Park Field House is only \$1,250,000. But the Bill has gone even farther than that, it is now a total appropriation of \$3,500,000 of unbudgeted General Revenue funds to build something that I thought the statutes provided for the City of Chicago Park District to do. I don't see any reason in the world why we should continue to vote on this. It should be voted down again...as it as been in the past.. or killed again as it has been in the past. I think the appropriate time is right now."

Speaker Redmond: "Anyone further? Representative Pouncey to close. Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Well, Mr. Speaker, in rising to oppose this Bill



I would not only add that it provides for an appropriation of three and a half million dollars of un-budgeted General Revenue Funds, but it would also establish a precedent whereby any and all park districts within the state could come to the General Assembly to assist them with respect to capital improvements, when in fact those capital improvements would be handled at the local level either by increasing taxes or by the issuance of bonds. I think this is a bad precedent and it's a Bill that would further deplete the General Revenue Fund and deprive the state of necessary money for necessary functions and I urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Pouncey to close."

Pouncey: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would greatly appreciate your vote on House Bill 217 to construct this fieldhouse in Lindblom Park. If this Bill is concurred in by the Senate and approved by the Governor, it will provide funds for a fieldhouse that serves the children of School District 15, which contains four public elementary schools and one public high school and two Catholic elementary schools. There are some 40,000 people in this area that does not have a park in their area whatsoever. It is true, like the Representative a while ago said...it has been tried for many a year. In fact, I've been informed we've been trying to get the park since 1966. I really would appreciate your support today."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is, shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative James Taylor."

Taylor: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. This is a good concept. I happen to be a Representative in that area. There are some 40 or 50 thousand kids



that are playing in the streets, they haven't any other place to play. I would solicit your support. It's very much needed for that particular area. I think that the General Assembly is the right place to come when you have problems such as this....to get help. Thank you very much."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Peggy Smith Martin."

Martin, P. S.: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I would just like to add to what Representative Taylor and Pouncey are stating. It is true that this Bill has been introduced previously. As a matter of fact I first introduced it in the 78th General Assembly and it did pass this House overwhelmingly. We do need a park in Lindblom Park and I think this is a vehicle that we must use in order to get the park that we so badly need to serve the people of our district. Over 40 thousand people, young people, I appeal to you to please give us the necessary votes that are needed. We talk about crime every day, but yet we do not want to give the young people anything to do. So I would urge...we only need four more votes and I appeal to you for those votes. Thank you very much."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "Mr. Speaker, I wouldn't want to ask for a verification. There are Members who aren't even in the state who apparently are reaching all the way to vote for this Bill....and I would suggest that if it gets 89 that either we dump it and try it over again and not vote those who are not in the State of Illinois and then we could avoid a verification."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative James Houlihan."

Houlihan, J.: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to point out that Representative Leinenweber, although he's been here three Sessions, spoke twice on this Bill, once in debate and



once to explain his vote. I think that's improper. I urge some green lights on this Bill because it's a good Bill. It has been improved in Committee. There are other Amendments so it treats fairly, a lot of districts. I think that this Bill is innovative. Representative Martin first Sponsored it. Representative Taylor picked it up. There's a spirit of bipartisan support for this Bill and I urge a few more green lights on this Legislation."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Younge."

Younge: "I thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'm voting green on this Bill because every time I go into the City of Chicago and every time I go into other urban areas, I see large numbers of children playing in the streets because there's no parks for them to play in. I'm aware, further that many ... many children ..get into patterns of crime because there aren't any swings for them to play on. I'm aware further that many...many children don't develop proper attitudes because they don't have the proper recreational outlet and equipment. I think that we'll be saving the state taxpayers a large amount of money if we provide an urban park in the City of Chicago so that these children won't have to run into physical damage and getting ...being run over by a car or running into bad attitudes because they don't have any type of equipment to play on. I think that we will be saving the state money if we provide a quality of environment for some children who need a better environment in which to live. We have a pattern of life in the inner city in which large numbers of housing units have been planned and built and there is no recreational facilities for these young people. How in the world do we expect...how do we expect to produce the kind of young Americans that we want and that we are working for if they don't have any place to play...if they don't have any place



to develop their recreational outlet and get out their steam and so I urge your support of this very vital matter."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Meyer.....Meyer...Ted Meyer."

Meyer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'd just like to point out that page five of the Chicago Sun Times, today, says 'Kelly move costs the City of Chicago and the Chicago Park District \$70,000'. Ladies and Gentlemen, the City of Chicago and the Chicago Park District is rotten, there's no question about it. I'm ashamed of these Gentlemen down here....coming down here looking for state money when they can't maintain their own parks. Let them go back, let these Committeemen go back and put their arm on Kelly and say 'We need parks in the City of Chicago'. I urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I think Representative Meyer said it all very well. This is certainly a terrible Bill. It's three and a half million dollars of pure General Revenue money. The state can't afford it; it's that simple. If you want to be that fiscally irresponsible that's up to you. Mr. Speaker, at the proper time I want to verify this Roll....if it passes."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, it's always good to be political, I guess, but I think that if you look at it...cities the size of Chicago, they probably have the best park system in all of the country. But I really feel confident that Representative Leinenweber is going to be here a fourth term and he feels so offended that this Bill comes up all of the time, I don't think he wants to be bothered with it this next Session so let's pass it once and for all and then he won't be bothered with it when he gets



reelected: I'm for you to get reelected, Harry."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Wolf. Wolf.....J. J. Wolf."

Wolf: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I don't know how many park districts there are in the State of Illinois and I can't imagine how the state can go out and try to bail out every one...each one has their own tax base, they have taxing power, the members of the park board in Chicago are appointed by the Mayor and apparently the people in the area of this Lindblom Park and others must like the idea because they keep voting for the Democrats every time after time. You always get the kind of government you deserve so you must be happy with it. Mr. Speaker, this ought to go down and I'm happy there's going to be a verification."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Telcser."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, there are two points I'd like to make. In the first instance we do have a Chicago Park District and they ought to be the ones to set the priorities as to where parks would or would not be built. I don't think that those of us, in the city in particular, ought to take over the functions of the Chicago Park District and determine which portions of the city should get a park before any other part of the city. In a second instance, we just passed a tax increase, I believe this Session, to help the Chicago Park District raise more money. And here we are giving them more flat-out grants and I might add, grants to Chicago only. There isn't a penny in this Bill for the suburban or downstate areas. We're taking three and a half million dollars out of General Revenue, money that ought to be spent to benefit the entire state, and giving it to three or four particular parks up in the City of Chicago. I personally don't see how the Members of this House can support this Bill. I doubt that there are really 90 votes on the board. I assume someone has



asked for a verification, if not, I certainly will."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Caldwell." Caldwell."

Caldwell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I think that we ought to make, in this issue here, a point; one is that understanding comes through communications. Where this park is beingwhere Representative Pouncey hopes to have a park, is one of the very sensitive areas on the southwest side of Chicago. It's sensitive because people are moving into the area and there's really a lot of friction going on out there, has been for some time, it makes headlines in the newspapers, but I think what Representative Pouncey and before him, Representative Martin, had urged this Assembly to do, was to make it possible to put a park, which would provide fieldhouses and facilities for recreation for young people and even adult projects where people could get to know each other and live in peace and harmony. It isn't unusual for those of us in Chicago to make this type of request. We have seen here on this floor, during my decade and more, many such requests. They said, it's not in the budget, unfortunately this is not. It shouldn't be a political issue. This is an issue that adapts itself to human need and I think that our job here is to try and make life better for all of the citizens in the state. I believe that if we could see in our hearts to get the necessary votes up there, to pass this Bill, I'm certain that we would have performed a service that is badly needed and I urge my colleagues to vote 'aye' on this particular measure."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Robinson."

Robinson: "Mr. Speaker, I'm voting for this for a little different reason. I heard Representative Meyer and Representative Telcser attack the Park District in Chicago. It's because of the failures of the Park District in Chicago that I'm voting for this. You know, I believe that the



Park District has failed because, just like the rest of the Chicago machine, it too is riddled with racism that puts its services in the high income areas and leaves out the low income areas. This is an attempt to put some parks in a black, low-income community. I think it's because of the failure of the Chicago Park District that we ought to vote for this."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Davis."

Davis: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I'd rather invest this money in the parks than to invest it in prisons. The clamor down here is 'let's build some prisons, let's make more room for prisoners'. If building this park will save one young man and one young boy or girl from going to prison then the money will be well spent. Now I just voted, I heard the distinguished Gentleman over there screaming, I just voted for his Bill here, to buy books for parochial schools. I voted for it because I know the tremendous amount of money those schools have saved us, has saved the taxpayers, that's why I voted for it. The reason I'm voting for this is for the hope that some young boy will be saved from a prison term and we'll have to feed him, as taxpayers, for the rest of his life."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Very seldom do I rise to explain my vote but in this case I thought I should share this with the rest of the Members of the House, on this much needed Bill. Representative Pouncey has also agreed that if this Bill passes, that he will go out on the rotunda of the third floor and eliminate all of the yellow balloons. So I urge you to vote green so we can get this Bill out and clean the Capitol at the same time for the downstaters."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ewell."

Ewell: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen. I think you have



to have a little compassion here. Here for the first time, Representative Pouncey, Representative...the Representatives of that particular district, Martin, they are looking at a particular problem in their district. They are saying to this General Assembly, we have nowhere else to turn. We need your help. They are asking to build a park. A park for the people of the particular area. A park that will prevent delinquency. A park that will enable the children to have adequate recreational opportunity. You, of downstate, have adequate open spaces. You can look at the fields and the corn and the rivers and you can enjoy all of these things, but those in the city cannot. Now I suggest to you that we listen very intently to the pleas of the various districts. You tell us that your bridges won't carry the weight of a school bus. We build the bridge for you. You tell us your roads aren't wide enough to accomodate farm machinery. We build the particular roads for you. You tell us that you need an exposition center in order to help your community and we respond by building an exposition center. I say to you again, that remember it's going to rain every year, not just this year, but it's going to rain next year and when your lowlands flood and when you look for ...when you look for projects to relieve the floods, the rains and the wrath of the Gods, we in the General Assembly respond because it is right. I say to you that you're going to have drought periods, you're going to have trouble with the crops, you're going to have trouble with animals and diseases. We respond to all of your needs, we help you if there's an outbreak of some kind of animal flu. We, in the city, always listen, we always respond and now in an hour of need, when we sit and beg you and plead to you and ask you for a little help, \$3,000,000, you turn a deaf year. Gentlemen, I say to



you that remember, it's going to rain again next spring. Your districts are going to flood again, all those bridges, the dams, the creeks and the rivers that we've improved, that we've built for you, they're going to need a little more aid next year. This year we're asking for a small piddling amount and you sit and turn us a deaf year. Gentlemen, you cannot deny us aid when we need it, for you too, next year, will be faced with the elements of nature. I can hear Representative Skinner, over there, hollering, impatiently, but nevertheless, when it's his district he wants help. All of you want help when it comes down to your particular district then you deny us this help. Gentlemen, you were wrong and I say 'shame on you'."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mann."

Mann: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, there are many... many thoughtful persons who sit on both sides of this aisle. There are many...many thoughtful persons who refuse to have a knee-jerk reaction to a Bill simply because they rationalize their vote on a partisan basis. I think I can make that statement because I think my record in the area of bipartisanship speaks for itself. We're not talking about demolishing LaSalle Street, or Michigan Boulevard, or Highland Park, or Park Forest, we're talking about giving an opportunity to some young people who are desperately trying to hold on, desperately trying to deal with the questions of unemployment and poverty and the whole syndrome which effects young men who today find themselves victimized by a system which comes down hard on poor people. But for God's sake, there may be Jesse Owens in those groups, there may be Ralph Metcalfs in those groups, there may be Jimmy Houlihan in that group, think for a moment, try to consider the consequences of what you are doing. There are a number of people who ought to be saying to them-



selves, 'My God, a park for young people rather than a jail for young people, a park for young people rather than a detention home for young people'. These parks need help and they're not our problem they're your problem, they're the city's problem, they're the state's problem. Drop some of those automatic partisan reflexes and give the Gentleman a vote. Thank you very much."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 86 'aye' and 67 'no', the Bill having failed to receive the Constitutional Majority is hereby de....Representative Pouncey. Representative Pouncey."

Pouncey: "Mr. Speaker, I hate to delay the action of the House today but may I poll the absentees?"

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman's requested a poll of the absentees, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Hall: "Conti, Deavers, Gaines, Huskey, Dave Jones, Kane, Kornowicz, Lynn Martin, McAvoy, Polk, Sanquist, Satterthwaite, Stearney, Wall and Walsh."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Gaines."

Gaines: "How am I recorded, Mr. Speaker?"

Clerk Hall: "The Gentleman's recorded as not voting."

Gaines: "Vote me 'aye', please."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further questions? On this questionRepresentative Getty."

Getty: "Mr. Speaker, I inadvertently pressed the wrong button, would you change my 'aye' vote to 'nay'?"

Speaker Redmond: "Change the Gentleman from 'aye' to 'nay'. What is the count now, Mr. Clerk? On this question there's 86 'aye', and 68 'nay', and the Bill having failed to receive the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared lost. 108. Is that 108 or 408? 408. Representative Richmond, do you want that one called? 408? Representative Richmond, do you want 408 called? 2356, Representative Pouncey. Read the Bill."



Clerk Hall: "House Bill 2356, a Bill for an Act making an appropriation to the Capital Development Board, Third Reading of the Bill."

Pouncey: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 2356 is a companion Bill to 219. 219 was just an authorization of the Capital Development Bond Act to provide \$25,000,000 in bonding authorization specifically for the specialized living centers. This Bill appropriates \$25,000,000 per center. It is the intent of 2356 to place the bond authorization of specialized living centers into a separate section. Then it should follow that the categories which include specialized living centers presently should be adjusted accordingly. As it now stands, there's approximately \$14,000,000 in the Public Health, Mental Health, Child Care Section, for specialized living centers. This should be transferred from the section and on to the new section specifically for the living centers. I wish a favorable support on this Bill today."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question is, shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote....Representative Wolf...Pardon me. Pardon me. Pardon me. Back up. Representative Wolf."

Wolf: "I just wanted to ask one question?"

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Wolf: "Would you explain what a specialized living center is? Mr. Pouncey. Representative Pouncey."

Pouncey: "Yes, a specialized living center is an institution that is built solely for the purpose for mentally disabled people to live.They are trying to get away from the old warehousing of retarded and developmental children and aged people. The Capital Development Board set up a five hundred point plan for not-for-profit institutions to apply for these centers. The state builds the center and a turnkey operation turns it over



to a private industry and as long as they are functioning profitable..as a favor to the Department of Mental Health, and the Department of Public Health, the center exists. But when they default, it is returned back to the state and given to another agency which has been approved by the Capital Development Board."

Wolf: "Does the Department of Mental Health supervise these living centers? Who supervises them?"

Pouncey: "The Department of Mental Health has a listing of every person that is admitted in the not-for-profit agency which maintains and operates these centers."

Wolf: "No, you missed my question. Does somebody from the Department of Mental Health go out and supervise and see that these people are getting the proper care?"

Pouncey: "Well, they are supervised by the Department of Mental Health because they are the ones that are most involved."

Wolf: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Telcser."

Telcser: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, House Bill 2356 is an unbudgeted item which could cost the state, with the term of the bonds, approximately \$41,000,000 in order to retire the debt service from the issuance of these bonds. I think that, in itself, is reason enough to defeat the Gentleman's Bill. But besides that, we have already authorized \$15,000,000 for the purpose of building six centers such as the one which the Gentleman wishes to create in House Bill 2356. These centers, to the best of my knowledge are not yet even built or occupied or is this new type of concept or program functioning. For us to run headlong into additional building and construction of this same type of program, before we see just how it works, and if in fact it does work, would be the height of folly. I doubt that there's a Member of this House who doesn't sometimes wish or wonder why they had voted for a previous program after



seeing that it didn't work. This may not work. This may not be a good concept. It may not do what it is intended to do. Why rush out and build more centers? We have six centers under construction; they are going to be completed, they are going to have patients, we can see how the program works, if in fact it does. For that reason I think we ought to oppose House Bill 2356. We also ought to oppose it because it is fiscally irresponsible, could cost the state millions of dollars to retire these bonds and I once again want to remind the Members of the House, it costs money to retire bonds. We very often think that bond money doesn't cost General Revenue money, it does. House Bill 2356 ought to be defeated."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? Representative Pouncey to close."

Pouncey: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, on May 18th of last month I had the pleasure to be in our Governor's Office when he signed the money releasing for the first six of these centers. There was a public notice and a news release pending. There was some of these centers was built with federal funds and a \$2,000,000 approximate cost, was a savings to the State of Illinois. There has also been a favorable report that the one that is designated for the City of Chicago is to be built with federal funds. That is why the money was not released as hastily as it would have. But the grounds, there is one center built at the cost of \$56,000 only, which was a two and a half million dollar saving to the state. These centers are being built. There has been delays because some people do not want to help the people that needs the help the most. Thank you, Sir."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is, shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted



who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative McClain, do you seek recognition? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 83 'ayes', 55 'no', the Bill having failed to receive the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared lost. Senate Bills, Second Reading, appears Senate Bill 330."

Clerk Hall: "Senate Bill 330, the Bill has been read a second time previously. Amendment #1 was adopted. Amendment #2, DiPrima-McClain, amends Senate Bill 330 on page 4, by inserting between lines 6 and 7, the following."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative DiPrima, you got all your problems worked out with Representative Ryan?"

DiPrima: "Yes, Sir. Mr. Speaker, I've consulted with the exchequer of the funds on the other side of the aisle, George Ryan and he's given me the word to go. I would approve both Amendments....I would ask for the adoption of both Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Amendment #1 has already been adopted and the question is the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment #2. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye'; opposed 'no'; the 'ayes' have it, the motion carries, the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Hall: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. Taking of pictures is prohibited and the doorkeepers, will you please supervise the galleries a little closer. I understand that above my head they are taking pictures. I would like to call your attention to the fact that through inadvertence the Committee schedule for today was not printed on the Calendar, but it has been distributed, on separate sheets. I'd also like to call your attention to the fact that although the Calendar only shows about 300 Bills, there are, lurking in the wings, about 330 more Bills that are



about ready to descend upon us either today, tomorrow or the next day, so we shouldn't be misled. We have to still handle something in excess of 600 Bills. I don't know where those other 300 are but I suppose we'll find them awful soon. We'll go to Senate Bills...Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. In the Speakers Gallery we have with us today 35 members of the Illinois Association of Realtors. All from Representative Matijevich's District. They are right up here above us, Mr. Speaker. In the Speaker's Balcony."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Matijevich for an introduction."

Ryan: "My error, Mr. Speaker, they are from all over the state."

Speaker Redmond: "Senate Bills, Third Reading. On priority of call. Senate Bills, Third Reading, 0352...352. W. D. Walsh. Out of the record. 420."

Clerk Hall: "Senate Bill 420, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the School Code, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Gene Hoffman. Out of the Record. 421, Representative Marovitz. 421. Out of the record. 473, Peggy Smith Martin. 473, I hear a voice but I don't see her. Oh! That's because Representative Dawson is standing in the way."

Clerk Hall: "Senate Bill 473, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Insurance Code, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Peggy Smith Martin."

Martin, P. S.: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 473 amends provisions to the existing regulations that governs insurance companies and the cancellations of certain types of insurance policies. It amends the Illinois Insurance Code in regard to cancellation of automobile or fire on



extended coverage policies of insurance. Number one, it provides that all notices of cancellation of a policy of automobile or fire coverage must be mailed by the insurer within 30 days prior to the effective date of cancellation. Number two, it provides that all such notices from the insurer must specify, in writing, the reason or reasons for cancellation of a policy of automobile or fire and extended coverage. Number three, it further provides that no policy of automobile or fire and extended coverage may be cancelled where the sole reason or reasons for cancellation are restitution made by the insurance company for claim or claims against such policies. I solicit an 'aye' vote on Senate Bill 473."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Would the Sponsor yield?"

Martin, P.S.: "I will."

Speaker Redmond: "She will."

Schlickman: "Do you mean to say that by your Bill...if the insured had had three accidents in one year for which claims had to be paid, the insurance company could not cancel the policy?"

Martin, P.S.: "Without notification to the insured."

Schlickman: "Don't you provide, in the Bill, that regardless of notification, putting notification aside, your Bill expressly states that no insurance company can cancel a policy because of a claim or claims paid out against the policy."

Martin, P.S.: "That is correct. And if you'll read the Amendment it so states that you have to get a notice in order to be....before they can cancel you out."

Schlickman: "Well, that's the law now."

Martin, P.S.: "Not necessarily. Many companies do not give notice of cancellation."



Schlickman: "Well, you are doing two things in this Bill. Number one, you're revising the notice provision, that's number one. Number two, putting aside the notice provision, you are saying that no insurance company can cancel a policy on account of a claim or claims paid out on account of the insured's action... period. That's an absolute statement."

Martin, P.S.: "May I restate what I am doing by this Amendment? Number one, it states that a notice must be sent to the insured thirty days prior to the effective date of cancellation of insurance. Number two, it must state reason or reasons for the cancellation. And number three, that where there is such coverage, cancellation cannot be the sole reason or reasons for cancellation or restitution made by the insurance company for a claim or claims against the policy."

Schlickman: "May I speak to the Bill, Mr. Speaker? May I speak to the Bill, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, Senate Bill 473 clearly states that no policy of insurance may be cancelled where the sole basis of such cancellation is the payment, by the insurance company, of a claim or claims against such policy. Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I respectfully suggest that that is a gross restriction on the operation of insurance companies in this state. Certainly, if an insured has been involved in a multiplicity of accidents within a given period of time, resulting conceivably in hundreds of thousands of dollars of claims to be paid out by an insurance company, that insurance company should have the right to cancel the policy. We can't impose upon the insurance companies of this state, the obligation to pay out unlimited claims against insureds. Respectfully suggest that this is a bad Bill and that it ought to be defeated."



Speaker Redmond: "Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, I think the previous speaker is trying to cloud the issue. What's happening here is insurance companies are capriciously dropping people, not notifying them, and some of these people are under the Safety Responsibility Act and if they are apprehended by a police officer they end up seven days in jail because of the capriciousness of an insurance company and their rates are further increased. This is a good Bill. This demands that the insurance companies give notice before they drop you. It's a very good Bill and it will prevent some citizen from being in jail."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Epton."

Epton: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. It's unfortunate that the previous speaker would thoroughly cloud a rather simple issue. He could have answered my colleague by simply stating that as he read the Bill he was somewhat in error. The insurance companies do not capriciously cancel companies because of payment. Insurance companies do not rip off the insurer. The sooner my colleague across the aisle sticks to the facts, the sooner we will be able to place the blame where it rightfully belongs, on those insurance companies which are in error. Now this Bill clearly states that where payment of claims are made an insurance company cannot cancel, simply by virtue of those payments. It does not state that an insurance company can not cancel. Take a very simple situation, where you have your car parked in front of your house, an insurance company ...you have an accident, an uninsured motorist perhaps, you have a thousand dollars damage, and the claim is paid. Two days later you are hit again and the claim is paid. In the past, and today, an insurance company may, by virtue of that, simply say, 'Well we've already paid two thousand dollars, we don't think we



want to stay on this any longer.' This does prevent them from getting off of the policy. On the other hand, where you have a situation where an insurance company covers a building and there's a hundred thousand dollar loss, they pay one hundred thousand dollars and now you have a shell of a building, you have what they call an increase in hazard, a very definite increase. The insurance company has a perfect right to cancel out that company despite the payment. So all Representative Martin is doing is simply saying, because you made a mistake in initially insuring the individual, don't blame the individual because you are forced to pay. You don't have to renew, but this does not prevent your right to cancel the insurance for any reasons other than merely payment of claims. I respectfully submit that this will not hurt the insurance industry, as a matter of fact it might get some of their heads out of the sand and help us all. I urge a vote in favor of this Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? Representative Reed."

Reed: "A question of the Sponsor, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Reed: "If I understand the Bill correctly, we're talking about continuing to insure those who are considered bad risks by the number of claims they have filed. Representative Martin, do you have any idea as to the cost, the increased cost to those who are not poor risks, by accomodating those who are?"

Martin, P.S.: "No, I do not have any such figure. However, I am not asking that they continue to cover those claims that they have had extreme amount of loss on. What I am saying is that the person should be notified, by mail, that they are going to be cancelled and their reasons for the cancellation. Be it a fact that they have had three or more claims or whatever the case may be, I still think a person should be notified."



Reed: "Then you are talking specifically about the 30 day notice and not the conditions of cancellation?"

Martin, P.S.: "They are the reasons for the cancellation, certainly."

Reed: "And yet you have no idea of the cost that will be sent on to the other consumers?"

Martin, P.S.: "No, I don't know if there will be any cost to the other consumer, especially if they are cancelled out."

Reed: "All right. Thank you."

Martin, P.S.: "You are welcome."

Speaker Redmond: "Are you ready for the question? The question is, shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker, in explaining my vote, I rise to support this Bill because I have had much experience with insurance companies where people do not cause an accident and yet they get cancelled out, very surreptitiously and summarily. I think this is a very good Bill. I think it protects the people who pay their insurance premiums and I think it's high time that the insurance companies clean up their dead rot and protect their people that they get their premiums from, to protect."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 121 'aye' and 15 'no', and the Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. 694. Representative Geo-Karis did not convince herself. She asks leave now to be recorded as voting 'aye'. 694. Representative McAuliffe. McAuliffe."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 694, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Vehicle Code..."

Speaker Redmond: "Out of the record. We're going priority of call. 694, out of the record. 11."



Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 11, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act to revise the law in relation to Counties, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McMaster."

McMaster: "Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 11 is a Bill that would allow counties to adopt a schedule of fees sufficient to reimburse them for the cost that they incur in reviewing maps, plats and subdivisions submitted to the county for approval. It removes prior limitations on fees charged. It is costing the counties more to perform these functions than they are receiving under the current rate and I think for their benefit and for the benefit of a good operation of the county that this Bill should pass. I urge your support."

Speaker Redmond: "Anyone stand in opposition? The question is, shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 133 'aye' and no 'nay', and the Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. 24."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 24, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Security Laws, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 24 exempts from the Securities Act certain sales where the sole and specific purpose of the Illinois Corporation is redevelopment of a blighted portion of any town or village or municipality under a population of 50,000. The entire assets must be located within the municipality itself. Some of the basics about the Bill is that itthere's no commission or compensation direct or indirectly be paid for the sale. The aggregate amount of any security owned, whether it's by record or beneficially, it cannot be greater than \$5,000 or four percent of the capitalization, whichever is less."



The population of the towns ...indicated applies to 50,000 or less and Ithe thrust of the legislation is very specific in that we all know that some of our towns have been suffering from decayed and run-down conditions, more noticeable in the central business areas. What this does is allow people, local people toand by the way they also...in order to participate in this they have to have been legal residents within the municipality for at least three years, and allows them, without having to go to Washington, to set up their own corporation locally and with their own moneydo what they want to do to their business area. I might say that this has come out of the Senate Committee and from the Senate and out of the House without one dissenting vote. I'd be glad to respond to any questions. I'd appreciate an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Anyone stand in opposition? The question is shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 137 'aye' and no 'nay', and the Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. 27."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 27, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the School Code, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Martin. Lynn Martin, on 27."

Martin, Lynn: "Out of the record, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Senate Bill 27. If you want to vote on 27 you have to go over to the Senate. Out of the record? 32. Representative Laurino."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 32, ..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Laurino on the floor? Out of the record. I've been advised that there's somewhat of an emergency, with respect to Senate Bill 61. It has to do with holding up the confirmation of a Governor's appointment. Do we have leave to go out of order to



to Senate Bill 61? Hearing no objections, leave is granted. 61, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 61, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Civil Administrative Code, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Gaines."

Gaines: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Bill merely changes the language of the requirement for the Director of Public Aid, to substantial, rather than the long list of qualification, including a ten year experience in Public Aid. We have a very young Director who has had a lot of good experience but due to his youthfulness he doesn't have ten years experience in Public Aid. So, therefore, I am asking that this Bill be passed so that he may be qualified for confirmation. If there are any questions I'd be happy to answer them."

Speaker Redmond: "Anyone stand in opposition? The question is, shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 153 'aye', and no 'nay' and the Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. 38. Representative Marovitz."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 38, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act to require the payment of interest by lessors of residential real property on security deposits, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Laurino.....Marovitz rather."

Marovitz: "We all look alike, Mr. Speaker. Thank you very much. This Bill ..."

Speaker Redmond: "Objections have been raised. Go ahead."

Marovitz: "Senate Bill 38 is a Bill which was originally sponsored in the Senate by Senator Merlo, from my district. It would make, statewide, a policy that is



presently instituted in Chicago. That being the payment of five percent interest on security deposits.... security deposits for apartment buildings with 25 units or more. It has worked very successfully in the City of Chicago and we feel and Senator Merlo feels that the advantages of this particular legislation should be applicable to renters statewide. I would ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I arise to wholeheartedly support passage of this Bill and urge an 'aye' vote. It's a Bill that is eminently fair because it would extend to all lessees within the state, tenants, and not those in the City of Chicago, the right the absolute right that they have to receive interest on their security deposit. Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, these security deposits are moneys that belong to tenants and when the landlord gets that deposit he is acting as a fiduciary, holding the tenants money until the expiration of the lease. Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, as a matter of equity, as a matter of justice, the interest that tenants in Chicago are now receiving, on the security deposits, should also be provided the tenants in suburban Cook, in metropolitan Chicago and downstate. I urge, sincerely and respectfully and earnestly an 'aye' vote on Senate Bill 38."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "Mr. Speaker, several years ago when the deposit Bill was first put in, it was sold to the Members of the General Assembly on the basis ...for those anyway from downstate, on the basis that it'll only effect Chicago. Now, of course, it's coming back now, it's one of the cases where you get the foot in the door and now it's coming downstate. Contrary to what the preceding speaker just said, the landlord who holds the



deposit is not a fiduciary. He holds the deposit pursuant to an agreement that he has with the tenant, called a lease. The tenant and the landlord have the right, or should have the right, to set their own legal relationship in terms of the rental agreement. All 'mandatory deposit' is going to mean is that the landlord has to do an extensive amount of bookkeeping, he has to make a yearly payment, no matter how big the deposit is. What you're going to do is you're going to find the deposits are going to be made bigger, the rents are going to go up in order to offset the increase in expenses to the landlord. This is, what we might call, a typical quote 'consumer's Bill' because it hits the consumer where it hurts, in the pocketbook. It does not eliminate discrimination, it only changes the discriminations from people who live in large apartments in Chicago and those people who live in large apartments downstate. It still maintains full discrimination between those who live in less than 25 units and those who live in 25 or more. It's a bad idea for Chicago, a worse idea for downstate and I would urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Telcser."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I rise to support Senate Bill 38. I think that everyone recognizes that a security....a tenth of a security deposit which a landlord holds, is to assure the property owner that when the tenant vacates the property, the tenant leaves the apartment in the same condition as it was when the tenant first rented the apartment. That is the only intent of a security deposit. For that reason, the intent of a security deposit is not injured in any way with enactment of Senate Bill 38. If I'm the tenant and I give my landlord security deposit to insure thethat the apartment will be kept in a reasonable order,



I think I'm entitled to that five percent interest, that's normal, average, everyday bank interest. I don't think that the landlord necessarily wants to use my money...in a fashion which could hurt my getting that five percent interest. Senate Bill 38, as it now pertains to the City of Chicago, is an excellent Bill. It's one which helps thousands upon thousands of renters and tenants and it doesn't hurt the landlord. People who own apartment buildings with 25 units or more are generally people who ...who are bigger operators or who have the capability of tracking the security deposit and remitting it to the tenant on an annual basis. Let me assure you, my friend, that this is not a hardship in terms of administration. It's easy to handle for the landlord. It preserves the intent of the security deposit ...it still protects the landlord in that he or she gets the security deposit...and it still allows the tenant to receive the same bank interest which he or she would receive with the money on deposit in an average savings account anywhere in the state. Senate Bill 38 is an eminently fair Bill, it doesn't harm anyone ..really. It helps a large segment of our population and I sincerely and earnestly urge an 'aye' vote on Senate Bill 38."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Porter."

Porter: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think Representative Telcser is probably correct. This is fair, but the problem is that we have an Internal Revenue Code that is long and complicated because we try to be fair on every little thing. We have Statutes in Illinois now that are so large that nobody can understand them anymore because we try to adjust the equities down to the smallest last period in the dotting of an 'i' and the crossing of a 't'. It seems to me that the state involves itself in this and all there is in it is a few dollars for a few tenants in a few buildings. It really



makes no sense for us to take an idea, a concept of fairness and carry it down to this low level, it's de minimus, it simply doesn't make any sense for us to spend all of our time and have the lessors spend all of their time and money administering an act that provides a few dollars to a few people, once a year. Let's concern ourselves with major issues. Let's concern ourselves with issues of great public policy. Let's not bother with something like this. I urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Ralph Dunn."

Dunn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I wonder if the Sponsor would yield for a question? Would the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Dunn: "I understand this is for apartment units of 25 units or more, but is there any dollar minimum amount or maximum amount that you would have to pay security deposits on? Suppose you collect ten dollars security deposit, would you have to pay fifty cents at the end of a year?"

Marovitz: "If that, in fact, is the security deposit, that is corrected by an owner of a building over twenty-five units, then that would be the interest you'd pay. I don't know of any cases where a ten dollar security deposit is taken."

Dunn: "Would you believe twenty dollars? I happen to have a personal interest in the Bill, I might say, Representative Marovitz, I have some apartments in Carbondale and we charge a twenty dollar deposit to renter, tenants or residents who are mainly students. Sometimes they live there for two or three years...we get a twenty dollar student deposit, believe it or not, I know that this isn't meant to address itself to that. When Representative Merlo was in the House we had a ...I believe ... a fifty dollar or a hundred dollar minimum, if you collect



that much deposit, then you would have to pay rent on it. I have no objections to the idea, but I'm thinking about the bookkeeping involved in my own operation, for instance, where I collect twenty dollars from a student, so for every year I would have to figure and send him a dollar, if he paid nine ninths, I would owe him seventy-five cents. I suppose that's the way it would work. Is that right?"

Marovitz: "That's correct."

Dunn: "Would you have any objections if we would amend this down to one hundred dollars? I'm sure you don't want to do that, probably, but if it's a hundred dollar deposit, I think it's probably a good idea, but if it's a ten or twenty dollar deposit, I think it's an aggravation. I certainly would not support it and hope that some of the other guys wouldn't."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "I'm not sure that Representative Dunn's idea is not a fairly decent one, but if the Sponsor doesn't get enough votes and tries to take it back to Second Reading I would suggest that there should be a trade-off for those who live in apartment buildings that have less than twenty-five units. There are not that many buildings in downstate Illinois with twenty-five or more units. I wonder if I could direct my next comments to my downstate Republican colleagues who have a difficult time getting many votes in apartment complexes. Does it ever occur to you that it might be because we don't recognize some of the little irritants that apartment owners....that apartment dwellers have. One of which is, having two to three hundred dollars in the landlords bank and getting no money from it from year to year. I would suggest that if the Republican Party will start thinking about these little things which admittedly may be difficult to empathize with because most



Republicans own houses, at least most Republican Legislators own houses, that we might be doing better in some of the precincts that we have traditionally been doing worse in."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Terzich."

Terzich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I would suggest those Representatives who own apartment buildings of twenty-five or more can vote 'no', and those who have apartment buildings of less than twenty-five units vote 'yes'....and I move the previous question."

Speaker Redmond; "The Gentleman has moved the previous question. The question is, shall the main question be put? Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye'; opposed 'no', the 'ayes', have it.. Representative Marovitz to close."

Marovitz: "I'm sure that you'll agree that it's not unreasonable for a lessor to want to be protected against damage to his property. But I also hope that you'll agree that it's not unreasonable for an individual to expect interest on his money that is being held. These deposits will provide lessors with a source of investment capital which can bring them a profit. I contend that a person's money is his own regardless of where it's on deposit and it should receive interest. It may seem like a small amount to many people, however, we have to have concern about senior citizens on a fixed income and young adults starting out on their own, newly married people with a lot of expenses and responsibilities. Particularly during these inflated times and with the excessive increases in rent that are occurring throughout the state. This is a very important piece of legislation. Commonwealth Edison, allows for interest on their deposits, Illinois Bell Telephone pays seven percent interest. People's Gas and Light Company pay interest. I think a tenant is entitled to interest, five percent interest on his money that's being held. If there's damage to the property, they are



still going to be liable to pay that. This has been working very well in Chicago. I think that all citizens in the state should be entitled to these benefits and I ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is, shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 107 'aye' and 22 'no', and the Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Representative Marovitz, can you handle 421 now that we went past?"

Marovitz: "Thank you...thank you, Mr. Speaker. 421 is also a Bill which was originally sponsored by Senator Merlo. It came out of the Senate with a 54 to nothing vote. It came out of our Insurance Committee with a 16 to nothing vote. It provides that after a policy of automobile or fire and extended coverage insurance has been effective for five years or more, the company cannot renew the policy unless the named insured receives 60 days notice. I think that's only fair to people who have been consistent in being insured by a particular company. The Bill is an attempt to respond to the problem that some insurance companies cancel a longtime policy holder on short notice during tight insurance market such as have been experienced in the last couple of years. The Bill strives to balance the rights of the insurance companies to select their policy holders with a reliant interested policy holders developed with their insured over a period of time. As this Bill has been amended, it is supported by the Department of Insurance and I would ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there anybody rising in oposition? The question is, shall...Yeah! Read the Bill."

Clerk Hall: "Senate Bill 421, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Insurance Code, Third Reading of



the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is, shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 140 'aye' and no 'nay', and the Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. 72."

Clerk Hall: "Senate Bill 72, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Minimum Wage Law, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Simms."

Simms: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 72 amends the Minimum Wage Act. It makes exclusions of executive, administrative and professional employees from time and a half for overtime provisions retroactive to August 22, 1976. This Bill passed the Senate 76 to nothing. It passed the Labor Committee 15 to zero and I know of no opposition to it. I would move for its passage."

Speaker Redmond: "Does anybody rise in opposition? Representative Matijeich."

Matijeich: "Did he say 76 to nothing?"

Simms: "52....."

Matijeich: "I was going to say, that's the best anybody could do, that I know of."

Simms: "52, John, I'm sorry."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is, shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 141 'aye' and 1 'no'. The Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. 164."

Clerk Hall: "Senate Bill 164.."

Speaker Redmond: "Go ahead."



Clerk Hall: "Senate Bill 164, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Sanitary District Act, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lynn Martin. Lynn Martin on the floor? Out of the record. 168."

Clerk Hall: "Senate Bill 168, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Election Code, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Totten, ...out of the record. I understand that Representative Giorgi is..singularly equipped to handle 164. Put that back on the board. It's been read, Representative Giorgi. Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, Senate Bill 164 is the Senate Bill that allows Sanitary Districts with a three member board now to expand to a five member board. The four districts effected are Springfield, Decatur, Peoria and Rockford. With the Amendment the County Board Chairman appoints them with confirmation by the County Board and it further provides that now no more than three will be from the Majority Party. I urge support of the ..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Mr. Speaker, who's the House Sponsor of this Bill?"

Speaker Redmond: "Lynn Martin."

Giorgi: "Lynn Martin."

Ryan: "Is this an emergency Bill or what is it?"

Giorgi: "No."

Ryan: "Representative Martin is not on the floor. Did she ask you to handle this for her?"

Giorgi: "No..she didn't but we've worked together on this Bill. and I handled it yesterday for the Amendment, George."

Ryan: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is, shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 135 'aye', and 4 'no'; the Bill having received the Constitutional



Majority is hereby declared passed. 170."

Clerk Hall: "Senate Bill 170, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Probate Act, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hart. Out of the record. 179.. Representative Flynn on the floor? Flynn? Out of the record. 189. Representative Adams on the floor? Out of the record. 218. 'We May Never Pass This Way Again.' Do you remember that song? Representative Leinenweber, 218."

Clerk Hall: "Senate Bill 218, a Bill for an Act to repeal the Fair Trade Act, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, this Bill repeals the Fair Trade Act and it's housekeeping because the exemption, of the Federal Antitrust Laws have permitted states to enact Fair Trade Acts, was repealed by Congress last year. So it brings the....it removes an unenforceable Statute of the State of Illinois and it should be passed to clean up the Statutes. I urge your support."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there anyone in opposition? The question is, shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 151 'aye' and no 'nay', and the Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. 227."

Clerk Hall: "Senate Bill 227, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Civil Practice Act, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Senate Bill 227 adds the provisions of the Civil Practice Act, relating to practice and procedure in class actions, similar to but not identical to the Federal Rules of Practices. Currently, under Illinois law, class actions are permitted and what this does is



codify the common law relation to civil...to class actions and it also eliminates certain ambiguities that have recently arisen due to some conflicting Appellate Court cases. If anybody has any questions....it came out of Committee without a dissenting vote....and if anybody has any questions I'd be happy to address them."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions or anyone in opposition?"

The question....Representative Brummer."

Brummer: "Yes, will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Brummer: "Representative, does this deal only with the adoption of the Federal rules in relation to class action is it a general adoption of the Federal rules?"

Leinenweber: "It only adopts...well it puts into statutory language, similar to the Federal rules involving class action, but it codifies the common law in Illinois that presently exists. In other words, there is really not much substantive about it because we already do, in Illinois,permit class actions under the common law. So it's not adopting the Federal Laws of Civil Procedure, no, it's adopting provisions which are similar to, but not identical to, the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I arise to support this Bill and I support it on the basis that it codifies the existing state law, but just as importantly, it takes the best of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure but avoids the vagueness, uncertainty and sometimes conflicts that exist in the Federal Civil Rules. I would suggest, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, that all of the safeguards that you would want, with respect to class actions, are contained in this Bill and encourage an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Katz."

Katz: "Will the Gentleman yield?"



Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Katz: "I noticed from the Digest that it indicates that ... 'Notice with regard to class actions' is one of the matters mentioned. Does it attempt to institute the Federal requirement, as regards 'notice in class actions', into Illinois practice?"

Leinenweber: "No, it does not. It ...it...Section 57.4 in the Bill is the notice. 'Upon determination that an action may be maintained as a class action, or anytime during the conduct of the action, the court in its discretion may order such notice that it deems necessary to protect the interests of the class and the parties.'"

Katz: "All right. Thank you very much. Because that's a very important point."

Leinenweber: "Yes it is."

Katz: "Federal rules, as they have been interpreted have precluded class actions in many instances due to rather onerous requirements as to notice."

Leinenweber: "The Illinois common law did not have such a requirement in it."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Cunningham."

Cunningham: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen, I think that the Bill is very good and intend to vote for it. It was rather curious though, there was another Bill that addressed a problem along these lines, it was called House Bill 30. It came before Judiciary I, what it sought to do was apply the Federal..."

Leinenweber: "Representative Leinenweber, for what purpose do you arise?"

Leinenweber: "Mr. Speaker, I appreciate the Gentleman's going to vote for this Bill. It has nothing to do with House Bill 30 and I would hope that he would just address Senate Bill 227 because there were a number of issues involved in House Bill 30 which I would not want to be argued in this particular Bill."



Speaker Redmond: "Point of order is well taken."

Cunningham: "Turn me back on. It's kinda bad to be turned off so presumptuous....so prematurely here. When the other Bill was before Judiciary I, the main assassin of it was the Sponsor of this Bill and those that recognized the merit and need for it were Chairman Mann and Representative Johnson. Leave it to the Membership to look over 30 and find the distinction. It would be enlightening if the Sponsor could find what the difference was that this Bill is so badly needed and that one was buried in the Interim Study Committee of Judiciary I. Notwithstanding that previous front that we received on 30, we'll vote for the Bill and we would hope that he would have a change of heartthe next time it comes out of its present burial plot."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Would the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Geo-Karis: "Representative, at the present....could you give me, just an example, what this will correct?"

Leinenweber: "There were a number of questions relating well one of the questions of course was,was conflicting Appellate Court decisions relating to notice, and what type and also.....well, I don't recall precisely what the other areaI've got it here in the staff analysis..."

Geo-Karis: "Would your Billmake it...from what I understand from the staff analysis, your Bill would clarify when you can maintain a class action, isn't that right?"

Leinenweber: "That's correct."

Geo-Karis: "Now, there is a....a statement in our analysis, on page two....which says that there were two technical errors. Have those been corrected by...."

Leinenweber: "Yes, they have."

Geo-Karis: "All right. Then I would like to speak in favor of the Bill. Anything that will make legal proceedings more



....clearer, is worth fighting for and supporting."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Terzich."

Terzich: "I move the previous question."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is, shall the main question be put? Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye'; opposed 'no'; the 'ayes' have it...Representative...The motion carries. Representative Leinenweber to close."

Leinenweber: "It is a good Bill. I would urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is, shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 143 'aye' and no 'nay'; the Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. 301, Representative Holewinski. Holewinski on the floor? Out of the record. 313. Representative James Taylor."

Clerk Hall: "Senate Bill 313, a Bill for an Act amending Sections of an Act in relation to comprehensive County Hospitals Governing Commission, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative James Taylor. James Taylor."

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker, would you take that out of the record for just one moment, please?"

Speaker Redmond: "Out of the record. 316."

Clerk Hall: "Senate Bill 316, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act relating to alcoholic liquors, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Capparelli. Representative Stuffle, please sit down."

Capparelli: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen, Senate Bill 316 is designed to increase the fairness in the procedures for the local referendums relating to the authority to allow or prohibit retail sale of alcoholic liquors. The Bill provides for a 90 day period for discussion of issue rather than the 60 day period that it was. It is felt that more time is needed for public discussion and the



the information on the issues. Also a plain description of the areas to be effected as well as a legal description will be required and only one petition on the subject may be considered at one time. These measures are designed to reduce the confusion to the voter. Finally a liquor licensee in the area may contest the validity of the petition in court. This Bill has been recommended by the Illinois Liquor Control Commission and the Circuit Court of Chicago who supervises these petitions and I respectfully ask for a favorable Roll Call. It came out of the Senate 52 to nothing and 16 to one in the House here."

Speaker Redmond: "Anyone stand in opposition? The question is, shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 135 'aye', and 3 'no'; the Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. 377, Representative Getty."

Clerk Hall: "Senate Bill 377, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act relating to alcoholic liquors, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Getty. Getty."

Getty: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, Senate Bill 377 is a Bill which increases by five thousand dollars the amount which can be recovered under a dramshop action...both for support and for injury. I know of no opposition to this Bill and I would move for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Redmond: "Anyone stand in opposition? Representative Matijeovich."

Matijeovich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, would the Sponsor yield to a question?Oh, yes. He answered my question before I asked it. He says the Synopsis is incorrect and I've got the Bill before me."



I was concerned about the elimination...the expansion of 'in whole or in part'. We fought a good battle some time ago and I want to insure everybody here that we don't fight that battle again. You do not touch that?"

Getty: "No we don't. It's five thousand dollars. It's an inflation endorsement that's all it is."

Matijevich: "All right. I thought I was going to have a hard battle again. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further. Representative Mudd."

Mudd: "Yes, I was wondering if the Sponsor would yield to a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Mudd: "Representative Getty, what would be the amount that they could seek for damages of support under civil suit? There wouldn't be any limitation..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Getty."

Getty: "It's unlimited. Under the Dram Shop Act, it is limited. It is a limited type of recovery and all we're doing here is making recognition of the fact that there has been significant inflation since this was passed and it only raises it five thousand dollars."

Mudd: "Thank you. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Ready for the question? Representative Beatty."

Beatty: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Beatty: "Does this Bill change, substantially, the Dram Shop Act?"

Getty: "No. The Synopsis is way off. The only effect to the Bill, in its amended form, is to increase from twenty to twenty-five thousand and from twenty-five thousand to thirty thousand for support. That's all the Bill does."

Beatty: "Does the present law, then, create a liability for a person giving...alcoholic liquor?"

Getty: "I'm sorry, I didn't"

Beatty: "If you...I thought dramshop applied only to people selling alcoholic liquor? According to the Synopsis it



says giving or selling. Would this impose a liability on a ...or is the present law such that if you give someone a drink rather than sell it you could be responsible under the dramshop?"

Getty: "It only applies to people with licenses, but they can sell or give the liquor away, but it only applies to..."

Beatty: "Only licensed liquor dealers."

Getty: "That's right."

Beatty: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Waddell."

Waddell: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Waddell: "In line with the same kind of reasoning, may I say a wholesaler, for example, who has to have a license, is entertaining people at his residence and gives liquor away, would those people be included?"

Getty: "I don't believe so, but this ...this doesn't change that one bit. The Act...this Bill doesn't change what the law is one bit. It changes the amounts recoverable."

Speaker Redmond: "Waddell."

Waddell: "If I might respond to that, I think that originally in the Dram Shop Act, you're talking about retailers, who sell drinks, certainly not the rest of the people either in the bottle business or the distribution business. But as I read this, I think that they're going to be hooked into this thing."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "...Yes, I assume the Sponsor will yield, since the Speaker is on the phone, but will this have any effect at all on insurance premium rates?"

Getty: "I think that it could conceivably have some small impact. I don't believe it would be that significant. The increase is....what would be one fifth or one sixth respectively, in the amount that can be recovered. It ... all the Bill is doing is taking into account the fact that



we have had inflation."

Ebbesen: "Another question. Would it be...it isn't mandating anything...putting a maximuma minimum as far as the amounts?"

Getty: "No...No.."

Ebbesen: "In other words, it's still an option for the one who is taking the insurance....what coverage they are taking?"

Getty: "That's right, raising it from twenty to twenty-five thousand is what you're doing."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Dawson."

Dawson: "I'd like to make the motionmove the previous question."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the....the Gentleman has moved the previous question. The question, shall the main question be put? Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye'; opposed 'no'; the 'ayes' have it. Representative Getty to close."

Getty: "I want to reemphasize, if you're looking at the Synopsis, in your Digest, it's completely and totally wrong, don't read it, if you're going to look at it, look at the Bill because as it's before you, the only thing that it does is raise the amount, by five thousand dollars, and there is some purely technical cleanup language. There is no other substance to it and I would ask for your affirmative vote."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is, shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Representative Geo-Karis to explain her vote."

Geo-Karis: "I know that all the Bill did was just raise it five thousand dollars for the amount that's recoverable in dramshop, in the instance of injury to property or person and five thousand dollars more in the case of loss of support...so it's a good Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Leinenweber."



Leinenweber: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This is a good Bill, it has been a long long time since there's been any adjustment in the amounts being able to be recovered under this particular Act. To clarify a couple of points which may be bothering some of the Members. Very clearly, first of all, as the Sponsor pointed out, makes no substantive changes other than the change in maximum dollar amounts. Very clearly, the courts have interpreted the Statute, over the years, that the only people covered.....or potentially liable, are the license holder 'for sales and gifts of alcoholic liquor made on the licensed premises'. It does not apply to a private home. It does not apply to a party. It does not apply to an office party. It only applies upon the licensed premises, when a person is actually engaged in the dramshop or alcoholic liquor business. It's a good Bill, it's a needed Bill and I would urge a few more 'aye' votes."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mautino."

Mautino: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I'm not going to vote on this issue. I'd like to correct a statement that was made by the previous speaker. If my recollection of the dramshop law is correct, the persons liable are the licensee, as well as the owner of the premise if, in fact, the licensee is not the owner...in other words the tenant. Therefore, the owners and owner of the buildings would also come under this particular purview, as I read it. Not only the licensee, and if it's a corporation, it's all those people within a corporation."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Cunningham."

Cunningham: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen, I'm voting 'no' because I think this is a terrible Bill and a fraud on the public. All you're doing is raising the rates for dramshop insurance, which basically is unnecessary because



this Legislature has already taken the teeth out of the law a couple of years ago when you modified the whole and part provision. Representative Matijevich is the one that hit the ball over the fence for the tavern operators. So it became a fraud on both the drunks and the sobers alike because successful downstate dramshop suits are as rare as successful Republican candidates for Mayor in Chicago. The Legislature should recognize that now because of the wording of the law there's no need for dramshop insurance yet the owners of the business and the tavern owners are scared into buying it because of the public notion that somehow it protects those who are injured by drunks. 'Tain't so, the law should either be repealed or restored to its former capacity to serve those that are injured."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "I'm a little worried that there may be some of us that are campaigning for reelection next year and we walk into some of the bars in some of the towns that we campaigned in and the owner of the bar will do what the owner of a bar did in Richmond, to me, in 1966 when I was running for County Treasurer. He said 'What did you do to the dramshop....what are you going to do about dramshop insurance?'. I didn't know anything about dramshop insurance now...then and I don't know much now, but I know if the rates go up I'm not going to be able to explain why, and I have got the feeling that insurance rates are going to go up if this passes. It sounds like a mild version of the Workmen's Comp Bill that we passed."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Getty."

Getty: "Mr. Speaker, I just want to clear up what I think is a false assumption here concerning the rate increase or that your local tavern is going to be opposed to it. In its original form, as it was introduced in the Senate, the



retail merchants, Liquor Merchants Association, or whatever they are called, did oppose it. They did not oppose it as it passed out of the Senate. They appeared in the Committee room where this was heard in the House and they did not register any opposition to it and as I said before in my opening statement, I know of absolutely no opposition. I think your constituents who are the victims of somebody who has become intoxicated as the result of over imbibing should be entitled to recover. It's an inflation type of Bill. It takes into account the fact that five thousand dollars is a small increase of what it was before and I would ask for your favorable vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question 67 'aye', 47 'no'; the Bill having failed to receive the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared lost. Representative Holewinski."

Holewinski: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I was unavoidably called off the floor and I understand you called Senate Bill 301. If you'd like to proceed with that I am ready."

Speaker Redmond: "We'll be turning the gavel over in just a minute and you talk to the successor. 451."

Clerk Hall: "Senate Bill 451, ..."

REPRESENTATIVE LECHOWICZ IN CHAIR.....

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Terzich."

Clerk Hall: "Senate Bill 451, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Pension Code, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Terzich."

Terzich: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 451 is a revisory Bill. It makes a number of clarifying and corrective changes which were long overdue. The Bill does not create any increase in either the normal cost or the accrued liability. The Bill has been approved by the Pension Laws Commission and has received a favorable



vote in the Senate and also in the Committee....The House Committee came out 15 to nothing and I would urge your support."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The question is, shall Senate Bill 451 pass? All those in favor will vote 'aye', all those opposed will vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 120 'ayes', no 'nays', none recorded as 'present'; this Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 313, Representative Taylor."

Clerk Hall: "Senate Bill 313, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act relating to the Comprehensive County Hospital Governing Commission, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Taylor."

Taylor: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Members of the House. House Bill....Senate Bill 313, amends the Cook County Hospital Governing Commission Act. Requires the proposed budget submitted by the Commission to the County Board contain line item appropriation requests. The county levys its/^{tax}to partially support the county hospitals. The present law requires the county to forward these forms to the hospital in monthly lump sum payments. The county does not receive these forms until they receive the proceeds of the sale of tax anticipation notes. These proceeds will become available approximately three to four months after the beginning of the fiscal year. The Bill would require the payment of tax funds to the hospital to commence upon receipt, by the county, of the proceeds of the tax anticipation notes. This is an agreed Bill between Cook County Board and the Cook County Hospital Governing Commission. I solicit your 'aye' vote for Senate Bill 313."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The question is, shall Senate Bill 313 pass? All those in favor will vote 'aye',



all those opposed will vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 133 'ayes', one 'nay', 2 recorded as 'present', this Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 32, Representative Laurino. Laurino."

Clerk Hall: "Senate Bill 32, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act to create ...Sanitary Districts and to remove obstructions in the Des Plaines River, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Laurino."

Laurino: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Bill merely allows the college and ...one of the colleges in the 15th District, North Park College, to enter into a lease agreement so that they may build an athletic building for their school. It's a not for profit corporation and it only effects North Park College and I ask for a favorable vote."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Perry, Representative Ralph Dunn."

Dunn: "Mr. Chairman, I'll admit, I'm not following Bills very close but I thought this said something about sanitary districts, to remove obstructions and he's talking about building a....something for a community college, if I understood him right?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Are you asking a question, Sir?"

Dunn: "Right. Sure."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman indicates he'll yield. Would you repeat the question?"

Dunn: "What does this Bill do? I guess that's my safest way to ask it."

Laurino: "It allows the college to enter...to negotiate into a lease with the sanitary district. They now presently have the same lease. They just want more time so that they



can reevaluate and build a building for athletic purposes on that land."

Dunn: "Thank you, Mr. Sponsor."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any further discussion? The question is, shall Senate Bill 32 pass? All those in favor will vote 'aye', all those opposed will vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 127 'ayes', 9 'nays', 2 recorded as 'present'. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 301. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Holewinski."

Clerk Hall: "Senate Bill 301, a Bill for an Act....a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act creating the Department of Children and Family Services and codifying its powers and duties, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Holewinski."

Holewinski: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 301 is a Bill to which I know of no opposition. As a matter of fact it probably should have been on the Consent Calendar. It passed out of the Senate unanimously and out of the House Committee unanimously. What the Bill does is simply make an addition to the Children and Family Services Act by providing that if the Department finds there is no appropriate program or facility.....available to them, for a particular ward, that they shall develop a program oriented plan available to them for a particular ward. What it's meant to do is plug a loophole and that is....it mandates that every child that is a ward of the state has a plan developed for he or her and that they not fall through the cracks in the system. In the last several years the Legislature has mandated the Commission on Children to conduct several studies....at least one...on how to place...on out of state



placement of wards and another on the Southern Illinois Children's Service Center. In those reviews the Commission identifies that while the Department has a policy that calls for this often times that policy is not . . . is not implemented and therefore there are situations where children do not have a plan designed for them. . . . some way of dealing with their particular problem. I'd be happy to answer questions. I know. . . . As I said, I know of no opposition and I would ask for a favorable vote."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The Gentleman...being no further discussion, the question is, shall Senate Bill 301 pass? All those in favor will vote 'aye', all those opposed will vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 152 'ayes', no 'nays', none recorded as 'present'. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared as passed. Senate Bill 452, Representative Williams."

Clerk Hall: "Senate Bill 452, a Bill for an Act in relation to drainage districts and the powers and duties of the Commissioners thereof. Amending certain Acts named herein. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Williams."

Williams: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 452 is a Bill endorsed and sponsored by the Water Resources Commission. It codifies or recodifies the drainage district laws. I know of no opposition. It passed the Senate 54 to nothing. It passed out of Counties and Townships without a single dissenting vote and I ask for your affirmative vote on this Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The question is, shall Senate Bill 452 pass? All those in favor will vote 'aye', all those opposed will vote 'nay'. Have all voted who



wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 138 'ayes', no 'nays', 4 recorded as 'present'. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 492, Representative DiPrima. DiPrima. Representative DiPrima, I believe this Bill was amended this morning and in..."

DiPrima: "Yes, Sir."

Speaker Lechowicz: "...and in turn we'll have to wait until tomorrow to call the Bill."

DiPrima: "Okay."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Take it out of the record. Senate Bill 791, Representative Kempiners."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 791, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Public Aid Code, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Kempiners."

Kempiners: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Bill would amend the Public Aid Code to authorize the Department of Public Aid, when requested by a public aid recipient, to deposit their financial aid check in a checking or savings account at approved financial institutions. It was amended yesterday to mandate that the department shall encourage financial institutions to participate in this program and I would ask for a favorable support."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The question is, shall Senate Bill 791 pass? All those in favor will vote 'aye', all those opposed will vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 140 'ayes', 1 'nay', 1 recorded as 'present'. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 819."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 819, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act to regulate the practice of podiatry in the State of Illinois, Third Reading of the Bill."



Speaker Lechowicz: "The Lady from Cook, Representative Pullen. Take it out of the record. Senate Bill 352, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House...."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Excuse me. Let him read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 352, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the School Code, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 352 provides that in petitions for reorganization into community unit school districts, that each school district that is effected must have 50 signatures on the petition. Currently there is a requirement that only three quarters of the districts affected need sign the petition at all and that can be as few as one person. This is, it seems to me, equitable and it provides that people within these districts know what is happening and can participate. The Bill is Sponsored in the Senate by Senators Shapiro and Berman among others and was passed unanimously there and very nearly unanimously in the House Committee."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook... I'm sorry, the Gentleman from Adams, Representative McClain."

McClain: "Some of my political ads, last year, suggested I was from Cook, but I'm from Adams, thank you. Mr. Chairman, Mr. Speaker, would the Gentleman yield."

Speaker Lechowicz: "He indicates he will. Representative Walsh, you'll yield, right?"

McClain: "Representative Walsh, can you inform me, the 50 legal signatures, are they from thethe area that might want to create a unit district or are they from ...could they be from the whole district or....which?"

Walsh: "No....They are from the districts affected. The whole district, not just from the area that is seeking to be annexed."



McClain: "This could be, then, setting a precedent like, for instance, the problem up at Arlington Heights, We could be setting legal precedent that if a part of a unit district wanted to opt out and create its own district, that it would be the legislative intent that the whole district ought to be able tovote on that opting out. Is that your intent?"

Walsh: "No. Well....Well, no. This doesn't go to that at all, Representative McClain. This goes to the petition prior to that....prior to the vote. It related...it's related but not at all the same."

McClain: "So there is no legislative intent to set up a mechanism that the whole district ought to be able to vote on a part opting out to create a unit district?"

Walsh: "No, thatthat is not involved in this at all."

McClain: "Okay. Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman want to close?"

Walsh: "Well, just to solicit your support, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, shall Senate Bill 352 pass? All those in favor vote 'aye', all opposed will vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 140.....146 'ayes', no 'nays', one recorded as 'present'. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 834, Representative Lucco. I'll be with you in a second."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 834, a Bill for an Act...establishes policy for state agencies to perform energy consumption analysis on major construction or renovation of buildings, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Lawrence, Representative Cunningham, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

Cunningham: "Mr. Speaker, I request unanimous consent to change my vote from 'no' to 'aye' on Senate Bill 791. I mis-



understood the Bill. I thought it put public aid recipients in the banking business and didn't recognize that the purpose of it was to protect them against being rolled for their check."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is there leave? Objections have been raised. Is there leave? Hearing no objections, the Gentleman is so recorded. Representative Lucco, on Senate Bill 834."

Lucco: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 834 was drafted by the Energy Resource Commission of this House and the Senate. It is endorsed by Governor Thompson in his energy message of April 6, 1977. This Bill requires that all state agencies, offices and departments must analyze the cost of energy consumption of each planned construction or renovation project over the economic life of any facility constructed in the state complex. This Bill says that they must take into consideration the cost of heating, of lighting and maintenance of a building before the state shouldset out any contract."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion?"

Lucco: "I solicit an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, shall Senate Bill 834 pass? All those in favor will vote 'aye', all those opposed will vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 147 'ayes', no 'nays', one recorded as 'present'. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 1225, Representative Brady."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1225, a Bill for an Act to the Illinois Securities Law, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Brady."

Brady: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and fellow Members. Senate Bill 1225



is an attempt to try and regulate the ways of outside interests, outside of Illinois, coming into our state and taking over corporations and jobs without proper accountability for us in Illinois in holding firms here. It has been worked out extensively. It's under the securities office of the Secretary of State's office and I'd be glad to answer any questions. Otherwise, I urge your favorable consideration of this."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The question is, shall Senate Bill 1225 pass? All those in favor will vote 'aye', all those opposed will vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 150 'ayes', no 'nays', one recorded as 'present'. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 350, Representative Pierce..... The Gentleman from Lake. Representative Pierce."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 350, a Bill for an Act to amend the Physician's Assistants Practice Act, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Pierce."

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, Saturday, this Bill was moved from Second to Third, Representative Martin had an Amendment in the Reference Bureau. It hasn't come back yet. I ask leave..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman asks leave to bring his Bill from Third to Second. Is there any objections? Hearing none, it's on Second Reading. For the purpose....Any Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1, Peggy Smith Martin, amends Senate Bill 350 on page one, line 21, by deleting and so forth."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Lady from Cook, Representative Martin. Martin: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 350 restores



part of line 25 and 26 to say that physician's assistants cannot exercise independent judgment for purposes of diagnosis and treatment of patients. I would appreciate it if we could adopt this Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion on the Amendment? The Gentleman from Kankakee, Representative Ryan on the Amendment?"

Ryan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I have a question of you before I do the Sponsor of the Amendment. How did we get to this Bill?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "I'm sorry."

Ryan: "How did we get to this Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "At the request of Representative Pierce, .."

Ryan: "You really jumped out of order to get to this Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Nobody objected when I asked leave, Sir."

Ryan: "Okay. Would the Sponsor explain the Amendment once more, please?"

Martin, P.S.: "Yes, I will. Representative Ryan, what happened is in the Physician's Assistants Bill, what they were saying in essence, that the physicians assistants would be able to diagnose and treat patients. This language was taken out and we wanted to put it back in so that they would....so that it would be very clear to the physicians assistants that they could not exercise this independence....in diagnoses and treatment."

Ryan: "Mr. Speaker, has this Amendment been distributed?"

Martin, P.S.: "Yes, Sir, it has."

Speaker Lechowicz: "One moment.....Mr. O'Brien, has the Amendment been distributed to everyone?.....Yes it has.. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Gaines."

Ryan: "Thank you."

Gaines: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I want to rise in support of this Amendment. It was an Amendment that everyone in the Committee felt should be put on and there wasn't time to do it in Committee



and still get the Bill out. And as Republican spokesman, I want to say that in the Committee, that most people in the Committee agreed that this Amendment should be put on."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Will, Representative Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "I have a question for the Lady. Representative Martin, at whose request was the Amendment? Was this just the Committee's request or is there an organized group that wants the..."

Martin, P.S.: "Oh! No, just...it was some of the Committee Members felt that this language should have been restored."

Leinenweber: "According to our staff analysis, the Nurses Association and Medical Society opposed the Bill prior to your Amendment. Do you know if this changed their position at all?"

Martin, P.S.: "I'm sorry, I do not."

Leinenweber: "Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Lady moves for the adoption of Amendment #1. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', all those opposed will....The Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 179, Representative Flinn."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 179, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act relating to the creation of the Bi-State Metropolitan Development District and the Bi-State Development Agency, Third Reading of the Bill."

Flinn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Flinn."

Flinn: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 179 permits the Bi-State Development Agency, which is a compact with the State of Missouri, an agency created by compact with the State of Missouri, to raise



from six to eight percent the maximum interest rates on notes, bonds and other instruments. Missouri has already passed a similar Bill that is on Governor Teasdale's Desk to be signed now. This has to be done in both states. This is sort of a catchup thing. The other state agencies have already done this and we're a little bit late in getting it done so I ask for your support."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "He indicates he will."

Schlickman: "We're talking about tax exempt bonds, aren't we?"

Flinn: "No, we're not talking about taxes, we're talking about bonds, revenue bonds that they issue. Now this is a tax supported agency from both states, but it's handled differently in Missouri. Here in Illinois we give one thirty-second of the sales tax collected in the area they serve and over in Missouri they have one half cent sales tax which all of it goes there. That's the only support they get. But this is not a taxing agency."

Schlickman: "No, what I'm saying is, that the notes, the bonds, and other similar instruments that are issued are tax free?"

Flinn: "Oh, yes, they are."

Schlickman: "Okay. Do you know of any other tax free bonds issued by a unit of government where the rate is as high as eight percent?"

Flinn: "Not offhand, I don't, Representative Schlickman, but there are other agencies of this state which have done this same thing. Now, Missouri has raised theirs from six percent to ten percent and that's the Bill that's laying on Governor Teasdale's Desk at this time."

Schlickman: "Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, shall Senate Bill 179 pass? All those in favor will vote 'aye', all those opposed will



vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 127 'ayes', 4 'nays', 7 recorded as 'present'. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 3, the Gentleman from Lake, Representative Matijevich."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 3, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Waukegan Port District Act, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 3 is a Bill which would require that members appointed to the Waukegan Port District shall be residents of the district. That's all it does. I think it's common sense type of legislation that members shall live within the district if they are going to serve as members of that district board. I would appreciate your support."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The Lady from Lake, Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker, I regret....but I have to arise to oppose this Bill for the simple reason that although the city limits of Waukegan are considered in the Port District, it services the whole county. At the present time the Joliet Regional Port District is, although it's called Joliet, it covers residents of Will County, just like Waukegan Port District covers the residents of Lake County. I don't see why we can't leave it at the present time, so that it can remain, so that the members ...a few of the members can come from the rest of the county because whether they live in Waukegan or not, members have input...valuable input they could put in on the basis that they have businesses in Waukegan and the port area is supposed to be available for all of the residents in Lake County,...therefore, I oppose the Bill."



Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Skinner. Skinner."

Skinner: "Yes, Sir. I'd like to ask the Gentleman who makes the appointments."

Matijeovich: "The Mayor of the City of Waukegan and the Governor of the State of Illinois."

Skinner: "In what proportion?"

Matijeovich: "I'm not sure, Cal, they each...I believe they each haveI think the Governor has one more....than the Mayor, but I'm not certain. I'm almost positive the Governor has one more than the Mayor."

Skinner: "Well, certainly the Mayor, if he desires, can make all of the appointments from within the boundries of Waukegan, but it seems to me that, for instance, my district, even as far away as I am, I have people who have an interest in the Waukegan Port District. Certainly everybody in the State of Illinois has an interest once we start pumping that two to three million dollars into it for boat docks. I, for instance, would not like the Waukegan Port District Authority...all of a sudden to decide that only people from the City of Waukegan would be able to have boats and the slips that the state is going to pay for. Or that the people living outside the City of Waukegan would be discriminated against in getting such slips. Now if this Bill might lead to that, I don't think I'd be representing my district to vote for it, do you?"

Matijeovich: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the members of the Port District have always both by the Governor's appointments and the Mayor's appointments, come from the City of Waukegan. So I think we're nit-picking when we say anything else. What happened though, is that at one time two members who had lived in Waukegan, then moved outside of the jurisdiction of the Port District and they ...and we feel that they ought



to live within the City of Waukegan. Now what we've got to remember is that within the Act...within the law, there is the capability of the people in the City of Waukegan, if, upon a referendum, of being taxed. As long as we have that provision in the enabling Act, I believe very firmly that all of the members ought to come from within the district. Now there is some talk about the fact that maybe in the future we may expand the jurisdiction of the district. The law only says that they come from within the district, not Waukegan, within the district. When we do expand, then they can come within that expanded area. I think that makes common sense....as long as we have the provision of the taxing capabilities."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, shall Senate Bill 3..."

Skinner: "...I thought....Mr.....I'm sorry, Sir. I asked a question that will require a 'yes' or 'no' answer and I thought I still had the floor."

Speaker Lechowicz: "I thought he responded to your question."

Skinner: "I didn't hear whether he said 'yes' or 'no'."

Matijeovich: "Yes."

Skinner: "Yes, I would be representing my district. How much does the City of Waukegan put into the port district in the way of finances. What portion?"

Matijeovich: "Well, the district has been financed through Revenue and I think that the port authority has done and every time that they've come to show it...I've read all of the audits, they've done, I think, a fantastic job in bringing in revenues to finance the district and for those funds that come from the State of Illinois too."

Skinner: "All right. There's no local tax money."

Matijeovich: "No. There hasn't been as to now."

Skinner: "Well, I guess I would have to suggest that I certainly won't support the Bill and don't think others should. Because the basic question on who rules is who



pays. And if Waukegan doesn't pay it doesn't seem to me Chicago should rule. Excuse me. I have my cities mixed. If Waukegan doesn't pay, I don't think Waukegan should rule. At least entirely."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, shall Senate Bill 3 pass? All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed will vote 'nay'. Marco.....Marco. The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Deuster to explain his vote. The timer is on."

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker, as another Representative from Lake County, I would encourage a 'no' vote. I think this Bill would have a tendency to restrict the talent that could be called upon to serve on the Waukegan Port District Board. Waukegan is an industrial area and there are many executives and leaders of industry that have a strong association with the Waukegan Community although they might live in Gurnee or Lake Forest or somewhere else. This would restrict the talent available to the board. I think it's a move in the wrong direction and I urge 'no' votes."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Matijeovich to explain his vote."

Matijeovich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I think everybody has missed the real point. The fact that we haven't been using, up to now, the taxing authorities in the Act, has been because we'vethe board has done an excellent job in getting revenues. But that can always change, not that they won't do an extra job....extra good job of getting the revenues, but that can always change in the future, and as long as we had that taxing authority, the members should come from the district. Not necessarily Waukegan, but the district, whatever the boundries of the district be. I think we've got enough now but I would almost like to answersome other things but we've gotI would



ask for just a few more votes, because it just makes common fiscal sense, I think. ...I'd just like to answer the matter because the Representative from the Chain O'Lakes. We discussed in the Chain O'Lakes Commission whether the people from the Chain O'Lakes should be within the district, as to expansion. They don't want to be in the district. Representative Deuster knows we've discussed that thoroughly. The reason they don't want to be in the district, they're afraid of that taxing ability and I'm saying that we....and as to this Waukegan Port Authority, as long as that taxing authority is there, let's have the district be represented by people who live within the district. I'd appreciate just a few more votes just on that common sense."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Mulcahey."

Mulcahey: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I think this is a very good Bill, especially since I have a great deal of confidence that this is going to apply to the Savanna Port District in Carroll County, in the 35th Legislative District, in the not to distant future and I would suggest an 'aye' vote from my colleagues."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 89 'ayes', 55 'nays', 9 recorded as 'present', this Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 172, Representative Hoffman."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 172, a Bill for an Act relating to certain sanitary districts named, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to ask permission of the House to return that Bill to Second Reading for the purpose of an Amendment....which has been distributed."



Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman asks leave to return Senate Bill 172 from Third Reading to Second for the purpose of an Amendment. Does he have leave? Hearing no objections, Senate Bill 172 on Second Reading."

Hoffman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House..."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #2, G. L. Hoffman, amends Senate Bill 172 on page 1, line 4, and so forth."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. After 172 was ..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Excuse me. Mr. Clerk, has this Amendment been distributed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Yes it has."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Please proceed."

Hoffman: "After the Bill was reported out of Committee the Republican and Democratic staff both found some technical problems. So in Senate Amendment #2 they incorporated Amendment #1, which was adopted in Committee, and therefore I would like to table Amendment #1."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman moves to table Amendment #1. Is that Committee Amendment #1?"

Hoffman: "Yes."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any objections? Amendment #1 is tabled. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, G. L. Hoffman, amends Senate Bill 172 on page one and so forth."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Hoffman,"

Hoffman, G.L.: "All right. This is the Amendment that has been drafted in conjunction with both staffs. What it does it moves the responsibility for reporting change of names from the Secretary of State's Office into the Sanitary District Act. It also provides that sixty days after the effective date of this Act, that sanitary districts will have to submit their names to the Secretary of State



because if they are going to accept name changes they have to have the names to begin with. Then it provides, in another Section, that we include sanitary districts included in the Act of 1936 as well as 1917. It does not include the Metropolitan Sanitary District, the North Shore Sanitary District or the Metro East District, they are separate Acts. I move for the adoption of Amendment #2."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The Gentleman moves the adoption of floor Amendment #2, all those in favor signify by saying 'aye', 'aye'; those opposed...The Amendment #2 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 230. Representative Simms. The Gentleman on the floor? Representative Simms? Take it out of the record. Take Senate Bill 317 out of the record. I believe the Gentleman has been excused because of a death in the family. Senate Bill 325."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 325, a Bill for an Act to make an appropriation to the court of claims, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Deuster, 325. Take it out of the record. Senate Bill 328, the Lady from St. Clair, Representative Stiehl."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 328, a Bill for an Act to make an appropriation for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Office of Lieutenant Governor, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mrs. Stiehl."

Stiehl: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. House Bill 328 appropriates \$428,000,000 for the ordinary and contingent expenses for the Office of Lieutenant Governor. I would ask for approval of this Bill..... Four hundred and twenty-eight thousand, excuse me."



Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, shall 328 pass? All those in favor will vote 'aye', all those opposed will vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 150 'ayes', one 'nay', none recorded as 'present'. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 333, Representative Winchester."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 333, a Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Department of Mines and Minerals, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Hardin, Representative Winchester."

Winchester: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. As the Clerk says, this is the appropriation for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Mines and Minerals. The total appropriation is \$1,961,000. It represents a decrease of \$450,000 from FY 77."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is there any discussion? The question is shall Senate Bill 333 pass? All those in favor will vote 'aye', all those opposed will vote 'nay'. Katz. Dawson. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 139 'ayes', no 'nays', 4 recorded as present. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. The Gentleman....Senate Bill 336, Representative Deavers. Take it out of the record. He's not on the floor. The Gentleman from Marion, Representative Harris; for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

Harris: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to be voted 'aye' on the last Bill, if I may, please?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman asks leave to be recorded as 'aye', on Senate Bill 333. Any objections? Hearing none, so recorded. Senate Bill 337, Representative McBroom."



Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 337,"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Take it out of the record. The Gentleman is not on the floor. Senate Bill 341, Representative Rigney."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 341, a Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Department of Agriculture, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Stephenson, Representative Rigney. Right-o..."

Rigney: "Mr. Speaker, it's Stephenson County. I note the hour is about twenty after one and we haven't had the opportunity to do anything today for the benefit of the farmers....I'm disturbed about that, but here's a chance now. I got the Department of Agriculture Bill up about two point five percent in operations. Probably the most noncontroversial Department of Agriculture Bill that's been introduced in several years. I'd like to see it adopted."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The question is, shall Senate Bill 341 pass? All those in favor will vote 'aye', all those opposed will vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take record. On this question there are 151 'ayes', no 'nays' one recorded as 'present'. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 343, Representative Stearney."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 343, a Bill for an Act to make an appropriation to the Medical Center Commission, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Stearney."

Stearney: "Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Bill is the appropriation for the Illinois Medical Commission. I believe it is approximately \$230,000. I ask for a favorable Roll Call."



Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Marovitz."

Marovitz: "Will the Gentleman yield?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "He says 'no'. He indicates he'll yield."

Marovitz: "Is he sure? Would you tell me what this Commission does?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "'Ralph', do you want to tell him?"

Stearney: "What the Commission does? This is the appropriation, Mr. Marovitz."

Marovitz: "Yes. I think a relevant factor, before you appropriate the money is to find out exactly where it is going and what it does."

Stearney: "Well, the purpose of the Commission is to acquire property adjacent to the...University of Illinois Medical Complex...in the 20th Legislative District. It acquires property.....It isn't entitled to great numbers of buildings in which it maintains and it collects the rents from. I might add that this is the only Commission that I know of that returns money to the General Revenue Fund. As a matter of fact, last year, it returned \$315,000 to the General Revenue Fund. For the next fiscal year they expect to return to the General Revenue Fund, \$345,000. Whereas, their appropriation for the last three years has only been \$152,000 with \$40,000 additional for land acquisition. So I must remind you that this is the only Commission in existence that returns money to the General Revenue Fund, an outstanding accomplishment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, shall Senate Bill 343 pass? All those in favor will vote 'aye', all those opposed will vote 'nay'. The Gentleman from Sangamon, Representative Robinson, to explain his vote. Have all voted who wish? The Gentleman from Sangamon, Representative Robinson."

Robinson: "Well, I didn't get a chance to ask a question. It's



my understanding that this Commission was set up to build some buildings that are already built, that really it's not needed anymore. All the construction at the U. of I. is already done. I don't know why we need this Commission?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 117 'ayes', 21 'nays', 9 recorded as 'present'. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 354, Representative Giorgi."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 354, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Housing Authority Act, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, Senate Bill 354, as amended, will permit one tenant of the housing authority to serve as a commissioner of that authority. This applies to cities throughout Illinois except cities of over 500,000 or counties of over 1,000,000. This allows the Mayor of a city, if he has a housing authority in that city or a County Chairman, if he has a housing authority in that county, to appoint a tenant as a member of the housing authority. It is not mandatory, it is just permissive. In the event that is done, the housing authority should notify itself by explaining it in its minutes. I urge your support."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Madison."

Madison: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Will the Sponsor yield? He indicates he will."

Madison: "Representative Giorgi, does this Bill apply to the City of Chicago?"

Giorgi: "No, Sir."



Madison: "Why not?"

Giorgi: "Well, when they took it to Committee and I found that I didn't have enough votes to include the City of Chicago and the County of Cook, I amended it to include the cities that were affected, namely, Rockford and East St. Louis, who really desired to have a member of their tenants on the housing authority staff."

Madison: "Well, I suppose that's as good a reason as any, Representative. Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from DeKalb, Representative Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "He indicates he will."

Ebbesen: "Representative Giorgi, what's the origin...the source of the Bill? What....you know..."

Giorgi: "It was an incident that occurred in Rockford. The Mayor, my.....in fact, the fellow I supported for Mayor, the first Democratic Mayor in Rockford's entire history..

Ebbesen: "Fine. Fine."

Giorgi: "...appointed a tenant to the housing authority. It was challenged, taken to the Appellate Court, the Appellate Court ruled that they could sit. Someone took it to the Supreme Court, the Supreme Court ruled they couldn't sit, but the Supreme Court said that there ought to be necessary legislation so they could sit. This is the reason for the Bill."

Ebbesen: "Good answer. And congratulations to the Democratic Party on having that Mayor up there."

Giorgi: "It has nothing to do with the lottery either."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from St. Clair, Representative Flinn."

Flinn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise in opposition to this Bill. This is a front, an affront to the housing authority. We've had all kinds of problems down



in my district with rent strikes and everything else and I think this will foster even more problems. I don't think the tenants have got any business on the housing authority at all. It's sorta like putting the fox in charge of the chickens."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Will, Representative Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "Representative Giorgi, I noticed that the attorneys for the Supreme Court ruled that for a tenant to sit on such a board would be a conflict of interest under both the Housing Authority Act and the Corrupt Practices Act. Is that correct?"

Giorgi: "Not the way I read the opinion."

Leinenweber: "Well, mine is a (unintelligible) reading. The City of Chicago and Cook County are exempted. Was that under a home rule provision or just that the City of Chicago and the County of Cook are exempt?"

Giorgi: "I think that was the reason it prevailed in Committee the home rule reason."

Leinenweber: "So, how many....For example, the City of Rockford is a home rule unit, is it not?"

Giorgi: "Yes, and there are 85 other cities in Illinois that are home rule units and this....the Mayor is not mandated to appoint a tenant, the Mayor voluntarily does it..."

Leinenweber: "This doesn't apply to Rockford, does it?"

Giorgi: "Yes, it does."

Leinenweber: "I thought you said there was a home rule Amendment on it?"

Giorgi: "Oh, no, I thought you said that."

Leinenweber: "That's what I asked you, whether there was a home rule Amendment or....just Chicago and Cook were exempt."

Giorgi: "I think if you read the Bill it has to do with population. Cities of over 500,000 are exempt."

Leinenweber: "Well, Mr. Speaker, apparently, for a tenant to sit would be a violation of both the Housing Authorities



Act, Section 5, and Section 3 of the Corrupt Practices Act. The Bill just barely squeaked out of Senate and apparently squeaked out of Committee too, so, I think probably that tells us something."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Winnebago to close."

Giorgi: "Well, Mr. Speaker, it's been the consensus of this General Assembly to put people that are effected on various boards throughout the entire State of Illinois. This does not mandate the Mayor has to appoint a tenant, this doesn't mandate that the County Board Chairman has to mandate a tenant. All it says is it allows tenants to sit on housing boards that effect their futures, effect their lives, that effects their economic conditions.... it's a very good Bill, it is a humanitarian Bill, one of the few Bills, I think, we can be proud to vote for this Session."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, shall Senate Bill 354 pass? All those in favor will vote 'aye', all those opposed will vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? Have all.....The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Matijevich, to explain his vote."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, again, I think, we ought to talk about the common sense of who should be appointed....at least the ability to appoint whom. I think you would be discriminating on somebody if you said because of where they live, that they cannot be appointed to such authority. After all, we are only talking about one on the authority. I think it just makes common sense that the appointing authority, whoever he or she may be, if they so wish to, ought to be able to appoint a tenant. To me it just makes common sense and therefore I think you ought to vote 'aye' on this Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Lady from Winnebago, Representative Martin to explain her vote."



Martin, Lynn: "I would like the General Assembly to know this is not a partisan Bill....even though Representative Giorgi is proud of that Democratic man. He is correct, part of the problem in housing authorities is not enough input. This does not force the Mayor to appoint a person, but it does give the home rule units some freedom. It is a good Bill, it should be a bipartisan Bill. I would ask you to support Representative Giorgi's good Bill for..... not just for Rockford but for the other home rule units in the state."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Lady from St. Clair, Mrs. Younge."

Younge: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I think it's very important that this Bill pass. It would permit... it would be permissive....it would permit the Mayor or the appointing authority of a public housing authority to appoint one tenant to the housing board. One tenant to the housing board. A tenant would represent the point of view of those persons who live within the housing authority and I think that that's terribly important. That tenant could talk in terms of maintenance. That tenant could talk in terms of cleanliness and order and I think that is important, at least to have one voice on the board that represents the interests of the tenants. As I understand the ruling by the Supreme Court, it said that, in order for a tenant to serve there would have to be enabling legislation passed. That's why this Bill is here. Only the General Assembly can pass such enabling legislation. I don't think that there is any likelihood of any conflict of interest for several reasons. A tenant, being a poor person, would have no interest in the contractual matters of"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Kindly bring your remarks to a close."

Younge: "...before the board and secondly, in the question of rent, the rents are set in Washington...by regulation. So there can't possibly be any conflict of interest. I



ask for your support of this matter."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Madison."

Madison: "Well, Mr. Speaker, in all deference to the Lady from East St. Louis, I can't support this Bill for one basic reason. It's discriminatory, it discriminates against the City of Chicago. There are more public housing centers in the City of Chicago than the rest of the state combined. There's no reason under the sun why they shouldn't have the same option and until this Bill is amended to include the City of Chicago, I can't support it."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 95 'ayes', 56 'nays', 5 recorded as 'present', this Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 432, Representative Leverenz."

(See special request, next page.)



Speaker Lechowicz: "Senate Bill 432, Representative Leverenz."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 432. A Bill for an Act to make an appropriation for the painting of a portrait of former Governor Daniel Walker. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. As the Clerk has so indicated, this would appropriate twenty-five hundred dollars to have the portrait painted of former Governor Walker. I ask for your green vote and your support."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, shall Senate Bill 432 pass? All those in favor will vote 'aye', all those opposed will vote 'nay'. The Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Well, I was going to ask the Sponsor what the portrait was going to show whether he was going to have walking shoes and a bandanna or the jeep, a couple of six guns or a knife or what?"

Leverenz: "Let the record show that Representative Giorgi has expressed his personal opinion and his remarks could or could not be taken into consideration as to the painting of the portrait. And that aside, I still ask for your favorable support of the Bill."

Giorgi: "I'll vote for it."

Leverenz: "Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Jones."

E. Jones: "Yes, in explaining my vote, I was going to ask the Sponsor, is this the picture of the next Governor?"

Leverenz: "That may or may not be the case depending upon your personal endorsement."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 124 'ayes', 13 'nays', 9 recorded as 'present'. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 484. The Lady from LaSalle, Representative Hoxsey."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 484. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act making an appropriation for certain state agencies. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mrs. Hoxsey."



Hoxsey: "Mr. Chairman, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is simply an appropriation transfer within the fiscal year 77 appropriation.....to the Department of Financial Institutions and does not change the total amount appropriated at all. I ask your vote."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is there any discussion? The question is, shall Senate Bill 484 pass? All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'nay'. Dawson...Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 147 'ayes', no 'nays', one recorded as 'present'. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 494, Representative Ebbesen."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 494, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Local Government Affairs, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from DeKalb, Representative Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is exactly the same type of Bill that we just passed. It's supplemental transfer appropriation within the Department of Local Governmental Affairs and doesn't change the bottom line. Appreciate a favorable vote."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The question is, shall Senate Bill 494 pass? All those in favor will vote 'aye', all those opposed will vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 151 'ayes', one 'nay', none recorded as 'present'. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Terzich. For what purpose do you seek recognition?"

Terzich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,



I'd like to take this opportunity to introduce a young man who spent many many years in the Illinois General Assembly, and a former Representative of the 25th District, which made it such a great district, and it give me great pleasure to introduce a good friend of all of us, Representative Mickey McDermott. Mickey, nice having you here with us."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Welcome back, Mickey, it's good seeing you. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Capparelli, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

Capparelli: "Thank you. Mr. Speaker, I just received another Bill for Executive Committee. I would like to suspend the posting rule to haveHouse Bill 1028 heard today."

Speaker Lechowicz: "He asks leave to have House Bill 1028 posted for the Executive Committee today. Is there any objection? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Senate Bill or House Bill?"

Capparelli: "Senate Bill. Senate Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "He stands corrected. Senate Bill 1028. Hearing none. It'll be posted. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Walsh on Senate Bill 565. Representative Walsh."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 565, ...William D. Walsh...."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Excuse me... Mr. Walsh..."

Clerk O'Brien: "A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Sanitary District Act, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Senate Bill 565..."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, I wonder if we could take Senate Bill 565 and 566 together?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is there objection? Hearing none, the Clerk will read Senate Bill 566."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 566, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Sanitary District Act, Third Reading of the Bill."



Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 565 permits sanitary districts organized under the 1917 Act to increase salaries for trustees from \$1000 to \$3000. It is permissive and it is a maximum, not a minimum. Senate Bill 566 does the same thing for sanitary districts organized under the 1936 Act. I urge your support."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is there any discussion? The question is, shall Senate Bill 565 and 566 pass? All those in favor will vote 'aye'; all those opposed will vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Gentleman from Madison, Representative Byers to explain his vote."

Byers: "Mr. Speaker, I didn't get a chance to ask a question of the Sponsor but I think these are the Bills that were ...that Representative Pierce had an Amendment to, that were abruptly tabled the other day. I think a 'no' vote would be in order."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 116 'ayes'; 14 'nays'; 6 recorded as 'present'. These Bills having received the Constitutional Majority are hereby declared passed. Would you kindly...Representative Schoeberlein asks leave to be recorded as 'aye' on those two Bills. So recorded. Senate Bill 697, Representative Greiman."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 697, a Bill for an Act to create the Domestic Relations Act, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Take it out of the record. Senate Bill 700, Representative Wicoff."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 700, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Architectural Act, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Champaign, Representative Wikoff."



Wikoff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 700...the purpose of this Act is to bring the Illinois Registration Act into conformity with the National Congress of Architectural Registration boards. I know of no opposition to it. It passed the House....or the Senate on a 51 to one majority. Failure to enact this Legislation could result in the loss of reciprocity for Illinois architects in other states. I'd ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The Gentleman from Macon, Representative Dunn."

Dunn: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "He indicates he will."

Dunn: "Did I understand you to say that the professional architects in the State of Illinois and whatever the appropriate name of their society is, support this Bill?"

Wikoff: "Yes, they do."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any further discussion? The question.... the Gentleman from Champaign wish to close?"

Wikoff: "All I ask for is a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, shall Senate Bill 700 pass. All those in favor will vote 'aye', all opposed will vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 141 'ayes'; no 'nays'; one recorded as 'present'. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 710, Representative Von Boeckman."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 710, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation to county zoning, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Is he there? Take the Bill out of the record, please. Senate Bill 793, Representative Steczko."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 793, a Bill for an Act in relation to certain instruments of credit, Third Reading of the Bill."



Steczo: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. Senate Bill 793, which was sponsored in the Senate by Senator Maragos, creates the unsolicited Credit Card Act of 1977, which provides that credit cards may be issued to consumers only if the card issuance is a response to an application or if the card is being renewed or replaced. The Bill is needed in order to protect consumers and businesses from the fraudulent use of credit cards. It received a ten to nothing vote in the Senate Committee; 54 to nothing on the Senate floor; 17 to nothing in the House Committee. It's supported by the Illinois Bankers Association, the Independent Community Banks of Illinois, and I would urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "He indicates he will."

Schlickman: "Does this Bill prohibit the unsolicited distribution of credit cards?"

Steczo: "It prohibits the unsolicited distribution unless specifically applied for, or a card sent to a person as a replacement card or what have you...a substitute card. As in the case of BankAmericard and Visa."

Schlickman: "So the Play Boy Club would no longer be able to issue credit cards that haven't been solicited?"

Steczo: "Absolutely. And charge a ten dollar service fee following that."

Schlickman: "Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, shall Senate Bill 793 pass? All those in favor will vote 'aye', all those opposed will vote 'nay'. Dawson...Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 147 'ayes', no 'nays', one recorded as 'present'. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Senate



Bill 826, Representative Stuffle."

Clerk Hall: "Senate Bill 826, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Pension Code, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Take it out of the record. Senate Bill 870, Representative Sandquist. Take it out of the record. Senate Bill 903, Representative Deuster. 903."

Clerk Hall: "Senate Bill 903, a Bill for an Act to add Sections to an Act revising the law in relation to counties, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Deuster."

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 903 is identical, for all intents and purposes, to a Bill that has passed this House and is over in the Senate now. What it does is simply make the law, with respect to plats in the NIPC counties the same as it is in all other parts of the State of Illinois. As I say, the House has already approved this change in the law and I would urge your support for Senate Bill 903."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Pierce."

Pierce: "Mr. Chairman, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is a very good Bill. We've had a problem in our county where municipalities with very fine subdivision ordinances and zoning ordinances and so on have, after careful study and months and years of hearing approved subdivisions, only to have the plat department of the county board, reject them out of hand, without any hearings for some unexplained ...explicable reason. These are subdivisions within municipal limits, including home rule communities, some of them not home rule communities, and therefore this Bill is very much needed in order to stop this abuse that has been suffered by our municipalities from the county board plat committee..."



Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Deuster, to close."

Deuster: "Representative Pierce has explained it very well. We will appreciate your 'yes' vote on Senate Bill 903."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, shall Senate Bill 903 pass? All those in favor will vote 'aye', all those opposed will vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 143 'ayes'; no 'nays'; 2 recorded as 'present'. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 979, Representative Dan Houlihan. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1137, Representative Wikoff. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1168, Representative Wikoff. 69...Out of the record. Senate Bill 1190, Representative Daniels. Read the Bill Clerk."

Clerk Hall: "Senate Bill 1190, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Revenue Act, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Daniels."

Daniels: "Yeah, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would ask leave that we handle 1190, 1192, 1194, 1195 and 1196 together. They are all similar Bills. They are very simple Bills that only amend various Acts..... call for changing 'Judge of the County Court', with the phrase 'Chief Judge of the Circuit Court'. It only amends old language, changing those in all of those Bills."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The Gentleman asks leave to hear 1192, 94, 95 and 96 as a package. Is there any objection? Hearing none, the Clerk will read the Bills."

Clerk Hall: "Senate Bill 1192, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the County Detention Home Act, Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1194, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Drainage Code, Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1195, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act in regard to evidence and depositions,



Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1196, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act to provide for the proper care and management of county cemetery grounds, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Will, Representative Leinenweber, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

Leinenweber: "I'd like to ask the Sponsor a question. He explained the Bills and I'd like to ask him a question."

Speaker Lechowicz: "He indicates he'll yield."

Leinenweber: "Lee, why do you change it from 'Judge of the County Court', to 'Chief Judge of the Circuit Court'. Why not just circuit judge?"

Daniels: "The various Bills are sponsored by the circuit judges throughout the State of Illinois and their association. That's the language that they asked be inserted because the appointment power comes through the Chief Judge of the Circuit Court. The precise language, Representative Leinenweber, like in Senate Bill 1190, is Chief Judge of the Circuit Court or any judge of that circuit designated by the Chief Judge."

Leinenweber: "The reason I asked was that we did pass a Bill some time ago for expungement of records which stated that you had to present the order to the Chief Judge of the Circuit and in downstate circuits which are more than one county, this involves a rather laborious process. For example; in my circuit we had to go from Will County all the way to Iroquois, which is over one hundred miles, in order to get an order entered expunging a record. Are any of these Acts, which you're amending, of a ministerial character such as that, that would require us to go to the....seek out the chief judge rather than a circuit judge?"

Daniels: "No, they wouldn't. In these particular Bills, like in Senate Bill 1194, will require the circuit court, which presently says the county court, to hold proceedings



concerning delinquent drainage assessment. So, in that regard, we wouldn't have any concern whatsoever. This is sponsored by the Circuit Court Judges Association, and I'm sure they covered that aspect in concern with the question that you raised."

Leinenweber: "Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Beatty."

Beatty: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "He indicates that he will."

Beatty: "You may have answered this but does this change switch the power in any way from the county judge to the chief judge?"

Daniels: "Well, it eliminates the word county court, or the word county court in the various Bills. It really doesn't change the powers at all. All we're attempting to do is alleviate old language from the Statutes. It's really an administrative Bill."

Beatty: "You discussed this with the judges and they are happy with what you are doing?"

Daniels: "This is their Bill. These are Sponsored by them."

Beatty: "All right."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Yes, Mr. Daniels, in downstate counties, why are there more county judges than there are counties?"

Daniels: "I don't know. I'd get Judge Gulley over here to talk to you about it. Representative McClain is looking at a judgeship and I think he could answer that too."

Giorgi: "But why....Can't you explain why there are more county judges than counties?"

Daniels: "Why there are more county judges than counties?"

Giorgi: "That's right, in downstate Illinois."

Daniels: "It's probably because downstate Illinois would like to have as many county judges as they can and they try to get



more into their...."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Lady from Lake, Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "A question of the Sponsor."

Speaker Lechowicz: "He indicates he will yield."

Geo-Karis: "Since the..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "One moment, please. Can we have a little order, please? Please continue, ma'am."

Geo-Karis: "Since the 'Blue Ballot Amendment' was passed by the voters of Illinois we have no such thing now as an actual county court, isn't that right?"

Daniels: "That is correct."

Geo-Karis: "So what your Bill is trying to do is really eliminate the obsolete references to county judges.... they are either associate judges or circuit court judges. Is that correct?"

Daniels: "That is correct."

Geo-Karis: "I rise, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, to speak in favor of this well intended Bill and a well needed Bill. There's too much confusion now in the Statutes because, frankly, our Illinois Statutes are the worst mess I've ever seenfor legislative action, because they really are not too clear..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Thank you Ma'am..."

Geo-Karis: "This would clarify that and I think it's a very good Bill. I don't know why we're wasting so much time debating it?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, shall Senate Bill 1190, 92, 94, 95, 96 pass? All those in favor will vote 'aye'. All those opposed will vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. Senate Bill 965, Representative Kozubowski. On this question there's 147 'ayes', one 'nay', none recorded as 'present', this Bill hav....these Bills having received the Constitutional Majority are hereby declared



passed."

Clerk Hall: "Senate Bill 965,"

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Kozubowski. Want to read the Bill, Clerk?"

Clerk Hall: "Senate Bill 965, a Bill for an Act to establish services and mileage fees for sheriffs of counties having more than 1,000,000 inhabitants, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Kozubowski."

Kozubowski: "Thank you very much. Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'm handling Senate Bill 965 for Representative Lechowicz, who is in the Chair presently. Senate Bill 965 establishes service and mileage fees for sheriffs of counties having over 1,000,000 in population. This Bill does not address itself to either school districts or units of local government and I would ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The Gentleman from Macon, Representative Dunn."

Dunn: "I'd like to ask the Sponsor a question, please."

Speaker Lechowicz: "He indicates he'll yield."

Dunn: "What is the amount of the increase contained in this Bill?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "The very minimum. Representative Kozubowski, do you want to go through the fee schedule?"

Kozubowski: "Yes, I can read you the fee schedule if you wish. For summoning each juror, \$2; For taking all bond or legal process, \$2; For taking special bail, \$2; For returning each writ of process, \$3; For committing each prisoner to jail under the laws of the U. S., \$1; For dieting such prisoner, the compensation shall cover actual cost affixed by the county board; All other services \$4; and 15 cents per mile."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any further discussion? The Lady from Cook, Miss Pullen."



Pullen: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I have a couple of problems with this Bill. The first one is, that there is already an existing Act on this subject and this Bill would enact a new Act instead of amending the existing Act. I think that's poor legislative policy and I don't understand why it's being done. Second problem I have with it is that it seems to me that this would be a limitation on home rule power in Cook County. I think therefore, by the way, that it should take 107 votes. This house has been very reluctant to limit the home rule power in Cook County and I don't see why we should start with this Bill. Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "It concurs with the home rule ordinance. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "He indicates he will."

Schlickman: "The sheriff presently has statutory authority with respect to charging fees and I'd like to know, as a follow-up to the inquiry from the Lady from Cook, as to what is the difference and why aren't you just amending the existing law, rather than coming up with a new Act and preserving, without repealing, the existing law?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "This concurs with the home rule ordinance."

Schlickman: "I don't know ...Well; I don't know that the Chair has the authority to speak to an issue."

Speaker Lechowicz: "It's my Bill."

Schlickman: "Well, then, get on the floor."

Speaker Lechowicz: "All right."

Clerk Hall: "Representative Kosinski in the Chair."

Kosinski in Chair.....

Unknown: "I think I'd rather have the Gentleman who just left."

Speaker Kosinski: "As soon as I figure out how to run this we'll go. Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Yes, Sir. In answer..in response to Representative



Schlickman's inquiry. It was discussed with Herman, now the attorney for the County, in reference to whether we should have the new Act or a ...amend the existing Act. If you read the old Act and the new Act, the only difference is in the fee schedule and that was at the request of the attorney for Cook County that they decided that it should be a new Act because this Bill concurs with the Cook County ordinance, which was adopted by Cook County...under home rule....as far as the fee schedules that are contained in this Bill."

Schlickman: "Ted, you just muddied the water. What is the ordinance that you make reference to?"

Lechowicz: "Do you want to give me my file, Wally? Cook County Ordinance: '...and in turn, there was a question whether they could do it by home rule powers...' ...They have been collecting this fee and the money has been deposited in an account and this will in turn resolve that situation. Why don't we just go on to the background of it? 'Cook County wanted to raise its charge fees so that it would be on the same level as counties of the first class. As inflation has made the fees of Cook County charged inadequate to cover actual expenses; Cook County passed an ordinance, using its home rule powers, on December 1, 1975. An injunction and court case arose from this action with plaintiffs contesting the counties where they do this under home rule authority. Senate Bill 965 is an effort to make the sheriff's fees valid by a statutory authorization. Senate Bill 965 effects Cook County only. The fees determined within the Bill do not apply to units of local government or school districts.' This was raised in Committee by Mrs. Reed and in turn it was contained in the old Act. We adopted that Amendment on the floor just the other day. The county is currently charging the higher fees and depositing the amounts that represent the increase over previous fees, in an escrow



account. Now, as far as the former fees, you are actually increasing them by approximately a dollar, as contained in Senate Bill 965, on lines 7 through 35."

Schlickman: "I'm not concerned about the substance, I'm just concerned about the procedure. I still have to wonder why either the existing statutory authority isn't being amended or, in the alternative with the new Act, you're not repealing the existing statutory authority so that we don't have two different laws on the books regulating the same thing but providing for different substance?"

Lechowicz: "Again, at the request of the county and its attorney, they thought that this would be the best procedure."

Schlickman: "Well, I respect the attorney for the county, but you haven't answered the question. If there is presently existing statutory authority to the Sheriff of Cook, for the letting of fees, and if that fee schedule provides for one thing and then you have a Bill here which would create a new Act providing for a different fee schedule and this gets passed and approved, there will be, apparently, conflicting statutory authority with respect to the Sheriff of Cook letting fees."

Lechowicz: "No, I don't believe so. All that this Bill is doing is concurring with the Cook County ordinance which was adopted on December 1, 1975."

Kosinski: "Mr. Ebbesen on..."

Schlickman: "I wish you'd have stayed on the Chair, Teddy. I'm confused more than I was previously."

Kosinski: "Mr. Ebbesen, for what purpose do you wish to be recognized?"

Ebbesen: "Well, I move the previous question."

Kosinski: "The question is, shall Senate Bill 965 pass? All those wishing its passage vote in the affirmative, opposed vote 'no'. Clerk, call the Roll. Have all voted who wish? Mr. Lechowicz."



Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I really don't see the tremendous amount of opposition to this Bill. What we're doing is making an adjustment for the inflationary process which unfortunately effects all counties. It's a very very negligible amount and in turn it's in concurrence with what the county did. I only ask for an 'aye' vote. Thank you."

Kosinski: "Have all those voted who wish? Have all those voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question there are 114 voting 'yes'; 13 voting 'no'; and Senate Bill 965 is passed."

Lechowicz in Chair....

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Kosinski asks leave to be recorded on Senate Bill 965. Leave being granted, the Gentleman will be recorded as 'aye'. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Madigan. Mr. Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, we are ready to recess, I believe, until this evening. The Clerk needs five minutes. The Committees can meet as scheduled at 2 o'clock, immediately and 4 o'clock at 4 o'clock...."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Madigan, do you want to hold that ...so we can get to announcements?"

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, do we have a time set to reconvene tonight?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Seven o'clock."

Madigan: "Fine. Announcements."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any announcements? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Capparelli."

Capparelli: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, all Members of the Executive Committee will meet exactly right after adjournment. Please be there and be prompt. Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Ewell."



Ewell: "Mr. Speaker, will all the Members of Higher Education meet. We have a couple of Bills. We expect to be out very shortly so don't linger, don't tarry, this is the last meeting. We don't think it'll be a very long meeting. It should be a very very short meeting. So if all Members would come promptly we can take care of our business and de...."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Jaffe."

Jaffe: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, Human Resources will be meeting in Room C-1, immediately after adjournment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Doyle."

Doyle: "Mr. Speaker, will you record me as voting 'aye' on House Bill....Senate Bill 965?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Does the Gentleman have leave? The Gentleman will be so recorded. The Gentleman from St. Clair, Representative Flinn."

Flinn: "Mr. Speaker, the Environmental Energy and Natural Resources Committee will be meeting sharply at 4 p.m. in Room 118. I understand this was not on the regular Calendar.... even though there was a Supplemental Calendar put out and I ask all the Members to be there in attendance and we'll get out of that meeting in a hurry."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Fulton, Representative Schisler."

Schisler: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The House Agricultural Committee will meet immediately, in Room 118; we have two Bills."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Representative Ryan, do you have any excused absences today? Representative Ryan. George Ryan.....in Lechowicz's Chair. Any excused absences?"

Ryan: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, thank you. Representative McAvoy, because of illness...in the hospital and Representative



Conti, due to a death in the family."

Speaker Lechowicz: "So recorded. Representative Madigan, any excused absences?"

Madigan: "If it hasn't been done already, would the record show that Representative Kornowicz is excused because of illness and that Representative Chapman is excused because she is attending the annual meeting of the Education Commission of the state; yesterday, today, Thursday and Friday...and she wishes it to be recorded that she is the Speaker's appointment to the contact of state Education officers, legislators and Governors, exceeding Representative Clabaugh."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any further announcements? Representative Madigan on the recess motion."

Madigan: "I move that we recess until 7 o'clock tonight, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman moves that we recess until 7 o'clock this evening...after a five minute Perfunct. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', 'aye'; all those opposed....we're in recess..."

Clerk Hall: "Committee Report. Representative DiPrima, Chairman of the Committee on Veterans' Affairs, Registration and Regulation, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken June 14, 1977, reported back the same with the following recommendation. 'Do pass' Senate Bills 509, 949, 1174, 1219, 1264, 1271. 'Do not pass', Sennate Bill 19. 'Do pass, as amended', Senate Bill 505, 626, 762, 1092. 'Do pass, Consent Calendar', Senate Bills 717, 1164, 1330. Representative E. M. Barnes, Chairman from the Committee on Appropriations II, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken June 14, 1977, report the same back with the following recommendation; 'Do pass', House Bill 160, 2269, 2378, Senate Bill 210, 338, 363, 905. 'Do pass, as amended', House Bill 689, 973, 2361; Senate Bills, 322, 323, and 880. Representative



Laurino, Chairman for the Committee on Elections, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken June 14, 1977, report the same back with the following recommendations: 'Do pass', Senate Bill 29, 668, 963, 964, 998, 1145, 1378. 'Do pass, as amended', Senate Bill 1149, 1177, 1358. 'Do pass, Consent Calendar', Senate Bill 1204, 1290. Representative McLendon, Chairman from Committee on Personnel and Pensions, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken June 14, 1977, report the same back with the following recommendations: 'Do pass', Senate Bill 345, 751; 'Do pass, as amended', Senate Bill 533, 1121; 'Do pass, Consent Calendar', Senate Bill 450, 908, 1119. Representative Yourell, Chairman from the Committee on Counties and Townships, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken June 14, 1977, report the same back with the following recommendations: 'Do pass', Senate Bill 312, 537, 807, 823, 878, 948 and 1033. 'Do not pass', Senate Bill 1332. 'Do pass, as amended', Senate Bill 203, 347, 382. 'Do pass, Consent Calendar', House Bill 169. Senate Bills 474, 1122, 1123 and 1197. Representative Jim Houlihan, Chairman from the Committee on State Government Organization, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken on June 15, 1977, report the same back with the following recommendations: 'Do pass', Senate Bill 944. 'Do pass, as amended', Senate Bill 1142. Representative Caldwell, Chairman from the Committee on Public Utilities, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken June 14, 1977, report the same back with the following recommendations: 'Do pass', Senate Bill 845, 915, 917 and Senate Bill 1314. 'Do not pass', Senate Bill 713. 'Do pass as amended', Senate Bill 621, 868. No further business, the House stands in recess until 7:00 p.m."

RECESS



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Doorkeeper: "Attention Members of the House, the House will reconvene in fifteen minutes.

Attention Members of the House, the House will reconvene in five minutes.

All persons not entitled to the House floor please retire to the gallery.

Speaker Redmond: "The House will come to order. Members please be in their seats. Representative Geo-Karis is in the Chambers. Committee Report."

Clerk O'Brien: "Representative Schisler, Chairman of the Committee on Agriculture, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken June 15, 1977, reported the same back with the following recommendations: 'Do pass, Consent Calendar', Senate Bill 715. 'Do pass, as amended, Consent Calendar', Senate Bill 673. Representative Capparelli, Chairman of the Committee on Executives, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken June 15, 1977, reported the same back with the following recommendations: 'Do pass', Senate Bill 119, 122, 123, 124 and 127. 'Do pass, Consent Calendar', Senate Bill 95, 96, 97, 98, 99, 100, 101, 102, 103, 104, 105, 106, 107, 108, 109, 110, 111, 112, 114, 115, 116, 117, 118, 120, and 121. Representative Ewell, Chairman of the Committee on Higher Education, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken June 15, 1977, reported the same back with the following recommendations: 'Do pass and rereferred', Senate Bill 830."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Daniels, for what purpose do you arise?"

Daniels: "I was wondering if we could go directly to an order of business that won't take very long, Senate Bill 600? Maybe we could dispose of that right now and leave for the Attendance Roll Call?"

Speaker Redmond: "Committee Report."



Clerk O'Brien: "Representative Capparelli, Chairman of the Committee on Executives, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken June 15, 1977, reported the same back with the following recommendations: 'Do pass', Senate Bill 128, 129, 131, 132, 133, 134, 135, 136, 137, 138, 139, 144, 145, 146. 'Do pass, Consent Calendar', Senate Bills 130, 140, 141, 143, 201, 231, 239, 310, 606, 641, 687, 741, 778, 900, 932 and 933."

Speaker Redmond: "Has everybody seen Representative Jacobs' new coat? Representative Matijevich to announce the converts...."

Matijevich: "You know I think it's very vital that you try to get Representative Roscoe Cunningham here because the clock is out of order again. We need him badly."

Speaker Redmond: "Thank you for calling it to my attention. Roscoe, wherever you may be, our clock is now two hours slow.....and that's really not like the Gentleman from Lawrence. Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'm sure many of us would have liked to have gone out to dinner and had a very nice, pleasant and restful time, but you said 7 o'clock tonight and we're here, those of us who are here. I would suggest that you send a posse out to get the rest of the boys and girls back into the saddle so that we can ride out of here before 10 o'clock tonight. I've got guests here from my constituency and I would like to take them to dinner and I hope I don't have to do it after 10 or 11 o'clock."

Speaker Redmond: "That's a good point and for that reason I have sent the skirmishers out to all of the libraries and museums and the institutes to see that..."

Geo-Karis: "If you think you are going to find them in the libraries and the museums....you're even more naive than I thought you were."

Speaker Redmond: "You'll find the Democrats there, I don't know"



where the Republicans will be."

Geo-Karis: "The Republicans are probably their source of material...."

Speaker Redmond: "Everybody seen Mark O'Brien's new haircut, skin-top? Committee Report."

Clerk O'Brien: "Representative Capparelli, Chairman of the Committee on Executives, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken June 15, 1977, reported the same back with the following recommendation: 'Do pass', Senate Bills...229, 303, 573, 597, 623, 732, 894, 975, 1023, 1028, 1188 and 1299. 'Do not pass', Senate Bills 730 and 1331. 'Do pass, as amended', Senate Bill 30, 159, 266, 458, 735, 1202, 1296 and 1298. 'Do pass, Consent Calendar', Senate Bills 125, 590, 1089, 1097 and 1300. Executive... 'Do pass, as amended, Consent Calendar', Senate Bill 123 and 594. 'Be adopted', House Resolution 154....."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mahar."

Mahar: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. As the principal House Sponsor of Senate Bill 894, I ask leave of the House to be removed as principal House Sponsor and Representative Kozubowski be placed in my place."

Speaker Redmond: "Does the Gentleman have leave? Hearing no objection, leave is granted. A request from Senator Weaver has also come into the Clerk's office. Senate Bills, Second Reading. On Senate Bills, Second Reading, appears Senate Bill 66."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 66, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Municipal Code, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor? Is Representative Skinner on the floor? Representative Adams, for what purpose do you arise?"

Adams: "There's an Amendment to that."

Speaker Redmond: "I know, but Representative Skinner isn't on



the floor? Is this your Amendment, Mr. Adams?"

Adams: "Sumner's Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Sumner, is this your Amendment?"

Sumner: "Yes, it is."

Speaker Redmond: "Have you discussed it with Representative Skinner?"

Sumner: "Yes, I have."

Speaker Redmond: "Is it satisfactory?"

Sumner: "Yes, he was ready to go with it but he is not here."

Speaker Redmond: "Read the Amendment, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1 was failed in Committee. Floor Amendment #2, Sumner, amends Senate Bill 66 on page one, line one and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Sumner."

Sumner: "What this Amendment does is"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Houlihan. Dan Houlihan."

Houlihan, Dan: "I wonder if the Sponsor could hold the Amendment for a moment. Our staff person here has raised a question about the Amendment. We'd like to talk to Representative Sumner about it first."

Sumner: "Okay. Fine."

Speaker Redmond: "Take that one out then. How about 73?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 73, a Bill..."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any Amendment on that?"

Clerk O'Brien: "...a Bill for an Act to amend the Criminal Code, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1, Johnson,...."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Houlihan, are you familiar with the Amendment on 73? This was taken out of the record earlier."

Houlihan: "It was taken out because we didn't have the file. As far as we know, there is no Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there a floor Amendment?"



Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, Johnson,..."

Houlihan: "That we haven't seen. Floor Amendment. Is it printed, Mr. Clerk?"

Speaker Redmond: "It has been distributed I understand. Joe, has the Amendment been distributed? 73."

Clerk O'Brien: "...Amends Senate Bill 73 on page one, line 32, by deleting 'Class C' and inserting in lieu thereof, 'Class A'."

Houlihan: "No problem with the Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill...Senate Bill 73. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye'; opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 85."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 85, a Bill for an Act making an appropriation to the Capital Development Board, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Why was that one taken out before, do you know? Is it all right to shoot now? Third Reading. 152."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 152, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Unemployment Insurance Act, Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motions or floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed. Floor Amendment #2,..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Greiman on the floor? Representative Mann, are you familiar with the Amendment to 152, Representative Greiman's Bill? Representative Houlihan. Dan Houlihan."

Houlihan, Dan: "Apparently what the Amendment does, it simply adopts the provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act. It's a familiar Amendment, that Representative Greiman has placed on a series of Bills and we have no objection to the



Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Houlihan has moved the adoption of Amendment #2. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye'; opposed 'no'; the 'ayes', have it. Representative Daniels, for what purpose do you arise?"

Daniels: "Was that Amendment distributed?"

Speaker Redmond: "A month ago. A month ago, they tell me. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. Representative Jacobs, for what purpose do you arise?"

Jacobs: "Mr. Speaker, the last Amendment on 152. I don't remember of being...telling us what it did."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Houlihan explained the Amendment."

Jacobs: "I don't have the Amendment. Representative Giorgi..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Giorgi, for what purpose do you arise?"

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, the Amendment was the Amendment that I put on in Committee, Mr. Speaker....it was unanimous. The entire Committee voted for it."

Speaker Redmond: "Preference to what?"

Giorgi: "My Amendment..."

Speaker Redmond: "What we did with 152, was that there was no motion with respect to the Committee Amendment..."

Giorgi: "It was adopted in Committee..."

Speaker Redmond: "That is correct. Representative Greiman had a floor Amendment, which Representative Houlihan has just explained, is a familiar Amendment to which..."

Houlihan: "In the familiar Amendment....it simply adopts the provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act."

Giorgi: "That's all Amendment #2 does?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Jacobs."

Jacobs: "Yes, the reason I asked the question was, Representative Greiman had Amendment #1 and this shows Amendment #2 and I



was wondering which one of the two that we were on now?"

Speaker Redmond: "We're on #2 now. Representative Houlihan."

Houlihan, Dan: "I've just been informed by some of our other Members on this side of the aisle, although there is no problems in Amendment #2, which has been adopted....there are additional Amendments coming and we would ask that the Bill be held on Second Reading."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay. Leave it on the order of Second Reading. Representative Daniels."

Daniels: "I'd like to have a little further explanation on Amendment #2. He says it merely adopts the Administrative Procedure Act, but how does it effect Unemployment Compensation, by adopting the Administrative Procedure Act and we would like an explanation of it. Maybe we could hold this, you know, hold the adoption of the Amendment #2 until we...."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay. Okay. It's on the order of Second Reading. Representative Houlihan has had a change of heart and he moves that Amendment #2.....Well we don't want to table it....but.....be unadopted."

Houlihan, Dan: "I move to reconsider the vote by which Amendment #2 was adopted; having voted on the prevailing side."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Gentleman's motion to reconsider the vote. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye'; opposed 'no'; the 'ayes' have it, the vote is reconsidered. Take it out of the record. 286."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 286, a Bill for an Act to amend the Officer's Conflict of Interest Act, Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motions or Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "A motion to table Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 286."

Speaker Redmond: "Out of the record, Representative McPike? Representative McPike."

McPike: "Take this out of the record, please."

Speaker Redmond: "Out of the record. 294."



Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 294, a Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Illinois Legislative Investigating Committee, Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 and 2 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motion or floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "A motion to table Amendment #2, by Representative Jane Barnes."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, Jane Barnes has spoken on both sides of the aisle about this issue and....we...we have nothing as far as we are concerned, on the motion to table. We'll go along with it. She's talked to myself and the Majority Leader and I think she talked to the Minority Leader. We have no problem with this."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan, do you have any problem with this? Representative..."

Ryan: "Wait a minute, Mr. Speaker.."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Barnes....Jane Barnes has filed a motion to table Amendment #2."

Matijevich: "Any we're going along with it, George."

Speaker Redmond: "It is all right on the Democratic side."

Ryan: "That's all right. Fine."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the motion to table Amendment #2. Those in favor indicate by saying 'aye', 'aye'; opposed 'no'; the 'ayes' have it, the motion carries, Amendment #2 is tabled. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 327."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 327, a Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Department of Local Government Affairs, Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1, 2, 4 and 6 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any motions or Amendments from the floor? Representative Dan Houlihan."

Houlihan, Dan: "...Are those Amendments printed and distributed?"



We do not have copies of them."

Speaker Redmond: "Joe. Democratic Page.....I guess they aren't back from the printers, we'll have to take this one out of the record. 351."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 351, a Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Illinois Arts Council, Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 and 2 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Out of the record. Okay. 419. ...419."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 419, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code, Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motions or Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions. Amendment #2 failed in Committee. Floor Amendment #3, Deuster, amends Senate Bill 419 on page one, by deleting line 18 and inserting in lieu thereof the following."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Brady, are you seeking recognition?"

Brady: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, is Representative Hoffman on the floor whose Bill this is?"

Speaker Redmond: "He was here a little earlier but I don't see him here now."

Brady: "Well, we discussed in Committee, an Amendment that he was definitely going to put on this Bill and if he is not here I'd wish that we would hold the Bill and take it out of the record."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay. Out of the record. 429."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 429, a Bill for an Act to amend the Revenue Act, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor, or any motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 467."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 467, a Bill for an Act to amend



Sections of an Act to revise the law in relation to clerks of courts, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third.....Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, there's an Amendment being prepared for that Bill, could you hold it on Second Reading?"

Speaker Redmond: "Okay."

Madigan: "The Sponsor would agree."

Speaker Redmond: "Hold it on Second Reading. 624."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 624, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Criminal Code, Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motion or floor Amendment? Representative Dan Houlihan. Dan Houlihan."

Houlihan, Dan: "Amendment #1, which apparently was adopted in Committee, we do not have a copy of and I'm wondering if its been printed and distributed?"

Speaker Redmond: "Has Amendment #1 been distributed, Joe? No."

Houlihan, Dan: "Take it out of the record, please."

Speaker Redmond: "How about 629?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 629, a Bill for an Act to amend certain Acts in relation to reduced fares for public transportation. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Dan Houlihan."

Houlihan, Dan: "On this Bill, our staff has raised a question, I wonder if we could take it out until we have a chance to speak with the Sponsor?"

Speaker Redmond: "Out of the record. Your courier gave me awful bad advice a little bit earlier. 666."

Houlihan, Dan: "Who was that, McClain?"

Speaker Redmond: "Skin head."



Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 666, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Metropolitan Transit Authority Act..."

Speaker Redmond: "A fiscal note has not been filed. How about 693. What's the problem there?"

Unknown: "On that one there's an Amendment being prepared, if we could take it out of the record?"

Speaker Redmond: "720, the Sponsor doesn't want to move yet. 728. 720, Representative Mautino, are you ready on that?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 728, a Bill for an Act..."

Speaker Redmond: "720.....720....."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 720,"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mautino...."

Mautino: "Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would like leave of the House to table Amendment #2, which was adopted in the Labor and Commerce Committee, to Senate Bill 720. There's technical errors in the drafting and I will correct those with Amendment #5. I would like to have leave of the House to do so."

Speaker Redmond: "Hearing no objection, leave is granted, Amendment #2 is tabled."

Mautino: "Right."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further Amendments? 720."

Mautino: "#5. #3 and 4 were adopted in Committee. Mr. Speaker, I believe Amendment 1, Amendment 3 and Amendment 4 were adopted in Committee. I'd like to present Amendment #5."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 720, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Workmen's Compensation Act, Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1, 2, 3 and 4 were adopted in Committee..."

Mautino: "And I just tabled #2. I just tabled #2...."

Speaker Redmond: "Amendment #2 has been tabled. Any further Amendments, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #5, Mautino, amends Senate Bill 720, as amended, in Section 8, and so forth."



Mautino: "This Amendment is in lieu of Amendment #2. It is a clarifying Amendment that takes out the 133 percent escalation on the permanent partial benefits of the Workmen's Compensation Act. It also includes, by definition, those categories which will be increased by 133 percent under temporary total."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Mr. Speaker, can we take this Bill out of the record for now, please?"

Mautino: "This is the Amendment that I discussed with you earlier, Representative Ryan. This is the Amendment that I talked to you and Fred Tuerk about earlier."

Ryan: "That's fine. We can put the Amendment on if you'll leave it on Second Reading when you are through."

Mautino: "Yes, I'll leave it on Second Reading. Yes, Sir."

Ryan: "Fine. That's all right. Go ahead."

Mautino: "And it takes out the reference to the average weekly wage in manufacturing industries. That's what this Amendment does and I ask the adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved the adoption of the Amendment #5. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye'; opposed 'no'; the 'ayes' have it, the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "It'll be held on the order of Second Reading. On 720? Representative Mautino, there's been a request for a fiscal note. Did you know that, on 720?"

Mautino: "How would a fiscal note be applicable to a"

Speaker Redmond: "I have no idea but I've been advised Who filed the request? Okay, Representative Tuerk. 728."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 728, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Code of Criminal Procedure, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment 1, Getty, amends Senate Bill 728, as



follows, on page three, line eleven and fourteen by deleting 'b' and inserting in lieu there of 'b'." (This is what he said).

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Getty."

Getty: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, floor Amendment #1 puts the Bill in exactly the way that I described it would be, in Committee, when it was unanimously voted out and I would move for adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question is on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment #1. Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'; the 'ayes' have it and the motion carries and the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 743."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 743, a Bill for an Act to amend the Real Estate Brokers and Salesmen License Act, Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Dan Houlihan."

Houlihan, Dan: "I'm sorry. When you get through with this, Mr. Speaker, I have a motion with respect to one of the prior Bills."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motions or floor Amendments with respect to Senate Bill 743."

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions. Floor Amendment #2, DiPrima, amends Senate Bills 743 on page 4, line 23 and 27 and on page 5, lines 5, 7, 14, 33 and 35, by inserting 'sex' after race."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative DiPrima the Sponsor of this? Representative DiPrima on the floor? Out of the record. 1012. Representative Dan Houlihan."

Houlihan, Dan: "Mr. Speaker, one of the Bills we just previously considered was Senate Bill 720. After the Amendments were adopted, the Speaker ruled that the Bill would be



held on Second because a fiscal note had been filed. Mr. Speaker, we would like a ruling from the Chair as to the applicabilitythe fiscal note..."

Speaker Redmond: "That isn't the reason we held it on Second Reading. We held it on Second Reading because it was agreed upon by Representative Mautino, at the request of Representative Ryan. The last I saw of Representative Mautino, he was headed over there to talk to him."

Houlihan, Dan: "Well, pending that, may we have a ruling though about the fiscal note applicability? At such time that additional Amendments are considered we wouldn't want ..."

Speaker Redmond: "When the time comes there will be a way to handle that problem, but as long as the Sponsor agreed to hold it on Second...why.."

Houlihan, Dan: "I see..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mautino."

Mautino: "Mr. Speaker, I only agreed to that because Representative Ryan forgot that he agreed to the Amendment and I thought there was some problem at that point in time. I went over to see him and he did remember that Representative Tuerk is not here on the filing of the fiscal note. If that...if we get a decision on that, fine. There's no problem that I can see. I don't think it's applicable."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "I didn't know anything about the fiscal note, Mr. Speaker, and that's not the reason I asked for it to be held on Second. Regardless of the fiscal note, I'd still like to have the Bill held on Second. You can rule any way you want on the fiscal note. I would like to have the Bill held on Second and Representative Mautino agreed to that."

Speaker Redmond: "Now you have to make up your mind out there. Did Representative Mautino agree to hold it on the order of Second Reading?"

Mautino: "Just today, yes."



Speaker Redmond: "Well, today is still today, so we'll hold it on the order of Second Reading. 743 ...we can't do anything with. How about 1012 and 1019, Representative Mautino. 1012."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1012, a Bill for an Act to amend the Workmen's Compensation Act and the Workmen's Occupational Diseases Act, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mautino, what's your pleasure on 1012? 1012....No Committee Reports and no Committee Amendments or Amendments from the floor, is that correct, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No floor Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. That was taken out of the record earlier in the day and I don't know why but Okay, Third Reading. 1019"

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1019, a Bill for an Act to amend the Workmen's Compensation..."

Speaker Redmond: "Out of the record. Out of the record, request of the Sponsor. Representative Dan Houlihan."

Houlihan, Dan: "Mr. Speaker, one of the Bills that we previously passed over was Senate Bill 779. The Sponsor is willing now that that Bill be called, the Amendment has been prepared and it is distributed and we are ready to proceed with that Bill if it's convenient."

Speaker Redmond: "779."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 779, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act concerning discriminatory solicitation or inducement regarding real estate, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1, ..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Johnson."

Johnson: "Mr. Speaker, Amendment #1 is sponsored by Representative Madigan, Meyer, Beatty and myself. It is an



agreed Amendment, with the Sponsor of the Bill. What it does is make explicit the form of the notice to be provided for for those homeowners who do not wish to be solicited for either sale or for listing for sale, by a real estate broker or licensee. As I indicated, it is an agreed Amendment and I would ask for its adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment #1. Those in favor indicate by saying 'aye', 'aye'; opposed 'no'; the 'ayes' have it, the motion carries and the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1281. Gene Hoffman on the floor? Can we move with 1281? Out of the record. 1317. Representative Lauer."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1317, a Bill for an Act regarding conflicts of interest in relation to certain public contracts. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motions or floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions or floor Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading.Senate Bills, Third Reading. Senate Bills, Third Reading appears Senate Bill 1258."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1258, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act in relation to the adoption of persons, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Daniels."

Daniels: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 1258 amends the Adoption Law. One of the changes provides for a termination section where there has been two or more judicial determinations of child abuse. This law deals with the subject of child abuse. And the Bill has the support of the Catholic Charities of Illinois, Lutheran Welfare Service, the Adoption Committee, of



various bar associations and the Department of Children and Family Services. It passed the Senate at 54 to nothing and came out of the Committee unanimously and I'd ask for your favorable consideration."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schneider, do you seek recognition?"

Schneider: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I wasn't sure about the elements of child abuse. What exactly are you referring to in that expression?"

Daniels: "Yes, as you know, Representative Schneider, there are many provisions under the Adoption Law which provide for a finding of an unfit parent. This Bill adds Section (f), which two or more findings of physical abuse to any child, under the Juvenile Court Act, which means that it takes a judicial termination ...would result in a possible finding, by the court...on court determination, of child abuse, which could conceivably lead to a termination of parental rights. By court determination."

Schneider: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "Can't hear you?"

Geo-Karis: "Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Geo-Karis: "Looking at the Republican Staff Analysis and ...I take it this is an Amendment to the Adoption Act...and the first paragraph of the Staff Analysis says 'A parent found guilty of two or more instances of physical child abuse, or one instance of abuse resulting in death.' Well, if it results in death, I don't quite see how it fits. Would you please explain it to me. If he's dead, how can you adopt a child or keep him?"

Schneider: "Well, I'm looking at the Staff Analysis, which Section are you referring to?"

Geo-Karis: "I'm looking at the Staff Analysis in our Blue Book,



paragraph 1. Is that Senate Bill 1258...isn't it?"

Schneider: "Well, that would refer, obviously, to the other children....and not just to the child that was dead... obviously."

Geo-Karis: "I see. And...I take it then, by your Bill, you are...you are increasing the grounds."

Schneider: "That is correct. We are concerned with the subject of child abuse in that particular Section."

Geo-Karis: "In order to affect an adoption, is that right."

Schneider: "Exactly."

Geo-Karis: "Well, then, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd like to speak in favor of this Bill because I think that we've gone too long in this Legislature, closing our eyes, as to what constitutes good parentage, and I do feel that people who are addicted to unprescribed drugs, people who are so physically abusive of youngsters and people who fail to maintain contact, for one year, with the child in the care of an agency, don't really care about children and I think that those youngsters should be protected and helped and I think this is a good Bill and I urge complete support."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is, shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record, on this question there is 123 'aye' and 2 'no', and the Bill having received the Constitutional Majority hereby is declared passed. 1137."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1137, a Bill for an Act relating to the creation and management of forest preserve districts, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Wikoff."

Wikoff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Bill amends an Act to provide for the creation and management of forest preserve districts. It effects six counties in the State of Illinois. They have basically been traditionally created as



institutions whose primary function is to warehouse state land for later use. They can provide, it has been shown, they can provide valuable recreational functions for the purpose and so on and so forth. This passed the Senate on a 57 to nothing. It...as I said, it effects only six counties. It ...the only thing it does, it...they may issue revenue bonds for such purpose. It's permissive legislation. I would ask...."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question is, shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 127 'aye', and one 'no'. The Bill having...Representative Macdonald."

Macdonald: "There seems to be something wrong with my switch. I'd like to be recorded as 'aye', until we can figure this out."

Speaker Redmond: "On this question there's 128...Representative Macdonald desires to be recorded as 'aye'. 128 'aye' and one 'no', and the Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. 1168."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1168, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act concerning botonic gardens in forest preserve districts, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Wikoff."

Wikoff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This basically again is a forest preserve district. or...Bill. It effects only three counties, that being Macon, Champaign and Rock Island. The comments are that it is ...allows forest preserve districts between the population of 200,000 and 1,000,000 may tax up to point zero zero four eight percent without referendum for establishing and maintaining a forest preserve district but it does not amend the Section on taxing power and consequently forest preserve districts with populations between 100,000 and



200,000 can establish botonic gardens but cannot tax for that purpose. Again this Bill passed out of the Senate on a 57 to nothing"

Speaker Redmond: "Anybody rise in opposition? The question is, shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 131 'aye' and one 'no'. The Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. 1169."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1169, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act to provide for the creation and management of forest preserve districts, Third Reading of the Bill." (Clerk in error, this is SB-1169.)

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Wikoff."

Wikoff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is the last of the three Bills that I have as far as this is concerned. This affects only Champaign County. It was amended in the ...on the floor to bring the Act into compliance with the State Purchasing Act. It amends the Act to provide for the creation and management of forest preserve districts and it provides for the appointment of the Commissioners within that district. Representative Lechowicz and I discussed this on the floor, as far as the Amendment is concerned. It is now in compliance with the State Purchasing Act. It does not affect Cook County and basically changes the situation whereby the County Board Chairman would choose five Commissioners, for five year terms, with one appointed every year; which provides more continuity, with ...in office. It affects only Champaign County, which is my own county. I would ask for an affirmative Roll Call."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, I wonder if the Gentleman would answer a simple question? The question is, in any of these three



Bills, are we raising any taxes without a referendum?"

Wikoff: "No, Sir."

Skinner: "We're just expanding the authority of the forest preserve in a county your size, is that right?"

Wikoff: "Yes, that's right, Cal."

Skinner: "Okay. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "Yes."

Schlickman: "Under this Bill, as amended, what is the ceiling on noncompetitive purchases?"

Wikoff: "Twenty-five hundred dollars."

Schlickman: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? The question is, shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 145 'aye' and no 'nay'; and the Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. 1209. Representative Ewing on the floor? Representative Polk, for what purpose do you arise?"

Polk: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I believe a fiscal note has been filed on that Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "A what? 1209?"

Polk: "I was just checking with the Clerk. Has the fiscal note been filed? I just wanted to see if it had been filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Matijeovich."

Matijeovich: "Point of order. How can you attach a fiscal note on Third Reading?"

Speaker Redmond: "It's been filed."

Matijeovich: "On Second?"

Speaker Redmond: "....He said 'was filed'."

Matijeovich: "Oh! Filed. I thought..."

Speaker Redmond: "It's on the order of Third Reading. Representative Ewing, 1209."



Ewing: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. Are you calling that Bill?"

Speaker Redmond: "I'm calling that Bill."

Ewing: "Okay. You are on the floor."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1209, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ebbesen, for what purpose do you arise?"

Ebbesen: "Well, on priority of call, Mr. Speaker, that looks like it..."

Speaker Redmond: "You are absolutely correct, but somebody is interfering with my scrivener here and I just took the next one in line. As soon as he releases them...then I'll get right back where I belong. But"

Unknown: "You're doing a fine job, Mr. Speaker, proceed."

Speaker Redmond: "1209."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1209, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the School Code, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ewing."

Ewing: "Mr. Speaker, this Bill, as amended, provides that school boards who are receiving state aid under the Strayer-Hague formula, may apply these funds, which they receive, just like you do funds received under the Equalizer formula. Under the present system, those that are receiving Strayer-Hague formula, must apply these funds, first to educational expenditures. This is really more or less of a bookkeeping provision, which allows for the use of the Strayer-Hague funds. The Bill has also been amended, in the Education Committee, and this Amendment provides that those districts who have passed a referendum within.....get benefits from this referendum within one year instead of the two years, as is presently the law. I would be glad to answer any questions and the Sponsor of the Amendment, I think, would also."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? Representative Polk."

Polk: "Well, Mr. Speaker, this is an excellent Bill and I supported the Bill ...the original Bill...was prepared to



support the Bill in Committee, however, we did have an Amendment put on which completely changes the whole attitude in regard to the Bill. Now I asked the Sponsor to hold the Bill for another day and he apparently feels it is necessary to try to move it tonight. However the implications are....the fiscal implications of this Amendment is and could be horrendous. Now we don't..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Matijevich, for what purpose do you arise?"

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, I want to go back to that point of order someone raised on the priority of call. If you are going to call some other Bills....there are a lot of other Bills..."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay. We'll take this one out of the record then. We'll go back to 21....The next one in order."

Polk: "Mr. Speaker, why was that taken out of the record?"

Speaker Redmond: "Well, because ...it was out of order. And the reason that I went there was because I didn't have, my.....the Clerk here wasn't ...he was being distracted against the rules. I just took one that was right in that parcel there, thinking that maybe there was no problem with it, but I find out that there are problems with it, so we will go back to...."

Polk: "There will probably be problems with it whenever we get to it."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, yeah, but when it's in its proper sequence, then we can meet the problems, but they are correct now."

Polk: "All right."

Speaker Redmond: "21."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 21, a Bill for an Act that authorizes the Director of Mental Health and Developmental Disabilities to convey land in Alton, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McPike. McPike. Out of the record. 39, Representative Mautino."



Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill..."

Speaker Redmond: "Out of the record. 61, that's been passed.
79, E. M. Barnes. E. M. Barnes, 79."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 79, a Bill for an Act to amend
Sections of an Act creating the Department of Children
and Family Services, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "E. M. Barnes. 79. E. M. Barnes...."

Barnes, E. M.: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I'm sorry
I was distracted for a moment. Senate Bill 79, the
Synopsis is correct. This is a Bill that amends the
Children and Family Services Act. It provides that on
a temporary basis, that the Department can have a care-
taker put into a home, not to exceed twelve hours, for
temporarily.....to assist in taking care of children
when no parent, guardian or responsible relation is
available. The purpose of this Bill is to try to provide
continuity in in-home care for children who are tempor-
arily displaced. This would allow the Department to
temporarily put a caretaker in the home and not to have
to follow the procedure that is now followed where those
children would have to be taken and put into a foster-
care situation. I would move for the adoption of Senate
Bill 79."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Pullen."

Pullen: "Would the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will. Will the Gentleman standing between
Representative Pullen and the Chair please sit down.
Representative Ewing. Neff. Gene Hoffman. Ewing, Neff,
Gene Hoffman, please sit down."

Pullen: "Could we have a little order, maybe?"

Speaker Redmond: "Give the Lady order."

Pullen: "Does this Bill provide for the Department to be
authorized to do a criminal background check on the
people that they would send into these homes for these
children?"



Barnes, E. M.: "No, the Bill itself doesn't provide for that. There is a Bill that is providing for that for foster care in toto, this Bill, this particular Bill only addressed itself to the caretakers to go in on a temporary basis. It does not provide for the criminal check that you've questioned."

Pullen: "Would the caretakers in this Bill fall under the foster care parent provision of the Bill that does provide for criminal background check?"

Barnes, E. M.: "To the best of my knowledge, they would."

Pullen: "May I address the Bill, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Pullen: "I disagree with the Sponsor as to the coverage of the criminal background checks Bill, on this particular group of people. This Bill would allow the Department of Children and Family Services, about which we have heard so much lately, to put caretakers into homes where they believe that children are alone for a period of up to 12 hours. The caretakers would not have to be employees of the Department. There is no safeguard in this Bill to require or even authorize the Department to do a criminal background check on the authorized representatives they might send. In the case of foster care, there have been several incidents where it has been revealed lately that foster parents have criminal backgrounds that have been of destructive nature to the children whose charge they have control of. There is a Bill pending to allow the Department to do a criminal background check on foster parents because when the issue was raised the Department said they could not know whether someone had a criminal background or not because they had no authority to do a check. The caretakers that are provided for in this Bill, in my opinion, do not fall under that legislation. So while we are trying to take care of one situation, we might be creating a worse problem in sending people who



may have criminal backgrounds, into homes while the parents are away, for a period of up to 12 hours, with the Department having no authority to find out whether it is likely that the person does have a criminal background. I urge you to oppose this Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Wolf."

Wolf: "Would the Gentleman...Would the Gentleman yield for a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Wolf: "Representative Barnes, I'm sorry I don't have a copy of this Bill here, but I'm just looking at the Digest and could you explain a little more what the reason....why would they want to put somebody in the home? Why wouldn't they just take the child out and put him...hold him someplace in custody; if his or her parents were missing?"

Barnes, E. M.: "The reasoning for the Bill and what the Department was trying to address, was on a temporary basis, and that's what it is; on a temporary basis only, up to 12 hours, so that these children would not have to be processed in the manner they are now. They would have to be taken from the home, put into custody in some fashion; in Chicago, I would imagine the Audi Home, or something like that, or put into some kind of facility while they are temporarily detained. What the Department is trying to do here is to keep the continuity of the family together. To put in, on a temporary 12 hour maximum basis, a caretaker to take care of these children in their own homes, so they will not be processed in the manner they are now, where parents have problems, they have problems with the....The Department has problems with parents that come in and say 'why did you put my child into custody, and so forth'. When the only recourse that they have right now..."

Speaker Redmond: "To our guests in the Speaker's gallery, you do not have permission to take photographs. Will the doorkeepers please enforce the rules?"



Barnes, E. M.: ".....when the only thing the Department can do right now is to go through that method, when the police are summoned to the home, when they summon the Department they must take the children out of the home. This Bill is trying to remedy that temporary situation."

Wolf: "Two other quick questions. One, how long does a child have to be without parents before they send someone in, and second is, what happens after the 12 hours is up?"

Barnes, E. M.: "Well, right now, the way it stands, right now, the Department is notified by the local law....local police authority. There's no other way for the Department to find out that there's abandonment involved. When they are notified, it may be a temporarily.....as the Bill states, abandonment. They....under this..."

Wolf: "Mr. Speaker, I can't hear too well, Mr. Speaker....get a little order so Mr. Barnes can answer my question, please."

Speaker Redmond: "RepresentativePlease come to order....."

Barnes, E.M.: "As I started to indicate, where the Department comes into the picture now is when the law enforcement officer go in, finds some children that have been apparently abandoned, then the Department is called in. If this seems to be a temporary situation, this Bill would....this legislation would come into play at that point. They could put a temporary caretaker in for a maximum amount of 12 hours, so some determination could be made whether or not relations or the parent or the guardian could be found, to determine whether or not this is a permanent situation. If at that point, it is permanent, then the current procedure that is now followed would be followed after that 12 hour period."

Wolf: "This seems like a very laudable purpose. Now, just one more thing; where do they get these caretakers from?"

Barnes, E.M.: "These caretakers....it is my understanding, from talking with the Department, that there are various social agencies, like the Lutheran Service, Catholic Charities,



various social agencies that provide these kind of services. These services would then be contracted with those agencies, through the Department, to provide for the caretakers."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hudson. Please come to order."

Hudson: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous.....question."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved the previous question, the question is, shall the main question be put? Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'; the 'ayes' have it... Representative E. M. Barnes, to close."

Barnes, E. M.: "Thank you very much. Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, just briefly, this is a good Bill. I concur, to some degree, in the question that was raised by the gentle Lady from Cook. But as was pointed out in the Committee hearing when this Bill was heard, that there is another piece of legislation, which addresses that situation, these are not employees of the Department and the reason for that is because the Department does not bond and have no way to indemnify employees for some accident that would occur. These caretakers will be contracted out to agencies that are bonded and indemnified, for the purposes of carrying out this service. I would again solicit the support of the House. This is a method whereby we can keep families together and I think that's the direction we should be taking. I solicit your 'aye' vote."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is, shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Representative Schneider."

Schneider: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, very simply, in support of the Bill. This Bill is as Gene Barnes says, an effort to keep the families together. And I think one of the key questions that we all ought to raise is, if the children and if the state and the families involved don't make an effort to stay together, they become the wards of the state. They become our responsibility."



They become, in fact, financial burdens that many of you, I'm sure, find abhorrent. We want to provide good homes. I think this is an outstanding step and I would solicit far more green votes."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 110 'aye', and 26 'no', and the Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. 160, Representative Lechowicz."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 160, a Bill for an Act to create the crime of aggravated arson and provide a mandatory penalty therefore, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz on the floor?"

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 160 is a...is one means of coping with an extremely serious statewide problem. The problem is the loss of life. Each year it is estimated approximately ten million dollars worth of property is damaged by arson in the City of Chicago alone. Outside the City of Chicago, in the state, nearly another ten million dollars worth of property was destroyed on an annual basis. In 1976, 44 persons died in fires set by arsonists, which was an enormous increase over 1975 when only 2 arson victims lost their lives. In a recent tragic fire here in the Capitol City of Illinois, where two individuals lost their life in a fire believed to have been caused by arson, is dramatic evidence that the problem continues and is a statewide problem. In short, it is evident that the match, the torch, and the explosive have become lethal weapons in this state and we have an obligation to look for a solution to this problem. One means to enable us to stem the growing tide of life-endangering arson, is to create a new criminal offense which will increase the penalty for this kind of vicious conduct. The existing arson statute makes the offense of arson a Class II felony, which only carries a



sentence of from one to twenty years. Senate Bill 160 would establish an aggravated form of arson for the following key elements: First, there must be a willful firing of a building or a structure. Second, the building must be inhabited or frequented by human beings. Third, a human being is within the building or structure at the time of this fire, and his or her life is endangered by the fire. Fourth, if a fireman or a policeman present at the scene, and acting in the line of duty, is injured, then the offense of aggravated arson will occur as well as when a person within the building is injured by arson. This offense will be a Class I felony punishable by four years and no maximum prison.....and no probation is permissible. Creation of this offense will serve two purposes; first it will deter vicious arson by announcing to one and all that those who commit it will be subject to severe penalties. Ladies and Gentlemen, arson is not a crime committed without thought or in a flash of passion. In almost all situations it is thought out and requires a time for the acquisition for the means of the arson. One who knows he may spend some time in jail, will have second thoughts about this crime. I also brought this Bill back from Third to Second for the purpose of an Amendment at the request of Mrs. Martin, which would include any buildings that are adjoining to the building that has been touched by arson. I'd be most happy to answer any questions, and if not I strongly recommend an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "A question for the Sponsor."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Leinenweber: "Ted, when we heard this in Committee, there was some discussion, I can't recall whether we had an Amendment or not, ..."

Lechowicz: "Yes, we did."

Leinenweber: "...as to the nature of the injuries. Suppose,



like the fireman twisted his ankle, would that automatically make it a Class arson...Class I felony."

Lechowicz: "Let me read the Amendment to you. 'Amends Section 20-1.1, Aggravated Arson, (a) A person commits aggravated arson when by means of fire or explosive he knowingly damages, partially or totally, any building or structure including any adjacent building or structure, in which he knows or he has reason to know one or more persons is present and such person or persons are a fireman or a policeman who was present at the scene, acting in the line of duty, is injured as a result of the fire or explosion'."

Leinenweber: "In other words, any type of injury, no matter how serious or how minor, would cause the crime to jump to the aggravated arson class?"

Lechowicz: "Yes, Sir."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mahar."

Mahar: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Mahar: "Ted, do you have any figures on how many people have been convicted of arson in Chicago or Illinois in the last year or two or three?"

Lechowicz: "Yes, I do. I have the number of people that have been....charged. Total claims reported to the Chicago Police Department, as of April of 1977, is 25 attempted arsons, 119 actual arsons, 22 damage to property involving fire, 150 bomb threats, one bomb incident, 2 found with explosives, 31 fire investigations, 9 investigations in which there was a loss of human life. I have some additional figures, by county. In the first half of July in 1976 to July ofthat can't be right...of 77....the current date and it's broken down by counties throughout the state so it's....Adams it's 3 number of arsons; Alexander 1, Bond 4, Brown 1, Calhoun....I'll give...More than happy to give it to you."



Mahar: "My point is, I think this is a good Bill, I'm going to support it. My point is, I don't think you gave me the number of the people convicted of arson. We have all kinds of arson through the City of Chicago and through the State of Illinois, but convictions are very very difficult. Because of the fact, I am told, it is hard to convict a person of arson, the State's Attorney doesn't want to fool with the cases, insurance companies don't want to press the cases, the point is...what we need is...of course this type of legislation, if they are convicted, but we're not getting anybody convicted."

Lechowicz: "Let me just point out to you, I also found my statistics for 1976, as far as the Chicago Police Department, arson report. 1016 arsons by fire, 150 attempted arsons, 106 incidents involving bombs or explosives, 127 death investigations by arson, 134 burglary arsons, statistically cleared by arrests. That's for '76 alone. I would strongly encourage the support of the House of this Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Keats."

Keats: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question on this excellent Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is, shall the main question be put? Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye', opposed 'no', the 'ayes', have it. Representative Lechowicz to close."

Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker, I believe I discussed the importance of this Bill. I think it's probably one of the most important items we're addressing. Not only in the offense of arson and how it affects the individual, but in turn the new term of aggravated arson and the seriousness and the penalties involved. I believe that the House concurred with me in the Committee and I would hope that the House would support this Bill on the floor."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is, shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted



who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 151 'aye', and one 'no', and the Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. 166, Representative Griesheimer."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 166, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Vehicle Code..."

Speaker Redmond: "Out of the record. Representative Griesheimer on the floor? Out of the record. 187."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 187, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act codifying the powers and duties of the Department of Mental Health and Developmental Disabilities, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Brady."

Brady: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, fellow Members, Senate Bill 87 (meant 187...) requires that a person who is discharged from a state hospital, to a licensed facility or family home, may be assigned to an existing community based not-for-profit agency for participation in day activities suitable to the person's needs. After a certain percentage, over what the state sends, are handled by that agency with no fee, the state has to assume the fee for a required number of people. I urge your support of this Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Anyone in opposition? The question is, shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 136 'aye', and no 'nay', and the Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. 228. Representative Schlickman."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 228, a Bill for an Act to eliminate the period a person placed on supervision after an acquittal or discharge must wait before he files a petition to have his arrest record expunged, Third Reading of the Bill."



Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, Senate Bill 228 amends the Act on supervision for alleged misdemeanor that was passed by this Legislature two years ago on account of a Supreme Court Decision. Specifically, Senate Bill 228, in its original form, eliminates the requirement that a person discharged from supervision, must wait three years before filing a petition for the expungement of his record. By Amendments, certain technical changes are made to the Bill, to the Act on supervision, as adjusted by the presiding Judge of the Second Municipal District of Cook County, Harold Sullivan, contained in a Bar Association article. The Bill was reported out of Committee 'Do pass', by a vote of 18 to one and I solicit your support."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "Representative Schlickman, how can a judge determine that a person is on supervision, if he is subject to immediate expungement?"

Schlickman: "I don't understand the question. Would you repeat it please?"

Leinenweber: "Well, as I understood it, the three year period was put on there as a compromise so that a court would at least have a recent history of criminal activity of an individual when he is up on a subsequent offense. There is, under the law that now exists, no central...centralized filing source for these matters so that it would be practically impossible, under the law today, to make that determination. Number two; it's the feeling that by providing that a person who has been on supervision, and then discharged, having to wait for three years is discriminatory because we don't have that waiting period for any other person who had been originally alleged as to committing a misdemeanor."

Schlickman: "Well, you say that doesn't work in Cook County,



but I could tell you that it would work in the downstate counties where perhaps their filing systems are slightly more advanced, at least not quite so burdensome and it would seem...when you talk about expungement, I mean everything is expunged, including the...as I recall... the State's Attorneys records, the records of the police department and ...everything. So, I just wonder how we can prevent a revolving door, subsequent supervision, from being given to an individual when the court will have no record of previous supervision."

Leinenweber: "Well, number one, we're talking about people who have been alleged to have committed a misdemeanor, not a felony. Number two; we are not making automatic, an expungement, we're simply saying that a person who has been placed on supervision, whether or not that person was guilty of a misdemeanor, has the right, statutorily, to petition for expungement, however, whether or not there will be expungement still lies within the sound discretion of the judge."

Schlickman: "Well, Mr. Speaker, very briefly on the Bill; I... ..Supervision statute was enacted last year and it's had...I would say, just a few monthsor just over a year of experience with it. But I think it's a little early to tinker with it. One of the....There was a problem thatwas resolved through give and take, last term, and decided on three years ...would be early enough to provide for expungement. I don't think that we ought to tinker with the Supervision Statute at this particular time."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Dan Houlihan."

Houlihan, Dan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. In speaking in support of the Bill, I do want to acknowledge that I appreciate the concern of Representative Leinenweber, but what the Bill addresses is what is a practical problem with the present three year waiting period. Presently, supervision of course, can only be granted in a misdemeanor case and



in many instances the period is two years. Adding a three year waiting period, after two years of supervision, gives a person, charged with, what is a minor offense, because it wouldn't have warranted supervision otherwise, giving them a period of holding for five years. That poses a hardship in many cases, where a person has been on supervision for this type of a charge, who after seeks to apply for a job or for college entrance. It's the practical problem here that the Bill addresses itself to. As far as his concern how can you hold them on the supervision, or know that he is there? That can be done by the judge in the initial instance, as far as determining what the length of period of supervision would be. I think that the judges who are dealing with this are in a position now, having had the supervision statute codified for some period of time, certainly in Cook County, having been experienced in it for many many years where it was, in effect, a rule of court, will have no trouble in working with this Bill; it will address itself to a practical problem. I think it deserves our support."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is, shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 125 'aye', and 11 'no'; and the Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. 280. We've been at it since early morning. We've just had 32 final actions today. There's about 600 Bills on.....that we have to address ourselves to. By my figuring, that's 30 days."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 280, a Bill for an Act in relation to fees for hunting and fishing licenses, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 280 provides some fairness to the law that we passed last Session regarding free privileges for persons



over 65 for hunting and fishing. We found that in other states they discriminated against Illinois residents and we thought we should write into the Illinois law...that states.....where nonresidents of age 65 or more, shall be charged the same fee for these privileges as the non-resident applicant, state resident, charges Illinois residents. That gives it the fairness. In other words, if there are states that discriminate against Illinois residents, as to fishing and hunting, we didn't think that those residents....residents of those states, should have free privileges. So it does give a basic fairness to the matter and I appreciate your favorable support."

Speaker Redmond: "Anyone rise in opposition? The question is, shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 150 'aye' and 3 'no'; and the Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed.
281. Representative Hart."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 281, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Environmental Protection Act, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hart."

Hart: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, This Bill provides that the Pollution Control Board shall adopt regulations to implement the intermittent control system by June 30, 1978. The Amendment in Committee did eliminate some of the important provisions of the Bill but I would appreciate the support of the House."

Speaker Redmond: "Anyone rise in opposition? The question is, shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 133 'aye', and 14 'no'; the Bill having received



the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed.
Representative Hart, can you take 1324 now?"

Hart: "Wait....wait... What was that, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "We passed...1324 we passed that when you were called off the floor by the Governor. That's the adoption.... 1324, do you want to go with that one?"

Hart: "You passed it?"

Speaker Redmond: "We called it a little bit earlier, when you were at the Governor's Office. Do you want to call it now?"

Hart: "Who said I was in the Governor's Office?"

Speaker Redmond: "I was giving you a good excuse."

Hart: "No, let's hold that one a while."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay. 314, Representative Hart."

Hart: "Okay. I didn't see Larry 'Rowell' on the floor here, I did want to have some help with it in case there was any questions. Just stick around, Larry."

Speaker Redmond: "1324...."

Hart: "1324 and 1325 are companion Bills and I would ask leave of the House..."

Speaker Redmond: "Does he have leave to hear them together?
Hearing no objection, leave is granted. Read the Bill,
Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1324, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation to the adoption of persons, Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1325, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act relating to placement of children, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hart."

Hart: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. These two Bills came out of the study that Senator Daley had done on adoption on 'baby selling' ...is really what it amounts to. Senate Bill 1324 provides that...it specifically mandates that consent for adoption can be taken only before a judge, court person, licensed



private child welfare agency and the Department of Children and Family Services and mandates that affidavits by any individual, agency or firm must be filed with court, by all persons involved in the adoption, stipulating the amount of fees, contributions and so forth which were received, related to the adoption. 1324 cross-references other Acts such as the Child Care Act of 1969, Interstate Compact on the Placement of Children and the Juvenile Court Act, to the adoption law. This is being done to remedy the problem which attorneys raise that they are not aware that other laws may be involved or relate to the adoption being considered. The whole purpose of the two Bills to decrease the amount of 'baby selling' in Illinois. I think they are an excellent series of legislation. They were developed through Senator Daley and the Department of Children and Family Services and I would urge the support of the House in the passage of these two Bills."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Dan Houlihan."

Houlihan, Dan: "I have a question of the Sponsor."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Houlihan, Dan: "Representative Hart, in Senate Bill 1324, is it mandatory that, before you can have the consent filed, that it must be filed also with the Department of Children and Family Services? I'm getting to the point where the Department may not be a party to the adoption proceedings."

Hart: "No, it is not, Representative Houlihan."

Houlihan, Dan: "Only if it is a party to the adoption proceedings?"

Hart: "No, it is not mandatory."

Speaker Redmond: "Any thing further? Representative Johnson."

Johnson: "Representative Hart, am I to understand that this would permit consent by a parent, who relinquishes the parental right, to be made, for example; in the back office, before a social worker for the Department of Children and



Family Services?"

Hart: "I don't think it Would you repeat your question, please?"

Johnson: "My question is, as an example; would it be possible for a parent then to now relinquish his parental right, for example, in the back offices of the Department of Children and Family Services, before one of their case-workers?"

Hart: "This would not change that particular part. That could be done now, but it would limit it being done to notary publics and other persons designated. It would ...it would limit those persons, before whom a consent could be acknowledged, such as the judge....and that's the way I generally proceed, I just take a judge with me whenever a mother is going to acknowledge andor a court person licensed private child welfare agency. But that, as I understand the law, is already the law. It just takes it out where you go before a notary person or other persons.....capable of acknowledging consent."

Johnson: "My question is, does this to a greater or lesser extent, liberalize or make easier, the consent process? Is that right?"

Hart: "No. I think it's just the opposite. It cuts down those persons before whom a consent can be given."

Johnson: "Okay."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mann, do you seek recognition?"

Mann: "Would the Gentleman yield to a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Mann: "Has the Commission on Children taken a position on this Bill?"

Hart: "I don't know, Representative Mann, they did not appear in our Committee. The Bill came through the Committee which you Chair and which I am a Member, and I'm advised by Larry 'Rowell' that they do support it."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is, shall this Bill pass...these



Bills pass? Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 137 'aye' and no 'nay'; and these Bills having received the Constitutional Majority are hereby declared passed. 314. Representative Cunningham, we will be here at 10:30 by that clock up there. 314.."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 314, a Bill for an Act making an appropriation to the Capital Development Board, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lucco."

Lucco: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill 314 appropriates \$190,000 for planning fund for a permanent facility for physical education and recreational programs at the Southern Illinois University at Edwardsville. The monies come from the Capital Development Fund to the Capital Board to....to be made available to University System's, Board of Trustees. You want to keep in mind that for the last five years Southern Illinois University has been operating somewhat under the illusion that they were going to get the so-called convention center. And that having not developed but having developed everywhere else, they are the only University in the State of Illinois that do not have a physical education facility. So we're asking for the planning money so that they can get going on this as soon as possible and get the building constructed. It is badly needed. This had the approval of the Board of Higher Education and I would appreciate your 'aye' vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Anyone in opposition? Representative Deavers"

Deavers: "Here we go...Would the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Lucco: "Certainly."

Deavers: "Does S.I.U. at Edwardsville, have a law school?"

Lucco: "No, Sir. There's one at Carbondale but not at Edwardsville."



Deavers: "Is this a separate institution from Carbondale?"

Lucco: "I would like to say 'yes', but they are not. They are under the same Board of Trustees and therefore when you refer to Southern Illinois University, you must differentiate Southern Illinois, Carbondale or Southern Illinois, Edwardsville."

Deavers: "Why don't you amend their budget and take one hundred ninety thousand out of the law school and then I could help you, otherwise, I gotta oppose it."

Lucco: "I'm sorry, I must live without your vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Telcser."

Telcser: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I rise to oppose Senate Bill 314 for a number of reasons. In the first instance I think it should be pointed out that the board of higher education had a list of some one hundred and twenty-nine projects, which they felt they had an interest in, and this, I believe, was one hundred and sixteenth out of one hundred and twenty-nine. This project certainly, obviously, is far down the list of what the board of higher education is recommending. Let me also say to you that this project is not in the Governor's Budget, it's going to take General Revenue funds to retire these bonds. Something we ought not forget, as I mentioned earlier on another Bill today, if we're going to be building buildings, why shouldn't we be doing it for the Medical School and for the professions, maybe for the Law Schools. I don't think this has a high priority at all. I think the Board of Higher Education correctly listed this very low on its itemed list. Senate Bill 314 is unnecessary, it's costly, it's beyond the Governor's Budget and ought to be defeated."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Macdonald."

Macdonald: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'd like to ask the Sponsor whether or not women will have equal access to these facilities?"



Lucco: "Definitely, Ma'am. The facility is built primarily for recreation and they do have or intend to have and they do have in the bubble that they have, both women and men's recreational facilities."

Macdonald: "Fine, that's very important. The Status of Women, report from last year, certainly indicated that that was not true, in the past, of the University."

Lucco: "That is true now."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McClain."

McClain: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Gentleman yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

McClain: "Is this, Joe, the perennial 'Gary Spears' recreation center that we've faced the last few years?"

Lucco: "Gary Spears is a name that I dare not repeat...because it upsets me. Gary Spears was instrumental, in possibly threatening a little bit about a convention center, has had nothing to do with this building, we would like to build it with or without him."

McClain: "Well, then, Mr. Speaker, may I speak to the Bill, please?"

Speaker Redmond: "Please proceed."

McClain: "Well, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would strongly support this piece of legislation. S.I.U. at Edwardsville has approximately 15,000 students, they have no recreational facility, right now they rent the facility from Edwardsville High School. It's a facility that's long been needed. S.I.U. is an institution that needs this kind of facility and it's upon Mr. Lucco's assuredness that Gary Spears has nothing to do with it and they are not going to name it after Gary Spears. I strongly support this piece of legislation."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Steele."

Steele: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise in support of this Bill. As has been mentioned, S.I.U. at Edwardsville is the only major university



in Illinois. without a physical education plan. There's 12,000 students there that are being deprived of a very important, a very vital part of the educational process, a very important part of the educational process that every other university in this state has. Here we're just asking for the planning fund and I think that now is the appropriate and proper time ...and I would urge support for the planning funds only, for this facility."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ewell."

Ewell: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, the book says..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ma... Miller, please sit down. Matejek and Miller, please sit down."

Ewell: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen, the Good Book of Philosophy says that them that's got, gets; this is very good. I want to rise in support of this Bill because I believe that those students down at Southern Illinois do need some recreation area because our kids in Chicago don't have it but that's all right. I just want you to know that there's no animosity and I don't rue or regret the fact that the Assembly doesn't want to vote the kids in Chicago any recreation but I'm big hearted about it and so I'm going to join, support this measure because I believe that the kids of all over the state, what they need they ought to get. God Bless, 'em."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Collins."

Collins: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I ask you to look at this amount. This is only \$195,000 and I can't believe that S.I.U. would ever ask for anything that small. Their....their aim has been so much higher in the past. I hate to think that the university is lowering their sights and disposing of their grandiose gain. I think that perhaps they've taken leave of their senses. So, until they regain them and ask for some substantial amount, we should turn down this pittance. I have never seen S.I.U. ask for any thing this small. So



perhaps instead of giving them \$195,000, we should just let them put their facility in that big tent down there where they have their festival. There's ample room in there for anything they want to do, whether it be athletic or recreational of any sort and if that's not adequate they can chase each other around those 27,000 acres they have, on which there stands nothing and to which they put of no use at all....so until ...until....until they get up to the level of which we're used to, when they ask for millions, we don't ask a question, when they only ask for \$190,000 I can't believe it and I think we just should turn it down."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Barnes, Gene Barnes."

Barnes, Gene: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is, shall the main question be put? Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye'; opposed 'no'; the 'ayes' have it; the motion carries, Representative Lucco, to close."

Lucco: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Representative Collins took me around the block. I'm not too sure where the exit is, but for his information the tent is full of characters, but we can always squeeze one more in there....but at his urging we might bring this back to Second Reading if we can get the consent of the Governor, we might put that \$9,000,000. Seriously though, this is something we badly need at Southern Illinois University, Edwardsville. It's not a laughing matter. I've enjoyed it, but I certainly solicit your 'aye' vote, please."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is, shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Representative Skinner to explain his vote."

Skinner: "Well, this is certainly better than a civic center, but it's really going to be a sports stadium and I'll bet everybody here thinks it's going to be in Southern Illinois. Well, you're wrong. They've got a Medical School in Springfield, and this is going to be the S.I.U. Daley Dome,



in Chicago."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Representative John Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, this passed out of Appropriations I Committee and I hear very often and I have in past Sessions, no matter whether we have a Democratic or Republican administration, certain things are not in the Governor's Budget. Very often Governor's don't know what's in their budget. It's the bureaucracy that makes up the budget. I think that the local area people know what's best for their area. The administrators of S.I.U. were in Committee. I think that this is a facility that ought to be built. We're proud of S.I.U., all of us are. We're proud of S.I.U.'s academic institution. We're proud of S.I.U. as to their sports and athletic facilities. We ought to wholeheartedly, I think, endorse this proposal. Give Coach Lucco the votes that he needs on this issue."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, in explaining my 'no' vote, I have a great deal of respect for Representative Joe Lucco but really there is an awful lot of people that are voting green up there. You know, the Governor very early in the game, said, you know, higher education had \$50,000,000 more than they had last year and they gave it to the higher board to spread and they did this same thing as far as order of priority on the ...on bonds and Representative Telcser hit the nail on the head. You know, the debt service.....comes out of General Revenue and you know this might be a great thing but I think we ought to postpone this, at least for another fiscal year, until our fiscal situation gets a little bit better. You know this whole dissertation reminds me of, perhaps, the then coach, Joe Lucco, a halftime dissertation here in which 'now go out in the second half boys and get the job done.' It looked like he has got the job done but I really



think that some of you people that are voting 'aye' on this Bill ought to take a very long look at it because I think we probably have a misplaced decimal point and that \$190,000 figure is going to turn into something like \$190,000,000 before we're through."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Byers. Have all voted who wish? Representative Byer."

Byer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I would too, like to speak for this facility. I had previously opposed the civic center that was going to be built down there and this is right next to my area. In fact my daughter goes to school at S.I.U., Edwardsville. But I do feel that this gymnasium and recreational area that you're planning down there is within the range of what can be afforded for this section of the state. We serve an area of over 2,000,000 people. The University can use and would help attract the Southwestern Illinois, the kind of program that would make this competitive with universities in the Midwest. I think the best thing we can do is vote 'aye' on this program and get this started down in Southwestern Illinois."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'm voting green in spite of the fact that the Sponsor didn't put one woman in the ball game....but he promised to rectify this and I think it's a worthwhile project anyway because I know the area, they can use it."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Jaffe, explain your vote."

Jaffe: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I rise in support of this Bill. Let me point out to you that this is just for planning....that the project itself is four or five years down the pipe. It seems to me that S.I.U., Carbondale is the only major university that has...doesn't have this type of facility and I think that we ought to give it to them and I think we ought to put a few more 'aye' votes on the board."



Speaker Redmond: "The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 94 'aye'....95 'aye', and 55 'no'; the Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. 334."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 334, a Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expense for the Military and Naval Department, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mahar."

Mahar: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 334 provides for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Military and Naval Department, for FY 78. It includes \$3,690,000 for the rest of the operations of the two divisions and \$200,000 for the rehabilitation and minor construction of the National Guard Armories. I move for its adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "Anyone in opposition. Representative.... in opposition? Representative Jaffe."

Jaffe: "Mr. Speaker, I just wanted to ask one question, if I may?"

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Jaffe: "I just want to ask, what...what does our Navy do? Could you tell me what the Illinois Navy does."

Mahar: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Jaffe. The Naval Militia consists of one Armory and 500 people. And while they don't participate in crisis duty, as is, the Army National Guard does. They perform a reserve function. They operate in the same way as the Army Reserve that we have in Illinois, who train and respond to a national emergency....if there is one."

Jaffe: "Mr. Speaker..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Jaffe, proceed."

Jaffe: "Could I ask you when the last time the Illinois Naval Guard...responded to anything? I know when the National Guard comes out, but when does the Navy come out?"

Mahar: "Well, they responded, the last time, during World War II,



as far as I know. I don't have...."

Jaffe: "That was in 1945, is that correct?"

Mahar: "I might add that most all of the Army Reserve Units in the State of Illinois did likewise. They haven't responded since 1945."

Jaffe: "Okay.....But they are sitting there for 30 years..... waiting, all right."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Peters."

Unknown: "We're talking about Naval Militia. Naval Militia..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Peters. Representative McAuliffe's phone there...."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Representative Jaffe...Representative Jaffe....If I might have your attention in regard to this budget? Now you and I have served in the Guard and we've walked and patrolled the Oak Street Beach and to our credit, no Nazi or Japanese submarine had ever landed on Oak Street Beach."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is, shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 119 'aye' and 15 'no'; the Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. 339."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 339, a Bill for an Act to make an appropriation for the State Emergency Services and Disaster Agency, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mahar."

Mahar: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 339 appropriates General Revenue Funds for the State Emergency Services and Disaster Area. A total amount of \$930,148 General Revenue Funds. Be happy to answer any questions. I urge its adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "Anyone in opposition? The question is, shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 151 'aye' and no



'nay', and the Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. 348."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 348, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the School Code, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan. Ryan, 348."

Ryan: "Out of the record."

Speaker Redmond: "Out of the record. House Bills, Third Reading, I understand there's some matters we must discuss today. House Bills, Third Reading, 1349, Representative Shumpert. Out of the record. 1528, Representative Barnes."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1528, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Barnes."

Barnes, Jane: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is House Bill 1528, what it does is expand the term 'textbook' to include 'workable'."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? Representative Hoffman. Gene Hoffman."

Hoffman, Gene: "Thank you, Mr. Spaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I just want to remind the Members of the House that this is House Bill 1528, which is an expansion of a ...of a program which the state really can't afford. We're underfunding the program now. The recommendation of the Appropriations Committee to eliminate the funding totally, from the program. This is an expansion to include not only the term 'textbooks' but to include 'educational materials', which would include workbooks and other types of material. My position is the same as it always has been in reference to this....that is, that we presently are underfunding the public schools which are our primary responsibility, and so charged by Constitution, and for that reason I don't believe that the program should be expanded; and funds should be drained away from an already underfunded program. For that reason, I rise, as I have in the past, to oppose this Bill."



Speaker Redmond: "Representative Brady."

Brady: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and fellow Members, I rise in support of this Bill. What it is doing is changing a definition in the term of an existing law and adding disposables to the textbook definition which was very tightly drawn here in Illinois. I don't think that was the original intent when the Bill passed and I think that we need to draw it in such a way so that all the children who are eligible for the loan of secular textbooks can be eligible for the disposables, when textbooks don't fit into the criteria. All it does is give an equality to everybody who would be eligible and I urge your support of this Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schneider."

Schneider: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'm in opposition, as you may understand. The reason the law was drawn tightly and unfortunately not challenged, but nonetheless, deserved to challenge, was because of the Constitutional apprehension that people must have felt about expanding public money into the parochial sector. I guess I take a position as aa catholic...I guess, to resist this somewhat because I don't like intrusion into the religious sector. I taught in the public schools for fifteen years. I realize that there is a nonsectarian point of view that's offered. My children go to those schools and they go for that reason. I don't want that invasion of nonsectarian, nonvalue kind of instruction entering into the private schools, the reason that we have them. Therefore, I oppose the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to make a comment or two about this. You know this, in the last Session of the General Assembly, we had something that was estimated to cost \$35,000,000 for free textbooks for public and non-public schools and here we are...we've come down the line here over a period of time and it really goes beyond the



point of ...of public and nonpublic...you know, this may be excellent legislation at sometime in the future but when we don't have adequate funds to take care of the ...the public school system and here we are talking about getting into the nonpublic and textbooks and whatever.... the ramifications of this particular piece of legislation House Bill 1527, addresses itself to, I say, at this particular point and time, a 'no' vote is the vote that belongs up there on that tote board and I certainly would encourage everyone to join me and vote 'no' on this particular porposal."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Well, Mr. Speaker, ...Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I'm rather appalled by the two Gentlemen from DuPage, both public school teachers, opposing this Bill. Suggesting, by their own experience, that to teach kids you need not only textbooks, you need dictionaries, you need maps, et cetera. But when it comes to teaching non-public schools, students there, textbooks alone are sufficient. They can't have the maps, they can't have the dictionaries. Their approach is d'scrimatory. I even suggest, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, it's parochial. Now, if you look at the Bill, it simply provides that the kids in nonpublic schools will have the use ...will have the use of the nontextbook materials, they won't be given free. I respectfully suggest, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, as a matter of equity, as a matter of fairness, as a matter of quality education for all kids in this state, this Bill ought to be passed. It deserves your affirmative vote, 'yes'."

Speaker Redmond: "Are you ready for the question? Representative Barnes, to close."

Barnes, Jane: "Well, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I have walked through the House today and talked to many many of the Legislators because I think this has been a very



misunderstood program. Seventy-five percent of the three and a half million, not thirty-five million, that was put into effect this year, for this program, was to public schools. The visually handicapped will benefit from this program. Many schools have and I certainly urge your affirmative vote on what I consider to be a very very good Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is, shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed voteRepresentative Willer to explain your vote."

Willer: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'm supporting this Bill, because I have never had any problem with the First Amendment, as far as helping non-public schools. I think I would like to say to the people who are supporting the Bill, the people who send their children to nonpublic schools, and especially parochial schools, you can't have the best of both possible worlds. We can't. There comes a day of accountability. I'm going to be pushing for accountability, financial accountability, of the nonpublic schools, be they parochial or whatever, we can't have everything. I think we should help all schools but by gosh, we also better have financial accountability and open the books of these schools who get state aid and we better face the day we're going to get the pressure from the Federal Government if not from the state."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 101 'aye' and 39 'no'. The Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. 1736. Representative Polk, do you want to go with that one? 1736. 23877....(this is what he said), Representative Meyers. 2377...."

Clerk Hall: "House Bill 2377, a Bill for an Act making an appropriation for grants under the Parent Educational Assistance...Authority, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Meyer ."



Meyer: "Pardon me, Mr. Speaker, I didn't realize my microphone was on. Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this appropriates \$1000 to start out the Parental Educational Assistance Act of 1977, which is the voucher Bill which we passed last night. All the arguments that were against Jane Barnes's previous Bill apply on this Bill and all the proponent's statements are the same."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question is, shall this Bill pass? Those in favor.....Representative Mann."

Mann: "Mr. Speaker, when Representative Meyer wants to mumble one through he can really do it. When he wants you to hear he can do it too. This Bill is going to pass. I think that Illinois is going to some day stand first in the nation in the passage of unconstitutional Bills."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is, shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 104 'aye' and 36 'no'. The Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. 2379. Representative McBroom. Do you want to go with that one, Representative McBroom? Out of the record. 2397, Representative Kane. Do you want to go with that one? Out of the record. 2403 Appropriations II Committee. Chairman of Appropriations II, do you want to go with 2403? Out of the record. 2409, state government, Representative Kane. Out of the record. Representative Dyer....Senate Bill, Third Reading appears Senate Bill 686. Representative Dyer is recognized."

Dyer: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. At an earlier time today Senate Bill 686 was moved to Third Reading. Lee Schwartz has very kindly pointed out to me there's a technical defect in the Bill. I would like leave to move it back to Second Reading. Have it appear on the Calendar on Second Reading, tomorrow, to make the correction. I would appreciate leave. Thank you."



Speaker Redmond: "Leave to return Senate Bill 686 to the order of Second Reading? Hearing no objection, leave is granted. On the order of Second Reading. Do you want to leave it there a second, is that correct? To be left at the order of Second Reading. Representative Katz. Representative Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "Yes, Senate Bill 686. What page is that? On what order of reading does that come from to go back to Second?"

Speaker Redmond: "What was that again?"

Ebbesen: "We just moved a Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "We moved it to Second Reading and let there repose."

Ebbesen: "Where is it on the order?"

Speaker Redmond: "It's on the order of Third Reading, we moved it back to Second. I have a note here from Representative Dyer. Please don't forget to recognize..."

Ebbesen: "I have no objection to that but I still don't see it. What page is it on?"

Speaker Redmond: "Page 13 on the Calendar. If you go to a good 'eye guy' and get some good glasses. Go down to Woolworths."

Ebbesen: "Mr. Speaker, you said page 13?"

Speaker Redmond: "That's what John told me."

Ebbesen: "Back to Second?"

Speaker Redmond: "We moved it from Second to Third today."

Ebbesen: "I don't hear to well either, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "It appears on page 13, on the order of Senate Bills, Second Reading. We called it earlier today, put an Amendment on it and moved it to Third. She is now requesting that we bring it back and leave it on the order of Second Reading. I understand that Sears does have a great eyeglass department. Representative Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "Well, Mr. Speaker, it's not that I can't see, but the Bill...actually 686 is Senator Grotberg's and he happens to be my roommate and I don't want anything to happen to his Legislation."



Speaker Redmond: "Well, ...if you knew Giddy like I know Giddy... well she is not going to hurt it. Parliamentarian, please come to the podium. Representative Katz. We're not winding down. Don't get misled. Representative Katz."

Katz: "Mr. Speaker, these are a few little items regarding Interim Study that we would like to complete before the end of the Legislative day. That Senate Bill...that motion ...that Senate Bill 887 that is pending in Judiciary II Committee be placed in Interim Study. Also, Mr. Speaker, that Senate Bill 727, pending in the Judiciary II Committee, be placed on Interim Study, with the consent of the Sponsors. Also, that Senate Bill 233 be taken from the table and put in Interim Study. Those are the threealso, that Senate Bill 647, that's pending in the Judiciary II Committee, be placed on Interim Study. So I would so move, Mr. Speaker, if there is no objection to that?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative James Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, if the mover of the motion would yield for a question? It's one thing to move to put a Bill on Interim Study but to take a Bill from the table, which I would assume has been defeated, he ought to explain what that Bill does and why it was defeated and why you want to move it to Interim Study."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Katz."

Katz: "Well, I would be glad to respond to Mr. Houlihan's inquiry. Senate Bill 233 is a Bill regarding the purging of records regarding an individual and the closing of court files. It passed the Senate unanimously, came to the Committee, Judiciary II Committee. Some of us felt that State's Attorneys and police departments ought to have a chance to look at that Bill before it became law because it could effect the kind of information they could collect. Since it came over to us late, it was defeated on a 'Do not pass' motion. I have since talked to the Sponsor and



the Senate Sponsor. He would like to give the police departments and the State's Attorneys an opportunity to be heard and I felt, Mr. Speaker, that under those circumstances and the fact that it passed the Senate unanimously, and that the House Sponsor desires that that be done, that Senate Bill 233 should be taken from the table and placed on Interim Study so that the subject of the purging of criminal records and the closing of court files, could be studied during the interval. That is the background of the Bill and that is why I have so moved, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "The Parliamentarian advises me that a motion to take from the table has to be on the Calendar for a day. Is it on the Calendar?"

Katz: "No, Mr. Speaker, it is not on the Calendar. If there is no objection, I would ask leave to suspend the rule with regard to the Calendar because of the ...is it..."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any objection toRepresentative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I will object and I would simply suggest that if he wants to study the question, then he simply offer a proposal which is exempt from the deadline."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Katz."

Katz: "Okay...Mr. Speaker, then with regard to the other Bills that I mentioned that are Bills pending in the Committee, I would move that they be placed in Interim Study and we will exclude entirely Senate Bill 233, to which Mr. Schlickman has objected."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Could we have an explanation of those Bills?"

Katz: "Yes."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Katz. Will you please give the Gentleman order. We're going to have to send out and get a former Member."

Katz: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Senate Bill 647 is a Bill Sponsored in



the House by Representative J. David Jones. He was unable to be before the Committee. It is a Bill that makes a few technical changes, simply in wording. He asks that that be put in Interim Study so that he would have the opportunity, under the Calendar, to come back without having to file a new Bill in the 1978 Session of the Legislature."

Schlickman: "No objection to that Bill. What's the next one?"

Katz: "Senate Bill 887 is a Bill that was, Peggy Smith Martin's Bill, that she was handling for Senator Newhouse. I believe that....excuse me....Mr. Schlickman. Senate Bill 887, Mr. Schlickman, ...that was a Bill that also passed the Committee, the Senate unanimously. It involves the question of 'a defendant's fitness for trial'. I think you are aware, Mr. Schlickman, that the question of fitness for trial is a subject that is going to be studied by the Judiciary II Committee during the interval. We have several such Bills. Mrs. Martin asks that that Bill be placed in the same Interim Study as the other Bills that we have."

Schlickman: "No objection. What's the next Bill?"

Katz: "727 is a Bill that is Senator Sangmeister's Bill. Senator Sangmeister was not able to be personally present in the Committee. It is a Bill that he wanted an opportunity to be heard on. It relates to the question of substitution of judges. So that's the other Bill that we want to study in Interim Study...."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Matijevec, for what purpose do you arise?"

Matijevec: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I think it would be easier if Representative Katz just walked over...evidently Representative Schlickman seems to be the only one concerned about the Interim Study Calendar Bills thatWe've always allowed that. To move the business of the House, I think he could walk over and then come back to it."



Speaker Redmond: "I think you're right. Representative Katz."
Representative Schlickman..."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker...Mr. Speaker, I withdraw my objection to each and every Bill which is the subject of this motion."

Speaker Redmond: "Does the Gentleman have leave to put them in Interim Study...Representative Barnes. E. M. Barnes."

Barnes, E.M.: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Not so much that we are objecting, but in the course of Representative Schlickman raising some questions....I had a question to Representative Katz. Senate Bill 887, I didn't catch the reason. What was the reason for that Bill going to Interim Study? Apparently it had no opposition in the Senate at all....either in the Senate floor in the Senate Committee."

Katz: "It did have opposition in the Committee. Senator Newhouse was not able to be there and the Bill simply could not be passed out and so the Senator and Mrs. Martin preferred, rather than to have it die, under the deadline that we have, to be placed on the Interim Study. That was at Mrs. Martin's request. So that's why I move to suspend the provisions of Rule 25 (b) and submit it to Interim Study. "

Barnes, E.M.: "Well, Representative, according to the Digest that I had, the Bill passed out of Senate Judiciary 8-0; passed out of the Senate 51 to nothing."

Katz: "And I would just want you to take a look at some of the Bills that have come to our Committee, passed unanimously by the Senate, that doesn't prove anything this Session. Seriously, Mr. Barnes, and there was objection, it was well taken. Mr. Newhouse wasn't there, Mrs. Martin made this request and I'm simply trying to accommodate a Member of the House who asked that I do so."

Speaker Redmond: "Does the Gentleman have leave?"

Barnes, E.M.: "I'm not sure. I'd like to talk to him about



this Bill. Otherwise I object."

Speaker Redmond: "Takes 89 votes, Mr. Katz. Do you want to put the motion or do you want to proceed?"

Barnes, E.M.: "If I could talk to him for just a moment. Might clear something up."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay. Take it out of the record. Representative Katz and Representative Walsh have something in common. They tell me about these things that won't take any time and they really don't always work out that way. The matter of motions, Senate Bill 116, take it out, yes. Take his motion out. Yeah. Matter of motions. Matter of motions, there are some items here that have to be taken care of today. Senate Bill....The motion with respect to Senate Bill 16, Representative Dawson. Leave is granted. Does Representative Skinner have leave to go home? Representative Dawson."

Dawson: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 16 is the exact same Bill as House Bill 813, which pertains to the Chicago Regional Port District. It allowed for the port to have condemnation rights and also changes directorship from four from the state ...from five to four and from six..."

Speaker Redmond: "Motion to discharge, they appear on page 18... the Calendar.. These motions all have to be taken care of today."

Dawson: "Mr. Speaker.."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Dawson."

Dawson: "...This Bill has to be tabled because they had it out of Committee. Table the motion on that."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Dawson has filed a motion to discharge Cities and Villages Committee and advance Senate Bill 16 to the Second Reading, First Legislative Day. Is that correct?"

Dawson: "I want to table the motion."

Speaker Redmond: "You want to withdraw the motion, is that



correct?"

Dawson: "Yes, Sir."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay. Does he have leave to withdraw the motion? Hearing no objection, leave is granted. 165, Representative Bennett. 165, Representative Bennett."

Bennett: "Thank you, Mr Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Mr. Speaker, this is a very important Bill. This Bill which is commonly known as the Governor's Criminal Justice Package, dealing with what has been labeled as 'Class X Felony'....and other related type Amendments to our criminal laws, was passed..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative James Houlihan, for what purpose do you arise? On page 18..."

Houlihan, James: "Mr. Speaker, did we skip over the motions that are previous?"

Speaker Redmond: "We took those ...the category of motions to discharge which have to be taken today. We skipped over ...take from the table, because they were a different category. Representative Getty, for what purpose do you arise?"

Getty: "Mr. Speaker, I wonder if the Gentleman would take his motion out of the record for just a minute. I'd like to discuss something with him."

Speaker Redmond: "What's your pleasure, Representative Bennett?"

Bennett: "Sure, Mr. Speaker. We're going to call it though, tonight?"

Speaker Redmond: "I'll call it, surely. Out of the record. 205. Representative Stanley on the floor? 205."

Stanley: "Thank you. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, 205 is a Senate Bill that requiresor would require all State Central Committees to come under the provisions of the Illinois Financing.....or Campaign Financing Act. This reflects on the 1975 judicial decision regarding the exemption of the Democratic Central Committee in Cook County. This is an attempt to discharge from Committee, when a Bill



is going to be heard, there were very few Committee Members there, and I would like an opportunity to have this Bill heard in a fair hearing in front of the full House."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I speak against the motion to discharge the Committee. I believe that the Committee action of this House was proper in its nature. In turn, to have the House in turn, discharge at this late date, a Bill of this nature, when the Chairman of the Cook County Central Committee, in all candor and openness, stated that he is going to proceed and file every campaign contribution, and expenditure, not only to the State Board of Elections but in turn, to any interested party that wants to take a look at the record, in my opinion, is untimely at this time and I strongly recommend a 'no' vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further discussion? Representative James Houlihan."

Houlihan, James: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I strongly commend the new Chairman of the Cook County Democratic Organization for his fresh air and openness which he has brought to the Democratic Party in Cook County by making available that information. But I do believe that our disclosure laws will appear to the public to continue to be ludicrous, if we require candidates for public office to disclose the money spent on their behalf and we do not have Statutory Legislation which requires the political organizations of these subdivisions to disclose. It would appear to me that this goes beyond just the Cook County Democratic Organization, but goes to ward organizations, it goes to the heart of the matter and that is political organization which support candidates, should disclose their efforts, the funds they raise in behalf of those candidates, and the expenditures which they make on behalf of those candidates and I would urge an 'aye' vote



for this legislation. I think it's critical to restore some confidence in the integrity of our ethics and disclosure legislation which we have implemented in the last Session."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McClain."

McClain: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Point of order, Sir."

Speaker Redmond: "State your point."

McClain: "I know the rules, but I know how we've relaxed them some evenings. The rule states that we have to speak to the motion and not to the merits of the Bill. I would remind the Membership to speak only to the motion."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mugalian."

Mugalian: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. It would be hard to think that anyone would vote against this motion. Anyone who has run for office, spends a thousand dollars or receives a thousand dollars surely would want to vote to discharge."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentlemen, please come to order."

Mugalian: "Do I have the attention of the House?"

Speaker Redmond: "Indubitably. Please come to order."

Mugalian: "Good government depends upon knowledge and information by the electorate. The electorate should know where the money comes from and where money is spent. When we're talking about thousands and thousands of dollars, it becomes what we call a fortiori take. I hope that this Bill gets 176 votes."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Willer."

Willer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I really can't imagine anyone voting against this Bill, although I know there are going to be a lot of votes against it. It seems to me the philosophy of the people voting against this is the philosophy of the old 'Paddy Bauler' days, when we aren't ready to reform yet. You've got to realize that the voter today is a lot more sophisticated, a lot more knowledgeable and is watching political parties. To say that there shall be no financial accountability of something like the Cook County organizations,



all you do is alienate people. If you really want to get people to join your party, be you Republicans or Democrats, especially in the suburban area, you simply have to get away from the old...old machine political thinking. The people want to know how you are spending their money. You aren't going to lose voters, you are going to gain them with a financial accountability. You know, get off this old fashioned, outmoded idea that the people are just too stupid, they don't care what you're doing, they do; they are looking to our party. I'm talking to my colleagues on this side of the aisle. The people in suburbia, they are waiting for the Cook County Democratic Party to be accountable financially and you're just foolish to vote against this Bill. You're losing voters, not gaining them."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madison."

Madison: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker...would the Sponsor of the motion yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Madison: "Representative Stanley, I'm sorry I didn't hear your explanation regarding whether or not, or why, this Bill was not heard in Elections Committee?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Stanley."

Stanley: "The Bill was not heard, Representative Madison, because there were only five Members present at the time and it was the last day that the Bill could be heard in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madison."

Madison: "Representative Stanley, that Bill was assigned, according to the Digest, was assigned to Elections on June first. Had that Bill ever been called by Elections Committee before, for hearing?"

Stanley: "I'm sorry, Representative Madison, would you repeat your question, please?"

Madison: "The Bill was assigned to Elections Committee, based



on the Digest, on June first. Was that Bill ever called forhow many times was the Bill called for hearing?"

Stanley: "The Bill was called only once, which was two days ago... "

Madison: "And that was the last day?"

Stanley: "That's correct."

Madison: "Had you requested the Bill be heard prior to that time?"

Stanley: "No, I had not."

Madison: "I see, and there were only five Members left?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Stanley."

Stanley: "There were five or six Members left, Representative Madison, two days ago."

Madison: "Thank you. Mr. Speaker, may I speak to the motion?"

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Madison: "Mr. Speaker, notwithstanding the merits or demerits of the Bill itself, which I understand, by rules, we cannot speak to, it seems to me that if a Member, through no fault of his own, having met the deadline for calling his Bill in Committee, could not have that Bill heard because not enough Committee Members were there, then we owe that Member the courtesy of allowing him to move his Bill to Second Reading, bypassing Committee, and allowing it to be debated in full on the floor. It was not Representative Stanley's fault that there were only five Members of the Elections Committee there and certainly his Bill could not be heard because that doesn't even constitute a quorum. It seems to me we owe him that much of a courtesy, to give his Bill a full hearing, and therefore I would support the motion to discharge."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Laurino, Chairman of the Elections Commission....Committee."

Laurino: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, contrary to Representative Madison's statement that there were only five Members available, there were only



five Members available when Representative Stanley decided to call the Bill. He was given the opportunity when there was a full Committee Membership there, and declined to do so. The reasons I am rising in opposition to the Bill is that this Bill does not change any requirements for financial disclosure, all this Bill is really going to do is"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madison."

Madison: "Mr. Speaker, would you advise the Gentleman to confine his remarks to the motion?"

Speaker Redmond: "Kindly confine your remarks to the motion, please, Representative Laurino."

Laurino: "What I am trying to do is explain the reasons why it is unnecessary to even have this Bill called."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Houlihan."

Laurino: "It's a waste of"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative James Houlihan, for what purpose do you arise?"

Houlihan, J.: "Mr. Speaker, you have directed the present speaker to confine his remarks to the motion and I think he is proceeding to speak on the Bill. I think that's improper."

Speaker Redmond: "Confine your remarks to the motion, please, Mr. Laurino."

Laurino: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'll confine my remarks to the motion. The Bill Sponsor certainly had an opportunity to have the Bill heard. He declined to do so when there was a full Membership there. His reasons were his own. I suggest to you that that being the case there's no necessity to have the full House have the burden of listening to a Bill that doesn't have any essence at all. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Ready for the question? Representative Stanley, to close."

Stanley: "Thank you very much. I would think that we should



have an opportunity to hear a motion pertaining to the Democratic Central Committee of Cook County and the whole question of who's exempt and who isn't exempt and I think the motion should be brought to the floor. I would ask for a favorable Roll Call. Thank you very much."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on Representative Stanley's motion to discharge the Election's Committee with respect to Senate Bill 205 and place it on Second Reading, First Legislative Day. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Requires 89 votes. Representative Mann."

Mann: "Mr. Speaker, I sense a certain tension in the air. I almost feel like Liberace in the men's room, a stranger in paradise....but, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I would like to askAnita Bryant is not here, Gentlemen. I would like to ask the Sponsor a question. Does this also apply to the ...what was once known as the Republican Central Committee or is this just restricted to the Democratic Central Committee?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Laurino, for what purpose do you arise?"

Laurino: "Mr. Speaker, I object....he should keep his remarks confined to the motion and not to the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "You're correct, Representative Laurino. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. Representative Dan Houlihan. Dan Houlihan."

Houlihan, Dan: "We'd like a verification of this Roll Call, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Stanley."

Stanley: "I would like to poll the absentees, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "On this question there are 105 'aye' and 33 'no'. Request has been made for the verification of the affirmative Roll Call. Representative Stanley has requested a poll of the absentees. Mr. Clerk, will you poll the absentees? Representative James Houlihan, for what purpose do you arise?"



Houlihan, James: "Well, Mr. Speaker, would it be appropriate to request a oral verification. I think we might move more quickly on this Bill and other Bills in that case."

Speaker Redmond: "Well....I think it's too late on this one. Representative....Poll the absentees, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "E. M. Barnes, Bradley, Brady...."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Bradley, for what purpose do you arise?"

Bradley: "Please record me as voting 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Record Bradley as 'no'. Representative E. M. Barnes, for what purpose do you arise? Representative Peters, for what purpose do you arise?"

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, please change my vote from 'no' to 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Change the Gentleman from 'no' to 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Brady, Caldwell, Chapman, Collins, Conti, Darrow, Corneal Davis, DiPrima, Doyle, Ebbesen, ..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "Mr. Speaker, I'm recorded as not voting. I'd like to vote 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Record the Gentleman as 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Friedrich, Getty, Greiman, Harris, Hart, Emil Jones, Kelly..."

Speaker Redmond: "Jones, 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Kornowicz, Leinenweber, Leverenz, Matijevich, McAvoy, McBroom, McGrew, Molloy, Mudd, Murphy...."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Matijevich, for what purpose do you arise?"

Matijevich: "'Aye'".

Speaker Redmond: "Record the Gentleman as 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Molloy, Mudd, Murphy, Nardulli, O'Brien, Richmond, Totten, Vitek, Von Boeckman, Winchester, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McBroom, for what purpose do you arise?"

McBroom: "Record me 'aye', Mr. Speaker, please."



Speaker Redmond: "Record the Gentleman as 'aye'. Request has been made for a verification of the Affirmative Roll Call. Representative Lechowicz, for what purpose do you arise?"

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Would everybody kindly sit in their own seat?"

Speaker Redmond: "According to Hanahan's rules, Members please take your own seat. Sit down. Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "What's our starting count?"

Speaker Redmond: "109 'ayes'."

Clerk O'Brien: "109."

Lechowicz: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McGrew."

McGrew: "Record me 'no!'."

Speaker Redmond: "Record the Gentleman as 'no'. Representative Caldwell."

Caldwell: "No."

Speaker Redmond: "Record Representative Caldwell as 'no'."

Proceed with the verification of the affirmative Roll Call."

Clerk O'Brien: "Abramson, Adams, Anderson, Antonovych, Jane Barnes, Bartulis, Bennett, Birchler, Bluthardt, Boucek, Bowman, Breslin, Rich Brummer, Byers, Campbell, Catania, Cunningham, Daniels, ..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Johnson, for what purpose do you arise?"

Johnson: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Speaker Redmond: "How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'present'."

Johnson: "Vote 'me 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Record the Gentleman as 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Jack Davis, Dawson, Deavers, Deuster, John Dunn, Ralph Dunn, Dyer, Ebbesen, Edgar, Epton, Ewing, Friedland, Gaines, Geo-Karis, Greisheimer, Hoffman, Holewinski, Jim Houlihan, Hoxsey, Hudson, Huskey, Jaffe, Johnson, Dave Jones, Kane, Katz, Keats, Kempiners, Kent, Klosak, Kucharski, Lauer, Levin, Lucco, Luft, Macdonald,



Madison, Mahar, Mann, Marovitz, Lynn Martin, Peggy Smith
Martin, Matijeovich, Mautino, McBroom, McClain, McCourt,
McMaster, ..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "Vote me 'aye', please."

Speaker Redmond: "Vote the Gentleman 'aye'. Representative
Conti."

Conti: "Vote me 'aye', please."

Speaker Redmond: "Vote the Gentleman 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "McPike, Meyer, Miller, Mugalian, Mulcahey,
Neff, Pechous, Peters, Pierce, Polk, Porter, Pullen,
Reed, Reilly, Rigney, Robinson, Ryan, Sanquist, Satterthwaite,
Schlickman, Schneider, Schoeberlein, Schuneman, Sevcik,
Sharp, Simms, Skinner, Stanley, Stearney, Steczo, E. G.
Steele, C. M. Stiehl, Stuffle, Sumner, Telcser, Tipsword,
Tuerk, Waddell, Wall, Walsh, Wikoff, Willer, Williams,
Wolf."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions? Representative Dan Houlihan."

Houlihan, Dan: "May we have the count, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "What's the count, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "112."

Speaker Redmond: "112 'aye'. Representative Dawson. How is
he recorded? Remove him."

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman...is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."

Houlihan: "Representative Pierce."

Speaker Redmond: "How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."

Houlihan: "Representative Williams."

Speaker Redmond: "Who was that, Houlihan?"

Houlihan: "Representative Williams."

Speaker Redmond: "How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."



Houlihan: "Representative Wolf."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Wolf on the floor? How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."

Houlihan: "Representative Brummer."

Speaker Redmond: "How is he recorded? Representative Satterthwaite, for what purpose do you arise?"

Satterthwaite: "For a point of information, Mr. Speaker. Could we have a ruling on how many votes are necessary for ..."

Speaker Redmond: "89 votes."

Satterthwaite: "And we now start this verification with 112?"

Speaker Redmond: "That is correct."

Houlihan: "With the first five already having been removed, Representative Satterthwaite."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Birchler, for what purpose do you arise?"

Birchler: "Mr. Speaker, change my 'aye' vote to 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Change the Gentleman from 'aye' to 'no'. Proceed."

Houlihan: "Did you take off Representative Wolf?"

Speaker Redmond: "I took Representative Wolf off. Will you please wait until we get through the verification and then I'll get back to those who want recognition."

Houlihan: "And, have you, Mr. Speaker, removed Representative Brummer?"

Speaker Redmond: "I have."

Unknown: "No, we haven't."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Brummer in the chamber? Remove him."

Houlihan: "Representative Deavers."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Deavers is here."

Houlihan: "Representative Huskey."

Speaker Redmond: "He's here. Way in the back."

Houlihan: "Is Representative Bluthardt here?"



Speaker Redmond: "He's here."

Houlihan: "Representative Marovitz."

Speaker Redmond: "He's here."

Houlihan: "Representative Friedland,"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Friedland...will the Gentlemen please be in your seats back there, I can't see. Representative Deavers and Stearney, please sit down. Friedland is in the back. Proceed."

Houlihan: "Representative Klosak."

Speaker Redmond: "Klosak here? He's here."

Houlihan: "Representative Pechous."

Speaker Redmond: "Pechous, is he here. He's here."

Houlihan: "Representative Greisheimer."

Speaker Redmond: "Greisheimer. Remove him."

Houlihan: "Representative Stearney."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Stearney. Stearney here? He's not in his right seat."

Houlihan: "Representative Campbell."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Campbell here? Remove him."

Houlihan: "Representative Ryan."

Speaker Redmond: "Is Representative Ryan here? How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."

Houlihan: "Representative Ryan."

Speaker Redmond: "Is Representative Ryan here? How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."

Houlihan: "Representative Telcser."

Speaker Redmond: "He's here."

Houlihan: "Representative Kempiners."

Speaker Redmond: "He's here."

Houlihan: "Is Representative Daniels here?"

Speaker Redmond: "He's here."



Houlihan: "Representative Kane."

Speaker Redmond: "He's here."

Houlihan: "Representative Jane Barnes."

Speaker Redmond: "Is she here? Jane Barnes? How is she recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Lady is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove her."

Houlihan: "Representative John Wall."

Speaker Redmond: "John Wall here? Wall? How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."

Houlihan: "Representative Breslin."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Breslin is down in front."

Houlihan: "Representative James Houlihan."

Speaker Redmond: "James Houlihan was wandering around a minute ago. James Houlihan? He's down in front."

Houlihan: "I have no further questions."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Winchester, for what purpose do you arise?"

Winchester: "How am I recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Winchester: "Vote me 'aye', please."

Speaker Redmond: "Vote him 'aye'. Representative Collins."

Collins: "Mr. Speaker, I am not recorded. Will you record me as voting 'aye', please?"

Speaker Redmond: "Record the Gentleman as 'aye'. Representative Greiman."

Greiman: "Objection Mr. Speaker. We had a verified Roll Call. I object to anybody else getting on this Roll Call, either 'yes' or 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Where is the Parliamentarian? The Parliamentarian? I have not declared the result yet, Representative Houlihan."

Houlihan: "But you had announced, Mr. Speaker, the vote."

Speaker Redmond: "No. I had not."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, you announced what we were working from."



Speaker Redmond: "Well that was, yes, but Representative Winchester, Representative Collins and Representative Greiman asked to interrupt the proceedings and I said wait until it's over and I will go back to you. I had not yet told what the tally was. The fact that they weren't on earlier is because, out of deference to my request...that they wait. Representative Getty."

Getty: "Record me as 'aye', please."

Speaker Redmond: "Record the Gentleman as 'aye'. Representative Bennett."

Bennett: "Aye".

Speaker Redmond: "Record Representative Bennett as 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "He's already 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "How am I recorded, Mr. Speaker?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman has been taken off the Roll Call."

Ryan: "Would you return me, please?"

Speaker Redmond: "Return him to the Roll."

Ryan: "Aye".

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Would you record me as 'aye', please?"

Speaker Redmond: "Record him as 'aye'. Representative Davis."
Representative Davis desires to be recorded as 'no'.
Anybody else? Corneal Davis, 'no'. Anyone else? What is the tally?"

Clerk O'Brien: "106 'aye', and 39 'no',"

Speaker Redmond: "106 'aye', and 39 'no'; the Gentleman's motion prevails. 216. Representative Kempiners..... Representative James Houlihan?"

Houlihan, James: "Did you indicate that motion failed or passed?"

Speaker Redmond: "Passed. Representative Kempiners. Representative Adams and Stanley, would you please sit down?"

Kempiners: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I have a motion to discharge Senate Bill 216 from the Judiciary II Committee. I filed this motion not because



I feel that this Bill was abused in Committee, because it did not get a full hearing. I did not file this motion because I felt that the action of the Committee was contrary to the action of the House, because I feel the votes were in that Committee. We've all heard of the political concept of tyranny of the majority. Well the circumstances of this situation are that this was heard in the last few days of Committee hearings. People were not in the Committee. There was a minimum quorum available in the Committee. There was two or three opponents to the Bill and there was no way possible to get the 14 or 13 votes that were necessary to get this Bill out of Committee. Again I have no quarrel with the treatment I received from the Committee. I offered an Amendment that ...which was adopted, that made the Bill palatable to most of the people in the Committee, but the circumstances were such that it was not possible to get the requisite number of votes that we require to get a Bill out of Committee, therefore, I have filed this motion. I did have....ask Representative Kosinski if he would hold this Bill for me and at the time, when there were more Members of the Committee presented for a vote. At no time were there enough Members that he could do so. So I reluctantly filed this motion but would ask your support so that there could be a hearing on the floor for Senate Bill 216."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Katz."

Katz: "Mr. Speaker, this is not a Bill that I oppose on its merits. I think I even voted for the Bill in Committee. But this Committee gave Mr. Kempiners a fair hearing, if it came up the last few days, it's only due to the fault of the Senate Sponsor. Senate Bill 216 is an early Bill. Why anyone who waits until the end of the Session to send a Bill over to the House, should get special treatment, as against other people who send their Bills over early, I cannot understand, Mr. Speaker. We do not have time to



convert this floor into the Committee Hearing Room. This Bill was heard, it was heard fairly. If there was not more time it was because the Sponsors did not get the Bill over to the House until the eleventh hour. That should not entitle them to any special preference. I believe that the Committee action ought to be sustained."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "Mr. Speaker, ordinarily I would not support a motion such as this, for the reasons stated by the chairman of the Judiciary Committee, however, as pointed out by Representative Kempiners, and certainly at least concurred in by the chairman, the Committee at the time Representative Kempiners had the Bill, I don't even think it had a quorum. There certainly was a quorum in.... Bills were either going out on the Attendance Roll Call and if anybody objected, then Bills were summarily defeated. Now this Bill is a good one. It will save the state money. It will definitely eliminate a duty that the Parole and Pardon Board now has...is absolutely unnecessary. It will give them a certain amount of discretion and flexibility. Since it will save the state money and time, I certainly think that we ought to consider the Bill this Session. I would certainly urge an 'aye' vote on the Gentleman's motion."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kosinski."

Kosinski: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I am not in disagreement with Chairman Katz. The Bill did receive a hearing, however, it is also true, what Mr. Kempiners said, it was left in my position for that second shot after Amendment, ...in the hopes that we would establish a quorum. At that point in time it was not possible to pass the Bill unless we had the Attendance Roll Call, which means even a couple of dissenting votes would have locked the Bill in Committee. Mr. Kempiners has spoken the truth."



Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Gentleman's motion to discharge Judiciary II and advance Senate Bill 216 to Second Reading, First Legislative Day. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. 89 votes required. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 100 'aye' and 21 'no' and the Gentleman's motion carries. Senate Bill 216 will be placed on the order of Second Reading, First Legislative Day. Representative Waddell."

Waddell: "Mr. Speaker, I rise for the purpose of an introduction. We have a very rare treat. The Mother of Representative Herb Huskey, who is ninety years young, is in the gallery to the right. "

Speaker Redmond: "Senate Bill 245, Representative John Dunn. Representative John Dunn on the floor? Committee Reports."

Clerk O'Brien: "Representative Capparelli, Chairman of the

Speaker Redmond: "We'll be back."

Clerk O'Brien: "...Chairman of the Committee on Executive, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken June 15, 1977, report the same back with the following recommendations: 'Do pass' Senate Bill 355, 557, 886, 1041 and 1259. 'Do not pass', Senate Bill 465. 'Do pass, as amended', Senate Bill 287, 607, 1124. 'Do pass, Consent Calendar', Senate Bills 591, 1090, 1096, 1116 and 1129. 'Do pass, as amended, Consent Calendar', Senate Bill 1023."

Speaker Redmond: "Senate Bill 245. Motion to discharge. Representative John Dunn."

Dunn: "Mr. Speaker, I thought that motion had been withdrawn. That Bill was out of Committee and on the floor...I withdraw a motion to discharge that Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "...Does he have leave to withdraw the motion? Motion is withdrawn. Senate Bill 304, Representative Friedrich. Representative Bennett, will you please sit down?"



Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, the reason I'm asking to file this motion to have the Committee on Elections discharged on this particular Bill, ...because I think it has statewide significance. At this point there are only three Bills alive which could create a state election board and this is one of them. You may or may not agree with the content of this Bill, but I'm not arguing the motion on the basis of the content of the Bill. This happens to be a Bill which calls for a four member State Board of Elections. You may not agree with that, you may be for the four....the five member concept. But I would say to you that it is important that these Bills, and I'm going to also support Senate Bill 999 for the same reason, I think it is of utmost importance that we have these Bills alive and on the floor of this Houseand.."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative James Houlihan, for what purpose do you arise?"

Houlihan, James: "Mr. Speaker, I believe the Representative is speaking to the Bill, he described the content of the Bill and I think that's out of order and I would hope he would refrain and speak to the motion."

Speaker Redmond: "Address yourself to the motion, please."

Friedrich: "As a matter of fact I said that I was not speaking to the content, I'm merely speaking to the fact that it is important that this Body have before it a vehicle so that we can ..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Laurino, for what purpose do you arise?"

Laurino: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I don't believe Representative Friedrich is speaking to the Bill. The Bill that he had proposed in Committee was amended and it is a completely different Bill now. Not the one he is talking to, he's just indicating the reasons why he's trying to discharge Committee for the reason of the Amendment....it gutted his



Bill....and he desires to have that Bill heard on this floor."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed. Confine your remarks to the Bill in its present form, Sir.....I mean to the motion."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I think there is a very great possibility that unless action is taken on these Bills to put them before the Body, that we will be back here in Special Session. We all know that we are operating now, the State Board of Elections is operating by court order and we are mandated to do something about it. I think you all know what this is about. I won't belabor it. I would appreciate your vote on Roll Call and then we'll have an opportunity to amend the Bill one way or another on Second Reading. I ask for your support."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Laurino, Chairman of the Elections Commission."

Laurino: "Mr. Speaker, I concur with Representative Friedrich's remarks. I do believe that this Bill is a necessity to be brought to the floor for the full vote by the entire General Assembly. He is correct in stating that there is no vehicle alive, this is probably one of the few remaining left, before we go into a Special Session. I urge an 'aye' vote on this Bill.....on this motion."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Bluthardt."

Bluthardt: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, this ..."

Speaker Redmond: "Let's break up the conference in the aisles, please....Epton, Getty, Ryan, please sit down."

Bluthardt: "...this Body ...remember that earlier this Session we did pass two State Board of Election Bills and sent them over to the Senate where they repose right now. There is no need for this Bill to be considered by the House. The Bill doesn't even exist, as has been pointed out, in the original form that the Sponsor introduced. The Bill had a full and complete hearing. More time was expended on this than on most Bills that came before the Elections



Committee. It was resoundly defeated. It certainly is not entitled to any more time by this House. There is an emergency, yes, but as I pointed out, there are two Bills, passed by this House, sitting in the Senate. There is no emergency for this Bill. I would ask that you vote against the motion."

Speaker Redmond: "Are you ready for the motion? Representative Friedrich to close."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, again I would ask your support on this for the reasons I have given. I would say to this, that after some activity that took place before the Elections Committee meeting yesterday, I think I can assure you that unless these Bills do get out, there will be no House Bill in the Senate either one. Now that's not a threat, that's a promise. So if you want us to have a State Board of Elections without having a special Session, I urge you to vote 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Collins. I know it but..... The question is on the Gentleman's motion. Those in favor of the motion to discharge the Elections Committee with respect to Senate Bill 304, vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Representative Collins. Representative Skinner, please sit down."

Collins: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think we're being treated to a real spectacle tonight. The Committee Chairman suggested that his own Committee be discharged and a Member of our side of the aisle declined tactics carried onon behalf of his own party. But it is, as Representative Bluthardt pointed out, there is no justification for voting for this motion. This House, twice, has rejected this concept of this type of a board. Are we to knuckle under....merely under the threat that the Senate will not perform on our Bills that we passed by overwhelming majorities, that we are facing Special Session. That's hogwash. We know no



Bill is dead. Any Bill can be taken from the table at any time, but now, with the departure of this Bill, either the Senate Bill pass these Bills that this House passed or else we'll sit down at the table and negotiate whether a State Board of Elections will be viable or not. If worst comes to worst, I say to you, I say to every Member of this Legislature, that no State Board of Elections, would be better than passing this Bill. Let's get down, let's get the five member board and create a board that does the job which the Constitutional Convention envisioned for us."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative James Houlihan to explain his vote."

Houlihan, James: "Mr. Speaker, I see there's no necessity for an explanation here. If this gets up above 89 I'd ask for a verification."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Laurino, for what purpose do you arise?"

Laurino: "Mr. Speaker, on a point of personal privilege."

Speaker Redmond: "State your point."

Laurino: "It was mentioned that the Elections Committee had a problem in there and that this Bill received a fair and equitable hearing. Well, I don't believe it did. I'm the Chairman of the Committee and I know what happened in there. An Amendment was put on that Bill, from the Senate, that was not wanted by the Sponsor. But in order to keep it viable, which he thought he was going to get support to get the Bill to the Floor, he accepted it. Basically just to keep the Bill alive. There is no Bill alive in the Senate. It's on the Discharge Motion, just as this is. If you want to sit-down here in August in a Special Session, to hammer out a Bill, for a State Board of Elections, then just keep on voting 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Walsh, to explain his vote. The timer is on."



Walsh: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, it's absolutely irresponsible not to support this motion. I commend the Chairman of the Committee for endorsing it. For without endorsing it, we are indeed playing with fire. We're inviting a Special Session, we're inviting a million dollars be spent on just this one question. Now we must keep this Bill alive. We must determine, on Second Reading or in a Conference Committee, whether or not we want a five or a four member board. I happen to prefer a four member board, Mr. Speaker, but if the Gentlemen were making a motion on a Bill that called for a five member board, I'd support it at this point. We have to have it. Not to do so, and....to require that this House be brought into Special Session is absolutely irresponsible. I urge your support."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Friedrich?"

Friedrich: "Well just let me say in closing, and I realize I am violating your admonition. This is not a four member board anymore, thanks to Representative Robinson, this Bill now is a twelve member board, but I still urge the Committee to get it out to keep this vehicle alive. Obviously it would be subject to some proposed Amendments, but I will tell you this, as....I was willing to get it out and so was the Chairman, even though it's a twelve Member Amendment on it. I think you better be willing to get it out here on the floor so we'll have something to work on."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 75 'aye' and 53 'no', and the motion fails. 306, Representative Totten."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I filed the motion on Senate Bill 306 to discharge the Committee and place on the Calendar, Second Reading, because I thought that the Bill was not in the Committee



that it should be in. The Bill deals with changing registration dates for elections and school board elections and it should have been in the Election Committees where it probably would have got a fair hearing. The Bill passed the Senate by a substantial majority and it's an important piece of Legislation that I definitely think should have the consideration of the House. I filed the motion, I also filed the motion to place...to reassign it to Elections, but it's too late to do that, so what I'd like to do is move the Bill out of the Committee and move it on to Second Reading where we can all debate the issue. I'd ask for your favorable vote on the discharge motion.

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schneider, the Chairman."

Schneider: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The Bill was not improperly assigned as the mover alleges. It did get a fair hearing. We knew the impact it would have on discouraging voters on an election that has a very low turnout, but has very high impact on taxes. I think it got the hearing it deserves and I would resist the motion to discharge."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Matijevec."

Matijevec: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I see there's quite a few of these motions on the Calendar and we moved one of these out and there were some side issues to it and I understand that but I think we are getting carried away. I think in the last week there must have been close to ten Legislators that talked to me and said we've got to do something about this volume. In every Session I keep saying that we are our worst enemies. We're just creating work. Sure there may be some Bills that we could pass. How many of them are really necessary? I think that in order to help ourselves we ought to defeat these motions. The Committees have done their work. Let's try to kill the rest of these motions. I think we'd all appreciate it, really...and be our best friends rather than our worst enemies."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Marovitz."



Marovitz: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. As a Member of the Committee on Education, Elementary and Secondary Education, I can tell you that we have had other Bills on school I can tell you that we have had other Bills on school assigned to our Committee over the past several election years, and only this one. As the Chairman said, this did not get a full and complete hearing. I don't think it was assigned anymore than the other Bills on school were improperly assigned to the Committee on Elementary and Secondary Education and I would ask that we defeat the motion."

Speaker Redmond: "Ready for the question? Representative Totten to close."

Ebbesen: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is a Bill on a motion filed on, what I think is an important piece of legislation, having to do with the conduct of elections and I think it should have the hearing of the full House and I'd ask for your favorable vote on the discharge motion."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Gentleman's motion to discharge the Committee and place Senate Bill 306 on the order of Second Reading. Those in favor of the discharge vote 'aye'. Have all voted who wish? Opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 57 'aye' and 35 'no', and the motion failed. 442. Representative Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I listened very carefully to what Representative Matijevic said and with that in mind in helping to clean up this House Joint Resolution #32, of which I am the original sponsor."

Speaker Redmond: "Which one is that? Which number, Representative Ebbesen?"

Ebbesen: "It's House Joint Resolution #32. It's under motions."



I'd like leave of the House to table it."

Speaker Redmond: "Does the Gentleman have leave? Table House Joint Resolution #32? Hearing no objection, leave is granted. Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, I usually don't take the time. I was going to do this in introduction, but on the front page of today's Register, there was a picture of a page sleeping during a break. I hear she is kinda concerned about that and feels real bad. I just want to buoy her concern that we all know the pages work hard. That was during a break and I just want to say that as far as I'm concerned, and all of the Members, her name is Janet Stout. She's a Democratic page, but all of us, Democrats and Republicans, appreciate the hard work of the pages. So don't feel bad about it, Janet."

Speaker Redmond: "Senate Bill 442. Representative Ewell."

Ewell: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, having heard the learned wisdom of some of the many Members of the House here, I'm going to defer to their wishes and table this motion."

Speaker Redmond: "He withdraws the motion with respect to Senate Bill 442. 582. Representative Lynn Martin. 584."

Martin, Lynn: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. Trying to keep this brief. If you remember Representative Laurino and Representative Friedrich's excellent speeches before.....just replay the tape. It's a vehicle, I'd like it open. Please vote 'yes'."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Stuffle."

Stuffle: "Mr. Speaker and Members, I understand this is the last vehicle alive for this purpose and also that this Bill, which resides in the Committee on Elections, stayed there on a nine to nine vote without a second opportunity to be heard for a second vote. For that reason and for the other reasons given, I would urge an 'aye' vote on the discharge motion."



Speaker Redmond: "Any....Representative Laurino. Chairman of the Committee."

Laurino: "Mr. Chairman....er...Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, contrary to the last speaker's remarks it is not the last vehicle alive and because of the Amendment that was put on it I oppose the Bill and urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Martin to close. Representative Kempiners... Pardon me."

Kempiners: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. I just wanted to say to those people on my side of the aisle who object to my five member board. Here is an alternative. One that many of us who support a five member board can live with but it's an even numbered board. I think this is possibly a compromise vehicle between all of the controversy that's been going on and I would urge a 'yes' vote on this motion to have a viable vehicle alive on the floor of the House."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Would the maker of the motion yield to a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Madigan: "Does this Bill currently provide for a twelve member board?"

Martin, Lynn: "Yes. And,"

Madigan: "Is that what was provided on Senate Bill 304? We just voted down a motion on Senate Bill 304 and it was my understanding that the Bill at that time contained a twelve member board. Is that correct?"

Martin, Lynn: "Yes."

Madigan: "So that what we're voting on now is the same thing we just voted down? This is the same issue?"

Martin, Lynn: "No. Not quite. It's very difficult to speak to the motion and to answer that question without speaking to the Bill. The original Bill did not call for a twelve member board. That was amended in. As a matter of fact, I would state that I do not favor a twelve member board."



It is purely, Mr. Majority Leader, and I realize some of you may like twelve member boards, a vehicle. That's what we may end up with. I don't know, but we're all going to look like real fools if we don't end up with something. This is a vehicle."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Lady's motion to discharge Elections Committee and place Senate Bill 284 on the order of Second Reading. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Robinson."

Robinson: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to point out that this meets a lot of the objections that have been voiced before. There is no Independent on this board and in addition to that the Elections Committee has passed out a very similar Bill before which only died because of the deadline. This may be the only way to have an Election Board is to get this over to the Senate."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kane. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. Representative Byers.....er...Bowman."

Bowman: "Could the Speaker.....we really do need aan elections vehicle out here on the floor and I'm looking up there and see people who ...you know...spoke against...in favor of the last Bill and if they don't think this is any different, why don't they vote in favor of this one. We need a vehicle..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Bluthardt."

Bluthardt: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, what I said about Dwight Friedrich's Bill recently goes just as strongly with this and what bothers me is that you see people who voted against the Friedrich Bill ...the motion...but yet they are voting for this. What is it, pride of authorship or what? Now if you voted against 304 then you certainly ought to vote against this. I would urge you to vote 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Byers."



Byers: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Some of the people were talking about a special session and a million dollars and this is a chance to save a million dollars and I think we have to realize that the political Session is getting into the last few days. We're through playing softball and we're playing hardball now and I think that this Bill should pass."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 86 'aye' and 49 'no', and the motion failed. Senate Bill 600. Do you want that out of the record? 724. Representative Wikoff."

Wikoff: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, 724 was heard in Committee yesterday, with a 'do pass' motion and received 'yes' votes and 'present' votes and the only way that I can explain what it is is in order so that it can get to the floor and receive a fair hearing is to make a brief comment about the Bill. It is not the typical unemployment compensation Bill. It's an Amendment to Section 1700 of the Unemployment Insurance Act that requires the Unemployment Insurance security administrators selection to be made"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hanahan, for what purpose do you arise?"

Hanahan: "The Gentleman is just not speaking to the motion."

Speaker Redmond: "Stick to the motion, Representative Wikoff."

Wikoff: "Mr. Hanahan, had you been listening, I would have told you I could not have told you what the Bill was about unless I spoke to it. I spoke to the motion. I would ask for a favorable Roll Call. I could not even explain what it was about unless I at least gave a description about it. It's not the typical Unemployment Compensation Bill, which so many of you are scared to death of, because you think you may lose a nickel somewhere along the line. It's a motion to put the employment security administrators in the advice of the Senate."



Speaker Redmond: "Representative Jacobs, Chairman of the Committee."

Jacobs: "Mr. Speaker, I wish that the Representative would speak to the motion. There's nothing that he has mentioned that was even in the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Are you ready for the question? The question is on the Gentleman's motion. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's ... Representative Lauer. I didn't hear you. Representative Lauer."

Lauer: "How am I recorded, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "How is the Gentleman recorded? Lauer."

Lauer: "Vote me 'aye', please."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lauer, he asks leave to be recorded as voting 'aye'. Representative Wolf. Representative Wolf desires to be recorded as 'aye'. Representative Anderson, 'aye'. Representative Jaffe, 'no'. Representative....Schneider, 'no'. Tipword, 'no'. Bennett, 'aye'. Wikoff..."

Wikoff: "Mr. Speaker, I think with all the confusion going on I suggest you dump the Roll Call and start all over again. It's obvious some people don't know which way they want to vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Wikoff, 'aye'. Representative Bluthardt."

Bluthardt: "Aye".

Speaker Redmond: "Bartulis, 'aye'. Representative Geo-Karis, "

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, let's not kid ourselves, we're all confused. I think we should dump this Roll. So let's take another one. Let's get going. It's not fair to the press. The press has to keep adding and subtracting and adding and subtracting. I don't think it's fair to the press. We've been here so many hours....I can hardly talk....Which is good....but for heaven's sake, let's go ahead."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Stuffle."



Stuffle: "Change me to 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Smith....Peggy Smith Martin... Pardon me... Record Peggy Smith Martin as 'no'. Representative Catania, 'aye'. Representative Wikoff, for what purpose do you arise?"

Wikoff: "Mr. Speaker, if we don't have another Roll Call I would like, at the proper time, to ask to poll the absentees and verify the Affirmative Roll Call.....er the Negative Roll Call."

Speaker Redmond: "That's your privilege. Representative Campbell."

Campbell: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Speaker Redmond: "Campbell...."

Campbell: "Change me to 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Change the Gentleman from 'no', to 'aye'. Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Just a point of order that he better get 89 before he verifies because he can't do it under the rules."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? Where's the Parliamentarian? Representative Daniels."

Daniels: "What is the count right now, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "That's what we're trying to find out."

Daniels: "Well if you can't tell what the count is, after all these people have been screaming back and forth....We're trying to follow a section of a very important Bill...let's get on with the business of the House. Dump this Roll Call, let's get a new one."

Speaker Redmond: "When you're Speaker you dump the Roll Call."

Daniels: "I would if I were."

Speaker Redmond: "What's the tally here? 78 'aye', Representative Deuster, for what reason do you arise? Representative Deuster. Maybe he rose for a point of order, just like you're trying to do? Representative Deuster, for what purpose do you arise?"

Deuster: "I thought a request had been made for a poll of the absentees. Did I miss the calling of the absentees?"



Speaker Redmond: "We're trying to get the tally right at the moment."

Deuster: "You're not going....You're not going to overrule that request? Representative Wikoff..."

Speaker Redmond: "We'll cross that bridge when we get there."

Deuster: "I thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "How many we got? 78 'aye', ...and how many 'no'. 63 'no'....The Gentleman has requested a poll of the absentees....to which he is entitled. Mr. Clerk, poll the absentees."

Clerk O'Brien: "Beatty, Brady, Rich Brummer, Capparelli, Chapman Darrow, Doyle, Harris, Hart, Huff, Jaffe, Kane, Kelly."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kane, for what purpose do you arise?"

Kane: "Could I be recorded as voting 'no', please."

Speaker Redmond: "Record the Gentleman as 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Kelly, Klosak, Kornowicz, Leverenz, Madison, Peggy Smith Martin, McAvoy,McAvoy, ..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Jaffe, for what purpose do you arise? "

Jaffe: "I think the Clerk called my name and I had indicated that I wish to be recorded as 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "We show you as 'no'. We show Peggy Smith Martin as 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "McGrew, McLendon, Meyer, Miller,..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Miller, 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Molloy, Mudd, Mugalian, Mulcahey."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mulcahey. Record the Gentleman as 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "O'Brien, Richmond, Satterthwaite, Schneider,... Schneider is 'no', that's right....Schumpert, Terzich, Vitek, Willer, Williams, Younge and Yourell."

Speaker Redmond: "Does anyone who is presently recorded as an absentee desire to be added to the Roll Call? Representative Brummer, 'no'. What is the tally, Mr. Clerk?"



Clerk O'Brien: "79 'aye', 64 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "79 'aye' and 64 'no'....and the Gentleman's motion fails. 738, Representative Geo-Karis. Representative Geo-Karis. 738. 738, Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would appreciate it very much if I could have you give me a favorable vote on a motion to discharge Senate Bill 738.... discharge Committee on Senate Bill 738. This is a Bill that doesn't mandate the teaching of energy to youngsters, but only if there are any grants available. It's not anything that's going to cost any money."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schneider, Chairman of the Committee."

Schneider: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. Committee has been, I think, as the Legislature has been, very sensitive to new mandates, new programs, new kinds of fundings that we will not be able to meet and new kinds of pressure that we will not be able to respond to, therefore, we have repeatedly resisted any new programs. That was the fate of this Bill. It did receive a sound and thorough hearing but because of the apprehension we feel about mandates it was resisted and I would solicit a 'no' vote on this motion."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Gene Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, in all due deference to the Sponsor, I must concur 100 percent with the Chairman of the Committee. This Bill was properly heard. If you look at the language and see the Senate Amendment, it's very obvious what we've done. The Governor, with some Members of this Legislature serving on a Commission on mandates, is looking at this whole question right now. At this time it is my judgment that this is not timely."

Speaker Redmond: "Are you ready for the question? The question is on the Lady's motion to discharge the Elementary Education Committee and advance Senate Bill 738 to Second



Reading, First Legislative Day. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Representative Stuffle."

Stuffle: "Mr. Speaker and Members, quickly; there was a great deal of confusion on this Bill in Committee and I think there still is. It doesn't mandate anything. There's a difference of opinion as to what the term 'board' means in the Bill. The board defined in the Bill is not the local school board. It doesn't mandate anything. It leaves it up to the school district to provide the program if they want. It doesn't force anyone."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker, to explain my vote. There was confusion in the Committee hearings. This money would only come under any grants that were available and if you're not going to teach youngsters conservation and energy how do you expect them not to be litterbugs when they grow up. I think it's a very good Bill and I urge your support."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there is 73 'aye' and 48 'no', and the motion fails. 797...Representative Peggy Smith Martin."

Martin, P.S.: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I file the motion to discharge Committee on Judiciary II, Senate Bill 797 because we could not get a quorum in there on yesterday....I would certainly appreciate your support of this motion...to discharge Judiciary II Committee so that we could hear this matter on the floor."

Speaker Redmond: "The Chairman of the Committee, Representative Katz. The motion with respect to 797."

Katz: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this matter came about as the result of considerable confusion in the Committee. The vice-chairman was running the Committee at that particular moment, an Attendance Roll Call was taken, Mr. Stearney, the Minority Spokesman had



left and had objected to the Bill earlier. All that I will tell you is that there was tremendous confusion and I tried to be fair to Mr. Stearney and to Miss Martin. It would be my view that under the circumstances that took place here that Miss Martin ought to be able to have her Bill heard and accordingly I am going to support it, due to the confusion that took place with regard to this particular matter in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Marovitz."

Marovitz: "Mr. Speaker, I think it'd be important to find out why the Minority Spokesman was opposed to this Bill and perhaps Mr. Stearney could detail, for us, why in fact he was opposed to this Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "He is not here. Representative Peggy Smith Martin to close."

Martin, P.S.: "Thank you again, Mr. Speaker. I just like to ask again for your support on this motion to discharge Committee because we did not have a quorum at the time that this Bill was in the Committee ...on yesterday."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Lady's motion. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. Representative Levin. Representative Levin."

Levin: "I wanted to explain my vote, Mr. Speaker. I arose before you turned off the.....Okay..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Peggy Smith Martin."

Martin, P.S.: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I'd like a poll of the absentees."

Speaker Redmond: "The Lady has requested a poll of the absentees. Mr. Clerk. Representative Collins."

Collins: "Mr. Speaker, would you change my vote to 'aye', please?"

Speaker Redmond: "Change Representative Collins from 'no', to 'aye'. Representative Ewell. Record Representative Ewell as 'aye'. Representative Luft...as 'aye'. Poll the absentees."



Clerk O'Brien: "Anderson, Beatty, Bennett, Bradley, Brady, Brandt, Don Brummet.."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Brandt, vote the Gentleman 'aye'. Brummet, 'aye'...Van Duynes, 'aye'. Representative Conti, 'no'. Representative Hoxsey, 'aye', Representative Bennett, 'aye'. What's the count now, Mr. Clerk? Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "How am I recorded, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Who?"

Speaker Redmond: "Schlickman."

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, the Cubs are winning two to nothing in the bottom of the seventh. I vote 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Record the Gentleman as 'aye'. How many is that in a row, Representative Schlickman?"

Schlickman: "Many."

Speaker Redmond: "How many...."

Schlickman: "The fewest number in the Major Leagues."

Speaker Redmond: "Nineteen....On this....What's the count now, 89?"

Clerk O'Brien: "89 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "On this questionRepresentative Huskey, 'aye'. Representative Lucco."

Lucco: "Mr. Chairman, am I voted....Am I recorded as 'aye'?"

Clerk O'Brien: " 'Aye', right."

Lucco: "Take me off. I'll vote 'present'."

Speaker Redmond: "Record the Gentleman as 'present'. Anderson 'aye'. What's the count now?"

Clerk O'Brien: "90 'ayes'."

Speaker Redmond: "On this question there's 90 'aye' and the Lady's motion carries. 999. Bradley."

Bradley: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, we have one more opportunity here. I can't help but think the remarks that Mr. Byers



said, we certainly don't want to be back here with a Special Session and somebody mentioned, I think, it might cost as much as a million dollars if we have a Special Session to recreate the Board of Elections. We're running out of vehicles and I think that this certainly is to be given every consideration and I would urge those Members who spoke so ferociously in favor of another Bill here earlier tonight, would be agreeable to voting 'aye' on this motion so that we will have a vehicle to create the Board of Elections, so we won't be back here. I move for the adoption of the motion relative to Senate Bill 999 to discharge the Committee so that we will have a vehicle that's very viable and we can do something with it so we won't be back to spend that million dollars for a Special Session. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Laurino, the Committee Chairman."

Laurino: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

Enough has been said on the State Board of Election's necessity. I support the motion. I believe it is the last vehicle alive. The people that vote against this are only inviting a Special Session....and spend that extra money. The Governor indicates that he wants austerity in his programs. I doubt whether he wants a Special Session. I urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Collins. Bluthardt."

Bluthardt: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House.

You know this talk about a Special Session is a lot of malarkey. And talk about this being the last vehicle is just as much malarkey. There's two Bills from the House, over in the Senate. Do you know what's going to happen to one of those Bills?Or it might be this? It's going to go through the Senate and it's going to come back here and it's eventually going to go into Conference Committee and there's going to be a Bill come out here creating a new State Board of Elections. We don't need



this Bill. We voted it twice already, this is the third time today that we're voting on the concept of a four Member Board. Now I would urge you to vote 'no' on this motion. It did have a complete and fair hearing, I believe, on two different occasions, in Committee. It failed to get out. I don't see why it should have any more consideration than other...have the same fate as the two previous Bills that we refused to take from Committee. I urge you to vote 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, I urge you to vote 'aye' on this Bill. Again, for the reasons that I mentioned before that have been mentioned again. I would have preferred to have 304 to be considered along with this but there were a couple of groups here who preferred not to do that and that's the liberal group who seemed to want one a while ago and didn't on my Bill and I hope they will on this one. And I would also like to persuade some of my Republican friends who are listening too much to the man on second floor who hasn't had as much political experience as some of the rest of us. I urge you to vote 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Collins."

Collins: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is the hardest to understand motion of all. This Bill, the Sponsor, didn't even come into Committee and have heard or ask to be heard. There was no action on this Bill in the Senate form. He came in, as Representative Bluthardt said, twice, under the House Bill, which was the same thing. But in the last Committee meeting, where this Bill could have been heard, there was no action because the Sponsor didn't ask it to be called, so I can see no reason, at this point, to discharge this Committee. As far as vehicles go, again, as Representative Bluthardt said, vehicles abound. There's no shortage of



vehicles, if that's what we're looking for. So again, I think this Bill should be turned down...this motion should be turned down as the others were."

Speaker Redmond: "Ready for the question? Representative Bradley, to close. Representative Byers."

Bradley: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House..."

Speaker Redmond: "I called on Byers first."

Byers: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would like to correct a couple of things. There are some other Bills alive that are on Second Reading in the House. There's been Amendments filed already for a Bill tomorrow, House Bill 1177, so I think we could vote this Bill down as we have the others."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Bradley to close."

Bradley: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'm surprised that Mr. Byers would feel that way when he spoke so eloquently on another vehicle earlier and I reply to Mr. Bluthardt, the third time might be the charm and in reply to Mr. Collins, I was met by the Governor outside your Committee and he wouldn't let me in the Committee. He was out there manhandling many people and we couldn't get in so that my Bill could be heard....So I would respectfully ask for an 'aye' vote on this motion so we will have a vehicle available so that we can recreate the Board of Elections so that all of us can go ahead and campaign and get re-elected and be back a year from next January. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Gentleman's motion. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 72 'aye' and 55 'no'. The motion failed. 1085. Representative Deavers."

Deavers: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like leave to hear the motion on 1085 and 1093 at the same time, if that's possible?"

Speaker Redmond: "Does he have leave? Hearing no objection, leave is granted. 1085 and 1093."



Deavers: "I'd like to make two promises on both of them. Number one, that I won't change or add any sponsorship. And if any other Amendments are brought forth and put on either one of the Bills, then I'll table both of them. I think they are essential to the Department of Unemployment Compensation so they can make it more feasible and that's the reason I move to discharge."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Deavers."

Deavers: "I made my explanation and I'm going to ask for a Roll Call..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Jacobs, Chairman of the Committee. Representative Mulcahey, please sit down."

Jacobs: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 1085 and 1093 are...were heard in Committee. They had a fair hearing and I ask that the motion be defeated."

Speaker Redmond: "Ready for the question? Representative Deavers to close."

Deavers: "Let's have a Roll Call."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Gentleman's motion with respect to Senate Bill 1085 and 1093. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will gake the record. On this question there's 70 'aye' and 50 'no', and the Gentleman's motion fails. 109....1155, Representative Wikoff. Representative Tuerk, for what purpose do you arise?"

Tuerk: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I had a motion filed on 1093. I know it was taken in that other Roll Call but I would like to have the courtesy of hearing that."

Speaker Redmond: "1093, Representative Tuerk."

Tuerk: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I've heard a lot of expression tonight about certain Bills having had a fair hearing in Committee. I would submit to you that Senate Bill 1093 did not have a fair hearing in Committee."



And...Chairman..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Tipsword, for what purpose do you arise? Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, this motion on this Bill was just heard and failed to receive the appropriate number of votes, therefore, this motion is out of order, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Unless I am wrong, it looks to me like, on page 18, there's a motion filed by Representative Tuerk, with respect to 1093....And on page 19, there's a motion with respect to the same Bill by Representative Deavers. Now I thought that Representative Deavers requested that his motion, with respect to 1085 and 1093 be taken together."

Madigan: "Any they were."

Speaker Redmond: "They were."

Madigan: "And therefore we've already considered this motion."

Speaker Redmond: "The Parliamentarian advises me that on the same day that it would be considered to be dilatory to have the same motion with respect to the same motion with respect to the same Bill on the same day. Representative Tuerk."

Tuerk: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I was unaware that Representative Deavers filed a motion. I file the motion that's an individual motion, and I think that ought to be heard, regardless of what the other side of the aisle said, whether or not it was heard by another Representative. This is my motion and I want to hear it."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Matijevecich."

Matijevecich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, you have made the ruling and it is a proper ruling, under Roberts, and his only recourse now is to appeal the ruling of the Chair."

Speaker Redmond: "The Parliamentarian says that inasmuch as there's no change at all, no deviation between the two motions, in his judgment it is dilatory. Representative Deavers."



Deavers: "Mr. Speaker, I disagree with the Parliamentarian."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, then, appeal the ruling of the Chair."

Deavers: "Then I appeal the ruling of the Chair. Let's have a Roll Call."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has appealed the the ruling of the Chair. The question is, shall the Chair be sustained? Those in favor of sustaining the Chair vote 'aye', Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, I suggest that your phraseology in this matter may confuse some Members and possibly you would like to phrase it ...the question in terms of those wishing to overrule you, to you know...."

Speaker Redmond: "We'll be guided by whatever the good rules say. Representative Tuerk."

Tuerk: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I think I can settle this proposition all I'd have to ask is leave to set this over until tomorrow. It won't be on the same day. Now, I didn't hear any ruling from the Chair to begin with. I heard a ruling from the Parliamentarian. Actually I haven't heard you rule on it."

Speaker Redmond: "I will make the same ruling and may I suggest that there are five more Bills that we have to consider. The deadline is 11:30. It would seem to me that it's a foregone conclusion what the result of a Roll Call would be on that and I would suggest that in deference to our colleagues that we should let us get on with the business, here. Because it looks to me like the Roll Call would be the same....or close to it...I see no purpose served."

Tuerk: "Well, Mr. Speaker, if I could respond to that, I can see a purpose served because I filed a motion, I know really what's in the Bill, and I know what went on ..."

Speaker Redmond: "The Chair made a ruling with respect to the last motion. That ruling has been ...has been appealed and if it makes anybody happier, the question will be, shall the Chair be overruled? It will take 89 votes to



do that. Those in favor of overruling the Chair, vote 'yes', and those sustaining the ruling of the Chair, vote 'no'. Representative Schuneman."

Schuneman: "Mr. Speaker, do I understand that your ruling was that Representative Tuerk's motion is to be heard."

Speaker Redmond: "Is dilatory. Because it's identical with the other motion."

Schuneman: "Your ruling is that he's dilatory."

Speaker Redmond: "The motion is dilatory. The Member is never dilatory. Have all voted who wish? Representative Deuster....is going to change the outcome here. Representative Duester. Have all voted who wish? On this question there's 53 'aye' and 87 'no', and the motion er ...the Chair is sustained. Senate Bill 1155. Three cheers....Representative Wikoff."

Wikoff: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I'm back again with the motion to discharge 1155. I'm not going to speak to the Bill. Before Representative Hanahan complains of such, I'm asking in this motion to discharge this important piece of legislation be brought to the House floor in order that all Members of the House be given the opportunity to vote your conviction...and those of their constituents and only then will we have a true decision of the House of Representatives. I realize that the arguments that are going to put forth that we will be scuttling the Committee system and I'm familiar with all of that related rhetoric. And I also do not relish venturing into that Aceldama for a predictable disembowelment of such an important piece of legislation by a small fraction of the Legislature. But I do predict that this may be one of the most important pieces of legislation to come before this House of Representatives and I'd ask for an affirmative vote to bring this out to the House floor."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Jacobs."



Jacobs: "Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, after the hours that we spent in Committee and now we're seeming to make a mockery of the Committee system. And I want to tell you about this Bill. It was in the Senate.... this Bill was heard.... at no time was this Bill, either in the Senate or in the House, ever had a hearing because the Sponsor of this Bill did not even appear in our Committee. And when I asked, 'Shall we wait and see if the Representative who is sponsoring the Bill is going to come?'; I was told he would not be there. So this was a planned game and I ask for a 'no' vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Simms."

Simms: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I urge that sufficient votes be given to this Bill to bypass the Committee system. I think the reason that the Sponsor of this Bill didn't choose to come to Committee was because it would be like Custer going to Little Big Horn before he knew what was going to happen. That Committee is not structured so that a people-type legislation can get out on the floor of the House of Representatives, that overdue need reforms are needed in the area of unemployment compensation, and to give the people of this state the opportunity to vote on this legislation for all 177 Members of the House. I urge that Representative Wikoff's motion be given an affirmative vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Farley."

Farley: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The previous speaker couldn't be more wrong in stating that the Members of that Committee aren't people-Members. We've got some expertise on that Committee that can't be replaced, starting with the Chairman down to and including the former Speaker. I would suggest though... that we do not put sufficient



votes on this piece of legislation. And we do have some other measures on the House floor that we're attempting to work out and work on and provide the people with some significant legislation. So I urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Redmond: "The question's on the Gentleman's motion. Those in favor vote 'aye' and those opposed vote 'no'. Representative Wikoff."

Wikoff: "Might I close, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed, yes."

Wikoff: "It was mentioned that this Bill was not heard in Committee. This is true. I may be a freshman, but I can count. And I know what the votes are when I go into something because there hasn't been a Republican sponsored Labor Bill come out of....Bill dealing with unemployment or workman's comp. come out of that Committee this year and I wasn't going into sure suicide. I would ask that an affirmative vote be passed."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Friedrich."

Friedrich: "We have many people in Illinois now that will tell you quite frankly that they'd rather be on unemployment compensation than look for a job. We have gotten to the place where unemployment is attractive and it's the responsibility of this Body to do something about it and here's the chance to do it."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Cunningham."

Cunningham: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the hour is late but there's still plenty of time to strike a decisive lick for industry in the State of Illinois. Two or three hours ago we had dinner at the Economic and Fiscal Commission and the Chairman with commendable concern for industry in Illinois said that we ought to investigate, find why so much is leaving the state. I'd ask him to look up at the board at his



own vote and he'll have the answer to his question. It's time for you to stand up and be counted. The present situation is disgraceful in this state. People are drawing unemployment that could be working. Let's restore this advantage. Let the work ethic return to Illinois. And the only way that you could do that is to make the unemployment compensation realistic. That's why we need this Bill passed. For it to get 78 votes or 79 or 50 or whatever it gets is a clear signal of defeat to everybody in industry who wants to work in the State of Illinois. You should be ashamed of yourself if you're not voting green."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Keats."

Keats: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would urge that we get the 89 votes on this Bill. I would like to say, since I am on the Labor and Commerce Committee, that Chairman Jacobs and Assistant Chairman Farley have been totally, completely and absolutely fair during the year. Every Bill has been heard in a reasonable way. We just happen to have a minor disagreement of viewpoints. And every Bill that we believe has been a Bill that would assist the working men and women in keeping jobs in Illinois loses 10 to 8. The Committee Bills have been heard fairly, there just is a difference of opinion. If you believe, as I do, and it appears that a large number of you do believe, that the state needs workable unemployment compensation laws. If you need laws that say that you can't have kids quitting their jobs and going back to school and getting on unemployment comp., like one of my local people has had happen. A woman whose husband moved to Pennsylvania and she drew unemployment comp. because she went with him. Excuse me, I forgot that I was out of order. If you've never gotten a letter like that... discussing issues like that, I don't know



whether you've been reading your mail. But if we are to get unemployment compensation legislation that will keep jobs in Illinois, and keeping jobs in Illinois is what this game is all about, if we're going to get that then you ought to be voting 'aye' on this Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Tuerk."

Tuerk: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I would urge a number more of green votes on this Bill to discharge because it's an opportunity for this House to address itself to an important subject. Now a lot has been said tonight about the Sponsor not showing up for the hearing for this Bill. I submit to you that it wouldn't have made much difference if he showed or not showed. I'll give you one example. Senate Bill 1093 was heard yesterday. And the Chairman of the Committee didn't even give the witness an opportunity to express his view. And therefore, that's why I say that things are not so fair and committed to good government in that Committee. And therefore, I think that this House should be allowed to address itself to this issue. And therefore, we need some more green votes to get it out onto the floor of the House."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. Representative Wikoff, for what purpose do you rise?"

Wikoff: "I'd like to poll the absentees at the proper time."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has requested a poll of the absentees. Mr. Clerk, will you poll the absentees. Representative Ewing."

Ewing: "Mr. Speaker, would you change my vote from 'no' to 'yes'?"

Speaker Redmond: "Change the Gentleman from 'no' to 'aye'. Representative Hanahan."

Hanahan: "If by any chance this vote goes in excess of 89,



I'd like a verification of the Roll Call."

Speaker Redmond: "Poll the absentees."

Clerk O'Brien: "Beatty, Bradley, Breslin, Chapman, DiPrima, Doyle, John Dunn, Ewell, Harris, Kornowicz, Leverenz, Madison, Mann, Mautino, McAvoy, McLendon, Meyer, Molloy, Nardulli, O'Brien, Richmond, Terzich, Tipsword, Vitek, Williams, Yourell, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mann, for what purpose do you rise?"

Mann: "I'd like to be recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "84-67. The Gentleman.... anyone on the poll of absentees desire to be recorded? The motion fails. 1234."

Dawson: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to table 1234."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Dawson, what was that? I didn't hear you."

Dawson: "I'd like to table the motion on Bill 1234."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman withdraws the motion with respect to Senate Bill 1234. 1274, Representative Abramson."

Abramson: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is a motion to discharge 1274 from Judiciary II Committee and placed on the Order of Second Reading, First Legislative Day. Some people suggest that a motion to discharge Committee subverts... or makes a mockery of the Committee system. I suggest that the fact that we can only eleven to thirteen people to rotate to a twenty-three man Committee.... really makes a mockery of the system. I ask for a favorable Roll Call. I think this is a significant piece of legislation that should be heard on the floor of the House."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Katz."

Katz: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is a Bill that was given a full hearing by the



Judiciary II Committee. What this Bill would do is to permit bail to be set on the basis of the reputation of an individual with regard to a crime. It is a most serious matter."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Deuster, for what purpose do you rise?"

Deuster: "Well, the Speaker is not confining himself to the motion. He's speaking to the merits of the Bill."

Katz: "Well, I was really explaining the importance of this matter. It is one of the.... a part of see who gets the title, but this is, in my view, about the worst of the Bills that I have seen this Session in Judiciary II. It had no support in Committee.... practically no support in the Committee. It was given a full hearing and it died because of its lack of merit, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Because it does effect the constitutional right to bail, it is a matter that I would have to persist in opposition to to, the very end here. I would urge the Members not to subvert the Committee process....."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Geo-Karis, for what purpose do you rise?"

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker, I rise on a point of order. The very Gentleman that promulgated the new rules of this House is violating his own rules. He's speaking on the Bill instead of the motion."

Speaker Redmond: "All right. Are you ready for the question? The question's on the Gentleman's motion to discharge Judiciary II with respect to Senate Bill 1274. Those in favor vote 'aye' and the opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 49... 50 'aye' and 58 'no' and the Gentleman's motion fails. 1326, Repre-



sentative Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, even though it's quite obvious that I could speak to the merits of the Bill and get away with it, following the example of the Chairman of the Rules Committee, I shall not..... at least until the last sentence. This Bill received a full hearing in the Utilities Committee and it received negative results, but this is an Administration Bill and the Governor wants this Bill. The Senate Sponsor wants this Bill. Please help me please the Governor and Senator Bloom. This is the Administration Reform Bill for the Illinois Commerce Commission and it deserves to be defeated or passed on the floor."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Would the mover of the motion yield to a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Madigan: "Mr. Skinner, did you say that the Governor was in favor of this Bill... that he wants it... the Governor?"

Skinner: "Well, I've been led to believe that that is the case."

Madigan: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Caldwell."

Caldwell: "Mr. Speaker, the Sponsor is absolutely correct. The Bill did receive a fair hearing and it was defeated. And I rise to suggest that we vote red on this Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schoeberlein."

Schoeberlein: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the Governor has gone to bed. He's not a Member of the Legislature. As Minority Spokesman of the Committee, I ask for Christmas tree lights in red up there."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Gentleman's motion. Representative Skinner to close."

Skinner: "Well, I would like to suggest that Christmas trees



ought to have more than red lights. It ought to have green lights and it ought to have voodoo lights too."

Speaker Redmond: "The question's on the Gentleman's motion to discharge the Public Utilities Committee with respect to Senate Bill 1326. Those in favor vote 'aye' and those opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 54 'aye' and 55 'no' and the Gentleman's motion fails. Representative Bartulis, the answer to your question is that that motion does not die today. This is the deadline today. Representative Dyer. Mr. Clerk, we have a motion here. Representative Katz."

Katz: "Mr. Speaker, earlier I made a motion that Senate Bill 233 be taken from the Table and put on Interim Study. Mr. Schlickman objected to that. He has now withdrawn his objection and I wonder while you're waiting for Ms. Dyer to get there if we might place Senate Bill 233 taking it from the Table and putting it on Interim Study. Mr. Schlickman has withdrawn his objection."

Speaker Redmond: "Does the Gentleman.... as I recall we didn't give permission to put any of those in Interim Study, but does he have leave to put all of the Bills in the....."

Katz: "Yeah, he put them all.... he agreed to all of them and I just wanted to make sure....."

Speaker Redmond: "I don't think that I I don't think that I ordered that they be put in the Interim Study. Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Well, Mr. Speaker, in light of the Cubs having won tonight two to nothing, I'm very magnanimous. And let him do what he wants to do."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Daniels, the Cardinal fan, is recognized."



Daniels: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I would ask leave of the House to put eleven....Senate Bill 1198 in Interim Study. That was the Bill that was heard in Cities and Villages. I was unable to appear because I was in a Products Liability Subcommittee and I'd like to just put that in Interim Study."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Taylor, is that satisfactory?"

Taylor: "Leave is granted as far as I'm concerned."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay. Leave is granted. Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I just wanted to advise the Representative from the suburban Cook, Representative Schlickman, that that's not really the Cubs that's playing tonight, that's another team that's disguised, the one that's studied winning. I don't think that they've discovered that they're the Cubs from the northside of Chicago yet."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Dyer."

Dyer: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to move to suspend the Calendar requirements and discharge the Higher Education Committee from further consideration of Senate Bill 1312. The Committee met this afternoon. There was simply not enough Members present to pass the Bill out, therefore, it was impossible to get the motion on the Calendar. It's a bipartisan effort. I would appreciate the cooperation of both sides of the aisle. 1312"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madigan. Will you read the motion, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Pursuant to Rule 66 (a), I move to discharge Committee on Higher Education from further consideration of Senate Bill 1312, to suspend the Calendar requirement thereof, and advance to the Order of Second Reading, First Legislative Day."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Lady's motion. Representative Kane."



Kane: "Could I ask the Sponsor of this motion if she is the Sponsor of the Bill?"

Speaker Redmond: "Are you the Sponsor of the Bill?"

Dyer: "At present, Senator Egan is the Senate Sponsor. Representative DiPrima is the House Sponsor, he is not on the floor. As I say, this is a bipartisan effort to get this Bill, this is the last possible day that this Bill ...you know...that this motion could be made, so that this Bill could be heard, otherwise it will die."

Kane: "Are you the Sponsor of the motion?"

Dyer: "I'm the Sponsor of the motion, yes."

Speaker Redmond: "Yes."

Kane: "Does the Sponsor of the Bill agree with it?"

Dyer: "He is not on the floor. However it is a good Bill. It has bipartisan support. We'll discuss the Bill when it gets on Second Reading."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ewell."

Dyer: "Would you like to hear what the Bill does? Would that be helpful?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ewell, the Chairman of the Committee. Ray Ewell."

Ewell: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, I ...although I can sympathize very deeply with what might be the hopes and aspirations of those who wish to push the Bill, I think you do, in all fairness, have to point out that the Sponsor of the Bill is not present and I think we get a grievous situation when we get someone moving a Bill where the Sponsor is not present. Now if we're going to abandon the principle that the Bills belong to a Sponsor or that he ought to be present or that he ought to at least, like in Committee, he ought to be present, before you act on his Bill. I think we're getting into some very, very deep water. I think that the Sponsor would be the proper person to do it, even to make the suspension of the rule tomorrow. I think we're getting into some very



serious situations here, that we might regret."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Walsh."

Walsh: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I have no reason to believe that Representative DiPrima if he were here, he would not make this motion, he indicated that he favored it this afternoon. I have no idea why he is not here now. But because of the vagary in the rules, Mr. Speaker, the rules provide that this is the last day for House Bills, in Committee. The rules further provide that in order to discharge a Committee from further consideration, that the motion to so do must be on the Calendar and appear the next legislative day. The effect of that is that Bills heard today are prejudiced and need 107 votes where Bills heard any other day, and the motions heard today need 89. So I submit to you, Mr. Speaker, that simple justice dictates that we ought to suspend whatever rule is necessary in order that this motion may be heard and require only 89 votes. I think the easiest way to do it, Mr. Speaker, would be to suspend that rule that requires that they ...the deadline is today...for specific Bills and hear these motions tomorrow and require that they need just 89 votes."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Byers."

Byers: "Mr. Speaker, in checking Representative Kosinski's watch, who is a jeweler, his watch keeps perfect time, it's eleven past....it's past eleven thirty and the deadline...all these Bills are dead. I think it's time we adjourn now."

Speaker Redmond: "The Lady has.....moved that Senate Bill 1312 be ...the Rule be suspended and that that be...the Committee be discharged. Now there has been some previous discussions about that and I think that she's entitled to a vote. It takes 107 votes. Now the question is on the Lady's motion. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. I don't know. Representative Deuster."



Deuster: "Well, briefly, in explaining my 'yes' vote, I think some Members may be influenced by an inaccurate Synopsis, and that's the only reason I would direct your attention. It is my understanding that the substance of this Bill is to allow veterans to use their scholarships at private institutions and the Synopsis is misleading and I hope that wouldn't mislead anyone into voting 'no'. I urge more 'aye' votes."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Epton."

Epton: "Mr. Speaker, a point of parliamentary inquiry. If it turns out that Representative DiPrima is unhappy with what transpires this evening, is it not his prerogative to table this Bill tomorrow?"

Speaker Redmond: "He can recommit it, if he so desires and on priority of call, it isn't very high on the Calendar."

Epton: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? On this question there's 115 'aye' and 8 'no', the Lady's motion prevails. Representative Willer, did you have 165...wait a minute. 165. Representative Ewell."

Ewell: "Mr. Speaker, could I ask a question? Who is the Sponsor of 165?"

Speaker Redmond: "What did you say?"

Ewell: "Who is the Sponsor of 165?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Bennett. It was called earlier and it was taken out of the record."

Ewell: "Mr. Egan was the Senate Sponsor and it was my understanding that I was the House Sponsor."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative, I don't know who the Sponsor of the Bill is, all I can say is that Representative Bennett is the Sponsor of the motion and under our rules any Member can make the motion to discharge the Committee with respect to any Bills. That is not confined to the Sponsor of the Bill. Now, Representative Bennett has filed a motion, we called it earlier, and we took it out



of the record. We're back at 165. Representative Bennett."

Bennett: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker, everyone knows what this Bill is about. I would simply ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Getty."

Getty: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House...."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ewell."

Ewell: "Point of personal privilege on this matter. Senator Egan is the prime Sponsor of this Bill. I thought that I was the prime House Sponsor. Now, there was an agreement to hold this particular Bill. To hold this particular Bill....the Agreement was between Representative ...er..Senator Egan, who is the prime Sponsor of the Bill, it was also between, allegedly, between the Governor, and it was also with the other side of the aisle, or at least that's the last thing that Mr. Bennett told me. Now I don't mind being pushed around a little bit, but I think no one has even consulted me, no one has said a single word to me, and I have been listed on this Bill as the prime Sponsor. Representative Bennett has asked to be on the Bill as the Cosponsor, and there was no objection to it. But I don't see, when we get to the stage that the Cosponsor of a Democratic Bill, a Republican can walk over to this side of the aisle, push the Sponsor aside, take the Bill and do that which he chooses with it. Now that is wrong. I think that he is now stepping all over me, all over the Bill, all over the Senate Sponsor and I can not accept this for any political purposes, for any paper purposes, for any publicity purposes, because what he's doing is wrong. Not even the same courtesy to walk over here and ask me about it. I object to having this Bill heard."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Getty."

Getty: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House,"



Speaker Redmond: "Representative Matijevich, for what purpose do you arise?"

Matijevich: "Would the Clerk state the motion?"

Speaker Redmond: "The motion is to discharge the Judiciary II Committee and advance Senate Bill 165 to the order of Second Reading, Second Legislative Day."

Matijevich: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I would now ask for ruling if that motion is not out of order because we've passed eleven thirty and under our rule, and we want to live by the rules, under our rules, at eleven thirty, these Bills are dead and the motion would be to take from the table....and I ...you know, you need 107 votes on that and I think he'd do us all a favor if he'd just withdraw, he knows he's not going to get 107. Let's go home. You know our Committee is meeting at eight o'clock and Members are running to me and saying, we ought to meet later...."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan, for what purpose do you arise?"

Ryan: "Well, Mr. Speaker, you've got a motion on the floor. Let's quit playing games. Let's call the motion. It's up or down, let's move with it. Make a decision once in your life, will you, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "I called this Bill. I called this Bill quite some time ago. The Sponsor requested that it be taken out of the record. It is not my fault that it is now past eleven thirty. The question of point of order has been raised by Representative Matijevich and I think the point of order is well taken. Representative Madigan. If he had persisted in his motion at that time it would have been prior to eleven thirty. Representative Madigan. Announcements? That's Cunningham's spot."

Madigan: "Announcements, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Announcements. Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, Appropriations I is scheduled at eight. Let's make it eight thirty but no later than that,



because I know it will take about a half an hour. If we finish our business tomorrow, we will not meet Friday, so let's all be there at eight thirty."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you very much. Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, the Appropriations II Committee will meet tomorrow morning at 9 a.m...."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, Representative Matijevich seeks recognition. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, I'll concede and we'll make it nine. I think we can do it all in two hours. Thank you. Nine o'clock."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Does the Clerk require any time, Mr. Speaker? The Clerk requires two minutes and with that I move that we adjourn until eleven o'clock tomorrow morning."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on Representative Madigan's motion that we adjourn until eleven o'clock tomorrow morning. Those in favor say 'aye' opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the motion carries.. We now stand adjourned. Representative Cunningham, fix the clock."

Clerk O'Brien: "Representative Chapman, Chairman of the Committee on Human Resources, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken June 15, 1977, report the same back with the following recommendations: 'Do pass' Senate Bill 1308, 1361. 'Do pass, as amended', Senate Bills 433, 689, 881. 'Do pass, Consent Calendar', Senate Bills 692, 1257, 1329. 'Do pass as amended, Consent Calendar', Senate Bill 926. Representative Katz, Chairman of the Committee on Judiciary II, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken June 14, 1977, report the same back with the following recommendations; 'Do pass', Senate Bill 1357. No further business, the House now stands adjourned."



1.	<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
	1		Speaker Redmond	House to order
	1		Father Krueger	Invocation
	1		Speaker Redmond	Consent Calendar-2nd
	2		Geo-Karis	Introduction
	2		Speaker Redmond	
	3,4		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Consent Calendar, 2nd, 2nd Day
	4		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading-Senate Bills 2nd
	4		Epton	Explains Am #1-SB 1063
	4		Speaker Redmond	
	5		Clerk O'Brien	Reads SB 1063-Amend #1
	5	9:32	Speaker Redmond	Am #1 adopted. 3rd Reading
	5		Clerk O'Brien	Reads SB 16
	5		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
	5		Clerk O'Brien	Reads SB 23
	5		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
	5		Clerk O'Brien	Reads SB 40
	5		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
	5		Clerk O'Brien	SB 41
	5		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
	6		Clerk O'Brien	SB 42
	6		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
	6		Clerk O'Brien	SB 43
	6		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
	6		Clerk O'Brien	SB 44
	6	9:35	Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
	6		Clerk O'Brien	SB 45
	6		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading



2.

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
6		Clerk O'Brien	SB 46
6		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
7		Clerk O'Brien	SB 47
7		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
7		Clerk O'Brien	SB 48
7		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
7		Clerk O'Brien	SB 49
7		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
7		Clerk O'Brien	SB 50
7		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
7		Clerk O'Brien	SB 51
7		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
7		Clerk O'Brien	SB 53
7		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
8		Clerk O'Brien	SB 54
8		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
8		Clerk O'Brien	SB 56
8		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
8		Clerk O'Brien	SB 57
8		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
8		Clerk O'Brien	SB 58
8		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
8		Clerk O'Brien	SB 59
8		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
8		Clerk O'Brien	SB 60
8	9:40	Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading



3.	<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
	9		Clerk O'Brien	SB 66
	9		Speaker Redmond	
	9		Clerk O'Brien	Am #2-SB 66
	9		Speaker Redmond	SB 66 out of record
	9		Clerk O'Brien	SB 73
	9		Speaker Redmond	SB 73 out of record
	9		Clerk O'Brien	SB 85
	9		Speaker Redmond	
	9		Ryan	SB 85 out of record
	9		Clerk O'Brien	SB 1359
	9		Speaker Redmond	
	9		Matejek	
	9		Speaker Redmond	Amendment #4 tabled
	10		Clerk O'Brien	Am #5
	10	9:45	Speaker Redmond	
	10		Simms	Explains Amendment #5
	10		Speaker Redmond	Amendment #5 adopted
	10		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #6
	10		Speaker Redmond	
	10		Geo-Karis	Explains Amendment #6
	10		Speaker Redmond	Amendment #6 adopted
	10		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #7
	10		Speaker Redmond	
	11		Geo-Karis	Explains Amendment #7
	11		Speaker Redmond	
	11		Dyer)	
	11)	
	11		Geo-Karis)	



4.

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
11		Speaker Redmond	Amendment #7 adopted
12		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #8
12		Speaker Redmond	
12		Reilly	
12		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
12		Clerk O'Brien	SB 153
12		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
12		Clerk O'Brien	SB 161
12	9:50	Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
12		Clerk O'Brien	SB 198
12		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
12		Clerk O'Brien	SB 206
12		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
13		Clerk O'Brien	SB 207
13		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
13		Clerk O'Brien	SB 245
13		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
13		Clerk O'Brien	SB 286
13		Speaker Redmond	Out of record
13		Clerk O'Brien	SB 294
13		Speaker Redmond	Out of record
14		Clerk O'Brien	SB 319
14		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
14		Clerk O'Brien	SB 327
14		Speaker Redmond	
14		Houlihan	
14		Speaker Redmond	Out of record



5. Page	Time	Speaker	Information
14		Clerk O'Brien	SB 330
14		Speaker Redmond	
14		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #1
14		Speaker Redmond	
14		DiPrima	
14		Speaker Redmond	Amendment #1 adopted
14		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #2
14		Speaker Redmond	
15		DiPrima)	
15)	
15		: Ryan)	
15		Speaker Redmond	
15		Clerk O'Brien	SB 358-No Committee Amendments
15		DiPrima	
15		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
15		Clerk O'Brien	SB 357
15		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
15	9:57	Clerk O'Brien	SB 359-2nd Reading-No Com. Amend.
16		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
16		Clerk O'Brien	SB 360-2nd-No Com. Amend.
16		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
16		Clerk O'Brien	SB 371-2nd-No Com. Amend.
16		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
16		Clerk O'Brien	SB 381-2nd-No Com. Amend.
16		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
16		Clerk O'Brien	SB 384-2nd-No Com. Amend.
16		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading



6.	<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
	17		Clerk O'Brien	SB 413-2nd-No Com. Amend.
	17		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
	17		Clerk O'Brien	SB 418-2nd R-No Com. Amend.
	17		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
	18		Leinenweber	Fiscal note on SB 357
	18		Speaker Redmond	
	18		Houlihan, D.	
	18		Speaker Redmond	Return SB 357 to 2nd
	18		Clerk O'Brien	SB 440-2nd R-No Com. Amend.
	18		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
	18		Clerk O'Brien	SB 445-2nd R-No Com. Amend.
	18		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
	18		Clerk O'Brien	SB 447-2nd R-No Com. Amend.
	18		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
	18		Clerk O'Brien	SB 466-2nd R-No Com. Amend.
	18		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
	18		Clerk O'Brien	SB 477-2nd R-No Com. Amend. 467
	18		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
	19		Clerk O'Brien	SB 497-2nd R-No Com. Amend.
	19		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
	19		Clerk O'Brien	SB 549-2nd-Am #1 adopted
	19		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
	19		Clerk O'Brien	SB 550-2nd R-Amen #1 adopted
	19		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
	19		Clerk O'Brien	SB 596-2nd R-Amen #1 adopted
	19		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
7.			
19	10:02	Clerk O'Brien	SB 613-2nd R-No Com. Amen.
19		Speaker Redmond	
20		Ryan	Question
20		Speaker Redmond	
20		Clerk O'Brien	
20		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
20		Clerk O'Brien	SB 622-2nd R-No Com. Amen.
20		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
20		Houlihan	
20		Speaker Redmond	
20	10:05	Clerk O'Brien	SB 629-2nd R-No Com. Amen.
20		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
20		Houlihan	
21		Speaker Redmond	Return 629 to 2nd-Out of record
21		Clerk O'Brien	SB 635-2nd R-No Com. Amen.
21		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
21		Clerk O'Brien	SB 653-2nd R-Am #1 adopted
21		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
21		Clerk O'Brien	SB 664-2nd R-No Com. Amen.
21		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
21		Clerk O'Brien	SB 676-2nd R-No Com. Amen.
21		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
22		Clerk O'Brien	SB 679-2nd R-No Com. Amen.
22		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
22		Clerk O'Brien	SB 682-2nd R-No Com. Amen.
22		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
8.			
22		Clerk O'Brien	SB 686-2nd R-No Com. Amen.
22		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
22		Clerk O'Brien	SB 695-2nd R-No Com. Amen.
22		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
22		Clerk O'Brien	SB 705-2nd R-No Com. Amen.
22		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
22	10:08	Clerk O'Brien	SB 708-2nd R-No Com. Amen.
23		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
23		Clerk O'Brien	SB-720
23		Speaker Redmond	
23		Mautino	Out of record
23		Speaker Redmond	
23		Clerk O'Brien	SB 728, 743-2nd R-Am #1 adopted
23		Speaker Redmond	
23		Clerk O'Brien	Floor Amendment #2
23		Speaker Redmond	
23		DiPrima	
23		Speaker Redmond	Out of record
23		Clerk O'Brien	SB 779,788,801-2nd R-No Com. Amen.
24		Speaker Redmond	
24		Leinenweber	
24		Speaker Redmond	
25		Clerk O'Brien	Floor Amendment #7
25		Speaker Redmond	
25		Leinenweber	
25		Speaker Redmond	
25		Jaffe	



<u>9.</u> <u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
25		Speaker Redmond	Amendment #7 adopted
25		Clerk O'Brien	Floor Amendment #8
25		Speaker Redmond	
25		Jaffe	
25	10:12	Speaker Redmond	Amendment #8 adopted
25		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #9
25		Speaker Redmond	
25		Stearney	
25		Speaker Redmond	
26		Jaffe	
26		Speaker Redmond	
26		Stearney	To close
27		Speaker Redmond	Amendment fails
27		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #10
27		Speaker Redmond	
28		Stearney	
28		Speaker Redmond	
28		Geo-Karis	
28		Speaker Redmond	Amendment fails
28		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #11
28		Speaker Redmond	
29		Stearney	
29		Speaker Redmond	
29		Jaffe	Oppose
29		Speaker Redmond	
29	10:20	Stearney	
30		Speaker Redmond	



10.	<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
	30		Stuffle)	
)	
	30		Stearney)	
	30		Speaker Redmond	Amendment fails
	30		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #12
	30		Speaker Redmond	
	31		Stearney	
	31		Speaker Redmond	
	31		Jaffe	Oppose
	31		Speaker Redmond	Amendment fails
	31		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #13
	31		Speaker Redmond	
	32		Stearney	
	32		Speaker Redmond	
	32		Jaffe	Oppose
	32		Speaker Redmond	
	33		Stearney	To close
	33		Speaker Redmond	Amendment fails
	33		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #14
	33		Speaker Redmond	
	33		Stearney	
	34		Speaker Redmond	
	34		Jaffe	Oppose
	34		Speaker Redmond	
	34		Deuster	
	34	10:30	Speaker Redmond	
	34		Geo-Karis	
	34		Speaker Redmond	Am #14 fails-3rd Reading

Roll Call for attendance

GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES



<u>11.</u>	<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
	35		Stearney	Observation
	35		Speaker Redmond	
	35		Clerk O'Brien	SB 821-2nd R-No Com. Amen.
	35		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
	35		Clerk O'Brien	SB 850-2nd R-No Com. Amen.
	35		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
	35		Clerk O'Brien	SB 949,967-2nd R-No Com. Amen.
	35		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
	35		Clerk O'Brien	SB 968-2nd R-No Com. Amen.
	35		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
	36		Dyer	Introduction
	36		Speaker Redmond	
	36		Matijeovich	Introduction
	36		Clerk O'Brien	SB 981-2nd R-No Com. Amen.
	36		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
	36		Clerk O'Brien	SB 1007-2nd R-No Com. Amen.
	36		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
	36		Clerk O'Brien	SB 1013-2nd R-No Com. Amen.
	36		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
	37	10:35	Clerk O'Brien	SB 1025-2nd R-No Com. Amen.
	37		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
	37		Clerk O'Brien	SB 1027-2nd R-No Com. Amen.
	37		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
	37		Clerk O'Brien	SB 1037-2nd R-No Com. Amen.
	37		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
	37		Clerk O'Brien	SB 1043-2nd R-No Com. Amen.
	37		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading



12.

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
37		Clerk O'Brien	SB 1053-2nd R-No Com. Amen.
37		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
37		Clerk O'Brien	SB 1104-2nd R-No Com. Amen.
38		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
38		Clerk O'Brien	SB 1107-2nd R-No Com. Amen.
38		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
38		Clerk O'Brien	SB 1136-2nd R-No Com. Amen.
38		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
38		Clerk O'Brien	SB 1138-2nd R-No Com. Amen.
38		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
38		Clerk O'Brien	SB 1143-2nd R-Am #1 adopted
38		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
38		Clerk O'Brien	SB 1208-2nd R-No Com. Amen.
38		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
39		Clerk O'Brien	SB 1234-2nd R-No Com. Amen.
39		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
39		Clerk O'Brien	SB 1236-2nd R-No Com. Amen.
39		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
39		Clerk O'Brien	SB 1281-2nd R-No Com. Amen.
39		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
39		Clerk O'Brien	
39		Speaker Redmond	SB 1281 back to 2nd
39		Clerk O'Brien	SB 1295-2nd R-No Com. Amen.
39		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
39		Clerk O'Brien	SB-1317-2nd-R
39		Speaker Redmond	
39		Houlihan, J.	Out of record



13.	<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
	39		Clerk O'Brien	SB 1364-2nd R-No Com. Amen.
	40		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
	40		Clerk O'Brien	SB 1373-2nd R-Amen #1 adopted
	40		Speaker Redmond	
	40		Clerk O'Brien	Motion to table #1-Kane
	40	10:40	Speaker Redmond	
	40		Rigney	
	40		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
	40		Clerk O'Brien	Floor Amendment #2
	40		Speaker Redmond	
	41		Houlihan, D.	
	41		Speaker Redmond	
	41		Rigney	Floor Amendment #2
	41		Speaker Redmond	
	41	10:43	Houlihan, D.	Question
	42		Speaker Redmond	Amendment #2 adopted-3rd Reading
	42		Clerk O'Brien	SB 1379-2nd R-No Com. Amen.
	42		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
	42		Clerk O'Brien	
	42		Speaker Redmond	
	42		DiPrima	Wants SB 492 back to 2nd
	42		Speaker Redmond	Leave granted
	42		DiPrima	
	42		Speaker Redmond	
	42		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #1
	43		Speaker Redmond	Amendment adopted-3rd Reading
	43		Totten)	HJRCA #22
	43		Speaker Redmond)	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
14.			
43		Clerk O'Brien	Read 2nd time previously=Fl Am
43		Speaker Redmond	
43		Lechowicz	Not distributed
43		Speaker Redmond	Out of record
43		Clerk O'Brien	HJRCA #29-read 2nd time previously Amendments 1 & 2 adopted
43		Speaker Redmond	
44		DiPrima	Hold on 2nd
44	10:53	Speaker Redmond	
44		Greisheimer	HB 120-SA #1
44		Speaker Redmond	House concurs
45		Pouncey	HB 217 - 3rd Reading
45		Speaker Redmond	
45		Leinenweber	
45		Speaker Redmond	
46		Schlickman	
46		Speaker Redmond	
46		Pouncey	To close
46		Speaker Redmond	
47		James Taylor	Explains vote
47		Speaker Redmond	
47		Martin, P.	Explains vote
47		Speaker Redmond	
47		Leinenweber	Verification if needed
47		Speaker Redmond	
47		Houlihan, J.	
48		Speaker Redmond	



15. <u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
48		Young	Explains vote
49		Speaker Redmond	
49		Meyer	Oppose
49		Speaker Redmond	
49	11:00	Ryan	Oppose
49		Speaker Redmond	
49		Matijevec	Support
50		Speaker Redmond	
50		Wolf	Oppose
50		Speaker Redmond	
50		Telcser	Oppose
51		Speaker Redmond	
51		Caldwell	Urges 'aye' vote
51		Speaker Redmond	
51		Robinson	Support
52		Speaker Redmond	
52		Davis	
52		Speaker Redmond	
53		Leverenz	
53		Speaker Redmond	
53		Ewell	
54		Speaker Redmond	
54		Mann	
54		Speaker Redmond	HB 217
55		Pouncey	Poll absentees
55		Speaker Redmond	
55		Clerk Hall	Polls absentees



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
16.			
55		Gaines	Aye
55		Speaker Redmond	
55		Getty	
55		Speaker Redmond	HB 217 lost
56		Clerk Hall	HB 2356-3rd Reading
56		Speaker Redmond	
56		Pouncey	
56	11:17	Speaker Redmond	
57		Wolf)	
)	
57		Pouncey)	
57		Speaker Redmond	
57		Telcser	
58		Speaker Redmond	
58		Pouncey	
59		Speaker Redmond	HB 2356 lost
59		Clerk Hall	SB 330-Read 2nd time previously Amendment #1 adopted. Am #2
59		DiPrima	
59		Speaker Redmond	Amendment adopted
60		Ryan	
60		Speaker Redmond	
60		Clerk Hall	SB 332,420 473-3rd Reading
60		Speaker Redmond	
61		Martin, P.	
61		Speaker Redmond	
61		Schlickman)	
)	
62	11:34	Martin, P.)	



17. Page	Time	Speaker	Information
62		Speaker Redmond	
62		Schlickman	Speaks to Bill-opposition
63		Speaker Redmond	
63		Giorgi	Support
63		Speaker Redmond	
63		Epton	Urges 'aye' vote
64		Speaker Redmond	
65		Reed)	
65		Martin, P.)	
65		Speaker Redmond	
65		Geo-Karis	
65		Speaker Redmond	SB 473 passed
66		Clerk O'Brien	SB 11-3rd Reading
66		Speaker Redmond	
66		McMaster	
66		Speaker Redmond	Passed
66		Clerk O'Brien	SB 24-3rd Reading
66		Speaker Redmond	
67		Ebbesen	
67		Speaker Redmond	Passed
68	11:45	Clerk O'Brien	SB 61-3rd Reading
68		Speaker Redmond	
68		Gaines	
68		Speaker Redmond	Passed
68		Clerk O'Brien	SB 38-3rd Reading
68		Speaker Redmond	
69		Marovitz	SB 38



18. <u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
69		Speaker Redmond	
69		Schlickman	Urges 'yes'
69		Speaker Redmond	
70		Leinenweber	Urges 'no'
70		Speaker Redmond	
70		Telcser	Urges 'aye'
71		Speaker Redmond	
71		Porter	
71		Speaker Redmond	
72		Dunn))	
73		Marovitz)	
73		Speaker Redmond	
73		Skinner	
74		Speaker Redmond	
74		Terzich	Moves previous question
74		Speaker Redmond	
74	11:30	Marovitz	To close
75		Speaker Redmond	SB 38 passed
75		Marovitz	SB 421-3rd Reading
75		Speaker Redmond	
75		Clerk Hall	Reads Bill
75		Speaker Redmond	Passed
76		Clerk Hall	SB 72-3rd Reading
76		Speaker Redmond	
76		Simms	
76		Speaker Redmond	
76		Matijevec	Question



<u>19.</u> <u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
76		Simms	
76		Speaker Redmond	Passed
77		Clerk Hall	SB 164-3rd Reading
77		Speaker Redmond	
77		Giorgi	
77		Speaker Redmond	
77		Ryan)	
77)	
77		Giorgi)	
77		Speaker Redmond	Passed
78		Clerk Hall	SB 218-3rd Reading 170
78		Speaker Redmond	
78		Leinenweber	
78	12:08	Speaker Redmond	SB 218 passed
78		Clerk Hall	SB 227-3rd Reading
78		Speaker Redmond	
78		Leinenweber	
79		Speaker Redmond	
79		Brummer)	
79)	
79		Leinenweber)	
79		Speaker Redmond	
79		Schlickman	Support
79		Speaker Redmond	
80		Katz)	
80)	
80		Leinenweber)	
80		Speaker Redmond	
80		Cunningham	
80		Speaker Redmond	



20. Page	Time	Speaker	Information
80		Leinenweber	Objects
80		Speaker Redmond	
80		Cunningham	
81		Speaker Redmond	
81		Geo-Karis)	
81)	
81		Leinenweber)	
81		Speaker Redmond	
82		Terzich	Moves previous question
82		Speaker Redmond	
82		Leinenweber	To close
82	12:15	Speaker Redmond	SB 227 passed
82		Clerk Hall	SB /SB-3rd Reading
82		Speaker Redmond	
82		Taylor	Out of record
82		Speaker Redmond	
82		Clerk Hall	SB 316-3rd Reading
82		Speaker Redmond	
83		Capparelli	
83		Speaker Redmond	Passed
83		Clerk Hall	SB 377-3rd Reading
83		Speaker Redmond	
83		Getty	
83		Speaker Redmond	
84		Matijeovich)	
84)	
84		Getty)	
84		Speaker Redmond	



21. Page	Time	Speaker	Information
84		Speaker Redmond	
84		Mudd)	
84)	
84		Getty)	
84		Speaker Redmond	
84		Beatty)	
85)	
85		Getty)	
85		Speaker Redmond	
85		Waddell)	
85)	
85	12:21	Getty)	
85		Speaker Redmond	
85		Ebbesen)	
86)	
86		Getty)	
86		Speaker Redmond	
86		Dawson	Moves previous question
86		Speaker Redmond	
86		Getty	To close
86		Speaker Redmond	
86		Geo-Karis	Support
86		Speaker Redmond	
87		Leinenweber	Support
87		Speaker Redmond	
87		Mautino	
87		Speaker Redmond	
88		Cunningham	Votes 'no'
88		Speaker Redmond	
88		Skinner	
88		Speaker Redmond	



22. Page	Time	Speaker	Information
89		Getty	Explains vote
89		Speaker Redmond	SB 377 fails
89		Holewinski	
89	12:03	Lechowicz in the Chair	
90		Clerk Hall	SB 451-3rd Reading
90		Speaker Lechowicz	
90		Terzich	
90		Speaker Lechowicz	Passed
90		Clerk Hall	SB 313-3rd Reading
90		Speaker Lechowicz	
90		Taylor	
91		Speaker Lechowicz	Passed
91		Clerk Hall	SB 32-3rd Reading
91		Speaker Lechowicz	
91		Laurino	
91		Speaker Lechowicz	
91		Dunn)	
)	
91		Laurino)	
92		Speaker Lechowicz	Passed
92		Clerk Hall	SB 301-3rd Reading
92		Speaker Lechowicz	
92		Holewinski	
93		Speaker Lechowicz	Passed
93		Clerk Hall	SB 452-3rd Reading
93		Speaker Lechowicz	
93		Williams	
93	12:42	Speaker Lechowicz	SB 452-passed



23.			
<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
94		Clerk O'Brien	SB 791
94		Speaker Lechowicz	
94		Kempiners	Explains SB 791
94		Speaker Lechowicz	SB 791 passed
94		Clerk O'Brien	SB 819
95		Speaker Lechowicz	Out of record
95		Clerk O'Brien	SB 352
95		Speaker Lechowicz	
95		Walsh	Explains SB 352
95		Speaker Lechowicz	
95		McClain)	
)	
96	12:45	Walsh)	
96		Speaker Lechowicz	
96		Walsh	To close
96		Speaker Lechowicz	SB 352 passed
96		Clerk O'Brien	SB 834
96		Speaker Lechowicz	
96		Cunningham	Change vote on SB 791
97		Speaker Lechowicz	
97		Lucco	Explains SB 834
97	12:48	Speaker Lechowicz	SB 834 passed
97		Clerk O'Brien	SB 1225
97		Speaker Lechowicz	
98		Brady	SB 1225
98		Speaker Lechowicz	SB 1225 passed
98		Clerk O'Brien	SB 350
98		Clerk O'Brien	SB 350



24. Page	Time	Speaker	Information
98	12:50	Speaker Lechowicz	
98		Pierce	3rd to 2nd
98		Speaker Lechowicz	Lave granted
98		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #1
98		Speaker Lechowicz	
99		Martin, P.	Explains Amendment #1
99		Speaker Lechowicz	
99		Ryan)	
99)	
99		Martin)	
99		Speaker Lechowicz	
100		Gaines	
100		Speaker Lechowicz	
100		Leinenweber)	
100)	
100	12:53	Martin, P.)	
100		Speaker Lechowicz	Amendment #1 adopted-3rd Reading
100		Clerk O'Brien	SB 179
100		Speaker Lechowicz	
101		Flinn	Explains SB 179
101		Speaker Lechowicz	
101	12:55	Schlickman)	
101)	
101		Flinn)	
102		Speaker Lechowicz	SB 179 passed
102		Clerk O'Brien	SB 3
102		Speaker Lechowicz	
102		Matijeovich	Explains SB 3
102		Speaker Lechowicz	
102		Geo-Karis	Opposes SB 3



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
25.			
103		Speaker Lechowicz	
103		Skinner)	
)	
104		Matijevid)	
104		Speaker Lechowicz	
104		Deuster	
105		Speaker Lechowicz	
105	1:00	Matijevid	Explains vote
105		Speaker Lechowicz	
106		Mulcahey	Votes 'aye'
106		Speaker Lechowicz	SB 3 passed
106		Clerk O'Brien	SB 172
106		Speaker Lechowicz	
107		Hoffman	Back to 2nd
107		Speaker Lechowicz	Leave granted
107		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Amendment #2
107		Speaker Lechowicz	
107		Hoffman	Explains SB 172-Table Amend #1
107	1:05	Speaker Lechowicz	Amendment #1 tabled
107		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Amendment #2
107		Speaker Lechowicz	
108		Hoffman	Explains Amendment #2
108		Speaker Lechowicz	Amendment #2 tabled-3rd Reading
108		Clerk O'Brien	SB 325
108		Speaker Lechowicz	Out of record
108		Clerk O'Brien	SB 328
108		Speaker Lechowicz	
108	1:10	Stiehl	Explains SB 328



<u>26.</u> <u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
109		Speaker Lechowicz	SB 328 passed
109		Clerk O'Brien	SB 333 - 3rd Reading
109		Speaker Lechowicz	
109		Winchester	
109		Speaker Lechowicz	Passed
109		Harris	Leave to vote 'aye' on 333
109		Speaker Lechowicz	
110		Clerk O'Brien	SB 341-3rd Reading
110		Speaker Lechowicz	
110		Rigney	
110		Speaker Lechowicz	Passed
110	1:15	Clerk O'Brien	SB 343-3rd Reading
111		Speaker Lechowicz	
111		Stearney	
111		Speaker Lechowicz	
111		Marovitz)	
)	
111		Stearney)	
111		Speaker Lechowicz	
112		Robinson	
112		Speaker Lechowicz	Passed
112		Clerk O'Brien	SB 354 - 3rd Reading
112		Speaker Lechowicz	
112		Giorgi	
112		Speaker Lechowicz	
113		Madison)	
)	
113		Giorgi)	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
27.			
113		Speaker Lechowicz	
113		Ebbesen)	
)	
113		Giorgi)	
113		Speaker Lechowicz	
113		Flinn	Oppose
113		Speaker Lechowicz	
114		Leinenweber)	
)	
114		Giorgi)	
114		Speaker Lechowicz	
115	1:24	Giorgi	To close
115		Speaker Lechowicz	SB 354
115		Matijeovich	Explains vote
115		Speaker Lechowicz	
116		Martin, L.	
116		Speaker Lechowicz	
116		Younge	
116		Speaker Lechowicz	
117		Madison	Explains vote
117		Speaker Lechowicz	SB 354 passed
118		Clerk O'Brien	SB 432 - 3rd Reading
118		Speaker Lechowicz	
118		Leverenz	
118		Speaker Lechowicz	
118		Giorgi)	
)	
118		Leverenz)	
118		Speaker Lechowicz	



Page	Time	Speaker	Information
28.			
118		Jones, E.)	
118		Leverenz)	
118		Speaker Lechowicz	Passed
118		Clerk O'Brien	SB 484-3rd Reading
118		Speaker Lechowicz	
119	1:29	Hoxsey	Sponsor
119		Speaker Lechowicz	Passed
119		Clerk O'Brien	SB 494-3rd Reading
119		Speaker Lechowicz	
119		Ebbesen	
119		Speaker Lechowicz	Passed
120		Terzich	Introduction
120		Speaker Lechowicz	
120		Capparelli	Suspend past rules (SB 1028)
120		Speaker Lechowicz	
120		Schlickman	Question
120		Speaker Lechowicz	
120		Clerk O'Brien	SB 565-3rd Reading
120		Speaker Lechowicz	
120		Walsh	Leave to hear SB 566
120		Speaker Lechowicz	
120		Clerk O'Brien	SB 566-3rd Reading
121		Walsh	On both Bills
121		Speaker Lechowicz	
121		Byers	
121		Speaker Lechowicz	SB 566 passed
121		Clerk O'Brien	SB 700-3rd Reading



29.			
<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
121		Speaker Lechowicz	
122	1:38	Wykoff	Sponsor
122		Speaker Lechowicz	
122		Dunn, J.	Question
122		Wykoff	
122		Speaker Lechowicz	
122		Wykoff	To close
122		Speaker Lechowicz	Passed
122		Clerk O'Brien	SB 793-3rd Reading
122		Speaker Lechowicz	
123		Steczo	
123		Speaker Lechowicz	
123		Schlickman)	
)	
123		Steczo)	
123		Speaker Lechowicz	SB 793-passed
124		Clerk Hall	SB 903-3rd Reading
124		Speaker Lechowicz	
124		Deuster	
124		Speaker Lechowicz	
124		Pierce	
125		Speaker Lechowicz	
125		Deuster	To close
125		Speaker Lechowicz	Passed
125	1:45	Clerk Hall	SB 1190-3rd Reading
125		Daniels	Leave to hear 1192,94,95,96-all
126		Speaker Lechowicz	Leave
126		Clerk Hall	SB 1192,1194,1195,1196-3rd Reading



30. Page	Time	Speaker	Information
125		Speaker Lechowicz	
126		Leinenweber)	
)	
126		Daniels)	
)	
126		Speaker Lechowicz)	
126		Beatty)	
)	
127		Daniels)	
127		Speaker Lechowicz	
127		Giorgi)	
)	
127		Daniels)	
127		Speaker Lechowicz	
128		Geo-Karis	
128		Daniels	
128		Speaker Lechowicz	Passed
129		Clerk Hall	SB 965-3rd Reading
129		Speaker Lechowicz	
129		Kozubowski	
129		Speaker Lechowicz	
129		Dunn, J.)	
)	
129		Speaker Lechowicz)	
)	
129		Kozubowski)	
130	1:53	Pullen	Oppose
130		Speaker Lechowicz	
130		Schlickman)	
)	
130		Speaker Lechowicz)	
)	
130		Kozubowski)	
130		Clerk Hall	
130		Kosinski in the Chair	



31.

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
131		Schlickman)	
)	
132		Lechowicz)	
132		Speaker Kosinski	
132		Ebbesen	Moves previous question
132		Speaker Kosinski	
133		Lechowicz	
133		Speaker Kosinski	SB 965 passed
133		Lechowicz in the Chair	
133		Madigan)	
)	
133		Speaker Lechowicz)	
133		Capparelli	Announcement
133		Speaker Lechowicz	
134		Ewell	Announcement
134		Speaker Lechowicz	
134		Jaffe	Announcement
134		Speaker Lechowicz	
134		Doyle	SB 965-'aye'
134		Speaker Lechowicz	
134		Flinn	Announcement
134		Speaker Lechowicz	
134		Schissler	
134	2:02	Speaker Lechowicz	
134		Ryan	Excused absences-Republican
134		Speakr Lechowicz	
135		Madigan	Excused absences-Democrats
135		Speaker Lechowicz	
135		Madigan	Moves recess till 1:00 p.m.



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
32.			
135		Speaker Lechowicz	
136		Clerk Hall	Committee Reports
RECESS			
137		Doorkeeper Koehler	
137		Speaker Redmond	Committee Report
137		Clerk O'Brien	
137		Speaker Redmond	
137		Daniels	Asks to go directly to business
137		Speaker Redmond	Committee Reports
138		Clerk O'Brien	
138		Speaker Redmond	
138		Matijeovich	
138		Speaker Redmond	
138		Geo-Karis)	
139		Speaker Redmond))	Committee Reports
139		Clerk O'Brien	
139		Speaker Redmond	SB 894
139		Mahar	SB 66-2nd Reading
139		Speaker Redmond	
139		Clerk O'Brien	
139		Speaker Redmond	
139		Adams)	
140		Speaker Redmond))	
140		Summer	
140		Speaker Redmond	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
33.			
140		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #1
140		Speaker Redmond	
140		Sumner	
140		Speaker Redmond	
140		Clerk O'Brien	SB 73
140		Speaker Redmond	
140		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #1
140		Speaker Redmond	
140		Houlihan)	
)	
140		Speaker Redmond)	
)	
141		Clerk O'Brien)	
141		Houlihan	
141		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading adopted
141		Clerk O'Brien	SB 85
141		Speaker Redmond	
141		Clerk O'Brien	
141		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
141		Clerk O'Brien	SB 152
141		Speaker Redmond	Amendments?
141		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #2
142		Houlihan	
142		Speaker Redmond	
142		Daniels	
142		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
142		Clerk O'Brien	
142		Speaker Redmond	
142		Jacobs	



34. Page	Time	Speaker	Information
142		Speaker Redmond	
142		Jacobs	
142		Giorgi)	
142		Speaker Redmond)	
142		Houlihan	
142		Giorgi	
142		Speaker Redmond	
143		Jacobs	
143		Speaker Redmond	Amendment #2
143		Houlihan, D.	
143		Speaker Redmond	
143		Daniels	
143		Speaker Redmond	
143		Houlihan, D.	
143		Speaker Redmond	SB 286
143		Clerk O'Brien	
143		Speaker Redmond	
143		Clerk O'Brien	Out of record
143		Speaker Redmond	SB 294
144		Clerk O'Brien	
144		Speaker Redmond	
144		Clerk O'Brien	
144		Speaker Redmond	
144		Matijevich	
144		Speaker Redmond	
144		Ryan	
144		Speaker Redmond	Amendment #2



35. Page	Time	Speaker	Information
144		Matijeovich	
144		Speaker Redmond	
144		Clerk O'Brien	
144		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
144		Clerk O'Brien	327
144		Speaker Redmond	
144		Houlihan, D.	
145		Speaker Redmond	SB 351-out of record
145		Clerk O'Brien	SB 419-Amendment #3
145		Speaker Redmond	Out of record
145		Brady	
145		Speaker Redmond	SB 429-3rd Reading
145		Clerk O'Brien	
145		Speaker Redmond	SB 467
146		Clerk O'Brien	
146		Speaker Redmond	2nd Reading, Hold.
146		Clerk O'Brien	SB 624
146		Speaker Redmond	
146		Houlihan, D.	Amendment #1
146		Speaker Redmond	Out of record
146		Clerk O'Brien	629
146		Speaker Redmond	
146		Houlihan, D.	
146		Speaker Redmond	Out of record
147		Clerk O'Brien	SB 666
147		Speaker Redmond	Out of record. 693, TOOR.
147		Clerk O'Brien	720



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
36.			
147		Mautino	Amendment #2 tabled. 1,3,4 adopted in Committee
147		Clerk O'Brien	
147		Speaker Redmond	
147		Clerk O'Brien	Floor Amendment #5
148		Mautino	
148		Speaker Redmond	
148		Ryan)	
)	
148		Mautino)	
148		Speaker Redmond	Leave on 2nd-adopt #5
148		Clerk O'Brien	SB 728-Amendment #1
149		Speaker Redmond	
149		Getty	Explains Amendment #1
149		Clerk O'Brien	
149		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading-743
149		Houlihan, D.	
149		Clerk O'Brien	Floor Amendment #2-out of record
149		Speaker Redmond	SB 1012
150		Houlihan, D.	
150		Mautino	Fiscal note on 720
150		Speaker Redmond	
150		Ryan	
150		Mautino	
151		Speaker Redmond	SB 1012-3rd-1019-TOOR
151		Clerk O'Brien	
151		Houlihan, D.	SB 779
151		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #1



37. Page	Time	Speaker	Information
151		Speaker Redmond	
152		Johnson	
152		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading.
152		Clerk O'Brien	SB 1281
152		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
152		Clerk O'Brien	1258
152		Speaker Redmond	
153		Daniels	
153		Speaker Redmond	
153		Schneider	
153		Daniels	
153		Speaker Redmond	
153		Geo-Karis)	
154		Schneider)	
154		Clerk O'Brien	
154		Speaker Redmond	
155		Wikoff	
155		Speaker Redmond	
155		Macdonald	
155		Speaker Redmond	
155		Clerk O'Brien	
155		Speaker Redmond	
156		Wikoff	
156		Speaker Redmond	
156		Clerk O'Brien	SB 1169
156		Speaker Redmond)	
157		Wikoff)	
157		Skinner)	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
38.			
157		Schlickman	
157		Speaker Redmond	Passed
157		Speaker Redmond)	
)	
158		Matijeovich)	
158		Ewing	
158		Speaker Redmond	
158		Clerk O'Brien	
158		Speaker Redmond	
158		Ebbesen	
158		Speaker Redmond	SB 1209
158		Ewing	
158		Speaker Redmond	
158		Polk	
158		Speaker Redmond	
158		Matijeovich	
158		Speaker Redmond	SB 21
158		Polk	
159		Speaker Redmond	
159		Clerk O'Brien	SB 21 - out of record
159		Speaker Redmond	SB 39 - out of record
160		Clerk O'Brien	SB 79
160		Speaker Redmond)	
)	
160		Pullen)	
161		Barnes, E. M.)	
)	
162		Pullen)	
162		Speaker Redmond	
162		Wolf	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
39.			
162		Speaker Redmond	
162		Barnes, E.M.	
163		Speaker Redmond	No photographers
163		Wolf)	
)	
164		Barnes, E.M.)	
164		Speaker Redmond	
164		Barnes, E. M.	To close
164		Speaker Redmond	
165		Schneider	
165		Speaker Redmond	Passed
165		Clerk O'Brien	SB 160
165		Speaker Redmond	
166		Lechowicz	
166		Speaker Redmond	
166		Leinenweber)	
)	
167		Lechowicz)	
167		Speaker Redmond	
167		Mahar)	
)	
167		Lechowicz)	
168		Mahar	
168		Lechowicz	
169		Speaker Redmond	Passed
169		Clerk O'Brien	166 out of record. 187
169		Speaker Redmond	SB 187
169		Brady	
169		Speaker Redmond	Passed
169		Clerk O'Brien	SB 228



<u>40.</u> <u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
170		Speaker Redmond	
170		Schlickman	
170		Speaker Redmond	
170		Leinenweber)	
171		Schlickman)	
171		Speaker Redmond	
172		Houlihan, D.	
172		Speaker Redmond	Passed SB 280
173		Matijeovich	
173		Speaker Redmond	Passed
173		Clerk O'Brien	SB 281
173		Speaker Redmond	
173		Hart	
173		Speaker Redmond	Passed. SB 1324
174		Hart)	Hold
174		Speaker Redmond)	Okay. SB 314
174		Clerk O'Brien	1324 & 1325
175		Hart	
175		Speaker Redmond	
175		Houlihan, D.	
175		Speaker Redmond	
176		Johnson)	
176		Hart)	
176		Speaker Redmond	
176		Mann)	
176		Hart)	
177		Speaker Redmond	Passed



41. <u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
177		Clerk O'Brien	SB 314
177		Speaker Redmond	
177		Lucco	
177		Speaker Redmond	
177		Deavers)	
)	
178		Lucco)	
178		Speaker Redmond	
178		Telcser	Opposes
178		Speaker Redmond	
179		Macdonald)	
)	
179		Lucco)	
179		Speaker Redmond	
179		McClain	
179		Speaker Redmond	
180		Steele	
180		Speaker Redmond	
180		Ewell	Supports
180		Speaker Redmond	
181		Collins	
181		Speaker Redmond	
181		Barnes, Gene	
181		Speaker Redmond	
181		Lucco	
181		Speaker Redmond	
182		Skinner	
182		Speaker Redmond	
182		Matijevich	



<u>42.</u> <u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
182		Speaker Redmond	
183		Ebbesen	Votes 'no'
183		Speaker Redmond	
183		Byer	
183		Speaker Redmond	
183		Geo-Karis	
183		Speaker Redmond	
183		Jaffe	
184		Speaker Redmond	Passed
184		Clerk O'Brien	SB 334
184		Speaker Redmond	
184		Mahar	
184		Speaker Redmond	
184		Jaffe)	
184		Speaker Redmond)	
185		Mahar	
185		Jaffe	
185		Speaker Redmond	
185		Peters	
185		Speaker Redmond	Passed
185		Clerk O'Brien	SB 339
185		Speaker Redmond	
185		Mahar	
186		Speaker Redmond	Passed
186		Clerk O'Brien	348
186		Speaker Redmond	348 out of record - 1349
186		Clerk O'Brien	HB 1528



43. <u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
186		Speaker Redmond	
186		Barnes, J.	
186		Speaker Redmond	
186		Hoffman, Gene	
187		Speaker Redmond	
187		Brady	
187		Speaker Redmond	
187		Schneider	Opposes
187		Speaker Redmond	
188		Ebbesen	
188		Speaker Redmond	
188		Schlickman	
188		Speaker Redmond	
189		Barnes, Jane	
189		Speaker Redmond	
189		Willer	Explains vote
189		Speaker Redmond	
189		Clerk Hall	HB 2377
189		Speaker Redmond	
190		Meyer	
190		Speaker Redmond	
190		Mann	
190		Speaker Redmond	Passed. 23479,2403.2409-TOOR
191		Dver	SB 686
191		Speaker Redmond	
191		Ebbesen)	
191		Speaker Redmond))	On Order of 3rd Reading



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
44.			
192		Speaker Redmond	SB 233 Interm Study
192		Katz	Interim Study 887,727,647
192		Speaker Redmond	
192		Houlihan	
192		Speaker Redmond)	
193		Katz)	
193		Speaker Redmond	
193		Schlickman	
193		Speaker Redmond	
193		Katz	
193		Speaker Redmond	
193		Schlickman	
193		Speaker Redmond	
193		Katz	SB 647
193		Schlickman)	SB 887
194		Katz)	
194		Speaker Redmond	
194		Matijeovich	Interim Study Calendar Bills
194		Speaker Redmond	
194		Schlickman	
195		Speaker Redmond	
195		Barnes, E. M.)	
195		Katz)	
196		Speaker Redmond	Out of record. SB 16
196		Dawson)	Same as HB 813
197		Speaker Redmond)	165
197		Bennett	



45. <u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
197		Speaker Redmond	
197		Houlihan, J.	
197		Speaker Redmond	
197		Getty	
197		Speaker Redmond	
197		Bennett	
197	9:50	Speaker Redmond	Out of record. 205 Verification
198		Stanley	
198		Speaker Redmond	
198		Lechowicz	'No'
198		Speaker Redmond	
199		Houlihan, J.	
199		Speaker Redmond	
199		McClain)	
199) Speaker Redmond)	
199		Mugalian)	
199) Speaker Redmond)	
200		Willer	
200		Speaker Redmond	
200		Madison)	
200) Stanley)	
201		Speaker Redmond	
201		Laurino	
202		Speaker Redmond	
202		Madison	
202		Speaker Redmond	
202		Laurino	



Page	Time	Speaker	Information
46.			
202		Houlihan, J.	
202		Speaker Redmond	
202		Laurino	
202		Speaker Redmond	
203		Stanley	Poll absentees
203		Speaker Redmond	SB 205
203		Mann	
203		Speaker Redmond	
203		Laurino	
203		Speaker Redmond	
203		Houlihan, D.)	Oral verification
204		Speaker Redmond)	
204,205,206		Clerk O'Brien)	Poll absentees
207,208,209		Speaker Redmond)	
209		Winchester	'Aye'
209		Speaker Redmond	
209		Greiman	We had oral verified Roll Call
209		Speaker Redmond	
209		Houlihan	
210		Speaker Redmond	
210		Getty	Votes aye
210		Speaker Redmond	
210		Bennett	Aye
210		Speaker Redmond	
210		Clerk O'Brien)	
210		Speaker Redmond)	
210		Ryan)	Aye



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
47.			
210		Speaker Redmond	
210		Friedrich	Aye
210		Speaker Redmond	
210		Clerk O'Brien	106 'aye'-39 'no'
210		Speaker Redmond	
210		Houlihan, J.	
210		Speaker Redmond	
210		Kempiners	
211		Speaker Redmond	
211		Katz	
212		Speaker Redmond	
212		Leinenweber	
212		Speaker Redmond	
212		Kosinski	
213		Speaker Redmond	
213		Waddell	
213		Speaker Redmond)	SB 245
)	
213		Clerk O'Brien)	
213		Speaker Redmond	SB 245
213		Dunn	
213		Speaker Redmond	
214		Friedrich	
214		Speaker Redmond	
214		Houlihan, James	
214		Speaker Redmond	
214		Friedrich	
214		Speaker Redmond	



<u>48.</u> <u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
214		Laurino	
215		Speaker Redmond	
215		Friedrich	
215		Speaker Redmond	
215		Laurino	
215		Speaker Redmond)	
)	
215		Bluthardt)	
216		Speaker Redmond	
216		Friedrich	
215		Speaker Redmond	
216		Collins	
217		Speaker Redmond	
217		Houlihan, J.	
217		Speaker Redmond)	
)	
217		Laurino)	
218		Walsh	
218		Speaker Redmond	
218		Friedrich	
218		Speaker Redmond	
218		Totten	
219		Speaker Redmond	
219		Schneider	
219		Speaker Redmond	
219		Matijevich	
219		Speaker Redmond	
220		Marovitz	
220		Speaker Redmond	



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

49.

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
220		Totten	To close
220		Speaker Redmond	SB 306 on 2nd. Motion fails
220		Ebbesen	Leave to table HJR 32
220		Speaker Redmond	
220		Matijeovich	Announcement
221		Speaker Redmond	
221		Ewell	SB 442-table motion
221		Speaker Redmond	
221		Martin, L.	SB 584-motion
221		Speaker Redmond	
221		Stuffle	
222		Speaker Redmond	
222		Laurino	Urges 'no'
222		Speaker Redmond	
222		Kempiners	
222		Speaker Redmond	
222		Madigan	
222		Martin	
223		Speaker Redmond	
223		Robinson	
223		Speaker Redmond	
223		Bowman	
223		Speaker Redmond	
223		Bluthardt	
223		Speaker Redmond	
224		Byers	
224		Speaker Redmond	86 'ayee', Motion fails



50. Page	Time	Speaker	Information
224	10:36	Wikoff	SB 724-motion
224		Speaker Redmond	
224		Hanahan	
224		Speaker Redmond	
224		Wikoff	
224		Speaker Redmond	
225		Jacobs	
225		Speaker Redmond	
225		Lauer	Votes 'aye'
225		Speaker Redmond	
225		Wikoff	Dump Roll Call
225		Speaker Redmond	
225		Geo-Karis	
226		Speaker Redmond	
226		Stuffle	Change to 'no'
226		Speaker Redmond	
226		Wikoff	
226		Speaker Redmond	
226		Campbell	Change to 'aye'
226		Speaker Redmond	
226		Matijevich	Point of order
226		Speaker Redmond)	
)	
226		Daniels)	
)	
226		Speaker Redmond	
227		Deuster	
227		Speaker Redmond	
227		Clerk O'Brien	Polls absentees



51. <u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
227		Clerk O'Brien	Continues poll
227		Speaker Redmond	
227		Jaffe	'No'
227		Speaker Redmond	
227		Clerk O'Brien	Continues poll
228		Speaker Redmond	Motion fails
228		Geo-Karis	Motion 738
228		Speaker Redmond	
228		Schneider	
228		Speaker Redmond	
228		Hoffman	
228		Speaker Redmond	
229		Stuffle	
229		Speaker Redmond	
229		Geo-Karis	Explains vote
229		Speaker Redmond	Motion fails
229		Martin, P.	SB 797
229		Speaker Redmond	
229		Katz	
230	10:48	Speaker Redmond	SB 797
230		Marovitz	
230		Speaker Redmond	
230		Martin, P.	To close
230		Speaker Redmond	
230		Levin	
230		Speaker Redmond	
230		Martin	Poll absentees



<u>52.</u> <u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
230		Speaker Redmond	
231		Clerk O'Brien	Polls absentees
231		Speaker Redmond	
231		Schlickman	
231		Speaker Redmond	
231		Lucco	Present
231		Speaker Redmond	Motion carries
232		Brady	Motion SB 999
232		Speaker Redmond	
232		Laurino	
232		Speaker Redmond	
232		Bluthardt	
232		Speaker Redmond	
233		Fredrich	
233		Speaker Redmond	
233		Collins	
233		Speaker Redmond	
233		Bradley	
233		Speaker Redmond	
234		Byers	
234		Speaker Redmond	
234		Bradley	To close
234		Speaker Redmond	Motion fails
234		Deavers	SB 1085-motion. Leave to hear SB 1093
234		Speaker Redmond	Leave granted
235		Deavers	



53.	<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
	235		Speaker Redmond	
	235		Jacobs	
	235		Speaker Redmond	
	235		Deavers	
	235		Speaker Redmond	Motion fails
	236		Tuerk	SB 1093-motion
	236		Speaker Redmond	
	236		Madigan)	Motion out of order
)	
	236		Speaker Redmond)	Dilatory
	236		Tuerk	
	236		Speaker Redmond	
	236		Matijeovich	
	236		Speaker Redmond	
	237		Deavers	Appeal ruling of chair
	237		Madigan	
	237		Speaker Redmond)	
)	
	238		Tuerk)	
	238		Speaker Redmond	
	238		Schuneman	
	238		Speaker Redmond	Chair sustained
	238		Wikoff	SB 1155-motion
	238		Speaker Redmond	
	239		Jacobs	Oppose
	239		Speaker Redmond	
	239		Simms	Support
	239		Speaker Redmond	
	240		Farley	Oppose



<u>54.</u> <u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
240		Speaker Redmond	
240		Wikoff	To close
240		Speaker Redmond	
240		Friedrich	Support
240		Speaker Redmond	
241		Cunningham	Support
241		Speaker Redmond	
242		Keats	Support
242		Speaker Redmond	
242		Tuerk	Support
242		Speaker Redmond	
242		Wikoff	Poll the absentees
242		Speaker Redmond	
242		Ewing	Change to yes
242		Speaker Redmond	
243		Hanahan	Possible verification
243		Clerk O'Brien	Polls absentees
243		Speaker Redmond	
243		Mann	'No'
243		Speaker Redmond	
243		Dawson	
243		Speaker Redmond	
243		Abramson	
243		Speaker Redmond	
244		Katz	
244		Speaker Redmond	
244		Deuster	



55. .	<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
	244		Katz	
	244		Speaker Redmond	
	244		Geo-Karis	
	245		Speaker Redmond	Fails 1326
	245		Skinner	
	245		Speaker Redmond	
	245		Madigan)	
)	
	245		Skinner)	
	245		Speaker Redmond	
	245		Caldwell	Vote red
	245		Speaker Redmond	
	245		Schoeberlein	Red
	245		Speaker Redmond	
	246		Skinner	
	246		Speaker Redmond	Fails
	246		Katz	Motion SB 233 taken from table placed on Interim Study
	246		Speaker Redmond	
	246		Katz	
	246		Speaker Redmond	
	246		Schlickman	
	247		Speaker Redmond	
	247		Daniels	Cardinal fan. SB 1198 Interim
	247		Taylor	
	247		Speaker Redmond	
	247		Barnes	
	247		Speaker Redmond	



<u>56.</u> <u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
247		Dyer	SB 1312
248		Clerk O'Brien	
248		Kane	
248		Speaker Redmond	
248		Dyer	DiPrima not on floor
248		Kane)	
)	
248		Speaker Redmond)	
248		Dyer	
248		Speaker Redmond	
249		Ewell	
249		Speaker Redmond	
249		Walsh	Takes 107 votes
249		Speaker Redmond	
249		Byers	Time to adjourn
250.		Speaker Redmond	
250		Deuster	Explains yes vote
250		Speaker Redmond	
250		Epton	
250		Speaker Redmond	Motion prevails
250		Ewell)	
)	
251		Speaker Redmond)	
251		Bennett	
251		Speaker Redmond	
251		Getty	
251		Speaker Redmond	
251		Ewell	Point of personal privilege
251		Speaker Redmond	



57.

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
251		Getty	
252		Speaker Redmond	
252		Matijevich	Clerk state motion
252		Speaker Redmond	
252		Ryan	Quit playing games
252		Speaker Redmond	
252		Madigan	Announcement
252		Speaker Redmond	
253		Matijevich	
253		Speaker Redmond	
253		Barnes	Committees
253		Speaker Redmond	
253		Madigan	
253		Matijevich	
253		Speaker Redmond	
253		Madigan	
253		Speaker Redmond	
253		Clerk O'Brien	Adjourns

