Doorkeeper: "Attention, Members of the House of Representatives, the
House will convene in fifteen minutes. All persons not entitled
to the House floor please retire to the gallery."

Speaker Redmond: "The House will come to order. Members please be in
their seats. We'll be led in prayer by the Reverend Krueger, the
House Chaplain."

Rev. Krueger: "In the name of the Father, the Son and the Holy Ghost.
Amen. O Lord, bless this House to Thy service this day. Amen.

Henry Van Dyke wrote: Man is the only animal that dares to light
a fire and to live with it. Because he alone has learned to put
it out. Let us pray. Almighty God, source of all power and might,
we are thankful for the gifts which Thou hast given to man alone;
the gift of free will; the gift of intellect; the gift of strength
and power. Grant to us, O Lord, the wisdom and direction to make
such use of these attributes which Thou hast shared with us that
we may never be in conflict with Thy plan for man. May those who
serve Thee here and now in this House of Representatives of the
State of Illinois enact only such legislation as will serve the
people of this state, rather than any which would make them sub-
servient to itself, that the community of freedom be not endan-
gered. This we ask in the name of Thy Son. Amen."

Speaker Redmond: "Roll Call for attendance. Agreed Resolutions."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 866, Vitek. House Resolution 859,
Anderson. 860, Pechous. 861, Doyle. 863, Waddell. 864,
Chapman. 866, Vitek."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Reso-

olution 859, Anderson, congratulates Miss Illinois National Teen-

ager, Susie Jones. Pechous, 860, congratulates the Berwyn Post
Catholic War Veterans. 861, Ed Doyle, congratulates Tom McSweeney
upon being named the Outstanding General Service Employee for
'78. 863, Waddell, congratulates Mr. and Mrs. Dale Postlewaite
on their 45th wedding anniversary. 864, Chapman, congratulates
the Girls State Badminton Titlist Arlington High School Cardinals.
866, Johnny Vitek, congratulates Mr. Stanley D. Gapshis on being
selected as Business Man of the Year. Mr. Speaker, I move for the
adoption of the Agreed Resolutions."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions. All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. Motion carries, Agreed Resolutions are adopted. Any others? Further Resolutions."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 865, Chapman."

Speaker Redmond: "Committee on Assignments. This is like the Congress of the United States. Well, you know what I mean. Any excused absences, Mr. Ryan?"

Ryan: "Certainly looks like I ought to have some, Mr. Speaker, but I really don't. I think we ought to call O.T.B. and E.R.A. right now. Take care of those two issues."


Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2979. A Bill for an Act making an appropriation to the ordinary and contingent expense of the Illinois Commission on Human Relations. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motions with respect to Amendment 1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motion filed?"

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. Understanding will be we'll bring these back to Second if we have to. We've got forty-eight Bills on Second and if we ever want to get them in a position to try to attack the workload we've got to get them up there. 2986."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2986."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Neff. There's a motion to table Amendment 2 you say, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Yes, there's a motion by Representative Neff to table Amendment #2."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Neff is recognized on the motion."

Neff: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, there was a technical error in Amendment #1 and I would like to table it."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? Representative Gorgi.
This is Amendment 2. There is no Amendment 1."

Giorgi: "Who put the motion, Mr. Neff?"

Speaker Redmond: "Mr. Neff."

Giorgi: "Who put the Amendment on?"

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2986. A Bill for an Act making appropria-
tions to the ordinary and contingent expense of the Department of
Financial Institutions. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment
§2 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Who's the Sponsor of the Amendment?"

Neff: "Amendment §1 was..."

Speaker Redmond: "We're not talking about 1, we're talking about 2."

Neff: "Amendment §2..."

Speaker Redmond: "I think we'd better take this one out of the record."

Neff: "I'll have a substitute Amendment. That is a technical error."

We're not changing anything, only there was a technical error in
that and I'll have a new Amendment coming up for you on that."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay, we'd better take it out of the record for the
time being then. 3002. Representative Huff, do you seek recog-
nition?"

Huff: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, for another purpose."

Speaker Redmond: "You may leave the room. Representative Huff."

Huff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. With the indulgence of the Chair,
I would like to ask leave to take House Bill 1274 from Third and
bring it back to Second for the purpose of an Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Does the Gentleman have leave? Were there other
House Bills? I think... I would prefer to wait until we get to
that order, Representative Huff. See if we can do something with
these Second Reading things. We'll be back to you."

Huff: "Yes, Sir."

Speaker Redmond: "3002."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3002. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections
Amendment... this Bill's been read a second time previously. Amend-
ment §1 was adopted."

Speaker Redmond: "Calendar shows 1 and 2 as Committee Amendments. Is
that right?"
Clerk O'Brien: "I believe we were on Amendment 2 and I'm not sure if it was journalized as adopted or not. Call upstairs."

Speaker Redmond: "Ralph Dunn on the floor? Well, was there a motion...

Where are we with respect to Amendment 1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment 1 was adopted previously."

Speaker Redmond: "Was there any motion filed with respect to that?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motion filed."

Speaker Redmond: "And how about Amendment 2? Is that a Floor Amendment?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, Skinner. Amends House Bill 3002 as amended in Section 1 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Can you enlighten us, Representative Skinner?"

Skinner: "I doubt it. Amendment #2 says that the referendum... this is a Bill with a backdoor referendum and... the petitions for the referendum have to be conveniently available at the library. That's all."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any objection to this Amendment. There wasn't, was there?"

Skinner: "No."

Speaker Redmond: "You know why we kept it on Second?"

Skinner: "I give up."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, move it... Representative Darrow."

Skinner: "Maybe it wasn't printed, Mr. Speaker."

Darrow: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Are we on Amendment #2?"

Speaker Redmond: "We're on Amendment 2 to..."

Darrow: "3002?"

Speaker Redmond: "3002."

Darrow: "Would the Sponsor of the Amendment yield? Never mind, we've corrected it then. We have it."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Richmond, can you tell us where we are on your Bill? You've got us all in a Gordian knot."

Richmond: "I know there's some proposed Amendments, but... and I was willing to listen to, but..."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, Representative Skinner's explained the Amendment that it's the petitions for referendum have to be accessible and do you have any objection to adopting that?"
Richmond: "No, no, I do not."

Speaker Redmond: "Well then, the question is on the motion to adopt Amendment #2 to House Bill 3002. Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The motion carried and the Amendment's adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, Yourell. Amends House Bill 3002 as amended in the first sentence and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Richmond, are you familiar with this Amendment?"

Richmond: "I was hoping that he'd be here to explain it. I don't..."

Speaker Redmond: "It's within your control now."

Richmond: "I don't think that I have any objection to it."

Speaker Redmond: "Somebody has to move the adoption of it."

Richmond: "I will move the adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Richmond has moved the adoption of Amendment #3. Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Motion carried, Amendment 3 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #4, Huskey."

Speaker Redmond: "Is Representative Huskey on the floor? On the Amendment."

Huskey: "Mr. Speaker, I wish to table Amendment #4."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Huskey withdraws Amendment 4. Is there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #5, Huskey-Walsh. Amends House Bill 3002 as amended and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Huskey-Walsh."

Huskey: "Well, I willing to yield to Representative Walsh, Mr. Speaker."

Walsh: "I didn't realize Herb was here. Would you tell... is the Sponsor of the Bill here?"

Speaker Redmond: "Yes."

Walsh: "And he has called it?"

Speaker Redmond: "Yes."

Walsh: "Okay, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, what Amendment #5 does is simply return this Bill to what it was when originally introduced. The Bill originally called for a provision..."
for dissolution of a library district. It was amended with Amend-
ment #1 to provide that a library district may without referendum
increase its tax levy by one-third. We thought that that was not
the right thing to do, so Representative Huskey and I introduced
Amendment #5 to do away with this tax levy without referendum.
And I move the adoption of Amendment #5."
Speaker Redmond: "Representative Richmond."
Richmond: "I would oppose this Amendment. I respectfully ask that we
leave the Bill as it is previous to this Amendment."
Speaker Redmond: "Representative Walsh."
Walsh: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, yest-
year we devoted a good deal of the day to property tax reform,
to keeping assessments at their current level, to many other things
and we do this in response to an outcry from out people to do some-
thing about the obnoxious property tax. Now this can be a tax re-
lief program for everyone in this House. Anytime we permit a tax
rate increase without referendum, the blame rests exclusively with
this Legislature. It does not really rest with the boards that
do it. They say, "Well, heck, the Legislature said we could do
it." It's almost as though we mandate this increase. Now any of
you who have had library districts formed in the last several years
know that there is considerable campaigning, there is considerable
discussion and talk about what the levy will be and what it will
cost homeowners with an assessed valuation of ten thousand dollars,
et cetera. There's considerable discussion and it is not with-
out opposition. Here in one fell swoop we will increase by thirty-
three and a third percent the levy that gets so much discussion
in a direct referendum. I urge you to adopt this Amendment and
forestill that increase."
Speaker Redmond: "Representative Darrow."
Darrow: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"
Speaker Redmond: "He will."
Darrow: "Representative Walsh, isn't what you're trying to do change
what has been earlier done in Amendment #1. And if that's true,
wouldn't it be a better procedure to reconsider the vote of Amend-
ment #1 rather than to amend it out with another Amendment?"
Walsh: "Well, I don't know why it would."
Darrow: "Well, basically that's what you're doing, isn't it?
Amending out an earlier Amendment?"
Walsh: "We're amending out two Amendments. We're amending out Amend-
ment #1 and Amendment #2."
Darrow: "And you'd rather proceed this way than reconsider?"
Walsh: "I think this is probably an easier way than reconsidering the
vote on two Amendments."
Darrow: "But technically, wouldn't it be better to do it the other
way?"
Walsh: "I don't see where technically it makes any difference."
Speaker Redmond: "You ready for the question? Do you want... Rep-
resentative Yourell. He's a little disheveled, but he'll be in
order very shortly."
Yourell: "Forgive me, I..."
Walsh: "Well, Speaker, he's not in order. We have closed the debate.
It is time for the question. Unless he's got a point of order,
then I don't see how you can recognize him."
Speaker Redmond: "We didn't..."
Yourell: "Point of order, Mr. Speaker."
Speaker Redmond: "We didn't close the debate and we didn't go to
anybody to close."
Walsh: "I, in the offer of the Amendment and I just closed. Weren't
you paying attention, Speaker?"
Speaker Redmond: "Well, I thought that was your argument in chief."
Yourell: "Can I ask, Mr. Speaker, of the Chair what happened to Amend-
ment #4?"
Speaker Redmond: "Amendment #4 was withdrawn."
Yourell: "And I was adopted?"
Speaker Redmond: "I was adopted."
Yourell: "That was my Amendment."
Speaker Redmond: "That was your Amendment."
Yourell: "Good, okay. Now we're on Amendment #5."
Speaker Redmond: "That is correct. And that's Huskey-Walsh's Amend-
ment. Huskey hyphen Walsh."
Yourell: "Now, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment
#5 is the Amendment that would delete everything after the enacting clause which would include Amendments #1, Amendments #2, Amendments #3. And if this is successful, then Amendment #6 which is a correction of Amendment #4 would not be necessary and Amendment #7 is the same as Amendment #3. So that, too, will go down the drain. That's Ewing's Amendment. Now the reason, of course, for the filing of Amendment #5 by Mr. Walsh is trying to delete Amendment #1 which was adopted. Amendment #1 is the same as House Bill 605 that passed out of this House in the last Session and passed out of the Senate and the Governor amended... or vetoed it. And this Amendment #1 containing House Bill 605 satisfies the objections raised by the Governor in vetoing 605. So to gut the Bill and delete Amendment #1 which has already been adopted by this House, I think is wrong. And I would ask for the defeat of Amendment #5."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Huskey."

Huskey: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I just so happen to have in front of me Governor Thompson's veto message on House Bill 605. And there's certainly nothing in the Amendment that deletes his objections. When Governor Thompson vetoed 605, he says, 'There's provisions for referendum in the statutes for the library board to have their own referendum. They do not need this taxation without a referendum. And that is why and if anyone cares to see the veto message, I have it here in hand. That is why the Governor vetoed House Bill 605. Taxation without referendum. And actually this was a good Bill. It was a fine library Bill until this backdoor referendum was stuck on to it. And that is the same thing as what was defeated here for the junior colleges just two days ago. And I hear all this economy drive, I hear, 'let's not hammer out real estate tax bills', but yet we want to sneak on these backdoor referendum."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Yourell, for what purpose do you rise?"

Yourell: "The Gentleman is not speaking to the motion. He's not speaking to the Amendment. He's talking about some real estate taxes somewhere. Now I would suggest to the Gentleman that he read the Governor's message very clearly and he'll know that the
Bill, 605, was originally with a front door referendum. And in order to meet objections and Representative McMasters knew this and we changed it from a front door referendum to a backdoor referendum. It got to the Senate. This Bill passed out of the House. It passed out of the Senate. The objections of the Governor was not on the referendum."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Pullen."

Pullen: "I wanted to make the point that the Gentleman had already spoken once in debate. There was no question asked of him and I think he's out of order."

Speaker Redmond: "Are you ready for the question on the adoption of the Amendment?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Walsh. Representative Walsh to close unless you closed before."

Walsh: "Well, I did sort of close before, Mr. Speaker. It seems you're trying to fill the hours with this... with this Bill. But let me say, Mr. Speaker, that a backdoor referendum is the biggest farce there can be. It's a charade. We pull the wool over the eyes of our constituents. If you will research the matter with all of the backdoor referendum proposals that we have, I think there has just been one that was successful and that was in Springfield fifteen or twenty years ago when they blocked a school tax increase without referendum. It is ridiculous to provide it, Mr. Speaker. Our constituents have far too much to do trying to make enough money to pay the taxes they're required to pay now than mess around with the provisions for a backdoor referendum which are so confusing as to be impossible. Now I submit to you that what we are doing absolutely in this case is for many of our constituents placing... giving them a tax that they don't want. Now if their... the tax is justified, the people can vote it. To increase their levy by one-third is absolutely unjustified and I urge the adoption of this Amendment. I urge Representative W. Timothy Simms to get over to his desk and vote 'yes'."

Speaker Redmond: "The question's on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment #5 to House Bill 3002. Those in favor of the motion vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who
wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there’s 66 'aye' and 49 'no' and the motion prevails and the Amendment’s adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O’Brien: "Floor Amendment #6, Husky. Amends House Bill 3002 as amended in Section 1 of the Bill and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Huskey."

Husky: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I will withdraw Amendment #6 because Amendment #5 took care of that and thank you very much."

Speaker Redmond: "Amendment 6 is withdrawn. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O’Brien: "Floor Amendment #7, Ewing. Amends House Bill 3002 as amended in the first sentence and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ewing. Representative Ewing on Amendment #7."

Ewing: "Mr. Speaker, I’m not quite certain of the status of this Bill. It’s my understanding that the levy has now been done away... been removed and if that is the case, I would move to table Amendment #7. Withdraw it."

Speaker Redmond: "Amendment #7 is withdrawn. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O’Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 3012."


Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O’Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 3013."

Clerk O’Brien: "House Bill 3013. A Bill for an Act making an appropriation to the Board of Governors for state colleges and universities. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O’Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 3040."


Speaker Redmond: "Is there any... out of the record. At whose request?"
3057."

Clerk O’Brien: "House Bill 3057. A Bill for an Act in relation to
clarification and enforcement of the rights of handicapped per-
sons in regard to facilities and accessibility of state-owned and
leased real property. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment..."

Speaker Redmond: "Has the fiscal note been filed?"

Clerk O’Brien: "No, the fiscal note is not filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Out of the record. 3088."

Clerk O’Brien: "House Bill 3088. A Bill for an Act in relation to
township government. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1
and 2 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motions?"

Clerk O’Brien: "Correction on that. This Bill was read a second time
previously and Amendments #1 and 2 were adopted on the floor."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O’Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, Ewing. Amends House Bill 3088
on page 14 by deleting 'when it' and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ewing."

Ewing: "In... under this new township codification of the law, they
have some additional powers which were not involved in the statute
before. One of these has to do with bonding and I have in Amend-
ment #3 merely added that any bonds issued by the township must
be approved by a referendum in accordance with the general elec-
tion laws of this state. I think that it's only a fair safeguard
for the voters and the taxpayers in any township to have this
front door referendum before we issue bonds and I would ask for
the approval of this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McMaster."

McMaster: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I have no
objections to this Amendment so I would support its adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "The question's on the Gentleman's motion for the
adoption of Amendment #3. Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'.
The 'ayes' have it and the motion carried, the Amendment's adopted.
Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Question of the Sponsor of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."
Madigan: "Do you plan to move this Bill to Third Reading?"
McMaster: "Yes, Mike, if we can get it... clear up the Amendments today, I'd like to move it on to Third, yes."
Madigan: "Thank you."
Speaker Redmond: "Anything further?"
Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #4, Ewing. Amends House Bill 3088 on page 14 by deleting all of line 11 through 29 and so forth."
Speaker Redmond: "Who's the Sponsor?"
Clerk O'Brien: "Representative Ewing."
Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ewing."
Ewing: "I would move to withdraw that Amendment."
Speaker Redmond: "Amendment #4 is withdrawn. Representative Darrow. Any further Amendments?"
Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 3138."
Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3138."
Speaker Redmond: "Out of the record. 3168."
Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3168. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Retailers' Occupation Tax Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."
Speaker Redmond: "Any motions?"
Clerk O'Brien: "A motion to table Amendment 1 by Representative Rigney."
Speaker Redmond: "Representative Rigney on the floor? Representative Terzich, what's your pleasure?"
Terzich: "Well, I move that that motion lie on the table or do not adopt, whatever you want to do with it."
Speaker Redmond: "Well, if the Gentleman isn't here to..."
Terzich: "Well, there's probably a number of them that aren't here, Mr. Speaker. I've been waiting on this Bill and the longer I wait, the more Amendments are adopted."
Speaker Redmond: "We notified the Members that is was going to be the policy of the Chair not to hold the Bill on Second Reading unless the Sponsor of an Amendment was there to prosecute it. I have consistently said that I thought it was unfair to file Amendments to Bills and retard the progress of them just by standing off the floor. Now it's within your control, Representative Terzich."
Terzich: "I'd like to move..."

Speaker Redmond: "...Sponsor of the Amendment to table is here, we will move it to Third Reading."

Terzich: "I'd like to move it."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. Representative Ryan. Are there any further... Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Will the Gentleman yield, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Terzich: "I'm all yours, George."

Ryan: "Representative, is this the bill that we talked about yesterday afternoon?"

Terzich: "Yes, Sir."

Ryan: "And you're going to persist in moving it or..."

Terzich: "Well, can you tell me when I can move it?"

Ryan: "Well, I would hope yet this morning if you..."

Terzich: "Fine."

Ryan: "That was our agreement I thought that..."

Terzich: "Very good, I live up to my agreements. I will pass it by."

Speaker Redmond: "3184."

Terzich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, like I say, I'd like to stand by my agreement, but I would like to know whether or not I can have this called later today."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, I will make every effort to do it, let me put it that way. But I just want to emphasize the fact that any agreement between Members that doesn't include the Speaker isn't necessarily binding on him. I don't think in the light of the workload we have here, we have forty-eight Bills on Second and a hundred and twelve on Third. If you look ahead at our schedule next week, there's no way in the world that we're going to be able to go over these time and time and time again and give everybody an opportunity to have his Bill considered for passage before the deadline. And I don't think it's fair to the Members who are sitting in line to have these things happen repeatedly, taken out of the record because people are not in attendance. So I will make every effort that I can is what I'm trying to say."

Terzich: "Well, we'll accept that, Mr. Speaker."
Speaker Redmond: "3184."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3184. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Revenue Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any motion with respect to Amendment 1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motion filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, Mahar. Amends House Bill 3184 as amended in Subsection 7 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mahar."

Mahar: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

Amendment #2 changes the advice of the Auditor General from mandatory to advisory. It simply says that the Auditor General shall review and study the equalization factors or multipliers and his actions shall be treated strictly as advisory upon the Department. I move for its adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, I was occupied elsewhere. Bill, could you explain the Amendment once again?"

Mahar: "With the adoption of Amendment #1 the Auditor General is required to make a decision, is mandated to make a decision in regard to equalization factors of multipliers used by the Department. This just simply changes that to making it advisory rather than mandatory."

Madigan: "Advisory to the Department of Local Government Affairs?"

Mahar: "Yes, Sir."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to rise in opposition to the Gentleman's Amendment. The purpose of this Bill is to remove the multiplier function from the Department of Local Government Affairs and to place it in the hands of the Auditor General. This Amendment, in effect, would destroy the intent and effect of the Bill. I suggest that if the Sponsor of the Amendment is in opposition to the Bill, then he ought to allow the Bill to go to Third Reading and then speak in opposition to its passage at that stage of the process."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Telcer."

Telcer: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I rise in support of the
Gentleman's Amendment to House Bill 3184. I'm not really quite sure why the Sponsors of this Bill want to take from the Department of Local Government Affairs this particular function and turn it over to the Auditor General. I have not even heard that the Auditor General wishes to have this responsibility which is totally, totally beyond the scope of the office to which he was appointed by the Members of the General Assembly a few years ago. Certainly the Department of Local Government Affairs is the agency in state government which is most familiar and has the most experience in dealing with this particular problem and function. I simply don't understand or simply don't see the rationale for giving this responsibility to the Auditor General. And so, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I urge everyone to vote 'yes' on Amendment #2 to House Bill 3184.

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, I commend you as the Sponsor of this Bill for having an interest in the equalization of assessments on a county by county basement... basis, basis, but I think your interest comes about six years too late. It was during the last year of the Ogilvie administration that the assessments on a county by county basis got completely out of kilter when Effingham County was assessed at thirty-six percent of fair market value while DuPage County, your home county, was assessed at fifty-four percent of fair market value. Through the years, we have discussed this issue on the floor and, in fact, there seemed to be no motivation in the Department of Local Government Affairs to equalize assessments until I filed the first impeachment Resolution that has ever been filed in this House within the memory of the Reference Bureau, at least within the last thirty years, to impeach the Director of the Department of Local Government Affairs Frank Kirk. Immediately following that Resolution not only did Mr. Kirk disappear from the horizon as a potential running mate for Governor Walker, but he decided to take hands off the equalization process. He decided to stop listening to Governor Walker's general counsel who was ordering him to manipulate it politically. Since then I've followed the processes carefully as possible and..."
have discovered that the Department of Local Government Affairs technocrats (sic) are actually free of political influence as far as the multiplier goes. The assessments in the State of Illinois are now at thirty-three and one-third of market value on a three year average with adjustments for changes and that is precisely what the state Supreme Court has mandated. May I suggest, Mr. Speaker, that the equalization of assessments function is an Executive Branch function, not a Legislative Branch function and that your Bill on the face of it is unconstitutional. However, it does have some saving graces. And that is that it's one of the few vehicles for assessment reform that made it out of Committee. Now it didn't make it out of the right Committee. For some reason it was illegally put in the Executive Committee instead of in the Revenue Committee where it could have been given careful consideration and thoughtful consideration and probably wouldn't have gotten out of Committee. But since the vehicle's on the floor, it seems to me that what we ought to do is use it. We ought to take out the objectionable parts of it. We ought to allow the Auditor General to indeed study the process because the process needs studying and needs review. We may have another Governor like Walker again and he may attempt to politically manipulate the process. This Governor is not, however, and so I think your reaction, Mr. Speaker — yes, you, Mr. Speaker, you with the hand next to your ear — is six years too late. The Amendment obviously should be adopted.

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? Representative Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, maybe this question's out of order but I'd like to ask Representative Madigan a question if I may."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madigan, would you yield?"

Friedrich: "My problem is I do not understand the rationale of the Bill and I can't intelligently vote on this Amendment unless I know what the intent of his Bill is. I'm having a little trouble determining what the Auditor General has to do with a multiplier. The function of the Auditor General is the post audit function of the whole state."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "The intent of the Bill is to remove the multiplier function..."
from the Department of Local Government Affairs because of this accessibility to political considerations in light of the fact that the Director of the Department is appointed by the Governor. The purpose in moving the function to the Auditor General is to give it to an individual who is isolated and insulated from the political processes.

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? The question's on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment §2. Representative Mahar, to close."

Mahar: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. While the intent of having somebody other than Local Government Affairs to handle this may be well taken, it just seems to me that the Auditor General is absolutely the wrong place to go. First of all, he doesn't have that function. It's entirely outside his purview. I don't think he has the expertise, the personnel to handle it. I would urge the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "The question's on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of the Amendment. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 67 'aye' and 84 'no'. The Gentleman's motion fails. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment §3, Daniels. Amends House Bill 3184 as amended by deleting the title and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Daniels."

Daniels: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Floor Amendment §3. In an effort to address the subject of the increased taxes that people throughout the State of Illinois are experiencing without really experiencing any likewise increase in their salaries was drawn in an effort to limit the increase on their assessed valuation at the amount of ten percent. I find that there's some technical difficulties in the Amendment and I think we're going to address it later so I would ask that this Amendment be withdrawn."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has withdrawn the Amendment. Any further Amendments? Any further Amendments, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment §4, Daniels. Amends House Bill 3184"
as amended by deleting the title and so forth."

Daniels: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Floor Amendment #4 likewise in an effort to address the subject of our increased real estate taxation is an Amendment that was aimed at local units of government saying that the local units of government could not levy for more than their budget. Likewise, we find some difficulties in this Amendment and find it addressed at a later date in this Bill so I'd ask leave to withdraw this one, too."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman withdraws Amendment 4. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #5, Skinner. Amends House Bill 3184 on page 4 by inserting after line 22 the following."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "This is one of two Bills on which I am trying to put what I affectionately call the St. Clair County Amendment. In St. Clair County, the assessments range from very high if you're black and down on, in the valley to very low if you're white and live on the hill. For example, in Freeburg Township the average assessments in 1975 for homes was twenty-four percent while in the East St. Louis Township it was forty-two percent, almost forty-two and a half percent. So what we have here is some townships being assessed almost twice as high as other townships within the County of St. Clair. Now, this is not an exception. There are many counties throughout the state who have recognized that township assessors don't always come in with the same level of assessments and have made use of the Revenue Article to apply township multipliers. My own county, McHenry, has done this. Kane has done it. Winnebago has done it. Knox has done it. Peoria has done it. I believe Woodford has done it. There are numerous counties that have done this, but in some counties one has public officials who are so blind to the sense of fairness that it seems to me that the state has a responsibility to step in. For that reason, I have offered Amendment #5 and I will read it in its entirety. 'If the Department determines that the levels of assessments of single-family housing in several townships of any county vary so that the rate of such
assessments in any township is more than one hundred and five per-
cent of the rate of such assessments in any other township in that
county, the Department shall equalize the assessments among the
several townships by applying separate equalization factors for
the several townships in that county. Now what we're saying is
that homeowners deserve to be treated equally no matter where they
live throughout a county. And if a Local County Board of Review
refuses to follow the mandate and it is a mandate, then the Depart-
ment of Local Government Affairs will enforce equalization. They
will equalize the assessments so that homeowners on the average
in each township are paying thirty-three and one third percent of
fair market value, that that is their assessment. I respectfully
the House's support of this Amendment which will make this Bill a
landmark Bill in assessment reform."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madigan."
Madigan: "Question of the Sponsor."
Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."
Madigan: "Which individuals and organizations in St. Clair County
requested Mr. Skinner to represent them?"
Skinner: "None, as a matter of fact. I have, however, been in con-
tact with the East St. Louis School Board that is extremely dis-
turbed with the situation."
Madigan: "Did that school board call you?"
Skinner: "As a matter of fact, they did."
Madigan: "You didn't call them first?"
Skinner: "No."
Speaker Redmond: "Representative..."
Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise in
opposition to the Gentleman's Amendment. We have already discussed
the purpose and the intent of this Bill. It deals with a movement
of the entire multiplier function from one agency of state govern-
ment to another. For the Gentleman to now offer an Amendment which
deals with another aspect of that function although it is part of
the function detracts from the intent of this Bill and I suggest
that the Amendment ought to be defeated."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Flinn."
Flinn: "Well first, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to ask the Sponsor of the Amendment a question and I'd like to speak to the Amendment. The question is, I happen to be a white in the valley. How does that affect me?"

Skinner: "Excuse me?"

Flinn: "You're talking about the blacks in the valley. I happen to be a white down in the valley. How does that affect me?"

Skinner: "What township do you live in?"

Flinn: "Centerville Township."

Skinner: "Centerville Township is assessed at thirty percent which is underassessed; so I guess it doesn't have to do with where you live, but what race you are."

Flinn: "Okay, thank you. I... probably I should be for your Amendment, but I can't be for it. Number one is we are in the process in St. Clair County of trying to comply with a thirty-three and a third percent and this is correcting itself as fast as it can. I agree with you that it was out of whack and should have been changed, but we are in the process of changing it. But to have a multiplier from township to township would create havoc for the taxing bodies down there and you know that very well. You're well aware of the fact that we would not be able to operate county government down there at all in the interim period. We are doing something about it and I think this Amendment should be defeated."

Speaker Redmond: "You ready for the question?"

Skinner: "May I close, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan... Skinner, to close."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, in addition to hurting blacks in the valley in East St. Louis Township, it also hurts the school district for this reason. As you know there's a reciprocal arrangement between the level of assessments, the amount of assessment base that one has and the state aid that one gets. And this means that if a school district is overassessed as a school district in East St. Louis most assuredly is, then the local taxpayers are forced to pay more than their fair share in order for the state to be able to pay less state aid than it ought to be paying. Now that sounds weird so let me try to repeat it and maybe rephrase it. The local
taxpayers are forced to pay more taxes than they ought to and the result of that is that they get less state aid than they ought to. Now that is just screwy. It is a situation which I wish that someone would go into court to remedy. But since no one has and since it is quite obvious that it exists, it seems to me that the Illinois General Assembly should do something about it. Now you know what East St. Louis... you know where the St. Clair County Board of Assessors or Board of Review or whatever they call the multiple assessing agencies down there that do such a miserable job, you know what their idea of progress is? Well, it's been forty years since the last reassessment in St. Clair County, forty years. Now everything I said yesterday about Representative Lechowicz' Bill about a tax freeze is absolutely evident right on the surface in all the statistics in St. Clair County. And what do the local officials say? Let me quote Michael Costello again, board member out of the Metro East Journal, April 27, 1978. Costello said, 'We've looked at the township multiplier figures and decided it won't work. We're not going to use township multipliers. There's no discussion on it. It's our option and we're not going to use them.' Well, we know that through the 60's people who have had a color of skin that's different from the majority have been kicked around and ignored and given false promises. Well, here's a promise that we can deliver and that promise is that you shall be treated exactly the same as your white brethren who live elsewhere in the county and they're now assessed anywhere from sixty to ten percent less than you are. In closing, I'd like to quote from the May, 1970 issue of Illinois Issues a lady who was head of the equalization process that the Speaker wishes to take away from the Department of Local Government Affairs and who carried it out without fear or favor and free from public... free from political manipulations, unlike any prior administration I'm aware of. She and her husband wrote an article advocating that the property tax be abolished, and explained why she didn't think reform would ever happen. And I'd like to quote a small part. She says, they say, 'The public's elected' Representatives have a responsibility to work toward meaningful reform instead of an ostrich-like tolerance of a wide
discrepancy between law and practice. Nowhere in this state is
that ostrich-like posture more evident than in St. Clair County.
This Amendment, if adopted, will end that forever."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Gentleman's motion for the
adoption..."

Skinner: "Vote 'yes'!"

Speaker Redmond: "...of Amendment §5. Those in favor vote 'aye',
opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who
wished? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 69
'aye' and 77 'no' and Representative Skinner has requested a verifi-
cation of the Negative Roll Call. Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "I request a poll of the absentees."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Brummer. Change Representative
Brummer from 'yes' to 'no'. Both Representative Skinner... Skinner-
Madigan request a poll of the absentees."

Clerk O'Brien: "Abramson, Antonovych, Bluthardt, Christensen, Deuster,
Ralph Dunn."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Dunn, for what purpose do you rise?"
J. Dunn: "Change me to 'no' please."

Speaker Redmond: "Change the Gentleman from 'present' to 'no': Repre-
sentative Christensen desires to be recorded as 'no'. Representa-
tive Willer."

Willer: "Change my vote to 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Change Representative Willer from red, from 'yes'
to 'no'. Representative Shumpert from 'yes' to 'no'. Representa-
tive Bowman from 'yes' to 'no'. Representative Peggy Smith Martin
from 'no' to 'aye'. Proceed with the poll of the absentees."

Clerk O'Brien: "Ebbsen, Griesheimer, Hart, Hoffman, Hoxsey, Hudson,
Katz, Keats, Klosak."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Klosak 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Kuchar斯基, Levin, Madison, Mann, McBroome, Molloy,
Neff, Robinson."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Robinson 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Schlickman, Schneider, Stearns, E.G. Steele."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Steele 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Telcser.Tipo. No further."
Speaker Redmond: "Representative Neff. Representative Neff 'aye'.
Representative Hoxsey 'aye'. Representative Molloy 'aye'. Re-
quest has been made for a verification of the Negative Roll Call.
Proceed, Mr. Clerk. Representative Mudd."
Mudd: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to change my 'no' vote to 'aye'
please."
Speaker Redmond: "Change the Gentleman from 'no' to 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "E.M. Barnes, Beatty, Birchler, Bowman, Bradley, Brady,
Brandt, Breslin, Rich Brummer, Don Brummet, Caldwell, Cuparelli,
Chapman, Christensen, Darrow, Corneal Davis, Dawson, DiPrima, Doanico,
Doyle, John Dunn, Dwell, Farley, Flinn, Carmisa, Getty, Gligio,
Giorgi, Greiman, Hanahan, Harris, Holewinski, Dan Houlihan, J.M.
Houlihan, Jacobs, Jaffe, Eml Jones, Kane, Kelly, Kent, Kornowicz,
Kosinski, Kozubowski, Laurino, Lechowicz, Leverenz, Lucco, Luft,
Macdonald, Magigan, Marovitz, Matejek, Matijevich, McClain, McGrew,
Mclendon, McPike, Murphy, Nardulli, O'Brien, Pechous, Pierce,
Pouncey, Richmond, Robinson, Satterthwaite, Schisler, Sharp, Shumpert,
Stecco."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mulcahey, for what purpose do you
rise? Change the Gentleman from 'aye' to 'no'."
Clerk O'Brien: "C.M. Stiehl, Stuffle, Taylor, Terzich, Van Duyne,
Vitek, Von Bockman, Willer, Williams, Younge, Yourell, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions of the negative? Representative
Skinner. Representative Schneider desires to be recorded as 'no'."
Skinner: "Representative Younge is obviously not here."

Speaker Redmond: "How is she recorded?"
Skinner: "If she were, she certainly wouldn't be voting 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove her."

Skinner: "Why don't you change her to 'yes'? I cannot see Repre-
sentative Brummet. He may be there, but Representative Brady's standing
in the way."

Speaker Redmond: "He's there. I can see him."

Skinner: "Likewise for Representative Schisler and Representative
Farley. I fear I cannot see either of them."

Speaker Redmond: "Farley is there. Schisler is there."

Skinner: "Okay, well I... it's just that little..."
Speaker Redmond: "How is Representative Lechowicz... Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Would you please announce the count right now?"

Speaker Redmond: "What's the count? 71 'ayes', 83 'no'."

Skinner: "83 'noes'?"

Speaker Redmond: "Yeah."

Skinner: "Okay, I... is Representative Giglio there?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Giglio, is he here? How's he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Giglio - the Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."

Skinner: "Representative Von Boeckman."

Speaker Redmond: "Von Boeckman is right over here."

Skinner: "Out of his seat. Representative Barnes."

Speaker Redmond: "Here. She's back there."

Skinner: "The other Representative Barnes."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Barnes is right here."

Skinner: "I don't know whether Representative O'Brien is there or not. Representative Madigan..."

Speaker Redmond: "He's there, he's that young looking fellow back there."

Skinner: "Yes. Representative Davis, Corneal."

Speaker Redmond: "He's here."

Skinner: "Amazing. Representative Sharp."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Sharp - is he here? How's he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him. Representative Hudson, for what purpose do you rise?"

Hudson: "How am I recorded, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "How's Representative Hudson recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Hudson: "Please record me as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Record him as 'aye'. Representative Sharp is in the center aisle there. Put him back on."

Skinner: "Yes, I see him. Representative Katz. He's not voting."
Excuse me. Representative Hanahan.

Speaker Redmond: "How's he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."

Skinner: "Do all of you know that he's here because of a property tax revolt, too? Representative Williams."

Speaker Redmond: "How's he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."

Skinner: "Representative Marovitz, is he there?"

Speaker Redmond: "He's here."

Skinner: "Oh yes, I see his head peaking over Representative Kornowicz' chair... head. Ray Ewell."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ewell. How's Representative Ewell recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."

Skinner: "Representative Kozubowski."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Geo-Karts, please maintain order. Representative Holowinski. He's here."

Skinner: "No, Kozubowski."

Speaker Redmond: "How's Representative Kozubowski recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."

Skinner: "Representative Laurino."

Speaker Redmond: "How is Laurino... he's in his seat. There's Laurino. Raise your hand please. He's right next to Terzich."

Skinner: "That looks suspiciously like my Republican Leader."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, I guess that is Representative Ryan. We'll have to take Laurino off."

Skinner: "Representative McLendon."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McLendon here? How's he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."

Skinner: "Representative Carmisa."

Speaker Redmond: "He's here."
Skinner: "Representative Chapman."
Speaker Redmond: "Representative Chapman. How's she recorded? There she is."
Skinner: "Oh, she's in the corner. Representative Peggy Smith Martin."
Speaker Redmond: "She's in front."
Skinner: "Representative Hart. Oh, excuse me. Excuse me, Peggy. Representative Hart."
Speaker Redmond: "He didn't vote."
Skinner: "Representative Kelly."
Speaker Redmond: "He's here."
Skinner: "Representative Emil Jones."
Speaker Redmond: "Representative Emil Jones here? How's he recorded?"
Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'no'."
Speaker Redmond: "Remove him. Anything further? Representative Macdonald. She desires to be recorded voting 'aye'."
Skinner: "John Dunn."
Speaker Redmond: "Representative Williams has returned. Put him back on the Roll Call. John Dunn is right up here."
Skinner: "Bruce Richmond."
Speaker Redmond: "Representative Younge has returned. Put her back on the Roll Call. Representative... will you stand up, Representative Hanahan? I can't see you. That's Representative Hanahan."
Skinner: "Excuse me, but I can't believe that Representative Younge is really voting 'no' on this. Do you want to ask her how she's voting since she just arrived?"
Speaker Redmond: "Representative Younge is 'aye'."
Skinner: "Representative Caldwell. Oh, there he is."
Speaker Redmond: "Representative Caldwell is here. Repre... what was that? Representative Barnes desires to be verified now. Mr. Skinner, you satisfied?"
Skinner: "Yes, I see him."
Speaker Redmond: "Okay, okay."
Skinner: "Representative Conti is in the way of me and Representative Nardulli. Ah, there he is. Representative Luft."
Speaker Redmond: "Representative Luft here? He's in the back there."
Skinner: "Where?"
Speaker Redmond: "Right there... Representative Van Duyne. In the side aisle."

Skinner: "Oh, I see him."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ebbesen, will you attend Representative Skinner?"

Skinner: "Representative Leverenz."

Speaker Redmond: "Here he is, right here."

Skinner: "Representative Brummer."

Speaker Redmond: "He's there."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, I think next time I'll ask for an oral verification because people don't seem to be able to stay in their seats and that's why I'm calling names of people who are in the room. Representative Tipword."

Speaker Redmond: "I don't think you're going down the Negative Roll Call, Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "No, Sir. I'm going down the seating chart. I assumed that all the Democrats were voting with you, Sir."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, Mr. Tipword is not recorded and the Gentleman ought to be restricted... ought to be instructed to proceed along the Negative Roll Call."

Skinner: "Is Representative Richmond here or is he not voting also? Did we call him once? I don't have any other questions, Mr. Speaker. If you want to put down the telephone, you can announce the results. I think this is a pretty good Roll Call for the Democrat..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Robert Walsh. Change Representative Robert Walsh from 'aye' to 'no'. Representative Byers. Change him from 'aye' to 'no'. Representative Levin. Representative Levin desires to be recorded as 'no'. What's the count? Representative Geo-Karis, that's against the rules - yelling across the floor like that. Representative Geo-Karis, don't yell across the floor anymore. 73 'aye', 78 'noes', the motion fails. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #6, Skinner. Amends House Bill 3184 on page 1, line 2 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Maddell, for what purpose do you rise?"
Waddell: "For the purpose of an introduction, Mr. Speaker. The Zion
Lutheran School of Beecher, Illinois, accompanied by their Prin-
cipal and his wife, B. Bloom, and with a group of Beecher citizens.
They're represented by Representative Jack Davis, Harry Leinenweber,
and Leroy Van Duyne. Would you welcome them please?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lucco."

Lucco: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.
In recognizing this group, I would suggest that we wave at them.
They're a fine group representing the South Metropolitan Associa-
tion. They're students from the Coolidge Junior High School for
the Deaf in Phoenix, Arizona. Their Representatives are Matejk,
Gottrud and Miller. Let's give them a nice write-in."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Matejk. Representative Matejk."

Matejk: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise
on a point of personal privilege. I don't get up that often to
speak on this House floor; but when I do, it tends to be on legis-
lation which helps the handicapped people, especially those who
are... who have a handicap as far as a hearing impediment is con-
cerned. And I would like to thank you, each of my colleagues,
who vote for such legislation because those students that are
sitting up in that gallery on the Democratic side are the type of
people that you are helping when you cast and 'aye' vote. So on
their behalf, my colleagues, I thank you for all the legislation
that you've helped us pass for them. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "On Amendment #6, read the Amendment."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #6, Skinner. Amends House Bill 3184 on
page 1, line 2 by deleting..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Well, now we know the Democrats aren't really for property
tax reform, that it's just something that Comptroller Bakalis and
his minions are going to mouth around the state for the rest of
the year. Amendment #6, however, gives them a chance to partially
retrieve their lost image. If you're not going to do anything
about reform, how do you like the idea of just studying it? I
mean, it's only been studied to death already, but Amendment #6
would create... would recreate the Joint Revenue Subcommittee that
was in the 78th and 79th General Assembly starting next year. We wouldn't do anything this year because we wouldn't want to do anything terribly radical of course. I've suggested to the Speaker that he might even want to support this since studying something never changes anything. I would ask for your support for this fine Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Dan Houlihan."

D. Houlihan: "In opposition to the Amendment, Mr. Speaker, I'd point out that the Sponsor himself acknowledges that he sees no real beneficial effect as a result of this Amendment. There have been a number of studies, it's unnecessary to have another and I would ask for a negative vote."

Skinner: "May I close, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Skinner to close."

Skinner: "As the Membership knows, the Appropriations Committee that is, handling the Department of Local Government Affairs budget is about to gut the... that part which requires or would be spent for studying it under former Representative Lauer. We're not willing to pass any reform even though we know that it's necessary and now I hear one of the Democratic Spokespersons stand up and say that we shouldn't even study it anymore. Well, that's just fascinating. I really want to see Comptroller Bakalis go around the state and say he's in favor of property tax reform. I don't even think he knows what the word reform means even though when he was Superintendent of Public Instruction he issued a report right before he went out of office - the report to the Superintendent. I don't think any of the recommendations have been implemented and I am sure that there're going to be some of us that will find a copy of that report and hold it up to any reporter that he wants to talk to about property tax reform. The Democrats have not put their votes where their mouth is and here's another Roll Call that will prove that I suspect. I would ask for a Roll Call, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "The question's on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of the Amendment..."

Skinner: "I'd even settle for an oral verification if everybody won't stay in their seats."
Speaker Redmond: "Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Least anyone be too scared, unless you're afraid you're going to lose control of either the House or the Senate, the appointment on this Committee and it is a Committee not a Committee... Commission are made by the Chairman of the House and Senate Revenue Committees. Now the only reason you could be voting 'no' is that you're afraid you're not going to have control of the House or the Senate next year."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record."

Skinner: "May I have a verification, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "On this question there's 71 'aye' and 82 'no'. Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Point of order, Mr. Speaker. The Gentleman's request for a verification is dilatory and therefore ought to be denied."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Telcser."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker, I think the Gentleman's request is one that is in order. Every Member's entitled to a verification. Everyone in this House knows how sincere Representative Skinner has been over his career in the Legislature about property tax reform. I hope you give him the chance to verify this Roll Call."

Speaker Redmond: "Request for the poll of the absentees. The Gentleman is within his rights. Whether he's got good judgment, we'll leave that to the Body. Representative Waddell."

Waddell: "Mr. Speaker, for the purpose of an introduction before we get into that. There's a group here from Galesburg Lombard Junior High School from Galesburg, Illinois. And they are represented by Representative McMaster, Representative Neff and McGrew. Would you welcome them please?"

Speaker Redmond: "Poll the absentees, Mr. Clerk."


Speaker Redmond: "Representative Luft 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Madison, Mann, McBroom."
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Speaker Redmond: "Representative Friedrich 'aye'. Representative Daniels. Representative Davis 'aye'. Is there any rule that prohibits you from voting from the gallery?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Mahalik, Satterthwaite, Schlickman."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Satterthwaite 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Schlickman, Stearns. No further."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "In view of the fact that we have a straight party Roll Call there that proves what I want to prove, I don't need a verification."

Speaker Redmond: "What is the count, Mr. Clerk? 73 'aye', 84 'no' and the Gentleman's motion fails. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment...Floor Amendment #7, Skinner. Amend House Bill 3184 on page 4 by inserting after line 22 the following."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Assuming that St. Clair County and other counties are able to get their act together between now and 1981, this Amendment is the same as the Amendment we discussed about the differential being a hundred... that the highest assessed township being no more than a hundred and five percent of the lowest assessed township or the Department will equalize. It would not take effect until 1981 and if those Representatives from St. Clair County are... really sincerely believe that reform is finally going to come to St. Clair County after forty years, I'm sure that they will join me in supporting this Amendment because there will be no need for the Department to do anything down there by then."

Speaker Redmond: "Question's the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment #7. Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair..."

Skinner: "Oh, my heavens, Mr. Speaker, I must have won."

Speaker Redmond: "It's the opinion of the Chair, the... the motion failed."

Skinner: "Well then, I think we ought to at least get enough lights up there so it makes it respectable or at least get your troops together and..."

Speaker Redmond: "The motion fails. Any further Amendments?"
Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 3186, Brady. House... out of the record. 3187, out of the record. 3190."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3190. A Bill for an Act in relation to procurement of professional services by state agencies. Second Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from..."

Clerk O'Brien: "No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Dan Houlihan on the Amendment."

D. Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, there are two Amendments already filed here. There is a third Amendment which has been filed but the Clerk's Office informs me that it has not been distributed as yet. I wonder if we could pass the Bill at this point. When it is distributed if we could come back to it please."

Speaker Redmond: "Out of the record. 3192."


Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, Giorgi. Amends House Bill 3192 on page 3 by deleting line 11 and 12 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, this Amendment #1 has a self-destruct provision in it and at the end of two years, the Productivity Council will become a part of the Bureau of the Budget and I move for the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions? Questions on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment #1. Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, motion carried, Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 3193."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3193. A Bill for an Act to establish the..."
Illinois Insurance Regulatory Board. Second Reading of the Bill.
No Committee Amendments.

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 3200."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3200. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act to create sanitary districts. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, Telcser. Amends House Bill 3200 on page 4, line 4 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Telcser. Telcser on Amendment 1 to 3200. Representative Lechowicz, for what purpose do you rise?"

Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker, I believe he wants to withdraw that Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Telcser, is that correct?"

Telcser: "Wait a second, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Merlo used to know what you wanted to do, but..."

Telcser: "Merlo's in the Senate now. Okay, I'm sorry. This Amendment was filed erroneously. Representative Lechowicz is correct. I wish to table it."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Telcser withdraws Amendment #1. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kempiners, for what purpose do you rise?"

Kempiners: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Did you call House Bill 3193 and advance it to Third Reading?"

Speaker Redmond: "I did."

Kempiners: "Is the Sponsor of that on the floor?"

Speaker Redmond: "No."

Kempiners: "Isn't it provided in our rules that a Bill will not be called if the Sponsor's not..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "The Sponsor asked me to handle the Bill for him today."

Kempiners: "Well, again I ask the question, Mr. Speaker, about the..."
Sponsor being present on a Bill. I had a question on that Bill with regard to the fiscal note that was filed. And I would ask you to review the rule to determine whether or not that we could advance that Bill and call it when the Sponsor's not present."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "I make a point of order that his objection is not timely, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, I think that's probably technically correct. It was moved to the Order of Third before you did profound the inquiry."

Kempiners: "Technically, I would say that it's probably correct; however, I have the questions that... being asked because..."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, why don't we see what we can do with that when the Sponsor comes back on the floor. I'm sure he'll respond to any questions you may have. And then if it gives us a problem, we'll address it at that time."

Kempiners: "Well, my question isn't of the Sponsor, Mr. Speaker. My question is of you with regard to what the rules provide for the calling of Bills."

Speaker Redmond: "Which rule are you referring to?"

Kempiners: "I'm not referring to any rule. I'm asking a question of the Chair."

Speaker Redmond: "I don't think that's been my practice, quite frankly. I've moved many of them along here today trying to take care of the business of this House and..."

Kempiners: "Except that in this case a fiscal note was requested and it was filed. However, the fiscal note was filed by the Sponsor and not by a state agency. And I think that there is provision in both our rules and in the law with regard to the fiscal note that I would like to question and I think that this is why I'm requesting of you, Mr. Speaker..."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, I think what we did is perfectly within our rules today and as I say, we will go back to this matter Monday and see if we can't straighten the thing out between you and Representative Laurino. But I think I following the rules today and any objection that you might have had, I think wasn't raised at
the proper time."

Kemperers: "...ask you this, Mr. Speaker, that if... if I do ques-
tion the applicability of the fiscal note, will you recognize that 
question even though we're on Third Reading?"

Speaker Redmond: "Yeah."

Kemperers: "You will. And does that go for any surrogate of yours 
who may be in the Chair at the time?"

Speaker Redmond: "Well, I can only speak for myself. I have enough 
problems. I can't even speak for the Members, even those who run 
in part of my county."

Kemperers: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "You are from the 39th District, aren't you? Well, 
that means Schneider. The only one I'm sure of is Giddy Dyer. 
Any... no further Amendments. Third Reading. 3202."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3202. A Bill for an Act to add Sections 
of the Fair Employment Practice Act. Second Reading of the Bill. 
Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motion with respect to Amendment 1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motion filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendment from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 3220."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3220. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections 
of the Business Corporation Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No 
Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 3233. I can't see Representative 
McMaster, a lot of people standing between him and me."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3233. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections 
of an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of 
the Department of Local Governmental Affairs. Second Reading of 
the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 3234."
Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 32..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mautino."

Mautino: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise for the purpose of an introduction please. To the gallery on the Democratic side I'd like to present the John F. Kennedy School from Spring Valley, Illinois, who is represented here in this General Assembly by Representative Cal Schumeman, Representative Joe Ebbesen and myself, Representative Mautino, to the gallery to the left."

Speaker Redmond: "3234."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3234. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Military and Naval Department. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, Mahar. Amends House Bill 3234 on page 2, line 14 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mahar."

Mahar: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 3234 is a supplemental appropriation to pay the utilities bills for the National Guard. This Amendment decreases by thirty thousand, seven hundred dollars the amount of general revenue transfer out of the Permanent Improvement Fund and increases the supplemental request from a hundred and eleven thousand, four hundred to a hundred and forty-two thousand, one hundred. Now the reason for this is that the Guard is in the process of doing a lot of minor repairs and in some cases they have advertised for bids and cases they've let bids and this thirty thousand dollars will allow them to continue. It includes the West Frankfort Armory, the Delavan Armory, the Galva Armory, the Macomb Armory, Broadway Armory, several very Chicago Armories and we're talking about... such things as boiler repairs, door replacements, roof repairs, boiler inspections and so forth. And I urge the adoption of this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Brummer."

Brummer: "Yes, we just had this supplemental appropriation in Appropriations II a short time ago, within the last week or two weeks."
Have you discussed this with the House Democratic... you know, with the Appropriations II Democrat staff or with the Chairman?"

Mahar: "Well at the time, yes. I had... the Amendment was prepared as you may recall the day before and submitted, but inadvertently it wasn't considered that day and the Committee went with the actual appropriation. Now the appropriation that was brought to us was in error by the thirty thousand, seven hundred dollars prior to the Appropriation Committee and I'm just asking that this now be included to take care of these minor construction programs that the Guard needs to complete this summer."

Brummer: "This increases the total supplemental by thirty thousand dollars though if I understand it correctly."

Mahar: "Yes, it does; but it reduces thirty-seven... thirty thousand, seven hundred from their improvement fund of two hundred thousand dollars which they'd have to use in order to pay the Bills and this would allow them to continue those projects at the rate of four thousand and two thousand and three thousand and so forth throughout the State of Illinois."

Brummer: "Is Chairman Barnes in agreement with this Amendment?"

Mahar: "We can ask him."

Brummer: "Is General DiPrima in agreement with it?"

Mahar: "I'm sorry, I didn't hear that question."

Brummer: "Is General DiPrima in agreement with it?"

Mahar: "Usually we can count on him in cases like this."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there anything further? The question's on the Gentleman's motion. James Houlihan. James Houlihan is blinking and Representative Levin is waving."

J. Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, I would defer to Chairman Barnes."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Barnes."

E. Barnes: "Bill, could you... would it be possible, Mr. Speaker, to just take this out of the record for just a minute so I can check with Representative Mahar? Mr. Speaker, would it be possible to just take... Representative Mahar, would it be possible to just take for a few minutes so I can come over and check with you just for a minute?"

Mahar: "Yes, Sir."
Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mahar wants it out of the record for a few minutes so we will accommodate him. 3235. Representative Waddell."

Waddell: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, for the purpose of an introduction. We have with us Mr. and Mrs. John Simpson and sons representing Nottingham England's Lions Club. And they are accompanied by Mrs. Jean Berdnick, Trustee of the Vil... Fox Lake Village Board. Would you welcome them please?"

Speaker Redmond: "3235."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3235. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Department of Mines and Minerals. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 3259. You want that one called, Representative E.M. Barnes? 327...3259. No. 3279, Representative Pierce."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3279. A Bill for an Act to provide for real property... taxpayers. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motion with respect to Amendment #1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motion filed?"

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendment from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 3287. Out at the request of the Sponsor. 3296."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3296. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections... A Bill..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, did you pass over 3287?"

Speaker Redmond: "Request of the Sponsor."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3296. A Bill for an Act in relation to peer review committees... facilities and professional staffs of such facilities. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."
Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1."

Speaker Redmond: "Out of the record? Out of the record request of the Sponsor. 3312."


Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, McCourt."

Speaker Redmond: "Is Representative... Go ahead."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amends House Bill 3312 on page 2 by deleting line 15 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Is Representative McCourt on the floor? Anyone familiar with the Amendment? Out of the record. 3335."


Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 3337."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3337. A Bill for an Act to make certain revisions in the consolidated schedule of elections. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motion with respect to Amendment 1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motion filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, Mahar. Amends House Bill 3337 on page..."

Speaker Redmond: "Out of the record. 3347 out of the record, request of the Sponsor. 3350."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3350. A Bill for an Act to regulate the transportation by rail of hazardous materials in the State of Illinois. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motion with respect to Amendment 1?"
Clerk O'Brien: "No motion filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, Winchester. Amends House Bill 3350 by deleting line 21 through 35 on page 5 and line 1 through 11 on page 6 and inserting in lieu thereof the following: Section 10 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Who's the Sponsor? Representative..."

Clerk O'Brien: "Representative Winchester."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Winchester."

Winchester: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Amendment simply deletes portions of the Bill allowing Illinois hazardous materials regulations inconsistent with federal regulations to become consistent. I don't think there's any problem with, is there, John? We talked about it in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Dunn, John Dunn."

J. Dunn: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'll have to respectfully oppose this Amendment. In the event this Bill should become law and be ruled by the federal authority as inconsistent with the federal regulations, there is still an option open to the State of Illinois upon application to the Federal Government for permission to regulate. If this Amendment gets on the Bill, I think that option is closed off and for that reason, I would have to resist the Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? Representative Winchester to close."

Winchester: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Well, I disagree with Representative John Dunn. This is very important legislation that Representative Dunn has and I think this Amendment makes it a better piece of legislation. And I would respectfully ask the Members of the House to support this Amendment. It is a very important Amendments. As a matter of fact, I think it might make Representative Dunn's Bill a better Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Gentleman's motion for adoption of Amendment #2. Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. Motion fails. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, John Dunn. Amends House Bill 3350"
as amended on page 1 by deleting line 22 through 27 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative John Dunn."

J. Dunn: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is a clean-up... Amendment #3 is a clean-up Amendment. It clears up an ambiguity that was left in the Bill in drafting. The references should all be the Interstate Commerce Commission instead of the way they appear in the original Bill. So this is just a technical Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? The question's on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment #3. Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, motion carried. The Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #4, John Dunn. Amends House Bill 3350 as amended on page 2 by deleting line 4 through 9 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative John Dunn."

J. Dunn: "Amendment #4 is an Amendment that was requested by the railroad companies and it is an Amendment to which I am in agreement. The purpose of the Amendment is to change the Bill to point out that should it become law, enforcement of the Bill will be done through the Interstate Commerce Commission and the enforcement aspects of that agency. Other law enforcement officials in the State of Illinois would not be properly trained in this technical area and so it is proper to provide for enforcement by the Illinois Commerce Commission and that's what this Amendment does, it so provides. I would urge the adoption of this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? The question's on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment #4. Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. Representative... Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The motion carried, the Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #5, Polk. Amends House Bill 3350 as amended in the title by inserting immediately before the period the following and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Polk. Representative Matijevich, for what purpose do you rise?"

Matijevich: "Mr. Chairman, as I look at this Amendment, I think it
is not germane. Would you rule on that, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "I see the Parliamentarian has arrived."

Speaker Redmond: "I've been advised by the Parliamentarian that the Amendment is not germane. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 3370."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3370. A Bill for an Act to make an appropriation to Mary Orkis. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motion with respect to Amendment #1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 3386."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3386. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act relating to the Department of Law Enforcement. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 33...3234. Representative Mahar."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3234. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Military and Naval Department. Second Reading of the Bill."

Mahar: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I've conferred with the Chairman of Appropriations and he has no objections to this and I'd urge its adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "The question's on the motion for adoption of Amendment #1. Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, motion carried. The Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 3168. Representative Terzich."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3168. A Bill for an Act relating to fiscal year appropriations of public funds. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."
Speaker Redmond: "Any motion with respect to Amendment 1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Wait a minute. I read the wrong title. House Bill 3168. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Retailers Occupation Tax Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motion with respect to the Amendment?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Motion to table Amendment #1 by Representative Rigney."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Rigney."

Rigney: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I thought that perhaps House Bill 3168 was a pretty good Bill about the time it was introduced. I think it was providing some necessary tax relief in some areas that were extremely important. However, the Sponsor came before the Revenue Committee with Committee... with Amendment #1 and the net effect was that the farm exemption that he had agreed to on an earlier Bill that we passed last year, that farm exemption was taken out. Now frankly I can't see a lot of difference whether we're spending money to improve a factory, to install factory equipment or whether we're talking about improving a farming operation with additional machinery and buildings and so forth that are necessary to that farming operation. I think they both stimulate the economy. I think they are both sound in principle. I think House Bill 3168 was a good Bill the way it was introduced and I'm just trying to help the Sponsor put it back in its original form."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Terzich."

Terzich: "Well yes, Mr. Speaker, the... I would have to speak against the motion. The reason for the Amendment was to bring the Bill into a more cost conscious area. It does apply simply to manufacturing equipment which would... manufacturing, assembling of tangible personal property for sale. The previous Bill also included processing. Now the problem that we're having is in the creation of jobs; also is the fact that a number of companies are moving out of the state into the sun belt area. I do commend the farming community and they are making a great contribution to the state. However, I haven't heard of any farm moving out of the state to bring the program into line. I would simply have to..."
suggest that a 'no' vote be given on this here motion."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Rigney to close."

Rigney: "Well, I guess the Sponsor's got me on that about no farms leaving the state. Unfortunately, we don't have any choice in the matter. I appeal to the fairness of the Sponsor on his original legislation about a year ago and he certainly agreed with me. In fact, I recall the time when we put the farm machinery on by exemption it was the day that I had to leave early and it was due to the goodness of the Sponsor that my Amendment even got on his Bill at that time. He put the farm exemption on his Bill for me about a year ago. I'm sorry to see this change in heart. Like I say, I think anything that stimulates the economy and the manufacturing industry will also stimulate the same economy as far as farms are concerned. It's a very important concept to Illinois farmers and also it's very important to those machinery dealers that you are representing in some of those areas near the state line. Unfortunately, a lot of Illinois farmers when their back is to the wall, they slip across the state line into states like Wisconsin, buy their farm machinery. The State of Illinois loses that sales tax. The machinery dealer loses that sale. Believe me, if you think that farmers of this state are interested in this Bill, you just talk to the machinery dealers. They're very much in support of this concept. So I just ask your affirmative vote to help table a bad Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? Question's on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of the Amendment. Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. No, no, wait a minute. This is a motion to table Amendment #1, is that correct? Question's on the Gentleman's motion to table. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Representative Terzich."

Terzich: "Well, Mr. Speaker, like I say I did give this serious consideration. Anybody who is voting for this Amendment will simply be gutting the entire Bill. Although I agree with Representative Rigney about the contribution of the farming community, that the manufacturer which would be Caterpillar Corporation which is one of the largest manufacturers in the state, would benefit by this
Bill under the amended form due to the fact that that manufacturing equipment to manufacture those farm equipment vehicles would be exempt under this Bill. The farming community at the present time does have exclusions of sales tax I believe on fertilizer and some other equipment. And the cost, in fact, not only did the House Bill 1812 include the farming equipment but it also included all of the processing and there has been or there is a Bill in the Senate which directly attributes the exemption for farm equipment. And I think that at that time, we should take that particular issue up. But at this time, I would solicit a 'no' vote simply because of the fact this certainly would gut the entire concept of the Bill to produce more jobs for the people of the State of Illinois and retaining our manufacturing climate for the State of Illinois. We all know and we all have read how serious the problem is and this is one step that we can help to remedy this situation so I would appreciate a 'no' vote to put this Bill in the proper order."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, I rise in opposition to the Gentleman's motion. What is before us at this time is a motion to table the Committee Amendment. Therefore, the question is, shall we consider on this floor the Bill as proposed by the Sponsor? Some of you may disagree with the Bill, some of you may feel that the Bill should be expanded and to those of you I say either oppose the Bill on Third Reading or offer your own Amendment to include other items into the Bill. At least allow the Gentleman to proceed ahead and put the Bill in the form that he wishes to have it in at this time and vote 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Telcer."

Telcer: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I really can't quite understand what the Majority Leader has in mind. Every Member knows that Second Reading is a time at which all Members of this Body have an opportunity to change a Bill. That's the purpose of Second Reading in our legislative process. That Bill belongs to the people of this state and the Members of the House have every right and indeed even an obligation to offer Amendments to any Bill on Second Reading to put that piece of legislation in whatever shape
they feel is necessary prior to its coming to the Order of Third Reading. Now the Majority Leader knows full well that this is indeed a responsibility, a responsibility for the Members of the House to carefully scrutinize and look at Bills on the Order of Second Reading and then offer Amendments if they wish. This is a very important part of the legislative process. It's a part which I have great regard and respect for and I don't think we should make an attempt to dilute or diminish that responsibility or the Order of Second Reading altogether by crying crocodile tears about letting a Member have their Bill in the shape they want it to be in. But, Mr. Speaker, and Members of the House, I'm happy to see 79 'aye' votes on the board for this Amendment and I hope that the vote stays that way and I urge other Members to change their votes from 'no' to 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Pierce."

Pierce: "I don't know... Mr. Speaker, I don't know what the Assistant Majority Leader's talking about when he says there's 79 votes for this Amendment. We're not voting on an Amendment. We're voting to kill an Amendment... Now this is a Committee Amendment adopted in the House Revenue Committee and this is one of the few times this year a motion's been filed to knock a Committee Amendment rather than presenting an Amendment of his own. I urge everyone to vote 'no' here. When you vote 'no'... by voting 'no' you are voting to adopt Amendment $1 which was adopted in Committee. It's not an Amendment of the Sponsor of the Bill necessarily but an Amendment that was adopted in Committee and by the Revenue Committee. Amendment $1 makes this a good Bill. It makes it in line so it can be supported by both Democrats and Republicans. And I urge you to vote 'no' on this motion to table Amendment $1. That's what's before us - a motion to table Amendment $1. Amendment $1 was adopted in Committee and I urge you to vote 'no' on the motion to table."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I have before me a press release where it says, 'Thompson backs industry tax cut'. The Governor really was trying to steal a proposal that
Representative Terzich has been working on a long time. And he, in fact, killed it in the Senate last year. Now reading from this press release I see where it says, 'People who could not add ver-
well in the nonélection of 1977 may have even more difficulty adding correctly in the election year of 1978 and businessmen do not want to see one tax reduced in a program that forces other taxes to go up.' Neither do I. And later on it said, 'Ask if he weren't es-
entially only cannibalizing someone else's legislative concept and pushing it to his own political advantage.' Thompson replied tersely, 'I don't see anything wrong with that.' Now, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, two points. One, this is Representative Terzich's program that he has worked on. The Governor killed it. Two, by this action in killing Amendment number... Committee #1, you are now killing the Bill. Now does the Governor want to kill it altogether again? I'd say 'no'. Maybe the Governor, maybe this is that three hundred million dollars that's in that report he's got because that's what it'll cost. So I say if you want to be responsible, you must vote against that motion which would kill Committee Amendment #1 and it would also kill the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ewing."

Ewing: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, in explaining my vote, I'm going on the promise of Representative Terzich that this will gut the Bill and I'm going to encourage an 'aye' vote. This was a bad Bill from the beginning. We can just go around gutting our tax system in this state only so long. Just last year we started with a little for the farmer on the fertilizer and a little for the farmer on the chemicals. Now we want it by the millions for everybody. So I encourage everybody to get up there and vote green and let's take care of everybody. Or maybe we should just do away with the sales tax."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Polk."

Polk: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, yesterday our distin-
guished colleague from McHenry County talked about a Bill that had to do with people with patches on their pants. I think if there was ever an Amendment that needed to be tabled it's this one be-
cause it also deals with people with patches on their pants. Not
only are we talking about farmers who have the money in some instance to buy expensive equipment cause equipment is extremely expensive today, but we have many, many workers in those factories that will also benefit by the additional filling of new and used farm equipment. At the present time right now in my community in Rock Island County, we have International Harvester people laid off and they've been off now for about two weeks and we're concerned that they may be laid off longer because the machinery is not selling in Illinois. They can go across the river to Iowa and buy it cheaper because the tax... because of the tax problem. So in this instance, I think we're not only talking about people that... the farmers who need it, the distributors who need it, but the people in the factories as well need it because we've got to get our people back to work. And I would certainly appreciate tabling this Amendment.

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mudd."

Mudd: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I think this Bill is another Bill that I've got from business that they support a hundred percent. They want to see this Bill passed. Representative Terzich has done a great deal of work on this Bill and I think that if we're going to play with this Bill with Amendments when we still have a vehicle alive in the Senate to take care of the problem that we're trying to address with this Amendment, we're going to jeopardize a good Bill here that helps business. And I think that anybody who can stand up on this floor and say that business needs help and then can support this Amendment when we still have a vehicle that'll do the same thing in the Senate is just jeopardizing the chances of something good coming out of this for business in the State of Illinois."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Terzich."

Terzich: "Well yes, Mr. Speaker, I'm very surprised on the other side of the aisle that hasn't supported my suggestion to defeat this motion. The Governor has stated that he was in support of this Bill and it has to be one of the biggest hypocritical statements of the year when the other side of the aisle will not support something that try to give a compromise. It was the Governor's
suggestion that it excluded the farm equipment, that it excluded the processing, that even excluded the current manufacturers in the State of Illinois. All he wanted to do was give the new businesses if any that would come into the state a rebate. This is a liberalization. It is kept in the concept within the Governor's budget. The Governor has stated that the previous Bill was too expensive and he even accused the Members of the General Assembly of not even being able to add up the figures. Now this is an insult. It's an insult to the concept of the Bill and also to the Governor and his position that he cannot even work a compromise to come out and give the business climate of this state a little shot in the arm which they deserve to create jobs. Now the Bill does contain tax breaks for the manufacturer of farm equipment. Caterpillar, International Harvester, all of these companies would receive this here tax break by the fact that they would be excluded from the tax of the machinery that is used to manufacture these goods. So let's be fair about the Bill. If this thing is amended, if this motion carries, it certainly will not have, we will not have a vehicle that we can put on the Governor's desk for his consideration."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Catania, for what purpose do you rise?"

Terzich: "And it was your guy who suggested we take these benefits out of the Bill originally."

Catania: "On a point of order, Mr. Speaker. Hasn't he tried to explain his vote twice now? And don't we have a rule against that?"

Speaker Redmond: "He's finished now."

Catania: "It's also inexplicable."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wished? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 74 'aye' and 75 'no'. Represent-ATIVE Simms."

Simms: "I did not realize that Representative Terzich put this Amend-ment on last year so I'd like to change my 'no' vote to 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Change Representative Simms from 'no' to 'aye'.

What's the score now? Representative Schneider desires to be re-corded as 'no'. Representative Boucek desires to be recorded as
'aye'. Representative Grefman 'no'. What's the count? Representative Catania won't let you talk anymore. On this question there's 76 'aye', 76 'no'. 75 'no'. 76 'ayes', 75 'no'. Representative Mahar.

Mahar: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Speaker Redmond: "How's the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Mahar: "Please change my vote to 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Please change the Gentleman from 'aye' to 'no'.

Representative Mulcahey, for what purpose do you rise?"

Mulcahey: "Speaker, record me as voting 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Record Representative Mulcahey as 'no'. Representative Gaines. Record him as 'no'. Now what's the count? 75 'ayes' and 78 'noes'. Representative Rigney."

Rigney: "Can I have a poll of the absentees?"

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman requests a poll of the absentees.

Mr. Clerk, poll the absentees."


Speaker Redmond: "Representative Richmond, for what purpose do you rise? Richmond."

Richmond: "Mr. Speaker, please change my vote to 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Change the Gentleman from 'aye' to 'no'. Representative Kelly votes 'no'. Representative Birchler 'no'. Proceed with the poll of the absentees."

Clerk O'Brien: "Katz, Kepts, Mân, Mautino, McBroome, McCourt, Meyer, Molloy, Mugalian, Peters."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Peters 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Satterthwaite, Schlickman, Schuneman, Stearney, no further."

Speaker Redmond: "73 'ayes', 81 'no'. The Gentleman's motion fails.

Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, Ewing. Amends House Bill 3168 as amended in the first line of the title."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman, from DuPage please be seated so I see Representative Ewing. Representative Ewing."
Ewing: "I would move to withdraw Amendment #7. Whatever number."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman withdraws Amendment #2. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, Mugalian. Amends House Bill 3168 on page 1, line 19 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mugalian."

Mugalian: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Amendment would reduce the sales tax on food, the state sales tax on food from four percent to two percent. This is the Amendment that gives you an opportunity to vote for tax relief for people and especially for people who need it. I'm on the revenue Committee and heard the testimony on this Bill and there was absolutely no evidence that the Bill as originally... in its present form would add one job to the State of Illinois or would keep one job in the State of Illinois. Not one person can state that that was the case. The effect of the original Bill in my opinion would just be to increase business profits a little bit. But this Amendment gives you an opportunity to comply with the pledge that most of you have made on both sides of the aisle and reflected in both party platforms..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz, for what purpose do you rise?"

Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker, I may agree with the individual's position, but is the Amendment germane to the Bill?"


Mugalian: "Mr. Speaker, I don't know how there can be any question about it. This Bill amends the Sales Tax Act and my Amendment does the same thing."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Friedrich, are you seeking recognition?"

Friedrich: "I was going to ask the Sponsor of the Amendment what the cost or loss of revenue to the state would be as a result, if this Amendment we adopted."

Mugalian: "The estimates of the Department of Revenue is that this Bill would decrease taxes on consumers of food by a total of two hundred and fifty million dollars."

Friedrich: "Which would be a loss of revenue to the state. Is that..."
I'm putting it in the right perspective, am I not?"

Mugalian: "Yes, Sir."

Friedrich: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I just want to make it a point that the proceeds of the sale of tangible personal property transfers for use in manufacturing or processing and I believe that as far as the sales tax on food is in a different Section of the Revenue Code."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Waddell."

Waddell: "Mr. Speaker, I rise for the purpose of an introduction. In the gallery we have the Hokena Junior High School and their teacher, Mr. Quinn, represented by Representative Leinenwebber and Van Duyne and Jack Davis."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Again, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to also point out for the record that the Amendment as is drawn does not fit into the Bill as amended."

Speaker Redmond: "What was that, Representative Lechowicz?"

Lechowicz: "I said, just for the record, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to also point out that Amendment #3 does not even fit into the Bill as amended."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mugalian, will you come up here? Representative Capparelli."

Capparelli: "Mr. Speaker, may I ask for suspension of the posting rule so that Executive Committee can meet next Wednesday?"

Speaker Redmond: "Have you cleared this with Representative Ryan?"

Capparelli: "Yes."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay. State your motion."

Capparelli: "I would like to suspend the posting rule so that Executive Committee can be heard Wednesday morning instead of next Thursday."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any objection? Be here Wednesday morning instead of Thursday. Hearing no objection... hearing no objection, Attendance Roll Call will be used in support of the motion. Representative Matijevich."
Matijevic: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, could I also have leave and use the Attendance Roll Call so that House Bill 3394 by Representative Kempiners can be heard in Appropriations I Committee Tuesday morning at ten a.m.?

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any objection? Hearing none, we'll use the Attendance Roll Call in support of the motion. Representative Mugalian."

Mugalian: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker. I have consulted with the Parliamentarian. The Amendment is a one page Amendment. It's intent is very clear. The Amendment refers to the Bill as originally drawn and it would be very simple to amend it on its face and I would ask leave to do so."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman have leave? Hearing no ob... leave is granted. What is the Amendment on the face?"

Mugalian: "I'll ask the Clerk to read it."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment 3 will read: Amends House Bill 3168 as amended on page 13, line 6 of Amendment 1 by inserting immediately after 'property' the following: 'other than foods and prescription drugs for human consumption; and on page 13, line 10 and 11 of Amendment 1 by deleting '1969, excluding' and inserting in lieu thereof: 1969. - After the effective date of this amendatory Act of 1978, such tax is imposed at the rate two percent of the gross receipts from such sales of foods and prescription drugs for human consumption. There shall be excluded."

Speaker Redmond: "Advised by the Parliamentarian that as... that as amended on its face the Bill is now germane. Representative Mugalian, are... is there any..."

Mugalian: "May I resume, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Mugalian: "Let me first say that I sincerely appreciate the cooperation of the Chair and the Parliamentarian and the Members of the House who have granted me leave to have this Amendment heard. Now as I said, what this Amendment does is give tax relief to consumers. The consumers of food. There are many states in the Union... Mr. Speaker, may I have the attention of the House?"

Speaker Redmond: "Give the Gentleman order please."
Mugalian: "There are many states in the Union, I believe seventeen, that have a sales tax but do not have a sales tax on food. Food is exempted and some of those states are very comparable in size and in characteristics to the State of Illinois. They include California, Connecticut, Florida, Indiana, Kentucky, which are states that immediately surround us, Massachusetts and Minnesota, which are very similar, New Jersey, New York and Pennsylvania as well as Wisconsin, which borders us to the north. What my Bill would do, Ladies and Gentlemen, as I said is to let you redeem the pledge that most of you made when you were first elected and in your successive campaigns, to wit. If there was one place where tax relief was needed, was in the expenditures for food. This affects public aid recipients, those are the low end of the ladder as well as everyone else. There is no reason why the great State of Illinois must continue to charge its people for the privilege of consuming food. What this Amendment does is not totally eliminate it. It reduces it by two points which is an amount I think which the state can bear especially if it intends to give the kind of relief that is in the original Bill. I ask for your support."


Representative Darrow:"

Darrow: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Although I'd be in favor of this Amendment, I would have to question the germaneness of it. We adopted Amendment #1..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mugalian."

Mugalian: "As I recall, Mr. Speaker, there was a point of order on that basis which was rejected by the Chair."

Speaker Redmond: "That is correct. There was an Amendment on the face, Representative Darrow. And as amended on its face, why it was ruled..."

Mugalian: "And I'm delighted now to have Representative Darrow's support for the Bill."

Darrow: "That applied only to the form of the Amendment, not to the germaneness, I believe."

Speaker Redmond: "It was ruled germane."
Darrow: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Brummer."

Brummer: "Yes, I wonder if the Sponsor of this Amendment could give us an estimate of the cost of that Amendment, both to the State of Illinois and to the units of local government."

Mugalian: "There would be no cost loss to the units of local government because the Bill does not affect the one cent municipal optional sales tax. That this does is reduce the state's share from four percent to two percent and the fiscal impact of that is as I said two hundred and fifty million dollars."

Brummer: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? Representative Porter."

Porter: "The Gentleman yields?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Porter: "Well, Representative Mugalian, how do you propose to pay for it?"

Mugalian: "There is an expected growth in our state revenues of approximately five hundred million dollars as I understand it. In addition to that, I have heard that the private Governor's task force may have uncovered approximately, and this is just a rumor, three hundred million dollars. I think that is ample to give the kind of relief that the citizens of this state are entitled to."

Porter: "A rumor?"

Mugalian: "Yeah, I haven't seen the figures, but I've heard it said. And I wanted to under...say that I've heard some colleagues just say that they have also heard that."

Porter: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I have been in favor of getting the sales tax off of food and drugs ever since I came down here six years ago, but it seems to me that what you have to do is find some way of replacing that lost revenue. I had a Bill in the Revenue Committee both this General Assembly and the previous one that would have made it up by increasing the sales tax on nonfood and drug items and I think would have been a responsible approach to solving this problem. But here we're talking about rumors to make up two hundred and fifty million dollars in possible growth although you haven't told me where we're..."
going to cut the Governor's budget in order to find room for this kind of relief and I think that under these circumstances the proposal is one that is not responsible. I only wish that Representative Mugalian had tied it in the way I had to a revenue source instead of just striking out kind of blindly and providing for what we ought to have sometime soon in this state and that is taking it off completely. So I, reluctantly I'd have to oppose this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schuneman."

Schuneman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise in opposition to this Amendment not because I disagree with what the Sponsor is trying to do, but rather that I disagree with the time at which he's trying to do it— at a time when the Democrat Party has an official posture of holding up all Appropriation Bills in this House to see what kind of reports are going to be received. We have a Democrat Amendment which would strike two hundred and fifty million dollars from the revenue of this state and I would think that in order for your party to be consistent, Representative Mugalian, that you, too, should hold up any Amendment of this kind to... till the time when these rumors are either justified or are proven to be fact. And I think it would make no sense at this time to approve this Amendment and I would urge a vote against the Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Conti. Totten or Conti?"

Conti: "Representative Mugalian, you're probably right that the new generated revenue that's going to be anticipated for the fiscal year '78 will be about five hundred dollars, but let me ask you. Did you vote for a hundred percent funding of the schools?"

Mugalian: "We're speaking about germane. I don't think the question..."

Conti: "Well all right then, I'll answer the question. I'll try to make my point without asking you any question. We're... we're voting for a hundred percent school funding, that's a hundred and eighty-two million dollars; we're giving an increase to the welfare fund which is another hundred and ten or a hundred and twelve million dollars and where are you going to get the other two hundred and
eighty million dollars for this?"

Mugalian: "I think it's in the budget in the revenue growth."

Conti: "You think it's in the budget? You want us to vote on what you think?"

Mugalian: "Well, I think we all have to vote on the basis of what we think. We can't vote on the basis of what we feel."

Conti: "The anticipated revenue for the fiscal year '79 is five hundred dollars, but we're well over that expenditure now with the projected promises we've made in the other areas. I urge a 'no' vote on this Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Willer."

Wiler: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker. Some people say I have a hang-up about equity and what's fair for one is fair for another and maybe I do. But I am perfectly willing to help business take the sales tax off the machinery, but how in heaven's name can we do something for the wealthy and then ignore the struggling people who are trying to feel families? I get more complaints about the sales tax than anything else. And for years I have heard Legislators say even before I came into the House and since I've been in, 'Yes, yes, it's a great idea but not now.' I think if we do it for one, we do it for the other. I know it's going to cost the state a lot of money, but how can you do...help the wealthy and the businessman without helping the very poor on something that's so essential - food? I think this is a fine Amendment and I urge your support."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Capparelli."

Capparelli: "I'd like to move the previous question, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved the previous question. The question is, shall the main question be put? Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, motion carries. Representative Mugalian to close."

Mugalian: "Yes, very briefly, I want to say just one thing. There's some philosophical disagreement as to the best way to promote the economy of this state. One is the trickle-down theory - the theory that if the rich get richer and increase their profits, that somehow we'll increase jobs. The other theory which is the opposite
of that is that if you have a healthy consumer economy, you're people will be happy and your economy will prosper. By giving this additional five percent to the consumer, they will spend it, they will create more jobs and will have more equity in the dis-
tr ibution of the income and the benefits of our society. I urge
an affirmative vote."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment §3 to House Bill 3168. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'." Representative Terzich, to explain his vote: "Well, Mr. Speaker, you didn't ask the Sponsor of the Bill what... whether or not he wanted to accept or adopt the Amendment. I think this is a pretty cheap shot on my Bill that's designed to create jobs for the people of the State of Illinois that they can purchase the drugs and the medicine. If Representative Mugalian is so concerned about it, I suggest he put in his own Bill and not go tacking it onto someone else's. He's got an idea, let him pre-
sent his idea in the proper course. He's had time enough to put in his own Bill for this particular item and in all probability I would even support it. But it's two hundred and fifty million dollars and to jeopardize the business climate so that we can have people go out and work so they can pay for these types of drugs and medicines or food or whatever you want is pretty darn hypocritical on his part and I don't particularly care to have it on this. And if it is tacked on, I will even go as far as table the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Daniels."

Daniels: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think we have to be very careful what we're doing here and I think very clearly this is a question not of a 'present' vote but either an 'aye' vote or a 'no' vote. And I'm encouraging a 'no' vote simply because those of us that are concerned about the working people, those of us that are concerned about being able to purchase basic foods, this is an awful cruel hoax to play because somewhere along the line, government will have to redistribute the revenue that we lost through an Amendment of this nature. Somewhere along the line we're going to have to replace it. And in essence what we're
going to do is say that we're going to take it from this area and put it in another area. So I encourage your 'no' vote on this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Well, Mr. Speaker, there a lot of, you know, this is an extensive Bill but let me simply suggest that it's going to be a record Roll Call and that you're going to have to go back to your constituents and explain why you think the sales tax is the more fair tax than the income tax. And I think that's really what is at stake here and I urge an 'aye' vote so you won't have to go through the agony of explanation."


Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, we'd better get practical. If we don't have any jobs, if we don't have any industry and business, we're not going to have these jobs. If we're not going to have any jobs, we're not going to be able to afford charitable contributions or even contributions to help other people. I think it's absolutely ridiculous to stick this Amendment on this Bill. I certainly urge a 'no' vote and let's get on with the show."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Huskey."

Huskey: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the framers of the Constitution worked many, many hours on the same subject and this was one of the... when they were candidates, this was one of the promises of many. But when they got into the subject of taking tax off of food and drugs, they found that there was no way they could find to replace this revenue. So it is still on there and this is a very irresponsible Amendment. It puts us in a very bad position to embarrass us to have to vote against this Amendment to tell the people back home we had to vote for tax on food and drugs, but I've got a conflict of interest, Mr. Speaker. So therefore, I will vote 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wished? Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker. Pursuant to Rule 32(f), I would move to ask for a... to Postponed Consideration or to defer
consideration on this Amendment until a revised fiscal note's been filed by the Sponsor, of course.

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, I suggest number one that the Gentleman's motion is not timely; and number two that in the event that the Amendment is adopted, then there would be a need for a revised fiscal note but not until the Amendment would be adopted."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative William Walsh."

W. Walsh: "That's very nice, Mr. Speaker, thank you. Mr. Speaker, I would not have undertaken to rise and state my views on the...on this Amendment except that my esteemed running mate on the other side undertook to state hers. And I would just like to point out to her as well as others who are voting green that this is pure demagoguery, pure demagoguery to offer an Amendment to cut state revenue by two hundred and fifty million dollars, a quarter of a billion dollars and at the same time, vote to fund education at the levels that they're talking about, mental health. Mrs. Willer is interested in building jails all over Cook County. I've heard her say that on the campaign trail. She's not going to build jails all over Cook County, Mr. Speaker, if she cuts state revenue by two hundred and fifty million dollars. Even if people are asking her just as they are asking me to do something about the oppressive sales tax and especially the oppressive sales tax on food. I'd love to vote if I could in conscience the way Mrs. Willer does on this issue, but I can't."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schneider."

Schneider: "Well, Mr. Speaker, the previous commentator is the same man...Walsh is not only...is the same person who added a few hundred million bucks on the school aid yesterday, maybe not quite that much; same one that voted for that freeze on real estate; also the same one who has argued for rebates from the state to the local government tallying up a bigger kind of deficit to the state than anybody in the House. You're masquerading as a fiscal conservative, Mr. Walsh, but your vote speaks the truth even though you have a hard time doing that."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schneider, that was a fine defense
of Representative Willer, but she desires to rise on a point of personal privilege."

Willer: "I certainly do. I am not his running mate, number one. I am also not running against him. I don't know why he persisting in this idea. We go our own ways. I am not running around advocating putting jails up in Cook County. I'm concerned about prisons, not jails. And also, I am voting my conscience on this. I'm not being a demagogue and he knows me well enough to know that I am not. I don't care what he says to constituents back home about his vote on this. I don't intend to talk about him. I vote...I campaign for myself, I don't campaign against him."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Willer, what was your maiden name? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 67 'aye' and 80 'no' and the motion fails. Representative Simms, I have a screwdriver. Will you please go over and fix Representative Geo-Karis' microphone. Will you fix Geo-Karis' microphone? Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #4, Mugalian. Amends House Bill 3168 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Wolf. Representative Mugalian."

Mugalian: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Amendment will not take long. I'll be very brief. I would like to have leave to amend it in the same respect on its face as Amendment 3 was."

Speaker Redmond: "Hearing no objection, leave is granted."

Mugalian: "Thank you. We've had extensive debate on the substance of the previous Amendment..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Mr. Speaker, I object."

Speaker Redmond: "Taken unanimous consent."

Mugalian: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Amendment meets some of the objections that were raised in the last Amendment."

Ryan: "Mr. Speaker, I have objected to the amending of this on its face."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, that's... it has not been amended. Leave was not granted, takes unanimous consent. Proceed with the Amendment in the form in which it was introduced. Representative James Houlihan.
Proceed, Representative Mugalian."

Mugalian: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. It is my opinion that the Amendment will probably be valid even if it is not amended on its face."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan, for what purpose do you rise?"

Ryan: "Well, now I question the form, whether it's in proper form or not, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Parliamentarian advises me it is out of form. Representative Mugalian."

Mugalian: "What is the ruling of the Chair? That I cannot proceed with this Amendment?"

Speaker Redmond: "It's out of form. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment 5, Brummer. Amends House Bill 3168 on page 3, line 3 and 4 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Brummer."

Brummer: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, when I examine Amendment #1 which is now basically the Bill, at first glance it appears to me that possibly the process of milling was not included within the definition of manufacturing and, therefore, I filed Amendment #5. However, after filing that Amendment in conversations with the Sponsor of the Bill and in conversations with staff, they indicated it was clearly the intent and their interpretation that the process of milling was included within the process of manufacturing or assembling and, therefore, I would ask leave to withdraw Amendment #5."

Speaker Redmond: "Amendment #5 is withdrawn. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #6, Satterthwaite. Amends House Bill 3168 as amended by deleting the title and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Satterthwaite."

Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, Amendment #6 will be welcome to all of those of you who voted previously in favor of Amendment... the Amendment of Representative Mugalian's to take the food tax off..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Mr. Speaker, I question the germaneness of this Amendment. It's not within the title of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Mr. Parliamentarian. David, David. There he is."
Representative Satterthwaite.

Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker, I believe in recognizing that Representative Mugalian's Amendment was germane. You will find that this is also germane. It amends exactly the same Sections of the Bill as House Amendment #1 to the Bill amends."

Speaker Redmond: "Parliamentarian advises me that in his opinion it is germane. Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Pursuant to Rule 32(f), Mr. Speaker, I move to defer the consideration of this Amendment until the Sponsor has presented a revised fiscal note."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, the Gentleman's request is not in order because there'll be no need for a revised fiscal note unless the Amendment is adopted."

Speaker Redmond: "Have you looked at the rule? Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, he is out of order in form. Right?"

Speaker Redmond: "What was that?"

Matijevich: "All he was making was a request and that's why he's out of order."

Speaker Redmond: "What does the rule say? I've been advised by the Parliamentarian that the motion is in order and is timely. I didn't know about that rule until a little earlier in the day either. Representative Satterthwaite."

Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker, if it is so ruled, I'll be happy to comply and request a fiscal note. However, I know from previous attempts to get fiscal notes in regard to the impact of sales tax exemptions, the Department of Revenue has indicated that they do not have statistics that can break out the various kinds of products being sold. When they collect the revenue they have no way of distinguishing how much of it comes from a particular type of sales over other types of sales. And I think it would be very difficult to obtain such a fiscal note that had any meaning."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Satterthwaite, the Amend... the rule that applies here provides that any Floor Amendment to a Bill which would substantially affect the fiscal or judicial note previously
filed shall be deferred until the Sponsor of the Amendment, the
Sponsor, not the Department, presents a revised note upon the mo-
tion of any Member adopted by a majority of the House. Now Mr. Ryan
has made... has made the motion that the consideration of this
Amendment be postponed or deferred until you file a fiscal note.
Now the question is on his motion and it requires a simple major-
ity of the Members. And the question is on Representative Ryan's
motion that the consideration of this Amendment be deferred. Those
in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Representative Ryan."
Ryan: "Parliamentary inquiry, Mr. Speaker. Did you rule... what did
you rule on the number of votes?"
Speaker Redmond: "Simple majority. It's on the motion of any Member
adopted by a majority of the House."
Ryan: "Majority of the House."
Speaker Redmond: "Majority of the House. No, not those voting. Ma-
ajority of the House. That would be 89. Have all voted who wish?
Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take
the record. On this question there's 55 'aye' and 69 'no' and the
Gentleman's motion fails. Proceed with the Amendment, Represen-
tative Satterthwaite."
Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, Amendment #6
goes only a very, very tiny step of the way to accomplish the pur-
pose of Representative Mugalian's previous Amendment. I think
many of us recognize and certainly the green lights that were
present on his Amendment indicate that many of us realize that the
sales tax on food is a very unjust, inequitable way of raising
state tax dollars. Recognizing the kind of fiscal impact that
an Amendment such as Representative Mugalian's would have, I have
decided that a better route would be simply to exempt milk and
milk products from sales tax from the state sales tax. This, I
think, would at least be a token way of saying to our constituents
that we realize that we are being unfair by taxing the very food
that keeps them alive. It would bring us a little more into com-
pliance with the many other states that have sales tax but do not
tax food. In choosing milk and milk products as a way of attempting
to get to the problem of removing the sales tax from food, I felt
that this was the best selection because milk is indeed a very nutritious item. It is indeed something that can be used to nurture all of our children, all of our senior citizens, all of our population and help them to have lives that give them a nutritious diet and perhaps help them to keep from having the high cost of medical care that we find is such a problem to them now. I realize that we should go much farther than this, but indeed this will be a means of attacking the problem of the unjust tax on food and I solicit your support."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Terzich."

Terzich: "Well, yes, Mr. Speaker, again, the impact on the tax relief on the manufacturing equipment and replacement is simply to give a better climate, economic climate in the State of Illinois. Even though it's a tax relief, that by increasing the tax base by the purchase of manufacturing equipment and the hiring of more employees, that the taxes will come back into the coffers of the State of Illinois by increasing their tax base and also creating more jobs and more dollars in the state. However, by giving a tax... taking the tax away from a dairy product is not a revenue-producing tax. I'm sure that if Representative Satterthwaite wanted to put in a Bill for a tax relief that does not general additional taxes for the relief of the people; all well and good. But I think it is not germane to this type of a Bill and, therefore, I would suggest a 'no' vote on the adoption of Amendment #6."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Leinemweber, for what purpose do you rise?"

Leinemweber: "Just to address myself to this Amendment, Mr. Speaker. In this morning's Chicago Sun Times on page 54, there's a little article talking about regulations proposed by the Federal Occupation Safety and Health Administration, will probably require that milk be banned from lunch rooms because of its effect on laboratory mice. Apparently, if you inject enough Vitamin D into a mouse, he might get cancer. So I think if we adopt this Amendment, we will, in effect, be subsidizing a product which according to the Occupation Safety and Health Administration is probably going to cause cancer in mice. So if you're against mice, then you might
be for this Amendment. But I would suggest a 'no' vote otherwise."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Leverenz. Satterthwaite."

Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I'm not aware of very many families that go to the grocery store to buy milk to feed their mice. If there are such families, I guess we would be giving them some advantage by adopting this Amendment. However, I think we should the Amendment because there are many children who are not being properly nourished. In terms of whether this would be a revenue-producer or not, I think we can assume that a great many families live off a very limited budget that they can provide for their food expenditures. The little bit of savings that they have from not having their milk and milk products taxed will undoubtedly be spent on other food products and will probably generate as much tax in the long run as if this were taxed.

I urge your support."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "She will."

Vinson: "Does your Amendment apply to whipped cream?"

Satterthwaite: "Yes, it would if it is whipped cream and not a synthetic product."

Vinson: "Would it apply to ice cream?"

Satterthwaite: "Yes, it would if it is a milk product, not a synthetic product."

Vinson: "Don't you feel that your Amendment will drastically increase the consumption of cholesterol and increase heart disease as a result?"

Satterthwaite: "I believe that researchers are totally confused about the effects of cholesterol and its association with heart disease; and so even if the people would buy more of these products, I don't think we could assume the result you're projecting."

Vinson: "What will be the cost of your Amendment?"

Satterthwaite: "As I indicated earlier, it is extremely difficult to put any kind of a price tag on the Amendment. First of all, the Department of Revenue does not have statistics as to what specific items have generated the sales tax dollar. On the other hand, even
if we knew how much money was generated currently through the con-
sumption of milk and milk products, that would ignore the fact that
the money that people would save by buy this Amendment will probably
be respent on other food items. And so I really feel that it will
have very little impact on the total income to the state."

Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, may I speak to the Amendment?"

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Vinson: "What we have here is an Amendment with uncalculatable cost
which will just increase heart disease and cancer as Representa-
tive Leineweber's indicated and it ought to be defeated."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McGrew. McGrew."

McGrew: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of
the House. I'm a little bit amazed at the lengths of which some
of the Members of House have gone to oppose this fine Amendment.
Let me point out that I was listening to an editorial the other
day about some of the Food and Drug Administrative's proof that
everything on the face of the earth including the hamburgers that
we all love and eat just about every day as we go through Session
through the noon hour, that it is now they say proven that that
could cause cancer in mice. The same goes for bacon that we prob-
ably had before we left our hotels this morning. This particu-
lar editorial was pointing out that one of the researchers in
California has found that sex in girls under the age of eighteen
also cause cancer. I think that is some of the ridiculous lengths
that they have gone to prove that just about everything on the face
of the earth may cause cancer. We just don't know for sure. As
to whether or not the milk products is going to start higher chole-
esterol and so on and so forth, I would like to ask the Gentleman
why it is and how it is that we got here. If you have any sense
of history whatsoever, I'm certain you'd realize that through many
generations that's the only way that human beings ever got on the
face of the earth. I think this... back to the merits of the Amend-
ment, this is a fine Amendment. It is a step in the right direc-
tion. It would be a very minor reduction in state costs. It would
affect every lunch program, every milk program and all the schools
as well as through all the grocery stores. It would be a minor
inch to begin on eroding the inequitable sales tax in the State
of Illinois and I ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Skinner, for what purpose do you
rise?"

Skinner: "To move the previous question which I do."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved the previous question. The
question is, shall the main question be put? Those in favor say
'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, motion carries. Repre-
sentative Satterthwaite to close."

Satterthwaite: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. As
I indicated earlier, this does not go nearly as far as I would like
to see the Legislative body go in giving relief to the consumers.
But it is a step in the right direction and I feel it is a very
significant step in allowing people to buy milk and milk products
without having to pay a sales tax on those products. I believe
that it will encourage our younger people in particular to have
a more nutritious diet. We know that many of the other things
they consume are far more harmful to than any study has indicated
that milk or milk products are. There is no unanimity about the
affect of cholesterol in our diet. There is, however, great una-
nimity in the fact that our school lunch programs, our teenagers,
our fast-food diets that we are getting so accustomed to today are
providing us with more and more health problems. I think anything
we can encourage... do to encourage people to buy and consume milk
and milk products would be a definite benefic... benefit to us
and make us a more healthy nation. I think that we can afford to
take whatever slight dislocation there might be in terms of in-
come. We can absorb that in the normal growth of income from our
sales tax simply because the prices of food have increased so dra-
tically that we know we are getting more sales tax dollars every
year. I believe this is a good way to begin to tell our consti-
tuents that we should not be taxing their food and I urge your
support."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Matijevich, for what purpose do you
rise?"

Matijevich: "I was going to explain my vote and say we've milked this
thing enough already."

Speaker Redmond: "When that time comes. The question is on the Lady's motion for the adoption of Amendment #6. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Vote Representative Skinner 'noo'. Representative Johnson."

Johnson: "Friday is my day that... every Friday, every other Friday I have something that... two Fridays ago we had, Helen and I had debate on another issue and this issue, I think Representative Satterthwaite is right. I think this is a well-intended Amendment. It's an Amendment that would not have great fiscal impact. It's something we could do in a small way to try to create some needed reform in that area, and I urge a 'yes' vote on the Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wished? Clerk... Representative Satterthwaite."

Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, if you will recall a few minutes ago on Representative Nugalian's Amendment, we had over fifty votes. This will have much, much less fiscal impact upon the state. It is a good Amendment. It is something that we should be doing in order to send a message to our constituents that we sympathize with the plight they are in in trying to feed their families on limited budgets. Statistics I have indicate that families currently spend between twenty-four and forty-five percent of their budget on food if they have children in the family. That is certainly an undue burden on those families. We should be able to give them relief. It does not set up any kind of bureaucracy. It simply allows them to spend their money on other food items that will be, will provide a more nutritious diet. I urge your support."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McPike. McPike."

McPike: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker. I think that we've overlooked one small factor in the economy in this... in this discussion. I think that now we have a chance to stimulate the production in a new segment of the economy. I think this Bill would also include goat's milk, so I don't think you should allow this to get your goat. I think you should vote 'aye' on it."
Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mulcahey."

Mulcahey: "Mr. Speaker, I am voting 'yes' with the 'udder' side."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. Representative Corneal Davis, for what purpose do you rise?"

C. Davis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise to support this learned, intelligent Lady of this House. I think this is a great Amendment. I've heard all this talk about cancer and how you're going to die. Let me tell you how all of you are going to die in here. Every last one of you, listen to the preacher, you're going to die just like you live. Do you hear me? Every last one of you are going to die just like you live. You don't have to worry about it: This is exactly what's going to happen. And you read in all of these issues that what will kill you. I'll tell you what will kill you faster than seven cats can skin a minnow. The thing that will kill you is hatred for common people, hatred for people who are on lowest rung of the ladder. That's the thing that will kill you. Why bring up all these things against this Lady's Amendment? I'm happy to vote 'aye' for her."

Speaker Redmond: "Clerk will take the record. Representative Pierce. Representative Satterthwaite, for what purpose do you rise?"

Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker, I am a little sorry that the Clerk had already cut off the Roll-Call before that last speech and because of that, I think I will request a poll of the absentees."

Speaker Redmond: "The Lady has requested a poll of the absentees. On this question there's 57 'ayes' and 77 'no's. Poll the absentees."

Clerk O'Brien: "Abrumson, Adams, Antonovych, Beatty, Bluthardt, Brady, Brandt, Don Brummet, Collins, Cunningham, Corneal Davis."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Davis 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Dauster, John Dunn, Ebbesen, Edgar, Ewell, Gaines, Getty, Griesheimer, Harris."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Edgar."

Edgar: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I haven't voted. I have serious reservations on this Amendment, but Representative Satterthwaite was one of the brave people who voted with me last night, one of the five and I really feel kind of guilty... if I didn't vote with her today though she's doing a lot better than I did. So I'll vote 'aye'."
Speaker Redmond: "Representative Edgar is 'aye'. Proceed. Representative Jones 'no'. Proceed.

Clerk O'Brien: "Hart, Hoffman, Emil Jones, Katz, Keats, Klosak, Mann, McAuliffe, McQuaid, McQuaid, Meyer, Miller, Molloy, Mulcahey, Reed."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Satterthwaite."

Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker, Representative Mulcahey got up and explained his vote voting 'yes', but apparently he didn't get on before the Roll Call."

Speaker Redmond: "Record him as 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Schilsle, Schlickman, Stearns and Totten."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Pierce 'aye'. What's the score? 61 'aye', 78 'no'. Representative Harris, for what purpose do you rise? Representative Harris 'aye'. 62 'aye' and 71 'no'. Motion having failed to receive the majority is hereby declared lost. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #7, Robinson. Amends House Bill 3168 as amended in Sections 1, 2, 3 and 4 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: " Representative Robinson."

Robinson: "Mr. Speaker, let me say first of all that I do plan to vote for House Bill 3168 on Third Reading. This is not an attempt to... no, it's not open primary. This is not an attempt to stall this Bill. It deals with the serious issue that I and other others who have joined in cosponsoring, Representative Barnes and Manahan and Mautino and McPike and others, see in the State of Illinois. And I will not ask for any verification or polling of the absentees, but I would like a vote on this Amendment. In 3168, we are going to be giving a benefit to manufacturing plants for locating in the State of Illinois. That benefit is going to be X thousands of dollars for a particular corporation. This Amendment says that if later those companies leave the State of Illinois, if they close down that plant, if they are runaway shops, then they ought to pay that money that they got from the state in reduced sales tax. They ought to pay that money back to the Treasury of the State of Ill- linois. I think it's only fair that when we give business a bene- fit, a monetary benefit like that and they renge on their promise,
then they ought to pay the state back. This is a proposal to stop run away shops. I think it is a fair and equitable Amendment to this Bill which as I've said I plan to vote for it on Third Reading. Would appreciate a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Tetzich."

Tetzich: "Well yes, Mr. Speaker, I would have to oppose again this Amendment. I think it would also be unconstitutional the fact that it would interfere with interstate commerce. But the fact remains, how about the employer that you're giving it to him on a conditional basis. You're giving it to him on a conditional basis on the replacement part that he may have had a piece of machinery and had to increase the tax. He had hired employees and now found that he could no longer operate, that you would have to take something back that you already gave them. I don't think that's fair. I don't think that's a condition. This isn't just for businesses coming into the State of Illinois. It's also for all of the fine businesses that have retained, retained their business in the State of Illinois as well. Therefore, I would have to oppose adoption of this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hanahan."

Hanahan: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I'm diametrically opposed for... with the last Gentleman on his... his opposition to this Amendment. He says that it isn't fair. Well, what the hell is fair about giving somebody a tax break and then letting them move out after you've given them the tax break. You know, we have a lot of legislation in this country that stops runaway businesses and runaway schemes from happening to the taxpayers. And that's what we're trying to prevent. If somebody wants to take advantage of a tax break, they should have the commitment that they don't run away after you've given them that break and that's all... it's a very fair Amendment. There's nothing unfair about this Amendment. I don't think the Gentleman understands and it's not going to cost anyone any money. This Amendment is a decent Amendment to a good Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Gene Barnes."

E. Barnes: "Thank you very much. Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the
House, I rise in support of this Amendment and I concur with Representative Hanahan. Now we've talked about the fiscal impact of this Bill. This Amendment would not cost the state one dime and it may save the taxpayers some money. Let's understand what we're doing here. This Amendment addresses itself to runaway shops. It will in no way, it will in no way deter from this program nor will it hamper any of those fine businesses that reside in the State of Illinois. It merely says that if you are beneficial of this type break and you desire then to pick up and leave, especially as many of those shops do along the border, you desire to pick up and leave and go to the next state, you've get to pay that money back. That's all this Amendment says. Nothing more, nothing less. I cannot understand how any of us here could oppose an Amendment that, in fact, cost any money and would, in fact, if this practice... if this practice that this Amendment addresses occur, would save taxpayers hard-earned dollars here in the State of Illinois. It's a fine Amendment and we should all support it."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Tuerk."

Tuerk: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is, shall the main question be put? Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Representative Giorgi, for what purpose do you rise?"

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, for the purpose of a brief announcement. There's a class from Rockford's Washington Middle School of the eighth grade class and they're here for the first time in their history of visiting Springfield. And they represented by Representative Simms, Martin and Giorgi. They're back here in the back of the room."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Robinson to close."

Robinson: "Just very briefly. I have recently in my district, we have recently in my district experienced two plants that have filed the State of Illinois - Bunn-O-Matic where there has... where there was some recent additions of equipment prior to their leaving and the Schlumberger Sangamo Electric. I know many of you have had the same thing in many of your districts. All I'm saying is that if the state gives these kinds of businesses in the future a
benefit, a monetary benefit, that if they leave, they ought to pay us back. And I would make a commitment to Representative Terzich that if this Amendment goes on, Representative Terzich, if... Bob, if this Amendment goes on and if there is at any time a problem in passing 3168 because of this Amendment, I would move to table the Amendment from the Bill because I will support the Bill. But I think that if the Bill can pass that this is a fair Amendment.”

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of the Amendment. Those in favor say 'aye', opposed... those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Representative Terzich."

Terzich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I would suggest then that Representative Robinson table the Amendment right now. However, I really don't see how this particular provision again... it's a conditional provision on a tax incentive which I think these conditions would be unfair. I don't really know how you could administer it. What happens if they move... they do some business through an inter-state? There's nothing wrong with having their home base located in Illinois and plants in other states. If they happen to keep a segment or a portion of their business in Illinois, does that mean that they cannot locate another plant somewhere else? Does that disqualify them from a tax exemption? If they have a sales office in the state but, yet they move a manufacturing portion of it out, does that mean that they would have to rebate it to the state? I don't think it's a fair Amendment and, therefore, I would suggest that this be... a proper vote would be a 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wished? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 49 'aye' and 80 'no'. The motion fails. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #8, Mugalian. Amends House Bill 3168 on page 13, line 6 of Amendment 1 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mugalian, for what purpose do you rise?"

Mugalian: "I'm ready to proceed on the Amendment, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I reluctantly rise to again question the
form on this Amendment. I think that you'll find that it's not
in the proper form."
Speaker Redmond: "It has been corrected and as corrected distributed.
It is in proper form."
Ryan: "I didn't understand you, Mr. Speaker. What'd you say?"
Speaker Redmond: "Representative Terzich."
Ryan: "Mr. Speaker."
Terzich: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to question that. Was leave given
to amend this Amendment #8 on its face? I believe it takes leave
to amend it on its face."
Speaker Redmond: "It was refused."
Terzich: "Excuse me?"
Speaker Redmond: "It was refused as amended."
Terzich: "Was it properly prepared by the Reference Bureau? Was
amended on its face and I would suggest that you would have to
have leave of the House..."
Speaker Redmond: "It's not amended on its face. It was... this is
the form in which it was introduced, Representative Terzich."
Terzich: "Well, I question the form that it was introduced, Mr.
Speaker."
Speaker Redmond: "Parliamentarian says that the form is proper. Rep-
resentative Geo-Karis."
Geo-Karis: "Parliamentary inquiry to the Parliamentarian. Am I cor-
rect that an Amendment has to be legible on its face so you know
what it says? Can you read me then and tell me what it says on
line 7 with the insertions? Will you tell me what those inser-
tions are?"
Speaker Redmond: "Read the Amendment, Mr. Clerk."
Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment to House Bill 3168. Amendment #8. Amends
House Bill 3168 on page 13, line 6 of Amendment 1 by inserting im-
mEDIATELY after 'property' the following."
Geo-Karis: "Lines what?"
Clerk O'Brien: "Line 6 of Amendment #1."
Geo-Karis: "And... go ahead."
Clerk O'Brien: "And then the next is on line 7. It says, on page 13..."
Geo-Karis: "I beg to differ with you. That's not the Amendment I have.
and this is the one that's been distributed. And I'll show you."

Speaker Redmond: "We've just suffered a mortal blow. Representative Siemas and Collins understand what it is. We had things greased for awhile. Representative Terzich."

Terzich: "Mr. Speaker, I really question Amendment #8. I cannot understand the... whatever is written down there. And if the Chair is ruling that this is a satisfactory Amendment, then I would appeal the ruling of the Chair."

Speaker Redmond: "We have... the ruling has been that the Amendment is in proper form and that it is germane. Representative... a little sloppy, but proper. Representative Terzich has moved to appeal the ruling of the Chair. And the question is, shall the Chair be overruled? Those in favor of overruling the Chair vote 'aye'; opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Requires 89 votes. Have all voted who wished? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 38 'aye' and 84 'no'. Proceed, Mr. Mugalian."

Mugalian: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Amendment, I think, should satisfy anyone and in a few cases may test the sincerity of some of my colleagues who spoke in opposition to Amendment #3. You can now all go back to your districts and say that you have voted for meaningful tax reform to consumers and you can also say that you've been fiscally responsible. The total estimated cost of this Bill without the Amendment is approximately a hundred and twenty million dollars when fully implemented. The cost of the relief afforded to those who purchase food will be approximately the same - a hundred and twenty-five million dollars. I'm very happy to have been able to accommodate all the Members of the House who wanted to give relief in this area, who have said over and over again they would give some relief in this area of sales tax on food but could not do so unless it was fiscally responsible. We now have found the money to give manufacturers extra profits in the amount of a hundred and twenty-five million dollars or so, but at the same time give relief in the same amount to taxpayers. I think the voters in your districts will watch you on this vote. I ask for a Roll Call vote on it and I'm convinced that this thing will pass by a
big margin to the appreciation of your constituents. Thank you."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Mugalian."

Mugalian: "I neglected to say because I assumed the people knew what this Amendment does. What it does is it reduces the state sales tax on food from four percent to three percent."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Terzich."

Terzich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, we heard the same speech on Amendment #3 and I would urge the same 'no' vote on Amendment #8."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, shall Amendment #8 be adopted?

All in favor vote 'aye', all opposed vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Clerk will take the record.

On this question there are 55 'ayes', 65 'nays'. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Mugalian, wants a poll of the absentees. Kindly record Representative Telcer as 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Abramson, Antonovych, E.M. Barnes, Bartulis, Bennett, Bluthardt, Brady, Broslin, Caldwell, Campbell, Jack Davis, Dawson, Dauster, Domico, John Dunn, Ralph Dunn, Ebbezen, Edgar, Freidrich, Giglio, Griesheimer, Hunt, Hoffman, Dan Houlihan, Hudson, Johnson, Emil Jones, Katz, Keats, Kempiners, Klosak, Kornovich, KucharSKI, Lechowicz, Madison, Mann, McAuliffe, McBroom, McLendon, Meyer, Molloy, Peters, Polk, Reed, Richmond, Sandquist, Schlickman, Shumpert, Stearney, Taylor, Tipsword, Von Bockman, W.D. Walsh, Williams, Winchester, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lechowicz: "On this question there are 55 'ayes', 65, 66 'nays' and the Amendment's not adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. Yes, Sir. The Gentleman from Cook, or Kankakee, Mr. Ryan."

Ryan: "Mr. Speaker, could you tell me what the intentions of the Chair are as far as adjournment?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "The intention of the Chair is to go through... go on Third Reading, call one Bill, House Bill 3375, which I believe is rather an emergency matter, get back to Second Reading, go through it one more time and see if anybody wants to have their Bill heard and hopefully the Speaker will be back and we'll get out of here."

Ryan: "Mr. Speaker, I have been assured for the last two days, I've
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informed my Members of such, that we'd be out of here at one o'clock today and it is now one o'clock. And I would certainly hope that you would reconsider your position."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Let's go to House Bill 3375, which is on Third Reading. It's on page 11."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3375. A Bill for an Act making a supplemental appropriation to the ordinary and contingent of the Bureau of the Budget. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Hardin, Mr. Winchester, on House Bill 3375."

Winchester: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is indeed an emergency appropriation in that it affects about forty-four hundred public service employees throughout the State of Illinois that's covered under the CETA program. This is a supplemental. It pertains to this year's budget and I would appreciate a favorable Roll Call, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Jim Houlihan."

J. Houlihan: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Indicates he will."

J. Houlihan: "Bob, there was some issue raised of concern in the media about the CETA employees that were at least reported to be improperly working on the Governor's campaign. Would this have any relationship to that? Would these be any of those employees that were under question?"

Winchester: "Well, Jim, I haven't heard of any CETA employees being on the Governor's campaign, but if they're public service employees, I'm sure that this would affect their salaries for the month of June, yes."

J. Houlihan: "Bob, I guess my question was whether any of the employees that were in question in terms of whether they had worked at political jobs rather than governmental jobs. Would be affect..."

Winchester: "Oh, I don't... No, no."

J. Houlihan: "You'd have no way of knowing?"

Winchester: "I wouldn't have any way of knowing, but I'm sure that that wouldn't happen, Jim."

J. Houlihan: "All right, Mr. Speaker..."
Winchester: "That's under the Hatch Act, Jim. It's under the Hatch Act."

J. Houlihan: "I understand. That's my concern, is that they would have been violating the Hatch Act and violating their duty."

Winchester: "No, no."

J. Houlihan: "And Mr. Speaker, although I don't think we can identify these workers, then I think the other workers are going to need their funds so I would urge an 'aye' vote for this emergency appropriation and that we try to get to the bottom of that issue maybe in the ordinary contingent expenses which will be handled later in the Session."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman from Randolph, Representative Birchler."

Birchler: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This money is the Title VII money, federal funds to pay for those people who are in and on on-the-job training programs that are municipal units all over the State of Illinois. And the last payday comes up this weekend and we must get this Bill off so that the Senate can act and have it ready for them next week. Otherwise we have to take those unemployable and put them back on public aid or on unemployment. I urge an 'aye' vote on this."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Hardin, Mr. Winchester, to close."

Winchester: "Well, thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. This is an emergency appropriation. I appreciate the special attention that the House has given me on this in moving it through the Appropriations Committees and Second Reading to this status of Third Reading. So I would only now appeal... ask for a favorable Roll Call, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, shall House Bill 3375 pass? All in favor vote 'aye', all opposed vote 'nay'. The Lady from Cook, Ms. Pullen, to explain her vote."

Pullen: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the Sponsor of this Bill said that this was needed in order to pay these employees for the month of June. I don't see an emergency in that because they haven't worked yet for the month of June. The CETA program is notorious as one which is federal funds offered to the states and cities to put on employees who are not needed, but..."
because Uncle Sam dangles the money in front of us we have to go after it. These employees were hired because there was money there and not because they were needed and I urge a 'no' vote and tell the Feds, what we think of CETA."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Have all voted who wished? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 133 'ayes', 9 'nays', 3 recorded as 'present'. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Now also on Third Reading is House Bill 2879. The Gentleman asks leave to bring that Bill back to Second Reading for the purpose of an Amendment. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Marovitz."

Marovitz: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Inadvertently, yesterday when we moved that this Bill, 2879, to Third Reading we failed to move... we failed to move Amendment #1 and I would move to table Amendment #1."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Ryan."

Ryan: "What does Amendment #1 do?"

Marovitz: "Amendment #1..."

Ryan: "Any why would you want to do that at a time like this, Mr. Marovitz? I..."

Marovitz: "Well, mainly because I reached agreement from... with the Members from your side for Amendment #2 which was adopted. And we agreed to table Amendment #1. Now, of course, if you don't want to hold with that agreement, then I'll be happy to keep Amendment #1 although I did give my word to Members on your side of the aisle."

Ryan: "I don't recall saying anything about not holding to an agreement, Representative Marovitz. I asked you a question."

Marovitz: "In agreement with Representative..."

Ryan: "I asked you what the Amendment does."

Marovitz: "The Amendment which was adopted did not add... added certain Members to the Department on Aging but did not add individual Members from the Human Resources Committee and the Public Health Committee in the Senate and we had agreed with Representative Anderson that one Member on the Democrat and Republican side of the aisle from both the Human Resources and the Senate Public Health Committee."
Committee should be added and expanded and that's incorporated in Amendment #2 which we adopted and moved the Bill to Third Reading, but it is..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman has moved that Amendment #1 be tabled. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', all opposed. Amendment #1 is tabled. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. House Bill 3192, on Second Reading. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Dan Houlihan. Start looking on page 4. It's already been moved. Dan, it's on Third now. Do you want to bring it back for a purpose? Pardon me? 3190, I'm sorry."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3190. A Bill for an Act in relation to procurement of professional services by state agencies. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Dan Houlihan. Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, Redmond."

D. Houlihan: "I move to withdraw Amendment #1. There's a technical defect in Amendment #1."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman asks leave to withdraw Amendment #1. Hearing no objections, Amendment #1 is withdrawn. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, Redmond-Madigan. Amends House Bill 3190 on page 1 and so forth."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Dan Houlihan."

D. Houlihan: "Thank you, Amendment #2 would expand the legislation contained within the Bill to include management consultants under the Act. It... addition to that makes certain technical changes. I move the adoption of Amendment #2."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Daniels."

Daniels: "Would the Gentleman yield?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Indicates he will."

Daniels: "What's the Bill do?"

D. Houlihan: "Pardon me?"

Daniels: "What's the Bill do?"
D. Houlihan: "The Bill is entitled the Consultants Competitive Negotiation Act. It would require contracts of state agencies for professional services involving more than five thousand dollars to be negotiated with prequalified individuals or firms. And then it establishes procedures and criteria both for prequalification and also for standards for negotiation as far as engagement of these individuals or firms for professional services. What the Amendment did was conclude among those offering professional services such as lawyers, et cetera, would be management consultants. That was... that's what Amendment #2 does."

Daniels: "Now this refers to consultants for a state agency. This would not include anybody under the Executive Branch then, is that correct?"

D. Houlihan: "Just a moment please. It refers to all state agencies so it refers to Executive Branch government as well."

Daniels: "How does it change things from its current state? What's the current state right now in Illinois?"

D. Houlihan: "Well, there are no prequalification requirements as far as professional services. There are no well-defined standards or guidelines as far as engagement by a state agency or department of individual or firms who are going to be contracted with in order to perform professional services for the particular agency. What we would be doing here would be to set up a formalized structure both as far as qualifications, public notification of the intention or need of the state department or agency to engage persons who would render professional services and thereafter set up a procedure whereby the state department or agency would be guided in the selection of the person or persons who would be the recipient under the contract for professional services."

Daniels: "So currently you're saying that there is no provision for that under Illinois law."

D. Houlihan: "There is not."

Daniels: "How are these people employed at the present time?"

D. Houlihan: "Well, the employment at the present time is up to the individual department or agency and there's nothing standardized at all as from one department to another. It's really an arbitrary
thing as to each department or agency that seeks to engage persons who would render professional services."

Daniels: "So if our Comptroller, for instance, wanted some professional services for his department, he would just hire them outright, is that correct?"

D. Houlihan: "I'm not familiar specifically as to what is being done by any particular state agency or state department head. However, as I indicated in response to your first question, this would be uniform. It would be applicable to all state agencies."

Daniels: "But currently, the Comptroller then if he wanted to hire some professional services, he would just go out and hire them. Is that right under current law?"

D. Houlihan: "I indicated to you, I was not familiar specifically as to what the procedures are in any one particular department. I'm no more familiar with the... with the actions which are taking place in the Comptroller's Office than I am..."

Daniels: "But he's not required."

D. Houlihan: "...taking place in the Executive Department."

Daniels: "All right, now since you're not aware of it under current law he's not required to follow your provision, is that correct?"

D. Houlihan: "No, my provision is not law."

Daniels: "If it were to become law?"

D. Houlihan: "If it were, it would guide the action of every state department and agency."

Daniels: "But he today could go out and hire anybody he finds."

D. Houlihan: "He is not governed by what is contained in this legislation at this time because this is proposed legislation and it has not been enacted as yet."

Daniels: "I understand that. But under current law today, he just hires who he wants. Is that correct?"

D. Houlihan: "No, he does it pursuant to a standard which is not enacted. It's a statute. It is a standard which governs his conduct in the conduct of his engaging these people for professional services."

Daniels: "Does this also..."

D. Houlihan: "Just a minute, Mr. Daniels."
Danie}ls: "I'm just trying to find..."
D. Houlihan: "I'm trying to answer your question. All right?"
Danie}ls: "Just trying to find out..."
D. Houlihan: "Are you going to let me answer the question or are you going to keep asking another one?"
Danie}ls: "I don't want to debate with you. I just want to get a straight answer."
D. Houlihan: "Well, you've been engaging in a debate now for the last ten questions that you've asked me. I'm attempting to respond to your question."
Danie}ls: "It's your Bill."
D. Houlihan: "Yes, it is my Bill."
Danie}ls: "Well then, answer the question."
Speaker Lechowicz: "Let's have a little courtesy and decorum on this floor please. And the Gentleman will respond to the Gentleman's question."
D. Houlihan: "I have answered his question I believe now, Mr. Speaker, several times. If he has another question, tell him to put it and I'll respond to it."
Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Conti. Oh, do you have another question? The Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Daniels."
Danie}ls: "I would ask you if this applies to the General Assembly under your proposed legislation."
D. Houlihan: "It refers to every state agency or department of government."
Danie}ls: "Including the General Assembly?"
D. Houlihan: "The General Assembly is not a department of government or a state agency. It's the Legislative Branch."
Danie}ls: "All right, so it does not... so you're answer is 'no'?"
Speaker Lechowicz: "The answer is 'no'."
Danie}ls: "Okay."
Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Conti."
Conti: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move the previous question."
Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman has moved the previous question. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', all opposed. The previous
question's been moved. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Dan Houlihan, to close."

D. Houlihan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. What the Amendment does is simply to make more encompassing the persons who would be covered as far as professional services in the engagement by a state agency or department and would include management consultants among others who would be seeking to render professional services. I move the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman's moved the adoption of Amendment #2. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', all those opposed. Roll Call's been requested. All those in favor vote 'aye', all opposed vote 'nay'. The Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Skinner, to explain his vote. The timer's on."

Skinner: "I'm not sure whether it's a good or a bad Amendment because I don't know whether lawyers are included...enclosed... included in the Bill, whether C.P.A.'s are included, whether architects are included, whether auctioneers are included. All those could be included under the concept of this Bill. It seems to me we ought to further amend it perhaps if they are not included. But then again since both Sponsors are lawyers, maybe we won't."

Speaker Lechowicz: "They're in there. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 80 'ayes', 37 'nays', 1 recorded as 'present'. The Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, D.L. Houlihan. Amends House Bill..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Dan Houlihan."

D. Houlihan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #3 is similar in the same vein as Amendment #2. What this does is amend the Purchasing Act to the effect that leases which would be entered into by state departments or agencies in excess of five thousand dollars would be subject to the same structured prequalification and record keeping as we are providing for those who seek to render professional services to a state department of agency. Excuse me, and I move the adoption of Amendment #3."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from DeWitt, Mr. Vinson, on the
Amendment."

Vinson: "Does the Bill or the Amendment apply to the R.T.A.?

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Houlihan."

D. Houlihan: "No, it does not. State agency."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Gaines."

Gaines: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Lechowicz: "Indicates he will."

Gaines: "How will this affect the affirmative action or set-aside pro-
gram for small businesses that are now prevalent among the state
agencies?"

D. Houlihan: "It'll be... it would actually be an encouragement be-
cause what the Bill as amended would provide would be a formalized
process that prior to a state agency engaging into a lease which
would be in excess of five thousand dollars, there must be a pub-
lic announcement of their intention to enter into such a lease.

And in effect what there will be here will be a public bid pro-
cedure from those who have available space that they would seek
to offer and make available to the individual state department or
agency: What we are doing here then is standardizing the proce-
dure which will be uniform for all agencies and departments of
state government. As far as minority or affirmative action pro-
grams, this should only seek to encourage that type of provision."

Gaines: "Will this preclude a set-aside program that they now have?"

D. Houlihan: "What is a set-aside program? I'm unfamiliar with that
term."

Gaines: "Well, the set-aside programs where the agency may set aside
certain contracts for small businesses including minority businesses."

D. Houlihan: "Well, it does not refer to that specifically, but if
there is such a program, they must be qualified as far as what the
provisions of this Bill would be."

Gaines: "But this Bill says that all must be done the same way and
set-aside programs are not done the same way."

D. Houlihan: "What the Bill is referring to is that there must be
a prequalification as far as those who seek to offer services to
the individual state department or agency. It does not make a
specific reference to minority hiring programs, but it is not
necessarily in conflict with those. My understanding is that it would be compatible with, I think as I've indicated in responding to your prior questions, that it would in effect encourage it because we will now have a formalized basis that those seeking to provide lease arrangements to the state will have to do it an a public form in the sense that it will be open and there will be record keeping made."

Gaines: "Who gave you that opinion?"

D. Houlihan: "Who gave me the opinion?"

Gaines: "That's correct."

D. Houlihan: "All right, it's my Amendment and I've read the Amendment prior to filing it and I believe that I understand my own Amendment. And so I'm giving you my opinion as to what my Amendment does."

Gaines: "I speak to the Amendment. The Sponsor says he didn't under... didn't know a thing about the set-aside program so how could he have thought about it when he drew his Amendment? So those are mutually contradictory statements. And I'm tired of sitting here listening to rhetoric on the other side of the aisle about digging favor of minority people and then every time there's a program designed to help black people, they close it. You say that blacks should pull themselves up by their bootstraps. Don't you take the bootstraps away from them. If you're honestly, sincerely concerned about helping business in the black communities, the Latino communities, you will take this out of the record and find some competent attorney who knows something about affirmative action and make the necessary changes."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Natijevich."

Natijevich: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise in support of this Amendment because in fact the Amendment could be very helpful and involve ethics in government. I was in the Appropriations I Committee when with the Department of Financial Institutions we discussed at some length the Herndon lease and I know that in the Senate Appropriations the same matter was discussed with the Department of Aging. The Department of Aging said that the reason that they went to the Herndon Building was because
many senior citizens had handicaps and they needed to go in that
type of building. However, the Herndon Building according to the
information provided the staff of Appropriations I Committee does
not meet the disability specifications for handicapped people. I
would... you know, you're always suspect but the fact that the
Herndon Building was used for the headquarters of Governor Thompson
during his campaign and, in fact, a total amount of fifty-nine
hundred and some dollars were given to Governor Thompson's cam-
paign with those headquarters and with cash contributions, this
Amendment, I think, would be very valuable so that that type of
situation cannot occur because we would have to determine that a
department really has prior approval. In other words, they have
to tell the General Assembly that they intend to make a move, that
there's some indication that a move is necessary. All through the
Appropriations process last year, for example, the Department of
Financial Institutions didn't tell us of their intentions to move
to another building. I know the Department of Aging didn't make
that intention known to us as appropriation process and I think
to remove any appearance of impropriety in such cases like this,
we ought... we all ought to be in favor of Amendment #3."
Speaker Lechowitz: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Skinner."
Skinner: "Yes, would the Gentleman answer a question or two?"
Speaker Lechowitz: "Indicates he'll yield."
Skinner: "Let's assume a state agency wants to rent some space in
downtown Springfield. How would an owner of office space make
his available space known to the state?"
D. Houlihan: "The procedure as it would be set up here in the Bill
would be that the agency or the department must first make public
its need and its intention to enter into a lease for additional
space. And at that time, thereafter, there would be a procedure
set up for what, in effect, is a public situation."
Skinner: "Would there be a requirement in this Amendment for any cam-
paign contributions that had been given by the owner to any of the
candidates for public office to be made-public?"
D. Houlihan: "There is not a campaign disclosure requirement as such
but there is a disclosure as to all of those having either a legal
or a beneficial interest in the firm which is seeking to engage
or to enter into a contract or a lease with the state. As far as
the concern that you're raising, as to that question of course,
it ties to what our existing statutes relating to disclosure of
persons making campaign contributions."

Skinner: "Well if the Sponsor would accept an exception... or a sug-
gestion, I think that you ought to tie it directly to this informa-
tion. There is an audit that has been produced by the Auditor
General investigating the Walker administration's campaign contribu-
tions to... from owners of state buildings which is not terribly
relieving because the Auditor General did not see his way clear
to identify who gave the tens of thousands of dollars in several
cases to the state. And I wonder if you would consider an Amend-
ment that would require that any of those owners or their spouses
or children or parents had given any campaign contributions to the
state so that in the future the Auditor General may not... will
not be as hampered in such an investigation as he has been in
the past."

D. Houlihan: "I'd be happy to accept such an Amendment. We will ac-
cept such an Amendment over in the Senate if the Bill is successful
in leaving the House or because of the limited time frame now that
we're in, I'm going to ask that we now move the Bill to Third
Reading if this Amendment be adopted. But I think you do have a
good point. We would put that Amendment on in the Senate."

Skinner: "All right, if I may speak to the Bill, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Please proceed."

Skinner: "I think that this, based on the past administration's ex-
perience, the Walker administration where the suspicions are rather
high that leases were almost bought and sold for campaign contribu-
tions, but this is a type of an Amendment that is absolutely
necessary. In fact, if one wanted to go back a little farther,
one might remember who was the former occupant, one of the former
occupants of the Herndon Building, it was Superintendent Bakalis
when he was Superintendent of Public Instruction."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Kane, Mr. Murphy. Mr. Murphy."

Murphy: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, we've been
doing a little checking on some of the leases and stuff that's been going on down here in Springfield, too. And for your information, this is one of the best Bills that we have on the floor.

We're going to put a hammer on some of the things that's been going on. I'm having some of these checked right now with the staff from the Space Needs Commission. And if you think it's just the Republicans and Democrats, there's a lot of do-gooders around here that have awful high prices on their property. There's going to be some figures brought in here that'll stymie some of you people, what's been going on. This is a real good piece of legislation and I hope everybody supports it.”

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Ryan."

Ryan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

I think if Representative Murphy has some information that's pertinent to this Amendment he shouldn't be holding it back for future use. He ought to bring it out now. I don't know what he means by dropping the hammer. If he knows of some illegal activities or something that's wrong, it should be brought out now.

Mr. Speaker, not later. We should know about it now and I would request that he do that."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Kane, Mr..."

Ryan: "I think this Bill ought to be moved to Subcommittee until we find out about it, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Mr. Murphy."

Murphy: "Mr. Ryan, since my name was brought up I've been trying to get this stuff and I've been delayed a little bit. I have just partial figures."

Ryan: "Well then, we ought to hold the Amendment."

Murphy: "No, Sir."

Ryan: "Put the Bill in Subcommittee and then..."

Murphy: "This is a good..."

Ryan: "...your findings."

Murphy: "This is a good piece of legislation that this man is trying to..."

Ryan: "Well, that... that... you know, we ought to be privy to all the information that you're withholding or..."
Murphy: "...very much..."

Ryan: "Mr. Murphy. and I think that Representative Houlihan..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "We're getting into a debate on the floor and not on the Amendment. The Gentleman from Effingham, Mr. Brummer."

Brummer: "I move the previous question."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman has moved the previous question. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', all those opposed. Amendment... the previous roll... motion, the question is before the floor. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Dan Houlihan, on Amendment #3 to close."

D. Houlihan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and very briefly because I think the reasonableness of the Amendment is apparent that the only real concern was raised by Representative Gaines. I regret if I wasn't clear enough in my explanation to him to satisfy his concern. But as far as prequalification, as far as the set-off program would be concerned if there would be a split, as to the contract, all that the Bill would provide is that on the set-off portion of that, that there be prequalification among those bidders also so I think his concern is answered and that this is compatible with the set-aside program rather than the set-off program, the set-aside program that he was concerned with. I think the reasonableness of the Amendment is apparent. What this will do then will be to make all these subject to the same type of public safeguard that we are going to have for contract for professional services and I move the adoption of Amendment #3."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The question is, shall Amendment #3 be adopted? All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'nay'. The Lady from Lake, Mrs. Geo-Karis, to explain her vote. The timer is one."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I submit that as much as I have the highest respect for the Sponsor of this Amendment and Bill, this is a travesty on justice. How in the dickens are you going to gauge the competency of professional services. You have doctors and some doctors. You have lawyers and some lawyers. And what you're doing here is asking for competitive bids for professional people. Some who are skilled and
some who aren't just for a price tag. I think it's bad and I think we ought to keep in mind speaking about buildings and what you want to do, it seems to me the Standard Oil Building was purchased for four and a half million dollars by two Gentleman who sold, who leased it back to the state for sixteen million dollars for ten years under the Walker Administration without even prior active and adequate hearings for same. I think this has gone too far and I think we're way out of our tree when we're going to gauge... goes back to professional services on price."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Marion, Mr. Friedrich, to explain his vote. The timer is on."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to speak to the same point that Representative Geo-Karkis did. I'm sure that you're getting in some things here you may not be aware of... when you get into these professional services. Almost every department has some kind of professional service it uses. Certainly the Highway Department uses engineering service. The E.P.A. uses it. All the departments use lawyers, many of them use accountants. The Auditor General certainly requires that... hires outside accountants. Once a man is a certified public accountant, what else can he do to prove his ability? Now are we going to do this strictly on the basis of... we get the cheapest guy we can regardless of his reputation and so one? He's licensed by the state. I think you're opening up a can of worms here you don't know what you're going to do with."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Daniels, to explain his vote. The timer's on."

Daniels: "I wanted to ask a question during debate. Maybe Dan could look at page 12, line 30 and tell me if that's meant to be fifty thousand in that line. And then while he's looking I would just suggest that you pick up Amendment 3 and look at it. And if after reading that Amendment which has been distributed for about the last hour, you can understand all the terms in here, I think that you're pretty good in your reading and your ability to understand and that's why we wish that Mr. Houlihan had held this Bill until we had the ability to understand it a little better. It could be that we might support it at that time, but maybe the
Gentleman has an answer now on whether or not that should be fifty thousand."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman indicates he understands the Amendment and the Bill. Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 77 'ayes', 41 'nays', 5 recorded 'present' and the Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Third Reading. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Brady, on a motion."

Brady: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and fellow Members, I believe the motion, I don't have it front of me, it's on the desks, it's to suspend the appropriate posting rule on Senate Bill..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "1569."

Brady: "1569 which is an emergency appropriation for Northern Illinois University from the Income Fund. They are putting back money in the Income Fund. It's not costing the state anything, but it's something that came out of an auditing procedure and we're trying to make them do it correctly and they're under a time gun. I talked to the Minority Leader, the Majority Leader and both Members of the Appropriations..."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman asks leave to have the Attendance Roll Call used to have Senate Bill 1569 be posted for Appropriations II this coming week. Senate Bill 1569, 1569. Is there any objection? Hearing none, the Gentleman's request is granted. On the Calendar, page 16, Speaker's Table. The Gentleman from Morgan, Mr. Reilly, for what purpose you seek recognition?"

Reilly: "While you're on the Calendar on the Order of Motions, I have a motion with regard to House Bill 3380 and I would ask that we go to that."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Well, I'm on the Speaker's Table right now. I already made the announcement. Senate Joint Resolution 88. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madigan. It's located on page 16 of the Calendar, Speaker's Table."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, S.J.R. 88 resolves that when the Houses convene in a Joint Session on May 26, 1978, the following...."
at the hour of 9:15 a.m. in the chambers of the House of Representatives, that we invite President Carter to address us at that time."

Speaker Lechowicz: "Any discussion? The question asks... the Gentleman asks leave to use the Attendance Roll Call. Hearing no objection the Attendance Roll Call will be used on Senate... and the Resolution is adopted. Senate Joint Resolution 88. Senate Joint Resolution 89. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madigan."

Madigan: "This is the Adjournment Resolution, Mr. Speaker. Would the Clerk read it?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Joint Resolution #89. Resolved by the Senate of the 80th General Assembly, the State of Illinois, the House of Representatives concurring herein, that when the Senate adjourns on Thursday, May 18, 1978, it stands adjourned until Monday, May 22, 1978 at one o'clock p.m.; and when the House of Representatives adjourns on Friday, May 19, 1978 it stands adjourned until Monday, May 22, 1978 at one o'clock p.m."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madigan, on Senate Joint Resolution 89."

Madigan: "I move for the adoption of the Resolution."

Speaker Lechowicz: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of the Resolution. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye'. Senate Joint Resolution #89 is adopted. We stand adjourned till one o'clock Monday. We moved to adjourn. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madigan, has moved to adjourn permitting the Clerk five minutes for Perfunctory Session. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', all those opposed. We stand adjourned."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bills, First Reading. Senate Bill 1525, Richmond. A Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expense of Southern Illinois University. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1705, Maunino. A Bill for an Act to exempt from certain occupation and use taxes transactions involving the sale or transfer of tangible personal property. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1761, Levin. A Bill for an Act in relation to reimbursement of the cost of certain medical services rendered to prisoners in jails. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill
1830, Yourell. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the County Home Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1856, Peters.

A Bill for an Act to direct the transfer of money between funds in the State Treasury. First Reading of the Bill. No further business, the House now stands adjourned."
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</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>9:30</td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>House to order</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Reverend Krueger</td>
<td>Prayer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Roll Call for Attendance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>Agreed Resolutions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Matijevich</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Resolution adopted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>Further Resolutions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Committee on Assignments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ryan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>H.B. 2979, 2nd Rdg. Am. #1 adopted in Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>3rd Rdg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>H.B. 2986</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Neff</td>
<td>Table Am. #2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Discussion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>Giorgi</td>
<td>Question</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Neff</td>
<td>Discussion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Take out of record</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Huff</td>
<td>Wants H.B. 1274</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Not now</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page</td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Speaker</td>
<td>Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>H.B. 3002, Am. #2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Sponsor of Am. #2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Skinner</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Question</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Darrow</td>
<td>Discussion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Sponsor of the Bill - 3002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Am. #2 adopted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>Am. #3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Moves adoption</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Richmond</td>
<td>#3 adopted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>Am. #4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Tables #4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Huskey</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Discusses and explains #5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>Discussion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Richmond</td>
<td>Opposes #5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Walsh</td>
<td>Explains further</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Darrow</td>
<td>Yield?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page</td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Speaker</td>
<td>Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td>Walsh</td>
<td>Discussion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Yourell</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Walsh</td>
<td>Objects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Yourell</td>
<td>Question Am. 4, 3, 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>9:50</td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Huskey</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Yourell</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pullen</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Walsh</td>
<td>To close</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Am. #5 adopted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>Am. #6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Huskey</td>
<td>Withdraws #6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>Am. #7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ewing</td>
<td>Withdraws #7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>3rd Rdg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>H.B. 3012, 2nd Rdg, No C.A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>3rd Rdg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>H.B. 3013, 2nd Rdg, No C.A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page</td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Speaker</td>
<td>Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>3rd Rdg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9:57</td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>H.B. 3088, 2nd Rdg. Am. #1 and 2 adopted in Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Floor Am. #3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ewing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>McMaster</td>
<td>Supports</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Am. adopted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Madigan</td>
<td>Question</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>McMaster</td>
<td>Discussion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>Am. #4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ewing</td>
<td>Withdraws</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>3rd Rdg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>H.B. 3168, 2nd Rdg. Am. #1 adopted in Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Terzich</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>3rd Rdg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ryan</td>
<td>Yield?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Terzich</td>
<td>Hold it on 2nd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>H.B. 3184, 2nd Rdg. Am. #1 adopted in Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page</td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Speaker</td>
<td>Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:05</td>
<td>Mahar</td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Madigan</td>
<td></td>
<td>Asks for explanation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Skinner</td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Friedrich</td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Question</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Madigan</td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Discussion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Mahar</td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Mahar</td>
<td>To close</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Am. fails</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>Am. #3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Daniels</td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Withdraws #3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>Am. #4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Daniels</td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>10:14</td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Withdraws #4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page</td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Speaker</td>
<td>Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Question</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td>Skinner</td>
<td>To close</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
<td>Skinner</td>
<td>Poll absentees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Poll absentees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10:26</td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Change to 'no'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>Proceeds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Negative Roll Call</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Mulcahey 'aye' to 'no'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>Continues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page</td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Speaker</td>
<td>Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>Questions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hudson</td>
<td>Aye</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25, 26, 27</td>
<td></td>
<td>Skinner</td>
<td>Continues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Madigan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Skinner</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>73 'ayes', 78 'noes, motion fails</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>Am. #6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td></td>
<td>Waddell</td>
<td>Introduction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lucco</td>
<td>Introduction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Matejek</td>
<td>Point of personal privilege</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10:45</td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Skinner</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Opposes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>D. Houlihan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Skinner</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Skinner</td>
<td>Verification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page</td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Speaker</td>
<td>Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Madigan</td>
<td>Point of order</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Telcser</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Waddell</td>
<td>Introduction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>Polls absentees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Skinner</td>
<td>No verification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Am. fails</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>Am. #7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Skinner</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Motion fails, 3rd Rdg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>H.B. 3190, 2nd Rdg., No C.A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Am. #1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>Am. #1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10:55</td>
<td>D. Houlihan</td>
<td>Pass it</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Take out of record</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>H.B. 3192, 2nd Rdg., No C.A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>Am. #1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Giorgi</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>3rd Rdg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>H.B. 3193, 2nd Rdg., No C.A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>3rd Rdg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page</td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Speaker</td>
<td>Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>H.B. 3200, 2nd Rdg. No C.A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>Am. #1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Withdraws #1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:59</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Question</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Madigan</td>
<td>Discussion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Discussion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Matijevich</td>
<td>Point of order</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Kempiners</td>
<td>Discussion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>3rd Rdg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>H.B. 3200, 2nd Rdg. Am. #1 adopted in Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>3rd Rdg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>H.B. 3220, 2nd Rdg. No C.A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>3rd Rdg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>H.B. 3233, No C.A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>3rd Rdg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mautino</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page</td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Speaker</td>
<td>Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>H.B. 3234, 2nd Rdg, No C.A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Am. #1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mahar</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Brummer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>J. Houlihan</td>
<td>Defer to Barnes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>E.M. Barnes</td>
<td>Asks to take it out of the record</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Discussion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mahar</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>11:07</td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Take out of record</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Waddell</td>
<td>Introduction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>H.B. 3235, 2nd Rdg. No C.A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>3rd Rdg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>H.B. 3279, 2nd Rdg. Am. #1 adopted in Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>H.B. 3296, 2nd Rdg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Madigan</td>
<td>Question</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>H.B. 3296, 2nd Rdg. No C.A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page</td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Speaker</td>
<td>Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>11:00</td>
<td>Speaker, Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>Am. #1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Take out of record</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>H.B. 3312, 2nd Rdg. No C.A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>Am. #1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Take out of record</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>H.B. 3335, 2nd Rdg. No C.A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>3rd Rdg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>H.B. 3337, 2nd Rdg. Am. #1 adopted in Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40</td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>Am. #2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Take out of record</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>H.B. 3350, 2nd Rdg. Am. #1 adopted in Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>Am. #2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Winchester</td>
<td>To close</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Am. #2 fails</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>Am. #3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>J. Dunn</td>
<td>Opposes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**GENERAL ASSEMBLY**

**STATE OF ILLINOIS**

**HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES**

DATE: 5-19-78
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Page</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Speaker</th>
<th>Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Am. adopted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>Am. #4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>J. Dunn</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Am. adopted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>Am. #5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Matijevich</td>
<td>Amendment not germane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:15</td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>H.B. 3370, 2nd Rdg. Am. #1 adopted in Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>3rd Rdg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>H.B. 3386, 2nd Rdg. No C.A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>3rd Rdg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>H.B. 3234, 2nd Rdg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mahar</td>
<td>Am. #1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Am. adopted, 3rd Rdg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>H.B. 3168, 2nd Rdg. Am. #1 adopted in Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>Move to table Am. #1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Rigney</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Terzich</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page</td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Speaker</td>
<td>Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Madigan</td>
<td>Opposes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Telcser</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>11:27</td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pierce</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Matijevich</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ewing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Polk</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mudd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Terzich</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Catania</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Simms</td>
<td>Change to 'aye'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mahar</td>
<td>Change to 'no'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mulcahey</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page</td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Speaker</td>
<td>Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>11:38</td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Poll absentees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Rigney</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Richmond</td>
<td>Change to 'no'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>Proceeds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Motion to table fails</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>Am. #2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>11:45</td>
<td>Ewing</td>
<td>Withdraws #2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>Am. #3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mugalian</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lechowicz</td>
<td>Questions germaneness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mugalian</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Friedrich</td>
<td>Question</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mugalian</td>
<td>Discussion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lechowicz</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Waddell</td>
<td>Introduction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page</td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Dechowicz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page</td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Speaker</td>
<td>Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>12:04</td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Miller</td>
<td>Supports</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Capparelli</td>
<td>Moves previous question</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mugalian</td>
<td>To close</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Daniels</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bowman</td>
<td>Supports</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Geo-Karis</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Huskey</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ryan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Madigan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>W. Walsh</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Schneider</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Miller</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Motion fails</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page</td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Speaker</td>
<td>Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>Information #4 to 3168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mugalian</td>
<td>Amend on its face</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:14</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ryan</td>
<td>Objects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mugalian</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td></td>
<td>Mugalian</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Objects to form</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ryan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mugalian</td>
<td>Question</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Amendment out of form</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>Am. #5 to 3168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Brummer</td>
<td>Withdraws Amendment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>Am. #6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Satterthwaite</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ryan</td>
<td>Questions germaneness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td></td>
<td>Satterthwaite</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Amendment is germane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ryan</td>
<td>Move to defer, etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page</td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Speaker</td>
<td>Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Madigan</td>
<td>Request not in order</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Out of order</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12:20</td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Motion is in order</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Satterthwaite</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Parliamentary inquiry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ryan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Satterthwaite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Terzich</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Leinenweber</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Leinenweber</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Satterthwaite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Satterthwaite</td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Vinson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vinson</td>
<td>Question</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td></td>
<td>Satterthwaite</td>
<td>Discussion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12:30</td>
<td>McGrew</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68</td>
<td></td>
<td>Skinner</td>
<td>Moves previous question</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Satterthwaite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Satterthwaite</td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Matijevich</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Matijevich</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Johnson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Johnson</td>
<td>Am. #6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page</td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Speaker</td>
<td>Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Satterthwaite</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>McPike</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mulcahey</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>C. Davis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Satterthwaite</td>
<td>Poll absentees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>Proceeds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Edgar</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71</td>
<td>1:42</td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>Am. #6 lost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Am. #7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Robinson</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Terzich</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hanahan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page</td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Speaker</td>
<td>Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Moves previous question</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tuerk</td>
<td>Announcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Giorgi</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Robinson</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Terzich</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Am. #7 fails</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>Am. #8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mugalian</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ryan</td>
<td>Question form</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>12:51</td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Discussion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Terzich</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Geo-Karis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>Reads Am. #8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Geo-Karis</td>
<td>Amendments different?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Terzich</td>
<td>Question Am. #8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Am. #8 germane, but sloppy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Motion fails to override Chair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mugalian</td>
<td>Continues explanation on Am. #8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz in the Chair</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mugalian</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page</td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Speaker</td>
<td>Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78</td>
<td>1:00</td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td>Opposes Am. #8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>Polls absentees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td>Am. #8 fails, 3rd Rdg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79</td>
<td>1:06</td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>Reads H.B. 3375, 3rd Rdg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Winchester</td>
<td>Explains H.B. 3375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>J. Houlihan</td>
<td>Yield? Supports</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td></td>
<td>Winchester</td>
<td>Discussion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bircher</td>
<td>Supports</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Winchester</td>
<td>To close</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pullen</td>
<td>Opposes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td>H.B. 3375 is passed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Marovitz</td>
<td>H.B. 2879, 2nd Rdg,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td>table Am. #1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ryan</td>
<td>Discussion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Marovitz</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td>Am. #1 is tabled, 3rd Rdg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>H.B. 3190, 2nd Rdg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page</td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Speaker</td>
<td>Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td>Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>Floor Am. #1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Houlihan</td>
<td>Withdraws Am. #1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td>Floor Am. #2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td>Explains Am. #2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Moves previous question</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82, 83, 84</td>
<td>1:17</td>
<td>D. Houlihan</td>
<td>Discussion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td>To close</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Skinner</td>
<td>Explains vote</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td>Am. #2 is adopted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>Floor Am. #3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td>Explains Am. #3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>D. Houlihan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86</td>
<td></td>
<td>Vinson</td>
<td>Yield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>D. Houlihan</td>
<td>Discussion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td>Yield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87</td>
<td></td>
<td>D. Houlihan</td>
<td>Discussion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page</td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Speaker</td>
<td>Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td>Supports Am. #3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Skinner</td>
<td>Yield?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89</td>
<td>1:30</td>
<td>D. Houlihan</td>
<td>Discussion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td>Supports</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ryan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Murphy</td>
<td>Discussion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Brummer</td>
<td>Moves previous question</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td>Motion carries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>D. Houlihan</td>
<td>To close on Am. #1 to H.B. 3190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Geo-Karis</td>
<td>Explains vote, opposes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>92</td>
<td>1:35</td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Friedrich</td>
<td>Explains vote</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Daniels</td>
<td>Explains vote, wants it held</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>93</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td>Am. #3 is adopted, 3rd Rdg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Brady</td>
<td>Motion on S.B. 1569</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td>Motion carries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Reilly</td>
<td>Motion on 3380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td>Out of order</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Madigan</td>
<td>S.J.R. 88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page</td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Speaker</td>
<td>Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>94</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td>S.J.R. 88 adopted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Madigan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>Reads S.J.R. 89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Madigan</td>
<td>Moves adoption</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Lechowicz</td>
<td>S.J.R. adopted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>Perfunctory Session</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>House adjourned</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>