Speaker Madigan: "The House will be in order, Members will please be in their seats. We'll be led in prayer by the Reverend Krueger."

Rev. Krueger: "In the Name of the Father, the Son, and the Holy Ghost.

O Lord, bless this House to Thy service this day. Amen."

Lyndon B. Johnson said: 'Our understanding of how to live with one another is still far behind our knowledge of how to destroy one another.' Let us pray. O Lord, Our God, the Father of all mankind, Who hast made us brothers one to another, we thank Thee for this gift of life and the freedom Thou hast given to us in which to live it. Help us O Lord, in our daily living to remember that we are all one in Thy sight and so to live together in peace and harmony.

As Members of this House of Representatives, guide us in our labours at legislation to be mindful of Thy will that the inequities and chaos of the world be driven from us that the brotherhood of man be effectually realized. Through Jesus Christ our Lord. Amen."

Speaker Madigan: "Reading of the Journal."

Clerk O'Brien: "Journals for the 122nd Legislative Day, Wednesday, May 3, 1978. The House met pursuant to adjournment, the Speaker in the Chair. Prayer by Father William Krueger, Chaplain. By direction of the Speaker, Roll Call..."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

I move that we dispense with the reading of the Journal and that Journals #122 of May 3rd, Journal #123 of May 4th, and Journal 124 of May 5th, 1978, be approved as read."

Speaker Madigan: "You've all heard the motion. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', all those opposed by saying 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The motion carries. Anyone have any announcements? Does Mr. Greiman wish to call the E.R.A.? Roll Call for attendance. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Mr. Ryan, are there any excused absences?"

Ryan: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. Representatives Ebbesen and Hudson, due to illness."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Lechowicz, are there any excused absences?"

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the record indicate that
Representative Robinson is excused because of legislative business?"

Speaker Madigan: "The record shall reflect those excused absences. The Chair recognizes Mr. Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, before we get in debate on Bills and so on, I would like to rise on a point of personal privilege. I intend to do this fairly frequently because I think it's time that people start calling to the attention of this Body and to the media and to the taxpayers of this state some of the things that are... are being ripped off with. It was called to my attention that the nursing home patients in my district were all given a personal letter saying that they could no longer use state money for abortions. Now, I want to point out to you that at least a third of those are men and at least two-thirds of the remainder are women over sixty-five. And why the Department of Public Aid would be so stupid as to use taxpayer's money to notify those people they can't have abortions with state money is more than I know. when there's no probability of them being pregnant in the first place. Thank you."

Speaker Madigan: "For what purpose does the Lady from Lake, Mrs. Geo-Karis?"

Geo-Karis: "I must have stepped out when House Bill 2881 was called and passed by 130 votes and I'd like to have my vote recorded as 'aye' if I may have leave of the House."

Speaker Madigan: "What does the Bill do?"

Geo-Karis: "I don't know, it's relating to teletronics for the deaf or something."

Speaker Madigan: "...Verified Roll Call?"

Geo-Karis: "No."

Speaker Madigan: "The Lady wishes to be recorded as 'aye' on House Bill..."

Geo-Karis: "2881."

Speaker Madigan: "...2881. Is there leave? Leave being granted, she shall be recorded 'aye'. The Clerk shall take the record on the Attendance Roll Call. Page 17 of the Calendar, Consent Calendar, Third Reading, Second Day."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2902. A Bill for an Act to amend the

Speaker Madigan: "The question is, shall these Bills pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', all those opposed by voting 'no'. This is the Consent Calendar. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 110 'ayes', no 'noes', 4 voting 'present'. And these three Bills having received a Constitutional Majority are hereby declared passed. Mr. Ryan, is there anything on the Calendar that you wish to consider?"

Ryan: "I think we're here to consider everything on the Calendar, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Madigan: "Well, we wish to accommodate the..."

Ryan: "Looking for some Leadership on that side?"

Speaker Madigan: "...Minority Leader. Is there anything you desire at this time? Is there Sponsors of matters on the Speaker's table who wish to call those matters? Mr. O'Brien, House Resolution 78. Mrs. Martin, House Resolution 86. Do you wish to call that at this time? Mrs. Younge. Is Mrs. Younge in the chamber? For what purpose does Mr. O'Brien seek recognition?"

O'Brien: "Yeah, Mr. Speaker and Members, House Joint Resolution 78 is left over from last year. It's a commemorative Resolution commending Michael Epstein for his courage..."

Speaker Madigan: "You wish to call that Resolution at this time?"

O'Brien: "Sure if nobody has any objections."

Speaker Madigan: "On the Order of the Speaker's Table, page 17, House Resolution 78, Mr. O'Brien."

O'Brien: "Yeah, this is merely a Resolution commemorating Michael Epstein who is a constituent in my district for courage above and beyond the call of duty."

Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of the Resolution.
All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', all those opposed by voting 'no'. The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 108 'ayes', no 'noes', and House Resolution 78 is adopted.

Is Mr. Kucharski in the chamber? Is Mr. Taylor in the chamber?
Is Mrs. Dyer in the chamber? Is Mr. Steczko in the chamber? Is Mr. Giglio in the chamber? Is Mr. Stearney in the chamber? Mr. Barnes. On the Order of the Speaker's Table, page 18, Senate Joint Resolution 62, Mr. Barnes."

E.M. Barnes: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. Senate Joint Resolution 62 as I understand in talking with the Senate, it's on the Speaker's table. And it does merely what it says in your... on your Calendar in reference to the Digest. This was an Agreed Resolution in the Senate with the Legislative Advisory Committee. I spoke with Senator Moore. He indicated that these kind of periodic reviews that the Committee is now undertaking and this Resolution does not create any additional cost. This is simply a procedure that they are now following. And I would urge the support of all of the Members. This is, as I understand, from the Senate and I talked with both sides of the aisle. This was Agreed Joint Resolution in the Senate and Senator Moore indicated support for the Resolution."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Ryan."

Ryan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Gentleman yield?"

Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman indicates that he will yield!"

Ryan: "Representative Barnes, the Calendar says that this Resolution commends those Illinois physicians and other vendors who have honestly and diligently attempted to provide quality health care services under the auspices of the Illinois Medicaid program. Is that exactly... is that all this Resolution does?"

E.M. Barnes: "As far as I know, that's the extent of what it does. What, as I understand, the 'purportent force' (sic) purpose of it was procedure that is now... has been followed, as I understand it, and it's part of what they do and it's being following by the Legislative Advisory Committee in terms of their review into vendors that participate in the medical program. And as I understand, what it says is what it's embodied in the Resolution. That was
my understanding of it."

Ryan: "Well, I don't quite read it that way, Mr. Barnes. It says that you're going to cite the Department of Public Aid for failure to update the medicaid fee schedules. I mean, I think there's more to it than commending vendors and it certainly would not be on any kind of an agreed list that I know of. It almost looks like it's an attempt to try and embarrass the Department of Public Aid. And so I would have to stand in opposition to this Resolution."

E.M. Barnes: "To the best of my knowledge, Representative, that is not the case. I don't know if it is, but as I said before, calling this, I did talk to Senator Moore where I understand this responsibility is. To the best of my knowledge, what's embodied in the Resolution is the procedure that they follow currently. And that... it... as far as I know, it was no way... no attempt in any way to cast any disper... 'disperency' (sic) on any agency whatsoever."

Speaker Madigan: "Is there any discussion? Any further discussion? Mr. Ryan."

Ryan: "Mr. Speaker, this... as I understand this Resolution, it... it calls for an investigation and I would guess requires expenditure of some funds. Can you tell me how many votes it's going to take to pass it?"

E.M. Barnes: "Mr. Speaker, as I indicated, I spoke with Senator Moore and there is no funds involved here. This is what the Legislative Advisory Committee currently do and there is no funds... no additional funds in any way connected with this Resolution."

Ryan: "Well, there may not be any additional funds, but it's certainly going to require the expenditure of funds, Representative Barnes."

E.M. Barnes: "As I understand, those funds are already within the Legislative Advisory Committee and that they..."

Ryan: "Well, it's still going to require the expenditure of funds if you're going to have an investigation. It's going to have to have money to do that. And I'm not saying that you're increasing the budget to do it, I'm saying that you're going to spend money and... to have an investigation because the Resolution directs an investigation. And I would ask the Chair for a ruling on how many votes."

Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman has directed a parliamentary inquiry
to the Chair. And Mr. Barnes, the Clerk has just informed me that this Resolution has never been referred to Committee which unfortunately for you means that you'll have to suspend the rules now for immediate consideration."

E.M. Barnes: "I didn't know that it had not been...in Committee. I thought it had been. This goes back to last year so as far as I'm concerned, we can just take it out of the record and rerefer to Committee."

Speaker Madigan: "Rerefer to Committee? Fine. This matter shall be taken out of the record and shall be assigned to the Executive Committee. On the Order of House Bills, Second Reading, Mr. Edgar. Mr. Edgar, do you wish to move... do you wish to take that out of the record for the entire day? We'll now proceed to the Order of House Bills, Second Reading. We will begin at the point where... Could we have some order, Mrs. Geo-Karis? We will begin at the point where we terminated consideration of House Bills on Second Reading yesterday which was at House Bill 3117 on page 5. Therefore, on the Order of House Bills, Second Reading, page 5, House Bill 3138, Mr. Matijevich. There are three Committee Amendments. Are there any motions? Mr. Matijevich is temporarily out of the chamber. We shall pass over this Bill and return to it when he returns. Could we have some order, Mrs. Geo-Karis? Mr. Harris. Mr. Harris in the chamber? Mr. Matijevich has returned to the chamber, Mrs. Geo-Karis. House Bill 3138."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3138. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Revenue Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1, 2 and 3 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Madigan: "Are there any motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "A motion to table Amendments 1, 2 and 3 by Representative Matijevich."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes Mr. Matijevich on a motion to table Amendments 1, 2 and 3. Mr. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, on that motion on House Bill 3138 when I was in Committee, the House Amendments... Amendment #1 on it is strictly a nonsubstantive correction. Amendment #2 was offered by Local Governmental Affairs. Amendment #3 by the State Property Tax Appeal..."
Board. The Committee adopted the Amendments with the direction that I meet with the... Dale Young and the Department of Local Governmental Affairs and with John Morris from the State Property Tax Appeal Board and the Assistant State's Attorney from Lake County who had helped draft the Bill to work on some disagreements that they had in Amendment 2 and 3. That is all incorporated in Amendment #4 and meets all of the objections that John Morris had and worked out the problems that they had with computations by the County Clerk and it is now an agreed Amendment. I would move for the... on the motion to table 1, 2 and 3 because it is now part of Amendment #4."

Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman moves to table Amendments 1, 2 and 3. Is there any discussion? There being no discussion the question is, shall Amendments 1, 2 and 3 be tabled. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', all those opposed by saying 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The motion carries. The Amendments are tabled. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #4, Matijevich. Amends House Bill 3138 on page 1, line 1 and 2 and so forth." Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I sort of discussed how Amendment #4 came out. It was a worked-on, agreed Amendment by the parties involved and I would move for the adoption of Amendment #4."

Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #4. Mr. Edgar. Excuse me, Mr. Ryan."

Ryan: "Would the Gentleman respond?"

Speaker Madigan: "Yes."

Ryan: "Representative, can you tell me what Amendment #4, what exactly what it does? I see the Amendments you've tabled were technical Amendments, but can you tell me what Amendment #4 does specifically?"

Matijevich: "Well, Representative Ryan, 2 and 3 were not technical. They were substantive in language, but #3 placed some limitations on the State Property Tax Appeal Board. So Amendment #4 takes those limitations out and, therefore, John Morris, from the State Property Tax Appeal Board, agreed to that part of the Amendment. Also, there was language regarding the manner in which County Clerks or
County Collectors as far as the computations, either when there's increased... increased or reductions in assessments, how to go about the recomputation and that has all been agreed on, too. That's the purport of the Amendment #4. It now, it now...

Ryan: "Mr. Speaker, do you know or does this Amendment affect the fiscal note that's been filed on this Bill?"

Matijevich: "It's been filed, George."

Ryan: "Does this Amendment make any changes in that fiscal note?"

Matijevich: "No, the fiscal note was sent by the Department of Local Governmental Affairs with knowledge of the... Amendment #4. In fact, they helped us with Amendment #4 and they also, in fact, are in support of the Bill."

Ryan: "You're telling, you're talking... who is 'they'? The Department?"

Matijevich: "Well, Dale Young from the Department of Local Governmental Affairs."

Ryan: "I see. Thank you."

Speaker Madigan: "Is there any further discussion? Mr. Edgar."

Edgar: "Would the Sponsor of the Amendment yield?"

Speaker Madigan: "The Sponsor indicates that he will yield."

Edgar: "Representative Matijevich, in Committee if I remember right this Bill basically knocked out a court decision in favor of some claimants on a tax matter in Vermillion County. Does this have... does this Amendment have any affect on that or is that part of the Bill still there?"

Matijevich: "Yes, the validation aspect of the Bill and I know you're opposed to that part of it, Representative Edgar, is still in the Bill. This Amendment does not change that."

Edgar: "My problem... isn't corrected by this..."

Matijevich: "Your problem is with the Bill, not the Amendment."

Edgar: "Okay."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "Yes, I don't always find myself in agreement with what the Department of Local Government Affairs thinks best is best for the taxpayers, so I would like to specifically know what this Amendment does. I cannot find a copy of it."
Matijevich: "Well, the..."

Speaker Madigan: "Would the Republican Pages please give Mr. Skinner a copy of the Amendment? Are the Republican Pages present today? Mr. Ryan, one of your Members, Mr. Skinner, is unable to obtain a copy of this Amendment. Would any Members of your staff have copies of this Amendment that they could give to Mr. Skinner? Mr. O'Brien has a copy."

Skinner: "Who's Mr. O'Brien? Which Mr. O'Brien? Oh..."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Wolf."

Wolf: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I hate to interrupt this high-level debate, but I just wanted to make a quick introduction. In the gallery is our schatzmeister, our Chairman of our German dinner last night, Steve Schickel and with him is Mr. Peter Kern, who supplied the Henniger beer from Kern imports and he does not claim responsibility for those absent here this morning, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "Well, I have the Amendment and I'm halfway through it, Sir. Thank you very much."

Speaker Madigan: "Do you have anything to offer? Is there any further discussion? There being no further discussion, the question is, shall Amendment #4 be adopted. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', all those opposed by saying 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'eyes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #5, Skinner. Amends House Bill 3138 on page 1 by deleting line 1 through 3 and so forth."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Matijevich, you might be happy to know that there are now twenty-one Amendments offered to your Bill, primarily by our good friend, Mr. Skinner. Who is the Sponsor of this Amendment?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Representative Skinner."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I think that your having made that comment ought to give me latitude to indicate why I have introduced these Amendments. May I do so?"

Speaker Madigan: "Does anyone object? There are objections to you,
Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "Well, then I will just tell you why I've introduced the fifth Amendment. This Bill is basically an anti-taxpayer Bill. It takes away rights from the taxpayer because of publication deadlines that the Township Assessors and Supervisor of Assessments have not met last year. People who pay their taxes under protest this year if this Bill doesn't pass will get refunds, rather large refunds. In fact, anyone who built a new house will not end up paying any taxes if this Bill isn't passed. Now since this is such an anti-taxpayer Bill, I thought perhaps the Sponsor of the Bill might want to do something to sort of fuzz up his image in his district. And so, I have proposed a series of Amendments, the first one of which we are considering which are pro-taxpayer. This Amendment goes to the cost that everyone downstate who wants to pay his or her property taxes under protest must pay for each and every Bill that they wish to pay under protest. Now last year or I guess it was perhaps last Session when we were raising the salaries of the Circuit Clerks, the Circuit Clerks came in with a fee increase Bill. And one of the things they snuck past that nobody noticed, but only for downstate Illinois - not for Chicago, was the requirement that if you want to pay your taxes under protest, you have to pay ten dollars per tax bill. Now in the City of... in the County of Cook, one must pay the ordinary legal... the ordinary filing fee that one would... that one would have to pay for other cases and one may include as many tax bills under one case as one wishes. Now this is a gross inequity and just an incredible burden upon downstate taxpayers that Cook County taxpayers are not forced to bear. Now if indeed we are going to take rights away from taxpayers as the Gentleman's Bill from... the Gentleman from North Chicago's Bill will actually do and hold assessors who have not followed state law harmless by forgiving them their refusal to follow state law. It seems to me that we ought to have... give just a little bit back to the taxpayer and allow downstate Illinois to be equal to Cook County. That is all this Amendment does and I would ask for your support."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, through
the Amendments that Representative Skinner is trying to place on this Bill, he is trying to muddy up my Bill with legislation and House Bill 777 that he couldn't even get out of Committee. Now, Mr. Chairman, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'm... I'm for..."

Speaker Madigan: "Continue, Mr. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, I've been here twelve years. If there's a Member on the floor of this House can come up to me and say that except for appropriation Bills, I have offered an Amendment on their legislation that would put their Bill in a different posture than they'd like to have it, come forth right now. I can get political on this floor, but I've never damaged anybody's Bill on an Amendment that I offered that would jeopardize the passage of their Bill. I've never done it. That's what this Amendment and the other Amendments that Representative Skinner is offering. Now, it's a matter of my Bill being an anti-taxpayer Bill, my Assistant State's Attorney said that this could affect twenty-five to thirty-five million dollars in taxes in my county. That's not little taxpayers. My Bill is not an anti-taxpayer Bill and I don't want it jeopardized by this nor any other Amendment and I urge the failure of the adoption of this Amendment."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "There was a legitimate point of order, Mr. Speaker, which you failed to recognize. And that is that this was not included in House Bill 777. And whenever Representative Matijevich gets the floor again, he can apologize for that. This Bill has to do with the tax protest process which the Bill does deal with and it gives rights to taxpayers which should not have been taken away from them and I don't believe would have been taken away from them by this General Assembly had we known what was in the Circuit Clerk's Fee Increase Bill when we passed it. If you want taxpayers to be able to contest the property tax and if you believe that property taxes are actually the number one issue in the State of Illinois, this Amendment certainly should be adopted. And I would certainly ask for a Roll Call on this so we can find out who's on the taxpayer's side and who isn't."
Speaker Madigan: "Mrs. Breslin."

Breslin: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Madigan: "Thank you. The question is, shall the main question now be put? All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', all those opposed by saying 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The motion carries. The Chair recognizes Mr. Matijevich...

Mr. Skinner, to close the debate."

Skinner: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I already had closed the debate."

Speaker Madigan: "Thank you. The question is, shall Amendment #5 be adopted. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye'. Mr. Skinner requests a Roll Call. Is he joined by five others? He is joined by five others. The question is, shall Amendment #5 be adopted? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', all those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Mr. DiPrima, have you recorded yourself on this Roll Call? Mr. Nardulli. Mr. Mautino. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Mr. Skinner, to explain his vote."

Skinner: "Well, since a lot of people don't seem to know what we're voting on here and since it's fairly significant, I'd like to explain the Amendment again. Downstate taxpayers have to pay ten dollars per tax bill to pay taxes under protest. Taxpayers in Cook County on the other hand, do not have to pay ten dollars per tax bill. The lawyers who file the suit only have to file a regular filing fee. What this Amendment does is make downstate equal to Cook County. And how any downstate legislator or for that matter any Cook County legislator can be against equal protection for taxpayers between downstate Illinois and Chicago... Cook County just passes my understanding. If this does not pass, I definitely want a verification. I would appreciate an oral one, maybe if people are able to stand up."

Speaker Skinner: "Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished?

The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 76 'ayes', 74 'noes'. The Chair recognizes Mr. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Well, rather than polling the absentees, I think maybe I'll request an oral verification."

Speaker Madigan: "We shall have an oral verification. All Members will
please be in their chairs. Clerk will proceed with the verification. Will all unauthorized personnel please leave the floor? Will all Members please be in their chair? And as each Member votes, will they please push their buttons? Proceed, Mr. Clerk."


Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, I wonder if the Parliamentarian could come up here. I’d like to now ask if this is germane. And I think that you can question the germaneness, that is timely at any time because if an Amendment is not germane, it is truly out of order. And I’ve looked at the Amendment much more closely now and I question its germaneness."

Speaker Madigan: "Will the Parliamentarian please come to the podium?
Mr. Epstein. Mr. Epstein, will you please come to the podium? House rules that the Amendment is germane. The Clerk will proceed with the verification."


Speaker Madigan: "Record Mr. Capparelli as 'no'."

'present'. Hart, 'present'. Hoffman, pass. Holewinski, 'no'.


Madison, pass. Mahar, 'aye'. Mann, pass. Margulis, 'aye'. Marovitz, pass, 'no'. Marovitz was 'no'. Lynn Martin, 'aye'.


McLendon, 'no'. McMaster, 'aye'. McPike, pass. McPike, 'no'.


Schunemann, 'aye'. Sharp, pass. Shumpert, 'no'. Simms, 'aye'.


Speaker Madigan: "Poll the absentees. Everyone will be picked up in the poll of the absentees."

Clerk O'Brien: "Abramson."

Speaker Madigan: "And the Members will please be in their chairs."


Speaker Madigan: "Murphy 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Murphy, 'aye'. Richmond, 'aye'. Robinson, pass.


Steczo, pass. Summer, pass. Tipsword, 'aye'. Van Duyne, 'aye'.

Von Boeckman, 'aye'. Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Hart 'aye'. Mr. Harris 'aye'. Mr. Birchler 'aye'. All the downstate guys want to vote 'aye'. Just record them all 'aye'. Everybody from downstate, record them 'aye'. Lucco 'aye'. Luft 'aye'. Luft, Luft. Christensen 'aye'. Breslin 'aye'.

Mr. Brummet from 'no' to 'aye'. Mr. Stuffle 'aye'. Any other changes? Mr. Mulcahey 'aye'. Any other changes? What's the count, Mr. Clerk? On this question there are 93 'ayes', 45 'noes'. The Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #6, Skinner. Amends House Bill 3138 on page 1 by deleting line 1 and 2 and so forth."

Speaker Madigan: "Who's the Sponsor of the Amendment, Mr. Skinner? And Mr. Houlihan."

D. Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, I'd question the germaneness of Amendment #6 to the Bill. And alternatively if the Parliamentarian and the Chair should rule it germane, I would question the form of the Amendment. It does not appear to be in proper form in that it fails to amend the Bill as now amended."

Speaker Madigan: "Committee Reports and Messages from the Senate."
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Clerk O'Brien: "Representative Capparelli, Chairman of the Committee on Executive, to which the following Bills were referred; action taken May 11, 1978. Reported the same back with the following recommendations: be adopted House Resolution 14, 760, House Joint Resolution 11, 42, 82, Senate Joint Resolution 19; not be adopted House Resolution 120; be adopted as amended House Joint Resolution 48; tabled in Committee House Resolution 804, House Joint Resolution 7. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has adopted the following Senate Joint Resolution and the adoption of which I'm instructed to ask concurrence of the House of Representatives, to wit. Senate Joint Resolution #185. Adopted by the Senate, May 12, 1978. Kenneth Wright, Secretary."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes Mr. Lechowicz, on the Adjournment Resolution."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move that the House adopt Senate Joint Resolution #85. This is the Adjournment Resolution. When we adjourn today, the House will reconvene Tuesday, May 16, at one o'clock and the Senate will reconvene Tuesday, May 16, at twelve o'clock. And I move for the adoption of Senate Joint Resolution #85."

Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of the Adjournment Resolution. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', all those opposed by saying 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, the Resolution is adopted. Mr. Barnes."

E.M. Barnes: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. If I can, at this point I would like to waive the... have unanimous consent to waive the appropriate rule so that Appropriations II can meet Tuesday at ten a.m. to hear House Bill 2972 which is the Department of Public Health annual O.C.E. That's Tuesday at ten a.m. for House Bill 2972. And at the same time, Mr. Speaker, if I may, I'd like to waive the rules to have heard Wednesday at our regular meeting, House Bill 3374 and House Bill 3375. 3374 is a supplemental for the Department of Health, all federal funds. 3375 is a supplemental for the Bureau of the Budget."

Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman moves for the suspension of the posting..."
requirements to allow for the hearing of Bills in the Appropriations Committee next Wednesday. Is there leave? Leave being granted, the motion carries, the posting requirements are suspended for those Bills. The ruling of the Chair is that Amendment §6 as to form is in conflict with Amendment §5; and, therefore, out of order. For what purpose does Mr. Skinner seek recognition?"

Skinner: "To ask if the form is that it just doesn't have the two words, "as amended", with a comma before and after the phrase?"

Speaker Madigan: "The ruling of the Chair goes far beyond mere technicalities and is of a substantive nature. Are there any further Amendments?"

Skinner: "Stan Johnson of the..."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment §7, Skinner. Amends House Bill 3138 on page 1, line 2 and 7 by inserting '145' and so forth."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, Amendment §7 is a... an attempt to bring property tax reform to those counties with... in which the Boards of Review refuse to equalize assessments. There are, by applying township multipliers. There are many counties in the State of Illinois including McHenry, Winnebago, Kane..."

Speaker Madigan: "For what purpose does Mr. Houlihan arise? Mr. Houlihan."

D. Houlihan: "I raise the same objection to Amendment §7 as I did to Amendment §6. It is in improper form."

Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman's point is well taken and this Amendment also as to form is in conflict with the Bill; and, therefore, out of order. Are there further Amendments? The Clerk informs me that the remainder of the Amendments on file have not been printed. Mr. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, then I would accordingly ask that this Bill be moved to Third Reading. The Speaker had been ruling that when the Sponsor of the Bill is ready with his Bill on Second Reading and he wishes to move it, that we do that. I've called my Bill on Second Reading and I don't think I ought to be in the position where I'm holding my Bill on Second Reading for somebody who just on this day filed additional Amendments. We've done that before and I... I think it ought to be moved to Third. We'll move it back to Second.
I'll do that, but I don't think I ought to be in a position of holding a Bill on Second forever."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Matijevich, have you offered a motion?"

Matijevich: "I move that House Bill 3138 be moved to the Order of Third Reading."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would like to withdraw that motion and place in its stead a motion that all further Amendments be tabled. I believe they're dilatory. They've been just filed and, therefore, I think my motion is in order. I move to table all further Amendments to House Bill 3138."

Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman moves to table all further Amendments on the grounds that they are dilatory in nature. Since this Bill has been on the Calendar for approximately two and a half weeks and all further Amendments were only filed at the time that the Bill was called for consideration this morning. Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, there's something much greater at stake here than the... what the Gentleman suggests. What's at stake here is the credibility of the Democratic Party and their attempts to use property taxes as an issue in this fall's election. I contend it's all rhetoric and no dilatory. And I think that there is adequate evidence to back up that charge. Number one, not one, not one legis... not, one reform of the administrative process of the property tax got out of Committee, not one Bill. Number two, in the Appropriations Committee..."

Speaker Madigan: "For what purpose does the Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich, arise?"

Matijevich: "Well, respectfully, he's not speaking to the motion and be, therefore, is out of order."

Speaker Madigan: "Your point is well taken. Restrict your comments to the motion, Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "Amendments are administrative reform Amendments that have been backed up by studies that's stacked higher than my Bill book from the time the Representative who is Sponsor of this Bill has been in the General Assembly. I have them in my office. Not one Bill got out of Committee. There are two vehicles on the Calendar."
One is sponsored by the Gentleman of North Chicago and the second one is sponsored by the Speaker. There are incredible inequities in the State of Illinois as far as property taxes go. Homeowners in St. Clair County, for example, who happen to live along the river and who are black are assessed at forty-two percent of fair market value while homeowners on the hill who are white are assessed at less than thirty percent of fair market value. And some Gentleman named Michael Costello, who is the Board of Review member in St. Clair County, says, 'The D.L.G.A. knows that we should use township multipliers to equalize the assessment. So we've looked at the township multiplier figures and decided it won't work... There's no discussion on it. It's our opinion and we're not going to use them.' Now by denying the consideration of these Amendments, what you are doing is saying that the property tax in St. Clair County shall be a tax on color. If you're black and poor and live along the river, you're going to pay a higher tax bill than if you're white and a little more affluent and live on the hill. Now if the Democratic Party of the State of Illinois wants to take that kind of a stand, let them."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Simms."

Simms: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to move that Representative Matijevich's motion lie upon the table. I think it's a poor precedent that we're establishing of starting to table a massive amount of Amendments on a Bill that's meaningful because it's going to set a precedent for the rest of this Session. And for that reason, I move that this motion lie on the table."

Speaker Madigan: "For what purpose does Mr. Matijevich arise?"

Matijevich: "Well, if the Gentleman from Winnebago can find the rule where a motion to table can... have a motion to table, let me know where it is, Representative Skinner. But look at your rule book for a couple years."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Simms, your motion is out of order. Mr. Daniels. Mr. Ryan."

Ryan: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. It's too bad that we have to get into this kind of a hassle this early. I would appeal to the fairness of both the Sponsor of
the motion and the Chair and ask that Representative Matijevich withdraw his motion and that you take these Amendments in a fair, due process, one at a time and make your ruling accordingly. I think you're setting a very bad precedent and I don't think either one of you really want to be a part of that process. And I would ask that you withdraw your motion and that the Chair take these Amendments one at a time as they're supposed to be taken and ruled upon as they're supposed to be done."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Representative Ryan, I'm not an unreasonable man. This Bill has been sitting here for two and a half weeks. I don't think I ought to be placed in any different position than any other Member of the floor. I would certainly do that for you. I'd do it for others on the floor of the House. I know the purpose of this. They were just filed today. If they weren't filed today, we'd go through them one at a time, George; and I'd be the first to sit and sweat it out and do what we have to do. But because they have just been filed, I can't go along with that. And I don't think you would either."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Daniels. Mr. Ryan."

Ryan: "Representative, I might say that I don't know how long your Bill's been on the Calendar, but I know that we've amended Bills that have been on the Calendar a lot longer than two weeks or three weeks. We've amended some that had even been held over from one year to the next. And I don't see where that should have any bearing on this. I think that as far as the process is concerned, if the Gentleman has Amendments filed and they're not in order or they're not germane, then I can't argue with that. But I think that the precedent that you're about to set here is a very poor one and I would again make my appeal if not to you, Representative, to the Chair to rule that motion out of order."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Ryan, the Gentleman's motion is hardly out of order. He made the motion and if you disagree, you can vote against the motion. And at this time, we can put the motion to a Roll Call. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', all those opposed by voting 'no'. Mr. Daniels, to explain his vote."
Daniels: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I agree with the Minority Leader in his statement as regarding the efficacy of denying a Member of this House the ability to hear some of his own Amendments. I remind you of the legislation that we... dealing with probably one of the most critical areas that we're dealing with in this General Assembly - being the real property taxation. And I think if we can do it to one Member, you can do to another. And I do remind you, Mr. Speaker, that on the issue of merit selection, that we took that Bill out of the record and held until Amendments were printed that had been filed during the debate. And I ask that as one of the Chief Sponsors of the Merit Selection Bill, and you made my Bill wait until the Amendments were printed and heard, that you give the same consideration to Representative Skinner on this very important Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "All voted who wished? Mr. Ewell, to explain his vote."

Ewell: "Mr. Speaker, in commenting on the motion, I think that we do in fact, have to be fair. That is the one duty of a legislative Body, to be fair. Not only are most of the Members precluded from introducing or having Bills simply because they are... cannot get their Bills past Rules; but if we don't even allow the Bills to be amended, we are indeed committing a travesty on the process of legislation. It can be pointed out that if you happen to be a part of the Membership, it's possible to put your entire package in a Conference Committee. This is not a privilege which is allowed to the lesser Members of this House. So I do think in all fairness, the absolute minimum that ought to be accorded Members is a chance to amend the Bills."

Speaker Madigan: "Have all voted who wished? Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 45 'ayes', 78 'noes', 4 voting 'present' and the motion fails. Mr. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I naturally am going to take this Bill out of the record. But I'd like to only point one thing because it's been mentioned about the Democratic Party. I know of six counties that are adopting Resolutions in behalf of House Bill 3138. Every one of them are
-dominated by Republicans. They are the ones that are going to be hounding you if you jeopardize this Bill with those Amendments that... Call, if you put it in the form of a Bill, you can put me as Chief Sponsor, as Co-sponsor, but not with my Bill. And I don't like it... no Member here would like that and I know what you're going to do as a party to do it, but I don't think that any political party ought to do that and make a partisan thing out of something that in the end, Republican County Boards are going to suffer from."

Speaker Madigan: "This Bill shall be taken from the record. And Mr. Waddell, for what purpose do you arise?"

Waddell: "On that last vote, I had pushed my button 'aye' and it did not record so I would like to be recorded as 'aye'."

Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman shall be recorded as 'aye' on the last motion. Mr. Skinner on a point of personal privilege. The Gentleman, Mr. Waddell, wishes to be recorded as 'no' on the last motion and to thank Mrs. Geo-Karls for correcting his vote. Mr. Skinner, on a point of personal privilege."

Skinner: "Well, my name was used in debate, Mr. Speaker. And as everybody in this House knows, on property tax reform I am not a partisan person. But also everyone knows, that not one Bill got out of the House Revenue Committee that had property tax reform written into it. There are only two vehicles. And what I'm trying to do is to give this House an opportunity to vote on discreet proposals that should be in individual Bills, but there is no way to put them in individual Bills. None... none of the Bills affect Cook County. They're all downstate Bills. And I definitely appreciate what the House has given up... the opportunity to consider these proposals which had been recommended, many of which have been recommended not by one General Assembly but by two General Assemblies going back to 1973. And none of the reforms have passed. Thank you very much."

Speaker Madigan: "House Bill 3147, Mr. Harris."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3147."

Speaker Madigan: "Are there any Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "A Bill for an Act to establish a voluntary program whereby private forest landowners may earn sufficient moneys to
assure by agreement the perpetuation and scientific resource management of their forest lands. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, Winchester-Harris. Amends House Bill 3147 on page 1, line 21..."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Harris."

Harris: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. As one Amendment... this is a clean-up Amendment to House Bill 3147 which was reported out of the Energy, Environment Resource Committee with a thirteen to zero vote in Committee. Some Members suggested that Amendment to take care of some minor corrections. Amendment #1 makes those changes. Representative Winchester and myself have discussed the changes with two of the Committee Members, Representative Getty, Representative McMaster. And I understand that they support the Amendment. I move for adoption of the Amendment."

Clerk O'Brien: "Representative Bradley in the Chair."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Champaign, Mr. Johnson."

Johnson: "If it wouldn't be too much, I'd like to ask what the Amendment does."

Harris: "The Amendment on page 1 inserts immediately after 'industry' the following: 'or a corporation deriving in excess of fifty percent of his corporate income from other than farm products.' This means that a large corporate person owning some land and they were wanting to... to become involved in this program, they could not because they are in raising forest products for profit. On page 2, line 31 by inserting after 'any' the words 'direct or indirect'. For example, if a large corporation had a subsidiary, they would be eligible to join the program. And on page 3, line 17 by deleting 'payments shall be' and inserting in lieu thereof 'the Department shall make payment to taxing entities'. The language wasn't clear. This clarifies the language as to who would get the payments for entering the program."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Johnson."

Johnson: "Is the effect then to tighten up the ownership standards or to make them more liberal?"
Harris: "It's to tighten up the standards in my judgment, Sir."

Speaker Bradley: "Further questions, Mr. Johnson? Further discussion? If not, the question is on the adoption of the Amendment. All in favor of adoption of Amendment #1 signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Bradley: "Third Reading. 3153."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3153. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Environmental Protection Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Madison, Mr. Sharp."

Sharp: "Yeah, I have Amendment #2. I am handling the Bill, so..."

Speaker Bradley: "Are there any motions relative to Amendment #1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Bradley: "Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, Sharp. Amends House Bill 3153 on page 1, line 1 and so forth."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Sharp on Amendment #2."

Sharp: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, Amendment #2 takes care of a number of questions that were raised pertaining to the imposition of the fee for disposal of hazardous wastes in landfills in Illinois. A number of questions were raised in Committee about what fee we were talking about so we put it into the Bill. A number of concerns were shown that we were not distinguishing between sanitary landfills and hazardous waste landfills and we did that in the Bill. There was also concern as to the manner in which we addressed closure of the hazardous waste landfill and responsibilities afterward whether they would conflict with federal guidelines that are expected this summer. And so we took care of these problems. I think we addressed all concerned pertaining to the establishment of a perpetual care fund for hazardous waste landfills and I would ask for that adoption of this Amendment."

Speaker Bradley: "Discussion? If not, the question's on the adoption of Amendment #1. All in favor signify by saying 'aye'... Amendment #2, say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and that Amendment's..."
adopted. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, Deuster. Amends House Bill 3153 as amended in the title and so forth."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Deuster."

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the Bill itself has to do with landfills and I prepared Amendment #3, 4 and 5 because the landfill and the garbage dump subject is vital to my constituents and many others and I had prepared an Amendment to require the E.P.A. find that before they approve a garbage dump in the area, that they had to find that there be a need for it. However, although that's the substance of my Amendment, we were fortunate in beating the garbage dump in my area and Representative Sharp has visited with me about this Bill. And he indicates his feeling that because the landfill subject has been so controversial that I would really jeopardize the possibility of his Bill being accepted and moving along in the Senate. And out of respect to the long and hard work he has put in in developing this Bill which relates to a special area - the hazardous waste operators - although I do want the record to show that my Amendments are vital to me and important I think, I think we can wait and consider them on the merits next year. So at this time, I would move to withdraw Amendment 3 and also Amendment 4 and Amendment #5."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Deuster, does the Chair understand you? What did you want to do? Take all three... move all 3, 4 and 5 at the same time? Oh, you're withdrawing the 3, 4..."

Deuster: "I'm withdrawing 3, 4 and 5."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman has withdrawn 3, 4 and 5. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Bradley: "Third Reading. 3157."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3157. A Bill for an Act in relation to the revocation or suspension of a license of physicians and other practitioners in certain regulated professions. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Bradley: "Are there any motions relative to Amendment #1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."
Speaker Bradley: "Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, Pullen. Amends House Bill 3157 as amended on page 3 and so forth."

Speaker Bradley: "The... Mrs. Pullen, the Sponsor's not on the floor. We're going to take that out of the record. The Sponsor of the Bill, not the Sponsor of the Amendment. Oh, all right. Mr. Houlihan will handle the Bill and the Lady from Cook, Mrs. Pullen, with Amendment #2."

Pullen: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #2 takes out the word 'suspension' so that someone who is terminated from participation under the medicaid program, in that case it could be grounds for termination for suspension or revocation of license under R. and E. I move for the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Houlihan."

D. Houlihan: "I join with the Sponsor of the Amendment on behalf of the Sponsor of the Bill and we accept the Amendment. We accept the Amendment, support it."

Speaker Bradley: "All right. On the... question's on the adoption of Amendment #2. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Bradley: "Third Reading. 3158."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3158. A Bill..."

Speaker Bradley: "There is a request for a fiscal note on 3158. It has not been filed. 3160."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3160. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Public Aid Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Bradley: "Any motions relative to Amendment #1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Bradley: "Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Bradley: "Third Reading. 3161."

Speaker Bradley: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, Pullen. Amends House Bill 3161 on page 1 by deleting line 5 and so forth."

Speaker Bradley: "The Lady from Cook, Mrs. Pullen, on Amendment #1."

Pullen: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Bill requires reporting to the General Assembly and we all know how many reports we have stacking up on our desks every day and this Amendment which is accepted by the Sponsor in the Committee hearing simply says that the report may be a report to the Clerk of the House and the Secretary of the Senate and to the Legislative Advisory Committee on Public Aid and that reporting simply to those three people would satisfy the requirements of this Bill so that we would have their report on record if we wish to look at it. But it saves a great deal of expense in printing a lengthy report. I move its adoption."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Houlihan."

D. Houlihan: "Agree with the Sponsor of the Amendment. It's a good Amendment. We support it."

Speaker Bradley: "All right, question's on the adoption of Amendment #1. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Bradley: "Third Reading. 3164."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3164. A Bill for an Act to require consolidation of administrative decisions which concern one subject under the direction of Officers of the Governor. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Bradley: "Amendments from the floor."

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Bradley: "Third Reading. 3165."


Speaker Bradley: "Committee Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Bradley: "Amendments from the floor."
Clerk O’Brien: "None."

Speaker Bradley: "Third Reading. 3177."

Clerk O’Brien: "House Bill 3177. A Bill...

Speaker Bradley: "Out of the record at the request of the Sponsor. 3184."

Clerk O’Brien: "House Bill 3184. A Bill...

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Will you take this out of the record? The Sponsor, Mr. Redmond, isn't here at the moment."

Speaker Bradley: "Take it out of the record at the request of the Sponsor. 3186."


Speaker Bradley: "Out of the record. 3187. Out of the record. 3190."

Clerk O’Brien: "House Bill 3190. A Bill for an Act...

Speaker Bradley: "Out of the record. 3191."

Clerk O’Brien: "House Bill 3191. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act in relation to state finance. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments 1 and 2 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Bradley: "Any motions relative to Amendment 1 and 2?"

Clerk O’Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Bradley: "Third... further Amendments."

Clerk O’Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, Totten. Amends House Bill 3191 as amended by adding on page 1, line 19 the word 'Commissions the following: the R.T.A.'"

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Totten."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #3 to House Bill 3191 adds the R.T.A. to the listing of governmental agencies which would have to receive its appropriation from the state. Presently, this money, these federal funds which this Bill directs go directly to the agency with us having nothing to say about it. Because of the controversy which continues to surround the R.T.A. perhaps if the state had more to say about its financing we could make this agency much more responsive and I know this is what the Sponsor of the Bill wants to do in making these agencies responsive. Presently, there's about a hundred and..."
ninety million dollars or a hundred and twenty million dollars which is...up the funds which just scoot right by us and go to the R.T.A. And this is what the Sponsor wants to do. I'm sure he would support this Amendment, but it's just been...it's been brought to my attention that we can't do it in the manner that's been proposed in Amendment #3. And the Sponsor has promised me he'd work to see if we could get it on some other way and so I'm going to have to move to table Amendment #3."

Speaker Bradley: "Withdraw the Amendment. Are there further Amendments?"
Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
Speaker Bradley: "Third Reading. 3192."
Speaker Bradley: "Amendments from the floor."
Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, Giorgi. Amends House Bill..."
Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Giorgi."
Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, this is a simple Amendment. All it does is clean up some of the language of 3192 and it allows the Productivity Council become a part of the Bureau of the Budget. I move for the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Bradley: "Any discussion? The Gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Ryan."
Ryan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Gentleman yield?"
Speaker Bradley: "Yes, he indicates he will."
Giorgi: "Yes, Sir."
Ryan: "Representative Giorgi, would you speak a little clearer in explaining to me what this Amendment does please?"
Giorgi: "Yes, this is an Amendment to House Bill 3192 and it has to do with the Productivity Council and it says in the Amendment that after two years, the Productivity Council becomes a part of the Bureau of the Budget. And there's a three percent target area that they'd like to see increased in efficiency and productivity and that's all it does."

Ryan: "Mr. Speaker, has this Amendment been distributed? Has this Amendment been distributed?"
Speaker Bradley: "I'm informed it has not been distributed as yet."
We'll take it out of the record and take the Bill out of the record. 3193. Out of the record on 3193? 3200."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3200. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act to create sanitary districts. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Bradley: "Amendments from the floor."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, Telcsr. Amends House Bill 3200 on page 4, line 4 and so forth."

Speaker Bradley: "Is Mr. Domico in the chambers? We'll take it out of the record. 3201, Mr. Ewell."


Speaker Bradley: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, Conti. Amends House Bill 3201 on page 1 by deleting lines 1, 2 and 3 and so forth."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Conti, on the floor? Here he comes."

Conti: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I know this General Assembly in the last fourteen years have been interested in reapportionment. And this Amendment to 3201 will appeal to all fair-minded people who believe in the one man - one vote rule. In Cook County, we have the Metropolitan Sanitary District, in the last ten or twelve years the Minority Party has not been recognized only by having one or two Members on the nine Member Board of the Metropolitan Sanitary District. What this Amendment does is have four elected from the suburban areas and five from the City of Chicago. And I think that's an equal amount. There are three million people in the City of Chicago and there are two million, seven hundred thousand people in the suburbs. Mr. Speaker, I would like the attention of those especially..."

Speaker Bradley: "Just a minute, Mr. Conti. What purpose does the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Houlihan, arise?"

D. Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, I question the germaneness of this Amendment to the Bill. The Bill itself refers to the Purchasing Act of the Metropolitan Sanitary District, whereas the Amendment here refers..."
to the manner of selection, the election of the Membership of the Sanitary District. Obviously, the Amendment is not germane to this Bill."

Conti: "If I can finish the... if I can finish the explanation of the Amendment, it probably will sound a little more germane to the Bill."

Speaker Bradley: "Well, the Gentleman has questioned the germaneness and he's asking for a ruling of the Chair, Mr. Conti. In the opinion of the Chair, Mr. Conti, the Bill itself is limited to the Purchasing Act and you're trying to expand to the Bill into covering the composition of the Board of the Sanitary District which is not, of course, covered in the Purchasing Act. So I don't see how I can rule that it is germane, the Amendment is germane to the Purchasing Act."

Conti: "Well, this is what prompted the Amendment. This is the Purchasing Act with the Metropolitan Sanitary District. There's close to eight billion dollars, there's going to be spent this year and the people that... two million, seven hundred thousand people out in the suburbs won't even have an opportunity to voice... on that Board unless we..."

Speaker Bradley: "I think... sir, I... the Chair would not debate that point with you at all and certainly would never enter into any debate, but the question on the germaneness and we've ruled on the germaneness and it... you're going to have to find another vehicle to put that Amendment on. So the ruling of the Chair is the Amendment is not germane to the Bill... to that, to this Bill and that's a fairly... that's an honest opinion and I think you're trying to do something here with the Purchasing Act and it's not relative and it's not germane. So the Chair... the ruling of the Chair is it is not germane to the... it's the ruling of the Chair. Further Amendments. Take that Amendment out of the record."

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Bradley: "Third Reading. Mr. Conti."

Conti: "Mr. Speaker, can I appeal the ruling of the Chair?"

Speaker Bradley: "He was seeking recognition and if... you want... that's a valid motion anytime. So the Gentleman is appealing the ruling of the Chair. The question is, shall the Chair be overruled? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Houlihan."
D. Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, you gave the Gentleman a great deal of time and courtesy. In fact, you almost got into a debate with him about your ruling. Now, you have ruled. There were no further Amendments. The Bill has now gone to Third Reading. His request now to appeal the ruling of the Chair is obviously not timely. Now, the Chair has been more than courteous with the Member. We should proceed to the next Bill."

Speaker Bradley: "The Chair appreciates the dissent, but the Gentleman was seeking recognition and obviously he thinks he... the Chair ruled in the wrong manner and he's seeking to appeal the ruling of the Chair. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Telcser."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker, you failed to recognize me. I wonder if you would cite to the Members why the Amendment is not germane. They're both..."

Speaker Bradley: "It was already explained, Mr. Telcser. I said that the Bill is to the Purchasing Act and he's attempting to do other things other than amend the Purchasing Act with this legislation."

Telcser: "It's the Metropolitan..."

Speaker Bradley: "He's attempting evidently..."

Telcser: "But it's the Metropolitan Sanitary District Act."

Speaker Bradley: "...to decide how to... makeup of the Membership will be."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker, it's the Metropolitan..."

Speaker Bradley: "That is not covered by the Purchasing Act. That was the ruling."

Telcser: "But, Mr. Speaker, the Act is the Metropolitan Sanitary District Purchasing Act."

Speaker Bradley: "Where's the Bill?"

Telcser: "Well, it's a Section. But the Act is the Metropolitan Sanitary District Act. We're amending the same Act and the Bill..."

Speaker Bradley: "The Bill is addressing itself to the Purchasing Act and the Amendment is purchasing... is addressing itself to the make-up... to a separate Act - the makeup of the Board of the Sanitary District. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, you have rendered your ruling and there's no need for you to engage in a colloquy with Representative Telcser."
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to explain the basis for your ruling."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Conti, do you persist in your appeal of the ruling
of the Chair?"

Conti: "Yes, I do, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Bradley: "All right, the question is on the... the question
is, shall the Chair be overruled? All in favor of overruling the
Chair will vote 'aye', opposed will vote 'no'. Have all voted who
wished? Have all voted who wished? Clerk will take the record.
On this question there's 66 'ayes', 84 'noes'. The Gentleman's
motion fails. House Bill 3202. Oh, the Gentleman from Madison,
Mr. Lucco."

Lucco: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,
we have our guests in the rear balcony students from the eighth
grade class of Manteno Junior High School. They have with them
their instructor, Max McRoberts. They come from the 43rd District
represented by Christensen, McBroome and Ryan. Glad to have you here."

Speaker Bradley: "3202."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3202. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections
of the Fair Employment Practices Act. Second Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Bradley: "Out of the record, request of the Sponsor. 3203."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3203. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections
of the Illinois Pension Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Com-
mittee Amendments. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Bradley: "Amendments from the floor."

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Bradley: "Third Reading. 3204."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3204. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections
of the Purchasing Act of the Metropolitan Sanitary District. Second
Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Bradley: "Amendments from the floor."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, Conti. Amends House Bill 3204 on
page 1 by deleting line 1, 2 and 3 and so forth."

Speaker Bradley: "There's a question of germaneness. Correct?"

D. Houlihan: "That's correct, Mr. Speaker. For the same reasons as
previously on House Bill 3201 I question the germaneness of this
floor Amendment to this Bill. It's the exact same situation. Again,
the Bill itself deals with the Purchasing Act and the Amendment does not."

Speaker Bradley: "You're absolutely correct and the ruling would be the same. It is the Purchasing Act. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Conti."

Conti: "Mr. Speaker, I remember not too long ago, in fact, a few months back when we had the removing of an obstruction of waterways in Cook County and in that particular Bill we had a fifty cent tax rate increase without a referendum for the Sanitary District. I didn't hear anybody complain about the germaneness of the Bill at that time. It was a shell Bill to put through this bond referendum and I think that we are disenfranchising two million, seven hundred thousand people in the suburbs when we're not allowing them to have a voice on an eight billion dollar expenditure. And I appeal the ruling of the Chair and I also appeal the ruling of every Member of this Body that can understand that the suburban areas become the orphan of this Legislature because we have no voice, actually no voice in Cook County when you're having that large election up there."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Telcser."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, and to the Majority Leader in particular, I don't know why you're so concerned or excited... about this particular Bill. But I think, Mr. Speaker, you ought to really seriously consider what your ruling is saying. All the years I've been in this Assembly and I'm sure the Majority Leader if he would try to recollect he would agree with me, we have always offered Amendments to Bills as long as it amends the same Act. You're now limiting that to Sections and paragraphs. You are really constraining the ability of the Members to amend..."

Speaker Bradley: "It's not the same Act, Mr. Telcser."

Telcser: "Speaker, the Calendar, even the Calendar says it's the same Act. It is the same Act; maybe different Sections of the Act, but it is indeed the same Act."

Speaker Bradley: "One is the Purchasing Act for the Sanitary District and the Amendment..."

Telcser: "We're trying to amend the Sanitary District Act. You are really..."
Speaker Bradley: "Section 1 of the Amendment says 'An Act to create Sanitary Districts and to remove obstructions in the Des Plaines and Illinois Rivers.' Now how that gets into the Purchasing Act of the Sanitary District, Mr. Telcser, I don't know. And the ruling is it's not the same Act and it's not if you'll just read the Amendment and read the... the Bill. They're not amending the same Act. Further Amendments."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker, are you going to say the Calendar is in error?"

Speaker Bradley: "The Bill...the Amendment or the Calendar is correct. The Calendar says it amends the Purchasing Act. It's the Amendment that does not amend the Purchasing Act. 3204. Are there further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Bradley: "Third Reading. 3205. The Gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Ryan."

Ryan: "I believe you have a motion to overrule your decision from Mr. Conti and I wish you'd recognize that motion."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Conti."

Conti: "Mr. Speaker, I really feel that I didn't have the attention of the Body on this important Bill and that's why I'm appealing the ruling of the Chair again because no one knew what we were voting on before."

Speaker Bradley: "All right, is there leave for the same Roll Call? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Yourell, on the Gentleman's motion to overrule the Chair."

Yourell: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this same Amendment has been placed to three different Bills. And it's an obvious attempt to do something to these Bills that was not the intent of the legislation when it was introduced in the House. The Amendment has nothing to do with the intent of the Bill. It was drafted and passed by the Committee."

Speaker Bradley: "All right, the question is on the Gentleman's motion to overrule the Chair. Let me say this. If I'm in the Chair and it comes up again, I'm going to move that your motion is dilatory, Sir, on the next such Bill. All right, and the question is on overruling the Chair. All in favor of overruling the Chair will signify..."
by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 68 'ayes', 82 'noes' and the Gentleman's motion fails. 3205."


Speaker Bradley: "Amendments from the floor."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, Levin. Amends House Bill 3205 on page 1 by inserting and so forth."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Levin."

Levin: "This Amendment simply narrows slightly the focus of House Bill 3205, in two weeks back, there was suggested by the Department of Public Aid."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman moves the adoption of Amendment #1. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Houlihan."

D. Houlihan: "Could he explain the Amendment in a little bit more detail?"

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Levin."

D. Houlihan: "...to say that it simply narrows it. How does the Amendment fit to the Bill as far as what the Bill does and how does the Amendment restrict it?"

Levin: "Okay, one of the objections that Public Aid had is the Bill as drafted requires Public Aid to go out and affirmatively solicit people that are eligible for this precertification of medical. We removed that requirement that they go out and actively solicit such people. Secondly, rather than covering all medical assistance, it narrows the focus to in-patient hospital services which is the intent. This Bill was initially drafted by the Cook County Hospital Governing Commission to try to deal with their cash flow problem and that was the intent."

Speaker Bradley: "Further discussion? If not, the question's on the adoption. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. And Mr. Byers carries the Bill, the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

---
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Speaker Bradley: "Third Reading. 3208."


Speaker Bradley: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, O'Brien. Amends House Bill 3208 in line 1 by inserting immediately before the word 'add' the following and so forth."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. O'Brien."

O'Brien: "Yeah, Mr. Speaker and Members, we've discussed this Amendment before. It doesn't change the original intent of the Bill. However, it does change the requirement for the General Superintendent of the Sanitary District and requires that he be a resident of the State of Illinois rather than a resident of just the Metropolitan Sanitary District. And that's for the position of General Superintendent. We would have put this on in Committee. However, we didn't have it at that time and I'd ask for a favorable Roll Call on the Amendment."

Speaker Bradley: "The question's on Amendment #1. Is there any discussion? If not, all in favor of the Amendment... The Gentleman from Knox, Mr. McMaster."

McMaster: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker. I thought you would allow discussion if you recognized me. Danny, a few questions on the Amendment. What is the reason for the Amendment? Doesn't the... you're saying that you want to appoint someone, General Superintendent of the Sanitary District, no matter where he lives in the state?"

O'Brien: "That's correct, Representative. Right now, the requirement in order to serve as the General Superintendent for the Metropolitan Sanitary District is that that individual live within the boundaries of the Metropolitan Sanitary District. And we have found that there are not enough people with the necessary qualifications to fill that position and that we are limiting ourselves in terms of personnel for that important position. So what we are doing now is we are merely opening it up and saying that someone who would serve as the General Superintendent of the Park District need only live within the boundaries of the State of Illinois."
McMaster: "Do I understand then, Representative O'Brien, that you're going to change General Superintendent of the Sanitary District?"

O'Brien: "No. What I'm saying is that... Representative, if someone lived in Oneida, Illinois, and had the qualifications to serve as the General Superintendent of the Metropolitan Sanitary District, that they couldn't be hired under the present Act."

McMaster: "That's what I..."

O'Brien: "...adopt this Amendment, then they could be hired for that position if they had the other requirements that were necessary and qualifications."

McMaster: "You know, that's what I'm afraid of, Danny. We got a pretty good General Superintendent of the Sanitary District in Galesburg and I don't want you taking him away up there to the M.S.D. and Chicago and Cook County."

O'Brien: "Well, all we're trying to do is see to it that the people get the fair shake and the best qualified individual and we want to make sure that we can draw from a larger pool than that which presently we are required to draw from."

McMaster: "Does... are you trying to get somebody from Fulton County, for instance? Is that part of the M.S.D., Fulton County, where you're hauling your sludge?"

O'Brien: "No, that is not technically part of the Metropolitan Sanitary District area. But should someone from Fulton County or someone from the Environmental Protection Agency with the State of Illinois have the necessary requirements, we couldn't hire them at present."

McMaster: "Now all of a sudden, you know, you never even thought about this when we were in Committee, Danny."

Speaker Bradley: "For what purpose does the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Collins, arise?"

Collins: "Well, on a point of order, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Bradley: "State your point."

Collins: "I'm curious to know why this Amendment is any more germane than Representative Conti's were to the other Bills. It is still Chapter 42 that's attempting to be amended."

O'Brien: "Mr. Speaker, we've already had that question raised and the
Chair ruled last week that this was germane."
Collins: "Well, it's a different Chair this week and I think maybe we ought to give this one a chance."
O'Brien: "Well, the consistency, I think, would have to be there."
Collins: "Consistency is hardly a hallmark of this Chair."
McMaster: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to continue my line of questioning. Danny, does..."
Speaker Bradley: "Just a minute, Mr. McMaster. Mr. Collins, are you through?"
Collins: "No, I did question the germaneness of this Amendment."
Speaker Bradley: "Well, if it's already been ruled by the Chair, I don't see how..."
Collins: "I don't recall, Mr. Chairman. Do you recall ruling on that?"
Speaker Bradley: "I recall explicitly."
Collins: "Well then, why is your Parliamentarian going through the Bill now checking for the germaneness?"
Speaker Bradley: "He just wants to make sure we're right."
Collins: "Well, I think he... that you're wrong."
Speaker Bradley: "Mr. McMaster, are you through with your..."
McMaster: "No, no, I'm not."
Speaker Bradley: "Do you want to talk to the Amendment then, Sir?"
McMaster: "Yes, this is what we're doing. I'm..."
Speaker Bradley: "I'm not trying to hurry you along. You've had five or six questions and..."
McMaster: "Yeah, and I'm not through yet, Mr. Speaker. Do you want to cut me off? Is that it?"
Speaker Bradley: "No, Sir. No, Sir."
McMaster: "All right."
Speaker Bradley: "Proceed."
McMaster: "Then may I continue? Danny, I guess to get right down to it that's probably one important question or two to be answered yet. Where does your current General Superintendent live?"
O'Brien: "To the best of my knowledge, the Metropolitan... or the General Superintendent for the Metropolitan Sanitary District lives within the boundaries of the Metropolitan Sanitary District."
McMaster: "He lives in Cook County is what you're saying?"
O'Brien: "He lives within the boundaries of the Metropolitan Sanitary District. Not all of Cook County lies within the Metropolitan Sanitary District."

McMaster: "This is what the... you know, the rumor has come to me that your General Superintendent has purchased property that is outside of the Sanitary District although it is in Cook County. That's the real necessity of this Bill. Is that true?"

O'Brien: "Well, I haven't heard that rumor but it seems like someone around here is starting it. The thinking that I had or the rumors that had come to me is that when the General Superintendent for the Metropolitan Sanitary District was under indictment, that the Members and the Commissioners to the Board began to survey individuals within the boundaries for his position should he be found guilty. Fortunately, he was found not guilty. And... and has remained in that position. When they were looking around for someone, they found that they were at a very limited number of people that possibly could have taken his position with the necessary skills that were required. And that is the only reason that I know of why we have this present Amendment."

McMaster: "I'm not debating..."

O'Brien: "It's a very simple Amendment. I think it's fair. I think they should be allowed to draw from a larger pool of qualified people. These are specific requirements and engineering degrees that are required of an individual for the General Superintendent of the Metropolitan Sanitary District and I think it's only fair that we should draw from the entire State of Illinois."

Speaker Bradley: "This Chair is going..."

McMaster: "Danny, I..."

Speaker Bradley: "Okay just a minute, Mr. McMaster. The Chair is going to suggest that the dialogue here between questions and answers is going on quite lengthy. If you want to speak for or against the Amendment, fine, Mr. McMaster."

McMaster: "Okay, I will quit asking Danny questions then because I don't want to hurt his feelings or the feelings of the Chair. But let me say, I am not questioning the veracity or guilt or innocence of the Superintendent of the Sanitary District. I think he has been
cleared in the courts and that's not my question. But I do say this and Danny knows as well as I know that in fact the General Superintendent of the Sanitary District has purchased property that is in Cook County but not within the limits of the Sanitary District and the whole purpose of this Amendment is to clear that up only Danny doesn't want to admit it. But that's the reason."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Pierce, on the Amendment."

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think this is a wonderful first step - taking off the residency requirement for the Superintendent of the Sanitary District. I'm sure Mr. O'Brien's next Bill will be to remove the residency requirement for city and county employees in Chicago and Cook County so they can live wherever they want to live, so they can live in suburban Cook County or they can live in Lake County or DuPage County. I congratulate you on this first step attacking the residency requirement restricting the rights of citizens who happen to work for government to live where they want to live. Last year, this General Assembly was a little bit ahead of you when they passed a Bill that I sponsored to allow community college teachers, junior college teachers to live outside the district of the community college if they so wished. Every American citizen should be allowed to live where he chooses to live. This is not an iron curtain country. The only difference would be if it was a public safety officer who was needed near the place of his employment, perhaps a fireman or a policeman might be needed very close to the place of his employment. There's no reason, no reason at all why city and county employees can't live wherever they wish and I congratulate the Gentleman on leading this fight to remove residency restrictions from public employees and make them first-class citizens. And I intend to vote for the Amendment."

Speaker Bradley: "The Lady from Cook, Mrs. Pullen, on the Amendment."

Pullen: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Bradley: "He indicates that he will."

Pullen: "Mr. Sponsor, is the majority of Cook County in the Metropolitan Sanitary District?"
O'Brien: "Yes."
Pullen: "Is it true that almost all of Cook County is in the Metropolitan Sanitary District?"
O'Brien: "I would imagine so."
Pullen: "Is it also true that the population of Cook County is somewhere near six million people?"
O'Brien: "If you say so."
Pullen: "Thank you. Mr. Speaker, I would like to address myself to the Amendment."
Speaker Bradley: "Proceed."
Pullen: "I find it a little bit hard to believe that in six million people, the Metropolitan Sanitary District is unable to find someone qualified to serve as General Superintendent within such a large and well-educated population. We are talking about only one position. We're not talking about a large number of people. Surely, within six million people, these people, these Commissioners can find someone qualified to serve. Now it might be necessary for the General Superintendent to live somewhere within the Sanitary District. You don't know when there's going to be a little subsidence from the deep tunnel or something of that sort that would create an emergency situation where they would need the Superintendent on the spot and I would certainly urge that you defeat this Amendment. Thank you."
Speaker Bradley: "Mr. O'Brien, you wish to close?"
O'Brien: "Well, with what's left."
Speaker Bradley: "But pardon me. Mr. Wolf, the Gentleman from Cook."
Wolf: "Well, I was going to speak in support of Mr. O'Brien because I know how difficult it is to get people."
Speaker Bradley: "Mr. O'Brien said that'd be fine."
Wolf: "Yeah, the Governor had to look all over the country to get people to fill spots in the State of Illinois. He couldn't even find any residents of Illinois so I can appreciate that."
Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. O'Brien, to close."
O'Brien: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members, it's... it's a rather simple Amendment. I think it's getting misnamed. I think that there are a lot of accusations that are being made. Quite frankly, all we're
trying to do with this Amendment is see to it that should we need to fill the position of the General Superintendent of the Metropolitan Sanitary District, that we can get the most qualified person available to all of the people within the Metropolitan Sanitary District and the State of Illinois. It's a technical position, you have to be an engineer, you have to have several other degrees; and we just want to make sure that should we want to take someone from the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency or something like that, that we wouldn't be tied up with a residency requirement to do that. I know of no other reason for this and it's not been indicated by the Metropolitan Sanitary District to me that there's any other reason for this Bill. It's a good Bill. I'd like to move the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Bradley: "Question's on the adoption of Amendment #1 to 3208. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. All in favor of the Gentleman's Amendment will signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 46 'ayes', 82 'nays' and the Gentleman's motion fails. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, Conti. Amends House Bill 3208 on page 1, line 1 and so forth."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Conti."

Conti: "Mr. Speaker, I anticipated that the other two Amendments probably were not germane to the Act and that's why..."

Speaker Bradley: "What was that, Sir?"

Conti: "That's why I filed three of them. Now, I agree with you that on 3201..."

Speaker Bradley: "The Chair didn't understand you, what'd you say about the germaneness of the other two?"

Conti: "I, I, I anticipated that they might not be germane. So that 3201 is an Act to amend the Purchasing Act for Metropolitan Sanitary District of the Greater Chicago, but I'll listen to House Bill 3208. It's an Act to create a Sanitary District and to remove obstructions in the Des Plaines and Illinois River of which we use as a shell Bill...the General Assembly..."
Speaker Bradley: "Are you questioning the germaneness of the Amendment to the Bill?"

Conti: "Not the germaneness of this Amendment, I feel is germane to the Bill, my Amendment. And I... Mr. Speaker..."

Speaker Bradley: "I haven't heard anybody question that, Sir. Proceed with the Amendment."

Conti: "All right, okay, I'll explain the Amendment. The corporate authority of the Sanitary District of Chicago shall consist of nine Commissioners. On the date of every regular county election occurring after the year of 1979, Commissioners shall be elected as follows:

'Three Commissioners shall be elected at the November election of 1980 and each six years thereafter; two shall be from the residence of the City of Chicago and one shall reside elsewhere in the state.'"

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Conti, just a minute. For what purpose the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. O'Brien, arise?"

O'Brien: "Mr. Speaker, House Bill 3208 had one Amendment on it and I haven't had the opportunity to see Representative Conti's Amendment to this Bill. And I'd like to take the Bill out of the record so that I can confer with Representative Conti and discuss his Amendment to House Bill 3208."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Conti, would you agree to walk over and discuss that with Mr. O'Brien?"

Conti: "Mr. Speaker, only if this Bill will be called back later on today because I had this Amendment on the desks yesterday afternoon... yesterday morning."

O'Brien: "I just saw it this morning for the first time at about twenty minutes ago."

Speaker Bradley: "You walk over and see him, visit with him. We'll get back to the Bill. Out of the record. 3209."


Speaker Bradley: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Bradley: "Third Reading. 3218."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3..."
Speaker Bradley: "For what purpose the Gentleman from Kane, Mr. Waddell, rise?"

Waddell: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise for the purpose of an introduction. A hundred and fifty eighth-grade students from the Carlyle Grade School together with their teacher. They're represented by Representative Friedrich, Brummet and Byers. They're in the balcony."

Speaker Bradley: "3218."


Speaker Bradley: "Amendments from the floor."

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Bradley: "Third Reading. 3219."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3219. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act to revise the law in relation to clerks of courts. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Bradley: "Amendments from the floor."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, Porter. Amends House Bill 3219 on page 1, line 1 and so forth."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Porter, on Amendment #1."

Porter: "Can you pass this one for a minute?"

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Sponsor?"

Porter: "I've got it, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #1 to House Bill 3219 provides that whenever..."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Porter on please."

Porter: "Whenever child support payments are required by order of the court to be paid to the Clerk of the Court and are delinquent by thirty days, that the matter after an additional ten days will be brought to the attention of the court. It additionally provides that each child support payment made to the Clerk of the Court in accordance with the order of the court shall be accompanied by a fee of one dollar. The purpose here is to provide for an ease of enforcement of child support orders by the court. The courts that are under the system already have them on computer and can tell..."
very easily when a delinquency occurs. If they don't have them on computer, the one dollar fee will provide the funds necessary to put them in that condition. When the court has been notified of the child support delinquency of thirty days, the court can then take whatever action they deem to be appropriate. We feel that this will save a great deal of time and money and red tape and attorney's fees and I very strongly recommend this Amendment to the Members and move its adoption."

Speaker Bradley: "Discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Terzich."
Terzich: "Yes, I just have one question of the Sponsor of the Amend-
ment."
Speaker Bradley: "He indicates he'll yield."
Terzich: "Does this put the responsibility of the collection on the Clerk of the Court?"
Porter: "Well, only... no. The answer to your question is 'no'. The, this only would affect, Bob, those child support payments that are already by order to be paid to the Clerk of the Court. As you know now in Cook County, for example, the Judge has discretion to have the payments made to the Clerk and in some cases, have ordered them to be paid to the Clerk. So this wouldn't change that at all."
Terzich: "Then if the payment has not been made to the Clerk, then he therefore, notifies the..."
Porter: "Court."
Terzich: "Judge or the court."
Porter: "That's right and that's all."
Terzich: "...delinquency. I have no objection."
Speaker Bradley: "Question's on the adoption of the Amendment. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments."
Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
Speaker Bradley: "Third Reading. 3220. Mr. Vinson, I've been informed that a fiscal note has just been requested on 3220. So we'll have to get a fiscal note on it before we can move it. 3225."
Speaker Bradley: "Amendments from the floor."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, Macdonald. Amends House Bill 3225..."

Speaker Bradley: "The Lady from Cook, Mrs. Macdonald."

Macdonald: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #1 requires that the federal rules which are being made effective as the state rules must be adopted by the Pollution Control Board in an expedited manner. They should be filed with the Secretary of State, published in the Illinois Register and subject to the review of the Joint Committee on Administrative Rules, all in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act. We hope that this will take care of the objections that were raised the other day on the same proposition of the Clean Drinking Water Act and we think this takes care of any objections that might be had. I ask for your approval of this Amendment."

Speaker Bradley: "Discussion? Discussion? If none, the question...\n
The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Lady yield to a question?"

Speaker Bradley: "She indicates she'll yield."

Lechowicz: "Mrs. Macdonald, does this affect home rule counties in any way? The question is..."

Macdonald: "Mr. Lechowicz, the standards apply across the state. We are adopting the federal standards in air and clean drinking water to make the Environmental Protection Agency the enforcement agency."

Lechowicz: "If our standards are higher, will we be able to permit the higher standards within the City of Chicago?"

Macdonald: "Yes. This only brings us into conformity with the federal standards."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, ma'am."

Speaker Bradley: "Further discussion? If not, the question's on the adoption of the Amendment. All in favor will signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Bradley: "Third Reading. 3227."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 32..."
Speaker Bradley: "We have a distinguished former Member on the floor, Representative Springer. Former Representative Springer, will you stand and be recognized?"


Speaker Bradley: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Bradley: "Third Reading. 3228."


Speaker Bradley: "Amendments from the floor."

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

(con't on next page)
Speaker Bradley: "Third Reading. We'll back up here to 3208. Mr.
Conti on 3208 on Amendment number... I believe it was 2."

Conti: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies of the House, before I was interrupted
I was explaining that the three Commissioners shall be elected at
the November election in 1980 and each six years thereafter; two
shall be residents of the City of Chicago and one shall reside else-
where in the district. Three Commissioners shall be elected in the
November election of 1982 and each six years thereafter; one shall
be a resident of the City of Chicago and two shall reside elsewhere
in the district. It goes on that the three Commissioner Trustees
shall be elected in the November election of 1984 and each six years
thereafter; two shall be residents of the City of Chicago and one
shall reside elsewhere in the district. Such Commissioners shall
take office on the first Tuesday of the first Monday in December
following their election and shall hold their offices for six years
until their successor shall be elected and qualified. In all elec-
tions for Commissioner Trustees, each elector may vote for as
many candidates as there are Commissioners to be elected. But no
elector may give to such candidates more than one vote. It being
the intent and the purpose of this Act to prohibit cumulative voting
in the selection of the Members of the Board of the Sanitary Dis-
trict. And, Mr. Speaker, I move for the adoption of this Amendment."

Speaker Bradley: "Discussion? Mr. O'Brien, the Gentleman from Cook."

O'Brien: "Yeah, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I had the oppor-
tunity to confer with Representative Conti on this Amendment. We
had some philosophical differences on relation to the makeup of the
Metropolitan Sanitary District. I speak in opposition to this Amend-
ment because I feel that a division of the Membership between the
Chicago and suburbs in the Metropolitan Sanitary District invites
conflict by creating an artificial split which assumes that there
are suburban and Chicago interests which would be in conflict with
one another. The present arrangement is designed to allow the Com-
missioners to represent the whole district and pursue common interest.
And with the adoption of this Amendment, I can see Elmwood Park and
the washrooms in Elmwood Park getting more service or better ser-
vice than the washrooms in the near north side in the Lincoln Park
Community."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Mahar."

Mahar: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

I rise in support of this Amendment and I think it's about time that the suburban areas got some representation on the Metropolitan Sanitary District. There's a great deal of expansion, particularly in the south side. There's large numbers of people moving out to our area. There's a tremendous amount of construction that's going on out there. As a matter of fact, there are large numbers of people from Chicago coming out and they're saying that they want representation. And I think it's about time that the representation follows the expansion of people to the area and we should... we should have the representation directly with those people. And I urge support of this Amendment."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

I rise in opposition to Amendment #2 and may I point out to the Body that there are three Members presently who reside in the suburban areas of Cook County who are Members on the Board. Let me point out to the Body that a former Member of this House, Jim Kirie, is from Leyden Township which is Elmer Conti's neighbor. Now, they may be of political... difference of political parties, but he's also a good friend and if you have a problem with the Sanitary District, he's one of the finest guys you can call upon. May I also point out to the Body that Jerry Cosentino is a Member of the Board and he also lives in the suburban area. May I also point out to this Body that a young, qualified candidate, Tom Fuller, is running for the Board from the suburban area. This is a political issue, Ladies and Gentlemen, that should not be so.

The Metropolitan Sanitary District was created for a very serious purpose. I think the Board has done a good job under some very trying circumstances. The election of the Board is on a metropolitan, county-wide basis. It's fair and equitable. It should remain the same. I strongly oppose Amendment #2."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bluthardt."

Bluthardt: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I rise to speak in
favor of this Amendment. While it's true that there are three Members from the suburbs on the Sanitary Board, Sanitary District Board, they all come from the same political party. And you know, so long as you have an at-large election in Cook County, the odds are overwhelmingly that the Democratic machine of Cook County and the City of Chicago's going to control that election and that Board. Now, most of the Republicans in Cook County live in the suburbs and that's why we would like to have some Membership elected out of the suburbs as we do some of the Judges. The only way that we are able to elect Judges in Cook County, Republican Judges, is to have a separate election in the suburban areas. That's worked. We have to have the same type of representation on the Sanitary District Board. The Sanitary District, each year that I've been here, each Session that I've been here, has received increases in their tax authority, have received greater bonding authority. I think they have the greatest bonding authority in the State of Illinois outside of the state itself. Always each and every Session there are those increases and the suburbanites have nothing to do with it and nothing to say about it. They have no voice in the matter whatsoever. I think this is a darn good Amendment and we ought to adopt it."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from St. Clair, Mr. Flinn."

Flinn: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman's moved the previous question. All in favor of the Gentleman's motion signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. O'Brien, to close."

O'Brien: "Mr. Speaker, Members... Mr. Speaker, point of question here. Does the Sponsor have control of the Bill at all time?"

Speaker Bradley: "Pardon, Sir?"

O'Brien: "Does the Sponsor have control of his Bill at all time? Would a motion to table 3208 be in order?"

Speaker Bradley: "It would be in order at any time."

O'Brien: "I move to table 3208."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman has moved to table 3208. All in favor of the Gentleman's motion signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'."
In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it to table. It's tabled. 3257."


Speaker Bradley: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Bradley: "Third Reading. 3259."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3259. A Bill for an Act in relation to the off-track pari-mutuel system of wagering on horse racing. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Bradley: "Any motion relative to Amendment #1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motion filed."

Speaker Bradley: "Amendment from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #2."

Speaker Bradley: "Out of the record? 3260."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3260. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act to provide for fees for Sheriffs, Recorder of Deeds and County Clerks in counties of the Third Class. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Bradley: "Are there any motions relative to Amendment #1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "A motion to table Amendment #1 by Representative Brady."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Brady, on the Amendment."

Brady: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and fellow Members, the reason for the motion to table is that it was technically incorrect and I have a Floor Amendment #2 that will correct it. If I could explain... in the... in the Amendment adopted in Committee, they technically forgot to cross out the existing language which is a one dollar figure. where they changed it to the..."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman moves to table Amendment #1. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment's tabled. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, Brady. Amends House Bill 3260 on page 3, line 3 and so forth."
Speaker Bradley: "The... Amendment #2. The... on the Amendment, Mr. Brady."

Brady: "Mr. Speaker, Amendment #2 does exactly what Amendment #1..."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Ryan. For what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Ryan: "For the purpose of having one of my Members recognized by the Chair, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Conti's been trying to get your attention for five minutes and I wish you would at least pay a little bit of attention. He's entitled... he's a Member of this Body and entitled to the courtesy."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Conti:"

Conti: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'm a little shocked and dismayed at the kind of treatment I'm getting here this morning for the simple reason that you ask the Member who adopted the motion to close and then you immediately went to Mr. O'Brien. Now, I adopted... I move for the adoption of the Amendment..."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Conti, for what purpose did you rise, Sir?"

Conti: "I'm... for a point of personal privilege. You asked me to close..."

Speaker Bradley: "State your point."

Conti: "You asked me to close and you recognized O'Brien instead of Conti. I move for the adoption of that Bill and I didn't get a vote on it. It was my Amendment."

Speaker Bradley: "The Bill has been tabled and it's..."

Conti: "The Bill was discussed before he decided to table it."

Speaker Bradley: "No, can't rule in your... it's not timely. The Bill is tabled."

Conti: "I want to appeal the ruling of the Chair and I want a verification of the Roll Call."

Speaker Bradley: "I told you next time you asked for that, I'd rule it was dilatory and I so rule."

Conti: "It's not dilatory, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Bradley: "3259, Amendment #2 to 3260. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Telcsen."

Telcsen: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I rise to talk for a few moments on a point of personal privilege regarding Representative
Conti's Amendment to House Bill 3208. Mr. Speaker, you and I have served in this chamber for a long time. We've both been through the question..."

Speaker Bradley: "Let's let the Gentleman proceed. The Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, most of the Members here have...are concerned with their own problems and I think Mr. Conti was right in rising to a point of personal privilege. It was his privilege to rise, but I don't think Mr. Telcser has any right speaking on any Bill. This is not... he's not talking to the Bill, he doesn't have any reason for a point of personal privilege."

Speaker Bradley: "Well, Mr. Telcser's been here a good long time and we always like to hear what he has to add to the situation. Mr. Telcser."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker, we have wrestled long years over the question of who has the right to control a Bill. Whether a Sponsor does or the people of the State of Illinois have a right to have legislative matters heard. Now, Mr. Speaker, Representative Conti was entitled to a Roll Call vote on his offering and moving the adoption of an Amendment. Mr. Speaker, we're faced with a large workload on the Calendar that's before us today. Mr. Speaker, you're simply asking, you're asking for the Minority Members of this House to stand up for their rights which we're going to do. You're going to prevent the work of this House from being completed. You're going to tie up this House, Mr. Speaker, by not giving the Minority Party the right to have their ideas and Amendments and Bills heard. You're acting in an arbitrary manner today, Mr. Speaker. And you are going to be the one upon whose shoulders the tying up of this House is going to rest, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker, I ask that you give Representative Conti a chance to have his Amendment be heard and give us a Roll Call vote, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Bradley: "He's concluded? 3260. Amendment #2. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Brady."

Brady: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and fellow Members, Amendment #2 simply did what Amendment... Committee Amendment #1 did only technically corrects it through the lines of the existing language. I move for
its adoption."
Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman moves the adoption. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments."
Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Collins wishes a Roll Call? All in favor of the Gentleman's motion signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. Mr. Collins, the Gentleman from Cook."
Collins: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I request a verification."
Speaker Bradley: "Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished?
Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 77 'ayes', 64 'nays' and the Amendment's adopted. The Gentleman from Kankakee, Mr...
Ryan: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I think you're totally abusing this Chair. Absolutely and totally abusing the Chair. Now this... Representative Telcser has asked for a verification on this Roll Call. We might as well all go home and let you run the whole show."
Speaker Bradley: "Just a minute. If Mr. Telcser... I didn't hear Mr. Telcser in his dissertation ask for a..."
Ryan: "Well if you'd pay attention, Mr. Speaker, you could hear..."
Speaker Bradley: "There was nobody that was recognized that asked for a Roll Call."
Ryan: "You don't recognize anybody... That's your problem."
Speaker Bradley: "Just a minute, let's back up a little bit. Mr. Collins, the Gentleman from Cook."
Collins: "Mr. Speaker, you did recognize me and I did request a Verified Roll Call. Rule 48 emphasizes my rights to make that request and you have no right to abuse the Chair as you see fit to do today."
Speaker Bradley: "All right, we will..."
Collins: "There's no requirement..."
Speaker Bradley: "We'll have a verification of the Roll, the affirmative vote, Mr. Collins, as you requested. Now if everybody will be in their seat, we'll proceed with the verification."
Clerk O'Brien: "E.M. Barnes, Beatty, Birchler, Bowman, Bradley, Brady, Brandt, Breslin, Rich Brummer, Don Brummet, Byers, Capparelli, Chapman, Christensen, Darrow."
Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Clerk, one minute. What purpose does the Gentle-
men from Cook, Mr. Houlihan, arise?"

J. Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, I seek recognition. I've been seeking it
for almost three Roll Calls."

Speaker Bradley: "For what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

J. Houlihan: "Pardon?"

Speaker Bradley: "For what purpose do you rise? We're in the middle
of a verification."

J. Houlihan: "I see. I rise, Mr. Speaker, because of the actions of
the Chair to move to adjourn."

Speaker Bradley: "You weren't recognized for that purpose. We'll pro-
ceed with the verification."

Clerk O'Brien: "Darrow, Corneal Davis, Dawson, DiPrima, Domico, Doyle,
John Dunn, Ewell, Farley, Flinn, Gaines, Carmisa, Getty, Giglio,
Ciorgi, Hanahan, Hart, Holewinski, Dan Houlihan, Jacobs, Emil Jones,
Kelly, Kornowicz, Kosinski, Kozubowski, Laurino, Lechowicz, Leverenz,
Levin, Lucco, Luft, Madigan, Marovitz, Peggy Smith Martin, Matejek,
Matijevich, Mautino, McGrew, McLendon, McPike, Mudd, Mugalian,
Mulcahey, Murphy, Nardulli, O'Brien, Pecharos, Pierce, Pouncey,
Schisler, Sharp, Shumpert, Steczo, Stuffle, Taylor, Terzich, Tipsword,
Vitek, Von Boeckman, R.V. Walsh, Willer, Williams, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Bradley: "Questions of the affirmative, Mr. Collins."

Collins: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker. E.M. Barnes."

Speaker Bradley: "E.M. Barnes. Mr. Barnes in the chambers? Not in
his seat. Take him off the Roll."

Collins: "Beatty. Now I see Mr. Beatty. Birchler."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, we would all like to move ahead with the work
of the House of Representatives. From our observations at this
point in the chamber, we've seen Mr. Collins look down at a chart of
all the Members of the House and then look up at the scoreboard. It
certainly appears as if he plans to unduly delay the processing of
our work and I would just respectfully ask Mr. Collins and his
Leader, Mr. Ryan, if they do have legitimate questions of the veri-
fication, please give the names to us or in the alternative, with-
draw the request for a verification, allow us to move along and
consider our business."

Speaker Bradley: "Point's well taken. Is there questions, Mr. Collins? Questions of the affirmative."

Collins: "Mr. Speaker, that hardly holds water. The first three names I requested, one was knocked off the Roll Call. Now, I was trying to go alphabetically..."

Speaker Bradley: "Do you have any questions?"

Collins: "I don't want to confuse the Democratic side of the aisle and I was trying to keep it simple. Yes, all right. Brady."

Speaker Bradley: "Brady is here."

Collins: "He had his back to me, I thought it was Bluthardt. Brummer."

Speaker Bradley: "Brummer's in his seat."

Collins: "There's so many people standing I really can't see back there."

Speaker Bradley: "Yes, I asked that everybody be seated... Mr. Collins could see."

Collins: "I'm trying to see if Mr. Byers... Yes, I do see Mr. Byers there now. The people are moving around. Brummer."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Brummer's in his seat."

Collins: "Mr. Christensen is right here. Mrs. Chapman."

Speaker Bradley: "Mrs. Chapman's in her chair."

Collins: "McClain."

Speaker Bradley: "McClain. How's the Gentleman recorded? He's not voting, Sir."

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as not voting."

Collins: "Well, I could see he wasn't in his seat."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Collins, I think you are being dilatory right now and..."

Collins: "Mr. Speaker, the way you... the way you have abused the Chair today you're hardly in a position to make accusations."

Speaker Bradley: "Proceed with the questions of the affirmative."

Collins: "Williams."

Speaker Bradley: "For what purpose the Lady from Champaign, Mrs. Satterthwaite, arise?"

Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Speaker Bradley: "How's the Lady recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Lady's recorded as not voting."
Satterthwaite: "Please vote me 'aye'."
Speaker Bradley: "Record her as voting 'aye'."
Collins: "Margalus."
Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Skinner wants to be recorded as voting 'no'.
Mr. Yourell, the Gentleman from Cook, wishes to be recorded as voting 'aye'. Mrs. Younge wishes to be recorded as voting 'aye'."
Collins: "I asked for Margalus."
Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Collins. Mr. Conti, how do you wish... Mr. Conti, for what purpose do you rise?"
Conti: "Tell ya'... Mr. Speaker, before you get through with the verification of this Roll Call, I want to journalize my dissent on this Bill."
Speaker Bradley: "Fine."
Conti: "And Mrs. Hoxsey's been trying to get your attention for the last half hour."
Speaker Bradley: "The Lady from DuPage... or the Lady from LaSalle, Mrs. Hoxsey."
Hoxsey: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I would like to be recorded as 'no'."
Speaker Bradley: "Well, you so shall be recorded."
Hoxsey: "Thank you."
Speaker Bradley: "Proceed, Mr..."
Collins: "I hate to hurry you, Mr. Speaker, but I did ask... for Mr. Mugalian three times."
Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Mugalian. He's not in his seat. Is he in the chambers? Take him off the Roll."
Collins: "Capparelli."
Speaker Bradley: "Is he in the chambers? He's not in his seat. How's the Gentleman recorded?"
Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."
Speaker Bradley: "Take him off."
Collins: "Gaines."
Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Gaines. Gaines...he's not in his seat. Is he in the chambers? How's he recorded?"
Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."
Speaker Bradley: "Take him off."
Collins: "Terzich."
Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Brady, for what purpose do you rise?"

Collins: "I figured when Capparelli wasn't here, you couldn't be."

Brady: "Mr. Speaker, there seems to be an awful lot of confusion on the floor about this Bill and maybe if I pull it from the record, I could explain it to 'em. I'd like to pull it from the record if I could."

Collins: "That takes unanimous consent, Mr. Speaker."

Brady: "I think we're just being dilatory because I don't see any problem with this and I'd gladly explain anything you have..."

Collins: "Mr. Speaker, the rules are quite specific. It takes unanimous consent to pull a Bill out of the record."

Speaker Bradley: "You're correct. We're right in the middle... we're in the middle of a verification. We'll have to proceed. More questions of the affirmative?"

Collins: "Many. All right, Hanahan."

Speaker Bradley: "Hanahan is not in his seat. Is he in the chambers? How's he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Bradley: "Take him off."

Collins: "Laurino."

Speaker Bradley: "Laurino. He's not in his seat. Is he in the chambers? How's he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Bradley: "Take him off the Roll."

Collins: "Davis, Corneal."

Speaker Bradley: "He's in his chair."

Collins: "Getty."

Speaker Bradley: "Getty. He's over on your side of the aisle."

Collins: "You're always welcome over here, Mike. Doyle."

Speaker Bradley: "Doyle is in his seat."

Collins: "Nardulli."

Speaker Bradley: "Nardulli. He's not in his seat. Is he in the chambers? How's he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Bradley: "Take him off."
Collins: "Garmisa."

Speaker Bradley: "Garmisa. He's in his chair."

Collins: "Farley."

Speaker Bradley: "Farley is not in his chair. Is he in the chambers? How's he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Bradley: "Take him off the Roll."

Collins: "Leverenz."

Speaker Bradley: "Leverenz is not in his chair. Is he in the chambers? How's he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Bradley: "Take him off the Roll."

Collins: "Brandt."

Speaker Bradley: "Brandt is not in his seat. How's he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Bradley: "Take him off the Roll."

Collins: "McGrew."

Speaker Bradley: "McGrew's in his seat."

Collins: "Williams."

Speaker Bradley: "Williams is not in his seat. Is he in the chambers? How's the Gentleman recorded? Williams."

Clerk O'Brien: "We verified him once. The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Collins: "R.V. Walsh."

Speaker Bradley: "Just a minute. Do you want to take him off?"

Collins: "I thought you wanted him taken off. That's not my prerogative, that's yours."

Speaker Bradley: "Williams is taken off. Mr. Leverenz has returned. Put him back on the Roll."

Collins: "R.V. Walsh."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Walsh is in his chair."

Collins: "Well now, Mr. Speaker, you'll have to agree, I can't see through that mass of bodies over there."

Speaker Bradley: "Would the Gentleman please be seated so Mr. Collins can see everybody's in their chairs?"

Collins: "Shumpert."
Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Shumpert is in his chair."

Collins: "McLendon."

Speaker Bradley: "McLendon is not in his chair. Is he in the chambers? How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Bradley: "Take him off the Roll."

Collins: "Taylor."

Speaker Bradley: "Jimmy Taylor is in his seat."

Collins: "Senator Buzbee is blocking him out. I can't see back there."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Houlihan, for what purpose do you rise?"

J. Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, at this point, I'd like to vote... and if there's an appropriate time to move to adjourn, I'd also rise for that so you let me know when that's appropriate. I'd like to vote 'aye'."

Speaker Bradley: "Record Mr. Houlihan as voting 'aye'. The Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Hoffman, wishes to be recorded as voting 'no'.

Now..."

Collins: "Levin."

Speaker Bradley: "Just a minute now. The Clerk is having trouble...

Gene Hoffman wishes to be recorded as voting 'no' and Houlihan wishes to be recorded as voting 'aye'."

Collins: "I don't want to rush you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Bradley: "Any questions?"

Collins: "Yes, I have other questions. Levin."

Speaker Bradley: "Levin is in his seat."

Collins: "Oh, I see."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Davis."

Collins: "Jacobs."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. J. Davis wishes to be recorded as voting 'no'."

Collins: "Jacobs."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Williams has returned to the chambers. Back on.

Mr. Oral Jacobs is in his seat. Mr. Harris wishes to be recorded 'aye'."

Collins: "Pardon me, Kozubowski."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Richmond wishes to be recorded as voting 'aye'."

Collins: "Oh, I see Walter now."
Speaker Bradley: "Further questions?"
Collins: "Nerovitz."
Speaker Bradley: "He's in his seat."
Collins: "I guess that'll do it."
Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Johnson, for what purpose did you rise? Mr. Kane.

How's the Gentleman recorded?"
Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as not voting."
Speaker Bradley: "He wishes to be recorded as voting 'aye'. Mr. Van Duyne
wishes to be recorded as voting 'aye'. That's 75 'ayes', 68 'nays'
and the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments."
Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
Speaker Bradley: "Third Reading."
Speaker Redmond: "3269."
Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3269. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections
of the Park District Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Com-
mittee Amendments."
Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"
Clerk O'Brien: "None."
Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 3272."
Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3272. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections
of the Illinois Insurance Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No
Committee Amendments."
Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"
Clerk O'Brien: "None."
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Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 3274."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3274. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Public Community College Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motion with respect to Amendment 1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motion filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendment from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2."

Speaker Redmond: "Who's the Sponsor? Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment 2 comes from questions that came from the Committee and to further remove the elimination of the working cash fund from abuse. This Amendment would not allow a new working cash fund to be established until two years have passed. I move for the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question's on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment #2. Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Would the Speaker yield for a question please?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Geo-Karis: "I mean the Representative. Ted..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Leverenz."

Geo-Karis: "Under present law, the community college would have a right to dip into the working cash fund at any time. What is the purpose of your Amendment? Why?"

Leverenz: "For a working cash fund that has not been perhaps for years, this would allow a community college by board action to abolish the fund and move that into the normal operating funds to eliminate the working cash fund entirely. The Bill also provides that a new working cash fund could not be enacted for a period of ten years."

Geo-Karis: "Well, under your Bill, you say it has an Amendment to this Bill. Your Bill would permit a Community College District Board to abolish the working cash fund and to transfer the money to the operating fund. Is that correct?"

Leverenz: "That's correct."

Geo-Karis: "Now, your Amendment... I don't quite follow you on your Amendment. I'm a little bit vague and maybe it's me, but after hearing all this folderol, I'd like to have you explain your Amendment. What
are you doing to your Bill with it?"

Leverenz: "With the Bill..."

Geo-Karis: "With the Amendment."

Leverenz: "It could only be done once in a ten-year period a new... if the Board did actually abolish the working cash fund, the Amendment would further remove any possible abuse as a new working cash fund would not be able to be established for a period of two years. As an added clarification, elementary schools yearly eliminate their working cash funds and start them new."

Geo-Karis: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I regretfully have to speak against this Amendment because the working cash fund is established in the schools, in the community colleges so that they can borrow from that working cash fund until the tax money comes in. And it may be an emergency for a college so they may have to use that money. And I'm afraid that we are restricting the use of that money too much and then why do we have to add more taxes when the working cash fund can be used... I know in municipalities up to seven hundred thousand dollars and I don't recall what it is for community colleges. I think it would be much too restrictive and as long as they've got tax money coming in, I don't think we should restrict the rights to use it if they really need it. I think we're making it almost impossible for them to operate and they'll be coming back to us and asking for more taxation and I think that's regrettable and unnecessary."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Bluthardt."

Leverenz: "Mr. Speaker, it's unfortunate that Representative was not in Committee and that is not exactly to the best of my feelings close as to what the Bill or the Amendment would do."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Bluthardt. Representative Telcsar, Levin, please be seated."

Bluthardt: "Mr. Speaker, I wonder if the Sponsor of this Amendment would answer a question, yield for a question. Ted, what does the Amendment do, you're talking about a two-year something and I didn't get it."

Leverenz: "Yes, Representative, this would provide an additional protection in that if the College Board eliminated or abolished the
working cash fund, that they could not immediately the following
year go back and start a new working cash fund. This would pro-
vide with the Amendment that a minimum of two years would have to
go by before a new working cash fund could even be established."

Bluthardt: "Well, that changes the ten-year limitation that you have
in the original Bill then. That correct?"

Leverenz: "That does not bother the ten-year provision in the Bill.
The ten-year provision only allows them to abolish it once every
ten years. So this is a double protection with the Amendment."
Bluthardt: "All right, would you explain to me and to the Body, I hope,
why you feel that this Bill is necessary as amended?"

Leverenz: "Certainly. In the College District that you and I and Rep-
resentative Williams represent, Triton College, this would allow them to
move money that they have in the working cash fund into the regu-
lar operating or building programs which is needed at this point
in time."

Bluthardt: "Do you know how much they have in the working cash fund
now?"

Leverenz: "I understand it's four million dollars."

Bluthardt: "Four million dollars. And they have outstanding bonds
which they have to pay annually in order to pay off the... what-
ever the original amount of that working cash fund?"

Leverenz: "Not to my knowledge."

Bluthardt: "Well, I think that's the only way you're going to pay off
those obligations by the issuance of bonds so that you can raise
the original funds to start with. And what I think you're doing
here is that you're providing an additional tax without a referen-
dum indirectly. You have working cash fund bonds sold, you've
raised four or five million dollars and then you transfer it into
the general cash fund and then a few more years later, you issue
more bonds and then transfer those funds back into the general fund.
And what you are doing is you're levying an additional tax for that
purpose and you are evading the real purpose of a working cash fund
account. I think the Bill is bad. I think if the schools are al-
lowed to do this, it's wrong. Other municipalities can't do it. The
purpose of a working cash fund is to have money available when the
taxes are a little slow coming in near the end of the tax year so you don't have to issue tax anticipation warrants. That's the purpose of it. It's not to give you additional money into your corporate fund or any other fund. I think the Bill is bad and I think the Amendment is bad."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Conti."

Conti: "Mr. Speaker, I wonder if the Sponsor will yield."

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Conti: "I wonder if this could have anything to do with... this Amendment could have anything to do with the results of the referendum that was held the day before yesterday and it lost."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "I didn't hear you. Yes and/or no."

Conti: "Yes and/or no. Okay, thank you. And it's a bad Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Walsh."

W. Walsh: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I agree absolutely with Representative Bluthardt and Geo-Karls. This is a terrible Bill and this Amendment does nothing to improve it, although it's represented to. The working cash fund two or three years ago was... the Bill to provide it was put through here without referendum and it was advertised to do exactly what Representative Bluthardt said it would do - to prevent the issuance of tax anticipation warrants. Now, with this Amendment, they will issue tax anticipation warrants for one year. And then by Board action, authorize the issuance of bonds for the creation of a new working cash fund. This is a fraud and a delusion and I suggest to you that we ought to beat the Amendment now and then beat the Bill when it comes to Third Reading."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Satterthwaite."

Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I believe this Amendment is in response to questions that I raised in Committee about safeguards of the abuse of abolishing a working cash fund. I do, indeed, feel that this Amendment will help to provide protections against abuse of the system. And I feel that the Amendment does need to be adopted. We are not now discussing the merits of the Bill itself, we are only discussing the merits of the Amendment. It is an additional safeguard against abuse and I urge the
adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Breslin."

Breslin: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is, shall the main question be put. Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The motion carries. Representative Leverenz, to close."

Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Further just to go back, this would, on the Amendment, provide that a new working cash fund could not be established until a minimum of two years have passed if that fund had been abolished in the first place. I move for the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "The question's on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill 3274. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 75 'aye' and 58 'no'. Representative Johnson, for what purpose do you rise? Representative Johnson. Any questions before I announce the results? On this question there's 75 'aye' and 58 'no'. The motion carried, the Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #3, McGrew. Amends House Bill 3274 on page 2 by inserting immediately after line 22 the following."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McGrew."

McGrew: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. In essence, Amendment #3 was filed without my knowledge...Amendment #2. Amendment #3 made it three years instead of two so it would be a duplication and I move to table Amendment #3."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman's moved to table Amendment 3. Any objections? Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 3277."


Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."
Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 3279."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3279. A Bill for an Act to provide for real property taxpayers. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motions? Out of the record. 3281."


Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 3283."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3283. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Insurance Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motion with respect to Amendment 1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motion filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Or any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, Kane. Amends House Bill 3283 as amended on page 1 by deleting line 21, 22 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kane."

Kane: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, what Amendment #2 to House Bill 3283 does is to clarify the language as to the noneffect of a handicap or disability on life expectancy and it clarifies the language. It's offered in response to a request by the Department of Insurance and I would ask for a favorable consideration for this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? Representative Kempiners."

Kempiners: "Will the Gentleman yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Kempiners: "What specifically does the Amendment do? Does it clarify that it's for life insurance policies and specifically if the handicap does not affect life expectancy?"

Kane: "Yes. Clarifying it as far as life insurance was the Amendment #1 adopted in Committee. What this does is... tied down life expectancy to some kind of statistical..."

Kempiners: "Okay, that's what we discussed during..."
Kane: "Right."

Kemper: "Okay, thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? The question's on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment #2. Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes'... motion carries, Amendment's adopted. Representative Collins' dissent is recorded. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, Kane. Amends House Bill 3283 as amended and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kane."

Kane: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, what Amendment #3 does to House Bill 3283 is to extend the nondiscriminatory language that applies to... in the Bill to life insurance. It extends that same nondiscriminatory language to health and accident insurance so that if Amendment #3 is adopted, the nondiscriminatory language for life insurance would be applicable to health and accident insurance. Basically what the Bill would then say if this Amendment is adopted is that health and accident insurance companies could not discriminate in their rates against persons with handicaps and disabilities unless there was clear statistical evidence that the handicap or disability had a real effect, that they could not discriminate just on the basis of feeling that... that they discriminated but they would actually have to have some kind of statistical and actuarial proof."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? Representative Schumeman."

Schumeman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise in support of this Amendment. I think what Representative Kane is trying to do here is to correct the problem that many people with disabilities have had difficulty with in past years where insurance company underwriters have simply refused to take on a risk because they had some vague belief that a... an applicant was...represented an unusual risk to the company, whereas they really had no factual information in which they were basing their rejection. And I think it's a good Amendment and should be adopted."

Speaker Redmond: "Anyone further? The question's on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment #3. Those in favor say 'aye',
opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the motion carries and the Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 3287."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill..."


Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3327. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Insurance Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motion with respect to Amendment 1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motion filed?"

Speaker Redmond: "Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 3337 will held at the request of the Sponsor. 3339."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3339."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Houlihan or Kane, 3339."


Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 3340."


Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 3347. Out of the record, request of the Sponsor. 3349."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3349. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act to license and regulate grain dealers engaged in the business of purchasing grain. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."
Speaker Redmond: "Any motions with respect to Amendment 1?"
Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."
Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"
Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, Skinner. Amends House Bill 3349 as amended in Section 6 and so forth."
Speaker Redmond: "Representative Skinner. Representative Johnson, please be seated. Representative Bowman. Couldn't see Leinenweber. Stand in front of Collins."
Skinner: "Amendment #2 is... could be labeled the 'pay as you go' Amendment. It is my belief that every licensing program with very few exceptions should pay their own way. Now the Department of Agriculture licenses grain elevators, but the fee structure only brings in seventy percent of the costs. The administration is asking for an additional eighteen employees as in response to the two grain elevator bankruptcies - one in Representative Winchester's and Harris and Hart's district and one in Representative Waddell's, Hanahan's and my district. We will be losing, that is the general taxpayer, the general fund taxpayer will be paying somewhere between two hundred and three hundred thousand dollars that could otherwise be going to education or senior citizen property tax relief in order to subsidize the regulation of grain elevators. Now I find this intolerable, is that too strong a word? It certainly isn't right. It should pay its own way. This about a two line Amendment and in substance what it says is that the Department is mandated to provide a fee structure that will bring in the money that will pay for the regulation of the program. The only serious objection that I've heard comes from those who use the old argument - this is an agreed Bill and any Amendment to it will break up the compromise, the coalition that has been formed to pass it. Well, when it's going to cost my school children in my school districts two to three hundred thousand dollars and when the industry and the regulation of that industry has been found to be seriously lacking, it seems to me it's time for a 'pay as you go' Amendment. And it is in that spirit that I offer this Amendment and ask for its approval."
Speaker Redmond: "Representative Rigney."
Rigney: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, as
the Sponsor of the legislation, I suppose I could stand here and
tell you it does all kind of violence to this Bill, but that simply
wouldn’t be true. The Amendment is not really all that significant.
However, I am going to have to oppose it. Keep in mind that we
have had in this State of Illinois a number of rather spectacular
grain elevator failures. As a result of this, the Director of Agri-
culture assembled what I would call a blue-ribbon group made up of
various interests of the grain producing trade of those elevators
that are receiving the grain, of the banking industry, the bonding
industry and all of those allied interests who have a vital stake
in grain trade here in the State of Illinois. As a result of this,
they labored for several days to come up with a Bill that they all
could agree on and they had to give ground. They had to compromise
and they did this. And they walked out of that meeting agreeing
that this is the concept that they were going to support and I think
you’ll like the Bill when you hear it on Third Reading. Now one
of the ideas that was kicked around by that task force was the very
idea that Representative Skinner is talking about here this after-
noon. The task force in its wisdom did not see fit to support that
concept. So the only thing I’m saying to you as the Sponsor, I
would like to keep my Bill clean. It is an agreed Bill. It’s been
labored over for many hours and for that reason, I will have to
respectfully ask that you vote ‘no’ on the Amendment.”

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schisler."

Schisler: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise in
opposition to this Amendment. Representative Rigney is absolutely
correct. This Bill is not needed. We want to keep the Bill in the
shape that it came out of Committee. To reiterate, we are recovering
seventy percent of the inspection costs. The elevators pay a hun-
dred and fifty dollars a year for an inspection or for their... for
the exam. Also, fifty dollars for license fee and also ten dollars
for any other unit that’s used in that inspection. Elevator oper-
ators also pay other taxes just like you and I do and this, in effect,
would have a double taxation effect. And I feel that an elevator
in town is comparable to having a bank in town and, therefore, very
much needed. So I oppose this Amendment. Thank you."
Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schuneman."

Schuneman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker, I rise in opposition to this Bill... this Amendment. I'd like to point out to the Members that there's something like eighteen hundred licensed grain dealers in the State of Illinois. And as Representative Schisler just mentioned, those grain dealers have been paying a significant fee for their audits and inspections. Of those eighteen hundred grain dealers, I think two went bankrupt last year and, of course, that's the reason that we are seeing this kind of legislation that we have in House Bill 3349. 3349 addresses the problem of the 'price later' contract on grain and I think it would go a long way toward correcting the problems that we've had in the grain dealer industry. I think it makes no sense at this time to further increase the fees for these honest grain dealers who represent a very important segment in rural communities throughout Illinois. I think it makes no sense to require a further increase in their cost of doing business and I would urge that this Amendment be defeated."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ewell."

Ewell: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, I have to rise in support of Representative Skinner. He is indeed technically correct on this particular Amendment. You're talking about an agency that only pays about seventy percent of the costs and you have to ask yourself, 'What is the justification for seventy percent of the cost?' Certainly if it's that justifiable, they wouldn't pay any costs. But some people will argue that sum. I contend that you're talking about the regulation of a particular body, a body that is revenue producing - that is the grain elevators - and they should defray the necessary costs for their regulation. It's just the same as the insurance industry. No one in here would come in and advocate that we, the state, pick up thirty percent of the tab for the regulation of the insurance industry. Then there's no justification for this. Representative Skinner is correct. If you do think about the budget of the State of Illinois and one day we all will have to, you have to in some form and fashion, we put some controls and some restrictions on the amount of money you spend. It's not that it's that much money, but it's justifiable. And we don't have to
support Skinner now on this particular situation. But then one day, we, too, will be sitting here arguing into the wee hours of the morning about a tax increase and why it's needed and what we have to do. Well, Gentlemen, one of the first things we have to do is tighten our own belts and at least we can do the things that are fair, equitable and just. And we can't allow just some interests to say that, 'Well, don't put any burden on me, shift it to someone else.' I think Skinner is correct and we ought to support this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I oppose this Amendment for a very, very different reason. I oppose it for the same reason that I opposed the Bill in the first place. This Bill is going to create another layer of government in the State of Illinois. It's going to be harassment to eighteen hundred small businessmen who are struggling now to make ends meet and it shouldn't... the Bill itself should be defeated. And when we get to that part, I would discuss the merits of the Bill. Now all you're doing here is adding another cost to a lot of little businessmen who are really the backbone of this state."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is, shall the main question be put? Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the motion carries. Representative Skinner to close the debate."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, I know what's in this Bill and our staffer, Deb Alton, drafted the original Bills that first put forth most of the ideas before the Committee was even appointed. Everybody was on this Committee except the taxpayer. Of course, the grain dealers don't want to pay for any extra costs that extra needed regulation will cost. Of course, their bankers agree with the grain dealers position. But if we look at parallel regulatory programs in the State of Illinois, we should notice that the banks pay their own way, the utilities pay for the... all of the expenses of the Illinois Commerce Commission, the insurance companies pay their own way. Why not the grain elevators? What's so special about them? They are businessmen like all of the aforementioned industries. I
would suggest that the Illinois Conservative Union take this Roll Call as one of its litmus paper tests of who is a liberal and who is a conservative. The best tax is a user charge - one that is directly paying for the benefits that the user gets. No one has lost any money in the State of Illinois from grain elevators going bankrupt except about a thousand farmers. Most half... at least half of whom are in my district. This Bill is not going to help them get their money back. Certainly we shouldn't make them pay more taxes to prevent future things occurring in the future. Future, yeah, that's really garbled, isn't it? Apologize for that. This is a 'pay as you go' Amendment and anybody that claims the conservative banner as I understand is popular this year, certainly ought to be voting 'yes'. If you're a liberal, on the other hand and want education to have all the money that is possible, you also ought to be voting for this Bill because it's not a small amount of money we're talking about here. We're talking about two to three hundred thousand dollars, that if it doesn't come from here, is going to come from the state general fund and that money will not be able to go into making up an amount that Comptroller Bakalis will be able to use the total of when making his speech on how much money the Democrats have given, have saved the state general fund that now can be funnelled into education. I respectfully ask for your support on this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "The question's on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment #2. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Representative Winchester."

Winchester: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to explain my vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Winchester: "I think that Representative Skinner probably does have a very good Amendment, but I think the timing is wrong. I think that the Sponsors of the Bill have discussed what Representative Skinner intends to do with this Amendment with the Illinois Agriculture Association and they then discussed to hold off on doing anything like this until they've had a chance to poll their memberships to see if this type of a checkoff would be something that they would be willing to go along with and support. But if this Amendment is adopted,
Mr. Speaker, it could very well jeopardize the entire piece of legislation. And I think a previous speaker mentioned a few moments ago that we've had two bankruptcies in the last year. Mr. Speaker, we're talking about on the average of one to two bankruptcies per month. And I think that the legislation is very important and this Amendment could really jeopardize the passage of this Amendment so I would ask for a 'no' vote, Mr. Speaker.

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wished? Representative Hoxsey."

Hoxsey: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd like to explain my vote on this, too. Representative Skinner, I believe, should spend a little time perhaps looking at the situation with the grain elevator operators before deciding that this Amendment is the way to go. This cost would be passed on to the consumer like so many other things. Government should do in regulation what people cannot do for themselves. This is the reason behind the prime legislation. It's needed and to put an Amendment on like this which will make a burden, an extreme burden, to the grain operators in the State of Illinois, I recommend that you vote 'no' on it."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McMaster."

McMaster: "By way of explaining my vote, this Amendment is going to cost the grain dealers money eventually. It will cost the producer of the grain money because after all, the expense of the grain elevators come out of our pockets in the way of price for our corn or grain. And there's already language in this legislation that requires an audit by C.P.A.s of the grain dealers which is again going to be expensive. So we already have that in the Bill without this Amendment and the added expense is just going to be very difficult for all of us and I would urge a 'no' vote on this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wished? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 63 'ayes', 77 'no'. Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "I really want a poll of the absentees. I think a very important principle is at stake here."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman's requested a poll of the absentees. Mr. Clerk, poll the absentees. You're voting green."

Clerk O'Brien: "Abramson, Antonovych, Beatty, Bradley, Brandt, Campbell,
Capparelli."
Speaker Redmond: "Campbell 'no'. Who? DiPrima, do you want... Is Representative Geo-Karis... wants me to recognize you, Representative DiPrima. Oh, Representative Skinner."
Skinner: "Well, hi, Mr. Speaker."
Clerk O'Brien: "Capparelli, Catania, Deuster, John Dunn, Ebbesen."
Speaker Redmond: "John Dunn 'aye'. John Dunn 'no'."
Clerk O'Brien: "Epton, Farley, Greiman, Griesheimer, Hudson, Huff, Katz, Klosak, Kornowicz, Korubowski, Lucco, Madison, Mahar, Mann, McBroome, McClain, McCourt, Meyer, Molloy, Polk, Robinson, Sandquist, Schlickman, Sharp, Stearney, Schumeman...er...Summer, excuse me. Terzich, Tuerk."
Speaker Redmond: "Representative Tuerk 'no'."
Clerk O'Brien: "Van Duyne and Waddell."
Speaker Redmond: "What's the count now? 53 'ayes' and 80 'nos'. The Gentleman's motion fails, the Amendment is not adopted. Any further Amendments?"
Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 3350."
Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3350. A Bill for an Act to regulate the transportation by rail of hazardous materials in the State of Illinois. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment §1 was adopted in Committee."
Speaker Redmond: "Any motion... You want that out of the record?"
Clerk O'Brien: "A fiscal note is requested and has not been filed yet."
Speaker Redmond: "Out of the record. 3351."
Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3351. A Bill for an Act to authorize the Department of Transportation to convey all rights, titles and interests held by the state in and through land located in St. Clair County. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."
Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"
Clerk O'Brien: "None."
Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. Speaker's Office just got a call from the Forum Thirty and the State House Inn advising us that the convention has been cancelled for this weekend so they have plenty of rooms. House Bills, Third Reading. 2946. Representative Pierce."
Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to ask for leave to move this Bill back to Second Reading for the purpose of an Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman have leave? Hearing no objection, leave is granted. Be returned to the Order of Second Reading."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1, Pierce. Amends House Bill 2946 in line 1 by inserting immediately after Act the following and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Pierce."

Pierce: "Yes, Amendment #1 was requested by the Illinois Association of Special Education Administrators to clear up two problems - one dealing with the Orphanage Act that particularly affects Lake County and the other dealing with the use of the existing special education levy by removing the eight year limitation and allowing the funds to be used for special education programs other than building programs. However, state reimbursement will only be obtained should they be used for building projects. This would conform the Bill to the wishes of the Special Education Administrators of Illinois and I urge the adoption of Amendment #1."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Gentleman yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Pierce: "Yes."

Ryan: "Representative Pierce, did you file your fiscal note prior to this Amendment? Does this Amendment change the fiscal note?"

Pierce: "The fiscal note was filed prior to the Amendment. The one portion dealing with the special education levy is strictly a local levy and that won't change it. The Orphanage Act Amendment could have a state impact, but it would be less than a million dollars."

Ryan: "Well then, you're saying that you probably ought to file a new fiscal note. Is that what you're saying?"

Pierce: "Only if you request it."

Ryan: "Well, I would request it."

Pierce: "Thank you."

Ryan: "You're welcome."

Speaker Redmond: "Any... any discussion on the Amendment? Question's
on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment §1 to House Bill 2946. Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, motion carries, the Amendment's adopted. It will be held on Second Reading for the new fiscal note. House Bills, Third Reading. 2775, Representative Barnes is recognized. You desire to have this returned to the Order of Second Reading, is that correct?" 

J. Barnes: "Please."

Speaker Redmond: "Does she have leave? Hearing no objection, leave is granted. 2775. You got 85 up there. It's now on the Order of Second Reading. 2775. Is there any Amendment from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment §2, Stearney. Amends House Bill 2775 on page 1, line 9 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Leinenweber, for what purpose do you rise?"

Leinenweber: "To represent Mr. Stearney in 'absentia' (sic)."

Speaker Redmond: "In what?"

Leinenweber: "During his absence from the floor."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed in 'absentia' (sic)."

Leinenweber: "Amendment §2 was drafted by Representative Stearney after a number of Committee Members expressed some reservations over the language of House Bill 2775. It has to do with what's been colloquially called child stealing. That is, removal from the custody of the one parent by the estranged other parent. One of the problems in the Bill was that the wrong conjunction was used which would nullify the right of a parent or exclusion if the... if the one parent took the child with good cause and you can conjure up a number of good causes which the Committee felt that one parent could take a child and not necessarily be hit with a Class IV felony charge. The other provision is to try to strike a balance between the ability of the state to recover the child in pursuant to a civil court order and that is the requirement that there be a Class IV felony to enable extradition. And the other provision was that many of these instances arise not out of criminal intent, but out of exaggerated fears of preservation... best interest of the child. So the other provision that Representative Stearney drafted was to provide for a twenty day cooling off period in order to
prevent the issuance of an arrest warrant. I would move the adoption of Amendment #2."

Speaker Redmond: "Any objections? The question's on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill 2775. Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, Amendment's adopted, motion carries. The Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. The Order of Third Reading will be Bills that have not been taken out of the record in the last couple of days. We'll start with 1088. Representative Younge. Out of the record. 1274, Representative Huff. Representative Huff. Out of the record. 1357, Representative Robinson. Out of the record. 1380, Representative Brady."


Speaker Redmond: "Representative Brady."

Brady: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and fellow Members, last spring we passed into law an Act called the Business Takeover Act. Governor Thompson signed this with a message back to us that he had some problems with the technical language or had received some information on problems from security lawyers in Illinois, but wanted to sign it because we needed the statement in the record and we would work it out in the next year to come. The security officers, the Chicago Bar Association Securities Committee and a Commissioner for the Secretary of State's Office have worked out a change in that Act and this is what I'm proposing today and I urge your favorable support."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? The question is, shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 132 'aye' and 1 'no' and the Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. 1764, Representative Kane. The Impoundment Control Act."
Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1764."


Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2121. A Bill for an Act to provide for civil actions against nursing homes, sheltered care homes and homes for the aged which deprive their residents the right to benefits. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 2121 provides a Bill of Rights for residents of nursing homes that is really similar to rights that are provided under federal rules and regulations governing reimbursement of nursing home facilities under medicaid and medicare. There are... at this time in Illinois approximately eight hundred and ninety-seven licensed facilities and six hundred, ninety-one of these are independently certified for participation in the medicaid, medicare programs. Two hundred and six facilities, mostly sheltered care homes are not bound by federal rules and regulations with respect to any Bill of Rights requirement. This would fill that gap. It is 'versely' (sic) identical to House Bill that was considered in the package offered by Representative Byers and Holewinski in the last three Sessions which did pass the House 125 to 4. It has been voted upon by this House before and I urge a favorable Roll Call at this time."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "I have a question for the Sponsor."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Leinenweber: "What right, specific rights does this grant that a person doesn't automatically have by virtue of the fact that they're citizens and so on?"

Bowman: "Well, okay first, mostly it's a right to be informed of certain things. It requires that they be informed of available services and charges, be informed of the medical conditions necessitating care and treatment, to be afforded an opportunity to participate in
the planning of the treatment and so forth."

Leinemweber: "When you say, 'and so forth', in other words..."

Bowman: "Well, I mean..."

Leinemweber: "They're entitled to know their rights, if they're being charged for various services. Is that correct?"

Bowman: "That is included."

Leinemweber: "What about the family, the financially responsible person? Do they have the same rights as the resident does or is this just to the resident?"

Bowman: "Yeah, no. It provides that the... an accompanying relative, spouse or guardian be informed of the resident's rights and a notice of a discharge or involuntary transfer be provided to them in advance of such action."

Leinemweber: "Doesn't... won't this tend to create an awful lot of paperwork for a nursing home?"

Bowman: "Well, I mean, let's look at it this way. There are six hundred and ninety-one such nursing homes in the state right now that are required to do this. They're under federal rules and regs. This simply broadens the rights and privileges of the patients into those who are presently in other homes. It seems to me that a patient ought to have the same right regardless of the kind of facility that they happen to be in at the time."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Yield, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Ryan: "Representative Bowman, you say in your Bill as I understand it that damages must be for at least twenty-five percent of the daily per patient rate. Is that correct?"

Bowman: "Mr. Speaker, I'm having a hard time hearing. Could you get a little order?"

Speaker Redmond: "Please give the Gentlemen order. Representative Ryan, will you please enunciate a little more clearly."

Bowman: "That's what I meant to say."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Sims, will you please sit down?"

Ryan: "Are you ready to pay attention now, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan."
Ryan: "In your Bill, Representative Bowman, you say that at least twenty-five percent... that damages of at least twenty-five percent of the daily per patient rate are sensible. Is that correct?"

Bowman: "Just a second. What page are you on, Sir?"

Ryan: "I'm reading from my analysis."

Bowman: "Oh, okay. If you'd happy... if you'll run me the copy of your analysis, I'll be happy... Just one moment. Let me find it in the Bill. I'll be right with you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Adams has volunteered for the music."

Bowman: "Yeah, a little music."

Adams: "Yeah, what I'd like... leave to get a 'yes' vote on that 1380. I pushed the wrong button. We might as well make that vote unanimous."

Speaker Redmond: "What was it? Thirteen what?"

Adams: "1380."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman have leave? Representative Mulcahey. Hearing no objection leave is granted."

Bowman: "Representative Ryan, I can't seem to find what you're referring to in the Bill. Are you sure you're staff analysis is accurate? If you could show it to me, I'd be happy to comment on it."

Ryan: "Well, you want to take it out of the record I'll be glad to do it."

Speaker Redmond: "Take it out of the record and you Gentlemen discuss it. And bring the analyst along. 2418. I presume out of the record, Representative Pierce? Out of the record. 2486. Representative Kane, 2486."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2486. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act to tax gifts. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kane."

Kane: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 2486 is a Bill that's been recommended by the State Treasurer. What it does is eliminate some of the duplication in the collection of the fees and the contradictions in the statutes between the Circuit Clerks and the County Treasurers. And I would urge the adoption of this Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? You ready for the question? Representative Brummer."
Brummer: "Yes, will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentlemen standing between Representative Brummer and the Chair, please be seated."

Brummer: "Does this increase any fees?"

Kane: "No."

Brummer: "And does it provide any new taxes?"

Kane: "No."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is, shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished?

Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 124 'aye' and no 'nay' and the Bill having received the Constitutional Majority hereby declared passed. 2529, Representative Mulcahey.

Out of the record."

(cont'd on next page)
Speaker Redmond: "...2535, Representative Schuneman."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2535, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act to authorize the Department of Public Health to purchase, lease, accept or acquire suitable sites. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schuneman."

Schuneman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 2535 would increase the fees, the minimum fees...."

Speaker Redmond: "Mr. Doorkeeper, the use of cameras is not permitted in the gallery. Will you be sure that that order is enforced? Proceed Representaive Schuneman."

Schuneman: "...The Bill would increase the minimum fees collected by the state, in connection with the radioactive waste disposal site - the only site in the State of Illinois - that fees would go into the 'perpetual care fund', which would be used in future years for the monitoring of the radioactive waste disposal site. The...Up until now the State of Illinois has been collecting only about enough money to pay for the current expenses of monitoring that site. And for all practical purposes no money has been collected to pay for the future cost of monitoring the site. So that there is very, very little money in the 'perpetual care fund'. Now, experts indicate to us that this site may have to be monitored for at least hundreds of years and perhaps thousands of years. So, it makes no sense that the taxpayers of the future should have to be saddled with the cost of paying for the future monitoring. The Bill, I think is noncontroversial, it has bipartisan support, it is supported by the Department of Public Health, passed out of the Committee on Environment by a unanimous vote and I would urge a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question is, shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 128 'aye', and one 'no'; and the Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. 2549...."
Speaker Redmond: "Representative Collins desires unanimous consent to be recorded as 'aye'. Does he have leave? Use the Attendance Roll Call to permit Representative Collins to be recorded as 'aye'. Representative DiPrima."


Speaker Redmond: "Representative DiPrima."

DiPrima: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, a couple of Sessions ago we passed a Vietnam Veteran's Bill that would grant widows and orphans of those killed in action free scholarships. And we also gave scholarships to those who had husbands and fathers missing in action and to those that had fathers and husbands that were a hundred percent disabled. And now we come to the realization that we neglected this program for World War II and Korean veterans. Of course like the old proverb, you know, it's better later than never. It would only affect a minimal part of the... those eligible for this program at this stage of the game, but a program at the most would cost a hundred and eighteen thousand if everybody was to take advantage of it at this stage of the game which you and I know they probably won't. But in any event, I would appreciate a favorable vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Would the Gentleman yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

DiPrima: "Yes."

Matijevich: "Well, I see by the language it mentions about that accruing to the bona fide applicant in this Bill, would you explain that to me? The accrual to the bona fide applicant, I'd like to have more information on the Bill, Representative DiPrima."

DiPrima: "Yeah well, the 'accrualments' (sic) will be available in the event of the..."

Matijevich: "No, not the 'accrualments' (sic). No, it says that the..."

DiPrima: "You don't know what the hell you're talking about. I'll get Tuerk after you. Get up there."

Matijevich: "You know, you got to explain the Bill so that I can understand it well enough to... How many applicants would, if this Bill
were passed, become eligible?"

DiPrima: "A very minimal amount. As I say, at the very most it would cost the state a hundred and eighteen thousand. If all those eligible were to take advantage of it which you and I know all of them aren't."

Matijevich: "Well they aren't? I didn't know that. You know more than I know. How do I know that unless you tell me?"

DiPrima: "Well, I understand from my advisors here two hundred and forty people."

Matijevich: "Yes, I have one more question to the Sponsor. Has the Assessor of Cook County rendered an opinion on this Bill? Is he for or against it?"

DiPrima: "Who?"

Matijevich: "The Assessor of Cook County. After all..."

DiPrima: "He's in favor of this Bill."

Matijevich: "Well, in that case with that explanation, Mr. Speaker, I would advise all of us to vote for the Bill."

DiPrima: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is, shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 124 'aye' and 4 'no' and the Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. 2575, Representative Lechowicz."


Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Should be 2570. Is that correct, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "75 on the Calendar. 2570 was taken out of the record yesterday. You want that one called?"

Lechowicz: "Yes, Sir, please."

Speaker Redmond: "2570."


Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 2570 is a noncontroversial piece of legislation. It
permits standard maintenance and repair costs on residential real estate without increasing assessed valuation. We passed a 1977 law which permitted maintenance and repair costs up to seventy-five hundred dollars in a ten year period without increasing the assessed valuation in all counties throughout the state excluding Cook County. House Bill 2570 would also include Cook County. The Cook County Assessor's Office indicates that the intent of this Bill already applies. They had no objections to the Bill and I would ask for your favorable support."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? The question is, shall this Bill pass? Representative Tipsword."

Tipsword: "Will the Gentleman yield to a question please?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Tipsword: "I have the original Bill which included all of Illinois and Cook County wanted out last year."

Lechowicz: "It took them a year to learn."

Tipsword: "Okay, that's great. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? The question is, shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 114, 115 'aye' and 17 'no'. The Bill having received the Constitutional Majority hereby declared passed. 2560. Representative Levin desires to have that returned to the Order of Second Reading for the purpose of an Amendment. Does he have leave? Hearing no objection, will you read 2560, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #2, Levin. Amends House Bill 2560 as amended by deleting all of Section 14-8.02 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Levin."

Levin: "Mr. Speaker, this Amendment is virtually identical to Amendment #1 except it comes as a result of consultation with the Office of Education. And it makes a couple of minor changes that they've suggested."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Gene Hoffman."

G. Hoffman: "Yes, would the... would the Sponsor yield?"

Levin: "Certainly."

G. Hoffman: "Would you indicate a little more specifically what
changes there are made?"

Levin: "Okay, one... On page 2, line 5, it adds in that a local school board may request a hearing. This is current practice of the Office of Education. It was an oversight in the Amendment. Let me add also, Gene, that the same technical mistake occurs in Senate Bill 386, that this is missing in 386 as well. Additionally, it adds the right..."

Hoffman: "All right, let me just as you one question relative to what you just said. How does this... how will this differ after this Amendment from the Senate Bill to which you referred?"

Levin: "The objective of this Bill is to deal with a number of procedural problems that have been experienced in terms of the appeals for... from the handicapped special education children in terms of placement. The key provisions of this, of the Bill in its current form which are carried over in the Amendments are to try to make the procedures fairer, the feeling being that under the current administration, by the Office of Education, that there's a fair amount of bias against private placements of handicapped children. This adds provisions, for example, that make these hearings nonadversary. They provide that the hearing officer if he sees that something is missing from the record, he can ask for it. That is not in 386. In addition, we picked up language which is in the hearing officer's manual which is neither in the current law or regulation that is the burden is on the public school district to - one, demonstrate that its analysis of the child's needs are correct and secondly, that it has the facilities and related services. You know, those are the major differences from 386.

In addition, this version does not require the hearing officer to be a certified arbitrator. This is something that in discussions with both the Bureau of the Budget and the office of Education, they indicated would be - #1, very expensive, and #2, the likelihood of getting an arbitrator within the forty-five day period would be very difficult. In addition, the amount of time that the Office of Education would have to come up with a list of names of hearing officers is cut from ten days to five. This again was suggested by the Office of Education so there would be more time..."
for hearings. These are the major differences. If I inadvertently averted any, I apologize. But as far as my memory, these are the major differences from 386."

Hoffman: "Who does the burden rest with now in terms of demonstrating that the needs of the child have been appropriately identified?"

Levin: "In examining orders, both at local level orders and state level appeal orders and in reading one transcript, it appears that this is pretty much left over that there may or may not be evidence that there are facilities in the public schools to deal with the child's needs. So I would say right now, there's nobody that is obligated to meet that burden. This simply... this puts it in. As I say, this is something that is in the hearing officer's manual so they think it's something they should be doing. Our perusal suggests that they are not doing that now when we think that that would be a very significant improvement on the procedure. You know, this comes from discussions with attorneys and others that have taken appeals and practiced in the field."

Hoffman: "All right, thank you for your explanation. I'm going to spend a little more time with this Amendment and we may discuss it on Third Reading. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any further discussion? The question's the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment #2. Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the motion carried, the Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 2121. Representative Ryan and Representative Bowman, have you worked out your problems? Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Yes, Sir. I believe we had a long and fruitful discussion. I believe that we have worked out our problems. The question which was raised and I'd like to make the legislative record on this point, a question which was raised was - in the event that there is a court finding that a patient's rights have indeed been abridged that the patient shall be awarded actual damages and in the event that there's not a determination of actual damages, there is a minimum damage which is assessed which is twenty-five percent of the daily rate.
Now, that is the rate for a single day, not for the extent to which the damage, time duration or which the damaged occurred because in some cases that is equally difficult to determine as the amount of the actual damages would be. But it's desirable, I think, to have at least some minimum in there and the... to pay it through the daily rate, I think, is appropriate and that is the intent of the legislation."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Simms."

Simms: "Would the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Simms: "Representative Bowman, what is this going to cost the taxpayers of Illinois to enforce?"

Bowman: "About..."

Simms: "In terms of financial cost?"

Bowman: "A fiscal note has been filed on this. My recollection is this would cost forty-five thousand dollars, forty-nine thousand dollars."

Simms: "And who prepared the fiscal note?"

Bowman: "The Department of Public Health. Let me give you a background on that if I may. Will the Gentleman yield for an explanation? I'd like to..."

Simms: "I've got a copy of the Department of Public Health..."

Bowman: "Wait a minute."

Simms: "Fiscal note says it's going to be eighty-one thousand, two hundred and five dollars."

Bowman: "No, if you'll look at the... what is the date on the letter attached to that?"

Simms: "May 9, 1978."

Bowman: "We have a fiscal note here filed after that because see, there is an Amendment. You may recall that we had a wrangle on the floor of the House about whether to adopt Amendments before the fiscal note was filed. And unfortunately, I was overruled on this subject so we... I had to file a fiscal note before adopting the Amendment. Okay, now we have an updated fiscal note filed which cuts that roughly in half."

Simms: "Well, what is your... what is the projected long-term cost?
This is the estimated cost to start it up..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Matijevich, for what purpose do you rise?"

Matijevich: "Speaker, I never make introductions, but I see a lot of young cub scouts and I don't think we ought to let them go without giving them a hand and I mean it this time."

Speaker Redmond: "Those are all Brady's. The Brady Bunch."

Simms: "Where were we? My concern, Representative Bowman, is this is the start-up money that it will take to begin the program. But if the long-term cost that these programs develop into as they spiral into new... as a spiral and continue in the manifestations of expansion. Would you agree that this has the potentiality of eventually costing in the next five years pretty close to a half million dollars a year?"

Bowman: "Oh, wait, wait a minute. Absolutely not. What this... if you take a look at the fiscal note, you'll see basically what they're doing is providing for a modest increase in staffing to respond to complaints filed against nursing homes. And that's essentially it. The only conceivable way in which the cost here would escalate would be one of two... there are only two possible ways. One is if we had a larger number of nursing homes being constructed and according to your figures, would have to have ten times the number of nursing homes we have now in order to yield that kind of cost. Alternatively, perhaps it would cost that much if nursing homes around the state did a much, much inferior job to what they're doing now which is already pretty bad. And I can't see how things can get any worse in this state, so I think that this would be the annual cost for the next decade at least, foreseeable future."

Simms: "I think experience has proven, Representative Bowman, that costs don't stay the same with new programs that are started up. They continue to spiral. It would be encouraging if costs would remain the same as I'm sure that you hope that they would, but I see this very frankly. Maybe there is a need, but I see this as a new frontier of cost to the taxpayer of the State of Illinois. In some cases, it's being duplicated already by the Federal Government."
And I think the Members of the House ought to look very carefully at the long-term costs of implementing this type of legislation. Thank you very much."

Bowman: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House..."

Simms: "Well, Mr. Speaker, that was a comment and I don't think I asked for a response from the Sponsor of the Bill."

Bowman: "Well, my microphone's still on so I thought I would just indicate to the Members of the House that..."

Simms: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I... was the opportunity which I had to have the floor and ask Representative Bowman questions. He answered the questions in a very affirmative manner and I don't think it was necessary then... any other questions put to Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to simply clarify one thing which I said for the benefit of Representative Simms."

Simms: "Now I perfectly understood what you said, Representative Bowman."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Byers."

Byers: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would like to rise in support of Representative Woods Bowman's Bill. This is a Bill that will simply guarantee the some one hundred thousand people that are patients in nursing homes in the State of Illinois the right to see that they're taken care of and that they're given the care that they deserve. Currently, the State of Illinois is spending over... with the Federal Government, over two hundred and fifty million dollars. There's some forty-five thousand people in nursing homes that are patients of the state and we're simply going to see to it that the money that we are spending there is being adequately addressed to their needs. This is all that this Bill does. It's a very simple Bill and I would urge and 'aye' vote for this fine piece of legislation."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Brummer."

Brummer: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Brummer: "As I understand it, there are approximately nine hundred licensed nursing home facilities in the State of Illinois, is that..."
correct? And of those about two hundred and six of them are not under the federal regulations?"

Bowman: "This is correct."

Brummer: "Now, those that are under the federal regulations currently have these protections or the patients in those homes have these protections incorporated in this Bill?"

Bowman: "That's right."

Brummer: "Okay, with regard to those that are currently under the federal legislation, will this Bill have any impact on them? Will they also be subject to additional state inspection as a result of this Bill in addition to the federal inspection and requirement that they currently have?"

Bowman: "Well..."

Brummer: "My question basically is with regard to those under the federal regulation, will there be a duplication of inspections and regulations concerning the items in this Bill?"

Bowman: "No. I mean, all nursing homes right now are, you know, inspected and so forth. I mean, that's... that really isn't an issue here."

Brummer: "I don't think you understood my question. Does this Bill apply to all nursing homes in the State of Illinois or does it exclude those that are currently subject to the federal regulations?"

Bowman: "Now, it does apply to all nursing homes in the State of Illinois."

Brummer: "So there would be a duplication with regard to approximately some seven hundred or six hundred nursing homes that are currently under the federal regulations."

Bowman: "Well, it would provide the residents of those homes with an additional recourse. It would not provide... it would not be duplication in the sense of... right, because the state, you know, already inspects, you know, all of those homes anyway. It simply will provide an additional recourse. You know, it doesn't provide a different set of regulations or rules so that the nursing homes which were covered by federal regs. would be, you know, obliged to comply with a whole new set of state regulations. That's not
the problem."

Brummer: "Mr. Speaker, could I address the..."

Bowman: "Wait, one last point. The state does the inspections for federal purposes incidentally. I don't know if you're aware of that."

Speaker Bradley: "Proceed, Representative Brummer."

Brummer: "Well, my experience, the nursing home industry is flooded with inspectors currently. There are several state agencies that do regular inspections and examinations with regard to nursing homes. There are federal agencies with regard to the vast majority of them and this seems to lay on another layer of regulations on the nursing home industry that is duplicating the protections that are there with regard to the vast majority of the nursing homes. And at least until that duplication with regard to those that are under the federal regulation is removed, I would be opposed to this Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kempiners."

Kempiners: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Would the Gentleman yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Kempiners: "During the last General Assembly, a series of Bills was passed regarding the operation of nursing homes and I have some concerns regarding what the last Gentleman said with regard to the number of inspections and the different departments inspecting nursing homes and I was wondering what this Bill does above and beyond what was included in the package of Bills coming from the House Human Resources Committee in the 79th General Assembly?"

Bowman: "Absolutely nothing. Absolutely nothing more. It would not change the number of regular inspections at all. The only thing that would happen would be if a complaint happened to be filed, the Department of Public Health would unless they had already made it a regular inspection - incidentally that was the effect of one Amendment we made - would have to verify the complaint. That is all. There is no additional inspection required and it's in... in any event, it conforms precisely with what had passed out of Human Resources."

Kempiners: "Well, don't they... doesn't the Department of Public Health react already to complaints about nursing homes?"
Bowman: "Yeah, they do. However, like I say, there are two hundred and six facilities now which are not covered so that we would bring those under coverage and so there might be an increase in complaints arising from those facilities. That's all."

Kempler: "Okay, this morning I received a phone call from the Department on Aging which has created an Advisory Board on nursing homes. It was the Ombudsman for the Aging and part of their sphere of activity were reacting to complaints about nursing homes. And it would seem to me that what you're talking about is a duplication on what the Department on Aging already is doing."

Bowman: "Mr. Speaker, yeah, let me point out to the Gentleman from Will that the... at the present time, this particular Board has no statutory authority to respond in that fashion. Now, the fact that they're doing is very laudable, but I think what we really have to do unless we simply, you know, forfeit our right as the Legislature to make public policy, it's to write into the statute the requirement for, you know, lodging complaints and following up on those complaints. And, you know, and like I say, I think the Department of Public Health is the most appropriate authority to handle this. After all, they already do the inspection for federal purposes of six hundred and ninety-one homes. The Department of Aging... like to have an advocate, but I think that they're not the appropriate authority and they don't have any statutory authority right now do they?"

Kempler: "Well, if I could address this..."

Speaker Redmond: "Address the Bill."

Kempler: "If I could address this Bill, Mr. Speaker. I have much the same concerns as previous speakers have with regard to duplication of effort of state agencies and the burden that's being placed on nursing homes today. I think we've all been aware of instances where the Department of Public Health inspects a nursing home and they're told, 'you've got to have that door swing the other way.' Then, the Fire Department inspects and say, 'no, you got to have it swing the other way.' And I think that this is a laudable effort. However, I think that the Department of Aging if they have an Ombudsman who are looking for people to speak..."
for citizens in these homes, the Department of Public Health already has the authority to go into these homes to make inspections and to mandate that changes be made. I don't think this legislation is necessary and I would ask for a 'no' vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to make a few statements but I hope it won't provide another speech by Representative Bowman who's only made six. I would like to just second the statements that have been made. We've got inspectors inspecting inspectors now for nursing homes and they are harassed to death and certainly the Department of Health and other agencies, the Fire Marshall's Office are in there inspecting. And as far as them complying with a request and I have done this on two or three occasions where I felt that there was something amiss or something being improperly done - poor feeding, lack of cleanliness and so on - and never yet has the Department of Health failed to respond and give me a report back on their findings. So I just say this, we just keep on passing Bills around here which cause more and more harassment of business which is trying to do a good job and they've spent all their time and their money trying to placate some governmental agency instead of taking care of the patients. This is a bad Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mulcahey."

Mulcahey: "Speaker, move the previous question."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman's moved the previous question. The question is, shall the main question be put? Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the motion carried. Representative Bowman, to close."

Bowman: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I don't get upset very often, but I'm pretty upset now. I've been advised by my friends to take this out of the record. But I'm not going to do it. I think we ought to have an up or down Roll Call right now because if you listen very carefully to what's been said. And believe me, every single person who has gotten up to speak on this particular issue, never once mentioned the senior citizens, never once. Now, we've passed plenty of legislation through this General Assembly to benefit senior citizens. Every one of us has gotten up on this floor..."
many, many times and said the senior citizens of this state are getting a raw deal. Well, I think it's time to stand up and be counted again, Mr. Speaker. I'm not going to stand for this. I'm going to have an up or down Roll Call on this thing right now. We have got thousands of senior citizens living in over two hundred nursing homes in this state that are not covered by these federal rules and regulations. We're talking about basic rights, not new programs. It is not a very large expenditure of money and I want to vote right now."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is, shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Mr. Speaker, I've just taken my tranquilizer. No, I think we ought to have a Roll Call on this. I simply want to point out that... once again and perhaps in more moderated tones that we have considered the plight of the poor nursing home administrator. Now, I want to reassure all the Members of this House, this does not create any new inspections that the nursing homes will not be badgered to death. There will not be any additional paperwork.

This is not the issue here. At the present time, we have literally thousands of senior citizens living in nursing homes that do not have the right, the simple right to be informed of the kind of treatment they're going to get. They don't have the right to be... to prior... Mr. Speaker, I believe I'm..."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Bowman: "We don't have... these are basic rights that we're talking about. If you simply take the time to read the Bill or the analysis, you'll see that we're talking about informing people..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Friedrich, for what purpose do you rise?"

Friedrich: "I thought the time for debate on this Bill is over and I want a Roll Call."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question... Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Postponed, please."

Speaker Redmond: "Postponed Consideration. 2575, Representative..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Dunn."

J. Dunn: "I hope this is noncontroversial and it ought to be because the subject of the Bill is a word that I can hardly pronounce, let alone understand. This..."

Speaker Redmond: "The Clerk will pronounce it."

J. Dunn: "Okay. This is a Bill that would further define how you determine what population guideline is appropriate when you decide whether you're entitled to go from three to five trustees on your Sanitary District. The law currently says you shall refer to the last federal census and there is some confusion as to whether that's the ten year census or whether it's the last census taken by H.E.W. The Bill provides that you shall refer to the last regular federal census and in Committee it was pointed out that after 1980, instead of ten year censuses, we may go to five year censuses. So the Bill says we'll refer to the last regular decennial or quinquennial census. Request a favorable Roll Call. No opposition to this Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question is, shall this Bill pass? Representative Bradley on quinquennial..."

Bradley: "I have a question. I don't know whether it's for the Sponsor or Representative Conti. But I'm wondering if his Amendment was placed on this Bill. It seems to be the Sanitary District Bill and I wondered if the Amendment was put on here."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Conti."

Conti: "Mr. Speaker, I think it's a pretty good idea. If you want to take the time of the House now, I think it would be good."

Bradley: "It's not on there though? Then I can support the Bill."

Conti: "No, it's not on there."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay, the question is, shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 130 'aye' and no 'nay' and the Bill having received the Constitutional
Majority hereby declared passed. 2619, Emil Jones. Out of the record. 2626, Ebbesen. Read that... title of that Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2626. A Bill for an Act to regulate advertising of ophthalmic material."

Speaker Redmond: "2626, Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, would the Clerk spell and have Larry DiPrima pronounce it for us?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative DiPrima."

DiPrima: "Yes, Sir. Can I have the spelling of that word? I'll give the right pronunciation."


DiPrima: "Optamalic(sic)."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Yourell."

Yourell: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, we do have a former House Member in attendance here today that came back to see some his old colleagues. He came down with myself and a bunch of others some years ago and I know that he can pronounce that word, former Member Doc Springer."


Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2661. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act to revise the law in relation to private employment agencies. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Chapman."

Chapman: "Mr. Speaker, House Bill 2661 was prompted by a series on Chicago's Channel 7 Action Line called '7 on Your Side'. It was an investigative reporting series which told of a shocking case of a beating of a child by a person from a domestic employment service. I've worked with the state Department of Labor and they do support this Bill. I believe a lot more attention is given to this area. I'd like to call the attention of the Members to the fact that all bonding is amended out. The original changes
suggested in bonding in this Bill have all been removed so that
there is no change upward or downward in bonding. It relates only
to domestic employment services at this point. It requires a
physical examination for domestic service employees who are pro-
vided under... from employment agencies. And it makes the civil
remedy stronger and clearer. I know of no opposition to this Bill
and I do ask for your support."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "Will the Lady yield to some questions? Representative

Chapman, what specifically does the Bill do now? I notice that
originally you increased the bonds and Amendment #1 eliminated
that. You also added negligence and remove contributory negli-
gence and assumption of risk, but then Amendment #1 apparently..."

Chapman: "Okay, I don't..."

Leinenweber: "What's left in the Bill?"

Chapman: "Okay, what Amendment #2 does is clean up some problems that
the Department of Labor had relating to the new Administrative
Procedures Act. And it conforms the present law to the Admini-
strative Procedures Act as the Department came under this Act,
there was a conflict."

Leinenweber: "My question is, what does the Bill do now?"

Chapman: "Okay."

Leinenweber: "After Amendment #1."

Chapman: "Okay. You stated it pretty clearly what the Bill does."

Leinenweber: "Well, that's what it did do and in Amendment #1 appar-
ently..."

Chapman: "Oh, no. What Amendment #1 takes out is the bonding."

Leinenweber: "Well, it also says it restores the right for defense
of contributory negligence by an employment agency in any type
of employment except domestic employment. What..."

Chapman: "Insofar as the employment services, domestic owned services
are concerned, it calls in a civil action that the employment agency
may be held for negligence if there has been fault on their part
and abolishes the common law defenses of contributory negligence."

Leinenweber: "Okay, well what kind of proceeding does that pertain to?
I mean, is that... I don't understand how negligence fits in this
context."

Chapman: "Okay, I'd be happy to try to explain that. There are rules and regulations which the Department has which were ignored by Fanny's Employment Service which is now out of business, by the way. Simple requirement such as the checking of references and seeing that the person sent out can be reasonably expected to fulfill the requirements of the job that has been requested by the prospective employee. Now, in this particular instance that Channel 7 investigated, this one particular agency not only did not check on the references which they were required to do by state rules and regulations, but they actually, when they did check on one reference, they're required to check on three, but when...

for each person, but when they did check on one, they went to the prospective employee and said, 'Say, you gave us a bum reference. Go back and get a better one for us.' And the person's reference indicated that she was psychologically unsuitable to work with children and that it would be very dangerous to have this person work with children and yet Fanny's sent this woman out to work in a home where she would be caring for children. This is the kind of situation we're talking about."

Leinenweber: "In other words, you would consider that negligence as opposed to misconduct?"

Chapman: "Well, you know I'm not a lawyer, Representative Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "I'm not... I don't understand how negligence fits into this..."

Chapman: "It was the feeling as I discussed this with the lawyer from the state Department of Labor and in the Legislative Reference Bureau and other lawyers that they... that this was negligence on their part when they failed to conform to rules and regulations required by the state Department of Labor."

Leinenweber: "It just occurred to me that the situation that you indicated, which amounted to the agency telling the potential domestic employee to come up with, in effect, a falsified reference would be misconduct. Now, I just don't... I wonder, you know, why we would have to add negligence. The second question would be, does this Bill require that any individual who is to be referred for
domestic service to have a physical exam? And if so, at whose expense is the exam?"

Chapman: "Mr. Speaker, Mr. Leinenweber has raised some questions that I would like to get some answers for and I don't have them all here today. I'd ask leave to take this out of the record so I could respond to some of his questions."

Speaker Redmond: "Take it out of the record. Are there any announcements? Pretty soon. Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Only a reminder to House Appropriations I that we meet at ten o'clock Tuesday."

Speaker Redmond: "Agreed Resolutions."


Speaker Redmond: "Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, DiPrima's House Resolution 834 tells about Daniel Pecaro chosen for Dante's Award. Holewinski's House Resolution 835 notes that he's an uncle. Greiman's House Resolution 836 records the 30th birthday of the State of Israel. Peggy Smith Martin's Resolution 838 congratulates Regina Lee Morris. And 839 by Matejek talks about the American Legion Post. And I'd move for the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question's on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions. Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Motion carried. Resolutions are adopted. Further Resolutions."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 837, Taylor."

Speaker Redmond: "Committee on Assignments. Committee Reports."

Clerk O'Brien: "Representative Kane and Jim Houlihan, Chairmen of the Committee on House Select Committee on State Government Organization, reported the following Committee Bill for introduction: House Bill 3386. Action taken May 11, 1978."

Speaker Redmond: "Introduction and First Reading."


A Bill for an Act making an appropriation to the Department of Transportation. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3338. 3388, Lechowicz, et al. A Bill for an Act to make an appropriation to the Secretary of State. First Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Senate Bills, First Reading."


Speaker Redmond: "Representative Giorgi, the Adjournment Resolution."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, I move for the adoption of the Adjournment Resolution which indicates that we'll be back next Tuesday at one o'clock."

Speaker Redmond: "The question's on the Gentleman's motion that we now stand adjourned till Tuesday at one o'clock. Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Motion carries, stand adjourned until one o'clock next Tuesday."
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Page</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Speaker</th>
<th>Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>10:00</td>
<td>Speaker Madigan</td>
<td>House to order</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Reverend Krueger</td>
<td>Prayer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Madigan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>Reading of Journal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Madigan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lechowicz</td>
<td>Dispense with reading of Journals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Madigan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ryan</td>
<td>Excused absences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Madigan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lechowicz</td>
<td>Excused Absences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Madigan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Friedrich</td>
<td>Point of Personal Privilege</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Madigan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Geo-Karis</td>
<td>Vote 'aye' on H.B. 2881</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Madigan</td>
<td>Leave granted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>Consent Calendar, 3rd Rdg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Madigan</td>
<td>Passed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ryan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Madigan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>O'Brien</td>
<td>H.J.R. 78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Madigan</td>
<td>Passed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td>E. Barnes</td>
<td>S.J.R. 62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Madigan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ryan</td>
<td>Yield?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td>E. Barnes</td>
<td>Discussion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Madigan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page</td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Speaker</td>
<td>Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>H.B. 3138, Am. 1, 2, 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Madigan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Matijevich</td>
<td>Motion on Am 1, 2 and 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Madigan</td>
<td>Amendments tabled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>Am. #4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Madigan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Matijevich</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Madigan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ryan</td>
<td>Yield?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td>Matijevich</td>
<td>Discussion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Madigan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Edgar</td>
<td>Yield?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Matijevich</td>
<td>Discussion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Madigan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Skinner</td>
<td>Question</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Matijevich</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Madigan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Skinner</td>
<td>No copy of Amendment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Madigan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Wolf</td>
<td>Introduction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Madigan</td>
<td>Amendment 4 adopted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Skinner</td>
<td>Amendment #5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Madigan</td>
<td>Amendment 4 adopted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>Amendment #5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Madigan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page</td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Speaker</td>
<td>Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Madigan</td>
<td>Discussion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td>Skinner</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>10:35</td>
<td>Speaker Madigan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td>Breslin</td>
<td>Moves previous question</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td>Skinner</td>
<td>To close</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Madigan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td>Skinner</td>
<td>Explain vote</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Madigan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>Calls roll</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Madigan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td>Matijevich</td>
<td>Questions germaneness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>Rules Am. germane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Madigan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>Continues Roll Call</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Madigan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>Poll absentees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Madigan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>Polls absentees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Madigan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td>D. Houlihan</td>
<td>Questions germaneness</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Page</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Speaker</th>
<th>Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Madigan</td>
<td>Committee Reports</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Madigan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lechowicz</td>
<td>S.J.R. #85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Madigan</td>
<td>Resolution adopted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11:10</td>
<td>E. Barnes</td>
<td>Move to waive rules</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Madigan</td>
<td>Am. #6 out of order</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Skinner</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Madigan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>Am. #7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Madigan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Skinner</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Madigan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>D. Houlihan</td>
<td>Objects, Am. in improper form</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Madigan</td>
<td>Am. out of order</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Matijevich</td>
<td>Wants Bill moved to 3rd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Madigan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Matijevich</td>
<td>Withdraws motion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Move all Am. be tabled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Madigan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Skinner</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Madigan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Matijevich</td>
<td>Point of order</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Madigan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Skinner</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Madigan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Simms</td>
<td>Move motion lie on table</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Speaker</th>
<th>Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Speaker Madigan</td>
<td>Simm's motion out of order</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matijevich</td>
<td>Ask Matijevich withdraw</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ryan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speaker Madigan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matijevich</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speaker Madigan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ryan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speaker Madigan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daniels</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speaker Madigan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ewell</td>
<td>Motion fails</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speaker Madigan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matijevich</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speaker Madigan</td>
<td>Take out of record</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waddell</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speaker Madigan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skinner</td>
<td>Point of personal privilege</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speaker Madigan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>H.B. 3147, 2nd Rdg, No C.A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speaker Madigan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>Floor Am. #1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speaker Madigan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harris</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speaker Bradley</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Johnson</td>
<td>Question</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page</td>
<td>Time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
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<td>11:29</td>
</tr>
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</tr>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
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</tr>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>11:38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page</td>
<td>Time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
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<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11:43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page</td>
<td>Time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:52</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page</td>
<td>Time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>12:00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Page</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Speaker</th>
<th>Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Bradley</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>McMaster</td>
<td>Question</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>O'Brien</td>
<td>Discussion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Bradley</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Collins</td>
<td>Questions germaneness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td></td>
<td>O'Brien</td>
<td>Discussion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Bradley</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>McMaster</td>
<td>Continues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
<td>O'Brien</td>
<td>Discussion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Bradley</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pierce</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Bradley</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pullen</td>
<td>Yield?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>12:10</td>
<td>O'Brien</td>
<td>Discussion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Bradley</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Wolf</td>
<td>Support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Bradley</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>O'Brien</td>
<td>To close</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Bradley</td>
<td>Am. #1 fails</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>Floor Am. #2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Bradley</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Conti</td>
<td>Discussion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Bradley</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>O'Brien</td>
<td>Take out of record</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Bradley</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Conti</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page</td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Speaker</td>
<td>Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>O'Brien</td>
<td>Discussion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Bradley</td>
<td>Take out of record</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>H.B. 3209, 2nd Rdg. No C.A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Bradley</td>
<td>3rd Rdg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Waddell</td>
<td>Introduction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:16</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Bradley</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>H.B. 3218, 2nd Rdg. No C.A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Bradley</td>
<td>3rd Rdg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>H.B. 3219, 2nd Rdg. No C.A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Bradley</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Porter</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Bradley</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Terzich</td>
<td>Question</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Porter</td>
<td>Discussion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Bradley</td>
<td>Am. adopted, 3rd Rdg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>H.B. 3225, 2nd Rdg, No C.A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Bradley</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>Floor Am. #1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Bradley</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Macdonald</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Bradley</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lechowicz</td>
<td>Yield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Macdonald</td>
<td>Discussion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Bradley</td>
<td>Am. adopted, 3rd Rdg.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Page</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Speaker</th>
<th>Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>H.B. 3227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Bradley</td>
<td>Introduction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>H.B. 3227, 2nd Rdg. No C.A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Bradley</td>
<td>3rd Rdg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>H.B. 3228, 2nd Rdg. No C.A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Bradley</td>
<td>3rd Rdg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>12:25</td>
<td>Speaker Bradley</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Conti</td>
<td>H.B. 3208, Am. #2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Bradley</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>Oppose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Bradley</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mahar</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Bradley</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lechowicz</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Bradley</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bluthardt</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Bradley</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Flinn</td>
<td>Moves previous question</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Bradley</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>Move to table 3208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Bradley</td>
<td>Tabled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>H.B. 3257, 2nd Rdg. No C.A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Bradley</td>
<td>3rd Rdg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>H.B. 3259, 2nd Rdg. Am. #1 adopted in Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Bradley</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>Floor Am. #2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page</td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Speaker</td>
<td>Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Bradley</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ryan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Bradley</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Conti</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Telcser</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Bradley</td>
<td>H.B. 3260, Am. #2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Giorgi</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Bradley</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Telcser</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Bradley</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Bradley</td>
<td>Request verification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Collins</td>
<td>Am. adopted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Bradley</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ryan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Bradley</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Collins</td>
<td>Verification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Bradley</td>
<td>Proceed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>Reads affirmative roll</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Bradley</td>
<td>Move to adjourn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>J. Houlihan</td>
<td>Not recognized</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Bradley</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>Continues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Bradley</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Collins</td>
<td>Question of Roll Call</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Bradley</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page</td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Speaker</td>
<td>Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>12:45</td>
<td>Speaker Bradley</td>
<td>Questions affirmative roll</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Collins</td>
<td>Speaker Bradley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Collins</td>
<td>Speaker Bradley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Collins</td>
<td>Speaker Bradley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td></td>
<td>Satterthwatie</td>
<td>Vote aye</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Bradley</td>
<td>Jotnalize my dissent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Conti</td>
<td>Speaker Bradley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hoxsey</td>
<td>Speaker Bradley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Collins</td>
<td>Continues questions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59, 60</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Bradley</td>
<td>Wants to take out of record</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Brady</td>
<td>Speaker Bradley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Collins</td>
<td>Speaker Bradley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Bradley</td>
<td>Vote aye</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>J. Houlihan</td>
<td>Speaker Bradley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Bradley</td>
<td>Discussion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Collins</td>
<td>Speaker Bradley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Am. #2 adopted, 3rd Rdg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>H.B. 3269, 2nd Rdg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>3rd Rdg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>H.B. 3272, 2nd Rdg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>3rd Rdg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page</td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Speaker</td>
<td>Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>H.B. 3274, 2nd Rdg. Am. #1 adopted in Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>Floor Am. #2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Geo-Karis</td>
<td>Yield?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td></td>
<td>Leverenz</td>
<td>Discussion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Leverenz</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bluthardt</td>
<td>Yield?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td></td>
<td>Leverenz</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Conti</td>
<td>Yield?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Leverenz</td>
<td>Discussion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Walsh</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Satterthwaite</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td>1:05</td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Moves previous question</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Breslin</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>To close</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Leverenz</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Am. #2 adopted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>Am. #3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>McGrew</td>
<td>Move to table</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DATE:** 5-12-78
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Page</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Speaker</th>
<th>Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>68</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Tabled, 3rd Rdg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>H.B. 3277, 2nd Rdg. No C.A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>3rd Rdg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>H.B. 3279, 2nd Rdg., Am. #1 adopted in Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Take out of record</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>H.B. 3281, 2nd Rdg. No C.A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>3rd Rdg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>H.B. 3283, 2nd Rdg., Am. #1 adopted in Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Floor Am. #2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Kane</td>
<td>Am. adopted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Am. #3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1:10</td>
<td>Kane</td>
<td>Discussion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Kempiniers</td>
<td>Yield?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Am. adopted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Schuneman</td>
<td>Am. adopted, 3rd Rdg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>H.B. 3327, 2nd Rdg. Am. #1 adopted in Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>3rd Rdg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>H.B. 3339, 2nd Rdg. No C.A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>3rd Rdg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>H.B. 3340, 2nd Rdg. No C.A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page</td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Speaker</td>
<td>Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>3rd Rdg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>H.B. 3349, 2nd Rdg. Am. #1 adopted in Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Floor Am. #2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Schuneman</td>
<td>Oppose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74</td>
<td>1:25</td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Friedrich</td>
<td>Oppose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Geo-Karis</td>
<td>Moves previous question</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Skinner</td>
<td>To close</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Winchester</td>
<td>Explains vote</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hoxsey</td>
<td>Explains vote</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>McMaster</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page</td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Speaker</td>
<td>Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Polls absentees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Skinner</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77</td>
<td>1:35</td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Continues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Skinner</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>Motion fails - Am. #2 3rd Rdg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>H.B. 3350, 2nd Rdg, Am. #1 adopted in Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>Take out of record</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>H.B. 3351, 2nd Rdg. No C.A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>3rd Rdg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pierce</td>
<td>Leave to return H.B. 2946 to 2nd Rdg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Leave granted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>Am. #1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pierce</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ryan</td>
<td>Yield?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pierce</td>
<td>Discussion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Am. #1 adopted, hold on 2nd Rdg. for fiscal note</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>J. Barnes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>H.B. 2775 to 2nd Rdg. on request</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>J. Barnes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page</td>
<td>Speaker</td>
<td>Information</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>Am. #2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Leinenweber</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Am. adopted, 3rd Rdg.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>H.B. 1380, 3rd Rdg.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81</td>
<td>Brady</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Passed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82</td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>H.B. 2121, 3rd Rdg.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83</td>
<td>Bowman</td>
<td>Sponsor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Leinenweber</td>
<td>Yield?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bowman</td>
<td>Discussion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ryan</td>
<td>Yield?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bowman</td>
<td>Discussion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Adams</td>
<td>Leave to vote aye</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Leave granted</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bowman</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ryan</td>
<td>Continues discussion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Take out of record</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>H.B. 2486, 3rd Rdg.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kane</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page</td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Speaker</td>
<td>Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Brummer</td>
<td>Yield?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Kane</td>
<td>Discussion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>H.B. 2486 passed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>Reads H.B. 2535</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Schumeman</td>
<td>Explains Bill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>H.B. 2535 passed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>Reads H.B. 2549</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1:55</td>
<td>DiPrima</td>
<td>Explains Bill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Matijevich</td>
<td>Yield?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>DiPrima</td>
<td>Discussion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>H.B. 2549 passed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>Reads H.B. 2575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lechowicz</td>
<td>Wants H.B. 2570</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>Reads H.B. 2570</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lechowicz</td>
<td>Explains Bill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tipsword</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lechowicz</td>
<td>Discussion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>H.B. 2570 passed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>Reads H.B. 2560, Am. #2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page</td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Speaker</td>
<td>Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Levin</td>
<td>Explains Am. #2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89, 90</td>
<td>2:02</td>
<td>Levin</td>
<td>Discussion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Am. #2 is adopted, 3rd Rdg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bowman</td>
<td>H.B. 2121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Simms</td>
<td>Yield?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bowman</td>
<td>Discussion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>92</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Matijevich</td>
<td>Introduction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>93</td>
<td>2:10</td>
<td>Simms</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bowman</td>
<td>Continues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Byers</td>
<td>Supports</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>94</td>
<td></td>
<td>Brummer</td>
<td>Yield?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td></td>
<td>Bowman</td>
<td>Discussion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Kempiniers</td>
<td>Yield?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96</td>
<td></td>
<td>Bowman</td>
<td>Discussion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Friedrich</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page</td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Speaker</td>
<td>Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98</td>
<td>2:23</td>
<td>Mulcahey</td>
<td>Moves previous question</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Previous question moved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bowman</td>
<td>To close on H.B. 2121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td></td>
<td>Bowman</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Friedrich</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bowman</td>
<td>Postponed Consideration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>Reads H.B. 2607</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>J. Dunn</td>
<td>Explains Bill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bradley</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Conti</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>H.B. 2607 passed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>H.B. 2626</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Matijevich</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>DiPrima</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Yourell</td>
<td>Introduction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>H.B. 2626 out of record</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page</td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Speaker</td>
<td>Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101</td>
<td>2:30</td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>Reads H.B. 2661</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Chapman</td>
<td>Explains Bill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102</td>
<td></td>
<td>Leinenweber</td>
<td>Yield?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103</td>
<td></td>
<td>Chapman</td>
<td>Discussion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Take out of record</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Matijevich</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>Agreed Resolutions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Giorgi</td>
<td>Resolution adopted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>Further Resolutions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>Committee Reports</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clerk O'Brien</td>
<td>Introduction and 1st Rdg.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>104</td>
<td>3:38</td>
<td>Speaker Redmond</td>
<td>Move adjourn till Tuesday at one o'clock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Giorgi</td>
<td>Adjourned.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DATE: 5-12-78