

Speaker Redmond: "The House will come to Order. I've been advised by the Election Committee that they're tied up with some of the election contests, so we'll have to stand at ease for fifteen minutes. Doorkeeper, will you make the announcement to clear the Chamber."

Doorkeeper: "All those not entitled to the Floor, please go to the gallery."

Speaker Redmond: "The House will be led in prayer by Reverend Krueger, the House Chaplain."

Reverend Krueger: "In the name of the Father, the Son and the Holy Ghost. Amen. Bless the Lord this House this day. There is nothing so bad tempered as the liberal who does not always get his own way. That was a letter in one of our church publications this last week. Let us pray. Grant us, oh Lord, to know that which is worth knowing; to love that which is worth loving; to praise that which pleases us most; to esteem that highly which to Thee is precious. Give us the right judgement to determine between things visible and spiritual and above all to seek thy good pleasure and thy will. To Jesus Christ, our Son. Amen."

Speaker Redmond: "Roll call for attendance. Committee Reports."

O'Brien: "Mr. Lechowicz from Committee on Appropriations, Division I, to which House Bill 521 was referred, reported sending back with amendments thereto with the recommendation the amendments be adopted and the Bill as amended do pass. Mr. Lechowicz from the Committee on Appropriations, Division I, to which House Bill 639 was referred, reported sending back with amendments thereto with the recommendation the amendments be adopted and the Bill as amended do pass. Mr. Boyle from the Committee on Appropriations, Division II, to which House Bill 649 was referred, reported sending back with amendments thereto, with the recommendation the amendments be adopted and the Bill as amended do pass. Mr. Boyle from the Committee on Appropriations, Division II, to which House Bill.... Senate Bill 170 was referred, reported sending back with the recommendation the Bill do pass. Mr. Fennessey from the Committee on Elections, to which House Bill 385 was referred, reported

MAR 20 1975

2.

sending back with the recommendation the Bill do not pass. Mr. McPartlin from the Committee on Public Utilities, to which House Bill 375 and 485 were referred, reported sending back with the amendments thereto, with the recommendation the amendments be adopted and the Bill as amended do pass."

Speaker Redmond: "First Reading."

O'Brien: "House Bill 859. Luft. A Bill for an Act to amend the Revenue Act. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 860. Kelly. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Municipal Code. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 861. Mann. A Bill for an Act to amend the Public Community College Act. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 862. Greiman. A Bill for an Act relating to land trusts. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 863. Walsh. Bill for an Act to amend Unemployment Compensation Act. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 864. Mautino. Bill for an Act to amend an Act to revise the law in relation to snowmobiles. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 865. Deuster. Bill for an Act to amend the Election Code. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 866. Deuster. Bill for an Act to amend the Election Code. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 867. Deuster. Bill for an Act to amend the Election Code. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 868. Borchers. Bill for an Act to amend the Nursing Home, Shelter Care Home for the Aged. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 869. Cunningham. A Bill for an Act to revise the law in relation to slot machines. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 870. Pouncey. A Bill for an Act to amend the Frozen Dessert Product Act. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 871. Pouncey. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Clinical Laboratory Act. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 872. Beatty. A Bill for an Act to amend the Retailers Occupation Tax Act. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 873. Matijevich. A Bill for an Act to amend the Municipal Code. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 874. Bradley. A Bill for an Act

to amend an Act to require employees under certain circumstances to accept cash from their employers in lieu of payroll deductions. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 875. Friedland. Bill for an Act to amend the Probate Act. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 876. Palmer. Bill for an Act to amend the Pension Code. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 877. Calvo. Bill for an Act to amend the Metro-east Sanitary District Act. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 878. Calvo. Bill for an Act to amend an Act to create a sanitary district in certain localities. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 879. Calvo. Bill for an Act to amend the Sanitary District Act. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 880. Calvo. Bill for an Act to amend the Sanitary District Act. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 881. Ralph Dunn. Bill for an Act to amend an Act to protect cemeteries. House Bill 882. Ralph Dunn. A Bill for an Act relating to purchase registration, retention of certain bronze cemetery vases, receptacles, memorial statutes and staturaries. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 883. Capparelli. A Bill for an Act to amend the Revenue Act. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 884. Lundy. A Bill for an Act to amend the Election Code. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 885. VanDuyne. Bill for an Act making supplemental appropriations to the Department of Corrections. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 886. LaFleur. Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Downstate County Working Cash Fund Act. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 887. Skinner. A Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 888. Skinner. A Bill for an Act to amend the Northeastern Illinois Planning Act. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 889. Tipsword. Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation to State Revenue Sharing with Local government entities. First Reading of the Bill. House 890. Rayson. A Bill for an Act to provide for the licensing and regulation of hand guns. First Reading of

the Bill. House Bill 891. Rayson. Bill for an Act to regulate the sale and transfer of hand gun ammunition. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 892. Williams. A Bill for an Act to amend Criminal Code. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 893. McGah. A Bill for an Act to amend the Purchasing Act. First Reading of the Bill. That's McGrew, 893. House Bill 894. Hudson. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act relating to the compensation of the Members of the General Assembly. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 895. Gene Hoffman. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation to regulation of business and vocational schools. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 896. Schraeder. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation to the system of unemployment compensation. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 897. Duff. A Bill for an Act relating to affairs of missing persons. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 898. Fennessey. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act.... A Bill for an Act to revise the establishment of agricultural, conservation and protection areas and to amend an act named therein. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 899. Duff. A Bill for an Act making appropriation to the Supreme Court. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 900. Duff. A Bill for an Act to add Chapter 6, entitled 'Organization of Probation Services to the Unified Code of Corrections'. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 901. Brinkmeier. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the School Code. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 902. Brinkmeier. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the School Code. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 902. Brinkmeier. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act concerning fees and salaries. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 903. Londrigan. A Bill for an Act making appropriation for the .... from the road fund to the Department of Transportation. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 904. Pierce. A Bill for an Act to relation to marriage and divorce. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 905. Schoeberlein. A Bill for an Act in relation to

public information concerning opinions, orders, records and proceedings in or before State agencies. House Bill 906. Sharp. Bill for an Act to provide for veterinary diagnostic carrier center. First Reading of the Bill.

House Bill 907. Sharp. Bill for an Act making an appropriation to the Department of Agriculture. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 908. Pierce. A Bill for an Act to prohibit the concurrent investigation of the office of county board members. First Reading of the Bill.

Speaker Redmond: "There being 161 Members answering the roll call, a quorum is present. Any excuses. Representative Washburn, do you desire recognition? Representative Shea, do you have any Members that need to be excused. I understand that there are two Republicans that should be excused. Will somebody so advise the Journal. Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, will the Journal show that Representative Waddell is absent due to illness, and Representative Epton."

Speaker Redmond: "Hearing no objections, the Journal will so show. Second Readings. House Bills. Second Reading. House Bill 18."

O'Brien: "House Bill 18. Deuster. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Deuster."

Deuster: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, amendment Number 1 had been adopted to House Bill 18. Amendment Number 2 and Number 3 were alternative amendments and I would at this time move to Table Amendment Number 2, which is my amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman has moved to Table Amendment Number 2. Any discussion? Representative Berman."

Berman: "Mr. Speaker, ah.... a point of inquiry. Would the Clerk be able to tell me whether it was indicated on Amendment Number 1, which was adopted yesterday, whether that was a floor amendment or a committee amendment?"

O'Brien: "It's already been enrolled and you can't tell if it's

floor or committee."

Berman: "O'kay, I'll save my comments until third reading on this."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further discussion? The question is on the Motion to Table Amendment Number 2 to House Bill 18. All in favor, indicate by saying 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is tabled. I'd like to make an announcement. We had some sound engineers, both on the Floor of the House and at the St. Nicholas Hotel last night, and we found that the noise on the floor is 10 decibels than it was last night when the band was playing. Will you please have some order. Third Reading on House Bill 18. Any further amendments. Third Reading for House Bill 18. House Bill 20."

O'Brien: "House Bill 20. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act to revise the law in relation to marriages. Second Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Deuster."

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 20 received one amendment in Committee. That's amendment Number 1. When the Bill came to the floor, my good friend and able colleague, Representative Gene Hoffman, came to me to suggest an amendment, which seemed to me at that time to be rather helpful and that was incorporated in amendment number 2. Since then, I've discovered that my endeavor to help my colleague has badly damaged the Bill, and we have both agreed that we would like to take that off. At this time, for that purpose, I would move to suspend the provision of Rule 64A so that we can reconsider the vote by which Amendment Number 2 is adopted."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Deuster, the Parliamentarian advises me that the Motion to table the amendment will suffice."

Deuster: "With that, the parliamentary advice, I would at this time move to Table Amendment Number 2 to House Bill 20."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? Question is on the Motion to Table House Bill.... or Amendment Number 2 to House Bill 20."

All in favor, indicate by saying 'aye'. Opposed 'no'.  
The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is Tabled. Third  
Reading. House Bill 79."

O'Brien: "House Bill 79. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections  
of the Illinois Small Business Purchasing Act. Second  
Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Emil Jones. Representative Emil Jones. House  
Bill 79. Take it out of the Record. House Bill 128." Take  
it out of the Record. House Bill 210. Representative  
Kelly."

O'Brien: "House Bill 210. Kelly. A Bill for an Act to require  
legible price markings of food products. Second Reading  
of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the Floor."

O'Brien: "Amendment Number 1. Kelly. Amends House Bill 210  
on page 1, line 27 and so forth."

Kelly: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, House Amendment  
Number 1 would take out, what is the smaller grocery stores,  
from this Bill. The figure 500,000 sounds very large, but  
it is not, because of the volume it's dealing with on their  
chain stores. This figure is rather low for their purposes.  
There's a national, according to the consumer advocate,  
Cecelia Maloney, the Federal Regulation in what is considered  
a small store and a 500,000 figure is used, and I move for  
the adoption of House Amendment Number 1 to House Bill 210."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further discussion? Question is on the  
adoption of Amendment Number 1 to House Bill 210. All in  
favor, indicate by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes'  
have it and the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?  
Any Amendments from the Floor? Third Reading. House Bill  
250."

O'Brien: "House Bill 250. Rayson. A Bill for an Act to amend  
Sections of the Fair Employment Practice Act. Second Reading  
of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends House Bill  
250 on Page 4 by deleting lines 1, 2 and 3 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Rayson."

Rayson: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this is a Com-

mittee Amendment that was agreed to to clarify this particular Bill and I move for the adoption of Committee Amendment Number 1 to House Bill 250."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question is on the adoption of Committee Amendment Number 1 to House Bill 250. All in favor, indicate by saying 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The amendment's adopted. House Bill 251."

O'Brien: "House Bill 251. Rayson. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Criminal Code. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends House Bill 251 on Page 2 by deleting all of line 5 through 7."

Speaker Redmond: "Mr. Rayson."

Rayson: "Mr. Speaker, again this is a Committee Amendment to drop from the Bill a nonsection in the Bill and I move the adoption of Committee Amendment Number 1 to House Bill 251."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? Question is on the adoption of Committee Amendment Number 1 to House Bill 251. Those in favor, indicate by saying 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Third Reading. House Bill 323."

O'Brien: "House Bill 323. A Bill for an Act to designate a day to be observed as Senior Citizens Day. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the Floor? Third Reading. House Bill 381."

O'Brien: "House Bill 381....."

Speaker Redmond: "Take that out of the Record. I understand that Representative Hirschfeld is not here. House Bill 510."

O'Brien: "House Bill 510. Chapman. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Health Facilities Authority Act. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends House Bill 510, on page 1, line 19, by inserting after 'any', the following and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Chapman in the Chambers? Representative Chapman."

Chapman: "Mr. Speaker, this is a ah.... just a clarifying amendment that adds something which .... nonprofit, which had been

MAR 20 1975

9.

inadvertently omitted in the original bill. I move for the adoption of Amendment Number 1 to House Bill 510."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? Question is on the adoption of Committee Amendment Number 1 to House Bill 510. All those in favor, indicate by saying 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. the 'ayes' have it and the amendment is adopted. Any amendments from the floor? Third Reading. House Bill 515."

O'Brien: "House Bill 515. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends House Bill 515 on page 2 by deleting all of lines 26 through 35 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Chapman."

Chapman: "Mr. Speaker, this is the ah.... Amendment that apparently wasn't on everybody's desk yesterday, and I hope it is today. It is a simple Committee Amendment to House Bill 515, and it clarifies the Bill that really had some wording in it which truly requires some clarification. I move for the adoption of Amendment Number 1 to House Bill 515."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, I'm sorry to go through the same matter but I don't find a copy of this Amendment in my Bill book. The Minority Leader does not have a copy of this Amendment in his Bill book. I have talked to the head of our Pages, and he said to his knowledge, it has not been distributed on this side of the aisle."

Chapman: "Mr. Speaker, I'm in no hurry with this Bill. I certainly want everyone to have an opportunity to ah.... to see the Amendment. I brought my amendment over to the Minority Leader for him to see yesterday, and if this Bill is enough interest to people that ah..... I'd certainly like to assist if there's some difficulty over on that side of the aisle in getting amendments passed out."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, we'll take this out of the Record. We will make sure that the amendments are distributed. Representative Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, yesterday this same question arose with regards to this same amendment. The Lady graciously took this Bill out of the Record yesterday. I then checked with the Clerk and I was assured by the Clerk's Office that those Amendments were distributed by the Clerk's Office through the Pages. Ah.... and the Lady did bring her Amendment over to the Minority Leader yesterday so I don't see how he could today complain about it."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, maybe he can't complain about it, but I think a better practice is to make sure they have the Amendment. Mr. O'Brien, will you prepare to Representative Chapman, get a copy of the Amendment, see that it is xeroxed and distributed to Representative Schlickman and all like intended people? House Bill 571."

O'Brien: "You going to take 515 out?"

Speaker Redmond: "Take it out temporarily, yes."

O'Brien: "House Bill 571. A Bill for an Act to repeal an Act in relation to Landlords and tenants, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any amendments from the Floor?" Representative Palmer."

Palmer: "Mr. Speaker, has that Bill been distributed?"

Speaker Redmond: "Has the Bill been distributed? Bills up to 588 have been distributed."

Palmer: "The last one I have in my book is Number 544."

Speaker Redmond: "You do not have 571, Representative Palmer? Representative Totten."

Totten: "Mr. Speaker and Representative Palmer, I think you will find that Bill lying on your desk. That's where I found mine, and I think everybody else's is there too."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Duff."

Duff: "Well, Mr. Speaker, the last Bill in my book is 544 and I don't have any Bills lying on my desk that aren't in the book."

Speaker Redmond: "I think maybe what we ought to do is to have the burden of distributing both Bills and Amendments handled by the Democratic pages. There seems to be a breakdown on this other side. If we get you 571, Representative Palmer, will that suffice your objection at the present time. You got it now? Representative Palmer now has 571. He couldn't find it because the noise distracted him. Representative Palmer."

Palmer: "Mr. Speaker. It's 571.... 571 was here on my desk. But the last book in my Book is 544, and there's a vast gulf between 544 and 571."

Speaker Redmond: "We'll take whatever steps are necessary to see that the books are brought up to date. Any further objections to hearing 571? Hearing no objections, are there any amendments from the Floor to House Bill 571? Third Reading. 584."

O'Brien: "House Bill 584. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Public Aid Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Two Committee Amendments. Amendment Number 1. Amends House Bill 584 on page 1, line 11 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lundy. Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, I'm sorry, but I don't have a copy of this and everyone else I've checked does not have a copy of the Bill or the proposed amendment, and I would suggest it be taken out of the Record."

Speaker Redmond: "Objection is well taken. Take it out of the Record. Meantime, I think we should have a conference of the Pages immediately after adjournment and find out what's happening to the Bills. Amendment 515 been received yet Representative Schlickman? The Amendment to 515. I've been advised that the Speaker made a mistake and House Bill 323 should be on Second Reading instead of moving it to third. Representative Griesheimer."

MAR 20 1975

12.

Griesheimer: "Mr. Speaker, ah.... House Bill 323 was called.

The Speaker was not at fault. The Sponsor was asleep in his Chair. At least mentally. I'd like to have this held on Second Reading."

Speaker Redmond: "To be returned to the Order of Second Reading."

Griesheimer: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "House Bills, Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills, Third Reading, House Bill 5."

O'Brien: "House Bill 5. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Criminal Code of 1961. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kosinski."

Kosinski: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the General Assembly. Ladies and Gentlemen, House Bill 5 hits the most vicious gun ever used by criminals, the sawed-off shot gun. With the sawed-off shot gun, there is no previous training necessary if one can point his finger. One can be intoxicated or loaded with morphine, and the sawed-off shot gun negates the two-man squad car and commands every situation. In addition, it probably has the most fierce and psychological affect in armed robberies. With the use of a sawed-off shot gun in commission of a felony, the possibility of escaping death is remote. Death occurs by mass hemorage. You may be shot in the shoulder with a pistol bullet and survive, but once that sawed-off shot gun blasts, it tears out the entire shoulder and mass hemmorage occurs. It's truly a fierce weapon. House Bill 5 brings the State's Statute into complete description with the Federal description now existing. Of barrels under 18 inches in length and the Federal description of less than 26 inch overall length. The Bill provides a Class 4 felony for possession of this horrid weapon of one to three years and a Class 1 felony four years up for the use in an armed felony. This is a hit at a gun that has no value to anyone except the criminals and I solicit your vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? Representative Lauer."

Lauer: "Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman yield for a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He indicates he will."

Lauer: "Representative Kosinski, is the possession of a sawed-off shot gun, as part of a legitimate gun collection?"

Kosinski: "Absolutely not."

Lauer: "I mean, under this Bill, ah.... say a collector of guns...."

Kosinski: "I am a collector of guns. I do not collect sawed-off shot guns." First of all, a \$200 Federal stamp is necessary for the transfer of such a gun."

Lauer: "I see."

Kosinski: "The documentary value or the collectors value is actually nil. It's a desecration of a good fire arm, the shot gun. You can buy the shot gun legitimately on one floor of a department store, walk downstairs to the basement and buy yourself a hax-saw blade and you're a do it yourself sawed-off shot gun maker. It has no relation to collectors."

Lauer: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further discussion? The question is shall House Bill 5 pass? All those in favor, vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Take the Record. On the question, the 'ayes' 157, 'no's' 3, 1 present. The Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 58."

O'Brien: "House bill 58. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Parental Responsibility Law, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Deuster."

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, under the present Illinois law, legal guardians of unemancipated minors who reside with them are civilly responsible for the willful or malicious acts of vandalism. This concept in the law was initiated and guided into law by Representative Lewis Caldwell, one of the sponsors of parental

responsibility law. House Bill 58, raises the level of the civil legal responsibilities from \$500 to \$1,000. Vandalism is a serious problem that is confronting and perplexing many schools, municipalities and individual citizens, park districts and others. I've distributed an article that appeared in last Sunday's Chicago Sun Times, outlining the wide spread nature of the problem. Most people feel that one of the answers is to take greater steps to convince the parents to get tough with their children and to encourage and provide an incentive to parents to control their children. I have a letter from the Mundelein Village Trustees, which is reciprocal I think, of the support for this legislation, indicating they have unanimously endorsed it, and they strongly feel that responsibility lies with the parents and they hope that the raising of the liability limits will obtain more parental attention and involvement. I think this is a good deterrent and a good civil remedy that should be strengthened and I urge support of House Bill 58."

Speaker Redmond: "Mr. Bradley in the Chair."

Speaker Bradley: "Any further discussions? Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Mugalian."

Mugalian: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I voted against this Bill in Committee and just would like to give you my reasoning. I have a basic fundamental problem with laws of this kind, which imposes responsibility for someone else's actions. I'm sorry to even see this law on the books. I don't make a strong case against this Bill, but would share with you these one or two thoughts about parental responsibility law, which would now, by this Bill, double the penalty. The problem of delinquency and the malicious acts of youngsters, I don't think is addressed by this Bill. The punishment could be doubled or tripled beyond the penalty in the present Bill. In short, I think that this is not really a deterrent and it may distract our attention from the real causes of juvenile delinquency and of malicious acts. Moreover, there's one other element in this Act, that

MAR 20 1975

15.

I think may be questionable. I refer to the burden on a family who may have a child that is committed of disruptive acts, of having to pay \$1,000 for those acts. I think we may be treating this problem in the wrong way and this Bill may in effect be counter productive."

Speaker Bradley: "Further discussion? Representative Catania from Cook."

Catania: "Mr. Speaker, there isn't a copy of this in my Bill book, and I can't find anybody else on this side who has a copy of it in his or her Bill book."

Speaker Bradley: "Has Representative Deuster a copy?"

Deuster: "I have a copy and I just handed a copy of the Bill to my dear colleague, Representative Catania, and I have an extra copy here. I'm sorry if it is missing. I'd be glad to read it. It only changes one word. Instead of \$500, it says \$1,000."

Speaker Bradley: "Does Representative Catania persist in hearing the Bill?"

Catania: "Well, I think we should all get a copy of it. That's what the rules say."

Speaker Bradley: "Evidently we have copies ah..... Other members objecting of not having copies? Representative Deuster, would it be all right with you if we took this Bill out of the Record for a few minutes. We'll come back to you very shortly."

Deuster: "Well, I'd be very happy for you to take it out for a few minutes until we get the Bills around. Thank you."

Speaker Bradley: "Take it out of the Record. On the Order of Third Reading appears House Bill 59."

Selcke: "House Bill 59. An Act to amend Section 1 of an Act to provide law in relation to jails and jailors. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Bradley: "The Representative from Peoria, Representative Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. This is a Bill that permits a unit of County government to establish a jail or a facility outside the county seat as is presently in the law. This is permissive. I don't know of anybody that objects to this. It also will allow something new which will mean a cooperative effort between multi-governmental units, if they do not need a facility in their own particular county. It is very non-controversial. It came out of committee without any problem and I would solicit an 'aye' vote and if there is any question, I'd be most happy to answer."

Speaker Bradley: "Is there discussion? Representative Walsh."

Walsh: "Will the gentleman respond to a question?"

Speaker Bradley: "He indicates he will."

Walsh: "Does this Bill deal with the ah... Public Building Commission Act?"

Schraeder: "No, it does not."

Walsh: "Does it confine itself to jails and no other buildings?"

Schraeder: "Jail or jail facilities, which could be juvenile detention headquarters, work relief and so forth. It's just any jail facility."

Walsh: "Is jail facilities defined in the Bill?"

Schraeder: "No, they are not, but they are defined in the present statutes."

Walsh: "How are they defined?"

Schraeder: "I don't have the statute and I'm not an attorney. You'll have to ask somebody else that's an authority on that."

Walsh: "Can we take this out of the Record until we get a definition of jail facilities?"

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to ask the Majority Leader, it seems to me we're having a little dilatory tactic this morning. These Bills have been posted. They've been in the Bill book for a long time. This question was raised and discussed in Committee. There were no problems with it. Ah... and I just question whether this is going to help the movement of the Bills in the House. But I will

be glad to on the insistence of the Majority Leader."

Walsh: "I'm not the Majority Leader and I'm not insisting on anything."

Schraeder: "I forgot, you were last session."

Walsh: "I simply think to vote on this intelligently, we ought to have a definition of that, and I have not engaged in dilatory tactics."

Speaker Bradley: "Does the gentleman from Cook wish to have this Bill taken out of the Record or persist in pursuing the Bill at this time?"

Schraeder: "Well, if he insists, I will take it out of the Record, but I think it's dilatory."

Speaker Bradley: "Thank you, we will take it out of the Record. Is Representative Caldwell seeking recognition?"

Caldwell: "Not now."

Speaker Bradley: "On the Order of Third Reading, appears House Bill 87."

Selcke: "House Bill 87. An Act to amend the Fair Employment Practice Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Bradley: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative Davis."

Davis: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 87 is a Bill that will give the Commission powers. We've passed this Bill at least three times in the House only to have it turned back in the Senate. This will put it in line with the Federal regulations and we'll be able to handle the cases that have been referred to our Committees under the Federal regulation. All it does is give the Commission the right to initiate charges after an investigate and a vote by three or more commissioners. I'd appreciate the vote of everyone. Maybe I'll get a better vote in the Senate next time."

Speaker Bradley: "Is there discussion? The gentleman, Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, will the sponsor yield for two questions?"

MAR 20 1975

18.

Speaker Bradley: "He indicates he will."

Schlickman: "Representative, on Page 1 of the Bill, Line 16, the words 'reliable written information' are used, and I'm just wondering what is meant by reliable written information."

Davis: "It's the same as the Federal EEO. Let me explain to you, Representative Schlickman. The amendment to the Federal Fair Employment Practice Law compels any charges that is lodged by any place in Illinois as an unfair employment practice, must go - must go to the Illinois Fair Employment Practice Commission. This was an amendment put in by Senator Dirksen, and this is exactly what it says, reliable information. Now you have a double check on all of the commissions. In the first place, they're confirmed by the Senate, named by the Governor and confirmed by the Senate. And three of their commissioners must determine whether or not it is reliable information after the charges have been investigated."

Schlickman: "Well, I'm told that reliable written information are contained in the Federal Act, but I still haven't been told what those words mean, 'reliable written information'."

Davis: "Reliable written information means exactly what it says, that it's not unreliable, it's been clearly investigated. You are a master at words. You are a master at word building and certainly you know what reliable means. It means after the charges have been thoroughly investigated and ah... the commission finds that an unemployment act has been committed, that the Commission will institute those charges. It means this. That out of the 800 or 900 women who have to haul their bosses in, and that's just what's happening in front of the Fair Employment Practice Commission, and points their finger at their boss and say 'you have discriminated against me, you've passed people over me. You haven't given me my rights as a citizen for promotion, and she has to point the finger at him and accuse him. This means she can

come before the Commission, give the Commission reliable information, not unreliable information and that the Commission will send an investigator out that will investigate it, and if what she says is true, then the Commission will order a hearing on the charges. I don't know how to tell you any more what reliable means. That you say it's the opposite of unreliable."

Schlickman: "Do you know that the Federal Government by rules and regulations has interpreted what 'reliable written information' means."

Davis: "Not beyond the interpretation in Websters Dictionary."

Schlickman: "My second question. What is the need and what evidence is there of need to give to the Commission initory power relative to the issuance of charges and added to that by what amounts do you anticipate the number of charges will increase? In other words, what affect will this have on the workload of the commission?"

Davis: "Well, let me say this to you, that the new Federal funds will be used to hire some extra investigators, at least five investigators, but in return for this Federal money, the Commission must be able to handle at least 800 of those cases to get that money. Now they were only able to handle about 800 of them that had been before the Federal government or the EEOT. They were only able to handle about 300. And the commission estimates that 8433 new charges will be documented in this year, including the Federal ones added to the 1,141 cases backlogged, mostly by women. Now you've.... in the Senate, not you, you've turned back the Constitutional Amendments or equal rights for women, and we don't know whether it's going to pass or not. An argument has been over there that we have a Fair Employment Practice Commission to take care of those charges, but I'll tell you what kind of Fair Employment Practice Commission you have to take care of those charges. A woman must haul her boss in before this Commission, and if she doesn't haul her boss in before the Commission, then there are no charges, and she must set

MAR 20 1973

20.

across the Table from him and accuse him of being unfair, and you know what will happen to her. She'll lose her job whenever she does it, and this has been proven time and time again. Now either we ought to pass this and put it in line with the Federal line, because I'm going to tell you something else, Representative Schlickman, all of the northern industrial states have it. How can you here in Illinois, how can you here in Illinois turn it down when the rest of the States are able to have it and the rest of the States are able to handle the Federal cases."

Speaker Bradley: "Are there any further questions?"

Schlickman: "Yes. Oh, yes. Yes, I had asked a two-part question and I understood the gentleman to say that by the passage of this Bill, a number of charges filed by and before the Commission will increase by 3,000 during the first year."

Davis: "I said... just a minute, you misunderstood me. I said they've been sending back that many charges."

Schlickman: "Well, do you know by what amount the charges will increase. This has an effect on the workload of the Commission and involves appropriations."

Davis: "Well, I can tell you what the Commission says."

Schlickman: "Would you? Just a number. Just an estimate."

Davis: "The Federal Commission will be able to complete investigations of 1,350 charges this year, which will leave a backlog of 1,411 charges for fiscal 76, and then the Commission estimates that 3,433 new charges will be documented, including the Federal one, and added to a backlog of 1,411 cases, it will be a total of 4,844 charges, 90% of them women."

Schlickman: "O'okay, the first part of that two-part question what is the demonstrated need for giving to the Commission initiatory power?"

Davis: "I've tried to convince you the need is to stop these people from having to face their bosses and go back and lose their jobs and to put it in line with the Federal Commission."

Schlickman: "Does the Commission have evidence, indicating that there are unfair practices, employment practices that are being committed, but which are not being reported because the employee is fearful of recriminatory action?"

Davis: "The Commission does have that evidence, and it was so testified to by the Executive Director of the Fair Employment Practice Commission."

Schlickman: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Palmer."

Palmer: "Mr. Speaker, I wonder if we could have a little order. I can hardly hear Representative Davis."

Speaker Bradley: "The point's well taken. It's deafening. This is an important piece of legislation."

Palmer: "If the Sponsor would yield to a question. I don't see in the Bill where any investigative power is set up in the Commission after the Commission has received reliable information. Now the.... does the Act now provide for investigatory proceeding?"

Davis: "The act now provides for investigatory proceedings and that will not be changed. The only thing that will change is that after the investigation has been made and if on a vote of three or more commissioners, if it's determined after an investigation is made, that there are unfair employment practices, they simply send for the boss and they set down and they try to reconcile their differences. It is in the Act."

Palmer: "Well, I don't see it. It's not in the underlined words and ....."

Davis: "It's in the original Act."

Palmer: "It may be implied, but..... The other question is this. Will the person who's called before the Commission be able to cross examination both persons or organizations that have supplied the reliable information?"

Davis: "No, because the person who filed the charges will not be present. The investigators will be present and they'll be able to cross examine the investigators."

Palmer: "Well, don't you think their might be some value in having the person who initiated the charges....."

Davis: "Well, we've tried that. We've tried that and we found out, and if you will examine the law in any of the large northern industrial states, you'll find out that this is exactly what the law is. If you want to go to the Federal government EOCT Commission, they'll tell you that this is exactly what the law is, that the reason for it is, is that every time an unfair employment practice is charged by a woman against her boss, they find some kind of way to fire her. And you want to bring her in and face him and get her fired. Is that what you want to do?"

Palmer: "No, I think that probably under our system of government, that we should have the right to confront witnesses."

Davis: "Well let me answer that. There's nothing in this Bill that precludes anybody from having their day in Court, and if they disagree with the findings of the Commission, the Courts are wide open to them."

Speaker Bradley: "Is there further discussion? Representatives Gaines, the Gentleman from Cook."

Gaines: "Ah.... I wish to answer the question that was answered by certain members on my side of the aisle. All you have to be is Black to know that there are discriminations. All you have to be is Black to know that if you try to object you are immediately fired. If they can't fire you, they immediately put other kind of mythitudes upon you and it's time that the Illinois Legislature, Members on both sides of the aisle, that if they really and truly believe in equality, to quit nit-picking and give the State the authority that it needs to bring about true equal opportunities. And this is why, unfortunately, Members on my side of the aisle, I'm the only one over here."

MAR 20 1975

23.

Speaker Bradley: "Does the Representative from Marion, Mr. Frederick..... The gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Hudson."

Hudson: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I have a great deal of respect for the Sponsor of this Bill, and I'm sure that Corneal is well motivated. He sees what he thinks is a situation that needs correction. However, I did oppose this job in Committee and I did so for the following reason. I feel that in granting initiatory powers to this particular Commission, we are extending the scope and the power of the Commission beyond which it really should be. According to the bill, it only takes .... it takes a vote of at least three Members of the Commission to decide to investigate and it would seem to me that once that vote has been taken, that this investigation is already prejudiced against those that they are investigating. The language of the Bill doesn't even require that unfair employment practices shall have taken place. The language says that these investigations will take place in the event that ah.... an organization may be engaging in any unfair employment practice. It seems to me that this could be the beginning of witch hunts. It would seem to me that again I say, if the Commission in requiring the Commission to decide in advance to pick out these cases that they are going to investigate, they cannot help but be prejudiced, it seems to me, in their opinion before they start out, and I question seriously whether any organization, being investigated in such a manner, can receive a fair and an impartial assessment or judgement. The question here is, basically, should the Fair Employment Practices Commission sit as its prosecuting attorney, judge and jury, all in one. It didn't seem to me that issuance of power was wise for that reason, and that is the reason I casted a 'no' vote for this Bill in Committee, and would urge your consideration of these points as you vote on this measure, and I would urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Bradley: "Is there further discussion? If not, the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Davis to close."

Davis: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. In response to the distinguished gentleman, I have the greatest respect for him."

Speaker Bradley: "Pardon me, Mr. Davis. For what purpose does the gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Tuerk, arise?"

Tuerk: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I just have one basic question of the sponsor, if he'll yield for a question."

Davis: "All right, my friend."

Tuerk: "And this is basic, Representative Davis. Why do you feel that the machinery that is now existent will not allow any complaintant to file a complaint to the FEPC?"

Davis: "I don't.... the machinery.... they do file complaints, but they must appear in person and charge their boss with an unfair employment practice and the purpose of the commission making the charges after a thorough investigation and not only after a thorough investigation, but after a vote of three of more commissioners. The purpose is to save that person's job."

Tuerk: "Well, my question, though, is, there is machinery available today that would allow me, for example, as a complaintant to file the complaint that I have been discriminated against. Isn't that correct?"

Davis: "That's right."

Tuerk: "Then why give the FEPC all this initiatory power when, in fact, there is a procedure available to each and every one of us who wants to file a complaint?"

Davis: "All right, I understand your question. Let me go over it again please."

Speaker Bradley: "Representative Tuerk, I believe the gentleman has answered that question once and we don't want to carry the dialog on. He indicates he'll answer it again, though, so we'll listen to him again."

Davis: "The man who's gone....."

Speaker Bradley: "Representative Davis, we'll recognize you to go ahead and close the debate, and answer the question for him at the same time."

Davis: "My distinguished friend and he is my friend, for many years here, the Dirksen Amendment insisted that anytime an unfair employment practice charge was filed with the Federal Commissioner in Washington, Senator Dirksen insisted and put in an amendment that those charges would be referred, don't you see, to the Illinois Fair Employment Practice Commission. Now this is the Dirksen Amendment. It's a part of the EEOC, the Federal EEOC, so when a charge is filed with the Federal Government, they send the charge in here to our Commission and what I'm trying to say to you is this - I'm trying to make it clear that our Commission, the Illinois Commission on Fair is unable to investigate those charges for the simple reason they have no individual complaint. It is a charge that the Federal Commission has investigated. It's a charge that the Federal Commissioners have lodged and found it to be true, and so when those charges come to our Commission, they have to send them back. You have said I can close the debate. Let me just say this. I hold in my hand here an article that was written sometime ago in the Sun Times, in February, which it said, 'The case backlog for investigation will continue to grow. The backlog in 1975 is anticipated to be greater than the investigators can handle, even if new allegations are received'. For the simple reason they keep sending them back. I also hold in my hand here a headline from the Chicago Defender. It says 'The Illinois Fair Employment Practice Commission received Tuesday a number of complaints about discrimination in January from women and Mel Jordan, Executive Director, said FEPC will be well over 400 complaints received, 100 percent of them more than the Commission has ever had in a single month. Now when you file those on top of the Federal FEPC and you have to continue to send them back, our Commission will almost be ineffective, because women do not want to sit across from their boss and say 'boss, you know what you've done to me'. The truth of the matter is, the truth of the matter is, you.... all of you know this to be true. There

MAR 26 1975

26.

have been women who have run an institution for any number of years and just simply because of a sex, she hasn't been given the title. The man has the title. The man leaves or retires and they bring a new man in to take over the job. She must show him where to hang his hat. She has to show him everything to be done, and yet she is denied, simply because of her sex, the right to have that job, and I tell you that is unfair employment practice. And if she goes in and makes this charge, she has to face the people who run that institution, and the truth is, she will lose her job she's worked on for more than 20 years for having tried them. I tell you this is something that all the northern industrial states have and some of the southern states has it, and I'm telling you this House is a liberal house. You have never defeated this Bill. It's been before you at least three or four times and you've passed it. And I plead with you, with all of the power in me, to pass this Bill and send it on to the Senate, because perhaps we'll have a good chance now, since they tell me they don't use the pocket proxies over there. Since they tell me they don't indulge in undemocratic action over there, where they bring you into a Committee. The last time this Bill was defeated in the Senate, the Chairman of the Committee made a motion that the Bill do not pass and seconded his own motion and brought out enough proxies to fate it. I ask you, what is more undemocratic then that? Can you really call this the land of Lincoln when right now more then 1400 women are begging for fair chance, an equal chance. I ask you what for? To be the liberal House that you are. Be the liberal House that I go all over this State and brag about and talk about. I go all over the Nation and brag about you and talk about you. When they tell me, when they tell me, when we don't have in this House a liberal, I tell them, yeow, the House is liberal but the Senate turns us back. This is the only reason we don't

have a strong Fair Employment Practice Committee, because we've been turned back over there. I plead with you now. Give me a yes vote on this Bill."

Speaker Bradley: "The question is, shall House Bill 87 pass? All in favor will vote 'aye'. Those opposing will vote 'no'. For what purpose does the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Telcser arise?"

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. We have Members on our side of the aisle that want to be recognized for points of Parliamentary Inquiry. I think the Chair owes it to Members to recognize them."

Speaker Bradley: "We recognized Mr. Davis to close debate and then the light came on for Mr. Totten, and at this time we will recognize Mr. Totten. We couldn't interrupt the closing of debate. Mr. Totten, from Cook."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Point of Order. Ah.... there is .... It is my understanding, there is an amendment to this Bill which significantly changes the content of the Bill and we don't have a copy of the Amendment."

Speaker Bradley: "We're checking to see if the Amendment's been distributed. Representative Davis, will you hold it just a minute please? You got it? Mr. Totten, it's indicated to me that they have been distributed. Representative Gaines has a copy of the Amendment. We have it on the Democratic side. Ah.... I think the Amendment's been distributed, so we'll go ahead with the roll call vote. For what purpose does the Representative from Cook, Mr. Yourell, arise?"

Yourell: "To the Point of Order raised by Representative Totten. I think Third Reading is not the time to bring up the Point of an Amendment. That should have been done when this Bill was on Second Reading. If you didn't have the Amendment on Second Reading, that was the time to bring it up. Not now. This Bill is on Third Reading."

Speaker Bradley: "Well the Chair has ruled that the Amendment has been distributed and it is on the Members desks, and we're on the roll call, Third Reading. The... Representative Totten's point is not well taken. Have all voted who wished? For what purpose does the gentleman, Representative Duff, from Cook, arise?"

Duff: "Mr. Speaker, in light of the comments that were made relative to the Amendment, I think it is appropriate to explain a vote briefly. I have the Amendment on my desk, Mr. Speaker, and because it was not addressed adequately in debate, in explanation of vote, I will only say, that the Amendment does not, it does strike the Bill from the enacting clause and it then does go back to the Majority of the vote. It does not underline the major changes that are made. On Lines 17 and 18 of Amendment Number 1, part of that it says that a complaint has to be made in writing under oath or affirmation, and then the change simply says 'or by the Commission upon a vote of at least three members' and I think it's significant that a charge has to be made under oath, and yet the Commission may do any darn thing it pleases without limitation or definition or inhibition of any kind. It is a total grant of total initiatory powers to the Commission, and it is, in my opinion, far, far to broadly written to be able to vote for."

Speaker Bradley: "Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the Record. On this question, we have 108 'ayes', 28 'noes', 5 voting 'present' and this Bill..... Representative Davis votes 'aye' and Bradley votes 'aye' and Shea votes 'aye', and ... Brandt 'aye', and this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. We'll go to the Order of the Resolutions."

Selcke: "House Joint Resolution Number 26. Shea. Resolved by the House of Representatives of the 79th General Assembly State of Illinois, the Senate concurring herein, when the

House of Representatives adjourns on Friday, March 21, 1975, stand adjourned until Monday, March 24, 1975 at twelve o'clock Noon and when the Senate adjourns on Thursday, March 20, 1975, stand adjourned until Monday, March 24, 1975 at twelve o'clock Noon."

Speaker Bradley: "Representative from Cook, Mr. Madigan."  
Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is the adjournment resolution. When we adjourn today, we will adjourn until tomorrow for a perfunctory session and the Members will be back in Regular Session at twelve o'clock Noon on Monday. We will be in session on Monday, Tuesday and Wednesday next week, and when we adjourn on Wednesday, we will adjourn until April 7 for the Easter Recess, so that next week, we'll be in session Monday, Tuesday and Wednesday. The schedule is that the Tuesday Committees will be heard Monday afternoon and the Tuesday and Wednesday Committees will be heard at their regular time and place. So now, Mr. Speaker, I would move that we do now adopt the Adjournment Resolution."

Speaker Bradley: "Prior to doing that, for what purpose does Representative Matijevich arise?"

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, Representative Shea, when we come back after Easter, do we come back.... is the 7th a Monday or a Tuesday?"

Shea: "The 7th is a Monday and we will, at this time, be coming in at four o'clock on Monday. That has not been cleared. We haven't agreed with that on the Senate, but tentatively, that's the time, four o'clock Monday."

Matijevich: "I would ask the leadership to consider that we come in Tuesday and work until Friday, because the Thursday Committees meet so late and as you know, get away day, people like to leave and we could, not only have a late committee, we could also get our committee report out and then the

Friday meeting would strictly be a session of the House and everybody gets out early. I think it would work out a lot better."

Shea: "Well, John, let me say this, we'll discuss it, but the tentative schedule is to be in Monday through Friday of this week."

Matijevich: "Thank you."

Speaker Bradley: "The question is on the adoption of the Adjournment Resolution. All those in favor, say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The Adjournment Resolution is adopted." Back to House Bills on Third Reading. On the Calendar on the Order of Third Reading on the Supplemental Calendar, Supplemental Calendar Number 1, appears House Bill 91, and it is in numerical order and the Chair at this time, will have.... the Clerk please read the Bill."

Selcke: "House Bill 91. An Act to amend Sections 2 and 4 of an Act authorizing certain counties to undertake local improvements, define its powers and duties in such counties in respect thereto. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Bradley: "Gentleman from Lake, Representative Deuster."

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the first thing I did was look into the Bill book and this Bill, House Bill 91, is in the Bill book, and Amendment Number 1's in the Bill and Amendment Number 2. Now this is a Bill, as amended, which will allow the People to request of their county government the provision of certain services for which they will pay certain improvements, and it also provides a safeguard in Amendment Number 1, so that if the request is initiated, and after they find out how much it costs, they don't want it, they can turn it off by signing a petition. It does allow the County government to provide the services that are designated in the Bill, and there are just four things they can provide for improvements. Sanitary sewers, water mains, walks and street lights. All of these

are also in the municipal code, so there's nothing here that county government could do that the municipalities can't and matter of fact, the authority is less. There are the safeguards for the taxpayers and the people in that, under the law, there must be a hearing and not only that, notice must be sent a letter to each property owner, and then amendment number 1 provides that after that happens, if they find out that it's too costly, they don't want it, they can sign a petition and stop it all. I think it's a good Bill. It will help the County government provide services to the people that they really want and it will also discourage the necessity for the proliferation of the creation of special districts. I think it's a good bill. It will help my county and other counties to serve the people well, and I urge the adoption and support of House Bill 91."

Speaker Bradley: "Is there further discussion? If not, the question is, shall House Bill 91 pass? All in favor will vote 'aye'. Those opposing will vote 'no'. The Clerk will take the Record. Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Thank you very much, in explaining my vote - this vote was up for consideration previously at Third Reading and at that time, I objected to the Bill. I objected to it because it gave two counties local improvement or special assessment authority that far exceeded what is provided in the Municipal Code for municipalities. To the credit of the Sponsor, he took the Bill out of the Record, took it back to Second Reading, went to the Reference Bureau and did amend this bill in accordance with the Municipal Code. I think it's a good Bill. It's one that gives to our counties, the same authority that municipalities have with regards to providing necessary public benefits for the residents of incorporated areas. Improvements such as streets.

Improvements such as sanitary and water sewers and done in such a way that if majority of the people to be affected object to the assessment, they can prostrate it by filing a petition. By that method, there is an extra safeguard in this Bill for residents of incorporated areas that does not exist in the Municipal Code. I think it is a good Bill. I think it is a necessary Bill. I'm most appreciative of the Sponsor for putting it in the form that he has and I do solicit everyones support."

Speaker Bradley: "Have all voted who wished? The gentleman from Adams, Mr. McClain."

McClain: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, would the Sponsor yield to a question? Oh,...."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. McClain, I'm afraid we're going to have to rule that question out at this time. We're on...you can explain your vote if you want."

McClain: "All right, maybe the Sponsor could respond back in his explanation of his vote. What I'm curious about, is what if a sewer district in an area, which would be within the the mile and a half zoning right in municipalities would this be affected by this Bill? Could the County Board then move on that issue?"

Speaker Bradley: "The gentleman from Lake, Mr. Deuster, to explain his vote."

Deuster: "In explaining my vote, I would say that it's my understanding it would have no effect on that. Existing districts would not be affected by this. This would simply relate to new areas where the residents do seek an improvement."

Speaker Bradley: "Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the Record. On this question, there are 143 'ayes', 5 'noes', 10 voting 'present'. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. On the Order of Third Reading appears House Bill 118."

Selcke: "House Bill 118. An Act to amend certain laws relating to publication of notices. First Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Bradley: "The gentleman from Franklin, Mr. Hart."

Hart: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Bill amends the Act on publication of notices. The bill was amended in Committee at the request of Mr. McFarland of the Chicago Law Bulletin and it no longer affects Cook County. The purpose of the present law regarding publication is to provide a notice that something is going to happen and interested parties should make their positions known. The structure of the statutes, however, provide a way where the spirit of the law can be violated by bearing the publication in a newspaper in a city or village other than the one in which the subject matter is situated. This bill would amend a publication act in all counties other than Cook to provide a better procedure for notice where the subject matter of the publication is within a municipality having a newspaper, and would provide a better way for persons interested to actually receive notice. The Bill came out of Committee 14 to 1. I'd appreciate the support of the House."

Speaker Bradley: "Is there discussion? Does the gentleman wish to close? The question is, shall House Bill 118 pass? All in favor, vote 'aye', those opposing vote 'no'. The Clerk will take the Record. Fred, will you vote me 'aye'. Have all voted who wished? Geo-Karis 'aye'. Simms 'aye'. The Clerk will take the Record. Giglio 'aye'. The Clerk will take the Record. On this question, there are 135 'ayes', 3 'noes' and 7 voting 'present' and this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. On the Calendar, appearing on Third Reading, is House Bill 122."

Selcke: "House Bill 122. Schraeder. An Act to amend Section 14 of the Public Buildings Commission Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Bradley: "Gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, this Bill is and I'll pinpoint the explanation very quickly, so that the Minority Leader on the other side of the aisle knows that this was a Bill which cleared the House in the last two sessions without any dissenting votes, got clobbered in the Senate in the days when they killed all House Bills. If there are any questions, this is a Bill that the County Board in my district feel they need. It is not controversial. It's permissive, and what it does is allow the County to build facilities outside the City of Peoria and that's it. It's permissive only and I would seek the support of the Minority Leader on the other side of the aisle."

Speaker Bradley: "Further discussion? The Representative from Peoria, Mr. Mudd."

Mudd: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, there's two reasons why that I feel a little weak this morning on getting up and speaking before the assembly. One of them is that party last night. I'm still a little shakey around the knees. But there is opposition to this Bill. The other reason is that a fellow representative from my district presented this Bill. I strongly oppose this Bill because I think what it does, it expands an already policy of allowing public buildings to be built without referendums. It's a back door policy that this Legislative Body passed several years ago, and I think if we expand on this policy, we're doing even a deeper injustice to the people of this State. The more important issue involved in cost of government today, the Public Buildings Commission levied Revenue Bonds. Those bonds go at a percent or percent higher than general obligation bonds. The actual cost of building under a Public Building Commission Act is much higher and costs the taxpayers much more money. I would hope that the People of this House could see fit not to support this Bill and I ask for a 'no' vote. Thank you."

Speaker Bradley: "Further discussion? The gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Simms."

Simms: "Well, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise in opposition to this Bill, and let's lay the cards on the table, the object to the Building Commission Act really was to circumvent the will of the People who have voted down certain projects at Public Referendum. What this does, basically, is just expand upon that and continue to abolish the will of the people where they have turned down specific projects at the Public Referendum, and this just opens the door even broader to use this vehicle of building buildings that have have been in many cases have been not approved by voters at Referendum; and I think this is an imposition on the taxpayers' of Illinois to expand the Building Commission Act to authorize the construction of buildings, the public improvements, and other facilities beyond the County Seat in which that Commission was originally established. Basically, the Building Commission was established for the simple reason that many of these court houses . . . ah . . . were turned down many times to Public Referendum. The Legislature needed a vehicle to build these . . . ah . . . facilities and in their wisdom they passed this situation on; but now you're seeing a case where they want to expand the Provisions of the Building Commission, go beyond the County Seat, and open up, in essence, the old cliché of Pandora's box. I think this is a terrible abuse of an essence of abusing what the taxpayers have said. If they had said "no" to building a building, that's the way, in my opinion, it should be; and I don't think intention of the Legislature when the Public Building Commission Act was approved by the . . . ah . . . General Assembly was ever intended to be a catchall for other projects to be built throughout the County in their respected areas; so I do think this Bill should be sent to a . . . a prompt defeat."

Speaker Bradley: "Is there further discussion? If not the Representative from Peoria, Mr. Schraeder, to close."

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, first of all, the two opponents who spoke, apparently, didn't read the Bill, because it doesn't have anything to do with the referendum, the provisions on referendum are still there; this Bill only moves the sight of the . . . of the Public Building Commission of . . . building outside the City of Peoria or outside the County Seat, and I wonder if the Representative from Winnebago realized that the Republican County Board of Winnebago rate the citizens by defaulting on a Referendum and then the . . . they put the building up and, as a consequence of that, as I understand, that the Democrats took over the Court House . . . ah . . . I don't know whether Representative Simms knows about that or not. . . . Steve, is just a matter of simple placing of a Public Building outside the City of Peoria in the County. Our County Board wants to build a man . . . a Maintenance Building for County Construction of Roads and Highways. They want it close to where the need is, they don't want to put heavy equipment right in the City of Peoria and that's all they're asking. Again, I would report this Bill came out of Committee 16 to nothing. Its the same Bill that was sponsored by Representative Day on the Republican side of the aisle last year and the year before. Went through the House without a dissenting vote and got killed in the Senate in the last days of the Session only because of timing. Again, this is not a partisan Bill, it only allows that County Boards to build where they want to in the County. I ask your support."

Speaker Bradley: "The question is shall House Bill 122 pass? All in favor will vote "aye", those opposing will vote "no". The Clerk will take the record. While we're taking the record, the Chair would like to request that anybody who wishing to get on the Roll Call that they would come down to the . . . ah . . . Clerk's desk and get on the Roll Call and we'll save some time of the House. ah . . . The gentleman from Peoria, Representative Mudd."

Mudd: "Mr. Chairman, in explaining my vote, I would ask the House to consider one important factor. Peoria is one of the most affluent non-employable areas in the State of Illinois. One of the ten in the Nation; and America is the best in the World, and that puts Peoria in a pretty . . . pretty good position on building anything they want to build to serve the people of that County. And I ask you to let the people decide by referendum if they want to build these buildings outside the city. Please vote "no" on this Bill."

Speaker Bradley: "Further discussion or explanation of votes, Representative Walsh, did you wish recognition?"

Walsh: "Yea . . . ah . . . Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, meaning no offense to the Sponsor of this Bill, nor to Representative Bob Day, who was referred to by the Sponsor, I certainly can't see where this is a good Bill and agree with the previous Speakers that what it does is extend a bad principle to provide even further abuses, more abuses. What it does is remove from the County Seat Governing Board, the requirement that they vote to a . . . approve a project by the Public Building Commission. Now, I suggest to you, Mr. Speaker, that that is not a good idea, that the Bill is ill-advised and that we shouldn't at this time when people are short of money and besieged with taxes be extending a body, that takes upon itself the authority that belongs with the people to vote the taxes upon themselves for improvements to the . . . their public building. So I suggest to you that this is not a good idea and that you ought to vote "no"."

Speaker Bradley: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 98 "yeyes", 30 "nos" 16 voting "present"; this Bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. On the Calendar on Third Reading appears House Bill 132."

Selcke: "House Bill 132, an Act to amend Sections 3,8,and 7,a Unified Code of Corrections Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Bradley: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Porter."

Porter: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 132 prohibits disciplinary restrictions on the youths at a prison law library by the inmates, except for abuse of the facility. It seems to me ridiculous in this day and time that we should incarcerate a person for violating the Law and then fail to do everything possible to encourage him to persue the Law. This Bill has the support of the Department of Corrections; it passed the Judiciary Committee 20 to nothing, and it was also voted by that Committee to be placed on the Consent Calendar. By error it was not placed on that Calendar and has gone through the normal process. I . . . I have no objections to the Bill and I encourage your affirmative vote."

Speaker Bradley: "Further discussion? If not, the question is shall House Bill 132 pass? All in favor vote "aye", those opposing vote "no"; the Clerk will take the record. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question there are 140 "ayes", no "nos"; this Bill having received the constitutional conven . . . ah . . . majority is hereby declared passed. Leon "aye"; we would appreciate if the Members would like to get on the Bill, ~~if~~ they'd come down to the Clerk's desk and get on the Roll Call, down here would save time of the House. On House Bills, Third Reading appears House Bill 139, for which purpose the Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Macon."

Selcke: "House Bill 139 . . ."

Speaker Bradley: "Read the Bill."

Selcke: "An act making appropriations to the Department of Children and Family Services . . ."

Speaker Bradley: "Gentleman from Deca . . ."

Borchers: "ah . . . This Bill is not . . . ah . . . I have a lot of material that's not ready . . ."

Speaker Bradley: "Take it out of the record. On Third Reading appears House Bill 163. Read the Bill."

Selcke: "ah . . . House Bill 163, an act requiring certain state's

publication should contain a Statement of Promulgation Costs. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Bradley: "The gentleman from Kane, Mr. Grotberg."

Grotberg: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 163 passed out of this House last year with 120 and some votes and was put in Senate Rules because of restricted nature of last year's Session. I would remind you that this Bill 163, deals with the disclosure of the costs of printing in the State of Illinois and in the State of Illinois Government only. It has been amended several times, and its final amendment read to do the following: That every Department of the State of Illinois Government, not local or schools, by only the State of Illinois, every co-department and Commissions only of the Legislature, not Committees or Reference Bureaus or that type of thing, must, if they print 100 copies or more of anything for public consumption, put on the face of the booklet or paper the cost . . . the promulgation of the cost of that document. Now, this Bill pursues an interest of mine and it should be an interest of each of us that the printing costs of the State of Illinois have long been a mystery, they are almost impossible to find. This Bill may or may not change the printing costs; but it will, at least, tell us who the offenders are. I would remind you that as we go through the budgets of the various Departments, we will find in fiscal '75 an item of printing on the printing line in budgets of about eight millions of dollars in appropriations. This is just a tip of the iceberg. The biggest part of the printing costs of all of our Departments are under contractals. Friday afternoon of this last week, I sought from that wonderful computer that they now have here the invoice vouchers for the Xerox Corporation for Calendar 1974. Now, Ladies and Gentlemen, I would like you to know that the State of Illinois paid Xerox Corporation 3.4 millions of dollars just for space consumption. It is worth noting that a lot of that is internal and will never be affected by this.

The State has several print shops, many of them which you can throw rocks and hit from where we're standing here now. The contractual printing that we have been able to find is in the nature of 17 millions of dollars, so when we vote appropriations and vote for 8 million dollars of printing, we should know now that we're already to the 25 million dollar mark. I am advised by the General Services Department and several sources within State Government that it is very possible that we are spending 100 million dollars a year for printing in the State of Illinois because we have not as yet been able to get the University system to disclose any of their costs. Therefore, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Mr. Speaker, I have asked for the Bureaucratic Disclosure Bill, that this is, that whoever is printing should at least tell what it costs. There is a companion Bill . . . ah . . . for the . . . ah . . . Legislative Council to run a test on this subject for a two-year period to see what it brings forth. It is within the perview of every Department to promulgate such costs with no added expense to themselves. It may slow down the presses a little, but . . . ah . . . having printed many things myself, once you've determined to roll those presses, you can identify the costs. Therefore, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would urge that once again we keep the Disclosure Bill to the bureauracy of the cost of printing in the Public "eye" and would move that this Bill pass; I ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Bradley: "Further discussion? The gentleman from Cook, . . . ah . . . Mr. Berman."

Berman: "Will the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Speaker Bradley: "He indicates he will."

Berman: "Does this Bill apply to the . . . ah . . . print shops like . . . ah . . . Universities that do printing for profits where they . . . ah . . . publish books and sell them?"

Grotberg: "Yes it does, as of the most recent amendment, if that

print shop is part of the university system."

Berman: "Well, what . . ."

Grotberg: "In some cases they are . . . ah . . . foundations of their own, if I am correct in my analysis, Representative Berman."

Berman: "Well, I can understand the rationale where we want to try to check on all the paper that flows across our desk, but those are . . . or seems to me to be more in the profit-making, hopefully, profitmaking and commercial enterprises . . . ah . . . Would you object if they were taken out from under this?"

Grotberg: "Well, the original objection . . . ah . . . Representative Berman, was that they were not included, so we went to some considerable effort to amend them in . . . ah . . . I think if such a thing would occur as the dialogue increases on this subject matter, . . . ah . . . I am amenable to Senate Amendment or somewhere in the process we expect . . ."

Berman: "I wouldn't want to "do" it up today, but I . . ."

Grotberg: "Right."

Berman: "This has been brought to my attention, I . . . I'm not sure I understand the rationale for keeping them in. I can understand the rationale in . . ."

Grotberg: "Right."

Berman: ". . . House Publications . . ."

Grotberg: "They are the biggest printers and these are in house publications as regard to the university system."

Berman: "Well, I meant in relation to books that they sell to the public."

Speaker Bradley: "Are you . . . Have you concluded Mr. Berman? Mr. Berman, could we come . . . are you concluded or could we come back to you . . ."

Berman: "Well, . . . ah . . . let me, merely, point out the engrossed copy that I'm looking at would appear to exclude the

agency that I'm talking about, the ones that print books for sale . . . ah . . . if you have no objections for keeping them out, . . . ah . . . and will adjust yourself to it in the Senate, then I . . . I have no objections."

Grotberg: "I have no objection to work with anyone who has an interest in this subject, Representative Berman. It . . . ah . . . Its the thrust of the legislation is to identify costs of those people that are publishing at the State's expense, who are in many cases for their own self aggrandizement, in many cases justifiable, I am not questioning at this point anybody's motive for printing anything. I think its the public's right to know is at stake here and of all the Consumer Bills we've had, 100 million dollars would run the Department of Children and Family Services."

Speaker Bradley: "Are we proceeding with the Bill, Representative Grotberg? By pursuing the Chair's usual impartiality there's . . . ah . . . Representative on the other side of the aisle, Representative Palmer was seeking recognition, does he still seek recognition . . . or . . . Representative Palmer? Did you . . . Representative Getty, the gentleman from Cook, pardon me, the gentleman from Cook, Representative Beatty."

Beatty: "Rep . . . Representative Grotberg . . . ah . . . by this Bill aren't you, in effect, actually increasing the cost and actually adding to the problem that you are trying to solve by now having to have someone to figure out the costs; and aren't you also adding to governmental red tape of which we have an awful lot?"

Grotberg: "Thank you, Representative Beatty, that question has been brought up and asked several times; the facts are if I'm adding to the costs of anything by telling people what it costs, I think we could apply that philosophy to the . . . everything we do. The . . . the standard costs can be established by anyone who prints; and those of you who have been in the printing business would know that. Its a clerical

entry that they can make up a place, they sit and type anyway. I don't care the what size the print job is, once they decide to roll the presses they have an order, its so many tons of paper, and we go through 50 tons of paper, incidentally, in the State of Illinois every month . . . ah . . . there's a price on paper; these are all known factors. If you've got time to write the theme of the story you're trying to say, I say take another five minutes and then we've got enough people in our bureauracy to handle that without any additional costs. A little new . . . a little new problem, yes, but it might help them to learn before they roll those presses that it costs money and that people are going to know what it costs; and I think that's the thrust of it . . . ah . . ."

Beatty: "In other words, you're trying to embarrass people who are publishing to watch the volume and cut out unnecessary printing?"

Grotberg: "Yes, . . . ah . . . you know you can make that assumption you can call it embarrass, I'm saying consciousness. I think we have to be conscious in this era of shortage of paper and snortage of everything and high costs of the volume of this subject matter; and if the people should know, for instance, I did a newsletter in my district and I tried this on the back of it, I did a 7 1/2 cents per copy for so many thousand copies and told the people what it costs. I got more good comments on it . . . on that and you don't have to do it, I've exempted the Legislature, in our wisdom, from this drink, so that if any of you're worried about your district office or anything, we are exempt, except for Legislative Commissions . . . ah . . . but its an interesting factor, you know, the daily paper puts a price up there at the top . . ."

Beatty: "Thank you, Representative, thank you."

Speaker Bradley: "Further discussion? The gentleman from Union, Mr. Choate."

Choate: "ah . . . Representative Grotberg, one question, and I think you gave a qualified answer and I, to be quite frank with you, this depends on whether I support the Legislation or not. I share with you the concern of the tremendous amount of taxpayers dollars that goes into so terribly much spending and much of it is duplicated seven times over. That you or I or no one else ever looks at is filed in file thirteen, and you know it and I know it; but, Representative Berman asked you a question that to me will get right down to the nitty gritty of the thing, that the press down at Southern Illinois University does do some what we might call no more than commercial printing. ah . . . This is a return on the investment as far as SIU is concerned . . ."

Grotberg: "Right."

Choate: ". . . and I would hope that if the language is not explicitly clear that this type of printing would be exempt that don't give me the qualified answer, say "yes" that you will take in an Amendment to exempt this type of printing."

Grotberg: "Clyde, Representative Choate, the answer is an unqualified "yes" on commercial printing for profit; I think that that's a business of its own and it helps to keep our university system going."

Choate: "Good."

Grotberg: "And I'll, immediately, draft such a thing for the Senate Amendment."

Choate: "Very good."

Grotberg: "Very good."

Speaker Bradley: "Further discussion? The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Greiman."

Greiman: "Will the gentleman yield for a question?"

Speaker Bradley: "He indicates he will."

Greiman: "Mr. . . . ah . . ."

Speaker Bradley: "Please make it short."

Greiman: "O.K., . . . ah . . . is the part . . . that part of the Bill that says that personnel involved in preparing the publi-

cation, shall also be analyzed as part of the cost. Is that still in the Bill?"

Grotberg: "Yes, that is still in the Bill, that is Section . . ."

Greiman: "Well, O.K., Mr. Speaker, I . . . that's a rather sophisticated cost accounting procedure, I would think. We're talking about the preparation of a document, we're talking about what goes into it . . . ah . . . the analysis that went into it, the dictation of it, I suspect under this you'd have to have secretaries salaries analyzed. If there was a discussion among members of an agency, their salaries would have to be cost accounted out. I really think that under this mandated statute it would be an impossible thing.

I think, also, that we would find ourselves breeding a whole new bureaucratic . . . ah . . . group of jobs. We'd have . . . ah . . . printing analysts I and printing analysts II after a while, then we'd have a Director of Publication Analysis and pretty soon we'd have a Bill that would give scholarships to people to become publication analysts and eventually, I am sure, there'd be a Code Department. I just . . . I just think that that we're, as Representative Beatty said, that we're just really increasing the costs by an impossible cost accounting procedure in this Bill."

Speaker Bradley: "Representative Grotberg, do you wish to reply?"

Grotberg: "If I may, thank you, Representative Greiman, for that healthy criticism. Now, the facts are, if you will restrict your thinking to the printing for public use, Representative Greiman, the thought processes that have been missing in this area for so long . . . ah . . . had better start being applied pretty soon and the capability for such processes are within every Department. They figure up the cost of everything else so there's no more or no less than that. This will not interfere with inter-house communications, it has nothing to do with the everyday operations of state government. It has only to do with those articles that are mailed out for public

consumption."

Speaker Bradley: "The lady from Champaign, Mrs. Satterthwaite."

Satterthwaite: "I ask, if the Sponsor would yield for a question, please?"

Speaker Bradley: "He indicates he will."

Satterthwaite: "My question relates to that of Representative Greiman, I wanted clarification as to your intent that this would include all of the preliminary work going into the publication and that the staff time you're talking about is not simply staff time involved in the printing process."

Grotberg: "No, its in the preparation of the document."

Satterthwaite: "Thank you."

Speaker Bradley: "The gentleman from Cook, . . . ah . . . Mr. Maragos."

Maragos: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this Bill has been adequately discussed and, therefore, I move the previous question."

Speaker Bradley: "The previous question has been moved. All those in favor say "aye", those "no"; the "ayes" have it. The gentleman, Mr. Grotberg, to close debate."

Grotberg: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentleman of the House, I think there's been enough dialogue on the Bill. Again, the thrust of the Bill is a simple disclosure by those who use public moneys for public information and often times have the . . . credit for their Department or their own personal leadership of a Department enclosed in such documents. Let's tell the people what it costs, and I would move for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Bradley: "The question is shall House Bill 163 pass? All in favor vote "aye", those opposing vote "no". The gentleman from Macon, Mr. Borchers."

Borchers: "Mr. Speaker, and fellow Members of the House, I rise in support of this Bill and I want to show you a few things. Now, here is a pamphlet or a book of one of our Departments. I want you to observe the cover. Here's another one. This

is the . . . ah . . . accountability budget. I want you to observe the cover. Here's another one and another one and another one; every one of these pamphlets could just as easily have been made with a small or white sheet; but, instead, some of them are usually thick and very expensive. I think its high time we try to reduce the cost of printing in the State of Illinois. A five years ago I mentioned it before, I'm very happy to see that Representative Grotberg has tried and is trying to do something about it. So I urge the support of this Bill."

Speaker Bradley: "Have all voted who wish? The gentleman from Kane, Mr. Grotberg."

Grotberg: "I would like to have the opportunity to explain my vote, if I may?"

Speaker Bradley: "Go ahead."

Grotberg: "ah . . . Again, the justifiable fears that go with something like this are symptoms of what the thrust of the Bill is. Those of us who have to account for the public every day and sit in this fish bowl and ask ladies and gentlemen to watch us on the floor accomplishing our mission are not at all like the average department that generates tremendous printing costs. This is all done in the back room where nobody can see it. I was amazed yesterday afternoon when we were visited by a distinguished gentleman from Chicago and he said, 'what are you doing in the House of Representatives that's such confusion?' This gentleman happened to be the President of a major bank in Chicago. And I said if you would put a gallery around the back room of your bank instead of around the lobby, you would get the same questions asked of your business. Nobody knows what goes on in your back room and I'm here to tell you that by and large the public does not know what goes on in the back room of our hard working bureaucracy; and that we've got to have them and I'm all for them. All I want them to do is suffer through the same spotlight of disclosure that each member of this House has to go through before he ever gets

here and then daily after he arrives on the scene. I see we have 92 votes and I will see some desist."

Speaker Bradley: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 108 "ayes", 25 "nos", 6 voting "present". This Bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. On the order of Third Reading is House Bill 184."

Selcke: "House Bill 184, an Act to amend the Revenue Act of '39; Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Bradley: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker; Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, House Bill 184 concerns itself with the problem that confronts real estate property taxpayers who either, inadvertently, have paid an installment twice or in those counties where the accelerated method of billing is employed they paid the first installment bill and discovered later that the . . . ah . . . total bill for the year is less than . . . ah . . . the first estimate. Presently, there is no statutory authority in the Revenue Act by which the county collectors can make refund of over-payment by taxpayers. This Bill as amended . . . ah . . . fills that void . . . ah . . . that presently exists in the Revenue Act. The Bill as amended . . . ah . . . was co-operated in by the Cook County Collector has his support and the Bill was reported out with the recommendation do pass by the Revenue Committee by 22 to nothing; and I solicit your support."

Speaker Bradley: "Further discussion? The gentleman wish to close? If not, the question is shall House Bill 184 pass? All in favor vote "aye", those opposing vote "no". The Clerk will take the record. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question there are 143 "ayes", no "nos", 2 voting "present". This Bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. On the order of Third Reading appears House Bill 189. Read the . . ."

O'Brien: "House Bill 189, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act to revise the law in relation to mechanic's lien."

Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Bradley: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "Well, thank you Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this is probably one of the least important Bills to come before this session of the Legislature, however, its also a good Bill. Two years ago, this Legislature's wisdom sought to require the printing on mechanic's lien and releases a protection statement. For the protection of the owner of this release should be filed with the Recorder of Deeds in his office, the claim for lien was filed. We mandated that the lettering be bold, at least 1/8 . . . ah . . . 1/4 inch in height. House Bill 189 reduces that requirement from 1/4 to 1/8 inch in height and this is being pressed for by the Recorders Association. I would read very briefly from a letter of support from Recorder of Deeds of Dupage County, 'In discussing this particular Bill, we are all of alike opinion. It is most apparent that we receive these documents in the mail bearing the statement that said notice is being imprinted over other important numbers and words in the contents of said documents, affecting same to the point that we cannot determine the correct spelling. Often there is not sufficient space for the stamping of the record dates and number and other pertinent data; furthermore, in some instances, we have found that it was impossible to photograph a reproduced document satisfactorily.' This does not mandate that the notice must be 1/4 in. in height; but it cannot be less than 1/8 in. in height, therefore, whoever had any statements printed up are still valid and useable. I urge adoption of House Bill 189."

Speaker Bradley: "The Chair apologizes, Harry, if you will from now on. Further discussion? Does the gentleman wish to close? Pardon me, the lady from Lake, Miss Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "ah . . . Mr. Speaker, may I address my comments to the . . . ah . . . Sponsor of the Bill?"

Speaker Bradley: "You certainly may."

Geo-Karis: "Thank you. ah . . . Harry, I don't quite understand. You mean you filed a Bill asking that the bold lettering should be 1/8 inch size instead of 1/4? I didn't quite get your logic on that, would you just restate it for me, I couldn't hear it."

Leinenweber: "Well, the smaller printing will fit more easily on the release and the Recorder's have found that the . . . the 1/4 inch lettering, bold lettering, just takes up too much space and it tends to blot out or blur out and make illegible other important matters in the mechanic's lien and releases."

Geo-Karis: "Thank you."

Speaker Bradley: "Further discussion? If not, the question is shall House Bill 189 pass? All those in favor vote "aye", those opposing vote "no". We'll take a Roll Call vote. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 124 "ayes", 6 "nays", 7 voting "present". This Bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. On House Bills on the order of Third Reading appears House Bill 195. Read the Bill."

O'Brien: "House . . . House Bill 195, Brinkmeier, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Bradley: "The gentleman from Ogle, Mr. Brinkmeier."

Brinkmeier: "Mr. Speaker, it is now Stephenson County, it should be corrected. Mr. Speaker, and Members of the House, . . . ah . . . House Bill 195 is very well explained by the synopsis of the Digest. Now, under the existing School Code, if a school district fails to provide the minimum school term of 176 class days, then the State Superintendent is required to reduce that district's state aid by one percent per day of those days that they were short. Now with the possibility of an energy crisis arising again in another year, this could work an undue hardship on many of our school districts in the State. But what this Bill does, it says that in the

event that a school is forced to close down because of the energy crisis that they will not be penalized. I would point out that some of you may question, why extend the school term? Well, if this is done, and it may be done, then the school board must pay all those school employees for the additional time that they are in school and that . . . that is the basis of this Bill; and I know of no opposition and I urge your support."

Speaker Bradley: "Further discussion? The gentleman wish to close?"

Brinkmeier: "I just . . . ah . . . urge your favorable vote."

Speaker Bradley: "The question is shall House Bill 195 pass?"

All those in favor vote "aye", those oppose will vote "no". We'll take a roll call vote. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 147 "ayes", 1 "no", no voting "present" . . . nobody voting "present" on this . . . Bill having received a constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. On Third Reading appears House Bill 199. The Clerk will read the Bill."

O'Brien: "House Bill 199, Maragos, a Bill for an Act creating the Illinois Commission on Delinquency Prevention. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Bradley: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Maragos."

Maragos: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to say that I'm one of the Chief Co-Sponsors of this Bill, the other one is Representative Capparelli and also another very active Co . . . Chief Co-Sponsor is Representative Caldwell; and I'd also like to say that two very distinguished gentlemen on the Republican side of the aisle, Representative Collins and Representative Lauer, have worked very diligently as well as Representative Giglio on this Bill. It has 75 Co-Sponsors and it is an attempt to take the services of the Department of Correction . . . I have been requested to take this Bill out of the record

because something has arisen. At this time, I'll take it out of the record."

Speaker Bradley: "Take it out of the record. On Third Reading appears House Bill 206. Will you read the Bill."

O'Brien: "House Bill 206, a Bill for an Act making an appropriation to the Moline Heating and Construction Company. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Bradley: "The gentleman from Rock Island, you want it out of the record? Take it out of the record. On the Calendar on Third Reading appears House Bill 24 . . . ah . . . 222. Will you please read the Bill."

O'Brien: House Bill 222, Londrigan, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Pension Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Bradley: "The gentleman from Sangamon, Mr. Londrigan."

Londrigan: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Bill just extends the time in which a State employee who has retired early may get back into the system by repaying the funds. It now provides for a one and two-year period and this extends it to a three-year period. In addition, thereto, there's a very important Amendment, which makes the Bill even better and the present Law better, in that it provides when they repay this money, they do so at a four percent interest. This was put on with the approval of the State Employees Association. So I ask for your support of this Bill."

Speaker Bradley: "Further discussion? The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Bradley: "He indicates he will."

Schlickman: "ah . . . We are informed that our . . . pension funds are grossly underfunded and I'm wondering what the anticipated costs of this Bill will be. I notice that . . . ah . . . when you are thinking of the Reference Bureau . . . ah . . . fiscal note . . . ah . . . may be applicable."

Londrigan: "There . . . there was no fiscal note requested by anyone. The cost will be very, very small because we're only talking about State employees, who have retired and this gives them an opportunity to put the money back into the system when they go back to work; and, now, we're making it, specifically, more sound because we're putting on a four percent interest that they have to pay. Now, they don't have to pay anything. So what the Bill does is extend the period in which they can get back in to three years and also put the four percent interest penalty on top. So it will improve the system."

Schlickman: "Could you be more specific as to the anticipated cost?"

Londrigan: "No, because no one asked for a fiscal note. I would say, though, that . . . ah . . . you can understand that this only applies to State employees who retire, then they come back into the System, which is going to be a small number and the only thing my Bill did, originally, was give them a longer opportunity to pay back their funds that they have taken on retirement back into the System."

Schlickman: "Oh, I know what you're doing . . . ah . . . I was just wondering what the cost would be and I guess all that we know as we vote on this, is that it will be relatively small."

Speaker Bradley: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Totten."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I wonder, in this case, none of our Bill books here have Bill 222, but we do have the Amendment. Mr. Speaker, none of the Bill books on this side of the aisle have a copy of House Bill 222, and if . . . oh, well, we do have the Amendment."

Speaker Bradley: "House Bills up to Number 588 have been passed out and distributed and are on the desks to the best of my information."

Totten: "There's not a Member here that I have asked who has a copy of it in their book or is it on the desk."

Speaker Bradley: "Well, we . . . we find that those Bills are on the desks on this side of the aisle and . . . ah . . . I would hope that they'd been passed out on that side."

Totten: "Well, I wonder if he would pull it out of the record, we have . . . we . . . I know Representative Schlickman doesn't have a copy or Minority Leader, Representative Washburn doesn't have a copy in his book, I don't have a copy in my book, Representative Daniels . . ."

Speaker Bradley: "Do you . . . are they not in the books or are they not on your desks?"

Totten: "They are not the books or on the desks."

Londrigan: "They were put on the desks yesterday when we voted the Amendment through. The Amendment provides for everybody who repays the money to pay a four percent interest . . ."

Totten: "I have the Amendment, but I don't have . . . we don't have the Bill. The Amendment's on our desk, but the Bill is not on the desks."

Londrigan: "The Bill is not in your book?"

Totten: "No."

Speaker Bradley: "The . . . ah . . . it has been brought to the Chair's attention that the Bills are in the Bill books on the Democratic side and I would suggest that you talk to the Pages on the Republican side to find out why, if they are not, why they're not there." Representative Washburn."

Washburn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, we have talked to the Pages on this side of the aisle and they inform me that they don't get our copies of the Bills until they're distributed and put on the desks on your side of the aisle, and whatever is left over, we get."

Speaker Bradley: "Representative Washburn, your point's well taken, and with permission of the sponsor, we'll take it out of the record for a few minutes to see where those Bills are and as soon as they are distributed, we will come back to you. . . . the Bill. . . will come out of order, with permission of the Minority Leader and come back to the Bill, but it

does appear to the Chair that the Bills were in the Bill book on second reading, when the amendment was adopted, so if the Pages will check and see where those bills are and distribute them immediately. We'll go ahead to House Bills Third Reading, House Bill 228. The Clerk will read the Bill."

O'Brien: "House Bill 228. Geo-Karis. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Bradley: "The Lady from Lake, Mrs. Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Bill, the amended Bill, extends disciplinary authority to certified education employees, through the grounds owned or leased by the school board and used for school purposes and activities, and similarly it extends authority to these employees, to demand identification and purpose of visit of any person entering the grounds. Purpose of the Amendment, Amendment 1, was to include also the Board of Education of Chicago..... I'm just trying to explain myself, all right? They don't have the Bill, I guess."

Speaker Bradley: "Pardon me, Mr. Palmer."

Palmer: "Mr. Speaker, I don't have 228 in the book either and several of the rest on this side, don't have the Bills."

Speaker Bradley: "We're going to take just a minute here and see where these Bills are. For what purpose does Mr. Totten arise?"

Totten: "Mr. Speaker, for a point.... I wonder if the Sponsor would mind checking before we call these Bills in their own Bill books to make sure we don't waste the time."

Speaker Bradley: "That would be helpful; however, the Chair is going right down the list of Bills, calling them in numerical order."

Totten: "Well, then, they might let you know ahead of time."

MAR 20 1975

Speaker Bradley: "The Chair would appreciate it. Mrs. Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "I'm sorry that I have to report that it's not in my book either."

Speaker Bradley: "All right, we will check on that one. We will go ahead with House Bills on Third Reading, House Bill 249. The Clerk will read the Bill."

O'Brien: "House Bill 249. Rayson. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Horse Racing Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Bradley: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Rayson."

Rayson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. House Bill 249 established criteria for the Racing Board to evaluate those who are being assigned racing dates. The Amendment to the Illinois Horse Racing Act, the same thing that's in the Illinois Harness Racing Act. Somehow, when these laws were changed in 1967, the criteria was not established in both Sections of the Code. This Bill came out of Committee by a vote of 21 to 0 and I solicit your support."

Speaker Bradley: "Further discussion? Anybody take.... All right, the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Kosinski."

Kosinski: "Will the Speaker respond to a question, rather the Sponsor respond to a question?"

Speaker Bradley: "He indicates he will."

Kosinski: "Mr. Rayson, were Racing Board Members, Mr. Scariano or others, in Committee at the time this Bill was heard?"

Rayson: "No, because they had supported this Bill in the last session."

Kosinski: "They do support the Bill?"

Rayson: "Yes."

Kosinski: "Thank you. That answers my question."

Speaker Bradley: "Further discussion? The gentleman to close."

Rayson: "I solicit your favorable vote."

Speaker Bradley: "The question is, shall House Bill 249 pass?"

All those in favor, vote 'aye', those opposed will vote 'no'.

MAR 20 1975

57.

We'll take a roll call vote. Have all voted who wished? Bradley 'aye'. Vince, will you put me on there, please? On this question.... Take the record. The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Rayson."

Rayson: "I'd like to explain my vote on this. Apparently, there's some confusion with all the lights on. I don't know what the deal is, but ah.... I don't know if somebody's trying to tell me a message or what, but this Bill adds to the Illinois Racing Act those criteria which are in the Illinois Harness Act, and if this has the support of the Illinois Racing Board, and it never got a hearing in the Senate two years ago, and ah.... we're just correcting the codes for something that should have been in there a long time ago. It merely establishes certain criteria that the Board must use in establishing racing dates to the applicants. It should have a favorable vote."

Speaker Bradley: "The gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich, for what purpose do you rise?"

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to explain my vote if it's not too late. As the Chairman of the Executive Committee, we did hear this Bill. Now I think I may understand why there's a lot of present votes on the Board. Really it relates to a problem that I think involves the fact that the legislature in many of the states all around the country are being investigated for just about everything, and really we don't have the freedom to vote our conscience as legislators. We've seen reports that if you may vote yes or no on a racing bill, you may be investigated or you may be subpoenaed. It's going to be very difficult to vote your conscience in this Legislature on any racing bill, for example, whether it's good or bad for the people of the State of Illinois. On many, many issues, many legislators are going to have pause to vote on what they think is right for the people of the State of Illinois. Now this happens to be a good Bill. I think that we ought to determine the character of people who apply for

racing dates...."

Speaker Bradley: "Representative Matijevich, if you would please let us cut in. We've been advised we called a little early for the.... to take the roll, so we're going to dump this one and ask for a new roll call."

Matijevich: "All right, well, I will just close in saying that I think it is a good Bill."

Speaker Bradley: "The switches are now open, and the question is shall House Bill 249 pass? All in favor, will vote 'aye', those opposed will vote 'no'. Representative Matijevich, to continue with his explanation of his vote."

Matijevich: "Well, I just want to conclude by saying this is the difficulty we have in freedom that we have as legislators on issues such as this. I have a feeling on every racing bill that may come before the legislature, many people are not going to vote, whether it's a good Bill or not, and I think that's unfortunate, and ah....., but this is a good Bill. We ought to look into the character of such applicants and I would urge you to vote 'yes' on this Bill."

Speaker Bradley: "Are there further explanations? The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Mugalian."

Mugalian: "Mr. Speaker, in explaining my vote. I have the Bill in front of me to determine for myself exactly what this Bill does, and it states that in allocating racing dates, these are the criteria that shall be considered, and it seems to me without this kind of mandate to the Racing Commission, we can do disservice to our constituents and to the State. And these criteria are: the character, reputation, experience and financial stability and integrity of the applicant. Facilities and accommodations for the conducting of racing meets and the location of the track in relation to the principal centers of population and the highest perspective total revenue to be derived by the State in the conducting of the meet. Now it seems to me without this kind of Bill, the Racing Commission has no standards, and that the door's wide open for abuse, so I think a vote for this Bill is a vote for reform."

Speaker Bradley: "Have all voted who wished? The gentleman from Kane, Mr. Hill."

Hill: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd just like to say this, that if the present Racing Board in the State of Illinois don't understand what is good, I think it is pantomine, then, that we sit here and pass a piece of legislation to explain to that group just what should happen in racing in the State of Illinois, and if we have to set these guidelines out for them to make up their mind, then that is what we should do and we should vote for this piece of legislation."

Speaker Bradley: "Further explanation? Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. For what purpose does the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Mann, arise?"

Mann: "Mr. Speaker, ah.... and Members of the House, in looking over this Bill and reflecting upon the history of racing, in this State, which has on occasion been a source of embarrassment to a lot of us, ah.... who look upon racing as a wholesome sport and a source of great revenue in the State, I can't understand what's wrong with passing guidelines with regard to the assignment of dates, which involve character, experience, financial stability, integrity, facilities, locations and potential state revenues. It seems to me that these are all the criteria that any one of us would sit down and recite if we were to be called upon to indicate guidelines for the assignment of racing dates. Now surely we don't prefer ah.... the way it was done in the past, when certain people would.... with clout and inside advantage were able to get advantageous racing dates. We certainly don't approve of that past procedure, and certainly I would hope that no one on this floor on either side of this aisle would allow his feelings for any of the personalities on the Racing Board to interfere with his good judgement in terms of passing

this legislation. Now, we've heard no one explain his reservations with regard to the passage of this Bill. But the inference seems to be that there's, you know, deep dissatisfaction that the way the Racing Board is being conducted. If that's true, we ought to hear about it so that we can know. But just to sit back ah... and refuse to pass a Bill, which sets out reasonable criteria for the assignment of racing dates, in my judgement is irresponsible and I'd like to ask the Leadership on both sides of the aisle to get up and help us get the nine additional votes necessary to pass this Bill."

Speaker Bradley: "The Chair recognizes a distinguished visitor from the Executive Branch and we'd like to introduce the Governor, Neil Hartigan. The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, and Bob, I don't know why you talk about the Leadership helping to pass this Bill. Ah... I think we each have to vote what we think is right. Now, I'll tell you, I've had some trouble with the Racing Board. Ah.... Representative Klosak and I have a Bill in to try to let municipal government raise some money without putting on a property tax, and I find the Racing Board down here opposing the Bill, but I can't find anybody from the Board to tell me why, but if you think this will help them, if you think this will make it better legislation, I'll give you a vote for it, but I'd like them to start being a little responsible in their actions."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Shea 'aye'. On this question, there are 82.... Lemke 'aye'. Terzich 'aye'. For what purpose does the gentleman from Moultrie arise?"

Stone: "To explain my vote, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Bradley: "It's too late for explanation of vote. If you want to be recorded, we'll record you."

Stone: "Mr. Speaker, I'm record present. Now it seems to me that if we have a Racing Board that doesn't have sense enough to do what this Bill tells them to do, then we should get a new Racing Board, and change my vote from 'present' to 'no', Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Bradley: "Record Representative Stone 'no'. On this question, there are 83 'ayes', 3 'no', 64 voting 'present' and this Bill, having failed to receive a constitutional majority, is hereby declared lost. Representative Fary."

Fary: "Record me as voting 'aye' on that Bill, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Bradley: "They have you recorded as voting 'aye' on that Bill, Mr. Fary. On the Order of Third Reading, House Bills, appears House Bill 261, and it is the intention of the Chair to call this Bill and then go to resolutions, agreed resolutions and if time allows, we will come back to House Bills, Third Reading. Read 261."

O'Brien: "House Bill 261. Satterthwaite. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act in relation to County zoning. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Bradley: "Lady from Champaign, Mrs. Satterthwaite."

Satterthwaite: "This is a very simple Bill that went through committee without any dissenting votes. It simply eliminates from the statutes now the restriction for the per diem in mileage that counties were allowed to provide for county zoning board of appeal members. It leaves it completely open to any county now to set their own rate."

Speaker Bradley: "Further discussion? Does the Lady wish to close?"

Satterthwaite: "I just ask for a favorable vote on 261."

Speaker Bradley: "The question is, shall House Bill 261 pass? All in favor, will signify by voting 'aye'. All opposed by voting 'no'. We'll take the roll call vote. Have all voted who wished? Bradley 'aye'. The Clerk will take the Record. On this question, we have 140 'ayes', 1 'no', 4

voting 'present' and this Bill, having received the constitutional majority, is hereby declared passed. We'll go to the Order.... With leave of the House, we'll go to the Order of Resolutions."

O'Brien: "House Resolution 146. Ryan et al."

Speaker Bradley: "It's been read and the Chair recognizes Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I'm going to ask your support to suspend Rule 41a for the immediate consideration and adoption of House Resolution 146. I'm sure that all you people are aware that the Klu Klux Klan has been in the State of Illinois and are now going through our cities such as Cicero, Aurora, Joliet and Kankakee, and House Resolution 146 would direct the Illinois Legislative Investigating Commission to undertake an immediate inquiry into the activities of this group while they're in Illinois so we can find out just what it is they're up to. This mandates the Commission to make a report back on the first of May and to make continuing reports responsive to its charge on the first day of the months of October, 1975, March of 1976 and October of 1976 and I would like to move for the suspension of Rule 41a for House Resolution 146 to be adopted immediately, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Bradley: "Do you want to move, then, that it not go to Committee? You want to address yourself to the Resolution immediately?"

Ryan: "That's right."

Speaker Bradley: "Is that the Motion?"

Ryan: "Mr. Chairman, the Motion is to suspend the Rule 41a to keep it from going to Committee, so it can be adopted and acted on immediately and passed out of here today."

Speaker Bradley: "The gentleman has moved to suspend Rule 41a for immediate consideration of House Resolution 146. It will take 107 votes, and we will have a roll call. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Winnebago.... for what purpose does the gentleman from Winnebago, ah.... Mr. Giorgi, arise?"

Giorgi: "I'd like to question the Sponsor of the Resolution in what the urgency is? Is the Klu Klux Klan something new in America?"

Ryan: "No, it's not new in America, Representative Giorgi, but it's my understanding that they are now in the State of Illinois, and they're going from city to city....."

Giorgi: "Are you going to be nominated from Grand Dragon? He'd win hands down. I don't have a copy of the Resolution."

Ryan: "I'd be glad to supply you with one."

Giorgi: "The entire House doesn't have a copy of the Resolution."

Ryan: "Do you object to this Resolution, Representative Giorgi?"

Giorgi: "I object to the precedent. I don't object..... You're such a cooperative person, I don't object to any of your intentions, but I think the precedent is bad, and I think it ought to go to committee, because it's going to create an expenditure of funds and I don't think there's anything brand new about the Klu Klux Klan."

Ryan: "No, I think you're right, Representative Giorgi, but.... the reason that this.... that I want to move this today is because the Klan is in the State of Illinois now."

Bradley: "Pardon me, Mr. Ryan, we don't print Resolution, Representative Giorgi. The gentleman has made the Motion. I think we should....."

Giorgi: "Just a moment, I have the Parliamentarian beside me. We must have copies of the Resolutions. We must have them."

Bradley: "I'm informed they are printed in the Journal but are not printed and distributed on the desks."

Giorgi: "How can we intelligently vote on the Resolution if I don't have a copy?"

Speaker Bradley: "Would you like a copy, Mr. Giorgi?"

Giorgi: "Yes, I'd like to see..... ah...."

Speaker Bradley: "Maybe your parliamentarian can read it to you.

If the Motion to suspend prevails, we will have the Clerk read the Resolution complete in its entirety. The question is on the Motion. It will... to suspend Rule 41a, it will take 107 votes. We will now have a roll call vote on the Motion. Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Mr. Speaker, maybe it would be in order for the Clerk to read this Resolution now, so that we can get the necessary 107 votes."

Speaker Bradley: "The Clerk will read the Resolution."

O'Brien: "House Resolution 146. Whereas the Klu Klux Klan has in recent days an invisible and concentrated drive to propagandize the residents of many Illinois cities, including Cicero, Aurora, Joliet, Kankakee; and whereas the Constitution of the United States and the Constitution of Illinois protects the freespeech rights of those mouthing even such adherent preachings of those espounded by the Klu Klux Klan, and whereas the Klan has been notoriously known to be less observant in its regard and respect of the constitutional rights of others and those it rails against; and whereas rumor and controversy and violence all too often accompany a search in Klan activity; now therefore be it resolved by the House of Representatives of the 79th General Assembly that the State of Illinois Legislative Investigating Commission be directed to undertake an immediate inquiry into the activities of the Klu Klux Klan in Illinois to determine whether the Klan, its officers and its agents, are conducting Klan activities in a manner by design, intent or form which denies others their constitutional rights, attempts to illegally defame ethics, racial or religious minorities, attempts to excite violence, attempts to illegally stock fire arms or attempts to create illegal or military forces in order to

excite violence, intimidate ordinary citizens and be it further resolved that the Commission seek the cooperation of the officers of local, state and national police authorities in their investigations; and be it further resolved that the Commission make its first report of findings to this General Assembly no later than May 1, 1975, and they continue their reports, responsive to a charge under the resolution, on the first day of the month of October, 1975, March, 1976 and October, 1976."

Speaker Bradley: "The Chair will recognize the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bluthardt, to explain his vote."

Bluthardt: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. So that my present vote won't be misinterpreted, let me say that I dispise the Klu Klux Klan and what they stand for - everything they stand for, but it seems mighty strange to me that when resolutions to investigate some other organizations like the communist party, the socialist party or some other like organization, we hear screams of violations of the civil rights and that and we're railroading resolutions through, and that type of reaction to those other resolutions. Why is it that there's an emergency and an urgency for investigating the activities of the Klu Klux Klan that have been in existence for perhaps a hundred years? Isn't this a type of resolution that ought to go to Committee, where there ought to be some proof that there is some illegal or improper activity by this organization? I am voting 'present' because I cannot agree that there shall be two different standards for various organizations."

Speaker Bradley: "The Chair would like to announce..... I see some food coming in. We're intending to break shortly after this vote, before lunch. We'll try to get you one-half hour for lunch before the Committee meetings begin. The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Gaines, to explain his vote."

Gaines: "I just got Mr. Totten to co-sponsor this resolution with him, and I want to tell you, if you don't think there's an emergency.... If something isn't done, there's going to be some violent reactions in the Black communities from one end of the State to another, because the Klan is to the Black community like the Nazis is to the Jews. You mention it and we fight, and if that isn't an emergency, I don't know what is. There hasn't been as much disturbance in the Black community in the last ten years as there is now, and if the Legislature doesn't do something about it, Black folk are going to take up their arms and do it themselves."

Speaker Bradley: "Further explanation? The gentleman from Will, Mr. Kempiners, to explain his vote."

Kempiners: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, that's exactly what I want to do. The question here is the suspension of the rules...."

Speaker Bradley: "Pardon me, Mr. Kempiners, for what purpose does the gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich, arise?"

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I think this can be resolved and I believe it is an important Resolution, but I think it can be resolved. The Executive Committee is meeting today, and if we had unanimous consent, this Resolution could be heard today. Now.... and I really think we ought to go through the committee system, but I want to tell the sponsor of the Resolution, you've got a long wait, because we do have a lot of business in that Committee, and I'm going to take care of Bills first, even though this is important, but I'm going to be there until the Committee's over, and the Resolution will be heard, and I think that will take care of it. It is an important Resolution, but even so, it ought to go through the committee system."

Bradley: "I think it's an intriguing offer, but it appears to me that the Gentleman would persist in his motion." All right, we'll return to the gentleman from Will, Mr. Kempiners."

Kempiners: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. If Mr. Ryan is going to persist in his Motion, I would still like to explain my 'yes' vote if I could. I thank the Chairman of the Executive

MAR 20 1975

67.

Committee for the offer, but the guarantee is not there that everybody in the Committee will be present for the vote, and I am concerned about what is happening in the State of Illinois with the Klu Klux Klan. I represent a district with two major urban areas in it and the Klan is becoming very active in both of those communities, and what others have said before me about the reaction of the minority groups to the Klan coming into these areas and not just minority groups, but many who are not members of minority groups. I've received mail on this subject. I've received comments from very concerned citizens, and I think it is important that this legislature do take positive action to evaluate what is occurring, and I would urge everyone to support this Motion."

Speaker Bradley: "The gentleman from Union, Mr. Choate."

Choate: "Mr. Speaker, I... I have strong feelings about the Resolution sponsored by Representative Ryan, and it's very seldom that I vote to suspend the Rules for an immediate hearing or for immediate passage unless I think it's of the emergency nature, and I truthfully feel, coming from the part of the State that I come from that this is a matter that needs to be looked into, but I would reiterate the suggestion made by Representative John Matijevich, Representative Ryan, take the offer of the Chairman of the Executive Committee, that it will be heard in Executive Committee this afternoon, and hopefully, hopefully the Membership in attendance of that Committee will give you the necessary number of votes to get it out of Committee this afternoon. I would suggest that."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Ryan."

Ryan: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen, and Representative Choate. Ah.... I think that's a very gracious offer. If this Motion fails, then I may take you up on that offer."

Matijevich: "I don't know if the offer still stands."

Speaker Bradley: "The gentleman from Macon....."

Ryan: "However, I just would like to add for those of you who are here that in Cicero the other night, a 'clagel' and for those of you who don't know what a 'clagel' is, a 'clagel' is the head of a local klan unit, and he made this statement to a crowd of about 30 people and I quote 'the vehicles must break the shackels of Jew-lead Niggers' and added that only death or extradition or Niggers could save this country."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Ryan, the Chair didn't recognize you for that purpose. I thought you were going to agree to the offer from the Chairman of the Executive Committee."

Ryan: "I don't agree to that offer."

Speaker Bradley: "So we'll go to Mr. Borchers from the County of Macon for his explanation of his vote."

Borchers: "In explaining my 'no' vote, if an Amendment will be put on that ah.... Resolution to include the Black Panthers, I will change my vote to 'yes', because I have the pictures and the records of numerous seizures of weapons of all sorts from the Black Panthers, who have had these raids and who have raided some of our armories in this country, and who have been active in their own way the same as the Klu Klux Klan. I have no use for either one of them, but I think we should be fair. Let's also investigate the Black Panthers and their arms. So far, I'm not defending the Klu Klux Klan on this, so far no raid of weapons have been found in possession of the Klu Klux Klan, but I sure had the pictures and the records of the Black Panthers. So let's be fair."

Speaker Bradley: "The Chair will remind the Members, you're eating up your own lunch time. The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Davis."

Davis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Country has made great strives, great strives, I never dreamed

MAR 20 1975

69.

some of the things would ever happen that I've seen happen in this Nation. The last report, and there might be more, we had elected more than 108 black mayors in the United States. I never dreamed. I stood on the Floor and was introduced in the Legislature of the State of Mississippi and I don't mind telling you, I left Mississippi with my hat in my hand. I'm talking about the great strides in racial relations to which this Nation has made. It has made great strides. And we are continuing to make strides. I watched last night's television, and I saw a beautiful lady awarding, I guess you call it Oscars or whatever they award in the movie world, she was beautiful and brown and prettier than anything I ever saw in my life, and I said what great strides. And I don't think we need anything. There is an emergency. There is an emergency. I don't know if any of you've seen the Klan in action or not. When I was seven years old, in the back woods of the delta, I can see them now, riding on their horses, I can see them now, when they rode up to my grandfather's house and they tried to ride the horses into the house and told him to leave the delta and he cleaned up that land and laid claim to it when he came out of slavery. Cleaned it up from new ground, and told him to leave, and he had to leave. I campaigned for John Fitzgerald Kennedy and I campaigned for him with feeling. Talk about the West Virginia campaign. I went for him with a feeling. Because I know what it meant to be hated by the Klan. They tell me they've changed now, that they're not against Catholics anymore, but at that time, they were. I know what it means .... meant, and I was so glad when he was elected, not that I hated his opponent, but because I thought that once we destroyed religious prejudice in this Nation, we were on our way to destroying racial prejudice in the Nation. We don't need anything now, to try to destroy the good public relations that's been built up in this Nation, and I think it's an emergency and I'd like to see them investigate it and that's why I'm voting 'aye'."

Speaker Bradley: "Further explanation of votes? The Lady from Lake, Mrs. Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Ah.... Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, in explaining my vote, knowing that you're hungary, the Klu Klux Klan is also starting and has been in my County and they're getting very active again. I think we better face our responsibility to defend the Constitution of Illinois and the United States, which is suppose to be for equal protection of all, and I can remember the Nasis boom right before the war, that's the way they started. Now, they're braging and going to various city councils. For heavens sakes, let's do the decent thing and vote it up."

Speaker Bradley: "Have all voted who wished? Take the Record. Pardon? We're on explanation of votes. On this question ..... The Chair assumed, Representative, you had already explained your vote. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Ryan, to explain his vote."

Ryan: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I just want to relate to you people who are in this Chamber a story, a little thing that happened to me the other night. I called home and I happen to have six children at home and they go to the public school and they're integrated schools, and Monday night in the Kankakee paper, this little quip I read you was on the front page of the paper about what the Klan was going to do in Kankakee and throughout the State. My kids, my little white kids, are petrified by the actions that they read and know about and heard about from their little black friends at school. Now there's fear in the hearts of the people in this State, because of the actions that this group have brought about and all we're asking to do here, Ladies and Gentlemen is to monitor what the Klan is trying to do in the State of Illinois. Representative Gaines hit it on the head. We've

come a long way since the riots of the 60's. There's nothing wrong with this Resolution. There isn't anything wrong with giving 107 votes to suspend these Rules, and I certainly hope those of you who can't find the way to give me a green vote on this, that your constituents and the people in your district have looked over this roll call ✓ and read it well, and I urge you to give me 107 votes."

Speaker Bradley: "The Chair recognizes the Lady from Cook, Mrs. Catania."

Catania: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, to briefly explain my vote, I just wanted to mention, first the Black Panther Party has been disbanded in Illinois and some of the people who were members of that organization have taken the advice they were given and are now trying to learn the political process and to become very constructive citizens. Some of them have run for office and have earned the respect and support of Black and White voters alike. The Legislative Investigative Commission is set up in the statutes to investigate any matter of concern to this General Assembly. I think it's very clear that whatever position you take, this matter is of concern to all the people who have spoken. The Legislative Investigating Commission is set up by us precisely to examine this sort of situation, which is clearly becoming a problem in Illinois. It's been documented thoroughly on television. I think we're all aware here of the issues that we're talking about. I don't think we need further hearings in committees. I think everybody in this room knows precisely what the issues are. I think that we are not here to serve the rules of the General Assembly, the rules are here to serve us, and the people who seem to feel for some reason or other that this must go to committee and that it's a violation somehow of the rules if it doesn't, needs to understand that we are here to do the people's business, we are not here to worship the rules of the General Assembly. We have an obligation to the people of the State of Illinois to investigate this sort of discriminatory situation and we need more green lights up there."

Speaker Bradley: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Capuzi."

Capuzi: "Ah.... Mr. Speaker, I notice that our switches are all locked here."

Speaker Bradley: "We have asked the Clerk to take the Record, thinking everybody had had an opportunity to explain their vote. If you wish to be recorded, we'll recognize you for that purpose."

Capuzi: "Well, I am recorded. I'm recorded as voting 'aye', but I know there are several other Members who would like to either change their vote from 'aye' to 'no' or 'no' to 'aye' and they just don't have the opportunity, as long as their switches are locked, and I suggest a new roll call."

Speaker Bradley: "The Chair would like to be shown by a sign of hands, how many would like to change their vote. Take the roll call. The question is now on the gentleman's motion. Those in favor will vote 'aye', and those opposed will vote 'no'. It will take 107 votes. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the Record. On this question, we have 94 'ayes', 20 'nays'.... and 9 'present' and this Motion, having failed to receive the required majority, is hereby declared lost. The gentleman from Lake, Representative Matijevich, ~~for~~ what purpose do you rise?"

Matijevich: "Well, first of all, I'm going to tell Representative Ryan, the offer is still open. I want to see what he says."

Ryan: "Well, certainly, Representative Matijevich, I'm going to accept your offer. I think that this matter is such that... of such importance that the faster that we get it out of here, the better. Also, I'm afraid that do to the actions of you and a few others, that the Bill is going to be too late. We're going to be a little late with the Resolution. I think the Klan will have passed through Illinois by then."

Speaker Bradley: "The Chair recognizes Representative Matijevich, for the purpose of a Motion."

Matijevich: "Mr. Chairman, I think he has some apprehensions; therefore, I'll make the motion to suspend Rule 18 and the posting provision so that this Resolution can be heard this afternoon in Executive Committee. I think I'm more interested than he."

Speaker Bradley: "The Committee on Assignment has just had a meeting and has assigned that Resolution to the Executive Committee and his Motion is in order. The question is, shall Rule 18 be suspended so that House Resolution Number 146 can be heard in Executive Committee this afternoon. It takes 107 votes and all those in favor will vote 'aye'. Those opposed will vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Take the Record. On this question, there are 134 'ayes', 6 'nos' and none voting present. The gentleman's motion prevails. The Rule is suspended and the Resolution will be heard in Executive Committee. The.... it is to the attention of the Chair that further matters will be perfunctory, so we will be..... Pardon me, we better have Agreed Resolutions, before we go to the perfunctory."

O'Brien: "House Resolution 145. Choate and Hart, et al."

Speaker Bradley: "We recognize Mr. Giorgi from Winnebago."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, I have the Agreed Resolution from Clyde Choate that honors the Eldorado High School team and then I have a death resolution. I move for the adoption of House Resolution 145 by Clyde Choate."

Speaker Bradley: "He moves for the adoption of the Agreed Resolution. All those in favor, say 'aye'. Those opposed, the 'ayes' have it. The Resolution is adopted. The Chair will now go to the Consent Calendar, Bills on Third Reading, third day. Read the Bills."

O'Brien: "House Bill 168. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act authorizing formation of river conservation districts. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 277. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Police Training Act. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 279. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Public Aid Code. Third Reading of the Bill. House Bill 379. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Code of Criminal Procedure. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Bradley: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, I move... or I ask that the Bills on Third Reading, Consent Calendar, be passed."

Speaker Bradley: "The gentleman moves that the Bills on Third Reading, Third Day, Consent Calendar, be passed. All in favor, will vote 'aye' and those opposed will vote 'no'. It takes 89 votes. Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 112 'ayes', no 'nos', 4 voting 'present' and these Bills having received a constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. We would go to the order of Consent Calendar, Second Reading, Third Day, for the purpose of moving the Bills to Third Reading. Read the Bills."

O'Brien: "House Bill 71. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Unified Code of Corrections. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 257. Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Unemployment Compensation Act. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 304. Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act to promote welfare of wage earners. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 527. A Bill for an Act to provide for the recording of livestock brands. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 570. A Bill for an Act to repeal a section of the Probate Act. Second Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Bradley: "Third Reading. Messages from the Senate."

O'Brien: "Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary.

Mr. Speaker - I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred with the House in the adoption of the following Joint Resolutions to wit: House Joint Resolution 23, together with the following amendment thereto, in the adoption of which I am instructed to ask concurrence of the House, Amendment Number 1, concurred in by the Senate as amended, March 20, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary."

Speaker Bradley: "On the Order of Resolutions, the Gentleman from Henry, Representative McGrew, is recognized."

McGrew: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. As the Clerk has indicated, the Senate has passed the House Joint Resolution 23, but with an amendment. Frankly, the amendment made it compatible with what Senator Savickas is already creating in a subcommittee to investigate the delays in paying unemployment compensation. Therefore, we have amended the Resolution to include that subcommittee as the Senate's participant in this study. The only other change is that we now would only have five House Members instead of the original seven. There would be three appointed by the Senate, two appointed by the Minority Leader. Ah.... I would therefore move to concur with the Senate Amendment Number 1 to House Joint Resolution 23."

Speaker Bradley: "Is there discussion? No discussion, then the question is on the Motion to adopt.... to concur in Senate Amendment to House Resolution.... House Joint Resolution 23. All in favor, say 'aye'. All opposed, say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted and we do concur in Senate Amendment to the House Joint Resolution. Death Resolution."

O'Brien: "House Resolution 147. Younge. In respect to the Memory of Mary Prince Cladwell."

Speaker Bradley: "Could you hold that one moment. The gentleman from Lake, Mr. Pierce, what purpose....."

Pierce: "Don't you have announcements before Death Resolutions?"

I want to announce that the House Committee on Environment, Energy and Natural Resources will not be meeting in its usual room or the room for which the Bills were posted, but in Room C-1 of the State Office Building, not in Room 221 of the Capitol Building. Room C-1 of the House Office Building at 2:00 PM, or 45 seconds after adjournment."

Speaker Bradley: "Further Announcements. The Lady from Lake, Mrs. Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd like to have leave to remove my name as a co-sponsor of House Bill 357 and to add my name as co-sponsor to House Joint Resolution 23."

Speaker Bradley: "Does the Lady have leave. Hearing no objection it will be so recorded. Mrs. Geo-Karis, will you come up to the Clerk's desk, so we'll be sure we have it correct, please? On the death resolution, the Chair will recognize, Mr. Washington from Cook."

Washington: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. On February 20 of this year, the mother of one of our distinguished Members has passed from this world. Mrs. Mary Prince Caldwell died at the age of 93. She was a vigorous and amazingly bright and intelligent and civic minded directed woman. She spent her entire life attempting to uplift her people and to bridge the gap between the races so that we would have peace and tranquility and so that such things as what happened on the Floor today in reference to the organization which I shall not mention would not continue. She was a proud woman. She raised proud children. She raised youthful children. One of them sits in this House, my seatmate, Representative Lewis H. Caldwell. In deference and in memory to that grand old lady, I at this time move the suspension of the rules and the adoption of House Resolution 147."

MAR 20 1975

77.

Speaker Bradley: "The gentleman has moved the adoption of the Death Resolution, House Resolution 147. All in favor, say 'aye'. Those opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Agreed Resolution is adopted. Representative Shea from Cook."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, I move that the House do now adjourn until 10:00 AM in the morning, perfunctory session, and to return in full session at 12:00 PM on Monday. Twelve o'clock Noon."

Speaker Bradley: "You've heard the gentleman's motion. All in favor, say 'aye', those opposed, say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The House stands adjourned."

MAR 20 1975

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

SEVENTY-NINTH GENERAL ASSEMBLY

THIRTY-FIRST LEGISLATIVE DAY

MARCH 20, 1975



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

INDEX

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

March 20, 1975



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

INDEX  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

March 20, 1975

| TIME  | SPEAKER          | DESCRIPTION                       |
|-------|------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 10:47 | Speaker Redmond  | Come to Order                     |
| 10:47 | Reverend Krueger | Prayer                            |
| 10:48 | Speaker Redmond  | Roll Call                         |
| 10:48 | Speaker Redmond  | Committee Reports                 |
| 10:49 | Jack O'Brien     | Reports by Clerk                  |
| 10:50 | Speaker Redmond  | First Readings                    |
| 10:50 | Jack O'Brien     | First Reading of Bills            |
| 10:59 | Speaker Redmond  | Excused Absents                   |
| 11:00 | Schlickman       | Absentees                         |
| 11:00 | Speaker Redmond  |                                   |
| 11:00 | Speaker Redmond  | House Bill 18, Second Reading     |
| 11:00 | Jack O'Brien     | House Bill 18                     |
| 11:01 | Deuster          | House Bill 18                     |
| 11:01 | Speaker Redmond  | House Bill 18, Table Amendment #2 |
| 11:01 | Berman           | House Bill 18, Inquiry            |
| 11:01 | Jack O'Brien     | House Bill 18                     |
| 11:02 | Berman           | House Bill 18                     |
| 11:02 | Speaker Redmond  | House Bill 18, Amendment Tabled   |
| 11:02 | Speaker Redmond  | Announcement of House             |
| 11:02 | Speaker Redmond  | House Bill 18, Third Reading      |
| 11:02 | Speaker Redmond  | House Bill 20                     |
| 11:03 | Jack O'Brien     | House Bill 20, Second Reading     |
| 11:03 | Deuster          | House Bill 20, Amendment #2       |



|       |                 |  |
|-------|-----------------|--|
| 11:04 | Speaker Redmond | House Bill 20                                |
| 11:04 | Deuster         | House Bill 20, Moved to Table Amendment #2   |
| 11:04 | Speaker Redmond | House Bill 20, Amendment Tabled              |
| 11:04 | Speaker Redmond | House Bill 79, Second Reading                |
| 11:05 | Jack O'Brien    | House Bill 79, Second Reading                |
| 11:05 | Speaker Redmond | House Bill 79, OUR                           |
| 11:05 | Speaker Redmond | House Bill 128, OUR                          |
| 11:05 | Speaker Redmond | House Bill 210                               |
| 11:05 | Jack O'Brien    | House Bill 210, Price Markings               |
| 11:05 | Speaker Redmond | House Bill 210                               |
| 11:05 | Jack O'Brien    | House Bill 210, Amendment #1                 |
| 11:05 | Kelly           | House Bill 210, Amendment #1                 |
| 11:06 | Speaker Redmond | House Bill 210, Adoption of Amendment #1     |
| 11:07 | Speaker Redmond | House Bill 250                               |
| 11:07 | Jack O'Brien    | House Bill 250, Second Reading one Amendment |
| 11:07 | Speaker Redmond |  |
| 11:07 | Rayson          | House Bill 250, Move to adopt Amendment #1   |
| 11:07 | Speaker Redmond | House Bill 250, Amendment Adopted            |
| 11:08 | Speaker Redmond | House Bill 251                               |
| 11:08 | Jack O'Brien    | House Bill 251, one Amendment                |
| 11:08 | Rayson          | House Bill 251, Discussion Amendment         |
| 11:08 | Speaker Redmond | House Bill 251, Amendment Adopted            |
| 11:08 | Speaker Redmond | House Bill 323                               |
| 11:09 | Jack O'Brien    | House Bill 323, Second Reading               |



|       |                 |  |
|-------|-----------------|--|
| 11:09 | Speaker Redmond | House Bill 323, Third Reading                      |
| 11:09 | Speaker Redmond | House Bill 381                                     |
| 11:09 | Jack O'Brien    | House Bill 381, OUR                                |
| 11:09 | Speaker Redmond | House Bill 510                                     |
| 11:09 | Jack O'Brien    | House Bill 510, Second Reading<br>one Amendment    |
| 11:10 | Speaker Redmond | House Bill 510                                     |
| 11:10 | Chapman         | House Bill 510, Discussion<br>Amendment #1         |
| 11:10 | Speaker Redmond | House Bill 510, Amendment Adopted                  |
| 11:10 | Speaker Redmond | House Bill 515                                     |
| 11:10 | Jack O'Brien    | House Bill 515, Second Reading,<br>one Amendment   |
| 11:11 | Speaker Redmond | House Bill 515                                     |
| 11:11 | Chapman         | House Bill 515, Move to Adopt<br>Amendment #1      |
| 11:11 | Speaker Redmond | House Bill 515                                     |
| 11:11 | Schlickman      | House Bill 515, Discussion                         |
| 11:11 | Chapman         | House Bill 515, Discussion on<br>copy of Amendment |
| 11:12 | Speaker Redmond | House Bill 515                                     |
| 11:12 | Shea            | House Bill 515, Discussion<br>continued            |
| 11:13 | Speaker Redmond | House Bill 515, OUR                                |
| 11:13 | Speaker Redmond | House Bill 571                                     |
| 11:13 | Jack O'Brien    | House Bill 571, Second Reading                     |
| 11:13 | Speaker Redmond | House Bill 571                                     |
| 11:14 | Palmer          | House Bill 571, Distribution of<br>Bills           |
| 11:14 | Speaker Redmond | House Bill 571, Distribution of<br>Bills           |



|       |                 |  |
|-------|-----------------|--|
| 11:14 | Palmer          | House Bill 571, Distribution of Bills      |
| 11:14 | Speaker Redmond | House Bill 571, Distribution of Bills      |
| 11:14 | Collins         | House Bill 571, Distribution of Bills      |
| 11:14 | Speaker Redmond | House Bill 571, Distribution of Bills      |
| 11:14 | Duff            | House Bill 571, Distribution of Bills      |
| 11:15 | Speaker Redmond | House Bill 571, Distribution of Bills      |
| 11:15 | Palmer          | House Bill 571                             |
| 11:15 | Speaker Redmond | House Bill 571, Bring Books Up-to-Date     |
| 11:16 | Speaker Redmond | House Bill 584                             |
| 11:16 | Jack O'Brien    | House Bill 584, Amendments, Second Reading |
| 11:16 | Speaker Redmond | House Bill 584                             |
| 11:16 | Schlickman      | House Bill 584                             |
| 11:17 | Speaker Redmond | House Bill 584, OUR                        |
| 11:17 | Speaker Redmond | House Bill 584, Conference of Pages        |
| 11:17 | Speaker Redmond | House Bill 584                             |
| 11:19 | Griesheimer     | House Bill 323, Second Reading             |
| 11:19 | Speaker Redmond | House Bill 5, Third Reading                |
| 11:19 | Jack O'Brien    | House Bill 5, Third Reading                |
| 11:20 | Speaker Redmond | House Bill 5                               |
| 11:20 | Kosinski        | House Bill 5, Discussion on Bill           |
| 11:22 | Speaker Redmond | House Bill 5                               |
| 11:22 | Dauer           | House Bill 5, Question                     |



## GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

|       |                 |   |
|-------|-----------------|---|
| 11:23 | Speaker Redmond | House Bill 5                              |
| 11:23 | Lauer           | House Bill 5, Discussion                  |
| 11:23 | Kosinski        | House Bill 5, Discussion                  |
| 11:23 | Lauer           | House Bill 5, Discussion                  |
| 11:23 | Kosinski        | House Bill 5, Discussion                  |
| 11:23 | Lauer           | House Bill 5                              |
| 11:23 | Speaker Redmond | House Bill 5                              |
| 11:25 | Speaker Redmond | House Bill 5, House Bill #5<br>Passed     |
| 11:25 | Speaker Redmond | House Bill 58                             |
| 11:25 | Jack O'Brien    | House Bill 58, Third Reading              |
| 11:25 | Speaker Redmond | House Bill 58                             |
| 11:25 | Deuster         | House Bill 58, Discussion                 |
| 11:27 | Jack O'Brien    | House Bill 58                             |
| 11:27 | Speaker Bradley | House Bill 58                             |
| 11:28 | Mugalian        | House Bill 58, Discussion on Bill         |
| 11:29 | Speaker Bradley | House Bill 58                             |
| 11:30 | Catania         | House Bill 58, No Bill on Book            |
| 11:30 | Speaker Bradley | House Bill 58                             |
| 11:30 | Deuster         | House Bill 58, Discussion                 |
| 11:30 | Speaker Bradley | House Bill 58                             |
| 11:30 | Catania         | House Bill 58                             |
| 11:30 | Speaker Bradley | House Bill 58, OUR for several<br>minutes |
| 11:31 | Deuster         | House Bill 58                             |
| 11:31 | Speaker Bradley | House Bill 58                             |
| 11:31 | Speaker Bradley | House Bill 59, Third Reading              |



## GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

|       |                 |                                   |
|-------|-----------------|-----------------------------------|
| 11:31 | Jack O'Brien    | House Bill 59                     |
| 11:31 | Speaker Bradley | House Bill 59                     |
| 11:31 | Schraeder       | House Bill 59, Discussion         |
| 11:31 | Speaker Bradley | House Bill 59                     |
| 11:32 | Wall            | House Bill 59, Question           |
| 11:32 | Speaker Bradley | House Bill 59                     |
| 11:32 | Wall            | House Bill 59, Discussion         |
| 11:32 | Schraeder       | House Bill 59, Discussion         |
| 11:32 | Wall            | House Bill 59, Discussion         |
| 11:32 | Schraeder       | House Bill 59, Discussion         |
| 11:32 | Wall            | House Bill 59, Discussion         |
| 11:33 | Schraeder       | House Bill 59, Discussion         |
| 11:33 | Wall            | House Bill 59, Discussion         |
| 11:33 | Schraeder       | House Bill 59, Discussion         |
| 11:33 | Wall            | House Bill 59, Discussion         |
| 11:33 | Schraeder       | House Bill 59, Discussion         |
| 11:33 | Wall            | House Bill 59, Discussion         |
| 11:33 | Schraeder       | House Bill 59, Discussion         |
| 11:33 | Wall            | House Bill 59, Discussion         |
| 11:33 | Speaker Bradley | House Bill 59,                    |
| 11:34 | Schraeder       | House Bill 59                     |
| 11:34 | Speaker Bradley | House Bill 59, OUR                |
| 11:34 | Speaker Bradley | House Bill 59                     |
| 11:34 | Caldwell        | House Bill 59                     |
| 11:34 | Speaker Bradley | House Bill 87, Third Reading      |
| 11:34 | Jack O'Brien    | House Bill 87, Third Reading Read |



## GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

|       |                 |  |
|-------|-----------------|--|
| 11:35 | Davis           | House Bill 87, Discussion                  |
| 11:36 | Speaker Bradley | House Bill 87, Discussion                  |
| 11:36 | Speaker Bradley | House Bill 87, Discussion on House Bill 87 |
| 11:36 | Schlickman      | House Bill 87, Question                    |
| 11:36 | Davis           | House Bill 87, Discussion                  |
| 11:37 | Schlickman      | House Bill 87, Discussion                  |
| 11:38 | Davis           | House Bill 87, Discussion                  |
| 11:39 | Schlickman      | House Bill 87, Discussion                  |
| 11:39 | Davis           | House Bill 87, Discussion                  |
| 11:39 | Schlickman      | House Bill 87, Discussion                  |
| 11:40 | Davis           | House Bill 87, Discussion                  |
| 11:42 | Speaker Bradley | House Bill 87, Discussion                  |
| 11:42 | Schlickman      | House Bill 87, Discussion                  |
| 11:42 | Davis           | House Bill 87, Discussion                  |
| 11:42 | Schlickman      | House Bill 87, Discussion                  |
| 11:42 | Davis           | House Bill 87, Discussion                  |
| 11:42 | Schlickman      | House Bill 87, Discussion                  |
| 11:42 | Davis           | House Bill 87, Discussion                  |
| 11:43 | Schlickman      | House Bill 87, Discussion                  |
| 11:43 | Davis           | House Bill 87, Discussion                  |
| 11:44 | Schlickman      | House Bill 87, Discussion                  |
| 11:44 | Davis           | House Bill 87, Discussion                  |
| 11:44 | Schlickman      | House Bill 87, Discussion                  |
| 11:44 | Speaker Bradley | House Bill 87, Discussion                  |
| 11:44 | Palmer          | House Bill 87, Discussion                  |
| 11:44 | Speaker Bradley | House Bill 87, Discussion                  |



|       |                                      |                           |
|-------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| 11:44 | ? (Not identified unless its Palmer) | House Bill 87, Discussion |
| 11:45 | Davis                                | House Bill 87, Discussion |
| 11:45 | ?                                    | House Bill 87, Discussion |
| 11:45 | Davis                                | House Bill 87, Discussion |
| 11:45 | ?                                    | House Bill 87, Discussion |
| 11:46 | Davis                                | House Bill 87, Discussion |
| 11:46 | ?                                    | House Bill 87, Discussion |
| 11:46 | Davis                                | House Bill 87, Discussion |
| 11:46 | ?                                    | House Bill 87, Discussion |
| 11:47 | Davis                                | House Bill 87, Discussion |
| 11:47 | Speaker Bradley                      | House Bill 87             |
| 11:47 | Gaines                               | House Bill 87, Discussion |
| 11:48 | Speaker Bradley                      | House Bill 87, Discussion |
| 11:48 | Hudson                               | House Bill 87, Discussion |
| 11:51 | Speaker Bradley                      | House Bill 87, Discussion |
| 11:51 | Davis                                | House Bill 87, Discussion |
| 11:52 | Speaker Bradley                      | House Bill 87, Discussion |
| 11:52 | Tuerk                                | House Bill 87, Discussion |
| 11:52 | Davis                                | House Bill 87, Discussion |
| 11:53 | Tuerk                                | House Bill 87, Discussion |
| 11:53 | Davis                                | House Bill 87, Discussion |
| 11:53 | Tuerk                                | House Bill 87, Discussion |
| 11:53 | Davis                                | House Bill 87, Discussion |
| 11:53 | Speaker Bradley                      | House Bill 87, Discussion |
| 11:53 | Davis                                | House Bill 87, Discussion |
| 11:53 | Speaker Bradley                      | House Bill 87, Discussion |



|       |                 |  |
|-------|-----------------|--|
| 11:54 | Davis           | House Bill 87, Discussion                  |
| 11:59 | Speaker Bradley | House Bill 87, Vote House Bill 87          |
| 11:59 | Telcser         | House Bill 87, Asked to be Heard           |
| 11:59 | Speaker Bradley | House Bill 87, Recognized Totten           |
| 11:59 | Totten          | House Bill 87, Point of Order              |
| 12:00 | Speaker Bradley | House Bill 87, If was Amended, Distributed |
| 12:01 | Yourell         | House Bill 87, Procedure                   |
| 12:01 | Speaker Bradley | House Bill 87, Proceeding on Roll Call     |
| 12:02 | Speaker Bradley | House Bill 87                              |
| 12:02 | Duff            | House Bill 87, Explain Amendment           |
| 12:03 | Speaker Bradley | House Bill 87, Roll Call Vote              |
| 12:03 | Speaker Bradley | House Bill 87, House Bill 87, Passed       |
| 12:04 | Speaker Bradley | Resolution 26                              |
| 12:05 | Jack O'Brien    | Resolution 26, Reading of Resolution       |
| 12:05 | Speaker Bradley | Resolution 26                              |
| 12:05 | Shea            | Resolution 26, Discussion                  |
| 12:06 | Speaker Bradley | Resolution 26, Discussion                  |
| 12:06 | Matijevich      | Resolution 26, Discussion                  |
| 12:06 | Shea            | Resolution 26, Discussion                  |
| 12:07 | Matijevich      |  |
| 12:07 | Shea            |  |
| 12:07 | Speaker Bradley | Resolution 26, Adopt Resolution            |
| 12:07 | Speaker Bradley | House Bill 91, Third Reading               |
| 12:08 | Jack O'Brien    | House Bill 91, Reading of Bill             |



|       |                 |   |
|-------|-----------------|---|
| 12:08 | Speaker Bradley | House Bill 91, Recognition of Representative  |
| 12:08 | Deuster         | House Bill 91, Discussion and Explanation     |
| 12:09 | Speaker Bradley | House Bill 91, Call for Vote                  |
| 10:10 | Schlickman      | House Bill 91, Explain vote                   |
| 12:12 | Speaker Bradley | House Bill 91                                 |
| 12:12 | McClain         | House Bill 91, Question                       |
| 12:12 | Speaker Bradley | Rule out of Order                             |
| 12:12 | McClain         | Explain Vote                                  |
| 12:12 | Speaker Bradley | House Bill 91                                 |
| 12:13 | Deuster         | House Bill 91, Answering Question             |
| 12:13 | Speaker Bradley | House Bill 91, House Bill 91 Passed           |
| 12:13 | Speaker Bradley | House Bill 118                                |
| 12:13 | Jack O'Brien    | House Bill 118, Third Reading                 |
| 12:14 | Speaker Bradley | House Bill 118, Recognition of Representative |
| 12:14 | Hart            | House Bill 118, Discussion                    |
| 12:15 | Speaker Bradley | House Bill 118, Call for vote!                |
| 12:15 | Speaker Bradley | House Bill 118, Have all voted?               |
| 12:16 | Speaker Bradley | House Bill 118, House Bill 91 Passed          |
| 12:16 | Speaker Bradley | House Bill 122                                |
| 12:16 | Jack O'Brien    | House Bill 122, Third Reading                 |
| 12:16 | Speaker Bradley | House Bill 122, Recognition of Representative |
| 12:16 | Schraeder       | House Bill 122, Discussion                    |
| 12:17 | Speaker Bradley | House Bill 122, Discussion                    |



## GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

|       |              |                                  |
|-------|--------------|----------------------------------|
| 12:17 | Mudd         |                                  |
| 12:19 | Bradley      |                                  |
| 12:19 | Simms        |                                  |
| 12:20 | Bradley      | Further Discussion               |
| 12:21 | Schraeder    | To close                         |
| 12:22 | Bradley      | Call for vote                    |
| 12:23 | Bradley      | Request for getting on Roll Call |
| 12:23 | Bradley      | Recognition of Representative    |
| 12:23 | Mudd         | Explain vote                     |
| 12:24 | Bradley      |                                  |
| 12:24 | Wall         | Explain vote                     |
| 12:25 | Bradley      | Clerk take record                |
| 12:25 | Bradley      | Hb 122 passed                    |
| 12:25 | Bradley      | 132                              |
| 12:25 | Jack O'Brien | 132 Third Reading                |
| 12:25 | Bradley      |                                  |
| 12:26 | Porter       | Explanation of Bill              |
| 12:26 | Bradley      | Clerk take record                |
| 12:27 | Bradley      | HB 132 passed                    |
| 12:27 | Bradley      | 139                              |
| 12:27 | Jack O'Brien | 139 Third Reading                |
| 12:28 | Bradley      | Take out of record               |
| 12:28 | Bradley      | 163                              |
| 12:28 | Jack O'Brien | 163 Third Reading                |
| 12:28 | Bradley      | Recognition of Representative    |
| 12:28 | Grotberg     | Discussion                       |
| 12:32 | Bradley      | Further discussion               |



|       |          |                               |
|-------|----------|-------------------------------|
| 12:32 | Berman   | Question                      |
| 12:33 | Bradley  |                               |
| 12:33 | Berman   | Discussion                    |
| 12:33 | Grotberg |                               |
| 12:33 | Berman   |                               |
| 12:33 | Grotberg |                               |
| 12:34 | Berman   |                               |
| 12:34 | Grotberg |                               |
| 12:34 | Berman   |                               |
| 12:34 | Bradley  |                               |
| 12:34 | Berman   |                               |
| 12:35 | Grotberg |                               |
| 12:35 | Bradley  | Recognition of Representative |
| 12:36 | Beatty   | Discussion                    |
| 12:36 | Grotberg |                               |
| 12:37 | Beatty   |                               |
| 12:37 | Grotberg |                               |
| 12:38 | Beatty   |                               |
| 12:38 | Bradley  |                               |
| 12:38 | Choate   |                               |
| 12:39 | Grotberg |                               |
| 12:40 | Bradley  |                               |
| 12:40 | Greiman  | Question                      |
| 12:40 | Bradley  |                               |
| 12:40 | Greiman  | Discussion                    |
| 12:40 | Grotberg |                               |
| 12:40 | Greiman  |                               |



|       |               |                                  |
|-------|---------------|----------------------------------|
| 12:41 | Bradley       |                                  |
| 12:41 | Grotberg      | Discussion                       |
| 12:41 | Bradley       |                                  |
| 12:43 | Satterthwaite |                                  |
| 12:43 | Bradley       |                                  |
| 12:43 | Satterthwaite |                                  |
| 12:43 | Grotberg      |                                  |
| 12:43 | Bradley       |                                  |
| 12:43 | Maragos       | Previous question                |
| 12:43 | Bradley       |                                  |
| 12:43 | Grotberg      |                                  |
| 12:44 | Bradley       | Voting                           |
| 12:44 | Bradley       | Recognition of Representative    |
| 12:44 | Borchers      | Discussion                       |
| 12:45 | Bradley       |                                  |
| 12:45 | Grotberg      | Asks to explain vote             |
| 12:45 | Bradley       | "Go ahead"                       |
| 12:45 | Grotberg      | Explanation                      |
| 12:46 | Bradley       |                                  |
| 12:47 | Bradley       | Clerk take record                |
| 12:47 | Bradley       | HB 163 passed                    |
| 12:47 | Bradley       | 184                              |
| 12:47 | Jack O'Brien  | 184 Third Reading                |
| 12:47 | Bradley       | Recognition of Representative    |
| 12:47 | Schlickman    | Discussion & Explanation of Bill |
| 12:48 | Bradley       | Call for vote                    |
| 12:49 | Bradley       | HB 163 passed                    |



|       |              |                                  |
|-------|--------------|----------------------------------|
| 12:49 | Bradley      | 189                              |
| 12:49 | Jack O'Brien | 189 Third Reading                |
| 12:49 | Bradley      | Recognition of Representative    |
| 12:49 | Leinenweber  | Discussion & Explanation of Bill |
| 12:51 | Bradley      | Further Discussion               |
| 12:51 | Bradley      | Recognition of Representative    |
| 12:51 | Geo-Karis    | Question                         |
| 12:51 | Bradley      |                                  |
| 12:52 | Geo-Karis    | Discussion                       |
| 12:52 | Leinenweber  | Discussion                       |
| 12:52 | Geo-Karis    |                                  |
| 12:52 | Bradley      | Call for vote                    |
| 12:52 | Bradley      | Take record                      |
| 12:52 | Bradley      | HB 189 passed                    |
| 12:53 | Bradley      | 195                              |
| 12:53 | Jack O'Brien | 195 Third Reading                |
| 12:53 | Bradley      | Recognition of Representative    |
| 12:53 | Brinkmeier   | Discussion & Explanation of Bill |
| 12:54 | Bradley      | Further Discussion               |
| 12:54 | Brinkmeier   | Closed                           |
| 12:54 | Bradley      | Take vote                        |
| 12:54 | Bradley      | Take record - Clerk              |
| 12:55 | Bradley      | HB 195 passed                    |
| 12:55 | Bradley      | 199                              |
| 12:55 | Jack O'Brien | 199 Third Reading                |
| 12:55 | Bradley      | Recognition of Representative    |
| 12:55 | Maragos      | Discussion & Explanation of Bill |



|       |              |                               |
|-------|--------------|-------------------------------|
| 12:56 | Bradley      | Take out of record            |
| 12:56 | Bradley      | 206                           |
| 12:56 | Jack O'Brien | 206 Third Reading             |
| 12:56 | Bradley      | Take out of record            |
| 12:57 | Bradley      | 222                           |
| 12:57 | Jack O'Brien | Third Reading                 |
| 12:57 | Bradley      | Recognition of Representative |
| 12:57 | Londrigan    | Discussion & Explanation      |
| 12:58 | Bradley      | Further Discussion            |
| 12:58 | Schlickman   | Question                      |
| 12:58 | Bradley      | "He will"                     |
| 12:58 | Schlickman   | Discussion                    |
| 12:58 | Londrigan    | Discussion                    |
| 12:59 | Schlickman   |                               |
| 12:59 | Londrigan    |                               |
| 12:59 | Schlickman   |                               |
| 12:59 | Bradley      | Recognition of Representative |
| 12:59 | Totten       | Books do not have Bill        |
| 13:00 | Bradley      |                               |
| 13:00 | Totten       |                               |
| 13:00 | Bradley      |                               |
| 13:00 | Totten       |                               |
| 13:01 | Bradley      |                               |
| 13:01 | Totten       |                               |
| 13:01 | Bradley      | 222 Discussion                |
| 13:01 | Totten       |                               |
| 13:01 | Bradley      |                               |



|       |              |                                |
|-------|--------------|--------------------------------|
| 13:01 | Washburn     |                                |
| 13:02 | Bradley      | Take out of record temporarily |
| 13:02 | Bradley      | 228                            |
| 13:02 | Jack O'Brien | 228 Third Reading              |
| 13:02 | Bradley      | Recognition of Representative  |
| 13:03 | Geo-Karis    | Discussion & Explanation       |
| 13:03 | Bradley      |                                |
| 13:03 | Palmer?      |                                |
| 13:03 | Bradley      |                                |
| 13:04 | Bradley      |                                |
| 13:04 | Totten       |                                |
| 13:04 | Bradley      |                                |
| 13:04 | Totten       |                                |
| 13:04 | Bradley      |                                |
| 13:04 | Bradley      |                                |
| 13:04 | Geo-Karis    |                                |
| 13:04 | Geo-Karis    |                                |
| 13:04 | Bradley      | 249                            |
| 13:05 | Jack O'Brien | 249 Third Reading              |
| 13:05 | Bradley      |                                |
| 13:05 | Rayson       |                                |
| 13:05 | Bradley      | Recognition of Representative  |
| 13:06 | Kosinski     | Question                       |
| 13:06 | Bradley      |                                |
| 13:06 | Kosinski     | Discussion                     |
| 13:06 | Rayson       |                                |
| 13:06 | Bradley      |                                |



|       |             |                                  |
|-------|-------------|----------------------------------|
| 13:06 | Rayson      | Close                            |
| 13:06 | Bradley     | Call for vote                    |
| 13:07 | Bradley     | "All voted"                      |
| 13:08 | Bradley     | Take the record                  |
| 13:08 | Bradley     | Recognition of Representative    |
| 13:08 | Rayson      | Explains vote                    |
| 13:09 | Bradley     | Recognition of Representative    |
| 13:09 | Matijeovich | Explains vote                    |
| 13:10 | Bradley     | New Roll Call                    |
| 13:11 | Matijeovich |                                  |
| 13:11 | Bradley     | Vote                             |
| 13:11 | Bradley     |                                  |
| 13:11 | Matijeovich | Discussion                       |
| 13:11 | Bradley     | Further explanation              |
| 13:12 | Bradley     | Recognition of Representative    |
| 13:12 | Mugalian    | Discussion                       |
| 13:13 | Bradley     | "All voted"                      |
| 13:13 | Bradley     | Recognition of Representative    |
| 13:13 | Hill        | Explains vote                    |
| 13:14 | Bradley     | Clerk take record                |
| 13:14 | Bradley     | Recognition of Representative    |
| 13:14 | Mann        | Discussion                       |
| 13:16 | Bradley     | Distinguished visitor - Hartigan |
| 13:16 | Bradley     | Recognition of Representative    |
| 13:17 | Shea        | Discussion                       |
| 13:18 | Bradley     |                                  |
| 13:18 | Stone       |                                  |



## GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

|       |               |                               |
|-------|---------------|-------------------------------|
| 13:18 | Bradley       |                               |
| 13:18 | Stone         | Opposition                    |
| 13:19 | Bradley       |                               |
| 13:19 | Bradley       | HB 249 lost                   |
| 13:19 | Bradley       |                               |
| 13:19 | ?             |                               |
| 13:19 | Bradley       |                               |
| 13:19 | Bradley       | 261                           |
| 13:20 | Jack O'Brien  | 261 Third Reading             |
| 13:20 | Bradley       | Recognition of Representative |
| 13:20 | Satterthwaite | Discussion & Explanation      |
| 13:20 | Bradley       |                               |
| 13:20 | Satterthwaite | Closed                        |
| 13:20 | Bradley       | Roll Call Vote                |
| 13:21 | Bradley       | Clerk take record             |
| 13:21 | Bradley       | HB 261 passed                 |
| 13:21 | Bradley       | Resolutions                   |
| 13:22 | Jack O'Brien  | House Resolution 146          |
| 13:22 | Bradley       | Recognition of Representative |
| 13:22 | Ryan          | Discussion                    |
| 13:23 | Bradley       |                               |
| 13:23 | Ryan          |                               |
| 13:23 | Bradley       |                               |
| 13:24 | Giorgi        |                               |
| 13:24 | Ryan          |                               |
| 13:24 | Giorgi        |                               |
| 13:24 | Ryan          |                               |



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

|       |              |                               |
|-------|--------------|-------------------------------|
| 13:24 | Giorgi       |                               |
| 13:24 | Ryan         | HR 146 Discussion             |
| 13:24 | Giorgi       |                               |
| 13:25 | Ryan         |                               |
| 13:25 | Bradley      |                               |
| 13:25 | Ryan         |                               |
| 13:25 | Giorgi       |                               |
| 13:25 | Ryan         |                               |
| 13:25 | Bradley      | Roll Call vote                |
| 13:26 | Ryan         |                               |
| 13:26 | Bradley      |                               |
| 13:26 | Jack O'Brien | Reading Resolution            |
| 13:28 | Bradley      | Recognition of Representative |
| 13:28 | Bluthardt    | Explains vote                 |
| 13:29 | Bradley      | Recognition of Representative |
| 13:30 | Gaines       | Explains vote                 |
| 13:30 | Bradley      | Further discussion            |
| 13:31 | Kempiners    |                               |
| 13:31 | Bradley      | Recognition of Representative |
| 13:31 | Matijevich   | Discussion                    |
| 13:32 | Bradley      |                               |
| 13:32 | Kempiners    | Explains vote                 |
| 13:33 | Bradley      | Recognition of Representative |
| 13:33 | Choate       | Discussion                    |
| 13:34 | Bradley      | Recognition of Representative |
| 13:34 | Ryan         | Discussion                    |
| 13:34 | Bradley      |                               |



|       |            |                               |
|-------|------------|-------------------------------|
| 13:24 | Ryan       | Discussion                    |
| 13:35 | Bradley    | Recognition of Representative |
| 13:35 | Borchers   | Explains vote                 |
| 13:36 | Bradley    | Recognition of Representative |
| 13:36 | Davis      | Explains vote                 |
| 13:39 | Bradley    | Further discussion            |
| 13:39 | Geo-Karis  | Explains vote                 |
| 13:40 | Bradley    |                               |
| 13:40 | Bradley    | Take the record               |
| 13:40 | Bradley    | Recognition of Representative |
| 13:40 | Ryan       | Explains vote                 |
| 13:42 | Bradley    | Recognition of Representative |
| 13:42 | Catania    | Explains vote                 |
| 13:43 | Bradley    | Recognition of Representative |
| 13:44 | Capuzi     | Discussion                    |
| 13:44 | Bradley    | Discussion                    |
| 13:44 | Capuzi     |                               |
| 13:44 | Bradley    |                               |
| 13:44 | Bradley    | Take Roll Call                |
| 13:45 | Bradley    | Have all voted...             |
| 13:45 | Bradley    | Motion lost                   |
| 13:46 | Matijevich |                               |
| 13:46 | Ryan       |                               |
| 13:46 | Bradley    |                               |
| 13:46 | Matijevich | Resolution heard in Committee |
| 13:47 | Bradley    | Take record                   |
| 13:48 | Bradley    | Rule suspended                |



|       |              |                               |
|-------|--------------|-------------------------------|
| 13:48 | Jack O'Brien | House Resolution 145 read     |
| 13:48 | Bradley      |                               |
| 13:48 | Giorgi       |                               |
| 13:49 | Bradley      | Resolution adopted            |
| 13:49 | Bradley      |                               |
| 13:49 | Jack O'Brien | Third Readings                |
| 13:50 | Bradley      | Recognition of Representative |
| 13:50 | Shea         | Bills be passed               |
| 13:50 | Bradley      | Vote                          |
| 13:51 | Bradley      | Clerk take record             |
| 13:51 | Bradley      | Bills passed                  |
| 13:51 | Bradley      | Second Reading - third day    |
| 13:52 | Jack O'Brien | Second Readings               |
| 13:53 | Bradley      | Message from Senate           |
| 13:53 | Jack O'Brien |                               |
| 13:53 | Bradley      |                               |
|       | McGrew       |                               |
|       | Bradley      | Concur                        |
| 13:55 | Jack O'Brien | Death Resolution              |
| 13:55 | Bradley      | Announcement                  |
| 13:55 | Geo-Karis    | Remove name 357 & add 23      |
| 13:56 | Bradley      |                               |
| 13:56 | Washington   | Death Resolution 147          |
|       | Bradley      | Adopted                       |
| 13:57 | Shea         | Adjourn                       |

