

Doorkeeper: "All persons not entitled to the House floor please retire to the Gallery."

Davison: "Testing 1, 2, 3, 4, 5; testing 1, 2, 3, 4 5; test, test, test. This is a test for all those people interested in being tested."

Doorkeeper: "All persons not entitled to the House floor please retire to the Gallery."

Davison: "Test 1, 2, 1, 2, testing, testing, testing. Hello, testing. Testing for transcription, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 5, 4, 3, 2, 1, this is a test. Testing 1, 2, 1, 2, 1, 2."

Doorkeeper: "All persons not entitled to the House . . . please . . ."

Davison: "Testing, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, adjust the . . . stay on, so the machine will stay on. This is a test, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5. Now, it should still be on back at this point here."

Speaker Blair: "Ah . . . The House will be in order, and the Invocation will be by Doctor Johnson."

Doctor Johnson: "Now, we pray. Father in Heaven, we lift our hearts to You this morning in sincere gratitude for the gracious and strong hand with which You sustain us. Keep us this day in that soundness of mind and alertness of senses which will enable us to function well. Fill us with the spirit of humility that we recognize these gifts come from Your hands. Help us in this day to be calm in spirit, charitable in judgment, considerate in our actions, controlled in our discussions, understanding in our deliberations and compassionate in our misunderstandings. We commit ourselves to Your keeping this day so that at its end we might still be one in peace and fellowship; and now in accordance with Your good and gracious will grant success to the task at hand. We ask it in the name of our Redeemer. Amen."

Speaker Blair: ". . . oh, well, we'll be here Saturday and Sunday. Roll Call for attendance. Ah . . . For what purpose does the Gentleman from Johnson, Mr. McCormick, arise?"

McCormick: "Well, Mr. Speaker . . . ah . . . you know, during the confusion of the last few days of the Session which we always have some of, there was a meeting of the Executive . . . ah . . . the Executive Committee, and I had a Bill in the Senate . . . from the Senate that . . . ah . . . I was going to try go get out, but I didn't know that



Committee met, it met on such short notice; and I failed to attend, and I'd like to . . . ah . . . have that Committee to be discharged or at least let my #14 . . . Senate Bill #1475 amending the Personnel Code . . . ah . . . brought out on the floor and placed on the order of Second Reading."

Speaker Blair: "All right, the Gentle . . ."

McCormick: "I so move."

Speaker Blair: ". . . the Gentleman moves that they discharge Executive Committee of . . . ah . . . Senate Bill 1475. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no' . . . the 'nays' have it, and the Gentleman's motion fails."

McCormick: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to ask what is the vote on that . . . ah . . ."

Speaker Blair: "Well, it didn't . . ."

McCormick: ". . . if you . . ."

Speaker Blair: ". . . it didn't have . . . it didn't have . . . ah . . . it didn't have 89 votes."

McCormick: ". . . oh, well, okay, it didn't sound like it did, but I thought there'd be more enthusiasm in there for it."

Speaker Blair: "Right, okay. We'll send a message to the Senate that the motion to discharge didn't quite get there. For your information, Gentlemen, that's the way they handle motions to discharge in the Senate. As a matter of fact, that's the way they handle the motion to discharge the tax relief programs over there last night. Messages from the Senate."

Clerk Selcke: "A message from the Senate by Mr. Fernandez, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House in the passage of the Bills of the following title, House Bill 2049, 2769, 2781, 2796, 2873; passed the Senate June 27, 1973, Edward E. Fernandez, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House in the passage of the Bills of the following titles, House Bill 916, 2106, 2207, 2216, 2220, 2278, 2279, 2428, 2511, 2545, 2568, 2628, 2810, 2819, 2820, 2821, 2834, 2857, 2860; passed the Senate June 27, 1974, Edward E. Fernandez, Secretary."



Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has refused to recede from their Amendment # . . . #3 to a Bill of the following title, House Bill 2357. I'm further directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate requests a Committee of Conference to consist of five Members; action taken by the Senate June 27, 1974, Edward E. Fernandez, Secretary. Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has adopted the following Conference Committee Report, House Bill 2353, adopted by the Senate June 27, 1974, Edward E. Fernandez, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has refused to concur with the House in the adoption of Amendments 1, 2 and 3 of the House of Representatives in a Bill of the following title, Senate Bill 1568; action taken by the Senate June 27, 1974, Edward E. Fernandez, Secretary. No further messages."

Speaker Blair: "Ah . . . Do you want to do the priority of Call on . . . ah . . . Senate Bills, Second?"

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bills, Second Reading. Ah . . . Senate Bill 166 . . . huh? . . . oh, that's Third Reading, yeah, I'm sorry . . . wait a minute . . . Senate Bills, Second Reading. Senate Bill 1262, give it to me, Chockey, McPartlin, is he going to take it, Jack? . . . ah . . . a Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the office of the Auditor General. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Blair: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Selcke: "Give me the Amendments from the floor, Chockey, huh? . . . oh, we don't have any? . . . no Amendments from the floor?"

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, I think Mr. McPartlin asked to have that Bill read a second time and held on the order of Second Reading. That's the appropriation for the Auditor General's office."

Speaker Blair: "Well, I'm sorry, it's been read."

Shea: "Well, if you'd just leave it then on Second, please?"

Speaker Blair: "All right."

Clerk Selcke: "Do we just take that out of the Record?"

Speaker Blair: "Take it out of the Record."



Clerk Selcke: "Ah . . . Senate Bill 1541, . . . ah . . . 1541 . . ."

Speaker Blair: "Take it out of the Record."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Senate Bill 1235, Schoeberlein, a Bill for an Act . . . ah . . . to amend Sections 3, 3.1 and so forth of an Act in relation to construction, operation, regulation and maintenance systems of toll highways and so forth. Second Reading of the Bill. Ah . . . One Committee Amendment amends Senate Bill 1235 on page 4 by deleting lines 18 through 20 and so forth."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Schoeberlein."

Schoeberlein: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Representative Lechowicz had an Amendment on . . . ah . . . in the House, in the Committee . . . ah . . . that I favored and so does the Senate Sponsor, Mr. Moore. Now, do you want me to . . . ah . . . to speak on the Amendment or do you want to wait for him? It's entirely up to you."

Clerk Selcke: "Move the adoption. Move the adoption."

Schoeberlein: "It's a . . ."

Speaker Blair: "Move the adoption of the Amendment. All those in favor say 'aye', oppose 'no'; the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Selcke: "Third Reading."

Speaker Blair: "Third Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "Ah . . . Senate Bill 1289, . . . ah . . . Ewell, a Bill for an Act . . . ah . . . to amend the Public Community College Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments. We got some floor Amendments on 1289. Amendment #1, Tipsword, amends . . . ah . . . Senate Bill 1289 on page 3 by deleting lines 17 through 27. Tipsword, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from Christian, Mr. Tipsword."

Tipsword: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen, this is an Amendment to this Bill which would eliminate an Amendment that was placed on the Bill in the Senate, and it's an Amendment which affects only one area of the State of Illinois. It would strike, if you have the Bill before you, it would strike lines 17 through 27 on page 3 of the Bill. This Amendment is presented in behalf of the Board of Lake Land Junior



College in southeastern Illinois and a section area . . . this Amendment would affect an area that lies within my district. This affects particularly the special chartered school districts of Paris, Illinois. Paris is completely surrounded by another school district, which district is going into Lake Land Junior College. The law as it now provides in the State of Illinois is that no . . . is that all the property of a Junior College District must be contiguous. This position that was placed in the Bill and which we would propose to take out would provide that the special chartered district of Paris could join the Danville Junior College District, although it does not touch that district boundary. As the Board of Lake Land Junior College refers to it, this Bill is a hole-in-the-doughnut Bill. The Junior College Administration for Lake Land Junior College and the Board for that Junior College is highly opposed to having this hole within their Junior College District which would be a part of another Junior College District which borders theirs on the north. There has been considerable discussion in the area. There has . . . I believe not really been a meeting of the minds as to where the Paris District should go insofar as the people of that district are concerned by any vote or action. They have had a vote in which they said they did not wish to join the Danville District, but they have not voted that they wanted to join the Lake Land District either. I would be honest in telling you that, for they do not have that choice in the kind of votes that the Junior College District are presenting to the . . . or can present to these non-district territories. Lake Land feels that they would be imposed upon and would be different than any other Junior College District in the State of Illinois if this language is permitted to remain in this Bill and to become a law, and so they request this House's cooperation in removing this language so that they can have a compact, contiguous and continuous Junior College District, and not have the hole in the doughnut. I would request adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Miller: "All right, discussion? The Gentleman from Champaign, Mr. Hirschfeld."

Hirschfeld: "Mr. Speaker, . . . ah . . . Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,



would the Sponsor yield for a couple of questions, please?"

Speaker Miller: "He indicates he will, proceed."

Hirschfeld: "Ah . . . Representative, is the Illinois Community College Board in support of your Amendment?"

Tipsword: "In regard to what the Illinois Junior College Board would do in regard to the Amendment, I don't know. I do know that they have done this, this past week the Illinois Junior College Board in violation of the existing law of the State of Illinois has said that the Paris District can go into the Dan . . . the Paris School District can go into the Danville School District. One of the hearing officers, Mr. Hudson, . . . ah . . . said that that determination is in exact contravention and violation of existing Junior College law. However, I think it was done in contemplation that this Amendment would be placed on a Bill in the Senate and become law in the State of Illinois "

Hirschfeld: "Well, if this Amendment is placed . . . ah . . . on this particular Bill, would there then be a requirement for the Paris School District to join the Danville Junior College District?"

Tipsword: "No, Sir, it would not be a requirement, except by virtue of the action of the Board . . . ah . . . of the Junior College Board. They have to file a map, I believe, by the first of July. I understand those maps are to be filed by today. If that map is filed today, this Bill not being passed, and I don't see how it could be passed today and become final law. The Lake Land Junior College suggests that it is a violation . . . absolute of the existing law in the State of Illinois at the time the map is filed. Ah . . . They have . . . they apparently intend to put Paris in the Danville Junior College by virtue of at least what they say it's going to be the map that will be filed today."

Hirschfeld: "But they cannot . . . ah . . . am I correct in saying they could not do this if your Amendment did not go on this Bill? In other words, if . . . if you don't put your Amendment on, there's no way that the Illinois Junior College Board can legally attach . . . ah . . . Paris to Danville?"

Tipsword: "If the Amendment goes, they could not. Ah . . . My Amendment . . . the Amendment placed on in the Senate would give them legal



fashion after this Bill becomes law."

Speaker Miller: "The Gentleman from Macon, Mr. Borchers."

Borchers: "Mr. Speaker and fellow Members of the House, I rise in support of this Amendment. I feel we're playing a day's precedent in relation to the theory of the hole in the doughnut . . . ah . . . which rather amply describes what is . . . ah . . . happening here. School Districts or Junior College Districts should be contiguous. They should not be areas of space that isolate a given area from a . . . ah . . . a proper School District. So I . . . I feel that the explanation and the discussion given by Representative Tipsword amply explains that what is happening in this case; and I hope that Members on this side of the aisle would support this Amendment of Representative Tipsword. I might even suggest that there's a little doubt of someone and they're sitting on the fence on the Democratic side that you cast your vote for Representative Alsup who would also support this Amendment if he were able to be here. So I hope someone thinks of that and helps and takes the place of Representative Alsup in this endeavor. Thank you."

Speaker Miller: "The Gentleman from Vermillion, Mr. Craig."

Craig: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, the Junior College Board is . . . has even stated they have already placed Paris with Dan . . . with the Danville Junior College District. However, there was an extensive hearing held over there to Danville Junior College and the Junior College Board and the Paris School District, and the Paris School District has time and again made it very clear that they want to come to the Danville and be the part of the Danville Junior College District full well realizing that there is a zone in that area around the Paris District which would not make it absolutely contiguous, and the northern part of the doughnut, I'm satisfied we'll petition out of the Lake Land College and come in through the area of the Danville Junior College District because there are somewhat 20 to 25 miles closer to Danville than we are to Lake Land. Now, they claim that an assessed valuation of somewhere in the neighborhood of \$500,000,000 is needed to give you an assessed valuation base to have a good, sound Junior College District. That the land and the area that's



involved here, this will give the Danville Junior College District in the neighborhood of \$500,000,000 of assessed valuation. The Lake Land Junior College District claims at the present time has an assessed valuation of \$777,000,000. So I say to you this area here is an area that is vitally needed, and we are in accord on both sides of the aisle, the Legislators from this area and both of these Junior Colleges if you please lie in the area that we are representing. My . . . ah . . . Chuck Campbell, Representative Chuck Campbell and Representative Ed Jenison and myself. I thought at first, why couldn't this area northpetition out so it would be adjacent to the Danville area, but it says . . . states plainly clear that the area by July 1, everybody ought to be somewhere and so it goes. So they had to go into the Lake Land area, but the area, as I said before, the Paris School District has run into . . . Danville and be a part of the Danville Junior College District on the northern part of the doughnut has plainly stated that they do too; and I feel that people where they . . . their desires and their wants . . . want to go, I think behoves this General Assembly to try to work out a proposition to get people to going . . . if they're going to be taxed in these junior colleges, at least let them go and join where they want to join; and I urge a 'no' vote on this Amendment of Representative Tipswords'. Thank you."

Speaker Miller: "The Gentleman from Vermilion, Mr. Campbell."

Campbell: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I, too, rise in opposition to this Amendment because I'd like to explain to the Members of this House what the other Amendment really does. You have the Paris School District, which is the inside of the hole of the doughnut, and then you have another district which completely surrounds the hole of the doughnut. Therefore, under the definition of contiguous, under the Junior College Act, the people on the inside of the doughnut have absolutely no choice but to go where the outside of the doughnut decides to go. So the Amendment that was adopted to Senate Bill 1289 simply gives the people in the inside of the doughnut an opportunity to go where they want to go. However, the Board has recently said that they would go to the Danville Junior



College District; but, nevertheless, that's . . . that was the only purpose of this Amendment, to give them a freedom of choice as to where they wanted to go; and I ask you to vote 'no' on this Amendment."

Speaker Miller: "Is there further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Ewell. Just a moment. Is there any further discussion? The Gentleman from Edgar, Mr. Jenison."

Jenison: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think you know by now I do not intrude upon your offerings in discussions, and I'm reluctant to do so at this late hour, but since this vitally affects the hometown in which I reside as well as the district I am currently representing, I feel I must take a moment of your time; but I would only like to stress the fact that we seek only an opportunity for a choice and the freedom of choice. Because of the difficulties we have inherited historically from the nature of a special chartered district, we are completely surrounded by another district and as Representative Campbell has told you we are the hole in the doughnut. The Community of Paris and Paris School District 95 to official action by its Board of Education have consistently indicated a desire to be affiliated with the Danville Junior College, and that stands from an association of many years and the continuity of extension courses offered in our high schools and a degree of cooperation between the two. Now, Senate Bill 1289 without the Amendment offered by Representative Tipword for whom I have the greatest respect is reserved to our district the opportunity to reach a decision, and that's all it does; and so I most earnestly solicit your opposition to the Amendment of Representative Tipword and approval for the Bill."

Speaker Miller: "Is there further discussion? The Gentleman from Christian, Mr. Tipword, to close."

Tipword: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, I respect very much the Gentleman who represents that portion of Lake Land District and Danville Junior College District in the views that they've expressed here today. Representative Borchers, Representative Alsup and myself also represent a portion of this district, and as Representative Keller, Representative Cunningham and Representative Shurtz. We all



have some interest because a portion of our district lie within Lake Land Junior College. The Amendment that was placed on this Bill in the Senate and which my Amendment proposes to strike will not give the people of Paris a choice. This will merely sanctify actions taken by the Junior College Board. It will not provide an election in which the people can make a choice for today I understand the maps of the Junior College Board are to be filed and the choice time is over for districts who are outside of the Junior College District. The Lake Land Junior College Board and the administration of Lake Land Junior College asked us to petition you that their district not have a hole within its district that is not common to any other Junior College District in the State of Illinois; and in addition, that I would tell you that there has been only one vote that relates to this matter, that vote was not whether Paris would join Lake Land or not. It was simply a vote taken some time ago as to whether Paris District would join Danville or not. The choice was not. It was not a choice between two Junior College Districts, but the people and the only votes they have taken have said 'no' to Danville Junior College on that occasion. I would request that this Amendment might have your support and be adopted to restore the law in the condition that it presently is and which has applied to all of the other Junior College Districts of the State of Illinois."

Speaker Miller: "The question is, shall the House adopt Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1289. Do you want an oral Roll Call? All those in favor say 'aye', oppose 'nay'. Mr. Tipword, do you want a Roll Call? All right, we can have a Roll Call. All those in favor of adopting Amendment #1 will vote 'aye' and those opposed 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? The Gentleman from Macon, Mr. Borchers to explain his vote."

Borchers: "Ladies . . . ah . . . fellow Members of the Legislature, I think you're setting a precedent here that will have a lot to do with every . . . ah . . . Junior College District in the State of Illinois. It's very simple, should a . . . should a . . . ah . . . district be contiguous or not contiguous? It's possible for example that . . . ah . . . just taking a . . . ah . . . a town say . . .



have some interest because a portion of our district lie within Lake Land Junior College. The Amendment that was placed on this Bill in the Senate and which my Amendment proposes to strike will not give the people of Paris a choice. This will merely sanctify actions taken by the Junior College Board. It will not provide an election in which the people can make a choice for today I understand the maps of the Junior College Board are to be filed and the choice time is over for districts who are outside of the Junior College District. The Lake Land Junior College Board and the administration of Lake Land Junior College asked us to petition you that their district not have a hole within its district that is not common to any other Junior College District in the State of Illinois; and in addition, that I would tell you that there has been only one vote that relates to this matter, that vote was not whether Paris would join Lake Land or not. It was simply a vote taken some time ago as to whether Paris District would join Danville or not. The choice was not. It was not a choice between two Junior College Districts, but the people and the only votes they have taken have said 'no' to Danville Junior College on that occasion. I would request that this Amendment might have your support and be adopted to restore the law in the condition that it presently is and which has applied to all of the other Junior College Districts of the State of Illinois."

Speaker Miller: "The question is, shall the House adopt Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1289. Do you want an oral Roll Call? All those in favor say 'aye', oppose 'nay'. Mr. Tipsword, do you want a Roll Call? All right, we can have a Roll Call. All those in favor of adopting Amendment #1 will vote 'aye' and those opposed 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? The Gentleman from Macon, Mr. Borchers to explain his vote."

Borchers: "Ladies . . . ah . . . fellow Members of the Legislature, I think you're setting a precedent here that will have a lot to do with every . . . ah . . . Junior College District in the State of Illinois. It's very simple, should a . . . should a . . . ah . . . district be contiguous or not contiguous? It's possible for example that . . . ah . . . just taking a . . . ah . . . a town say . . .



ah . . . say Peoria may have a Junior College, and there's a Junior College District . . . ah . . . belonging to the . . . ah . . . Peoria . . . I do not . . . ah . . . what is the name of the Peoria school are? I don't know, will somebody tell me? . . . What is it? All right, Illinois Central, let's assume that if this goes through, it sets a precedent that Illinois Central has an area that . . . ah . . . is contiguous and is now presently contiguous. It's perfectly possible in the future that it may be that through referendum the people, although they are surrounded by the . . . ah . . . the land and the district going to Peoria Central will be able by referendum to tax themselves, although there . . . the land area is completely around them and only five miles, for example, from the . . . ah . . . from the schools, they can be taxed themselves and put themselves into another Junior College District. This is a precedent you're going to have to make up your minds on what . . . what is best for the people and Junior College Districts in the future; and only you can do it; but this is important 'points' that you're voting on at this . . . at this moment. So think carefully what you intend to do and vote."

Speaker Miller: "Have all voted who wished? Take the Record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 29 'ayes', 59 'nays' and 6 voting 'present' and the Gentleman's motion for Amendment #1 is lost."

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #2, Porter, amends Senate Bill 1289 on page 5, line 25, and so forth."

Speaker Miller: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Porter."

Porter: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is . . . ah . . . an Amendment which embodies most of Senate Bill 1399, which is Sponsored by Senator Bradley Glass, and it's a Bill that has passed the Senate some time ago. It came to the House and became unavoidably detained in the Rules Committee. A motion was filed and has remained on our Calendar for the past 23 days now to have the Rules Committee discharged. So we must have the motion heard as the . . . ah . . . the motion heard as an Amendment to this Bill. The . . . the Amendment deals with a genuine emergency in the Illinois Community College system. The 77th General



Assembly, the last one, passed a Bill that mandated that all territory in the state not in a Junior College District be placed in a Junior College District by the Illinois Community College Board by June 30, 1974. Since that date is this Sunday, actually today is the filing deadline. Now, the I.C.C.B. may do this in one of two ways, either they may annex the non-district territory to an existing district or they may designate the non-district territory as a new district. Governor Ogilvie amendatorily vetoed that Bill by adding a back-door referendum requirement allowing voters to vote themselves out of a district into which the I.C.C.B. had placed them. This Amendment was approved by both Houses of the General Assembly, and is now law. The law is clear that when the I.C.C.B. annexes territory to an existing district, the back-door referendum applies. It is not clear however, when the back-door referendum . . . whether the back-door referendum will apply when the I.C.C.B. creates a wholly new district, even though this certainly appears to have been intended by the Governor and the General Assembly. This Amendment would clarify the law by insuring that the back-door referendum applies in either instance, either when there is an annexation or the creation of a new district. Without this Amendment, it is virtually certain that litigation would result in order to obtain a court construction of what is presently, in my opinion, an ambiguous provision. Brad Glass wrote each of you a letter on this subject some time ago detailing the situation and asking for your support. I think there is plainly an emergency involved here and that simple fairness demands that the same treatment be accorded to non-district territory being formed into a new district as is now applied by the law to non-district territory that is being annexed to an existing district; and I ask your support for Amendment #2."

Speaker Miller: "Is there discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. William Walsh."

Walsh, W.: "Well, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, . . . ah . . . first of all, I have the pleasure of introducing the son of our temporary Speaker and permanent Assistant Majority Leader, Representative Ken Miller, Ken's son, Chuck, and Chuck's wife, Sandy,



are in the Gallery on the Republican side, and they're there with their daughter, Charlene. They're from St. Petersburg, Florida; and now, Mr. Speaker, if I may on the question, and I think there will be others who will be able to speak far more eloquently than I on this question, when the Junior College Act was enacted into law in 1964, we have Mrs. Chapman who is probably more responsible at that time than anyone else in this Assembly at that time and has followed the matter closely than anyone in this Assembly and I think she will bear out that it was the purpose of the Legislature at that time to some day have all of the state included in a Junior College District in the same way that all of the state is included in a . . . an Elementary School District and in a Secondary School District. We are approaching that time. July 1 is the deadline for the State Board to assign areas to districts and at that time those districts will be formed if this Legislature doesn't take any action. Now, I submit to you that the people in the areas that have been assigned, and the Sponsor of this Amendment comes from one of those areas, have ample time in which the . . . to form a district of their own, they indicated by their absence and their failure to do this that they weren't really interested in doing what the Legislature had at the time of the enactment of the Junior College Act and later two years ago when Senate Bill 1188 passed, two years I said, it's four years ago that 1188 passed, they have indicated that they don't want to belong to a Junior College District. They want all of the rest of us in the state to pay a property tax for higher education, they want to send their children to the state universities, and let us share the cost with them. They want us to pay tuition in the junior colleges and a property tax on the junior college, they would rather not, and this is their vehicle for getting out of it. So I would strongly urge you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, to vote 'no' on the Gentleman's Amendment and let the . . . ah . . . Junior College Board go about the business that we have very clearly directed them to."

Speaker Miller: "The Gentleman from Adams, Mr. McClain."

McClain: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, . . . ah . . . Ladies and Gentlemen of



the House, I certainly believe the Majority Leader has clouded the issue of Amendment #2. Amendment #2 . . . ah . . . clarifies the law now which states that a new incor . . . newly designated area may have the same rights of opting out of the Junior College District. It's a simple Amendment, a clarification, and it gives everybody in the State of Illinois the same rights as in the past. It is not a discussion whether or not one believes that the whole state ought to be incorporated in a Junior College District. All it is is a clarification of the discrepancy in the law to withstand the problem of further litigation and it's simply that and nothing else; and I urge for the adoption."

Speaker Miller: "The Gentleman from Logan, Mr. Lauer."

Lauer: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I have a tendency to follow . . . ah . . . my Majority Leader, however, there are . . . ah . . . some areas in which he lacked expertise and education just chances to be one of them. We've talked this thing out before, and Bill Walsh and I . . . ah . . . argued quite a great deal about . . . ah . . . a House Bill that was known as . . . ah . . . House Bill 272 last year; and, of course, at that time, it was resoundingly defeated. I would like to remind the Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Mr. Speaker, particularly those Ladies and Gentlemen who represent the areas in R.T.A. where the opt out was forbidden. I would like to remind you that here we have another situation of opt out, and I think that this is the time for you all to recognize that once again people should have the opportunity to have some direction in their own destiny. We have here a situation of a power grant by the Illinois Junior College Board and a refusal to allow people to have any control of their own destiny; and particularly when you start talking about the education of . . . ah . . . one's children, I think that here is an area where parents should and your . . . ah . . . local units should definitely have some control. I strongly solicit an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Miller: "The Gentleman from Champaign, Mr. Clabaugh."

Clabaugh: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, it's extremely interesting and somewhat ironic that in two Amendments on this particular Bill



we're facing up to two of the most deviling problems that has been before education in Illinois for the last quarter of a century. The first one relatively to the Paris, Danville . . . ah . . . Lake Land School District was as a result of the school lingering . . . ah . . . archaic . . . ah . . . special chartered school districts that we have in Illinois of which Paris is one. Now, and this one and that caused us more trouble in trying to have an orderly school system in Illinois than almost anything else, but it's rivaled by what we are facing now, and it is a prototype in the junior college of the old, non-high district and both of them were creations of this Legislature . . . ah . . . they wanted . . . ah . . . at the Paris deal, I'm sorry to say that many years ago before I knew too much . . . ah . . . about the picture I helped create. Now, this Bill . . . this Amendment to this Bill bears upon something that is opposed very strongly and vehemently by a few sections of the state. That very opposition should make the majority of you go along with it. Now, when we were trying to pass 1188 and trying to defeat some others as this is one . . . ah . . . we were faced with the opposition of . . . of Members who told us how much more it was going to cost their school district if we had . . . if we forced them into a Junior College District, but actually what they're saying . . . we're saying was that 'We don't want to spend that \$288,000, we want to ride along on the rest of you'. Now, I helped pass that . . . ah . . . Bill that Governor Ogilvie unfortunately vetoed. It was a bad mistake. It said we want those people who don't want to support a Junior College or pay the taxes to ride along on the rest of you. Now, this Amendment that is up wants to . . . to put that same mistake, in my opinion into the balance of the . . . ah . . . legislation that might come up and we will find ourselves in a few years with a few little spots of non-junior college territory around over the state like we did with the . . . with the non-high territory that the bedevilled us for . . . ah . . . for years and years and years; and I urge you . . . ah . . . just to protect yourselves from the kind of difficulties that we've had on these last two Amendments just building up trouble for yourself here which you'll even . . . eventually going to have to



take out with the major operation. I urge you not to extend the mistakes that my Governor made some three years ago when he wrote the . . . ah . . . back-door referendum into that Bill, and defeat this Amendment."

Speaker Miller: "Ah . . . The Lady from Adams, Mrs. Kent."

Kent: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I just thought that I might inform you that . . . ah . . . even though . . . ah . . . Representative Walsh did say that these groups were trying not to pay their fair share, I believe this is unfair, because you see we are charged back for those students who go to another junior college. Also, I believe that many of you do not realize the distances that we experience out our way and . . . ah . . . so, therefore, to be able to opt out or opt into a district that is closer is more useable for our students . . . ah . . . to get to . . . to really keep up the junior college . . . ah . . . plan in the beginning is what we're after. It is because of distances, it is because we have paid our way up to this point. So it isn't that we're trying to take off of anyone else, we're just trying to make it more convenient for our students to have a junior college education. Thank you."

Speaker Miller: "The Gentleman from Moultrie, Mr. Stone."

Stone: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, this is a very bad Amendment. It should be defeated. We have beaten this thing back this Session, we have beaten this same type of Bill back this Session at least three times. I don't know how many times we did it last year. The people from 10 percent . . . ah . . . representing 10 percent of the population of the State of Illinois keep trying. I can't blame them for trying, but those of us who represent 90 percent of the people of the State of Illinois must continue to defeat this Amendment. This . . . the passage of this Amendment would weaken Senate Bill 1183 as pointed out by Representative Walsh and Representative Clabaugh in such a way that would set the college . . . the Junior College program of the State of Illinois back several years. I think that now that 90 percent of the people of the State of Illinois reside in a Junior College District. It's high time that the other 10 percent got in a Junior College District and started paying their fair share



of the cost of operating the junior colleges. This is a bad Amendment, and I certainly hope it can be defeated."

Speaker Miller: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Hudson."

Hudson: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Miller: "All right, the Gentleman has moved the previous question."

All those in favor say 'aye', oppose : . . opposed 'nay'; the 'ayes' have it, the 'ayes' have it, and the Gentleman's motion prevails.

Now, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Porter, to close the debate."

Porter: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, it's interesting to me that this has been couched in terms by the opponents in terms of those districts not paying their fair share. This is . . . this Amendment in its present form was drafted by the Illinois Community College Board. This is their Amendment. They want it. They want the law clarified. It is not a question of whether they're paying their fair share, it is a question of simple equity. By legislative oversight, we have a law that now provides a back-door referendum for areas being annexed. If you're being annexed, you get a referendum; but the law does not give a similar treatment to an area that's being created into a new district by order of the Illinois Community College Board. The Board itself thinks that it should. The Board has drafted this Amendment. The Board wants to avoid litigation and I would urge each Member of the House to vote 'aye'."

Speaker Miller: "The question is, shall the House adopt Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 1289? All those in favor will vote 'aye' and those opposed will vote 'nay'. The Lady from DuPage, Mrs. Dyer, to explain her vote."

Dyer: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, I would like to explain my 'yes' vote on this Amendment; and the first thing I want to point out is if you voted 'yes' . . . ah . . . if you opposed Amendment #1 . . . ah . . . if you voted for freedom of choice, that is, if you voted against Mr. Tipsword. If you supported Mr. Campbell and Mr. Craig and gave freedom of choice to the hole in the doughnut . . . ah . . . to be annexed as a district of its choice, then you should certainly put a green light on for this Amendment. In this Amendment bear in mind this was drafted by the Community College Board. It is not extending



a new privilege. It is simply clarifying a privilege that was intended in the first place. We are . . . we are just avoiding future litigation, the cause of an ambiguity in the law. Districts that are going to be annexed have a freedom of choice, have a privilege of repre . . . ah . . . of referendum. Ah . . . Those that are for the new districts do not now have this privilege. This Amendment makes it very, very clear. So long as the opt-out clause is a part of the community college statute, we must attempt to make it clear and fair for all people living everywhere all over Illinois. Finally, please keep in mind that this has the full approval of the Community College Board. So if you want a strong community college system, vote 'yes' on this Amendment."

Speaker Miller: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Pierce, to explain his vote."

Pierce: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, I'm explaining why I support this Amendment. We want to join a junior college. I supported the original Junior College Bill in 1965 and . . . but here's what happened in our district. Our High School Board voted to join the College of Lake County in existing districts. The staff of the Illinois Community College Board said, 'They're right, you should join the College of Lake County'; then what happens? The Community College Board itself by one vote says, 'No, you shouldn't join the district you want to join . . . the district that our own staff says you should join, 'but you should go out and create a new district with Evanston and New Trier', a new Junior College District which we need like a hole in the head. Now, if our voters want to say, 'We don't want the new district, we want to join the college of Lake County'; we have no way of doing it unless this Amendment is adopted. Unless this Amendment is adopted, there's no way of having a referendum of whether you want it or not, whether you want to or not create a new Community College District. There is a referendum if you're assigned to an adjoining district, but no referendum if you're told to create a new district against your will. This Amendment is good, and would give you the referendum. It's not an opt out, it's an opt in. The referendum comes before you actually join and are taxed by the new district that you would create. So all the Amendment does is give



the voters a chance to decide whether or not they want a new district or whether they want to join existing districts. Look, we're all going to be in a Junior College District, that's not the issue here, everyone's going to be in a Junior College District. All this Amendment does is give you a chance to say whether or not you want to go to the expense of creating a new district; and, therefore, I vote 'aye', and I hope other people do as well."

Speaker Miller: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Gene Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise to explain my 'no' vote. We would have been much better off in this state if in the beginning we had determined what's made for equitable and reasonable districts and divided the state up. We wouldn't have holes and doughnuts, we wouldn't have these types of problems. What this does is continue the problem. Let's us once and for all decide where towns and communities and areas are going to be and let's put them in it. This Sunday that's it, they're in, it's over, it's finished, if we vote 'no' and keep this Amendment off. It . . . It'll be done, you can talk about litigation and then do it now. If it's . . . that's what you have to do, but everyone in this state should be in a Junior College District, charge backs do not pay their fair share. Their level of taxes are much lower for Junior College costs in districts that have it, and for charge backs doesn't even come close to paying the same . . . ah . . . rate that a district in operation is; and, therefore, I would urge you to vote 'no'."

Speaker Miller: "The Gentleman from Henderson, Mr. Neff, to explain his vote."

Neff: "Ah . . . Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I . . . ah . . . I would like to explain my vote, why I'm voting green. I . . . ah . . . think there's some . . . been some misinterpretation on what we're doing here. We've talked about . . . too much about the law in the books and not what the Amendment does. This Amendment, I hope we all understand, as I read the Amendment, all we're doing here is clarifying an existing law. I can't understand why we wouldn't all be voting green here because we're not voting on the original Bill as it . . . it almost, I think, here as we've heard the comments made on it; we



are voting on . . . on clarifying an existing law, and I think this is very important that this existing law be clarified and I hope we'll have more green lights up there."

Speaker Miller: "The Lady from Lake, Mrs. Geo-Karis, to explain her vote."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'm rather appalled that . . . in explaining my vote that the people from the areas that have so many private colleges that are not doing very well financially are casting a 'no' vote. The . . . this particular Amendment will give a chance to existing colleges, private colleges, to enter into contracts in . . . in continuing education for some our people, but for Heaven's sake why don't we vote for this and allow this amendatory . . . this . . . this back-door referendum? I think it's a healthy thing. I think we need it, and I think I'm tired of just seeing a lot of construction going on without education on state tax money. I think it's high time we support this, and I'm very proud to cast an 'aye' vote and add that this Bill . . . the essence of this Bill was heard in Committee as a higher education and it passed by a resounding majority. It makes common sense to use existing facilities. It doesn't make common sense to allow more state buildings . . . ah . . . buildings and not enough good education. I vote proudly 'aye'."

Speaker Miller: "The Lady from Cook, Mrs. Chapman, to explain her vote."

Chapman: "Ah . . . Mr. . . . ah . . . Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I would like to join with Representative Wall . . . Walsh and Representative Gene Hoffman who have expressed very well, exactly what the situation is. This is just one more attempt, and we vote such an attempt several times every Session to forestall joining a Community College District. The charge backs does not cover the cost of community college education. It . . . ah . . . the perpetuation of this system denies to students the opportunity to attend a community college, a reasonably . . . ah . . . reasonably-priced . . . ah . . . college in their own area. I ask you to vote 'no' on this . . . ah . . . Amendment . . . ah . . . we need to get everyone in our state within a Community College District. Please vote 'no'."

Speaker Miller: "The Gentleman from . . . ah . . . Cook, Mr. Kosinski, to



explain his vote."

Kosinski: "Ah . . . Mr. Chairman, this is a point of personal privilege, not explanation of vote. As a point of personal privilege, I would like to remind the House that I would like to get out of here Sunday, and I would ask limit to the explanation of votes."

Speaker Miller: "I think your point is well taken, Mr. Kosinski, but several Members asked to explain their vote. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Katz, to explain his vote."

Katz: "Ah . . . If this an Amendment that has been drafted with the agreement of the Board, the Junior College Board, it is obviously not anything that is against the Junior College system or the Board would have not participated in urging this. As the distinguished Chairman of the Higher Education Committee said, this simply really takes care of an omission that exists in the existing law and unfairness that exists in the existing law; and I would urge the approval of this Amendment . . . ah . . . which is also urged by my junior college, but which is urged by the Junior College Board for adoption."

Speaker Miller: "The Gentleman from Madison, Mr. Calvo, to explain his vote."

Calvo: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would urge you to consider what you're doing here today, and I would like for you to, I'm sure you heard the . . . ah . . . distinguished Chairman of the Higher Education Committee, Mrs. Dyer, I'm sure you heard Mr. Neff and the other people tell you why you should vote 'yes'; and I would urge you to do just that, and I'll tell you why. Now, the Speaker, Mr. Stone, when he talks about the reason you should vote 'no' is because everybody in the state should be in a district is missing the point. That's not really the point. Now, in my area and in my district our people decided that, 'Yes, since the law said what it did, we would have a junior college', even though with the circumstances what they were that we were in such dire need to be included in a Junior College District. We determined under the statutes that existed and was passed by this General Assembly two years ago that we were eligible under the law to form a Junior College District. We had not only the facilities to do this,



but the . . . an agreement with Southern Illinois University at Edwardsville to use their facilities for part of the program. We had some of the finest trade schools in our . . . in our high schools in this state, but Mr. Wellerman is a dictator. Mr. Wellerman said, 'I don't care what you Legislators say' . . . could I have a little order, Mr. Speaker? . . . the Junior College Board speaking by Mr. Wellerman said, 'We don't care what the statute says, we don't care what you Legislators passed here and tell what the law is; we're going to follow our own ideas, and our regulations and recommendations say that you cannot form your own board', and they also . . ."

Speaker Miller: "All right, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Ewell, to explain his . . ."

Ewell: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, I'd like to state that first of all the entire subject of this Amendment is embodied in Senate Bill 1399, the entire subject. In other words, this subject can be considered by this Body in the due course of time. It can be debated. It can be understood; and I think it ought to stand on its own feet. What you have here and what we have is a simple, un . . . noncontroversial Bill to help the Chicago Junior College system expand a little, and what we're going to do is we're going to take it down in a quagmire controversy. Now, until this Amendment, there was no controversy about this Bill. I'd like to point out that the entire Bill is effective in Senate Bill 1399. Now, no matter what problems it may have, I think it's perfectly reasonable for us to debate it on that Bill and not on this Bill. I suggest what we're doing with this Amendment is tying up the Bill and making it impossible for us to pass because of the controversy, and as the prime Sponsor of the Bill, I would kindly ask the Members to vote 'no' on the Amendment and let this rise and fall on its own merits in Senate Bill 1399."

Speaker Miller: "The Gentleman from Perry, Mr. Ralph Dunn, to explain his vote. All right, the Gentleman from Adams, Mr. McClain, to explain his vote."

McClain: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Now, I have to do this, Ray Ewell, that we've gotten very confused here. This Amendment is a . . . really a simple Amendment, and this



kind of thing has been done to many Bills, it's not really a . . . a maneuver to make one Bill controversial. This is simply a clarification of the law to have newly created areas and a . . . newly created junior college, an area in that junior college may have the same rights as now areas that are placed into existing junior colleges have. It's a simple clarification. The I.C.C.B. are in favor of it and many others, and I urge your support."

Speaker Miller: "The Gentleman from Madsion, Mr. Walters, to explain his vote."

Walters: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Representative Calvo, Representative Kennedy and myself are very concerned with this Amendment, and I'd like to congratulate Representative Porter for pushing this issue. We had a problem in the Granite City area where they tried, they tried, Representative Walsh, they tried to do what the law said, but as was stated by Representative Calvo, the Board of Higher Education didn't consider what we really wanted. It didn't consider what the people wanted down in that area. They weren't concerned what they really wanted to do, and I think this is a great Amendment, and I'd urge all of you to vote 'aye'."

Speaker Miller: "The Gentleman from Sangamon, Mr. Londrigan, to explain his vote."

Londrigan: "Mr. Speaker, when this Community College program was put into existance, it was voluntary, voluntary, it was up to the people. They were to vote on it. Now, after the people voted 'no' that they did not want it, the professional educators are worming it down the people's throats. Now, as for . . . most of the majority have it, one Speaker said 90 percent, we're going to cram it down the throats of the 10 percent of the people who said they didn't want it. I was in Higher Education Committee. The Committee said, 'What a beautiful example they were doing on this voluntary program'. They had no idea of making it mandatory. Six months later, the professional ag . . . educators and their cohorts were coming in telling the people, 'You voted 'no', but we're going to give it to you anyway'. Now, what good is a referendum, if we're not going to abide by it?"



Let's get on here and vote 'yes' and get the people back their vote."

Speaker Miller: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Porter, to explain his vote."

Porter: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think if each Member of the House would consider this as it truly is they would be voting green. We have a situation where this . . . the Board says we're going to annex you to an existing district, then the people in that non-district territory will have a right to vote to a referendum to decide whether they're going to be taxed for that purpose or not. For this the Illinois Community College Board says, 'We're going to form you into a new district', then the people in that non-district territory have no right whatsoever, and they're going to be taxed without any referendum. It seems to me that the matter is simply a matter of equity. Why should the 90 percent of you . . . Representative Stone said who are in Community College Districts be unfair to the 10 percent who are not and not give them an opportunity for a referendum in one instance and give it to them in another. It seems to me it's simply a matter of equity. This is a Bill that was actually drafted by the Illinois Community College Board. It was heard in the Senate. It passed the Senate. It came over here. It sat . . . got stuck in Rules Committee and then sat on the motion Calendar for 23 days. We're finally getting a hearing on it, and I think simply as a matter of equity we ought to be voting green, and I urge your 'aye' vote."

Speaker Miller: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wished? Take the Record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 68 'ayes', and 85 'nays' and 10 answering 'present'. Now, for what purpose does the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Porter, arise?"

Porter: "Poll the absentees."

Speaker Miller: "All right, you have that privilege, Sir. Mr. Clerk, when you're ready or the machine is ready, call the absentees."

Clerk Selcke: "Alsop. Bluthardt. Carter. Choate. Dee. Fleck. Gibbs. Hanahan."

Speaker Miller: "Just a moment, Mr. Gibbs 'aye'? Vote Mr. Gibbs 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: "Harpstrite. Ron Hoffman. Mahar. Schisler. Skinner.



Telcser."

Speaker Miller: "All right, on this question . . . was it 69, Mr. Clerk? . . . 69 'ayes', and .85 'nays' and 10 answering 'present'; and the Gentleman's motion to adopt Amendment #2 . . . Mr. Porter."

Porter: "Mr. Speaker, I think . . . I hope the House appreciates this, this is a very important Bill, but I am not going to ask for a verification. I think we have too much to do."

Speaker Miller: "All right, the Amendment has been lost. Are there further Amendments, Mr. Clerk? No further Amendments? Pass the Bill to the order of Third Reading. Call the next Bill on the priority of call."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1402, a Bill for an Act to amend the Public Community College Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Miller: "Are there any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No."

Speaker Miller: "The Bill is advanced to the order of Third Reading. Call the next Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1261, a Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Electric Control Commission. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Miller: "Are there any Amendments from the floor? The Bill is advanced to the order of Third Reading. For what purpose does Mr. Beaupre, the Gentleman from Kankakee, arise?"

Beaupre: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, I've had an Amendment on the Clerk's desk for about four days involving this Bill."

Speaker Miller: "All right, we'll check it out. This is Senate Bill 1261. Does the Clerk have an Amendment? I believe the Chair announced it was Third Reading, so you requested it go back to Second Reading, Mr. Beaupre?"

Beaupre: "Indeed, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Miller: "And . . . ah . . . the Clerk hasn't located the Amendment yet, so perhaps we can just hold it until we can find the Amendment for it. Ah . . . We'll hold it on Second Reading."

Beaupre: "If you would also as a matter of personal privilege, . . . ah . . . Mr. Speaker . . ."



Speaker Miller: "Proceed."

Beaupre: ". . . while the dust is settling from that last debate, . . . ah . . . I would like to just make a . . . ah . . . a comment for a . . . for a few seconds . . . ah . . . and direct it to that beautiful family up there . . . ah . . . that was introduced a few minutes ago. I think it might be most appropriate if . . . ah . . . someone from this side of the aisle . . . ah . . . in lieu of the fact that . . . ah . . . we understand that there is a contemplated retirement on the part of the Gentleman who is standing on the rostrum . . . ah . . . express that . . . ah . . . this family or the . . . that there are many people in this House who . . . ah . . . feel very strongly about . . . ah . . . the kind of statesmanship that's been demonstrated by . . . ah . . . the Gentleman on the rostrum, and that we think it might be appropriate that the family understand that, that many of us feel that way, even on this side of the aisle."

Speaker Miller: "Needless to say, I thank you very much. Has the Amendment been located, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No."

Speaker Miller: "Just pull it out . . . with Mr. Beaupre's permission we'll hold it . . . hold it for the time being on Second until the Amendment is located. Call the next Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1382, a Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Capitol Development Board. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Miller: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Ah . . . Yes."

Speaker Miller: "Is Mr. Houlihan . . . Dan Houlihan on the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: " Amendment #1 . . ."

Speaker Miller: "Just a moment, Mr. Clerk. Well, the Sponsor of the Bill . . . you . . . are you all set to go? All right, read the first Amendment."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1, Schraeder, amends Senate Bill 1382 on page 2 and so forth."

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, there is another Amendment pending and so in order . . . in order to keep these in



the proper sequence, I would move the adoption, then I'd like to table this Amendment and then get to it on #7 and then proceed with Amendment #2."

Speaker Miller: "All right, the Gentleman moves that Amendment #1 be adopted and . . . ah . . . request that it be tabled. The Amendment is tabled, Mr. Clerk. Are there further Amendments, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #2, Boyle, amends Senate Bill 1382 by deleting everything after the enacting clause and inserting in lieu, thereof, and so forth."

Speaker Miller: "Is Mr. Boyle on the floor? Mr. Kenny Boyle on the floor? This is his Amendment. Mr. Houlihan, Mr. Boyle is not on the floor."

Houlihan: "Could you take it out of the Record then, Mr. Speaker, until Mr. Boyle returns?"

Speaker Miller: "We can . . . we can hold it on the present . . . ah . . . present situation, yes."

Houlihan: "Yes."

Speaker Miller: "So hold this Bill, Mr. Clerk. Read the next Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1424, a Bill for an Act making certain appropriations and reappropriations of the Capitol Development Board. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Miller: "Are there any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Yes, there are. Floor Amendment #1, Maragos, amends Senate Bill 1424 as amended and so forth."

Speaker Miller: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Maragos."

Maragos: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, there are 10 Amendments to this . . . ah . . . Bill, and some of them are going to be tabled by agreement of the Sponsors of the Amendments and . . . ah . . . corrected ones be put on. Amendment #1 is the one that I'm sponsoring on behalf of the Capitol Development Board itself, which, in effect, raises . . . changes the sum allowed of \$100,000 to \$410,000; and the reason that the Capitol Development Board desires to petition money is because this is an inception, additional duties have been given to it, more capital funding has been given it



. . . to it, more jurisdiction has been given to it and their work has been multiplied tenfold, and as a result, they are scattered all over the City of Springfield, and they need to combine all the officers under one area to help buy additional equipment to save the state money in the long-run, and they are asking that we amend the amount that's allowed from \$100,000 to \$410,000 and that's . . . Amendment #1 does, and I move for its adoption."

Speaker Miller: "Is there discussion? The question is, shall House adopt Amendment #1? All those in favor say 'aye', oppose . . . Mr. Washburn. The Gentleman from Grundy, Mr. Washburn, desires . . ."

Washburn: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, one question . . . question . . ."

Speaker Miller: "Wait a minute now."

Washburn: ". . . of the Sponsor of this Amendment. Ah . . . Sam, . . . ah . . ."

Maragos: "Yes."

Washburn: ". . . wouldn't it be best or shouldn't this money come out of the General Revenue Fund as long as it's for . . . ah . . . remodeling, rather than out of this particular Bill?"

Maragos: "Ah . . . Mr. Washburn, I . . . ah . . . the Bill that is sponsored for the operating costs already have come out of your Committee, have been discussed. There were some Amendments put on at that time . . . ah . . . if you can do it in . . . in Hanahan's Bill, I have no objection, but you know the 1383 is the operating cost Bill of the Capitol Development Board had already been passed out, and they asked that we put it on this one, and maybe in Conference we can adjust it, but they asked . . . ah . . . that the principal . . . we had discussed this in the . . . on your Committee, he had no objections to it at that time; and I thought all the objections would on the . . . they may need some funding on this at the same."

Washburn: "Well, . . . ah . . . Sam, some of this money is for equipment as well as remodeling, I believe if I understand it right, and . . . ah . . ."

Maragos: "Well, you're right . . ."



Washburn: ". . . they don't . . . they don't bond . . . ah . . . the money doesn't come from bonds for such things as that."

Maragos: "I . . . I won't disagree with you on that . . . ah . . . but . . . but the thing is . . . ah . . . but these are various outlets and these are primarily capital improvements according to what I've been told by the members of the Capitol Development Board. Now, the man will come over there and speak to you from the Capitol Development Board. This is primarily for capital improvements, even though they show that . . . ah . . . they had . . . they had the breakdown there, the third floor, State Office Building changes."

Speaker Miller: "Is there further discussion? Okay, the question is, shall Amendment #1 be adopted? All those in favor say 'aye', oppose 'nay'; the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment's adopted. Are there further Amendments, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #2, Grotberg, amends Senate Bill 1424 on page 24 and so forth."

Maragos: "Mr. Speaker, I'll take that Amendment for Mr. Grotberg . . . ah . . . there are . . . Amendments 2, 3 and 4 are going to . . . they are similar, so by agreement with Mr. Grotberg last night, he's going to offer Amendment #2 and then ask for it to be tabled. There . . . come on, John."

Speaker Miller: "Here's Mr. Grotberg. The Chair will recognize the Gentleman from Kane, Mr. Grotberg, with respect to Amendment #2."

Maragos: "Move for its adoption and table it."

Grotberg: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ah . . . Sam, well, forgive me, my secretary's house just burnt down in St. Charles and I'm sorry I delayed the proceedings of the House . . . ah . . . we're going to table #2? . . . okay, . . . ah . . . Mr. Chairman . . . ah . . . Mr. Speaker, I offer Amendment #2 and . . . ah . . . by agreement with the Sponsor of the Bill, we would also wish to table #2."

Speaker Miller: "All right, the Gentleman has offered the adoption of Amendment #2 and desires to table it. No . . . the question is on the motion to table. Hearing no objections, the Amendment is tabled. Amendment #3."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #3, Maragos, amends Senate Bill 1424 as amended



and so forth."

Maragos: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this is a similar motion; I offer Amendment #3, then I move it to be tabled because there are . . . agreed Amendment on #4 with Mr. Grotberg. Mr. Speaker, I offer Amendment #3, then I also move that that would be tabled."

Speaker Miller: "All right. The Gentleman offers the adoption of #3 and desires the same tabled. Is there objection? Hearing none, the Amendment is tabled. All right, the next Amendment, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #4, Grotberg, amends Senate Bill 1424 as amended and . . ."

Speaker Miller: "The Gentleman . . . ah . . . from McHenry, Mr. Grotberg Mr. . . . Kane, Mr. Grotberg."

Grotberg: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is an agreed Amendment with the Sponsor and with the Capitol Development Board . . . ah . . . with the Department of Corrections and both sides of the aisle, which . . . ah . . . for security purposes at Illinois Youth Center in Geneva, Illinois, Illinois Youth Center in St. Charles, Illinois, and Illinois Youth Center in Valley View, Illinois. Ah . . . To . . . we've had so many run-away kids because of the problem today with the . . . ah . . . Sheridan, the former . . . ah . . . security prison for juveniles, at Sheridan, Illinois, being transferred to the adult division. We need increased security at Oak Cottage in Geneva and . . . ah . . . construction of two new security cottages in St. Charles to prevent the felons, the young felons, who are no longer being . . . can be remanded to security prisons for adults to contain this situation and a drainage problem at . . . ah . . . Valley View School at Illinois Youth Center, and I . . . ah . . . move the adoption of Amendment #4 to House Bill or Senate Bill 1424."

Speaker Miller: "Is there discussion? The question is, shall the House adopt Amendment #4? All those in favor say 'aye', oppose 'nay'; the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment's adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #5, Maragos, amends Senate Bill 1424 . . ."



Speaker Miller: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Maragos."

Maragos: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, Amendment #5 amends page 16 on line 26 by adding '\$825,000' into the cost of planning for the State Office Building and satellite centers in metropolitan Chicago. This was discussed in the . . . ah . . . in the Committee, and the Director of the . . . ah . . . Chairman of the Capital Development Board said . . . stated the reasons why we needed this additional money for proper planning for the state office complex in Chicago, and I . . . move for the adoption of Amendment #5."

Speaker Miller: "Is there discussion? The question is, shall Amendment #5 be adopted? All those in favor say 'aye', oppose 'nay'; the 'ayes' have it, the Amendment's adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #6, Maragos, amends Senate Bill 1424 and . . ."

Speaker Miller: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Maragos."

Maragos: "Ah . . . Mr. . . . ah . . . Speaker and Members of the House, this gives authority to the Capital Development Board to acquire a certain piece of property which . . . ah . . . the Department of Conservation has agreed to and says we may be giving them land acquisition powers in due course of the Capital Development Board. I move that the purpose of this little section . . . in Section 22 and . . . ah . . . add \$100,000 for the acquisition of certain land at the south end of the Cook County con . . . conservation area, and I move for the . . . adoption of this Amendment."

Speaker Miller: "Is there discussion? The question is, shall Amendment #6 be adopted? All those in favor say 'aye', oppose 'nay'; the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment's adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #7, . . . ah . . . Washburn, amends Senate Bill 1424 on page 1, line 10 . . ."

Speaker Miller: "The Gentleman . . . the Gentleman from Grundy, Mr. Washburn."

Washburn: "Ah . . . Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I offer Amendment #7 to . . . ah . . . Senate Bill 1424 and move for its adoption; and what it does is mark down . . . ah . . . the amounts . . . ah . . . in the reappropriated items by



about . . . ah . . . \$4,000,000."

Speaker Miller: "Is there discussion? Mr. Maragos."

Maragos: "This . . . ah . . . in effect all the figures, does it not, Representative Washburn? This updates the figures?"

Washburn: "Right."

Maragos: "Okay. I have no objection to this."

Speaker Miller: "All right, the question is, shall the House adopt Amendment #7? All those in favor say 'aye', oppose 'nay'; and the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment's adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #8, Maragos, amends Senate Bill 1424 . . ."

Speaker Miller: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Maragos."

Maragos: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, Amendment #8 . . . ah . . . reduces the total amount, which is re . . . reappropriated by the Department of Conservation. This was asked for by the Department of Conservation, and as a result, it puts the form for this Section back the way it was when the Bill was introduced. The Senate Appropriation's Committee has broken the reappropriation down into seven different line items. Since this is a . . . impossible for the Department of Conservation to determine which capital projects fall under each category, they ask that this . . . that . . . ah . . . this Amendment be put back in the . . . #8 be adopted to put it back in the original form; and I ask for your support."

Speaker Miller: "Is there discussion? Mr. . . . the Gentleman from Grundy, Mr. Washburn."

Washburn: "Well, thank you . . . ah . . . Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, again, in the Appropriation's Committee, and I think most of the Members on this floor have been striving for better accountability procedure in State Government expenditures, and this Amendment that . . . ah . . . or the Amendment that we . . . ah . . . attached . . . ah . . . to this Bill . . . ah . . . broke down into seven different categories about \$16,000,000. We . . . ah . . . it was in a lump-sum form to begin with and . . . ah . . . the Department of Conservation would've had a free hand with no strings attached to \$16,000,000. We broke it down into seven different funds and now as Mr. . . . Mr. Maragos' proposal here, it would



be again in one lump sum and to be spent at the discretion of the Department, and I think that for the accountability angle of it . . . ah . . . it should remain in seven . . . ah . . . different accounts as we have done to many other Bills here this year."

Speaker Miller: "All right, is there further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Maragos."

Maragos: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I agree that . . . with what Representative Washburn has stated is correct as far as reappropriations on these projects are concerned. However, this matter has been discussed, and I was under the impression the Department of Conservation has discussed it with both sides of the aisle and their staffs and they told me that there was a good . . . first of all this does reduce the amount of . . . by \$1,000,000 . . . \$1,100,000 and these are reappropriations, and, therefore, that's because they use it on line items because they cannot . . . they're going to become very confused in . . . in administering these particular items; and, therefore, they're asking for this particular fiscal year on these . . . ah . . . reappropriations that they be allowed to lump them together and then in the future they have no objection to break it down as you wish; and I would ask for the adoption of this Amendment."

Speaker Miller: "Ah . . . The Gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Tuerk."

Tuerk: "Mr. Speaker, I'm sorry I'm a little late here. Ah . . . Could I ask the Sponsor of the Bill a question at this point?"

Speaker Miller: "Ah . . . Yes, you may proceed. Ah . . . It was not the Chair's understanding that Mr. Maragos was closing, so proceed, Mr. Tuerk."

Tuerk: "Ah . . . On page 13 of the . . . ah . . . Senate Bill in the House, lines 38 and actually it should be 39, is that still in the Bill, Sam, in reference to Jubilee College State Park?"

Maragos: "Yes, it is."

Tuerk: "Thank you."

Maragos: "All right."

Speaker Miller: "Is there further discussion? Mr. Maragos . . ."



Maragos: "In closing, Mr. Speaker, I have been led to believe by the Department of Conservation in the previous Amendment that they discussed it with the staff and that there was agreement on this, and because of the fact that this is reappropriation rather than a new appropriation, and it would be very difficult for them to proceed without lumping them together because there's various projects on various pages, and I think in all fairness that we should allow them . . . ah . . . pass this . . . since they already have started these projects on a lump-sum basis to continue that process and more importantly because it reduces the total amount of . . . by \$1,000,000 . . . over \$1,000,000, I think we should . . . ah . . . give them the opportunity to be able to lop off the areas that they want to on their own discretion, rather than allowing them to put it by line item, which makes it more difficult to administer; and I ask for the support of Amendment #8."

Speaker Miller: "The question is, shall the House adopt Amendment #8? All those in favor will say 'aye', those opposed 'nay'; the Amendment is adopted."

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #9, McMaster, amends House Bill 1424, page 13 and so forth."

Speaker Miller: "The Gentleman from Knox, Mr. McMaster."

McMaster: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #9 to Senate Bill 1424 amends the Bill on page 13, line 17, by changing the . . . ah . . . deleting '\$40,029,900' and inserting in lieu, thereof, '\$40,229,900', and on page 13 by inserting between line 24 and line 25 the following: 'For the remodeling work at the Galesburg State Reser . . . Research Hospital Laboratory, \$200,000'."

Speaker Miller: "Is there discussion? The Gentleman from DeKalb, Mr. Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, . . . ah . . . again this gets back to the . . . ah . . . circumstances of the Kiwanee strike versus the Galesburg strike, and this just discount the fact that . . . ah . . . we even have 20 acres of property of Kiwanis, and let's just look at this objectively, appro-



proiating \$200,000 of taxpayers money to . . . ah . . . go in and remodel a . . . ah . . . research . . . the Research Division of the Galesburg Hospital which is doing some very tremendous research work in the area of schizophrenia. Now, I do know that there has been an Amendment tacked on the Mental Health appropriation in the Senate for a quarter of a million dollars not to minimize or decrease this research going on at the 'pseudocume' lab at Galesburg was to increase these services, and . . . ah . . . I appeal to each and every Member of this House to look at this very carefully. This is a very important area and in my wildest imagination I can't imagine going in and putting large animal diagnostic facilities . . . ah . . . on the campus here with these 1,200 patients. I appeal to each and every one of the House Members before they cast their money or their vote to spend this . . . ah . . . state taxpayers money for this . . . ah . . . particular purpose to give it very careful consideration, and I do rise in opposition to the Amendment and would appreciate a 'no' vote. Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Miller: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Maragos."

Maragos: "I . . . Mr. Speaker, I'd like to ask Mr. McMaster's a question. I was not aware, Tom, that you were going to come through with this Amendment until this morning, but I want to ask you, do you also have some prominent authority given to the Capital Board to raise these bonds because if the appropriation itself will not be good unless you have the . . . also the concomitant authority to . . . ah . . . have the bonding . . . ah . . . powers?"

Speaker Miller: "The Gentleman from Knox, Mr. . . . ah . . ."

McMaster: "McMaster."

Speaker Miller: ". . . McMaster."

McMaster: "Ah . . . Mr. Maragos, Section 10 on page 13 of . . . ah . . . the Bill, when you come to line 21, it says for the Department of Agriculture for the planning and construction of an animal diagnostic lab' facility to be loaded in . . . located in Kiwanee on existing state-owned property. Well, I would say to you that certainly Galesburg State Research Hospital is state-owned property. I say to you that my Amendment is for the Department of Agriculture. I . . ."



I'm not going to argue the pros and cons of Kiwanee versus Galesburg, we have agrued that out and it's still on the floor."

Maragos: "No, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to ask further, I want to stance now, I'm not against your Amendment, all I'm asking is, does the Capital Development Board have the bonding power to do what you want to do? That's all I'm asking."

McMaster: "Yes, I have the . . ."

Maragos: "All right, . . . House want to pass or adopt . . . I'm neither for or against this . . . ah . . . I just wanted you to know if you had the authority."

Speaker Miller: "All right, is there further discussion? The question is, shall the House adopt Amendment #9? All those in favor will say 'aye', those opposed 'nay'; the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment's adopted. Are there further Amendments, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #10, Mc . . . ah . . . Shea, amends Senate Bill 1424 and so forth."

Speaker Miller: "All right, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Maragos is handling this for Mr. Shea."

Maragos: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, all that . . . all that Amendment #10 . . . 10 does is that . . . ah . . . it gives a name to the complex . . . the legal and para-legal education complex, which is going to here and we will call it the 'William Chamberlain Memorial School of Law'. This designates a name for the complex that was adopted on the . . . on a previous Section . . . and Section 18.1. It doesn't spend any money, but this is the . . . the name of the complex for which we are appropriating funds, I'd ask for his adoption."

Speaker Miller: "Is there discussion? The question is, shall the House adopt Amendment #10? All those in favor say 'aye', oppose 'nay'; the 'ayes' have it, and Amendment #10 is adopted. Are there further Amendments, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk Selcke: "That's it."

Speaker Miller: "All right, the Bill is advanced to the order of Third Reading."

Maragos: "Thank you."



Clerk Selcke: "Ah . . . Senate Bill 1552, Maragos, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Income Tax Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Miller: "Are there any Amendments from the floor? The Bill is advanced to the order of Third Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1565, . . . ah . . . who's this? . . . Schisler, amends the Municipal Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Miller: "Ah . . . Any Amendments from the floor? The Bill is advanced to the order of Third Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "Ah . . . Senate Bill 1280, . . . ah . . . Emil Jones, a Bill for an Act . . . ah . . . to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Illinois Mental Health Planning Board. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Miller: "Are there any Amendments from the floor? The Bill is advanced to the order of Third Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1286, . . . ah . . . Barry, amends an Act relating to Legislative Reference Bureau. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Miller: "Any Amendments from the floor? The Bill is advanced to Third Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "Ah . . . Senate Bill 1290, . . . ah . . . Davis, amends the Fair Employment Practices Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Miller: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Selcke: "Let's see, do we have one? Wait a minute, wait a minute. No, no Committee Amendments. The next Amendment. Just a minute, 1290 . . . 1290, all right . . . ah . . . Amendment #1, Ewell, amends Senate Bill 1290 in the House on page 2 by striking line 18 and so forth."

Speaker Miller: "All right, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Ewell, with respect to Floor Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1290."

Ewell: "Ah . . ."

Clerk Selcke: "Yeah, Ewell."

Ewell: ". . . Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen, the Amendment to



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

..."

Clerk Selcke: "Do we have . . . ah . . . on 1261, huh? . . ."

Ewell: ". . . Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen . . . ah . . . Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1290 . . . ah . . . simply sets the rate of pay of the Chairman in excess of the . . . ah . . . Members of the Board. This is an Amendment to the F.E.P., and all it does is give the . . . give the Chairman a very small differential between the Members of the Board."

Speaker Miller: "Is there discussion? All right, the question is, shall the House adopt Amendment #1? All those in favor say 'aye', oppose 'nay'; the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment's adopted. Are there further Amendments, Mr. Clerk? The Bill is advanced to the order of Third Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1326, Totten, a Bill for an Act to amend the Regional Transportation Authority Act. Ah . . . Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments. Any from the floor? Any Amendments from the floor?"

Speaker Blair: "No . . . let's read that a Second time and leave it on Second."

Clerk Selcke: "Okay. It's been read a Second time, Mr. Speaker. Ah . . . Is he here, Beaupre's not here. We don't want that one yet, he's not here. Ah . . . Senate Bill 1357, Schneider, is he here? . . . okay, a Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Environmental Protection Agency. Second Reading of the Bill. Two Committee Amendments. Committee Amendment #1 amends Senate Bill 1357 on page 1, line 19, and so forth."

Speaker Blair: "All right . . . ah . . . Mr. Schneider, is this your's?"

Schneider: "Ah . . . Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I believe it's Representative Rigney's Committee Amendment . . . ah . . ."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Rigney."

Schneider: ". . . no problem on that, by the way, and I would move for its adoption."

Speaker Blair: "No problem. Mr. Washburn to . . ."

Schneider: "Pardon?"

Speaker Blair: ". . . Mr. Rigney has Amendment #1 . . . ah . . . here to



1357. It's the E.P.A. Mr. Schneider says he has no . . . no problems with it."

Schneider: "That's a Committee Amendment."

Speaker Blair: "What's it do, somebody want to move the adoption of it?"

Schneider: "It's a simple transfer, Mr. Speaker, within the agency budget, and I'm not sure of the amount, it may . . . about has a \$24,000 or somethinglike that."

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment . . . page 1, line 18 . . ."

Speaker Blair: "Wait, we're you on one?"

Clerk Selcke: "Yeah."

Speaker Blair: "Yeah, well, Mr. Washburn, the Gentleman from Grundy."

Washburn: "Yeah, thank you, Mr. Speaker, this . . . this is merely a transfer, it makes no change in the appropriation. It's a transfer between funds. I move for its adoption."

Speaker Blair: "All right, all those in favor of the adoption say 'aye', oppose 'no'; the 'ayes' have it, the Amendment #1 is adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment . . . Committee Amendment #2 amends Senate Bill 1357 on page 4 by deleting line 14 and so forth."

Speaker Blair: "Whose is this, Committee?"

Clerk Selcke: "This was read . . . Committee Amendment."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Washburn."

Schneider: "Is this Representative Arnell's Amendment in Committee? No, I have no opposition to that Amendment either, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Blair: "What does it do? Mr. Arnell . . ."

Schneider: "Categor . . ."

Speaker Blair: ". . . whose explaining it? Mr. Washburn or . . ."

Washburn: "Yeah . . . ah . . . thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen. There is no change in the dollar amount here, it's another transfer between line items . . . ah . . . for better accountability. No change in the dollar amount."

Speaker Blair: "All right, all those in favor of the adoption of Amendment #2 say 'aye', oppose 'no'; the 'ayes' have it, the Amendment's adopted. Are there further Amendments? Third?"

Clerk Selcke: "Ah . . . Amendment . . . Amendment #3, Pierce, amends



Speaker Blair: "All right, the Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Pierce."

Pierce: "Ah . . . Mr. . . . ah . . . Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, what this Amendment seeks to do is negate Senate Amendments 3 and 4, we . . . ah . . . which took . . . ah . . . \$581,800 out of the E.P.A. for the use of legal services, and puts \$612,000 for some reason . . . ah . . . to be in a separate section of the E.P.A. budget to be used solely by the Attorney General for legal services for the agency. So what the Senate did was give all the lawyers to Attorney General with the E.P.A. paying the . . . the Bill for them, and what the Amendment seeks to do, Amendment #3, is to restore the Bill the way that it was introduced with E.P.A. having the right to have a little internal House counsel lawyers and, of course, that appeal on so . . . on the . . . ah . . . Attorney General . . . ah . . . would provide . . . ah . . . the lawyers. I think we've had this question up several times yesterday. I know Mr. Duff gave an eloquent speech on the other side and he determined at that time that the agency should be entitled to have their House counsel. I, therefore, move for the adoption of Amendment #3 to House Bill 1357, . . . Senate Bill 1357."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Epton."

Epton: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, ordinarily I have agreed with the point raised my . . . by my distinguished colleague, but the Attorney General should not always have the right to handle matters in behalf of various agencies. This is not a new matter, as a matter of fact, those lawyers on the floor of the House know that the courts have had some distinguished battles about it, and the courts, the Illinois courts, have conclusively indicated in the case of the Department of Insurance, for example, that the Attorney General is the attorney for the Department. Now, this you know it precludes the Department of Insurance from having its own legal counsel, but it's specifically . . . the courts specifically stated, as a matter of fact, our Constitution, which our Con Con Delegates drafted, specifically states that the Attorney General will proceed in behalf of these agencies, and particularly in

in this area, if ever you need a situation or the Attorney General's intervention it would be in the field of Environmental Protection. As you well know, I have disagreed with the Attorney General on many issues, and I probably will continue to do so, but in this particular case to have my learned colleagues suggest that the proper procedure is for the . . . this office to hire its own counsel to appear, and then when litigation and to transfer it to another office is a little bit short of ridiculous, and I know the distinguished lawyer, he, himself, would find that not only it's costly to intervene in a case which has been handled by somebody else, but you might have an extremely divided appearance, that's if we agree, as I believe everyone on the floor does, that the Attorney General will appear in all litigated matters. It seems almost ecumenic that he should handle the case from its inception; and for that reason, I hope that my colleagues on the floor will vote against this Amendment. The motive is probably fairly well intentioned, but the fact remains that the Senate, rarely do I have good words for the Senate, but the Senate in their wisdom, of course the law of averages prevail and occasionally they do come up with a good Bill and a good Amendment, and in this case it may be the last one of the Session, so I sincerely urge that you defeat this Amendment and allow the Attorney General to precede in behalf of this agency, and I might add that if he isn't able to accomplish a job, if he isn't able to do that which we require, and the matter comes up next year and most of us will be back, and I say we'd be . . . we'd be willing to change my feeling, but at the present time I ask your assistance in defeating this Amendment."

Speaker Blair: "Ah . . . Mr. Borchers."

Borchers: "Mr. Speaker and fellow Members of the House, . . . ah . . . yesterday I discussed this matter with the Attorney General, and . . . in relation to this Amendment, and I want you to know that he told me at that time that during the period of the . . . the . . . ah . . . of the House when we were discussing the matter of leave-burning Bill which is now the law in the State of Illinois, he said E.P.A. requested him numerous times to take action against people



throughout the state in small towns, including small towns who were burning their leaves. Now, if we produce a . . . the E.P.A. with their own legal system, you can be sure you're going to have a plenty, plurality of cases of all types, for all types of activity that it may take . . . many of us regard as rather ludicrous. So I suggest that this . . . ah . . . Amendment be defeated."

Speaker Blair: "Ah . . . Mr. Duff."

Duff: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would draw your attention again to the fact that this is not a simple Amendment on one Bill, but a matter of policy apparently . . . ah . . . that has been decided upon by the . . . ah . . . cabinet officers and the divi . . . Executive Department, whereby, there is a major effort in this House and this General Assembly this year to resist the efforts of a constitutional distinction of . . . according . . . on the role of the Attorney General in Illinois. I couldn't agree more with what the distinguished Representative from Cook just said. Ah . . . He expressed it, I think, extremely well. We cannot have an Attorney General who has to pick up the case of somebody else that's been developing it. You've all seen instances where the Environmental Protection Agency has not functioned in the way which you feel it should. Perhaps one of the worst powers you can give a bureaucratic establishment is the power to be his own counsel. The Attorney General is elected by all of the people of Illinois. The Attorney General is the attorney for all of the people and all of the departments of Illinois. I think that the people in this House should be very alert to the fact that we are talking here about a major effort to deprive the Attorney General of doing his job as he should."

Speaker Blair: "Well, now, Mr. Pierce to close."

Pierce: "Well, Mr. Speaker, there's nothing any different about . . . ah . . . this Bill and the other Bills we dealt with yesterday. The Attorney General is apparently trying to grab every attorney that works for any department of State Government, and puts them on his campaign for Governor. Ah . . . These departments have a right to have inside attorneys and the Attorney General has a right to . . . ah



. . . represent the agencies in the Appellate Court, or the Supreme Court or in court or even to file suits on his own. It's the same issue. The only reason you'd vote differently here as Mr. Borchers said is because you have some hatred for the E.P.A. and they want to stop them from probably functioning. The Gentleman from Cook indicated that if it's a department he likes like Insurance, they have a right to have their own attorneys, but if it's a department he doesn't like like E.P.A. then the Senate all of a sudden is wise and full and wisdom and . . . ah . . . and they shouldn't have attorneys. So we kind of reward and punish agencies by the way we like their performance by saying, 'You're a nice agency, you can have your own attorney', but to someone trying to save the environment or preserve the environment we say, 'You're a bad agency, and we're going to take away your attorney and that's the way we'll punish you', and we'll give them to the Attorney General so he can get a . . . a campaign going for Governor. Now, traditionally, the agencies of our state have been entitled to hire lawyers and to work on matters . . . ah . . . on this side of the agency and then the matter is later turned over to the Attorney General for suit. That's where the Federal Government works, that's where the Secretary of State works and that's the way our State Treasury and Bakalis has his own attorneys that work inside before matters go to court and O.S.P.I., and that's the traditional way State Government works so, therefore, I ask that you vote to adopt Amendment #3 and be consistent with . . . with what we did on last night on the other Bills."

Speaker Blair: "Well, Mr. Pierce was closing on his Amendment, the . . . do you want to speak on it . . . do you want a Roll Call? All those in favor of Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 1357 vote 'aye' and the opposed 'no'. Ah . . . Mr. Schneider."

Schneider: "Well, thank you . . . thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, we ought to be careful when we deal with this total question as we have been doing the last few days because what we're saying is that each of the agencies is going to lose its policy-playing role. They have to be the sole determiner of the nature of the cases that they are going to bring . . . ah . . . before the



courts, and I think we can effect disastrously that procedure of policy in any agency when we allow one . . . ah . . . agency to control another. A second question is there are . . . it relates to the question of auditing and we're not so certain yet how one determines the economic responsibility and fiscal responsibility that each agency holds in regard to the question of whether or not attorneys assigned to one agency are responsible to another. So I think we are . . . ah . . . not only getting confused on the question of politics perhaps, and I hope we don't get into that, but most importantly we're talking about the question of policymaking and fiscal auditing, and I think we ought to support the Amendment and allow it to remain in its present . . . ah . . . position so that we don't confuse things, and I again would like to solicit an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Blair: "Have all voted who wish?"

Epton: "Mr. Speaker, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Blair: "Ah . . . Mr. . . . Mr. . . . ah . . . Epton."

Epton: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, in explaining my vote, I think I . . . obviously the acoustics here are bad because certainly Representative Pierce would never deliberately misinterpret what I said. In the first instance, I think you well know, I am not for Attorney General Scott for Governor. I've been committed to the Speaker to Robert Blair and I don't intend to deviate. So I think that those who suggest this is a political ploy for me to help the Attorney General really aren't listening very closely to my points. Secondly, to suggest that I would do something to harm the Environmental Pollution Agency is something I'm sure Representative Pierce didn't mean to convey. I have consistently voted for that agency. I have consistently voted for its support, and again to comment otherwise really was an unintended error; and finally to have the comment made that . . . ah . . . this is retribution. He obviously didn't hear the point I made. The Department of Insurance was treated exactly the same. They do not have the right to an attorney. So this isn't a case of selecting one department against another. Representative Pierce is one of those excellent attorneys



who in some fashion manages to say everything that respond to the points that are being made. I think think the truth is very simply that this agency is entitled to legal representation by the Attorney General of the State of Illinois by virtue of the Constitution and by virtue of the courts, and for anyone to suggest otherwise doesn't do justice to his own stature on the floor."

Speaker Blair: "Ah . . . Mr. Schneider."

? 326 → Epton: "Ah . . . Well, Mr. Speaker, . . . ah . . . I'm sitting in Mr. Schneider's desk, however, I'm not voting with him on this particular issue. I . . . ah . . . have no vested interest in promoting the political fortunes of Attorney General William Scott; as a matter of fact, on occasion I have been critical when he has sponsored or . . . ah . . . or tried to enact Bills to expand his jurisdiction in the area of State-wide Grand Jury. However, we're talking now about his role as abdicate for the people in voting litigation in the area of anti-pollution efforts and in the area of conservation; and in this particular area, I must confess that I have been more than a little disappointed with the record and the role of E.P.A. I do not seek to cripple E.P.A. by depriving of its budget, but I do think that that very, very healthy . . . ah . . . competition or balance between . . . ah . . . the Attorney General's office and the office of E.P.A. will be useful in continuing to forward the fight to maintain, for example, Lake Michigan. Now, I am extremely critical of E.P.A. When E.P.A. fails to go forward, for example, with a permits program which the Federal Government has been trying to get the State of Illinois to annex for years, and years and years in this state, no one has known who has had jurisdiction for granting of permits. The result has been a lot of buck-passing, the result has . . ."

Speaker Blair: "Ah . . . Mr. Hyde."

Hyde: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is an important Amendment and as Representative Epton has said we're dealing with the constitutional problem, also there is case law that has been handed down by the Illinois Supreme Court and in one such case the Illinois Supreme Court said that by our Constitution



the Attorney General is the chief law officer of the state and the only officer empowered to represent the people in any suit or proceeding in which the state is the real party in interest, and it . . . just lately the Illinois Supreme Court said in *People versus McCullan*, 'The Attorney General is vested with many powers and duties and these appertain to his office under the Constitution. He cannot be deprived of these common law functions by the Legislature'; and so unless we get some resounding red light record up there, we're going to do something that is unconstitutional and tie this appropriation up in litigation, and I'm very pleased to see we have more red lights than green lights, but I hope those of us who would put partisan politics aside and the Constitution up front would vote red on this Amendment. Thank you."

Speaker Blair: "Ah . . . Mr. . . . ah . . . Pierce."

Pierce: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, because of the . . . ah . . . kind of the . . . ah . . . kind of vengeful attitude of the E.P.A., I'd like to take this Amendment out of the Record at this time and then when we cool our heads a little, I'll prevail."

Speaker Blair: "Well, I can't . . . I don't know . . . ah . . . there are objections being raised to taking it out of the Record. I . . ."

Pierce: "Well, I don't want the Amendment voted, I want to take it out of the Record. I don't care if there are objections or not, that's my motion."

Speaker Blair: "Well, why don't you refer me to the rule . . . ah . . . the rules say when there is a . . . ah . . . matter that . . . ah . . . is on vote that the only thing you can do is Postponed Consideration as you well know."

Pierce: "On the Amendment or on the Bill?"

Speaker Blair: "Well, . . ."

Pierce: "Maybe the Sponsor of the Bill should be called on to see what he wants to do, maybe he wants to take the Bill out of the Record on Second Reading? Maybe that will be the way to do it, to have the Sponsor of the Bill . . . ah . . . take the Bill out of the . . . ah . . . out of the Record on Second Reading at this time."

Speaker Blair: "Hold it on Second Reading."



Unknown: "Mr. Speaker? Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Blair: "Yeah, I . . . ah . . . I don't see how your request is in order . . . ah . . . simply because . . . ah . . . and your point is well taken, of course, that the motion to postpone is with respect to Bills; . . . ah . . . but there's no way that I can take a Roll Call . . . ah . . . after there's been objection . . . ah . . . to taking this out of the Record because I have a Roll Call that's on the board, and I lose the Roll Call that's on the board if I take a Roll Call on your motion to take it out of the Record. Yeah, yeah, on the Amendment. Yeah. I'll see, I don't know, do you have a suggestion, Sir?"

Unknown: "Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Blair: "Do you have a suggestion as to how we can accomplish that. If I . . . in order to take a Roll Call on your motion to take . . . ah . . . it out of the Record, I've got to dump the Roll Call that I have on the Amendment right now."

Pierce: "Well, maybe then the proper thing would be for the Sponsor of the Bill who is I think seeking recognition out here, I'm not the Sponsor of the Bill, . . . ah . . . to ask that the . . . ah . . . Bill to be taken out of the Record on Second Reading now, rather than the Amendment, take the entire Bill out of the Record on Second Reading."

Speaker Blair: "Yeah, that's true, the . . . ah . . . the Postponed Consideration only applies to Third Reading. We are on Second Reading."

Pierce: "I think Mr. Schneider is . . . seeking recognition."

Speaker Blair: "I . . . Mr. Schneider."

Schneider: "Well, Mr. Speaker, obviously . . . ah . . . the usual problem of verification and things like that are going to arise over this, I'd like to take the Bill out of the Record and hold it where it is."

Speaker Blair: "All right, Mr. Epton."

Epton: "I don't recall . . . ah . . . that maybe . . . maybe it was done in the past, but I don't recall on ever taking a Bill out of the Record when there's objection to a Roll Call, which is on the board."



It would seem to me that it would be an injustice to those contrary to statements who have a very eloquent debate on both sides. Now, if this motion wins or loses under verification so be it. What the Sponsor elects to do with the Bill after the Amendment may be very well his privilege, but I would submit that he is entitled to have a final determination of this Amendment."

Speaker Blair: "Ah . . . Mr. Hart."

Hart: "I've got a better suggestion, why don't we take the agency out of the Record."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Lun . . . ah . . . Lundy."

Lundy: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would simply remind the Members that it is not at all unprecedented for a request to be made to take a . . . a Bill out of the Record . . . ah . . . in the middle of a Roll Call. That was done on Senate Bill 1568, Amendment #7, which some of you may recall was the Amendment to extend the . . . ah . . . campaign contribution filing requirements state-wide. We were in the middle in the verification of the Roll Call and a request was made to take that Bill out of the Record at that time, and . . . ah . . . while it wasn't done, I would just remind the Members that it's not at all unprecedented for that kind of a request to be made; and it's up to . . . it's up to the Members of the House to decide whether they'd rather save the time and avoid a verification or let the Sponsor take the Bill out."

Speaker Blair: "All right, the . . . ah . . . the Sponsor asks to . . . ah . . . take the entire Bill . . . ah . . . out of the Record . . . ah . . . with Amendment #3, Bill, pending and 1 and 2 adopted. Ah . . . Objections . . ."

Lundy: "So he could do a . . . ah . . . he enjoyed those hours in the Centennial Building by doing name Roll Call, maybe we could do that . . . ah . . . on a motion to take the Bill out of the Record and do it orally if you don't want to dump the Roll Call or possibly we could all stand and raise our hands or something as an alternative to dumping the board, but again we're into the problems of time and I think it would be something that can be worked out over a period of hours."



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Speaker Blair: "Yeah, the problem is that . . . ah . . . I think that . . . ah . . . probably you should just go ahead . . . ah . . . you can address yourself . . . ah . . . to your position on this by other action . . . ah . . . if you leave the Bill on Second Reading. I mean, if you think at a later time you might be in a better posture. You see, in effect, the Gentleman has a losing Amendment here . . . ah . . . and he wants to get it out so he can try to round up some . . . ah . . . more votes so he won't be in losing position. Ah . . . But there's been objection to taking it out, and I don't know how actually you can take it out . . . ah . . . if there are objections, because I . . . as a practical matter, I have to get some type of Roll Call . . . ah . . . and I don't have that available; so what I'm . . . as a practical matter . . . ah . . . you could . . . ah . . . if you leave it on Second Reading, you could . . . ah . . . huh? . . . Mr. Day."

Day: "Well, Mr. Speaker, . . . ah . . . it seems to me that there's one other alternative with the Sponsor of the Amendment might want to consider, and that would be to . . . ah . . . let this vote stand or if he wants to verify it . . . ah . . . take a vote on it and then possibly file a motion to reconsider . . . ah . . . this so that he could . . . could keep a string on it . . . a line on it, and then if he can . . . ah . . . round up the votes, why he should have no difficulty in reconsidering it and . . . ah . . . and then calling it up again; but at least we would . . . ah . . . we would be moving along on it, if that . . . if that is possible under the rules."

Speaker Blair: "Well, that's . . . that what I was . . . ah . . . suggesting to Mr. Schneider. Ah . . . Mr. Pierce."

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, at the time we were exp . . . explaining our votes, I think . . . ah . . . some of these switches were . . . were closed and . . . ah . . . I see the need that . . . ah . . . if we go . . . ah . . . if go to a vote here, we're going to have to . . . ah . . . poll the absentees and verify it, we might open the switches, but I would again like to renew my motion to . . . ah . . . to take Amendment #3 out of the Record. I think together we can work this thing out with . . . ah . . . Mr. Epton and some of the others and



. . . ah . . . and come back with it."

Speaker Blair: "All right, . . . ah . . . have all voted who wish? Are there any persons who want to get on the Roll Call before I announce it? Mr. McClain. Mr. Skinner. Mr. Borchers."

Borchers: "Mr. Speaker, in explaining my vote, I just want to point out I recollect all of you two years ago the hassle we had and the danger the E.P.A. was in because of the action they had taken. There was a general ground group meeting throughout the State of Illinois against the E.P.A. I don't want to see this happen again, but I assure you that if we have a dual system that this could well happen because there are individuals in the E.P.A. who will go too far with their actions and endanger their own . . . ah . . . organization, their own agency. So I feel we should vote red on this and protect the best interests in the people in the State of Illinois."

Speaker Blair: "On this question there are 88 'nays' and . . . no, take the Record, yeah . . . on this question there are 88 'nays' and 78 'yeas'; and the . . . and Amendment #3 fails. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment # . . ."

Speaker Blair: "Ah . . . just a minute, for what purpose does Mr. Schlickman desire recognition."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, having voted on the prevailing side, I move that we reconsider the vote by which Amendment #3 to Hou . . . Senate Bill 1357 lost."

Speaker Blair: "Ah . . . Mr. Palmer . . ."

Palmer: "Motion to table."

Speaker Blair: ". . . moves to table. All those in favor of the Gentleman's motion to table . . . Mr. Epton."

Epton: "Ah . . . Ladies . . . Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think it's only right that all of you should be aware of the discussion that ensued at the Speaker's podium."

Speaker Blair: "No, I don't think that's proper at all at this time."

Epton: "Well, all I would say, Mr. Speaker, . . ."

Speaker Blair: "I don't think . . ."

Epton: ". . . is that . . . all right, I won't say that, but you . . ."



Speaker Blair: "That's right."

Epton: ". . . as a matter of personal privilege, Mr. Speaker, some Members of this House are putting into a position are renegeing on a commitment. The other side indicated to me that they would be perfectly willing not to waste our time and they would put this Amendment down drain without embarrassing the . . . and somebody said they'll probably a motion to recommit. I indicated . . . ah . . . to . . . ah . . . put it on the table . . . I indicated that in all probability my colleagues wouldn't take advantage of that situation. If they propose to do so, that's their privilege, but I think that it's in error and I did have the right to state that I committed to the other side."

Speaker Blair: "Ah . . . Mr. Pierce."

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, I believe there's a motion . . . ah . . . that had precedence . . . ah . . . before the . . . ah . . . motion to reconsider. That was Mr. Schneider that made a motion to hold the Bill . . . or take the Bill out of the Record on Second Reading, but you wanted to take the Roll Call on the Amendment because you don't want to leave that Roll Call, and I agreed with that and let you take the Roll Call defeating the Amendment because you had indicated it had been defeated, and I had no right to . . . to . . . ah . . . eliminate that defeat; so I think that . . . that . . . then we reverted to Mr. Schneider's . . . ah . . . motion . . . ah . . . to take . . . ah . . . the Bill out of the Record on Second Reading which would have come prior to Mr. Schlickman's motion to reconsider; so I think if you'll recall that sequence at the time we had some confusion . . . ah . . . the proper matter would have been Mr. Schneider's motion to take it out . . ."

Speaker Blair: "Ah . . . yeah, that's all fine, Mr. Schneider came up here and said that we'd go ahead . . . ah . . . with the procedure . . . ah . . . that we have. Now, . . . ah . . ."

Pierce: ". . . that was at the conference, that wasn't on the floor though, that was like Mr. Epton's . . . ah . . . talk."

Speaker Blair: ". . . well, but . . . but you're referring to Mr. Schneider's motion and Mr. Schneider said we could go ahead and let the



Speaker Blair: "That's right."

Epton: ". . . as a matter of personal privilege, Mr. Speaker, some Members of this House are putting into a position are reneging on a commitment. The other side indicated to me that they would be perfectly willing not to waste our time and they would put this Amendment down drain without embarrassing the . . . and somebody said they'll probably a motion to recommit. I indicated . . . ah . . . to . . . ah . . . put it on the table . . . I indicated that in all probability my colleagues wouldn't take advantage of that situation. If they propose to do so, that's their privilege, but I think that it's in error and I did have the right to state that I committed to the other side."

Speaker Blair: "Ah . . . Mr. Pierce."

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, I believe there's a motion . . . ah . . . that had precedence . . . ah . . . before the . . . ah . . . motion to reconsider. That was Mr. Schneider that made a motion to hold the Bill . . . or take the Bill out of the Record on Second Reading, but you wanted to take the Roll Call on the Amendment because you don't want to leave that Roll Call, and I agreed with that and let you take the Roll Call defeating the Amendment because you had indicated it had been defeated, and I had no right to . . . to . . . ah . . . eliminate that defeat; so I think that . . . that . . . then we reverted to Mr. Schneider's . . . ah . . . motion . . . ah . . . to take . . . ah . . . the Bill out of the Record on Second Reading which would have come prior to Mr. Schlickman's motion to reconsider; so I think if you'll recall that sequence at the time we had some confusion . . . ah . . . the proper matter would have been Mr. Schneider's motion to take it out . . ."

Speaker Blair: "Ah . . . yeah, that's all fine, Mr. Schneider came up here and said that we'd go ahead . . . ah . . . with the procedure . . . ah . . . that we have. Now, . . . ah . . ."

Epton: ". . . that was at the conference, that wasn't on the floor though, that was like Mr. Epton's . . . ah . . . talk."

Speaker Blair: ". . . well, but . . . but you're referring to Mr. Schneider's motion and Mr. Schneider said we could go ahead and let the

thing go down. Now, . . . ah . . . there's no problem . . ."

Pierce: "He didn't say it on the floor, he just said it in conversation.

The same way Mr. Epton said some things in conversation."

Speaker Blair: "Well, I don't see where you have a problem. Ah . . . A motion to reconsider because it's tabled just means that the Amendment in the exact . . . ah . . . this particular Amendment cannot be reconsidered, that doesn't mean that you cannot adopt a similar Amendment later."

Pierce: "No, but it seems to me that it . . . ah . . . makes it more difficult, and . . . ah . . . and the . . . and Mr. Schneider did ask that it be taken out of the Record, but it's up to him. All right, we can proceed. We can proceed, Mr. Speaker. I'll . . . I'll . . . ah . . . go along with what you're doing, because I'm sure it will be . . . ah . . ."

Speaker Blair: "Yeah, just let me make it perfectly clear that there was no discussion here at the podium at all with respect to anybody putting a motion to reconsider and a motion to table, and the Chair certainly never entered in to any understanding with respect to that. Now, Mr. Palmer moves to table. All those in favor say 'aye', oppose 'no'; the 'ayes' have it, and the motion to table prevails. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #4, Schneider, amends Senate Bill 1357 as amended and so forth."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Schneider."

Clerk Selcke: "Where is he? Hey, Amendment 4."

Schneider: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, given the . . . ah . . . present confusion over the previous Amendment and the anticipated confusion over the future Amendments, I'd like to take the Bill out of the Record at this time."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, the Gentleman wants to take the Bill out of the Record, and . . . well, let's review what's been done. How many . . ."

Clerk Selcke: "Amendments 1 and 2 were adopted, Amendment 3 lost."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, the Amendments #1 and 2 were adopted.

Amendment #3 was lost; and the Bill is now taken out of the Record.



Clerk, the next one, please."

Clerk Selcke: "Yeah, . . . ah . . . Senate Bill 1424 . . . ah . . .

Senate Bill 1426, excuse me, Shea, amends the Local Government Tax Study Commission. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Telcser: "Are there Amendments from the floor? Third Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "Ah . . . Senate Bill 14 . . . ah . . . 52 . . . ah . . .

Geo-Karis, amends the Regional Transportation Authority Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Telcser: "Wait, wait a second."

Clerk Selcke: "All right, out of the Record?"

Speaker Telcser: "Take it out of the Record. All right, wait a second.

We can read it a second time, but leave it on Second, okay? Read it a second time, but . . ."

Clerk Selcke: "It has been read a second time."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, it has been read a second time, it'll stay on Second."

Clerk Selcke: "Hold it on Second."

Speaker Telcser: "Hold it on Second, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Selcke: "George, hold that one on Second."

Speaker Telcser: "Which one was that . . ."

Clerk Selcke: "Ah . . . Give me the next one, Chockey. Ah . . . Senate Bill 1461, amends an Act relating to State Police. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Telcser: "Any Amendment from the floor? Third Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill . . . Senate Bill 4 . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "1481."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . 1481, . . . ah . . . Schoeberlein, appropriation to the Department of Transportation. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Telcser: "Are there Amendments from the floor? Third Reading. Senate Bill 1492."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1492 . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Let's hold that, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Selcke: "Okay, out of the Record? Well, you want to read it . . ."



Speaker Telcser: "You can read it a second time and leave it on Second."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . ah . . . 1492, a Bill for an Act to amend the Regional Transportation Authority Act. Second Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "This Bill will remain on Second Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "No, no, no, no, now . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Senate Bill . . ."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . 1452 in this one . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Senate Bill 1500."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1500, a Bill for an Act to amend the Medical Practice Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Ah . . . One Committee Amendment amends Senate Bill 1500 in the House and so forth."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Juckett."

Juckett: "Mr. Speaker, I'd appreciate to having the Bill read a second time, but we have a . . . an Amendment coming which is agreed to by the Department, the Senate Sponsor, et cetera, and it's not quite ready yet."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, take it out of the Record."

Clerk Selcke: "Huh? Okay, just take it out of the Record."

Speaker Telcser: "Senate Bill 1528."

Clerk Selcke: "1528, Senate Bill 1528, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act relating to tree experts. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Telcser: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Selcke: "Got a whole ton of them, wait . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . yeah, completion, yeah, this is one of those . . . Amendment #1, Shea, amends Senate Bill 1528 and so forth. Shea's not on the floor, there he is . . . now, Jerry, are you going to take this, 1528?"

Speaker Telcser: "Well, let's take this out of the Record, Mr. Clerk. Senate Bill 1617."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1617, a Bill for an Act to amend the Workmen's Compensation Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Telcser: "Are there Amendments from the floor? Third Reading."



Senate Bill 1620."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1620, an appropriation to the Board of Higher Education. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Telcser: "Amendments from the floor? Third Reading. Senate Bill 1627."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1627, . . . ah . . . a Bill for an Act in relation to allocation of space in buildings in the capitol complex. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Telcser: "Any Amendments from the floor? Third Reading. Senate Bill 1635."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1635, an Act to amend the Illinois Highway Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Telcser: "Who's this for? Third Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1644, an Act concerning public utilities. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Telcser: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Selcke: "Hey, hey, come on, we can . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Skinner, you'll have to remove that typewriter, Sir. I'm sorry, we can't hear anything."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1656, yeah . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Yeah, it says . . . ah . . . Third Reading for 1644."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . ah . . . supplemental appropriation to the Department of Personnel. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Telcser: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1663, an Act to vacate, extinguish, abandon and release an easement for highway purpose in Jackson County, Illinois. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Telcser: "Any Amendments from the floor? Third Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1669, a Bill for an Act to create the Illinois Governmental Information Service and so forth. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Telcser: "Any Amendments from the floor? Third Reading. Representative Ryan, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Ryan: "I would like to take that Bill out of the Record, Sir, now."



Speaker Telcser: "All right, 1669?"

Ryan: "Right."

Clerk Selcke: "Hold it on Second."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, hold it on Second . . ."

Ryan: "Right."

Speaker Telcser: ". . . and then take it out of the Record."

Clerk Selcke: "We'll hold it on Second and take it . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Hold it on Second. So it . . . it has been read a second time, but we'll leave it on Second."

Clerk Selcke: "Ah . . . Senate Bill . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "1675."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . 1675, a Bill for an Act to require zoning of land in Kane County. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Telcser: "Amendments from the floor? Third Reading. Senate Bill 1676."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1676, an Act to amend an Act relating to hospital emergency service. Second Reading of the Bill. Ah . . . Committee Amendment . . . Committee Amendment #1, amends Senate Bill 1676 on page 3 by deleting line 6 . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative LaFleur. Ah . . . Friedland . . . ah . . . the Gentleman from Kane, Representative Friedland."

Friedland: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Committee Amendment #1, 2 and 3 and 4 by agreement of the Sponsors of the Amendments . . . ah . . . I would move that those Amendments be tabled and I . . . Representative Matijevich has an Amendment which will encompass those provisions in a single Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, the Gentleman . . . ah . . . any discussion? The Gentleman has moved to table Amendments #, did you say #1, 2, 3 and 4? . . . 1, 2, 3 and 4. All in favor 'aye', the opposed 'no'; the Amendments are tabled. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #5, Berman, amends Senate Bill 1676 on page 3 and so forth."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Berman."

Berman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #5 . . . ah . . . spells out



the . . . in greater details the areas of liability for civil damages arising out of the paramedic programs. It's been cleared . . . and with the Sponsor. I move the adoption of Amendment #5 to Senate Bill 1676."

Clerk O'Brien: "He moves adoption."

Speaker Telcser: "Any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Douglas."

Douglas: "Mr. Speaker, I'm sorry, but . . . ah . . . prior to Representative Berman speaking, Representative Friedland said that . . . that Amendments 1, 2, 3 and 4 were tabled. Excuse me, Representative Berman, but we skipped very quickly over that. May I ask a question before we move on to Amendment 5, Mr. Speaker?"

Berman: "That's up to the Speaker, I think . . ."

Douglas: "We . . . we moved very quickly over Representative Friedland's comment that . . . that Amendments 1, 2, 3 and 4 were tabled, one of which was mine, and I'm . . . I just want to be positive before we skip over those that . . . that each of those Amendments has been included in the Repr . . . in the Amendment that Representative Friedland said they were. Now, I realize that I'm going back, but . . . ah . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, Representative Matijevich indicates he can . . . ah . . . shed some light on the matter."

Douglas: "Well, you know, I'm looking at Amendment #8, which I believe is the Amendment you're referring to, Representative Friedland, is it . . . is it Amendment #8 that includes them all?"

Berman: "Yes."

Douglas: "Well, does that include Amendment #1 which was mine which was tabled that referred to a specific technique, I don't see that . . . ah . . . it does, all right. Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I'm sorry, Representative Berman."

Berman: "All right, Mr. Speaker, I move the adoption of Amendment #5."

Speaker Telcser: "Any discussion? The Gentleman offers to move the adoption of Amendment #5 to Senate Bill 1676. All in favor of the adoption signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'; the Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments?"



Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #6, Berman, amends Senate Bill 1676."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Berman."

Berman: "Ah . . . Amendment #6 is . . . ah . . . not necessarily what the Amendment . . . adoption of Amendment #5, so I move its adoption and then move to table it."

Speaker Telcser: ". . . moves . . . Senate Bill 1676. All in favor 'aye', opposed 'no'; the Amendment is tabled. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #7, Douglas, amends Senate Bill 1676 . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Douglas."

Clerk O'Brien: ". . . and so forth."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Douglas."

Douglas: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, . . . ah . . . Amendment 7 . . . ah . . . which was discussed with . . . ah . . . the Sponsor of the Bill and a number of us who were Sponsors of another Bill . . . ah . . . which relates very closely with this one . . . ah . . . that we're dealing with a question of . . . of blood and blood donations . . . ah . . . also there's Amendment #7 . . . ah . . . I'd be glad to answer any questions and I would appreciate telling . . . I would appreciate your support in in . . . including Amendment #7 as . . . ah . . . as part of Senate Bill 1676."

Speaker Telcser: "Further discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #7 to Senate Bill 1676. All in favor 'aye', the opposed 'no'; the Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #8, Matijevich, amends Senate Bill 1676 . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Chairman and Members of the House, Amendment #8 was drafted by the staff to incorporate all of Amendment #. . . ah . . . 1, 2, 3 and 4, which were Com . . . Committee Amendments . . . ah . . . permitting the endotracheal, that's Douglas' Amendment, intubation as an authorized Act, strikes . . . ah . . . the term 'paramedical persons' from the Act, and it expands the Advisory Board from seven to . . . to ten, and deletes the language which would have been an umbrella provision . . . ah . . . I move the adoption of Amendment #8."

Speaker Telcser: "Further discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move



the adoption of Amendment #8 to Senate Bill 1676. All in favor 'aye', opposed 'no'; the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments? Third Reading. Okay, Senate Bills, Third Reading. Representative Beaupre, are you . . . ah . . . seeking recog . . ."

Beaupre: "Mr. Speaker, we had one that we passed over because the Amendment hadn't been printed . . . ah . . . I wonder if we could go back to 1261."

Speaker Telcser: "Sure . . . ah . . . let's get an Introduction taken care of first, okay, Jack?"

Unknown: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen . . . Gentlemen of the House, we have the boys here from . . . ah . . . Peoria Boys State sponsored by the American Legion Department of Illinois that's being held at Eastern Illinois University in Charleston, during the period of June 22nd through 29; and I'd like to have each and every one of them introduce themselves. First of all, the Governor . . ."

Dale Thomas, Jr.: "Mr. name is Dale Thomas, Jr."

Unknown: ". . . and where are you from?"

Dale Thomas, Jr.: "From Lake Forest."

William Cognes: "I'm William Cognes, the Lieutenant Governor from Rockford."

Tom Hubbard: "I'm Tom Hubbard, the Secretary of State from Canton."

Robert Toblin: "I'm Robert Toblin, the Clerk of the Supreme Court from Mt. Pulaski."

Bruce Saleserick: "I'm Bruce Saleserick, Comptroller from Sterling."

Keith Anderson: "I'm Keith Anderson, Attorney General from Plainfield."

Arnie Longfellow: "I'm Arnie Longfellow, State Treasurer from Arlington."

Unknown: "Thank you ever so much."

Speaker Telcser: "Thank you very much. Do you have . . . number? . . . Senate Bill 1261 on Second Reading."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1261, a Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Electric Control Commission. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments. Any from the floor?"

Speaker Telcser: "Are there Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1, VonBoeckman, amends Senate Bill 1261 on page



1 and so forth."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman . . . ah . . . the Gentleman from Tazewell, Representative VonBoeckman."

VonBoeckman: "Mr. . . . isn't this Senate Bill 1261? This . . . is the board right? Is this on the \$40,000 limit?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No, this is the Electric Control Commission."

VonBoeckman: "I ain't got an Amendment on this . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Ryan, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Ryan: "Well, Mr. Speaker, . . . ah . . . the Chairman on the Appropriation's Committee has to step out of the Chamber for a minute, and I would respectfully ask the Sponsor to hold the Bill."

Beaupre: "Mr. Speaker, this . . . ah . . . Bill has been on the Calendar for like . . . ah . . . four days and . . . ah . . . we've had trouble getting to it, but when it came up . . . ah . . . an hour or so ago, the Amendment that I had on . . . on the . . . ah . . . desk apparently for that same four-day period . . . ah . . . hadn't been printed, and . . . ah . . . I'd kind of like to move ahead with it. Now, I don't want to violate any . . . ah . . . courtesy . . . ah . . . of this side, but I would hope that maybe we could get the Chairman back here so that we consider this matter and move it along."

Ryan: "Well, Mr. Beaupre, I'm sure you're aware the heavy workload that the Chairman of the Appropriation's Committee . . . got to handle, and I will again respectfully request that you hold this Bill until he gets back on the floor."

Beaupre: "Take it out."

Speaker Telcser: "Proceed, take it out of the Record. Senate Bills, Third Reading. Representative Schraeder, for what purpose do you arise?"

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker, there was a Bill that was bypassed, 1382, I wonder if you'd call that . . . if the Sponsor is in, I would like to proceed with it."

Speaker Telcser: "All right . . . I'll be glad to call it, . . . ah . . . Fred, 1382, that's the appropriation for Capital Development, is that a . . . Is there a brouhaha on that one or something? Are there Amendments, Fred? Well, we have to stay because our Appropriation's guy



isn't here . . . ah . . . I'm sure they'll ask you . . . they'll stand up and ask you to take it out of the Record, I . . . ah . . . who? . . . what? . . . I'll call it, I'm going to call it. Representative Wolf, for what purpose do you arise?"

Wolf: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I've been trying to get a Bill advanced here, and before I was advised that before we went to the order of the next business I might . . . ah . . . move to have the Bill advanced without reference to Committee. Would it be an opportunity to do that now, Sir?"

Speaker Telcser: "Let's do that now, maybe by the time Representative Wolf finishes, Representative Washington will be on the floor, and we can . . ."

Wolf: "All right, . . . ah . . . Mr. Speaker, Senate Bill 1646 was read a first time yesterday . . . ah . . . it's a very simple pension Bill which is sponsored . . . ah . . . by Senator Dan Dougherty in the Senate. Ah . . . This is . . . ah . . . to add the . . . ah . . . an automatic increase in annuities to two percent. Ah . . . we're changing the date . . . ah . . . to start in January 1 of '75, rather than '76, which will take care of some of the employees from the Department of Public Aid transferred to state employment on February 1 of this year, and I would . . . ah . . . I've talked to Mr. Shea about that yesterday, and I would move to . . . advance . . . ah . . . Senate Bill 1646 on the order of . . . ah . . . Second Reading without reference to a Committee."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, are there any objections? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Katz."

Katz: "Has this been approved by the Pension Laws Commission?"

Wolf: "This Bill? Bill . . . there's no objection to Commission. The total . . . the total additional cost for the first year would be \$9,000 and it diminishes from thereon, it's . . . it's just a matter of changing the date so the employees who were transferred . . . ah . . . public aid employees that were transferred from the county to state em . . . employment . . . ah . . . would be covered as of January 1, '75, rather than '76. There's no big problem with it."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, are there any objections using the attendance Roll



Call as the affirmative vote? All right, hearing no objections, that will be the affirmative vote. Senate Bill . . . Senate Bill 1646, it's on the order of Second Reading. Okay, now, Representative Lechowicz, for what purpose do you arise?"

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to make a . . . suspend Rule 67 and move to discharge Senate Bill 1566 in the Human Resources Committee and have the Bill placed on Second Reading, Second Legislative Day. This is with concurrence of the Chairman of the Human Resource Committee. Ah . . . What this Bill does it requires that a . . . of a private company insurance mental health plan throughout the state. The Bill passed out of the Senate overwhelmingly without a negative vote. I talked to someone with the Chairman of the Committee and the Leadership, and they said this is the proper approach for me to take."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Capuzi."

Capuzi: "Representative Lechowicz is absolutely right. We tried to hear this Bill the other day in Committee . . . ah . . . it was one of those nights that we worked late and we couldn't get a quorum and there was a mix up in the vote, so we have no objections."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, Representative Holloway, for what purpose do you rise, Tom Holloway."

Holloway: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, I'd like to move to dischar . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, let me . . . let's get rid of this motion . . ."

Holloway: "oh, I see."

Speaker Telcser: ". . . first, okay? Okay, any objections to using the attendance Roll Call as the affirmative vote? Hearing none, that will be the . . . ah . . . the Roll Call and the Bill will be placed on the order of Second Reading, Second Legislative Day. Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I have the same request on Senate Bill 1538, which was just . . . ah . . . came out of Rules and then we'd have to suspend Rule 67 and make a motion to discharge and have the Bill taken . . . ah . . . Second Reading, Second Legislative Day. What this does, it establish in a school for the deaf and . . . ah . . . deaf and hearing children at Lincolnshire, Prairieview and



half the schools in Illinois, it's a prototype of school for educating hearing impaired children. The Bill passed out of the Senate, it was 52 to nothing. I'd ask leave of the House for . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "What was number, Ted? What was the number? The Clerk didn't hear."

Lechowicz: "1538, Senate Bill 1538."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, any objections to using the attendance Roll Call as the affirmative vote? Hearing no objections, that will be the affirmative vote. Repr . . . Representative Holloway."

Holloway: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, I'd like to make a similar motion and . . . and ask that the . . . ah . . . appropriate . . . ah . . . rule be waived in regard to Senate Bill . . . ah . . . 1670. Now, this Bill would . . . ah . . . have been on the Calendar . . . ah . . . Second Day . . . Second Legislative Day except for a mix up . . . ah . . . the other night . . . ah . . . there was misinformation . . . ah . . . at the meeting of the Executive Committee. It's been discussed with . . . ah . . . the Chairman of the Committee, he has no objection. Ah . . . Senate Bill 1670 is actually House Bill 751 . . . ah . . . and has to do with . . . ah . . . controlling experimentation on human beings and I'd like to have this Bill . . . ah . . . discharged from the Executive Committee and placed on the Calendar on Second Reading, Second Legislative Day."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Matijevich, for what purpose do you arise?"

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, . . . ah . . . there was some confusion about this Bill as to whether Representative Holloway wanted it heard or not the other day. He wasn't there . . . ah . . . but . . . ah . . . I don't think that we as Members of the Executive Committee have the responsibility to advance this Bill because he wasn't there. The fact . . . this is very . . . very, very complex Bill, and we do have a Committee hearing this afternoon. If we allow this Bill to go on Second Reading, that will mean that this Bill would . . . would advance on the Calendar without a Committee hearing in either House, a very complex Bill, and I think we ought to all oppose it in view of the fact that we do have a Committee



meeting sometime this afternoon, and I think that this Bill has got to have a . . . a full hearing. That's all there is to it."

Holloway: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, . . . ah . . . I'll be charitable and told that that was . . . ah . . . confusion, but actually there was . . . ah . . . information given to the Chairman that was completely and totally unfounded as to whether or not . . . ah . . . I once received to this Bill. Now, true I would dare . . . I . . . I returned to Chicago for the purpose of . . . ah . . . of attending a funeral, and . . . ah . . . I had no prior notice to the fact that the Executive Committee would meet that night. Now, . . . ah . . . this Bill is a Bill that has been languishing since . . . ah . . . last Spring, and it's very important to the people of the State of Illinois."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, objections have been . . . ah . . . by the way, I have not gone to the order of motions, I try to accommodate a couple of Members that agree . . . oral motions, are you going to proceed to making a motion, Representative Holloway?"

Holloway: "Yes."

Speaker Telcser: "Objections have been heard, the Gentleman now moves to . . . to . . . Representative Collins, for what purpose do you arise?"

Collins: "Well, Mr. Speaker, . . . ah . . . there is some confusion here, but I . . . I think the Gentleman's request is reasonable for this reason. Even though he was not at the meeting, Representative Washington was preparing to present the Bill on his behalf, and we were ready to hear it when I was informed by parties, not Members of the Committee, but . . . but Representative Holloway did not want the Bill heard, and for that reason, I did not call the Bill. Subsequently, I talked to Representative Holloway and he said he most definitely did want to have the Bill heard and we were then please to have Representative Washington handle it for him. Now, the disposition of the Committee, if I heard it right at that time, was to vote the Bill out for Representative Holloway. So obviously I cannot . . . ah . . . object to his . . . ah . . . request, I think it's eminently reasonable and I . . . ah . . . I would urge the Members of



the Committee to . . . ah . . . support the motion. We're running out of time, I don't know how much time we have for a . . . ah . . . meeting this afternoon. Ah . . . We may or may not get to all the Bills we have on here this afternoon. So I think . . . ah . . . we should honor the Gentleman's request. If there are questions about the Bill, certainly we can examine it on Thir . . . Second Second Reading for Amendments, and on Third Reading when it reaches the passage stage. So I would ask the Membership to support the Gentleman in his motion."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, this is a little unusual, but could the Chairman of the Executive Committee an . . . answer a question? Would . . . would he . . . would he answer a question? Ah . . . Chairman Collins, could you give me one reason, just one reason, why this Bill cannot be heard this afternoon in Committee? Give me one reason why it cannot be heard."

Collins: "The only . . . the only reason I can give you is the limitations of time . . ."

Matijevich: "Well, . . . well, here . . . I think . . ."

Collins: ". . . we have a number of Bills."

Matijevich: ". . . I think there was some confusion, but it is a complex Bill. As a Minority Spokesman, I would say that that Bill has priority to be hel . . . heard first in Committee, but it should be heard in Committee, give it priority. Let it be heard first, but we have got time to . . . we on the Second Reading cannot go into the context features of this Bill, it's impossible."

Collins: "Well, we've had time the other night, and were prepared to take as much time as was necessary to hear it. Now, you say give us priority well and good, but every other Sponsor that has a Bill in that Committee is saying, 'Give me priority'. Ah . . . We're . . . we're . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Pierce, for what purpose do you arise? All right, one minute, Representative Pierce, for what purpose do you arise?"

Pierce: "A point of parliamentary inquiry . . . an inquiry, Mr. Speaker."



Many Members, including myself, have had motions on the Calendar for over a week to discharge Committee and we haven't gotten to the order of motions, they've never been heard, and now . . . ah . . . Members are standing up and I guess one was by agreement and that was fine, but this one, a Member just stands up and makes a motion to discharge and those of us that have been sitting here with motions for two weeks, and I know Giorgi up there has one on there, I have one, and they never get to the order of business, we're taking up time of the House here on the disputed motion to discharge when we're not even on that order of business, and I object to considering disputed motions now. If it's by concurrence of the Leaders . . . ah . . . that's different, like Representative Lechowicz was a minute ago; and so I objected the order of business until we get the motions and take them into the order they are in the Calendar."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Walsh, for what purpose do you arise?"

Walsh, W.: "Mr. Speaker, I . . . ah . . . a . . . in my opinion, the Gentleman that last . . . both the Assistant Minority Whip is absolutely right, we should not get into these . . . ah . . . there are many items of business under motions that have been on there for a considerably more than a week, and they should certainly have priority over someone standing up on the floor and moving those immediately."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Walsh, your point is well taken. I slipped a couple of agreed motions because . . . to try to expedite some matters, I had no idea when Representative Holloway rose to his feet that he was alluding to a motion on the Calendar. However, he's made it and I'd taken a minute to he persist and I would not cut the Gentleman off. Now, Representative Holloway, do you still wish to put your motion now, Sir? Okay, let's get those . . . the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Holloway."

Holloway: "Am I sure that'll be heard in Committee today? I just want want to be assured then that it will be heard in Committee today."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, I'll do my best to call everything on the Calendar that I . . . we possibly can. There's no way to predict how long the Members will take debating Bills between now and midnight, or



tomorrow or Sunday or Monday."

Holloway: "The Cha . . . the Chairman has not announced that there would be an Executive Committee meeting today . . . ah . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Holloway, that's why I want you . . . to clearly understand, I'm not telling you now not to pursue in your motion. If you wish to persist in it, I'll take it."

Holloway: "Well, I . . . I will persist in it."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, let's get the Roll Call over then. The Gentleman moves to discharge . . . ah . . . well, let's go with the . . . let's get it over with in his request in the motion. Representative Douglas, for what purpose do you arise?"

Douglas: "Mr. Speaker, and . . . ah . . . on this motion, I just want to add one more voice and . . . ah . . . caution the Members of this House that this is the wrong Bill to move ahead and I urge you to vote 'no' on this motion. It'll be a terrible mistake."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman moves to discharge to Executive Committee in consideration of Senate Bill 1670. We'll have the Bill . . . on Second Reading, Second Legislative Day. 107 votes. All in favor of the Gentleman's motion signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Gentleman from Moultrie, Representative Stone."

Stone: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, what are we voting on?"

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman moves to discharge the Senate . . . the House Executive Committee from consideration of Senate Bill 1670 and have the Bill placed on the order of Second Reading, Second Legislative Day."

Stone: "All right, well this is a horrible Bill to begin with and I . . . I hope that no more red votes go on."

Speaker Telcser: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Holloway, for what purpose do you arise?"

Holloway: "Well, I'd like to explain my vote . . . ah . . . everybody is saying this is such a horrible Bill . . . ah . . . I'd like to advise the Members of the General Assembly . . . ah . . . that this is a one-page Bill . . . ah . . . that was filed in the Senate by the House 146 to nothing. Now, this Bill should be coming back here



on Concurrence, but . . . ah . . . the Senate . . . ah . . . in their . . . its wisdom saw fit to make a Committee Bill out of it and send it back in this particular form. Now, in this Bill . . . say you don't know what a horrible Bill it is . . . ah . . . in this Bill simply states that . . . ah . . . the medical profession . . . ah . . . cannot . . . ah . . . experiment on human being without . . . ah . . . giving them a chance to know what . . . ah . . . is happening to them, and even if they agree . . . ah . . . give them the right to say, 'You can't do it to me anymore'. That's what this horrible Bill is all about, and . . . ah . . . whether you know it or not there is no law in the State of Illinois that the events is happening to you and your family, even in your private physician's office; and that's what this Bill is all about."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Douglas."

Douglas: "Well, I didn't call it a horrible Bill, I called it a complex Bill, and that's why those of us who are concerned that it should be heard properly should insist on it being heard in Committee. It's not a horrible Bill, it's a good idea, but it's not the kind of thing we could possibly debate on the floor, and that's the issue here."

Speaker Telcser: "Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question there are 68 'ayes', 35 'nays', 6 answering 'present'; the Gentleman's motion fails. Now, Senate Bills, Second Reading. Senate Bill, let's take 1261 for Jack and then we'll come back to yours, okay, Dan? 1261, Senate Bills, Second Reading."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1261 has been read a second previously. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Telcser: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1, VonBoeckman, amends Senate Bill 1261 and so forth."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Tazewell, Representative VonBoeckman. Is the Gentleman on the floor? Representative Washburn, for what purpose do you arise?"

Washburn: "Well, Mr. Speaker, thank you . . . ah . . . Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #1 basically puts the . . . ah



. . . Bill back in its original form. It restores the . . . ah . . . cuts that were made in the Senate . . . ah . . . in Committee. We went along with the Bill and didn't amend it at all in the House Appropriation's Committee, and it passed out 20 . . . ah . . . to one. Amendment #2 was . . . ah . . . challenge the judgment of the Appropriation's Committee and restore it to its original form basically as it was presented in the Senate and would restore the cuts that the Senate made. So I would oppose Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1261."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Kankakee, Representative Beaupre."

Beaupre: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, there seems to be some confusion on these Amendments. I'm not aware that . . . ah . . . Representative VonBoeckman has an Amendment. I have an Amendment in which would . . . ah . . . do basically what the Appropriation Chairman . . . ah . . . suggested . . . ah . . . and if we're discussing my Amendment, which I . . . I believe is Amendment #1, I'm not aware of any other, . . . ah . . . then I'd like to address myself to that question and . . . ah . . . speak to it."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Peters, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Peters: "Mr. . . Mr. Speaker, I . . . I suggest that for the moment at least that . . . ah . . . that the Chair get some order in regard to these Amendments. Ah . . . Let's take it . . . we've got two Amendments on the same subject matter . . . ah . . . I don't know whether we're going to discuss Representative VonBoeckman's or Representative Beaupre's Amendment, both of them appear to be #1 . . . ah . . . let's get this matter straightened out, rather than taking the time of the House with this arguing up and back on this on the floor."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative . . ."

Peters: "Let's know a little ahead of time what we're doing."

Speaker Telcser: ". . . Representative Calvo, for what purpose do you arise?"

Calvo: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I don't how the confusion arose, but . . . ah . . . Representative VonBoeckman said just a minute ago that he didn't have any Amendments filed for this Bill. So the only Amend-



ment . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative . . . Representative Beaupre, for what purpose do you arise?"

Beaupre: "Mr. Speaker, might I suggest to expedite the matter that . . . ah . . . the Amendment which I have which I believe is Amendment #1 . . . ah . . . that I'm not aware of whether or not Mr. . . . ah . . . Representative VonBoeckman has offered an Amendment. If there is an Amendment which deals with the entire question and if you want to . . . ah . . . deal with that matter, then if Mr. . . . ah . . . VonBoeckman should either . . . ask prevail or fail, then . . . ah . . . we can deal with . . . ah . . . Representative VonBoeckman's . . . ah . . . Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "The Clerk indicates that one of the staff persons brought the Amendment up to the Clerk's desk and simply said, 'VonBoeckman', perhaps he was in error. Could this be your Amendment?"

Beaupre: "I believe it is . . . ah . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, let's proceed with your Amendment. The Gentleman from Kankakee, Representative Beaupre."

Beaupre: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Amendment . . . ah . . . clarifies . . . ah . . . and corrects a mistake, and I'm suggesting to you that the Senate Appropriation's Committee did indeed make a mistake when they dealt with this problem. We're talking about restoring some \$49,000 and I repeat \$49,000 to the Liquor Control Commission. This Bill in its original form, Mr. Chairman and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, . . . ah . . . really presents what is somewhat of a unique situation in government today. The Chairman of the Liquor Control Commission submitted a budget of \$412,000 . . . ah . . . to the Senate when this Bill was introduced. This Bill amounts to a 49 percent decrease in the cost of operating this agency. I had occasion several months ago to discuss with the Commissioner . . . ah . . . his budget and we went into it in detail and that's one of the reasons why I'm handling it as the Sponsor in the House. I can tell you that he indicated to me then as he has insisted throughout the proceedings here that he submitted what is a bare-bone budget, that is to say he has decreased the number of



employees in this agency from 35 to 26 that he has teared down the number of employees on the cost of operation of this agency . . . ah . . . to half of what it was in fiscal 1974. He's been able to do this for a number of reasons, one, the eliminated the duplication of function . . . ah . . . between the agency itself and the Revenue Department as far as enforcement personnel is concerned and eliminated then a number of spots which the Commissioner felt were unnecessary. The final budget as submitted to the Senate Appropriation's Committee called for seven special agents. These special agents are gentleman who go around and inspect premises that are under the jurisdiction of the Liquor Control Commission. This is a reduction from 21 special agents last year. They were able to do this because the Department of Revenue has taken over some of their functions in regard to inspecting and . . . and looking into . . . ah . . . liquor control violations . . . ah . . . on the premises. I am informed and I believe to be true that by eliminating or cutting from seven special agents to three special agents that the effectiveness of the Liquor Control Commission will . . . will be completely destroyed. I submit to you that for \$49,000 we can't afford to do this and I would ask for your favorable vote in adopting Amendment #1."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, on the Amendment, is there further discussion?"

The Gentleman from Grundy, Representative Washburn wish to . . . ah . . . I'm sorry, the Gentleman from Madison, Representative Walters."

Walters: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Speaker Telcser: "Indicates that he will."

Walters: "Representative Beaupre, you made a statement that the Department of Revenue is taking over some of the investigation formerly done by the Department of Liquor Control Agency, is that true, are they . . . you said they were taking over some of their job. Who's doing the other job?"

Beaupre: "Well, that is absolutely correct. Ah . . . Back in 1969 a Legislative Commission recommended that some of the enforcement personnel in the Liquor Control Commission was not needed because . . . ah . . . it is . . . ah . . . a matter of practice for the auditors and the collectors of the Department of Revenue to contact



the very same retail liquor outlet and . . . ah . . . the Legislative . . . ah . . . Commission that dealt with the problem suggested that we were duplicating efforts by having special agents from the Liquor Control Commission going in auditing the very same people. What has happened in the last year is that the Liquor Control Commissioner has re . . . ah . . . has taken upon a policy of training under a pilot program Department of Revenue auditors and collectors to apprise them of what types of violations could be . . . could occur . . . ah . . . on the premises of . . . of liquor retail outlets. As a result, the Department of Revenue and the Liquor Control Commission are cooperating in this program. They feel that it is . . . it is indeed successful and the Liquor Control Commissioner has felt as a result of this . . . ah . . . limited expend . . . and experience in this area that they could cut down the number of special agents from 21 to 7. I would point out to you that in downstate for instance . . . ah . . . approximately a half of the total area is . . . ah . . . is dry and, therefore, . . . ah . . . the Commissioner feels that . . . ah . . . the seven special agents can cover the . . . the entire area . . . ah . . . I hope that answers your question."

Walters: "Well, in Madison and St. Clair County I will guarantee you that it's not a dry area and we've had many, many problems in that area with the caverns and et cetera, and the agents did many more things besides audit the books, and I wondered if the Department of Revenue is taking over this full-time job. Now, have they increased employment in that agency?"

Beaupre: "Well, I think that . . . ah . . . what you have to do is distinguish between the initial contact with the retail outlet and . . . ah . . . the investigative procedure. What actually happens in the Department of Revenue makes the initial contact. If there . . . ah . . . as a result of their training we feel that are capable of discerning whether or not there are any violations."

Walters: "Ah . . . The question was, have they added any employees in the Department of Revenue?"

Beaupre: "Five more? I believe there have been five employees added in



the Department of Revenue."

Walters: "Thank you very much."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? The Gentleman from Grundy, Representative Washburn."

Washburn: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, in reply to Representative Walters, the Department of Revenue budget was increased to . . . ah . . . take care of these added duties that the agents are required to do; and I might add that under the pilot project for this current year, the . . . ah . . . Department of Revenue agents found three times the number of violations that the Liquor Control Commissioner found. What . . . what's happened . . . the Department of Revenue came in for more money to pick up the agents and to carry out the activities that are the Liquor Control Commission and now the Commission comes in and wants more money to . . . ah . . . restore some of their own investigators that they delegated duties to the Department of Revenue, and I would ask that this Amendment be defeated."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Kankakee, Representative Beaupre, to close."

Beaupre: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, . . . ah . . . while it is . . . ah . . . seldom that I quarrel with the distinguished Gentleman who is the Appropriation's Chairman of the House. I would suggest to you that the statement that he has . . . he has made . . . ah . . . undoubtedly are unintentionally misstatements, but are indeed misstatements. The truth of the matter is that the Department of Revenue has attempted . . . ah . . . and I can verify that as Sponsor of their Bill, has attempted to increase . . . ah . . . their function . . . ah . . . of enforcement . . . ah . . . in regard to the tax laws of this state, and in so doing at the same time they are able through their pilot program . . . ah . . . to . . . ah . . . advise the Liquor Control Commission of any violation that take place on the premises. I misspoke before when I suggested that there have been additional employees added to the Department of Revenue . . . ah . . . roster for this purpose, that is not true; but I would submit to you that it will be impossible for



the Liquor Control Commission to carry out its function with four special agents. These special agents are called in once the Department of Revenue Personnel advises that there may be a potential violation. I don't know how we can ask the Liquor Control Commission to . . . ah . . . go in and enforce the law with four agents for the entire State of Illinois. I would submit to you that these Amendments were put on in the Senate in a vindictive spirit, and I would hope that we would correct that action by doing what's appropriate on the House floor in restoring these cuts."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1261. All in favor of the adoption signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Collins."

Collins: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd like to remind some of the Members of the House about an investigation which was commenced by the House Executive Committee last year into the operations of this very Commission; and I remember at that time Harry, the Chairman of this Commission, piously nothing platitudes about cutting off investigators, not needing investigators, and turning over the functions to the Department of Revenue; and now here is the height of cynicism where he comes back now and has in fact turned over some functions to Revenue and says, 'No, but we still need the duplication that it will be in this Amendment'. I can't imagine such duplicity that when he says that we were . . . we were stopped short in our . . . our investigation much too early, I'm sorry, and I apologize to the House that we were not able to pursue it to a conclusion; but I certainly would recommend the resounding defeat of this Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "Have all voted who wish? Repre . . . Laurino 'aye'. Take the Record. Representative McCormick, are you . . . Representative McCormick wants to vote 'aye'. McCormick and Laurino 'aye'. On this question there are 110 'ayes', 30 'nays', 2 answering 'present'; Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1261 is adopted. Are there further Amendments? Third Reading. Representative VonBoeckman, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"



VonBoeckman: ". . . Amendment to this Bill, I do not have any Amendment to this Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, thank you. Now, we've read Senate Bill 1669 a second time, are there any Amendments? Representative Ryan . . . Representative Maragos, for what purpose do you arise?"

Maragos: "A point of order."

Speaker Telcser: "State your point."

Maragos: "Did you put this Bill on Third Reading?"

Speaker Telcser: "Yes."

Maragos: "I didn't hear it."

Speaker Telcser: "Jack, didn't I say Third Reading?"

Maragos: "No, I didn't hear it."

Speaker Telcser: "Huh? Okay, then 12 . . . 1261 is on Third Reading."

Maragos: "Thank you, I just want to make sure on the . . . Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "Senate Bill 1669 was read a second time. Are there any Amendments? Are there Amendments from the floor to Senate Bill 1669? Third Reading. Senate Bill 1382."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1382, a Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Capital Development Board. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Telcser: "Are there Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1, #1, Amendment #1 to . . . is that tabled? . . . it was tabled previously. Amendment #2, Boyle, amends Senate Bill 1332 . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from McCoupin, Representative Boyle."

Boyle: "Right, what happened to #1? Okay."

Clerk O'Brien: "It was tabled."

Boyle: "Ah . . . # . . . Amendment #2 merely . . . ah . . . transfers to the C.D.B. the . . . ah . . . land acquisition from the Department of Conservation. Ah . . . The Governor's intention for the consolidation of this . . . ah . . . for the State of Illinois it's his belief that it will eliminate the need for duplication of operations in the two Departments, and I'd move for . . . for the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? Yeah? The Gentleman offers



to move the adoption of Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 1382. All in favor 'aye', oppose 'no'; the Amendment's adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "#3, . . . ah . . . Lechowicz, amends Senate Bill 1382 by deleting . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Ah . . . Yes, Mr. Speaker, I move that . . . ah . . . that Amendment be tabled."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has moved to table Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 1382. All in favor 'aye', oppose 'no'; the Amendment's tabled. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #4, Boyle, amends Senate Bill 1382 . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Macoupin, Representative Boyle. All right this is 4."

Boyle: "What do you want to do with 4? Just a minute, huh? We put on 2, we put on 3; now, what do you want . . . what do you want with 4? #1 they said was tabled."

Unknown: "That was Schraeder's."

Boyle: "Right, now we put on 2. Huh? We put on 2 . . ."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #4 amends Senate Bill 1382 on page 2 by deleting line 11 and inserting in lieu thereof Section 5, 'the following named amounts or so much thereof as may be necessary, respectively, for the objects and purposes herein named for the Department of Conservation Land Acquisition'."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Macoupin, Representative Boyle."

Boyle: "Huh? All right, I'll move to table #4."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has moved to table Amendment #4 to Senate Bill 1382. All in favor 'aye', the opposed 'no'; the Amendment's tabled. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #5, Lechowicz, amends Senate Bill 1382 on page 2 . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, . . . Amendment #5 provides \$350,000 for the administration of the energy program. The Capital Development Board is responsible for coordinating all research aspects of

energy resources, their development and their conservative utilization giving due regard to the economic and environmental interests of the people of the State of Illinois. Ah . . . This item was discussed in the Appropriation's Committee, and in turn we decided that upon further . . . we'd move for the adoption of this Amendment on the floor. The \$350,000 as . . . as we discussed is appropriate. I move for the adoption of Amendment #5."

Speaker Telcser: "Any discussion? The Gentleman from Grundy, Representative Washburn."

Washburn: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, with the adoption of Amendment #2, I question whether Amendment #5 is in order. This is . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Re . . . Representative Lechowicz, for what purpose do you arise?"

Lechowicz: "Ted, Amendment #5 is not included in Amendment #2."

Speaker Telcser: "He said it's in . . . in direct conflict, do you want to . . ."

Lechowicz: "Is it in direct conflict?"

Speaker Telcser: ". . . conflict of what?"

Lechowicz: "Well, take it out of the Record and we'll ask leave to correct it, and in turn . . . ah . . . get back to it later. Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "Do you want to proceed with the Bill, Ted, or do you want to take the whole thing out of the Record and come back?"

Unknown: "Proceed with the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, are there further Amendments beyond . . . all right, we're going to . . . ah . . . Ted, Ted, Representative Lechowicz, we'll table this Amendment #5. You . . . write a new Amendment and table it subsequently."

Lechowicz: "Right."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman moves to table Amendment #5 to Senate Bill 1382. All in favor 'aye', the opposed 'no'; the Amendment is tabled. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #6, Lechowicz, amends Senate Bill 1382 by deleting all after the enacting clause and inserting in lieu thereof."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lechowicz."



Lechowicz: "I move to table."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman moves to table Amendment #6 to Senate Bill 1382. All in favor 'aye', the opposed 'no'; the Amendment is tabled. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #7, Schraeder, amends Senate Bill 1382 on page . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Mr. Chairman . . . Speaker and Members of the House, this is the agreed Amendment that . . . ah . . . the Appropriation's Committee requested. I put it in this fashion. It was originally a appropriation for the Land Use Study Commission. They requested I put it on this Bill in this fashion and it's an appropriation of \$50,000 for Martinville Land Use Study Commission; I move its adoption."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Grundy, Representative Washburn."

Washburn: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Certainly Representative Schraeder is correct and this . . . ah . . . amount of money should be placed in this Bill. However, I . . . I question now . . . ah . . . since Amendment #2 has been adopted to the Bill whether this is in order too."

Speaker Telcser: "Mr. Clerk, can we see Amendment #2 and #7, please? . . . baby . . . Fred, Representative Schraeder, your Amendment is certainly in conflict with Amendment #2. If you got together with Representative Lechowicz, you put your matter in the Amendment he is going to ready, all right? . . . which will be number . . . which will have a subsequent number and we would table #7. Is that correct? Ted, would you assure him that that's correct? All right? Okay, the Gentleman moves to table Amendment #7 to Senate Bill 1382. All in favor 'aye', opposed 'no'; the Amendment is tabled. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #8, Schraeder, amends Senate Bill 1382 on page 2 by deleting line 11 and inserting in lieu thereof the following."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Well, Mr. Speaker, if that's what you really want, I'll do



that."

Speaker Telcser: "Is this the same thing, the same problem? The . . .

I think this Amendment's in conflict too, Fred. Let him come up here and let's get this thing . . . all right, the Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Schraeder, with respect to Amendment #8.

Ah . . . This looks like it's in conflict too, Fred, by the way."

Schraeder: "Okay, I withdraw it in the hopes of getting it back in.

This . . . ah . . . I would like to make it very clear though that I've been accommodating some people on these Amendments to this Bill."

Unknown: "With leave of the Chair . . ."

Schraeder: "I . . . I had pa . . . Amendment #1 which was not in conflict.

I withdrew it specifically to allow some other Sponsor of the Amendment to get theirs in order and I was assured that this was in no conflict whatsoever with theirs, and I just want to make it very clear that I'm accommodating people, and I don't want to lose my Amendment, and I would withdraw it at this time."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, the Gentleman moves to table Amendment #8 to

Senate Bill 1382. All in favor 'aye', the opposed 'no'; the Amendment's tabled. Are there further Amendments? Do you want this to go to Third or do you want Leave it on Second? Will you . . . will you take it back? All right, . . . ah . . . Fred . . . ah . . . Representative Houlihan would like this Bill to go to Third Reading.

He has promised to take it back to Second so that you and Representative Lechowicz can offer your Amendments. Okay? Third Reading.

Senate Bills, Third Reading. Senate Bill 166, Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 166, Calvo, a Bill for an Act to provide for an exposition for Performing Arts Authority in Madison and St. Clair County. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Madison, Representative Calvo."

Calvo: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would like to have permission to bring Senate Bill 166 back for the purpose of . . . back to Second Reading for the purpose of adoption a couple of Amendments, one of which clarifies some earlier Amendments and the other one to accommodate one of our Members here on the floor."



Speaker Telcser: "Are there any objections? Hearing none, Senate Bill 166 is returned to the order of Second Reading, and the Clerk please read the Amendment."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #4, North, amends Senate Bill 166 on page 3, line 25, and so forth."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative North. Pat. 4, Pat. The Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative North."

Calvo: "4, Pat."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative North."

Calvo: "#4 you want to table, Pat."

North: "Yeah, I would like to . . . ah . . . move to table Amendment #4."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman moves to table Amendment #4 to Senate Bill 166. All in favor 'aye', the opposed 'no'; the Amendment is tabled. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #5, Calvo, amends Senate Bill 166 . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Madison, Representative Calvo."

Calvo: "Mr. Speaker, before we adopt Amendment #5, I wonder if it would be in order to go back and I would move to table Amendment #2 because Amendment #5 replaces both Amendment #2, which was adopted prior, and Amendment #4, which was just tabled by Representative North."

Speaker Telcser: "Having voted on the prevailing side by which Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 166 was adopted, the Gentleman from Madison, Representative Calvo, moves to reconsider that vote. All in favor 'aye', the opposed 'no'; the Gentleman's motion prevails. The Gentleman now moves to table Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 166. All in favor 'aye', the opposed 'no'; and the Amendment is tabled. The Gentleman from Madison, Representative Calvo, now with respect to Amendment #5."

Calvo: "Okay, Mr. Speaker, I would move for the adoption of Amendment #5 to Senate Bill 166, which . . . ah . . . puts the . . . makes some changes in the language . . . ah . . . but it really puts in Amendment #2 and 4 which . . . ah . . . are the Amendments we just mentioned."

Speaker Telcser: "Any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative



William Walsh."

Walsh, W.: "Well, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendemt #1 was pretty bad or the Bill was pretty bad as it started because it included the East St. Louis area from which the Sponsor of the Bill comes; but this Amendment proposes to extend this Bill to cover the Springfield Metropolitan Exposition Auditorium Authority, Rockford and also the Peoria center, so we had pretty much everybody covered here and I think the Members of the House should realize the bonds issued by these authorities are secured by the state and we got into much discussion about . . . ah . . . bonding authority in the extent to which bonds are issued by the state and guaranteed by the state. Now, I submit to you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, that these authorities are probably of considerable value, but they are of local value, they are . . . they are of value to Springfield, Peoria, et cetera, but of no state-wide value; and so the state has no business financing these. I suggest to you that we ought to defeat Amendment #2 or Amendment #5 and . . . ah . . . defeat the Bill when it comes before us."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? If not, Representative Calvo, to close."

Calvo: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, I would . . . ah . . . move for the adoption of Amendment #5 despite the . . . ah . . . billionth comment of the last Speaker, Amendment #5 as he has correctly stated does include Springfield, Peoria and . . . ah . . . Rockford as well as the metro East area in my area; but I submit that I disagree with him when he says that this does not help the people of the State of Illinois. The building and the operation of these Exposition Authorities will help all of the people of the State of Illinois as they help the people in these areas; and the bonds they talk about or the bonding authority is merely backed up to help us in selling the bonds at a lower interest rate, and the Bill provides that the revenues from the authorities will be used to make the payment of the bonds and the interest on them . . . ah . . . in other words, the debt service, the principal and interest as they become due, and



it does . . . will not be the responsibility of the State of Illinois to make those payments. Ah . . . I . . . under the circumstances, I move for the adoption of Amendment #5 so that these areas can move ahead with civic centers to help their own areas and to help themselves and to expend the economy of their areas as . . . ah . . . what has been done in the City of Chicago to . . . and the Cook County to extend McCormick Place."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #5 to Senate Bill 166. All in favor of the adoption signify by voting 'aye', oppose by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question there are 103 'ayes', 22 'nays', 1 answering 'present'; and Amendment #5 to Senate Bill 166 is adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #6, Schoeberlein, amends Senate Bill 166 by deleting . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman . . ."

Clerk O'Brien: ". . . and made by . . ."

Speaker Telcser: ". . . the Gentleman from Kane, Representative Schoeberlein. Is the Gentleman on the floor? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

Walsh, W.: "Well, my seatmate, Representative Schoeberlein, isn't here, and what his Amendment does, I'm told, is add Aurora, and . . . ah . . . what the heck, that just makes the Bill a little worse and we may as adopt this Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "Any discussion? The Gentleman from Madison, Representative Calvo."

Calvo: "Well, I just move for the adoption of Amendment #6, which . . . ah . . . as the Speaker . . . ah . . . as the previous Speaker said, it does add Aurora and I think . . . ah . . . the same comments I made of the others would apply to Aurora."

Speaker Telcser: "Bill . . . Representative Walsh . . . ah . . . Representative Schoeberlein's Amendment is in direct . . . it's just not germane now because Amendment #6 refers to Amendment #2, which was just tabled . . . because #2 was tabled, right, Jack? . . . Representative William Walsh."



Walsh: "Well, in that event, maybe we ought to hold this Bill until he gets back. He just went down to have a bite to eat, and he'll be back . . . ah . . . very shortly."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Calvo, is that all right with you?"

Calvo: "Well, sure, your Honor . . . ah . . . Mr. Speaker, I'm in the wrong place, I knew I was in the wrong place today. Ah. . . What was your request, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Telcser: "Ah . . . Representative Walsh . . . ah . . . Representative Schoeberlein's Amendment is not germane to the Bill as it now stands. This Amendment refers to Amendment #2, which was tabled. Representative Walsh . . ."

Calvo: "Well . . ."

Speaker Telcser: ". . . says he's downstairs having lunch, he'll be right back up, if you want to hold your Bill."

Calvo: ". . . All right, fine, would you call it as soon as Mr. Schoeberlein gets back?"

Speaker Telcser: "I'll be glad to; Representative Day, for what purpose do you arise?"

Day: "Well, . . . ah . . . Mr. Speaker, I notice that the reference to Amendment #2 . . . ah . . . in Amendment #6 . . . ah . . . refers to . . . ah . . . by deleting the changes made by Amendment #2. So really it doesn't mean anything if Amendment #2 is tabled . . . ah . . . that language doesn't hurt anything because the reference is to . . . is to . . . ah . . . Amendment #2 by deleting the changes made in Amendment #2. So it's just another way of . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Bluthardt, for what purpose do you arise?"

Bluthardt: "I was wondering if that . . . ah . . . has to be re . . . redrafted, that Amendment, I wonder if Schoeberlein would add the area of Schiller Park in there too?"

Speaker Telcser: "Why not? Representative Day, your point is well taken, Sir. Amendment #6 . . . ah . . . is properly drafted. The Gentle . . . Representative, wait a second, the Gentleman has offered to move the adoption . . . Representative Chap . . . adoption of #6 to Senate Bill 166. All in favor 'aye', the opposed



'no'; the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments; 6 is adopted. Any more Amendments, Jack? Third Reading. The Bill has been read a third time, do you wish to have it called now, Horace?"

Calvo: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, I've been requested to hold it on Third, and I wonder if . . . ah . . . for a little later, I wonder if we could do that just for . . . ah . . . can I come back to it in a couple of hours?"

Speaker Telcser: "Sure."

Calvo: "Because I want to make sure about the Amendment. I'm pretty sure it's all right. Thank you."



Speaker Telcser: "Senate Bill 353."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 353, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act in relation to the rate of interest . . . ah . . . and other charges in connection with sale on credit in lending money. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "In case I forgot, Senate Bill 166 is now on Third Reading. The Gentleman from Henderson, Representative Neff."

Neff: "Ah . . . Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 353, by the way, happens to be a Bill that was on Third Reading last . . . ah . . . Spring when we closed up. It was . . . it came back on . . . we finally got it back on Third Reading. Ah . . . This is a Bill that there's been no opposition to it in the Senate or the House to my knowledge. All this Bill does is it amends Section 4A so that the principle amount may not exceed \$1,500, which is now \$7,500, . . . ah . . . and also changes the . . . ah . . . which is currently 61 months . . . the amount of time allowed to pay off up to 121 months. This is a consumers Bill. It's a Bill that does . . . will help our mobile homes. This Amendment . . . this . . . ah . . . has not been amended since 1959; and we know that the price of mobile homes particularly have gone up considerably, many of them are up to \$18,000 and Illinois does . . . ah . . . last year had 25 percent of the homes that were put into use for mobile homes. So this does effect Illinois and this would put it a little more in line with the surrounding states. All other states have at least \$15,000 or \$25,000 maximum or else they have none at all. I would appreciate a favorable vote."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The question is, shall Senate Bill 35 . . . the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Jaffe."

Jaffe: "Yes, will the . . . will the Gentleman yield to a question?"

Speaker Telcser: "He indicates that he will."

Neff: "Yeah."

Jaffe: "I don't have the Bill in front of me, Clarence, but it indicates that it deletes the paragraph on loans for repairs of residential property and tuition . . . or tuition for higher education. Could you explain that to us?"



Neff: "Ah . . . Representative, I see nothing in here . . . ah . . . in the Bill that would . . . ah . . . would do that. All I see is that effecting the consumer loans, which is mostly . . . ah . . . I'd say the big end of these is the mobile homes. This does not raise the interest rates by the way."

Jaffe: "But . . . but, Clarence, I'm looking at the Digest. If you'll look at the Digest, it says it deletes the paragraph on loans for repairs of residential property or tuition for higher education and I . . . I just would like for you to explain that. I don't understand it."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, I'm waiting for Representative Neff to respond to Representative Jaffe . . ."

Neff: "Ah . . ."

Speaker Telcser: ". . . give him a chance to look up . . ."

Neff: ". . . Yes, I'm looking at the Digest now . . . ah . . . Representative, and I see in there and yet I can't see how this does effect them because, as I say, this . . . ah . . . amends Section 4A, which I don't believe is in . . . in that there, and . . . ah . . . I think this is a mistake on the Digest . . . and this Bill has been sitting around for over a year . . . ah . . . it's gone through all Committees, both from the Senate and the House; and to this day, I've never had any opposition raised on it whatsoever; and I . . . I do see the Digest. I think the Digest is in error."

Jaffe: "Well, Clarence, what . . . what is the amount of . . . of interest that is charged at the present time?"

Neff: "Ah . . . the amount of interest charged at the present time is a 7 percent add on; and I want to emphasize this does not change any interest . . . increase any interest whatsoever. It does increase that . . . ah . . . on a . . . at the present time under the law you can borrow \$7,500 on this type of loan. It would permit you if you wish to borrow up to \$1,500; but also under the present law, it may . . . you can not give a . . . a time payment more than 61 months. At this time . . . ah . . . under this Bill, it would allow up to 122 months."

Jaffe: "Yeah, well, but what does . . . what's the amount of interest



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

that they charge now. I know you say it . . . it hasn't increased, but what's the amount . . ."

Neff: "Ah . . . A 7 percent add on and that's . . . ah . . . been in effect, as I understand it, since 1959."

Jaffe: "Well, when you say a simple add on, what does that mean, Clarence?"

Neff: "Pardon?"

Jaffe: "What . . . what does that mean? I want to know what the amount is. Ah . . . You know, you're not answering my question . . ."

Neff: "Ah . . . Well, I can say this, a 7 percent simple add on rate is something we have had for the last twentysome years and we're not changing it any."

Jaffe: "Well, Clarence, I really want to know what the amount is, and I'm not getting any . . . you're telling me that the Digest is wrong, and you're not giving me any answers. I'd like to vote for the Bill, but until such time as I get some answers, I'm going to either have to vote 'no' or . . . or 'present' on this Bill. Would you want to hold this for a little bit, Clarence, so we can take a look at this Bill?"

Neff: "I . . . I think it's kind of ridiculous, Representative, because this Bill has been around here for a . . . ah . . . all this time, and . . . ah . . . I think you know what a simple add on rate is. Ah . . . It's something that's been on the books for twentysome years and you have it in almost any type of installment line."

Jaffe: "Well, I . . . I get the feeling, Clarence, that this is probably a Bill that, you know, for the loan companies like H.F.C. and so on and so forth, and I'm not getting any answers on it; and, therefore, I'm going to have to either vote 'no' or 'present'."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Christian, Representative
Tipsword."

Unknown: "Ah . . . Can you tell me . . ."

Tipsword: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen, I think probably most of the Membership in the House knows that I'm certainly no friend of most of the efforts to increase any interest rates in the State of Illinois; but this is not a Bill that increases



interest rates in any respect. This is a Bill that will permit the banks, large and small, all over the State of Illinois to provide a better service to those people who . . . who need installment loans. It simply increases the maximum limit of installment loans as is . . . is available through your local lending institutions and increases the number of months that you may be allowed to repay on an installment basis that they cannot now allow. In fact, the limitations that are upon banks in this regard has severely limited the amount of installment loan credit that you can now get at a bank in your local community; and this is certainly not a . . . a situation which is going to increase the interest income that the bank would receive. It's not for that purpose; but it's solely and only to permit better service to those people who require installment credit at a larger amount because the amount is presently too small to fit most of the . . . the commodities that people generally buy on installment loans in this period of inflationary prices, and it also gives those borrowers an additional length of time to pay for these things because of the increased costs of these . . . these hard goods that are purchased on installment loans. I would certainly suggest that this Bill should be supported as a Bill that is vital to the interest of the majority, the vast majority of people, who must purchase these . . . these long-life commodities, these long-life items and can purchase them only on installment loan payments."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Madison, Representative Kennedy."

Kennedy: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I, too, intend to support this Bill for the simple reason it keeps the rate of interest at 7 percent; and if you don't pass it, the next borrowers that go and have to pay 8 or 9 percent. So I suggest you support the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Rayson."

Rayson: "A question or two of the Sponsor. Do I understand it correctly that if this Bill were passed and the time extension and the increase of the installment loan will not be applicable to . . . ah . . . college tuitions or for residential repair?"



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

1957-1958

Neff: ". . . Rayson, this would include any type of consumer loans that come under this . . . ah . . . I do know that mobile homes is one thing that . . . ah . . ."

Rayson: "Well, let . . . let's talk about tuition, it wouldn't . . . ah . . . apply . . ."

Neff: ". . . Well, . . . ah . . . I will say . . . ah . . . tuition . . . ah . . . this . . . if they're under . . . they do come under, and this would allow you if . . . ah . . . if they're under this to borrow up to \$1,500. Where under the present law, you can not borrow but \$7,500."

Rayson: "Well under present law, you gotta' a maximum of \$5,000, is that right?"

Neff: "No, \$7,500."

Rayson: "\$7,500."

Neff: "Right."

Rayson: "But the usury law of 8 percent, unless the Bill is passed . . ."

Neff: "All right, . . . I want to empahsize . . . yeah, we're not changing any interest rate. We're leaving that on the books, which has been on there for several years."

Rayson: "But the usury law applies in case you want an even \$8,000 loan, is that right?"

Neff: "No, the usury rate does not apply I . . . on this type of loan."

Rayson: "I understand, but you say the maximum is \$7,500. What if you wanted \$10,000 . . . now?"

Neff: "Ah . . . I . . . ah . . . I would like to . . . this Bill would raise it to \$15,000."

Rayson: "I understand that, but if you . . . right now, forgetting this Bill, if you wanted to get \$10,000 right now . . ."

Neff: "Yeah."

Rayson: ". . . wouldn't the . . . ah . . . usury law apply?"

Neff: "No, it would not. This comes under a separate division than the usury law."

Rayson: "Well, Mr. Speaker, just to comment briefly on the law, I . . . I'm not sure I understand the Gentleman. I know there is another small . . . ah . . . loan Act that . . . ah . . . has usury law



applicability after \$5,000, maybe this would not apply, however, if we talk . . . if we talk in terms of increasing the amount of loan and extending the time of payment when you have an amortization schedule set up for a prepayment interest, we're going to get beyond, I'm sure, what we would like to see . . . ah . . . intra-state costs and intra-ceilings and I suggest that it . . . to the consumer who makes the loan is gonna' have much add on as an over and . . . above what he would have under normal standards by paying monthly with amortization. So I suggest it's not a good Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lemke."

Lemke: "Can I ask the Sponsor a question on this?"

Speaker Telcser: "He indicates he'll yield."

Lemke: "Under the present law they can borrow up to \$7,500, is that correct?"

Neff: "Right."

Lemke: "Under this Act. Now, if they want to borrow another \$7,500, how do they go about doing that?"

Neff: "If they wanted to borrow \$7,500?"

Lemke: "Yeah, the additional \$7,500."

Neff: "Ah . . . The law right now is . . . all the maximum is \$7,500 . . ."

Lemke: "Under this Act."

Neff: ". . . Under the present law."

Lemke: "Yeah, but the additional \$7,500 now if they want to borrow up to \$15,000, they borrow at a flat rate of interest, don't they?"

Neff: "Yes, and that's set up by statute under Statute 4A and again it's 7 percent add on rate, which we've had for several years, and it's . . . ah . . . the usury rate doesn't change that whatsoever. This is . . . ah . . . there's no increase in interest rate."

Lemke: "And to this additional \$7,500 the Usury Act applies, is it correct?"

Neff: "Ah . . . No, the Usury Act does not apply. This is . . ."

Lemke: "The additional \$7,500."

Neff: ". . . No, absolutely not. This still stays in the same Act and



does not change any interest rate regardless of what the usury rate is."

Lemke: "But if you . . . if you increase this amount to \$15,000, you automatically increase . . . increase the interest rate under this statute which the man has got to pay for the additional \$7,500."

Neff: "Ah . . . Absolutely . . . ah . . ."

Lemke: "You . . . increasing the terms."

Neff: ". . . that is incorrect that statement. We're not raising the interest rate . . . ah . . . he'll pay the same interest rate whether he borrows \$7,500 or if he could borrow \$15,000. This just allows him to borrow it if he wishes. It also doubles the amount of time he has to pay off. This is a consumer loan. It isn't a bankers Bill because it's . . . it's strictly for the consumer."

Lemke: "You're also increasing the time period, is that correct?"

Neff: "Yes, . . ."

Lemke: "Okay, on a \$10,000 loan for 61 months, how much interest does he pay?"

Neff: "Well, I'd have to stop and figure that out."

Lemke: "And then when you double it, he must be paying about four times for this \$10,000?"

Neff: "Ah . . . He will pay . . . he will pay on the same proportion that he's paying right now on."

Lemke: "And by . . ."

Neff: "And . . . ah . . . understand, Representative, nobody has to borrow this money, it just gives them an opportunity if they wanted to borrow it. If you wanted to borrow . . . ah . . . buy . . . if you wanted to buy an \$18,000 trailer, for example, and you need to borrow more than \$7,500, maybe you could borrow up to \$15,000."

Lemke: "Yeah, but . . . wouldn't this . . . by extending this period of time now wouldn't this . . . ah . . . prevent the guy from . . . ah . . . getting a reissuance of his mortgage or renegotiating his mortgage? Is . . . there'll be a direct refusal by the banks and the people not to grant . . . ah . . . refund the mortgages."

Neff: "Ah . . . no . . ."



Speaker Telcser: "Representative Maragos, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Maragos: "Mr. Speaker, we have many Bills on the Calendar and I think this discussion back and forth is improper, and I think either speak on the Bill or . . . been explained and . . . ah . . . as soon as this is . . . this soliloquy is ended or whatever it is, I want to move for the previous question."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, your point is well taken, Sir. Representative Lemke, do you want to continue and . . ."

Lemke: "I personally think that this Bill is another inflationary bus . . . measure by the banks and savings loans, the financiers, to rip off the little working man, extend the time to bleed him a little more so he owes his life to the company store; and I urge you all to vote against this Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Matijevich. The Gentleman from Macoupin, Representative Boyle."

Boyle: "Now, Representative Neff, as I understand the Bill, this Bill would not prevent a person from accelerating or prepaying the loan, would it?"

Neff: "Absolutely not, you can certainly repay it any time just like you do now."

Boyle: "So the . . . so the . . . the borrower would have the option of repaying the loan any time he wanted to. All this would allow him would be the privilege if he so desired of extending the time for payment at the same interest rates, is that not correct?"

Neff: "Absolutely, you're correct. All this does was if he wanted to borrow more than \$7,500, he could borrow it up to \$15,000. Also if he wanted more time than the 61 months, he could go up to 122 months. It can be paid off any time just the same . . . same as he can today and . . . ah . . . and it certainly leaves the interest rate like we've had it for several years on this consumer type loan."

Boyle: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I don't think that this is a bad Bill. The interest rate remains the same, there's no . . . ah . . . no penalty for prepayment, no increase in the interest rates. This



merely allows the borrower to extend the time and I quite frankly don't have any objection to it."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from . . . from DuPage, Representative Schneider. No? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Huskey."

Huskey: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, will the Speaker yield for a question? Well, I mean will the Sponsor . . . what's that? If I wanted to buy a . . . ah . . . say a Ford, under the . . . ah . . . under the present law I would have to buy the car and the bank would pay the mortgage, is that not right . . . ah . . . under this particular Act?"

Neff: "Ah . . . We're not changing any of the Act . . . ah . . ."

Huskey: "No, I'm just asking a question."

Neff: ". . . read the original Act and then you'll know."

Huskey: "Now, under this Act if I wanted to borrow the money and go out and do my own purchasing, would this Bill not allow me to do that?"

Neff: "Absolutely."

Huskey: "Well, actually this is not a bankers Bill, this is a consumers Bill?"

Neff: "This is strictly a consumers Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Somebody want . . . the Gentleman . . . the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Maragos."

Maragos: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has moved the previous question. All in favor 'aye', the opposed 'no'; the previous question has been moved. Representative Neff to close."

Neff: "Ah . . . I still would like to clear up a few things here. If this Bill is not passed at the present time, what are these people doing, they'll have to go through some . . . ah . . . loan place shark and so forth, the regular places aren't being able to make it. This does not change the interest rate, it does not make them more . . . any more than they wish to borrow. It does give them an opportunity to borrow up to \$15,000 if they wish. It also if they want to take 61 months, they can take it; but if they would like to take . . . ah . . . have to up to 122 months, this has it.



This is strictly a consumer's Bill. It isn't a finance Bill or a bankers Bill, but it's strictly for consumers."

Speaker Telcser: "The question is, shall Senate Bill 353 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Maragos."

Maragos: "Mr. Speaker, I did not speak on the subject while the debate was up going on in order to save time. This is a constructive Bill because it has nothing to do with residential usury rates. This is in the same periphery like buying the appliances, automobiles and other types of loans. These young students cannot buy mobile homes if they're in college today for less than \$7,500. All you are doing is lifting the ceiling and they pay the same interest rates, but in the long run as the Sponsor of this Bill has said you are doing them a favor to give them an opportunity to buy these homes because . . . because of inflation the prices are higher, and that's why I ask for your support of this Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Jaffe."

Jaffe: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise in opposition to this Bill. Ah . . . This Bill amends the Small Loan Act. Now, the Sponsor has indicated that it does not increase the interest rate, which it doesn't, but you have to understand that under this particular Bill the interest rate is not 8 percent but it's . . . ah . . . 1½ percent per month. In other words, it's 18 percent per year. So if you went out to buy a . . . a piece of property and you got yourself a \$15,000 mortgage, you would be paying 8 percent. If you went out and bought yourself a mobile home for \$15,000, you would be buy . . . you would be . . . actually be paying 18 percent . . . ah . . . interest. To me this is really a bad Bill. It . . . It increases the amount for the small loan companies from \$7,500 to \$15,000 per year. I think it's a bad Bill and I think we should see more red lights up there."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Tazewell, Representative VonBoeckman."

VonBoeckman: "I have to . . . Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I have to disagree with the previous Speaker. I feel this is a good . . . ah . . . Bill. It provides . . . ah . . .



more time for the poor people and the people on fixed incomes and it would really prevent him from going to . . . ah . . . the small loan companies where they do have to pay this 18 percent and . . . and if they go to the banks, they'll get it for 7. So, therefore, Mr. Speaker, I vote 'yes'."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Schraeder. No? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Totten 'aye'. Take the Record. On this question there are 107 'ayes', 20 'nays', 12 answering 'present'; this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. Senate Bill 1020. Mike . . . Choate 'aye'."



Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1020, where is he, a Bill for an Act to amend the Revenue Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, I request leave to consider Senate Bill 1020 and Senate Bill 1021 together, they're companion Bills."

Speaker Telcser: "Are there any objections? Hearing none, the Clerk will please read 1021 a third time."

Clerk Selcke: "Ah . . . Senate Bill 1021, a Bill for an Act concerning taxes of political subdivisions, municipal and quasi-municipal corporations and so forth."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from . . ."

Clerk Selcke: "Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: ". . . Cook, Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, I request leave to take Senate Bill 1021 back to the order of Second Reading for the purpose of an Amendment."

Clerk Selcke: "Does he have leave? Request leave to take 1021 back to Second for Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, hearing no objections, put Senate Bill 1021 on the order of Second Reading. Clerk, read the Amendment."

Clerk Selcke: "Ah . . . Amendment #1, Madigan, amends Senate Bill 1021 on page 7, line 28, and so forth."

Madigan: "Senate . . . ah . . . or Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1021 provides that the right of appeal to the Supreme Court shall be permissive rather than mandatory. I move the adoption of Amendment #1."

Speaker Telcser: "Any discussion? The Gentleman offers to move the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1021. All in favor 'aye', opposed 'no'; the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments? Third Reading. Both Bills have been read a third time, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, Senate Bill 1020 and --21 would provide a new reform method of contesting the legality of the tax levies within Cook County. The Bill provides that the tax levy to the City of Chicago, the County of Cook, the Cook County Forest Reserve District, the Metropolitan Sanitary District of greater Chicago, and the Chicago Park District upon passage by those



bodies would be filed with the County Clerk. The County Clerk would thereupon file a petition with the court requesting a hearing regarding the legality of the levy ordinances. All taxpayers within Cook County would be notified by mail that the levy had been passed, what the levy was, when the hearing was going to be held and applied . . . the Amendment the right to come in and contest the legality of the levy. Where there is a protest filed and a defect found and, consequently, a reduction in the levy, the entire levy is reduced so that they . . . there is tax relief levy property owner was in Cook County. There are reform measures beneficial to the taxpayers and the governments of those areas; and I request a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Epton."

Epton: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would like to call to your attention at this time that this a Bill introduced by a lawyer, sponsored by a lawyer in this House, which will effectively take away a substantial source of revenue from many lawyers and law firms in the City of Chicago. Since we are berated constantly, I think it's only fair to note that this is a voluntary act and for a change, I hope you will support the lawyers in this attempt to speed up the return of money to the individuals, in effect, Ladies and Gentlemen, you are screwing some of the members of the legal profession, vote 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "The question is, shall these two Bills pass? All those in . . . just one second now . . . objections, Representative Skinner, for what purpose do you arise?"

Skinner: "Well, unless there's been a move . . . ah . . . a motion to move the prior question, has there been by someone, or are you just going to voluntarily? Because there are some significant issues here that . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "I'm sorry, I didn't see your light."

Skinner: ". . . it seems to me should be discussed."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Ah . . . I asked the . . . ah . . . Senate Sponsor of this Bill if this was a way for taxpayers who would be in effect shoales



bodies would be filed with the County Clerk. The County Clerk would thereupon file a petition with the court requesting a hearing regarding the legality of the levy ordinances. All taxpayers within Cook County would be notified by mail that the levy had been passed, what the levy was, when the hearing was going to be held and applied . . . the Amendment the right to come in and contest the legality of the levy. Where there is a protest filed and a defect found and, consequently, a reduction in the levy, the entire levy is reduced so that they . . . there is tax relief levy property owner was in Cook County. There are reform measures beneficial to the taxpayers and the governments of those areas; and I request a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Epton."

Epton: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would like to call to your attention at this time that this a Bill introduced by a lawyer, sponsored by a lawyer in this House, which will effectively take away a substantial source of revenue from many lawyers and law firms in the City of Chicago. Since we are berated constantly, I think it's only fair to note that this is a voluntary act and for a change, I hope you will support the lawyers in this attempt to speed up the return of money to the individuals, in effect, Ladies and Gentlemen, you are screwing some of the members of the legal profession, vote 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "The question is, shall these two Bills pass? All those in . . . just one second now . . . objections, Representative Skinner, for what purpose do you arise?"

Skinner: "Well, unless there's been a move . . . ah . . . a motion to move the prior question, has there been by someone, or are you just going to voluntarily? Because there are some significant issues here that . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "I'm sorry, I didn't see your light."

Skinner: ". . . it seems to me should be discussed."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Ah . . . I asked the . . . ah . . . Senate Sponsor of this Bill if this was a way for taxpayers who would be in effect shoales



for the tax district to go into court and bring . . . ah . . . bring a 'pro-former' tax protest here . . . ah . . . appeal to a court, and then have the court tell him what's wrong with the levy . . . ah . . . and then give the tax district a chance to go back and correct the sloppy legal work of the . . . ah . . . of the lawyers who drew up the levy in the first place. Now, Senator Daley said that is the purpose of this Bill. So what is going to happen is that there will be no taxpayer who will be getting tax reductions under this Bill as was previously alleged. There certainly will be some lawyers who will get less income, but the argument from my friend from Cook County comes somewhat . . . ah . . . well, somewhat out of left field, if you will. I doubt if he is terribly sincere in offering it . . . ah . . . at least in . . . in lieu of his previous arguments defending the legal profession. Ah. . . It would seem to me that we ought to realize what we are doing if we pass this Bill and it is held constitutional as I understand three previous attempts have not been held constitutional would be effectively forestalling any weight protest in Cook County. Now, I would suggest there is a severe constitutional problem involved here and that you would not be treating taxpayers equally throughout the state, you would not even be treating all taxpayers in Cook County equally because of the overlapping tax district problem around the periphery of Cook County. For that reason, it seems to me that this Bill, while it may sound like a good idea, may end up not being a very good idea."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Palmer."

Palmer: "If the Sponsor will yield for a question?"

Speaker Telcser: "Indicates that he will."

Palmer: "Mike, there are three cases that held the preadjudication procedure in times passed unconstitutional. How does this Bill here cure those constitutional defects?"

Madigan: "Representative Palmer, the . . . the portion that I have read relative to the previous cases, in my judgment, raise one serious objection, and that relates to the notice to the taxpayers. In the previous Bills, it was not provided that there be individual motive to the individual property taxpayers. This Bill provides both the



notification by publication and also notification by mail to each individual property owner within Cook County just as these property owners today are notified of their assessment by the assessor's office."

Palmer: "Yeah, . . . ah . . . I don't know whether or not there was a fiscal note on this, but, and I don't suppose there would have to be, but . . . ah . . . what would be the additional cost to Cook County on publication and on mailing and so forth and so on? That's one question, and another question with it would be, what are you going to do about those persons whose property is in the process of transfer of new . . . new buyer or the probate procedure . . . ah . . . or where there is no probate procedure, but where the owner of record have passed on its . . . in the hands of the heirs? How are they taking care of under this?"

Madigan: "In answer to your first question, the cost of the mailing would be minimal because the same personnel of Cook County who today mail the tax bills would mail these notices. It would not be the question of additional personnel, it would be a question of additional postage and additional literature. Secondly, the Supreme Court decision of 1944 held that it is only be required that there be a reasonable chance that taxpayer be notified. He is provided in the Bill that those who pay the tax bill will receive the notice in the mail, and in my judgment that is a reasonable possibility of notification."

Palmer: "All right, let me ask you this on the practical aspects of this Bill and let's take transfers of . . . ah . . . real property or real estate closing . . . ah . . . the buyer and the seller, how are they going take care of this type of a situation during the period of time that these objections must be filed?"

Madigan: "Well, Representative, you're full aware that it's the responsibility of the attorneys in those matters to be apprised of any changes that might occur. This is just another area where the attorney would have to be aware of the progress of his suit and of the ability of his client upon assuming ownership of the property to confess the legality of the levy."

Palmer: "Well, will this not hold up though in many instances closings and



delay the possession and the . . . ah . . . the buyer?"

Madigan: "Well, I'm not in a position to comment on that."

Palmer: "I didn't get an answer about the estimate of cost to the County of Cook relative to the publication and . . . ah . . . and the mailings and so forth, do you have an idea on that?"

Madigan: "Well, as I stated previously, it quite obviously would be a minimal cost because there'll be no need for additional personnel in the County Treasurer's office."

Palmer: "Well, publication costs amount to a great deal though, don't they?"

Madigan: "Well, they might, but the question is . . . plenty of publication by governmental bodies in Cook County."

Palmer: "Thank you."

Unknown: "Roll Call."

Speaker Telcser: "Just a second now. Bill. Now, just wait a second now. The Gentleman . . . the Gentleman from Cook, Representative . . . who's pointing back there? . . . the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Hyde."

Hyde: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I . . . ah . . . oppose this Bill for several reasons. First of all, . . . ah . . . while it's true that notice is required to be given to all of the taxpayers before a certification is received that the levy is proper . . . ah . . . the facts are that all of the taxpayers are not listed with the County of Cook and many times the named taxpayer on the rolls is not the one who gets . . . ah . . . who actively . . . actually pays the Bill. Therefore, the notice by the time it's sent out at great cost to the taxpayers, I might add, maybe even a nice picture of the assessor in the notice, that by the time it gets out to the person who actually pays the tax . . . ah . . . the function designed to be served by the notice will be totally frustrated. In addition, there will be no economic incentive . . . ah . . . to challenge the tax levies inasmuch as you're getting the notice, but your not getting the bill. Most people don't get excited about challenging things until . . . ah . . . it's going to hurt their pocketbook and they've gotten the



bill. Now, this particular Bill has been up, it's almost a perennial . . . ah . . . this is the fourth time this . . . ah . . . scheme has been presented to the Legislature and . . . ah . . . it was vetoed once. It's been declared unconstitutional . . . ah . . . by the courts three time, and I submit while it is an interesting approach to a very difficult problem . . . ah . . . and I also agree that the whole area of tax . . . ah . . . any assessments should be reviewed and revised, this is not the way to go, I think this will cause a great deal of problems and I urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Well, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, it's hard for me to follow Representative Hyde's logic. A few days ago he said let's pay interest in protest funds, and effectively if this Bill would pass, it would do away with a substantial amount of protest funds because it would make tax levies legal prior to the time they were imposed. It still would reserve to the taxpayer the right to make specific objections, but it would insure that each taxing body the levy was approved prior to the time it was made, that it was a legal levy prior to the time it was made it would be . . . have accord adjudication. This would insure the taxpayers that all the levies were legal. I tell you, it might effect a few law firms that are making a fortune . . . ah . . . in tax protest cases, but for the average taxpayer, it would be a good thing, and I move for the adoption of these two Bills."

Speaker Telcser: "Further discussion? If not, Representative Madigan to close."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, there's been much debate on the Bill, and I think everybody that should understand that the day when a tax protest case is filed, the only person who obtains a refund is the property owner who files the complaint, which means the property owner with a great deal of property. Under the proposal where there is a complaint filed and relief granted, that relief will go to every property taxpayer in the county. I request a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Telcser: "The question is, shall these two Bills pass? Those in



favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. The Clerk will make an extra Roll Call. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question there are 127 'ayes', 18 'nays', how many answering 'present' . . . this Bill having received the constitutional majority is . . . these two Bills having received the constitutional majority are, hereby, declared passed. Senate Bill 1285."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1285 . . . ah . . . a Bill for an Act making an appropriation to the Judicial Inquiry Board. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Morgan, Representative Rose."

Rose: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is the annual appropriation Bill for the Judicial Inquiry Board \$180,000. It was recommended substantially . . . ah . . . by a substantial number of the Members, who were the Members of the Committee of Appropriation's. The Committee added do pass, and I make that motion now."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, is there any discussion? The question is, shall Senate Bill 1285 pass? Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question there are 137 'ayes', 2 'nays', 1 answering 'present'; and this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. Bud, can you take the next one?"

Clerk Selcke: "Yeah, yeah . . . ah . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "1318 and 1319 for . . . ah . . . the Speaker . . ."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Senate Bill 1318, an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the General Assembly. Ah . . . Third Reading of the Bill. Do you want me to read --19, too . . . what do you want to do with 1318 and --19? . . ."

Speaker Telcser: ". . . All right, take those two out of the Record."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . huh? Okay . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Senate Bill 1322."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Senate Bill 1322, a Bill for an Act making appropriation to Supreme Court for payment of certain officers and so forth. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from . . . ah . . . who's taking it, Al",



you or Roman? You? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Greiman.'

Greiman: "This is a . . . ah . . . Bill for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the judicial system as amended. The total package is about \$39,000,000.

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The question is, shall Senate Bill 1322 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. Representative Skinner, for what purpose do you arise?"

Skinner: "I'd like to explain my 'no' vote and at the . . . while explaining it inform the Legislature that the Illinois Supreme Court has just turned down . . . ah . . . the state's attorney who engage in . . . ah . . . efforts to overturn the recent transit authority and it seems to me why with judges like that there's no reason to give them this much money."

Speaker Telcser: "On this question there are 143 'ayes', 2 'nays'; none answering 'present'; and this Bill having received the constitutional majority . . . Londrigan 'aye', Houlihan 'aye', Tim Houlihan 'aye', and I got you, Jim Londrigan 'aye' also, Dave Jones and Ben Harpstrite 'aye' . . . this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. Senate Bill 1128."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1128, a Bill for an Act to regulate health maintenance organizations and provide certain penalties. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Will, Representative Kempiners."

Kempiners: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Health Maintenance Organizations are preplayed . . . prepaid health plans which are being encouraged by federal legislation, and this legislation, Senate Bill 1128, gives to the Department of Insurance and Public Health the power to issue certificates of authority and to watch these H.M.O.'s to see that they provide the coverage . . . ah . . . for which the enrollees have paid. Ah . . . The legislation also creates a nine-member Health Maintenance Organization Advisory Board in the Department of Public Health to review and comment on . . . comment on proposed rules and regulations promulgated by the Department; and I would ask your support."



Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Ah . . . Will you . . . will the Gentleman yield for a question?"

Speaker Telcser: "Yes, he indicates he'll yield."

Shea: "All right, could you . . . who is going to regulate an H.M.O. now?"

Kempiners: "Okay, the Department of Insurance will receive the request for authority to operate and it will handle the insurance end of the . . . in the . . . you know, the business end of this, the Department of Public Health will oversee the delivery of health service aspect to make sure that they are actually providing the services that the enrollees have paid for."

Shea: "All right, now who will . . . under your Bill what control by any unit of government will there be on where they're located?"

Kempiners: "I'm not sure, are you . . . are you saying that this gives . . . ah . . . for example, a home-rule unit the power to do the same thing, or as far as . . ."

Shea: "No, no, I'm saying under 1609, and its exclusions, you prevented home-rule units from doing any . . . having any regulation whatsoever over H.M.O.'s, you've said to the Department of Insurance, you can look at the insurance aspects of it and you said to the Department of Health, you can look at the health delivery care of it, but you've said to nobody, you can in any way say you can or cannot have an H.M.O., and you have said to no one, you can put an H.M.O. here, because there's nobody to regulate them anymore."

Kempiners: "Ah . . . Representative Shea, under the definitions of those facilities covered in 1609, it is possible that a hospital could be an H.M.O. and if it's licensed . . ."

Shea: "No, no, it's a specific exclusion in 1609 and the way that the definition is in 1609, you give to the Department of Public Health nursing homes, sheltered care facilities and hospitals, and then you make an exact exclusion of H.M.O.'s, and then you say that anything in this Act cannot be regulated by a home-rule unit to exclude the home rule unit from regulating an H.M.O."

Kempiners: "I . . . I don't agree with that interpretation, Representative."

Shea: "Well, would you take this Bill out of the Record and I'll show you



where I'm right?"

Kempiners: "Ah . . . Could I request that when we discuss this that we can get it back into the Record, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Telcser: "I don't . . . I don't understand your question."

Kempiners: "Representative Shea, has asked me to take this from the Record and we've found in the past that sometimes it's pretty hard to get it right back in if we're able to work out the differences."

Speaker Telcser: "Yeah, we'll make every attempt to call if we can, is that what you mean?"

Kempiners: "Yes."

Speaker Telcser: "Sure. Take it out of the Record."

Clerk Selcke: "Okay."

Speaker Telcser: "Senate Bill 1317."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1317, a Bill for an Act to provide ordinary and contingent expenses of the Illinois Investigation Commission. Third Reading of the Bill. Sevcik."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Sevcik."

Sevcik: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 1317 is the annual appropriation for the Illinois Legislative Investigation Commission totalling \$513,000. I ask for your favorable support."

Speaker Telcser: "Any discussion? The question is, shall this Bill pass? Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question there are 137 'ayes', 1 'nay', none answering 'present'; and this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. Representative Fary, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Fary: "Mr. Speaker, . . . ah . . . it doesn't change the order of business too much, I don't believe, this won't take very long. I think Bob Day and I and perhaps some others might . . . ah . . . have voted inadvertently for the . . . ah . . . court system appropriation a few moments ago. Senate Bill 1322 and we should not have . . . ah . . . I would ask leave of the House as I suspect Bob Day and I to change our votes from 'aye' to 'no' on that particular Bill please."

Speaker Telcser: "'Aye' to 'no'?"



Fary: "Yes, Sir."

Speaker Telcser: "Are there any objections? Hearing none, will the Record please show that Representative Fary and Day voted 'no' on Senate Bill, what was it? . . ."

Fary: "1322."

Speaker Telcser: ". . . 1322."

Fary: "Thank you, Ladies and Gentlemen."

Speaker Telcser: "Senate Bill . . . Senate Bill 1486."

Clerk Selcke: "Wait a minute, did you announce this one? 137 . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Yeah, . . . ah . . . real quick . . . 137 'ayes', 1 'nay', none answering 'present'; this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. Senate Bill 1486."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1486, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Hoffman."

Hoffman, G.: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 1486 is the same with . . . ah . . . two Amendments as House Bill 2484 was, which passed out of here 127 . . . ah . . . to nothing. The two sections that were added deal with . . . ah . . . validating petitions for formation of unit districts. I . . . it's the general application to come to that because of . . . ah . . . a problem up in Stillman Valley, and the second change we made by Amendment was to correct an . . . an error in the Bill . . . ah . . . to help the Bill that Jerry Bradley passed . . . ah . . . last Session in regards to Members on . . . ah . . . boards of . . . ah . . . districts. So I would . . . ah . . . ask for your support."

Speaker Telcser: "Any discussion? The question is, shall Senate 1486 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Tom, Tom . . . take the Record. All right, Telcser 'aye'. Take the Record, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Selcke: "Okay, . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "On this question there are 143 'ayes', no 'nays', 1 answering 'present'; and this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. Senate Bill 576."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 576, an Act to amend the Illinois Pension Code."



Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Randolph, Representative Springer."

Springer: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, could I have leave to take Senate Bill 576 back to Second for an agreed Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "Are there any objections? Hearing none, Senate Bill 576 is placed on the order of Second Reading. Will the Clerk please read the Amendment."

Clerk Selcke: "You got it, Chockey, Amendment #2, Beaupre, amends Senate Bill 576 on page 1 and so forth."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Randolph, Representative Springer."

Clerk Selcke: "Yeay, I read it, Beaupre is . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Oh, the Gentleman from Kankakee, Representative Beaupre."

Beaupre: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Amendment is to correct an ine . . . inequity . . . ah . . . in the . . . ah . . . law involving pensions for per diem employees. Ah . . . It effects about three people in the Department of Revenue as far as I know. Ah . . . What apparently happened is when the provisions were placed in the Act . . . ah . . . involving per diem employees to give them service credit for a disproportionate contribution . . . ah . . . in . . . in relation to the amount of time that they receive the . . . ah . . . the Bill was so written that . . . ah . . . it indicated 1965 as the starting. Ah . . . The fact is that the Pension Code and . . . in that regard went into effect in 1963 and we're trying to correct that by changing the date, and I would appreciate your favorable vote on this matter."

Speaker Telcser: "Any discussion? The Gentleman offers to adopt Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 576. All in favor 'aye', oppose 'no'; the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments? Third Reading. The Bill has been read a third time. Representative Springer."

Springer: "Yes, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "The Bill has been read a third time. The Gentleman from Randolph, Representative Springer."

Springer: "Senate Bill 576 was introduced . . . ah . . . in April of '73. It was assigned to Subcommittee and has also been approved by the



Illinois . . . ah . . . Pensions Laws Commission. It establishes the equality throughout the Code between the male and female employees with respect to survivor's annuities and benefits and contributions. This . . . ah . . . individual piece of legislation concludes the uniformity of all the pension funds and which was left out last year. I'd appreciate a favorable vote."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The question is, shall Senate Bill 576 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question there are 124 'ayes', no 'nays', none answering 'present'; this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. Berman 'aye'. Berman 'aye'. Senate Bill 1539."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1539, an Act making a supplemental appropriation for pay of new Members of the General Assembly. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Christian, Representative Tipword. Tip'."

Tipword: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, this Amendment . . . I mean, this Bill provides the funds for the payment of the new Members of the General Assembly. The total appropriation are \$23,000."

Clerk Selcke: "Go ahead."

Tipword: "I solicit your support so that our colleagues may be paid for the yeoman that they've done here in the General Assembly."

Speaker Telcser: "Any discussion? The question is, shall Senate Bill 1539 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Representative Ewell, for what purpose do you arise, Sir? Ewell, Ewell."

Ewell: "Mr. Speaker, oh, Mr. Speaker, I'm a firm believe in public service and I'm . . . that's why I'm casting a 'no' vote."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, have all voted who wish? Whoops, quick, change your heart."

Clerk Selcke: "Take the Record?"

Speaker Telcser: "Take the Record. On this question there are 150 'ayes', 3 'nays', 3 answering 'present'; and this Bill having received the



constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. Senate Bill 1396."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1396, a Bill for an Act to amend the Capital Development Board Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Gene Hoffman."

Hoffman, G.: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd like to have leave to bring Senate Bill 1396 back to Second Reading for purpose of an Amendment proposed by Mr. Schisler the other day."

Speaker Telcser: "Any objections? Hearing none, place 1396 on the order of Second Reading. Will the Clerk please read the Amendment?"

Clerk Selcke: "Ah . . . Amendment #1, Schisler, amends Senate Bill 1396 and so forth."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Fulton, Representative Schisler."

Schisler: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1396 . . . ah . . . just adds some emergency language, and give priorities . . . ah . . . in the case of a storm or flood. I move the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "Any discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment # . . . what is that, 1, Fred? . . ."

Clerk Selcke: "Yeah."

Speaker Telcser: ". . . 1 to Senate Bill 1396. All in favor 'aye', the opposed 'no'; the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Selcke: "That's it."

Speaker Telcser: "Third Reading. The Bill has been read a third time. Representative Hoffman, do you wish to have it called?"

Hoffman, G.: "Mr. Speaker, I would solicit the 'aye' . . . ah . . . vote of the Members of the House . . . ah . . . this the . . . take the . . . ah . . . October 1, 1973, . . . ah . . . cut out date out of the bi . . . the debt service for the . . . ah . . . School Construction . . . ah . . . Bond Act and would solicit your support."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The question is, shall Senate Bill 1396 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Hmmm . . . take the Record. On this question, 153 'ayes', no 'nays', none answering 'present'. This Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 1477."



Clerk Selcke: "How about 13 . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "He doesn't want to call. Springer 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . okay, 1477. Senate Bill 1477, an Act exempting from use taxes tangible personal property and so forth. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Whiteside, Representative Miller."

Miller: "Now, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, if you'll look at your Digest, you will notice that this was a Bill by the Committee on Revenue in the Senate, and the Digest is exactly accurate as to what the Bill does. There has been a little problem with this area; the Department of Revenue in the past has ruled that . . . that various items in Illinois that are temporary . . . temporarily in Illinois are not subject to the use tax and the service use tax in Illinois. Now, there's been a little problem with this and there was Appellate Court case on this last year; and the court said that it was up to the General Assembly to pass legislation to cure the problem that was generated in that case. Ah . . . I have a letter here from the Department of Revenue signed by Mr. Willard Ice advising that the Bureau of the Budget has advised him that they do . . . do not consider Senate Bill 1477 would have any fiscal impact at all on the matter of revenue in Illinois; and I urge your 'aye' vote on this Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Any discussion? The question is, shall Senate Bill 1477 pass? Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question there are 147 'ayes', 2 'nays', 1 answering 'present'; this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. Senate Bill 1395."



Telcser: "Senate Bill 1395."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 1395. A Bill for an Act in relation to state finance. Third Reading of the Bill."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from DeKalb, Representative Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 1395 amends the Finance Act in the ah... School Code ah... such that each school district will receive 12 full payments within any given fiscal year for which the money is appropriated ah... I think most of you are familiar with the history of this that ah... we have ah... been taking one payment out, previously taking one payment out of the ah... previous fiscal year appropriation, one-twelfth and then eleven twelfths in any given fiscal year. This ah... particular Bill was approved 51 to nothing in the Senate and it represents the compromise between the ah... Committee on Education, the Governor's office and all those involved, the Sponsors of the Bill. I appreciate a favorable vote on ah... Senate Bill 1395."

Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The question is shall Senate Bill 1395 pass. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye'; the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Take the record. On this question 159 'ayes', no 'nays', none answering 'present'. This Bill having received the constitutional majority hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 1246."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 1246. A Bill for an Act to amend the Agriculture Fair Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Kankakee, Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 1246 ah... amends the Agricultural Fair Act by increasing the amount of state aid to county fairs and ah... the agricultural extension 4-H clubs and I move the passage of this Bill."

Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentlemen from Cook, Representative Maragos."

Maragos: "Will the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Telcser: "Indicates that he will."

Maragos: "Representative Ryan, where are the proceeds of this, of this ah... additional help ah... and aid going to, coming from? Are they coming from Agricultural fund, State County Fair fund or the General



the General Revenue?"

Ryan: "They're coming from the Preium Fund, the Agricultural Premium Fund."

Maragos: "And do you at this present time have any idea what the amount is in that . . . in that fund presently?"

Ryan: "Well, I did . . . I didn't get your question."

Maragos: "You said it's coming from the Agricultural Premium Fund?"

Ryan: "Yes."

Maragos: "Do you know what that fund is presently consist of . . . what is the fund . . . how much money is in that fund at the present time?"

Ryan: "No, I don't know."

Maragos: "The reason is, Mr. Speaker and . . . the reason I ask is I'm going to vote for the Bill, but, again, it's an area . . . because I think the 4-H Clubs and the other organizations that are being helped should be helped. However, I . . . as you know my concern has always that these premium funds should not be held because they should be given from the General Revenue and this money which is being held in . . . in advance for these purposes should not be allowed to be, but at any rate, the Bill . . . the purpose of this Bill is good as long as it's coming from that fund and it be passed from the sources . . . ah . . . which is being unused and not helping the people of the State of Illinois generally, and I, therefore, support the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Further discussion? The question is, shall Senate Bill 1246 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question there are 137 'ayes', 3 'nays'; 1 answering 'present' and this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. Senate Bill 1284."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1284 . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Ginny Macdonald 'aye' on the last Roll Call."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . a Bill for making an appropriation to the Department of Finance. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lechowicz. 1284, Ted."



Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, this is the same one that we called upon . . . ah . . . the other day, we took it out of the Record at the request of Representative Ryan. The appropriation of approximately \$722,000 for the Department of Finance for the data processing services for both the General Assembly, the Clerk's office and it provides the 'two' M.I.D.'s function; and I ask for your favorable support."

Speaker Telcser: "Any discussion? The question is, shall Senate Bill 1284 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question there are 153 'ayes', no 'nays', and 1 answering 'present'; and this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. Senate Bill 1502."



6-28-74

113.

Arthur Telcser: "Senate Bill 1502."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 1502. Where's 1502? Senate Bill 1502. A bill for an act to amend the Real Estate Brokers and Salemen's License Act. Third Reading of the bill."

Arthur Telcser: "The Gentleman from Champaign, Representative Hirschfeld."

Hirschfeld: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, it is with great trepidation that I start this series because I figure it will probably take about an hour at least to work our way through these hills. But Senate Bill....Senate Bill 1502 amends the Real Estate Brokers and Salemen's License Act to declare as public policy the powers and functions set forth in that Act as to be exercised by the State or exclusive of the State in accordance with the new Constitution. We argued these bills for about nine hours over the past two days in Second Reading. I really think that everything has been said about these bills has been said and therefore, I would ask for a favorable roll call."

Arthur Telcser: "Representative Hirschfeld."

Hirschfeld: "Mr. Speaker, before beginning, I would like to ask leave to consider the entire group of bills as a package."

Arthur Telcser: "Representative Shea, for what purpose do you rise?"

Shea: "Objection."

Arthur Telcser: "And the Gentleman from Champaign, Represen-



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

6-28-74

114.

tative Hirschfeld."

Hirschfeld: "Well, I'm...I'm shocked by that Mr. Speaker.

But be that as it may, I would then like to move that Senate Bills 1502 et al dealing with pre-emption be considered as a package deal for the purposes of debate and then we will then vote on the bills individually."

Arthur Telcser: "Alright, is there leave for the Gentleman's request? Representative Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I am going to object, and specifically on the grounds that the Supreme Court held 3636 unconstitutional because, in effect, what they did with that was to bundle, which Mr. Hirschfeld seeks to do, here."

Arthur Telcser: "Yeh, but Representative Shea, the Gentleman has requested simply bundling, as you term it, debate, but not the roll call. He is requesting separate roll call."

Shea: "But..."

Arthur Telcser: "For those one or two bills or whatever it is, it will need a 3/5's, of course."

Shea: "Well, if he wants separate roll calls, then why won't he debate them separately, because each..."

Arthur Telcser: "I'm sure that the Gentleman's intent is to try to save the House time in the closing days, but that's ...that's up to you, sir."

Shea: "Well, Mr. Speaker, you're going to be asked to rule on each and every bill, what type of pre-emption it is. Now, I am objecting for the purposes, and Mr. Hirschfeld



will tell you I'm making a record, or attempting to, for the court, but I am saying that what Mr. Hirschfeld's amendment, or request is trying to do, is to do the things that the court struck down 36-36 for."

Arthur Telcser: "Representative Hirschfeld, for what purpose do you rise?"

Hirschfeld: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'm going to ask for a roll call on my motion, but before doing that, I respectfully disagree with the comments of the distinguished Assistant Minority Leader. I have the holding of the Spearmeier decision in my hand, and what the court said there, was not that the bills were all considered at one time, but that the single bill contained more than one subject. So I think his point is poorly taken; I would ask for a roll call on my motion to debate all of the bills at one time."

Fred Selcke: "You gotta take an individual vote, you have to."

Arthur Telcser: "The Gentleman has moved; Representative Bluthardt, for what purpose do you rise?"

Bluthardt: "Well, I'd like to speak on the motion and just point out to the Gentlemen to this General Assembly and to the Speaker that these pre-emption bills deal with a various asundry number of occupations and professions, and those differ so much from barbers and beauty culturists, all the way to doctors and dentists and nurses and what have you, that I'm sure that there will be a difference of opinion in the members of this House on these bills.

It may well be that there would not be enough votes to pre-empt the power of local government to regulate, say barbers, where there would be more than sufficient votes to pre-empt the licensing and regulation of physicians, and I think that the motion should fail because of that."

W. Robert Blair: "Alright, the a...a..alright the Gentleman's motion is that these bills be consolidated for purposes of debate only, and that we have separate roll calls with respect to each of them. Mr. Shea has objected to that and on that question we will have a roll call. All those in favor of Mr. Hirschfeld's motion will vote aye, and those opposed will vote no. For what purpose does Mr. Berman rise?"

Berman: "Parliamentary inquiry, Mr. Speaker."

W. Robert Blair: "Yes."

Berman: "What kind of vote is necessary to prevail on this motion?"

W. Robert Blair: "Simple majority. All those in favor will vote aye and the opposed, no. Alright, on this question there are...Oh yeh,...Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 102 ayes, 52 nays, and the Gentleman's motion having received a majority, as well as a constitutional majority, is hereby declared passed. Alright, now will the Clerk read each of these bills so that they may properly be before the House on debate. Mr. Shea, for what purpose



do you rise?"

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, at this point, I respectfully dissent from what the Speaker has allowed to happen here, because what, in effect, he's done is to throw all of these bills into one discussion and allowed the discussion on one to influence the other and not allow a fair debate on each bill."

W. Robert Blair: "Well, that's the conclusion of the member and, furthermore, it was not the Chair that made the decision that to consolidate, it was the members of this Assembly by this vote that I just announced, which was more than a constitutional majority. Mr. Matejevich, for what purpose do you rise?"

Matejevich: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, although we have been allowing one member to show his name to be recorded in defense, I think our rules state two, so I will join in that defense."

W. Robert Blair: "Yes, well, Mr. Shea and Mr. Matejevich may add their dissent to the constitutional majority action of this body shown. Now, will you read...."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 1503. A bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Municipal Code. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1504. A bill for an Act to amend the Medical Practice Act. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1505. A bill to add Section 25 to a Act regulating the price of dental surgery and dentistry in the State of



Illinois. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1506. A bill for an Act to amend the Pharmacy Act. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1507. An Act to amend the Illinois Optometrics Act. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1508. An Act to amend the Illinois Physical Therapy Registration Act. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1509. An Act to regulate the price of podiatry in the State. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1510. An Act to amend the Veterinarian Medicine Surgery Price Act. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1511. An Act to amend the Psychologists Registration Act. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1512. An Act to amend the Nursing Act. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1513. An Act to amend the Social Workers' Registration Act. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1514. An Act to add Section 31 to an Act regulating the price of public accounting. Third Reading of the bill. Senate Bill 1515. An Act to amend the Illinois Certified Shorthand Reporters' Act. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1516. An Act in relation to business and vocational schools. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1517. An Act to amend the Illinois Beauty Culture Act. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1518. An Act to amend an Act to provide for the licensing and regulation of detection of deception examiners. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1519. An Act to amend an Act in relation to regulation



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

of persons engaged in private funeral directing and embalming. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1520. An Act to amend the Illinois Insurance Code. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1521. An Act to amend the Municipal Code. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1522. An Act to amend the Illinois Architectural Act. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1523. An Act to amend the Illinois Professional Engineering Act. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1524. An Act to amend the Illinois Structural Engineering Act. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1525. An Act to amend the Illinois Land Surveyors Act. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1526. An Act to amend the Nursing Home Administration Licensing Act. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1527. An Act to amend the Sanitation and Registration Act. Third Reading of the Bill. This one is included? Senate Bill 1638. A... Senate Bill 1638. An Act to add Section 27 to an Act to provide for licensing and regulating detectives and detective agencies. Third Reading of the Bill."

W. Robert Blair: "Alright, now each of these bills are... having been read, we will open the floor for purposes of debate with respect to each any and all of these bills. Mr. Hirschfeld, do you have an opening?"

Hirschfeld: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'm...I'm very happy we passed that motion because I think it is going to expedite the work of this House a



great deal in the final hours of the session. And the reason I asked for that was not in any way to try and prevent the distinguished Assistant Minority Leader from raising any points he'd like to make, but strictly to expedite things. And, also, because of the fact I think we are not really dealing with profession by profession here; we are dealing with a principle, and its the principle of pre-emption, and based upon on that comment, I would then be willing to answer questions that the distinguished Assistant Minority Leader or anyone else would like to raise with regard to these bills as a series."

W. Robert Blair: "A...Mr. Shea."

Shea: "I have a parliamentary inquiry."

W. Robert Blair: "Right."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, the bills, although they attempt to mask in the terms of being specifically under (h) and (i), Section 6, Article 7, of the Constitution, used the phrase throughout, and I will refer to it in the bill itself, where a majority of the bills do, such power or function shall not be exercised concurrently, either directly or indirectly by any unit of local government. It gives toit gives to the State the exclusive... the exclusive right to...is an exclusive...the power of function under this Act are said to be exclusive State functions, thereby removing from any unit of local government, either home rule or non-home rule, the right in any manner or means of doing anything with regard to



those functions. It then goes further and said, those powers and functions shall not be exercised by the unit of local government either directly or indirectly, and I would respectfully say that the words indirectly, not having any precise meaning, would allow that ordinances pertaining to these, and I will use the first bill of the series and I will read to you from the Act, it says the legislative intents of the Act is to evaluate the competency of persons engaged in the real estate business and it is for the protection of the public, and Mr. Hirschfeld has said throughout the debate that he wishes to prohibit municipalities from licensing. Now the purpose of the Act...."

W. Robert Blair: "Wait a minute, Mr. Shea, you are making parliamentary inquiry. Go ahead."

Shea: "The purpose of this Act is to evaluate the competency of real estate brokers and real estate salesmen and yet, he seeks to prohibit units of local government from acting, either indirectly or directly, on the real estate business, and could you tell me how many votes it will need to pass this series of bills? A simple majority or a 3/5's constitutional majority?"

W. Robert Blair: "A...at the time that the separate motion for passage are put, the Chair will announce the number of votes that are required by the Constitution for passage as to each of these bills."

Shea: "Well, sir, then I will refer only to phrase, indirectly



or directly, could you tell me, if in the opinion of you or your parliamentarian, that removes from the unit of local government, powers they had prior to the Constitution and prohibits them from doing Acts not covered by the licensing act in question?"

W. Robert Blair: "Well, that's a judicial inquiry, not a parliamentary one."

Shea: "Well, Mr. Hirschfeld has called for the passage of this bill with regards to Senate Bill 1502, might I ask of the Chair what number of votes are required with regard to Senate Bill 1502?"

W. Robert Blair: "Yeh, a...as we...a...moved for the actual vote on each of these bills...the Chair will announce a... what the required votes are for passage under the Illinois Constitution. And there is a very practical reason for doing that, as I see it, I don't know why we should engage in a soliloquy here now as to all these bills that are under debate and then for me to have to refresh the memory of each of the members when we put each of these bills for separate vote."

Shea: "Alright, I will probably want to enter into the debate and I'm sure there are other members that have questions."

W. Robert Blair: "Alright, a...Mr. Bluthardt?"

Bluthardt: "Mr. Speaker, I wonder if the Gentleman will yield for a question or two? John, you a...you indicated that these bills...these bills deal with a principle, a principle of pre-emption. Would you say that that principle



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

is that in the bills that pre-empt the powers of local government, that the intent is to pre-empt the powers of local government to exercise their police powers?"

Hirschfeld: "Well a...let me...let me reply to the distinguished Gentleman from Cook in this manner. It seems to me that intent of the legislation is clearly expressed and made quite precise by the language of the bill itself. What we are declaring is that any power or function that is set forth in the Act that is under discussion in 1502 or any of the other bills, is to be exercised...as to be exercised by the State is to an exclusive State power and function. Now the concept in words "power", "function", and "exclusive", are taken directly from paragraph (h) of the new Constitution. I do not think it is incumbent upon this legislature, at this time, nor do I think it is appropriate that we make a judicial interpretation of exactly which concept in each and every particular licensing Act, do or do not constitute a power or function within the paragraph (h) meaning of those terms. What we are intending, Representative Bluthardt, is that the powers and functions being exercised by the State, under the Act under discussion, not, I repeat, not be exercised by local government. Now, to try and answer you more specifically, let me say, as I have said all day yesterday for the nine hours that we were on these bills, in response to the questions from the distinguished Minority Leader, that his interpretation of the bills effect is



far broader than our intent. And far more sweeping than I believe these bills can be interpreted to be. It is very clear to me, as the House sponsor of these bills, that zoning powers, the general police powers, and the many traditional health and safety functions of municipal government are not, and I repeat again, are not the powers or functions exercised by the State under the Acts in question, and therefore, we are not pre-empting these Act by these legislation."

Bluthardt: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to speak on Senate Bill 1502 and I'm not going to take a great deal of the time of this House because the arguments have been made and failed but I'd like to remind you, John, that when those arguments failed, at that time, it only took a majority of those voting on the question. Now it takes a minimum of 89 votes and in some cases, John, its 7 votes, so I think we ought to be entitled to argue our point to an extent at least. Now, on the general philosophy or theory of pre-emption in most instances is a matter that I support. I do believe that a State ought to control the...the licensing and regulation as the competency of most professions. I take exception, however, to a few of those a...a...so called professions that I failed to recognize as profession, and the one in particular, is 1502, real estate brokers. I pointed out yesterday, or the day before, that real estate brokers have been under the control and the licensing of local government prior to



the 1970 Constitution, and I failed to find that there were any substantial abuses, or complaints of abuses, during that time. I wonder, when I look at the stringent manner in which these bills are put together, whether or not they weren't put together, perhaps not conscientiously, but at least they were put together to guaranty that there is going to be a lot of work for lawyers throughout the State in the coming years as a result of the question of how deep do these bills dig into the power of local government to actually govern. I...I think that you will find that when these bills pass, and I think they will, that local government, be they home rule or non-home rule, will have a great deal less power than they had prior to the adoption of the 1970 Constitution, and a...a.... and that is despite the fact that our much hailed home rule provision of the Constitution. I think that in many respects local government will have less power to function as a unit of government than they would prior to the adoption of the 1970 Constitution. I'm concerned over the fact that by the adoption of this bill, 1502, that you will remove the power of local government to control in any way, to regulate in any way, the operation of the real estate brokers. There has been one exception. That is on the question of fair housing, but I think the adoption of that amendment only indicates that there is a question of how much power you remove from local government because if that question didn't arise, it



wouldn't be necessary to add a few of these exceptions. I a...I could accept a pre-emption or limitation upon local government if, by so doing, local government would, at least, be given the opportunity to a limited power to regulate brokers. But when I say that, I mean that local government ought to have the right to license, even though the license may be limited to \$25 or something less than that. But the mere fact that we could register and know who these brokers were in our towns and what they were about. The power to revoke the license or suspend the license is a great power. Those who are not operating properly, not within the law, ought to have their powers removed. They ought to have their license suspended. And if local government could be required... could be allowed to regulate brokers by license with a limited fee and with a license that could only be required if that place of business is located within that municipality, I think that would do a great deal to answer my complaint in this regard. But because of these weaknesses because of the flaws in this bill, and it is a flaw I think that a...runs through all the bills, I would ask you to vote against this bill."

W. Robert Blair: "A...Mr. Maragos."

Maragos: "Will the sponsor of the series of the bills be willing to answer several questions?"

W. Robert Blair: "Yes, he indicates he will."

Maragos: "Will you please explain to me, Mr. Hirschfeld, what



....what occupations or professions in this group are presently be controlled or regulated by municipal government, besides the real estate brokers?"

Hirschfeld: "A...needless to say, Representative, I am not familiar with the regulations in each and every municipality in the State of Illinois. So I cannot stand here and tell you what a...the Village of Schiller Park or the City of Champaign, or Cairo, or anywhere else in this State, what they might be regulating. However, we have tried to cover each of the Acts, and I have all the Acts here in my possession, save one, which I was unable to get, which we are trying to pre-empt, and so what we are trying to do is not just to anticipate what is being done now, but to look to the future and anticipate what might be a...license regulated by municipalities in the future."

Maragos: "Well, do you know of any municipalities within your own knowledge, outside the City of Chicago, which has regulated any other profession beside the real estate brokers profession?"

Hirschfeld: "Let me say this to you, Representative Maragos, I readily admit that I am not an expert in the area of licensing...a...there is no statutory powers for the others at this time, so I would presume that would probably not be a problem."

Maragos: "But my question is do you know of any of your own personal knowledge, I didn't ask for your expertise, but as a lawyer, as a citizen, as a legislator, do you know of



6-28-74

128.

any?"

Hirschfeld: "Not at this stage of the game."

Maragos: "A...pardon?"

Hirschfeld: "No sir, not at this stage of the game."

Maragos: "Alright. Can you please tell me what detection of deception of examiners and their duties are as in related to rule....Bill 1518? What are their specific duties?"

Hirschfeld: "I can,..they have become quite popular in recent years because of all the prosecution of politicians. They are lie detector examiners."

Maragos: "And a...do you know of any city that regulates them or any municipality?"

Hirschfeld: "The answer to that, of course, I do not, but what we are trying to do is pre-empt that possibility from the future so far as licensing and regulation under that particular Act is concerned."

Maragos: "Alright, one more question for the general of all of these bills. Mr. Hirschfeld, we just passed a Constitution in 1970 that, after 100 years, established home rule powers in the various municipalities. Why do you feel that we should pre-empt every occupation, every profession, from these...from these...from these controls of these various municipalities after the referendum of an overwhelming majority of 1970 adopted home rule power for the first time in the history of Illinois."

Hirschfeld: "Well, the reply to the distinguished Gentleman



from Cook, depending upon whom you speak with or happen to be in the Constitutional Convention, there are a great number of the delegates of that Convention that feel that the guide lines set up in that Constitution were specifically designated for the introduction and passage of bills such as this, that would pre-empt certain areas for the State of Illinois, and so I feel that those delegations were in the Convention, intended for this type of legislation to be passed and be put into law."

Maragos: "Mr. Speaker, and Members of the House, may I speak on the question? At this time, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, although I see in certain areas for the State of Illinois, should have exclusive jurisdiction, there are many many of the occupational professions which effect the local communities, the local municipalities, and we should not take away that power from those municipalities or those communities. One classic example would be funeral directors. It isn't only the fact of the licensing that is involved here, but it is also the practice of the profession of the business which is involved. There is also police powers, health powers, health sanitation questions, and I think by indirect language in these bills, there is...brought up by the Minority Leader, Mr. Shea, you are raising questions of taking not only pre-empting, but also taking away, present powers of these various municipalities, and therefore, that I ask that on many of these, I won't say all of them,



but on many of these occupations and many of these bills of the series that you vote no because you are going to be sorry for it later, because you are taking away the powers of your local community to see to a funeral director, to a barber, to a a....hairdresser that you do not have a right, as a city, to control, or the health and the safety and the sanitation problems in your community. And, therefore, I say look well before you vote on these issues and I ask in many of these cases to vote no."

W. Robert Blair: "A...Mr. Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, as we've heard today and previously, these bills amend existing licensing acts and provide that no unit of government may exercise directly or indirectly any of the powers or functions contained in each of these acts. Now in considering the consequence of each of these bills presently before us, it is incumbent upon each of us to look at each of the acts. And I'd like to go through some of these acts with you so that you better understand what the consequence of each of these bills is. Let's look at the Real Estate Brokers and Salesmen's License Act. In Section 115, sub-section (d) it provides that a real estate broker's office should be located physically in a certain way. You know and I know that is a matter of land use. Sub-section (e) 16, has to do with the kind of sign that real estate brokers and salesmen may set up.



You and I know that deals with zoning and sign ordinances. Let's jump to the Pharmacy Practices Act. Sub-section 7(a); it says that every pharmacy shall have a suitable well lighted and well ventilated room with clean and sanitary surroundings. You and I know that that involves a local health ordinance. And then let's go on to the Optometric Practices Act and in Section 13, sub-section (k) there is a provision that deals with the kinds of signs that optometrists may display. You and I know that dealsrelates to a local sign ordinance. And then let's go on to the Veterinarian Medical and Surgical Practices Act. Section 12, sub-section 13; which provides that the failure to keep one's premises and equipment therein in a clean and sanitary condition is the basis for denying or revoking a license. You and I know that we are involved here with a local health ordinance. We can go on to the Public and Vocational Schools Act. We find the same thing. The Beauty Culture Act; the same thing. We can go on to the Funeral Directing and Embalming Act and find the subject of land use in Section 9(a) covered. With regard to the Land Surveyors Act; we find that the matter of planning of lands and subdivisions thereof is covered. But I'm trying to point out to you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, that by this series of Acts, with the language that is contained in these bills, exclusive exercise by the State and no concurrent action, either directly or indirectly, by units of government, these



health ordinances, these subdivision regulation control ordinances, these zoning, building, and sign ordinances will not be enforceable or applicable at the local level. Now when we talk about health...health ordinances; when we talk about land use ordinances; when we talk about sign ordinances; when we talk about subdivision regulation control; we are talking about the fundamental nature and character of units of local government. And if we are going to deny to units of local government these basic functions then we are taking the heart, we are removing the heart, the soul, perhaps, from units of government and placing at the State level. You could easily... easily characterize some of these bills as State zoning bills. And I'm sure that this is repulsive to a number of you. Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, Article 7, Section 6, of the 1970 Constitution, is a finally-tuned provision series of sections. Its one that municipalities and counties have accepted from my observation with responsibility. I have not seen the offenses that were generally referred to by the sponsor of this bill, these bills, for which he has neglected to sight and to describe. I respectfully suggest to you Mr. Speaker and Members of the House that these bills could be equated to an atomic bomb and what alleged abuses there are, to be equated to a mosquito. Can you imagine using an atomic bomb to kill a mosquito? There are grave consequences, grave consequences,



that will flow from the passage of these bills and I respectfully suggest and urge that you give them serious consideration and in more cases and not, you vote no."

Jerry Shea: "Mr. Hirschfeld."

Hirschfeld: "Mr. Speaker, I rise in a point of personal privilege. I certainly want to bend over backwards to the distinguished Assistant Minority Leader in giving him every possible opportunity, save one, of attacking these bills. But the one that I'm thinking about is the position that he now occupies as temporary Speaker of this House.

Shea: "I can assure you that I will rule fairly, it will take 107 votes right after Mr. Washington's motion to table is allowed. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Washington."

Washington: "Representative Hirschfeld, I think you are in trouble. Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I shall address myself to only two bills in this series, that is Senate Bill 1502 and Senate Bill 1638 which I know from my own personal knowledge that in this area there has abuses by the municipal authorities. Senate Bill 1502, as you know, is the Real Estate Brokers Act and it gives the State exclusive powers to control that area. In light of the fact that this bill was amended to permit the municipal corporations to maintain their local fair housing acts, I see no problem with this bill and I intend to support it, and I intend to support it because there has been abuses in this area on the local level. In at least



three instances, municipalities have...have forced it upon certain brokers, almost consistory license fees. Behind that move, obviously, was to prevent certain black brokers from operating in certain local areas. I think it is to...this power is too serious and fault with danger to leave in the hands of the municipalities and I have no problem whatsoever in supporting Senate Bill 1502. Senate Bill 1638, the last of the series, gives the State exclusive power to regulate private detective businesses. Now the private detective businesses in the last few years have grown into a tremendous industry, particularly in Cook County. It is my understanding that there is now approximately 42,000 security guards operating near or in the City of Chicago. And this industry has burgeoned primarily because we have simply not been able to afford, through public taxation, a large enough police department to guaranty that our businesses will be adequately protected. And so, consequently, in my community, the security guard industry has mushroomed and I think there are approximately 20,000 individuals employed in that industry in the south and west side of Chicago. For example, in the Englewood district, the Englewood Shopping Center, I talked with the commander there. I went over to his office to find out why we couldn't get certain streets patrolled in the morning because women on their way to work were being molested. And he told me the reason was



very simple, that he had to assign around the clock, almost, 8 policemen to the Englewood Shopping Center, and he had to assign them there because that is where the masses of people congregated and common sense dictated to him that he should send his police where the masses of people congregate. I can't quarrel with that. The point is that they simply don't have sufficient Chicago policemen to assign on the streets and take care of the businesses as they should. But notwithstanding the vital necessity of the security guards in our City, I am afraid, and I have to admit the Chicago Police Department has consistently carried on a series of harrassments against security guards, at least for the last 25 years. They arrest them on the slightest provocation; they sit out the security...or business establishment waiting for a security guard to get home, knowing that he has an hour to transport his weapon from the place of employment to his home; they follow them in their cars; if they make the slightest fraction or violation of a traffic law, they arrest them, search them, take their weapon, haul them into court, they are eventually discharged. They have to file a suit to get their weapon back. Just plain, simple, total harrassment. Not only that, the City of Chicago has passed an ordinance which I have to consider nothing short of crippling of that industry. Now we on this level have done yeomen service in the field of trying to control and make certain that security guards come up to the standards



we expect. We provide that they shall now be licensed, finger-printed, and their backgrounds be checked into. As you recall last session, Representative Getty spent the better part of the entire session coming up with an educational program from security guards. I am satisfied that the State of Illinois can very well take care of the security guard problem, and I am equally satisfied that the City of Chicago has abused its right to control that industry. Let me quote just a paragraph or two from Governor Ogilvie. In 1971, he said there are compelling reasons for enacting legislation which will qualify any doubts and will reserve to the State exclusive authority in some matters. The parcelling out of licensing regulatory authority over these various matters to home rule, or other units of local government, could have serious consequences. Such fragmentation would inconvenience those persons who are regulated. Hinder the efficient delivery of service and endanger the protection now afforded the consumers of these services. That categorization, that generalization, fits four square upon the treatment upon that the security guards in the City of Chicago have received at the hands of our local police officers. I suggest to you that you support at least two of these bills, Senate Bill 1502 and Senate Bill 1638, for I intend to do likewise."

Jerry Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. McAuliffe."

McAuliffe: "I'd like to rise on a point of personal privilege."

6-28-74

I listened to the past speaker castrating the Chicago Police Department and complaining about the fact that they are always picking on security guards. Now that is just hearsay, and its not true at all. The police department has no malicious campaign of harrassment against these security guards in Chicago. The security guards perform a function and the police department recognizes this, and there is no effort on the part of the police department leadership, or the members of the Chicago Police Department, to go out and pick on any security guard. I very strongly resent the inference that policemen are in the business of harrassing these security guards."

Jerry Shea: "For what purpose does the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Washington, seek recognition?"

Washington: "A mild sort of a personal privilege, Mr. Speaker.

Jerry Shea: "A mild sort?"

Washington: "A mild sort. I want to make it very clear that I was not intending to castigate the Chicago Police Department, and to the extent that I did, I will certainly withdraw my remarks, and certainly, certainly, in no way directly or indirectly, will I cast aspirations on my colleague, Representative McAuliffe, who is a former policeman, and who, I am certain, was a darn good one. Thank you."

Jerry Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Douglas."

Douglas: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,



I would be remiss, I believe, in my responsibility if I did not add, what may be a non-legal dimension to this argument, which apparently, is being recorded for the courts observations and use in future judicial decisions. Every single member of this House has, as I have, received large numbers of communications from representatives of the numerous health related and health professions that are effected by the issue that we are debating. And I would like for the record to make it clear that all of the official representatives of the medical, dental, pharmacy, optometry, physical therapy, podiatry, veteraniary medicine, phychologists, nursing and socal work profession, have clearly indicated in behalf of their professions, a desire, a need, and a deep concern, that the regulation of their profession should continue to be handled at the State level. And I think it is extremely important for the court, if and when these decisions are reviewed, to recognize that the representative of these professions, most of which are as concerned about the needs of the people as they are about their own professional needs, clearly indicate that they are opposed to the municipal regulation of any sort, and they wish to see the pre-emption of the powers maintained at the State level."

Jerry Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Walsh."

Walsh: "Well, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I see no reason at all why the Assistant Minority Leader should conduct a filibuster all by himself, and that



it should not be shared by some of the members of this family, so it is my pleasure to introduce Jerry Shea's lovely wife, Joan, in the balcony on the Democratic side in the rear, their daughter, Cory, and their friend Debbie Calabeck is with them."

Jerry Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Duff."

Duff: "Well Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, frankly, it is with a great deal of satisfaction that I have listened now for two days to a very hard fault clear statement of different positions on this very important issue. I frankly believe that Representative Hirschfeld, Representative Shea, Representative Bluthardt and Schlickman, have done a great service to the people of Illinois by dealing so carefully and so concisely and so clearly with one of the major issues of our day. In 1953...in 1971 when House Bill 1553 was introduced, this very difficult issue became a major concern of this legislature and it has been since. This, let's not kid ourselves, ladies and gentlemen, is a beginning of a long struggle to define the quality of the decision of government of the Constitutional Convention and the referendum of the people in terms of the definition, the limitation or non-limitation, of the home rule section. I think it is unfortunate that we have not been able find in those two strongly held points of view a compromise which would come down to the clarity of assertion that the State of Illinois, through this General Assembly, will wish to assert its authority



for the exclusive power to license certain professions. Prior to the passage of that Constitution, we had a licensing posture involving some 29 professions. It is ...the intention, as I understand it and as I have always seen it, to maintain to the extent possible the status quo in terms of the State authority to conduct this business. And to be sure to still have the authority to delegate, as it might wish through future statutes, such powers as it would choose to give to the municipality, to the counties, and to any other subsidiary level of government. We have heard some conversation relative to the fair housing problems. I can only subscribe totally to the words of Representative Harold Washington, who spoke so eloquently on our subject. If indeed this debate is to be heard or read...or...or put before the Supreme Court of the State of Illinois, or any other court, I would like to assert, for that record, our intention and ask that the court read the need, read the cause, read the concern of the people of Illinois as expressed in this General Assembly. There are 200,000 people in the State of Illinois who are directly concerned with the licensing of these 27 professions and occupations. If you double that with wives and spouses and children, if you quadruple that with clients, with patients, and with the people with whom they must deal, I would...I would like it to be indicated that this is one of the major concerns of the people of this State at this time. If, indeed, we are to



be confronted with future litigation, and apparently we are, let the court not look to technicalities upon which it may reject it so that we will have to come back to this General Assembly in future years. Let the court look to our basic assertion under the sub-paragraph so specifically delineated in these bills and let them realize that the General Assembly of the State of Illinois has had to speak now, this House has had to speak now, three times in order to be heard in its opinion on this issue. Thank you Mr. Speaker."

Arthur Telscer: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, will the sponsor yield for question."

Arthur Telscer: "He indicates that he will."

Shea: "Mr. Hirschfeld, after listening to the debate and I think what with your statements, and I'd like to make sure I understand them correctly, Senate Bill 1502 deals with the issuing of Certificate of Competency with regard to real estate brokers and real estate salesmen and sets out the various reasons, except for four of those. Now is it the intention of these bills to give to the State the exclusive power to evaluate for competency the real estate brokers and the real estate salesmen and only that?"

Hirschfeld: "Well, Representative Shea, I am afraid that you are now putting me in the roll that you were in yesterday where I will have to continually give the answer from my point of view for the court record that you gave yesterday, so I will do, at this time, and I will be happy to do it



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

any other time that you desire it. The intent of this legislation is clearly expressed and made precise by the language of the bill itself. We are declaring that any power or function set forth in 1502 or in any of the other Acts be exercised by this State is an exclusive State power function. The concept in words "power", "function", and "exclusive", are taken directly from paragraph (h) of the 1970 Constitution. It is not incumbent upon us as Members of this Legislature, nor is it appropriate that I, or we members make a judicial interpretation of exactly which concept in each and every licensing Act, do or do not constitute a power or function within paragraph (h) meaning of those terms. What I intend by the powers and functions being exercised by the State under this Act, that they not be exercised by the local government. To be more specific, and as I have already said once already in the last 30 minutes, in response to Representative Bluthardt's question, I believe that yours and his interpretation of these bills and their effect is far broader than our intent and far more sweeping than I believe these bills can be interpreted to be. Clearly zoning powers, general police powers, and the many traditional health and safety functions of municipal government are not powers or functions exercised by the State under the Act in question, and therefore, we are not pre-empting them by this legislation."

Shea: "Now, Section 6 of the Constitution in Article 7, deals



with home rule powers. The last part of Section (a) says except as limited by this Section a home rule unit may exercise any power and perform any function pertaining to governmental and government affairs including, but not limited to, the power to regulate for the protection of the public health, safety, morals and welfare to license, to tax, and to incur debts. In Section (e) the...it reads a home rule unit shall have only the power that the General Assembly may provide by law, and then I skip to Section (2) to license for revenue. Now clearly the State, to the best of my knowledge in any of the series of bills, has not been given the power, or the State has not given the power to any unit of local government to license for revenue. Is that correct sir?"

Hirschfeld: "That is right."

Shea: "Alright, now we are talking about in this 1502, the evaluation for competency, and the issuance of a Certificate of Registration to a broker or a salesman which is a specific occupation. Is that right?"

Hirschfeld: "Correct."

Shea: "Alright, then it talks in the part of the Act that these salesmen or brokers engage in the real estate business, but as I read it, the Act does not cover the real estate business, so if you're...what the statement you just read me, may a unit of local government regulate real estate businesses within their respective community?"



Hirschfeld: "Well, Mr. Shea, in reply to that, all I can go back and tell you, if this will be a matter of judicial interpretation, which is the same statement that I just read about five minutes ago, and I don't know any other way to answer it in order to keep the record clear and to avoid it being muddy."

Shea: "But you are the sponsor of this legislation and I wish you'd tell me what the words and phrases mean, not some judge down the pike."

Hirschfeld: "Well, unfortunately, Representative Shea, you and I well know, no matter what this legislature decides, its always opened up to general judicial interpretation so no matter what you and I might say, either in this debate or for self-serving purposes, for the purposal of the court hearing, the judges will still make the final decision as to what the wording means in the various Acts."

Shea: "Alright, now under the prohibition under Section (e) does not prohibit a unit of local government, a home rule unit, from imposing a franchise tax. Under this legislation, would it be possible for a unit of local government to impose a franchise tax upon the gross sale of a business that was engaged in the sale of real estate?"

Hirschfeld: "That doesn't deal with the subject in hand, Representative Shea, as I am sure you are well aware, and once again, you are asking me to make judicial interpretation, and while I might very well like to serve in the



court someday, I am not in that position at this time and I really don't think that I'm qualified to answer that question."

Shea: "Could you then tell me what you mean by the phrase "directly or indirectly"?"

Hirschfeld: "Well, we went through this at great length yesterday and the words "directly or indirectly" are in there, as I know you are well aware, to make certain that home rule units cannot use some type of evasive tactic to order....to evade the pre-emption that is being guaranteed under these Acts if they pass."

Shea: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I know, at times, that you have been bored, at times you have been concerned about what these bills might do, and I would ask you, that we are now getting down to that juncture in the life of a bill in this General Assembly, where we are going to be called upon to vote, to either give this piece of legislation an aye vote or a nay vote, to take a look and see what these bills do, and I think our colleague; Representative Schlickman, put it very aptly, that these bills are time-bomb, or an atomic bomb, about to explode. And I think that if they go as far as what some of us think, we'll be back here six months from now, a year from now, at the request of our mayors, our local alderman, asking us to please amend these bills so that the units of local government have some power or control. I think, when we ...when we look at what is



here, I think we are going way beyond simple pre-emption for the evaluation of competency of the occupation or profession involved, I think what we are doing is really gutting, gutting the authority of local units of government to regulate their own affairs. Now certainly prior to the effective date of the 1970 Constitution, units of local government had many powers to regulate the businesses that were incorporated, or the businesses that were within their municipal boundaries. What this... what this far-sweeping power given to this General Assembly was said not amend each law where we've given or granted powers to a unit of local government, but by one sweeping law, we can take off the books, laws that took our colleagues 100 years to pass, and I would ask you, as you vote on this, think of what you are doing, and ask you, respectfully, to cast a no vote on this series of bills."

Arthur Telcser: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Hudson."

Hudson: "Well, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, what Representative Hirschfeld is doing here, or attempting to do, has been represented as a time bomb and in other a...a...ways such as this. I think the time-bomb, if there was one, came when the new Constitution was passed and the home rule section of that Constitution was included in it. I remember at the time the arguments that went on in the Constitutional Convention and some



of the gravest concerns and reservations, at that time, concerned the broad and sweeping powers that were given to the municipalities under the home rule, so called home rule section of the new Constitution. And these were given quite in violation and quite in reverse of our federal system and our federal concept, which as I understand it, constitutionally gives certain powers to the Federal Government and those not specifically deligated to the Federal Government are reserved to the separate and several States. And the States; then, the sovereign States in our Country, became the residual depositories of the residual power, became the...the residual depositories of the power, a...apart from the Federal Government. And when we passed the new Constitution with the home rule provision, we quite reversed this philosophy and said no the municipalities don't have only those powers and etc. that are granted by the State, but they have all powers except those that are a...pre-empted or excluded by the State. Now this was a reversal in our federal system and I say again I recall, it was a point of great concern at the time that the Constitution was being debated and acted upon. So if there is any time bomb involved here, its the time bomb of the home rule section of the Constitution itself. And surely enough, here we are, addressing ourselves to the problems that this section of the Constitution has given us. We could foresee these several years ago that these problems would come. So I think that what we



what we are doing here today is simply trying to redress, trying in a moderate way, and I think these are moderate in the licensing area, are moderate a...suggestions here... athe redressings of these problems. I think its a... workable...I think its areasonable compromise, something that had to come. We hardly can afford a patch work of licensing across the State where a well-digger is licensed to dig a well in Hinsdale and he can't go to his neighboring community in Downers Grove and practice well digging if anybody in Downers Grove wants a well dug. And so on and so on and not to say nothing of those that were represented by...or a suggested by Representative Douglas in the medical professions and others of that kind. So I quite support and would have you think of federal system and what was really originally intended by those who set up our frame work of government. And we are not doing anything that is really putting that system in jeopardy, quite to the contrary, getting back in the direction that was originally intended. I think it is reasonable, and I think these licensing pre-emption bills should be supported on that basis along with others that have been mentioned."

Arthur Telcser: "The Gentleman from Champaign, Representative Hirschfeld, to close the debate."

Hirschfeld: "Well, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, in conclusion on this entire series of bills, let me say this. I think these bills have been a long time

6-28-74

149.

in coming, and I would like to frankly, at this time, to acknowledge credit where credit is due, for I happen to be the House sponsor on this particular series, there is no doubt in my mind that Representative Duff is certainly the foremost expert in the area of pre-emption and did 99% of the back-ground work in getting these bills prepared for introduction and passage by this General Assembly. And it is just a matter of circumstance that I happen to be the sponsor at this time because we got caught in the switches on the time between the two Houses, so I think that we should give credit where credit is due when these bills pass and I hope that they will pass. Now I want the distinguished Assistant Minority Leader and Representative Schlickman and Representative Bluthardt and the others who are opposed to this bill to know that I respect...I respect their opposition to these bills and I know that they have a sincere belief that these bills go further than we say they do. I also think that it is a matter of political philosophy. These gentlemen feel that home rule is absolutely exclusive, they feel that it should be that way, and I think they have argued very valiantly for their cause. However, I believe the Constitution clearly points out that both home rule and pre-emption is recognized and that what we should do is pre-empt certain areas. In conclusion, let me just say this, while I respect the arguments of those who favor municipal home rule to the exclusion of all else, I must



GENERAL ASSEMBLY
STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

say that the arguments remind me just a little bit of a young lady who I knew in my younger days. From 30 feet away, she looked like she had a lot of class, but from 10 feet away, she looked like something to be made up to be viewed at 30 feet away. And I would appreciate an aye vote on these particular bills."

Arthur Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, pursuant to the ruling of the Chair, I would respectfully ask how many votes does it take to pass House Bill 1502?"

Arthur Telcser: "Senate Bill 1502."

Shea: "Or...Senate Bill 1502. It is my opinion that this bill is not a pre-emption under (h) and (i), but because of the words "indirectly and directly" and because of making this bill and exclusive State-wide fair housing law, that this now becomes a bill that pre-empts units of local government from enacting a fair housing law and now requires a 3/5's constitutional majority."

Arthur Telcser: "In order for successful of Senate Bill 1520, Representative Shea, this bill would require."

Shea: "1502 or 1520, Art?"

Arthur Telcser: "Oh, I'm sorry, 1502. This bill would require 89 votes for passage. It does not require a 3/5's vote of the members elected to this House, because it does not constitute a denial or limitation of a home rule power under Section 6(g) of Article 7 of the Consitution of the State of Illinois of 1970."



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Shea: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I will respectfully dissent with regards to this bill on the number of votes needed for passage. It is my contention that it must be passed by an extraordinary majority, and if I will be joined with one of my colleagues, I wish that that dissent would be entered upon the journals of this House."

Arthur Telscer: "Okay, would the Clerk see to it that Representatives Farley, Yourell, let's see how many... Matejevich, Garmisa, D. Houlihan, Capparelli, and Lemke, and Lechowicz, and Keller, have joined with Representative Shea to have entered upon the journal their dissent with respect to the Chair's ruling as to how many votes Senate Bill 1502 would take in order for it to pass. Okay, the question is... Representative Duff, for what purpose do you rise?"

Duff: "Well, Mr. Speaker, just for the record, on that point I might point out that in previous years, in every instance, your ruling is consistent with the ruling of the Chairs of the House and the Senate, as well as..."

Arthur Telscer: "Representative Yourell, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Yourell: "Point of order, Mr. Speaker. Representative Shea was recognized to close and he closed and now I think Representative Duff is out of order."

Arthur Telscer: "He is out of order, sir."

Yourell: "Thank you."

Duff: "Mr. Speaker?"



Arthur Telscer: "What is it, Representative Duff?"

Duff: "Mr. Shea, Representative Hirschfeld closed."

Arthur Telscer: "Yeh, but what is your point now sir?"

Duff: "Simply, Mr. Speaker, on the point of the ruling of the Chair, I want for the record to show what I just said, plus the fact the Assistant respected Minority Leader agreed some four weeks ago in House debate that these bills did require 89 votes, himself."

Arthur Telscer: "Alright. The question is shall Senate... Representative Shea, for what purpose do you rise?"

Shea: "I don't know where I alledgedly ever made that statement but it is my contention that these bills require an extraordinary majority because they are taking away from units of home rule powers delegated under the Constitution."

Arthur Telscer: "The question is shall Senate Bill 1502 pass? All those in favor signify by voting aye, the opposed by voting no. Pursuant to the Chair's ruling, this will take 89 votes. Have all...Representative...the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, I know what the results are, but I'd simply like to explain my vote as briefly as I can. I think the membership should appreciate that there is a distinction between licensing and regulating. I've heard it said that there shouldn't be a multiplicity of licensing. I agree with that. What we are talking about in this bill is not a multiplicity of licensing, we are talking about whether or not units of government are going to have taken



6-28-74

153.

away from them some very basic powers and functions, powers and functions which they need to satisfy their statutory authority to serve their constituency. I would also point out that if a series of bills has to pass, I'm glad it is this series, and not another series that would have allowed for a multiplicity of licensing, but would have exclusively a...taken away regulatory authority, a...from units of government."

Arthur Telcser: "Have all voted who wished? Take the record. On this question, 116 ayes, 42 nays, 9 answering present, and this bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. Now we are going to have to wait a few seconds between each bill as the machine kicks out the roll call. Representative Craig, for what purpose do you rise?"

Craig: "Clabaugh, aye."

Arthur Telcser: "Representative Craig, for what purpose do you rise?"

Craig: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to introduce some people in the gallery. On behalf of Representative John Houlihan, Representative Paul Randolph, Representative Bob Thompson, in the gallery here to the back, I would like to introduce Representative Thompson's wife, Mrs. Elizabeth Thompson and Mr. and Mrs."

Arthur Telcser: "Senate Bill 1503. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, in order to save some of the time of the

GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES



House, I think if you would rule the number of votes required, whether its a simple constitutional majority or a extraordinary constitutional majority, each of these bills, and if it is more...if it is less than an extraordinary, I will respectfully dissent from that ruling, and if the Clerk will journalize that, joined by my colleagues that wish to join me, or did join me on 1502, and do that with each of these bills, I think it will save a substantial amount of time of the House."

Arthur Telscer: "Alright, I can make that ruling now, Representative Shea, is that alright? Okay. All of the bills in this series..."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, one question. Since the Speaker said that he would not rule in bulk, but was going to rule at the time each bill was called."

Arthur Telscer: "Alright, Senate Bill 1503 will require 89 votes for passage as it does not require a 3/5's vote of the Members elected to the House of Representatives because it does not constitute a denial or limitation of a home rule power under Section 6(g) of Article 7 of the Constitution of 1970. Now will the Clerk please enter upon the journal a dissent from that ruling by Representative Shea. Representative Shea, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Shea: "This is not like to other bills, so with regards with Bill 1503, I will not dissent from the rule."

Arthur Telscer: "The question is shall Senate Bill 1503 pass?"



All those in favor signify by voting aye, the opposed by voting no. Have all voted who wished? Take the record. On this question there are 110 ayes, 48 nays, 4 answering present. This bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed.

Senate Bill 1504.



Senate Bill 1504 will require 89 votes for passage. It does not constitute, it does not require a vote of three-fifths of the Members elected to the House because it does not constitute a denial of limitation of a home-rule power under Section 6G of Article 7 of the Constitution of 1970. Is there dissent. Will the Journal please show that Representative Shea joined by the same colleagues as on Senate Bill 1502 dissent from that ruling. The question is . . . the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "And would the Journal show the reason for, and it will be the reason . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "The same reason . . . ah . . . on 1504 as it was on 1502. The question is, shall Senate Bill 1504 . . . didn't you read it? . . . they've all been read a long time ago . . . ah . . . for the first time . . . the question is, shall Senate Bill 1504 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye' and the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question there are 123 'ayes', 36 'nays', 6 answering 'present'; this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. Senate Bill 1505. This Bill requires 89 votes for passage. It does not require a vote of three-fifths of the Members elected to the House because it does not constitute a denial of limitation of a home-rule power under Section 6G of Article 7 of the Constitution of 1970. Will the Journal show that Representative Shea dissents from the ruling of the Chair for the same reason, and is joined by that dissent with the same Members as he was on Senate Bill 1502 on 1504. The question is, shall Senate Bill 1505 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question there are 125 'ayes', 36 'nays', 5 answering 'present'; this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. Senate Bill 1506. This Bill requires 89 votes for passage. It does not require a vote of three-fifths of the Members elected to the House because it does not constitute a denial or a limitation of a home-rule power under Section 6G of Article 7 of the Constitution of 1970. Let the Journal



show that Representative Shea dissents from that ruling along with the colleagues who dissented in prior Bills and for the same reason. The question is, shall Senate Bill 1506 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question there are 121 'ayes', 38 'nays', 7 answering 'present'; this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. Senate Bill 1507. This Bill requires 89 votes for passage. It does not require a vote of three-fifths of the Members elected to the House because it does not constitute a denial of limitation of a home-rule power of Section 6G of Article 7 of the Constitution of 1970. The Journal please show Representative Shea joined by the same colleagues as in prior Bills dissents from that ruling for the same reason. We're on 1507, now, Fred. The question is, shall Senate Bill 1507 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question there are 125 'ayes', 34 'nays', 6 answering 'present'; this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. Senate Bill 1508. Senate Bill 1508 requires 89 votes for passage. It does not require a vote of three-fifths of the Members elected to the House because it does not constitute a denial of limitation of a home-rule power under 6G of the Consti . . . of Article 7 of the Constitution of 1970. Let the Journal show that Representative Shea as with prior Bills dissents from that ruling. We're on 1508 now, Fred, aren't we? The question is, shall Senate Bill 1508 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question there are 121 'ayes', 40 'nays', 3 answering 'present'; this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. Senate Bill 1509. This Bill requires 89 votes for passage. It does not require a vote of three-fifths of the Members elected to the House because it does not constitute a denial of limitation of a home-rule power under Section 6G of Article 7 of the Constitution of 1970. Let the Journal show that Representative Shea as in prior Bills



dissents from that ruling. 1509. The question is, shall Senate Bill 1509 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question there are 126 'ayes', 35 'nays', 6 answering 'present'; this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. Senate Bill 1510. This Bill requires 89 votes for passage. It does not require a vote of three-fifths of the Members elected to the House because it is not constitute or deny a limitation of a home-rule power under Section 6G of Article 7 of the Constitution of 1970. Let the Journal show that Representative Shea dissents from the Chair's ruling as he did in prior . . . prior Bills. The question is, shall Senate Bill 1510 pass? Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question there are 127 'ayes', 37 'nays', 6 answering 'present'; this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. Senate Bill 1511. Senate Bill 1511 requires 89 votes for passage. It does not require a vote of three-fifths of the Members elected to the House because it does not constitute a denial of limitation of a home-rule power under Section 6G of Article 7 of the Constitution of 1970. Let the Journal show that Representative Shea dissents from that ruling for the same reason and joined by the same Members as in prior Bills. The question is, shall Senate Bill 1511 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question there are 122 'ayes', 40 'nays', 6 answering 'present' . . . Molloy 'aye' . . . this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. Senate Bill 1512. Ewell 'no'. Senate Bill 1512. This Bill requires 89 votes for passage. It does not require a vote of three-fifths of the Members elected to the House because it does not constitute a denial of limitation of a home-rule power under Section 6G of Article 7 of the Constitution of 1970. Let the Journal show that Representative Shea dissents from that ruling for the same reasons and joined by the same Members as in prior Bills. 1512.



Huh? Yeah, he dissents to 1512. The question is, shall Senate Bill 1512 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question there are 131 'ayes', 36 'nays', 3 answering 'present'; this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. Senate Bill 1513. This Bill requires 89 votes for passage. It does not require a vote of three-fifths of the Members elected to the House because it does not constitute a denial of limitation of a home-rule power under Section 6G, Article 7 of the Constitution of 1970. Let the Journal show Representative Shea's dissent joined by the same Members and for the same reason to prior Bills. Senate Bill . . . ah . . . got that? . . . the question is, shall Senate Bill 1513 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question . . . 119 'ayes', 44 'nays', 3 answering 'present'; this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. Senate Bill 1514. This Bill requires 89 votes for passage. It does not require a vote of three-fifths of the Members elected to the House because it does not constitute a denial of limitation of a home-rule power under Section 6G of Article 7 of the Constitution of 1970. Let the Journal show Representative Shea dissents for the same reasons and joined by the same Members as in prior Bills. The question is, shall Senate Bill 1514 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question there are 126 'ayes', 40 'nays', 5 answering 'present'; this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. Senate Bill 1515. This Bill requires 89 votes for passage. It does not require a vote of three-fifths of the Members elected to the House because it does not constitute a denial of limitation of a home-rule power under Section 6G of Article 7 of the Constitution of 1970. Let the Journal show that Representative Shea joined by the same Members and for the same reasons as in prior Bills dissents from that ruling. The ques . . . the question is, shall Senate Bill 1515 pass? All those in favor



signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question there are 119 'ayes', 42 'nays', 4 answering 'present'; this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. Senate Bill . . . DiPrima 'no' . . . Senate Bill 1516. This Bill requires 89 votes for passage. It does not require a vote of three-fifths of the Members elected to the House because it does not constitute a denial of limitation of a home-rule power under Section 6C of Article 7 of the Constitution of 1970. Let the Journal show that Representative Shea dissents from that ruling for the same reasons and joined by the same Members as in prior rulings. The question is, shall Senate Bill 1516 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question there are 114 'ayes', 45 'nays', 6 answering 'present'; this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. Senate Bill 1517. This Bill requires 89 votes for passage. It does not require a vote of three-fifths . . . Representative Kriegsman, are you seeking recognition, Sir? . . ."

Kriegsman: "I just . . . I just wonder if we shouldn't have a coffee break after all this work?"

Speaker Telcser: "I don't know, I'll need one. This Bill requires 89 votes for passage. It does not require a vote of three-fifths of the Members elected to the House because it does not constitute a denial of limitation of a home-rule power under Section 6C, Article 7 of the Constitution of 1970. Let the Journal show Representative Shea dissents for the same reason joined by the same Members as in prior Bills. Oh, you're not kidding. I felt like shit yesterday. The question is, shall Senate Bill 1517 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question there are 122 'ayes', 44 'nays', 4 answering 'present'; this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. Senate Bill 1518. This Bill requires 89 votes for passage. It does not require a vote of three-fifths of the Members elected to the



House because it does not constitute a denial of limitation of a home-rule power under Section 6G, Article 7 of the Constitution of 1970. Let the Journal show that Representative Shea dissents from that ruling joined by the same Members and for the same reasons as in prior Bills. The question is, shall Senate Bill 1518 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question there are 116 'ayes', 43 'nays', . . . Houlihan 'aye', Jim Houlihan 'aye' . . . on this question there are 117 'ayes', 43 'nays', 6 answering 'present'; this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. Senate Bill 1519. Schoeberlein 'yes' on the last Roll Call. Senate Bill 1519 requires 89 votes for passage. It does not require a vote of three-fifths of the Members elected to the House because it does not constitute a denial of limitation of a home-rule power under Section 6G of Article 7 of the Constitution of 1970. Let the Journal show that Representative Shea dissents from this ruling showing by the same Members and for the same reasons as in prior Bills. The question is, shall Senate Bill 1519 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question there are 121 'ayes', 42 'nays', 3 answering 'present'; and this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. Senate Bill 1520. Senate Bill 1520 requires 107 votes for passage. It requires a vote of three-fifths of the Members elected to the House because it denies a limited power to tax under Section 6G of Article 7 of the Constitution of 1970. Representative Shea, do you dissent from the ruling of the Chair that it takes 107 votes for Senate Bill 1520? . . ."

Shea: "Is this the same as 1503, except with regards to insurance?"

Speaker Telcser: "Well, I simply said 1520 requires 107 votes. Do you want to try for 177? The Parliamentarian says it's a four-fifths majority. Do you dissent, Representative Shea?"

Shea: "I'd like to know why you're saying . . . ah . . . with regards to this Bill it takes 107?"

Speaker Telcser: "Well, let me repeat, this Bill requires 107 votes for



passage. It requires a vote of three-fifths of the Members elected to the House because it denies a limited power to tax under Section 6G of Article 7 of the Constitution of 1970. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Might I ask you the last part of this provided further that the fees, charges, taxes provided by this Act shall as provided for in Section 415 of this Act be in lieu of all license fees or privilege or occupation taxes or other fees levied or assessed by any home-rule unit. Now, is it your contention that since this specific language is here, it requires 107 votes and that the items referred to herein still may be per . . . be performed by home-rule units with regards to all other professions or occupations because there is not said specific limitations?"

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Shea, I believe the Chair has very succinctly already stated the reasons for its ruling."

Shea: "Well, what I'm trying to say . . . ah . . . is that this has some specifics that it lays out and I will use that word 'specificity', and I respectfully ask the chair since it was ruled 107 in this particular instance that because these specific limitations are not in the other Bills may a home-rule unit then perform the powers and functions set forth in the last paragraph starting with the words 'provided' in line 16 of Senate Bill 1519 and ending with the words '1970' in line 23."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, Representative Shea, . . . ah . . . the Chair very respectfully will respond by . . . by stating it has very clearly set forth the reason why Senate Bill 1520 requires 107 votes."

Shea: "Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "The question is, shall Senate Bill 1520



pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Robert Dunne."

Dunne: "I just wanted to say, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, it has been worth the long wait, I just want to cast an 'aye' vote for Senate Bill 1520 and 1521."

Speaker Telcser: "Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question there are 128 'ayes', 35 'nays', 7 answering 'present'; this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. Senate Bill 1521. Representative Hirschfeld, for what purpose do you arise?"

Hirschfeld: "A point of order, Mr. Speaker. I . . . I believe you stated it received a constitutional . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Oh, you're right, I'm sorry."

Hirschfeld: ". . . thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "This Bill having received a constitutional three-fifths majority is, hereby, declared passed. That was Senate Bill 1520. Your point is well taken, Sir. Senate Bill 1521. Senate Bill 1521 requires 89 votes for passage. It does not require a vote of three-fifths of the Members elected to the House because it does not constitute a denial of limitation of a home-rule power under Section 6G of Article 7 of the Constitution of 1970. Let the Journal show that the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "I have no quarrel with this ruling."

Speaker Telcser: "All right. The question is, shall Senate Bill 1521 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question there are 122 'ayes', 36 'nays', 7 answering 'present'; this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed . . . Senate Bill 1520 . . ."

Representative Choate, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Choate: "Mr. Speaker, I rise for the purpose of an introduction. I would like to introduce the Democratic Chairman, and other officers and Committeemen from the great County of Williamson down in the district represented by Representative Hart, McCormick and myself, Bill Harris and his crew from Williamson County. Stand up guys."

Speaker Telcser: "Senate Bill 1522 . . . Representative Lechowicz, for what purpose do you arise?"

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I ask leave for the immediate consideration of a Resolution that's on the Clerk's desk, and I ask the Clerk to read it."

Speaker Telcser: "Are there any objections? Okay, hearing no objections, will the Clerk read the Resolution?"

Clerk Selcke: "Ah . . . House Resolution . . . ah . . . 1097, . . . ah . . . Lechowicz, et al, 'Whereas on the 28th day of June in the year 1920, the good Lord in his infinite wisdom generously blessed the people of Southern Illinois and all the people of this great state with a bouncing baby boy born in the City of West Frankfort; and, whereas, 54 years later, Clyde Lee Choate, the son of a coal miner and farmer, holds the highest position of leadership his party can bestow in the Illinois House of Representatives; and, whereas, this is the 28th time that Clyde has observed the anniversary of his birth while serving as a Member of this great Body; and, whereas, as many friends and colleagues have absolutely run out of things to say about Clyde in the birthday Resolutions that are traditionally adopted in honor of Members of this House; be it therefore resolved by the House of Representatives of the 78th General Assembly of the State of Illinois that this House in



lieu of the traditional birthday Resolution for Clyde this year dedicates the following special music tribute to the man who has become a living legend in little Egypt'. Okay."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, now, Representative Caldwell, are you seeking recognition, Sir? Huh? The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Murphy."

Murphy: "Mr. Speaker, the Resolution said that we ran out of things to say about our old friend, Clyde, both good and bad, so considering that some of the great song writers of the General Assembly have gotten together and written this song that's going to be rendered very shortly. I'd like C. L. McCormick to get over and join that group, Teddy Lechowicz, all of our songbirds, led by Rolland Tipsword, are going to sing a song that has been made up in honor of Clyde and it says more than any words could say. So let's get on with the song."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, it looks like the Sunday morning lineup."

Representatives: "HMMMM . . . Born near a coal mine in Southern Illinois, learn about politics when he was just a boy, thrown through the rolling hills of Union County, shot him a Republican when he was only three. Clyde Lee, Clyde Lee Choate, the pride of Southern Illinois. Clyde's mamma and his daddy, they raised him true and right, since he was one of fourteen he had to learn to fight, he fought hard on the old gridiron, an Anna football star, he kicked the ball a country mile, then travelled off to war. Clyde Lee, Clyde Lee Choate, the pride of Southern Illinois. When Clyde Lee served his country, he gave it all he had, he risked his life to save his men, this this brave young Anna lad; to show appreciation to Egypt's pride and joy, Congress pinned its medal on a good, old country boy. Clyde Lee, Clyde Lee Choate, the pride of



Southern Illinois. Well, our returning hero went back to his home town, he bought himself a restaurant and tried to settle down, but folks in southeast Illinois they drafted our Clyde Lee, elected him at 25 to this Assembly. Clyde Lee, Clyde Lee Choate, the pride of Southern Illinois; and now, for 28 long years Clyde's been around this place, then last year he told us all he'd run his final race, but 12 days hence he unretired he disliked what he saw, he jumped back in and ran like hell and clobbered Dan's son-in-law. Clyde Lee, Clyde Lee Choate, the pride of Southern Illinois; and so my friend, a tale is told about this country boy, a fighter for the folks back home in Southern Illinois, and if Clyde's fellow Democrats gives victory this Fall, he'll be back here, come next year as leader of us all. Clyde Lee, Clyde Lee Choate, the pride of Southern Illinois. Clyde Lee, Clyde Lee Choate, the pride of Southern Illinois."

Choate: "Well, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I certainly agree with verse, it said after 28 years you didn't know what else you could say; well after this display of talent, I don't know what else in the hell I can say. It'd be a fine time now to announce I guess that everyone has agreed that everything should be passed and signed into law that's been left around on the House in Senate Calendar, but I'm afraid I can't. I deeply appreciate . . . ah . . . the warm affection shown by all of you Members. I sincerely have enjoyed my time in this General Assembly. I've enjoyed the opportunity that the people of Southern Illinois have given me to help that end of the state, and I take this opportunity to thank the Members of this Body and the Members in past Bodies for joining with those of us who come from that end of the state in helping make it a much better place to raise their young, to provide the educational opportun-



ities which were so desperately needed, to provide future and more job opportunities, helping keep those young people where they like to live; what else can I say? Thank you all so very much."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Murphy, offers to move. The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Murphy."

Murphy: "Ah . . . First, I'd like to thank the . . . ah . . . our . . . our great singers that worked hard to get the right timing on that song, and I think they did an outstanding and a terrific job; and we all join in wishing Clyde many, many more happy birthdays; and now I move the adoption of the Resolution."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman offers to move the adoption of House Resolution 1097. Do you want a Roll Call? All in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. Okay, a verification has been requested. Do you want to verify it, Murph'? Huh? Okay. All in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Now, we got to have the machine kick it out so we can get you on the . . . Representative Murphy, for what purpose do you arise?"

Murphy: "One . . . one final thing, I . . . I wanted to offer our congratulations and thanks to Terry Micheals for doing such a great job of writing that song."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of House Resolution 1097. All in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Who called me? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Mann."

Mann: "Well, Mr. Speaker, through these many years, not all of them, but through many of them, C. L. McCormick has served with Clyde and I wonder if he would offer us a few vignettes . . . ah . . . that he might recall from



through the years. Am I putting you on the spot . . .
ah . . . C. L.?"

McCormick: "No, I wouldn't want to get involved in that here today because I'm saving that for later on in the week . . . ah . . . whenever I can get the television cameras on. The only thing that I am glad that we could celebrate Clyde's birthday because he's gettin' older than hell, you know, . . . ah . . . and of course I'm just about the same age as he is, you know. He's really old enough to retire. I'm only glad that the Governor doesn't have another son-in-law that lives down in our country. Just thinking, Clyde, as I picked up this Department of Transportation book, I was just thinking how in the world we got the road from Carbondale to . . . ah . . . to Anna, you know, it's very difficult sometimes to work out things in Southern Illinois. First we had to get the bridges down through the fields and let the weeds grow up in them, then finally we were able to get the road to connect the bridges so we could get to Carbondale to Anna. Now, I'm awful glad that it did go to Anna because that's actually where Clyde lives and it's almost a theatre section down there isn't it, Clyde? So . . . ah . . . a lot of things we've had in common down over the years in Southern Illinois and the way we've had to get things, you know, in looking over the little projects from our county, get a bridge, then you have to wait for a long time to . . . to get the road to it, but it's been a lot of fun and a lot of working together between all of our 59th District Legislators over the years; and . . . ah . . . I certainly was one of the people that didn't want Clyde to quit because I knew that . . . ah . . . it would be a tragic shame for poor old C. L. and Clyde both to quit at the same time."



Speaker Telcser: "On this question there are 174 'ayes' and no 'nays', and 1 answering 'present'; the Resolution is adopted. Now, there are two more Agreed Resolutions someone wanted here? Want to get back to those preemption Bills? Yeah, Walters had a couple. Fred."

Clerk Selcke: "House . . . House Resolution 1095, . . . ah . . . Walters, et al, 'whereas the first annual Illinois Legislative Invitational Tennis Championship matches were to be held on Monday, June 10, 1974, at the Springfield Racquet Club; and, whereas, the Honorable Giorgi, Sangmeister of Mokena led his doubles team to a smashing victory over three hapless rival pairs to emerge victorious in this tournament of champions; and, whereas, Representative Sangmeister showed outstanding qualities of skill, agility and leadership as he, with some aid from the Honorable James M. Houlihan of Chicago, overcame stiff resistance from the team of the Honorable Gene L. Hoffman of Elmhurst and the Alton Flash, the Honorable Robert J. Walters; and, whereas, this preliminary victory entitled 'Pancho' Sangmeister to go on, still carrying Representative Houlihan on his coattails, to face the Honorable Messrs. Arthur L. Berman and Robert L. Dunne of Chicago and, in spite of spirited play by those gentlemen, because Mr. Berman would not cross to the right side of the court and Mr. Dunne would not cross to the left they were no match for our champions; and, whereas, in the finals, the Sangmeister Team (upon which Mr. Houlihan also played) might have been beaten, but Senator Bradley Glass of Northfield and Representative Pat North of Rockford were unable to agree on strategy and, before a conference committee could be convened, they had lost their match, the set, the finals, and the championship; and, whereas, having rested through the tournament, Representative Houlihan either ac-



cepted a challenge by the Racquet Club Professional or challenged him at 1:30 a.m. on June 11th (no bystander was in any condition at the time to say accurately who challenged whom) and, much to the fiscal regret of the Honorable Mr. Fleck and others, was able to overcome him, even after consuming a goodly portion of pizza and, it is said, beers numbering in double figures; and, whereas, all of the People's Representatives who engaged in this classic competition are deserving of the highest praise, but particularly Champion George E. Sangmeister and his protege, Junior Champion James M. Houlihan; therefore, be it resolved by the House of Representative of the 78th General Assembly of the State of Illinois that we heap the highest praise and acclaim upon our tennis champion, the Honorable George E. Sangmeister of Mokena, proclaiming him to be the finest tennis player who represents the 42nd District on the Democratic side of the aisle, and the champion of the Illinois General Assembly, and that we recognize that Representative James M. Houlihan also played in the tournament, and be it further resolved that suitable copies be obtained and awarded to the winning team for their excellent skill, sportsmanship, agility, stamina and teamwork, such trophies to be presented by Representative Walters upon the adoption of this preamble and Resolution or upon receipt of the trophies from the supplier, whichever is later, and be it further resolved that suitable copies of this preamble and Resolution be presented to Representative Sangmeister, Tennis Star and to his sidekick, Representative Houlihan'."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Madison, Representative Walters."

Walters: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move for the adoption of this Resolution and I ask our Tennis Star of the



first tournament, George Sangmeister, if he'd like to say a few words."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Will, Representative Sangmeister."

Sangmeister: "Well, I think this just shows that if you're a good German and you drink enough beer before you play that anybody can win a tournament. Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman offers to move the adoption of House Resolution 1095. All in favor 'aye', the opposed 'no'; the Resolution is adopted. Okay, back to Senate Bills, Third Reading. Senate Bill 1522. This Bill requires 89 votes for passage. It does not require a vote of three-fifths of the Members elected to the House because it does not constitute a denial of limitation of a home-rule power under Section 6G of Article 7 of the Constitution of 1970. Let the Journal show that Representative Shea dissents for the same reasons and with the same Members as in prior Bills. The question is, shall Senate Bill 1520 . . . as in prior . . . as in 1519, okay? . . . the question is, shall Senate Bill 1522 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question there are 125 'ayes', 36 'nays', 5 answering 'present'; this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. Senate Bill 1523. This Bill requires 89 votes for passage. It does not require a vote of three-fifths of the Members elected to the House because it does not constitute a denial of limitation of the home-rule power of Section 6G of Article 7 of the Constitution of 1970. Let the Journal show that Representative Shea dissents from the ruling of the Chair with respect to this Bill for the same reason and joined by the same Members of Senate Bill 1522. The question is, shall



Senate Bill 1523 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question there are 126 'ayes', 34 'nays', 5 answering 'present'; this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. Senate Bill 1524. This Bill requires 89 votes for passage. It does not require a vote of three-fifths of the Members of the House because it does not constitute a denial of limitation of a home-rule power under Section 6G of Article 7 of the Constitution of 1970. Let the Journal show that Representative Shea dissents from the ruling in the same manner and is joined by the same Members as he did for Senate Bill 1523. The question is, shall Senate Bill 1524 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question there are 129 'ayes', 36 'nays', 5 answering 'present'; this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. Senate Bill 1525. This Bill requires 89 votes for passage. It does not require a vote of three-fifths of the Members elected to the House because it does not constitute a denial of limitation of a home-rule power under Section 6G of Article 7 of the Constitution of 1970. Let the Journal show that Representative Shea dissents from the Chair's ruling and is joined by the same Members and for the same reasons as in Senate Bill 1524. The question is, shall Senate Bill 1525 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question there are 129 'ayes', 33 'nays', 5 answering 'present'; this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. Senate Bill 1526. This Bill requires . . . Bob Dunne 'aye' . . .



this Bill requires 89 votes for passage. It does not require a vote of three-fifths of the Members elected to the House because it does not constitute a denial of limitation of a home-rule power under Section 6C of Article 7 of the Constitution of 1970. Let the Journal show that Representative Shea dissents from this ruling for the same reasons and joined by the same Members as he has in Senate Bill 1525. The question is, shall Senate Bill 1526 pass? Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question there are 116 'ayes', 43 'nays', 6 answering 'present'; this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. Senate Bill 1527. Senate Bill 1527 requires 89 votes for passage. It does not require a vote of three-fifths of the Members of the House because it does not constitute a denial of limitation of a home-rule power under Section 6C of Article 7 of the Constitution of 1970. Let the Journal show that Representative Shea dissents from this ruling for the same reason and is joined by the same Members as for Senate Bill 1526. The question is, shall Senate Bill 1527 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question there are 123 'ayes', 37 'nays', 7 answering 'present'; this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. Representative Day, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Day: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, in explaining my vote on this series of Bills including the next Bill . . . ah . . . House Bill 13 . . . 1638, which is to be called . . . ah . . . I'd just like to mention that . . . ah . . . much debate and colloquy has gone on . . . ah . . . between the . . . ah . . .



Sponsor and the . . . ah . . . opponents . . . ah . . . of these Bills . . . ah . . . during the past two days; and . . . ah . . . much of that debate has been for the purpose of establishing the . . . ah . . . legislative intent in the Record of this House; and I would like to mention that while I have voted with the Sponsor in favor of these Bills, I have placed my own interpretation . . . ah . . . on the clear language as expressed by these Bills . . . ah . . . and I have interpreted this language and the Constitution in the light of my own background and experience and I assume that this has been true in the case of . . . ah . . . other Members who have cast their vote on this series of Bills . . . ah . . . and I'd just like to mention that for the Record."

Speaker Telcser: "Senate Bill 1638. This Bill requires 89 votes for passage. It does not require a vote of three-fifths of the Members elected to the House because it does not constitute a denial of limitation a . . . a home . . . of a home-rule power under Section 6G of Article 7 of the Constitution of 1970. Let the Journal show that Representative Shea dissents from the Chair's ruling and is joined by the same members and for the same reason as he did on Senate Bill 1527. The question is, shall Senate Bill 1638 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Representative Greiman, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Greiman: "Ah . . . I'd like to make comment on my own vote. Ah . . . I think that the House may be creating a legislative anomaly . . . ah . . . with respect to 1638. Ah . . . When we voted on the Bills relating to real estate brokers and insurance brokers, we amended at the same time the Municipal . . . ah . . . Code of Section 11-42-1 because it related it to brok . . . to



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

brokers in that Section; but that Section also relates to private detectives and detective agencies, which means that if we are passing 1638, we are preempting, I believe, we are preempting for state control of detective agencies . . . ah . . . detective business in home-rule units; and the inescapable conclusion must be that in those units of government which are not home-rule units, Section 11-42-1 of the Municipal Code would apply so that we now have a . . . a rather serious situation where home-rule units are unable to regulate detective agencies and non-home rule units are able to continue to regulate them; and I'm going to vote 'present'. I . . . I think the Sponsor should consider that . . . ah . . . I think it's a serious problem."

Speaker Telcser: "Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question there are 117 'ayes', 44 'nays', 7 answering 'present'; this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. Representative Hirschfeld, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Hirschfeld: "Mr. Speaker, I rise on a point of personal privilege . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "State your point, Sir."

Hirschfeld: ". . . and I would . . . ah . . . like to take the . . . this opportunity to express my sincere appreciation to the House Members on both sides of the aisle for their great patience in the 11 hours and 10 minutes that we've spent on these Bills; and in particular I would like to express my appreciation to the distinguished Assistant Minority Leader, Representative Shea, who opposed these Bills quite vigorously, and throughout this entire debate has treated me with great courtesy and with great specificity. Thank you, Mr. Speaker."



Speaker Telcser: "Jack, do you want to try Senate Bill 1240? Lauer . . . Representative Lauer, 1240? Here's another one, Senate Bill 1240. I think it's been read a third time."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1240, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act relating to consultation of sheriffs, coroners, county treasurers, county clerks, clerks of the Circuit Court, recorders, auditors and necessary clerks. Ah . . . Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, Jack, you got the wrong number, 1240. The Gentleman from Logan, Representative Lauer."

Lauer: "Mr. Speaker, I think . . . ah . . . Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think most of you are familiar with the Bill. It has come up . . . ah . . . before. The provision of this Bill is that it raises the minimum of county offices . . . ah . . . in the downstate counties. As you are well aware many of these offices have not had a pay increase since 1967. The Bill is of an emergency nature because . . . ah . . . three county officers will be elected in the November election, and if this Bill is not passed into law and signed before they take office in December, it will be 1978 before they could possibly receive a pay increase. I think probably most of you who are . . . ah . . . Representatives . . . ah . . . representing downstate . . . ah . . . counties have probably heard from your county officers in strong support of this Bill. I would hope that those of the . . . of the Ladies and Gentlemen from Cook County, even though you . . . ah . . . your people are not directly affected by the Bill, would help us to pass this Bill and send it to the Governor's desk and help out our county officials."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Juckett."



Juckett: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor yield for a question or two?"

Speaker Telcser: "He indicates that he will."

Juckett: "What is the salary range for these downstate officers?"

Lauer: "You're asking . . . you're asking me a question here that I cannot . . . ah . . . answer with a great degree . . . degree of accuracy. The minimum right now is . . . ah . . . at \$9,000."

Juckett: "\$9,000. What is the maximum?"

Lauer: "I . . . Mr. Juckett, I honestly don't know. I think . . . I think it's . . . ah . . . \$18,500 or \$19,000."

Juckett: "How many of the counties downstate?"

Lauer: "It . . . it depends upon the size of the county."

Juckett: "Okay, I . . . I do know that each of the counties are . . . they're rated by size and the pay is determined in the size of the county. Now, how many of the counties, regardless of size, are at the maximum pay rate for these officers, or would it better to ask, are any of the downstate counties at their maximum pay rate?"

Lauer: "Yes. Yes, they are."

Juckett: "How many?"

Lauer: "I can't answer . . . ah . . . that question with regard to all officers . . . ah . . . Mr. Juckett. Ah . . . I have in my hand a . . . a breakout of the county clerks and recorders and . . . ah . . . for example, Bureau County, is at . . . ah . . . is above what the new minimum would be. Ah . . ."

Juckett: "Now, I'm asking the maximum. In other words, what I'm concerned with is that you're asking us to raise minimum so that, in effect, the General Assembly would be giving pay raises to all of these officers, but yet their County Board in each case may not have



raised the salary to the maximum that we've already approved; and we would, in effect, then be saying to the County Board, 'Even though you don't think your people are entitled to a pay raise, we'll give 'em a raise by raising the minimum'. Now, this is the attitude that they used on us at the last time. They admitted that they were not at the maximum. They admitted that. They said, 'Take us off the hook, you pass a bill to raise the minimum and then we've got to give them a pay raise, and we don't have to take any of the heat'. So that's why I'm concerned how many are at maximum. Now, if you'd told me 100 out of 101 were at maximum, I'd say you'd probably got a good case; or if you could tell us 90 are at maximum, maybe you've got a good case; but if you can't tell us how many, I don't think you have any case at all."

Lauer: "Mr. Juckett, I realize that . . . that we are discussing a very . . . of philosophy here, but I have noticed in the counties in which I circulate that . . . particularly if you have a situation where you have a County Board of one party and a county officer of another party that . . . ah . . . the county officer in . . . is not treated fairly, and they pay no attention whatever to the . . . ah . . . fact that costs have increased, that . . . ah . . . it . . . costs more to live and that the value of the salary in 1967 has been sufficiently eroded, that . . . ah . . . if you're going to have good people in these county offices, you are going to have to pay them a proper wage."

Juckett: "Are you saying that the County Boards are unfair?"

Lauer: "I'm saying that some of them are, yes."

Juckett: "Are you saying that there is no one running for some of these offices because the pay is too low?"

Lauer: "I don't know of any . . . ah . . . specific offices



that are vacant for that reason!"

Juckett: "Then, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think it's our duty before we pass any kind of a Bill like this that they determine how many of these counties are at the maximum pay. If it is a large substantial number of the counties, then I think the proposition would have merit; but the Sponsor of this legislation has told us that he does not have an idea as to how many are at that level, and I think that it is not our responsibility to bail out every county board in this state if that county board determines that the individuals in their county are receiving a fair pay. We should not force them to raise the pay. We should force them to come back and give us the figures, before we start tampering with their home rule and their control of the public officials in their counties. So I would urge everyone to vote 'no' on this Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Lauer, for what purpose do you arise?"

Lauer: "Mr. Speaker, in order to avoid taking up the time of the House . . . ah . . . give me . . . ah . . . if you'll take this out of the Record . . . ah . . . I'll have a chance to go back and . . . and discuss this with Mr. Juckett."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, we'll take it out of the Record. Senate Bill 1282."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1282, a Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Pollution Control Board. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Jaffe."

Jaffe: "Mr. Speaker, . . . oh . . . Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is the annual appropria-



tion for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Pollution Control Board. Ah . . . The House knocked off approximately \$19,000 from the budget of the Pollution Control Board as it came from the Senate and it now stands at \$735,400; and I would appreciate a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Macon, Representative Borchers."

Borchers: "This caught me by surprise a little bit, but as usual I think someone shou . . . someone in this House should point out and ask a few of the Members to vote 'no' on the appropriation, not that we are anti-environmentalists. I'm not anti-environmentalist, and I know that . . . I know of no one here that is; but this group still is in a position where they are endangering the industry of this state. We've lost 15 factories as a result of the harshness of their rules, many more have not come into the state because of the harshness of the rules. The . . . ah . . . Manufacturer's Association has the facts of all . . . on this, I'm sorry I don't have them with me. I have them at home; but . . . ah . . . not counting the Manufacturer's Association, there's the sanitary districts, all of them are under harrassment by the Pollution Control Board and the Environmental Protection Agency. The municipalities are in the same position . . . ah . . . livestock dealers . . . ah . . . have come to an agreement and there's many practices involved in relation to the livestock, and the ham and the livestock that it must be in the best interest of the people and the same way with the landfills and farmers. So I think we should have a reasonable number of red votes up there to let them know that we have not forgotten them and we're still watching them."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? The question



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

is, shall Senate Bill 1282 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. John . . . Bob Mann 'aye'. Mann 'aye'. J. J. Wolf 'aye'. Phil Collins 'aye'. Jack Merlo 'aye'. Have all voted who wish? Catania 'aye'. Take the Record. On this question there are 146 'ayes', 8 'nays', 2 answering 'present'; this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. Senate Bill 1325."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1325, a Bill for an Act to provide the ordinary and contingent expenses of Local Governmental Law Enforcement Officers Training Board. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Kosinski."

Kosinski: "Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 1325 as amended makes appropriations with the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Local Government Law Enforcement Officers Training Board for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 1974; and I recommend its passage."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Maragos."

Maragos: "Mr. Speaker, I'd appreciate it if all the . . . ah . . . performance of this legislation tells the amounts involved."

Kosinski: "The amount involved here is \$3,091,100."

Maragos: "Well, is it decreased or increased in previous Sessions?"

Kosinski: "The increase in the Senate Amendment makes an increase for an area in computing . . . ah . . . retirement systems, which was listed as \$4,400 and is now \$5,400. I suggest its passage."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The question is, shall Senate Bill 1325 pass? All those in favor



signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question there are 151 'ayes', no 'nays', none answering 'present'; this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. Mann and Schneider 'aye'. Senate Bill 1332."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1332, a Bill for an Act to amend Section of an Act providing for grants of local governmental units. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Dan Houlihan."

Houlihan, D.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 1332 simply provides clarifying statutory language to an existing grant program which was enacted by the 77th General Assembly and which was administered by the Illinois Local Governmental Law Enforcement Officers Training Board. This is a grant program for the local police officers who complete colla . . . college credit programs related to law enforcement. Specifically, the language change here conforms Section 4 of the Act with Sec . . . all the other Sections of the Act by using the term 'grant', rather than 'reimbursement', as Section 4 presently uses, and makes the use of the term 'grant' uniform throughout the Bill. The language change here will simply facilitate the administration of the Act. There is no opposition to this Bill, and I ask for your favorable vote."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there discussion? The question is, shall Senate Bill 1332 pass? Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question there are 154 'ayes', 2 'nays', none answering 'present'; and this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. Senate Bill 1346."



Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1346, a Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Local Government Affairs. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from St. Clair, Representative Flinn."

Flinn: "Mr. Speaker, I would ask leave of the House to take this . . . ah . . . Senate Bill 1346 for a minor Amendment to correct . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Does the Gentleman have leave? Hearing no objections, return 1346 to the order of Second Reading. Read the Amendment, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #5, Flinn, amends Senate Bill 1346 on page 4 . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from St. Clair, Representative Flinn."

Flinn: "Mr. Speaker, all it does is cha . . . delete the word 'northern' and put in place of it 'northeastern', but it was something that got in the Bill by . . . in error. I move for its adoption."

Speaker Telcser: "Any discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of #5 to Senate Bill 1346. All in favor 'aye', the opposed 'no'; the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments? Third Reading. The Bill has been read a third time, Representative Flinn."

Flinn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is the appropriation Bill for the . . . ah . . . Local Government Affairs and the . . . and it's been amended several times. I don't think there's any objection to the Bill in its present form, and I ask for a favorable vote."

Speaker Telcser: "Any discussion? The question is, shall Senate Bill 1346 pass? All in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question there are



151 'ayes', and 1 'nay', and none answering 'present'; this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. Senate Bill 1560. Bob Mann 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1560, a Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the State Highway Safety Program. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Madison, Representative Calvo. Representative Calvo? 1560, Horace."

Calvo: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I believe there's an Amendment, Mr. Clerk."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, does the Gentleman have leave to return 1560 to Second Reading? Hearing no objections, return to the order of Second Reading. Will the Clerk please read the Amendment?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #2, Calvo, amends Senate Bill 1560 . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Madison, Representative Calvo."

Calvo: "Ah . . . This Amendment, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, fills contractual services in line 35 to be \$275,000, for E.D.P. or electronic data processing to be \$640 . . . \$649,000. I believe this is . . . ah . . . an agreed Amendment. I see my friend, Bud Washburn, there. I don't believe there's any difficulty with this Amendment as far as I know. I would move for the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Grundy, Representative Washburn."

Washburn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Would you go . . . run through that one more time, Representative Calvo?"

Calvo: "Well, okay, the Amendment deletes lines 35 and 36 on page 1 and inserts the following: 'Contractual ser-



vices \$275,000, electronic data processing \$649,000'. Is it all right? Okay. Okay, then, Mr. Speaker, I would move the adoption of Amendment #2."

Speaker Telcser: ". . . Senate Bill 1560. All in favor 'aye', opposed 'no'; the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments? Third Reading. The Bill has been read a third time, Representative Calvo."

Calvo: "Okay. Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would move the adoption of Senate Bill 15 . . . I mean the . . . ah . . . adoption . . . passage of Senate Bill 1560 and would ask for your favorable vote and Roll Call."

Speaker Telcser: "Any discussion? The question is, shall Senate Bill 1560 pass? Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question there are 149 'ayes', 1 'nay', 1 answering 'present'; and this Bill having received the constiutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. Bradley 'aye'. Representative Collins, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Collins: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, . . . ah . . . a number of the Members have asked me whether the Executive Committee will be meeting tonight or not; and at the suggestion of the Leadership, we are going to meet tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock. Appropriation's, I understand, is going to be meeting at the same time. Executive will meet in Room 212 at 9 o'clock tomorrow morning."

Speaker Telcser: "Senate Bill 1567."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1567, a Bill for an Act making supplemental appropriations to the Illinois Community College Board. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Moultrie, Representative Stone."

Stone: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, this



appropriates an additional \$1,200,000 to the . . . to the Illinois Community College Board to pay a flat grant apportionment bills and for other non-business and occupational-technical claims. I would appreciate your support."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The question is, shall Senate Bill 1567 pass? Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question there are 153 'ayes', 3 'nays', 2 answering 'present'; and this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. Senate Bill 1291. Do you want 1291? Do you want 1291 called? Senate Bill 1291."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1291, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Election Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Ju . . . Representative Juckett, for what purpose do you arise?"

Juckett: "I notice on the board there that it shows 4 red lights and only tallies 3, and also it looks like the 'present' was wrong. There we go, thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "The machine paid close attention to you, Bob. We'll pick it up as a tally, this thing goes slow, and we'll get to the last column, like Totten, and picks him up last. The last is always the best. Senate Bill 1291. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Collins. The Bill has been read a third time."

Collins: "Ah . . . mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 1291 . . . ah . . . amends the Election Code . . . ah . . . to . . . ah . . . make the Section consistent with respect to the year of expiration of the Chairman . . . Chairman of the State Board of Elections. Now, what has happened is under the present law, the safe . . . ah . . . the Chairman



of the State Board of Elections is elected for a two-year term. However, the Bill as originally written would call for the expiration of this term of . . . ah . . . on June 30, 1974, or the day after tomorrow. So we're faced with a question of whether to give the first Chairman a . . . ah . . . a six or eight month term or to extend it one more year . . . ah . . . to 1975; and that's what this Bill does. It would extend the term of the first Chairman to June 30, 1975. Ah . . . I would ask . . . I would ask for the adoption and . . . ah . . . of passage of Senate Bill 1291."

Speaker Telcser: "Any discussion? The question is, shall Senate Bill 1291 pass? Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Do you want to say anything, Bob? Your light is on, do you seek recognition? No? Oh, okay, have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question there are 156 'ayes', no 'nays', 2 answering 'present'; this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. Senate Bill 1314."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1314, a Bill for an Act to amend Section and to repeal Sections of . . . ah . . . Act to revise the law in relation to township organizations. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Knox, Representative McMaster."

McMaster: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, . . . ah . . . I would like permission of the House to move this Bill back to Second Reading for . . . ah . . . one more Amendment . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Any objections? Hearing none, return 1314 to the order of Second Reading. Will the Clerk please read the Amendment?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #5, McMaster, amends Senate Bill



1314 . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Knox, Representative McMaster."

McMaster: "Mr. Speaker, as most of you will recall, yesterday evening when we were discussing this Bill on Second Reading and the Amendment to it, Representative Juckett raised a question to me. I thought that we had it settled, but upon his inquiry has caused me to take a further look at the Bill, and I came to the conclusion that . . . ah . . . in all probability, Representative Juckett was right. For . . . for that reason, I am moving the Bill back to Second Reading and putting in the required language that . . . ah . . . will correct the mistake that we had made previously. I would, therefore, offer Amendment #5 to Senate Bill 1314 and move its adoption."

Speaker Telcser: "Any discussion? the Gentleman offers to move the adoption of Amendment #5 to Senate Bill 1314. All in favor 'aye', the opposed 'no'; the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments? Third Reading. The Bill has been read a third time. Representative McMaster."

McMaster: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would think we went through a rather lengthy discussion on Senate Bill 1314 yesterday evening. For that reason, I will not go further with it; I will only say that I will be happy to answer any questions and I would certainly urge an affirmative Roll Call on House . . . Senate Bill 1314."

Speaker Telcser: "Any discussion? The question is, shall Senate Bill 1314 . . . the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Jaffe."

Jaffe: "Mr. Speaker, I promised myself I wouldn't speak against this particular Bill, but it's really . . ."

McMaster: "Thank you . . . thank you, Representative Barry."



Jaffe: ". . . I would just like . . . I would just like Representative McMaster's to tell us about this great Bill and tell us what new powers townships now get as a . . . as a result of Senate Bill 1314."

McMaster: "Ah . . . Representative Jaffe, are you asking me about the Amendment that we just put on or upon the total Bill?"

Jaffe: "I'm asking about the total Bill, I mean, it's a big Bill. It gives the townships just about everything that they could possibly have, and I think you ought to at least enlighten the Body prior to their voting on it as to what you're giving township government."

McMaster: "Well, Aaron, since you have always been such an excellent supporter of township government, I will certainly be very happy to comply with your wishes. Aaron, last year we passed House Bill 739 under my sponsorship which was in regard to township use of revenue sharing funds. It went through this House, it went through the Senate, it was signed into law by the Governor; and I might say, Aaron, it was one of the earlier Bills that the Governor signed. He signed it, I believe, upon July 18th. As a result of that piece of legislation, a large number of townships allocated some of the . . . well, I don't say a large number . . . let me say a number of large townships allocated some of their revenue sharing funds to things such as Red Cross, Boys Clubs, charitable organizations, if you will, Aaron. Then along about January or February last year, the . . . ah . . . Federal Revenue Sharing Board . . . Committee . . . Commission or whatever it is in Washington . . ."

Jaffe: "Tom, if I may interrupt you for one second. You know, I . . . we really don't . . . I know, I hate to tie up the House with the great history of, you know, township government, I just want to know what additional



powers does this Bill give to township government? I . . . you know, there's no need to kid us on it. Just tell us what powers township government is getting and then we'll vote 'yes' or 'no'. I mean, I'm going to vote 'no', but I think the rest of the Members ought to know what they're voting on, and I appreciate your stories, but I would like a direct answer."

McMaster: "Well, Aaron, I was only attempting to enlighten you fully, but . . . ah . . . let me say, what we are talking about is spending the federal revenue sharing funds. This legislation gives them the permission to do it in accordance with the State-Federal Revenue Sharing Fund . . . Act, and it . . . ah . . . also requires that it be spent in a cooperative manner with other units of government, other agencies, such as charitable agencies. Now, Aaron, are you listening?"

Jaffe: "I'm . . . I'm listening to you, but I still don't you're answering my question, and I wish, you know, . . ."

McMaster: "I . . ."

Jaffe: ". . . the more you get into this thing, I think the more suspicious the minds of the Members will become because you're not giving a direct answer. Why don't you just tell us what additional powers the townships are going to have. There's nothing, you know, immoral about. Just tell us what we're getting with this particular Bill. I can understand your shame in not coming forth and telling us why . . . what the additional powers townships are getting, but I think the Members just want to know . . ."

McMaster: "Aaron, if you'd . . . if you would not talk so much and listen to my . . . my answers, perhaps you would understand."

Jaffe: ". . . well, if you would give me the direct answer, I . . . I would be glad to stop completely."



McMaster: "Aaron, it is not giving them any direct powers as far as taxation is concerned. It is giving them the power to spend federal revenue sharing funds in a cooperative manner, 4 . . . where's that Amendment #4? . . . okay, here is Amendment #4. Well, okay, let's go over here. On page 5, 'the townships can spend the federal revenue sharing funds in a cooperative manner for ordinary and necessary maintenance and operating expenses for' . . . and I read this yesterday, Aaron, . . . 'public safety, including law enforcement, fire protection and building code enforcements, environmental protection, including sewage disposal, sanitation and pollution abatement, public transportation, including transit systems, and streets and roads' . . . where's #4 . . . also for 'health, recreation, libraries and social services for the poor and aged, and ordinary and necessary capital expenditures authorized by law', which are currently in township law. Now, this . . . this is directly from the Federal Revenue Sharing Act, Aaron."

Jaffe: "Now, the question that I asked initially was, what new powers do they have?"

McMaster: "No, new powers, Aarer . . . Aaron, as I said."

Jaffe: "Well, I have . . . well, Tom, I'm not going to go any further with it. I can see that we're not going to get a straight answer on it. I'm going to vote 'no', and I think if anybody reads this Act, they're going to have to vote 'no'; but I still . . . I still think their going to pass it unfortunately."

McMaster: "Aaron, I would certainly appreciate your favorable vote. I value it very much."

Speaker Miller: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. LaFleur."

LaFleur: "Mr. Speaker, is it proper to move the previous question?"

Speaker Miller: "The Gentleman has moved the previous ques-



question. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay'; the 'ayes' have it, and the Gentleman's motion prevails. The Gentleman from Knox, Mr. McMaster, to close the debate."

McMaster: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I merely urge your . . . ah . . . affirmative vote on Senate Bill 1314. Please vote 'aye'."

Speaker Miller: "The question is, shall Senate Bill 1314 pass? All those in favor will vote 'aye' and those opposed 'nay'. Record Mr. William Walsh 'aye' on this Roll Call. The switch is still open. Have all voted who wished? Take the Record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 132 'ayes', 11 'nays' and 3 answering 'present'; and this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. Ah . . . Mrs. Dyer 'aye'. The next Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1324, a Bill . . . a Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Office of the Superintendent of Public Instruction. Third Reading of the Bill."

Hanahan: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, House . . . Senate Bill 1324 is the ordinary and contingent expenses for the Office of the Superintendent of Public Instruction. The appropriation is about \$12,000,000 for the operation of the general office, it appropriates about \$243,000 from Driver's Education and \$5,000,000 from federal funds for the administration of that office, it appropriates \$168,000,000 from the General Revenue and \$170,000,000 from the Common School Fund, \$9,500,000 from the Driver's Education Fund and \$140,000,000 in federal funds to the office of Superintendent for grants and aid; and I move for the adoption of Senate Bill 1324."

Speaker Miller: "Is there discussion? The Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Gene Hoffman."



Hoffman, G.: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question, please?"

Hanahan: "Surely, Sir."

Hoffman, G.: "Ah . . . In the Amendment . . . ah . . . to . . . ah . . . 1324, did we include . . . ah . . . a five percent . . . ah . . . cost-of-living factor?"

Hanahan: "Yes, we did, Sir."

Hoffman, G.: "All right."

Hanahan: "Twice."

Hoffman, G.: "All right. Secondly, . . ."

Hanahan: "Amendment #3 and Amendment #5."

Hoffman, G.: ". . . secondly, one that is the . . . for the authorization and the other one is the appropriation, is that the deal?"

Hanahan: "Yes."

Hoffman, G.: "All right, number 2, did we change some of the line items relative to . . . ah . . . the salary ranges for certain personnel, which has been changed from last year's figures?"

Hanahan: "Yes, we did, Sir."

Hoffman, G.: "And they have all been moved up to the ap . . . the level to at least where they were last year if not more?"

Hanahan: "Yes, Sir, that was what the Amendment did."

Hoffman, G.: "Fine, thank you very much."

Speaker Miller: "Is there any further discussion? Mr. Hanahan care to close?"

Hanahan: "Just that it's the regular and ordinary . . . ah . . . expense Bill that the office of Superintendent urge, and I urge a favorable vote."

Speaker Miller: "The question is, shall Senate Bill 1324 pass? All those in favor will vote 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? Mr. McMaster 'aye' on this Roll Call. Take the Record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 159 'ayes', 2 'nays' and 8 answering 'present'. This Bill having received the



constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed.

Call the next Bill, Mr. Clerk, when you're ready."

Clerk o'Brien: "Senate Bill 1383, a Bill for an Act making an appropriation for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Department of Corrections. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Miller: "All right, the Gentleman from Randolph, Mr. Holloway."

Holloway, .: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is the annual appropriation to the Department of Corrections in the total amount of approximately \$83,000,000. I'd appreciate a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Miller: "All right, the Gentleman from Will, Mr. Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'd like to rise in the form which I . . . what I know is an absolutely useless Act, and that is to ask for a substantial 'no' vote and enough not . . . even the . . . so the Bill won't pass. However, I know that's probably going to be useless. However, I would like to bring the attention of the House certain matters that have occurred recently. yesterday was announced when the Amendment to the Department of Correction's appropriation changing the Joliet Center to an adult center. It was stated that the center was no longer needed for . . . because of a declining juvenile population; consequently, . . . ah . . . in . . . for an economic move, it should be converted into an adult facility. Well, just this morning an Amendment to Senate Bill 1424 appropriated \$800,000 to build youth facilities for St. Charles Youth Center and Geneva Youth Center in order to house the juveniles which have been transferred from Joliet to those facilities. The reason was that the Joliet Center had facilities for . . . ah . . . security



purposes for juveniles incarcerated who needed additional security and . . . ah . . . Geneva, no, the St. Charles Center had these facilities. So it would appropriate \$800,000 in order to convert the youth facilities so that they can accept the . . . the young people from Joliet. So I don't think that . . . ah . . . I think that we're . . . we're spending \$800,000 to convert a facility when we had an . . . ah . . . a useful one in Joliet. What in the world they're . . . they plan to spend to convert the Joliet facility into an adult facility, I don't know what it is, but I would ask all those who do feel that . . . ah . . . the opinion of the population in a vicinity that is to be impacted with an adult facility bears any weight at all that you fou . . . vote 'no' with me on . . . ah . . . Senate Bill 1383."

Speaker Blair: "Ah . . . Mr. Grotberg."

Grotberg: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Ah . . . I would like to address the Bill briefly in terms of the Gentleman from Will who just spoke of the appropriation to Geneva and St. Charles, I would like this distinguished Body to know that we need long before anything was done in Joliet a security situation in these two institutions to keep the kids that we've got, not the ones that were straying around Cook County, but the kids we've got from wandering all over Kane County and it is under those auspices that the security provisions were provided in the Capital Development Bond Bill, which you will be . . . coming up soon, and just for the Record . . . ah . . . this problem has existed long before anything happened in Will County or Joliet. Thank you."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Hanahan to close . . . or Holloway to close."

Holloway: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the



House, I think we've said all that needs to be said about this Bill. It is the annual appropriation to the Department of Corrections; and I'd appreciate a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Blair: "Ah . . . The question is, shall Senate Bill 1383 pass? All those in favor will vote 'aye' and the opposed 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record. On this question there are 140 'ayes' and 15 'nays'; and this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed.

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1493, a Bill for an Act to amend the Regional Transportation Authority Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Arnell."

Arnell: "Thank you . . . thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 1493 amends the Regional Transportation Authority Act and requires any parking tax be imposed only on commercial parking facilities. You'll recall during the debate that ensued on the referendum on the passage of the R.T.A. Act there was a great deal of concern that was expressed concerning the power of taxation as far as it applied to the parking tax. This Bill is limited to off-street parking facilities at which a fee is charged, and I would appreciate a favorable vote."

Speaker Blair: "Is there . . . ah . . . discussion? The question is, shall Senate Bill 1493 pass? Mr. Shea."

Shea: "You think he's got to give me apoplexy or something to . . ."

Speaker Blair: "Well, we already passed a House Bill like this, Jerry, we might as well pass this to the Senate . . ."

Shea: ". . . I don't think we did."

Speaker Blair: "Yeah, we did, we did it two days ago."

Shea: "I don't think it's in the exact shape that Mr. Totten's



is."

Speaker Blair: "Oh, okay, there might be some . . . some differences."

Shea: "Is this in the exact shape as the Totten Bill?"

Speaker Blair: "Pardon?"

Shea: "Is this in the exact shape as the Totten Bill?"

Speaker Blair: "Very similar."

Shea: "It's not exactly . . ."

Speaker Blair: "Not exactly . . ."

Shea: ". . . as I read . . ."

Speaker Blair: ". . . I think we should give the Governor chase."

Shea: "Does it have that too? Ah . . . As I read this . . . ah . . . it says that the only parking that can be taxed would be off-street parking."

Arnell: "That is correct."

Shea: "And then only when a fee is charged."

Arnell: "That is correct."

Shea: "That would be a severe limitation on the present . . ."

Arnell: "Well, I think you'll recall that there's a great deal of concern . . . ah . . . during the debate that considerably a tax to be added on municipal parking areas and this will correct a defect."

Shea: ". . . well, if you just wanted to correct that, you could've excluded just the municipal parking, couldn't you?"

Arnell: "No, no, it corrects other defects also."

Shea: "Oh, what other defects?"

Arnell: "It . . . it provides, for example, there is also a great deal of concern . . . ah . . . that under the present language of the R.T.A. Act that . . . ah . . . parking shopping center . . . ah . . . parking lots could be taxed, church parking lots, there's either concern that under the present language that . . . ah



. . . even . . . ah . . . your own . . . ah . . . parking lot, your own parking areas could be taxed. You'll recall the meeting indicated . . . ah . . . Mr. Shea, that . . . ah . . . there were included many defects in the R.T.A. Act and they indicated that we should come down here to Springfield and correct those defects, and I think this Bill is addressed to one of those problems."

Shea: "Well, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, . . . ah . . . we've had . . . we've had a . . . ah . . . R.T.A. Bills for debate, it's the 11th hour, . . . ah . . . today the court of this state came out with an opinion at least upholding it in . . . partially in its present form. I think that this restriction on the parking tax part of this Bill will be far too much a restriction, and I hope that this Bill will not pass."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Arnell to close."

Arnell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the R.T.A. question has been debated at great lengths, both on the floor of this House, and the media; and you'll recall that there was a concern on the part of the people of six-county area that as far as the parking tax language was concerned that it could be church parking lots, it could be shopping centers, it could be any parking area in the six-county area that could be taxed; and this is one of the reasons why the R.T.A. referendum narrowly passed, and the media has indicated and the proponents of the R.T.A. have . . . have indicated 'pass the R.T.A. Act, there are some defects, but we are men of honor, we are women of honor, come back down to Springfield and correct these defects. Well, I think this Bill represents an attempt to correct one of the defects. All we're providing in this Bill is that the tax is going

to be assessed only against off-street, commercial parking lots. I ask you, what is wrong with legislation of this type? I ask for your favorable support."

Speaker Blair: "The question is, shall Senate Bill 1493 pass? All those in favor will vote 'aye' and the opposed 'no'. Han . . . Hanahan 'aye'. Mr. Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I . . . as you see, I am voting a 'no' vote, but I would like to clear up one thing that was mentioned in debate by the Sponsor of this measure, he had eminated in his closing debate that possibly church parking facilities or facilities of that type could be taxed, but I would call his attention to a brief that was handed down by the Illinois Supreme Court, 'Teorido versus Jones', 39, Illinois, 1968, that we to the contrary that this could not happen, so it is, in fact, a ruling that have been handed down already by our Supreme Court here in Illinois, so that if you have any fear that that kind of parking could be taxed, that fear should be relieved by that particular ruling and that could not happen, and I would suggest that you would vote a resounding 'no'."

Speaker Blair: "Ah . . . Mr. . . . ah . . . Palmer."

Palmer: "Well, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think the many governmental bodies, the municipal bodies would be most thankful to each of the Members here that vote for this Bill. There's no question in my mind, but . . . ah . . . under the present law the R.T.A. collector can impose a tax upon the municipality . . . ah . . . for municipal parking services. This then will cause the municipality to levy a . . . against the people within the municipality a tax . . . ah . . . to make up for the tax that's charged by the R.T.A. In other words, it's a situation in my judgment intolerable insofar as the philosophy



of tax is concerned that you can have one body impose it and another body collect it. Ah . . . For that reason, I would suggest to those who are voting red on this thing that they'd better take another look at it because if this Bill fails and . . . ah . . . or if the Bill does not go through . . . ah . . . then they . . . the village fathers and the municipal fathers are going to start looking . . . ah . . . to those persons in the Legislature here that have voted against it and did not vote for it. I think this is a just . . . ah . . . Amendment, a just Bill, and arguments on the R.T.A. when this subject came up, the proponents expressed the belief that it could not be imposed upon governmental parking units . . . ah . . . in many ways they got votes in this way, and I think that it's . . . that it's something that needs to be corrected, and I think that the Legislature should make a . . . it's intent perfectly clear on this subject, instead of getting into the quagmire . . ."

Speaker Blair: "Ah . . . Mr. Hill."

Hill: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, prior to the vote on R.T.A., and I debated many people on the subject, one of the statements that will always be made by those that were for R.T.A. is that you could go back to Springfield and adopt some of these Amendments that would make R.T.A. much better. Let me point out to you that this is one of those areas. It isn't a lot, but at least it would give us something to go back to our area and explain to the people that we accomplished this for them. Under the present R.T.A. Act, and I don't know if you realize this or not, but if they set up a bus route to your area, it would be possible under this law that they could tax any car that would park on the roadway; and I think this atrocious. I'm getting also tired of having



you Democrats climb on my back in regards to R.T.A. because when I go back to my district this is the one thing that I have to campaign on, and it seems as though it's always been the Republicans that have been voting for making R.T.A. much fairer, and I can't even get the downstate Democrats to give me a vote in regards to this and it doesn't even affect them. Let me tell you this, and this is for you downstate Democrats that's not even effected by it, that maybe some day in the future some Bill is going to be up here that's going to hurt you and being harmful to you . . . I've given you many, many votes in the last 16 years, and it seems to me that for once you could put a couple of green lights on a Bill that really doesn't mean anything to you, but means a lot to those Democrats running in this particular area. Thank you."

Speaker Blair: "Ah . . . Mr. Wolf."

Wolf: "Well, I see there's enough lights on the board, Mr. Speaker, so maybe I just ought to forget it."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Douglas."

Douglas: "Well, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Representative Hill makes a lot of sense to me . . . ah . . . I'm a kind of Chicago Democrat. I said to people before coming back here that I wanted to hear the other side, and that I would go along with changes, and I'm pleased to him and others who feel the same way my green light."

Speaker Blair: "Have all voted who wish? Ah . . . Mr. Peters."

Peters: "Please record me as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Blair: "Ah . . . The board is still open."

Peters: "Oh, I'm sorry."

Speaker Blair: "All right. Mr. Garmisa."

Garmisa: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of this House, we did say that after the R.T.A. had been in effect



and it was operational and that if there were any faults, we could always come back and correct them here. The R.T.A. as of today has not been established, they have not been in operation and if those of you that are claiming now that we're correcting the faults of the R.T.A. before we've had the chance of putting in it and making it operational, that is a false and a malicious statement, and one of the most perposterous statements I've ever heard on the floor of this House was that the intent of the R.T.A. Bill under a special form would be to tax cars that could be parked on your roadways, that is a fallacy. That is absolutely not the intent of the present legislation. That is as phony as a three-dollar Bill. That is not the purpose, nor the intent of the present R.T.A. Bill to do anything like that, and I would ask the people of this House to let's see the R.T.A. first operational, then let us find out what the faults are, then come back here and at that time we should make the correction. I urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Blair: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record. On this question there are 103 'ayes', 49 'nays', 7 'present'; and Senate Bill 1493 having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. 1494."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1494, an Act to amend the Regional Transportation Authority Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Blair: "Okay, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Arnell."

Arnell: "Thank you, thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, another Senate Bill 1491 amends . . . amends the Regional Transportation Authority Act . . . oh, thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill 1494 amends the Regional Transportation Authority Act. It requires public bidding for issuance of bonds and notes.



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

This Bill addresses itself to another concern that was addressed during the debate of the R.T.A., and yesterday this House adopted an Amendment by Representative Katz, which provides for the negotiative sale of bonds, with the concurrence of affirmative votes of at least six Members of the two-thirds majority of the Board, and I . . . it provides for notice; and I would appreciate your favorable vote on this Bill."

Speaker Blair: "Ah . . . Discussion? The question is, shall Senate Bill 14 . . . Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Would you or Representative Katz explain just what changes were made in the Bond Act?"

Arnell: "I yield to Representative Katz."

Katz: "Ah . . . The present provision in the R.T.A. Act permits public or private sale of bonds and place no restrictions or requirements with regard to such public or private sale. Ah . . . The Bill in its original form . . . ah . . . would have required a . . . the necessity of a public sale in every situation. Ah . . . This was felt to be unfair and unduly restrictive of the power of the R.T.A. Board that might need to have a negotiated sale. Accordingly, the Amendment makes it possible for the R.T.A. Board to either have a public sale or by two-thirds majority of the Board they may have a negotiated sale. If they do have a negotiated sale, however, there is only a requirement that they must public the fact that they're going to do this in advance in a newspaper of general circulation so that different underwriters are aware of this and may approach the R.T.A. and make offers with regards to the private negotiated sale. In that form, it has been . . . ah . . . I think approved by bonding people and would aid . . . ah . . . the R.T.A. Board."

Shea: "Mr. Katz, have you checked with Bonding Transit? Would this in any way affect the rating of the bonds?"



Katz: "Ah . . . We have checked . . . Representative Robert Dunne and I did check and spend a good deal of time with bonding counsel, it would in no way affect the rating of bonds."

Shea: "All right, and this is the only Amendment on this Bill?"

Speaker Blair: "Ah . . . Mr. Arnell to close."

Arnell: "Mr. Speaker, I think this matter has been amply debated. It passed the Senate by a very large majority. I'd appreciate your favorable vote."

Speaker Blair: "The question is, shall Senate Bill 1494 pass? All those in favor will vote 'aye', the opposed 'no'.

Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished?

The Clerk will take the Record. On this question there are 155 'ayes', 2 'nays'; and this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1495, a Bill for an Act to amend the Regional Transportation Authority Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Blair: "Ah . . . Mr. Arnell."

Arnell: "Thank . . . thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill 1495 amends the Regional Transportation Authority Act. It revises the list of items requiring public bidding; and I think it's in conformance with the . . . the request made by the distinguished Representative Shea to bring the R.T.A. Act in conformance with the Illinois Purchasing Act. Once again it passed the Senate by a very large majority, and I would appreciate your favorable vote."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Is there an Amendment on this Bill?"

Arnell: "There are no Amendments."

Shea: "This one is the same way it came over from the Senate, right, . . ."

Arnell: "That is correct."



Shea: ". . . and then what it does, in effect, is put the Purchasing Act in this Bill?"

Arnell: "That is correct."

Speaker Blair: "Okay, then the question is, shall Senate Bill 1495 pass? All those in favor will vote 'aye' and the opposed 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record. 1641. Mann 'aye'. No, Jake, I didn't . . . Senate Bill 1495 . . . ah . . . having received the vote of 150 'ayes', 2 'nays' a constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. Now, Mr. Collins? Is Mr. Collins here on his 1641? Go on to 1242."

Clerk Selcke: "1242. Senate Bill 1242 . . . an Act to amend an Act to provide for the transportation of school children in certain metropolitan areas. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. McAuliffe. Is Mr. McAuliffe here? Okay, take this out of the Record. 1267, Mr. Kozubowski, the Department of Law Enforcement."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1267, a Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Law Enforcement. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Blair: "Ah . . . Mr. Kozubowski."

Kozubowski: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 1267 as amended is the annual appropriation to the Department of Law Enforcement. The sum is approximately \$54,000,000; and I would ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Blair: "Is there discussion? The question is, shall Senate Bill 1267 pass? All those in favor vote 'aye', the opposed 'no'. Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the Record. On this question there are 156 'ayes', no 'nays'; this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed."



Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1273, Shea, a Bill for an Act making an appropriation for the ordinary and contingent expenses of State Government. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Blair: "Ah . . . Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is an omnibus appropriation to the . . . ah . . . Comptroller. It contains the . . . ah . . . state officers salary. It has been amended to include the money for Bi-state of \$3.2 million dollars. It . . . ah . . . has \$20,000 for counties . . . for each of the 102 counties in the state, it . . . ah . . . and I'd be happy to answer any questions with regards to the Bill."

Speaker Blair: "Ah . . . Mr. Hanahan."

Hanahan: "Could the Gentleman tell us . . . ah . . . you had a little crinkle in your microphone there and . . . you had a crinkle in your microphone, you said that we're appropriating \$20,000 to the counties for what?"

Shea: "For the purposes for the expenses incurred with the administration, Public Act 78-795, which is the Animal Control Act."

Hanahan: "And what is the \$3,000,000 . . ."

Shea: "3.2 million dollars for the Bi-state Transportation Authority."

Hanahan: "That's on the Belleville or East St. Louis area?"

Shea: "That's right, it's in Madison and St. Clair Counties."

Hanahan: "Is there any other expenditure under here besides . . . unclear . . ."

Shea: "Ah . . . Section 1 was the contribution to the State Employees Retirement System for salaries . . . ah . . . there's some expenses for the Juvenile Court Act, \$2,000,000, there's . . . ah . . . some funds for the National Conference of Governors and the National Conference of State Legislators and the National Society of State Legislators, \$27,500,000, there's \$725,000 for the construction of a wing of the Jefferson School in



Marion, Illinois."

Hanahan: "\$700 and . . . \$725,000 for a wing on a school? Could you explain, Sir, why a school in Marion, Illinois, should get a grant without a school in McHenry County or any other county should get a direct grant . . . ah . . . for the buidling of a school. I know Representative Hart . . . I just wonder if Representative McCormick might have a . . . any reason to justify a \$700,000 . . . ah . . . school down in Marion, Illinois?"

Shea: "Ah . . . Tom, you know, you asked me about that when we were in Committee, and I fully expected an Amendment to take it out from here on Second Reading, and I didn't see that, so I thought maybe you were in favor of the appropriation now."

Hanahan: "Well, it just slipped right by. Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I'd like to speak against the Bill. I think it's one of the worst types of legislation that we've passed. This is the catch-all Bill that where you've got any little projects of any kind, it gets through. I think this is a Bill you . . . everyone should get a piece of. I know that there's bridges, and there's roads and there's buildings and everything in every district of every Legislator . . . ah . . . Peggy Martin Smith should have . . . ah . . . probably amended their Park District Bill, and for this it would have been a better 'process' in amending park district law; but this seems to be the catch-all Bill. Is there . . . to me to pass a Bill like this and you read about it three months later, and exactly what we appropriated money for, and you go back home and you wonder what you did it for. As for me, I think it's a bad practice and a bad Bill when we pass omnibus Bills with no knowledge of what's in there."

Speaker Blair: "Ah . . . Mr. Hart."

Hart: "Ah . . . Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I just wanted to



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

answer Representative Hanahan very briefly. The . . . ah . . . \$725,000 is for a school for deaf children at . . . ah . . . Marion, Illinois. I know . . . you know very well what it's for because . . . ah . . . the original concept was sponsored by me . . . ah . . . under Governor Ogilvie; . . . ah . . . at the same time that you had an Amendment on . . . ah . . . a Bill to provide for some skanning . . . ah . . . you remember that, and . . . ah . . . there's got . . . your appropriation was approved, ours was vetoed; and . . . ah . . . one of the . . . one of the . . . ah . . . important issues in the Democrat versus Republican Governor campaign in Southern Illinois was the fact that Governor Ogilvie had vetoed the appropriation for that Bill; and . . . ah . . . as I understand it it's already to go and it certainly is gonna' provide . . . ah . . . necessary facility for the deaf school children in the southern 22 counties of Illinois . . . ah . . . for many years as you know . . . ah . . . persons who were deaf or have hearing problems were kind of shoved off into a closet, and this will provide for integration of those children into the school system, and . . . ah . . . provide them with a better opportunity of adjusting for life when they are adults."

Clerk Selcke: "There he is."

Speaker Blair: "Ah . . . Mr. Gibbs."

Gibbs: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise in favor of this Bill, and especially the \$725,000 for the school for the deaf in Marion, Illinois. The previous Speaker had mentioned . . . ah . . . that he didn't think this was necessary. This is a pork-barrel . . . barrel Bill, but I'm very familiar with the school for the deaf in Jacksonville, they're overcrowded and they have been for years, and this has taken a great deal of study by the Department of Children and Family



Services. They've done an excellent job. Ah . . . The previous Speaker always gets up and speaks to the laboring man. He wants this 36 percent increase in unemployment compensation, another tremendous increase in Workmen's Compensation. These people can help themselves, but the deaf children can't, and I think that we need this school down there, there isn't any question about that; and if he has the . . . ah . . . the background that I have in it, he would certainly not oppose this; and I hope that everyone will favor this Bill."

Speaker Blair: "Ah . . . Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House; I concur with the previous Speaker, Representative Gibbs, completely. You know, we sit here and we pass on billions of dollars worth of budgets, and, in turn, we're providing a salary increase, everybody, including the judges, ourselves and the various state officers within . . . within the state. We're asking for an increase in the dollar amount for compensation, unemployment compensation, Workmen's Comp', but, in turn, because there's a \$725,000 appropriation for a school that's a reappropriation, mind you, that this House already has acted upon and has stated 'yes' the House and the Senate agree with the concept. We're talking about a reappropriation. We're asked to be voting against this Bill. I think everything in this Bill has been thoroughly discussed, justified; and I would appreciate an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Blair: "Ah . . . Mr. Wolf."

Wolf: "Well, I was just wondering if the Sponsor would consider holding this and bring it back to Second Reading because we need some new swimming pools at 'Kashisko' and 'Tailborne Park' in the 17th District, and I thought I could tag it on this Bill, would that be okay?"

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Giorgi."



Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, I think there's a precedent being set here that I'd . . . ah . . . I'm interested in. I'd like to know what the \$2,825 from the lottery Fund is going to be spent for?"

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Shea."

Giorgi: "It's possible that might be spent before the lottery is drawn."

Shea: "I'm sorry, I didn't get the question."

Giorgi: "Is there . . . ah . . . out of the State Lottery Fund \$2,825 and if the Governor were to sign this July 1, that money would be spent before the lottery was drawn, and I wonder what it was for."

Shea: "I don't find where it's in here. Where . . . where is it, Mr. Giorgi?"

Giorgi: "It's in the synopsis, as I see it. You can tell me later, but I just thought . . ."

Shea: "Yeah, I see, it's for the state contribution of the State Employees Retirement System from the State Lottery Fund, \$1,750 . . . ah . . . for social security from the Lottery Fund, \$800."

Giorgi: "Well, wouldn't that be in the Department of Revenue Budget or is this the catch-all Bill?"

Shea: "No, this is for the state officers salary pay is in a separate Bill and it appropriates only their salary. This appropriates the . . . ah . . . the social security and retirement benefits."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Katz."

Katz: "I wonder if the Sponsor would explain about the \$2,500 for the National Society of State Legislators? I thought was a sort of private group, and I don't really know why state money should go to that kind of group. I have nothing against the group, but I just don't understand why we would appropriate state money for it."

Shea: "I'd be happy to tell you if I knew, but I haven't got the foggiest idea why the line item is in there. Perhaps



. . . ah . . . if I might . . . ah . . . maybe one of the . . . ah . . . either the Speaker or the Minority Leader knows and I will ask them. This is the National Society of State Legislators. I would imagine it's for dues, Mr. Katz."

Katz: "Yeah, I . . . I'm not sure that there's any justification that I know of for it, but I guess it's a little late in the rising."

Shea: "Well, Mr. Speaker, perhaps . . . ah . . . I'd better take this out of the Record and find out where all these little nicks and lice come from and where they're being spent."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Waddell."

Waddell: "To follow . . . is he going to take it out of the Record and find out? If not, I'll go ahead with a question."

Shea: "I . . . I'm just informed by . . . ah . . . Mr. Choate that the \$2,500 is for a . . . ah . . . the membership in a group formed by the former President of the Senate, Mr. Arrington, and another . . . and a former Member of the House."

Waddell: "Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Speaker Blair: "He indicates he will."

Waddell: "Representative Shea, I have no compunction at all to vote for kids for anything that . . . that is needed, and especially those that are handicapped; but I would like to know why this finds itself in this particular stance in this budget? Why it . . . why it has not come up either in the O.S.P.I. or Children and Family Services, why does it find itself here?"

Shea: "What this school?"

Waddell: "Correct."

Shea: "Ah . . . I think Representative Choate can answer that . . . why does it come up in this part of the budget? It . . . what . . . what this is is this is a omnibus



appropriation to the Comptroller . . . to the Comptroller because in these type situations it's to the Comptroller for a specific situation and it's the only way we can put it in a Bill so that the Comptroller can play it . . . pay it directly. This . . . this \$725,000 is . . . is a reappropriation."

Waddell: "Okay, thank you."

Speaker Blair: "Ah . . . Mr. Maragos."

Maragos: "Mr. Speaker, this matter having been fully discussed, I move for the previous question."

Speaker Blair: "All those in favor of the previous question say 'aye', opposed 'no'; the previous question has been moved. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea, to close."

Shea: "I'd ask for the vote of the House."

Speaker Blair: "The question is, shall Senate Bill 1273 pass? All those in favor vote 'aye', the opposed 'no'. Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the Record. On this question there are 130 'ayes', 9 'nays', 8 'present'; and this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill . . ."

Speaker Blair: "Let's go back, wait a minute, let's go back and pick up . . . ah . . . 1242 and --43."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Senate Bill 1242, whose is that? . . . ah . . . a Bill for an Act to amend Sections 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 and so forth of an Act to provide for the transportation of school children. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. McAuliffe."

McAuliffe: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 1242 is a Bill which provides for the transportation . . . reimbursement for the transportation of school children at reduced fares in certain metropolitan areas. I move for its . . . ah . . . passage."

Speaker Blair: "Question . . . ah . . . is, shall Senate Bill 1242 pass? All those in favor vote 'aye', the op-



posed 'no'. Have all voted who wish? On this question there are . . . ah . . . take the Record . . . on this question there are 128 'ayes' and 1 'nay'; and this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. 1243."

Clerk Selcke: "Ah . . . Senate Bill 1243, an Act to provide for the reimbursement of an Act . . . transportation. Third Reading of the Bill."

McAuliffe: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, . . . ah . . . House Bill 1243 provides for the reimbursement to mass transporta . . . transportation carriers for . . . ah . . . reduced fares for Senior Citizens, and I move for its passage."

Speaker Blair: "The question is, shall Senate Bill 1243 pass? All those in favor will vote 'aye' and those opposed 'no'. That machine takes a little time to pump out. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record. On this question there are 128 'aye' . . . 138 'ayes', 2 'nays', 1 'present'; this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. Ah . . . 1641."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1641, a Bill for an Act to amend the Election Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Collins."

Collins: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, . . . ah . . . Senate Bill 1641 as amended does . . . ah . . . a number of things. The Bill initially would exempt . . . ah . . . would allow absentee voting by . . . ah . . . employees of Board of Election's, Commissioners and County Clerks who have duties on the . . . ah . . . on election day. Ah . . . One of the Amendments would expand the Bill to . . . ah . . . include the employees with like duties of State's Attorneys. Ah . . . The Bill also . . . ah . . . by further Amendments would put some clarifying language in that was necessary to change references to the Secre-



tary of State to the State Board of Elections. We passed . . . ah . . . legislation last year doing this, but this picks up some areas where . . . ah . . . whereby . . . ah . . . we missed it in previous legislation and are making corrections now; and finally, the Bill picks up language that we amended last night . . . ah . . . out of Senate Bill 1291 . . . ah . . . and we amended it into . . . ah . . . into this Bill, Senate Bill 1641, which would deal for the personnel policies of the State Board of Election. Ah . . . Their establishment of hiring and appointing procedures and the establishment of personnel policies, and I would ask for a favorable vote on Senate Bill 1641."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Mugalian."

Mugalian: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'm afraid to say that I . . . the first election Bill offered by the distinguished Sponsor that . . . ah . . . goes backward in election reform. I really don't see why it's necessary to put . . . to encourage absentee voting. Now, these are persons that would be permitted to vote absentee will not be absent from the county, they will not be absent from their homes. It would permit and encourage absentee voting essentially by critical job holders, and . . . ah . . . I suggest that perhaps the Sponsor does not think that's true. He has said that we encourage and increase absentee voting, I think we encourage . . . ah . . . election . . . electioneering and proper motivation . . . ah . . . in the electoral process. People that work for a living cannot vote absentee, people that work 12 hours a day cannot vote absentee, and I see no justification for permitting absentee voting by governmental employees, and I urge a resounding 'no' on this Bill."

Speaker Blair: "Will . . . Mr. Boyle."

Boyle: "Well, I'd like to inquire of the Sponsor whether this



has really been a big problem . . . ah . . . it seems to me that . . . ah . . . employees of County Clerk's offices would have a . . . ah . . . better chance to vote . . . ah . . . in the polls than would the average citizen. I . . . I don't see where . . . where has this become a great problem? Why . . . why are the County Clerk's employees have a difficult time voting on election day?"

Collins: "Well, these . . . these are employees who . . . ah . . . imply . . . whose employment would require them to be at work on election day before the polls open in many . . . in all cases and would be working after the closing of the polls. Now, in Cook County . . . ah . . . people would be in Chicago in the . . . in the County Building or in the City Hall in . . . ah . . . the Board of Election Commissioners would be there long before the polls closed and would be opened and would be there long after the polls closed. Now, in the case of State's Attorneys, Assistant State's Attorneys, they are assigned to squad cars on those . . . on election day whereby they may be many miles from their own home precinct . . . ah . . . policing the conduct of election . . . of elections in precincts all . . . which are . . . it might be the other end of the county from where they reside; and they, too, would not be able to return until late that evening. Ah . . . It's a . . . it's a real problem for these people, if they're going to discharge their duties on election day, there's no way that they can get back to their own polling place and cast a ballot."

Boyle: "Well, apparently . . . ah . . . it must be a problem in Cook County in the northern part of the state, but in most of the downstate counties that I'm familiar with, and I'm familiar with about 10 because I run in them, . . . ah . . . the County Clerks have their office open on Saturdays and weekends where people who want to vote



can vote on absentee ballot on weekends and . . . ah . . . or any employee in the County Clerk's office that wants to go in and vote, I mean, they're there to vote. I . . . I . . . I don't see the problem for downstate, maybe it is a problem in Chicago or maybe it's a problem in Cook County, but . . . ah . . . I . . . I don't . . . I don't see a problem downstate, and . . . ah . . . in Macoupin county we only have one State's Attorney and he don't even have a squad car."

Collins: "Kenney, in the instance that you point out, where you can vote absentee on Saturday, you can do that in Cook County. However, if you do it, and you're not out of the county on election day, you're in violation of the law."

Boyle: "Well, well . . ."

Collins: "We're . . . we're trying to give these people the opportunity to do just what you suggest and vote within framework of the law."

Boyle: ". . . well, maybe we've been violating the law all these years, I don't know . . . I didn't know it."

Collins: "Well, I . . . I think . . ."

Boyle: "I don't know, but . . . ah . . ."

Collins: ". . . county you're going into and this is what we're attempting to clean up. I might add that this is not a Cook County sponsored Bill, this was sponsored by the . . . by the Senate Election's Committee. It's a Committee Bill and did not come from any one Sponsor in the Senate or one side of the aisle, or one section of the state."

Speaker Blair: "Ah . . . Mr. Bradley."

Bradley: "All right, thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd like to support the Sponsor of this Bill. We heard it in the Election Committee, and it . . . ah . . . it's . . . ah . . . not a partisan issue at all. I think it'll help . . . ah . . . it'll help the



downstate counties. Generally, I would say it's going to help Cook more than anybody else, but we have the problem, and I'd like to see the people who operate the elections in McLean County and the various counties to be in their offices all day on election day in case there is some problem, and they might not have time to go vote. I don't see anything wrong with the Bill. They can vote . . . ah . . . prior to election day and still be in the county, and I think it's a good piece of legislation; and I urge the support of it."

Speaker Blair: "Ah . . . Mr. Collins to close."

Collins: "Ah . . . Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I think this Bill has been adequately examined, and I would ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Blair: "The question is, shall Senate Bill 1641 pass? All those in favor vote 'aye', the opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record. On this question there are 128 'ayes', 10 'nays', 4 'present'; this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. Ah . . . Not yet. Are you ready yet, Mr. Choate, on 1559? Not ready yet. Okay. No, that's not . . . that's supposed to be . . . that's Mr. Campbell's. Now, 15 . . . or 1609."

Clerk Selcke: "Ah . . . Senate Bill 1609, . . . ah . . . a Bill for an Act to provide for the . . . funding of the Illinois health facilities. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Blair: "Ah . . . Mr. Kempiners."

Kempiners: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, this is a Bill that was on Second Reading last night. We discussed several Amendments, so I will be brief in my explanation. It's similar to House Bill 1403, which was introduced by Representative Madigan last year, regarding health facilities in Illinois. We're all familiar with the problems that the people of Illinois have today in paying for



hospital care. Part of that problem is unused hospital beds. As a result, we have House Bill or Senate Bill 1609, which will provide a means for proper planning with local input and decision making to cut down on empty hospital facilities, nursing home facilities, sheltered care facilities . . . facilities and surgical ambulatory . . . ambulatory surgical facilities. This Act creates a 13-member health facilities planning board, which is appointed by the Governor with the advice and consent of the Senate, and after July 1, 1975, there will be no construction or modification of these facilities without a permit being provided by this state board after hearing by a local regional comprehensive planning agency so that everybody in the area who is interested would be able to have input into this permit decision making; and one of the criteria for obtaining a permit is that there is a need for the facility. The Act outlines procedures for application to the state board as well as for hearings and appeals; and I would ask your favorable consideration."

Speaker Blair: "Any discussion? Ah . . . Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, . . . ah . . . would Section 17 in the Bill, which . . . would you look at that please and tell me the number of votes required to pass this Bill. It's getting better, Gene."

Speaker Blair: "89 votes. Mr. Boyle."

Boyle: "Ah . . . Thank you, thank you, Mr. Speaker. I . . . ah . . . am not in the habit of getting up too many times on . . . ah . . . legislation before this House and taking the time of the House, but I had a particular problem in my district; and I would wonder if the Sponsor would tell me how this changes the existing law?"

Kempiners: "Mr. Boyle, I'm over in the front row here."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Kempiners."



Kempiners: "Hou . . . right now if a hospital is going to build, it has to apply to the Illinois Department of Health for a permit. there are two requirements it must; the developers of a hospital must show that they're . . . they are financially sound and that they are morally sound. How this changes the law is that it will create a state board, as I have mentioned, with 13 Members appointed by the Governor that will when they receive this application, forward it to the local regional comprehensive health planning agency which will hold public hearings; and the purpose of these public hearings will be to determine whether there is a need for this facility. If there is a need or not, the recommendation of that local agency will go to the state board, which will then make a decision; if the decision is contrary to the local agency, then another set of public hearings will have to be held so that the people of the local area will have further input."

Boyle: "Well, we had a situation . . . ah . . . recently in Carlinville where I had a couple of doctors who were interest . . . interested in creating a new hospital, and they were denied the right to create a hospital because of the fact that they were told by the state that . . . ah . . . this wasn't a part of the comprehensive plan that somebody in Chicago had dreamed up for Macoupin County, and . . . ah . . . we have an unfortunate situation where our hospital is presently going out of existence because . . . ah . . . they are not in the state comprehensive plan from trauma centers, and that they just have a little hospital down there, and it's a little hill Burton set up that . . . ah . . . they've tried to live with now for about 30 years and everybody in the community has tried to support; but this hospital is going into the bad place because of . . . ah . . . of some plan or some regionalization that



some medical association or some comprehensive planners somewhere in the State of Illinois have . . . ah . . . determined it's in their best interest. We haven't had any input in this, and if this is a further attempt to go to regionalization or to go to comprehensive planning by someone who don't give a damn about where we are or what we do, well, then I'm going to be opposed to this type of legislation because they're going to turn our small downstate hospital into first aid stations, and we're not going to have an opportunity to maintain these hospitals, and we have a terrible time keeping doctors, and we can't even get hospitals now. Under the existing law they deny us the right to have hospitals because they say we're not in this plan that somebody has, this mysterious plan; and if this is a part to . . . ah . . . an attempt to extend or expand on the existing plan, then I'm going to be opposed to this type of legislation."

Kempiners: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, was that a question that I might respond to?"

Speaker Blair: "Ah . . . Mr. Boyle, were you addressing a question there?"

Boyle: "Yes."

Speaker Blair: "All right."

Boyle: "Is this an attempt to expand . . . extend or expand on the existing comprehensive health planning?"

Speaker Blair: "All right, Mr. Kempiners."

Kempiners: "Okay, first of all, the first point you raised was that the hospital was denied a permit by the Department of Public Health because it violated some state plan. As far as I am aware, there is no authority in the law for the denial on . . . for this reason. Now, this Bill does provide for a state plan to be drawn up by the comprehensive health planning agency at the state level. However, after that plan is drawn up, there



must be public hearing held throughout the state, and I emphasize this, throughout the state so that your area in Macoupin County can say, 'Well, we don't agree with this because we think this is what facilities we need', so that there will be input into that state plan.

Boyle: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to address myself to the Bill if I may. I think that I am opposed to this type of legislation. We have . . . and I think the downstaters ought to be aware of this fact, I think we have in previous Sessions perhaps made some mistakes that I don't think that it's too late for us to rectify now. I think we've gone too far with this state planning. I don't know if the other Members of this House gotten themselves involved in this situation in the past, but I know I have, and in my district, and I've had situations, a very, very important situation where doctors try to create additional hospital in Macoupin County and they were denied this right in spite of the fact that they had the money, in spite of the fact that they had the 'ware-with-all' to create the hospital. They weren't coming to government and they weren't coming to the Legislature and they weren't coming to the Federal Government and they weren't asking for more funds, they had the money, but they were denied the right to create this hospital when a vast majority of the people in Carlinville and Macouplin County were in favor of this hospital, they were denied the right to create this hospital because their plan didn't fit into this state comprehensive plan; and I think we've gone to the point in government where we are overplanning health facilities for downstate Illinois and I think and I would hope that the people in downstate would wake up and would look at some of the little hospitals. We've been doing pretty darn well for a . . . a number of years with our hospitals and I would hope that . . . ah



. . . people would take a look at this and see where their hospitals are going; and I . . . I'm afraid that if we go into legislation like this, we're going to end up with a situation where our hospitals are going to end up as first-aid stations and our doctors are all going to be moving to the trauma centers, and many of the downstate communities are not going to have doctors and they're not going to have hospitals because they're not going to be able to comply with this plan; and I would ask the Members of this House to take a very serious look at this Bill. I think we've gone too far in this area. I don't even think the law is fair as it is now; and I would hope that we would defeat this legislation."

Speaker Blair: "Ah . . . Mr. Borchers."

Borchers: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, I want to get something clear in my mind about this particular Bill. Now, let's assume that I want to build a nursing home or a hospital, as I understand it now, I will have to have a permit from this new regional authority and the hearings are held all over the state for this hospital or nursing home in my county or my district, perhaps in Rockford, perhaps in Cairo, to give me permission to build this hospital or nursing home. Now, I would like to be cleared up about that. Now, now, wait a minute, I just wanted to ask. I'm just asking a question; or if the Macon County Memorial Hospital or St. Mary's Hospital wish to expand, it sounds as if it's possible that they may have to have permission of someone from Chicago, for example, or Rockford to get permission to . . . at the hearings to have an expansion of the hospital. Now, I'd like to be cleared upon that point because I think Representative Calvo made some great . . . very good points, it cleared up a lot of . . . ah . . . of questions I had in my mind. What was it, Boyle, okay."



Speaker Blair: "Mr. Epton . . ."

Epton: "Mr. . . . Mr. Speaker, Representative Kempiners has yielded to me to answer the question and I appreciate that. One of the reasons, of course, is because some of you may recall four years ago I was a House Sponsor of this legislation; and I'm sorry that Representative Boyle and Representative Borchers have the wrong understanding of what this Bill does or does not do. There is no regional planning which would ever allow the situation Representative Boyle alluded to, somebody was giving him a fast shuffle. He's much to astute a lawyer to be taken in like that. As a matter of fact, this very Bill came up because hospitals could be built wherever they wanted, whenever they wanted. The only purpose of this Bill is to prevent hospitals building and creating beds where there are no need for any beds. We had a situation, for example, in Mt. Vernon where they had 700 vacant bed, and yet the fact remains they were going to add to that area with increased hospital beds. At that time, an attempt was made to stop them. The Director of Public Health did not have the authority, and that's the reason that this Bill, one of the reasons the Bill came into being. Let me again reassure Representative Boyle and Representative Borchers the group that will determine whether you will have additional facilities in your area will be a group of your own neighbors. It will consist of consumers, the people who use the hospital facilities, and all of the boards, all of these regional boards will have more consumers than provided. By that I mean we will have more average citizens who go into the hospitals, and you will have the doctors, or the lawyers or the nurses, so that if for any reason a hospital is refused a permit today, it will beca . . . be because your neighbor or mine decided they shouldn't have it; and I should add there's one



additional feature that this has which perhaps some of you may not have a problem with, but those of us who live in cities do have. Some of us . . . have taken it upon themselves to discontinue operations. This will also prevent that situation coming to pass. There are communities in great need of a hospital facility. This will insure that they cannot pick up, leave and leave those who are in greatest need of hospital attention without facilities; and one final note, if you'll bear with me just this one second, for those of you who believe that individual enterprise builds hospitals, I feel you're in error. Regardless, of where the money comes from, even if the individuals with their own money propose to build, the money that pays for those hospital facilities comes from the state and from the Federal Government. There is no hospital today that is operating without Blue Cross, Blue Shield, or Medicare or Medicaid, so when you say that the businessman or the individual is coming up with his own money, he's kidding you, and Representative Boyle and Representative Borchers are too astute to be taken in by the phony who suggests he is doing it on his own. Today there is no hospital or institution built anywhere in the United States regardless of how small the hamlet without aid and assistance. This is purely a Bill which will attempt to insure that those beds are available to those who need it. I never, never was this Sponsor of the previous Sponsor attempting to invade the prerogative of the local citizenry. I do hope you will vote in favor of this very much needed Bill."

Speaker Blair: "Ah . . . Mr. Deavers."

Deavers: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Blair: "All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'; the 'ayes' have it, the previous question has been moved. The Gentleman from . . . ah . . . Will, Mr.



Kempiners, to close."

Kempiners: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I don't want to belabor this point. We had much discussion last night. All I would do is ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Blair: "All right, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1609 pass? All those in favor vote 'aye', the opposed 'no'. Ah . . . Mr. . . . Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, there are two things that I want to raise. This Bill was passed or had it . . . it was on Second Reading after midnight or early this morning. Is this a Second Legis . . . or a different Legislative Day?"

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Kempiners."

Kempiners: "This Bill was read for a second time on the evening of Sunday, June 23rd, and was held on Second Reading for consideration of Amendments."

Shea: "All right, I'm wrong. I'm telling you I'm getting punchy around here at night sometimes."

Speaker Blair: "Ah . . . Mr. Choate."

Choate: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to explain my vote. I don't know exactly what is . . . all is in this Bill, but we've had an experience in our district in the home county of Dick Hart just recently of a hospital called a miner's hospital attempting to spend its own money to bring better services, build an additon, bring better services to that community, and the State Health Department come down and said, 'No, you can't do this'. Now, if we lost the voice of the little people under this present system that we have, what are we going to have when we create the bureaucracy that this Bill might create? Have you looked at the Bill? The time may come when it's right for this, but if you look at the Bill, there's no standards in this Bill. As to what the result the state wants regarding health care facilities, there's no standards on what kind of . . . of services



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

are going to be provided, where they're located, the number of facilities per population basis on the . . . density basis. Total discretion is placed in the hands of this board. You're creating a bureaucracy that takes it away from the voice of the people. Just like Kenney Boyle said, 'A county-owned hospital will no longer have anything to say about the operation of that as far as the people are concerned'. You're doing something here to the publicly-run hospitals that takes care of the little people. You're putting them right out of business. I think you'd better have a second thought, and I think you'd better look, you'd better look at the hospitals that the ably . . . financially ably people want to go through are exempt from this Bill. They are exempt from the provisions of the Bill, but not the hospitals where the little guy goes."

Speaker Blair: "Ah . . . Mr. Brinkmeier."

Brinkmeier: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, there's one major fault in this legislation, and that is it's about 40 years too late. It's been my privilege the last seven years to serve on the State-wide Comprehensive Health Planning Advisory Council, and I want to tell the Membership of this House that that council is composed of over 50 percent of the consumers of . . . health care, not the providers, but the consumers. Now, the area-wide regional centers have the same story, the consumers have a great deal of input in there, and when my Leader talks about the little people, that's what I'm concerned about, because the one reason that we found that the cost has soared and soared in health care facilities and health care in recent years has been the duplication of efforts that exist, and there's no reason in the world why two hospitals of the same community should have to prov . . . the facilities to provide for heart surgery or a kidney machine or whatever."



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

So if you really want to do something for your people, you should be voting for this Bill and eliminate all this duplication of efforts so that we can have some reasonable health care costs, and I would urge a green vote."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Borchers."

Borchers: "Mr. Speaker and fellow Members of the House, there's one thing said here that rather bothered me and that was in relation that . . . to having too many beds. Well, perhaps this is so, but I would rather have too many beds, then not enough in . . . under the case of an emergency; and no man can make a decision about that . . . ah . . . in a hearing. You could have possibly an airplane crash, you could have an epidemic, we could maybe again have the Asian Flu, and I see the time in Decatur when both the Memorial Hospital and St. Mary's Hospital were filled and they had to put beds in the halls. In fact, this . . . this occurred just last winter. So this is something that disturbs me very much, and I feel that if . . . if a municipality, and not just an individual, but a municipality wishes to pool their money together to create a hospital, they certainly should have the right to do it as long as they comply with the rules and regulations of the Department of Public Health, and by what I've heard here today . . ."

Speaker Blair: "Ah . . . Mr. Boyle."

Boyle: "Mr. Speaker, in explaining my vote, I think this is very important and I would join with the Minority Leader, Representative Choate, in urging the downstaters particularly to take a very close look at this piece of legislation. We are here striking at the very essence of our free enterprise system. We are saying to people in downstate Illinois, 'Even though you have the money, even though you're not going to government for money,



even though you're not looking to the Federal Government, even though you're not looking to the state for money, even though you have 4½ million or 5 million dollars to build a hospital'. We are saying to these people, 'You cannot build this hospital because they don't fit into some sort of a plan or scheme . . . or scheme that somebody somewhere in Springfield, somewhere"

Speaker Blair: "Ah . . . Mr. Douglas. Mr. Douglas."

Douglas: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, there have been some very serious misunderstandings that have been . . . ah . . . used as explanations for negative votes on this . . . this motherhood Bill. Now, with all due respect to those on my side of the aisle, especially my Minority Leader, he and others on this side couldn't be farther from the truth in leading you to the misconception that comprehensive health planning has a negative purpose and not a positive purpose. The very intent of comprehensive planning is to deal with the issues that Representative Boyle has raised and to make certain from a central reliable base that those areas of the state which are deprived of hospital beds get them, that those areas of the state that definitely need vital health services are made available to them. They're very opposite of those expressions"

Speaker Blair: "Ah . . . Mr. Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, in explaining my 'present' vote, I would like to emphasize that I do not disagree with the concept of this Bill, which the previous Speaker stated, 'This is a well-intentioned Bill'. I submit, however, it is a very poorly drafted Bill, and I further submit that there is a very serious defect in this Bill which we attempted to rectify . . . ah . . . by Amendment #4, which would have provided adequate judicial

safeguards to protect the community affected. I would emphasize to the Members of this House that one of the permits that would be authorized under this Bill would be for the discontinuance of a hospital or a health-care facility or the relocation of a hospital or a health-care facility. The Amendment that we suggested was, in my opinion, both necessary as well as reasonable . . . of the Sponsor of this Bill to accept that Amendment, which would have simply placed the community in an equal . . . ah . . . but no greater position than that of the . . ."

Speaker Blair: "Ah . . . Have all voted who wish? Well, Mr. Boyle, you've already talked. Ah . . . For what . . . for what purpose do you arise, Mr. Boyle, you've already talked."

Boyle: "I know that, I know that, Mr. Speaker, and I just want to let you know before you announce the Roll Call, I don't intend to take the time, I won't ask for a verification, I don't want you to announce the Roll Call until I have a right to ask for a verification."

Speaker Blair: "Well, this Chair has never done that, Sir."

Boyle: "I know that."

Speaker Blair: "Take the Record. Mr. Barry."

Barry: "I want to explain my vote if necessary, it doesn't look like it's necessary, but perhaps I could ask again at the proper time. Thank you."

Speaker Blair: "Ah . . . Barnes 'aye'. Bradley 'aye'. All right, the Gentleman from . . . ah . . . Will, Mr. Kempiners asked that we poll the absentees before we start the verification. At this time there are 106 'ayes', and 28 'nays' and 21 'present'."

Clerk Selcke: "Alsop. Beatty. Brandt. Capparelli. Capuzi. Carter. D'Arco. Davis. Dee. DiPrima. Ewell. Fennessey. Garmisa. Ron Hoffman. Jimmy Holloway. Klosak. Kosinski. Kozubowski. Lemke. Madigan. Sevcik.



Terzich. Wall. Yourell."

Speaker Blair: "Ah . . . Mr. Cunningham 'aye'. Mr. Londrigan, Mr. Londrigan, how do you vote, that's all we're taking. How do you vote? Do you want to change your vote?"

Londrigan: "Please change me from 'present' to 'yes'."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Londrigan 'yes'. Ah . . . All right, now, Mr. Clerk, where are we before we start on the verification."

Clerk Selcke: "At 108 'ayes' . . ."

Speaker Blair: "108 'ayes', . . ."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . 27 'nays' . . ."

Speaker Blair: ". . . 27 'nays', . . ."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . 20 'present'."

Speaker Blair: ". . . and 20 'present'. Will you verify the affirmative?"

Clerk Selcke: "Anderson. Arnell. Barnes. Barry. Beaupre. Bluthardt. Bradley. Brinkmeier. Brummet. Caldwell. Calvo. Catania. Chapman. Clabaugh. Collins. Cunningham. Day. Deavers. Deuster. Douglas. Duff. Ralph Dunn. R. L. Dunne. Excuse me, R. L. Dunne is marked 'present'. Dyer. Ebbesen. Epton. Fleck. Flinn. Friedland. Geo-Karis. Gibbs. Giorgi."

Speaker Blair: "Well, we don't want to interrupt the verification. Mr. Boyle, for what purpose do you want recognition?"

Boyle: "I'll concede and withdraw my request."

Speaker Blair: "All right, on this question there are . . ."

Clerk Selcke: "108 'ayes' . . ."

Speaker Blair: ". . . 108 'ayes', . . ."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . 27 'nays', . . ."

Speaker Blair: ". . . 27 'nays', . . ."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . 20 'present'."

Speaker Blair: ". . . Mr. VonBoeckman 'aye'. All right, and this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. 1212."



Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1212, a Bill for an Act to vacate, extinguish, abandon and release an easement in Peoria County, Illinois. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Day."

Day: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 1212 is an easement . . . a highway easement vacation of about 614 square feet. It's been approved by the Department of Transportation and the consideration for the . . . ah . . . vacation is . . . ah . . . shown on the Bill itself . . . ah . . . in declared excess land, and it was reported out of the Transportation Committee by a vote of 12 'ayes' and no 'nays'; and I solicit your support."

Speaker Blair: "All right, the . . . the question is, shall Senate Bill 1212 pass? All those in favor will vote 'aye', the opposed 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the Record. On this question there are 128 'ayes', no 'nays'; and this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed."

Clerk Selcke: "1218."

Speaker Blair: "1218."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1218, a Bill for an Act to vacate, extinguish, abandon and release an easement for highway purposes in Stark County, Illinois. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Day."

Day: "Ah . . . Mr. speaker, I would ask leave to return th is Bill to the order of Second Reading for the purpose of an Amendment by Representative Beaupre, an Agreed Amendment."

Speaker Blair: "Ah . . . All right, . . . ah . . . leave? Take it back to Second . . . ah . . . read the Amendment."

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #1, Beaupre, amends an . . ."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Beaupre."



Beaupre: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I apologize to the Members of the House for . . . ah . . . bringing this Bill back for the purpose of an Amendment. Ah . . . I would explain, however, that this . . . ah . . . Amendment that I am adding or would hope to add was House Bill 2870, which was introduced . . . ah . . . many, many weeks ago . . . ah . . . and did not go to Committee because . . . ah . . . I allowed it to languish in the Rules Committee since I had not received . . . ah . . . verification from the Department of Transportation that . . . ah . . . this Bill was in order. Ah . . . They sat on my request and . . . ah . . . as a result I didn't receive . . . ah . . . a verification that the facts that were presented to me in introducing the Bill were correct until this week; and, therefore, I ask Representative Day and check with the Senate Sponsor to see if it would appropriate for me to add it to this Bill . . . ah . . . it has been approved by the Department of Transportation. Ah . . . They have the money endowed, which is \$1,000, and I would ask for your favorable support for this Amendment."

Speaker Blair: "Ah . . . Okay, Mr. Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Would the Sponsor of the Amendment yield?"

Speaker Blair: "Yeah, he says he will."

Schlickman: "What does it do?"

Beaupre: "The Amendment conveys an easement . . . ah . . . similar to . . . ah . . . the Bill . . . ah . . . in Grundy County. Ah . . . It's a highway easement . . . ah . . . that . . . ah . . . the state acquired some 20 years ago and would like to get rid of. Ah . . . They are receiving compensation in return for the easement, the amount of \$1,000."

Schlickman: "Well, Mr. Speaker, . . . ah . . . a point of order. I recall in a previous General Assembly that there was an attempt to add an appropriation for a creek



improvement by Amendment to a Bill appropriating an amount of money for an unrele . . . unrelated creek Amendment. I, therefore, ask if this Amendment is germane."

Beaupre: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, of course, it is up to the Chair to rule on this matter, but I would suggest . . . ah . . . to . . . ah . . . Representative Schlickman that . . . ah . . . I was very careful in my attempt to find the Bill . . . ah . . . that this . . . ah . . . original Bill could go . . . be added onto . . . ah . . . not wanting, of course, to destroy the constitutionality of the original Bill and the original Senate Sponsor's legislation, and I have been assured by the Legislative Reference Bureau that . . . ah . . . this Bill is an appropriate one, that . . . ah . . . it is indeed germane to the original Bill and . . . ah . . . I would ask that the Chair so rule."

Speaker Blair: "Well, the subject matter is highway easement and . . . ah . . . Amendment #2 is that. There's no appropriation involved . . . ah . . . as a matter of fact, it just says that 'The easement can't be released until there is a receipt of money by the state'."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, can I ask one question then of the . . . ah . . . you say there is no appropriations involved, . . ."

Beaupre: "That's correct."

Schlickman: ". . . what consideration is involved?"

Beaupre: "The consideration is \$1,000 which the state will receive in the General Revenue Fund."

Schlickman: "What is the amount of the acreage that would be vacated, not by Amendment . . . not by Amendment."

Beaupre: "It's an easement over 1 6/10 acres."

Schlickman: "It's a coincidence that's identical to the acreage . . . ah . . . in the original Bill."

Beaupre: "Well, I'm taking somebody else's word for it, I



haven't found it in the Digest, Gene, if you want to give me a minute . . . ah . . . I'll . . ."

Schlickman: "Well, your Amendment . . . oh, I see, you're looking for the other one. Well, let me ask you this, what was the basis for the . . . determining the consideration of \$1,000?"

Beaupre: ". . . Well, I am informed that the Department of Transportation . . . ah . . . the staff who apparently appraises these matters and has some experience in that . . . ah . . . regard . . . ah . . . appraised it and suggested that that was adequate consideration. I'm not in a position to pass judgment on that, frankly."

Schlickman: "I'm not either. Thank you."

Speaker Blair: "All right, the . . . Mr. Juckett. Wait a minute . . ."

Juckett: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Blair: "He says he will."

Beaupre: "Ah . . . I can't assure you I can answer it, but I'll yield."

Juckett: "Okay, I under . . . ah . . . from the Amendment it says about 2.162 acres, could you tell us where in Grundny County?"

Beaupre: "No, I can't."

Juckett: "You can't tell us where in Grundny County?"

Beaupre: "No."

Juckett: "Maybe Representative Washburn could tell us where in Grundny County. I've got the legal description here . . . ah . . . it's the southeast corner of Section 23, Township 32 North, Range East . . . Range 8 East, excuse me."

Speaker Blair: "Okay, is there . . . ah . . . Mr. Washburn."

Washburn: "Yes, Mr. . . . thank you, Mr. Speaker, I might be able to answer his question if I knew who owned the property. Who owns the property?"



Beaupre: "The state owns the property, Representative."

Washburn: "Well, who do they want to sell it to?"

Beaupre: "Well, if I ask . . . address to Representative Juckett's question. The original Bill which . . . ah . . . I did not attempt to bring out of Rules Committee dealt with an easement which was presented to me and it was requested that I act as Sponsor of the Bill by a lawyer in Grundy County. Now, I, of course, am not willing to introduce legislation in this House floor . . . ah . . . in this Chamber . . . ah . . . to . . . ah . . . convey either a too simple estate or any kind of rights that the State of Illinois may have in regard to any particles of land unless I am assured by the Department of Transportation that it was indeed their intent to do so, that they no longer want this . . . ah . . . land for highway purposes. For that reason, I did not bring the original Bill out of Rules Committee. I continually made requests to the Department of Transportation to verify the facts that were presented to me by the lawyer from Grundy County in regard to this transaction. Ah . . . I received no answer for some six weeks. I finally last week suggested to the Director of the De . . . of the Department of Transportation or the secretary that there . . . if this legislation were to be approved this Session then he'd better . . . ah . . . look into it. I was then assured the next day by the secretary . . . ah . . . that . . . ah . . . this was indeed the plans of the Department of Transportation to convey this easement back to the original owner and . . . for consideration of \$1,000. It seems to me that that . . . ah . . . is adequate . . . ah . . . as far as this General Assembly giving authority for the Department of Transportation to do that. We are not talking about a few simple . . . ah . . . of tract of land, we're not talking about conveying any land . . . ah . . . back



to an owner, we are talking about the rights of easements, and it would appear to be it would be appropriate for this House to vote in the affirmative. If you have some trouble with that, . . . ah . . . or you can suggest how else we might deliberate on this matter, I'd be open to suggestions."

Speaker Blair: "Ah . . . Mr. Washburn."

Washburn: "All I'm asking, Mr. Speaker, is who is the other party, who is paying the \$1,000 or receiving the \$1,000?"

Speaker Blair: "Ah . . . Mr. Beaupre."

Beaupre: "I can't tell you that."

Washburn: "Well, I think we ought to know that before we attach the Amendment to the Bill."

Beaupre: "I do not know who the other party involved in the land transaction is."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Hoffman, Ron Hoffman."

Hoffman, R.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, . . . ah . . . one of the questions that . . . ah . . . I was going to raise, apparently, the Representative from Appropriation's is raising; I, too, would like to know to whom this piece of land is going . . ."

Beaupre: "Well, . . ."

Hoffman, R.: ". . . and secondly, who assessed the property value at \$1,000?"

Beaupre: ". . . well, I assure you that I do not know . . . ah . . . who the Department of Transportation is dealing with, that's why I can't answer the question. I find it rather peculiar, for instance, that while we just passed an easement Bill . . . ah . . . doing the very same thing that we're talking about . . . ah . . . there were no questions . . . ah . . . involved in that one. Ah . . . I would assume that . . . ah . . . all of these matters have the approval of the Department . . . part of their building program and building plan and a part of the overall plans for the Department to rid themselves



of some of these . . . ah . . . rights that they have acquired through the years. My concern is whether or not the Department, and, therefore . . . thereby, the state . . . ah . . . does indeed want to . . . ah . . . enter into this kind of an agreement. I don't know who the parties involved are, and, frankly, don't really care."

Hoffman, R.: "Well, could you, Representative, assure this House that this piece of property for this amount of money is not being conveyed to a private corporation or private individual?"

Beaupre: "There is no property being conveyed, there are property rights being conveyed in the way of easement."

Hoffman, R.: "But is this . . . ah . . . could you assure this House it is not being conveyed to a corporation or a private individual?"

Beaupre: "I trust that the Department of Transportation, which is the Executive branch of this State . . . ah . . . the Executive branch that deals with this sort of matter has thoroughly investigated it. Ah . . . I assured myself of that before I moved forward with the . . . ah . . . Amendment."

Speaker Blair: "Ah . . . Mr. Maragos."

Maragos: "This Amendment has been adequately discussed. I move the previous question."

Speaker Blair: "All those in favor say 'aye', the opposed 'no'; the 'ayes' have it . . . ah . . . Mr. Beaupre, do you want to close?"

Beaupre: "I'd ask for your favorable vote."

Speaker Blair: "All those in favor of the adoption of the Amendment say 'aye', the opposed 'no'. All those in favor of the Amendment vote 'aye', the opposed 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record. On this question there are 91 'ayes', 43 'nays'; and the Gentleman's Amendment, Amendment#1 . . . ah . . . is



adopted. Now, Geo-Karis 'no', and now, . . . ah . . .
Third Reading, Mr. Day."

Day: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. There has been an explanation of the tract of land which was covered by . . . ah . . . Amendment #1. Ah . . . The Bill itself . . . ah . . . deals with a tract of land in Stark County, Illinois, 1. . . and the consideration as shown as . . . in . . . in the Bill is \$1,000. Again, this land has been . . . ah . . . declared excess by the Highway Department. They've held it since 1923 in connection with State Bond Issue Route #30 in Stark County. Ah . . . They have never used it for highway purposes, and . . . ah . . . the . . . ah . . . Department of Transportation over the signature of Alan R. Austin has indicated that it is . . . ah . . . excess land and that they have no need for it . . ."

Speaker Blair: "Hold . . . ah . . . I'm sorry, what did you say?"

Day: "Ah . . . This has been . . . ah . . . decision has been . . . ah . . . concurred in by J. E. Harland, who is the District Highway Engineer for this area. So, I would earnestly . . . ah . . . solicit your support for this Bill."

Speaker Blair: "All right, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1218 pass? All those in favor vote 'aye', the opposed 'no'. Have all voted who wished? On this question there are . . . ah . . . 1 . . . yes, yeah, Mr. Lemke says he wants . . . well, all right, we're on explanation of votes, questions are not allowed. Now, you're recognized to explain your vote."

Lemke: "Well, this is another thing we were confronted . . . Mr. Beaupre was confronted here with who's going to buy this piece of land. In this Bill there's nobody that tells us to buy this piece of land, and I see that this piece of land . . . this Bill was offered by Hudson 'Salare'²"



of Stark County. Now, why is it asked by Mr. Beaupre to make that when there was not a statement made who's going to receive this land anyhow. So I . . . I . . . I'm going to vote 'present'."

Speaker Blair: "On this question there are 111 'ayes', 7 'nays', 1 'present'; and Senate Bill 1218 having received a constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. Ah . . . 1244."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1244, . . . ah . . . a Bill for an Act to vacate, extinguish, abandon and release an . . . ah . . . Clark County, Illinois. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Blair: "Ah . . . Mr. Campbell."

Campbell: "Ah, . . . Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, . . . ah . . . Senate Bill 1244 vacates a highway easement to 2½ acres in Clark County within the Town of Casey, one payment of \$11,750. This was assessed by the Department of Transportation, approved by the Highway Department, declared excess land. It passed the Senate 51 to nothing, and the Transportation Committee 10 to nothing; and I'd appreciate your favorable support."

Speaker Blair: "The question is, shall Senate Bill 1244 pass? All those in favor vote 'aye', the opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the Record; and on this question there are 111 'ayes', 4 'nays'; and Senate Bill 1244 having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. 1272."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1272, . . ."

Speaker Blair: "Take that out of the Record."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . all right."

Speaker Blair: "1283."

Clerk Selcke: "All right."

Speaker Blair: "1283."



Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1283, a Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses for the Institute of Environmental Quality. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is the ordinary expenses for the Instiute of Environmental Quality. Ah . . . It had a thorough hearing in the . . . ah . . . Appropriation's Committee. It's in exactly the same shape it left the Senate, and I would move for the adoption of this Bill."

Speaker Blair: "Discussion? The question is, shall Senate Bill 1283 pass? All those in favor will vote 'aye', the opposed 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record. On this question there are 142 'ayes', 2 'nays'; and this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. 1296."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill . . . ah . . . 1296, an Act to vacate, extinguish, abandon and release an easement . . ."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Campbell."

Campbell: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House . . ."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . in Vermilion County, Illinois. Third Reading of the Bill."

Campbell: ". . . this is another . . . ah . . . this is another . . . ah . . . vacation of a highway easement in Vermilion County within . . . in Vermilion Heights. It passed the Senate 48 to nothing. It was approved by the Department of Transportation, it's 8,036 square feet for \$500; and I ask for your favorable support."

Speaker Blair: "Discussion? Mr. Mugalian."

Mugalian: "Ah . . . I'm still on my first term, I'm a little confused. I thought that this was to be a limited



Session where subject matters, appropriations, and revenues, and emergency matters of government. We've been spending time on easements and Bills that could wait for 20 years before they made any difference to the State of Illinois. I'm going to vote 'no' on all of these Bills."

Speaker Blair: "Well, all of these Bills are revenue Bills because the state is giving up an interest of some kind in real property and is receiving a consideration, which is revenue into the Road Fund. Ah . . . The question is, shall Senate Bill 1296 pass? All those in favor will vote 'aye', the opposed 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record. On this question there are 117 'ayes', 5 'nays', 7 'present'; this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. 1467."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1467 . . ."

Speaker Blair: "Take it out of the Record. He is not here. 1633."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1633, an Act to amend the Civil Administrative Code of Illinois. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Blair: "Ah . . . Mr. William Walsh."

Walsh, W.: "Well, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 1633 relieves the Department of General Service from providing telecommunication service to the General Assembly . . . ah . . . and agencies of the General Assembly. The . . . ah . . . reason for this is that . . . ah . . . it was felt that the Executive Department should not have access to the people . . . that Members of the General Assembly are phony and . . . ah . . . so they . . . it's something that is being taken over by the General Assembly, and I urge your support for Senate Bill 1633."

Speaker Blair: "Is there discussion? The . . ."



Clerk Selcke: "The question is . . ."

Speaker Blair: ". . . all right, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1633 pass? All those in favor will vote 'aye', the opposed 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . that'll never happen . . ."

Speaker Blair: "On this question there are 145 'ayes', no 'nays'; this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. 1640."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1640, a Bill for an Act in relation to transcripts of debate for the General Assembly. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Walsh."

Walsh, W.: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 1640 makes the . . . ah . . . Secretary of State the depository for the . . . ah . . . transcripts from the House and Senate debates. Ah . . . This came about because a little today, a couple of hours ago, Fred Selcke tried to copyright the song that was sung here and felt we ought to get the tape away from him. I urge your support for Senate Bill 1640."

Speaker Blair: "Discussion? The question is, shall Senate Bill 1640 pass? All those in favor vote 'aye', the opposed 'no'. Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the Record. Ah . . . 1264."

Clerk Selcke: "You want to announce this . . ."

Speaker Blair: "Oh, on this question there are 156 'ayes', 2 'nays'; and Senate Bill 1640 having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1264, a Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Illinois Racing Board. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Blair: "Ah . . . Mr. Calvo."

Calvo: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker and Ladies . . . I believe there's an Amendment on this. It's been printed."



Ah . . . Could I call this back to Second Reading for purpose of offering the Amendment?"

Speaker Blair: "Does he have leave? Leave, no objections, Second Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "Ah . . . Amendment #3, . . . ah . . . amends Senate Bill 1264 as amended on page 1, line 11, and so forth."

Calvo: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Amendment replaces in the . . . ah . . . budget of the Illinois Racing Board \$26,183 for personal services, \$1,960 retirement and \$1,230 social security. Ah . . . So that you'll know the . . . exactly what this is, this is an effort to let the Department or the Racing Board employ its own attorney, instead of the attorney being placed under control of the Attorney General. I would ask for the . . . ah . . . the adoption of Amendment # . . . whatever . . ."

Clerk Selcke: "1."

Calvo: ". . . Amendment #1."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. William Walsh, 3."

Calvo: "Yeah, Amendment #3."

Walsh, W.: "Well, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I just want to call the attention of the . . . ah . . . Membership to this Amendment and that we attempted . . . there was an attempt by Representative Pierce earlier today to place this same Amendment on the Environmental Protection Agency, and it is mainly to permit them to hire in-out counsel. This would do this same thing for the Racing Board. We defeated the Amendment earlier today, and this Amendment deserves the same treatment. I urge you to vote 'no'."

Speaker Blair: "All right, . . . ah . . . discussion? Mr. Huskey."

Huskey: "Mr. Speaker, we have the best attorney in the State of Illinois to represent the Racing Board, the Attorney



General of the State of Illinois; and I urge you to vote 'no'."

Speaker Blair: "Ah . . . the Gentleman from . . . ah . . . Mr. Calvo to close."

Calvo: "Madison, Mr. Speaker. Yeah, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, you know, we . . . the Majority Leader and I, I think, both explained this exactly as it is; however, I think he said . . . mentioned that we had defeated an early effort to do this by the Environmental Protection Agency, and there may have been many reasons for that. However, I don't want it to appear that this is something new. These boards and departments have al . . . have had for many years their own attorneys and have had their own attorney's salary and . . . ah . . . other expenses, social security, what have you, within their own budget. This isn't anything new. The new effort is to take the . . . ah . . . the . . . ah . . . budgets away from the agencies and boards and to have it all under the Attorney General. Well, now that we know what the issue is, I would ask your favorable vote and support of Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 1264."

Speaker Blair: "The question is, shall Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 1264 be adopted? All those in favor say 'aye', the opposed . . . opposed 'no'; the 'nos' have it, the Amendment fails. Well, they're asking for a Roll Call. All those in favor of the Gentleman's Amendment vote 'aye' and the opposed 'no'. Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the Record. On this question there are 84 'nays', 82 'ayes'; and the Gentleman's Amendment fails. Further Amendments? Third Reading. Mr. Calvo."

Calvo: "Okay, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would now ask for your favorable support and consideration in passage of the appropriation for the Illinois Racing Board. I ask your favorable vote."

Speaker Blair: "The question is, shall Senate Bill 16 . . .



1264 pass? All those in favor will vote 'aye' and those opposed will vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the Record. On this question there are 100 . . . Berman 'aye' . . . 147 'ayes', no 'nays'; and this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. 1276."

Clerk Selcke: "Ah . . . Senate Bill 1276 . . ."

Speaker Blair: "Is Mr. D'Arco here? Take it out of the Record. 1348."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1348, an Act making an appropriation to the Department of Transportation for expenditure by the Department of Waterways. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Williams."

Williams: "Mr. Speaker, I'd request leave to return Senate Bill 1348 back to Second for purpose of an Amendment."

Speaker Blair: "Does the Gentleman have leave? Ah . . . Mr. Juckett. Mr. Walsh."

Walsh, W.: "Ah . . . Would the Gentleman yield to a question?"

Speaker Blair: "Well, he says he will."

Williams: "Sure."

Speaker Blair: "He says he will."

Williams: "Sure."

Walsh, W.: "Ah . . . What's the purpose of taking the Bill back to Second?"

Williams: "Ah . . . Actually, the purpose was . . . let's see, the other night, I think it was Sunday night, we got up to Amendment #10 and we did have the Department Amendment making some changes, not increasing the appropriations, but just some changes within the line item; and we didn't know that was going to be called . . . ah . . . Sunday night so . . . ah . . . I do have that Amendment to put on. There is no change in the amount of the appropriation. It just . . . ah . . . shifts some of the line items."



Walsh, W.: "Ah . . . Is that all it deals with is the . . .
ah . . . the . . . ah . . . appropriations, the money
amount and . . . ah . . ."

Williams: "Right, it just shifts within the line items . . .
ah . . ."

Walsh, W.: ". . . well, I . . ."

Williams: ". . . and . . ."

Walsh, W.: ". . . yeah, I have no objections considering
the Amendment."

Williams: ". . . I did discuss this . . . ah . . . with
Representative Washburn and so forth, there's no . . ."

Speaker Blair: "All right, . . . ah . . . leave? Take it
back to Second . . . ah . . . read the Amendment."

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #11, . . . ah . . . Williams, amends
Senate Bill 1348 in the House and so forth."

Williams: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, I would move to adopt Amend-
ment #11 and then . . . ah . . . table it. There's a
technical error in it . . ."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman offers to move the adoption
and asks leave to table. Leave? No objections. Tabled,
12."

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #12, Williams, amends Senate Bill
1348 in the House and so forth."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Williams."

Williams: "Amendment #12, now, reallocates the funds for
the waterways capital improvements project. The total
dollar amount . . . ah . . . of the Senate Bill is . . .
ah . . . is absolutely the same. It . . . ah . . . changes
\$190,000 from the Busse Woods Dam and Reservoir project,
. . . ah . . . \$50,000 from the Willow Higgins Creek,
\$50,000 from the Blue Water ditch; it adds \$190,000 to
the Marengo project and \$190,000 to the Silver and Crystal
Creek. The dollar amount is the same . . . ah . . . but
it's just a shifting in the line items, and I move for
the adoption of Amendment #12."



Speaker Blair: "Discussion? All those in favor of the adoption say 'aye', oppose 'no'; the 'ayes' have it . . ."

Clerk Selcke: "The Amendment's adopted."

Speaker Blair: ". . . Further Amendments?"

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment 13, Tom Miller, amends Senate Bill 1348 on page 6 and so forth."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Miller."

Miller, T.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. Amendment #13 is merely a technical correction to Amendment #9 earlier adopted, and I believe it would be proper at this time, Mr. Speaker, to table Amendment #9 and adopt 13. Now, I have discussed it with Representative Williams, he is thoroughly familiar with it, and . . . ah . . . if the Chair would recognize the motion to table Amendment #9."

Speaker Blair: "Well, we have to reconsider the vote by which it was adopted . . ."

Miller, T.: "I would so move, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Blair: ". . . all right, the Gentleman moves to reconsider the vote by which Amendment #9 was adopted. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'; the 'ayes' have it, the motion to reconsider prevails. Now, the Gentleman moves to table . . . ah . . . Amendment #9. The Gentleman have leave? No objections, 9 is tabled. Now, we are on 13."

Miller, T.: "I move the adoption of Amen . . . Amendment #13 to . . . ah . . . Senate Bill 1348."

Speaker Blair: "All right, all those in favor of the adoption of the Amendment say 'aye', opposed 'no'; the 'ayes' have it, the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #14, Grotberg . . ."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Grotberg."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . amends Senate Bill 1348."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Williams."

Williams: "Representative Grotberg had discussed this with



me, and I would move to adopt #14 and then . . . ah . . . table it."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman offers to move the adoption of 14 and asks leave to table. No objections? Tabled. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #15, VonBoeckman, amends Senate Bill 1348 as amended on page 10."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. VonBoeckman. Mr. VonBoeckman."

VonBoeckman: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, . . . ah . . . this Amendment . . . ah . . . provides the money for the . . . ah . . . passage of House Bill 9 . . . -42 that was . . . ah . . . signed by the Governor in September of '73. Ah . . . I would appreciate the consideration for this Amendment."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Washburn. Ah . . . Some of the . . . ah . . . Membership knows that we're going . . . we're going to be here for a while tonight . . . ah . . . so . . . Mr. Washburn."

Washburn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, would you go through that again, Representative VonBoeckman."

VonBoeckman: "Ah . . . Mr. Washburn . . . Representative Washburn, I talked to Leo Icel concerning a project that was signed by the Governor last year . . . that was signed by the Governor last year concerning the flooding problem we have had in Tazewell County for some three or four years now, and we have attempt . . . attempted to get this . . . ah . . . survey made so that we could . . . ah . . . solve this problem. It appears that the . . . ah . . . Department did not take any action on it, and . . . ah . . . the only way that I can get action as of now and . . . ah . . . Leo Icel recommended it, we put this amount of money, \$40,000, into the budget."

Washburn: "How much money is involved?"

Speaker Blair: "Ah . . . Mr. . . . Mr. Washburn."

VonBoeckman: "Beg pardon?"



Washburn: "How much money is involved?"

VonBoeckman: "\$40,000."

Speaker Blair: "Ah . . . Mr. Kriegsman."

Kriegsman: "Ladies and Gentlemen, this is not necessarily just the problem of the Lake Or . . . Lake Orland Home-owner's Association, but Lake Orland itself is a private lake, but the problem is it has been at a flood stage all these years for the last two years at least and then when this five-inch rain came along, well, it just simply flooded everything and went on down to one . . . the one-mile creek. A lot of people got flooded out here and this appropriation is merely to study the problems that are involved and get the show on the road and get this thing taken care of."

Speaker Blair: "Ah . . . Mr. Williams."

Williams: "Ah . . . I would just to say that this has been discussed with the Department of Water Resources. The Bill . . . ah . . . was approved and signed by the Governor last year and . . . necessary \$40,000 to do the project, and I would certainly approve of this Amendment to the Bill."

Speaker Blair: "All right, . . . ah . . . the question is on the adoption of the Amendment. All those in favor say 'aye', oppose 'no'; the 'ayes' have it, the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments? Third Reading. Read it a third time."

Clerk Selcke: "Ah . . . Bill . . . Senate Bill 1348, an Act to make an appropriation to the Department of Transportation for expenditure by the Division of Waterways. Third Reading of the Bill."

Williams: "Okay, this is the annual appropriation for the Division of Water Resource Management, and I think I'll bring everyone up to date here as to where this Bill stands now. Originally introduced, the Bill appropriated \$16,000,000 . . . no, I should say it was about \$12,000,000,



and after the Senate Appropriation's, it came out to \$16,205,500; and it was broken up into 37 line items. All of the funding for this now comes from the General Revenue Fund. In the House Appropriation's Committee, there was added \$1,400,000 by Amendment #2, but this was tabled on the floor while the Bill was on Second Reading, so we still have \$16,205,500. With the Floor Amendments 5, 6, 7, 8 and 13, this added \$530,000, but Amendment #10 reduced it by \$925,000, and Amendment #12 would keep it the same. So now we're at . . . ah . . . \$15,850,500. Ah . . . So as the Bill stands now with this last Amendment #15, there is an appropriation of \$15,850,500 all from the General Revenue Fund, and I might say that this is the greatest budget that has ever been recommended by the Governor for the purpose of urban flood control. \$13,935,000 is . . . ah . . . in this appropriation for urban flood control. The other \$325,000 is for planning new projects, and \$285,000 to complete four small projects. If there are any questions, I'll be happy to answer anything on any of the line items and, otherwise, I move the adoption of the . . . ah . . . or for the passage of Senate Bill 1348 as amended."

Speaker Blair: "All right, is there any discussion? The question is, shall Senate Bill 1348 pass? All those in favor vote 'aye' and the opposed 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question there are . . . ah . . . 140 'ayes', 4 'nays'; and this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. 1401."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1401, a Bill for an Act to direct the transfer of money between funds of State Treasury.

Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Blair: "Ah . . . Mr. Washington."

Washington: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker and Members of the House,



Senate Bill 1401, it simply authorize the transfers between funds in the State Treasury, which by law are necessary to finance the funds named. There were certain minor . . . ah . . . subtractions from the listed funds in the . . ."

Clerk Selcke: "Turn him back on, turn him back on."

Washington: ". . . I know of no opposition to this Bill and I ask for your support."

Speaker Blair: "Ah . . . All right, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1401 pass? All those in favor will vote 'aye', the opposed 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record. On this question there are 142 'ayes', no 'nays'; and this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. 1425."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1425, a Bill for an Act to restore to the former owners the coal and other minerals underlying property which was acquired by the state and . . ."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. . . . Mr. . . ."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Blair: ". . . Mr. Brummet."

Brummet: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 1425 is to rectify an error made by the Department of Transportation. It restores the coal and other minerals to . . . to rightful owners of 26 and 8,200 acres of ground, which was taken from them out of their farm for highway purposes in Clinton County. They still own the ground on both sides of this 26 and 8,200 acres. I would appreciate a favorable vote."

Speaker Blair: "The question is, shall Senate Bill 1425 pass? All those in favor will vote 'aye', the opposed 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record. On this question there are 128 'ayes', 4 'nays'; and this Bill having received the constitutional

majority is, hereby, declared passed. 1458."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1458, a Bill for an Act to accept retrocession of legislative jurisdiction over certain land in this state from the Government of the United State to the State of Illinois. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Blair: "Ah . . . Mr. Walsh, Richard."

Walsh, R.: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 1458 as the Digest indicates provides for a retrocession of . . . ah . . . approximately one half acre of . . . ah . . . of land . . . ah . . . in Cook County near Des Plaines. I didn't know what retrocession meant either until I took look at the . . . at the nice dictionary they have in the Speaker's office, and it . . . ah . . . it means to seed back land. Now, that . . . it doesn't have anything to do with wheat, or corn or sybean. It's to seed back land which the State of Illinois had seeded to the Federal Government. So this is unlike those . . . ah . . . Bills we've just been hearing, Gentlemen, this is necessary in order for the state to receive the land which the Federal Government wants to deed to us; and I think now we can probably see 177 green votes. It's got to be a Record vote."

Speaker Blair: "Any discussion? The question . . . is there anybody that wants to vote for this Bill? The question is, shall Senate Bill 1458 pass? All those in favor will vote 'aye', the opposed will vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the Record. On this question there are 147 'ayes', 6 'nays'; and this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. 1535."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1535, a Bill for an Act to enlarge the corporate limits of the Metropolitan Sanitary District of greater Chicago. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Friedland."



Friedland: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 1535 would enlarge the corporate limits of the Metropolitan Sanitary District by adding 80 acres in Palatine Township, Cook County, in the village of Inverness within the district; and I'd urge your approval."

Clerk Selcke: "Any discussion? Any discussion on this Bill, Friedland? Any further . . ."

Speaker Blair: "Any discussion? Mr. Juckett."

Juckett: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, will the Chairman . . . or will the . . . ah . . . Sponsor yield for a question?"

Friedland: "I think so."

Juckett: "Ah . . . Is Senator Graham, is that correct, is he the Sponsor of this Bill in the Senate?"

Friedland: "Ah . . . Just a minute, I'll check . . . ah . . . yes, it's . . . the Digest indicates he is."

Juckett: "Is . . . could you tell me whether he is Chairman of the Senate Rules Committee?"

Friedland: "I'm not sure, I don't know if he is . . ."

Juckett: "Well, I think you'll find that he is Chairman of the Senate Rule's Committee."

Friedland: "Big deal."

Speaker Blair: "All right . . ."

Friedland: "I'd appreciate a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Blair: ". . . the question is, shall Senate Bill 1535 pass? All those in favor vote 'aye', the opposed 'no'."

Clerk Selcke: "What did he say? Juckett?"

Speaker Blair: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record. 1548."

Clerk Selcke: "Do you want to announce this one?"

Speaker Blair: "Ah . . . On this question there are 138 'ayes', no 'nays'; and this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed."



Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 1548. An Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Blair: "Mr. Berman."

Berman: "Yes. Mr. Speaker, I'd ask leave of the House to bring House Bill...Senate Bill 1548 back to Second Reading for purpose of an Amendment."

Blair: "Does the Gentleman have leave? No objection? All right, take it back."

Fred Selcke: "Amendment #2. Berman. Amends Senate Bill 1548 and so forth."

Berman: "Amendment #2, Mr. Speaker, is an Amendment that was agreed upon by the Sponsor in the Committee. It changes the...ah... lower limit for the qualification for School Bus from a seven passenger vehicle to a nine passenger vehicle. I move the adoption of Amendment #2, to Senate Bill 1548."

Blair: "Any discussion? The Gentleman moves the adoption for Senate Bill 1548. All in favor 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Fred Selcke: "Amendment #3. Berman. Amends Senate Bill 1548..."

Blair: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Berman."

Berman: "Amendment #3, Mr. Speaker, is the, is essentially the same as Amendment #1, which was a Committee Amendment. However, it adds language that was brought to our attention by the Department of Transportation so as to avoid duplicate reimbursement so that at this point Mr. Speaker, I would ask...ah...having voted on the prevailing side by which Amendment #1 was adopted, I would move to reconsider the vote by which that was adopted."

Blair: "Any discussion? Having voted on the prevailing side by which Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1548 was adopted, the Gentleman moves to reconsider that vote. All in favor 'aye', opposed 'no'. The Gentlemen's motion prevails."

Berman: "Now I'd move to table Amendment #1."

Blair: "The Gentleman moves to table Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1548. All in favor 'aye', opposed 'no'. The Amendment is tabled. Further Amendments."

Berman: "Ah...Amendment #3, Mr. Speaker, as I just indicated, is the



same as Amendment #1 but it adds a language to avoid duplicate reimbursement for School Districts for the cost of the...ah... safety features of the buses. I move for the adoption of Amendment #3."

Blair: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman moves the adoption of Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 1548. All in favor of the adoption signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Fred Selcke: "That's it."

Blair: "Third Reading. This Bill having been read a Third Time. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Berman."

Berman: "Ah...Thank you . Mr. Speaker, Senate Bill 1548 is the product of extensive hearings by a School Problems Commission in conjunction with the Motor Vehicle Laws Commission. Senate Bill 1548 is for the first time a comprehensive approach to School Bus Safety and includes the covering of School Buses that are operated at both the public schools and the non-public schools, meaning private institutions and religious schools. These Bills...ah...This Bill sets forth the requirements for the issuance of Bus Driver Permits; it requires...it sets forth certain...ah...requirements for the training of these Bus Drivers and prohibited Acts that would prevent the issuance of permits for persons that are not qualified to drive School Buses. One of the very important features of Senate Bill 1548 is..."

Blair: "One minute. Representative Leinenweber, for what purpose do you rise?"

Leinenweber: "I'm interested and I just can't hear anything so you might as well have a Roll."

Berman: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. One of the very important aspects of Senate Bill 1548 is the...the requirements for the reporting of accidents involving School Buses. In the research and the hearings that were held, it was found that the reporting of accidents arising out of School Bus accidents was totally inadequate. This Bill requires, for the first time the reporting to the Department of Transportation...ah...all types of accidents



involving property damage or personal injury, whether occurring on the Bus and even more importantly, accidents arising out of waiting or boarding or getting off of a Bus...ah...involving a School Bus. In addition, one of the important mandates of this Bill in compliance..ah...with the Federal Regulations is the installation of the eight light flashing system. One of the concerns that was...ah...set forth by the School Board Association was regarding the cost of these installations, that we have adjusted ourselves to by Amendment #3 that was adopted and that's in the form of (a) either reimbursement through the Department of Transportation from Federal Funds that are made available or (b) inclusion of the cost of these improvements for the reimbursement section of the...ah...school ...ah...Transportation Sections of the School Code. The...ah... inspection requirements are strengthened in these Bills to include not only every six months but also every ten thousand miles of travel. These Bills...this Bill coordinates the operations ...ah...much more...ah...satisfactory way as to the efforts of the Department of Transportation, the Secretary of States Office and O.S.P.I...ah..It has received the endorsement of the School Board Association, the P.T.A.'s, the Archdiocese of Chicago, O.S.P.I. and we have tried to recognize the needs of all of the different interests in School Bus Safety but paramountly to come forth with a Bill that will address itself to providing safe transportation for our school children throughout the State."

Blair: "The Gentleman from Will, Representative Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "I just have one or two questions for the Gentleman if he would yield."

Blair: "He indicates he'll yield."

Leinenweber: "Representative Berman, I notice that from the Digest that this Bill defines 'School Bus' and I'd ask that question when Representative Catania's Bills were out earlier this Session and I was advised that I guess this is the Bill that does provide the definition for 'School Bus'. How is it defined?"



Berman: "I'd be glad to read it to you Representative. 'A School Bus is every motor vehicle except as provided in paragraph (b), owned or operated by or for any of the following entities for the transportation of persons in connection with any activity of the entity. A School operated by a religious institution or a public or private nursery, primary, secondary or parental school.' So that by that definition we're covering both the public schools and the nonpublic schools. The things...the exclusions, the exclusions from the coverage of definition of a School Bus is as follows, 'A Bus which is operated by a public utility, municipal corporation or common carrier authorized to conduct local or inter-urban transportation of or for...of passengers when such bus is on a regularly scheduled route for the transportation of other fare paying passengers or furnishing charter service for the transportation of groups on field trips or other special trips or in connection with special events or for shuttle service between attended centers or other educational facilities and not over a regularly...a regular or customary School Bus route. A second exception is a motor vehicle designed for carrying not more than nine passengers which is not registered as a School Bus under Section 3-8088 which is a...ah...a registration section for School Bus Safety.'"

Leinenweber: "The one further question I would have, suppose a...for example, a Joliet Mass Transit District does run a special route that two times a day they handle a particular school situation. Although it would be open to regular fare paying customers. In other words, there would be a deviation with the regular route designed specifically to assist the schools but would that...would not bring them within this Act would it?"

Berman: "Do they pick up fare paying passengers at the same time?"

Leinenweber: "Yes."

Berman: "Then it's not covered."

Leinenweber: "Okay, thank you very much."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Tazwell, Representative Kriegsman."



Kriegsman: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen. It just seems to me that we're going overboard on all this regulation on School Buses in this Session and I would ask that you reconsider and... and not heap all these School Boards and all these Bus Companies with all this regulation. They are quite aware of all the problems that are connected with transporting students in the safest possible way. I know the drivers of the buses are as conscientious a group of people as you can find as witnessed by the number of accidents that were made, that happened this year and I would ask that we don't heap any more regulation on than they already have. The problem of schools is to teach kids, teach children and not necessarily teach them the fundamentals of riding a bus and I still say that that is strictly elementary and if we want to do the best thing for our children, let them walk to school."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Rock Island, Representative Polk."

Polk: "Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Telcser: "He indicates he will."

Polk: "Mr. Berman how does this effect the small school buses such as the Chevrolet Vans and the Volkswagen Vans that carry our Children to some of the Special Education Schools such as for the Mentally Handicapped and the Physically Handicapped?"

Berman: "If they carry, if they carry more than nine passengers they are included. If they carry Handicapped Children and carry more than nine Children, they have to have restraints for the safety of those Handicapped Children. Now if you have a vehicle that's less than nine, they're not covered."

Telcser: "The Lady from Cook, Representative Catania."

Catania: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I wholeheartedly support these Bills. They are complimentary to the School Bus Bills which I now have in the Senate. They're on Post-poned Consideration in the Senate, unfortunately the Senate just this morning killed Representative McGrew's School Bus Safety Bill. Now, I...I certainly wouldn't suggest we play around with the safety of little children. I think that we should pass these Bills. I don't think we need to work out deals with the Senate,

I hope certainly ENR THEY'LL PASS THEM OVER THERE. I DON'T THINK



we should consider that though. I think we should definitely pass theirs over here."

Telcser: "Is there further discussion? If not, Representative Berman to close."

Berman: "Thank you. Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I appreciate Representative Catania's remarks. I think that her Bills and these Bills were complimentary. What we've tried to do by these Bills was, as I indicated before, the product of the School Problems Commission, The Motor Vehicle Laws Commission to bring a set of Bills forth that would provide adequate safety for our children and I urge a 'yes' vote."

Telcser: "The question is, shall Senate Bill 1548 pass? All in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question there are 135 'ayes', 2 'nays'. Maragos 'aye'. 6 answering 'present', this...Peters 'aye'. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 1549."



Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1549, a Bill to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Berman."

Berman: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, on Senate Bill 1549, I'd ask leave to bring that back to Second Reading for purpose of an Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any objections? Return Senate Bill 1549 to the order of Second Reading. Will the Clerk please read the Amendment?"

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #1, McClain, amends Senate Bill 1549 as amended and so forth."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Berman."

McClain: "Hello, yeah; thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Several of us had some problems with this Bill during Committee, and I didn't have an Amendment at that time to offer to the Committee; and after the Committee work, I met with the Sponsor of the Bill and he met with the Senate Sponsor and we worked out an Amendment that's satisfactory to all. What it provides is that school bus operators who provide transportation to pupils who attend a non-public school shall provide these schools with school buses and such other assistance as shall be reasonably necessary to provide instruction in safe bus riding practices to all pupils who are transported by bus to or from school or in connection with any school activity; and I urge your support."

Speaker Telcser: "Any discussion? The Gentleman offers to move the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1549. All in favor 'aye', the opposed 'no'; the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Selcke: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Telcser: "Third Reading. The Bill has been read a



third time. Representative Berman."

Berman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill 1549 as amended is a compliment to Senate Bill 1548, which we just passed. This is the Bill that looks to the . . . ah . . . instruction of our children in school bus safety. It . . . ah . . . includes among the . . . the requirement for some school bus safety instruction in the schools, some emergency evacuation drills . . . ah . . . on the school buses. Ah . . . I urge your . . . ah . . . affirmative action on Senate Bill 1549."

Speaker Telcser: "The question is . . . is there any discussion? . . . the question is, shall Senate Bill 1549 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question there are 133 'ayes', 3 'nays', 7 answering 'present'; this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. Senate Bill 1561."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1561, an Act to add Section 21 to Article 13 of an Act to revise the law in relation to township organization. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea. Representative Shea. Gerry, is this your Bill? 1561."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill . . . or Senate Bill 1561 is the youth services Bill. It allows units of local government of townships and the municipalities to . . . ah . . . it defines youth services and provides that . . . ah . . . that they can get state and federal money; and I would provide . . . or I would move for the adoption of Senate Bill 1561."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Arnell."

Arnell: "thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield to a question?"



Speaker Telcser: "Indicates that he will."

Shea: "No."

Arnell: "Representative Shea, does this legislation in any way reduce the powers of townships or the functions of Township Government? I didn't hear your response."

Shea: "Ah . . . Do you think I'd do something like that?"

Arnell: "Well, I . . . I . . . I'm certain you would not, but I just wanted to hear your response so everyone else in the Assembly could hear it."

Shea: "The Bill is unamended as it comes from the Senate, sponsored by the Committee on Local and Governmental Affairs, headed by Senator Soper, who is my Senator."

Arnell: "Does this Bill in any way reduce township functions or authority?"

Shea: "I don't think so."

Arnell: "Does it expand township functions or authority?"

Shea: "I don't think so."

Arnell: "Do you think that it is beneficial to Township Government?"

Shea: "I would think that it would be helpful to townships, municipalities and counties."

Arnell: "Well, Representative Shea, I want to thank you, and I'm certain I speak for all of the suburban Legislators on this side of the aisle for sponsoring legislation that is most beneficial to townships. We commend you for this and we certainly want to thank you because it is Township Government that is closest to the hearts of the people and provide services. Thank you."

Shea: "Not any closer than municipalities."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Yourell."

Yourell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. In spite of the nonsense just delivered by my good friend Don, I would commend this Bill to you because if you voted for Senate Bill 1314, you must follow



and cast a green vote for 1561 because these . . . both of these Bills are to the benefit of Township Government, as well as municipalities and counties."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Palmer."

Palmer: "If the Sponsor would yield for a question?"

Speaker Telcser: "Indicates that he will."

Palmer: "Representative Shea, presently townships have the authority . . . ah . . . to provide for a . . . ah . . . youth services, the services to the youth of the townships. Now, this . . . ah . . . Section, apparently, does not amend that, that is the . . . the one Section that deals with Chapter 139. You also change Chapter 34 and Chapter 24, which is commendable. Ah . . . Is there any . . . ah . . . conflict insofar as the duties are concerned . . . ah . . . with the . . . that would devolve on the Youth Service Bureau here and the Youth . . . or Township Committee on Youth in the other . . . ah . . . statute?"

Shea: "I think what this does, Mr. Palmer, is really . . . ah . . . in effect, put into something that Mr. Schlickman has tried to do for years and it provides for inter-governmental cooperation between the state, the townships, the cities and the counties with regards to Youth Service Bureau functions. At the present time, although many municipalities are providing this kind of service, after looking at the law and particularly . . . particularly looking at the fact that we're using Federal Revenue Sharing Funds, this is why we're expanding this Section of the law."

Palmer: "All right, there's one further question, and that is this that, apparently, under the Bill a . . . the court . . . juvenile court would have the authority to release a minor to the . . . ah . . . to the . . . ah . . . Youth Service Bureau, both either the county, or of



the municipality or of the . . . or of a township, am I right on that?"

Shea: "I can't hear you."

Palmer: "Well, Mr. Speaker, if we can have some order in here, I think we've got a pretty important thing. Presently, the . . . ah . . . the Circuit Court of Cook Coun . . . County, the Criminal Division and the Juvenile Division, is reluctant to release any or carrying any of the minors back to the . . . ah . . . any Youth Service Bureau for . . . or of the municipality or of a township. Now, they . . . they haven't done it. You have to take my word for it. Now, apparently, under his Bill here there would be some authority in that direction. Ah . . . Would you know whether or not if it's . . . it's true?"

Shea: "Well, for what I understand of this, it allows the township, municipality or county to provide a youth service or enter into an inter-governmental cooperation. Presently, under the Juvenile Court Act, you could either turn a juvenile over to the Probation Department under supervision, you could put the . . . ah . . . juvenile in custody or sentence him to an institution. I think you probably end up with sentences that are general and run through the Department of Corrections, and they take the child into custody and place them where they think it's proper. I'm certain under this type of legislation that the judge or court could in . . . remand under some kind of a formal court order the youth in question to his local township, or to his local community . . . ah . . . instead of putting him in custody."

Palmer: "Well, what I'm talking about there can be court referral?"

Shea: "I think so."

Palmer: "I . . . I would consort that way, and, Mr. Speaker,

GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

if I may address myself to it?"

Speaker Telcser: "Proceed."

Palmer: "I think this is a very valuable Bill. It covers three layers of government, townships, counties and municipalities. Now, one of the problems that we get into as I've understood the problem in the past is the referral services by court agencies or by the Court of Minors. In many instances they have to turn these . . . they do turn these children over to the Department of Children and Family Services or to . . . back to their parents, or back to their local police department for a station . . . what they call as a station adjustment. Much of the time it is nothing more than just . . . they release or transfer of . . . of custody . . . custody to the various agencies. Under this Bill, . . . ah . . . what can be done by the three layers of government is to provide some meaningful services by way of . . . of many things, but one of which could be psychiatric . . . ah . . . psychological services . . . ah . . . I know in Worth Township, the township that Mr. Yourell, and Huskey and myself are from, they do have a youth . . . ah . . . service center there that do pro . . . that does provide in conjunction with the University of Illinois psychiatric services, psychological testing services, et cetera, for children that are in trouble. Now, as I view this Bill, it's an expansion of that, and it is . . . it nails it down in that direction. I think it's a very excellent Bill, and I want to commend the . . . ah . . . the Sponsor of the Bill and also the House Sponsor for his interest in this area, as well as in this Township Government."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Knox, Representative McMaster."

McMaster: "Mr. McMa . . . Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, that's all right, he just . . .



you didn't know my name earlier, Kenney. I think perhaps I should speak on this Bill. Earlier today we passed Senate Bill 1314 and I appreciate the support from both sides of the aisle upon it. I think the Bill that Gerry is talking about tonight affects more than just townships. My Bill was directed at townships, Gerry's Bill also concerns municipalities and counties. I think it is a good Bill, it is not harmful in my estimation . . . the Bill that we passed earlier today; and I rise in support of Mr. Shea and his Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Juckett."

Juckett: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker . . . ah . . . I'd like to make a parliamentary inquiry. . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "State your point."

Juckett: ". . . as to whether you can combine into one Bill Amendments of three statutes?"

Shea: "Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, Representative Houlihan. Representative Shea, for what purpose do you arise?"

Shea: "I think the man's problem is he can't understand why I'm handling the township Bill and is looking for a kicker. I just want to tell him I think it's an extremely good and valuable piece of legislation, and I live in suburban Cook County, Mr. Juckett."

Juckett: "Gerry, I'm just . . . I'm . . . I'm just trying to help you get a constitutional Bill, that's all."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Shea, I'm sure that thought has crossed many minds this evening. Representative Juckett . . . ah . . . I think the Bill is in proper form. It is the Senate that we're considering and . . . ah . . ."

Juckett: "Well, the only . . ."

Speaker Telcser: ". . . if it's a single subject problem, it may be a problem for the courts and not one for a



Parliamentarian to rule on."

Juckett: ". . . well, the only reason that I raised that is that remember House Bill 3636, which was a single-subject matter which affected various statutes, and it was declared unconstitutional because it . . . the court said that it should be done in separate Bills."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, I think the Chair has made its ruling, Representative Juckett, . . . ah . . . whether or not your point has validity . . . ah . . . I think would have to wait upon the passage . . . the ultimate passage of this Bill, the signature by the Governor and court test."

Juckett: "Well, I would want to then put my dissent in the Record from the ruling. . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "All right."

Juckett: ". . . in that I think that there are three subject matters, and it should be taken up in three separate Bills. . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, we . . ."

Juckett: ". . . and if the Sponsor would yield to a question?"

Speaker Telcser: ". . . all right, let's first enter upon the Journal Representative Juckett's dissent from the Chair's ruling, and Representative Shea indicates he will yield to your question."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker. Go ahead, Bob, go ahead."

Juckett: "Thank you. Is . . . now, will this be adding new powers to the Township Government?"

Shea: "I think it would be . . . let's Township Government to do the necessary functions in cooperation with the cities and counties. I think it . . . it allows them to enter into . . . enter governmental contracts for the provision or for providing services for youth."

Juckett: "Well, would they have to do this in cooperation with municipalities and the counties . . ."



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Shea: "No."

Juckett: ". . . or could they do it separately?"

Shea: "They may do it separately."

Juckett: "Now, would this add powers for municipalities?"

Shea: "Not home-rule units of Local Government."

Juckett: "Would it add powers to counties?"

Shea: "Not home-rule counties."

Juckett: "Only, well that . . . it would add powers to 101 counties then?"

Shea: "I believe so."

Juckett: "Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Shea to close the debate."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think everybody understands what's in this Bill, and I would ask for the support of the House."

Speaker Telcser: "The question is, shall Senate Bill 1561 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. John, you've got me on 'aye'. George, record me as 'present'. Telcser 'present'. On this question there are 136 'ayes', 4 'nays', 1 answering 'present'; this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. Senate Bill 1614."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1614, an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Berman."

Berman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill 1614 increases the rate of interest allowable on bonds issued by the Chicago Board of Education from 6% to 7%. Ah . . . Last year we passed a Bill that raised . . . ah . . . interests . . . the same interest rates from 6 to 7 for every other school district in the state. Ah . . . This is a Bill that's been introduced so as to eliminate any question that Chicago is included in that rate increase."



I would point out that this is not just a frivolous request to increase rates, but on a bid letting that was scheduled for June 12 . . . ah . . . for a series of bonds at 6%, there were no bids . . . ah . . . received at 6%. I would ask a favorable vote for Senate Bill 1614."

Speaker Telcser: "Any discussion? The question is, shall Senate Bill 1614 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question there are 126 'ayes', 3 'nays', none answering 'present'; and this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. Maragos 'aye'. Senate Bill 1618."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1618, an Act providing for the establishment of downstate program of financial assistance for mass transportation. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Champaign, Representative Hirschfeld. What about Representative Day? The Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Day."

Day: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is the Senate version of the downstate mass transit Bill, and as you will recall, earlier this week we amended it to conform to the . . . ah . . . House version of the . . . ah . . . same dealing . . . Bill dealing with the same subject. What we'd like to do is to get this entire subject into a Conference Committee, and I would appreciate your support . . . ah . . . for this Bill so that we can get it over to the Senate and set up a Conference Committee as soon as possible."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The ques . . . the question is, shall Senate Bill 1618 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this



question there are 134 'ayes', 5 'nays', 2 answering 'present'; and this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. Choate 'aye'. Senate Bill 1621."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1621, an Act to amend the Medical Practice Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Mr. . . . Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, . . . ah . . . I have promised Mr. Bradley that I would take this back to Second Reading for the purposes of an Amendment, and . . . ah . . . I think Mr. Juckett has one, I'm not sure."

Speaker Telcser: "Are there any objections to taking this Bill back to Second Reading? Representative Walsh, for what purpose do you arise?"

Walsh, W.: "Well, I just wanted to see what the . . . ah . . . could you tell me what the nature of the Amendment is that . . . ah . . . you have? I . . ."

Shea: "I don't have one, Mr. Walsh. Mr. Juckett has one and Mr. Bradley has one; and I seem to have you scared to death with this Bill."

Walsh, W.: "Well, Juckett has been whispering in my ear what his is, it's Mr. Bradley's that worries me."

Bradley: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, . . . ah . . . there's really nothing to worry about. We're simply changing the date from . . . ah . . . September '79 on the life of the Bill, we're shortening it a year to '78, and we're inserting the word, 'evaluation', rather than 'testing'."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Shea."

Shea: "I think that in order to accept Mr. Bradley's . . . ah . . . Amendment # . . . is yours #3? . . . I think what I'm going to have to do is make a motion to reconsider the vote by which Amendment #2 was adopted."



Speaker Blair: "Well, we're not . . . yeah, we haven't gone back to Second yet . . . ah . . . Mr. Walsh, did you . . . ah . . . he did? All right, . . . ah . . . leave to go back to Second? Take it back to Second."

Clerk Selcke: "Ah . . . Amendment #3, Juckett, amends Senate Bill 1621 in the House on page 1, line 19, and so forth."

Speaker Blair: "All right, now, do I understand that we want to move to reconsider the vote by which Amendment #2 was adopted?"

Shea: "Yes, Sir."

Speaker Blair: "All right, . . . ah . . . all those in favor of the Gentleman's motion say 'aye', oppose 'nay'; the 'ayes' have it. Ah . . . Now, is there leave to table? All right, 2 is tabled, and . . . ah . . . we're now considering 3."

Juckett: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. In this Bill in the fifth . . . Fifth Pathway Program, a medical student in a foreign country normally completes all of the requirements, receives his M.D. and in some cases does public service. In this Bill, it will allow them to come back after . . . before receiving the M.D., before doing the public service and they would take one year of what is termed 'remedial work' at a medical school. There is some difficulty as to whether they would still also have to do a year of internship or the first year of residency prior to being licensed as required by . . . for the American students and for Illinois students. My Amendment makes it crystal clear that they would do the one year of remedial service at a medical school, plus an additional one year of post-graduated study which would either be one year of internship or as 80% of the doctors are now doing the first year of their residency program. I would move for the adoption of Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 1621."

Speaker Blair: "All right, is there discussion? Mr. Shea."



Shea: "I think this is a good Amendment and would . . . ah . . . have no objections to having it added to the Bill."

Juckett: "Thank you, Mr. Shea."

Speaker Blair: "All in favor of the adoption of the Amendment say 'aye', the opposed 'no'; the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #4, Bradley . . ."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Bradley."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . amends Senate Bill 1621 and so forth."

Bradley: "Yeah, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, as I already said . . . ah . . . we're simply shortening the life of the legislation from . . . ah . . . September '79 to September '78, and we're . . . ah . . . removing the word, 'testing' and we're inserting the word, 'evaluation', rather than testing in the Bill; and I move for the adoption of Amendment #4."

Speaker Blair: "Ah . . . Mr. Kempiners."

Kempiners: "Ah . . . Would the Gentleman . . . the Sponsor of this Amendment yield to a question?"

Bradley: "Yes."

Speaker Blair: "He says he will."

Kempiners: "Ah . . . Jerry, what's the difference the words, 'testing' and 'evaluation', . . . ah . . . what is the intent on that?"

Bradley: "Well, the deans of the medical schools in Illinois seem to think that 'testing' restricted them in trying to make an evaluation. They'd like to have broader powers than simply maybe examining . . . ah . . . a written examination."

Kempiners: "Okay, evaluation would include a written examination or an in . . . something perhaps one interview or something like that?"

Bradley: "Inter . . . yes."

Kempiners: "Okay, thank you."

Speaker Blair: "Further discussion? The question is on the



adoption of Amendment #4. All those in favor say 'aye', oppose 'no'; the 'ayes' have it, the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments? Ah . . . Third Reading. Mr. Shea. The Bill has been read a third time. Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, 1621 does the following: It provides an alternate method for foreign medical graduates to become licensed in the State of Illinois, licensed as a physician. It puts the State of Illinois in competition with at least seven other states for the doctors or graduates from a foreign, medical school. It provides that medical schools shall devise examinations to de . . . to test the foreign graduates and determine whether he meets the level of competency necessary for qualification in this program called the 'Fifth Pathway'. Even after the student educated in a foreign country has competed his examination successfully and has had one year of supervised clinical training, he must still pass the license examination in this state before he is qualified to practice medicine. I know of no opposition to this legislation, and I would appreciate the support of the House."

Speaker Blair: "Ah . . . Mr. Miller."

Miller: "Will the Sponsor yeild for one question?"

Shea: "Yes, Mr. Miller."

Miller: "Ah . . . Mr. Shea, I think this Bill originally had a provision in it that it was an Illinois resident that went to a former school, is that still in the Bill or has that been amended out?"

Shea: "It requires them to si . . . sign a statement of intent to practice within the state. There is no requirement that they be a resident of the state."

Miller: "Before they go to school?"

Shea: "Yes."

Miller: "All right, thank you."

Speaker Blair: "The question is, shall Senate Bill 1621 pass?"



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
JANUARY 1955

All those in favor will vote 'aye', the opposed 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Campbell 'aye'. The Clerk will take the Record. On this question there are 144 'ayes', no 'nays'; and this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. Mugalian 'aye'. Now, we're going to back up and pick up 16 . . . is Mr. Calvo here? . . . we can pick up 166 if he is. Okay. Now, 1318 and --19, we're . . . we are holding. 1397, 1397. Mr. Gene Hoffman. Gene Hoffman doesn't want that. Did we do 1240? Lauer, Boyle? We did that, huh? Oh, that went out of the Record. Out of the Record, okay. 1559."

Clerk Selcke: "15? Ah . . . Senate Bill . . ."

Speaker Blair: "Wait a minute, no, you don't want that tonight, okay. 1272, whose is that? No, we don't want that. 1467."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . ah . . . 1467 . . ."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Tipsword. Is Mr. Tipsword there? Yeah."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . yeah . . ."

Speaker Blair: "Rolly', do you want this, 1467, Senate Bill 1467? Go ahead, read it."

Clerk Selcke: "Ah . . . Senate Bill 1467, an Act to amend an Act to establish Appellate Courts. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Blair: "Ah . . . The Gentleman from Christian, Mr. Tipsword."

Tipsword: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen, this is a Bill that would provide a salary increase for the Appellate Court Clerks of the State of Illinois for the five Appellate Court Clerks. It provides an increase of \$4,000 per year in the . . . ah . . . in the first Appellate District, and . . . and then from \$23,000 to \$27,000; and in the other Appellate Court Districts also \$4,000, from \$21,000 to \$25,000 per year. I would appreciate your support of this Bill."



Speaker Blair: "Discussion? Mr. Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Representative Tipsword, we mentioned in . . . ah . . . several of us mentioned to Judiciary Committee that we were disturbed that there was a . . . dichotomy on the Appellate Court Clerks between the first district in those downstate and there was some discussion about an Amendment to correct this condition. Now, the Judicial pay raise though which we sent over to the Senate did correct the long, existing, unfair situation for Circuit Judges . . . ah . . . was there ever any explanation given why it ought to be kept . . . that the first District gets more pay than the other districts?"

Tipsword: "The only reason that was ever given to me was that the . . . ah . . . additional volume of activity in the first Appellate District and the higher number of cases in their caseload."

Leinenweber: "Well, of course, the Appellate Court in the first district has a much larger staff too, and it just . . . I'm going to vote for the Bill because I certainly feel that . . . ah . . . our Appellate Court Clerks deserve a raise. I think this is a . . . ah . . . a good Bill, but I really want to make a point that I think this is terribly unfair that just because a clerk happens to be in Chicago he gets less money or more money than someone who clerks downstate. So I will vote 'aye', but . . . ah . . . I'm disturbed that this Bill was not amended."

Tipsword: "I . . . I certainly would've . . . I personally would have had no objection if anyone wished to . . . to make such an Amendment. I . . . I regret it hasn't been too."

Speaker Blair: "All right, any further discussion? The question is, shall Senate Bill 1467 pass? All those in favor will vote 'aye', the opposed 'no'. Have all



voted who wished? The Clerk will take the Record. Grotberg 'aye'. Gibbs 'aye'. On this question there are 123 'ayes', 7 'nays'; and this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. 1276, Mr. D'Arco, does not want his called, right? No, okay. Senate Bills, Second Reading. Start the priority of call again."

Clerk Selcke: "Ah . . . Senate Bill 1262, . . . ah . . ."

Speaker Blair: "You're not ready yet, take that out of the Record."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Senate Bill 1541, . . ."

Speaker Blair: "1541 . . ."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Shea, . . ."

Speaker Blair: ". . . do you want that one? No, take that out of the Record."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Senate Bill . . ."

Speaker Blair: "Do you want . . . ah . . . do you want to read that a second time and leave it on Second, it hasn't even been read yet?"

Clerk Selcke: ". . . that's all we got then, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Blair: "Well, now, wait a minute. 1541. What about 1235."

Clerk Selcke: "Read it. All the rest of them have been read . . ."

Speaker Blair: "Wait a minute, 1235. 1289, well, now, wait a minute, what is that?"

Clerk Selcke: "1289."

Speaker Blair: "Ah . . . 1402, where's that? 1261. How about them? We're going to go to Concurrences next after we get through addressing ourselves to Senate Bills, Second. Now, . . ."

Clerk Selcke: "All of those were read . . ."

Speaker Blair: ". . . well, wait a minute . . . ah . . . you didn't read . . . did you read 1326 and advance it?"

Clerk Selcke: ". . . it was held, it was held."



Speaker Blair: "All right, Mr. . . . do you want to advance it . . . ah . . . what do you want to do now, just leave it there? Do you want to leave 13 . . . ah . . . 26 there? Let's go with 1326."

Clerk Selcke: "Ah . . . 1326, it says it's been read a second time and held on Second. Where are they? Bring 'em up here. Huh? Amendment #1, Deuster, amends Senate Bill 1326 on page 1 and so forth."

Speaker Blair: "Ah . . . Mr. Deuster."

Deuster: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is an R.T.A. Bill, and . . . ah . . . this is a Republican R.T.A. Bill that came over from the Senate, and as this Bill stands before Amendment #1, you'll discover that it composes the R.T.A. Board in a Republican way. It provides for a five-three-five board. That's five from Chicago, three from suburban Cook County and five from the surrounding counties. . . Now, if I was only interested in the surrounding counties and a great Republican victory, I'd leave this Bill alone and let it go sailing through maybe; but, unfortunately, it seems to me that we've had enough narrow partisanship and that we ought to be looking down the road toward a bi-partisan solution that can be acceptable by everyone, and so Amendment #1 gives us an opportunity to express the will of the House on this R.T.A. Board and to provide it with a bi-partisan board, the same way we've got it now, except enlarged so that all the surrounding five counties will have a voice on the board. This Amendment #1 has been endorsed by the Chicago Counsel of Lawyers. Amendment #1 is the same that you find in the Blair Bill, it's the same you find in the Katz Bill, it's a bi-partisan equally balanced board. Now, in order to vote intelligently on Amendment #1, you should know three things. You should know what R.T.A. is now, what it is under the Senate Republican



Bill, and what it will be under Amendment #1. The R.T.A. Board in the original Bill respected one principle, and that was the principle that because the population of Chicago and the suburbs was equal, there ought to be equal balance on the board, equal number of Republicans, equal number of Democrats. That's what you had in the original Bill. However, a second principle was abandoned in that first R.T.A. Bill, and that was we had six counties, but not all of the counties had a voice on the board. Will County right now has no voice. DuPage County has no voice, and so the original Bill is deficient. Now, Amendment . . . the Bill that's come over from the Senate, goes overboard in the other direction. It goes overboard in the other direction because it gives all of the surrounding counties a voice, but it violates the constitutional principle of one person-one vote; and so it's equally bad in the other direction. Amendment #1 will go right down the middle and give you an opportunity to set up a board that complies with two principles, one, the Chicago and the Republican suburbs should be treated equally and it is ten-five-five, and secondly, the principle that if you're going to put six counties together, they all ought to have a voice on the board. So this Amendment maintains the bi-partisanship, but expands the board; and this Amendment #1 is the same that you heard described in the fact sheet a little . . . about last week or so. This is the same size board that Denver's got. I'll be happy to . . . it has been proven by experience. It is not opposed by the Chicago media. It is endorsed by the Chicago Counsel of Lawyers. It's not a partisan Republican Bill, it's bi-partisan, and I'm convinced and personally persuaded that this is the Amendment and this is the Bill that the Governor will sign so we're not spinning our wheels. Secondly, the Senate has an



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

opportunity to vote on a 21-man board and they came up with 29 votes, one short. They had some shenanegins. I'm convinced and persuaded that if you adopt Amendment #1, you're going to have a Bill that'll go through the Senate, be signed by the Governor, and bring this doggone R.T.A. ruckus to a proper end, and an end that be sorted . . . supported by Democrats in Chicago and Republicans in the suburbs; and I certainly urge your support for Amendment #1 to take this Bill that's come over from the Senate Republicans and put it into a Bill that reflects the will of this House. I'll be happy to answer any questions. One last thought, you remember this does provide for an Executive Committee so it answers the problem of being too big or unwieldy because the R.T.A. Board can set up a smaller operating Subcommittee to meet and to operate in between meetings of the larger board. I . . . ah . . . I want to say that last . . . when this brought to . . . before the House for a vote in another Bill, it received 177 votes. There were a few people laying off, a number of them said, 'Now, they'll vote for it', I hope they will. I encourage all of you to do that, and I thank you in advance for your support, and I look forward to responding to any questions any Members may have."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Totten."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. Senate Bill 1326, I am the House Sponsor of, the Bill is re . . . is a 14-member board as it came over from the Senate. I have asked the Sponsor of Amendment #1, seeing he has already had several shots at a 21-member board, not to amend this Bill and to leave it in the form that . . . ah . . . the House and the Senate Sponsor would like it to. He has also got a Bill on Postponed Consideration, which he can mend . . . amend to a 21-member board, and he has not been willing to remove

the Amendment from the Bill; and I would respectfully request that we have allowed him the opportunity on a 21-member board that we leave this Bill . . . ah . . . in the form that the Sponsor had intended to and then on Third Reading, we may take the Bill up or down on the basis of the way it was introduced, and I would urge a 'no' vote on Amendment #1."

Speaker Blair: "Ah . . . Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "Yes, if the Sponsor of the Amendment would yield to a question?"

Speaker Blair: "Yeah, he said he would."

Skinner: "I would like to know where this . . . ah . . . constitutional . . . this so-called constitutional principle of one man-one vote has found . . . ah . . . some firm . . . ah . . . some firm bedrock in a Supreme Court decision applying to a met . . . to a transportation district, a Regional Transportation District, or anything that has an appointed board?"

Deuster: "Ah . . . That's a . . . that's a very good question . . . ah . . . Mr. Skinner. As you know, the distinguished Republican Member, Mr. Mahar, . . . ah . . . offered an Amendment to put that in the original R.T.A. Act. The original R.T.A. Act, Senate Bill 27, right now says that 'with respect to Chicago, suburban Cook County and the surrounding five counties, the principle of one person-one vote should be respected'. There was a debate and division of opinions during the referendum as to whether that applied. Ah . . . A lot of people feel that this R.T.A. can slap on two taxes on the people of McHenry County, that if there's anything that ought to be . . . ah . . . composed on the basis of one person-one vote, it ought to be a second largest unit of government in the State of Illinois that can subject your people and mine to new taxes; and so the Chicago media, most lawyers that I know that feel that



there is a serious . . . ah . . . feeling that one man or a one person-one vote probably does apply. I have discussed this with the legal counsel for the Denver, Colorado Mass Transit System that has a 21-person board, they felt that it probably did, and they composed their board this way to be respectful of that principle. There is no . . . to give you a direct answer to your question . . . there is no . . . ah . . . Supreme Court case on all four . . . on this question; however, we believe that one person-one vote is an elementary aspect of fairness so the people of Chicago . . . people of the suburbs, 3.6 million, are treated equally."

Skinner: "Well, I'm happy to hear, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, that . . . ah . . . there isn't anyone who can find a Supreme Court case that shows that every other metropolitan mass transit district in the county would be held uncon . . . to be held . . . would be held to have an unconstitutional board because there is not one . . . there is not one metropoli . . . one Regional Metropolitan Mass Transit Authority in the entire country that has a board that is based on one man-one vote. Now, while I believe that Representative Totten must have an overriding belief in the tooth fairy to think this Bill is going to get passed in its original form, I certainly am willing to support his efforts to defeat this Amendment because the House certainly has many other vehicles if it wishes a 21-man board."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Deuster to close."

Deuster: "I guess everybody understands that this board will give Chicago, 10; suburban Cook County, 5; the surrounding counties, 5. It's 10 and 10, the same as Denver, Colorado; it's gives a partisan advantage to nobody, it's gives a partisan advantage to nobody. I encourage and solicit your favorable support. I think



this is the kind of Amendment that will improve this Bill, make it passable and so we'll not be fooling around spinning our wheels, but we'll resolve this problem and the chairman of the surrounding counties have all said, 'If we can't get out, there's just one thing we want, one thing, and that is a voting voice on the board'; and this Bill will do it without upsetting the basic bi-partisan balance; and I encourage your favorable support on Amendment #1 so we can work our will as Members of this House and send the Bill back to the Senate, it's proper, and good, and bi-partisan and fair. Thank you."

Speaker Blair: "All those in favor of the Gentleman's Amendment say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. All those in favor of the Gentleman's Amendment vote 'aye' and the opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record. Mr. Deuster."

Deuster: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I . . . ah . . . I re . . . ah . . . request a poll of the absentees on this matter."

Speaker Blair: "Ah . . . Mr. Ryan."

Ryan: "I'd like to change my vote from 'aye' to 'no' please."

Speaker Blair: "All right, let's dump this Roll Call and take a new Roll Call then. All right, the question is, shall Amendment #1 to 1326 be adopted? All those in favor vote 'aye' and the opposed 'no'. Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the Record. Mr. Deuster."

Deuster: "Ah . . . Yes, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I see the result is very clear at this moment. I'm very confident . . . ah . . . that we will have another opportunity to do this right and to do it in a proper, fair, bi-partisan way and, but I don't want to take the House . . . the time of the House at this time, the results are quite clear, and I will . . . I



withdraw the request for a poll of the absentees."

Speaker Blair: "On this question there are 89 'ayes' and 34 'nays'; and Amendment #1 fails. Further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #2, Shea, amends Senate Bill 1326 on page 1 and so forth."

Speaker Blair: "Ah . . . Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #2 . . . ah . . . changes this Bill from a Bill that deals with the board to a Bill that deals with a parking tax, and puts it in exactly the same position that Mr. Totten's 2133 was when it left this House, and I would move for the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "Yes, Mr. . . . this Amendment may have been distributed, but I don't have a copy and I wonder if . . . ah . . . someone could find one for me."

Speaker Blair: "Has that been distributed, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Is that it, Cal'?"

Skinner: "No, that's Amendment 1."

Unknown: "Has it been printed?"

Speaker Blair: "Well, we're checking. Ah . . . Mr. Totten, do you want to be heard while we're checking on the Amendment whether it's been distributed?"

Totten: "Well, if the Amendment hasn't been distributed, in what posture will we be?"

Speaker Blair: "Ah . . . Holding pattern. No . . ."

Totten: "Bob?"

Unknown: "Here they are."

Speaker Blair: "A message from the Senate while we're distributing the Amendment."

Clerk O'Brien: "A message from the Senate by Mr. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred with the House of Representatives in the passage of a Bill titled to wit, House Bill 2362. It passed by the



Senate as amended June 28, 1974. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred with the House of Representatives in the passage of the Bill titled to wit, House Bill 2744. It passed by the Senate as amended June 28, 1974. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House of Representatives in the passage of the Bill titled to wit, House Bill 2588. It passed by the Senate as amended June 28, 1974. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House of Representatives in the passage of the Bill of the following title to wit, House Bill 2056. It passed by the Senate as amended June 28, 1974. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred with the House of Representatives in the passage of the Bill titled to wit, House Bill 2243. It passed by the Senate as amended June 28, 1974. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House of Representatives in the passage of the Bill titled to wit, House Bill 2354; passed by the Senate as amended June 28, 1974. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred with the House of Representatives in the passage of the



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Blair: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Amendments."

Speaker Blair: "Third Reading."

Clerk O'Brien: "1492. 1492."

Speaker Blair: "1492."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1492 was read a second previously.

No Committee Amendments. No Amendments."

Speaker Blair: "Ah . . . Mr. Arnell. Is Mr. Arnell there?"

No? Ah . . . All right, just leave it then on Second and go ahead. Well, now, wait a minute; Mr. Juckett had one, I think it was 1500 . . . ah . . . did you want that Mr. Juckett? How about that? Did you read it a second time?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1500, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Medical Practice Act. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment amends Senate Bill 1500 in the House . . ."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Juckett . . . Mr. Juckett."

Juckett: "Mr. Speaker, we have an Amendment #3, which incorporates everything of Amendment #1, the Committee Amendment, with one exception, and so I'd like at this time table Committee Amendment #1."

Speaker Blair: "Well, the Gentleman . . . ah . . . offers to move the adoption of 1 and then asks leave to table . . . ah . . . leave. It's tabled. Now, #2, where's it?"

Juckett: "I think that's Representative Dyer, and she wanted to table it also. Representative Dyer."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #2, Dyer, amends Senate Bill 1500."

Juckett: ". . . that's #2, that's the one . . ."

Speaker Blair: "Ah . . . Mrs. Dyer."

Dyer: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, I'd like to table Amendment #2."

Speaker Blair: "All right, she offers to move the adoption and then asks leave to table . . . ah . . . no objections,



Bill of the following title to wit, House Bill 2361. It passed the Senate as amended June 28, 1974. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House of Representatives in the passage of the Bill titled to wit, House Bill 2616. It passed by the Senate as amended June 28, 1974. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform . . . form . . . I'm directed . . ."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, . . . ah . . . on House Bill 1326, Amendment#2, I'd move to table the Amendment."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman offers to move to adopt . . . moves to table Amendment #2. Have Leave? Leave. Okay. That's tabled. Any further Amendments? Huh? No? Third Reading."

Clerk O'Brien: "Ah . . . Senate Bill 1326, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Regional Transportation Authority Act . . ."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, . . . Mr. Speaker . . ."

Clerk O'Brien: ". . . Reading of the Bill."

Shea: ". . . that was just read a second time today."

Speaker Blair: "Ah . . . May we check the Record, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "2/28, read a second . . ."

Speaker Blair: "It was . . . it just read a second time today, so we'll have to advance it to third and wait 'til midnight."

Clerk O'Brien: "Third Reading. Third Reading."

Speaker Blair: "Third Reading."

Clerk O'Brien: "And Second Reading, we've got . . . 1452 was held . . . 1452 . . ."

Speaker Blair: "All right, read that again."

Clerk O'Brien: ". . . Senate Bill 1452 was read previously."



table 2. Now,"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #3, Juckett, amends Senate Bill 1500 in the House on page 1 and so forth."

Juckett: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Amendment has been agreed to by the Department . . . ah . . . the Senate Sponsor, and what it . . . what it basically does is . . . ah . . . allow for two more years of the permit position, allows for testing of the physician prior to the renewal of his permit; and I move the adoption of Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 1500."

Speaker Blair: "All right, . . . ah . . . discussion? Ah . . . Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Ah . . . What . . . as I read this, you're . . . you're . . . is this to deal with the foreign doctor practicing in our state institutions?"

Juckett: "That's correct."

Shea: "All right, now, if this . . . as I understand this Amendment is to the Medical Practice Act, that was one of the Acts that Mr. Hirschfeld had a . . . a . . . ah . . . a preemption on."

Juckett: "Preemption, that is correct."

Shea: "All right, now, if we have a municipal hospital that employs . . . there are some municipal hospitals, Juck . . . Mr. Juckett . . ."

Juckett: "I know there are some municipal hospitals, but they would not be able to employ this type of a physician because the state law allows them only to practice in a Department of Mental Health facility, a Department of Public Health Facility or a Children and Family Service Facility."

Shea: ". . . that . . . that's the only place he can practice?"

Juckett: "That is correct."

Speaker Blair: "Ah . . . Mr. Juckett to close. All those in favor of the adoption of the Amendment say 'aye', opposed



'no'; the 'ayes' have it, the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments? Third Reading. All right, as far as we can see everybody that's . . . has a Senate Bill on Second . . . ah . . . has had it read today . . . ah . . . you want advance yours . . . Yeah, do you want me to advance it? Okay, now, that is 1492, Mr. Clerk . . . ah . . . any Amendments on 1492? No?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No floor Amendments."

Speaker Blair: "All right, Third Reading. Now, I'll tell you . . . messages . . ."

Clerk O'Brien: "A message from the Senate by Mr. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House of Representatives in the passage of the Bill of the following title to wit, House Bill 2673. It passed by the Senate as amended June 28, 1974. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House of Representatives in the passage of a Bill of the following title to wit, House Bill 2618. It passed the Senate as amended June 28, 1974. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred with the House of Representatives in the passage of a Bill of the following title to wit, House Bill 2848. It passed by the Senate as amended June 28, 1974. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred with the House of Representatives in the passage of the of the following title to wit, House Bill 2852. It passed the Senate as amended June 28, 1974. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary."



Speaker Blair: "All right, now, House Bills, Second Reading. Ah . . . So the Membership understands . . . ah . . . of course, . . . ah . . . we're looking at a Sunday adjournment and I want everybody that has a House Bill or thinks they have a breathing opportunity to get over to the Senate and get it read three days in a row so that they have that. So let's call House Bills, Second Reading, now, and . . . any of them that haven't been read. Priority of Call and then we'll go to . . . then we'll go to Third, then we're going to go to Postponed."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2687, Telcser . . ."

Speaker Blair: "Out of the Record."

Clerk O'Brien: ". . . okay, House Bill 2882, . . ."

Speaker Blair: "Who's that? Out of the Record."

Clerk O'Brien: ". . . House Bill 2883 . . ."

Speaker Blair: "He wants that out of the Record."

Clerk O'Brien: ". . . 2884 . . ."

Speaker Blair: ". . . out of the Record."

Clerk O'Brien: ". . . 2885 . . ."

Speaker Blair: "Out of the Record."

Clerk O'Brien; ". . . 2547, Rayson, . . ."

Speaker Blair: "Rayson? Out of the Record."

Clerk O'Brien: ". . . 2893, Hill . . ."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Hill? Do you want to read that, Civil Administrative Code? It's on Second Reading. Okay, any Amendments . . ."

Clerk O'Brien: ". . . House Bill 2893, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Civil Administrative Code of Illinois. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Blair: "Any Amendments from the floor? There are."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1, Collins, amend House Bill 2893 on page 1 by deleting lines 18 through 26 and so forth."



Speaker Blair: "This is Mr. Hill's . . . ah . . . House Bill amending the Civil Administrative Code."

Hill: "I'll explain the Amendment for you, Phil."

Collins: "Yes, I beg your pardon . . . ah . . . Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Representative Hill's Bill . . . ah . . . which I think is a good piece of legislation, would bring the purchases of the University of Illinois under the jurisdiction of the Department of General Services. Now, I have no argument with that, but I did have some argument with why the University of Illinois would be singled out by itself and not include all the other universities . . . ah . . . so the Amendment would include all the other university systems, and . . . ah . . . I think that fair is fair and if we're going to bring one under this . . . ah . . . auspices, we should bring them all in, and that's merely what the Amendment does."

Hill: "Mr. Speaker, I'm opposed to the Amendment. I think I have a very good Bill. I think it's pile of . . . more or less a pilot program. We can see how it . . . ah . . . works out. If I'm successful in passing the Bill without this Amendment, and I'd appreciate very much if you'd vote against the Amendment."

Speaker Blair: "Ah . . . Mr. Collins to close."

Collins: "Well, Mr. Speaker, . . . ah . . . and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think this is a good Amendment. It's been my experience in dealing with the universities that if the University of Illinois does it bad, Southern Illinois can do it worse. So if we have a situation in Champaign that needs some . . . ah . . . some clearing up, I think perhaps in the other universities we definitely . . . ah . . . need more . . . ah . . . assistance and more regress, and so I think that this Amendment is mu . . . is much needed. If the Bill is needed, cer . . . certainly the Amendment is



needed, and I would ask . . . I would ask for your favorable consideration."

Speaker Blair: "All right, now . . . now, you're too late, Mr. Shea. All those in favor of the adoption of the Amendment say 'aye', oppose 'no'; the Amendment's adopted. All right, Roll Call. All those in favor of the adoption of the Amendment will say . . . will vote 'aye' and the opposed 'no'. Ah . . . Mr. Shea to explain his position."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, the way I read this Amendment, this would not only include the other state universities, but it would mean all municipalities and all local governments throughout the State of Illinois. I think it's a terrible Amendment."

Speaker Blair: "All right, Mr. Collins."

Collins: "Well, I can only say that I'm delighted of the Assistant Minority Leader has finally adopted the principles of opt out."

Speaker Blair: "All right, have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the Record. On this question there are 87 'nays' and 51 'yeas', and the Gentleman's amendment fails. Are there further Amendments? Third Reading."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2899, Shea, a Bill for an Act to impose limitations on the funds received or expended by or in behalf of candidates for state office. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Blair: "Ah . . . Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Well, Mr. Speaker, was this Bill read a second time before and now in the position to go to passage today?"

Speaker Blair: "No. Third Reading. Mr. Skinner. Are there any Amendments? None from the floor. Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, I am searching for Amendments, which I . . . none of which do I find, but I don't even find the Bill."

Speaker Blair: "Is the . . . Mr. Clerk, do you have a Bill there?"



Clerk O'Brien: "Yeah."

Speaker Blair: "It exists apparently. Ah . . . Third Reading."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, would it be asking too much to have a copy of it?"

Speaker Blair: "Ah . . . Would the Clerk furnish the Gentleman with a copy of the Bill for his Bill Book? Go ahead."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2623, Katz."

Unknown: "2633?"

Speaker Blair: "--23."

Clerk O'Brien: "--23, Katz."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Katz, do you want that? Mr. Katz? All right. Take it out of the Record. Go ahead. All right, now, Mr. Clerk, I want the Record to be clear with respect to these matters. Please indicate that . . . ah . . . that we have as of this time . . . ah . . . given an opportunity to read all House Bills, Second Reading."

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Bills to be called on Priority of Call on House Bills, Second Reading."

Speaker Blair: "All right, House Bills, Third Reading, Priority of Call."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2244, Katz."

Speaker Blair: "Ah . . . Mr. Katz; take it out of the Record."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2522."

Speaker Blair: "2522, Mr. Totten."

Clerk O'Brien: "Totten."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. At this time, I'd like to ask leave to table House Bill 2522."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman have leave to table? Hearing no objections, it's tabled."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2654, Arnell."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Arnell."

Arnell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would ask leave to bring House Bill 2654 back to Second Reading for purposes of an



Amendment."

Speaker Blair: "All right, objections? Second Reading."

Arnell: "I would now like to defer to Representative Day."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Day."

Day: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, would the Clerk read Amendment #1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1, Day, amends House Bill 2654 on page 1, line 1, and so forth."

Day: "Mr. Speaker, I move to adopt and table Amendment #1."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman . . . ah . . . offers to move . . . and offers to move for adoption of Amendment #1 and asks leave to table. Tabled? No objections, tabled. #2."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #2, Day, amends House Bill 2654 on page 1, line 1, and so forth."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Day."

Day: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Representative Arnell has been kind enough to permit me to use this Bill as a vehicle to present to you the no-state pledge Amendment, which has been added to a number of other R.T.A. Bills. Now, as all of you know, I feel very strongly about the pledge of state credit which appears in the original R.T.A. Bill. I have attempted to make my point by amending other R.T.A. Bills as they have come along and I realize that in some respect this has been unfair to those who have other matters concerning the R.T.A. which they desire to present to this body. So here is an opportunity, whereby, we can consider this question of whether or not the state pledge of its credit . . . ah . . . to the R.T.A. bonds . . . ah . . . should be deleted from the present R.T.A. Bill. I am not going to belabor this point. You've heard it argued many times, both in the Spring when the original Bill was before you and during the past several weeks when you have considered Amendments to it.



I would remind you that Section 404E of the original . . . ah . . . R.T.A. Bill . . . ah . . . does contain language which indicate that the state in effect, is pledging its credit to the bonds . . . ah . . . to the extent of \$500,000,000, which could be issued by the nine-men appointed board. That language appears on page 5 of . . . ah . . . Amendment #2. You can read that language for yourself as it is stricken out in the Amendment, and I think you will con . . . agree with me that it clearly . . . it clearly amounts to a pledge of the state's credit for those bonds. I think that this is very poor policy. I think it will seriously . . . very seriously affect the general credit rating of the State of Illinois if this is in there because the state will never know at what time the R.T.A. is going to decide to issue \$500,000,000 worth of bonds with maturities up to 4 . . . ah . . . 40 years and, thus, impair the credit standing of the State of Illinois. I think it's a very, very poor precedent to establish, I think that it is in clear violation of the . . . ah . . . language of the Illinois Constitution referred to in Article 9 of Section 9. So I ask your support, I ask your support in the adoption of this Amendment so that when it comes along on Third Reading, we can present this issue to this Body on all fours and get a definite . . . ah . . . decision on it. So I earnestly solicit your green light on Amendment #2."

Speaker Blair: "All right, . . . ah . . . any discussion?
The ques . . . Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I wonder if the Sponsor of this Amendment would be willing to cooperate in the removal of his Amendment from other Bills if this is adopted . . . if this Amendment is adopted?"

Day: "I would be most happy to do that if the Bill passes."

Skinner: "Thank you."



Speaker Blair: "All right, all those in favor of the adoption of the Amendment say 'aye', opposed 'no'; the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments? Third Reading."

Clerk O'Brien: "No, Amendment 3."

Speaker Blair: "All right, no, #3."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #3, Deuster, amends House Bill 2654 on page 1 by deleting line 1 and so forth."

Speaker Blair: "Ah . . . Mr. Deuster."

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker, I'm happy to move to table Amendment #2 or 3."

Speaker Blair: "All right, the Gentleman . . . ah . . . moves to tab . . . offers . . . ah . . . and moves the adoption of Amendment #3 and then asks leave to table. Objections? No objections? It's tabled. No further Amendments? Third Reading. Mr. Day. Mr. Shea?"

Shea: "Might I ask the Sponsor of the Bill what . . . what shape or where we're in at the present time with this legislation?"

Speaker Blair: "Ah . . . Well, he's going to explain that when we read it a third time here."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2654, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Regional Transportation Authority Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Arnell: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, on House Bill 2654 I would like to defer to Representative Day."

Day: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, in reply to the question of the . . . ah . . . Gentleman from Cook, I would say this to you that Amendment #2 strikes everything after line 8 on page 1 . . . ah . . . which is the entire Bill, and adds the language that appears starting with Section 404 so that this will definitely prevent this issue, and this issue alone, . . . ah . . . by this Bill as it is now amended."

Speaker Blair: "Ah . . . Mr. Shea."



Shea: "Well, Mr. Day, as I understand the purpose of this Bill . . . this is the . . . what has been commonly referred to in the R.T.A. series as the Day Amendment, where you strike the words the . . . in the R.T.A. Act that talk about a pledge by the state with regards to the bonds, is that correct?"

Day: "That is correct."

Shea: "Well, Mr. Day, you and I have argued about this. I think everybody on the floor of the House knows exactly what I feel about it. Now, this is the language in the original Act that the Speaker insisted upon it being in the Act . . . ah . . . and he called it 'boiler plate' and insured me that it would in no way be a pledge of state monies, but was an attempt by the bond houses to give the bonds a better rate, and I'd be opposed for this Bill."

Speaker Blair: "Discussion? Mr. Shea. Mr. Katz."

Katz: "Would the Gentleman yield to a question? Ah . . . Mr. . . . Mr. Day, have you . . . ah . . . made any attempt to ascertain the effect on the bonding power of the R.T.A. that adoption of your Amendment would involve?"

Day: "Well, of course, the Amendment . . . the Amendment would not affect any funds that were raised locally by the R.T.A. It would only affect the 3.30 seconds of the sales tax and the \$14 from each automobile registration from the City of Chicago, totalling some \$96,000,000. That is what the Amendment was . . . pertains to. Now, in addition to that, it's my understanding that the R.T.A. will be able to raise some \$70,000,000 . . . ah . . . through its own local taxing powers so that the R.T.A. will still have that \$70,000,000 . . . ah . . . which seems to me to be adequate security to back up the bonds that it proposes to issue."

Katz: "Well, I'm very interested in your answer, Representative



Day, but it's not the answer to the question that I asked. The question I asked was, have you made any attempt to ascertain the effect on the R.T.A.'s bonding power that the adoption of your Amendment would have? Now, you've gone into other means of financing of the R.T.A., but I'm specifically asking you about the effect on the bonding power. I assume you are aware that the provisions that you seek to eliminate were placed in the R.T.A. Act to improve its bonding power, you are aware of that, are you not?"

Day: "I am sure that that is the reason why Bond Counsel wanted that language in there, obviously."

Katz: "All right, and the next question I asked you was that, what would be the effect of the R.T.A.'s bonding power of eliminating the language that you seek to eliminate?"

Day: "Well, my answer to that, Sir, is that if R.T.A. has the authority to raise \$70,000,000 a year through its own efforts and this would not affect that, it certainly wouldn't have any serious effect on the amortization and . . . ah . . . ultimate retirement of the bonds which it is authorized to issue."

Katz: "Ah . . . Well, I gather you are giving me your own personal point of view. It happens that Representative Dunn and I spent a good deal of time actually talking to underwriters in the bonding business."

Day: "Who gave you their own personal point of view."

Katz: "Yes, and they are the very ones who will have to place the bids to buy the bonds. You either persuade them or you don't sell your bonds, you don't sell bonds out in the streets here in Springfield, you sell them to underwriters, and if the underwriters are not interested in buying your bonds, you can simply kiss off the Bonding Authority, or if you don't completely lose it, you will simply have to pay much higher rates of interest. Now,



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

if the effect of your Amendment would be to increase the rate of interest, then you are aware, are you not, that you would be saddling the R.T.A. with greatly increased expenses that would enrich the people who buy the bonds because they are the ones who would get the higher interest rates, that is, you recognize that, do you not?"

Day: "Well, you are approaching this entire matter from the standpoint of the bondholder. You are approaching it from the standpoint of Bond Counsel, who have a vested interest . . . ah . . . in obtaining as much possible credit as they can and as much security as they can for those bondholders. I am approaching this subject from the standpoint of the people of the State of Illinois who are going to ultimately have to . . . ah . . . use their state taxes to pay off these bonds, and . . . ah . . . I submit to you that our first obligation down here is not to bond counsel and bond holder, are first obligation is to the taxpayers of the people . . . ah . . . of the State of Illinois."

Katz: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, I would like to speak on it if you will get some order, please. Would you . . . ah . . . Mr. Speaker, would you get a little order here and let me speak just a moment? All right, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, contrary to what Mr. Day hopes to accomplish by his Amendment, all that his Amendment is going to do is going to be to enrich the people who buy the bonds because the effect of his Amendment is going to be to reduce the rating on the bonds which is rarrow . . . calculated to be double-A rating down to what will be a B-double-A rating; and all that means is that for the same bonds, you will pay, the State of Illinois will pay, the R.T.A. will pay, the people of this state will pay a far higher interest rate. So all the effect of your Amendment is, how ever you might



dress it up, is that it will cost the R.T.A. 125,000,000 additional dollars for which they will derive no benefits, nor will the people of the State of Illinois derive any benefits. The only benefit that will be derived will be by the people who buy the bonds because they will get much higher interest rates as a result of the lower rating on the bonds that is going to result from the Day Amendment. Accordingly, I would urge the defeat of House Bill 2654, which does, in fact, contrary to what I know to be the intention of the Sponsor result in greatly increased bond costs that the people of Illinois will derive no benefit from. It will complicate the financing of the R.T.A. Here the R.T.A. has only been existence a few months. It has just survived its first court test. Let us give it a chance to function in the way that it was designed by the Speaker of the House, by the distinguished Minority Leader, by the President of the Senate, by the Assistant Minor . . . Minority Leader here in the House, and by the Minority Leader over in the Senate. It is absolutely, I think, unfortunate to tear away at this newly created entity before it has a chance to-function; and House Bill 2654 as amended would be a body blow at the R.T.A., increase their operation, enrich the bondholders and be of no benefit to the people of the State of Illinois."

Speaker Blair: "Ah . . . Mr. Juckett."

Juckett: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. It would appear . . . it would appear that the defender of the poor, the defender of the downtrodden, the defender of all those that need help would prefer to have all of the money raised by the R.T.A. to go to the rich, fat cats of LaSalle Street and Wall Street, rather than to have any of the money, and from his arguments, I don't understand if all of the money is to go for the bonds houses and to protect their investment, where oh where, Gentlemen from



Cook, will any of the money go for transportation? It would appear that he wants all of it to go to the bondholders and none to go to the motormen, none to go to the bus drivers, none to go for transportation; but only to pay for the rich, fat cats. Oh, how you have strayed from the path of righteousness and good works; and I think this Amendment is a very good Amendment and let's help the people who need transportation and vote 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "And now . . . is there further discussion? The Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Day, to close."

Day: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, when this . . . when this Amendment first came along, the objection, to it was that the language that was in the Bill didn't really pledge to state credit. Now, apparently, those who were making that objection had an opportunity to read that language during the last few weeks because I haven't heard that objection any longer. The objection now is . . . the objection now is that because of some telephone conversation which the objectors to this Bill had was bond council somewhere that this is not a good Amendment, this is not a good Bill for the people of the State of Illinois. Now, I ask you . . . I ask you, who are you going to take your advice from, the Bond Council, the investment bankers who make their living by selling bonds, who would like to have, not only the credit of the State of Illinois, but they'd like to have the credit of the United States Government if there was any way to get it. We don't even for our school districts . . . for our school districts in this state as important as they are . . . we don't . . . we don't pledge the state's credit to local school bonds. Why don't we? Because they have reportions of their own through the property tax that they can use as security for those bonds; and if the R.T.A. Bill had



been drawn properly, they would have had local taxes which would have been secure . . . enough security for the . . . given them \$70,000,000 worth a year that they can use for this purpose and any . . . any actuary will tell you that that's adequate security for the bonds that are authorized under this Act. Now, this Bill is a people's Bill, this is a Bill which is going to protect the credit of the State of Illinois and not permit it to be pledged by a nine-man appointed board; and I urge your support, and I think it's your obligation to those whom you represent to support this Bill and I earnestly seek your support and a green light on this Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The question is, shall House Bill 2654 pass? Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Katz."

Katz: "Mr. Speaker, some Legislator named Fat Cat was mentioned in the course of the debate by my good friend from Park Ridge, and I rise in defense of that individual to say that all he is trying to do here is simply to make it easy on the people of the six-county area, including the Gentleman's constituents, to have a good transportation system, and that requires here a 'no' vote."

Speaker Telcser: "Have all voted who wish? Take the Re . . . the Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Day, to explain his vote."

Day: "Just a few words, Mr. Speaker, by way of explanation to those who reside downstate and who I know do not have any great interest in the R.T.A., just a few words from the Constitution of the State of Illinois, Article 9 of Section 9, which says this, 'No state debt shall be incurred except as provided in this Section'. State debt means bonds or other evidence of indebtedness, which



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

are secured by the full state and credit of the state who are required to be repaid directly or indirectly from the revenues and which will be incurred by the state, any department, authority, public corporation or quasi-corporation of the state, any state college or university or any other public agency created by the state; but not . . . but not by units of local government'; and if you look at the R.T.A. Bill, you will find it . . . language in there which specifically states that it is a unit of local government. Now, I submit to you that you are not doing a favor . . . you are not doing a favor to those who want the R.T.A. by allowing this language to remain in there because this language is going to render it unconstitutional."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, now, we're going to take another Roll Call. Let's get on the switches. No more explanation of votes. The question is, shall House Bill 2654 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Epton."

Epton: "Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I . . . just a moment have your attention. I want you to know that in my short six years here, I've never yet heard Bob Day get up to interrupt anyone in their speech. This is . . . certainly not the conscience of the House, and I'm as guilty as anybody else in some of this activity, but it just seems to me that when we have any one of our colleagues get up to speak, we reflect credit upon ourselves that we allow him to finish, just as Bob Day has always allowed us, and . . . ah . . . I hope we can dispense with some of this so we can hurry with our conclusion."

Speaker Telcser: "Have all . . . have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question there are 59 'ayes'."

83 'nays', 3 answering 'present'; this Bill having failed to receive a constitutional majority is, hereby, declared lost. House Bill 2480."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2480, Giorgi, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Unemployment Compensation Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "What Bill? The Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, House Bill 2480 has been up and down in the Calendar in the motion section and been on . . . ah . . . Second Reading for the Amendments by all the . . . by Representative Tuerk. Everyone's familiar with what's in the Unemployment Compensation Bill, everyone's familiar with the fiscal note that was filed, everyone's familiar with the Amendment that were attempted . . . ah . . . that weren't successful, and everyone knows it's about time in the Session we did something for the poor people, the unemployed can't find a job and can't make it on the . . . on the money we give them and unemployment compensation, and I urge your support."

Speaker Telcser: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Tuerk."

Tuerk: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, as Representative Giorgi has indicated, we've been talking about this Bill for the last two weeks. First of all, it was . . . ah . . . resting in the Rules Committee for two months and all of a sudden gets 'sprung', and I use that term because that's exactly what happened, and we might as well all know it. It got sprung from the Rules Committee. Now, what the arrangements were, I'm not sure, but I have my own ideas. Now, Representative Giorgi, the Sponsor of this Bill, labels it as a friend of the people, et cetera, et cetera, but let me tell you three things that are wrong with this Bill. Now, the benefit area of this unemployment comp' benefit increase



is one thing, and if it were by itself, why we may be able to speak to that issue without emotion; but beyond the benefit structure of this Bill, following on the wake of increases that went into effect last November, and I emphasize that, last November, in an increase of 44% since February of 1972, I submit to you that's one reason why you should oppose it. Secondly, Mr. Speaker, may I have a little order, please, the second reason why I think Members of the House should oppose this Bill is the fact that it eliminates the one-week waiting period. Now, as we discussed the other day, this is going to cost somewhere in the area of \$15,000,000; and what it's going to do is eliminate the possibility of those people, who are unfortunately unemployed, an opportunity to go seek employment elsewhere during that first week or the second week because he can sit at home unemployed and draw pretty good benefits and not necessarily look for other work. Now, the third reason I think it's a reason why you should oppose this is the Catania Amendment that was put onto this Bill the other day, and as we all know, the Illinois Unemployment Law provides dependancy allowance if the parent contributes more than half of his support; otherwise, the person gets the regular, single person benefit. The problem always is to fairly distribute the unemployment compensation funds to those who most need them. The proposal that Representative Catania was able to add to this Bill will automatically grant the dependancy allowance even if the unemployed parent had only contributed a partial payment of the support. It would cost much more than the fiscal note that she suggested the other day. As a matter of fact, I don't know anyone who could estimate the millions of dollars that that particular Amendment will cost. The number of families in which both the parents work continues to grow, as we



know, each year; and the Amendment that she offered . . . offers just the oth . . . another way to ciphen off large amounts from the Unemployment Compensation Fund, when the U.C. tax rate on employees is already . . . on employers is already in the second year of a record high level. The anticipated cost for unemployment comp' in 1974 is about \$220,000,000 and with some of the additions to this Bill it will rise somewhere in the vicinity of \$250,000,000. Now, I've cited three good reasons why you should oppose this Bill, and I would ask you to give this a red light."

Speaker Telcser: "Further discussion? If not, Representative Giorgi to close the debate."

Giorgi: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, just briefly, last November we raised the unemployment compensation of a non . . . a hapless worker to \$60. Could you imagine what we were paying that worker that was on unemployment compensation if we raised it to \$60 just last November? Why people on public aid get more than \$60 a week. I urge your support of this Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The question is, shall House Bill 2480 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Huh? What? The Gentleman from Kane, Representative Waddell."

Waddell: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think everybody better take a good look at this because it's the old case of killing the goose that laid the golden egg. Now, you've heard all the sob stories and all the rest of it, but let me tell you this, when you contribute to inflation to this extent, and it is a contribute to inflation, you have here roughly \$249,000,000, and Lord lknows how much with the Catania Amendment; and what you are doing is acting irresponsibly because let me tell you this is going to come home to rest and you can't keep kicking industry in this kind of



**The appearance of the _____ images
following this page is due to**

Poor Quality Original Documents

and not the scanning or filming processes.

**Com Microfilm Company
(217) 525-5860**

one thing, and if it were
to speak to that issue
benefit structure of this
of increases that went
I emphasize that, last
since February of 1972,
reason why you should op
ker, may I have a little
son why I think Members
Bill is the fact that
ing period. Now, as we
is going to cost somewh
what it's going to do is
those people, who are un
ortunity to go seek emplo
et week or the second week
unemployed and draw pre
essarily look for other w
ink it's a reason why you
Catania Amendment that w
or day, and as we all know
provides dependency allo
utes more than half of h
on gets the regular, sing
lem always is to fairly
compensation funds to th
proposal that Representat
to this Bill will automa
wance even if the unempl
d a partial payment of th
more than the fiscal nor
r day. As a matter of fa
could estimate the millio
icular Amendment will cos
hich both the parents wo

lf, ...
emotio
follow
fect
in
to
Sec
plea
house
mates
ed th
the a
ate t
ely un
sewa
se he
d ben
ow,
d opp
onto
illi
if
port;
son be
bute
no mo
atani
y gra
arent
port.
t she
don
doll
the a
atinues

a manner just because there was a salary increase here and then acting irresponsibly to this end. What you're really doing here is telling the industry of the State of Illinois, 'You'd better be able to take it, brother, because we're going to give it to you anyway'; and I urge your 'no' vote."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Tazewell, Representative Kriegsman."

Kriegsman: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, I just want to read a letter that I received today and in short here's what it says, 'It goes without saying that most businesses already feel that the existing benefits are already substantial. It is equally hard to understand such increases in the face of this nation's continuing, inflationary crisis. With most business firms attempting to hold the price and cost in line, it is extremely difficult to understand these two cost increases both in excess of 12%. Last year's unemployment compensation increased benefits amounted to 16%.' I, personally, had one person leave this firm and said it was the best decision he ever made. He forecast his income this year to be \$9,000 from various benefits received from the government agencies, \$9,000 for being unemployed. Surely this destroys any individual incentivensness that has been the basis of developing this nation. I urge your defeat of this Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Have all voted who wish? The Gentleman from Lawrence, Representative Cunningham."

Cunningham: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, it is a sad truth that the General Assembly's public image at this point because of fast acts and omissions has re . . . has reached a new low, and passage of this terribly inflationary Bill will surely be the straw that broke the camel's back. There is no way that we can pass a Bill like this without seriously handicapping

industry in the State of Illinois. It puts a premium on loafing as distinguished from working. You will have the responsibility of going back and explaining this to those who furnish the jobs. I urge you to reconsider; organized labor is leading the . . . the economy of this state down the wrong path when it forces passage of this type of Bill. We should all vote 'no'."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Hudson."

Hudson: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, it seems to me in doing what we're doing now, we are signing a blank check; and I would suggest that in these inflationary times this is the worst possible thing we can do. When I tried to find out the other day it required a fiscal note on the Amendment that Representative Catania put on this Bill, we found . . . what we found really was that it was impossible to calculate the cost on the proposal that was added to the Bill, absolutely impossible. So we're not dealing even with this proposal as it was originally presented, we're dealing with something we know not whereof; and this is going to . . . this is going to add to the inflationary spiral and this is the enemy, this is the enemy of the working man. If there is anything that's hurting him in destroying his financial position, it is inflation; and it is acts of this kind which drive this inflation to higher . . . in higher levels, and it would seem to me that we must exer . . . exercise some fiscal restraints somewhere along the line, and this may well be the time. It should be the time, and I would urge more red lights on the board."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Kane, Representative Grotberg."

Grotberg: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, in explaining my 'no' vote, I realize this



thing is flying out of here, but one of the things I personally resent is the hopping fly from the other side of the aisle who has driven cost of . . . doing business up so high that he's now landing all over the switches on our side of the aisle; and I resent that. I don't know how long it's been since he's had to meet a payroll, or how long it's been since he's had to keep people on the job for that week or two to keep them . . . the good faith of having a job, but I do resent one of the labor leaders of this state jumping around here throwing switches on this side of the aisle all green for such a cause."

Speaker Telcser: "Have all voted who wish? Walsh . . . Take the Record. On this question there are 106 'ayes', 53 'nays', 5 answering 'present' . . . Representative Tuerk, for what purpose do you arise? . . ."

Tuerk: "Well, I . . . I know this has 106 votes on the board, but . . . ah . . . I dare say there's a lot of people not . . . that are voting green on this that aren't here. I would . . . ah . . . request a verification . . . aw . . . yeah, I'm going to ask for a verification of this vote because those who want to vote on this issue, fine; but those who have voted without authority and without being in there seats, I think we should have a . . . ah . . . verification for that purpose."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, the Gentleman has asked for a verification of the affirmative Roll Call . . . ah . . . do you want to read the absentees first, I'm sure you'll get that request anyway."

Clerk O'Brien: "Alsup. Carter. Dee. R. L. Dunne. Harpstrite. Hirschfeld. Hyde. Klosak. Randolph. Soderstrom. Springer; and Telcser."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Tuerk, for what purpose do you arise? Turn on Tuerk."

Tuerk: "Mr. Speaker, I would suggest in order to expedite this



if you could suggest to the Members to be in their seats, we can move this orderly and save a lot of time."

Speaker Telcser: "Your point is well taken, Sir. Will the Members please be in their seats, keep the aisles cleared while the Clerk reads the affirmative Roll Call. Representative Tuerk, for what purpose do you arise?"

Tuerk: "I even have one further suggestion, if I might? Perhaps we could take another Roll Call and that way make sure that all those that are 'present' are voting and those that aren't 'present' are not voting; and maybe that will expedite matters."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, you've got some objections to that, Fred. I don't want to get into motions and parliamentary maneuvering. Read the affirmative Roll Call, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Barnes. Barry. Beatty. Beaupre. Berman. Boyle. Bradley. Brandt. Brinkmeier. Brummet. Caldwell. Calvo. Capparelli. Capuzi. Catania. Chapman. Choate. Collins. Craig. D'Arco. Davis. DiPrima. Douglas. Epton. Ewell. Farley. Fary. Fennessey. Fleck. Flinn. Garmisa. Geo-Karis. Getty. Gibbs. Giorgi. Greiman. Hanahan. Hart. Hill. Jim Holloway. Robert Holloway. Dan Houlihan. Jim Houlihan. Jacobs. Jaffe. Emil Jones. J. D. Jones. Katz. Keller. Kelly. Kennedy. Kosinski. Kozubowski. Krause. Kucharski. LaFleur. Laurino. Lechowicz. Lenke. Leon. Londrigan. Lundy. Madigan. Mann. Maragos. Martin. Matijevich. McAuliffe. McAvoy. McClain. McCormick. McGah. McGrew. McLendon. McPartlin. Merlo. Molloy. Mugalian. Murphy. Nardulli. Patrick. Peters. Pierce. Polk. Rayson. Redmond. Sangmeister. Schisler. Schneider. Schraeder. Sevcik. Sharp. Shea. Ike. Sims. Stedelin. Stiehl. Stone. Taylor. Terzich. Thompson. Tipword. VonBoeckman. Wall. Washburn. Washington. Williams. Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "Questions of the affirmative Roll Call? Representative Tuerk. One minute, Representative Randolph,



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Randolph: "How am I recorded, . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "How is Representative Randolph recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is shown as being absent."

Randolph: ". . . 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Record Representative Randolph as voting 'aye'. All right, there are currently . . . Mr. Tuerk, so you know you're at 108 'ayes', including Representative Randolph. Representative Springer, for what purpose do you arise?"

Springer: "Vote me 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Record Representative Springer as voting 'aye'. 109. Representative Tuerk."

Tuerk: "Hanahan?"

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Hanahan is in the aisle."

Tuerk: "Berman?"

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Berman is in his seat."

Tuerk: "Boyle?"

Speaker Telcser: "Boyle, K. Boyle? Representative Boyle on the floor? How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Tuerk: "Brinkmeier?"

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Brinkmeier is in his seat."

Tuerk: "Capparelli?"

Speaker Telcser: "Capparelli is in his seat."

Tuerk: "Chapman?"

Speaker Telcser: "Chapman? She's by her seat."

Tuerk: "Choate?"

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Choate is here in the back hallway, do you want me to get him for you?"

Tuerk: "Davis?"

Speaker Telcser: "Davis? Representative Davis on the floor? Representative Davis? How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."



Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Tuerk: "Douglas?"

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Douglas is standing in the rear of the Chamber."

Tuerk: "Farley?"

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Farley is by his seat."

Tuerk: "Flinn?"

Speaker Telcser: "Who?"

Tuerk: "Flinn?"

Speaker Telcser: "Flinn? Yeah, Fred, Representative Choate is here and so is Shea if you want to add . . . Representative Flinn on the floor? Flinn? How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Tuerk: "Garmisa?"

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Garmisa on the floor? Garmisa? Oh, there he is, I didn't see him, I couldn't see him. Al was standing in front of him. Geo-Karis is in her seat. Getty? Representative Getty is right here, just came in the side door."

Tuerk: "Hart?"

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Hart? Representative Hart is in the back of the Chamber."

Tuerk: "Hill?"

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Hill? Representative . . . what . . ."

Tuerk: "I see him."

Speaker Telcser: ". . . oh, there he is."

Tuerk: "Jim Holloway?"

Speaker Telcser: "By his seat."

Tuerk: "Emil Jones?"

Speaker Telcser: "Emil Jones? Standing by his seat."

Tuerk: "Lechowicz?"

Speaker Telcser: "Lechowicz, Representative Lechowicz? How



is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Tuerk: "Keller?"

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Keller on the floor? Keller?
How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Tuerk: "Kelly?"

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Kelly is up in the side
aisle."

Tuerk: "Krause?"

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Krause is in his seat."

Tuerk: "LaFleur?"

Speaker Telcser: "Representative LaFleur on the floor?
LaFleur? How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Tuerk: "Laurino?"

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Laurino is in his seat."

Tuerk: "Leon?"

Speaker Telcser: "Leon is standing right here by his seat."

Tuerk: "Matijevich?"

Speaker Telcser: "Matijevich? Representative Matijevich?
He's standing right here by Krause."

Tuerk: "McAuliffe?"

Speaker Telcser: "Is Representative McAuliffe on the floor?
McAuliffe? How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Tuerk: "McAvoy?"

Speaker Telcser: "McAvoy? Right there by the side door."

Tuerk: "McGah?"

Speaker Telcser: "Representative McGah on the floor? McGah?
How is he recorded?"



Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Tuerk: "McGrew?"

Speaker Telcser: "Representative McGrew on the floor? Representative McGrew? How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Tuerk: "McPartlin?"

Speaker Telcser: "McPartlin? He's standing by Shea's . . ."

Tuerk: "Molloy?"

Speaker Telcser: "He's standing here by Romie Palmer."

Tuerk: "Patrick?"

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Patrick is in his seat."

Tuerk: "Polk?"

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Polk is in his seat."

Tuerk: "Rayson?"

Speaker Telcser: "Rayson? Representative Rayson? How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Tuerk: "Sevcik?"

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Sevcik? He was here . . . there he is in the back by his seat."

Tuerk: "Stedelin?"

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Stedelin on the floor? Stedelin? How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Tuerk: "Wall?"

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Wall is standing in the back and LaFleur has returned? Did you say La . . . there he is. LaFleur has returned."

Tuerk: "Williams?"

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Williams on the floor? Williams? How is he recorded?"



Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Tuerk: "Schneider?"

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Schneider? Oh, next to
D. M. Pierce."

Tuerk: "DiPrima?"

Speaker Telcser: "Representative DiPrima on the floor?
DiPrima? There he is."

Tuerk: "Catania?"

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Catania on the floor?
There she is up in the Press Box."

Tuerk: "Schraeder?"

Speaker Telcser: "Who?"

Tuerk: "Schraeder?"

Speaker Telcser: "Schraeder? Representative Schraeder on
the floor? How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Tuerk: "Bradley?"

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Bradley is standing in the
back of the Chamber. Any further questions?"

Tuerk: "Barry?"

Speaker Telcser: "Barry? He's standing in the back. Repre-
sentative Kelly is . . ."

Tuerk: "McCormick?"

Speaker Telcser: "Representative McCormick on the floor?
Representative McCormick? Right over here. Representa-
tive Giorgi, for what purpose do you arise?"

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, there . . . ah . . . there . . . ah . . .
verification process, they've been through the alphabet
two or three times now, will you . . . we're up on that
board by alphabet and they're verifying people that have
been verified once, and if you looked at that set of
vultures over, you'd know why social security and medicare
had trouble this . . ."



Tuerk: "Are you . . . I'm . . . I'm glad to know you know the alphabet, Zeke."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, don't be so testy, Zeke. Any further questions?"

Tuerk: "Sangmeister?"

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Sangmeister is in his seat."

Tuerk: "Schisler?"

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Schisler is in his seat. That's Gale back there, isn't it, yeah. Any further questions, Gentlemen?"

Tuerk: "Thompson?"

Speaker Telcser: "He's in his seat."

Tuerk: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House."

Speaker Telcser: "What's the count, Mr. Clerk? Representative Robert Dunne, for what purpose do you arise?"

Dunne: "Record me 'no'."

Speaker Telcser: "Record Robert Dunne as voting 'no'. What is the count, Mr. Clerk? Representative Hanahan, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Hanahan: "Well, Mr. Speaker, . . . ah . . . somebody accused me of doing something earlier or alleged that I was pressing somebody's button earlier tonight, and I think that's an infraction of the rules, and if the Gentleman is sincere in his rhetoric before the House, I'd like to know what button I pressed that he was upset with, and I'd like to also remind the Gentleman that I was elected to this General Assembly, not selected, and I represent people, all people, whether in unions or out of unions, and when you talk about unemployment compensation, we're talking about people whether they're in or out of unions receiving benefits; and I resent the fact that that Gentleman in the loosest usage of the word would accuse me of doing something in an infraction of the rule on his side of the aisle."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Yourell, for what purpose



do you arise?"

Yourell: "Ah . . . Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I would like to continue on the same vein and the Gentleman that pushed my switch green when I was standing right back of him reaching for a green light and he knows who it is and he's always lectured me on that he has one vote and he was elected from his district to cast that vote in the most intelligent manner to represent those people in that district; and I would remind the Gentleman that I, too, am elected from a district and would cast that vote to the best of my knowledge and to the best intelligence that I have for the people in the 8th District. The Gentleman I'm talking about is not Representative Hanahan, but another Gentleman and he knows full well who he is. Now, I voted for labor ever since I've been in this House, but this is a protest vote of mine against the Gentleman that thinks he's from the 8th District and from another district is entitled to two votes in this House."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Grotberg, for what purpose do you arise?"

Grotberg: "Mr. Speaker, . . . ah . . . I rise because I was being questioned again . . . ah . . . of my remarks. If my remarks were overenthusiastic, I'm sure the Representative from McHenry understands the heat of the battle, and I would apologize if I've in any sense consorted that the Gentleman is not elected or selected by the people of his district as I am of mine; but I am just as enthusiastic, Mr. Representative, . . . ah . . . over those people who care about meeting a payroll every week and trying to keep labor employed, rather than unemployed, and I would hope that you . . . my remarks would be taken in well consideration."

Speaker Telcser: "On this question there are 97 'ayes', 54 'nays', 5 answering 'present'; this Bill having received



the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. House Bill 2487. Representative Duff, for what purpose do you arise?"

Duff: "Mr. Speaker, a parliamentary inquiry."

Speaker Telcser: "State your point, Sir."

Duff: "For . . . ah . . . all of this evening so far you were holding . . . following as you have for the last several weeks the priority of call, and . . . ah . . . just for that last Bill you jumped down the Calendar quite a long ways and you're no longer following the priority of call, is there a reason for that?"

Speaker Telcser: "Ah . . . When I took the podium from the Speaker, Representative Duff, he said that I should follow House Bills, Third Reading, . . . that are listed in the column, that's the only reason I know of."

Duff: "The question I'm asking, Mr. Speaker, is that for the several . . . last several weeks now, we've been using the method of following the priority of call, and . . . ah . . . I happen to notice it because there's a Bill that I'm very interested in that was going to be either the first or second after that, and now it's going to be last. Are we . . . are we no longer going to follow the priority of call, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Telcser: "Well, if the House wants to give me leave, I'll be glad to call your Bill. I don't . . . I don't . . ."

Duff: "No, that's all right, I just wanted to know."

Speaker Telcser: "Are there objections? There are objections, okay, House Bill 2487."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2487, a Bill for an Act to amend the Workman's Compensation Act and Workman's Occupational Disease Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lemke."

Lemke: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this is to raise



the Workman's Compensation benefit 23.8%. This is . . . we're not talking about people that are unemployed or people that are laying down. These are people that work day in and day out and because of a bit of unfortunate luck are hurt on the job, and they are unable to meet the high cost of living. We ask an 'aye' vote on this measure."

Speaker Telcser: "Any discussion? The Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Tuerk."

Tuerk: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I, too, am sympathetic when a person is hurt on the job; and I think during the course of my activities here in the six years I've been here, I think that the people on the other side of the aisle will admit the fact that I have been fair in these issues to the extent of working out some compromises on benefits . . . ah . . . for the unemployed as well as the workmen who are hurt on the job; and I don't mind a battle on the floor, and I think it's constructive, and I think we should keep it on a high plane, and I would hope that this argument would stay in a high plane and stick to the facts; and I think the basic fact on this particular issue is the fact that last year we increased the benefits in Workman's Comp', and this is a one-way street, unfortunately, to where the benefits go up, but there are never any administrative improvements made, and since June of 1972, Ladies and Gentlemen of this House, the benefits for Workman's Comp' has been increased by 60%, I said 60% since June of 1972, I said 60%; and I think that's about the . . . ah . . . most feeling an argument I can give on this particular issue. You've heard the arguments before. We've been talking about this for two weeks on the floor of the House, and I think I'll rest my case there, emphasizing once again that the benefits have increased 60%."



Unknown: "60%, that's unbelievable!"

Speaker Telcser: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Hanahan."

Hanahan: "Mr. Speaker, maybe the Gentleman would explain it a little better if he said it was 60% of \$60 and that . . . that sounds a little better in the arithmetic I learned in school and it's a very poor increase, a very meager increase in a person who is injured through no fault of his own in employment."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Lenke to close."

Lenke: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "One minute, I'm sorry, Representative Waddell, I didn't see you. The Gentleman from Kane, Representative Waddell."

Waddell: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, again trying to come up with just facts and not fiction and to follow terrible timing, I say to you that today you have a Bill of \$260,000,000+ that has to be paid, plus those that are self-insured, and you're now talking about corporations the size of Caterpillar, Tractor and some of the others. You have a fund established of \$40,000,000 and the minute that that fund is depleted, then the cost of the premiums automatically are going to go up and take the difference up; and I say to you that again this business of taking a look at the cost of living, all you have to do is take a look and see what we did here, because that is what contributes to inflation; and if you think that you're worth more money just because you voted yourself a raise, you then in order to compensate ought to do a better job, put in more time and really come up with a buck, because after all inflation is that difference in the dollar that is earned and that that isn't; and I say to you another argument, don't kill the goose that laid the golden egg."



Speaker Telcser: "Representative Lemke to close the debate."

Lemke: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, we heard many arguments about the employer, but many employers know that the reduced . . . the reduce of their costs are to proper safety programs offered to the employees, and if they follow the 'Osha Program' and implement it in their plants, you will find out that they can lower their accident rate by having stable employment in preventing accidents, and let's not put the onus on labor or the man that's hurt at work or the man that's low pay. Let's put the onus where it belongs, and we . . . these are people that are on fixed incomes and the only way they can meet the rising costs of . . . of living is to have us vote them an increase. A cost of increase in cost of living in one year went up 10.7%, and when we talk about an increase, at one time the rates were \$56. Let's see anybody in this House support somebody on \$56 a week. I ask them. They can't make their mortgage payment under the existing rate, and they wouldn't make it under the new . . . new high rate that we just passed in this House. I ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Telcser: "The question is, shall House Bill 2487 pass? Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Now, have all voted who wish? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative J. J. Wolf."

Wolf: "Mr. Speaker, I'm going to vote 'present' on this and just for the brief explanation, if I vote for it, I am in . . . enriching myself. I write Workman's Comp' insurance and if the rates go up, my commissions go up, and I'm voting 'present'."

Speaker Telcser: "Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question there are 115 'ayes', 36 'nays', 2 answering 'present'; this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. House Bill 2665."



Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2665 . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Out of the Record. The Gentleman wants it out of the Record. Dan Houlihan, do you want your Bill called? Dan Houlihan on the floor? Out of the Record. House Bill 2894."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2894, Douglas, a Bill for an Act to amend Section of an Act to regulate the practice of dental surgery and dentistry in the State of Illinois. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Duff."

Duff: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House . . . Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the Clerk read this Bill . . . ah . . . under Representative Douglas, which is the way it's written in the Calendar by motion of Representative Douglas in his request in your . . . in your book, and you'll see that the Sponsorship is Duff, Walsh and Choate. There is . . . this is a very simple Bill. Some years ago, the Illinois Legislature made a mistake by amending an Act of 1933 instead of an Act of subsequent years when it should have related to Professional Corporation's Act, and by doing so, inadvertently, . . . ah . . . potentially made void all of the dental corporations in Illinois. This is simply a Bill to correct that error and it is no more or no less than that. I would ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Telcser: "Any discussion? The question is, shall House Bill 2894 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question there are 1 . . . are you taking the Record? . . . take the Record. On this question there are 144 'ayes', 1 'nay', 1 answering 'present'; this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. Somebody call me? Representative Hill, for what purpose



do you arise, Sir?"

Hill: "I think if I'm not mistaken this is the Bill that allows dentists to incorporate and con. . . isn't it? . . . okay, I'd like to be recorded as 'no'."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, on the order of Consideration Postponed appears . . . ah . . . House Bill 2343. Representative Davis, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Davis: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I had to perform a ministerial duty at my church and . . . ah . . . I was away when 2480 was up. I understand there was a verified Roll Call, and if it doesn't change the results, I'd like to have permission of the House to be added to the Roll Call, if that doesn't change the results."

Speaker Telcser: "Yeah. Are there any objections? Representative Gibbs, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Gibbs: "Leave."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Walsh, for what purpose do you arise?"

Walsh: "Just simply to record an objection and not ask for the Roll Call on it, but to suggest that this procedure is wrong. We have the rule that a verified Roll Call shall not be changed. The rule should be abided by. It is a good rule. If this procedure were to continue to the ultimate extent, then no one need come down here. All they have to do is fill in what the labor unions and the newspapers and other pressure groups alike, and that would be their record and they'd be ideal. I suggest this is very wrong, but . . . ah . . . I don't want to have a Roll Call because I know what the outcome would be."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, the Gentleman's . . . ah . . . the Gentleman is put on the Roll Call . . . on the order of Consideration Postponed, House Bill 2343, for which purpose the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Ron



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Hoffman, is recognized."

Hoffman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 2343 amends the Revenue Act of 1939. It provides for reduced assessment of unimproved real estate set aside for parks or recreational purposes for the use of the general public. This was much debated, and I think as we pursued some of the other Bills, we found that there is a continually growing need to provide in the State of Illinois open land or improved land for recreational purposes. This concept is no different than when a . . . ah . . . a public body creates a public easement by creating a road across property, thereby, reducing the assessed value of that land. Well, we're attempting to do here by contractual basis with the owner of that land is reduce the assessment so that the owner may have the benefit of a reduced . . . reduced assessment on his land, and, thereby, give the park district additional area to provide recreational facilities; and I would solicit an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Telcser: "Any discussion? The Gentleman from . . . ah . . . McHenry, Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, it really doesn't matter to me whether this Bill passes or not; but I think that the Record should show that just because a recreational easement is granted does not mean that the assessed valuation will necessarily decrease. The assessed valuation may, in fact, continue to increase if the person giving the land . . . giving the land use to the park district as a developer who has a planned program and the land that he is giving the use of to the park district is planned for use five years or some amount certainly . . . ah . . . slightly more than that . . . ah . . . from . . . from the time that . . . ah . . . the easement is granted."

Speaker Telcser: "Further discussion? The question is, shall House Bill 2343 pass? All those in favor signify voting



'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Ron Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and . . . in explaining my vote. As all of here consider the Bill, each one is important to the Sponsor and, of course, vastly so. I rise very seldom on this House floor, and I think as most of the Members know I always rise in behalf of the young people and the park districts in the State of Illinois. I realize at this . . . at this late date this House Bill certainly is not going to go too far, knowing what the Senate intends to do with all House Bills that are arriving over there; but I think I would like to see a sufficient 'aye' votes on this to indicate to the Senate and to the park districts throughout the State of Illinois that the Illinois General Assembly is concerned with the use and with the Park Association of the State of Illinois; and I would solicit, even though I realize it is late, I would solicit enough votes to indicate at least passage on this proposition."

Speaker Telcser: "Anyone else wish to explain their vote?"
Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question there are 91 'ayes', 16 'nays', 14 answering 'present'; and this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. On the order of Consider . . . Representative Gibbs, for what purpose do you arise?"

Gibbs: "Well, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd like to just take a minute off here to introduce the daughter of my colleague, and friend and running-mate, J. David Jones, he has in the west balcony, his daughter, Gayle Fichtner, and her husband, Jack Fichtner; and Gayle has just been elected to the School District up in Chicago. They are represented by Adeline Geo-Karis, Senator Griesheimer, and John Matijevich. Liberty Bell, excuse me. Chicago."

Speaker Telcser: "On the order of Consideration Postponed



appears House Bill 2425, for which purpose the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Duff, is recognized."

Duff: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is a very, very important subject to this date, and since there is no time to get this subject through the Senate and because any adequate debate on it would take at least an hour of this House, at this time I move to table."

Speaker Telcser: "You're at your height of popularity, Representative Duff. The Gentleman has moved to table House Bill 2640 . . . hearing no objections? This Bill will be tabled. Three cheers for Duff. Oh, I'm sorry, 2425. 2425 is tabled. Oh, I'm sorry . . . I corrected that. On the order of Consideration Postponed appears House Bi . . . Representative Duff, for what purpose do you arise?"

Duff: "I want to table that other number, we probably all wouldn't mind."

Speaker Telcser: "I tell you I might really want to myself, On the order of Consideration Postponed appears House Bill 2675 for which purpose the Gentleman from Kane, Representative Grotberg, is recognized."

Grotberg: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd be delighted to take a Roll Call vote on the \$300,000 appropriation to recount the R.T.A. ballots and frame it and have it in my study for the rest of my life. It is still one of the more progressive R.T.A. Bills in circulation in the General Assembly; and of those of you who are awake . . . ah . . . and are manning your switches, man the switches, and I'd be pleased to take a Roll Call. It's been debated highly and intensely for a number of months, and . . . ah . . . I will not take up the time of the House to pursue it to its . . . ah . . . complete conclusion, except that those of us who would like fair play, and if



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

1955

this county area would like to have a safety valve left . . . ah . . . in case the courts continue to rule in our favor, and I would ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The question is, shall House Bill 2675 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. The Gentleman from Kane, Repre . . . Representative Grotberg."

Grotberg: "Yes, I'll yield to Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, and to the opponents of R.T.A. out there in radio- and T.V.-land and newspaper-land, I think that the magnitude of this Bill, \$300,000 appropriation to the State Board of Election, should give those opponents to R.T.A. who are willing to give up just because the Illinois Supreme Court seems to have bad judgment in deciding the course that the case that they handed down a decision on today . . . they're going to have to know that if they want to continue this fight and the General Assembly does not decide to pass this Bill, the amount of money we're going to have to raise is monumental and those people who have been lying back in the weeds; hoping that the State's Attorney of Lake County or some other constitutional court . . . ah . . . challenge would be their saviour are going to have to realize that they . . . it's going to be a self-help program from start to finish and they are going to have drop their hands into their pockets and make contributions to know R.T.A., small 'k', large 'N', large 'O', small 'w', in case you didn't get it, Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question there are 56 'ayes', 75 'nays', 4 answering 'present'; this Bill having failed to receive the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared lost. Is Representative Neff on the floor? Neff? He's gone. Paul? Senate Bill . . . do you want to Postponed Consid-



eration appears Senate Bill 408 for which purpose the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Randolph, is recognized."

Randolph: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to have leave of the House to return Senate Bill 408 to the order of Second . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Ah . . . Are there any objections? Hearing none, return Senate Bill 408 to the order of Second . . ."

Randolph: ". . . for purpose of an Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: ". . . Second Reading. Will the Clerk please read the Amendment?"

Clerk Selcke: "Ah . . . Amendment #1, Randolph . . . er . . . Deavers, amends Senate Bill 408 on page 1, line 12, and so forth."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from McLean, Representative Deavers."

Deavers: "Amendment #1 to 408 returns the Consumer Finance Act the first Section of the Usury back to what it is currently, and I move for the adoption of Amendment #1."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from . . . ah . . . Madison, Representative Kennedy."

Kennedy: "Ah . . . Representative Deavers, I didn't hear your explanation of the Amendment."

Deavers: "Well, the Amendment puts the Act back currently what it is right now, that Amendment, on the \$800 and down loan."

Kennedy: "What do you need the Bill for then?"

Deavers: "Well, the rest of 408 doesn't change it, and 408, what we're trying to do is extend the maximum loan from \$5,000 to \$10,000 and from five years to 120 months . . ."

Kennedy: "This Amendment in no . . . this Amendment in no way increases any interest whatsoever?"

Deavers: "None whatsoever."

Kennedy: "I believe you."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Harold Washington."



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Washington: "I'm sorry, but I . . . I didn't catch the answer that the Sponsor gave to Representative Kennedy's question. Would you repeat it, there was some noise back here?"

Deavers: "Harold, it does not change . . . it does not change the interest in any way, shape, nor form."

Washington: "Well, what specifically does the Amendment do?"

Deavers: "The Amendment returns the \$800 and down loans back . . . into the same form that the statutes is now. Our Bill originally changed the 0-\$800 loan Section, but the Director of Financial Institutions wasn't too impressed with that try."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, on . . . the Gentleman offers to move the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 408. All in favor of the adoption signify by saying 'aye', oppose 'no'; 'no'? . . . the Gentleman offers to move the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 408. All in favor of the adoption signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Representative Brinkmeier, are you seeking recognition, Sir? The Gentleman from Ogle, Re . . . no? . . . have all voted who wish? Take the Record. Representative Jim Houlihan, for what purpose do you arise? How is Representative . . . you're recorded as not voting, Jim."

Houlihan: "Well, vote me 'present'."

Speaker Telcser: "Record Representative Jim Houlihan as voting 'aye', 'aye'. Dyer 'aye'. On this question there are 112 'ayes', 4 'nays', 7 answering 'present'; Amendment #1 to Senate Bill . . . Murphy 'aye' . . . and Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 408 is adopted. Are there further Amendments? Third Reading. The Bill has been read a third time now. Amendment #1 has been adopted. Ah . . . Who's going to handle it now? The Gentleman from McLean, Representative Deavers with Senate Bill 408 as amended on Third Reading, Postponed Consideration."



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Deavers: "Af . . . After the Amendment, the only thing left in the Bill is the fact that we're taking the loans from \$5,000 to a \$10,000 maximum, and we're increasing from 60 months to 120 months. There is no change in the interest rate whatsoever; and I move for the passage."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, I wonder if I could ask the Sponsor a question?"

Speaker Telcser: "He indicates he'll yield."

Giorgi: "He mentioned there is no interest increase, and I'm wondering what is the maximum intry . . . interest on this type loan?"

Deavers: "7% add on."

Giorgi: "How much?"

Deavers: "7% add on."

Giorgi: "Ah . . . Is that . . . how does that total 18% at the end of the year?"

Deavers: "That does not total 18% at the end of the year."

Giorgi: "It's not a flat 7% per annum, is it?"

Deavers: "No, it's 7% add on . . ."

Giorgi: "Explain that to me, would you, Mr. Deavers?"

Deavers: "What period would you like to talk about?"

Giorgi: "The small loans."

Deavers: "On the 0-\$800, it does not change whatsoever this Bill, so it does not affect that portion of it. We're only talking on Bill . . . loans above \$800 and a 7% add on, and let's take 60 months that you're talking about an annual interest rate of 12.5."

Giorgi: "In other words, we're talking about a 12.5 . . . ah . . ."

Deavers: "That's what it is currently."

Giorgi: ". . . okay . . ."

Deavers: "We're not affecting that whatsoever, Giorgi."

Giorgi: ". . . all right."



Speaker Telcser: "The question is, shall Senate Bill 408 . . . now, wait a second now, the Gentleman from Lake, Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Ah . . . Representative Deavers, how does the Bill now . . . what shape is it in . . . is it in now as compared to when it was . . . sailed here in the House last year?"

Deavers: "We . . . we took out the \$800 and under loans, that's the only difference."

Matijevich: "All right."

Speaker Telcser: "The question is, shall Senate Bill . . . 408 pass? Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question there are 111 'ayes', 10 'nays', 11 answering 'present'; and this Bill having received the constitutional majority . . . Merel Anderson 'no' . . . this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. How do you want it, Ralph? Capparelli 'aye'. On the order of Consideration Postponed appears House Bill 2304 for which purpose the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea, is recognized."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I wondering if I might have leave of the House to hear House Bill 2280 with House Bill 2304?"

Speaker Telcser: "Are there any objections? Amends the Civil Administrative Code. Hold it . . . the question . . . a question has been raised by Representative J. Houlihan, D13."

Houlihan: "Jerry, could you explain what 2280 is?"

Shea: "House Bill 2280 is the . . . a Bill . . . no, I asked for leave to hear House Bill 2280 with House Bill 2304. 2304 is an appropriation that was reduced from \$200,000 to \$100,000 for start of . . . of the implementation . . . implementation of House Bill 2280, which would provide



that when a local unit of government has a tax that's compatible with the state tax that the state will collect upon the request of the unit of local government and the state will reim . . . or the unit of government will reimburse the state the cost of collection."

Houlihan: "So then, Jerry, this is really just the appropriation to do that administrative change?"

Shea: "That's correct. Do you still object?"

Houlihan: "Ah . . . Mr. Shea, I never objected. I only was clearing for some information."

Shea: "Okay."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, Representative Shea, . . . ah . . . with respect to both Bills."

Shea: "Is House Bill 2280 on Third, Mr. Speaker? Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 2280 is a Bill that provides that when a unit of local government or a . . . or a home rule unit passes a tax or an ordinance that is compatible with the state tax that it may request the Department of Revenue to collect that tax and the state will collect the tax and charge the unit or home rule unit the . . . the amount of money that the . . . the cost is to collect that tax. Ah . . . I think this is . . . was thoroughly debated . . . ah . . . the other day when it came up . . . ah . . . I would appreciate the support of the House in it; and I think Mr. Day from your side of the aisle . . . ah . . . explained it as well as anybody could the other day."

Speaker Telcser: "Any discussion? The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Pierce."

Pierce: "Will the Gentleman yield to a question?"

Shea: "Yes."

Pierce: "Ah . . . Mr. Shea, would this apply if the City of Chicago should levy a city income tax, then would the State Department of Revenue collect the city income tax, as well as the state income tax?"



Shea: "The only way any home rule unit could levy a income tax or a tax on income would be if this General Assembly authorized the . . . the imposition of that tax."

Pierce: "And that's not the companion Bill here?"

Shea: "No, unless you or Representative Houlihan have it here someplace."

Pierce: "Ah . . . But it would have . . . this would apply then to . . . ah . . . would this apply say to the . . . ah . . . employee tax that Chicago has now on all the suburban employees of the . . . of the businesses in the city, a head tax, would that be covered by this Bill?"

Shea: "No, because there is no compatible state tax."

Pierce: "I agree with you there; and so the head tax wouldn't be covered by this. It would seem to me that this is a . . . this is a good Bill. It would allow the state to do the collection work for the City of Chicago like they do already on the municipal retailer's occupation tax, and on the motor fuel tax and on the state income tax that's reimbursed to the city. So I think the Department of Revenue is doing such a good job collecting money for the home rule units now, we ought to extend it; and I will support this legislation."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Shea, . . . ah . . . I understand how the . . . ah . . . sales tax works, where the state collects it and returns . . . a cent like the City of Rockford. Give me an . . . could you give me an example as to how . . . the type of tax you have in mind here or does the preemption Bill preclude this today . . . ah . . . because of the preemption Bill?"

Shea: "Zeke, you voted for a lot of preemption Bills, so you know more about those, I guess, than most of us."

Giorgi: "Right, that's right, so I'd like . . . give me a

dramatic example I can take home as to how the Department of Revenue will get paid for collecting taxes in the City of Rockford for a home rule unit."

Shea: "Well, if your city council deci . . . decided in order to raise revenue to impose upon the sale of cigarettes a tax of two mils or three mils a pack in . . . and made it compatible with the state tax, instead of setting up your own Department of Revenue and selling . . . and selling the stamps that are affixed to the cigarettes, you would pass . . . the ordinance would be passed in such a way that it would be compatible with the state tax. You would then request the state, using their collection machinery, to collect a like tax at the state level to collect that tax and reimburse them for the cost of collection. It would save your municipality a substantial amount of money."

Giorgi: "Now, why don't . . . ah . . . why don't we use an example we learned from the sales tax and have the tax itself be the expense . . ."

Shea: "The . . . and Mr. Skinner is the expert in this field . . . presently we pay to the state or . . ."

Giorgi: ". . . he might be your expert, but not mine."

Shea: ". . . the municipality pays to the state 4% of the gross collections for the cost of sales tax or for tax collection. This is about three or four times what the cost of collection is. The reason I put in the cost is so that the municipality would actually pay only the out of pocket or actual cost incurred by the state."

Giorgi: "Jerry, you mentioned cigarettes. Isn't the . . . Chicago already levying an extra tax on cigarettes now? . . ."

Shea: "Yes, there is . . ."

Giorgi: ". . . and how is it being collected?"

Shea: ". . . it . . . they have their own Department of Revenue set up to collect this, and at the time they impose the additional tax on cigarettes, the . . . the



Corporation Council discussed with the Department of Revenue under then Director Mann about the possibility of them collecting the tax. Mr. Mann thought that it would be a good idea. He said he was in favor of it, but without legislation authorizing it, he said that he didn't think that it would be possible. That is what . . . this action is what . . . is what has prompted this legislation."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? The Gentleman from . . . ah . . . Cook, Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Will the Sponsor yield for a couple of questions?"

Speaker Telcser: "Indicates that he will."

Schlickman: "Representative, this Bill was up previously and is now at the order of Consideration Postponed, and at the time it was brought up previously . . . ah . . . you described this as permissive legislation."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, Mr. Speaker, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Shea, for what purpose . . ."

Shea: "Yeah, could you get some quiet and get whoever modulating these hikes . . . to get them modulated right. I can barely hear the man, I think these are extremely important Bills because they can save the municipalities in this state a tremendous amount of money."

Schlickman: ". . . previously to describe this as permissive legislation. However, at the time, I pointed out to you that there is nothing permissive about it. That . . . that the Department of Revenue is mandated to administer and enforce local government ordinances, isn't that not correct?"

Shea: "Mr. Schlickman, would you repeat the question or the statement?"

Schlickman: "Well, I'm simply . . ."

Shea: ". . . the legislation . . . the legislation, and I



thought I made it extremely clear on my explanation, is if the local unit of government . . . if the home rule municipality passes a compatible ordinance for the imposition of a tax at the local level that the same type of tax that the state has and it asks the State Department of Revenue to collect that tax, the State Department determines that it's compatible, there is no option on the part of the state; it's mandatory for the state to make the collection."

Schlickman: "All right, there is no option on the part of the state?"

Shea: "Absolutely not."

Schlickman: "All right, it's mandatory. Number 2, is it not true that House Bill 2280 discriminates . . . discriminates against units . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Pardon me, Representative Beaupre, for what purpose do you arise?"

Beaupre: "Mr. Speaker, I think several times to today we've discussed on this floor the rhetorical questions which are in the way of argument. This Bill has been discussed thoroughly on Third Reading once before and . . . ah . . . it seems to me that we're out of order by continually arguing, using this questioning technique. If the Gentleman wants to address himself to the issue at hand, let him . . . ah . . . make a speech on it."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I would call to the attention of the Gentleman who just spoke that we have been exposed for about 10 minutes to leading questions to the Sponsor of this Bill; and all I'm attempting to do is to refresh the recollection of the Membership with regards to the answers that the Sponsor gave to me the last time this matter came up. Now, isn't it true, Mr. Sponsor, that this Bill discriminates between home rule units and non-home rule units, favoring home rule units versus non-home rule units."



Shea: "I don't think that's an accurate statement, Mr. Schlickman. I know of no non-home rule units that has the right to impose a tax that's compatible with the state tax; and, therefore, could ask the Department of Revenue to collect it. I will go one step further . . ."

Schlickman: "Have you ever heard of the utility tax?"

Shea: ". . . ah . . . it's . . . I don't think they can impose a compatible tax. Now . . ."

Schlickman: "A non-home rule unit can enact a utility tax."

Shea: ". . . all right, I'll tell you, Mr. Schlickman, I don't think they can en . . . enact a compatible tax, but if it would help insure your vote and your support for this measure, I'll take it out of the Record, you help me draft an Amendment. I'll bring it back to Second Reading. We'll put on the Amendment, and you help me pass the Bill."

Schlickman: "Tomorrow?"

Shea: "No, right tonight."

Schlickman: "What do you mean by compatible, it's not the sign."

Shea: "'Compatible' is the word I think that is a word of art and it means alike or similar and when I discussed this with Mr. Ice from the Department of Revenue, he said in his opinion the tax would have to be one that was 'almost identical'."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, it seems to me that there are three basic deficiencies that have been pointed out by the answer of the Sponsor of this Bill. Number 1, we have mandated upon the Department of Revenue a function . . . a tax-collecting function. Number 2, we have discrimination between home rule units and non-home rule units; and number 3, we have the word 'compatible' that isn't defined; but going a bit further, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, the basic



taxing philosophy is that the unit of government which enacts the tax should have the responsibility of justifying that tax to the taxpayers required to pay it. By the piggy-back approach that's contained in House Bill 2280, this responsibility is eliminated, and the average citizen will assume that there is an increase in the state tax, rather than a new tax imposed locally; and I'm rather taken aback by the Sponsor of this Bill, a man who's very much concerned about local government. Passage of this Bill would greatly increase the centralization of governmental functions, rather than the trend that he would desire. Local governmental units would become even more dependent upon state government with an eventual decrease in local control over local budget matters. I, therefore, respectfully suggest and request that this Bill be defeated, that you vote 'no'."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Hudson."

Hudson: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this seems to me to be an 'Alice in Wonderland' proposal if there ever was one. It would seem to me to be the gateway to the higher cost of taxes. I cannot imagine when we move the cost of collecting up the line to the state level how we have any restraints at all on the cost of collecting taxes; and I will suggest that those costs will exscalate. There are no restraints, no fiscal responsibility that I can see involved that certainly do exist at the local level. I know in my own county when we raise taxes, we raise them in the most economical and expeditious way we can because those costs have to come back to the people. What has been said that this would save money for the municipalities, this is subject to question; but if it's true, it certainly will save no money to the state-wide taxpayers because they will have this additional cost saddled on them. So anybody



that thinks we're doing anything for the poor roden . . . overburdened taxpayers is making a big mistake it seems to me. I would consider this another means of redistribution of the wealth. In other words, takes the responsibility away from the local people and split the cost state-wide to the supposed advantage of a given municipality, the City of Chicago perhaps, but it's a bad concept. It is truly an 'Alice in Wonderland' Bill. It's a delusion and that one that we can ill-afford; and I would urge a red light on this preposterous, ridiculous, illusionary and delusionary proposal."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh. Bill, did you want to speak to this question? Representative Walsh."

Unknown: "Well, Representative Houlihan wanted to talk."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Jim Houlihan."

Hudson: ". . . tape repeats itself . . . in other words, takes the responsibility away from the local people people and splits the cost state-wide to the supposed advantage of a given muni . . . tape repeats itself again . . . in other words takes the responsibility away from the local people and splits the cost state-wide to the supposed advantage of a given municipality, the City of Chicago perhaps, but it's a bad concept. It is truly an 'Alice in Wonderland' Bill. It's a delusion and that one that we can ill-afford; and I would urge a red light on this preposterous, ridiculous, illusionary and delusionary proposal."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh. Bill, did you want to speak to this question? Representative Walsh."

Unknown: "Well, Representative Houlihan wanted to talk."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Jim Houlihan."



Houlihan, J.: "Ah . . . Will the Gentleman yield for a question?"

Speaker Telcser: "Indicates that he will."

Houlihan, J.: "Jerry, you talked with the Director of the Department . . . ah . . . is this on? . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "He says you're on, Jerry . . ."

Houlihan, J.: ". . . Jerry, I'm on now . . . Jerry, you talked with the Director of the Department of Revenue and ascertained whether he would be able with . . . even with your . . . ah . . . appropriation to be able to carry out that function with the drastic cuts that were, as I understand it, inflicted by the Senate in the Department of Revenue's appropriation Bill."

Shea: "Well, Mr. Houlihan, I'm not cognizant of what reductions were voted on in this House or the House across the rotunda in the Department's appropriation, but I think the \$100,000 that we are appropriating to the Department will cover the initial start-up costs in the first . . . er . . . the first . . . part of the operation. This will not go into effect until the last six months of the next fiscal year and as the collections are made, I am sure that the . . . ah . . . Department will deduct from those collections the cost of collection; and, therefore, be generating revenue for the state or generating the income that will go to the state."

Houlihan, J.: "Well, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think until the Director is able to reevaluate whether this tag-on would be sufficient to cover his costs, and whether in light of the budget reductions that were inflicted in the Senate, he would be able to operate, I understand this is a tag-on, operate efficiently, I think that the Members of this House ought to vote 'present'."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Laurino."



Laurino: "Mr. Speaker, to expedite the motions on the House floor, I move the previous question."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman moves the previous question. All in favor 'aye', opposed 'no'; Representative Shea to close."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think that every Member on this . . . the floor of this House understands this legislation. Tonight when I handled some Bill for township government, I saw my colleagues across the aisle get concerned and wonder, and I think that again this is a Bill that will help those units of local government; and I'd like to just answer very briefly the statements of Representative Schlickman. I was confronted in Revenue Committee by the statement of the discrimination against non-home rule units and we could find no tax that was compatible and there . . . I talked to some Members with regards to the utility tax, and they told me they were not compatible in the way that the taxing structure is set up. The idea that the imposition of a tax and then the collection by the state, I asked the Gentleman only to look at the motor fuel tax and the municipal sales tax. I think everybody thoroughly understands this . . . ah . . . it could end up saving local governments a substantial amount of money; and I would appreciate the support of the House."

Speaker Telcser: "The question is, shall these three Bills pass? Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Penny, do you want to vote me 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question there are 58 'ayes', 58 'nays', 8 answering 'present' . . . Representative Shea, for what purpose do you arise? . . ."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, I know you're in a rush, but you're closing down the machine awful fast. Now, I intend to



let the Bill go down, but I think for some other Members perhaps you sometimes close the machine quick on some people and leave it open on other people, and I wish when you're up there as the Speaker of all the House, that you treat all Members equally."

Speaker Telcser: "Take a new Roll Call. The question . . . the question is, shall these two Bills pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Shea, is that okay?"

Shea: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "I always want to accommodate you, Sir.

Take the Record, Mr. Clerk. On these two questions there are 74 'ayes', 61 'nays', 12 answering 'present'; these two Bills having failed to receive the constitutional majority are, hereby, declared lost."



341.
Arthur Telcser: "On the order of consideration postponed, appears House Bill 2640, for which purpose the gentleman from Will, Speaker Blair is recognized."

Speaker Blair: "Well, Mr. Speaker, ah... I would ask for leave to have House Bill 2640 ah.. brought back to the order of Second Reading, for purposes of amendment."

Arthur Telcser: "Ok, the gentleman has received leave. Return House Bill 2640 to the order of Second Reading. Will the Clerk please read the amendment?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "Amendment #31, Blair. Amend House Bill 2640.... and so forth."

Arthur Telcser: "Gentleman from Will, Speaker Blair."

Speaker Blair: "Well, Mr. Speaker, ah... in the earlier going ah... when amendment #4, which was offered by Mr. Katz was adopted, ah... he did not take out ah.. all of the language that should have been taken out ah... with that amendment. And this is purely and simply a clean-up amendment to ah... to take that language out. I know of no objection to this and it should be adopted."

Arthur Telcser: "Any discussion? Gentleman's offer to move the adoption of amendment #31, of House Bill 2640. All in favor of the adoption, 'aye', opposed, 'no'. Amendment is adopted. Further amendments?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "Amendment #32, Blair. Amend House Bill 2640... as....."

Arthur Telcser: "Gentleman from Will, Speaker Blair."

Speaker Blair: "In the Bill ah... as it was originally submitted, ah.. I had reduced the compensation of the Board



Members ah.. to ah.. \$150.00 a day, not to exceed \$20,000 a year. Now the point has been brought home that with the advent of the finding that the R.T.A. ah.. is a valid legal entity by the Illinois Supreme Court today. It seems that it would not be proper, in as much as there have been eight appointed Members at the ah.. present \$200.000 a day and \$25,000 a year, ah... to reduce their compensation. So what this amendment does is to provide for the retention of the current compensation for R.T.A. Board Members, at the \$200.00 a day, not to exceed \$25,000 a year. I would move it's adoption."

Arthur Telcser: "Any discussion? Gentleman from..... gentleman offers to move the adoption of Amendment #32 to House Bill 2640. All those in favor 'aye', the opposed 'no', the amendment is adopted. Further amendments?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "Amendment #33, Blair....."

Arthur Telcser: "Oh... I'm sorry, Representative Barry, for what purpose do you rise?"

Barry: "On the last amendment, I wondered if it removed the day amendment. Part of the clean-up."

Speaker Blair: "No.... no."

Barry: "It did not, ok."

Fredric B. Selcke: "Amendment #33, Blair. Amend House Bill 2640....."

Arthur Telcser: "Gentleman from Will, Speaker Blair."

Speaker Blair: "Ah... #33 ah.. in the Bill as it was originally introduced; ah.. we had taken out the parking tax completely."



As part of discussions that have evolved ah... during the last few weeks, and in attempt to pass something of substance out of this House, ah... this amendment would leave the parking tax in. Ah... but limit it so as to prohibit it's imposition on shopping centers, church parking lots, streets and so forth. We have passed ah.. similar provisions in both the House Bill and the Senate Bill, so I would feel that this would be acceptable to the Membership and would urge the adoption of this amendment."

Arthur Telcser: "Gentleman from Lake, Representative Pierce."

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker and Mr. Blair, ah... this sounds like a good amendment, I just want to check on one thing. In one of my many debates with the gentleman from Lake, Representative Deuster, he said the original R.T.A. Bill that you sponsored, and I voted for, last year, would have allowed my driveway to be taxed when I parked there. Would your amendment clear up that problem that Representative Deuster had about my driveway being taxed?"

Speaker Blair: "Well, I don't think that Bill, as originally drafted, provided for the taxation of automobiles in driveways; but this language makes it crystal clear ah... that that is the case that the....."

Pierce: "Even Mr. Deuster would realize that our driveway and church parking lot could not be taxed?"

Speaker Blair: "Yes, Sir."

Pierce: "Sounds like a good amendment."

Arthur Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Jim Houlihan."



Houlihan: "I would just like to rise on a point of personal privilege ah... to point out that Speaker Blair and some others pointed out that trying to remove and pass out tax relief, some two or three days ago, might be fruitless because the Senate would not consider this. I would just rise and ask the Speaker if this was proper to try and move a House Bill and 11:15, on a Friday evening....."

Arthur Telcser: "Representative Collins, for what purpose do you rise?"

Collins: "Mr. Speaker, I rise on a point of order. That's hardly a point of personal privilege. It may be a campaign speech but nothing else."

Arthur Telcser: "Do you have a point of personal privilege, Representative Houlihan, would you state it, please?"

Houlihan: "I think I took enough abuse to get one comment in, Phil. And I think Mr. Speaker, that rising now, at 11:15 to move your Bill over to the Senate, is probably one of the craftiest political moves. And I think the Press ought to see that."

Arthur Telcser: "Representative Houlihan..... objection... gentleman offered to move the adoption of Amendment #33, to House Bill 2640. All those in favor 'aye', the opposed, 'no', the amendment adopted. Further amendments?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "Amendment #34, Blair. Amend House Bill 2640....."

Arthur Telcser: "Gentleman from Will, Speaker Blair."

Speaker Blair: "Ah... what amendment #34 does is to provide that the 100% of the R.T.A. tax collection would go to the seven



transportation areas, from where the money was raised, to be used within and to. The language in the Bill, as I introduced it, was exclusively within. The Bill originally, the law today, is to. There was a great deal of concern raised about the.... how the money would be spent and ah..whether or not the word 'to' was sufficient to handle it. And ah... in negotiations over the past few weeks why.... we have come down to inserting the words 'within' and 'to'. And so I would urge the adoption of that language."

Arthur Telcser: "Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Skinner "

Skinner: "Do you think that your amendment of the two words, 'within', 'and', makes the guarantee any stronger than it is without those two words? And if so, why?"

Speaker Blair: "Well, I think that the increasing from 3/4 to 100 is a substantial ah... move. And ah... I think that the words, 'within', 'and', ah... show that we really ah... want to have as much of this money as we possibly can, to be used ah... within ah.... the areas from where it is raised. The questions were raised to me that the language of 'exclusively within' ah.... makes this an absolutely rigid provision; allowing no flexibility for movement from one county to another county of a bus line, this sort of thing."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, if I may address the amendment?"

Arthur Telcser: "Proceed."

Skinner: "There were two major changes that the R.T.A. opponents would like, besides being allowed to ah... to opt out. The



first was a 21 man board, and it appears that this Bill will meet that objection. The second is that at least the money that we are going to pay in local R.T.A. gas taxes and parking taxes, be used for services within our county. Now my opinon, this amendment is a very large step backward and will not, and will not, meet the second objection that we have, which is being able to spend our money in our area for services for us."

Arthur Telcser: "Ok, Represen.... Represen.... ah...Speaker Blair, for what purpose do you rise?"

Speaker Blair: "Well, it was certainly not the intention on the part of this gentleman, with the activities that he's engaged in since the passage of the referendum, to take any steps backward. And for that reason, ah..I would ask leave considering the statement of the last gentleman, to table House Bill 2640."

Arthur Telcser: "Ok, the gentleman has asked leave to table House Bill 2640. Are there any objections? Hearing none, House Bill 2640 is tabled."



Speaker Telcser: "On the order of Consideration Postponed appears Senate Bill 678 for which purpose the Gentleman from Kane, Representative Friedland, is recognized."

Friedland: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I request . . . ah . . . leave to hear at the same time Senate Bill 1149. It is a companion measure."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any objection? Hearing none, the . . . we will hear Senate Bill 678 and Senate Bill 1149 as they are companion Bills. They've both been read a third time. The Gentleman from Kane, Representative Friedland."

Friedland: "Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, . . . ah . . . Senate Bill 678 is a measure . . . ah . . . supported by the Illinois Home Builder's Association; and this concept is also supported by the Illinois Municipal League Urban County's Council. It seeks to establish a Commission to probe the problems of cash growing, mushrooming areas, and it's companion measure appropriates \$20,000 . . . ah . . . to meet this . . . ah . . . commission; and I would . . . would make one remark that many of you have talked to me and suggested that if I could get Senator 'Claghorne' or Senator 'Foghorne' or . . . ah . . . certain Senators in the Senate could . . . ah . . . do certain things on certain Bills . . . ah . . . you could perhaps see your way clear to support this measure. If half of you will come through, I think we'll have enough votes; and I'd urge for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, will my good friend respond to some questions?"

Speaker Telcser: "Indicates he'll yield."

Schlickman: "Representative, in Section 1 of this Bill there



is a provision that the Commission shall consist of nine Members from the Senate and nine Members from the House, and that not more than five Members from either House shall be from the Majority Party. I find it interesting that the Minority Members will be selected by the Speaker of the House and the President of the Senate; and I ask, why do we have this divergence from customary practice with the Minority Members would be selected by the Minority Leader?"

Friedland: "Representative Schlickman, I'm not absolutely sure why, but I can assure you I was going to recommend that the Speaker appoint you to this Commission."

Schlickman: "As the part of the Majority or the Minority?"

Friedland: "Ha, you know, either one."

Schlickman: 'Ah' . . . Representative, in Section 2, there are two interesting sentences, one reads as follows, 'The Commission shall make a study of population development patterns within the State of Illinois', and then there's a third sentence that says, 'The Commission shall consider devices'. Would these devices include birth control devices?"

Friedland: "Negative."

Schlickman: "How do you know?"

Friedland: "I looked at my watch."

Schlickman: "Looking at the second sentence of Section 2, it says, 'The Commission shall evaluate the present method of public financing of schools'. Ah . . . Representative, we do have a School Problems' Commission, and last year, the School Problems' Commission recommended a new formula for state aid to public schools, known as the Resource Equalizer. Now, why are you suggesting that there be a duplication of efforts; and are you reflecting adversely upon the School Problems' Commission and its recommendations of last year?"

Friedland: "Representative Schlickman, that's very parochial



of you to ask that. I'm not . . . ah . . . I'm really not sure. I'm sure that the School Problems' Commission has many things to do."

Schlickman: "In that same sentence there's a provision that the Commission evaluate sanitary facilities and other kinds of municipal facilities. Now, we also have a municipal . . . Municipal Problems' Commission. Why are you suggesting that there be a duplication of efforts with a Municipal Problems' Commission, or are you suggesting that the Municipal Problems' Commission hasn't done the job that you think should be done?"

Friedland: "No, as a former Member of the Municipal Problems' Commission . . . ah . . . I think there is room for both of these Commissions."

Schlickman: "All right, now, directing your attention to page 2, lines 1 and 2, it says that 'This Commission shall also consider methods of protecting the interests of owners of residential real estate'. Now, specifically, what do you have in mind there?"

Friedland: "Well, that would be persons such as you and I, Repre . . . Representative Schlickman, owners of real estate, homeowners, in mushrooming areas."

Schlickman: "Now, on the third line on page 2, you refer to 'implementing new land use planning concepts'. What do you mean by new land use planning concepts?"

Friedland: "I didn't write this Bill, Representative Schlickman, I'm not sure what those . . . that phrase might mean. Ah . . . It's . . . I would suggest that if we had each Member of the House read the measure, each one would perhaps come up with a different idea."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, if I may? This is a Bill that twice previously was defeated by this House. It was defeated almost a year ago, and by a parliamentary maneuver was rescued from death; and more recently this year it was defeated at Third Reading



and then placed on Consideration Postponed. Frankly, in the ten years that I've been in Springfield, I have never seen such a conglomerate of subjects contained in one Commission Bill. I honestly believe that this is mischievous. It will lead to no good and suggest that once and for all we defeat, we kill, we bury this bad piece of legislation."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, the Gentleman from DuPage, Representative LaFleur."

LaFleur: "Mr. Speaker, is it proper at this time to move the previous question?"

Speaker Telcser: "Well, there's a lot of Members who want to talk on this. Third time what? Do you want to persist in your motion, Representative LaFleur? A Roll . . . a Roll Call has been requested with respect to your motion."

LaFleur: "I can't hear you."

Speaker Telcser: "A Roll Call has been requested with respect to your motion. Do you want to persist in it, Sir?"

LaFleur: "Yes."

Speaker Telcser: "No? The Gentleman has withdrawn his motion. Who wants to speak? One Democrat, then we'll get to the motion. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Ewell, who will act as the spokesman on this issue."

Ewell: "I wonder if the Sponsor would yield to a question or two? The . . . ah . . . first question being could he mind telling me the emergency nature of this Bill that we should consider with our rare time, or is this an appropriation or revenue measure?"

Friedland: "Well, the . . . Representative Ewell, the Rules Committee thought of . . . ah . . . in such import that it reported it out and . . . ah . . . I would report to you that this was taken from the Spring Calendar last year and . . . ah . . . there . . . there's an appropriation attached to it. So it is in . . . it comes

timely."

Ewell: "Well, let me just toss in a couple of comments. I have to say sincerely, and I don't want to re-echo the words of Representative Schlickman, but we have here a Bill that was introduced last year, not this year, but last year; and here we are diligently considering Senate legislation which was introduced over one year ago when we're running out of time with less than . . . well, with less than . . . ah . . . two days left to work to do all the work that this House has to do, I don't think it appropriate that this House spend its time in idle consideration of Senate Commissions or other bodies. I think if any . . . if a Commission is necessary, we can take it up in January and move from there. I would suggest that all the Members in this body just vote 'no' on this very bad piece of legislation and put it to rest. Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, do you wish to close the debate, Representative Friedland? The Gentleman from Kane, Representative Friedland, to close."

Friedland: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, we've had a lot of fun with this measure. Seriously, if you do live in a rapid growth population area, there are numerous problems which . . . ah . . . I feel need to be scrutinized and that's the reason that I would ask for your support of this measure."

Speaker Telcser: "The question is, shall these two Bills pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Okay, now, have all voted who wished? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Ron Hoffman, to explain his vote."

Hoffman, R.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, there is a great significance to this . . . ah . . . particular . . . ah . . . proposal in the last couple of Sessions of the General Assembly. Many



propositions were entered in reference to land dedication and involve the builders of the State of Illinois. They're vastly concerned with the areas that are rapidly developing, and they are very concerned with the various proposals that were brought . . . brought forth. I had one of these proposals and I tabled it intentionally based upon a compromise that we would go into a Commission to study this. We have one municipality that is presently involved in litigation, and there is a constitutional question as to their requiring land dedication in their fast-growing municipality. I think it does require our consideration at this time for those areas that we are familiar with that are growing rather rapidly. I think it's something that we're going to have to take a look at rather quickly because it involves not only the school district but the park district and any other facilities within this area. So I would solicit an 'aye' vote and let's get this moving."

Speaker Telcser: "Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On these two questions there are 75 'ayes', 47 'nays', 9 answering 'present'; these two Bills having failed to receive the constitutional majority are, hereby, declared lost. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, I move that the House do now stand adjourned until the hour of 11 a.m. tomorrow."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman moves the House stand adjourned until 11 a.m. tomorrow morning. All those in favor 'aye', the opposed 'no' . . . the House stands adjourned. First Special Session come to order and the Members please be in their seats. We'll get the announcements now in the Special Session. Ah . . . The Gentleman asks leave to use the attendance Roll Call of the regular Session the attendance Roll Call for the First Session. Hearing no objections, we'll use the attendance Roll Call."



Announcements. The Gentleman from Grundy, Representative Washburn."

Washburn: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the Appropriation's Committee will meet tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock in Room 400 to hear Senate Bills 1345, --59, --60 and --67, 9 o'clock in Room 400, Appropriation's."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Collins."

Collins: "Ah . . . Yes, Mr. Speaker, I . . . I just want to push the meeting of the Executive Committee back one half hour, we'll make it 9:30 instead of 9."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Rayson."

Rayson: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to have leave to be recorded 'aye' on House Bill 2840. I was up from my seat when they verified the Roll Call. 2880."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, Representative Rayson . . . ah . . . that was a verified Roll Call in the regular Session; now we're in the Special Session."

Rayson: "I know, I tried to get your attention, Mr. Speaker, I . . . journalize it."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, . . . we'll do it tomorrow morning, Lee. Huh? Tomorrow morning, Lee, all right? First thing. Are there any other announcements. Okay, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh, does now move the First Special Session do stand adjourned until the adjournment of the regular Session tomorrow. All in favor 'aye', the opposed 'no', the First Special stands adjourned. 11 o'clock tomorrow morning, regular Session."



<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
		Doorkeeper	All persons not entitled to Floor
		Blair	House will come to Order
		Dr. Johnson	Prayer
		Blair	Roll Call for attendance
		McCormick	Discharge Committee for House Bill 1475
		Blair	Motion failed, Messages from Sen
		Selcke	Reads messages from the Senate
		Blair	Senate Bills, second reading
		Selcke	Senate Bill 1262, second reading no committee amendments
		Blair	Any Floor Amendments
		Selcke	
		Blair	
		Shea	Read Bill second time and hold on second reading
		Blair	
		Shea	
		Blair	
		Selcke	Do we take it out of the Record
		Blair	Take it out of the Record
		Selcke	Senate Bill 1541
		Blair	Take it out of the Record
		Selcke	Senate Bill 1235, second reading, One Committee Amendment
		Schoeberlein	Explains amendment
		Blair	Amendment adopted, Third Reading
		Selcke	Senate Bill 1289, No Committee Amendments
		Blair	
		Tipsword	Explains Floor Amendment
		Miller	Any discussion

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
		Miller	He indicate he will
		Hirschfeld	Question
		Tipsword	Answer
		Hirschfeld)	
)	
		Tipsword)	
)	
		Hirschfeld)	
)	
		Tipsword)	Discussion
		Miller	
		Borchers	Supports this Amendment
		Miller	
		Craig	Urges a 'no' vote on Amendment
		Miller	
		Campbell	Opposes Amendment
		Miller	
		Jenison	Opposes Amendment
		Miller	
		Tipsword	To Close
10		Miller	Shall the House adopt Amendment #1
		Borchers	To explain his vote
11		Miller	Gentleman's Motion for Amendment #1 lost
		Selcke	Amendment #2
		Miller	
		Porter	Amendment embodies most of Senate Bill 1399, Supports Amendment
12		Miller	
		Walsh	Introduction, Urges 'no' vote
13		McClain	Urges adoption
14		Miller	
		Lauer	Urges 'aye' vote
		Miller	
15		Clabaugh	

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
16		Miller	
		Kent	Speaks on the Amendment
		Miller	
		Stone	Bad Amendment, Urges defeat
17		Miller	
		Hudson	Move previous question
		Miller	Gentleman's motion prevails
		Porter	Urges 'aye' vote
		Miller	Shall the House adopt Amendment #2
		Dyer	To explain her vote
18		Miller	
		Pierce	To explain his vote
9		Miller	
		Hoffman, G.	To explain his 'no' vote
		Miller	
		Neff	To explain his vote
10		Miller	
		Geo-Karis	Explains her 'aye' vote
		Miller	
		Chapman	Explains her 'no' vote
		Miller	
11		Kosinski	Point of personal privilege
		Miller	Point well taken
		Katz	To explain his vote
		Miller	
		Calvo	To explain his vote
12		Miller	
		Ewell	To explain his vote
		Miller	
		McClain	To explain his vote

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
3		Miller	
		Walters	To explain his vote
		Miller	
		Londrigan	To explain his 'aye' vote
4		Miller	
		Porter	To explain his 'aye' vote
		Miller	Have all voted who wish?
		Porter	Poll the absentees.
		Miller	Call the absentees
		Selcke	Polls absentees
		Miller	
		Selcke	Continues Poll
5		Miller	On Gentleman's Motion to adopt Amendment #2
		Porter	Not going to ask for verification
		Miller	Amendment lost
		O'Brien	Senate Bill 1402, Second Reading
		Miller	Are there Amendments from the floor
		O'Brien	
		Miller	Order of Third Reading
		O'Brien	Senate Bill 1261, Second Reading
		Miller	Amendments from the Floor? Bill is advanced to Third Reading
		Beaupre	Had an Amendment on Bill
		Miller	Beaupre requests Bill go back to Second Reading
		Beaupre	
		Miller	We'll hold it on Second Reading
		Beaupre	Matter of personal privilege
		Miller	Proceed
		Beaupre	Comment
		Miller	Has Amendment been located?

27

O'Brien
 Miller Pull it out, call next Bill
 O'Brien Senate Bill 1382, Second Reading
 Miller Any Amendments from the floor
 O'Brien Yes
 Miller
 OBrien Amendment #1
 Miller Read the first Amendment
 O'Brien Reads Amendment
 Schraeder Moves adoption
 Miller Gentleman moves adoption and requ
 ts Amendment be tabled

O'Brien Amendment #2, amends Senate Bill
 1382
 Miller Is Mr. Boyle on the floor
 Houlihan, D. Take it out of the Record
 Miller Will hold on present situation
 Houlihan, D.
 Miller Hold this Bill, read next Bill
 O'Brien Senate Bill 1424, Second Reading
 Miller Any Amendments from the floor?
 O'Brien Yes, there are
 Miller
 Maragos Ten Amendments, Move adoption Am
 ment #1
 Miller Is there any discussion
 Washburn Question
 Miller
 Washburn
 Maragos
 Washburn Asks question
 Maragos Answers

28

PAGE

TIME

SPEAKER

INFORMATION

29.

Washburn)
Maragos)
Washburn)
Maragos)

Discussion

Miller

Amendment #1 adopted

O'Brien

Reads Amendment #2

Maragos

Miller

Maragos

Move for its adoption and table

Grotberg

I offer Amendment #2, wish to t

Miller

Amendment #2 tabled

O'Brien

Amendment #3

30

Maragos

Move adoption of Amendment #3 th
move to table it

Miller

Amendment #3 tabled

O'Brien

Amendment #4

Miller

Grotberg

Moves adoption of Amendment #4

Miller

Is there any discussion? Amendme
#4 is adopted

O'Brien

Amendment #5

Miller

Maragos

Moves adoption of Amendment #5

Miller

Amendment is adopted

O'Brien

Amendment #6

Miller

Maragos

Moves adoption of Amendment #6

Miller

Amendment is adopted

Selcke

Amendment #7

Miller

31

Washburn

Moves adoption of Amendment #7

32

Miller

Is there discussion

Maragos

Washburn

Maragos

No objection

Miller

Amendment is adopted

Selcke

Amendment #8

Maragos

Explains Amendment, asks support

Miller

Any discussion

Washburn

Talks on amendment

33

Miller

Is there further discussion

Maragos

Asks Adoption of Amendment

Miller

Tuerk

Asks question of Sponsor

Miller

Proceed

Tuerk

Question

Maragos

Answer

Tuerk

Maragos

Miller

Is there further discussion

34

Maragos

To close

Miller

Amendment adopted

Selcke

Amendment #9 to Senate Bill 1424

Miller

McMaster

To explain Amendment

Miller

Is there discussion

Ebbesen

Opposes Amendment

35

Miller

Maragos

Question

Miller

McMaster

Answer

36

Maragos

Discussion

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
		McMaster	Discussion
		Maragos	
		Miller	Amendment adopted
		Selcke	Amendment #10
		Miller	
		Maragos	Explains Amendment
		Miller	Amendment adopted
		Selcke	
		Miller	Bill is advanced to Third Reading
		Maragos	
37		Selcke	Senate Bill 1552, Second Reading, no committee Amendments
		Miller	Bill advanced to Third Reading
		Selcke	Senate Bill 1280, Second Reading, No Committee Amendments
		Miller	Bill advanced to Third Reading.
		Selcke	Senate Bill 1286, Second Reading, No Committee Amendments
		Miller	Bill advanced to Third Reading
		Selcke	Senate Bill 1290, Second Reading, No Committee Amendments
		Miller	Any Amendments from the Floor
		Selcke	Floor Amendment #1, Ewell
		Miller	
		Ewell	To explain Amendment #1
38		Miller	Bill advanced to Third Reading
		Selcke	Senate Bill 1326, Second Reading, No Committee Amendments
		Blair	Leave Bill on Second
		Selcke	Senate Bill 1357, Second Reading, Two Committee Amendments
		Blair	
		Schneider	
		Blair	

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
		Schneider	Move for its adoption
		Blair	
		Schneider	
		Blair	
39		Schneider	It's a Committee Amendment
		Blair	
		Schneider	Explains Amendment
		Selcke	
		Blair	
		Selcke	
		Blair	
		Washburn	I move for its adoption
		Blair	Amendment #1 adopted
		Selcke	Committee Amendment #2
		Blair	
		Selcke	Reads Committee Amendment #2
		Blair	
		Schneider	No opposition to Amendment
		Blair	
		Schneider	
		Blair	
		Washburn	Explains Amendemnt #2
		Blair	Amendment #2 adopted,
		Selcke	Amendment #3
40		Blair	
		Pierce	To explain Amendment #3
		Blair	
		Epton	Urges defeat of this Amendment
41		Blair	
		Borchers	Urges defeat of Amendment
42		Blair	

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
		Duff	Talks on Amendment
		Blair	
		Pierce	Urges adoption of Amendment #3
43		Blair	
		Schneider	Urges adoption of Amendment #3
43a		Blair	
		Epton	
		Blair	
		Epton	To explain his vote
44		Blair	
		Epton	
		Hyde	Against Amendment, urges 'no' vote
45		Blair	
		Pierce	Take Amendment out of the Record
		Blair	Objections
		Pierce	Want it taken out of the Record
		Blair)	
)	
		Pierce)	
)	
		Blair)	Discussion
)	
		Pierce)	
		Blair	Hold it on Second Reading
46		Unknown)	
)	
		Blair)	
)	
		Unknown)	
)	
		Blair)	Discussion on what to do about this
)	Amendment and Bill
		Pierce)	
)	
		Blair)	
)	
		Pierce)	
)	
		Blair)	
)	
		Schneider	Take Bill out of Record and hold it where it is

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
		Epton	
47		Blair	
		Hart	Suggestion
		Blair	
		Lundy	To talk on the Amendment
		Blair	
		Lundy	
48		Blair	
		Day	Other alternative Sponsor might consider
		Blair	
		Pierce	Renews motion to take Amendment out of the Record
49		Blair	Have all voted who wish
		Borchers	To explain his vote
		Blair	Amendment #3 fails, are there further Amendments?
		Selcke	
		Blair	
		Schlickman	Move to reconsider the vote
		Blair	
		Palmer	Motion to table
		Blair)	
)	
		Epton)	
)	
		Blair)	
)	
		Epton)	Discussion
)	
		Blair)	
)	
		Epton)	
50		Blair	
		Epton	Matter of personal privilege
		Blair	
		Pierce	There's a Motion that has precedence

51.

Blair

Pierce

Blair

Pierce

Blair

Pierce

Blair

Can adopt similar Amendment later

Pierce

Blair

Motion to table prevails

Selcke

Amendment #4 to Senat Bill 1357

Telcser

Selcke

Schneider

Take the Bill out of the Record

Telcser

Gentleman takes the Bill out of the record

Selcke

Amendments 1 and 2 adopted; 3 lost

Telcser

Next Bill

52

Selcke

Senate Bill 1424, Second Reading,
No Committee Amendments

Telcser

Amendments from the Floor? Third
Reading

Selcke

Senate Bill 1452, Second Reading,
No Committee Amendments

Telcser

Selcke

Take it out of the Record

Telcser

Leave it on Second

Selcke

Telcser

Selcke

Senate Bill 1481, Second Reading
No Committee Amendments

Telcser

Third Reading

Selcke

Senate Bill 1492

Telcser

Hold that

PAGETIMESPEAKERINFORMATION

53

Selcke

Take it out of the Record

Telcser

Read it and leave it on Second

Selcke

Reads Bill

Telcser

Selcke

Telcser

Selcke

Senate Bill 1500, Second Reading,
One Committee Amendment

Telcser

Juckett

Amendment coming from Senate Sponsor

Telcser

Take it out of the Record

Selcke

Telcser

Selcke

Senate Bill 1528, Second Reading,
No Committee Amendments

Telcser

Selcke

Amendment #1

Telcser

Take the Bill out of the Record

Selcke

Senate Bill 1617, Second Reading,
No Committee Amendments

Telcser

Third Reading

54

Selcke

Senate Bill 1620, Second Reading,
No Committee Amendments

Telcser

Third Reading

Selcke

Senate Bill 1627, Second Reading,
No Committee Amendments

Telcser

Third Reading

Selcke

Senate Bill 1635, Second Reading,
No Committee Amendments

Telcser

Third Reading

Selcke

Senate Bill 1644, Second Reading,
No Committee Amendments

Telcser

Selcke

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
		Telcser	
		Selcke	
		Telcser	Senate Bill 1644, Third Reading
		Selcke	Senate Bill 1656, Second Reading, No Committee Amendments
		Telcser	Any Amendments from the Floor
		Selcke	Senate Bill 1663, Second Reading, No Committee Amendments
		Telcser	Third Reading
		Selcke	Senate Bill 1669, Second Reading, No Committee Amendments
		Telcser	Third Reading
		Ryan	Take that Bill out of the Record
55		Telcser	1669
		Ryan	Right
		Selcke	Hold it on Second
		Telcser	Hold it on Second
		Ryan	Right
		Telcser	Then take it out of the Record
		Selcke	
		Telcser	
		Selcke	Senate Bill 1675, Second Reading, No Committee Amendments
		Telcser	Third Reading
		Selcke	Senate Bill 1676, Second Reading, One Committee Amendment
		Telcser	
		Friedland	Tables Amendments 1,2,3 and 4 by agreement of the Sponsors
		Telcser	Amendments 1,2,3, and 4 tabled
		O'Brien	Amendment #5
		Telcser	
		Berman	Explains Amendment #5

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
		Telcser	
		Douglas	
		Berman	
		Douglas	
		Telcser	Matijevich can shed some light
		Douglas	Does Amendment #8 include them all
		Berman	Yes
		Douglas	Does it include Amendment #1
		Berman	Moves adoption of Amendment #5
		Telcser	Amendment adopted
57		O'Brien	Amendment #6
		Telcser	
		Berman	Moves adoption, then moves to table
		Telcser	Amendment tabled
		O'Brien	Amendment #7
		Telcser	
		Douglas	Explains Amendment #7
		Telcser	Amendment adopted
		O'Brien	Amendment #8 to Senate Bill 1676
		Telcser	
		Matijevich	Moves adoption of Amendment #8
		Telcser	Amendment adopted, Third Reading
58		Beaupre	Go back to Senate Bill 1261
		Telcser	Introduction
		Unknown	Introduces Peoria Boys State
		Dale Thomas, Jr.	
		Unknown	
		Dale Thomas, Jr.	
		William Cognes	
		Tom Hubbard	
		Robert Toblin	

PAGETIMESPEAKERINFORMATION

Bruce Saleserick

Keith Anderson

Arnie Longfellow

Unknown

Telcser

O'Brien

Senate Bill 1261, Second Reading,
No Committee Amendments

Telcser

Are there Amendments from the Floor

O'Brien

Amendment #1

Telcser

VonBoeckman

Telcser

Ryan

Would ask Sponsor to hold Bill

Beaupre

Ryan

Beaupre

Take it out

Telcser

Take it out of the Record

Schraeder

Would like to proceed with Senate
Bill 1382

Telcser

Wolf

Want Bill advanced without refer-
ence to Committee

Telcser

Wolf

Move to advance Senate Bill 1646 on
the order of Second Reading without
reference to a Committee

Telcser

Are there objections

Katz

Has Pension Laws Commission approv-
ed?

Wolf

No big problem

Telcser

Senate Bill 1646 on Second Reading

Lechowicz

Move to suspend Rule 67

Telcser

Capuzi

No objections

59

0

51

62

Telcser
Holloway
Telcser
Holloway
Telcser

Senate Bill 1566 will be placed on
Second Reading, Second Legislative
Day

Lechowicz

Makes same request Senate Bill 1538

Telcser

What number

Lechowicz

1538

Telcser

Use attendance Roll Call

Holloway

Similar request for Senate Bill 167

Telcser

Matijeovich

Bill has to have full hearing

63

Holloway

Bill important to State of Illinois

Telcser

Objections raised

Holloway

Telcser

64

Collins

Asks Membership's support of Motion

Telcser

Matijeovich

Would Chairman answer a question

Collins

Limitations of time

Matijeovich

Collins

Matijeovich

Bill should be heard in Committee

Collins

Telcser

Pierce

Point of parliamentary inquiry

65

Telcser

Walsh, W.

Last Gentleman absolutely right

Telcser

Your point well taken

Holloway

Want to be assured Bill will be
heard today

SPEAKER

INFORMATION

Telcser

Will do my best to call everything on the Calendar

Holloway

Telcser

Holloway

Telcser

Douglas

Telcser

Stone

Telcser

Stone

Telcser

Holloway

Telcser

Douglas

Telcser

O'Brien

Telcser

O'Brien

Telcser

Washburn

Telcser

Beaupre

Telcser

Peters

Telcser

Peters

Telcser

Calvo

Telcser

P.

Will persist in pursuing Motion
Gentleman moves to discharge
Urges 'no' vote on this motion
Have all voted who wish
Question
Gentleman moves to discharge commit-
tee

To explain his vote

Called it a complex Bill
Gentleman's Motion failed
Senate Bill 1261, previously read
a second time, No Committee Amend-
ments

Amendment #1

Opposes Amendment

Telcser	
Beaupre	I believe it is
Telcser	Proceed with your Amendment
Beaupre	Explains Amendment
Telcser	Is there further discussion
Walters	Will the Sponsor yield
Telcser	Indicates he will
Walters	Question
Beaupre	Answer
Walters	
Beaupre	
Walters	
Beaupre	
Walters	
Telcser	Is there further discussion
Beaupre	To close
Telcser	Gentleman moves adoption of Amendm-
Collins	Moves defeat of Amendment ent
Telcser	Amendment #1 adopted
VonBoeckman	I do not have Amendment to Bill
Telcser	
Maragos	Point of Order
Telcser	State your point
Maragos	Did you put this Bill on Third
Telcser	Yes
Maragos	Didn't hear it
Telcser	
Maragos	
Telcser	Senate Bill 1261, Third Reading
Maragos	Thank you
Telcser	Senate Bill 1669, Third Reading
O'Brien	Senate Bill 1382, Second Reading

75

Telcser	Amendments from the Floor?
O'Brien	Amendment #1 tabled
Telcser	
Boyle	What happened to #1
O'Brien	It was tabled
Boyle	Explains Amendment #2
Telcser	Is there discussion? Amendment is adopted
O'Brien	Reads Amendment #3
Lechowicz	Moves Amendment #3 be tabled
Telcser	Amendment tabled, further Amendment
O'Brien	Reads Amendment #4
Telcser	
Boyle	
Unknown	
Boyle	
O'Brien	Re-reads Amendment #4
Telcser	
Boyle	Moves to table Amendment #4
Telcser	Amendment #4 tabled
O'Brien	Amendment #5
Telcser	
Lechowicz	Explains Amendment #5
Washburn	
Telcser	
Lechowicz	Amendment #5 not included in #2
Telcser	Washburn said it's in direct confl.
Lechowicz	
Telcser	
Lechowicz	
Telcser	Do you want to proceed with the Bi.
Lechowicz	Proceed with the Bill

76

SPEAKERINFORMATION

77.

<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
Telcser	We'll table this Amendment #5
Lechowicz	Right
Telcser	Amendment #5 tabled
O'Brien	Amendment #6
Telcser	
Lechowicz	Move to table
Telcser	Amendment tabled
O'Brien	Amendment #7
Telcser	
Schraeder	Explains Amendment
Telcser	
Washburn	Questions Amendment #7 in conflict with Amendment #2
Telcser	Amendment #7 tabled
O'Brien	Amendment #8
Telcser	
Schraeder	
Telcser	Same problem
Schraeder	I withdraw Amendment
Unknown	
Schraeder	I withdraw my Amendment at this time
Telcser	Amendment #8 to Senate Bill 1382 tabled. Third Reading
O'Brien	Senate Bill 166, Third Reading
Telcser	
Calvo	Permission to bring Bill back to Second Reading
Telcser	Returned to Second, read Amendment
O'Brien	Amendment #4
Telcser	
Calvo	
Telcser	

78

79

30

Telcser

Walsh

Talks on Amendments #2 and 5-

Telcser

Is there further discussion

Calvo

To close

31

Telcser

Amendment #5 adopted

O'Brien

Amendment #6

Telcser

O'Brien

Telcser

Walsh, W.

Telcser

Calvo

I just move for adoption of #6

Telcser

Amendment #6 refers to #2-conflict

2

Walsh, W.

Ought to hold this Bill

Telcser

Calvo

Telcser

Calvo

Telcser

Mr. Schoeberlein will be right up

Calvo

Telcser

Day

Telcser

Bluthardt

Telcser

Amendment #6 adopted, Third Reading

33

Calvo

Have been asked to hold it on Third

Telcser

Calvo

Want to make sure about Amendment

34

Telcser

O'Brien

Senate Bill 353, Third Reading

Telcser

Senate Bill 166 now on Third

Neff

Explains Senate Bill 353

SPEAKER

INFORMATION

Telcser

Is there discussion

Jaffe

Will the Sponsor yield

Telcser

Indicates he will

Neff

Jaffe

Question

Neff

Answer

Jaffe)

Telcser)

Neff)

Telcser)

Neff)

Discussion

Jaffe)

Neff)

Jaffe)

Neff)

Jaffe)

Neff)

Jaffe)

Telcser

Tipsword

Supports Bill

Telcser

Kennedy

Supports Bill

Telcser

Rayson

Question of Sponsor

Neff

Answer

Rayson)

Neff)

Rayson)

Discussion

Neff)

Rayson

Suggests it's not a good Bill

Telcser

25

26

28

29

Neff

Huskey

Neff

Huskey

Neff

Huskey

Neff

Telcser

Maragos

Move the previous question

Telcser

Previous question has been moved

Neff

To close

Telcser

Maragos

Asks support of Bill

Telcser

Jaffe

Rise in opposition

Telcser

VonBoeckman

Disagrees with previous speaker

Telcser

Senate Bill 353, passed

Selcke

Senate Bill 1020, Third Reading

Telcser

Madigan

Hear companion Bills 1020 and 1021

Telcser

Clerk will read 1021 a third time

Selcke

Reads Bill

Telcser

Madigan

Leave to take Senate Bill 1021 back
to Second for an Amendment

Selcke

Does he have Leave

Telcser

No objections, Read the Amendment

Selcke

Reads Amendment

Madigan

Moves adoption of Amendment #1

Telcser

Amendment adopted,

Madigan

Requests favorable Roll Call

Telcser

Epton

Urges 'aye' vote

Telcser

Skinner

Telcser

Skinner

Telcser

Skinner

Discusses the Bill

Telcser

Palmer

Will the Sponsor yield

Telcser

He indicates he will

Palmer

Question

Madigan

Answer

Palmer)

)

Madigan)

)

Palmer)

)

Madigan)

)

Palmer)

)

Madigan)

Discussion

Palmer)

)

Madigan)

)

Palmer)

)

Madigan)

Unknown

Roll Call

Telcser

Hyde

Opposes Bill

Telcser

Shea

Moves adoption of both Bills

Telcser

Is there further discussion

Madigan

To close

Telcser

Senate Bills 1020 and 1021 passed

Selcke

Senate Bill 1285, Third Reading

101

Telcser

Rose

Moves 'Do Pass'

Telcser

Bill passed

Selcke

Telcser

1318 and 1319

Selcke

Reads 1318

Telcser

Take both Bills out of the Record

Selcke

Telcser

Selcke

Senate Bill 1322, Third Reading

Telcser

102

Grieman

Explains the Bill

Telcser

Is there further discussion

Skinner

To explain his 'no' vote

Telcser

Senate Bill 1322 passed

Selcke

Senate Bill 1128, Third Reading

Telcser

Kempiners

Explains the Bill

103

Telcser

Is there further discussion

Shea

Will the Sponsor yield

Telcser

He indicates he will

Shea

Question

Kempiners

Answer

Shea)

Kempiners)

Shea)

Kempiners)

Shea)

Kempiners)

Discussion

104

Shea

Will you take it out of the Record

Kempiners

Can I get it back in the Record

Telcser

Kempiners

Telcser

Kempiners

Telcser

Take it out of the Record

Selcke

Telcser

Selcke

Senate Bill 1317, Third Reading

Telcser

Sevcik

Explains Bill

Telcser

Senate Bill 1317 passed

Fary

Request

Telcser

Fary

Telcser

No objections, change their vote

Fary

Telcser

Senate Bill 1322

Selcke

Telcser

Announces vote

Selcke

Senate Bill 1486, Third Reading

Telcser

Hoffman, G.

Explains Bill, asks support

Telcser

Take the Record

Selcke

Telcser

Senate Bill 1486 passed

Selcke

Senate Bill 576 Third Reading

Telcser

Springer

Leave to take Senate Bill 576 back to Second for Amendments

Telcser

Leave granted, read Amendment

Selcke

Reads Amendment #2

Telcser

05

06

107

108

Selcke	
Telcser	
Beaupre	Explains Amendment
Telcser	Amendment adopted, Third Reading
Springer	
Telcser	Bill has been read a third time
Springer	Would appreciate favorable vote
Telcser	Senate Bill 576 passed
Selcke	Senate Bill 1539, Third Reading
Telcser	
Tipsword	Explains the Bill
Selcke	
Tipsword	Solicits support
Telcser	Any discussion
Ewell	Casting a 'no' vote
Telcser	
Selcke	Take the Record
Telcser	Senate Bill 1539 passed
Selcke	Senate Bill 1396, Third Reading
Telcser	
Hoffman, G.	Leave to bring Bill back to Second
Telcser	Leave granted, read Amendment
Selcke	Reads Amendment
Telcser	
Schisler	Explains Amendment
Telcser	
Selcke	
Telcser	Amendment adopted
Selcke	
Telcser	Bill has been read a third time
Hoffman, G.	Solicits 'aye' vote
Telcser	Seante Bill 1396 passed

Selcke
 Telcser
 Selcke Senate Bill 1477, Third Reading
 Telcser
 Miller, K. Explains Bill, asks 'aye' vote
 Telcser Senate Bill 1477 passed

Telcser
 Selcke Senate Bill 1395, Third Reading
 Telcser
 Ebbesen Explains Bill, asks 'aye' vote
 Telcser Senate Bill 1395 passed
 Selcke Senate Bill 1246, Third Reading
 Telcser
 Ryan Explains Bill, asks passage
 Telcser Is there discussion
 Maragos Will Sponsor yield
 Telcser Indicates he will
 Maragos Question

Ryan Answer
 Maragos)
 Ryan)
 Maragos) Discussion
 Ryan)
 Maragos)
 Telcser Purpose of Bill good, supports
 Telcser Senate Bill 1246 passed
 Seleke Senate Bill 1284
 Telcser Macdonald 'aye' on last Roll Call
 Selcke Third Reading of the Bill
 Telcser

Lechowicz Explains Bill, asks support
 Telcser Senate Bill 1284 passed

AGETIMESPEAKERINFORMATION

113

Telcser

Senate Bill 1502

Selcke

Senate Bill 1502, Third Reading

Telcser

Hirschfeld

Explains Bill, asks favorable vote

Telcser

Hirschfeld

Leave to consider entire group of Bills

Telcser

Shea

Objection

Telcser

114

Hirschfeld

Debate them together, vote on them individually

Telcser

Is there leave

Shea

Objects

Telcser

Gentleman request separate Roll Call

Shea

Telcser

Will need 3/5's vote

Shea

Why not debate separately

Telcser

Try to save time

Shea

115

Telcser

Hirschfeld

Asks for Roll Call on his Motion

Selcke

Have to take individual vote

Telcser

Bluthardt

Speaks on the motion

116

Blair

Berman

Parliamentary inquiry

Blair

Yes

Berman

Question

Blair

Gentleman's Motion received majority, declared passed

117

Shea

Dissent

AGE	TIME	SPEAKER	INFORMATION
		Matijeovich	Joins in dissent
		Blair	
118		Selcke	Reads Senate Bills 1503 thru 1527 and Senate Bill 1638
119		Blair	Open floor for purpose of debate
		Hirschfeld	Willing to answer questions
120		Blair	
		Shea	Parliamentary inquiry
		Blair	
		Shea	Inquiry
121		Blair	
		Shea	Talks to the Act
		Blair	Chair will announce votes required for each Bill
		Shea	Question
122		Blair	That's a judicial inquiry
		Shea	Number of votes required to pass Senate Bill 1502
		Blair	Chair will announce as Bills come up.
		Shea	
		Blair	
		Bluthardt	Will Sponsor yield
123		Hirschfeld	Answers question
124		Bluthardt	Speaks on Senate Bill 1502
125		Blair	
126		Maragos	Will Sponsor answer several questions
		Blair	He indicates he will
		Maragos	Question
127		Hirschfeld	Answer
		Maragos	Another question
128		Hirschfeld	Answer
		Maragos	Another Question
		Hirschfeld	Answer

	Maragos	One more question.
	Hirschfeld	Replies
129	Maragos	Speak to the question
130	Blair	
131	Schlickman	Bills amend existing licensing act
132	Shea	
133	Hirschfeld	Point of personal privilege
	Shea	I will rule fairly
133 thru 136	Washington	Support at least two of the Bills
	Shea	
	McAuliffe	Point of personal privilege
137	Shea	
	Washington	Mild sort of personal privilege
	Shea	
	Washington	
	Shea	
	Douglas	
138	Shea	
	Walsh	Introduction
139	Shea	
139 - 140	Duff	Speaks to the Bills
141	Telcser	
	Shea	Will the Sponsor yield
	Telcser	He indicates he will
	Shea	Question
	Hirschfeld	Answer
142	Shea)	
143	Hirschfeld)	
	Shea)	Discussion
	Hirschfeld)	
	Shea)	
144	Hirschfeld)	

145

Shea)
)
 Hirschfeld)
)
 Shea)
)
 Hirschfeld)
)
 Shea)
)
 Hirschfeld)
)
 Shea)

Continued discussion

146

Telcser

146-147

Hudson

Thinks licensing pre-emption bills should be supported

148

Telcser

148-149

Hirschfeld

To close

150

Telcser

Shea

How many votes to pass 1502

Telcser

Shea

Telcser

Shea

Telcser

Requires 89 votes

151

Shea

I will dissent

Telcser

For the Record, enter dissent for
 Farley, Yourell, Matijevich, Garmis
 D. Houlihan, Capparelli, Lemke, Lec
 howicz and Keller

Duff

Telcser

Yourell

Telcser

Yourell

Duff

152

Telcser

Duff

Hirschfeld closed

Telcser

What is your point

Duff

Point of ruling of the Chair

Telcser
 Shea
 Telcser
 Shea
 Telcser
 Schlickman
 Telcser
 Craig
 Telcser
 Craig
 Telcser
 Shea
 Telcser

To explain his vote
 This Bill declared passed, Senate
 Bill 1502

Introduction
 Senate Bill 1503
 Number of votes required

One question
 Senate Bill 1503 requires 89 votes
 Will not dissent on this Bill
 Senate Bill 1503 passed
 Senate Bill 1504 will require 89 votes
 Would the Journal show for reason
 Senate Bill 1504 passed
 Senate Bill 1505 passed, Shea dis-
 sents
 Senate Bill 1506 passed, Shea dis-
 sents
 Senate Bill 1507 passed, Shea dis-
 sents
 Senate Bill 1508 passed, Shea dis-
 sents
 Senate Bill 1509 passed, Shea dis-
 sents
 Senate Bill 1510 passed, Shea dis-
 sents
 Senate Bill 1511 passed, Shea dis-
 sents

159

Telcser

Senate Bill 1512 passed, Shea dis-
sents

Senate Bill 1513 passed, Shea dis-
sents

Senate Bill 1514 passed, Shea dis-
sents

Senate Bill 1515 passed, Shea dis-
sents

160

Senate Bill 1516 passed, Shea dis-
sents

Senate Bill 1517 passed, Shea dis-
sents

Senate Bill 1518 passed, Shea dis-
sents

161

Senate Bill 1519 passed, Shea dis-
sents

Shea

Question

Telcser

Requires 107 votes

Shea

Telcser

162

Shea

Telcser

Chair has state reasons for ruling

Shea

Telcser

Shea

Telcser

163

Dunne, R.

Cast 'aye' vote for 1520 and 1521

Telcser

Senate Bill 1520 passed, Shea dis-
sents

Hirschfeld

Point of order

Telcser

Hirschfeld

Telcser

Senate Bill requires 89 votes

Shea

Have no quarrel with this ruling

Telcser

Senate Bill 1521 passed, Shea dis-
sents

164	Choate	Introduction
	Telcser	Senate Bill 1522
	Lechowicz	Leave to read Resolution
	Telcser	Leave granted
	Selcke	Reads House Resolution 1097
165	Telcser	
	Murphy	Written song for Clyde Choate
	Telcser	
	Representatives	Geo-Karis, Tipsword, Lechowicz, Mc Cormick sing a song for Clyde
166	Choate	Expresses appreciation and thanks
167	Telcser	
	Murphy	Thanks the singers
	Telcser	Gentleman moves adoption of Resolution
	Murphy	Thanks to Terry Micheals for writing the song.
	Telcser	All in favor
	Mann	Wants McCormick to say a few words
168	McCormick	Comments on years together in the General Assembly
169	Telcser	House Resolution 1097 adopted
	Selcke	Reads House Resolution 1095
170	Telcser	
	Walters	Moves adoption of Resolution
171	Telcser	
	Sangmeister	
	Telcser	House Resolution 1095 adopted, back to Senate Bills, Third Reading, Senate Bill 1522 passed, Shea dissents
172	Telcser	Senate Bill 1523 passed, Shea dissents
		Senate Bill 1524 passed, Shea dissents
		Senate Bill 1525 passed, Shea dissents

<u>SE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
		Telcser	Senate Bill 1526 passed, Shea dis- sents
173			Senate Bill 1527 passed, Shea dis- sents
		Day	To explain his vote
174		Telcser	Senate Bill 1638, takes 89 votes
		Greiman	To make comment on his vote
175		Telcser	Senate Bill 1638 passed
		Hirschfeld	Point of personal privilege
		Telcser	State your point
		Hirschfeld	Wants to express appreciation for patience in hearing these Bills
176		Telcser	Senate Bill 1240
		O'Brien	Reads Bill a Third time
		Telcser	
		Lauer	Explains the Bill
		Telcser	Is there discussion
177		Juckett	Will Sponsor yield
		Telcser	Indicates he will
		Juckett	Question
		Lauer	Answer
		Juckett	Another question
178		Lauer)	
)	
		Juckett)	
)	
		Lauer)	
)	
		Juckett)	Discussion
)	
		Lauer)	
)	
179		Juckett)	
		Telcser	
		Lauer	Take it out of the REcord
		Telcser	Take it out
		O'Brien	Senate Bill 1282, Third Reading

	Telcser	
	Jaffe	Explains the Bill
180	Telcser	Is there discussion
	Borchers	Against this Bill
	Telcser	Is there further discussion, Bill declared passed
181	O'Brien	Senate Bill 1325, Third Reading
	Telcser	
	Kosinski	Explains Bill and urges passage
	Telcser	
	Maragos	
	Kosinski	
	Maragos	
	Kosinski	Suggests its passage
	Telcser	Senate Bill 1325 passed
182	O'Brien	Senate Bill 1332, Third Reading
	Telcser	
	Houlihan, D.	Explains Bill
	Telcser	Senate Bill 1332 passed
183	O'Brien	Senate Bill 1346, Third Reading
	Telcser	
	Flinn	Leave to take the Bill back to second for an amendment
	Telcser	Leave granted
	O'Brien	Reads Amendment #5
	Telcser	
	Flinn	Explains Amendment
	Telcser	Amendment #5 adopted
	Flinn	Asks favorable vote
	Telcser	Senate Bill 1346 passed
184	O'Brien	Senate Bill 1560, Third Reading
	Telcser	

185.

Telcser Does Gentleman have leave to return Bill to Second for an Amendment

O'Brien Reads Amendment #2

Telcser

Calvo Explains Amendment

Telcser

Washburn Go through Amendment again

Calvo Explains again

Telcser Amendment #2 adopted

Calvo Asks passage of Bill

Telcser Senate Bill 1560 passed

Collins Question-Announcement

Telcser Senate Bill 1567

O'Brien Senate Bill 1567, Third Reading

Telcser

186

Stone Explains Bill

Telcser Senate Bill 1567 passed -

O'Brien Senate Bill 1291, Third Reading

Telcser

Juckett Questions lights on board

Telcser

187

Collins Explains Bill

Telcser Senate Bill 1291 passed

O'Brien Senate Bill 1314, Third Reading

Telcser

188

McMaster Leave to move Bill back to Second

Telcser Leave granted, Read Amendment

O'Brien Reads Amendment

Telcser

McMasters Offers Amendment #5 and moves Adoption

Telcser Amendment adopted

189

McMaster Urges 'ayè' vote .
 Telcser Any discussion
 Jaffe
 McMaster Thank you
 Jaffe Tell about new powers townships get
 McMaster Amendment or total Bill
 Jaffe Total Bill, enlighten Body
 McMaster Talks on the Bill

190

Jaffe)
 McMaster)
 Jaffe)
 McMaster) Discussion
 Jaffe)
 McMaster)
 Jaffe)
 McMaster)
 Jaffe)
 McMaster)

191

Miller
 LaFleur Move previous question
 Miller Gentleman's motion prevails
 McMaster To close
 Miller Senate Bill 1314 passed
 O'Brien Senate Bill 1324, Third Reading
 Hanahan To explain the Bill

192

Miller
 Hoffman, G. Will Sponsor yield
 Hanahan Surely
 Hoffman, G. Question
 Hanahan Yes
 Hoffman, g.

193

Hanahan Twice

PAGETIMESPEAKERINFORMATION

Hoffman, G.

All right

Hanahan

Amendments #3 and #5

Hoffman, G.

Another question

Hanahan

Yes

Hoffman, G.)

Hanahan)

Hoffman, G.)

Discussion

Hanahan)

Hoffman, G.)

Miller

Hanahan

To close

Miller

Senate Bill 1324 passed

O'Brien

Senate Bill 1383, Third Reading

Miller

Holloway, J.

To explain the Bill

Miller

Leinenweber

Speaks on the Bill, Urges 'no' vote

Blair

Grotberg

To address the Bill briefly

Blair

Holloway, J.

To close

Blair

Senate Bill 1383 passed

Selcke

Senate Bill 1493, Third Reading

Blair

Arnell

To explain the Bill

Blair

Shea

Blair

Shea

Discussion on this Bill

Blair

Shea

194

195

196

TIMESPEAKERINFORMATION

Shea

Blair

Shea

Is this in exact shape as the Tot-
ten Bill

Blair

Similar

Shea

Not exactly

Blair

Shea

Arnell

Discussion

Shea

Arnell

Questions and Answers

Shea

Arnell

Shea

Arnell

Shea

Hopes this Bill doesn't pass

Blair

Arnell

To close

Blair

Barnes, E.M.

Voting no, talks on Bill

Blair

Palmer

Speaks for the Bill

Blair

Hill

Speaks in favor of Bill

Blair

Wolf

Blair

Douglas

My vote is 'aye'

Blair

Peters

Record me as 'aye'

Blair

Peters

202

Blair

Garmisa

Talks against Bill

Blair

Senate Bill 1493 passed

Selcke

Senate Bill 1494, Third Reading

Blair

Arnell

To explain the Bill

Blair

Shea

Question of Mr. Katz

Arnell

Yields to Mr. Katz

203

Katz

To explain changes in Bond Act

Shea

Question

204

Katz

Answer

Shea

Is this the only Amendment in Bill

Blair

Arnell

To close

Blair

Senate Bill 1494 passed

Selcke

Senate Bill 1495, Third Reading

Blair

Arnell

To explain the Bill

Blair

Shea

Is there an Amendment on this Bill

Arnell

No Amendments

Shea

Is the same as it came from Senate

Arnell

Correct

205

Shea

Puts Purchasing Act in Bill

Arnell

Correct

Blair

Senate Bill 1495 passed

Selcke

Senate Bill 1242, Third Reading

Blair

Take this Bill out of the Record

Selcke

Senate Bill 1267, Third Reading

Blair

Kozubowski

To Explain the Bill

206

Blair

Senate Bill 1267 passed

Selcke

Senate Bill 1273, Third Reading

Blair

Shea

To explain the Bill

Blair

Hanahan

Question of the Sponsor

Shea

Answer

Hanahan

Shea

Hanahan

Shea

Hanahan

Discussion, questions and answers on the Bill

Shea

07

Hanahan

Shea

Hanahan

Blair

Hart

Wants to answer Mr. Hanahan's question briefly

08

Selcke,

Blair

Gibbs

I rise in favor of this Bill

09

Blair

Lechowicz

Concurs with previous Speaker

Blair

Wolf

Would Sponsor consider holding Bill

Blair

10

Giorgi

Precedent being set

Blair

Giorgi

Shea

Giorgi

Shea

Giorgi

Shea

Giorgi

Shea

Blair

Katz

Will Sponsor explain

Shea

Doesn't know why line item is in

11

Katz

Shea

I had better take this out of the Record

Blair

Waddell

Is he taking it out of the Record or not

Shea

Mr. Choate says money if for the membership of a group formed by a former Senate President

Waddell

Will Sponsor yield

Blair

Indicates he will

Waddell

Question

Shea

Waddell

Shea

Mr. Choate can answer that

12

Waddell

Thank you

Blair

Maragos

Move previous question

Blair

Previous question moved

Shea

To close

Blair

Senate Bill 1273 passed

Selcke

Blair

Go back and pick up 1242 and 1243

Selcke

Senate Bill 1242, Third Reading

Blair

McAuliffe

Explains Bill

213	Blair	Senate Bill 1242 passed
	Selcke	Senate Bill 1243, Third Reading
	McAuliffe	Explains Bill
	Blair	Senate Bill 1243 passed
	Selcke	Senate Bill 1641, Third Reading
	Blair	
	Collins	Explains Bill
214	Blair	
	Mugalian	Talks against Bill
	Blair	
	Boyle	Question of Sponsor
215	Collins	Answer
	Boyle	Only Cook County problem
216	Collins	Explains problem
	Boyle	
	Collins	
	Boyle	
	Collins	
	Boyle	
	Collins	Not a Cook County sponsored Bill
	Blair	
	Bradley	Supports Sponsor of this Bill
217	Blair	
	Collins	To close
	Blair	Senate Bill 1641 passed
	Selcke	Senate Bill 1609, Third Reading
	Blair	
	Kempiners	To explain Bill
218	Blair	
	Shea	Asks number of votes to pass Bill
	Blair	89 votes
	Boyle	Question of Sponsor

	Kempiners	
	Blair	
219	Kempiners	Answer to question
	Boyle	Going to oppose this type legisla- tion
220	Kempiners	
	Blair	
	Boyle	
	Blair	
	Boyle	Question of Sponsor
	Blair	
	Kempiners	Answer
221	Boyle	Address myself to the Bill
222	Blair	
	Borchers	To get something clear in his mind about the Bill
223	Blair	
	Epton	Sponsor has yielded to answer quest ion
224	Blair	
	Deavers	Moves previous question
	Blair	
225	Kempiners	To close
	Blair	
	Shea	Question
	Kempiners	Answer
	Shea	
	Blair	
	Choate	To explain his vote
226	Blair	
	Brinkmeier	One major fault in this legislation
227	Blair	
	Borchers	Question

228

SPEAKER

INFORMATION

Blair

To explain his vote

Boyle

Blair

Douglas

Blair

Comment on the Bill

Houlihan

Blair

To explain his 'present' vote

Boyle

Have all voted who wish

Blair

Don't announce Roll Call until I
have a right to ask verification

Boyle

Barry

Blair

Want to explain vote if necessary
Sponsor asked poll of absentees be-
starting verification

Selcke

Blair

Polls absentees

Londrigan

Blair

Cunningham 'aye'

Selcke

Blair

Change me from 'present' to 'aye'

Selcke

Blair

Londrigan 'yes'

At 108 'ayes'

Blair

Selcke

Blair

Verify the affirmative
Verifies affirmative

Selcke

Blair

Boyle

Concedes and withdraws request

Blair

Selcke

Blair

Selcke

229

231

Selcke Senate Bill 1212, Third Reading
 Blair
 Day Explains the Bill
 Blair Senate Bill 1212 passed
 Selcke
 Blair Senate Bill 1218
 Selcke Senate Bill 1218, Third Reading
 Blair
 Day Leave to return Bill to Second for
 an Agreed Amendment
 Blair Leave granted
 Selcke Reads Amendment
 Blair

232

Beaupre Asks favorable support
 Blair
 Schlickman Will Sponsor of Amendment yield
 Blair Says he will
 Schlickman Question
 Beaupre Answer
 Schlickman Point of order
 Beaupre Asks the Chair to rule on matter
 Blair No appropriation involved
 Schlickman Question
 Beaupre That's correct
 Schlickman What consideration is involved
 Beaupre \$1000 State will receive in General
 Revenue Fund
 Schlickman Question
 Beaupre Answer
 Schlickman Coincidence

233

Beaupre)
)
 Schlickman) Discussion
)
 Beaupre)

234

PAGE

TIME

SPEAKER

INFORMATION

Schlickman

Blair

Juckett

Will Sponsor yield

Blair

Says he will

Beaupre

I'll yield

Juckett

Question

Beaupre

Can't answer

Juckett

Question

Beaupre

Answer

Juckett)

Blair)

Washburn)

Beaupre)

Washburn)

Beaupre)

Blair)

Washburn)

Discussion on Amendment

Blair)

Beaupre)

Washburn)

Beaupre)

Blair)

Hoffman, R.)

Beaupre)

Hoffman, R.)

Beaupre)

Hoffman, R.)

Continued discussion on Amendment

Beaupre)

Hoffman, R.)

Beaupre)

Blair

35

36

37

238

239

40

241

Blair	
Beaupre	To close
Blair	The Amendment is adopted
Day	Excess land
Blair	
Day	Solicit support for Bill
Blair	Have all voted who wish
Lemke	To explain his vote
Blair	Senate Bill 1218 passed
Selcke	Senate Bill 1244, Third Reading
Blair	
Campbell	To explain Bill
Blair	Senate Bill 1244 passed
Selcke	Senate Bill 1272
Blair	Take that Bill out of the Record
Selcke	
Blair	1283
Selcke	Senate Bill 1283, Third Reading
Blair	
Shea	To explain Bill
Blair	Senate Bill 1283 passed
Selcke	Senate Bill 1296
Blair	
Campbell	
Selcke	Third Reading of the Bill
Campbell	Explains Bill
Blair	
Mugalian	Going to vote no on these Bills
Blair	Senate Bill 1296 passed
Selcke	Senate Bill 1467
Blair	Take it out of the Record
Selcke	Senate Bill 1633, Third Reading

142

Blair
 Walsh, W. Explains Bill
 Blair
 Selcke
 Blair Clerk will take the Record
 Selcke

Blair Senate Bill 1633 passed
 Selcke Senate Bill 1640, Third Reading
 Blair

Walsh, W. Explains Bill
 Blair Discussion
 Selcke

Blair Senate Bill 1640 passed
 Selcke Senate Bill 1264, Third REading
 Blair

Calvo Leave to bring Bill back to Second for an Amendment

143

Blair Leave granted
 Selcke Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 1264
 Calvo Explains Amendment
 Selcke

Blair
 Walsh, W. Vote 'no'
 Blair

Huskey Urges 'no' vote

144

Blair
 Calvo To Close
 Blair Gentleman's Amendment fails
 Calvo Asks favorable passage of Bill
 Blair Senate Bill 1264 passed

145

Selcke Senate Bill 1276
 Blair Take it out of the Record

Selcke Senate Bill 1348, Third Reading

Blair

Williams Leave to take Bill Back to Second

Blair Does Gentleman have leave

Walsh, W. Will Sponsor yield

Blair He says he will

Williams

Blair

Walsh, W. Question

Williams Answer

246

Walsh, W.)

Williams)

Walsh, W.)

Williams)

Walsh, W.)

Williams)

Discussion

Williams)

Blair Leave granted

Selcke Amendment #11

Williams Explains Amendment #11

Blair Sponsor moves adoption and asks leave to table, Amendment tabled

Selcke Amendment #12

Blair

Williams Amendment #12 is explained

247

Blair The 'ayes' have it

Selcke Amendment adopted

Blair

Selcke Amendment #13

Blair

Miller, T. Explains Amendment

Blair Reconsider vote

Miller, T. I so move

248

Blair	Gentleman moves to reconsider vote Amendment #9 tabled
Miller, T.	Moves adoption of Amendment #13
Blair	Amendment adopted
Selcke	Amendment #14
Blair	
Selcke	Amends Senate Bill 1348
Blair	
Williams	Moves to adopt and table
Blair	Amendment adopted and tabled
Selcke	Amendment #15
Blair	
VonBoeckman	Explains Amendment
Blair	
Washburn	Explain Amendment again
VonBoeckman	Explains Amendment
Washburn	Question
Blair	
VonBoeckman	
Washburn	Question
VonBoeckman	Answer
Blair	
Kriegsman	Talks on the Amendment
Blair	
Williams	Approves of this Amendment
Blair	Amendment adopted, Third Reading
Selcke	Reads Bill a Third time
Williams	Explains the Bill
Blair	Senate Bill 1348 passed
Selcke	Senate Bill 1401, Third Reading
Blair	
Washington	Explains the Bill

49

250

Selcke	
Washington	Asks support
Blair	Senate Bill 1401 passed
Selcke	Senate Bill 1425
Blair	
Selcke	Third Reading
Blair	
Brummet	Explains the Bill
Blair	Senate Bill 1425 passed
Selcke	Senate Bill 1458, Third Reading
Blair	
Walsh, R.	Explains the Bill
Blair	Senate Bill 1458 passed
Selcke	Senate Bill 1535, Third Reading
Blair	
Friedland	Explains the Bill
Selcke	
Blair	
Juckett	Will the Sponsor yield
Friedland	
Juckett	Question
Friedland	Answer
Blair	Shall Senate Bill 1535 pass
Selcke	
Blair	Clerk will take the Record
Selcke	
Blair	Senate Bill 1535 passed
Selcke	Senate Bill 1548, Third Reading
Blair	
Berman	Leave to bring Bill back to Second
Blair	Leave granted
Selcke	Amendment #2

SPEAKERINFORMATION

155

Berman Explain Amendment
 Blair Amendment adopted
 Selcke Amendment #3
 Blair
 Berman Explains Amendment
 Blair Gentleman moves to reconsider vote
 Berman Move to table Amendment #1
 Blair Amendment tabled
 Berman Amendment #3--explained
 Blair Amendment adopted
 Selcke
 Blair
 Berman Explains Senate Bill 1548
 Blair
 Leinenweber

156

Berman Talks on the Bill
 Blair
 Leinenweber Will Sponsor yield
 Blair Indicates he will
 Leinenweber Question

157

Berman Answer
 Leinenweber Another question
 Berman Answer

158

Telcser
 Kriegsman Speaks to the Bill
 Telcser
 Polk Will the Sponsor yield
 Telcser Indicates he will
 Polk Question

Berman Answer

Telcser

Catania

Supports Bills

259

Telcser

Further discussion?

Berman

To close

Telcser

Senate Bill 1548 passed

260

Selcke

Senate Bill 1549, Third Reading

Telcser

Berman

Leave to take Bill back to Second

Telcser

Leave granted

Selcke

Reads Amendment

Telcser

McClain

Explains Amendment

Telcser

Amendment adopted

Selcke

No further Amendments

Telcser

261

Berman

Urges passage of Bill

Telcser

Senate Bill 1549 passed

Selcke

Senate Bill 1561, Third Reading

Telcser

Shea

Explains Bill

Telcser

Arnell

Will Sponsor yield

Telcser

Indicate he will

Shea

262

Arnell

Question

Shea

Answer

Arnell)

Shea)

)

Arnell)

Discussion

Shea)

)

Arnell)

Shea)

)

Telcser

Comments on the Bill

Yourell

263

SPEAKER

INFORMATION

Telcser

Palmer

Will Sponsor yield

Telcser

Indicate he will

Palmer

Question

Shea

Answer

Palmer)

Shea)

Palmer)

Discussion of Bill

Shea)

Palmer)

Shea)

Palmer)

265

Telcser

Proceed

Palmer

Commends Sponsor of Bill

Telcser

McMaster

Supports Shea's Bill

266

Telcser

Juckett

Parliamentary inquiry

Telcser

State your point

Juckett

Shea

Telcser

Shea

Juckett

Telcser

Juckett

Telcser

267

Juckett

Telcser

Chair has ruled

Juckett

Put my dissent in the Record

Telcser

Telcser	
Juckett	Will Sponsor yield
Telcser	First enter Juckett's dissent in the Journal
Shea	
Juckett	Question
Shea	Answer
Juckett)	
Shea)	
)	
Juckett)	
Shea)	
)	
Juckett)	
Shea)	Discussion on the Bill
)	
Juckett)	
Shea)	
)	
Juckett)	
Shea)	
)	
Juckett)	
Telcser	
Shea	To close
Telcser	Senate Bill 1561 passed
Selcke	Senate Bill 1614, Third Reading
Telcser	
Berman	Explains the Bill
Telcser	Senate Bill 1614 passed
Selcke	Senate Bill 1618, Third Reading
Telcser	
Day	Explains the Bill
Telcser	Senate Bill 1618 passed
Selcke	Senate Bill 1621, Third Reading
Telcser	
Shea	Leave to take Bill back to Second

58

59

60

SPEAKER

INFORMATION

271

72

73

Telcser	Leave granted
Walsh, W.	Question
Shea	Answer
Walsh, W.	
Bradley	
Blair	
Shea	Have to reconsider vote
Blair	Take it back to Second
Selcke	Amendment #3
Blair	Move to reconsider vote by which
Shea	Amendment #2 was adopted
Blair	Amendment #2 tabled
Juckett	Explains Amendment #3
Blair	
Shea	No objections to this Amendment
Blair	Amendment adopted
Selcke	Amendment #4
Blair	
Bradley	Explains Amendment #4
Blair	
Kempiners	Will Sponsor yield
Blair	Says he will
Kempiners	Question
Bradley	Answer
Kempiners)	
)	
Bradley)	
)	
Kempiners)	Discussion on Amendment
Blair	Amendment #4 adopted
Shea	Talks to the Bill
Blair	
Miller	Will Sponsor yield

SPEAKER

INFORMATION

274

Miller

Question

Shea

Answer

Miller)

)

Shea)

)

Miller)

Discussion

Blair

Senate Bill 1621 passed

Selcke

275

Blair

Selcke

Senate Bill 1467, Third Reading

Blair

Tipsword

To Explain the Bill

Blair

Leinenweber

Question of the Sponsor

Tipsword

Answer

Leinenweber)

)

Tipsword)

276

Blair

Senate Bill 1467 passed

Selcke

Senate Bill 1262

Blair

Take it out of the Record

Selcke

Blair

Selcke

Blair

Selcke

Blair

Selcke

Blair

Was 1326 read and advanced

Selcke

It was held

277

Blair

Let's go with 1326

Selcke

Read and held on Second-Amendments

Blair

Questioner

Explains Amendment #1

Blair	
Totten	Explains the Bill, urges 'no' vote on Amendment #1
Blair	
Skinner	Will Sponsor yield
Blair	Says he will
Skinner	Question
Deuster	Answer
Skinner	
Blair	
Deuster	To close
Blair	Clerk take the Record
Deuster	Requests poll of absentees
Blair	
Ryan	Change my vote 'aye' to 'nay'
Blair	Take a new Roll Call
Deuster	Withdraw request for poll of absentees
Blair	Amendment #1 fails
O'Brien	Amendment #2
Blair	
Shea	Explains Amendment
Blair	
Skinner	I don't have copy of Amendment
Blair	Has Amendment been distributed
O'Brien	Is that it
Skinner	That's #1
Unknown	Has it been printed
Blair	We're checking
Totten	If it hasn't, where do we stand
Blair	Holding pattern
Totten	
Unknown	Here they are

285

Blair	Message from the Senate
O'Brien	Reads message
Blair	
Shea	Moves to table Amendment #2
Blair	Amendment tabled
O'Brien	
Shea	It was just read a second time
O'Brien	
Blair	Read a second time-advance to Third
O'Brien	Third Reading
Blair	Third Reading
O'Brien	Senate Bill 1452, no Committee Amendments
Blair	
O'Brien	No Amendments
Blair	Third Reading
O'Brien	1492
Blair	1492
O'Brien	Senate Bill 1492-No Committee Amendments
Blair	Leave it on Second
O'Brien	Senate Bill 1500, Second Reading, One Committee Amendment
Blair	
Juckett	Table Amendment #1
Blair	Amendment Tabled
Juckett	Dyer has an Amendment
O'Brien	Amendment #2
Juckett	
Blair	
Dyer	Would like to table Amendment #2
Blair	Amendment #2 tabled
O'Brien	Amendment #3

236

287

288

289

290

Juckett

Explains Amendment

Blair

Discussion

Shea)

)

Juckett)

)

Shea)

)

Juckett)

Discussion on Amendment

Shea)

)

Juckett)

Blair

Amendment adopted

O'Brien

No Floor Amendments

Blair

Third Reading

O'Brien

Reads message from the Senate

Blair

House Bills Second Reading

O'Brien

House Bill 2687

Blair

Out of the Record

O'Brien

2882

Blair

Out of the Record

O'Brien

2883

Blair

He wants that out

O'Brien

2884

Blair

Out of the Record

O'Brien

2885

Blair

Out of the Record

O'Brien

2547

Blair

Out of the Record

O'Brien

2893

Blair

Do you want to read that

O'Brien

House Bill 2893, Second Reading,
No Committee Amendments

Blair

Amendments from the floor

O'Brien

Amendment #1

Blair

290

Hill

Collins

Explains Amendment

Hill

Opposes Amendment

Blair

Collins

To close

291

Blair

Amendment's adopted, Roll Call vote

Shea

Explain his position

Blair

Collins

Comment

Blair

Amendment fails, Third Reading

O'Brien

House Bill 2899, Second Reading,
No Committee Amendments

Blair

Shea

Bill was read second time before

Blair

No. Third Reading

Skinner

Searching for Amendments

Blair

292

O'Brien

Blair

Skinner

Could I have a copy of it

Blair

Will the Clerk furnish him a copy

O'Brien

House Bill 2623

Unknown

2633 ?

Blair

O'Brien

Blair

Take it out of the Record

O'Brien

No further Bill to be called on
Priority of Call

Blair

House Bills, Third Reading, Priority
of Call

O'Brien

House Bill 2244

Blair

Take it out of the Record

O'Brien

2522

293

294

295

<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
Blair	
Totten	Leave to table House Bill 2522
Balir	House Bill 2522 tabled
O'Brien	House Bill 2654
Blair	
Arnell	Leave to bring Bill back to Second
Blair	Second Reading
Arnell	
Blair	
Day	Will Clerk read Amendment
O'Brien	Amendment #1
Day	Moves to adopt Amendment #1
Blair	Gentleman moves adoption and asks leave to table Amendment-Tabled
O'Brien	Amendment #2
Blair	
Day	Explains Amendment
Blair	
Skinner	Question of Sponsor
Day	Answer
Skinner	
Blair	Amendment adopted
O'Brien	Amendment #3
Blair	
Deuster	Happy to table Amendment #2or 3
Blair	Moves adoption then asks leave to table Amendment #3, Third Reading
Shea	Question
Blair	
O'Brien	House Bill 2654, Third Reading
Arnell	Would like to defer to Mr. Day
Day	Explains Bill

296

SPEAKER

INFORMATION

Shea

Question

Day

Answer

Shea

Would be opposed for this Bill

Blair

Katz

Will the Sponsor yield

Day

Answers question

Katz)

)

Day)

)

Katz

Would like to speak on this

Blair

Juckett

Good Amendment

Telcser

Day

To close

Telcser

Katz

Comment

Telcser

Day

To explain his vote

Telcser

Take another Roll Call

Epton

Telcser

House Bill 2654 failed

O'Brien

House Bill 2480, Third Reading

Telcser

Giorgi

Urges support

Telcser

Tuerk

Three reasons why you should oppose this Bill

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
305		Telcser	
		Giorgi	To Close
		Telcser	
		Waddell	Urges a 'no' vote
306		Telcser	
		Kriegsman	Urges defeat of Bill
		Telcser	
		Cunningham	Urges 'no' vote
307		Telcser	
		Hudson	Urges more red lights
		Telcser	
		Grotberg	Explains his 'no' vote
308		Telcser	
		Tuerk	Asks for verification of vote
		Telcser	Verify affirmative Roll Call
		O'Brien	Calls affirmative Roll
		Telcser	
		Tuerk	Suggest Members be in their seats
309		Telcser	Point well taken
		Tuerk	Further suggestion
		Telcser	Continue Affirmative Roll Call
		O'Brien	Continues
		Telcser	Questions fo the affirmative Roll Call
310		Randolph	How recorded
		Telcser	How is he recorded
		O'Brien	Recorded as absent
		Randolph	"aye"
		Telcser	
		Springer	Vote me "aye"
		Telcser	
		Tuerk	Hanahan?

Telcser Hanahan in the aisle
Tuerk Berman?
Telcser He's in his seat
Tuerk Boyle?
Telcser How's he recorded
O'Brien 'aye'
Telcser Take him off
Tuerk Brinkmeier?
Telcser He's in his seat
Tuerk Capparelli?
Telcser He's in his seat
Tuerk Chapman
Telcser She's by her seat
Tuerk Cheate?
Telcser He's here in the back hallway
Tuerk Davis?
Telcser How's he recorded
O'Brien 'aye'
Telcser Take him off the Roll
Tuerk Douglas?
Telcser He's standing in the rear
Tuerk Farley?
Telcser By his seat
Tuerk Flinn?
Telcser How's he recorded
O'Brien 'aye'
Telcser Take him off the Roll
Tuerk Garmisa?
Telcser He's here
Tuerk Hart?
Telcser He's in the back

Tuerk	Hill?
Telcser	
Tuerk	I see him
Telcser	
Tuerk	Jim Holloway?
Telcser	By his seat
Tuerk	Emil Jones?
Telcser	Standing by his seat
Tuerk	Lechowicz?
Telcser	How's he recorded
O'Brien	'aye'
Telcser	Take him off the record
Tuerk	Keller?
Telcser	Take him off
Tuerk	Kelly?
Telcser	He's in the side aisle
Tuerk	Krause?
Telcser	He's in his seat
Tuerk	LaFleur?
Telcser	How's he recorded
O'Brien	'aye'
Telcser	Take him off
Tuerk	Laurino?
Telcser	He's in his seat
Tuerk	Leon?
Telcser	He's by his seat
Tuerk	Matijevich?
Telcser	He's here
Tuerk	McAuliffe?
Telcser	How's he recorded
O'Brien	'aye'

312A

SPEAKERINFORMATION

Telcser	Take him off the Roll
Tuerk	McAvoy?
Telcser	By the side door
Tuerk	McGah?
Telcser	How's he recorded
O'Brien	'aye'
Telcser	Take him off
Tuerk	McGrew?
Telcser	How's he recorded
O'Brien	'aye'
Telcser	Take him off
Tuerk	McPartlin?
Telcser	He's standing by Shea
Tuerk	Molloy?
Telcser	Standing by Palmer
Tuerk	Patrick?
Telcser	He's in his seat
Tuerk	Polk?
Telcser	He's in his seat
Tuerk	Rayson?
Telcser	How's he recorded
O'Brien	'aye'
Telcser	Take him off
Tuerk	Sevcik?
Telcser	By his seat
Tuerk	Stedelin
Telcser	How's he recorded
O'Brien	'aye'
Telcser	Take him off
Tuerk	Wall?

313

Tuerk Williams?

Telcser How's he recorded.

O'Brien 'aye'

Telcser Take him off

Tuerk Schneider?

Telcser Next to Pierce

Tuerk DiPrima?

Telcser He's here

Tuerk Catania?

Telcser She's in the Press Box

Tuerk Schraeder?

Telcser How's he recorded

O'Brien 'aye'

Telcser Take him off

Tuerk Bradley?

Telcser He's in the back

Tuerk Barry?

Telcser He's in the back

Tuerk Mc/Cormick?

Telcser He's here

Giorgi Verifiying people more than once

Tuerk

Telcser

Tuerk Sangmeister?

Telcser He's in his seat

Tuerk Schisler?

Telcser He's in his seat

Tuerk Thompson?

Telcser He's in his seat

Tuerk Thank you

Telcser

Dunne, Robert Record me 'no'

14

PAGE

SPEAKER

INFORMATION

315

Telcser

Hanahan

Telcser

Yourell

Protest vote

Telcser

Grotberg

Statement

Telcser

House Bill 2480 passed

316

Duff

Parliamentary inquiry

Telcser

State your point

Duff

Inquiry

Telcser

Answer

Duff

Are we going to follow priority call

Telcser

Duff

Telcser

O'Brien

House Bill 2487, Third Reading

Telcser

Lemke

Explains the Bill

317

Telcser

Tuerk

Talks to the Bill

318

Unknown

Telcser

Hanahan

Telcser

Lemke

To close

Telcser

Waddell

Speaks to the Bill

319

Telcser

Mr. Lemke to close

Lemke

To close

Telcser

Wolf, J.J.

Voting 'present'

Telcser

Take it out of the Record

O'Brien

House Bill 2894, Third Reading

Telcser

Duff

Asks favorable Roll Call

Telcser

House Bill 2894 passed

Hill

Record me 'no'

Telcser

Consideration Postponed

Davis

Wants add to Roll Call on 2480

Telcser

Gibbs

Leave

Telcser

Walsh

Objection

Telcser

Consideration Postponed, House
Bill 2343

Hoffman, R.

Explains the Bill

Telcser

Skinner

Talks on the Bill

Telcser

Hoffman, R.

Explains his vote

Telcser

House Bill 2343 passed

Gibbs

Introduction

Telcser

House Bill 2425

Duff

Moves to table Bill

Telcser

House Bill 2425 tabled

Duff

Telcser

Consideration Postponed House Bill
2675

Grotberg

Asks favorable Roll Call

Telcser

Grotberg

Yields to Representative Skinner

Telcser

House Bill 2675 failed, Senate Bill
408

326

Randolph Leave to take Bill back to Second
 Telcser Return the Bill to Second Reading
 Randolph For an Amendment
 Telcser Clerk read the Amendment
 Selcke Amendment #1
 Telcser
 Deavers Explains Amendment and moves adoption
 Telcser
 Kennedy Didn't hear explanation
 Deavers Explains Amendment again
 Kennedy Question
 Deavers Answer

327

Kennedy
 Deavers
 Kennedy
 Telcser
 Washington Question of Sponsor of Amendment
 Deavers Answer
 Washington
 Deavers
 Telcser

328

Houlihan, J. Vote me 'present'
 Telcser Amendment #1 adopted, Third Reading
 Deavers Explains the amended Bill
 Telcser
 Giorgi Ask the Sponsor a question
 Telcser Indicates he'll yield
 Giorgi Question
 Deavers Answer
 Giorgi)
)
 Deavers) Discussion on Bill

329

SPEAKER

INFORMATION

Telcser

Matijevich

Question of Sponsor

Deavers

Answer

Telcser

Senate Bill 408 passed, House Bill 2304

Shea

Leave to hear House Bill 2280 too

Telcser

Houlihan, J.

Explain 2280

Shea

Explains the Bill

330

Houlihan, J.

Shea

Houlihan, J.

Never objected

Shea

Telcser

Shea

Explains House Bill 2280

Telcser

Pierce

Will Sponsor yield

Shea

Pierce

Question

331

Shea

Answer

Pierce)

Shea)

)

Pierce)

Discussion on Bill

Shea)

)

Pierce)

Telcser

Giorgi

Question

Shea

Answer

332

Giorgi)

Shea)

)

Giorgi)

Discussion of Bill

Shea)

Telcser

Schlickman

Will Sponsor yield

Telcser

Indicates he will

Schlickman

Question

Shea

Schlickman)

Shea)

Schlickman)

Shea)

Discussion of Bill

Schlickman)

Shea)

Schlickman)

Telcser

Beaupre

This Bill has been discussed

Schlickman

Bill discriminates

Shea)

Schlickman)

Shea)

Discussion

Schlickman)

Shea)

Schlickman)

Shea)

Schlickman)

Shea)

Schlickman)

Telcser

Hudson

Talks on the Bill

Telcser

Unknown

Telcser

Hudson

Urges a red light

Telcser

334

335

336

337

SPEAKER

INFORMATION

338

Unknown

Telcser

Houlihan, J.

Will Sponsor yield

Telcser

Indicates he will

Houlihan, J.

Question

Telcser

Houlihan, J.

Question

Shea

Answer

Houlihan, J.

Members should vote 'present'

Telcser

339

Laurino

Move previous question

Telcser

Shea

To close

Telcser

Shea

340

Telcser

Take a new Roll Call

Shea

Telcser

House Bills 2280 and 2304 failed

Telcser

House Bill 2640

341

Blair

Leave to bring Bill back to Second

Telcser

Leave granted, Read Amendment

Selcke

Amendment #31

Telcser

Blair

Moves adoption of Amendment

Telcser

Amendment adopted

Selcke

Amendment #32

Telcser

Blair

Explain Amendment

Telcser

Amendment adopted

Selcke

Amendment #33

Telcser

343

Blair

Berry

Selcke

Amendment #33

Telcser

Blair

Explains Amendment

Telcser

Pierce

Question of the Sponsor

Blair

Answer

Pierce

Blair

Pierce

Sounds like good Amendment

Telcser

344

Houlihan, J.

Point of personal privilege

Telcser

Collins

Point of Order

Telcser

Houlihan, J.

Telcser

Amendment adopted

Selcke

Amendment #34

Blair

Explains Amendment

345

Telcser

Skinner

Question

Blair

Answer

Skinner

Address the Amendment

Telcser

Proceed

Skinner

Addresses Amendment

346

Telcser

Blair

Leave to table House Bill 2640

Telcser

House Bill 2640 tabled

347

Telcser

Senate Bill 678

Friedland

Leave to hear Senate Bill 1149 at the same time

SPEAKER

INFORMATION

Telcser

Any objections, Leave granted

Friedland

Explains Senate Bill 678

Telcser

Schlickman

Will Sponsor yield

Telcser

Indicated he will

Schlickman

Question

Friedland

Answer

Schlickman

Friedland

Schlickman

Friedland

Schlickman

Friedland

Schlickman

Discussion of Bill

Friedland

Schlickman

Friedland

Schlickman

Friedland

Schlickman

Friedland

Schlickman

Friedland

Telcser

LaFleur

Move previous question

Telcser

Roll Call requested

LaFleur

Telcser

LaFleur

Telcser

Ewell

Will Sponsor yield

Friedland

Ewell

Question

348

a

49

250

TIME

SPEAKER

INFORMATION

352

353

Telcser

To close

Friedland

Telcser

Shall these two Bills pass

Hoffman, R.

Solicits 'aye' vote

Telcser

Senate Bills 678 and 1149 failed

Walsh

Moves House Adjourn until 11 a.m.

Telcser

First Special Session come to order

Washburn

Announcement

Telcser

Collins

Announcement

Telcser

Rayson

Leave to be recorded 'aye' on House Bill 2840 (2880)

Telcser

Was a verified Roll Call-Regular Session, This is Special Session

Rayson

Telcser

We'll take care of it in the A.M. W. Walsh does move the First Special Session Do stand adjourned