

Speaker Telcser: "The House will come to order. Our prayer will be by Dr. Johnson."

Dr. Johnson: "Heavenly Father, we... is upon You, bring our concerns before You, and share our cares with You because You are concerned about those who heed Your gracious invitation to call upon Your name. Preserve us this day from those fears which would disable us and from all selfish anxiety which would render us unable to meet effectively the issues that confront us. Help us to walk this day in the light of Your presence and to be so guided by Your will that we be found numbered among those who are blessed by You in order to be a blessing to others. Help us to walk together with our colleagues in that peace which is most readily seen in agreement, but would still transcend all our disagreements. Hear us, O God, our refuge and our strength. Amen."

Speaker Telcser: "Roll Call for attendance. Committee Reports."

Clerk Selcke: "Mr. Wall from Registration and Regulation to which Senate Bill 925 was referred; reported the same back with the recommendation the Bill do pass and be re-referred to Appropriations. Mr. Randolph from Revenue to which Senate Bill 29 and 192 were referred; reported the same back with Amendments thereto with the recommendation the Amendments be adopted and Bills as amended do pass. Mr. Randolph from the Committee on Revenue to which Senate Bill 38, 326, 462, 780, 1052, 1076 and 1169 were referred; reported the same back with the recommendation the Bill do pass. Mr. McAvoy from Banks and Savings and Loan to which Senate Bills 886, 887, 888 were referred; recommend the Bills be assigned to Interim Study Calendar. Mr. Wall from Registration and Regulation to which Senate Bills 350, 351, 796, 1068 were referred; recommend the Bills be assigned to Interim Study Calendar. Mr. Randolph from Revenue to which House Bill 1902 was referred; recommend the Bill be assigned to Interim Study Calendar. Mr. Randolph from Revenue to which Senate Bills 797, 806 were referred; recommend they be assigned to Interim Study Calendar. Mr. Neff from Transportation to which Senate Bill 246 was referred; recommend be assigned to Interim Study Calendar. Mr. McAvoy from Banks and Savings and Loan to which House Bills 1386, 1487, 1488, 1489, 1490 were referred; returned to same pursuant



to Rule 23D the Bills were ordered tabled. Mr. Rose from Judiciary I to which House Bill 1815, 1895 were referred; returned to same pursuant to Rule 23D were tabled. Mr. Wall from Registration and Regulation to which House Bills 1167, 1168, 1331 were referred; returned to same pursuant to Rule 23D were ordered tabled. No further Committee Reports."

Speaker Telcser: "Introduction and First Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 1998, Grotberg. Creates the Regional Prepaid Motorists Transit Act. First Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Agreed Resolutions."

Clerk Selcke: "House Resolution 441, Hirschfeld. House Resolution 442, Huskey et al. House Resolution 443, Huskey et al. 443 is deleted from the Agreed Resolutions. House Resolution 444, Getty et al. House Resolution 445, Stiehl et al. House Resolution 446, Lechowicz et al. House Resolution 448, DiPrima et al."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

W. D. Walsh: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Resolution 441 asks that we join with the... oh, join with the parishioners of St. Patrick's Church and all his many friends in congratulating Father Charles Martell on the 40th anniversary of his priesthood. House Resolution 442 congratulates the officials and the citizens of the Village of Evergreen Park on the 80th anniversary of the incorporation of their village. House Resolution 444 by Representative Getty, congratulates the Dolton-South Holland Jr. Women's Club on receiving an unprecedented three first place awards at the state convention. House Resolution 445 by Representative Stiehl congratulates Mrs. Willard C. Scrivner upon her election as national President of the Women's Auxiliary to the American Medical Association. And House Resolution 446 by Representative Lechowicz congratulates Holy Trinity Parish on its 100th anniversary in Chicago. House Resolution 448 commends Frank Bottelligero who held the position of Department Chairman of Rehabilitation for American Legion for more than 20 years. And we have House Resolution 443 back on the Agreed List and it urges the municipalities of Evergreen Park, Oak Lawn, Alsip, Crestwood, Blue Island, Palos Heights, Palos Park, Orland Park, Westhaven,



Tinley Park, Chicago Ridge, Worth, Bridgeview, Hickory Hills, Justice, Willow Springs, and Burbank to consider taking appropriate action to form a local mass transit district. Mr. Speaker, I move the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The Resolutions are adopted. The Gentleman from Union, Representative Choate."

Choate: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would like to draw your attention to some extremely fine people in the rear gallery. The daughter of Representative Fary, Mary Ann and his son-in-law, Ed Back in the back gallery. And Representative Fary's grandchildren, Lara and Larry and the little one is Little Judy. They're accompanied by a neighbor's daughter in the rear gallery."

Speaker Telcser: "Consent Calendar, Third Reading, Third Day."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 116. Amends the Criminal Code. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 160. Amends an Act in relation to criminal identification and investigation. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 195. Amends the Municipal Code. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 535. Amends the Firearms and Firearms Ammunition Act. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 556. Amends the Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 588. Amends an Act relating to the Department of Mental Health. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 600. Amends the Vehicle Code. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 688. Amends the County Hospitals Governing Commission Act. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 747. Amends the Municipal Code. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 805. Amends the Vehicle Code. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 854. Amends the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 871. Amends the Highway Code. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 872. Amends the Highway Code. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 894. Creates the Mobile Home Safety Act. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 900. Amends the Public Aid Code. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 902. Amends the Public Aid Code. Third



Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 933. Amends an Act relating to Supreme Court Law Clerks. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 943. Amends an Act in relation to Mental Health Zone Centers. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The question is shall these... The question is shall these Bills pass. Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the Gentleman from Lake, Representative Pierce."

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, I'm wondering on these ah... Consent Calendar Bills if we have checked them all to make sure whether any of them might need an extraordinary majority of three-fifths or 107 votes on home rule powers. I know I haven't been doing that. I have to admit that. I wonder whether someone is doing that?"

Speaker Telcser: "I'm informed that the Chairman of the Challenging Consent Committee, Representative Day, ah... has been doing that and he's in his seat. He's smiling and I see him with an affirmative smile."

Pierce: "I think they're getting... most of them seem to be getting 107 anyway, but they ah... I wonder... All right, now we can proceed."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, thank you. The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Matijevec."

Matijevec: "I think under our Rules, we can ask some questions even though they're on the Consent Calendar. I see Representative Neff is at his desk. Representative Neff, ah... ah... maybe it's because I've always been suspicious of my former colleague ah... Senator Connally's Bills, but I, I notice on House Bill, er... Senate 871 provides that the Department of Transportation may contract with any other highway authority concerning the jurisdiction of a highway or a portion thereof as well as for other authorized purposes. Can you, can you give me a brief ah... explanation of that Bill so that I'm sure that ah... it's all right with me?"

Neff: "Ah... I'm sorry, which Bill are you talking about?"

Matijevec: "I'm talking about Senate Bill 871 which you are the ah... the House Sponsor."

Neff: "Just a second please. Mr. Speaker, ah... if you'll hold this and I'll get my records and go over and talk to..."

Matijevec: "Could, could you answer this, Clarence, did we defeat a



similar House Bill such as 871? Are you aware of that?"

Neff: "No, not to my knowledge."

Matijevich: "I wonder would it be too late, Mr. Speaker, if I could be joined by six ah... Members and take this off the ah... Consent Calendar because I think it, it may be one that ah... we've had some problems with and until I'm sure that it's not the similar Bill, I'd like to be joined by ah... five others and take it off, both 871 and 872 and take it off the Consent Calendar."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Kane, Representative Hill."

Hill: "Mr. Speaker, I certainly would want to join in that request also because a ah... House Bill either like this or quite similar to it ah... certainly went through a lengthy debate. I'm sure it was on Third Reading and I would like to be ah... ah... go along with Representative Matijevich."

Speaker Telcser: "Is the Gentleman joined by five other Members? Yes, he is. So then ah... we'll call for the question, the Consent Calendar, Third Reading, Third Day for all the Bills except Senate Bill 872. Is that... Oh, is it 871? 871 and 872. Okay? Those two Bills will be taken off the Consent Calendar and put on whatever order of business the Rules provide for. The question is shall these Bills pass. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Take the record. J.J. Wolf 'aye'. On this question 105 'ayes', no 'nays' and these Bills having received the Constitutional majority are hereby declared passed. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

W.D. Walsh: "Well, Mr. Speaker, our former colleague and former Mayor of Pekin, Illinois, Norm Shade is in the rear of the gallery and we ought to give Norm a hand."

Speaker Telcser: "Senate Bills, Second Reading. Representative Catania is not on the floor. Senate Bill 83."

Clerk Selcke: "Bill 83, Walters. An Act in relation to State Bike-way Program. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends Senate Bill 83 on page 1, line 2 and so forth."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Madison, Representative Walters."

Walters: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would like to move to table Amendment



#1, Committee Amendment. I have another Amendment in lieu of that. We had some technical problems with the Department of Transportation and Representative Keller had a problem that we couldn't work out in Committee and we agreed on that since this time and the Chairman of the Committee, Representative Neff, had a problem which we have worked out in this second Amendment. Therefore, I'd like to move to table Amendment #1."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has moved to table Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 83. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The Amendment is tabled. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #2, Walters. Amends Senate Bill 83 and..."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Madison, Representative Walters."

Walters: "Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Members of the House, I'd like to move to adopt Amendment #1 which clarifies the point that I discussed earlier which Representative Keller had and it makes it a very good Bill. We include in this Amendment some language about commuters and also about establishing an inner-agency console, adding in the O.S.P.I. Department to that, and deleted the words 'fail' and put in 'registration has been approved'. I move for adoption of Amendment #1."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 83. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The Amendment's adopted. Are there further Amendments? Third Reading. The next Bill is going to be held at request of the Sponsor. Ah... Sen... Representative Gibbs on the Floor? Nope? Senate Bill 206."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 206, Day. Amends an Act relating to County Zoning. Ah... Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends Senate Bill 206 on page..."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Day."

Day: "Ah... Mr. Speaker, that was an Amendment that was ah... Sponsored I believe by Representative Skinner. I think he would like to present that Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from... it's a Committee Amendment now, Representative Day. Was this by Representative Skinner? Representative Skinner, do you wish to put a motion relative to Committee



Amendment #1? You want this out of the record? Okay, take it out of the record. Senate Bill 220. Representative Tuerk on the floor? Senate Bill 220. He's over there, Fred. There he is."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 220."

Speaker Telcser: "Take them out of the record. 'Senate Bill 225."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 225. A Bill for an Act to amend the Revenue Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, Amendments from the floor. Third Reading. Senate Bill 241. Oh, Gentleman from Cook, ah... Representative William Walsh."

W.D. Walsh: "Would you take that back to Second, Mr. Speaker? I'm expecting an Amendment due ah..."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, we'll leave 225 on the order of Second Reading. Oh, take it out of the record. Senate Bill 241. Are there any Amendments? All right, out of the record. Representative Collins is here. Senate Bill 206."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 206. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act relating to county zoning. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends Senate Bill 206 on page..."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from... Who's got this now? McHenry, Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, ah... Amendment #1 is a home rule Amendment and I move its adoption."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Pierce. Representative Pierce is in Choate's seat."

Pierce: "Is the effect of this Amendment ah... I'm asking a question of the Gentleman from McHenry if he'll answer it on the Amendment. Is the effect of the Amendment to remove Cook County as, as ah... an entity from ah... Senate Bill 206, is that right?"

Skinner: "To the best of my knowledge it is."

Pierce: "And it doesn't do anything in any other county even where a ah... a home rule unit may be within a mile and a half, am I right?"

Skinner: "Well, it certainly shouldn't."

Pierce: "Because of county zoning, you're only exempting ah... Cook County from this Act, but Peoria, say, which is a home rule unit and might be a mile and a half from some county zoning area, within



a mile and a half, would still be... be under the ah... Bill Sponsored by Senator Sours', is that right?"

Skinner: "Representative Pierce, since Representative Day is from Peoria County and the problem is from... is, is...has been found in the County of Peoria, I would assume they want Peoria in."

Pierce: "I really can't hear you, sir, and you usually have a strong voice."

Skinner: "The answer is 'yes'."

Pierce: "Well thank you. I can't remember my question, but thank you for the 'yes' answer."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Committee Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 206. All in favor of adoption signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The Amendment's adopted. Are there further Amendments? Third Reading. Is there another Amendment?"

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #2, Skinner. Amends Senate Bill 206 on page 1."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, I offer Amendment #2 which tightens up the provisions of this Bill. The problem that this Bill had or the problem it's trying to meet is that some townships do not have a public building in which to hold a public meeting on a zoning change. So the suggestion was made that if there's a public building within 10 miles that they be used... allowed to use that public meeting, that public building. This seems to me to be a bit too loose. It seems to me that we ought to make it the closest available public building to the zoning change. And that is what this Amendment does. And I move its adoption."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Pierce."

Pierce: "Isn't that still a little loose? Wouldn't the public building be a local ah... the closest sewer plant. It might ah... why don't you say a public ah... building that is ah... appropriate for a zoning area. It may be a little sewer plant that's nearby. That's a public building, isn't it?"

Skinner: "Well, Dan, I understand the objection you're making, but I really don't think the county officials are going to be that irresponsible. Ah... what I'm trying to do is make them hold it as close



as is possible. Now I would assume under... if you wanted to interpret this Bill or this Amendment to mean that it had to be suitable for a public meeting that then the sewer plant would not ah... qualify."

Pierce: "You might have a little ah... county highway department building that was a public building and it might have a little conference room in it ah... but you say the closest public building and I... and you don't say suitable to hold a zoning ah... County Zoning Board meeting in it. I think you could of tightened up ah... that language ah... quite a bit."

Skinner: "May I... may I respond by telling you what I was trying to avoid. Ah... Crystal Lake is approximately 10 miles from Woodstock. I don't want a zoning hearing for the edge of Algonquin Township being held in the County Court House which is 10 miles away and if some Amendment like this doesn't get on, that's what they could do and I don't think either of us want county government to have that type of discretion. Now the objection you raised is valid and I certainly would be willing to attempt to make these, you know, make the Amendment ah... or offer another Amendment to put in the word, 'suitable' ah... I would have no objection to that whatsoever."

Pierce: "Okay, then you'll hold... we've adopted the first Amendment. Then you'll hold ah... hold it on Second Reading and ah... revise the second Amendment, Amendment #2?"

Skinner: "Well, I think I'd have to offer Amendment #3, but I will be happy to do that."

Pierce: "Well you can go ahead and offer Amendment #3 if you want and hold the Bill on Second Reading for a new Amendment #2. Why don't you just pull it out of the record having adopted ah... Amendment #1, hold it on Second Reading for the new Amendment #2."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Day."

Day: "Well in response to ah... Representative ah... Pierce's inquiry on this, I would point out that this is permissive. This is permissive legislation, Representative Pierce. It doesn't require them to ah... hold the meeting ah... in the closest available public building. It simply provides that it may be held there. Many of these



ah... hearings in ah... in small areas ah... sparsely populated areas could very well be held in the ah... ah... meeting room of the highway garage. It would be most convenient for most people, but it doesn't require, this provides that they may hold it there."

Pierce: "All right, well it's your Bill. I mean ah... I don't mind it, but I just hope that we don't have to attend a zoning hearing in a sewer plant because it's the closest available public building."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 206. All in favor of adoption signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The Amendment's adopted. Are there further Amendments? Third Reading. Senate Bill 197."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 197. Amends an Act relating to trusts and trustees. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Telcser: "Are there Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #1, Gibbs. Amends Senate Bill 197 in the House..."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Sangamon, Representative Gibbs."

Gibbs: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #1 is just a technical Amendment. It changed 4.21 to 4.20 which was a typographical error. I move for its adoption."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 197. All in favor of adoption signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments? Third Reading. Representative Collins, nope. Senate Bill 464."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 464. A Bill for an Act to amend the Public Utility Revenue Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Telcser: "Are there Amendments from the floor? Third Reading. Senate Bill 519."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 519. An Act relating to easement in Peoria County. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Telcser: "Are there Amendments from the floor? Third Reading. Senate Bill 580."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 580. An Act to provide for an Aurora Metropolitan Exposition Auditorium and Office Building Authority. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."



Speaker Telcser: "Are there Amendments from the floor? Third Reading.
Senate Bill 594."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 594. Creates the Peoria Civic Center
Authority Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Telcser: "Are there Amendments from the floor? Third Reading.
Senate Bill 613."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 613. Amends the Library Systems Act. Second
Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Telcser: "Are there Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #1, Pierce. Amends Senate Bill 613 page..."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Pierce on the floor? What about Re-
presentative Calvo, is he on the floor? The Gentleman from Lake,
Representative Pierce."

Pierce: "In the absence of ah... Representative Calvo, ah... I think
we should take this out of the record because he will oppose my..."

Speaker Telcser: "Take it out of the record. Senate Bill 641. Take
it out of the record. Senate Bill 667."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 667. An Act to authorize the purchase of
insurance to protect against claims and so forth. Second Reading
of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Telcser: "Are there Amendments from the floor? Third Reading.
695."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 695. An Act to amend the Illinois Bicen-
tennial Commission Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee
Amendments."

Speaker Telcser: "Are there Amendments from the floor? Third Reading.
Senate Bill 711."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 711. Amends an Act relating to Secretary
of State. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Telcser: "Are there Amendments from the floor? Third Reading.
Senate Bill 764."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 764. Amends the Northeastern Illinois
Planning Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Telcser: "Are there Amendments from the floor? Third Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 737. Amends the... We got an Amendment on
that? Madigan here? Out of the record. How about 738? No, he's



Speaker ~~Tele...~~ ~~Are there Amendments from the floor?~~ Third Reading.
Senate ~~Bill 611~~

Clerk Selcer: "Senate Bill 594. Creates the Peoria Civic Center Authority. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker ~~Tele...~~ ~~Are there Amendments from the floor?~~ Third Reading.
Senate ~~Bill 611~~

Clerk Selcer: "Senate Bill 613. Amends the Library Systems Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker ~~Tele...~~ ~~Are there Amendments from the floor?~~

Clerk Selcer: "Senate Bill 611, Pierce. Amends Senate Bill 613 page..."

Speaker ~~Tele...~~ "Representative Pierce on the floor? What about Representative Calvo, is he on the floor? The Gentleman from Lake, Representative ~~Tele...~~"

Pierce: "The Gentleman is ah... Representative Calvo, ah... I think we should ~~Tele...~~ out of the record because he will oppose my..."

Speaker ~~Tele...~~ "Take it out of the record. Senate Bill 641. Take it out of the record. Senate Bill 667."

Clerk Selcer: "Senate Bill 667. An Act to authorize the purchase of insurance against claims and so forth. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker ~~Tele...~~ ~~Are there Amendments from the floor?~~ Third Reading.
695."

Clerk Selcer: "Senate Bill 695. An Act to amend the Illinois Bicentennial ~~Tele...~~ Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker ~~Tele...~~ ~~Are there Amendments from the floor?~~ Third Reading.
Senate ~~Bill 711~~

Clerk Selcer: "Senate Bill 711. Amends an Act relating to Secretary of State ~~Tele...~~ Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker ~~Tele...~~ ~~Are there Amendments from the floor?~~ Third Reading.
Senate ~~Bill 734~~

Clerk Selcer: "Senate Bill 734. Amends the Northeastern Illinois Planning ~~Tele...~~ Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker ~~Tele...~~ ~~Are there Amendments from the floor?~~ Third Reading."

Clerk Selcer: "Senate Bill 737. ~~Tele...~~ We got an Amendment on that? ~~Tele...~~ How about 738? No, he's



not here either. 811. How about 817? 817. He's here, he wants it. No, we didn't do any."

Speaker Telcser: "Senate Bill 817."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 817. An Act to amend Sections 1, 2, 3, and 4 of Article 9 of the Alcoholic... of an Act relating to alcoholic liquors. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends Senate Bill 817 page 9 and so forth."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative D.L. Houlihan."

D.L. Houlihan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen, ah... Amendment #1 is a Committee Amendment which deletes a proposed change in the Bill ah... and allows the statute to remain in the same form as it presently exists ah... regarding to the signing of the proposition petition. Ah... The change is suggested by the Sponsor of the Bill and I move its adoption."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman has offered... The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Ewell."

Ewell: "Would you explain what the change is? In other words, I'd like to know what the change was from to to on this Amendment. Oh, okay. No questions."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 817. All in favor of adoption signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments."

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #2, Houlihan. Amends Senate Bill 817 in the House on page 2..."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Houlihan."

D.L. Houlihan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #2 is a clarifying Amendment ah... there was a mistake in the drafting of this Bill as to ah... when the proposition petition should be filed. However, the mistake was that they put this in a definition section as to the next ensuing election. This Amendment will delete that mistake so that the Act will remain in its present form, clarifying definition and I move its adoption."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 817. All in favor of adoption signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The



Amendment's adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #3, Houlihan. Amends Senate Bill 817 in the House on page 3 by deleting lines 12 through 24."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative D.L. Houlihan."

D.L. Houlihan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #3 comes about as a result of the fact that House Bill 540 which is ah... an Amendment to the same Act that we are considering. That Bill has now passed the Senate. Accordingly the Statement of Intention which is provided for in Senate Bill 817, no longer is necessary. What this Amendment would do would be to delete the necessity of filing a Statement of Intention to institute a ah... proposition petition pursuant to this Act. Ah... the effect would be to leave the Act in its present form and I move the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 817. All in favor of adoption signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments? Third Reading. Senate... Is Representative Neff here? I don't see Clarence, do you? Representative Campbell on the floor? Nope. Where's ah... Ryan? Representative Ryan. No. Senate Bill 931."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 931. A Bill for an Act to amend the Environmental Protection Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Telcser: "Are there Amendments from the floor? Third Reading. Senate Bill 1006."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1006. Amends the Governmental Ethics Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Telcser: "Are there Amendments from the floor? Third Reading. Let's go back to Senate Bill 393. That's Representative Collins'."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 393. Amends the Election Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Telcser: "Are there Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #1, Ewell. Amends Senate Bill 393 on page 1, lin..."

Speaker Telcser: "Is Representative Ewell on the floor? Representative Collins, do you know anything about Representative Ewell's Amendment



to your Senate Bill 393?"

Collins: "I only that I'm opposed to it."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, the Gentleman is not on the floor. Do you want me to take it out of the record or do you want to go ahead with it. If he's not here, that's his responsibility as far as I'm concerned."

Collins: "Well, Mr. Speaker, this is the third time it's been called on Second and ah... once I wasn't here and twice when Representative Ewell was not here. I am going to oppose that Amendment. So I ah... naturally I'd want to advance. Ah... if you wish to extend the courtesy to Representative Ewell, go ahead."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there anyone on Representative Ewell's side of the aisle who could put his Amendment or offer it? The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Pierce."

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, Representative Ewell just walked off the floor. He was just here. If you come back to that in five or ten minutes, he was in his seat and he just walked off the floor within the last ah... four or five minutes."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, I'm prepared to advance to the order of Third Reading unless the Sponsor says otherwise."

Pierce: "He'll be here any second. He may even... he may even be in the library."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, Representative Ewell, do you wish to offer your Amendment to Senate Bill 393?"

Ewell: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, ah... Amendment to Senate Bill #393 ah... is a very simple Amendment in Amendment #1. And all it does is declare that there shall no photographing, televising or other visual recording within the precinct polling place without the unanimous consent of the Judges of Elections which may be granted or withdrawn at any time during the hours the polls are open. Now this Amendment allows them to photograph, count, and do everything they want after the polls are closed, but it's... and during the counting. However, it does... the thrust of the Amendment is that the Judges are in charge of the election procedure and therefore, just like in the House here, the Speaker is in control of the photographing of the House. If at any time the Speaker decides that he



doesn't want the House photographed, he simply turns off the lights. Now when it will not complicate the election procedures, the Judges just very simply grant permission. Now all we're trying to do is to give a degree of autonomy and control to the Judges because as you know, if you say that they can photograph, bring in all of their equipment, it would be the same process as allowing all of the television cameras that are here in the Statehouse to be allowed to come into one very small room where people are trying to conduct an orderly election process. This Bill, Amendment does nothing whatsoever to take away from the power of the... does nothing whatsoever to bar newsmen... (microphone turned off) ...are allowed but the only thing we don't want to do is to have them bringing in all types of camera, all types of equipment. One of the complaints we had and one of the chief complaints is that when you start off to make it a park or something where you are photographing every person as they come into the polls, this is indeed sort of invasion of the right of privacy of the individuals who are voting and the housewives in particular, they come in because they have on their ah... curlers, they aren't looking presentable, and they more or less resent the fact that they be forced to be photographed. Now... and that's all that the Amendment simply does ah... It's a good Amendment and ah... I would ask that the Amendment be adopted."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Collins."

Collins: "Ah... well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, in urging the defeat of this Amendment, I would suggest, to use the ah... Sponsor's words, that all it does is effectively gut this Bill. Now we... and obviously the design of this Amendment is to gut this Bill. Now we have drawn safeguards into the Bill, this Bill, that would allow representatives of the press in the polling place. We have given the authority to grant such ah... ah... such ah... authority to the representatives of the press to the Boards of Election Commissioners and to the County Clerks. The safeguards are there. The polling place won't be cluttered if the County Clerk or the Board of Election Commissioners feel that they will impede the orderly process of election. Now this Amendment says that no one can be in the polling place ah... join such activity unless they



receive unanimous consent of the Judges in the polling place. Now obviously in the polling places that are trying to cover something up or where something may be going on that the Judges wouldn't want photographed, you're not going to get unanimous consent. I, I suggest to the Members of this House ah... that this Amendment ah... isn't even a subtle attempt to ah... to ah... cripple this Bill and completely gut it. And I would urge an overwhelmingly ah... overwhelming 'no' vote ah... against this Amendment. Ah... Amendment, er... ah... Senate Bill 393 is a Bill that did pass this House in the last Session. It successfully passed the Senate this time and I urge that all Members of the House join me in supporting this good piece of legislation and defeating this very bad Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? Any further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

W.D. Walsh: "I would just like to echo the remarks of the Chairman of the Executive Committee and the Sponsor of this Bill. It seems to me, Mr. Speaker, that if the Gentleman doesn't like the Bill, he ought to oppose it on Third Reading and beat it at that time, if he can. This Amendment does great damage to the Bill and I don't see how the Sponsor of the Bill if the Amendment is adopted, can possibly continue with it. I think this is a disguise as to the Gentleman's real purpose. He doesn't like the idea of the news media being able to go into some polling places and observe some of the things that are going on there. Now if he doesn't like that, he ought to stand up and say he doesn't like it and beat the Bill and not do it by putting the onus on the Judges of Election who are dictated to by their precinct captains. I suggest to you this is an evil Amendment and it should be defeated."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lundy."

Lundy: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise to oppose the Amendment on the following grounds. It seems to me that if the Amendment is adopted, we would be in a rather anomalous position in some polling places of having four Judges who want the media there and having one Judge, perhaps the Judge whose actions are the ah... subject that the... that the other four Judges want photographed or reported, being in a position by simply



withdrawing his consent to block the presence of the media there. Now it seems to me that the purpose of the Bill ah... could certainly be frustrated by ah... by allowing simply one Judge to, to block the desires of, of a majority of the Judges ah... I don't see the purpose in requiring unanimous consent. I think if a majority of the Judges want the media there, they ought to be there. And I would urge, strongly urge a 'no' vote on this Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from ah... DuPage, Representative Hudson. You're on, Representative Hudson. Are you seeking recognition?"

Hudson: "I'm sorry, yes, Mr. Speaker. I'm sorry. I was a Member of the Elections Committee where this Bill was heard. I rise to oppose the Amendment. I think, flatly put, this has been stated before. I realize I'm not ah... speaking at this time on the merits of the Bill itself, but on the Amendment. The Amendment, if passed, would absolutely gut the intent of the Bill. It's as clear as that. It's as simple as that. And I would recommend and I would urge that all of our Members ah... watch this Amendment closely and vote against it."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Adams, Representative McClain."

McClain: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Amendment of Ray Ewell's does not gut the Bill. It does not prevent the news media from coming into a precinct to observe what is going on in the precinct. All it permits is a unanimous consent of the Election Judges. Now I'd like to talk about the average John Doe going into a precinct voting. A gentleman who does not speak the English language, a senior citizen who needs help or a young person who might make an error in voting is not going to come back out and ask an Election Judge to help them if they feel like they are going to be on radio, TV, or maybe written in a newspaper. This would be... it would cause a great deal of havoc on these kind of people. I think the motives of the Sponsor of the Bill are laudatory. I think the motives of the man Sponsoring this Amendment is the same, but I think we have to be concerned about the, the small guy on the street who wants to vote and might be threatened by this kind of media. I'd urge your support for the



Amendment. Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Washington."

Washington: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Telcser: "Indicates he will."

Washington: "Representative Ewell, is this a home rule Amendment?"

Ewell: "The answer to that is 'yes' and 'no', but to be a little more specific. It's simply applying the same standards, the same rules that this House applies to photographing and television. We cannot restrict reporters, the news media or anyone else from coming in and observing the orderly and the... orderly and effective process of this House. We do not choose to do so. I am in support of this form of Bill. However, we feel that it does need a simple Amendment. The, the Sponsors use adjectives such as evil, no good, and a bad Amendment and good Bill, but what they fail to do is very simply read the Amendment. The Amendment makes no attempt whatsoever to bar any person as an observer, as a reporter, or in any other capacity from a polling place. All it simply says is that the members of the polling place ought to have the same rights as this House has in determining when everything can be photographed. Now there are Members of this House who are known to like to take a nap or two and of course they don't want to be photographed in their seat taking a nap and having the picture sent back to their district for everybody to say this is the only thing that you have to do? And of course there are Members who might be eating at their desks and they don't want this type of picture just disseminated in any form or fashion back in the community and all this simple Amendment does is provide that the, that the Judges of Election just like the Speaker of the House has right to flip that little red button on or off. There's nothing innocuous about this Amendment. There's no attempt whatsoever to gut the Bill because I'm going to help vote for the Bill and urge the passage of it on the House floor, but I'm simply saying that you've got to protect the same rights of housewife, of the individual in the polling place that the Members in this Body seek to protect also. When the red light is on, you can photograph. There's no argument about that. Now I'm saying in the polling places, the Judges ought to have the right to



grant permission to photograph. And the way the Bill is written, if they grant permission, they would need unanimous consent to take it back again. It's not a question of one Judge saying, 'well there's something I don't want photographed'. You're simply saying that they have the right, if they grant the right to photograph, obviously you'd need the entire consent in order to take it away. It's a good Amendment. It places the people and the voters in the same position as the Members of this House are and if there's any Member in this House who says, 'I don't mind being photographed at my desk at any time, with or without that red light' and if we're willing to make those changes and apply the same rules to ourselves, then I would suggest perhaps we're ready to say that perhaps the Amendment isn't needed. And I say it's like a home rule Amendment insofar as it does the same thing for the polling places that we are doing in our House."

Washington: "Well thank you, Representative Ewell, for such a clear and brief response to my question. Ah... I agree with you, everything you say. And I think furthermore, it should be pointed out. Let's face it. A polling place should not be an arena, you should not be a spectator operation. It should be a quiet, serene place where people can come in reflected by one of the most important things they're doing. And that is electing those people who are going to govern their lives. As much respect as I have for the press, I feel that they on some occasions do get out of hand and I think that the Judges of Election are the best persons to determine whether or not they should come into a polling place because as I said before, you don't want a spectacle there. You want it quiet, you want it orderly, you want it respectful, similar to a court. I urge you to support Representative Ewell's very fine Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Mahar."

Mahar: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has moved the previous question. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. And Representative Ewell to close."

Ewell: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, we are all Members of the Legislature. It is assumed that we know and understand the law and



the implication of the law. I say that we cannot judge an Amendment by adjectives, bad, evil, innocuous, gutting. We must judge an Amendment simply by that which it does. Now I ask this Body as a trier of fact to simply read the Amendment. That's Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 393. If you look at the Amendment, it's five lines long. Now what does it actually say? 'There shall be no photographing, televising, or other visual recording within the precinct polling place without the unanimous consent of the Judges of Election'. It's all that it says. We're simply applying the same rule to the local ah... Board of Elections or the local polling place that we apply to this House. There's nothing innocuous about it and I rather resent the attempt to cover up what a Bill actually says with a lot of superfluous adjectives. Now if any Member of the other side could simply respond and look at the language of the Amendment without talking about adjectives, I could perhaps see if there's error of my ways. I say that this is a good Amendment. It does exactly what it says and it places the people in the election place on the same plane as we in the Legislature are. There is no attempt whatsoever to bar any of the news media. They can bring their pencils, their papers, and do anything they want to in terms of writing, recording or the like. There is no bar absolutely and I suggest it's a good Amendment and would ask on behalf of the housewives and the other people who are shy in the polling places that it be adopted. Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: ""The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 393. All in favor of adoption signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. The Gentleman from ah... Lake, Representative Matijevec, to explain his vote."

Matijevec: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think this Amendment is really consistent with the State Constitution. Ah... under Section 4 of Article 3, the State Constitution, ah... the Illinois Constitution states that, 'The General Assembly by law shall define permanent residence for voting purposes, insure secrecy of voting, the integrity of the election process, and facilitate registration and voting by all qualified persons'. Now I think by the approval and adoption of this Amendment that we in the House,



would be facilitating voting rather than ah... restricting voting. You know, believe it or not, there are some people who don't go and vote just because they don't like to face ah... Judges at an election ah... at an election. There are some who, who are ah... don't like some of the Judges and they just don't like to appear and face some of their neighbors, strange as that may be. How many would not come out and vote because they know that they are going to appear on television or be photographed? I think that this would hamper and restrict people from voting without any doubt. How many of you have seen some of your neighbors go... run out or maybe your, your spouses ah... go out and run ah... quickly to go out an vote, not caring how they look particularly ah... but would they go out and vote if they knew ah... that ah... they are right in the middle of something very important in their domestic life and ah... and they would have to practically dress up and look ah... presentable knowing that they may appear on television? This doesn't facilitate voting as we are required by our Constitution, ah... it hampers it. We in the Legislature ought to realize that we've got to put supreme authority in those Judges. You know, it's getting much more..."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Matijevich, your, your time has expired. Could you conclude your remarks please?"

Matijevich: "I sure will. It's getting much more difficult to get Judges and this is one of the reasons. We are making it more difficult for them to be Judges rather than easier and I think that this Amendment is Constitu... is consistent with the Illinois Constitution. And I therefore vote 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Logan, Representative Lauer, you... Have all voted who wished? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Huskey."

Huskey: "In explaining my vote, Mr. Speaker, I would be proud to have my picture taken showing that I'm doing my patriotic duty to set examples for others to follow. I don't think anyone should be ashamed to have to hide behind curtains to see that you're going into a polling place to vote."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Rock Island, Representative Jacobs."



Jacobs: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think that I find myself in a position where I must vote for this Amendment. I had an actual experience of voting in a primary recently where it was a tie vote for Alderman. I voted absentee, but the next day in the newspaper, it came out that Representative Jacobs absentee vote nominated a certain man within my own party. I think this is a very good Amendment to a very bad Bill. And I vote 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Have all voted who wished? Take the record. On this question there's 77 'ayes', 87 'nays' and the Gentleman's Motion to adopt Amendment #3 fails. Are there further Amendments? Third Reading. Senate Bill 416."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 416. A Bill for an Act to amend the Insurance Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Ah..."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative..."

Clerk Selcke: "Committee Amendment #1 apparently was tabled in Committee. Committee Amendment #2 amends Senate Bill 416, page 16 by deleting lines 10 through..."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Giorgi, do you seek recognition, sir?"

Giorgi: "Yes, sir. Mr. Speaker, I have a, a message from the Associated Press here just delivered. In Yipsalanti, Michigan, an Illinois man has become the first out of state person to win the \$200,000 top prize in the Michigan lottery. Officials say 57 year old Arther Schnell of Lincolnwood, Illinois, won out over eight other contestants. He operates a dry-cleaning business in Lincolnwood. He's not from the poor people, but anyway, he's an Illinois man. He won \$200,000."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay now, the Clerk says Amendment #1 was tabled. Now you've read Amendment #2. The Gentleman from McLean, Representative Bradley. Is Representative Bradley on the floor? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Robert Dunne."

R.L. Dunne: "Well I know Representative Bradley is here somewhere. Well, here he comes."

Bradley: "Senate Bill 416, Mr. Speaker, I have a ah... Amendment ah... Committee Amendment #2 to 416, it deletes the Section 639, the supposedly cost-saving Section of the Senate Bill which is the no-fault



Bill and as the Bill came over from the Senate, 639 did not have a cost-saving provision in the Bill. My Amendment ah... along with the support of the House Sponsor, Representative Dunne, we worked the Amendment out to provide that there definitely is a cost saving to the people in the automobile ah... owners, a definite savings in their premiums. I ah... the Bill as it came over from the Senate also provided for a 18 month freeze on the insurance rates ah... if this Bill passed, it would of mandated a freeze on the rates. So if the industry wanted to reduce the rates, they would be unable to do so. So the, the ah... ah... Amendment provides that the premium charged by the insurer will not be more than 90% of the premium charged on May 1, 1973, for that part of the insurance that applies to no-fault. So, we definitely have a cost-saving Amendment on the Bill. It will reduce the insurance premiums as of January 1, 1974 through December 31, 1974. It's something that we can go home to the people and say that we have reduced the insurance premiums on their automobile insurance and I move for the adoption of Committee Amendment #2."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Committee Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 416. All in favor of adoption signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The Amendment's adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment... Committee Amendment #3. Amends Senate Bill 416 page 18 by adding after line 27 the following. 'G. There shall be...' and so forth. Washington."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Washington."

Washington: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, Committee Amendment #3 to House Bill... Senate Bill 416 is a concept which has been thoroughly discussed in this House at least in two terms and the House on two occasions has evidenced its strong support for that type of an Amendment relative to liability insurance. It simply provides that in the City of Chicago..."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Barry, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Barry: "I'd like all persons not entitled to the floor to leave."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, now all persons who are not entitled to this floor and who... or who do not have a House floor pass, I would



suggest that you leave the floor immediately and that includes the aisles to the side of both the Democratic and Republican side. And the Doorkeepers will please help us enforce that rule. Okay, let's... we're on Representative Washington's Amendment."

Washington: "As I was saying, Mr. Speaker, it is a concept which the House on several occasions has agreed to pass and send to the Senate. It simply provides that there shall be no variation, no variation in the rate charged on any given insurance described in this article, House Bill, er.. Senate Bill 416 based upon geographic division within municipalities with a population of a half million or more. I urge the adoption of Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 416."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Duff."

Duff: "Will the Sponsor of the Amendment yield to a question, please? Representative Washington, ah... is it your opinion that this would refer to bodily injury premiums only?"

Washington: "That's correct."

Duff: "Thank you."

Washington: "The Amendment..."

Speaker Telcser: "One minute, one minute please. You, sir, do you have a pass to be on the floor? He has a pass, Representative Barry."

Duff: "Mr. Speaker, may I proceed?"

Speaker Telcser: "All right, one minute now. Representative Barry, for what purpose do you rise?"

Barry: "I'd like the man's identity please. Passes are not allowed for my secretaries on the floor or anybody else. I wonder why we're allowing passes for anybody in an argument such as this is."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Dunn, do you wish to identify the Gentleman?"

Dunn: "Yep, this is John Burnstein. He's an attorney and he's my technical advisor on the Bill. He helps Senator Harris in the Senate with the Bill and Senator Partee."

Barry: "Is he also General Counsel for one of the insurance companies in Illinois?"

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Gibbs, for what purpose do you rise?"



Gibbs: "Mr. Speaker, I think the determination is whether or not he has a pass. If he has a pass, he's entitled to the floor. He doesn't have to go under cross-examination."

Barry: "May I have an answer to my last question?"

Speaker Telcser: "Well... if this Gentleman, I think, has identified ah... who is working with him and he does have a pass, ah... Representative Hill, for what purpose do you rise?"

Hill: "I saw that Gentleman shake his head 'yes' when Representative Barry asked him if he was a General Counsel for an insurance company. Now just what is going on around here?"

Speaker Telcser: "Okay now, Representative Hill, I suggest that ah... the question of passes be taken up with the Democratic Leadership and/or the Republican Leadership. The Gentleman has a pass ah... that's the only criterion I, in the podium, will use."

Hill: "Who gave him the pass?"

Speaker Telcser: "I don't have the remotest idea, sir. He does have it though."

Hill: "I think it would be a good idea if we recessed for about 10 minutes and got this thing resolved. Now this is unfair to one side of this issue and I don't think there's any question about it. Let's give us about five minutes to clear this floor of everybody who has a financial interest in this Bill other than the Membership."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative... Gentleman from Cook, Representative Walsh."

W.D. Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, Mr. Speaker... Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, as to the question of ah... who authorized the pass for the Gentleman standing right here with Mr. Dunn, I did. I did it because Mr. Dunn requested that there be a research person made available to him. The, one of his selection and it was on that basis that I authorized him to have the pass just as we authorize staff to assist individual Members with Bills that they have. If there is a serious objection to this Gentleman being on the floor, I'm sure that Mr. Dunn would not object to him leaving. However, I think that as a courtesy to Mr. Dunn, you ought to permit the Gentleman to stay and assist him in answering questions on



this Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Epton."

Epton: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I certainly respect the Majority Leader's authority to give a pass to this Gentleman, but I also like his second remark.. Yes, I have a serious objection to the Council for State Farm Insurance Company being on the floor of this House at any time."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Dunne, for what purpose do you rise, Robert Dunne?"

R.L. Dunne: "Mr. Speaker, I... really it isn't that important. I... if the Members object that much, or certain Members. Although, I'd like to comment that everybody has a financial interest. Everybody has a financial interest. Every Member of this House has a financial interest in this Bill, but it's not that important really."

Speaker Telcser: "Now, did the Gentleman..."

R.L. Dunne: "So do the constituents have an 'interest.'"

Speaker Telcser: "All right, now... All right, now Representative Dunne, do you wish to proceed with your Bill? Do you want to take it out of the record? Do you want to let things quiet down? Whatever you want."

R.L. Dunne: "Let's take it out of the record for just a minute."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, this Bill will be taken out of the record for now. The Gentleman will leave the floor. Representative Washington, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Washington: "Of course, it's understood we'll take up at this point when we go back, Amendment #3."

Speaker Telcser: "Yeh, the Bill is on Second Reading. It's got Amendment #1 tabled, if my memory serves me correct. And Amendment #2 has been adopted. Ah... When we ah... return to the Bill, we'll be in the middle of Representative Washington offering Amendment #3. Representative Hirschfeld, for what purpose do you rise?"

Hirschfeld: "I have ah... point of order, Mr. Speaker. Ah... in light of the recent ah... ah... decision of Representative Dunne to ah... have his Gentleman removed from the floor and since I'm a lawyer, I wonder when the lawyers Bill is introduced whether all



of the lawyers are going to voluntarily remove themselves from the floor as well."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, Representative Duff, for what purpose do you rise?"

Duff: "Mr. Speaker, if we're not going to allow technical assistants for Members of this House, then I think every Member of this House who has a broker's license or who handles any legal business for any insurance company or who has any contact whatsoever should refrain from voting."

Speaker Telcser: "All right now, let's... let's... Let me get Representative Epton first. I'll get you, Toby. Then we'll go back to work, all right? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Epton."

Epton: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, since I was the Gentleman who objected in response to the Majority Leader's comment, I think it's only fair that I should respond to the comments of two of my colleagues. I think the fact remains that, I've indicated before, I have sat in this Chair and listened to farmers discuss farm Bills and I have weighed their interest and voted accordingly. I can recall the same instance, we have seven teachers voting on teachers Bills. I can recall the same situation with some people involved in the coin vending machines speaking on coin vending machines. I can recall six Representatives of labor speaking on labor Bills. I am a Gentleman who happened to introduce a no-fault Bill which might be considered prejudicial to the lawyers. As a matter of fact, Mr. Duff and Mr. Hirschfeld, and Mr. Dunne might be interested in knowing in checking seventeen Bills at random, I found that all of the farmers and all of the labor people and all of the teachers and all these interests I've referred to, all voted identically. However, in six of those Bills where lawyers were involved, I found that there was a strong difference between the legal fraternity as to how they voted. And for a man like Mr. Duff who considers himself an equitable Member of the Bar and a man like Mr. Hirschfeld who is profound to speak at great length on the morals and integrity of the individuals to get up and impune those of us who sit here and try to vote on the merits of the Bills, is something that is beneath them. Now as far as



Mr. Dunne is concerned, as a Member of the Insurance Fraternity, I suspect he has sufficient knowledge to discuss a Bill without the aid of a technician. I certainly am not an insurance man, but I can speak on any issue on the no-fault Bill for or against without any technician. And in five years in this House and perhaps I've been remiss, I've never had a technician on the floor to assist me. I suspect that if some of you need that help, we could certainly give it to you, but the fact remains to suggest that a paid member of State Farm Insurance Company has no place on the House is in a similar vein with the innuendos cast by my colleagues is something that is not worthy of them. And I respectfully suggest as I have before that we attend to the Bill at hand and do the best we can on the merits or the demerits of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from ah... Peoria, Representative Barry."

Barry: "A point of personal privilege, I suppose it should be. I should first like to say that I believe I have made my point and I really don't care what the opposition does in the way of putting extra people on the floor because I think they're dead for the obvious reason that if the insurance companies can afford to spend enough money with the printed news media to buy full page ads and buy all the editorials, I don't believe we're going to fool the people of Illinois. As to me personally, Mr. Dunne, I've determined that my total income as a lawyer is only 7.6% as it applies to personal injury cases which I can afford to live without. And I, too, have sponsored a no-fault Bill that I think is much better than anything the industry has dreamed up, not for the lawyers, but for the consumers. So ah... so far as I'm concerned, they can have the General Council of all the major companies on the floor and I think we'll beat them anyway in the interest of the consumer before this Session is out."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay now, anyone else? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Dunne, to close this ah... period of personal privileges."

R.L. Dunne: "Maybe we can bring this discussion to an end. First of all, I'd like to point out that the Gentleman has left. So, everyone should be happy with that. But I would like to point out that I



wasn't trying to set any precedent here. I understand in the last Session when some important people had a nine hundred million dollar road Bill that there were some eleven aides on the floor, technical aides. And I've seen Gentlemen on both sides of the aisle continually here with aides on them with respect to their special interest Bill. So, I really kind of resent being singled out as setting some sort of precedent here, but the Gentleman has left. So, everyone should be happy."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Clabaugh, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Clabaugh: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to rise on a point of impersonal privilege for just a moment."

Speaker Telcser: "State your impersonal point, sir."

Clabaugh: "Yesterday, we had 273 Bills on this Calendar. Now we moved three of them off yesterday. Now it looks like we're going to continue about the same speed, so that'll only take us 90 days to get this job done. And the bellyaching that we'll hear next week about long hours, most of it is going to come from the blabbermouths that kept us here yesterday all day and all day and got nothing done. I've seen it happen every year that I've been here and I suppose it'll happen again."

Speaker Telcser: "Are there any more blabbermouths who wish to be recognized? None. There are none, Charlie. There are none. Senate Bill 1055."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1055. A Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Telcser: "Are there Amendments from the floor? Third Reading. Senate Bill 1090... Take it out of the record. Senate Bill 1180."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1180. Amends the Capital Development Board Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Telcser: "Are there Amendments from the floor? Third Reading. Senate Bill 1186."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1186. Amends the Governmental Ethics Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Telcser: "Are there Amendments from the floor? Third Reading. Representative Ryan, do you wish to have... Representative Ryan."



Senate Bill 928? Senate Bill 928."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 928. Creates the Waste Water Land Treatment Site Regulation Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Telcser: "Amendments from the floor? Third Reading. Okay, let's take a quick pass on ah... Senate, Second. Catania is not on the floor. She is? Oh, there she is. You want Senate Bill 3? Senate Bill 3."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 3. Creates the Lead Poisoning Substance Control Act. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends Senate Bill 3, page 1..."

Speaker Telcser: "The Lady from Cook, Representative Catania."

Catania: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Members of the House, Committee Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 3 brings the Bill into compliance with the standards that have been set by the organizations concerned about mental retardation of children. It sets the lead content at .06 and I move the adoption of Committee Amendment #1."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Lady has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 3. All in favor of adoption signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments. Third Read... is that it? Third Reading. Okay, now we'll go to House Bills, Third Reading. House Bills, Third Reading. All right, now on House Bills, Third Reading unless there's objection I'm going to try and go down in numerical sequence. There are only a few Bills on House, Third. Now Representative Stedelin wants it out of the record. Representative Maragos is not in his seat. Is anyone handling Representative Wolf's? Representative McGrew, do you wish to have your ah... House Bill called? He's not in his seat. House Bill 1269."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 1269. A Bill for an Act to amend the Municipal Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Katz."

Katz: "Mr. Speaker, I would ah... request that the Bill be returned to Second Reading for purposes of an Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "Are there any ah... objections? Hearing none, House



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Bill 1269 is returned to the order of Second Reading. Will the Clerk please read the Amendment?"

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #1, Katz. Amends House Bill 1269 on page 2 by deleting lines 3 through 6 and inserting in lieu the following and so forth."

Katz: "Mr. Speaker, I'm going to move to table Amendment #1."

Speaker Telcser: "Are there any objections? The Gentleman moves to table Amendment #1 to House Bill 1269. All in favor of the Gentleman's Motion signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The Amendment is tabled. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #2, Katz. Amends Senate Bill 1269..."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Katz."

Katz: "Ah... Mr. Speaker, Amendment #2 to House Bill 1269 ah... has been worked out with a number of Legislators ah... The basic Bill is one that would permit local units of government and school districts to be exempt from the payment of utility taxes. It's an attempt to ah... help some of the ah... school districts, both private as well as public school districts to excuse them from the payment of utility taxes. And I would move the adoption of Amendment #2 which does that, but which still leaves the utility tax on organizations and units of government that are state units of government such as universities, both private as well as public universities and hence, those people from areas where they have state universities or the state hospitals would not be affected by the Bill as it is drawn in Amendment #2. I would move the adoption of Amendment #2."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill... The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Ewell."

Ewell: "Well, somewhere in school they learn something about math. Well, tell me who's going to be paying the bills, then. In other words, all we're really doing is shifting the bill from one group to another. So, who's going to have to absorb this increased cost in the increased expenditures?"

Katz: "Well, I would be glad in discussing the ah... Bill, Representative, Ewell, to go into detail ah... what this does is to leave, in



effect the utility taxes on ah... all of the citizens of an area, but to exempt certain governmental units ah... where there is already a tax in effect, they are taxing other governmental bodies. Ah... the, the law would be left in effect that would cover the great bulk of the citizens and groups in the state in the form of the basic Bill and I think it may be just as useful if I may discuss the merits of the Bill after the Amendment has been adopted. It will still be discussed on Third Reading in the form as amended. We're now on Second Reading."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #2 to House... The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Hudson."

Hudson: "Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Telcser: "Indicates he will."

Hudson: "Harold, I want to be sure that I understand. This would, this would exempt individual school or school districts from the payment of this utility tax?"

Katz: "Yes, it would exempt school districts ah... park districts from the payment of utility taxes. That's what the Bill does."

Hudson: "Another question, are we doing ah.. are... are religious organizations, churches and so forth exempt from such tax?"

Katz: "Ah... parochial schools would be exempt. The schools are treated the same whether private or parochial, but there is no individual or separate treatment of churches. But if they are schools, whether they are private or parochial, K1 through K12, they are treated the same and they are exempt."

Hudson: "But we would ah... we would then be doing something here for some... extending this or doing something for schools that we're not doing for religious bodies in a sense."

Katz: "Well as it now is, Representative Hudson, as it now is, they are all being taxed and what we are doing here is to simply ah... exempt certain groups. Now I think there would... the exemption of churches would raise real religious... real questions to constitutionality. In my opinion, it would seem to me that ah... the treatment of schools whether public or parochial ah... would be acceptable. I'm not sure that that would be the case if we were to



go beyond that in terms of religious groups directly. I would think that all religious groups would, however, ah... be very happy if their parochial schools did not have to ah... pay utility taxes. In that sense, they would certainly be helped."

Hudson: "Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill 1269. All in favor of adoption signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments? Third Reading. The Bill has been read a Third time. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Katz."

Katz: "Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the ah... House, Mr. Speaker, we have in Illinois utility tax and that utility tax is imposed by local units of government. Up to this point, the local utility tax has been imposed so that it applies ah... to ah... to all bodies including other governmental units and this can result in ah... a great unfairness in my opinion and great inequities. For example, in my particular district, we have a high school that draws its ah... student population from ah... four separate villages, but because the school is located in only one of those villages, the citizens from the other villages have to pay school taxes which go only into one village in which the school is located. Ah... the amount of money involved is important these days to schools and to park districts which are not getting revenue sharing funds, where expenses are rising and ah... the Revenue Committee of the House felt that it was good and desirable legislation. It was supported by the ah... Taxpayers Federation. The idea of one government body taxing another one is really not very sound. Basically, one governmental unit does not... is an independent unit and one unit supporting another is one that I think can be highly justified. It does seem to me that in the final form, it does give some aid and assistance to the hard-pressed school districts and to the hard-pressed parochial schools. And I would ah... very much urge this House to adopt House Bill 1269."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Champaign, Representative Hirschfeld."

Hirschfeld: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,



I knew if I stayed down here long enough ah... I'd finally see Representative Katz come up with a bad Bill, but I find it hard to believe yet. But the thing that bothers me about this Bill is that I think it's going to greatly erode the tax base of many of the municipalities in the State of Illinois. Now I hate to bring up conflict of interest this morning, but just take my district, for example, where we have a fantastic University of Illinois expansion since 1960. I would say that there's no doubt that many benefits definitely accrue to the City of Champaign, Urbana from the presence of the University of Illinois, but I don't think that the City of Urbana, for example, can stand a decrease in utility tax revenue at this time. Now if there's been an Amendment to clarify this, I'd be very happy to hear from the Sponsor."

Katz: "Mr. Speaker, Representative Hirschfeld with eagle eyes has looked at Amendment #1 and he is correct that Amendment #1 would have in fact exempted the University of Illinois and severely hurt communities like Champaign. However, Representative Hirschfeld will recall that I tabled Amendment #1 and the Bill no longer has that provision precisely for the eloquent reasons asserted by Representative Hirschfeld. Amendment #2 does not exempt any part of the State of Illinois. And so, state universities and state hospitals would continue to pay the same utility taxes that they have now done. The University of Illinois would not be affected and Champaign would not be affected except insofar as we're talking about the K1 through K12 public schools there in Champaign where the public services provided are very minimal. It would also apply to any parochial school that they had K1 through K12 and the park district or other local units of government of that kind."

Hirschfeld: "Well, thank you, Representative Katz, and I withdraw my previous statement. Once again, you go through a Session with a perfect record."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Ewell."

Ewell: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, I'm not so sure about ah... the record, but it does... I'm getting back to the same point that I made ah... on the Amendment. It appears to me that what you're



really doing is you're saying that you're going to grant some more exceptions and exemptions in the law. As the basic rule, any law or any type of tax which is fraught with many exceptions, provisos, add-ons, and other items of legal import which all sum up to one particular and concise point and that is, somebody else is going to bear the burden. I'm suggesting what you're really talking about here is you're saying under the guise of aid to these schools or these particular units that you're talking about, what you're really doing is you're asking for more money for them. The proper way to ask for more money is to stand up and vote for a tax increase, but you haven't got enough courage to stand up and vote for the tax increases, you vote all kinds of exceptions, exemptions and other items which make the law unfair as to the rest of the paying population. What you're saying to the rest of the taxpayers in this district is that we want you to carry the additional cost. Now this utility tax is a cost of a service that's provided to everyone. There will be no argument made that the school doesn't get the benefit or the use of this tax or the use of the service. There will be no denying in any form or fashion that there's going to be any reduced use of the service or anything thereby which would equalize this as to the rest of the taxpayers. What you're simply saying is that you're going to raise the taxes of the rest of the people because the cost of the services has to be born. The problem with our society today is that we pass the law starting of with the flat rate that includes everybody and then we fill it full of holes, exemptions, exceptions, additional provisions, and by the time you get to the bottom of it, it amounts to the same thing. The uninformed, the people are at the bottom of the pole, are simply paying the largest percentage of the taxes. I suggest there's no reasonable rationalization for this type of Bill other than to say, I want to give the schools some more money. If you want to properly give the schools some more money, and you're going to properly pass it on to the rest of the people, then it's time that you simply stand up and say, we want to increase the taxes for this particular item. This particular Bill will amount to a severe drain, let's say, in the City of Chicago



upon the tax revenues produced by the ah... ah... the utility tax. Now it's one thing to say that we want somebody else to bear the cost of this tax, but I think that it's unfair, it's unreasonable, and it does not represent ah... the type of way we ought to present this Bill. I think that the unfair exceptions and exemptions that cannot be logically justified in reason ought to be beaten. I think that this Bill will cost the City of Chicago a lot of money. It'll cost the taxpayers who have to pay now a lot of money and it's simply an unfair measure which we fly through the Legislature and then later tell the taxpayers that their utility services have gone up simply because they must under the law because they've got to pay for the cost of the services. It increases proportionately to every Member of the citizenry and I say this is unfair. If we want to increase their taxes, I suggest we stand up and vote a tax increase, but I, for one, will vote 'no' on this proposal."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook... Oh, Representative Katz, to close. Do you wish to make a remark now?"

Katz: "Yes, sir, I do."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Katz."

Katz: "Ah... The distinguished Assistant Minority Leader has asked me to give him another day to study the impact of the Bill and I'm perfectly willing to take it out of the record in view of that, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "Take it out of the record. House Bill 1045."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1045, McGrew. A Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expense for the Board of Vocational Education and Rehabilitation. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Representative McGrew."

McGrew: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I'd like to move House Bill 1045 back to Second for purposes of an Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "Are there any objections? Place House Bill 1045 on the order of Second Reading. Will the Clerk please read the Amendment."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #2, McGrew. Amends House Bill..."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Representative McGrew."



McGrew: "Amendment #2 very simply ah... adds a total increase of \$49,500 to the appropriation to the Voc.-Ed. and ah... it's a slight increase and I move for its adoption."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 1045. All in favor of adoption signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments. Third Reading. The Bill's been read a Third time. The Gentleman from McHenry, Representative McGrew."

McGrew: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 1045 is the appropriation for the ah... Department of Vocational Education and Rehabilitation. Ah... It passed out of the Appropriations Committee unanimous and I would move for its adoption."

Speaker Telcser: "Any discussion? The question is shall House Bill 1045 pass. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Take the record. On this question there are 124 'ayes', no 'nays'. And this Bill having received the Constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. R. Walsh and Duff and W. Walsh, Washburn, Philip, Bluthardt-'aye'. And Phil Collins. House Bill 1324."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1324. A Bill for an Act making an appropriation to the Department of Transportation. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Henderson, Representative Neff."

Neff: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to have permission to move ah... House Bill 1324 back to Second for the purpose of Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "Are there any objections? House Bill 1324 is on the order of Second Reading. Will the Clerk please read the Amendment."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #3, Giglio. Amends House Bill 1324..."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Giglio."

Giglio: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, ah... Amendment 3 to House Bill 1324 just adds the words 'Springfield'. And it's another appropriation of \$80,000. What this ah... is, is the putting on another train from the Chicago to Champaign-Decatur



route. And this Amendment would make it go from Decatur to Springfield, another 35 miles. Now if you saw that roll map that I passed out yesterday, that train would be the inner-linking train from Champaign to Springfield from Chicago. You can come up from Cairo to Champaign and then to Springfield. You can go from Springfield to Danville, Springfield west, east, north, and south. I think it's a ah... a very good Amendment. It would serve as close to two million people from the City of Chicago, the people from Kankakee, Champaign, Decatur, and Springfield. And there are many people that work in Decatur that would use it to go to Springfield to work plus the communication from Springfield through Chicago through Champaign ah... on a different route. So, I'd ask the House for a favorable vote."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #... The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Pierce."

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, I have ah... would the Gentleman yield for a question?"

Speaker Telcser: "He indicates he will."

Pierce: "Ah... Mr. Giglio, we once had the Green Diamond that tied Mt. Pulaski with Chicago on one hand and with Springfield on the other and the same for Farmer City. Would your Amendment help bring Mt. Pulaski back into the ah... communication with Chicago and Springfield by rail?"

Giglio: "No, ah... it would not. I had the ah... House Bill 1578 that was held abeyance and the Department of Transportation plus Amtrack people were not too in favor of this ah... route with the Green Diamond, the old Green Diamond route. With this one, by going this way would service a lot more people by going through the larger towns and ah... therefore ah... this one we feel would be more appropriate than the old route of the Green Diamond from Chicago to Springfield."

Pierce: "This would bring back that old Chicago-St. Louis route to Decatur, I take it. What'd they call that train in the old days, on the Wabash Railroad? Is that now the Norfolk and Western?"

Giglio: "Right."



Pierce: "Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #3 to House Bill 1324. All in favor of adoption signify by saying aye, the opposed no. The Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments? Third Reading. The Bill has been read a Third time. The Gentleman from Henderson, Representative Neff."

Neff: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 1324 is more or less the Amtrak Bill that we appropriated money for two years ago for two years. This appropriation is for one year now with Representative Giglio's Amendment we have added on this Springfield route. That is Decatur to Springfield and as the Bill now stands we have from the Chicago to Quincy route which is \$248,000, Chicago Springfield on the GMO route \$352,000 for the Chicago Champaign Decatur and then on to Springfield, that brings that up to 320 from \$240,000 for the Chicago East Dubuque route \$239,000, for the Chicago Peoria and Chicago Rock Island route \$800,000 which we have an appropriation now of one million nine hundred and fifty-nine thousand. The original Bill was two million and it has been cut some with the working it out with the railroad people and all of the ones that were concerned on this. I would appreciate a favorable vote. It has been discussed before."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Yourell."

Yourell: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I am going to vote for this appropriation, but I would like to have you know Members of this House that if you have had any dealings with the Department of Transportation you will find that they don't cooperate as they should being a Department of State Government. I placed a call over there five days in a row and have yet to receive a call back. I started calling one morning at 9:00 and I got the busy signal for an hour and a half on five different phones and then finally I got through and I couldn't get any satisfaction from any of those people in that Department. I want to tell you something else. They are firing dismissing people who have served 20 years in that Department forming the Division of Highways out of the Department of Transportation and after 20 years of service they are firing these people when there are



still many many citizens of other states being employed by the State of Illinois. There are 72 citizens, people, who are not citizens of the United States being employed by the Department of Transportation and they are letting our own Illinois residents go. So I think it is about time that we investigated the Department of Transportation and their personnel. I think it is absolutely ridiculous what they are doing over there."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? If not, the Gentleman from Henderson, Representative Neff to close."

Neff: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I believe this Bill is pretty well explained and I think we are all aware of the situation, the energy situation, the pollution situation. I think it is vital to this State of Illinois that we keep these trains running and add these others on and encourage people to ride the trains because with gasoline situation as it is the price going up and the shortage we are definitely going to have to encourage more people to ride trains if they want to move about. And, therefore, I think this is a very important Bill and again I would appreciate your vote."

Speaker Telcser: "The question is shall House Bill 1324 pass? All those in favor signify by voting aye, the opposed by voting no. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Very briefly House Bill 1324, the full dollar amount is appropriated in the Department of Transportation's budget. This Bill is a complete duplication. In fact, I brought it up to the Sponsor at the time, they were trying to work it out within DOT's budget and it has been accomplished. Sure a couple of years ago when this was a new idea, Representative Choate introduced it and subsequent to that time DOT has brought it within their wisdom to include it within their annual appropriation. Ladies and Gentlemen this matter is being considered. It is in the Appropriations Committee now as funded within this Bill presently it is a duplication and I would ask that this Bill not pass and save the taxpayers some money."

Speaker Telcser: "Have all voted who wish? Take the record. The question 142 ayes, no nays and this Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bills Second Reading, no



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Senate Bills, Third Reading. Senate Bill 121."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 121, Collins."

Speaker Telcser: "Is Representative Collins on the Floor? Take it out of the record. Is Representative Calvo on the Floor? Phil, do you want your Senate Bill 121? Later. Representative Calvo on the Floor? Representative Dyer? Senate Bill 347."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 347, Dyer. A Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expense for the Commission on Children. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Lady from Dupage, Representative Dyer."

Dyer: "Mr. Speaker, Senate Bill 347 appropriates \$133,255 to the Commission on children. It got a unanimous Do Pass from the Appropriations Committee. I would appreciate your favorable vote."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The question is shall Senate Bill 347 pass? All those in favor signify by voting aye, the opposed by voting no. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. 131 ayes no nays and this Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 298. Out of the record. All right take that out of the record. Senate Bill 319."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 319, Springer. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act relating to changes in telecommunications. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Randolph, Representative Springer."

Springer: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 319 prohibits the publication and dispensation of the telephone numbers, numbering codes, credit card numbers in plans or diagrams for construction of devices with knowledge or reason to believe that they may be used to avoid lawful telephone toll charges. The estimated loss of revenues to the industry nationwide is approximately 25 million in a year. It passed the Public Utilities Committee with ten aye votes and no nay votes and I would appreciate a favorable vote."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The question is shall Senate Bill 319 pass? All those in favor signify by voting aye, the opposed by voting no. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question 118 ayes, no nays and this Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 345. Senate



Bill 345, I mean."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 345, Porter. A Bill for an Act to Amend the Juvenile Court Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Porter."

Porter: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 345 and 346 deal with the difficulties created by two resent high court decisions on the question of adoption and the rights of the father of an illegitimate child. One of the cases, I will, I'm sorry. Mr. Speaker could we have these Bills heard together?"

Speaker Telcser: "Are there any objections? If not, will the Clerk please read Senate Bill 346."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 346, Porter. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act relating to adoption. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Porter."

Porter: "Now each of these Bills deals with the rights of the father of an illegitimate child. One in respect to dependency proceedings which was the Stanley case decided in U.S. Supreme Court and the other in adoption proceedings which was the Flalit case decided by the Illinois Supreme Court. Each court, the Illinois court following the U.S. has held that the father of an illegitimate child has the right of notice at a Hearing under the due process and equal protection clause of the Constitution. Each of these Bills which are the produce of the Illinois Commission on Children and the Adoption Committee of the Illinois Bar Association attempts to deal sensibly and fairly with the difficulties raised by these two decisions. Presently the state of the adoption law in Illinois because of these two decisions is very difficult and tenuous and the purpose of both Bills is to provide a flexible and simple approach to providing the notice requirements and meeting the court objections that were made by these two decisions. Basically they provide that in order to terminate parental rights, that there may be served a notice on the father either by personal service or certified mail service and he would have time then in which to either declare his paternity request notice, disclaim his paternity or do nothing. Either of these four choices would be open under the first two he would then receive notices in the subsequent adoption proceedings or proceedings to terminate his parental rights and under the last two



the disclaimer or default he would then be left out of any further proceedings and his rights would be then terminated. One of the key features of the Bills is that there are two separate proceedings available is which to terminate the rights of the punitive father so that they do not get involved in the adoption proceedings. I think this is very significant and very sensible and conscientious approach to solve the problems raised by these cases and I move the adoption of both Bills."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Dupage, Representative Schneider."

Schneider: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Porter: "Yes of course."

Schneider: "The Bills in general, you are going to have to help me along a little bit because I am not knowledgeable in this area. But what is the impact of these pieces of legislation on an adoption case where the child is being offered for adoption and the mother agrees to the adoption and the father does not agree."

Porter: "All right are we talking, Glen about an illegitimate child."

Schneider: "That's correct."

Porter: "All right. You are assuming the child has been born?"

Schneider: "We are assuming the child has been born and the mother has granted either to an institution let's say like Catholic charities or to a lawyer to place that child up for adoption. Now are we requiring that the father be notified of this if he is willing to acknowledge that he is the father and if he resists the notion that the child should be placed up for adoption and the natural mother agrees to want to place the child up for adoption then what is the direction, what could happen to the child? Will he be placed for adoption? Can he not be placed for adoption? Will he be forced to be retained with the mother? Will if be forced for the husband and wife to be married or will the child be placed in an institution for care until he reaches his majority?"

Porter: "All right. Under the present law because of these two decisions the rights of the father would have to be terminated in the same way that the rights of any unfit parent would have to be terminated."

Schneider: "What does that mean, John?"



Porter: "Well that means that you would need to have a proceeding an adoption proceeding where you showed that the father was unfit."

Schneider: "Well, his disagreeing makes him unfit?"

Porter: "No, no. There is a number of ground to show unfitness."

Schneider: "Would you explain them to me, please."

Porter: "Well, I don't think those are relative to your question Glen.

I think what you want to know is what procedure would now be followed that is different than the present act."

Schneider: "Presently it is my understanding that the mother who is either not going to reveal the fathers name, does not know who the father is, the natural father not wanting to disclose his fatherhood the mother can grant adoption."

Porter: "No, sir. That is not correct. Not since the cases were decided."

Schneider: "I'm not, look the good Senator from Naperville is a friend of mine, does not always abide by court decisions I know on some other issues. I'm not so convinced that this Membership either is always persuaded by court decisions in light of our votes on one of the Supreme Court decisions regarding abortion, so I want to know what the law is going to do and I may just let the courts want to make those decisions. You know, normally I wouldn't want to do that but unless I can get an answer as to the disposition of the child and his or her possibilities for adoption I'm not going to support the Bill so that might not be enough to defeat the Bill obviously but at lease I would like an answer if you can give it to me as I indicated earlier you might have to walk me through the Bill."

Porter: "All right. Your concern is the same concern that I have and the same concern that Senator Fawell had in introducing these Bills and that is to facilitate the adoption of children, illegitimate children in the face of the casewall which is now being applied by the Illinois courts. So the important point is what's being done now and what will this do differently. Right not in order to terminate the rights of a punitive father there would have to be a publication of him or a service upon him if his name and address are known, a service upon him in the adoption proceedings, be made a party defendant be known publically and then if he could not be found he would have to be published, in other words they would have to publish a notice



in a newspaper of general circulation in the county. His name would have to be mentioned, the adopting parents name would have to be mentioned, the child's name would have to be mentioned. He would then have to be made a party and in that way, either one of those ways, to the adoption proceedings and then his rights would have to be terminated in the same way that they are now in adoption proceedings. Now, the intent of this Bill is to simplify that procedure by providing a separate procedure where he could be served outside the adoption proceeding either personally or by certified mail and would be given the four alternatives that I mentioned. If he selected the notice procedure or the declaration of paternity then he would be a proper party to the adoption action and would have to be treated in the same way as any other proper party. He would however be given the right under the other two alternatives, one is a disclaimer of paternity, where he says this is not my child. You don't have to make me a party to the proceedings or whether he simply defaults and 30 days pass, he does not appear in the suit and he is therefore terminated his rights as a parent are terminated at that point. He no longer is required to be made a party to the adoption proceedings. His name never again appears. His rights are over. So I suggest to you that in order to make the facilitation of adoptions easier right now that this Bill is going to do that and that we are faced very definitely with a problem in this area which has to be solved legislatively because the courts are following these decisions and putting us in a very precarious position in respect to getting adoptions accomplished."

Schneider: "All right, my multitude of advisors have indicated to me that it is a good Bill. I'll talk to you John later, all right. We'll just discuss it. Thank you very much."

Porter: "Fine."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Lawrence, Representative Cunningham."

Cunningham: "Will the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Speaker Telcser: "He indicates he will."

Cunningham: "Representative Porter, I find no definition of a punitive father, does your Bill make a provision for that?"

Porter: "Yes, it does, Representative Cunningham. If you look at 346, Page 2, paragraph E, it provides that the parent means father or



mother of a legitimate or illegitimate child. So we are really dealing with the subject of parent."

Cunningham: "Yes, but in reference to the notice that you required to the putative father, would you not agree that under the uncertain case law that now exists if the mother says she does not know who the father is that that ends the matter and that there are no case decisions that require newspaper publication Department of Children and Family Services to the-----not withstanding."

Porter: "I would agree with you. If she is not, if she is not going to name anyone the matter could proceed without publication."

Cunningham: "But my suggestion boils down to this. Would it not be helpful in clearing up any misunderstanding if that agreed fact were recited in the Bill. That is a punitive father is one that is named in writing. On the Birth Certificate when the child is born the doctor has to put something down. He either has to put a name, name withheld, or unknown. It is a practical matter."

Porter: "Well, I answered your question directly, however, if I were a careful lawyer and wanted to foreclose the rights of any possible punitive father then I think I would name a John Doe and I would publish anyway."

Cunningham: "That's precisely the position of the Department of Children and Family Services takes upon their adoption proceedings at the present time, as you know. But does it not appeal to you that unnecessary step be eliminated by defining putative father. It is not defined in your Bill. You define parent."

Porter: "I think punitive father is a pretty well understood term. It is a father who is imputed to be the father by someone namely the mother."

Cunningham: "By rumor or by something in writing."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Rayson."

Rayson: "A question of the Gentleman."

Speaker Telcser: "He indicates he will yield."

Rayson: "Representative Porter, these decisions I think are good decisions and I take it your Bill is kind of administratively consistent with the decisions and not in derogation of the decisions. Is that correct?"

Porter: "Yes it it."

Rayson: "Yes, I would say this that these Bills really implement I suppose



the decisions and bring ah... into the statute books the reality of what the decisions say and fathers have rights, too. It's a good... these are good Bills."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Macon, Representative Alsup."

Alsup: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, on I'm on the Commission of Children. This came about by a father out of state getting this secretary pregnant, sending her to Illinois, and she gave up the child for adoption. Later, the man and his wife decided to adopt the child after it had already been adopted and so he sued to get the child back. And these Bills are designed to give some direction to a case like this. It was ah... a messy case. As a matter of fact, the guy did the same thing twice and after he'd sued once. So, the Commission on Children along with the Judge and some legal advice struggled long and many hours to draw up these Bills and I think they're as good as can be done at the present time. Now they could always be slightly changed if they... anything in them proves unworkable, but the idea is that once the child is given up by... for adoption that if the husband or the father or whoever the father might be ah... doesn't have enough interest to claim the child to start with, I doubt in my own mind that then he should have a right to sue to get the child back when he abandoned it when it was little. So that's what the Bills are designed for and I know there was many, many hours put into these Bills and as a result of some careful consideration. So I recommend that they do pass."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Porter, to close."

Porter: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think that given the state of the adoption law in view of the two court cases, whether you like the cases or whether you don't like the cases, these Bills are absolutely necessary to prevent the chaos that has followed on those cases. Each of the Bills passed the Judiciary Committee of the House without dissent. One voted 'present'. They passed the Senate unanimously and I think that they deserve... they have received all of the hard work of the Commission on Children, of the Bar Association Committee. They've been



carefully studied. I think they deserve your support and I ask for your favorable consideration of them."

Speaker Telcser: "The question is shall House Bill... Senate Bill 345 and 346 pass. Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Arnell 'aye'. Have all voted who wished? Clabaugh 'aye', Fleck 'aye'. Have all voted who wished? Take the record. We're taking two Roll Calls by the way. On these questions there are 155 'ayes', no 'nays'. These Bills having received the Constitutional majority are hereby declared passed. Is Representative Calvo on the floor? Well, we'll go to another Bill. Senate Bill 308. 8... ah... 308, Senate Bill 308."

Calvo: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 308 is the..."

Speaker Telcser: "Let him read it a third time please."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 308. A Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Military and Naval Department. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Madison, Representative Calvo."

Calvo: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 308 is a Bill for ah... the appropriations for the Military and Naval Department of the State of Illinois. It was amended on Second Reading to include the cost of living increases and is now ready for final passage. I solicit your support."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The question is shall Senate Bill 308 pass. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Take the record. On this question 138 'ayes', 3 'nays' and this Bill having received the Constitutional majority... McCourt 'aye'... is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 358."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 358, Deuster. A Bill for an Act creating the Swimming Pool and Bathing Beach Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Deuster."

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker, would you take that out of the record please? Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "Take that out of the record. Senate Bill 380."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 380, Ryan. A Bill for an Act relating to



township organization. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Kankakee, Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

Senate Bill 380 amends the Township Act and extends the ah... term of the Supervisors, half of the Supervisors in the State to a two year period. It also ah... extends the, the change for the boundaries to the same period. And I would appreciate your favorable vote."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Kane, Representative Hill."

Hill: "I wonder if the Sponsor would yield to a question?"

Ryan: "Certainly."

Hill: "Would this piece of legislation if it became law extend an elected officials office for two years?"

Ryan: "Yes, that's certainly right, Representative Hill. And that's certainly not unusual for this Body to do."

Hill: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, it seems to me that the right of elections should belong to the people in the various districts of the State of Illinois and here we have a Bill that would extend that right to elective office for two additional years, take away from the people of the State of Illinois the opportunity of choosing an individual for that office. It seems to me that this is certainly bad legislation and I certainly would appreciate very much if you would vote 'no' on Senate Bill 380."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Lake ah... Representative Matijeich."

Matijeich: "Would the Gentleman respond to a question?"

Speaker Telcser: "He indicates he will."

Matijeich: "Representative Ryan, what would be wrong with just extending the deadlines for two years for altering the townships, but allowing the people yet to, to elect Supervisors if they're only for a two year term, whether there'd be anything wrong with that?"

Ryan: "I ah... I'm sorry, Representative, you're going to have to go through that for me once more."

Matijeich: "Well, all I asked was, what would be wrong ah... I notice there's two parts to this Bill. First, we extend the deadlines for altering of the township boundaries to two years. That's one



part of it, right? The other part of it is that we extend the ah... term of office for the Township Supervisor for two years to be consistent with that evidently. And I say what would be wrong with just extending ah... the ah... altering of the township boundaries for two years, but allowing the people to ah... to vote ah... on... even if it's a two year term for the Supervisor. In other words, ah... to be in line with what Representative Hill has said that the people voted for somebody for a specified term and that's the way it ought to be."

Ryan: "Well, I certainly understand your question and, and the only thing that's wrong with that is that the ah... there would be one election for one office and it would cost the taxpayer some three and a half million dollars. And as I recall in the past ah..., Representative Hill has been very concerned about the taxpayers money and he's got a chance now to save some and I would appreciate his vote here."

Matijevich: "Well, the point is though, I think that ah... we, we have supported time and time again the consolidation of elections. I don't think that's an issue here. The issue here is whether the people have the right to voice through an election ah... who their township ah... officials ah... should be and I think we ought to ah... keep that right with the... with the people. You know, Representative Ryan, you and I run for a two year term. It's not all that bad you know, and as long as ah... we are extending this, ah... I think that we ought to ah... to still allow the people to ah... vote through an election. If you amend that part of the Bill, I'll... I'll vote for it. If you don't, then I'll vote against it."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from ah... the Lady from Lake, Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise in support of this Bill because I believe this Bill is trying to eradicate inconsistency of elections that relate to the County Board Members and I do feel that the last part of the summary in our books is very excellent in that all Supervisors will then be elected in 1977 and every four years thereafter. We will save the taxpayers money without unnecessary elections and two years isn't that long."



And even though we have to suffer it and campaign at the end of one year, I don't feel we should penalize someone else by it.

And, therefore, I rise and speak in support of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Jaffe."

Jaffe: "Ah... would the Gentleman yield to a question please?"

Speaker Telcser: "He indicates he will."

Jaffe: "Didn't we kill a Bill just like this that was a House Bill?"

Speaker Telcser: "You want to go to the next one, George? Your microphone isn't working. You want to go to ah... Bud's?"

Ryan: "As far as I know, no. We haven't had another Bill like this in the House."

Jaffe: "It seems to me that we have voted on this particular topic about four times now and I think we've killed it as a House Bill. I don't think it's any better as a Senate Bill and I don't think that we ought to give the Supervisors of a township an additional free ride for two years. We killed it once, let's kill it again and let's vote it down."

Ryan: "Representative Jaffe, ah... I thought you told me you weren't going to speak against my Bill today. No, this doesn't apply to Cook County at all."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Will, Representative Kempiners."

Kempiners: "Will the Gentleman yield for a question?"

Speaker Telcser: "He indicates he will."

Kempiners: "I've got just a basic question about this election. Is ah... this going to be the general election in the springtime when Supervisors are generally elected?"

Ryan: "I, I, I didn't get the last part of what you said."

Kempiners: "This will be in the springtime like in April when we elect our township officers, right?"

Ryan: "Right."

Kempiners: "Is there anybody going to be on a ballot for township officer other than the Township Supervisor in half of these townships?"

Ryan: "No, that would be the only office. I thought I brought that out."

Kempiners: "So what we're doing is spending three and a half million of the taxpayers money if this Bill doesn't pass to elect possibly



one township office, is that correct?"

Ryan: "Absolutely."

Kempiners: "Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, now ah... the Gentleman from Madison, Representative Kennedy."

Kennedy: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, ah... I don't know the Sponsor of this Bill and I'm sure he's an honorable man, but replying to the previous speaker's question, this is only a foot in the door. Now if you pass this Bill, the Members of the County Board are going to come in and want their elections extended the next time we're in Session. Now I admit there's probably some partisanship in this Bill."

Ryan: "Absolutely."

Kennedy: "My home county is democratic and I know Supervisors want this Bill, but I believe that I run for two year term and it is not an economy move. It's an object of particular politicians to entwist themselves in office without running for election. You and I have to run for re-election and I think this Bill should be defeated."

Ryan: "Mr. Speaker, I may respond to that?"

Speaker Telcser: "You can do it when you close, Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Well, I just want to state ah... ah... issue with one point here of ah... Representative Kennedy that ah... Senator Vadalabene is a Co-sponsor, so this is certainly not a partisan issue. And I would appreciate it if you didn't try and make it one."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, the Gentleman from Knox, Representative McMaster."

McMaster: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would like to rise in support of this Bill. You're overlooking the fact that this is only going to occur once, just one time. And we're going to try to save the people of the State of half of the townships in the State of Illinois that are electing the one man in their township elections at a cost of something over three million dollars, three and a half million. It's a completely unnecessary election and ah... believe me, when you're going to keep the polls open for 12 twelve hours to elect one individual in the



township, only one, I would certainly like to recommend some support for this. There's nothing going on here that you're trying to perpetuate people in office. You're only going to do this once and then after that, they'll be running for four year terms like they always do."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from St. Clair, Representative Flinn."

Flinn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the purpose of this Bill is not just to extend the Supervisors term two years. It's to eliminate an unnecessary election. Last year, we had so many elections that it cost the State six million dollars for extra elections. The whole purpose of this thing is to eliminate a township election and in the last term of the 77th Session, we moved the Road Commissioners up, moved their term up in the same manner. The only thing wrong was we made a mistake, we forget that there... half of the Supervisors were already with the Road Commissioners and so we made a legislative mistake and all this Bill does is correct that mistake. Now if we want to spend a lot of money for township elections unnecessarily, then this is a good way to do it, defeat the Bill. I'm saying to you that if you care anything about the taxpayers at all, now is the time to vote for this Bill and vote the Supervisors up two years and all will be running every four years and every four years thereafter after 1977. So I suggest we have a lot of green lights on this Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, I only want to clear up through a point of order because Representative Jaffe asked if this was the same as a House Bill that had already been considered. The House Sponsor of this Bill said to his knowledge, 'no'. Ah... yet Representative McMaster spoke in this subject and I wanted to clear up for all of the Members of the House that House Bill 335 ah... was exactly the same Bill, was tabled February 28 and House Bill 517 which Representative McMaster ought to be familiar with because he's the Chief Sponsor ah... was also called and on May 3, Third Reading in the House, failed to pass. So this is the duplication of effort that we ought to start eliminating in the General Assembly. We've



acted on it and let's be consistent."

Ryan: "Well, ah... Representative Matijevich, that'd fine if you can get the Senate to stop introducing Bills so we don't have to handle them over here."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Christian, Representative Tipsword."

Tipsword: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, I recall very well the day that Representative McMaster's Bill was presented that was just mentioned. It came up at a very late hour in the Session. There was very little discussion on the Bill. It was a time when several of our Membership was gone and the Bills were being defeated. And I was aware of actually what the Bill was only after the Bill itself, had been defeated. What Representative Flinn said is absolutely true about the mistake that we made here two years ago and that mistake was caught on the floor of the House just after we had passed this... the previous... the Bill that eliminated the election for the Township Road Commissioners and it was questioned on the floor of the House at that time, 'well shouldn't we do something while this is still within our, our control and bring this Bill back and amend it here now'? And we were told on the floor of the House at that time, 'no, it is not necessary because we will have time to do this in the next Session before the next township election comes up at which these Supervisors, one half of the Supervisors in the State are to be elected.' This is merely then going to get us on an even keel after this two years will all these Supervisors and it will keep our word of two years ago and I urge that this Bill be passed."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Kankakee, Representative Ryan, to close."

Ryan: "Well, ah... Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is an important Bill to the taxpayers of the State of Illinois to save them some three million dollars. It's necessary legislation and I would appreciate your green light."

Speaker Telcser: "The question is shall Senate Bill 380 pass. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. The Gentleman from Madison, Representative Kennedy, to explain his vote."



Kennedy: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise to explain my vote partly to answer the distinguished Legislator who's sponsoring this Bill with reference to the... my Senator. We don't always agree on everything and we disagree on this. Also to answer Representative Flinn from St. Clair County. I'm sure it is the majority of the Legislators coming from Madison and St. Clair County who will support this Bill, but I don't intend to support it. I think it's a very unfair thing to allow Supervisors to serve six years. I don't think the purpose behind this this Bill at all, the chief purpose is to save money. Now if you want to save money, give the General Assembly four years. This is where the talent is around here. We make the laws that run this State. We're the people entitled to four years and I proudly vote 'no'."

Speaker Telcser: "Have all voted who wished? Take the record. On this question 115 'ayes', 19 'nays'. And this Bill having received the Constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill... All right, Senate Bill 358."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 358, Deuster. A Bill for an Act creating the Swimming Pool and Bathing Beach Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Deuster."

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, ah... the Swimming Pool Law was originally approved back in 1931 and there haven't been any significant changes since then. Ah... Senate Bill 358 would provide some of the deficiencies that our modern requirements call for such as ah... operating licenses for the swimming pools. Also it calls for the establishment of an Advisory Council ah... and in the Bill is a provision that in as much as the State is providing ah... inspection services and examining the ah... water for the bacteriological content and so forth, there is a licensing fee of ah... \$50. It applies only to ah... public pools and swimming beaches ah... There was one Amendment which was ah... offered in the Committee ah... which would provide that any unit of local government having a... their own health department and certain other conditions set forth might administer the law on their own and provide the ah... Department of Health with copies



of their ordinances and reports on what they're doing. It's an important Act. It does provide that the services that the State is providing now will be sustained by what we would call a modest fee of \$50 and I would be happy to answer questions on the Senate Bill 358."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. When the Sponsor was referring to that Amendment, does that mean that home rule units are not under the jurisdiction of this Bill?"

Deuster: "The answer is that ah... this is in the nature of a home rule Amendment. It's not ah... just in that short term, but this is what it amounts to. Ah... Not entirely, there may some home rule units that don't have a health department and don't ah... comply with the terms in Amendment #1, but ah... generally most of the major home rule units, I believe, would, would be covered by this and would, would thereby ah... be able to administer this ah... the law themselves."

Lechowicz: "Well, based on that answer, Mr. Speaker, I was wondering if you ah... would inform me how many votes this Bill will need to pass."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Lechowicz, which Amendment do you... is there an Amendment you feel ah... causes this to need 107?"

Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker, I would hope that you would rule on the whole Bill because according to the Sponsor when he explained the Bill and the Amendment, Amendment #1 which was adopted, he was saying there was a possibility that this Bill could apply to home rule units."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from ah... Cook, Representative Berman, do you have something to ask?"

Berman: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I would call your attention to the ah... last full paragraph of Amendment #1 and that provides that ah... the State may under certain circumstances ah... bring under its control all of the beaches operated by home rule units. I would submit that this is certainly a ah..."

Speaker Telcser: "Would you repeat that, Art."

Berman: "The last full paragraph of Amendment #1."



Speaker Telcser: "Representative Juckett, for what purpose do you rise?"

Juckett: "Well, Mr. Speaker, it was my understanding that there was already State law on the subject. There is no preemption and thus would not require 107, but only 89."

Speaker Telcser: "What State law do you refer to, Representative Juckett?"

Juckett: "They already have an Act ah... for the Sanitary Control of Swimming Pools."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Lechowicz, for what purpose do you rise?"

Lechowicz: "What about the beaches, Bob?"

Juckett: "What about what?"

Lechowicz: "Beaches."

Juckett: "I'll defer to my council, Mr. Deuster."

Lechowicz: "Thank you."

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker, I might inquire of the Gentleman who's ah... asking the questions on the other side of the aisle, did you have a particular beach in mind or a general category of beaches that causes any concern?"

Lechowicz: "Yes, the beaches that are controlled by the Chicago Park District which are on the lovely shores of Lake Michigan."

Deuster: "Well, might I inquire further if ah... do they not conform with the requirements in Amendment #1, is that the ah... they may. They may."

Lechowicz: "I really am not qualified to answer that, but I think that there are other sections of the State that are also affected primarily any county which adjoins Lake Michigan that has beaches on that Lake and I would defer to those Representatives to answer to that. I also would have to have them look into the \$50 fee that's being established in this Bill as far as additional cost to local governments for more paperwork on the State level. I encourage a 'no' vote."

Speaker Telcser: "You've withdrawn your parliamentary inquiry?"

Lechowicz: "I'm very patient, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, Representative Deuster, could you come up here and talk a ah... Ann Luceen for a moment? Representative VonBoeckman,



for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

VonBoeckman: "I'd like to ask the House Sponsor of this Bill a question."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, we're in the middle of a parliamentary inquiry.

Could you hold your question? We're trying to determine how many votes it will take for passage. All right? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Duff."

Duff: "Mr. Speaker, I might ah... direct the ah... Speaker's attention to the Article VII of the Constitution, Local Governments, Section 6, Powers of Home Rule Units where it specifies that the home rule unit may exercise any power or perform any functions including the power to regulate for the protection of the public health, safety, morals, and welfare. The protection of the police powers and health and welfare powers is very, very clearly now and historically lies with the municipal concerns and I would agree with the Gentlemen that would say that this would take 107 votes."

Speaker Telcser: "All right now, I understand that the Gentleman may want to take it out of the record. You want to take it out of the record, Tom?"

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker, Amendment #1 ah... virtually amounts to a home rule Amendment, but it's not and ah... I'll be very happy to take this out of the record, take it back to Second Reading for the purpose ah... later for the purpose of putting a home rule Amendment on it."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative VonBoeckman, for what purpose do you rise?"

VonBoeckman: "Well, Mr. Speaker, ah...again, I'd like to ask the House Sponsor of this Bill if he considers a health spa..."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, Repre... he's taking it out of the record. If you have a question, why don't you just walk over..."

VonBoeckman: "I, I'd like to have him put in that Amendment, too, that health spas be included."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, why take floor time to ask him. My God, walk over and ask him. He's taking out of the record. Take that out of the record. Bill 749. Is Representative Fleck on the floor? Take it out of the record. Senate Bill... Is Representative Neff on the floor? Take that out of the record. Representative Craig



on the floor? Representative Mahar on the floor? Sen... Representative Philip is not on the floor. Senate Bill 107, 107."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 107. Amends an Act relating to State finance.

Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Adams, Representative McClain."

McClain: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, ah... Senate Bill 107 is a very simple Bill. It's ah... the Bill delivered to you adds paragraph... Section 14 of the State Finance Act defining what is includable in the category of personal services in appropriation Act. Actually, this is already done on the Federal level and the Internal Revenue Service has apparently denied the claim of State Chaplains to exclude from their State salary an amount for such a rental allowance on the grounds that there is no State statutes. So what we're trying to do actually is to provide a State statute for these Chaplains. Now what this actually does is make excludable 20% of a State Chaplain's salary ah... in the State that actually is not provided a State housing. In other words, he has to provide his own housing. This would ah... exclude 20% of a person's salary. So if a man makes twel... twent... ah... twelve thousand dollars, 20% of that would be ah... actually four hundred and eighty dollars of State tax revenue and it's a very simple Bill and I'd urge your support. Passed the Senate easily."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Macon, Representative Borchers."

Borchers: "Mr. Speaker, if I may, I'd like to ask a question or so."

Speaker Telcser: "Indicates he'll yield."

Borchers: "I'm a little... I agree with you and realize that we'd have any State Chaplain that perhaps in the prisons. Now is this the type of Chaplain we have?"

McClain: "Webber, actually it would include about 57 full time Chaplains and ah... some of them are at ah... State Mental Institutions, some are at Veteran's Homes and some are on ah... other ah... State facilities."

Borchers: "I was curious also as to ask to perhaps ah... the divisions. Is it sort of percentage-like ah... 30, 40% Catholic, other Protestants? What is it? I'm just curious about that, too. Or do you



know? It doesn't... that's not too important a question."

McClain: "Actually, I ah... I believe that it's all of them are ah... if you'd hold on just for a second, please. Excuse me."

Borchers: "Well, one other question while you're looking it up is our Chaplain and the Chaplain in the Senate included in this?"

McClain: "Actually, my legal assistants here say 'no' because they're not full time, Webber."

Borchers: "Okay, well that ah... that'll satisfy me. I'm sure it doesn't matter too much about the answer to that second question of mine."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Schneider."

Schneider: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Telcser: "Indicates he will."

Schneider: "Is he a nondenominational Chaplain? He's not nondenominational? Is Ben Polk eligible?"

McClain: "I ah... I don't believe Ben Polk would really be interested in ah... being a full time Chaplain. We don't pay enough."

Schneider: "Well, I'm not ah... an Constitutional expert obviously and again I raise the question about whether or not the State should be involved in ah... salarizing Chaplains. Now State Chaplains that are hired ah... by some system must be paid, but I wonder if there's any question about public moneys for purposes of this sort."

McClain: "Glenn, these are full time State Chaplains. The State is involved in hiring Chaplains for these kinds of ah... ah... activities. All we're doing, all this Bill is directed towards excluding from income 20% of their salary for ah... ah... internal revenue service functions. That's all."

Schneider: "Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Moultrie, Representative Stone."

Stone: "Mr. Speaker, for a point of information, I wonder if it would be at all possible that we add Representative Clabaugh's big speech about blabbermouths replayed now? Now this might be funny now, but a week from today, it isn't going to be so funny. This is a simple little Bill that I think should be passed. Everyone should know



this if you'll read the Digest. I don't see why we need to take 25 minutes talking about it."

Speaker Telcser: "Does the Gentleman wish to close the debate? The question is shall Senate Bill 107 pass. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Take the record. Catania 'aye'. Peters 'aye'. On this question 125 'ayes', 12 'nays', and this Bill having received the Constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. The Gentleman from Union, Representative Choate."

Choate: "Mr. Speaker, would you get a little order please for an introduction of a very distinguished young Lady. Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, on behalf of Representative McCormick and Hart and myself from our Legislative District, I would like to present to the House of Representatives an outstanding young Lady who has been travelling throughout the State of Illinois representing the Dairy Industry very ably and I'm sorry that she only was not here yesterday when the Milk Bills, so to speak, were being debated, but I would like to speak... I would like to present rather the Illinois Dairy Princess for 1972 and 73, Miss Joyce Maurey from Tamms, Illinois, Pulaski County."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, back on the order of Senate Bills, Second Reading. Senate Bill 416. No, Senate Bill 416 has been read a Second time, Amendment #1 was tabled, Amendment #2 was offered and adopted. We're now on Amendment #3 offered by Representative ah... Harold Washington and the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Washington is recognized for the purpose of Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 416."

Washington: "To very briefly reiterate, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, Amendment #3 simply provides that there shall be no variation in the rate charge of any given insurance described in this Article dealing with bodily injuries based upon geographic division within municipalities of a population of a half million or more. We've discussed this and debated this issue thoroughly. The House has passed favorably on this several times. I ask your adoption of Amendment #3 to Senate Bill #416."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook,



Representative Robert Dunne."

R.L. Dunne: "I only wish to speak briefly in support of this Amendment ah... We do not have any territorial rating with respect to bodily injury ah... rates in Chicago now. So, this really doesn't alter anything and so I, I know, I want it to be on the record that I favor the Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 416. All in favor signify by saying 'aye'... the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Rayson, do you seek recognition? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Rayson."

Rayson: "Representative Washington, is this a guess that a municipality under a half a million can have variations in rating?"

Washington: "The Bill means what it says, over a half million, there shall be one rate."

Rayson: "Well, what about under a half?"

Washington: "The Bill means what it says, over a half million there'll be one rate."

Rayson: "Well, all right, then it has... I'm all for what you're trying to do, but it has a certain ambivalence, you know. I say, I say one people, one rate, you know."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 416. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The Amendment's adopted. Are there further Amendments? Amendment #... Are there further Amendments beyond #3?"

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #4, Londrigan. Amends Senate Bill 416..."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Sangamon, Representative Londrigan."

Londrigan: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, by this Amendment, I am attempting to take off the threshold concept from this Bill. The threshold concept means putting limits of liability on your policy. Now the insurance companies in some years were losing money because of the risk involved in their automobile policies. They were always against no-fault insurance, but they were also making money on their medical policies because they..."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Bradley, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"



Bradley: "I wish the Gentleman would speak to the Amendment."

Londrigan: "That's what I'm doing."

Bradley: "It's not to the Bill as to the insurance industry, to the Amendment that he's offering."

Speaker Telcser: "I think... Yeh, I think the Gentleman's point is well taken, Representative Londrigan. You'll proceed please."

Londrigan: "The purpose ah... the purpose of the threshold they put in the Bill was so that they could limit liability and that is when the insurance companies first took up the no-fault with the threshold limit of liability. They do not really want the Bill if they cannot have the threshold. Now what does the threshold do when it limits your liability? The threshold says that you cannot recover unless your injury is serious and unless you are totally disabled for 30 days, totally disabled for 30 days. This would exclude from recovery first fractured vertebrae which include also in this category strains and sprains, commonly called whiplashes to your neck and vertebrae. Now we've had an excellent example in our own House of our own Speaker suffering a similar disability. If our Speaker had suffered his injury in an automobile accident, you know what he would have recovered? Just his medical expenses because he got up off his bed and came back to work. He would get nothing more and Juckett's another one. They would get nothing more than their medical expenses. Now the Speaker has not had too much pain and suffering yet, but at any time throughout his life, it can get worse. He can get arthritis from his injury and he can suffer greatly for a great many years and yet under this threshold no-fault concept, he cannot receive anything, but his medical damage. You would be excluded from recovery from removal of vertical disks. Third, you would be unable to recover for skull fractures. Fourth, the loss of sense of taste. Fifth, loss of sense of touch. Sixth, loss of sense of smell. Seventh, for fractured arms and legs. Eighth, for brain damage resulting in the lowering of your intelligence and of your motor reflexes. Ninth, for aggravation of pre-existing disease or condition. Tenth, for impairment of physical ability. Eleventh, the loss of consortium. Twelfth, for all temporary disabilities.



Can you believe that? All temporary disabilities. Now, what are you going to give for that in return? I'll tell what you'll get in Springfield, Illinois. You'll get a saving on your policy of \$3.00 while the insurance companies say eight. That's what you'll get. Are you willing to give all that up for \$3.00 here and six and nine up in the Chicago area? I think not. I think not. What their company will say will save you 10% on your personal injury liability only. And as I said in Springfield, that will amount to a \$3.00 saving, another one of their gimmicks. Now as we have seen, this will encourage the malinger to stay in his hospital bed, to stay in the same boat for 30 days so that he can collect. It's going to encourage people to claim that they are totally disable for 30 days.. It will up the hospital expense and it will..."

Speaker Telcser: "Represent... one moment, Representative Bradley, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Bradley: "I renew my request that the Gentleman contain his remarks and limit them to the Amendment that he has offered. He's not doing that."

Speaker Telcser: "All right..."

Londrigan: "That is what my Amendment does. It takes off the threshold concept I'm talking about. I must be talking too good because I'm getting interrupted too many times. Now on another type of case, now listen to this. You are not going to be able to recover from your serious injury and if you've been there 30 days totally disabled, but the guy that goes out and breaks the law, the guy that gets drunk and hits the tree, the guy that carelessly runs into the bridge, he's going to be able to collect if he has his 30 days disability. What are we doing? We're taking away from the innocent victim his rights to collect and we're giving it to the law-breaker, that drunkard who runs into trees. No this totally changes or concept of the law. And this is one-third of our accidents where there are single accidents that are caused by one car and the victim causes his own damage. All of these reasons are reasons why we should vote against this threshold concept. It is no saving to the people. You are going to get in his coverage and your policy



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

just what you paid for and nothing else. Nobody's going to give you anything and if they reduce your premium, they're going to reduce your coverage. You should be concerned with your coverage. How much coverage are you getting for your money and don't let 'em hoodwink you with the three dollar, the six dollar, and the nine dollar saving. I ask for your continued support to defeat this threshold concept and vote 'aye' on this Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Robert Dunne."

R.L. Dunne: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I'm sure it's all obvious to everyone here that this is purely an Amendment to gut the entire no-fault Bill. And Mr. Londrigan knows that I answered those objections in Committee. First of all, there is an Amendment coming the next Amendment which will add as an alternative threshold, a \$1,000 of medical expenses. And all that talk about the Speaker would be clearly answered by that Amendment. He obviously incurred more than a \$1,000 of medical expenses and he was clearly recovered. Ah... he listed, the last speaker listed several types of ah... of injuries that would not be covered under the, under the definition. Well, he's totally wrong. The definition of serious injury in the Bill would clearly cover all of those items that he labelled. I really don't think there's anything more you could say about the Amendment. It's an attempt to entire... to gut the entire no-fault Bill. There are 21 states in this Union now where the citizens of those States are enjoying better coverage at reduced premiums. The premiums that we would enjoy with a 10% mandated reduction and further premium reductions after the loss experience is realized is substantially more than the last speaker said and I urge the defeat of this Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from McLean,, Representative Bradley."

Bradley: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I wonder if the Sponsor of this Amendment might yield to a question or two?"

Speaker Telcser: "He indicates he will."

Bradley: "Ah... Representative, is there other two Bills in the House pertaining to no-fault have not already ah... been deleted of any



threshold and ah... or do they have a threshold?"

Londrigan: "Whatever Bill that is left does not have a threshold in it."

Bradley: "The second thing I've... second question, did you offer or did anybody offer a rate reduction on the ah... House Bill, er... Senate Bill 187 or House Bill 950 for the people of the State of Illinois?"

Londrigan: "First of all, it doesn't pertain to the Amendment as you keep raising the point, but I'll be glad to tell you. No, we didn't propose any gimmickry of a rate increase. As I said, we're concerned about getting proper coverage for your money and not gimmickry and trying to sell a lousy Bill for \$3.00."

Bradley: "The third question I have, if this Amendment would be adopted and we would pass the Bill, would you anticipate in all honesty and sincerity that we might have a rate increase as ah... suggested by the ah... independent actuarial firm that did some research on this matter?"

Londrigan: "The suggestions have come from the insurance industry. We are not advocating what they're going..."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Barry, for what purpose do you rise?"

Barry: "Point of order. I believe that the question is out of order. It relates to another Bill not under consideration."

Bradley: "It re... it relates to this Amendment, Mr. Speaker, I think. All right, so we throw out the question. The Sponsor... the Gentleman has already answered it for me. To the Amendment then if I might, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Telcser: "Proceed, sir."

Bradley: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think we have two Bills that are already in the form that the Gentleman who is presenting this Amendment would like to have a, a no-fault Bill voted out of this House. Now it's been the custom throughout the years that I've been in the House that the Sponsor of a piece of legislation is allowed to get it in the form that he would like to have it and move it to Third Reading and at that time, let the Members vote it up or down. So I suggest to the Members that the offering of this Amendment proposes to do nothing more than to put



this Bill in the same form as we already have two Bills in that are on this House Calendar. If this Amendment is adopted, we'll have no choice at all in whether we want a Bill with a threshold or a Bill without a threshold. And also that this Bill, 416 now as amended is a reduction where the other Bill does not give a rate reduction. I don't... it's been referred to as an industry Bill. I think when we adopt it in Committee and on the floor of this House this morning Amendment #2, we have a consumer Bill. We don't have an industry Bill anymore because for the first time, we're giving the people a break in their automobile insurance rates. It's been referred to at three and four dollars. Well it all depends on what your insurance rate is and I suggest to you that we defeat this Amendment so that we will have choice between two no-fault Bills. Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Bureau, Representative Barry. Representative Schraeder, do you rise on a point of some, sir?"

Schraeder: "Speaker, he's not talking to the Amendment. He's talking to the Bill. I think he's out of order."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Bureau, Representative Barry."

Barry: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, as I said before, my personal dollar interest in this subject is almost nil. It's considerably less than 10% and I can live without out. It's 7.6 to be exact. I've checked it carefully. I have a very sincere interest for the consumer and that's why I rise to speak for this Amendment. The Amendment of Mr. Londrigan, I think should be adopted because it does, in fact make 416 a rather decent Bill in that it allows people to sue for injuries that are soft tissue type of injuries such as Bob Blair's and it... (microphone turned off)"

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Pierce, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Pierce: "I'm inquiring, Mr. Speaker, who the Gentleman is who's sitting next to the ah... Gentleman from Ladd."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from who?"

Pierce: "Oh, I've seen him before. It's all right."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Barry, would you proceed, sir."

Barry: "Danny Pierce says fair is fair. I guess it is. The ah... the



real point of my rising in support of Lon... Mr. Londrigan's Amendment is that if you read Section 62017 which is being amended by Mr. Londrigan it says that a serious injury is death and dismemberment and ah... but then it goes on to say prominent significant, irreparable disfigurement, permanent significant loss of an important bodily function or body function. Now my point in rising in support of Londrigan's Amendment is to suggest to you that the consumer should not have to pay to determine what that language means. Mr. Londrigan very simply is knocking it out and suggesting that an injury such as our Speaker, Bob Blair, has a soft tissue injury to a disk between two bony vertebrae, when damaged shall be a significant injury provable by the injured party. He's not getting in any for nothing. He must find an attorney willing to handle his case thinking it substantial enough to do something about and proceed. That's what it's all about. That's the purpose of this Amendment to suggest that at least the consumer who pays the bill gets a fair shot."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Mugalian."

Mugalian: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, there's probably no question that's more important to this Legislature than how we resolve a no-fault question. I would like to say that I have thought about this matter for many weeks. I'm still considering it in my own mind. I haven't made a decision. I suspect that many other conscientious Legislators have not yet made up their mind. Already, one good Bill or one Bill that we could of considered has been, in effect, destroyed. It's been... it's been tabled because of an Amendment of this kind. I plead with you to give us a choice. The Sponsor of this Amendment apparently prefers an approach to no-fault that is already in the form of a Bill. That is Senate Bill 187. I may vote for Senate Bill 187, but I still haven't made up my mind. But if this Amendment carries, I will then foreclose from making any choice as to the alternate, alternatives offered to us for the people of the State of Illinois. Moreover, if this Amendment is, is engrafted onto this Bill, it probably will not be consistent. It will probably make it a worse Bill. It will be a hodge-podge, a mongrel kind of approach to no-fault because I suspect that House



Bill 416 has, had some thought and had some consistency. I am certain that House Bill, Senate Bill 187 also has some consistency, but I plead with the Sponsor of this Amendment to give us an opportunity to make a choice based on the, on the work and effort put in by the Sponsors of the two remaining, competing Bills."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Fleck "

Fleck: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I've been standing here rather amazed to hear people call this a consumer Bill in the shape that it is in. If it's a consumer Bill, I'm quite surprised because that term is the most diabolical misnomer that a fertile minds of men could conceive of. What are we talking about here? We're simply saying the way this Bill is drafted presently that the man would get \$10,000 for lost wages and medical expenses and before he could sue, he would have to have almost a permanent disability, dismemberment. Now if that's a consumer Bill, consider the man who works by the sweat of his brow and the strength of his arms and who's a member of a labor union in a trade. Let's say he's in an accident where the drunk who is at fault runs into him and destroys his body, not to a permanent degree, but to a point where he is partially disabled with a painful back, unable to maintain his job within the union, no matter what it would be, forced to seek employment someplace else, lower his standard of living, and be reimbursed basically..."

Speaker Telcser: "Re... One moment, Representative Bradley, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Bradley: "He's not speaking to the Amendment, Mr. Speaker. He's speaking to the Bill. When the Bill gets to Third Reading, we can debate the merits of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "I'm sure he'll try and confine his remarks."

Fleck: "Mr. Speaker, the Amendment takes the threshold out of the Bill and that is just what I am getting to, why the Amendment is a good Amendment. He has a problem where this man will not be able to get the future income which he would at his present job. The only way he'll be able to get that is if the threshold is removed and he has the opportunity to sue for his..."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Dunne, for what purpose do you rise?"



Dunne: "The speaker is not speaking to the Amendment and he's making misstatements about the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Fleck, could you confine your remarks to the ah... Amendment, please?"

Fleck: "Well, if I wasn't talking about the..."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Kempiners, do you seek recognition? Representative Fleck, proceed."

Fleck: "Thank you. Now the only way this would be a true consumer Bill would be to pass this Amendment and give the man who is injured partially and unable to work at his job for the future to gain his future earnings which he normally would. That is a consumer Bill. This is a Bill that's directed to the small guy who isn't making the money of a professional man, a doctor or a lawyer. That's the consumer you should be concerned about and the only way you'll be concerned about him is to adopt this Amendment and give him his common law right to sue for his pain and suffering and future income. And I support this Amendment wholeheartedly."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Will, Representative Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I think this, this Amendment makes a bad Bill livable. The whole issue behind the question of a threshold is whether or not there are certain injuries perpetrated on individuals through no fault of their own, through the fault of a third person that whether or not they can get compensation from that third person. This is the issue behind the threshold. If you're so worried about saving premium dollars, then cut out your property damage, collision coverage or double you deductible. Then you'll really get a premium reduction because those are no fault portions of your policy and that's the portion of the premium that goes up so much. And by the way, the premium reduction does not cover the gross premium. It only covers the portion of the premium that's applicable to bodily injury liability coverage and that's between a third and a half, depending on where you live. If you're in a high accident rate, it's probably a third. If it's a low accident rate, it's probably closer to a half. But this does not say that your property damage premium will not keep going up like it has in the past astronomically. So I would suggest we vote for this



Amendment and make this a consumer's Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Duff."

Duff: "Well, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Mr. Speaker, ah... the concept behind the threshold is elemental to the Bill. The Amendment would just take it away. I think, in fact, it's essential. When we want to talk about whether we have a consumer aspect here, yes, indeed we do and there's no question we're talking about as fundamental, elemental change in a system that we have been using for many years. We are talking about creating a first party coverage and a third par... and what has historically been a third party situation, but this long list of injuries that has been given to us, called in generalities, soft tissue injuries, in every instance is most probably the loss of a bodily function or most certainly would cause disability for 30 days or more and most certainly the ones we heard would result in thousands of dollars worth of medical expenses. This Amendment is absolutely dilatorious to the Bill and the proposals that we've heard aren't ah... in support of it, aren't really responsive to the Bill as the Sponsor would like to keep it. It is a poor Amendment and it does destroy the concept of no-fault as is being proposed."

Speaker Telcser: "The Lady from Lake, Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move the previous question."

Speaker Telcser: "The Lady has moved... Representative Porter, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Porter: "I, I wanted to ask one question, if I may prior to that?"

Speaker Telcser: "The Lady wish to ah... withhold her, her motion? The Gentleman, Representative Porter would still like to ask some questions. Does the Lady wish to withdraw her motion for a moment?"

Geo-Karis: "I'll withdraw if he'll only take a minute."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Porter."

Porter: "Ah... if the Sponsor would yield for a question?"

Speaker Telcser: "Indicates he will."

Porter: "Jim, if your Amendment were adopted and suits could be filed for pain and suffering would the plaintiff be able to prove special damages, that is his medical expenses in determining the amount for



and suffering?"

Londrigan: "Yes."

Porter: "No, so that it would be the same sort of procedure as now.

You would file a suit in the court, you would prove your medical damages, your economic loss, you'd get a judgement, and then remit the amount ah... that you recovered back to the insurance company?"

Londrigan: "No. What you would do is get your medical pay and your wages. Then if you and your attorney felt that you had a personal injury which needed recovery like your pain and suffering and your permanent or disabling injury, then he would file a lawsuit. You would be able to show your bills as proving your damages, and you would not recover your medical bills and loss of wages twice."

Porter: "All right, well one, one of my concerns and one of the major concerns I hope of all the Representatives from Cook County is the extraordinary backlog that we have of personal injury cases and I'm disappointed in reducing that backlog provided that we substantial gains achieved for all persons and I'm, I'm concerned ah... that we have the right to prove special damages which is unlike, unlike a no-fault where you can't prove special damages, they've managed to cut down their jury docket by 70%. But I'm afraid with this Amendment that we'll have the same situation and no opportunity to cut down that jury docket. And if, if you could frame your Amendment in such a way that you could not prove specials, I think you won't achieve what we need to achieve in Cook County and that is the reduction in the number of cases filed so that those who have been waiting for five years to get recompensed would ah... get justice and so I, I... if you could have that in there, I would support the Amendment. But I'm afraid that in its present form, with the difference between this Amendment or this Bill, if it were amended and the Delaware law, but I can't support it."

Londrigan: "In answer to that..."

Speaker: "All right now, the Gentleman from Sangamon, ah... Representative Londrigan will now close the debate."

Londrigan: "Speaking in answer to that, this... there is no backlog anywhere, only in Chicago and this amended Bill or the other Bill will get rid of the nickel and dime cases because they're going



to pay your medical bills and your loss of wages. Now back on the Amendment. I couldn't of said it better or given a better example than Representative Fleck. We could sit here hour after hour giving you examples of the injustice, but when Charlie Fleck says that a drunk can go out here and hit you, the innocent victim, he can get his bills paid for if he has the right type of injury and you can't, that pretty well sums up the injustice. Now, basic fallacy of any threshold is that it is unalterably unjust. The essential injustice of this concept is that those who sac... the sacrifice demanded, received broken, battered, lacerated, disabled and suffering through no fault of their own, but the innocent victim of the misconduct of others for the benefit of those who violate the Traffic Code and the rules of the road. Now, one said they want a choice. You're making your choice right here. The difference between these two Bills is the threshold. We have decided in this House once that we do not want a threshold. You are now called upon in the days of the Legislature to again affirm that decision that we don't want the threshold concept in our no-fault Bill. The choice is here and now. Somebody said it's elemental to this Bill that the threshold be in it. The only thing elemental to the threshold in this Bill is to make sure, to make sure that the insurance company receives their profit. That's the only purpose of the threshold in here. I would say in closing that this threshold as we have explained, there cannot be a limit of liability as attempted on the thirty day disability without it being so unequitable as to be unjust. The House is now here called upon as the last protection of the people that this gets into a Conference Committee, the people and we have had it. Now you've got your chance to vote here. For one time, you, the House, are going to make the decision. If you vote with us here, you're going to be telling what type of no-fault we get. But if you let threshold on or if you let 416 to get to Conference, you know what the insurance industry's going to do to you. So stand up now right here in the House and protect the people and get rid of this threshold once and for all."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #4 to Senate Bill 416. All in favor of adoption signify by



voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Epton, to explain his vote."

Epton: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, I'm often amazed by the amount of oratory that comes forth on this House and how so many speakers can without ah... pausing give rise to so many false statements. The fact remains that none of us sitting here have really been exposed to a truly... is a good Bill. Unfortunately, as my colleague across the aisle indicated, this House in its great wisdom defeated a Bill which perhaps might have come reasonably close. I have no pride of authorship and I don't think that was written in stone, but the fact remains that we presently are faced now, we are faced with two bad Bills. I ah... have no great desire to rise and support Representative Dunne's position. Incidentally, I would have you note ah... that in seven lawyers that spoke on this Bill, four spoke on one side and three on the other. So those of you who have any doubts as to our, whether they are interested with the people, our own special interest might pause to reflect on that, not that I doubt that you will. But the fact remains that this particular Bill by passage of this Amendment would eliminate a threshold. A threshold contrary to the closing remarks of the previous speaker, is important to a good Bill. I have no brief for this Bill as it stands. I would vote against this Amendment and hope that the Sponsor of the Bill will be able to better it. As it presently stands, I think it's a pretty hopeless proposition, but I'm willing to wait and see. However, I urge my colleagues to vote against what I consider a bad Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Will, Representative Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "Mr. Speaker, explaining my vote, I have before me an automobile insurance premium statement. I'd just like to point out that the total premium is \$252.40. The bodily injury liability portion of that policy on fifty thousand per person, one hundred thousand dollars per occurrence is \$64.80. So based upon the 10% mandatory premium reduction, you're talking about on a \$252.40 premium of a savings of \$6.48. I would like to also point out that the medical payments which is no-fault of \$5,000 per person is \$18.80. The comprehensive which is no-fault, is \$29.60. And the



collision, \$50 deductible which is no-fault, is \$84.80. So the no-fault portion of this premium is \$133.20 and the bodily injury liability portion of this policy is roughly one half of \$64.80, well roughly one quarter of the total premium payment."

Speaker Telcser: "Have all voted who wished? Take the record. On this question there are 73 'ayes', 77 'nays'. The Gentleman from Sangamon, Representative Londrigan."

Londrigan: "We'll ask for a poll of the absentees and then a verification."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has asked for a poll of the absentees and then a verification. Will the Clerk please read the names of the absentees."

Clerk O'Brien: "Blades, Caldwell, Capparelli, Carter, Catania, Cox, DiPrima, Grotberg, Hanahan, Hirschfeld, G.L. Hoffman, Hyde, Juckett, Mann, Martin, Matijeovich."

Speaker Telcser: "One moment, Representative Grotberg wishes to be recorded as voting 'no'. Representative Mann wishes to be recorded as voting 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Martin, Matijeovich, McAvoy, McClain."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative McClain wishes to be recorded as voting 'no'. McClain."

Clerk O'Brien: "Merlo, Pappas, Patrick, Sevcik, Shea, Wall, W.D. Walsh, Walters, E.B. Wolfe."

Speaker Telcser: "All right now, the Gentleman has asked for a verification of the Roll Call. I assume Representative R. Carter wishes to be recorded as voting 'aye'. Now in order to save time, I think if we do the ah... negative Roll Call first ah... and if that still exceeds the ah... affirmative, then, of course, it would save the time of the ah... verifying the affirmative. So the Members please be in their seats. Ah... we are going to verify the negative Roll Call first. Right now we've got 79 'no', 75 'yeses'. The Clerk will read the names of the negative votes."

Clerk O'Brien: "Anderson, Borchers, Bradley, Brinkmeier, Brummet, Campbell, Capuzi, Chapman, Clabaugh, Collins, Craig, Cunningham, Davis, Deavers, Deuster, Douglas, Duff, Ralph Dunn, R.L. Dunne, Dyer, Ebbesen, Epton, Ewell, Fennessey, Garmisa, Gibbs, Granata, Grotberg, Harpstrite, J.D. Holloway, J.M. Houlihan, Hudson, Hunsicker,



Huskey, Keller, Kelly, Kempiners, Kent, Kriegsman, Kucharski, LaFleur, Lauer."

Speaker Telcser: "One moment, Representative Pappas, for what purpose do you rise?"

Pappas: "Mr. Speaker, may I be recorded as voting 'aye' please?"

Speaker Telcser: "Record Representative Pappas as voting 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Lundy, Macdonald, Mahar, McAuliffe, McClain, McCormick, McGrew, McMaster, McPartlin, T.H. Miller, Molloy, Mugalian, Nardulli, Neff, Philip, Pierce, Piotrowicz, Polk, Porter, Randolph, Rayson, Rigney, Rose, Ryan, Schisler, Schneider, Schoeberlein, Ike Simms, Skinner, Telcser, Terzich, Totten, VonBoeckman, Waddell, Washburn, Williams, and Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "Ah... are there questions of the... of the ah... negative Roll Call? The Gentleman from Henry, Representative McGrew."

McGrew: "Mr. Speaker, I was on the negative Roll Call. I wish to be recorded as 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Record the Gentleman as voting 'aye'. The Gentleman from Sangamon, Representative Londrigan."

Londrigan: "I think that was a 'no' to an 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Wait a second, who did you ask for? I'm sorry. Jack, was it a 'no' to an 'aye' or what? Representative McGrew switched from 'no' to 'aye'. Now are there any questions of the ah... negative Roll Call?"

Londrigan: "Anderson."

Speaker Telcser: "He's in his seat."

Londrigan: "Borchers."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Borchers on the floor? He's in his seat."

Londrigan: "Campbell."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Campbell on the floor? He's in his seat."

Londrigan: "Chapman."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Chapman on the floor? Is the Lady in here seat? I can't... She's right back there, right in back of you."

Londrigan: "Deavers."



Speaker Telcser: "In his seat."

Londrigan: "Deuster."

Speaker Telcser: "He's in his seat."

Londrigan: "Skinner."

Speaker Telcser: "Skinner's in his seat."

Londrigan: "Rose."

Speaker Telcser: "Standing by his seat."

Londrigan: "Rayson."

Speaker Telcser: "Rayson? Representative Rayson on the floor? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Walsh, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

W.D. Walsh: "How am I recorded, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Telcser: "Well now, let's finish up Rayson. Representative Rayson, take him off the Roll Call. How was Representative William Walsh recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

W.D. Walsh: "I vote 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Record the Gentleman as voting 'aye'. Representative McPartlin, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

McPartlin: "Ah... how am I... how am I recorded, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Telcser: "How is Representative McPartlin recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

McPartlin: "Change that to 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Change that to 'aye'."

Londrigan: "Houlihan. Oh, there he is. Change, Houlihan."

Speaker Telcser: "Are there..."

Londrigan: "Pierce."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Pierce on the floor? Did you say Pierce or Peters?"

Londrigan: "Pierce."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman on the floor? How is Representative Pierce recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Londrigan: "Hudson."



Speaker Telcser: "Representative Hudson is standing by his seat."

Londrigan: "Dyer."

Speaker Telcser: "She's right here by the Clerk's desk."

Londrigan: "Gibbs."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Gibbs is right in the back by Representative Hyde."

Londrigan: "Harpstrite."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Harpstrite on the floor? He's in his seat."

Londrigan: "Kelly."

Speaker Telcser: "Who was that?"

Londrigan: "Kelly."

Speaker Telcser: "He's in his seat. Representative Huskey, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Huskey: "How am I recorded?"

Speaker Telcser: "How is Representative Huskey recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Huskey: "Change me to 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Change him to 'aye'."

Londrigan: "McClain."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative McClain on the floor? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is ah... recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, record Repres... take Representative McClain off of the Roll Call. Not Philip, McClain."

Londrigan: "All right, now Philip."

Speaker Telcser: "Any further questions?"

Londrigan: "Philip."

Speaker Telcser: "Oh, now you're asking for Pate Philip? Is the Gentleman on the floor? Representative Philip, how is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Telcser: "There he is, right on the floor, he just came back."

Londrigan: "Nardulli."

Speaker Telcser: "Who'd you ask for?"

Londrigan: "Nardulli."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Nardulli's in his seat."



Londrigan: "Porter."

Speaker Telcser: "He's in his seat."

Londrigan: "T. Miller."

Speaker Telcser: "Tom Miller is right here."

Londrigan: "Neff."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Neff. Is Representative Neff on the floor? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call. Any further questions?"

Londrigan: "Schoeberlein."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Schoeberlein on the floor? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Telcser: "Oh, he's right there in my seat. Representative Schoeberlein and Representative Neff has just returned. We never took you, Pate, you're still on. Representative Philip, do you still seek recognition? Put Neff back on and Representative Philip, for what purpose do you rise? Philip. Will the electrician please turn Representative Philip's microphone on?"

Philip: "I'd like to change my vote from 'no' to 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Record the Gentleman as voting 'aye'."

Londrigan: "Rigney."

Speaker Telcser: "He's standing next to Merle Anderson. Any further inquiries? None? Okay..."

Londrigan: "Craig."

Speaker Telcser: "Did you say Craig? Representative Craig ah... on the floor? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call. Here's Craig. Leave Craig on. Any further questions? Representative Lundy, for what purpose do you rise?"

Lundy: "Mr. Speaker ah... has the questioning of the negative Roll Call been completed?"

Speaker Telcser: "We're in the middle of it right now."

Lundy: "Well, my question is, if a poll of the affirmative Roll Call has been requested, is it still in order to replace on the Roll Call



Members who voted 'no' and returned to the floor during questioning of the affirmative Roll Call."

Speaker Telcser: "I would say 'yes'. Sure, we've always done that.

Representative Pierce..."

Lundy: "Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Pierce has returned. Representative Pierce has returned. Put him back on the Roll Call. Okay, Representative Catania, wishes to be recorded as voting 'no'."

Londrigan: "McMaster."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, one second. Representative Catania wishes to be recorded as voting 'no'. Representative McMaster is in the center aisle. That's it?"

Londrigan: "Lauer."

Speaker Telcser: "He's in his seat. What do you have, Jack? There are currently 74 'nos' and 81 'ayes'. Now the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Dunne has requested a verification of the affirmative Roll Call. Will the Members please be in their seats and will the Clerk... Representative Dunne, for what purpose do you rise?"

R.L. Dunne: "I would request that the Clerk kindly read very slowly please."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, would the Members who have voted affirmatively please be in their seats and raise their hand when their name is called. Will the Clerk please read the names of the affirmative roll."

Clerk O'Brien: "Alsup, Arnell, Arrigo, Barnes, Barry, Beatty, Beaupre, Berman, Bluthardt, Boyle, Brandt, Calvo, Carter, Choate, Day, Farley, Fary."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Duff, for what purpose do you rise, sir? Will the Clerk please proceed with the affirmative Roll Call? The Members who have voted affirmatively be in your seats and raise your hands."

Clerk O'Brien: "Fleck, Flinn, Friedland, Geo-Karis, Getty, Giglio, Giorgi, Griesheimer, Hart, Hill, R.K. Hoffman, R.H. Holloway, D.L. Houlihan, Huskey, Jacobs, Jaffe, Emil Jones, J.D. Jones, Katz, Kennedy, Klosak, Kosinski, Kozubowski, Krause, Laurino, Lechowicz, Leinenweber, Lemke, Leon, Londrigan, Madigan, Mann, Maragos, McCourt,



McGah, McGrew, McLendon, McPartlin, K.W. Miller, Murphy, North, Palmer, Pappas, Philip, Redmond, Sangmeister, Schlickman, Shraeder, Sharp, W.T. Simms, Soderstrom, Springer, Stedelin, Stiehl, Stone, Taylor, Thompson, Tipword, Tuerk, R.A. Walsh, W.D. Walsh, Washington, J.J. Wolf, Yourell."

Speaker Telcser: "Questions of the affirmative Roll Call. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Robert Dunne. Representative Sevcik, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Sevcik: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Speaker Telcser: "How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Sevcik: "Vote me 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Record the Gentleman as voting 'aye'. Representative Dunne, do you have questions of the affirmative Roll Call?"

R.L. Dunne: "Bluthardt."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Bluthardt is in his seat."

R.L. Dunne: "Carter."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative R. Carter is in his seat."

R.L. Dunne: "Getty."

Speaker Telcser: "Getty is in his seat."

R.L. Dunne: "Giorgi."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Giorgi is... Is that him sitting in his seat behind the... Yeh, there he is." Representative Martin, for what purpose do you rise?"

Martin: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Speaker Telcser: "How is the Lady recorded? Martin, Martin."

Clerk O'Brien: "The Lady is recorded as not voting."

Martin: "Please vote me 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Record her as voting 'aye'. Any further questions, Representative Dunne?"

R.L. Dunne: "Hill."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Hill. Is Representative Hill in... He's in his seat."

R.L. Dunne: "Capparelli."

Speaker Telcser: "Is Representative Capparelli on the floor? How is the Gentleman recorded?"



Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

R.L. Dunne: "Ah... Jones, Dave Jones."

Speaker Telcser: "He's in his seat."

R.L. Dunne: "Klosak."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Klosak on the floor? How is ah...
Representative... how is Representative Klosak recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

R.L. Dunne: "Krause."

Speaker Telcser: "He's in his seat."

R.L. Dunne: "Lechowicz."

Speaker Telcser: "Lechowicz in his seat."

R.L. Dunne: "McCourt."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative McCourt is in his seat."

R.L. Dunne: "Pappas."

Speaker Telcser: "Pappas, is Representative Pappas on the floor? Oh,
there he is, right behind you."

R.L. Dunne: "I don't have eyes in the back of my head. Philip."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Philip on the floor? How is Rep...
Yeh, there's Pate. His back was to me. He's right across the aisle
from you."

R.L. Dunne: "Schraeder."

Speaker Telcser: "Schraeder is standing by his seat."

R.L. Dunne: "Simms."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Simms is sitting in his seat. Repre-
sentative Klosak has returned. You want to put him back on the Roll
Call?"

R.L. Dunne: "Springer."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Springer on the floor? Representative
Springer? How is the Gentleman recorded? How is Representative
Springer recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is... the Gentleman is recorded as voting
'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call. Representative Fleck,
for what purpose do you rise?"

Fleck: "Mr. Speaker, I thought we had some rules around here that any



lobbyists wouldn't be in the corridors along the side, would ah... bring themselves up to the balcony and the galleries where they properly belong and I wish that the Doorman would remove any lobbyists who are on the floor and the corridors."

Speaker Telcser: "Your point is well taken, sir. If there are any lobbyists in the outer corridors, would you please remove yourselves right now and we'll... That's right, Representative Hill made that point to me earlier and will the Doorkeepers please see to it that all lobbyists are removed from those aisles. On the Republican side and the Democratic side, wherever they may be. Now are there any further questions of the affirmative Roll Call?"

R.L. Dunne: "Richard Walsh."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Walsh is in the back by Representative Hyde. Any further questions?"

R.L. Dunne: "That's it."

Speaker Telcser: "What do you have, Mr. Clerk? Representative Hirschfeld, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Hirschfeld: "How am I recorded?"

Speaker Telcser: "How is Representative Hirschfeld recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Hirschfeld: "Vote me 'no'."

Speaker Telcser: "Record him as voting 'no'. Representative J.J. Wolf, for what purpose do you rise?"

J.J. Wolf: "I was off the floor. Was I removed from the Roll Call?"

Speaker Telcser: "Not that I can... not that I recall, no. On this question there 82 'ayes', 72 'nays'. The Gentleman's motion to adopt Amendment #4 prevails. Are there further Amendments? Representative Gene Hoffman, for what purpose do you rise? Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #5, R.L. Dunne. Amends..."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative R.L. Dunne."

R.L. Dunne: "Amendment #5 is a very simply Amendment. It merely changes the ah... or adds as a alternative threshold ah... a thousand dollars of medical expenses and I vote for its adoption, I urge its adoption."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from ah... Bureau, Representative Barry."

Barry: "I'd ask the Chair please to see whether or not this Amendment is



now in conflict. If so, it's out of order. Mr. Speaker, I believe it is. I've checked it."

Palmer: "Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Palmer, for what purpose do you rise?"

Palmer: "I have ah... a point of parliamentary inquiry. I have Amendment #5 being offered by Mr. Londrigan ah... here. I don't ah... and what Amendment did the Speaker call?"

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Londrigan's was Amendment #4. Would the Clerk ah... the Clerk had them numbered, is that right? Representative Dunne, for what purpose do you rise?"

R.L. Dunne: "It seems to me, Mr. Speaker, that we ought to table that whole last Roll Call in that it was that Amendment was called out of order. Amendment #4 which was my Amendment should of been called before the last Amendment. We just voted on Amendment #5. That's clearly had a psychological affect on the whole ah... because my Amendment..."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Londrigan, for what purpose do you rise?"

Londrigan: "I have two Amendments, one before yours. Then you filed one, undoing what I did. So now I filed another one after yours. So we voted on the proper one. I just got an extra one in case."

R.L. Dunne: "Wait a minute."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Choate, for what purpose do you rise?"

Choate: "Mr. Speaker, I normally don't break in for introductions while the House is conducting business. However, inasmuch as there is a moment of delay, I would like to introduce the mother of Senator Rock, Mrs. Catherine Rock, accompanied by three of her friends in the rear gallery."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, Representative Barry, I'm informed by the Clerk that ah... Amendment #5 could simply be relabeled probably Amendment ah... #5 to Senate Bill 416 as amended. And therefore, could be offered. It's not a ah... a real great... Representative Duff, for what purpose do you rise?"

Duff: "Excuse me, Mr. Speaker. Well there's been a lot of confusion here and I think it's a very important point. Ah... was it stated that the Amendment which we just voted on was offered out of order?"

Speaker Telcser: "The Clerk informs me it was not. It was in proper order."



Representative Dunne, for what purpose do you rise?"

R.L. Dunne: "Well, my Amendment says Amendment #4 and it's the Amendment that I offered and we just voted on another Amendment that was labeled #4 and I think it's Amendment #5. Clearly it was called out of order. It was prejudicial to the whole ah... debate and I think we should pull it."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Barry, for what purpose do you rise?"

Barry: "Well, I, I think ah... that we have numerous copies here that were numbered on the day that the Amendment was offered. It was properly #4 by Mr. Londrigan and if we're going to start bending the rules at all certainly we'd better get back on the course, follow the rules, and not renumber a Section number in Amendment #5 for the benefit of the present Sponsor, Mr. Dunne."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Duff, for what purpose do you rise?"

Duff: "Well, Mr. Speaker, if the Sponsor of a Bill, any Bill, not just this Bill... puts an Amendment into his Bill and he's given a number and that number is not called properly, then it seems to me absolutely clear that that Sponsor has not been the full procedure of the rules under this House. Now if the Sponsor of this Amendment has been given a #4 by the Clerk and he's the Sponsor of a Bill, he ought to be allowed to call his Amendment at the proper time and the proper number."

Speaker Telcser: "The Clerk informs me that the Amendments were offered in the proper sequence ah... with the proper numbering ah... and they were adopted ah... as they should be. The Chair will now rule that Amendment #5 can be corrected by the Clerk's Office and there's no great ah... hindrance towards its adoption if it is adopted. Representative Barry, for what purpose do you rise?"

Barry: "If we're going to bend to that degree, I'm going to remember it for the future, Mr. Speaker, and I would like to know for the benefit of the House what that correction is. I'd like... I think all the Members would like to know what the Clerk's Office is going to do that is a renumbering or whatever. Mr. Tipword just advises me that we'll have a little difficulty getting it on the desks for 24 hours before we vote on it."

Speaker Telcser: "All right ah... all right, there's... there is a lot of



ah... there is some confusion about ah... Amendment #5 as it relates to #4. I'm told that the Sponsor would ah... agree to taking it out of the record for now. Is that right ah... Bob? So let's take it out of the record just where we are right now. The order of Concurrences. Representative Giorgi, for what purpose do you rise?"

Giorgi: "A couple of inquiries, Mr. Speaker. One is, I'd like to ah... have a copy of that Roll Call so I can ah... run a random survey? And the second thing is, did anyone disqualify themselves because of special interest in insurance companies? I wasn't present on the floor for all of the debate."

Speaker Telcser: "I ah... I don't know. Does anyone wish to respond to that? Representative Dunne, for what purpose do you rise?"

R.L. Dunne: "Well, Mr. Speaker, we've adopted Amendments through Amendment #4 and I'd like to take the Bill out of the record. now."

Speaker Telcser: "It's out of the record. It's on Second Reading. Representative Pierce, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, there are some witnesses that have come from Chicago and far points for a two o'clock committee hearings and I know one lives in my district, on Representative Getty's Bill and we have new rules in the House where we're trying to ah... accommodate witnesses who travel a long way. I just wonder if we're not going to adjourn now for the two o'clock and four o'clock committee hearings, rather than just stand around here in this confused state."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, we're not quite ready to adjourn, Representative Pierce, and ah..."

Pierce: "Are we going to have... are we going to have the Committees though today? Yesterday we didn't."

Speaker Telcser: "As far as I know, yes, sir. Representative..."

Pierce: "Because we didn't have the Committee's yesterday as was scheduled."

Speaker Telcser: "We'll take some Committee Reports."

Clerk Selcke: "Committee Reports. Mr. Wall from the Registration and Regulation to which Senate Bill 300, 962, 1969 were referred; reported the same back with the recommendation the Bills do pass. Mr. Wall from Registration and Regulation to which Senate Bill 924 and 1138 were referred; reported the same back with Amendments thereto with the



recommendation the Amendments be adopted and the Bills as amended do pass. Mr. North from Cities and Villages to which Senate Bill 313, 937 were referred; reported the same back with Amendments thereto with the recommendation the Amendments be adopted and the Bills as amended do pass. Mr. North from Committee on Cities and Villages to which Senate Bill 330, 331, 584, and 615 were referred; reported the same back with the recommendation the Bills do pass. Mr. Wall from Registration and Regulation to which Senate Bills 321, 477, 1067 were referred; reported the same back with the recommendation the Bills do not pass. Mr. Wall from Registration and Regulation to which Senate Bill 561 was referred; reported the same back with Amendments thereto with the recommendation the Bill as amended do not pass. Mr. Duff from Judiciary II to which Senate Bills 12 and 430 were referred; reported the same back with the recommendation the Bills do pass. Mr. Duff from Judiciary II to which Senate Bills 15, 514 were referred; reported the same back with Amendments thereto with the recommendation the Amendments be adopted and the Bills as amended do pass. Mr. Duff from Judiciary II to which Senate Bill 947 was referred; reported the same back with the recommendation the Bill do pass. Mr. Duff from the Committee on Judiciary II to which Senate Bill 432, 538, 551, 627, 630 were referred; reported the same back with the recommendation the Bills do not pass. No further Committee ah... Reports."

Speaker Telcser: "Agreed Resolutions."

Clerk Selcke: "House Resolution 460, Pierce et al."

Speaker Telcser: "Will the Clerk please read the Resolution?"

Clerk Selcke: "House Resolution 460. Whereas, on Wednesday, the 20th of June, 1973, there occurred a sports contest in Springfield's Lincoln Park which will go unequalled for years to come in the annals of the Great American Sport of Softball; and whereas, on that momentous day, the House Democratic Secretaries-known for some strange reason as the 'Demo Secs'-left Lincoln Park with pride swelling in their chests, or so it seemed; and whereas, they had ample reason to be proud because they won a great victory over the secretaries from the other side of the aisle, despite the unfair advantage that these older Republican women received; and whereas, to achieve this great victory



the 'Demo Secs' found it necessary to overcome three major obstacles: (1) An extra half-inning advantage for the GOP team, who batted both first and last in the game; (2) Interference from the crutches of their opponents' 3rd base coach, Bob Juckett; and (3) the unusual Greek scoring method employed by the self-appointed score-keeper, Representative Adeline Geo-Karis, who always seemed to be coming up with extra points for the players from her side of the aisle; and whereas, in addition to their great playing ability the 'Demo Secs' had the advantage of outstanding coaching from Representatives Emil Jones and Chuck Keller, including a special assist from their first base coach, Art Berman, who gave each girl a little pat on her base when she made a hit; and whereas, despite the outstanding playing ability of the 'Demo Secs' and their fine coaching staff, they did experience an early-game-slump-probably because of the unfair advantages enjoyed by the other team; and whereas, noting this slump, a veteran softball coach-Representative Clyde Choate-rushed onto the field to give the 'Demo Secs' a pep talk; and whereas, Representative Choate, a master of gentle persuasion, subtly suggested to the girls that they had better get their bases in gear and score some runs if they wanted their next paychecks; and whereas, the 'Demo Secs' immediately perked up and began to knock the old pill all over the ball park, causing some lecherous onlooker to make an observation which, unfortunately, cannot be journalized; and whereas, the 'Demo Secs', behind by at least seven runs (depending on whose score-keeping you believe) before Representative Choate did his persuading, ended the game defeating the Republicans by a score of 20 to 13, or something close to that; be it therefore resolved, by the House of Representatives of the 78th General Assembly, the State of Illinois, that all fair minded Members of this House congratulate the 'Demo Secs' on their great victory achieved despite overwhelming handicaps; and be it further resolved, that the 'Demo Secs' be treated to flowers, boxes of candy or other appropriate gifts from Republican Members of this House."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Pierce."

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, I move for suspension of the rules for the...

appropriate rule for the immediate consideration and adoption of this



House Resolution commemorating the great victory of the Democratic secretaries over their GOP opposite numbers."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Juckett."

Juckett: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I think that Comm... that Resolution ought to go to Committee so that we can have the real heroines of the action, the Republican secretaries come in and testify as to the ah... great problems they had in trying to battle the monsters on the other side."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has moved for the suspension of the appropriate rule for immediate consideration and adoption of House Resolution #460. All in favor of the Gentleman's motion signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. The Gentleman from Kane, Representative Grotberg."

Grotberg: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think in all kindness that we should all remember that I think about \$300 to the Heart Fund on behalf of Ben Blades was raised by that event last night which is the guts of the matter and there is a serious side to this thing. We should be thankful to all who participated."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Will, Representative Kempiners."

Kempiners: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I want to explain my 'no' vote. It's up there for one reason and one reason only. I expect that Representative Geo-Karis will come in and testify because I had a lot of fun out there watching her reactions to the scores and I would anticipate that she would duplicate these reactions to the Committee hearing."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative J.J. Wolf."

J.J. Wolf: "Well, Mr. Speaker, some of the Members may not be aware that the game results were protested and will be ultimately decided tonight in the House Executive Committee where a non-partisan Committee of two Republicans and one Democrat appointed by ah... Phil Collins will decide the game."

Speaker Telcser: "The Lad... the Lady from Lake, Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "And I think that will be the only way I'll win an argument with Emil Jones, the coach."

Speaker Telcser: "Have all voted who wished? Take the record. On this question 111 'ayes', 29 'nays', and the Gentleman's motion prevails. And the Resolution is adopted. Representative Davis, for what purpose



do you rise?"

Davis: "I was concerned about by secretary because she's very small.

I thought that ah... the other ladies would run over her, but to my great surprise, she ran over the other ladies."

Speaker Telcser: "Introduction of First Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 19..."

Speaker Telcser: "One minute, Representative J.J. Wolf, for what purpose do you rise?"

J.J. Wolf: "Well, in good sportsmanship, I was a little disturbed by some of those red lights and having voted on the prevailing side, I'd like to move that we reconsider the vote by which ah... that Resolution was adopted so we can get a better looking Roll Call."

Speaker Telcser: "Having voted on the prevailing side, the Gentleman moves to reconsider the vote by which House Resolution, was it 409? 460 was passed. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The motion prevails. The Gentleman has moved for the immediate consideration... for the suspension of the appropriate rule for immediate consideration and adoption of House Resolution 460. All in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Take the record. On this question 154 'ayes', no 'nays' and the ah... Resolution is adopted. Introduction of First Reading of Bills."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 1999, Palmer. Appropriates \$125,000 from the Road Fund. First Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Palmer."

Palmer: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to ah... request to waive the appropriate rule so that this Bill can be heard ah... next week in Appropriations Committee ah... it has to do with a small appropriation that's been cleared by both sides."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has moved for the suspension ah... of Rule 31, I believe, for the purpose of ah... having House Bill 1999 referred to the order of Second Reading without reference, is that what you wish?"

Palmer: "The waiver..."

Speaker Telcser: "Oh, the Gentleman has moved to post the provisions of Rule 18 for the purposes of having House Bill 1999 heard in ah..."



Appropriations Committee. All in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. 107 votes. Have all voted who wished? Take the record. On this question 126 'ayes', no 'nays' and the Gentleman's motion prevails. Now continue with the Introduction of First Readings."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2000, Schraeder et al. Creates the Peoria State Hospital Land Use Study Commission. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2001, Schraeder et al. Appropriates \$5,000 expense to the Peoria State Hospital Land Use Study Commission. First Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman... Senate Bills, First Reading. Let's go to Consent Calendar, Second Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 180. An Act in relation to the uniform management of institutional funds. First Reading of the Bill, er... Second Reading of the Bill. Ah... Senate Bill... 355's been stricken from the Consent Calendar. Senate Bill 857. A Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1010. An Act to amend Section 4 of an Act in relation to the rate of interest and so forth. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1187. An Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there Amendments from the floor? Third Reading. Go to Constitutional Amendments, Second Reading. Senate Joint Resolution Constitutional Amendment #23. Will the Clerk please read it a second time? Senate Joint Resolution Constitutional Amendment #23, just read it a second time. We'll move it to Third."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Joint Resolution 23, Constitutional Amendment. Resolved by the Senate, the 78th General Assembly, the State of Illinois, the House of Representatives concurring herein, that there shall be submitted to the electors of this State at the general election next occurring at least six months after the adoption of this Resolution, a proposition to amend Section 12, Article VI of the Constitution to read as follows. Article VI, Section 12. Election and Retention. (a) Supreme, Appellate and Circuit Judges shall be nominated at primary elections or by petition. Judges shall be elected at general or judicial elections as the General Assembly shall



provide by law. A person eligible for the office Judge may cause his name to appear on the ballot as a candidate for Judge at the primary and at the general or judicial elections by submitting petitions. The General Assembly shall prescribe by law the requirements for petitions. (b) The office of a Judge shall be vacant upon his death, resignation, retirement, or removal, or a conclusion of his term. Whenever an additional Appellate or Circuit Judge is authorized by law, the office shall be filled in the manner provided for filling a vacancy in that office. (c) A vacancy occurring the office of Judge, office Supreme, Appellate or Circuit Judge shall be filled as the General Assembly may provide by law. In the absence of a law, vacancies may be filled by appointment by the Supreme Court. A person appointed to fill a vacancy 60 or more days prior to the next primary election to nominate Judges shall serve until the vacancy is filled for a term at the next general or judicial election. A person appointed to fill a vacancy less than 60 days prior to the next primary election to nominate Judges shall serve until the vacancy is filled at the second general or judicial election following such appointments. Schedule. This Amendment of Section 12 of Article VI of the Constitution takes affect on January 1, following its approval by the electors of this State. Second Reading of the Resolution."

Speaker Telcser: "Third Reading. The Gentleman from Grundy, Representative Washburn."

Washburn: "Thank you ah... Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, ah... While I have the microphone, I'd like to announce that there will be a meeting of the Appropriations Committee at 8:30 tomorrow morning in Room 212 and now I would like to ask leave to suspend Rule 18 so that the following Bill can be posted for that hearing tomorrow. This Bills are Senate Bill 290, 355, 488, 703, #5, Senate Bill 5, Senate Bill 664, Senate Bill 1001, 1024, 1107, 1108, 1109, 1162, 1181, 1183, and Senate Bill 809. I would ask leave to suspend the appropriate rule so that these might be heard in Committee tomorrow."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has moved for the suspension of Rule 18 for the purpose of hearing Bills posted in the Committee for tomorrow."



All in favor signify by voting 'aye' the opposed by voting 'no'.
107 votes. Have all voted who wished? 107 votes. Have all voted
who wished? Take the record. On this question 132 'ayes', no 'nays',
and the Gentleman's motion prevails. Representative Douglas, for
what purpose do you rise?"

Douglas: "Ah... Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I have
ah... support of the Leadership on both sides of the aisle ah...
and would appreciate the support of the House to have House Resolutions
431 and 432 ah... referred to the Executive Committee to which they've
been referred for hearings as soon as the Committee is able to hear
them."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has moved for the suspension of Rule 18
for pro... the pro... for the provision of hearing ah... House
Resolutions 431 and 432. All in favor of the Gentleman's motion
signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by votin 'no'. 107 votes.
Have all voted who wished? Take the record. Schneider and Ewell
'aye'. On this question 108 'ayes', no 'nays'. The Gentleman's
motion prevails. The Gentleman from Will, Representative Kempiners."

Kempiners: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. If it's appropriate at this time,
I would like to make a motion to suspend the appropriate rule to
hear House Resolution 457 in the Executive Committee today. I've
talked with the Chairman of the Committee as well as the Leadership
on both sides and I have their approval to do this."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has moved to suspend the provisions of
Rule 18 for the purpose of hearing House Resolution 457 in the House
Executive Committee. All in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed
by voting 'no'. 107 votes. Have all voted who wished? LaFleur
'aye'. Take the record. 114 'ayes', no 'nays'. The Gentleman's
motion prevails. Representative Barnes, for what purpose do you
rise?"

Barnes: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. If it's appropriate
at this time, I'd like to move to suspend Rule 23(g) to discharge
the Motor Vehicles Commission for... Committee from consideration
of Senate Bill 245 and place it on the Calendar on the order of
Second Reading, First Legislative Day. This Bill was mixed up in
the Committee. We did hear it and it was moved out on a do pass, but



there was a mixup on reconsidering it in Committee. And, as I understand, this is ah... what's necessary to get it brought in its proper place now in the Legislative scheme of things."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Rock Island, Representative Pappas."

Pappas: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I concur in the motion ah... There was a mixup in Committee and the Bill should be on Second Reading at this time. So, I support the motion."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman's moved for the suspension of provisions of Rule 23(g) for the purposes of having Senate Bill 245... the purpose of having Senate Bill 245 on the Calendar on the order of Second Reading. All in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. 107 votes. Have all voted who wished? Take the record. On this question 114 'ayes', 1 'nay', and the Gentleman's motion prevails. The Gentleman from Rock Island, Representative Pappas."

Pappas: "Mr. Speaker, if I'm in order, I'd like to table two Bills that'll maybe help clear up some of these Calendars."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay."

Pappas: "I'd like to table House Bill 720 on Second Reading and Senate Bill 112 on Senate, Third."

Speaker Telcser: "Does the Gentleman have leave to table those Bills? Hearing no objections, those two Bills will be tabled. What were those numbers again, Pete? Turn on Pappas please."

Pappas: "Senate Bill 112 and House Bill 720."

Speaker Telcser: "Let's do a couple ah... Death Resolutions."

Clerk Selcke: "House Resolution 437, Skinner. In respect to the memory of Mr. Philip A. Borre. House Resolution 438. In respect to the memory of Mr. Albert M. Jourdan. House Resolution 447. Respect to to the memory of Fred. Wall. House Re... Senate Joint Resolution 44. Respect to the memory of Carl M. Bloom. House Resolution 453, Skinner. Respect to the memory of Jack W. Stevens. House Resolution 463, DiPrima et al. In respect to the memory of Edward F. McGinnis. House Resolution 461, ah... Hanahan et al. In respect to the memory of John. J. Masse."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh, moves for the adoption of Death Resolutions. All in favor signify by



saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The Resolutions are adopted. Okay, on the order of concurrences that I'm going ah... On the order of concurrences appears House Bill 634 for which purposes Rep... Representative Stone, do you seek recognition, sir?"

Stone: "Mr. Speaker, I request a half hour recess for a Democratic conference."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

W.D. Walsh: "Well, now the Conference Chairman said that it would be a half hour, is that correct? We've been at this now for 36 hours, considering this concurrence. The, the Democratic Party has had three conferences, including this one. At one of them, I understand, they had the Chief Executive Officer of this State. I think maybe he may be standing by now. I think it might be a good idea and I think we can arrange to get him a pass to come up and visit with these people up here while we discuss this matter, but that if that is their pleasure and I would hope that they would take the half hour and no more and get back here so that we can get on with the business of this Legislature and get the State's business done so that we will then be back here at twenty minutes after five."

Stone: "Mr. Speaker, we will make every endeavor to be back here at... in one half an hour, but I would like to point out to the Majority Leader that yesterday on at least occasions we hurried to be through and we were through on time and we came back and we waited one half an hour through an hour for the other side to come back. We will attempt to accommodate you, but, but we were here yesterday and waited approximately an hour to an hour and a half for you to come back."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Walsh, with..."

W.D. Walsh: "That simply is not true. We waited for one hour. We were back here an hour after our conference and waited an hour. However, let's get on with it. They can have their conference. Let's do it."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, has the rooms been announced? Do you have your room?"

Stone: "Not yet."



Speaker Telcser: "M5, I'm informed. Okay, the House will... The Gentleman from Moultrie, Representative Stone."

Stone: "Yes, I was wondering if M5 is as close as we can get to the Governor's office so he won't have so far to come."

Speaker Telcser: "Now the House stands in recess for the period of one half an hour. Well, one minute. Representative Choate, for what purpose do you..."

Choate: "What's the room number?"

Speaker Telcser: "M5."

Choate: "M5, okay. That's okay."

Speaker Telcser: "One half an hour."

House recessed

Speaker Telcser: "I just thought we'd kind of ring the bell to get everybody back and... from their offices. We've got ah... Okay, let the Clerk read the Committee Reports."

Clerk Selcke: "Ah... Mr. Washburn from Appropriations to which House Bills 733, 1142, 1927, 1986, 1988, 1990, 1991, and 1992 were referred; reported the same back with the recommendation the Bills do pass."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, another Committee Report."

Clerk Selcke: "Mr. Washburn from Appropriations to which House Bills 1307, 1308, 1309, 1310, 1485, 1765, 1916, 1987 were referred; reported the same back with Amendments thereto with the recommendation the Amendments be adopted and the Bills as amended do pass."

Speaker Telcser: "If there are any Members who are in the State Office Building who can hear us on the loudspeaker, would you please make every effort to get over to the floor of the House so we can proceed with the business of the House? House will come to order. Members will please be in their seats. On the order of concurrences appears House Bill 634 for which purpose, the Gentleman from Will, Speaker Blair is recognized. Representative Schlickman, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Schlickman: "Parliamentary inquiry, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "State your point, sir."

Schlickman: "As I understand the Rules, Mr. Speaker, which this House



adopted, when we go to an order of business, we are required to take those Bills in numerical order. Or in the alternative, when reverting back to a particular order of business, we are return to that point where we left. I suggest, Mr. Speaker, that the last time when we were on ah... this order of business, ah... this was not the next subject or Bill to consider."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, we... when we were on this last order of business, Representative Schlickman, we had called this Bill and at that time, the ah... Leadership on the Democratic side of the aisle had asked for a recess..."

Schlickman: "I suggest, Mr. Speaker..."

Speaker Telcser: "...for a ah..."

Schlickman: "...that you were violating the rule when you did that and I stood here seeking recognition and you did not recognize me. I withdrew, understanding that there was going to be a conference. However, I don't recognize, Mr. Speaker, your having gone to House Bill 634 on the order of concurrences because that was not, by our rules, the next Bill to consider."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, Representative Schlickman, the Chair must again ah... repeat that it was on this order of business when we adjourned for the recess and we have returned to that order of business ah... which is what we left on when we recessed. The Gentle... the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Yourell."

Yourell: "Mr. Speaker, if I recall correctly and I believe I do, you said when we went to the order ah... that order that we're in now that you would start in a numerical order as nearly as possible. Those were the words of the Speaker. Now if we're jumping out of that numerical order into House Bill 634, then there has to be a motion and suspend the rules so that 95 votes will be forthcoming in order to suspend the necessary rule to make that possible. I'd ask a ruling."

Speaker Telcser: "Well the Chair can only respond, Representative Yourell, that you are in error. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Ewell."

Ewell: "Mr. Speaker, it is my understanding from the reading of the rules that you may go to any order of business at any time you so desire ah... there is one provision within the rules which happens to be



Rule 7 that any order of business may be changed at any time upon the motion of any Member supported by five additional Members arising in their seats and joining in the motion. That is if such motion is adopted by an affirmative vote of 95 Members. I understand that. Pursuant to Rule 7, I would like to make a motion that we proceed to a different order of business, let's say the order of business of Senate Bills, Third Reading. I will put that in the form of a motion and ask that I have five hands which concur with that and, therefore, I would respectfully ask that we change the order of business and I think that I, I reasonably suspect that I have 95 Members who would like to see that done."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, Representative Ewell, the Chair must rule that your motion is not timely. I have called a Bill. The Gentleman from Will, Speaker Blair, is ready to present it and your motion will not be taken, sir. Now the Gentleman ah... from Will, Speaker Blair, with reference to a House Bill 634 which is on the order of concurrences."

Blair: "Well, thank you..."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Kosinski, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Kosinski: "Mr. Speaker, I'm certain your opinion is based on some logic and ah... being rather new in the House, I would like to help present you with the logic used."

Speaker Telcser: "Well ah... Representative Kosinski, we are in the middle of ah... another point of business. The Gentleman's motion is not timely. Ah... we are in the middle of a... Gentleman who is going to make another motion relative to House Bill 634."

Kosinski: "Wasn't this in the form of a substitute motion, for instance?"

Speaker Telcser: "No, it's not timely and the Chair will not accept it. Okay, let's... All right, the Gentleman ah... from Peoria, Representative Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I move we now adjourn."

Speaker Telcser: "That motion is not... Does the Gentleman from Lake, Representative Pierce, seek recognition, sir?"

Pierce: "I was going to second that motion. I was going to try to make it. I... I'll second the motion to adjourn. It has precedence. It's



nondebatable. Let's go home."

Speaker Telcser: "Anyone else seek recognition? The Gentleman from Will, Representative er... Speaker Blair, with reference to House Bill 634 which is on the order of concurrences. The Gentleman ah... The Gen... The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

W.D. Walsh: "Ah... Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, there has been a motion made. Now that ah... does, I confess, take us off guard. We do not have the Adjournment Resolution prepared. I wonder if you'd give us ah... about 10 minutes. We gave you an hour and a half a little while ago. Give us about 10 minutes to get the Adjournment Resolution prepared ah... We will read that and we will oppose your motion to adjourn and ask for a Roll Call on it so that everybody is aware who is doing what to whom. Give us the 10 minutes though to get the ah... Adjournment Resolution prepared."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Will, Speaker Blair."

Blair: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I think that the Majority Leader's remarks are certainly quite in order. We have seen for the last 48 hours an attempt to get at the resolving of tax relief on a broad base for the people of the State of Illinois. That, that tax... that... that tax relief was... that tax relief... was paid, was passed on a... on a... was passed on a... on a bi-partisan vote in the Illinois Senate ah... 28 Republicans and 27 Democratic votes and I am sure that in the past, the type of action we are seeing in this chamber reflects the deliberate action of the Democratic Party to thwart the will of the people. Now I think any matter before this Body is entitled to be considered. I think it's entitled to be voted up or down on its merit and I'm saying to you that under the rules of this House and Mr. Electrician, would you please turn up the volume on my... on mine please? And I'm saying to you that under the rules of this House that concurrences are not required to be called in numerical order, that they may be called by the Speaker at the Speaker's discretion, that the Leadership on that side has had full knowledge that we were going to direct ourselves to this matter at this time. The Members of this side have been sitting here patiently, oh... yesterday afternoon and all day today to get to this



question, this appropriation. Now if the advice that you got from the Executive Branch was to stand over there and boo and harass and to stop a Democratic process, then I don't think the Executive is really the champion of the people that he's held himself out to be. And I would suggest further to you that a little common decency might be in order. I have accommodated that side of the aisle and I have accommodated that side of the aisle and I'll continue to accommodate him on a reasonable basis. But if all you can do is to get up and to move to adjourn, I want to suggest to you that that motion does take precedence. I have informed the Gentleman that made that motion that it is a motion that can be considered, but I want him to understand the full impact of it. Number 1, there is... Number 1, there is tax relief that is before this Body for all of the people of the State of Illinois. There is the very vital question of the Chicago Regional Transportation Plan that is before this Body and if we can afford the time to adjourn this Body and if that Gentleman who will be serviced by that Regional Transportation Plan can make a motion to adjourn and do that with deliberation and wants to be dilatory and he wants to pursue that, then I suggest that the Majority Leader's comments were in order and I suggest that we have the Adjournment Resolution ah... put on the desk by Mr. Pierce, the Gentleman from Lake, who made that motion which is a dilatory motion, but nonetheless, one that we will honor and we charge you here and now with sabotaging tax relief for the people in the State of Illinois and for... and for sabotaging the establishment of the Regional Transportation Authority. And I move... and I want you to know that I'm looking right directly at one of the Assistant Minority Leaders on that side of the aisle and if this is what you mean by commitment to progress, I can tell you that you don't have one cent of what commitment to progress means and that your commitments are not worth a damn."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

W.D. Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to say once more that ah... the Republican Party intends to oppose the Gentleman's motion to adjourn. However, we do want the Adjournment Resolution read and we do have a few things to clean up in case that motion should prevail. And so,



could I ask the Clerk to read the Adjournment Resolution?"

Speaker Telcser: "Now the Clerk will read the Adjournment Resolution."

Clerk Selcke: "House Joint Resolution 65, Walsh. Resolved by the House of Representatives, the 78th General Assembly, the State of Illinois, the Senate concurring herein, that when the House of Representatives adjourns on June 21, 1973, it stand adjourned until June 25, 1973, at 12 o'clock noon, Central Daylight Savings Time, and when the Senate adjourns on June 22, 1973, it stand adjourned until June 25, 1973, at 12 o'clock noon, Central Daylight Savings Time."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

W.D. Walsh: "Now, Mr. Speaker, could I ask the maker of the motion to adjourn to move to approve the Adjournment Resolution?"

Speaker Telcser: "Does, does the... does the Gentleman from Lake, Representative Pierce, wish to move to adopt the Adjournment Resolution?"

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, we have Committees scheduled for 2 o'clock today. I think we should have our Committees and come back tomorrow. It's not my Resolution. I, I therefore, it's my motion, my motion was to adjourn until noon tomorrow, have our 2 o'clock Committee Executive right now, Higher Education is scheduled. There are witnesses here for Agriculture and Natural Resources, we can come back at noon tomorrow, have Appropriations tomorrow morning and that was the motion that I made and I don't think ah... Representative Walsh has a right to make his."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay..."

Pierce: "My motion is that we adjourn until noon tomorrow. We'll hold our Thursday Committees today and Appropriations tomorrow morning at 8:30. And that's the motion that I made."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, I'm informed now that the Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Schraeder, was the Gentleman who made the motion. Now do you wish to move to adopt the Adjournment Resolution, sir?"

Schraeder: "...Adjournment Resolution. I made a motion to adjourn at this moment. I renew my motion until 9 o'clock in the morning."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, ah... Representative ah... Walsh, wish to respond to that, sir?"



W.D. Walsh: "I move the adoption of the Adjournment Resolution."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has moved the adoption of the Adjournment Resolution. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The Resolution is adopted. Okay, now we've adopted the Adjournment Resolution and on the Calendar on the order of concurrences appears ah... House Bill ah... 634 for which purpose the Gentleman from Will, Speaker Blair, is recognized."

Blair: "Mr. Speaker, today I am moving concurrence on Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 634. The original Bill of which I was the Chief Sponsor in the House was intended to grant sale tax relief to all the citizens in this State. As amended in the Senate, the Bill continues to do just that. The only change is the manner in which relief is granted. As introduced, the Bill reduced the sales tax on food and prescription drugs. The Senate Amendment #2 changes the method by reducing the state sales tax by one half percent across the board, this to become effective January 1, 1974. Now this is a bi-partisan tax relief program which passed the Illinois Senate by a vote of 55 to 2. There were 28 Republican Senators that voted for it and there were 27 Democratic Senators. Every Democratic Senator that was on the floor of the Senate voted for it. I would like to see that same bi-partisan effort be given to tax relief for the people of this State in this chamber."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from ah... Cook, Representative William Walsh."

W.D. Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has moved the previous question. The Gentleman has moved that the House concur with Senate Bill #2 to House Bill 634. All those in favor of the Gentleman's motion signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, Mr. Speaker, I understood the Majority Leader to say prior to the time that this called that he was going to take a vote on the Adjournment Resolution. A Roll Call vote."

Speaker Telcser: "One moment, Representative Walsh, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

W.D. Walsh: "The order of business is explanation of vote. The Gentleman



is not explaining his vote on the question and I would ask the Chair to rule him out of order."

Speaker Telcser: "I think the Gentleman's point is well taken. Do you wish to explain you vote, Representative Shea?"

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, I move that we do now adjourn until 9:30 in the morning."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

W.D. Walsh: "Well, as the Gentleman well knows, we're in the middle of a Roll Call and that motion is not in order at this time."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, you may take this as a point of personal privilege, a point of order, or to you, Art, as a Gentleman and a Temporary Speaker of this House. I have watched you gavel through adjournments in the middle of Roll Calls when it benefited your party. I have watched you, Art, be a great, great Temporary Speaker. But I have never seen you violate your commitment to the people of this State. Now, Art, Art, you're the Speaker of the House now. You're the Speaker for all the people. You've got a duty and an obligation to follow the rules of this House. There's a motion to adjourn, Art. It takes precedence over all other motions and all other business before this Body. It's not debatable and I wish that you would take into consideration, have a Roll Call vote on my mer... motion to adjourn until 9:30 a.m. tomorrow morning."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, Representative Shea, we're in the middle of a Roll Call on the previous question."

Shea: "Art, Art..."

Speaker Telcser: "Your motion is not in order, sir."

Shea: "A previous question takes two-thirds vote and..."

Speaker Telcser: "Two-thirds of those voting and right now..."

Shea: "And there's been a request for a Roll Call. Art, you've got the gavel. Art, you've got the gavel and you're tinkering, Art, you're tinkering with tax relief for the people."

Speaker Telcser: "Have all voted who wished? Take the record. Okay, ah... the Gentle... on this question... there 70 'ayes', 42 'nays', and the Gentleman's motion to move the previous question fails. We're back on the order of discussion of the Gentleman's motion to concur



ah... with the Senate Amendments to ah... House Bill 634. Now is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, I again move that this House do now adjourn until 10 o'clock a.m. on the morning of June 22, Friday morning."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

W.D. Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, a motion to adjourn must be in accordance with the Adjournment Resolution that we adopted ah... just a few minutes ago."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any further discussion relative to the Gentleman's motion to concur with the Senate Amendment. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Go ahead, Clyde."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Union, Representative Choate."

Choate: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I suggest that everything that Shea has said to you, Mr. Speaker, is quite true. You are to be acting not only on all... in behalf of all of the people of the State, but all of the Members of this Body and our rules are quite explicit as far as an adjournment re... motion is concerned. In contrary to what the Majority Leader just said, that is not a part of the rules of this Body. And I think, Mr. Speaker, that you're a disservice to yourself, to your public image, to your constituents in your district, and to every and each and every individual Member of this House unless you allow Representative... first, Representative Schraeder's motion to be recognized and later, Representative ah... Shea's motion to be recognized. I don't know whether it'll carry or not, but you as the Speaker, I say to you, sir, owe the courtesy to all of these Members to have the prerogative of voting on that motion in accordance with the rules that we've heretofore operated this Body by."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

W.D. Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to point out to the Majority, er... Minority rather, Leadership that we have... I'm afraid I may have been right. That we have approved and adopted the Adjournment Resolution for this week. That Resolution said that when we adjourn on Thursday, June 21, we shall be back, come back in Session on Monday,



June 25. Now if we adjourn this House now, we must by virtue of that Adjournment Resolution come back here Monday. We may not come back tomorrow. Now I suggest to you, Mr. Speaker, that that is the rule that is ah... perfectly in order and absolutely what must be done."

Speaker Telcser: "Your point is well taken, sir. The Gentleman from Union, Representative Choate."

Choate: "Mr. Speaker, I, I realize that the gavel is an awfully powerful and an important and intricate part of the operation of this Body. But I suggest that again I disagree with the Majority Leader and I refer to the Constitution, Section 15, Article IV which has to do with adjournments. A. When the General Assembly is in Session, neither House without the consent of the other shall adjourn for more than three days or to a place other than where the two Houses are sitting. Now that is the only and sole reason for an Adjournment Resolution and that has nothing whatsoever to do with the motion of the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea, when he requested the ah... the ah... permission to have a Roll Call vote on the motion which he just made that the House adjourn until 10 o'clock tomorrow morning which is certainly less than three days as prescribed for by, in the Constitution which has to do with the Adjournment Resolution."

Speaker Telcser: "As I understand it, Representative Choate, you simply ah... did you ask me a question? I'm sorry. I know you... the Constitution..."

Choate: "I was... I was... I was making a comment ah... as far as the Constitution and the rules of this House are concerned and indicating to the Chair that the ah... ah... comment by the Majority Leader were not appropriate in regard to the motion by the Assistant Minority Leader and I might further point out that at this juncture, I don't think, that the Senate has concurred in the Resolution. But the main thrust of my comment was simply to the effect that the Adjournment Resolution has nothing whatsoever to do in accordance with our rules and with the Constitution of the State of Illinois has nothing whatsoever to do with the statement, I mean, with the motion rather that was presented by the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."



Speaker Telcser: "Okay, Representative Jaffe, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Jaffe: "A parliamentary inquiry, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "State your point, sir."

Jaffe: "Could I ask what your ruling has been on the last three motions? I don't know."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, the... we have adopted ah... the Adjournment Resolution ah... the last Gentleman did not make an inquiry. I don't know what other motions to which you're..."

Jaffe: "Well, what specifically was your ruling with regards to Mr. Schraeder's motion and offer of Mr. Shea's?"

Speaker Telcser: "Which motions were those, Representative Jaffe?"

Jaffe: "Well, I would like to know your ruling because I'm going to make a motion to override the Chair."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative ah... Berman, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Berman: "Mr. Speaker, I would submit to you that the alleged Adjournment Resolution is not binding upon us first of all because it is a Joint Resolution and has not been adopted by the Senate, number 1. Number 2, I call the Chair's attention to Rule 63 on precedence of motions and a motion to adjourn is a motion of precedence. It has been made and I believe it has to be ah... acted upon. There is nothing binding upon this House in as much as the ah... alleged Adjournment Resolution has not been adopted by the other Body of the General Assembly and I would suggest and urge that we act upon the motion to adjourn as presented to the Chair."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, Representative Berman, I wouldn't venture a ah... guess or ruling as to what the other Body does. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

W.D. Walsh: "Well, Mr. Speaker, it seems to me that the, the Chair is required since it was requested to rule on the applicability of Section 15, the Adjournment Section; and I would suggest to the Chair that since we are adjourning for more than 72 hours and more than three days from now until Monday, noon, that Constitutionally, we must do it according to an Adjournment Resolution and the fact that Representative Berman raises is not valid because we have approved



the Adjournment Resolution and if we adjourn, we then, must adjourn pursuant to it."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Union, Representative Choate."

Choate: "Well, Mr. Speaker, if you're talking about the adjournment of the House or the Legislature over the weekend in accordance with the purported Adjournment Resolution, I can't find too many things to disagree with. A couple, but that is not the business before the House at the present time in accordance with our rules. The business before the House at the present time within, in accordance with our rules is the motion by Representative Shea to adjourn until 9, 10 o'clock, 10 o'clock tomorrow morning. That's the business that's before the House."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Davis, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Davis: "Mr. Speaker, I rise for the purpose of a personal observation. It's just my observation and certainly we cannot continue in this manner and I'm going to suggest that the Leaders, the four Leaders go up there and get their heads together and remember the people of nations whose freedom has been stolen and who are victims of human tyranny. Get your heads together up there. We cannot continue this manner and come on back here and let us proceed. Please do that, get your heads together."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Walsh."

W.D. Walsh: "Well, in response to the Deacon, let me say this. That all the Republican Party wants to do is to get a vote on this concurrence motion. All we want is a Roll Call. Would you let us have that ah... then we can get down to business. We've been... We've been at this now for fully 48 hours. We have wasted two full days and accomplished virtually nothing because you people over there would not permit this House to do its work. Now I suggest to you that the time has come for us to act. We don't want to wait 12 more hours. We want to do it now and I think that's reasonable. So if you will let us return... return to the order of business that we must face, we can get on with this and stop this nonsense. And I agree with you, Deacon, we ought to. We're about to lose our liberty we're about to lose everthing. But it's not our fault. It's the



fault of those people over there."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from ah... have you finished? Proceed, Representative Walsh."

W.D. Walsh: "Now, if we could, Mr. Speaker, if we could some feeling from the Leadership over there on whether they will continue with the business, we would like it very much and we would ah... withdraw the Adjournment Resolution. We'd do a lot of things, but let's get to this point."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, the Gentleman from Union, Representative Choate."

Choate: "Well, I'm not going to use my time for political oratory such as the Majority Leader has just done. I'm going to talk about the point in question and for the entire Membership's benefit. There's no way in the world, if we operate in accordance with the Constitution that we can adjourn under the wordings of that Resolution that supposedly was adopted because the Senate adjourned some 20 minutes ago. They cannot act on it until such time as they come back. So, consequently, the Adjournment Resolution is worthless as far as we are concerned at this point. Now the Gentleman asked for a Roll Call on his Bill or on the Bill. There can be a Roll Call after the first order of business that is before this House is concerned is disposed of. And the first order of business with... under the rules of this House is simply Representative Shea's, the Gentleman from Cook's, bonafide motion to adjourn this House to a time certain which is 10 o'clock tomorrow. If you would dispense with that motion, then we can see what we're going to do."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, the Gentleman from ah... Henry, Representative McGrew, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

McGrew: "I would just like to rise on a point of a personal privilege as far as the adjournment motions are concerned. I'm a little bit appalled that the House of Representatives is about to take an extended vacation when we have a two-page Calendar and we have many Committees that have not reported back. I think it's somewhat ridiculous that we are now considering an extended weekend when we have this sort of schedule facing us. This is the things that bring about the absurdity of stopping the clock in the end and I submit to the



Leadership of this House that this is absolutely no time to take off and to not do the business of the State. We have many more Bills than the speakers to consider and I suggest that we stay here and consider some of them."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from ah... Cook, Representative Duff."

Duff: "Mr. Speaker, we've heard a lot of references to the rules ah... in this situation and it seems to me there's Alice in Wonderland quality to hear cacophony of noise from the other side of the aisle in deliberate violation of the rules of decorum, Rule 57 I point out to those of you who don't know it, but I would further point out specifically Rule 58(c) which says that no person shall disturb the Speaker or Members of the House while the Speaker is putting a question or addressing the House. And we have had such motions before this House which we haven't been able to hear ourselves think. If we're going to talk about the rules, we shouldn't have to put with this political diatribe that's coming to us from the people that don't want to pass tax relief for the people of Illinois."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, the Gentleman from Logan, Representative Lauer. Let me get a couple of Republicans now, okay. They got something to say."

Lauer: "Mr. Speaker, I don't know whether I rise on a point of parliamentary inquiry or a point of personal privilege."

Speaker Telcser: "State your point, sir."

Lauer: "But if my point is of personal privilege, it is the point of personal privilege of all of the people of this State because we stand here and we raise hell about rules when the business of this state has come to a screeching halt. As a freshman Member of this House, I find myself shocked that the more senior Members of this House are acting as children. Now maybe when I have served a few more years, I will go into my second childhood and will not be willing to get about the business of the state, but it seems to me a shocking bit of business that we have all sorts of important Bills from both sides of the aisle and with Democrat Sponsorship, with Republican Sponsorship that we are choosing to ignore our responsibility. It is almost as if, Mr. Speaker, that the sun is going to stop in the heavens and July 1 is not going to come upon us. Mr. Speaker, I suggest



we move to the business of the State."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

W.D. Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, I have a bi-partisan motion. I move for a fifteen minute recess."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has moved for a fifteen minute recess.

All in favor signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The House... Choate second that. The House stands in recess for the period of fifteen minutes."

House Recessed

Speaker Telcser: "The House will come to order. The Gentleman ah...

Gentleman from Will, Speaker Blair."

Blair: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. The House is a deliberative Body, although I'm sure from time to time some of us have our doubts about that fact. We have had the opportunity to have an exchange of communications between the Leadership and we have felt that on this side of the aisle that we have in all sincerity extended in a reasonable fashion every possible courtesy, every possible opportunity for the other side of the aisle to give due and proper deliberation to a very significant matter that does affect ah... this chamber and the other chamber and the people of the State of Illinois. We have differences as parties from time to time and, and that's understandable and I'm sure that the people understand that, but ah... we did, unfortunately, reach an impasse that I frankly did not anticipate that we would reach earlier this evening because I thought that we did have an understanding ah... with regard to concurrence on this particular matter. Now ah... as evidence of continuing ah... good faith and in face of what I consider, frankly, conduct on the other side of the aisle that really should not have occurred and I can understand why the emotions of the moment might have caused them to have done that and with the understanding of the Minority Leader that when we finish our business here tonight and go back into Session tomorrow morning that we will be going as just about the first order of business to concurrence on this particular matter that held us up tonight. That we will not again have the problem that we ran into tonight, that problem being one of



not being able to have proper dialogue, debate, and function as a Democratic Body. We are going to proceed through the Majority Leader to move to reconsider the vote by which the Adjournment Resolution was adopted, but prior to asking him to do that, I am going to ask the Minority Leader to confirm just what I repeated about what our actions would be on this floor tomorrow morning."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Union, Representative Choate."

Choate: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, to be a strong minority oftentimes you resort to tactics that may be on the surface as not the most desirable action that one would desire. However, sometimes when you're in the minority, sometimes when you're in the minority and you do not hold a gavel in your hand, there must be times that you resort to tactics that I can well remember as an example. Exhibit 1, on the income tax where the minority did prevail because the minority is also charged with the responsibility of having an orderly conduct as far as the operation of this deliberative Body is concerned. A minority is also charged with the responsibility of doing the things that is most beneficial to by and large the larger portion of a population of a state such as ours in the great State of Illinois. Request and incidentally when we talk about the conduct, let me say to each and every one of you here on both sides of aisle, that the conduct that was displayed on this side of the aisle was not a conduct that was called by for by any single individual Member, including myself and the Leadership. It was a conduct displayed by individual Members because of their feelings and because of their right under the rules of this House and under the Constitution of the State of Illinois. I would say in reply to the Speaker and I would say to our Members that as far as I am concerned, that tomorrow if the Adjournment Resolution is amended in the manner in which I suspect it might be, that tomorrow at a reasonable time after convening such as the Speaker has just suggested, I personally have no qualms about moving about the Calendar in accordance with the rules because the rules give the Speaker the discretion to move around as far as the Calendar is concerned and I understand and I personally understand the feeling that the Chief Sponsor of this legislation must have because he feels that it's



meaningful legislation. He feels that it's legislation that is important to this great State of Illinois. So consequently, I'm confident, I'm confident that he feels desirous of having this Bill, these Bills called or some, some of the Bills called that are on the order of Third Reading as well as the motions to concurrence or nonconcurrence, whichever the motion might be. And I'm sure as far as the Sponsor is concerned it will be a motion to concur, to be available to the Membership of this House tomorrow. As I said, the rules amply provide that the Speaker move back and forth and I have no fear of confronting this question tomorrow morning. As far as me assuring the individual conduct of any Member on this side or on that side, that I can't do, but I shall do my best to keep an orderly, orderly, as I have done in the past, orderly flow of business going on the floor of this House and would suggest to Members on both sides that we get about the business of State in an orderly and feasible manner."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from ah... Cook, Representative William Walsh."

W.D. Walsh: "Well, now, Mr. Speaker, having voted on the prevailing side, I move to reconsider the vote by which the Adjournment Resolution was adopted."

Speaker Telcser: "Having voted on the prevailing side, the Gentleman moves to reconsider the vote by which the Adjournment Resolution was adopted. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no' and the Gentleman's motion prevails. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

W.D. Walsh: "Now, Mr. Speaker, I move to table the Adjournment Resolution."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has moved to table the Adjournment Resolution. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The Resolution is tabled. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Walsh."

W.D. Walsh: "Needless to say, there's been many inquiries as to whether we will be here Saturday. I think probably the ah... what has happened in the last several hours has answered them for most everyone. We will be here Saturday. Hopefully, we will adjourn sometime Saturday



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

afternoon. Committees tonight will meet immediately after adjournment which will follow very shortly. The 2 o'clock meetings immediately after adjournment. The 4 o'clock meetings one hour after adjournment except, except that there are some Chairmen who will make announcements following this announcement and before adjournment that will give other instructions as to their particular Committee when and where it will meet and I believe Chairman Collins has an announcement. We will convene tomorrow at 10:30. So you can plan your Committees accordingly."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Collins."

Collins: "Mr. Chairman, er... Speaker and ah... Members of the House, I discussed this with Members of the Executive Committee and we would like to meet tomorrow morning in Room D1 at 8:30."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Grundy, Representative Washburn. Representative Lechowicz, ah... for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Lechowicz: "I'm sorry. Phil, did you say we were going to meet tomorrow morning at 8:30? Well, Mr. Chair, er... Mr. Speaker I would hope that the Chairman of that Committee would reconsider because there's been people waiting all day and I think in all fairness, we should work at least maybe til 10 or 10:30 to see exactly what we have done at that time because as you know, there are other Committees that are scheduled to meet tomorrow morning. Appropriations is one which is of course, few of the Members of the Executive Committee are also Members of the Appropriation Committee and I would ask that the Chairman of the Executive Committee reconsider and at least have us go in do the amount of work that's humanly possible to do in this remaining few hours."

Speaker Telcser: "In the meantime, the Gentleman from Grundy, Representative Washburn."

Washburn: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I ah... arise to ah... ah... repeat an announcement that was made earlier today that the Appropriations Committee would meet at 8:30 tomorrow morning in Room 212. And that meeting is still scheduled for that time and that place."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, the Gentleman from Union, Representative Choate ah... Representative Choate."



Choate: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I was only going to raise the same point that Representative Lechowicz did that there is Members on the Executive Committee that are also Members of the House Appropriations Committee and ah... I have no, I find no qualms whatsoever of holding Executive tomorrow, Phil, but don't hold it at the same time Appropriations is meeting."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Collins."

Collins: "Oh, I'm perfectly amenable to that ah... Mr. Speaker, it's just that we have ah... about 31 Bills if I'm not mistaken on the ah... on the Calendar for Executive. I did talk to Representative Washington and Representative Matijevich and I thought they were in accord. Now 8:30 is not ah... the only time that we could meet. I'll meet at any time that is convenient for the Members of the Committee and the Members of the House."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Union, Representative Choate."

Choate: "Well, I might make the suggestion ah... that ah... maybe the Speaker and myself could sit down and replace temporarily the Members that are on the Appropriations Committee that are also on the Executive Committee in as much as we've had this tie-up to where the Committees couldn't meet this afternoon. Maybe we could replace, I don't know."

Collins: "Oh, I think that's a much more idea if you could do it."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Lawrence, Representative Cunningham."

Cunningham: "Mr. Speaker, in the spirit of goodwill that now abounds, I would ask the Speaker if he would be willing to invite his Excellency, Governor Dan Walker to address this Body tomorrow and explain to us why sales tax reductions should not be. What answer?"

Speaker Telcser: "One second, Representative Harpstrite, for what purpose do you rise?"

Harpstrite: "The Agricultural, Natural Resources meeting will take place immediately after adjournment, immediately after adjournment. All Members please be present immediately."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Houlihan, did you want recognition, sir?"

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, I think the last comment was a cheap shot, an attempt to ah... disrupt the orderly process and I think that's the kind of activity that leads to an irresponsible consideration of the



merits of the question. I think we can talk about it when the Bill comes up, but I'll tell you this. I think it's a very low way of attacking a position to take cheap shots at our elected officials."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, now, any other announcements? The Gentleman from... The Lady from DuPage, Representative Dyer."

Dyer: "Ah... Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, for the benefit of Members of the Higher Education Committee, I'd like to announce that in deference to the number of witnesses that have been waiting all day, we will meet immediately after adjournment, very quickly. We have only four Bills in Room M3. We hope for full attendance. Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

W.D. Walsh: "One final announcement, Mr. Speaker. The Republican Members of the Appropriations Committee are asked to meet in the Speaker's Office tomorrow morning at 3 o'clock. And now, Mr. Speaker, I move that the House adjourn until tomorrow at..."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Walsh. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

W.D. Walsh: "Yeh, for those people that have a conflict between ah... Appropriations and Executive, ah... the Leadership will make switches ah... because those Committees are going to be meeting at the same time and that's agreed by the Leadership. Ah... Now Mr. Speaker, I move that we adjourn until 10:30 tomorrow morning."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has moved the House stand adjourned until 10:30 tomorrow morning. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The House stands adjourned until 10:30 tomorrow morning."



HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

SEVENTY-EIGHTH GENERAL ASSEMBLY

EIGHTIETH LEGISLATIVE DAY

JUNE 21, 1973

12:00 O'CLOCK NOON

IN THE CHAIR



GENERAL ASSEMBLY
STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	1.
1		Speaker Telcser	House to order	
		Dr. Johnson	Prayer	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Clerk Selcke	Committee reports	
2		Speaker Telcser	Introduction and 1st reading	
		Clerk Selcke		
		Speaker Telcser	Agreed Resolutions	
		Clerk Selcke		
		Walsh		
3		Speaker Telcser	Resolutions adopted	
		Choate		
		Speaker Telcser	Consent calendar, 2nd reading	
		Clerk Selcke	SB 116, 160, 195, 535, 556, 588, 600, 688, 747, 805, 854, 871, 872, 894, 900, 902, 933, 943. 3rd reading	
4		Speaker Telcser		
		Pierce	Question	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Matijevidch	Wants explanation of SB 871	
		Neff		
5		Matijevidch	Discussion	
		Neff		
		Matijevidch	Can we take SB 871 off	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Hill	Joins Matijevidch	
		Speaker Telcser	SB 871 & 872 taken off, all others passed	
		Walsh, Wm.	Introduction	
		Speaker Telcser		



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	2.
		Clerk Selcke	SB 83, 2nd, 1 CA	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Walters	Move to table Amendment #1	
6		Speaker Telcser	Amendment tabled	
		Clerk Selcke	Amendment #2	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Walters		
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment adopted, 3rd	
		Clerk Selcke		
		Speaker Telcser		
		Day	Skinner will present	
7		Speaker Telcser		
		Speaker Telcser	TOOR	
		Clerk Selcke		
		Speaker Telcser	TOOR	
		Walsh		
		Speaker Telcser	TOOR	
		Clerk Selcke	SB 206, 2nd, 1 CA	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Skinner	Amendment #1	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Pierce	Question	
		Skinner		
8		Speaker Telcser	Amendment adopted	
		Clerk Selcke	Amendment #2	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Skinner		



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	3.
		Speaker Telcser		
		Pierce	Question	
9		Skinner		
		Speaker Telcser		
		Day	Responds to Pierce	
10		Pierce		
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment adopted, 3rd	
		Clerk Selcke	SB 197, 2nd, no CA	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Clerk Selcke	Floor Amendment #1	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Gibbs		
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment adopted, 3rd	
		Clerk Selcke	SB 464, 2nd, no CA	
		Speaker Telcser	3rd reading	
		Clerk Selcke	SB 519, 2nd	
		Speaker Telcser	3rd reading	
		Clerk Selcke	SB 580, 2nd, no CA	
11		Speaker Telcser	3rd reading	
		Clerk Selcke	SB 594, 2nd, no CA	
		Speaker Telcser	3rd reading	
		Clerk Selcke		
		Speaker Telcser		
		Clerk Selcke	Floor Amendment #1	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Pierce	TOOR	
		Speaker Telcser		



4.

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Clerk Selcke	SB 667, 2nd, no CA
		Speaker Telcser	3rd reading
		Clerk Selcke	SB 695, 2nd, no CA
		Speaker Telcser	3rd reading
		Clerk Selcke	SB 711, 2nd, no CA
		Speaker Telcser	3rd reading
		Clerk Selcke	SB 764, 2nd, no CA
		Speaker Telcser	3rd reading
12		Clerk Selcke	SB 817, 2nd, 1 CA
		Speaker Telcser	
		Houlihan, D.L.	Amendment #1
		Speaker Telcser	
		Ewell	Explain?
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment adopted
		Clerk Selcke	Amendment #2
		Speaker Telcser	
		Houlihan	Amendment #2
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment adopted
13		Clerk Selcke	Amendment #3
		Speaker Telcser	
		Houlihan	
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment adopted, 3rd
		Clerk Selcke	SB 931, 2nd, no CA
		Speaker Telcser	3rd reading
		Clerk Selcke	SB 1006, 2nd, no CA
		Speaker Telcser	3rd reading



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	5.
		Clerk Selcke	SB 393, 2nd, no CA	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Clerk Selcke	Floor Amendment #1	
		Speaker Telcser		
14		Collins		
		Speaker Telcser		
		Pierce		
15		Ewell	Amendment #1	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Collins	Opposes Amendment	
16		Speaker Telcser		
		Walsh, Wm.	Oppose	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Lundy	Oppose	
17		Speaker Telcser		
		Hudson	Oppose	
		Speaker Telcser		
		McClain	Supports	
18		Speaker Telcser		
		Washington	Yield?	
19		Ewell		
		Speaker Telcser		
		Mahar	Move previous question	
		Speaker Telcser		
20		Ewell	To close	
		Speaker Telcser		



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
			6.
		Matijeovich	Explain vote 'aye'
21		Speaker Telcser	
		Huskey	Oppose
		Speaker Telcser	
22		Jacobs	Support
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment #3 fails
		Clerk Selcke	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Giorgi	Announcement
		Speaker Telcser	
		Dunne, R.	
23		Bradley	Amendment #2
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment adopted
		Clerk Selcke	Amendment #3
		Speaker Telcser	
		Washington	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Barry	
		Speaker Telcser	Orders floor cleared
24		Washington	Continues
		Speaker Telcser	
		Duff	Yield?
		Washington	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Barry	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Dunn	Identifies



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
			7.
		Barry	
		Speaker Telcser	
25		Gibbs	
		Barry	Wants answer
		Dunn	Yes is the answer
		Speaker Telcser	
		Hill	
		Speaker Telcser	Gentleman has a pass
		Hill	Wants to recess for 5 minutes
		Speaker Telcser	
		Walsh, W.	I authorized pass
26		Speaker Telcser	
		Epton	Object
		Speaker Telcser	
		Dunne, R.	
		Spekaer Telcser	
		Dunne, R.	TOOR SB 416
		Speaker Telcser	
		Hirschfeld	Lawyer etc.
27		Speaker Telcser	
		Duff	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Epton	
28		Speaker Telcser	
		Barry	Point of personal privilege
		Speaker Telcser	
		Dunne, R.	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u> 8.
29		Speaker Telcser	
		Clabaugh	Point of impersonal privilege
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	SB 1055, 2nd, no CA
		Speaker Telcser	3rd reading
		Clerk Selcke	SB 1180, 2nd, no CA
		Speaker Telcser	3rd reading
		Clerk Selcke	SB 1186, 2nd, no CA
		Speaker Telcser	3rd reading
30		Clerk Selcke	SB 928, 2nd, no CA
		Speaker Telcser	3rd reading
		Clerk Selcke	SB 3, 2nd, 1 CA
		Speaker Telcser	
		Catania	Amendment #1
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment adopted, 3rd
		Clerk Selcke	HB 1269, 3rd
		Speaker Telcser	
		Katz	Request it be returned to 2nd
		Speaker Telcser	Returned to 2nd
31		Clerk Selcke	Amendment #1
		Katz	Move to table Amendment #1
		Speaker Telcser	Tabled
		Clerk Selcke	
		Katz	Amendment #2
32		Speaker Telcser	
		Ewell	Question
		Katz	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
			9.
		Speaker Telcser	
		Hudson	
		Katz	
33		Speaker Telcser	Amendment adopted, 3rd
		Katz	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Hirschfeld	Opposed
34		Katz	
		Speaker Telcser	
35		Ewell	
36		Katz	To close TOOR
		Clerk O'Brien	HB 1045, 3rd reading
		Speaker Telcser	
		McGrew	Leave to return to 2nd
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #2
37		McGrew	
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment adopted, 3rd
		McGrew	HB 1045
		Speaker Telcser	Passed
		Clerk O'Brien	HB 1324, 3rd
		Speaker Telcser	
		Neff	Leave to return to 2nd
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #3
		Speaker Telcser	
		Giglio	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	10.
		Speaker Telcser		
		Pierce	Yield	
		Giglio		
39		Speaker Telcser	Amendment adopted, 3rd	
		Neff	HB 1324	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Yourell	Support	
40		Speaker Telcser		
		Neff	To close	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Lechowicz		
		Speaker Telcser	Passed	
41		Clerk O'Brien	SB 347, 3rd	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Dyer		
		Speaker Telcser	Passed	
		Clerk O'Brien	SB 319, 3rd	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Springer	Sponsor SB 319	
		Speaker Telcser	Passed	
42		Clerk O'Brien	SB 345, 3rd	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Porter	Leave to hear SB 346 also	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Clerk O'Brien	SB 346, 3rd	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Porter	SB 345 & 346	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	11.
		Speaker Telcser		
43-44-45		Schneider	Yield?	
		Porter		
		Speaker Teclser		
46		Cunningham	Yield?	
		Porter		
		Speaker Telcser		
		Rayson	Question	
		Porter		
47		Speaker Telcser		
		Alsop	Recommends passage	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Porter	To close	
48		Speaker Telcser	SB 345 & 346 passed	
		Calvo		
		Clerk O'Brien	SB 308, 3rd	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Calvo		
		Speaker Telcser	Passed	
		Clerk O'Brien	SB 358, 3rd	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Duester	TOOR	
		Clerk O'Brien	SB 380, 3rd	
49		Speaker Telcser		
		Ryan		
		Speaker Telcser		
		Hill	Yield?	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	12.
		Ryan		
		Speaker Telcser		
		Matijevich	Question	
50		Ryan		
		Speaker Telcser		
51		Geo-Karis	Support	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Jaffe	Yield?	
		Ryan		
		Speaker Telcser		
		Kempiners	Yield?	
		Ryan		
52		Speaker Telcser		
		Kennedy		
		Ryan		
		Speaker Telcser		
		Speaker Telcser		
53		McMaster	Support	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Flinn		
		Speaker Telcser		
		Matijevich		
		Ryan		
54		Speaker Telcser		
		Tipsword		
		Speaker Telcser		
		Ryan	To close	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	13.
		Spekaer Telcser		
55		Kennedy	Explain vote	
		Speaker Telcser	SB 380, passed	
		Clerk O'Brien	SB 358, 3rd	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Duester		
56		Speaker Telcser		
		Lechowicz	Question	
		Duester		
		Speaker Telcser	Question	
		Lechowicz		
		Speaker Telcser		
		Berman		
		Speaker Telcser		
57		Juckett		
		Speaker Telcser		
		Lechowicz	Discussion	
		Juckett		
		Duester	Inquiry of Lechowicz	
		Lechowicz		
		Speaker Telcser		
58		Von Boeckman		
		Speaker Telcser		
		Duff		
		Speaker Telcser		
		Duester		
		Speaker Telcser		



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
			14.
		Von Boeckman	Question
		Speaker Telcser	TOOR
59		Clerk Selcke	SB 107, 3rd
		Speaker Telcser	
		McClain	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Borchers	Question
		McClain	
60		Speaker Telcser	
		Schneider	Yield?
		McClain	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Stone	Point of information
61		Speaker Telcser	SB 107, passed
		Choate	Introduce Illinois Dairy Princess
		Speaker Telcser	SB 416, Amendment #1 tabled.
		Washington	Amendment #2 adopted
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment #3
62		Dunne, R.	Support
		Speaker Telcser	
		Rayson	Question
		Washington	
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment adopted
		Clerk Selcke	Amendment #4
		Speaker Telcser	
		Londrigan	
		Speaker Telcser	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u> 15.
63		Bradley	Gentleman speak to Amendment
		Londrigan	
64		Bradley	Renew request
		Londrigan	Continues
65		Speaker Telcser	
		Dunne, R.	Urge defeat
		Speaker Telcser	
		Bradley	Yield?
66		Londrigan	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Barry	Point of order
		Bradley	Relates to the Amendment
		Ba-ry	Withdraw objection
67		Bradley	Against Amendment
		Speaker Telcser	
		Schraeder	He's out of order
		Speaker Telcser	
		Barry	Speaks for Amendment
		Speaker Telcser	
		Pierce	Inquiry
		Speaker Telcser	
		Barry	
68		Speaker Telcser	
		Mugalian	
69		Speaker Telcser	
		Fleck	Supports
		Speaker Telcser	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
			16.
		Bradley	Not speaking to Amendment
		Speaker Telcser	
		Fleck	Continues
		Speaker Telcser	
70		Dunne, R.	Objects
		Speaker Telcser	Confine your remarks
		Fleck	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Leinenweber	Supports
71		Speaker Telcser	
		Duff	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Geo-Karis	Move previous question
		Speaker Telcser	
		Porter	Ask one question
		Speaker Telcser	
		Geo-Karis	Withdraw
		Speaker Telcser	
		Porter	Question
72		Londrigan	
		Speaker Telcser	
73		Londrigan	To close
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment #4
74		Epton	Explain vote, no
		Speaker Telcser	
		Leinenweber	Supports, explain vote
75		Speaker Telcser	



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
			17.
		Londrigan	Poll absentees
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk O'Brien	Polls absentees
		Speaekr Telcser	Verify negative roll call
		Clerk O'Brien	
76		Speaker Telcser	
		Pappas	Voted as aye
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk O'Brien	Continues
		Speaker Telcser	
		McGrew	Change to aye
77		Speaker Telcser	
		Londrigan	Questions negative roll call
		Speaker Telcser	
		Walsh, W.	Vote aye
		McPartlin	Vote aye
		Londrigan	Continues
78		Spekaer Telcser	
		Huskey	Change to aye
		Londrigan	Continues
79		Spekaer Telcser	
		Phillip	Change to aye
		Speaker Telcser	
		Londrigan	Continues
		Speaker Telcser	
		Lundy	Question
80		Speaker Telcser	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u> 18.
		Londrigan	Lauer
		Speaker Telcser	
		Dunne, R.	Request affirmative roll call
		Speaker Telcser	
81		Clerk O'Brien	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Sevcik	Vote me aye
		Speaker Telcser	
		Dunne, R.	Questions affirmative roll call
		Speaker Telcser	
		Martin	Vote me aye
82		Speaker Telcser	
		Dunn	Further questions
		Speaker Telcser	
		Fleck	Remove lobbyist's
83		Speaker Telcser	
		Hirschfeld	Vote me no
		Speaker Telcser	
		Wolf, J.J.	Question
		Speaker Telcser	Motion prevails, Amendment adopted
		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #5
		Speaker Telcser	
		Dunne, R.	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Barry	Amendment in conflict?
84		Speaker Telcser	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
			19.
		Palmer	Point of parliamentary inquiry
		Sp-aker Telcser	
		Dunn	Should table last Amendment
		Speaker Telcser	
		Londrigan	We voted on proper Amendment
		Dunn	Wait a minute
		Speaker Telcser	
		Choate	Introduce Mrs Catherine Rock
		Speaker Telcser	
		Duff	Question
		Speaker Telcser	
85		Dunn	Called out of order
		Speaker Telcser	
		Barry	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Duff	
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment #5 can be corrected
		Barry	What's the correction?
		Speaker Telcser	TOOR
86		Giorgi	Inquiry
		Speaker Telcser	
		Dunn	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Pierce	Question
		Speaker Telcser	
87		Clerk Selcke	Committee reports
		Speaker Telcser	Agreed Resolutions



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	20.
88		Clerk Selcke		
		Speaker Telcser		
		Pierce	Move to suspend rules	
89		Speaker Telcser		
		Juckett		
		Speaker Telcser		
		Grotberg	Heart fund	
		Kempiners	Explain no vote	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Wolf, J.J.		
		Speaker Telcser		
		Geo-Karis		
		Speaker Telcser		
90		Davis		
		Speaker Telcser		
		Clerk Selcke		
		Wolf, J.J.	Move to reconsider vote	
		Speaker Telcser	Motion prevails, Resolution adopted	
		Clerk Selcke	Introduction and 1st reading	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Palmer	Request to waive appropriate rules	
91		Speaker Telcser	Motion prevails	
		Clerk Selcke	Introduction and 1st reading	
		Speaker Telcser	Consent Calendar, 2nd	
		Clerk Selcke	SB 180 857, 1010, 1187	
		Speaker Telcser	3rd reading	
92		Clerk Selecke	SJR CA #3, 2nd	



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
			21.
		Speaker Telcser	3rd reading
		Washburn	Announcement, leave to suspend rule 18
		Speaker Telcser	Motion prevails
93		Douglas	Move to suspend rule 18
		Speaker Telcser	Motion prevails
		Kempiners	Suspend rules
		Speaker Telcser	Motion prevails
		Barnes	Move to suspend rule 23-g
94		Speaker Telcser	
		Pappas	Support
		Speaker Telcser	Motion prevails
		Pappas	Leave to table HB 720 and SB 112
		Speaker Telcser	Tabled
		Clerk Selcke	Death Resolution
95		Speaker Telcser	Resolution adopted
		Stone	Request ½ hour recess
		Speaker Telcser	
		Walsh, Wm	
96		Stone	
		Speaker Telcser	House stands in recess
		Choate	Question
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	Committee reports
		Speaker Telcser	House comes to order
		Schlickman	Parliamentary inquiry
97		Speaker Telcser	
		Yourell	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	22.
		Speaker Telcser		
		Ewell	Change order of business	
98		Speaker Teclser	Motion not timely	
		Blair	HB 634	
		Speaker Telcser	Motion not timely	
		Schraeder	Move we adjourn	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Pierce	2nd the motion	
99		Speaker Telcser		
		Walsh, W.	Time to prepare adjournment Resolution	
		Speaker Telcser		
100		Blair		
		Speaker Telcser		
		Walsh, W.	Oppose motion to adjourn	
101		Speaker Telcser		
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Adjournment Resolution	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Walsh, W.		
		Speaker Telcser		
		Pierce	Renews motion to adjourn	
		Speaker Telcser		
		Schraeder	Renews motion	
		Speaker Telcser		
102		Walsh	Move for adoption	
		Speaker Telcser	Resolution adopted	
		Blair	HB 634, move from concurrence	
		Speaker Telcser		



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
			23.
		Walsh	Move previous question
		Speaker Telcser	
		Shea	
		Speaker Telcser	
103		Walsh, W.	Ask chair to rule Shea, out of order
		Speaker Telcser	
		Shea	Move for adjournment
		Walsh	
		Shea	Point of personal privilege
		Speaker Telcser	Motion fails
		Shea	Move House adjourn
104		Speaker Telcser	
		Shea	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Walsh, W.	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Shea	Go ahead, Clyde
		Speaker Telcser	
		Chaote	Agress with Shea
		Speaker Telcser	
		Walsh, W.	Speaks on Adjournment Resolution
105		Speaker Telcser	
		Choate	
		Speaker Telcser	
106		Jaffe	Question
		Speaker Telcser	
		Berman	Adjournment Resolution not binding



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
			24.
		Speaker Telcser	
		Walsh	
107		Speaker Telcser	
		Choate	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Davis	Personal observation
		Speaker Telcser	
		Walsh	
108		Speaker Telcser	
		Choate	
		Speaker Telcser	
		McGrew	Point of personal privilege
109		Speaker Telcser	
		Duff	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Lauer	
110		Speaker Telcser	
		Walsh	Move for 15 minute recess
		Speaker Telcser	House recessed. House to order
		Blair	
111	S	Speaker Telcser	
		Choate	
112		Speaker Telcser	
		Walsh	Move to reconsider vote
		Speaker Telcser	Motion prevails
		Walsh	Move to table adjournment resolution



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Telcser	Tabled
		Walsh	Announcements
113		Speaker Telcser	
		Collins	Announcemnet
		Speaker Telcser	
		Lechowicz	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Washburn	Repeat announcement
		Speaker Telcser	
114		Choate	
		Speaker Teclser	
		Collins	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Choate	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Cunningham	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Harpstrite	Announcement
		Speaker Telcser	
		Houlihan, J.	
115		Speaker Telcser	
		Dyer	Announcement
		Speaker Telcser	
		Walsh	Announcement. Move House adjour
		Speaker Telcser	House adjourned



A Roll call for attendance was taken and indicated that all were present with the exception of the following:

Representative Bernard B. Wolfe - illness.

